Myeloid HDAC7 drives liver inflammation and systemic glucose dysregulation during diet-induced obesity

Yizhuo Wang[1,2], Divya Ramnath[1,2], Kaustav Das Gupta[1,2,*], Gregory C. Miller[3,4], Prakrithi Pavitra[1,5], Zherui Xiong[1,5], Yujun Wan[6], Ellen N. Tejo[7], James E.B. Curson[1,2], Rishika Abrol[1,2], Sahar Keshvari[7], Kimberley S. Gunther[1,2], Zhixuan Loh[1], Jessica A. Engel[5], Christian R. Engwerda[5], Sabrina Sofia Burgener[1,2], Kate Schroder[1,2], David P. Fairlie[1,2], Andrew D. Clouston[3,4], Elizabeth E. Powell[4,5,8], Katharine M. Irvine[7], Mitchell A. Sullivan[7,9,10], Quan Nguyen[1,5], Matthew J. Sweet[1,2,#] and Denuja Karunakaran[1,11,12,†,#]

Affiliations

[1]Institute for Molecular Bioscience, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.

[2]Australian Infectious Diseases Research Centre, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.

[3]Envoi Specialist Pathologists, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

[4]Faculty of Health, Medicine and Behavioural Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

[5]QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

[6]Centre for Nutrition and Food Sciences, Queensland Alliance for Agriculture and Food Innovation, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

[7]Mater Research Institute-UQ, Translational Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

[8]Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

[9]Centre for Bioinnovation and School of Health, University of the Sunshine Coast, Sippy Downs, Queensland, Australia

[10]Sunshine Coast Health Institute, Birtinya, Queensland, Australia

[11]Department of Physiology, Monash Biomedicine Discovery Institute, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia.

[12]Victorian Heart Institute, Victorian Heart Hospital, Melbourne, Australia.

[*]Present address: Division of Infectious Disease, The Lundquist Institute for Biomedical Innovation at Harbor-University of California, Los Angeles Medical Center, Torrance, California, USA.

[†]Present address: Department of Physiology, Monash Biomedicine Discovery Institute, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

[#]Address correspondence to Matthew J Sweet: m.sweet@imb.uq.edu.au or Denuja Karunakaran: denuja.karunakaran@monash.edu

Abstract

Objectives: Histone deacetylase 7 (HDAC7), a member of the classical HDAC family, promotes LPS-inducible glycolysis and inflammatory mediator production in macrophages, innate immune cells that contribute to pathology in metabolic diseases. Here, we investigated myeloid HDAC7 functions in obesity-driven metabolic disease.

Methods: We used gain- and loss-of-function genetic approaches in mice to investigate myeloid HDAC7 functions in hepatic inflammation and metabolic disease, as well as associations with hepatic gene signatures characteristic of advanced chronic liver disease (CLD).

Results: Transgenic expression of *Hdac7* in myeloid cells increased liver inflammation and liver mRNA levels of *Ccl2* and *Il1b*, key inflammatory mediators linked to CLD. Liver glycogen levels were also decreased, another feature of CLD. Transgenic expression of *Hdac7* in myeloid cells mimicked the hepatic inflammatory phenotype that was observed in mice fed a high fat, high cholesterol, and high sucrose (HFHCHS) diet, an obesity model that mimics some features of metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease. In myeloid *Hdac7* transgenic mice fed a HFHCHS diet, weight gain was increased, fasted glucose levels were elevated and glucose tolerance was dysregulated by comparison to control mice. Conversely, fasted blood glucose levels were reduced and glucose tolerance was improved in myeloid *Hdac7*-deleted mice on a HFHCHS diet. *HDAC7* mRNA levels were also elevated in the livers of people with advanced CLD and spatial transcriptomics revealed that myeloid HDAC7 directs hepatic gene signatures characteristic of advanced CLD.

Conclusion: Myeloid HDAC7 contributes to hepatic inflammation and systemic glucose dysregulation in a mouse model of obesity and liver inflammation.

Keywords: glucose metabolism; histone deacetylase 7; inflammation; macrophages; metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease; obesity

Introduction

Metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD) is a common cause of chronic liver disease (CLD) that is associated with substantial morbidity and mortality[1]. MASLD progressing to metabolic-associated steatohepatitis (MASH) is the major cause of cirrhosis, especially in developed countries[2]. MASLD represents a spectrum of conditions, ranging from fatty liver (steatosis) without significant inflammation to MASH, where liver injury, inflammation, and/or fibrosis accompany steatosis[3]. The thyroid hormone receptor-β agonist Resmetirom is approved in the USA as a therapy for patients with moderate to advanced liver fibrosis[4], with other therapies currently under clinical evaluation[5]. For example, the GLP-1 receptor agonist tirzepatide[6] and the bile acid analogue obeticholic acid[7] have shown some promise in reducing scarring in MASH-associated liver fibrosis in phase 2 and 3 clinical trials, respectively. However, these therapies are likely to be effective in only a sub-set of MASH patients. The development of more effective treatments requires a better understanding of the complex underlying mechanisms that drive MASH and the employment of approaches that target multiple inflammatory and fibrotic pathways.

Macrophages are key innate immune cells that sense and respond to endogenous and exogenous danger signals, enabling them to detect perturbations in homeostasis[8]. These cells are extremely heterogeneous, exhibiting diverse phenotypes depending on the specific environmental conditions encountered[9]. Liver macrophages, comprising both tissue-resident Kupffer cells and monocyte-derived macrophages, play important roles in liver homeostasis and in responding to environmental signals. Kupffer cells clear endotoxin and phagocytose bacterial pathogens, dying cells and other debris in the hepatic parenchyma[10-11], and also release inflammatory cytokines upon activation via the pattern recognition receptors, such as toll-like receptor (TLR) 4[12]. Recruited monocytes can also differentiate into macrophages in the liver, either promoting wound healing and tissue repair when the injury-inducing agents have been removed[13-14] or perpetuating inflammation and pathology during active disease[15-16]. A failure to resolve macrophage activation leads to dysregulated production of inflammatory mediators that contribute to pathological conditions, including liver fibrosis[10]. For example, elevated TNF levels in inflamed livers contribute to fibrogenesis[17], the chemokine CCL2 promotes lipid accumulation in hepatocytes leading to obesity-induced liver steatosis[18] and MASH progression[19], and inflammasome-mediated IL-1β production is associated with collagen deposition in MASH patients[20]. Targeting of such inflammatory mediators can attenuate progression of liver disease in mouse models. For example, inhibition of IL-1 receptor

signalling[21] or deletion of *Il1b* that encodes IL-1β[22] in mouse models limited MASH

progression.

To fuel proinflammatory responses, aerobic glycolysis is rapidly increased in TLR-activated

macrophages[23-24]. This is linked with TCA cycle reprogramming, ultimately resulting in the

generation of acetyl-CoA that enables histone modifications for inducible expression of

inflammatory genes[25-26]. Signalling molecules that connect glycolysis and inflammation such

as the glycolytic enzyme pyruvate kinase isoform 2 (PKM2) have been implicated in the

pathogenesis of MASH. For example, elevated levels of PKM2 in both serum and liver were

associated with MASLD severity[27]. PKM2 exists in two forms, a tetramer that is associated

with its glycolytic function and a dimeric form that drives inflammatory responses. Tetrameric

PKM2 polarises macrophages towards a regulatory or anti-inflammatory phenotype,

ameliorating liver inflammation and steatosis[28]. In contrast, nuclear accumulation of dimeric

PKM2 in macrophages was reported to promote macrophage polarisation towards a pro-

inflammatory phenotype, leading to MASH pathogenesis[29]. Significantly, a group of proteins

called the class IIa histone deacetylases (HDACs) promote dimerization and activation of the

pro-inflammatory form of PKM2 in macrophages[30].

HDACs remove an acetyl moiety from the ε-amino group of lysine residues of histones, with

this generally associated with repression of gene transcription[31]. However, thousands of non-

histone proteins are also regulated by lysine acetylation[32-33], so HDACs control many cellular

processes beyond gene expression. Class IIa HDACs are a subfamily of the classical HDACs

that share a conserved C-terminal deacetylase domain and a less conserved N-terminus that

interacts with a broad range of cellular proteins[34], allowing this family to regulate various

biological processes in physiological and pathological conditions (reviewed in[35-36]). One class

IIa HDAC with a particularly important function in metabolic and inflammatory processes in

macrophages is HDAC7 (reviewed in[37-38]). In human and murine macrophages, HDAC7

orchestrates LPS-induced glycolysis and the production of key inflammatory mediators IL-1β

and CCL2[30], two inflammatory mediators associated with MASLD/MASH. Interestingly,

HDAC7 primarily functions in macrophages responding to submaximal concentration of

LPS[39], suggesting that this class IIa HDAC may be particularly important in driving chronic

low-grade inflammation. In contrast, HDAC7 engages the pentose phosphate pathway in

macrophages responding to bacterial challenge, with this enabling pathogen destruction and

attenuation of inflammation[40]. This highlights a role for HDAC7 in context-dependent danger

recognition during inflammation, meaning that predicting its function in different disease

settings such as MASLD/MASH is not straightforward. In this study, using myeloid *Hdac7*

gain- and loss-of-function mice, we reveal a role for myeloid HDAC7 in liver inflammation

and glucose dysregulation in an obesity model that shares some features with MASLD.

Results

Myeloid *Hdac7* overexpression promotes liver inflammation in mice

We previously showed that HDAC7 drives production of the pro-inflammatory mediators CCL2 and IL-1β in TLR4-activated macrophages[30-39] and that acute LPS-induced systemic inflammation was amplified in MacHDAC7 mice that selectively over-express HDAC7 in myeloid cells[30]. However, whether myeloid HDAC7 drives inflammatory responses and/or pathological changes in the liver has not been examined. To determine if myeloid HDAC7 might contribute to chronic and low-grade liver inflammation, we utilised MacHDAC7 transgenic mice[30] that overexpress an alternatively spliced, inflammatory form of murine HDAC7 lacking the first 22 amino acids, HDAC7-u[41], in myeloid cells. In MacHDAC7 mice, *Csf1r* promoter elements direct myeloid-specific expression of the transcriptional activator GAL4-VP16, which in turn drives both HDAC7-u and cyan fluorescent protein (CFP) expression in myeloid cells. Littermate MacBlue mice that express GAL4-VP16-dependent CFP expression were used as controls in these studies[42]. We first evaluated the expression of inflammatory mediators and markers of liver fibrosis in naïve MacBlue and MacHDAC7 mice. As expected, mRNA levels of *Hdac7* were significantly elevated in the livers of MacHDAC7 mice compared to those of MacBlue control mice (**Figure 1A**). Thus, the effect of myeloid-specific *Hdac7* overexpression could be detected at the whole-organ level, even in the context of endogenous *Hdac7* expression in hepatocytes and other non-myeloid cells. Consistent with the elevated systemic levels of inflammatory mediators observed upon LPS challenge in MacHDAC7 mice[30], mRNA levels of the inflammatory genes *Ccl2* and *Il1b* were increased in livers of these mice in a basal state (**Figure 1B-C**). Of the fibrotic genes that were examined, only *Mmp9* was increased (**Figure 1D**), with no difference being observed between the two genotypes in mRNA levels of other inflammatory or fibrotic genes such as *Crp*, *Fstl1*, *Col1a1* and *Act2* (**Figure S1**). Thus, myeloid *Hdac7* overexpression increases levels of specific inflammatory mediators in the liver, suggesting that it may prime liver inflammation and/or accelerate liver disease under certain conditions.

Myeloid *Hdac7* overexpression increases weight gain in mice fed a HFHCHS diet

To mimic the metabolic and histological changes of MASLD, we placed littermate MacHDAC7 and MacBlue control mice on a HFHCHS diet (20% kcal fat, 20 g/kg cholesterol, 222 g/kg fructose and 107 g/kg sucrose) for 24 wk, with weekly body weight gain and metabolic parameters measured. As basal inflammatory mediators were elevated in livers of MacHDAC7 mice (**Figure 1**), cohorts of MacHDAC7 and MacBlue control mice fed on a chow diet were also included. As expected, the HFHCHS diet increased body weight for both

genotypes by comparison to their respective chow diet groups. However, weight gain of
MacHDAC7 mice fed on either a chow or HFHCHS diet was significantly reduced by
comparison to the control MacBlue mice (**Figure 2A**). When correcting for this difference, the
weight gain of MacHDAC7 mice on the HFHCHS diet was significantly enhanced over the
MacBlue control mice over the 24 wk period of the experiment (**Figure 2B**). To study whole-
body metabolism, fat and lean mass was also measured. Interestingly, *Hdac7* overexpression
in myeloid cells reduced both the fat and lean mass in mice fed a chow diet (**Figure 2C**, left),
consistent with the smaller average body weight of MacHDAC7 mice. This difference was not
apparent in mice fed a HCHFHS diet (**Figure 2C**, right), suggesting that this diet increased
both fat and lean body compositions in MacHDAC7 mice to a greater extent than MacBlue
control mice.

The HFHCHS diet also significantly increased the weights of liver and epididymal white
adipose tissue (WAT) in both MacBlue and MacHDAC7 mice (**Figure 2D-E**) but only
MacHDAC7 mice had enlarged kidneys (**Figure 2F**), which may reflect diet-induced renal
damage. Interestingly, splenomegaly was observed in the MacHDAC7 mice when fed a chow
diet (**Figure 2G**). This suggests perturbed haematopoiesis in the bone marrow environment in
these mice, which would be consistent with *Hdac7*-induced liver inflammation in the basal
state (**Figure 1**). Collectively, these data demonstrate that overexpression of myeloid *Hdac7*
increases diet-induced weight gain.

Myeloid *Hdac7* promotes hepatic inflammation but not fibrosis in mice
Given that HDAC7 drives inflammatory responses in primary macrophages[30-39], we postulated
that myeloid *Hdac7* overexpression alone may amplify diet-induced liver inflammation. As we
previously observed (**Figure 1**), the expression of *Ccl2* and *Il1b* were elevated in MacHDAC7
mice fed a chow diet compared to MacBlue control mice (**Figure 3A-B**). Interestingly, this
difference was not apparent in mice fed a HFHCHS diet, suggesting that myeloid *Hdac7* alone
primed liver inflammation to similar levels triggered by the HFHCHS diet. CCL2 and the
accompanying infiltration of inflammatory monocytes contributes to MASH in animal
models[43-44]. We therefore assessed circulating CCL2 levels. MacHDAC7 mice fed a chow diet
had significantly higher levels of serum CCL2 than the MacBlue control mice (**Figure 3C**).
This effect was also apparent in mice fed the HFHCHS diet, although it was less pronounced
because the HFHCHS diet increased CCL2 production in only MacBlue mice. Serum IL-1β
levels were below the limits of detection in these samples (data not shown), but overall, the
results suggest that myeloid *Hdac7* overexpression alone is sufficient to phenocopy hepatic

inflammation triggered by the HFHCHS diet. This was confirmed by blinded histological
analyses, where liver inflammation was similar between MacHDAC7 mice on a chow diet and
MacBlue control mice on a HFHCHS diet (**Figure 3D-E**).
215
Having established a role for myeloid HDAC7 in driving liver inflammation, we next assessed
its role in liver steatosis and fibrosis. Here we found that the HFHCHS diet induced lipid
accumulation and liver steatosis in both MacBlue and MacHDAC7 mice, but this effect was
not amplified by *Hdac7* overexpression (**Figure 3F-H**). This suggests that myeloid *Hdac7*
overexpression does not have obvious effects on lipid metabolism in the liver. Although
histological examination revealed that myeloid *Hdac7* overexpression did not exacerbate
HFHCHS-induced liver inflammation (**Figure 3D-E**), we did observe a modest but non-
significant increase in NAFLD activity score in MacHDAC7 mice fed a chow diet by
comparison to versus MacBlue control mice (**Figure 3I**). However, the HFHCHS diet failed to
induce a constant and robust MASH-like phenotype in either group, as shown by the mild and
highly variable levels of fibrosis (**Table S1**), thus it remains unclear whether myeloid *Hdac7*
promotes diet-induced MASH. Taken together, these observations suggest that myeloid *Hdac7*
overexpression promotes hepatic and systemic inflammation, but this alone is not sufficient to
drive liver fibrosis.
230
Glucose metabolism is dysregulated in MacHDAC7 mice fed a HFHCHS diet
Insulin resistance is a common metabolic syndrome of MASLD[45]. Thus, we measured fasted
blood glucose levels to assess whether myeloid *Hdac7* overexpression regulates hepatic
glucose metabolism. When placed on a chow diet, MacHDAC7 mice had consistently lower
fasted blood glucose levels than the control MacBlue mice, with these effects being significant
at wk 4 and 16 (**Figure 4A**). To assess glucose clearance, we performed intraperitoneal glucose
tolerance tests (GTTs) at wk 12, finding that the MacHDAC7 mice cleared injected glucose
more rapidly than the MacBlue control, albeit the difference was not statistically significant
(**Figure 4B**). However, these phenotypes were reversed when mice were fed a HFHCHS diet,
with higher blood glucose levels and slower glucose clearance observed in MacHDAC7 mice
(**Figure 4C-D**). This suggests that myeloid HDAC7 has context-dependent roles in regulating
glucose metabolism.
243
In a fasting state, glucose is mainly produced in the liver via glycogenolysis and
gluconeogenesis, with the former converting glycogen into glucose[46]. We therefore considered
that hyperglycaemia in the MacHDAC7 mice fed a HFHCHS diet could be due to a change in

hepatic glycogen metabolism. Interestingly, MacHDAC7 mice had lower liver glycogen levels

than MacBlue control mice when fed the chow diet, with a similar non-significant trend being

apparent for mice fed the HFHCHS diet (**Figure 4E**). It is therefore likely that myeloid HDAC7

plays a role in glycogen metabolism in both lean and obese mice. Hyperglycaemia inhibits

glycogenolysis in healthy individuals, with this inhibition being impaired in type 2 diabetes

mellitus[47]. Since MacHDAC7 mice fed a HFHCHS diet had lower hepatic glycogen levels

(**Figure 4E**) and increased fasting glucose levels (**Figure 4C**) than MacBlue mice, we propose

that regulatory control of hepatic glycogen breakdown may be impaired in MacHDAC7 mice,

leading to enhanced glycogenolysis. We conclude that myeloid HDAC7 overexpression

exacerbates HFHCHS diet-induced dysregulation of glucose and glycogen metabolism.

Inflammatory mediators such as CCL2 promote macrophage infiltration, hepatic inflammation,

and insulin resistance during diet-induced obesity[48-49]. Considering the role of HDAC7 in

macrophage inflammation and that myeloid *Hdac7* expression triggered higher levels of

circulating CCL2 (**Figure 3C**), we next wondered whether myeloid *Hdac7* alters insulin

secretion during obesity. Indeed, insulin levels were reduced in MacHDAC7 mice versus

MacBlue control mice fed a chow diet (**Figure 4F**, centre). This suggests that lean MacHDAC7

mice (**Figure 2C**) control insulin levels to respond to their lower blood glucose levels (**Figure**

4A). Interestingly, when fed a HFHCHS diet, both MacBlue and MacHDAC7 mice had

similarly elevated insulin levels (**Figure 4F**, right), suggesting a feedback mechanism of

increased insulin production to suppress elevated blood glucose levels. However, these

increased insulin levels failed to control glucose production in MacHDAC7 mice (**Figure 4C**).

We conclude that elevated HDAC7 expression in myeloid cells drives metabolic dysregulation,

a feature of type 2 diabetes mellitus[50].

Myeloid *Hdac7* deletion does not alter weight gain but attenuates HFHCHS diet-induced

glucose dysregulation

Given that myeloid *Hdac7* overexpression triggered inflammation and dysregulated glucose

homeostasis in diet-induced obesity, we hypothesised that genetically targeting *Hdac7* in

myeloid cells would ameliorate HFHCHS-induced phenotypes. To test this, we used myeloid-

restricted *Hdac7*^{-/-} mice (*Hdac7*^{fl/fl} *LysM*[Cre]) and littermate *Hdac7*^{+/+} control mice (*Hdac7*^{fl/fl}),

placing the mice on a HFHCHS diet for a period of 36 wk with the goal of inducing more

severe MASH phenotypes. In contrast to the enhanced weight gain of myeloid HDAC7 gain-

of-function mice (**Figure 2B**), *Hdac7* deletion from myeloid cells did not affect weight gain in

mice fed a HFHCHS diet (**Figure S2A**). Similarly, fat and lean mass (**Figure S2B**) and organ

weights (**Figure S2C**) were unaffected, although there was a modest trend towards reduced

liver mass. These data indicate that myeloid *Hdac7* deficiency does not prevent the

development of obesity.

Next, we examined glucose metabolism to determine whether myeloid *Hdac7* deletion

improves the dysregulated phenotypes induced by the HFHCHS diet. *Hdac7*$^{-/-}$ mice had similar

blood glucose levels to the control mice at the beginning of the study (**Figure 5A**, 0 wk).

However, starting from wk 8 of HFHCHS feeding, myeloid-restricted *Hdac7*$^{-/-}$ mice had

consistently lower fasted blood glucose levels than *Hdac7*$^{+/+}$ mice, with the effects being

significant at wk 8, 14 and 16 (**Figure 5A**). This suggests that deletion of *Hdac7* from myeloid

cells improves blood glucose regulation. This effect was also reflected in improved glucose

tolerance in *Hdac7*$^{-/-}$ mice (**Figure 5B-C**). *Hdac7*$^{-/-}$ mice also presented with slightly improved

insulin sensitivity than control mice, although these effects were not significant (**Figure 5D-**

E). Hence, myeloid deletion of *Hdac7* reduces fasting glucose and improves glucose

intolerance in the HFHCHS obesity model.

Myeloid *Hdac7* deletion did not ameliorate diet-induced hepatic pathology and

inflammation

Next, we assessed whether the effects of *Hdac7* deletion on glucose metabolism may relate to

inflammation. At the cellular level, levels of HDAC7 protein were greatly reduced in bone

marrow derived macrophages (BMMs) from *Hdac7*$^{-/-}$ mice fed on both HFHCHS and chow

diets, as expected (**Figure S3A**). Consistent with previous findings[30], LPS-inducible CCL2 and

inflammasome-triggered IL-1β levels were reduced in BMMs from the naïve *Hdac7*$^{-/-}$ mice on

a chow diet (**Figure S3B**, right side of graphs). However, in *Hdac7*$^{-/-}$ BMMs generated from

mice fed the HFHCHS diet, only IL-1β levels were significantly reduced, with CCL2 levels

being only marginally affected (**Figure S3B**, left side of graphs). These data indicate that the

capacity of HDAC7 to drive the production of these inflammatory mediators in macrophages

may be attenuated under the conditions of obesity. Consistent with this, hepatic *Ccl2* mRNA

levels were only slightly reduced in myeloid *Hdac7*$^{-/-}$ mice (**Figure S3C**). Liver *Il1b* mRNA

levels (**Figure S3D**) and circulating CCL2 levels (**Figure S3E**) were also unaffected.

Histological assessment of livers from these mice revealed that the HFHCHS diet induced only

varying levels of early to moderate stages of liver fibrosis in mice from either genotype (**Figure**

S4A). Of note, the *Hdac7*$^{-/-}$ mice trended towards a very modest reduction in liver inflammation,

lipid accumulation, steatosis and fibrosis compared to the *Hdac7*$^{+/+}$ control mice (**Figure S4B-**

F). This suggests that myeloid *Hdac7* deletion may very modestly reduce obesity-induced

pathological processes in the liver. We conclude that myeloid HDAC7 drives glucose
dysregulation but only marginally contributes to hepatic inflammation and fibrosis in the
HFHCHS diet model.

Myeloid *HDAC7* expression is associated with gene expression signatures linked to
advanced CLD

Our data support a role for HDAC7 in mediating glucose dysregulation in both gain- and -loss
of function mouse models, as well as liver inflammation in a gain-of-function mouse model.
We therefore considered that elevated HDAC7 expression in CLD may contribute to metabolic
dysregulation and/or disease progression. We thus assessed *HDAC7* mRNA levels in liver
biopsies of people with early or advanced CLD[51]. Here we found that *HDAC7* mRNA levels
were elevated in livers from people with advanced CLD by comparison to those with early
disease (**Figure 6A**), suggestive a link between HDAC7 and human CLD severity. To further
investigate this link in myeloid cells, we performed spatial transcriptomic analysis on livers
from MacBlue and MacHDAC7 mice fed a chow diet to identify myeloid HDAC7-associated
transcriptional changes relevant to CLD. We first identified cells co-expressing *eCFP* and
Hdac7 mRNAs in livers, then performed differential gene expression analysis on
eCFP[+]/*Hdac7*^{+} cells from MacBlue versus MacHDAC7 mice. A heatmap of the 53
differentially expressed genes is shown in **Figure 6B**. This analysis confirmed elevated *Il1b*,
Ccl2 and *Mmp9* mRNA levels in hepatic myeloid cells from MacHDAC7 mice (**Figure 6B**),
consistent with our previous findings in whole liver tissue (**Figure 1B-D**). When compared
with our previously published dataset of genes associated with early and advanced human
CLD[51], we found that eight genes associated with advanced CLD in human were also
differentially expressed in *eCFP*^{+} cells in livers of MacHDAC7 mice. These include *Vcan*,
Thbs1, *Mmp9* and *Rab8b*, several of which have been implicated in CLD progression and/or
pathology[52-54]. Spatial co-expression analysis revealed that these genes were co-expressed with
HDAC7, with limited expression in other hepatic cells (**Figure 6C-F**). This suggests that
myeloid HDAC7 expression is sufficient to direct gene expression programs associated with
advanced CLD. In summary, data presented in this study implicate myeloid HDAC7 in driving
hepatic inflammation and expression of genes linked to advanced CLD, as well as systemic
glucose dysregulation in a mouse obesity model.

Discussion

In this study, we investigated potential roles of myeloid HDAC7 in metabolic diseases, examining obesity, glucose dysregulation, hepatic inflammation and fibrosis. Although levels of steatosis and fibrosis were variable in our studies (**Figure 3F-I, S4C-F, Table S1**), HFHCHS fed mice did develop diet-induced obesity (**Figure 2A**) and liver inflammation (**Figure 3D-E**). We therefore focused our studies on indicators of metabolism and inflammation. We found the overexpression of myeloid *Hdac7* promoted hepatic inflammation in a basal state, mimicking diet-induced liver inflammation and associated pathological changes. Of note, in the HFHCHS diet model, glucose dysregulation was exacerbated in myeloid HDAC7 gain-of-function mice and improved in myeloid HDAC7 loss-of-function mice. These findings thus extend the functions of HDAC7 in macrophages beyond cellular metabolism[30-39-40] to whole body glucose metabolism.

MacHDAC7 mice on a HFHCHS diet had increased hyperglycaemia at multiple time points (**Figure 4C**), as well as impaired glucose tolerance (**Figure 4D**), by comparison to MacBlue control mice. Conversely, hyperglycaemia (**Figure 5A**) and glucose clearance (**Figure 5B**) were improved in myeloid *Hdac7*^{-/-} mice on a HFHCHS diet. Collectively, these data reveal a role for myeloid HDAC7 in driving glucose dysregulation during obesity. Interestingly, MacHDAC7 mice on a chow diet had reduced levels of fasted blood glucose (**Figure 4A**) and liver glycogen (**Figure 4E**), suggesting that glycogen metabolism is disrupted in these mice. Under fasting conditions, glycogenolysis is initiated by glucagon and epinephrine-dependent cascades[55]. Glycogen phosphorylase is a key enzyme controlling glycogenolysis, converting glycogen to glucose-1-phosphate, which is subsequently converted to glucose-6-phosphate that feeds glycolysis[56]. A previous study found that glycogen phosphorylase activity is controlled by post-translational modifications. Specifically, acetylation at K470 inhibits its enzymatic activity, with this inactivation mechanism being enhanced by insulin[57]. MacHDAC7 mice on the chow diet had significantly lower blood insulin levels than control MacBlue mice, with this difference being absent in mice fed the HFHCHS diet (**Figure 4F**). Thus, myeloid HDAC7 may somehow limit insulin secretion in MacHDAC7 mice to indirectly enhance glycogenolysis in hepatic cells. Alternatively, it is also possible that HDAC7 promotes glycogen breakdown in macrophages, which could contribute to glucose dysregulation in the setting of obesity. Activated macrophages have been shown to store glycogen and metabolise it to drive inflammatory responses via multiple mechanisms[58]. Thus, HDAC7-mediated glycogenolysis in macrophages could contribute to both dysregulated inflammation and glucose metabolism during obesity. We previously showed that HDAC7 increases the enzymatic activity of the

glycolytic enzyme PKM2 by interacting with it and promoting its deacetylation[30] and it is
possible that HDAC7 similarly activates enzymes in the glycogenolysis pathway in
macrophages.

In the fasting state, another source of hepatic glucose production is gluconeogenesis, which is
under strict hormonal control. Silencing of HDAC4, 5 and 7 in mouse livers led to higher liver
glycogen content and improved glucose tolerance[59]. Mechanistically, the fasting hormone
glucagon promotes the dephosphorylation and nuclear shuttling of class IIa HDACs in HepG2
hepatocytes. In the nucleus, class IIa HDACs recruit HDAC3 to promoter regions of
gluconeogenic genes to enable the deacetylation of the FOXO transcription factor and the
induction of downstream genes, including *G6pc* that encodes glucose-6-phosphatase, a key
enzyme mediating gluconeogenesis[59]. An analogous pathway of hormone-activated
HDAC4/FOXO regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism was also identified in *Drosophila*
melanogaster[60]. Given these studies, it is possible that myeloid HDAC7 also contributes to
hyperglycaemia via activating gluconeogenic genes downstream of glucagon signalling.

Liver inflammation in MacHDAC7 and MacBlue mice on the HFHCHS was comparable
(**Figure 3A-E**). Notably however, the MacHDAC7 mice already exhibited significantly higher
liver inflammation in the basal state (**Figure 3A-E**). The lack of an enhanced inflammatory
phenotype in obese MacHDAC7 mice may reflect that HDAC7 overexpression phenocopies
diet-induced inflammation. In contrast, levels of inflammatory mediators were not significantly
reduced in the livers or plasma of myeloid *Hdac7*^{-/-} mice fed a HFHCHS diet (**Figure S3-S4**),
although BMMs isolated from these mice on a chow diet showed reduced production of
inflammatory mediators (**Figure S3B**, right side of graphs). The lack of an obesity-driven
inflammatory phenotype in myeloid *Hdac7*^{-/-} mice may reflect compensatory effect of other
class IIa HDACs, as previously observed in activated macrophages *in vitro*[30]. It may also reflect
variability in efficiency and/or specificity of the LysM-Cre system for conditional gene
deletion in myeloid cells[61], as highlighted by a previous study examining immune and non-
immune cell populations of the lung[62]. Alternatively, myeloid HDAC7 may have distinct roles
in obesity- versus LPS-driven inflammation. We previously showed that HDAC7 promotes
glycolysis and associated IL-1β production in macrophages responding to LPS[30]. However,
during bacterial infection, macrophage HDAC7 engages the pentose phosphate pathway to
generate the metabolite ribulose-5-phosphate that suppresses macrophage inflammatory
responses[40]. This context-dependent role of myeloid HDAC7 in controlling macrophage
inflammatory responses makes it difficult to predict its functions in different inflammation-

driven conditions. Nonetheless, taken together with the pronounced effects of myeloid gain-
of-function HDAC7 in exacerbating hepatic inflammation (**Figure 1, 3**), the subtle reduction
in phenotypes associated with MASLD in myeloid *Hdac7*^{-/-} mice (**Figure S4**) suggests that
HDAC7 in macrophages contributes to hepatic inflammation during obesity, in addition to its
effects in dysregulating metabolism.
423

In conclusion, this study has identified an association between myeloid HDAC7 and both
hepatic and systemic glucose metabolism, thus identifying a potential role for macrophage-
expressed HDAC7 in controlling whole body metabolism. This lysine deacetylase and
scaffolding protein likely promotes the breakdown of liver glycogen, which may partly explain
HDAC7-mediated hyperglycaemia during obesity. Myeloid HDAC7 overexpression also
phenocopied diet-induced liver inflammation in mice, consistent with the known role of
HDAC7 in promoting inflammatory responses[30-39-63] and oxidative stress[40] in macrophages.
Thus, small molecule inhibitors of class IIa HDACs[64-65] should be evaluated in the future for
their capacity to attenuate dysregulated metabolism and/or inflammation in mouse models of
obesity-driven CLD. Notably, *HDAC7* expression was elevated in liver biopsies of people with
advanced versus early CLD (**Figure 6A**) and in tumours derived from a mouse liver carcinoma
model[66], with myeloid HDAC7 directing expression of genes associated with advanced CLD
in human (**Figure 6B-F**). Thus, it will also be of interest in the future to explore the
involvement of myeloid HDAC7 in obesity-linked liver fibrosis during CLD progression.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

All animal studies were approved by The University of Queensland Animal Ethics Committee. Experimental procedures followed the approved projects 2021/AE00629, 2021/AE00630 and 2021/AE001048. Mice were bred and housed on a 12 h-controlled day/night cycle with food and water available ad libitum in the Queensland Biosciences Precinct animal house (Institute for Molecular Bioscience, The University of Queensland). Mice were monitored during experiments in accordance with protocols approved in the above animal ethics certificates.

Animals

6-10 wk old male MacHDAC7 mice and control MacBlue mice (total of n=14-15 per group) were fed a HFHCHS diet containing 20% kcal fat, 20 g/kg cholesterol, 222 g/kg fructose and 107 g/kg sucrose (Specialty Feeds, SF21-160, containing sodium caseinate) for 24 wk. The control groups (n=3 per genotype) were fed a chow diet for the same time. These experiments were carried out as two independent trials. Alternatively, 8-12 wk old male $Hdac7^{-/-}$ and wild type control $Hdac7^{+/+}$ mice (n=12 per genotype) were fed a HFHCHS diet (Specialty Feeds, SF18-074, same nutrient composition to SF21-160 except that it contains casein) for 36 wk. Body weight was measured weekly throughout the trials. Fat and lean mass was measured using a Minispec LF50 NMR Analyser (Bruker) one week before the end of the study. At the termination of the study, mice were fasted for 6 or 12 h before being euthanised with isoflurane. Blood was collected via cardiac puncture followed by perfusion with 0.9% saline solution (Baxter). Liver, spleen, kidney and epididymal white adipose tissue were collected, weighed, snap frozen, then fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin or processed for RNA extraction.

Fasted glucose measurements

Mice were fasted for 6 h before blood was collected at midday from the saphenous or tail vein using an EDTA-coated collection tube every 4 wk. Glucose levels were measured immediately after the puncture with an Accu-Check Aviva Nano glucose meter (Roche).

Glucose and insulin tolerance tests

Mice were fasted for 6 h and blood glucose levels were measured from the tail vein before intraperitoneal injection. For glucose tolerance tests, MacHDAC7 and MacBlue mice were injected with 2 g/kg of D-glucose (AJAX), whereas $Hdac7^{-/-}$ and $Hdac7^{+/+}$ mice were injected with 1g/kg of glucose. For insulin tolerance tests, $Hdac7^{-/-}$ and $Hdac7^{+/+}$ mice were fasted for

6 h before being injected with 0.75 U/kg insulin (NovoRapid). Blood glucose levels were

measured from the tail vein at the indicated time points with an Accu-Check Aviva Nano

glucose meter (Roche). For the tests on MacHDAC7 and MacBlue mice, data were combined

from two independent experiments.

Histological assessment

Formalin-fixed mouse livers were processed for paraffin-embedded histology for Hematoxylin

and Eosin (H&E) and Sirius Red staining. Unstained slides were processed for H&E staining

using a DAKO autostainer. Sirius Red slides were processed using the Llewellyn modification

of the Sirius Red technique[67]. In short, slides were stained with haematoxylin and then treated

with Sirius Red solution for 1 h before washing in tap water for 10 min. Slides were imaged

using a clinical microscope (BX43 or BX51, Olympus). Livers were scored using the NASH

Clinical research Network (NASH-CRN) scoring system[68]. In this method, scores for steatosis,

ballooning and lobular inflammation are summed to generate an overall score for likelihood of

steatohepatitis.

Glycogen quantification

The method used was previously described[69-70]. Snap-frozen mouse livers were homogenised

in 1 mL isolation buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaF, 5 mM

sodium pyrophosphate, Sigma-Aldrich). 20 μL homogenate was then digested in 22.5 μL

acetate mix (1:8 mixture of 10% acetic acid (Sigma-Aldrich):200 mM sodium acetate buffer

(Sigma-Aldrich) (pH4.5)) with 5 μL and amyloglucosidase ~3260 U/mL, Megazyme) at 50 °C

for 30 min. The same amount of undigested liver homogenates boiled for 10 min was used for

correcting background glucose levels. Liver homogenates were then centrifuged at 20,000 g

for 10 min and transferred to a 96-well plate. Serially diluted D-glucose was used for generating

the calibration curve. 30 μL samples were then mixed with a reaction mix containing 150 μL

of 200 mM tricine/KOH (pH 8) (Sigma-Aldrich) and 10 mM MgCl2 (Sigma-Aldrich), 1 μL of

112.5 mM NADP (NADP), 1 μL of 180 mM of ATP (NADP) and 0.5 μL glucose-6-phosphate

dehydrogenase (Roche) to a volume of 200 μL. Absorbance at 340 nm was recorded for 20 min

before as well as 30 min after the addition of hexokinase (Roche). Glycogen content was

corrected for the weight of homogenised liver tissues.

Insulin measurements

Blood collected from saphenous vein or by cardiac puncture was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for

10 min. Concentrations of insulin in mouse serum or plasma were measured using a mouse

insulin ELISA kit (Crystal Chem Mouse Insulin ELISA Kit, 90080), following the
manufacturer's instruction. Standard solutions and samples were added in duplicate into the
wells included in the kits and incubated for 2 h at 4 °C . Each well was then washed thoroughly.
Next, enzyme conjugate solution was added and incubated for 30 min at room temperature,
followed by another washing step. Enzyme substrate solution was immediately added and
incubated for 40 mi at room temperature in the dark. Following that, enzyme stop solution was
added to each well to stop the reaction. Finally, the absorbance at A450 and A630 was
measured using a microplate reader. Sample insulin concentrations were calculated based on a
standard curve generated using known insulin concentrations from the standard solutions
included in the kits.

Cell culture
Bone marrow cells were collected from femurs and tibias of mice and cultured in RPMI media
supplemented with 10% FBS, 2mM L-glutamine, 50 U/mL penicillin and 50 μg/mL
streptomycin (Gibco) in the presence of 150 ng/mL recombinant human macrophage colony-
stimulating factor-1 (Protein Expression Facility, UQ) for 6 days. Differentiated BMMs were
harvested in DPBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and resuspended in culture media for stimulation
with indicated concentrations of LPS (*Salmonella enterica* serotype Minnesota, L2137, Sigma-
Aldrich). To induce IL-1β processing and secretion, 5 μg/mL nigericin (N7143, Sigma-Aldrich)
was added to cells 1 h prior to harvesting culture supernatants. Cell culture supernatants were
then collected, centrifuged at 1,000 g for 5 min and stored at -80 °C until analysis.

Cytokine profiling
Cell culture supernatants and mouse plasma were diluted in culture media and DPBS,
respectively. CCL2 and IL-1β concentrations were measured using MCP-1/CCL2 Mouse
Uncoated ELISA and IL-1beta Mouse Uncoated ELISA Kits (mouse CCL2: 88-7391-77,
mouse IL-1β: 88-7013-77, Invitrogen) or with a Mouse CCL2/JE/MCP-1 DuoSet ELISA kit
(DY479, R&D SYSTEMS), as per the manufacturer's manuals.

Quantification of gene expression
To extract RNA from mouse livers, fresh tissues were frozen in lysis buffer from an ISOLATE
II RNA Mini kit (BioLine) at -80 °C. Snap-frozen tissues from naïve mice were homogenised
in lysis buffer. RNA purification was performed following the manufacturer's instructions. An
off-column DNA digestion was performed using DNAse I (Invitrogen), as per manufacturer's
instructions. RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using oligo dT and SuperScript III Reverse

Transcriptase (Invitrogen). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed in 384-well plates using
cDNAs, SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystem), and a ViiATM 7 Real-Time PCR
System (Applied Biosystems). mRNA levels of individual genes, relative to the housekeeping
gene hypoxanthine phosphoribosyl transferase (*Hprt*), were calculated using the ΔCt method[71].
Sequences of primers used for qPCR are listed in **Table S2**.
547
Western blotting
Differentiated BMMs were washed once with DPBS and lysed in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-
HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 1% Sodium deoxycholate, 1% NP-40) supplemented with
protease inhibitor cocktail (cOmpleteTM, Roche) and phosphatase inhibitors (PhosStopTM,
Roche). Cell lysates were homogenised by passing through a 27G needle. Equal amounts of
homogenates were boiled and subjected to electrophoresis using pre-cast 4-12% Bis-Tris
NuPAGE gels in NuPAGE MOPS SDS running buffer (Invitrogen). After separation, proteins
were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and immunoblotted for endogenous HDAC7
using an anti-HDAC7 antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, 1/1000) followed by an HRP-
conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Cell Signaling Technology, 0.026 µg/mL) and Tubulin as a
loading control using a fluorescent Rhodamine anti-Tubulin antibody (Bio-Rad, 1/5000).
559
Quantification of hepatic *HDAC7* mRNA levels in human CLD
Hepatic *HDAC7* mRNA levels were quantified in a cohort of 69 people with CLD of mixed
aetiologies. Diagnosis, disease scoring and sample stratification has previously been
described[51]. *HDAC7* mRNA levels in people with early (stages 0-2) and advanced (stages 3-4)
CLD were quantified by RNA sequencing, as previously described[51]. In brief, sequencing reads
were mapped to the human hg19 genome using STAR aligner[72] and CPM values of transcript
reads were obtained using the edgeR package[73]. Genes were filtered with a CPM threshold of
over 10 in at least 20 samples and subjected to normalisation using the Trimmed Mean of M-
values method using edgeR[74]. Differential gene expression was then analysed by likelihood-
ratio test, with multiple testing performed using Benjamini-Hochberg corrections[75]. FDR
values of less than 0.05 were considered significantly different.
571
572 **Spatial transcriptomics analyses**
573 Liver tissues were harvested from two male MacBlue and two male MacHDAC7 mice and
574 immediately fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h at 4°C. Fixed tissues were processed,
575 embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at 5 µm thickness. Sections were mounted onto Xenium
576 V1 slides (10x Genomics) and processed according to the manufacturer's protocol for Xenium

Prime In Situ Gene Expression with Cell Segmentation Staining (10x Genomics, CG000760 RevC). Briefly, slides underwent deparaffinization and decrosslinking, followed by priming hybridization, RNase treatment and polishing. The Xenium 5K Ms PTP Panel Probes (10x Genomics, Cat#2001227) and an add-on custom probe panel targeting 50 genes, including key macrophage, inflammatory, metabolic, and fibrosis-associated transcripts, was hybridised to the tissue sections overnight. Following probe ligation, rolling circle amplification and cell segmentation staining steps, slides were loaded onto Xenium Analyzer for signal detection and image acquisition. Raw image data were processed using the Xenium Onboard Analysis pipeline, and decoded transcripts were mapped back to the tissue at the single cell level. Data were analysed using Seurat workflow (Seurat version 5.1.0), including quality control filtering, and gene expression quantification in R (version 4.4.0). EdgeR (version 4.4.0) was used to perform differential gene expression analysis on MacBlue versus MacHDAC7 hepatic cells that were positive for both *eCFP* and *Hdac7* mRNAs and the results were visualised on a heatmap. Genes overlapping with previously published CLD-associated signatures were further examined for spatial co-localisation with bivariate Moran's Index (from esda package version 2.2.1) using custom python scripts and were visualised with spatial feature plots.

Statistical analyses

Data from *in vivo* experiments are combined from one or two independent trials with each individual mouse representing one independent data point. For cell culture experiments, means of experimental replicates were determined, with data from independent mice or experiments being combined for statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using Prism 9 or 10 (GraphPad). Comparisons between two groups were performed using a two-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test. For datasets involving at least three variables, linear regression, ordinary or repeated measures two-way ANOVA tests were performed followed by multiple comparisons, as indicated in individual figure legends. Differences with confidence value of 95% and above ($p < 0.05$) were considered statistically significant.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (NHMRC) Ideas grant (1184885 to MJS and DR), an NHMRC Investigator grant to MJS (1194406), and an Australian Infectious Disease Research Centre seed grant to CRE, MJS, JAE and DR. DK was supported by an Institute for Molecular Bioscience (IMB) Fellowship and an NHMRC Ideas grant (2012772). DPF was supported by an NHMRC Investigator grant (2009551) and an ARC Centre of Excellence grant (CE200100012). SSB was supported by a Swiss National Science Foundation Postdoc Mobility Fellowship (P2BEP3_191800) and a Novartis Foundation for Medical-Biological Research Fellowship (21C133). KMI was supported by an NHMRC Ideas grant (2012793).

Author contributions

Conceptualisation, Y.W., M.A.S., M.J.S., D.K.; Methodology, G.C.M., S.K., K.S.G., Z.L., S.S.B., K.M.I; Investigation, Y.W., D.R., K.D.G., G.C.M., P.P., Z.X., Y.W., E.N.T., J.E.B.C., R.A., D.K.; Resources, G.C.M., C.R.E, J.A.E., A.D.C., E.E.P., Q.N.; Writing- original draft, Y.W., M.J.S., D.K.; Writing- Review/Writing, Y.W., G.C.M., P.P., S.S.B., D.P.F., K.M.I., M.A.S., M.J.S., D.K.; Supervision, K.S., D.P.F., A.D.C., K.M.I., M.A.S., Q.N., M.J.S., D.K.; Funding acquisition, D.R., C.R.E, J.A.E., M.J.S.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors claim no conflict of interest.

References

References

1.   Huang DQ, Terrault NA, Tacke F, Gluud LL, Arrese M, Bugianesi E, et al. Global
epidemiology of cirrhosis - aetiology, trends and predictions. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.
2023;20(6):388-98.

2.   Moon AM, Singal AG, Tapper EB. Contemporary Epidemiology of Chronic Liver
Disease and Cirrhosis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2020;18(12):2650-66.

3.   Mitra S, De A, Chowdhury A. Epidemiology of non-alcoholic and alcoholic fatty liver
diseases. Transl Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2020;5:16.

4.   Keam SJ. Resmetirom: First Approval. Drugs. 2024;84(6):729-35.

5.   Harrison SA, Loomba R, Dubourg J, Ratziu V, Noureddin M. Clinical Trial Landscape
in NASH. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2023;21(8):2001-14.

6.   Loomba R, Hartman ML, Lawitz EJ, Vuppalanchi R, Boursier J, Bugianesi E, et al.
Tirzepatide for Metabolic Dysfunction-Associated Steatohepatitis with Liver Fibrosis. N Engl
J Med. 2024;391(4):299-310.

7.   Sanyal AJ, Ratziu V, Loomba R, Anstee QM, Kowdley KV, Rinella ME, et al. Results
from a new efficacy and safety analysis of the REGENERATE trial of obeticholic acid for
treatment of pre-cirrhotic fibrosis due to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. J Hepatol.
2023;79(5):1110-20.

8.   Mosser DM, Edwards JP. Exploring the full spectrum of macrophage activation. Nat
Rev Immunol. 2008;8(12):958-69.

9.   Davies LC, Jenkins SJ, Allen JE, Taylor PR. Tissue-resident macrophages. Nat
Immunol. 2013;14(10):986-95.

10.   Nguyen-Lefebvre AT, Horuzsko A. Kupffer Cell Metabolism and Function. J Enzymol
Metab. 2015;1(1).

11.   Dixon LJ, Barnes M, Tang H, Pritchard MT, Nagy LE. Kupffer cells in the liver. Compr
Physiol. 2013;3(2):785-97.

12.   Tosello-Trampont AC, Landes SG, Nguyen V, Novobrantseva TI, Hahn YS. Kuppfer
cells trigger nonalcoholic steatohepatitis development in diet-induced mouse model through
tumor necrosis factor-alpha production. J Biol Chem. 2012;287(48):40161-72.

13.   Murray PJ, Wynn TA. Protective and pathogenic functions of macrophage subsets. Nat
Rev Immunol. 2011;11(11):723-37.

14.   Ramachandran P, Pellicoro A, Vernon MA, Boulter L, Aucott RL, Ali A, et al.
Differential Ly-6C expression identifies the recruited macrophage phenotype, which

orchestrates the regression of murine liver fibrosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2012;109(46):E3186-95.

15. Obstfeld AE, Sugaru E, Thearle M, Francisco AM, Gayet C, Ginsberg HN, et al. C-C
chemokine receptor 2 (CCR2) regulates the hepatic recruitment of myeloid cells that promote
obesity-induced hepatic steatosis. Diabetes. 2010;59(4):916-25.

16. Rivera CA, Adegboyega P, van Rooijen N, Tagalicud A, Allman M, Wallace M. Toll-
like receptor-4 signaling and Kupffer cells play pivotal roles in the pathogenesis of non-
alcoholic steatohepatitis. J Hepatol. 2007;47(4):571-9.

17. Braunersreuther V, Viviani GL, Mach F, Montecucco F. Role of cytokines and
chemokines in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. World J Gastroenterol. 2012;18(8):727-35.

18. Rull A, Rodriguez F, Aragones G, Marsillach J, Beltran R, Alonso-Villaverde C, et al.
Hepatic monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 is upregulated by dietary cholesterol and
contributes to liver steatosis. Cytokine. 2009;48(3):273-9.

19. Haukeland JW, Damas JK, Konopski Z, Loberg EM, Haaland T, Goverud I, et al.
Systemic inflammation in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is characterized by elevated levels
of CCL2. J Hepatol. 2006;44(6):1167-74.

20. Wree A, McGeough MD, Pena CA, Schlattjan M, Li H, Inzaugarat ME, et al. NLRP3
inflammasome activation is required for fibrosis development in NAFLD. J Mol Med (Berl).
2014;92(10):1069-82.

21. Negrin KA, Roth Flach RJ, DiStefano MT, Matevossian A, Friedline RH, Jung D, et
al. IL-1 signaling in obesity-induced hepatic lipogenesis and steatosis. PLoS One.
2014;9(9):e107265.

22. Kamari Y, Shaish A, Vax E, Shemesh S, Kandel-Kfir M, Arbel Y, et al. Lack of
interleukin-1alpha or interleukin-1beta inhibits transformation of steatosis to steatohepatitis
and liver fibrosis in hypercholesterolemic mice. J Hepatol. 2011;55(5):1086-94.

23. Tandon P, Abrams ND, Carrick DM, Chander P, Dwyer J, Fuldner R, et al. Metabolic
Regulation of Inflammation and Its Resolution: Current Status, Clinical Needs, Challenges,
and Opportunities. J Immunol. 2021;207(11):2625-30.

24. O'Neill LA, Kishton RJ, Rathmell J. A guide to immunometabolism for immunologists.
Nat Rev Immunol. 2016;16(9):553-65.

25. Lauterbach MA, Hanke JE, Serefidou M, Mangan MSJ, Kolbe CC, Hess T, et al. Toll-
like Receptor Signaling Rewires Macrophage Metabolism and Promotes Histone Acetylation
via ATP-Citrate Lyase. Immunity. 2019;51(6):997-1011 e7.

26.   Langston PK, Nambu A, Jung J, Shibata M, Aksoylar HI, Lei J, et al. Glycerol
phosphate shuttle enzyme GPD2 regulates macrophage inflammatory responses. Nat Immunol.
2019;20(9):1186-95.

27.   Meoli L, Gupta NK, Saeidi N, Panciotti CA, Biddinger SB, Corey KE, et al.
Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and gastric bypass surgery regulate serum and hepatic levels
of pyruvate kinase isoenzyme M2. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2018;315(4):E613-E21.

28.   Xu F, Guo M, Huang W, Feng L, Zhu J, Luo K, et al. Annexin A5 regulates hepatic
macrophage polarization via directly targeting PKM2 and ameliorates NASH. Redox Biol.
2020;36:101634.

29.   Kong Q, Li N, Cheng H, Zhang X, Cao X, Qi T, et al. HSPA12A Is a Novel Player in
Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis via Promoting Nuclear PKM2-Mediated M1 Macrophage
Polarization. Diabetes. 2019;68(2):361-76.

30.   Das Gupta K, Shakespear MR, Curson JEB, Murthy AMV, Iyer A, Hodson MP, et al.
Class IIa Histone Deacetylases Drive Toll-like Receptor-Inducible Glycolysis and Macrophage
Inflammatory Responses via Pyruvate Kinase M2. Cell Rep. 2020;30(8):2712-28 e8.

31.   Park SY, Kim JS. A short guide to histone deacetylases including recent progress on
class II enzymes. Exp Mol Med. 2020;52(2):204-12.

32.   Narita T, Weinert BT, Choudhary C. Functions and mechanisms of non-histone protein
acetylation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2019;20(3):156-74.

33.   Friedmann DR, Marmorstein R. Structure and mechanism of non-histone protein
acetyltransferase enzymes. FEBS J. 2013;280(22):5570-81.

34.   Martin M, Kettmann R, Dequiedt F. Class IIa histone deacetylases: regulating the
regulators. Oncogene. 2007;26(37):5450-67.

35.   Das Gupta K, Shakespear MR, Iyer A, Fairlie DP, Sweet MJ. Histone deacetylases in
monocyte/macrophage development, activation and metabolism: refining HDAC targets for
inflammatory and infectious diseases. Clin Transl Immunology. 2016;5(1):e62.

36.   Shakespear MR, Halili MA, Irvine KM, Fairlie DP, Sweet MJ. Histone deacetylases as
regulators of inflammation and immunity. Trends Immunol. 2011;32(7):335-43.

37.   Wang Y, Abrol R, Mak JYW, Das Gupta K, Ramnath D, Karunakaran D, et al. Histone
deacetylase 7: a signalling hub controlling development, inflammation, metabolism and disease.
FEBS J. 2023;290(11):2805-32.

38.   Kodikara IKM, Pflum MKH. Scaffolding Activities of Pseudodeacetylase HDAC7.
ACS Chem Biol. 2025;20(2):248-58.

39. Ramnath D, Das Gupta K, Wang Y, Abrol R, Curson JEB, Lim J, et al. The histone deacetylase Hdac7 supports LPS-inducible glycolysis and Il-1beta production in murine macrophages via distinct mechanisms. J Leukoc Biol. 2022;111(2):327-36.

40. Das Gupta K, Ramnath D, von Pein JB, Curson JEB, Wang Y, Abrol R, et al. HDAC7 is an immunometabolic switch triaging danger signals for engagement of antimicrobial versus inflammatory responses in macrophages. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2023;120(4):e2212813120.

41. Shakespear MR, Hohenhaus DM, Kelly GM, Kamal NA, Gupta P, Labzin LI, et al. Histone deacetylase 7 promotes Toll-like receptor 4-dependent proinflammatory gene expression in macrophages. J Biol Chem. 2013;288(35):25362-74.

42. Ovchinnikov DA, van Zuylen WJ, DeBats CE, Alexander KA, Kellie S, Hume DA. Expression of Gal4-dependent transgenes in cells of the mononuclear phagocyte system labeled with enhanced cyan fluorescent protein using Csf1r-Gal4VP16/UAS-ECFP double-transgenic mice. J Leukoc Biol. 2008;83(2):430-3.

43. Baeck C, Wehr A, Karlmark KR, Heymann F, Vucur M, Gassler N, et al. Pharmacological inhibition of the chemokine CCL2 (MCP-1) diminishes liver macrophage infiltration and steatohepatitis in chronic hepatic injury. Gut. 2012;61(3):416-26.

44. Parker R, Weston CJ, Miao Z, Corbett C, Armstrong MJ, Ertl L, et al. CC chemokine receptor 2 promotes recruitment of myeloid cells associated with insulin resistance in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2018;314(4):G483-G93.

45. Kitade H, Chen G, Ni Y, Ota T. Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease and Insulin Resistance: New Insights and Potential New Treatments. Nutrients. 2017;9(4).

46. Sharabi K, Tavares CD, Rines AK, Puigserver P. Molecular pathophysiology of hepatic glucose production. Mol Aspects Med. 2015;46:21-33.

47. Hatting M, Tavares CDJ, Sharabi K, Rines AK, Puigserver P. Insulin regulation of gluconeogenesis. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2018;1411(1):21-35.

48. Rull A, Escola-Gil JC, Julve J, Rotllan N, Calpe-Berdiel L, Coll B, et al. Deficiency in monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 modifies lipid and glucose metabolism. Exp Mol Pathol. 2007;83(3):361-6.

49. Kanda H, Tateya S, Tamori Y, Kotani K, Hiasa K, Kitazawa R, et al. MCP-1 contributes to macrophage infiltration into adipose tissue, insulin resistance, and hepatic steatosis in obesity. J Clin Invest. 2006;116(6):1494-505.

50. Petersen MC, Vatner DF, Shulman GI. Regulation of hepatic glucose metabolism in health and disease. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2017;13(10):572-87.

51.   Ramnath D, Irvine KM, Lukowski SW, Horsfall LU, Loh Z, Clouston AD, et al.
Hepatic expression profiling identifies steatosis-independent and steatosis-driven advanced
fibrosis genes. JCI Insight. 2018;3(14).

52.   Gwag T, Reddy Mooli RG, Li D, Lee S, Lee EY, Wang S. Macrophage-derived
thrombospondin 1 promotes obesity-associated non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. JHEP Rep.
2021;3(1):100193.

53.   Hamada T, Fondevila C, Busuttil RW, Coito AJ. Metalloproteinase-9 deficiency
protects against hepatic ischemia/reperfusion injury. Hepatology. 2008;47(1):186-98.

54.   Kim YS, Nam HJ, Han CY, Joo MS, Jang K, Jun DW, et al. Liver X Receptor Alpha
Activation Inhibits Autophagy and Lipophagy in Hepatocytes by Dysregulating Autophagy-
Related 4B Cysteine Peptidase and Rab-8B, Reducing Mitochondrial Fuel Oxidation.
Hepatology. 2021;73(4):1307-26.

55.   Han HS, Kang G, Kim JS, Choi BH, Koo SH. Regulation of glucose metabolism from
a liver-centric perspective. Exp Mol Med. 2016;48(3):e218.

56.   Agius L. Role of glycogen phosphorylase in liver glycogen metabolism. Mol Aspects
Med. 2015;46:34-45.

57.   Zhang T, Wang S, Lin Y, Xu W, Ye D, Xiong Y, et al. Acetylation negatively regulates
glycogen phosphorylase by recruiting protein phosphatase 1. Cell Metab. 2012;15(1):75-87.

58.   Ma J, Wei K, Liu J, Tang K, Zhang H, Zhu L, et al. Glycogen metabolism regulates
macrophage-mediated acute inflammatory responses. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):1769.

59.   Mihaylova MM, Vasquez DS, Ravnskjaer K, Denechaud PD, Yu RT, Alvarez JG, et
al. Class IIa histone deacetylases are hormone-activated regulators of FOXO and mammalian
glucose homeostasis. Cell. 2011;145(4):607-21.

60.   Wang B, Moya N, Niessen S, Hoover H, Mihaylova MM, Shaw RJ, et al. A hormone-
dependent module regulating energy balance. Cell. 2011;145(4):596-606.

61.   Hume DA. Applications of myeloid-specific promoters in transgenic mice support in
vivo imaging and functional genomics but do not support the concept of distinct macrophage
and dendritic cell lineages or roles in immunity. J Leukoc Biol. 2011;89(4):525-38.

62.   McCubbrey AL, Allison KC, Lee-Sherick AB, Jakubzick CV, Janssen WJ. Promoter
Specificity and Efficacy in Conditional and Inducible Transgenic Targeting of Lung
Macrophages. Front Immunol. 2017;8:1618.

63.   Kadier K, Niu T, Ding B, Chen B, Qi X, Chen D, et al. PROTAC-Mediated HDAC7
Protein Degradation Unveils Its Deacetylase-Independent Proinflammatory Function in
Macrophages. Adv Sci (Weinh). 2024;11(36):e2309459.

64.   Poon EK, Liu L, Wu KC, Lim J, Sweet MJ, Lohman RJ, et al. A novel inhibitor of class
IIa histone deacetylases attenuates collagen-induced arthritis. Br J Pharmacol.
2024;181(23):4804-21.

65.   Guerriero JL, Sotayo A, Ponichtera HE, Castrillon JA, Pourzia AL, Schad S, et al. Class
IIa HDAC inhibition reduces breast tumours and metastases through anti-tumour macrophages.
Nature. 2017;543(7645):428-32.

66.   Freese K, Seitz T, Dietrich P, Lee SML, Thasler WE, Bosserhoff A, et al. Histone
Deacetylase Expressions in Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Functional Effects of Histone
Deacetylase Inhibitors on Liver Cancer Cells In Vitro. Cancers (Basel). 2019;11(10).

67.   Llewellyn BD. An improved Sirius red method for amyloid. J Med Lab Technol.
1970;27(3):308-9.

68.   Kleiner DE, Brunt EM, Van Natta M, Behling C, Contos MJ, Cummings OW, et al.
Design and validation of a histological scoring system for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.
Hepatology. 2005;41(6):1313-21.

69.   Wang Z, Liu Q, Wang L, Gilbert RG, Sullivan MA. The Extraction of Liver Glycogen
Molecules for Glycogen Structure Determination. J Vis Exp. 2022(180).

70.   Suzuki Y, Lanner C, Kim JH, Vilardo PG, Zhang H, Yang J, et al. Insulin control of
glycogen metabolism in knockout mice lacking the muscle-specific protein phosphatase
PP1G/RGL. Mol Cell Biol. 2001;21(8):2683-94.

71.   Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods. 2001;25(4):402-8.

72.   Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast
universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013;29(1):15-21.

73.   Law CW, Chen Y, Shi W, Smyth GK. voom: Precision weights unlock linear model
analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. Genome Biol. 2014;15(2):R29.

74.   Robinson MD, Oshlack A. A scaling normalization method for differential expression
analysis of RNA-seq data. Genome Biol. 2010;11(3):R25.

75.   Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for
differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics.
2010;26(1):139-40.

 Figure Legends:

 Figure 1. Myeloid *Hdac7* overexpression promotes expression of inflammatory genes in
 the liver.
 mRNA levels of *Hdac7* (A), *Ccl2* (B), *Il1b* (C) and *Mmp9* (D) in livers from 9-22 wk old
 MacHDAC7 and control MacBlue mice fed a chow diet. Data (normalised to *Hprt*) represent
 mean ± SEM from n=12 mice (6 female and 6 male) for each group. Statistical significance
 was determined by Student's *t*-test (ns, not significant; * $p < 0.05$).

 Figure 2. Effect of myeloid *Hdac7* overexpression on body weight gain in mice fed a chow
 versus HFHCHS diet.
 8-10 wk old male MacBlue and MacHDAC7 mice were fed a chow diet (chow, n=6 per group)
 or a high fat, high cholesterol and high sucrose diet (HFHCHS, n=14-15 per group) for 24 wk.
 A. Weekly body weight. B. Average weight gain in mice fed a HFHCHS versus chow diet,
 calculated by substracting the average body weight of mice fed the chow diet from that of mice
 fed the HFHCHS. Data shown are mean ± SEM of n=6 (chow) or n=14-15 (HFHCHS) mice
 per group. Statistical analyses were perfomed using linear regression to compare the difference
 in body weight between MacBlue and MacHDAC7 mice fed on their respective diets or
 HFHCHS-induced weight gain (** $p < 0.01$; **** $p < 0.0001$). C. Fat and lean mass measured
 by EchoMRI at wk 23. D-G. Tissue weights of liver, epididymal white adipose tissue (WAT),
 kidney and spleen at wk 24. Data shown are mean ± SEM of n=6 (chow) or n=14 (HFHCHS)
 mice and were analysed using repeated measures (C) or ordinary (D-G) two-way ANOVA
 followed by Sidak's multiple comparison (ns, not significant; * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; **** *p*
 < 0.0001).

 Figure 3. Myeloid *Hdac7* overexpression drives hepatic inflammation but not steatosis.
 Hepatic mRNA levels of genes encoding inflammatory mediators and serum CCL2 levels in
 male MacBlue and MacHDAC7 mice fed a chow or HFHCHS diet. A-B. Liver mRNA levels
 of *Ccl2* (A) and *Il1b* (B) relative to *Hprt* were measured by RT-qPCR. C. CCL2 levels in mouse
 serum were measured by ELISA. D. Representative H&E staining of livers from chow- or
 HFHCHS-fed MacBlue and MacHDAC7 mice. Photos were acquired at 40 x magnification,
 scale bar: 100 μm. Large lipid droplets (black arrows) and small lipid droplets (blue arrows)
 and a focus of inflammation within the lobule (red arrows). E-I. Quantification of lobular
 inflammation (E), lipid droplet counts (F-G), steatosis (H) and NAFLD activity score (I), as
 per the NASH clinical research network scoring system after H&E staining. Data represent
 mean ± SEM of n=6 (chow) or n=14 (HFHCHS) mice for each treatment group and were

analysed using Student's *t*-test (A-B) or two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak's multiple

comparison (C, E-I) (ns, not significant; * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0.0001$).

Figure 4. Myeloid *Hdac7* overexpression increases fasted blood glucose levels, glucose

dysregulation and glycogen metabolism in mice fed a HFHCHS diet.

6 h fasted blood glucose levels of MacBlue and MacHDAC7 mice fed a chow (**A**) or HFHCHS

(**C**) diet. Glucose tolerance test (GTT) was performed at wk 12 (**B** and **D**). Data shown are

mean ± SEM of n=5-6 (chow) or n=15 (HFHCHS) mice for each group. AUC, area under curve

analysis. **E.** Liver glycogen quantification. At termination of the study, mice were fasted for 6

h and glycogen levels were quantified in snap-frozen livers. Data (mean ± SEM) represent n=6

(chow) or n=14 (HFHCHS) mice for each group. **F.** Insulin levels in the circulation. Circulating

insulin levels were measured before diet feeding (wk 0), at wk 4 and at end-point, after mice

were fasted for 6 h. Data are mean ± SEM of n=5-6 (chow) or n=14 (HFHCHS) mice for each

treatment group. Statistical analyses were performed using Student's *t*-test (**A-D, F** wk 0) or

two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak's multiple comparison (**B, D, E-F**) (ns, not significant; *

$p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$).

Figure 5. Myeloid *Hdac7* deficiency reduced fasting blood glucose levels in mice fed a

HFHCHS diet.

8-10 wk old male *Hdac7*^{+/+} and *Hdac7*^{-/-} mice were fed a HFHCHS diet for 36 wk. **A.** Fasted

blood glucose levels were measured at wk 0, 8, 14, 16 and 24. Data are mean ± SEM of n=12

mice for each group and were analysed using Student's *t*-test (ns, not significant; * $p < 0.05$;

**** $p < 0.0001$). Glucose tolerance test (GTT) at wk 14 and wk 32 (**B-C**) and insulin tolerance

test (ITT) at wk 19 and wk 34 (**D-E**). Data shown are mean ± SEM of n=12 mice/ group.

Statistical analyses were performed using repeated measures two-way ANOVA followed by

Sidak's multiple comparison. Area under curve (AUC) of GTTs and ITTs were analysed using

Student's *t*-test (ns, not significant; * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$).

Figure 6. Association of *HDAC7* mRNA expression with signatures of human CLD.

A. mRNA levels of *HDAC7* in liver biopsies from patients with early (stages 0-2) versus

advanced (stages 3-4) of CLD was determined by RNAseq. Differentially expressed genes

were identified using edgeR with TMM normalisation and Benjamini-Hochberg method for

fdr correction. Data indicate mean ± SEM, n = 69 (circles = early stages and triangles =

advanced stages). **B-F.** Spatial transcriptomic profiling of liver tissues from MacBlue and

MacHDAC7 mice was performed using the 10x Genomics Xenium platform. Expression of

eCFP and HDAC7 mRNAs was used to identify hepatic myeloid cells expressing HDAC7

(CFP$^+$/HDAC7$^+$ cells). **B.** A heatmap showing the 53 differentially expressed genes in

CFP$^+$/HDAC7$^+$ macrophages from MacBlue versus MacHDAC7 livers. **C-F.** Spatial co-

expression analysis of *VCAN* (**C**), *THBS1* (**D**), *MMP9* (**E**) and *RAB8B* (**F**) in MacBlue and

MacHDAC7 livers. Data are representative of two independent tissue samples from litter-

matched male MacBlue and MacHDAC7 mice fed a chow diet.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Supplementary Figure S1. Hepatic mRNA expression of inflammatory and fibrotic genes in MacHDAC7 mice.

Hepatic mRNA levels of inflammation marker *Crp* (**A**), and fibrotic markers *Fstl1* (**B**), *Col1a1* (**C**) and *Act2* (**D**) in livers from 9-22 wk old MacHDAC7 and control MacBlue mice fed a chow diet (same cDNA samples as used in Figure 1). Data, expressed relative to levels of *Hprt* mRNA, are mean ± SEM from n=12 mice (6 male and 6 female) for each group. Statistical analyses were performed using Student's *t*-test or (ns, not significant).

Supplementary Figure S2. Effect of myeloid *Hdac7* deletion on body weight in mice fed a chow versus HFHCHS diet.

8-10 wk old male *Hdac7*fl/fl (*Hdac7*+/+) and *Hdac7*fl/fl *LysM*Cre (*Hdac7*-/-) mice were fed a HFHCHS diet for 36 wk. **A.** Body weight was measured weekly. **B.** Fat and lean mass measured by EchoMRI at wk 35. **C.** Total organ weights for liver, spleen, epididymal white adipose tissue (WAT) and kidney were measured at the termination of the study. Data shown are mean ± SEM of 12 mice from each group. Statistical analysis was performed using linear regression (**A**), repeated measures two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak's multiple comparison (**B**) or Student's *t*-test (**C**) (ns, not significant).

Supplementary Figure S3. Myeloid *Hdac7* deletion reduces inflammatory responses in macrophages but does not affect hepatic inflammatory gene expression or serum CCL2 in mice fed a HFHCHS diet.

A. Bone marrow cells from *Hdac7*$^{+/+}$ and *Hdac7*$^{-/-}$ mice on the HFHCHS diet were collected and differentiated in the presence of CSF-1 for 7 days and lysed, after which protein levels of HDAC7 were determined by immunoblotting, with Tubulin as a loading control (n=6 per group). BMMs from a pair of 12 wk old *Hdac7*$^{+/+}$ and *Hdac7*$^{-/-}$ mice on a chow diet were used as a positive control. **B.** Differentiated BMMs from mice of indicated genotypes on a HFHCHS diet were stimulated with LPS (0.5 ng/ml) for 4 h. CCL2 (left) and nigericin-induced IL-1β secretion (right) in culture supernatants were measured by ELISA (left hand side of graphs). BMMs from 12 wk old mice on a chow diet were used as inter-assay control (right hand side of graphs). Relative CCL2 and IL-1β production was normalised to the LPS-inducible production from BMMs of control *Hdac7*$^{+/+}$ mice on the chow diet. Data shown are mean ± SEM of 12 mice for each group and were analysed using repeated measures two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's correction (* $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0.0001$). **C-E.** Quantification of inflammatory mediators in the liver and circulation. mRNA levels of *Ccl2* (**C**) and *Il1b* (**D**) (relative to *Hprt*) were measured from freshly homogenised frozen livers. CCL2 levels in mouse sera was measured by ELISA (**E**). Data shown are mean ± SEM from 12 mice for each group and were analysed using Student's *t*-test (ns, not significant).

A

H&E   Sirius Red

Hdac7^{+/+}

Hdac7^{-/-}

B Liver inflammation

C Lipid droplet

D Steatosis

E NAFLD activity

○ *Hdac7*^{+/+}
□ *Hdac7*^{-/-}

F Fibrosis stage

Fibrosis stage	*Hdac7*^{+/+} (n=12)	*Hdac7*^{-/-} (n=12)
0	7	7
1a		3
1b		1
1c	2	
2	3	1
3		
4		

Supplementary Figure S4. Histological assessment of livers from *Hdac7*^{+/+} and *Hdac7*^{-/-} mice. At termination of the study, mice were fasted for 12 h and euthanised. **A.** Liver pathology was assessed after H&E (left) and Siriud Red staining (right). Arrows indicate microvesicular steatosis (black), macrovesicular steatosis (blue), and a focus of inflammation within the lobule (red), scale bar: 100 μm. **B-F.** Quantification of lobular inflammation (**B**), lipid droplet counts (**C**), steatosis (**D**), NAFLD activity score (NAS, **E**) and fibrosis stage (**F**) as per the NASH clinical research network scoring system after H&E staining. Data shown represent mean ± SEM of 12 mice per group and were analysed using Student's *t*-test (**B**, **D-E**) or two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak's multiple comparison (**C**) (ns, not significant).

Supplementary Table S1. Fibrosis stage of livers from MacBlue and MacHDAC7 mice.

Fibrosis stage	Chow		HFHCHS	
	MacBlue (n=6)	MacHDAC7 (n=6)	MacBlue (n=14)	MacHDAC7 (n=14)
0	6	6	5	9
1a			3	3
1b			1	1
1c				
2			2	
3			3	1
4				

After H&E staining, fibrosis stage of livers from MacBlue and MacHDAC7 mice fed on the HFHCHS diet (from Figure 3) was scored according to the standards of NASH clinical research network scoring system. Numbers of mice at each stages of fibrosis is indicated in individual table cells.

Supplementary Table S2. Primer sequences used in this study.

Gene	Forward (5'-3')	Reverse (5'-3')
Hdac7	CGCAGCCAGTGTGAGTGTCT	AGTGGGTTCGTGCCGTAGAG
Ccl2	CCCACTCACCTGCTGCTACTCA	GCTTCTTTGGGACACCTGCTG
Il1b	GAAGTTGACGGACCCCAAAA	GCCTGCCTGAAGCTCTTGTT
Mmp9	TTGAGTCCGGCAGACAATCC	CCTTATCCACGCGAATGACG
Crp	GGCCAGATGCAAGCATCATC	CTGGAGATAGCACAAAGTCCCAC
Fstl1	CAACCCATCCTTCAACCCTCCT	ATTCTTTCCATCACAGGTCAT
Col1a1	CGTATCACCAAACTCAGAAG	GAAGCAAAGTTTCCTCCAAG
Act2	CATCTTTCATTGGGATGGA	TTAGCATAGAGATCCTTCCTG
Hprt	GTTGGATACAGGCCAGACTTTGTTG	GAGGGTAGGCTGGCCTATAGGCT