1

Structural insights into how metallochaperones UreE and UreG interact to deliver a toxic metal to urease

Chun-Long Chan, Longson Tsz Hin Pang, Tung Choi, Ka-Chun Chan, Ka Lung Tsang, Ka Ming Lee, Kam-Bo Wong*

School of Life Sciences, Centre for Protein Science and Crystallography, State Key Laboratory of Agrobiotechnology, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: kbwong@cuhk.edu.hk

Address: Room 289, Science Centre, School of Life Science, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong, China

Author contribution: K.B.W., K.L.T. designed research; C.L.C., L.T.H.P., T.C., K.C.C., K.L.T., K.M.L., K.B.W. performed research; C.L.C., T.C., K.C.C., K.B.W. analyzed data; C.L.C. and K.B.W. wrote the paper.

Abstract

Maturation of urease, a virulence factor for *Helicobacter pylori* infection, requires the

delivery of nickel ions to the metalloenzyme. To avoid cytotoxicity, nickel ions are

transferred within protein complexes of metallochaperones to ensure that the toxic metal do

not escape into the cytoplasm. In the urease maturation pathway, metallochaperone UreG

receives its nickel ions by forming a 2:2 complex with another metallochaperone UreE. Using

C-terminal truncation variants of UreE [UreE(1-158) and UreE(1-148)], we determined the

crystal structures of the $UreE_2G_2$ complex bound with nickel ions and GMPPNP, a non-

hydrolysable GTP analogue. UreE-UreG interactions are asymmetric, where the UreG dimer

mainly interacts with the proximal UreE. GTP binding induces conformational changes in the

G2 and CPH motifs of distal UreG that enable its anchorage to the $UreE_2G_2$ complex. His68

of distal UreG moves towards and chelates a nickel ion at the UreE binding site. Formation of

the $UreE_2G_2$ complex juxtaposes the nickel binding sites of UreE and UreG. Nickel transfer

from UreE to UreG induces conformational changes that weaken UreE-UreG interactions,

thereby facilitating UreG dissociation. We further demonstrated that the hydrogenase

maturation factor HypA, providing the nickel source, could activate urease in vitro through

protein-protein interaction with wild-type UreE but not with its truncation variants. UreG

binding induces conformational changes in the C-terminal tail of UreE, promoting

dissociation of HypA-UreE complex. Our work presents a paradigm on how GTP and nicking

binding allosterically regulate the formation of a metallochaperone complex to facilitate

nickel transfer.

Significance

Our work provides insights into how cells solve the problem of trafficking a toxic metal,

nickel, to the active site of urease. Colonization of *Helicobacter pylori* in acidic human

stomach requires the biosynthesis of active urease, which involves the delivery of the toxic

nickel ions to the active site of the metalloenzyme. To avoid cytotoxicity, nickel ions are

transported from one metallochaperone to another via the formation of protein complexes so

that the toxic metal ions do not escape into the cytoplasm. Supported by structural and

biochemical evidence, we present a paradigm on how GTP and nickel binding allosterically

promote the formation of a complex between metallochaperones UreE and UreG to facilitate

nickel transfer between the two proteins.

Introduction

Helicobacter pylori infects half of the human population and is associated with an increased risk of peptic ulcers and gastric cancer (1). *H. pylori* is the only pathogen that can colonize the acidic human stomach because it produces large amount of urease, which hydrolyze urea into ammonia that helps the bacterium to maintain an intracellular neutral pH (2). The urease is a nickel (Ni) enzyme containing two Ni(II) ions in the active site (3, 4). The apo-urease is inactive, and biosynthesis of active urease requires a maturation process that deliver the nickel ions to the active site of urease (5).

Nickel ion is cytotoxic as it can displace weaker ions such as magnesium ions in the active site of essential enzymes like GTPases (6). As a result, the metal ion is tightly regulated so that there are essentially no free nickel ions in the bacterial cells (7, 8). The urease maturation pathway represents a paradigm on how cells solve the problem of delivering a toxic metal ion from one protein to another. To avoid cytotoxicity, cells have evolved metallochaperones, or metal carrier proteins, to transport Ni(II) ions (5). There are four urease accessory proteins that are involved in the nickel delivery to the urease: UreD (formerly called UreH), UreE, UreF and UreG (Fig. 1A). UreE is a homodimer that can bind a Ni(II) ion at the dimeric interface with a conserved GNRH motif (9-11). UreG is a SIMIBI (Signal recognition particle, MinD and BioD) GTPase that can undergo Ni/GTP-dependent dimerization that chelates a Ni(II) ion in a square-planar geometry with a conserved CPH motif at the dimeric interface (12, 13). UreF and UreD forms a UreFD complex that interacts GDP-bound UreG to form a 2:2:2 heterotrimeric UreGFD complex (12, 14). In the presence of GTP, UreG dissociates from the UreGFD complex and forms a $UreE_2G_2$ complex with UreE (13, 15) (Fig. 1A). After receiving its nickel ions from the $UreE_2G_2$ complex, UreG then forms an activation complex with UreFD and apo-urease. Upon GTP hydrolysis, the nickel

ion is released from UreG, pass through a protein tunnel within the activation complex, and

reach the active site of urease (16) (Fig. 1A).

To avoid the toxic nickel ion escaping into the cytoplasm, nickel ions are delivered

within protein complexes. The specificity of nickel transfer is determined by protein-protein

interactions – nickel ions are delivered from UreE to UreG and then to urease via the

formation of the $UreE_2G_2$ complex and the activation complex (Fig. 1A). Our previous

structural studies of protein complexes along the urease maturation pathway – UreFD (14),

UreGFD (12), Ni-bound UreG dimer (13) and UreFD/apo-urease complexes (16) – have

provided insights into how nickel ions are transferred from UreG to urease via the formation

of the activation complex. To shuttle the nickel ions from one protein complex to another,

UreG must switch protein binding partners from the UreGFD complex to form the $UreE_2G_2$

complex and then dissociates from the complex after nickel transfer.

The molecular mechanism on how UreE and UreG interact to facilitate urease maturation

is not fully understood. Here, we fill the knowledge gap by solving the crystal structures of

the $UreE_2G_2$ complex bound with Ni(II) and guanosine 5'-(β,γ-imido) triphosphate

(GMPPNP), a non-hydrolysable analogue of GTP. The structures reveal GTP-dependent

conformational changes that explain why UreG, in its GTP bound state, prefers to form a 2:2

complex with UreE, and how nickel transfer from UreE to UreG may weaken the UreE-UreG

interaction, thereby promoting UreG dissociation from the $UreE_2G_2$ complex. Complemented

by mutagenesis and biochemical analyses, we further showed that UreG binding induces

conformational changes in the C-terminal tail of UreE, which is important for interacting with

the hydrogenase maturation factor HypA but not for interacting with UreG.

Results

Crystal structure of UreE$_2$G$_2$ in complex with Ni(II) and GMPPNP

H. pylori UreE contains a C-terminal tail that is not conserved in other species (Fig. 1G).

It has been previously shown that truncation of residues 158-170 did not affect the formation

of the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex (15). To determine the crystal structures of the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex, we

created two truncation variants of UreE: UreE(1-158) and UreE(1-148) containing residues 1-

148 and 1-158 residues, respectively. UreE$_2$G$_2$ complexes were obtained by mixing the UreE

variants with *H. pylori* UreG in 1:1 molar ratio in the presence of Ni(II) ions and GMPPNP, a

non-hydrolysable GTP analogue.

The UreE(1-158) complex crystallized in space group P2$_1$ with unit cell dimensions of

70.17 × 166.60 × 154.02 Å, α=γ=90°, β= 90.44° (Table S1). Diffraction data were collected to

3.1 Å resolution and the structure was solved by molecular replacement using the crystal

structure of UreE (11) as the search template combined with single-wavelength anomalous

diffraction (MR-SAD). The UreE(1-158) forms a 2:2 complex with UreG, and four copies of

UreE(1-158)$_2$G$_2$ complexes were found in the asymmetric unit (Fig. 1B). The UreE(1-148)$_2$G$_2$

complex crystallized in space group P2$_1$ with unit cell dimensions of 70.97 × 151.07 × 83.15

Å, α=γ=90°, β= 99.50° (Table S1). The structure of UreE(1-148)$_2$G$_2$ complex was determined

to 2.8 Å resolution by molecular replacement using the structure of UreE(1-158)$_2$UreG$_2$ as the

search template. Two copies of UreE(1-148)$_2$G$_2$ complexes were found in the asymmetric unit

(Fig. 1C).

UreE folds into an N-terminal (NTD) and a C-terminal (CTD) domains (Fig. 1D and 1G).

The CTD is responsible for dimerization of UreE (Fig. 1E). UreG adopts a SIMIBI-GTPase

fold with a seven-stranded β-sheet and ten α-helices (Fig. 1D). The conserved G1-G5 motifs

involved in nucleotide binding and GTP-dependent conformational changes are identified in

Fig. 1D and 1H.

UreE forms an asymmetric complex with UreG

In the crystal structure, UreE forms an asymmetric 2:2 complex with UreG (Fig. 1E).

UreG forms a dimer that docks to the proximal UreE in a such way that the long axes of UreE

and UreG dimers are oriented at an angle of ~45° (Fig. 2A). The structures of UreE and UreG

protomers in the $UreE(1\text{-}148)_2G_2$ complex are superimposable to those in the $UreE(1\text{-}158)_2G_2$

complex (Fig. S1). In addition, the structures of both UreE protomers are similar, except that

the C-terminal residues 148-155 are structured in the proximal UreE but are disordered in the

distal UreE (Fig. S1A). Structures of distal UreG only differ from those of proximal UreG in

the CPH metal-binding motif (Cys66-Pro67-His68) (Fig. S1B), in which His68 of distal UreG

move towards UreE and, together with His102 of UreE, chelates a nickel ion at the

UreE/UreG interface (Fig. 1F and S2A). One GMPPNP is bound to each of the proximal and

distal UreG and the magnesium ion binding sites of UreG are occupied by the excess nickel

ions used in the crystallization (Fig. S2B).

The interactions between UreE and UreG are asymmetric, with residues of proximal

UreE making most of the interactions with UreG (Fig. 2B). Proximal UreE forms a number of

hydrogen bonds and salt bridges with proximal and distal UreG (Fig. S3). His102 is the only

residue from distal UreE involved in UreE-UreG interactions. This residue, together with

His102 of proximal UreE and His68 of distal UreG, chelates a nickel ion at the UreE-UreG

interface (Fig. 1F). In addition to polar interactions, Leu197 of proximal UreG docks to a

hydrophobic pocket form by Ile2, Ile34, Arg36, Ala46, Arg48 (Fig. S4).

GTP-dependent conformational changes promote binding of distal UreG to the $UreE_2G_2$

complex

We have previously shown that UreG prefers to form a UreGFD complex in its GDP-

bound state, but switches to form a $UreE_2G_2$ complex in the presence of GTP (13). To

understand the GTP-dependent conformational changes that promote the formation of the

$UreE_2G_2$ complex, we compare structures of proximal and distal UreG to that of the GDP-

bound UreG in the UreGFD complex (12) (Fig. 3A). Binding of GMPPNP induces

conformational changes in the G2 motif and helix-2 of both proximal and distal UreG.

Additional conformational changes are found in the CPH motif in distal UreG (Fig. 3A).

GTP-dependent conformational changes are initiated by charge repulsion between the γ-

phosphate of GMPPNP and Asp37 of the G2 motif (Fig. 3B). As a result, Asp37 is pushed

away from the nucleotide binding site, causing structural rearrangement in the G2 motif and

helix-2. In distal UreG, Tyr39 and Gly65 moves towards and form hydrogen bonds with

Glu119 of proximal UreE (Fig. 3B). Nickel binding promotes additional conformational

changes in the CPH motif of distal UreG – His68 and Asp74 move towards UreE where they

interact with the bound nickel ion and Arg101 of proximal UreE, respectively (Fig. 3B). In

addition, conformational changes in the G2 motif causes helix-2 to tilt towards the nucleotide

binding site, bringing Glu42 and Asp43 of UreG to form inter-subunit salt-bridges with

Arg130 and Lys131 of the opposite UreG protomer (Fig. 3C).

We noticed that the G2 motif of proximal UreG is far away from the UreE-UreG

interface (Fig. S5). Since the GTP-dependent conformational changes are localized in the G2

motif of proximal UreG (Fig. 3A), we argue that the proximal UreG in its GDP-bound state

should be able to bind UreE, justifying the observation that UreE forms a 2:1 $UreE_2G$

complex with UreG in the presence of GDP (15). On the other hand, GTP-dependent

conformational changes cause distal UreG to form extra interactions with UreE (Fig. 3B) and

with proximal UreG (Fig. 3C), explaining why GTP binding promotes the anchorage of distal

UreG to form the $UreE_2G_2$ complex.

Formation of UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex induces conformational changes in the NTD and C-terminal tail of proximal UreE

The structures of proximal and distal UreE in the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex were compared to

the crystal structure of the Ni-bound UreE dimer (11). Structural superimposition reveals

conformational changes in the NTD and C-terminal tail of proximal UreE (Fig. 4A). As

shown earlier, Leu197 of proximal UreG forms hydrophobic contacts with a pocket composed

of Ile2, Ile34, Arg36, Ala46 and Arg48 on proximal UreE (Fig. S4). Upon formation of the

UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex, the NTD of proximal UreE undergoes a domain movement that positions

these residues to interact with Leu197 of proximal UreG (Fig. 4B).

On the other hand, in the structure of Ni-bound UreE dimer, His152, together with

His102 from both protomers, binds a nickel ion at the dimeric interface (11). Binding of UreG

in the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex causes the C-terminal tail (149-155) of proximal UreE to swing

outward such that His152 forms a hydrogen bond with Asp74 of proximal UreG (Fig. 4C).

To further assess the role of the C-terminal residues of UreE in nickel delivery, we have

prepared Ni-bound UreE or its truncation variants and test if they can activate urease in the

presence of UreGFD and a reaction buffer containing GTP and KHCO$_3$ (Fig. 4D). We also

included apo-forms of UreE as negative controls. Our results show that Ni-bound wild-type

UreE, UreE(1-158) and UreE(1-148), but not their apo-forms, can activate urease in vitro.

There was no significant difference between urease activated by wild-type UreE and UreE(1-

158), which is consistent with the observations that residues 156-158 of UreE, which are

disordered in the crystal structure of the UreE(1-158)$_2$G$_2$ complex, are not involved in binding

UreG (Fig. S6). On the other hand, urease activated by UreE(1-148) exhibited a lower activity,

suggesting that further removal of residue 149-158 would remove the interaction between

His152 of proximal UreE and Asp74 of proximal UreG, and thereby weaken UreE-UreG

interactions.

Interaction between HypA and the C-terminal tail of UreE facilitates nickel transfer

between the hydrogenase and urease maturation pathway.

How UreE receives its nickel ions from upstream sources is not fully understood. In

Helicobacter species, which contain both the [NiFe]-hydrogenase and urease, there are

accumulating evidence suggesting that UreE can receive its nickel ions by cross-talking with

the hydrogenase maturation pathway (5). Nickel delivery to the [NiFe]-hydrogenase is

facilitated by the hydrogenase maturation factors HypA and HypB. UreE can form a 2:1

complex with HypA (15, 17, 18).

To investigate whether UreE can receive nickel ions by protein-protein interaction with

HypA, we performed an in vitro urease activation assay using a two-chamber dialyzer. To

simplify protein purification, we attached a Strep-tag II sequence (WSHPQFEK) to the C-

terminus of HypA (HypA$_{str}$). We show that urease was activated only when Ni-bound HypA$_{str}$

was mixed with UreE, UreGFD and apo-urease in the same chamber of the dialyzers (Fig. 5A,

reaction 1), but not when Ni-bound HypA$_{str}$ was separated from the rest of the protein

components by a dialysis membrane (Fig. 5A, reaction 2). These observations suggest that Ni-

bound HypA$_{str}$ can provide the nickel source for urease activation via protein-protein

interaction, which is prevented by the dialysis membrane in the reaction 2 of Fig. 5A. Urease

activation was greatly reduced when UreE was placed in the left chamber and was separated

from the rest of the protein components (Fig. 5A, reaction 3), suggesting that HypA cannot

pass its nickel ions to protein components other than UreE. Taken together, our results

suggest that UreE receives its nickel ions from the hydrogenase maturation pathway by

interacting with HypA.

To further examine the interaction between HypA and UreE, we performed pull-down

assay by mixing UreE or its truncation variants with Ni-bound HypA$_{str}$ and loaded the mixture

to Strep-Tactin resins (Fig. 5B). We showed that wild-type UreE was co-eluted with HypA$_{str}$,

suggesting UreE can interact with HypA. In contrast, the interaction between the truncation

variants of UreE and HypA$_{str}$ was greatly reduced (Fig. 5B). These results demonstrate that

the C-terminal residues 159-170 of UreE is essential for its interaction with HypA.

To access whether the C-terminal residues of UreE is essential for nickel transfer from

HypA, we performed an in vitro urease activation assay, where Ni-bound HypA$_{str}$, providing

the sole nickel source for urease activation, was added to UreE or its truncation variants,

UreGFD and apo-urease. Apo-HypA$_{str}$ was also added as negative controls. Urease activation

was observed only when wild-type UreE with an intact C-terminal tail was present (Fig. 5C).

Taken together, our results suggest that truncation variants of UreE, lacking the essential C-

terminal residues required for interacting with HypA, failed to receive nickel ions from HypA

for urease activation (Fig. 5C).

Discussion

To deliver the toxic Ni(II) ion to the urease, cells have evolved metallochaperones to transport the metal ions from one protein to another. To fulfill their roles in nickel trafficking, metallochaperones must form specific protein complexes like the $UreE_2G_2$ complex to facilitate metal transfer within the complex. They also need to develop a mechanism to dissociate from the complex and switch protein binding partners so that they can shuttle the metal ions to the next protein target along the urease maturation pathway.

The crystal structures of the $UreE_2G_2$ complex reported here show that GTP-dependent conformational changes promote the formation of the $UreE_2G_2$ complex. UreE and UreG form an asymmetric 2:2 complex, in which the proximal UreE makes most of the interactions with the UreG dimer (Fig. 2). GTP binding induces conformational changes in the G2 motif of both proximal and distal UreG that promote the dimerization of UreG (Fig. 3A & 3C). The structural rearrangement in the G2 motif also causes Tyr39 to flip outward and make steric clashes with UreF in the UreGFD complex (Fig. S7) (13), justifying the observation that GTP binding promotes dissociation of UreG from the UreGFD complex (12, 13).

In distal UreG, the conformational changes bring Tyr39 to form a hydrogen bond with Glu119 of proximal UreE (Fig. 3B). In addition, His68 of distal UreG moves towards the nickel binding site of UreE, chelates a nickel ion with His102 of UreE and induces additional conformational changes in the region near the CPH motif of distal UreG (Fig. 3B). As a result, Gly65 and Asp74 of distal UreG form hydrogen bonds with Glu119 and Arg101 of proximal UreE (Fig. 3B). Taken together, GTP binding induces conformational changes in both G2 and CPH motifs, promoting additional interactions between distal UreG and the UreE dimer and the formation of the $UreE_2G_2$ complex (Fig. 3). The role of GTP-dependent conformational changes is also supported by a previous observation that the D37A/E42A variant of UreG, which is defective in GTP-dependent dimerization, failed to switch from the UreGFD

complex to form the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex (13).

Formation of the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex facilitates nickel transfer by juxtaposition of the

nickel binding sites of UreE and UreG (Fig. 6A). We have shown previously that protein-

protein interaction between UreE and UreG is required for nickel delivery from UreE to

urease (13). Yang and co-workers showed that nickel ions are transferred from UreE to UreG,

but not in the reverse direction (15). UreG forms a dimer when bound to a nickel ion and GTP

(12, 13). The nickel ion is coordinated in a square planar geometry by Cys66 and His68 of the

CPH motif from each of the UreG protomers (13). In the structure of the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex,

the nickel ion, coordinated by His102 of UreE and His68 of distal UreG, is located at the

nickel binding of UreE (Fig. S8). So, the structures reported here represent the structure of the

UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex before the transfer of nickel from UreE to UreG.

To understand the conformational changes in UreG upon transfer of nickel to UreG, we

compared the structure of the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex to that of the Ni/GMPPNP-bound UreG

dimer. Notable differences are found in the CPH motif of distal UreG (Fig. S9) – Cys66 and

His68 of distal UreG move back to the nickel binding site of UreG to coordinate the nickel

ion at the dimeric interface of UreG (Fig. 6A). Tyr39, Gly65 and Asp74 of distal UreG move

away from the UreE-UreG interface, breaking hydrogen bonds with Glu119 and Arg101 of

proximal UreE (Fig. 6A). We argue that these conformational changes induced by nickel

transfer should weaken the UreE-UreG interaction and facilitate dissociation of UreG.

In *Helicobacter* species, UreE can get its upstream nickel ions by cross-talking with the

hydrogenase maturation pathway (5). Pioneer work by Maier's group shows that knocking out

hypA and *hypB* genes greatly reduced the activation of both [NiFe]-hydrogenase and urease in

H. pylori and *H. hepaticus* (19, 20). HypA and UreE can form a HypA-UreE$_2$ complex that

facilitates nickel transfer between the hydrogenase and urease maturation pathways (15, 17,

18). *H. pylori* expressing metal-binding defective mutants of HypA exhibit reduced urease

activation and are sensitive to acidic shock (21, 22). All these observations suggest the role of

HypA in urease activation in *H. pylori*.

Here, we provided direct evidence that nickel ions from HypA can be delivered to the

urease. We showed that urease was activated upon addition of Ni-bound HypA, providing the

sole source of nickel ions, to the protein components (UreE, UreGFD and apo-urease) of the

urease maturation pathway (Fig. 5A, reaction 1). Separating Ni-bound HypA and UreE by a

dialysis membrane in a two-chamber dialyzer greatly reduced urease activation in vitro (Fig.

5A, reactions 2 and 3), suggesting that HypA passes its nickel ion to UreE via protein-protein

interactions. We further showed that while removal of the C-terminal residues (159-170) in

UreE(1-158) did not affect nickel transfer from UreE to UreG (Fig. 4D), the truncations

abolished HypA-UreE interactions (Fig. 5B) and nickel transfer from HypA to UreE (Fig. 5C).

It has been shown that HypA could compete with UreG for binding UreE (23). UreE

prefers to form a complex with HypA in the absence of GTP but prefers to form a complex

with UreG upon addition of GTP (15). Then what causes UreE to switch protein binding

partners? Our work provides structural insights into how GTP-dependent conformational

changes promote UreG binding and dissociation of HypA from the HypA/UreE$_2$ complex. As

discussed, GTP binding induces conformational changes in the G2 and CPH motifs of distal

UreG, thereby promotes the anchorage of distal UreG to the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex (Fig. 3). We

also show that UreG binding induces conformational changes in the residues 149-155 of the

proximal UreE, which move away from the nickel binding of UreE (Fig. 4C). Such a

movement likely promotes HypA dissociation as the C-terminal residues (159-170) are

essential in HypA-UreE interaction (Fig. 5B) (17, 24). In a model by Zambelli and co-

workers, the N-terminal MHE motif of HypA was predicted to coordinate a nickel ion at the

nickel binding site of UreE (24). As the distal UreG in the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex also coordinate a

nickel ion at the same location (Fig. S8), the UreG binding is expected to make steric clashes

with HypA that promote its dissociation.

A summary on how conformational changes in the $UreE_2G_2$ complex facilitate urease

maturation is presented in Figure 6B and Supplemental Movie S1. GTP-dependent

conformational changes promote dimerization of UreG and binding of the UreG dimer to

UreE. UreG binding induces conformational changes in the C-terminal tail of UreE, which is

not essential for UreG binding but essential for HypA interaction, thereby promoting

dissociation of HypA. The UreE-UreG interactions are asymmetric, with proximal UreE

forming most of the interactions with both proximal and distal UreG. GTP-dependent

conformational changes in UreG are also asymmetric – additional conformational changes are

observed in the CPH motif of distal UreG, where His68 moves toward and chelates a nickel

ion at the binding site of UreE. Nickel transfer from the binding site of UreE to UreG induces

conformational changes in distal UreG that weaken the UreE-UreG interactions, and facilitate

dissociation of Ni-bound UreG dimer. After dissociation from the $UreE_2G_2$ complex, the

UreG dimer then delivers its nickel ion to the urease via the formation of an activation

complex with UreFD and apo-urease (16).

Taken together, our work establishes a paradigm on how cells solve the problem of

delivering the nickel ion to urease through complexes of metallochaperones. Nickel ions are

delivered within the $UreE_2G_2$ complex and the activation complex so that the toxic metal ions

do not escape to the cytoplasm. Our work also reveals how GTP and nickel binding

allosterically regulate the formation and dissociation of the complexes so that the

metallochaperone can shuttle the metal ions to the next protein target along the urease

maturation pathway.

Materials and Methods

Protein Expression and Purification

The *H. pylori* apo-urease, UreGFD$_{str}$ complex, and UreG were expressed and purified as described previously (13, 16). To prepare protein samples of *H. pylori* UreE and its truncation variants, the coding sequences of wild-type (residues 1-170) UreE, UreE(1-158) and UreE(1-148) were subcloned into the pET-Duet-1 vector to create pHpUreE, pHpUreE(1-158), pHpUreE(1-148), respectively (Fig. S10). These plasmids were then transformed to *E. coli* Rosetta (DE3) pLysS. Bacterial cultures were grown in Terrific Broth with 100 μg/ml ampicillin and 25 μg/ml chloramphenicol to an OD_{600} of 0.6 and induced with 1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at 25 °C overnight. Four grams of harvested cells were suspended in buffer SP [20 mM HEPES, pH 6.8, 1 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP)] supplemented with 0.1 g of complete ULTRA Tablets protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and lysed by sonication. Following centrifugation (20,000 g, 45 min), the supernatant was loaded onto a 5 mL HiTrap SP HP cation exchange column pre-equilibrated with the buffer SP. After washing with 120 mM NaCl in the buffer SP, UreE were eluted using 330 mM NaCl in buffer SP. To remove any bound metal ions in the UreE sample, 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) was added to the protein samples, which were then loaded to a HiLoad Superdex 75 PG gel filtration chromatography column pre-equilibrated with the assay buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP).

To prepare protein samples of the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex, 150 μM of UreE(1-158) or UreE(1-148) were mixed and 150 μM UreG in the assay buffer supplemented with 2 mM NiSO$_4$, 4 mM MgSO$_4$, and 5 mM 5'-guanylyl imidodiphosphate (GMPPNP), and purified by loading to a HiLoad Superdex 200 PG gel filtration chromatography column pre-equilibrated in the assay buffer.

To prepare protein samples of HypA$_{str}$, the coding sequences of the Strep-tag II

(WSHPQFEK) were added to the C-terminus of *H. pylori* HypA and subcloned into the

pRSETA vector to create pHpHypA$_{str}$ (Fig. S10). The plasmid was transformed into *E. coli*

Rosetta (DE3) pLysS. Bacterial cultures were grown in Terrific Broth with 100 μg/ml

ampicillin and 25 μg/ml chloramphenicol to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.6 and induced with 1 mM IPTG at

25 °C overnight. Three grams of harvested cells were suspended in the Strep-binding buffer

(50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, and 1 mM TCEP) supplemented with 0.1 g of

complete ULTRA Tablets protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and lysed by sonication. After

centrifugation at 20,000 g, 45 min, the supernatant was loaded onto a Strep-Tactin XT 4Flow

affinity column (IBA Lifesciences) pre-equilibrated with the Strep-binding buffer. HypA$_{str}$

were eluted using 50 mM biotin in strep binding buffer.

To prepare protein samples of Ni(II)-bound UreE and Ni(II)-bound HypA$_{str}$, 1 mM

NiSO$_4$ was added to 200 μM samples of UreE, UreE(1-158), UreE(1-148) or HypA$_{str}$, which

were then loaded to a Superdex 75 10/300 gel filtration chromatography column

preequilibrated with the assay buffer to remove the excess NiSO$_4$.

Protein Crystallization and Structure Determination

Two millimolar NiSO$_4$, 4 mM MgSO$_4$, and 5 mM GMPPNP were added to 170 μM

protein samples of UreE(1-158)$_2$G$_2$ or UreE(1-148)$_2$G$_2$ complex. The protein samples and the

reservoir solution (0.2 M sodium malonate dibase monohydrate, 0.1 M bis-tris propane, and

18% PEG3350, pH 6.1) were mixed in a 2:1 volume ratio on a cover slip for hanging drop

vapor diffusion at 16 °C. Crystals were cryoprotected by soaking 10% of glycerol and flash

frozen in liquid nitrogen. For the UreE(1-148)$_2$G$_2$ complex, diffraction data was collected at

1.5418 Å using an in-house X-ray generator (Rigaku FRE+) and a RAXIS IV imaging plate

detector. For the UreE(1-158)$_2$G$_2$ complex, crystal diffraction data was collected at the

absorption peak of nickel at 1.477 Å on the TPS05A beam line of NSRRC. Diffraction data

were indexed and integrated using the program XDS (25) and scaled with AIMLESS in the

CCP4 suite (26). For the UreE(1-158)$_2$G$_2$ complex, phases were determined by MR-SAD

using the crystal structure of Ni-bound UreE (11) (PDB code: 3tj8) as the search template. For

the UreE(1-148)$_2$G$_2$ complex, phases were determined by the molecular replacement method

using the structure of the UreE(1-158)$_2$G$_2$ complex as the search template. Models were built

interactively using the program COOT (27) and refined using the programe

PHENIX.REFINE (28). Figures of protein structures were created using PyMOL

(www.pymol.org).

Pull-down assay

For the pull-down assay in Fig. 5B, 150 μM of UreE or its truncation variants and 150

μM of HypA$_{str}$ were added to 0.1 mL Strep-Tactin XT 4Flow resin pre-equilibrated with the

Strep-binding buffer in a spin column. After washing with 0.4 mL Strep-binding buffer,

proteins were eluted with 50 mM biotin in the Strep-binding buffer, analyzed by SDS-PAGE,

and stained with Coomassie Blue.

In-vitro urease activation assay

We reconstructed the urease maturation pathway in vitro using purified protein samples

(Fig. S11). For the urease activation assay in Fig. 4B, 40 μM of Ni-bound UreE, UreE(1-158)

or UreE(1-148) were added to 10 μM of apo-urease, 40 μM of UreGFD$_{str}$ in the reaction

buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP, 2 mM MgSO$_4$ and 300 μM

GTP). For the urease activation assay in Fig. 5C, 40 μM Ni-bound HypA$_{str}$ was added to 10

μM of urease, 40 μM of UreGFD$_{str}$, 40 μM of UreE, UreE(1-158) or UreE(1-148) in the

reaction buffer. For negative controls, apo-forms of UreE or HypA$_{str}$ were added instead of

Ni(II)-bound UreE or Ni(II)-bound HypA$_{str}$. To stimulate GTP hydrolysis of UreG required

for urease activation, 10 mM of KHCO$_3$ was added to the reaction mixture and incubated at

37°C for 30 min. To measure the urease activity, 50 mM urea was added to the reaction

mixture and incubated at 37°C for 30 min and the amount of ammonia released was measured

using a phenol/hypochlorite reaction (29).

Urease activation assay in a two-chamber dialyzer

20 μM Ni(II)-bound HypA$_{str}$, 40 μM apo-form of UreE, 40 μM UreGFD$_{str}$, and 10 μM

apo-urease in the reaction buffer were added to either side of two-chamber dialyzers

(Bioprobes Ltd.) separated by a dialysis membrane (3.5 kDa MWCO; Spectrum Labs) as

indicated in Fig. 5A. The dialyzers were incubated at 37°C for 60 min with shaking. To

stimulate GTP hydrolysis of UreG required for urease activation, 10 mM of KHCO$_3$ was

added to the reaction mixture and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. To measure the urease

activity, 50 mM urea was added to the reaction mixture from the urease-containing chamber

and incubated at 37°C for 20 min. The amount of ammonia released was quantified using a

phenol/hypochlorite reaction (Weatherburn 1967).

Acknowledgement

This work was supported (in part) by grants from the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong

(14117321, 14117223, C4041-18E, C4002-21-EF, C2003-22W, AoE/M-403/16, AoE/M-

05/12, AoE/M-402/25-N) and by direct grants from the Chinese University of Hong Kong.

Molecular graphics and analyses were performed using PyMOL (https://pymol.org/). We

thank the National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center for providing beamline for X-ray

data collection.

Data deposition

The atomic coordinates and structure factors of the $UreE(1-158)_2G_2$ and $UreE(1-148)_2G_2$

complexes (PDB ID code: 2OZY and 2IAD, respectively) were deposited in the Protein Data

Bank, www.wwpdb.org.

 Figure Legends

 Figure 1. Crystal structures of UreE$_2$G$_2$ in complex with nickel and GMPPNP.

 (A) In the presence of GTP, UreG dissociates from the UreGFD complex and forms a 2:2

 complex with UreE. UreG gets its nickel ion from the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex and then forms an

 activation complex with UreFD and apo-urease. Upon GTP hydrolysis, the nickel ion is

 delivered to the active site of urease via a protein tunnel within the activation complex. (B-C)

 The structures of (B) UreE(1-158)$_2$G$_2$ and (C) UreE(1-148)$_2$G$_2$ in complex with Ni(II) and

 GMPPNP were determined at a resolution of 3.10 Å and 2.85 Å, respectively. In the

 asymmetry unit of UreE(1-158)$_2$G$_2$ and UreE(1-148)$_2$G$_2$, four and two copies of the UreE$_2$G$_2$

 complexes were found, respectively. UreE and UreG dimers are in different shades of orange

 and blue, respectively. (D) Overall folds of UreE (left panel) and UreG (right panel). The C-

 terminal tail, N-terminal (NTD) and C-terminal (CTD) domains of UreE are in green, teal and

 pink, respectively. The G1-G5 and CPH motifs of UreG are in cyan, blue, brown, magenta,

 orange and green, respectively. (E) UreE and UreG forms an asymmetric 2:2 complex, where

 (F) His68 of distal UreG moves towards the nickel binding site of UreE and chelates a Ni(II)

 ion with His102 from both proximal and distal UreE. (G-H) Sequence alignment of UreE and

 UreG. Sequences of *H. pylori* (Hp), *Sporosarcina pasteurii* (Sp) and *Klebsiella aerogenes*

 (Ka) UreE and UreG were aligned using the program PROMAL3D (30). The names of the

 secondary structure elements of UreE and UreG follow those used in references (31) and (12).

 Residue number, according to the Hp sequences, and secondary structure elements are

 indicated above the alignment. The NTD, CTD, C-terminal tail of UreE, G1-G5 and CPH

 motifs of UreG are indicated below the alignment.

Figure 2. Asymmetric interactions in the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex.

(A) UreG dimer (orange) interacts mainly with proximal E (light blue). (B) Residues within 4

Å of the UreE-UreG interface are highlighted in light blue (proximal UreE), cyan (distal

UreE), light orange (proximal UreG) or orange (distal UreG). Other residues of distal UreE

and UreG are in darker shades of gray. Residues that are directly involved in the UreE-UreG

interactions are labeled. Apostrophes indicate residues from distal UreE or UreG.

Figure 3. GTP-dependent conformational changes in UreG promote binding of distal

UreG to the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex.

(A) Superimposition of proximal (light orange) and distal (orange) UreG with GDP-bound

UreG in the UreGFD complex (gray). GMPPNP binding induces conformational changes in

the G2 motif (blue) and helix-2 of both proximal and distal UreG. In the distal UreG,

additional conformational changes are found in the CPH metal-binding motif (green). (B)

Charge repulsion (thick arrow) between the γ-phosphate of GMPPNP and Asp37 induces

conformational changes in the G2 motif. As a result, Tyr39 moves towards and forms a

hydrogen bond with Glu119 of proximal UreE. The His68 of the CPH motif of distal UreG

moves towards UreE and chelates a nickel ion with His102 from both proximal and distal

UreE. Hydrogen bonds are indicated by dotted lines. Apostrophes indicate residues from

distal UreE or UreG. (C) Conformational changes in the G2 motif cause helix-2 to tilt towards

the nucleotide binding site, bringing Asp37, Glu42 and Asp43 of distal UreG to form salt-

bridges (dotted lines) to Arg130 and Lys131 of proximal UreG.

Figure 4. UreG binding induces conformational changes in NTD and the C-terminal tail

of proximal UreE.

(A) Conformational changes in UreE upon the formation of the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex. NTD,

CTD and the C-terminal tail of UreE are in teal, pink and green, respectively. Compared to

the crystal structure of nickel-bound UreE dimer (PDB: 3tj8; gray), conformational changes

are found in the NTD and the C-terminal tail (149-155) of proximal UreE. (B) The NTD of

proximal UreE (light blue) undergoes a domain movement such that Ile2, Ile34, Arg36, Ala46

and Arg48 form a pocket to accommodate Leu197 of proximal UreG (light orange). Structure

of the UreE dimer is in salmon. (C) In the nickel-bound UreE dimer (PDB: 3tj8; salmon),

His152 is interacting with a nickel ion at the dimeric interface. Upon the formation of the

UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex, the C-terminal tail of proximal UreE (green) swings outwards such that

His152 forms a hydrogen bond with Asp74 of proximal UreG. Residues 156-158 of UreE

(dotted lines) are disordered and not involved in binding UreG. (D) The C-terminal tail of

UreE is not essential for nickel transfer between UreE and UreG. Ni-bound UreE or its

truncation variants were added to a reaction mixture containing GTP, KHCO$_3$, UreGFD and

apo-urease. Urease was activated with the addition of Ni-bound UreE or its truncation

variants, but not their apo-forms.

Figure 5. Interaction between HypA and the C-terminal tail of UreE facilitates nickel

transfer required for urease maturation.

(A) Ni-bound HypA$_{str}$ (Ni-HypA$_{str}$), UreE, UreGFD and apo-urease in buffer containing 2

mM MgSO$_4$ and 300 μM GTP were added to either side of two-chamber dialyzers as

indicated. 10 mM KHCO$_3$ was added to activate the GTP hydrolysis of UreG required for

urease activation. Urease was activated in A1 where all the protein components for the urease

activation were in the same chambers, but not in A2 and A3, where Ni-HypA$_{str}$ and UreE

were separated by a dialysis membrane to prevent protein-protein interactions. Our results

suggest that UreE was able to receive nickel from Ni-HypA$_{str}$ via protein-protein interactions.

(B) The C-terminal tail of UreE is essential for HypA-UreE interaction. UreE and its

truncation variants were mixed with HypA$_{str}$ and loaded to Strep-Tactin resins. After

extensive washing, bound proteins were eluted with 50 mM biotin. Our results show that

truncation of the C-terminal tail of UreE greatly weaken their interactions with HypA$_{str}$. (C)

Ni-HypA$_{str}$ was added to UreE or its truncation variants, UreGFD and apo-urease. Apo-form

of HypA$_{str}$ was added as negative controls. Our results suggest that the UreE truncation

variants failed to receive nickel ions from HypA for urease activation.

Figure 6. Structural insights into how conformational changes in the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex

facilitate nickel delivery.

(A) Nickel transfer weakens UreE-UreG interactions. The structure of Ni/GMPPNP-bound

UreG dimer (gray; PDB: 5xkt) is superimposed with the distal UreG (orange) in the UreE$_2$G$_2$

complex. In the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex, a nickel ion is bound at the UreE binding site by His68 of

proximal UreG and His102 of UreE. Transfer of the nickel ion to UreG (green arrow), where

it is coordinated by Cys66 and His68, induces conformational changes (black arrows) that

break a number of interactions (dashes) between distal UreG and UreE. Apostrophes indicate

residues from distal UreE or UreG. (B) The C-terminal tail (red) of UreE is essential in

binding and getting its nickel ion from HypA. GTP-dependent conformational changes

promote the binding of the UreG dimer to UreE, which causes the C-terminal tail of UreE to

flip outwards and promote the dissociation of HypA. The formation of the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex

facilitates nickel transfer from UreE to UreG, which induces conformational changes in UreG,

weakening the UreE-UreG interactions and promoting dissociation of Ni-bound UreG dimer.

References

1. M. Amieva, R. M. Peek, Jr., Pathobiology of Helicobacter pylori-Induced Gastric
Cancer. *Gastroenterology* **150**, 64–78 (2016).

2. C. Mooney, D. J. Munster, P. F. Bagshaw, R. A. Allardyce, Helicobacter pylori acid
resistance. *Lancet* **335**, 1232 (1990).

3. E. Jabri, M. B. Carr, R. P. Hausinger, P. A. Karplus, The crystal structure of urease
from Klebsiella aerogenes. *Science* **268**, 998–1004 (1995).

4. N. C. Ha *et al.*, Supramolecular assembly and acid resistance of Helicobacter pylori
urease. *Nat Struct Biol* **8**, 505–509 (2001).

5. K. L. Tsang, K. B. Wong, Moving nickel along the hydrogenase-urease maturation
pathway. *Metallomics* **14**, mfac003 (2022).

6. L. Macomber, R. P. Hausinger, Mechanisms of nickel toxicity in microorganisms.
Metallomics **3**, 1153–1162 (2011).

7. A. W. Foster, D. Osman, N. J. Robinson, Metal preferences and metallation. *J Biol*
Chem **289**, 28095–28103 (2014).

8. D. A. Capdevila, K. A. Edmonds, D. P. Giedroc, Metallochaperones and
metalloregulation in bacteria. *Essays Biochem* **61**, 177–200 (2017).

9. G. J. Colpas, T. G. Brayman, L. J. Ming, R. P. Hausinger, Identification of metal-
binding residues in the Klebsiella aerogenes urease nickel metallochaperone, UreE.
Biochemistry **38**, 4078–4088 (1999).

10. R. Shi *et al.*, Crystal structures of apo and metal-bound forms of the UreE protein
from Helicobacter pylori: role of multiple metal binding sites. *Biochemistry* **49**, 7080–
7088 (2010).

11. K. Banaszak *et al.*, Crystallographic and X-ray absorption spectroscopic
characterization of Helicobacter pylori UreE bound to Ni(2)(+) and Zn(2)(+) reveals a
role for the disordered C-terminal arm in metal trafficking. *Biochem J* **441**, 1017–1026
(2012).

12. Y. H. Fong *et al.*, Structure of UreG/UreF/UreH complex reveals how urease
accessory proteins facilitate maturation of Helicobacter pylori urease. *PLoS Biol* **11**,
e1001678 (2013).

13. M. H. Yuen, Y. H. Fong, Y. S. Nim, P. H. Lau, K. B. Wong, Structural insights into
how GTP-dependent conformational changes in a metallochaperone UreG facilitate

urease maturation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, E10890–E10898 (2017).

14.   Y. H. Fong *et al.*, Assembly of preactivation complex for urease maturation in

Helicobacter pylori: crystal structure of UreF-UreH protein complex. *J Biol Chem* **286**,

43241–43249 (2011).

15.   X. Yang, H. Li, T. P. Lai, H. Sun, UreE-UreG complex facilitates nickel transfer and

preactivates GTPase of UreG in Helicobacter pylori. *J Biol Chem* **290**, 12474–12485

(2015).

16.   Y. S. Nim *et al.*, Delivering a toxic metal to the active site of urease. *Sci Adv* **9**,

eadf7790 (2023).

17.   X. Yang *et al.*, Nickel translocation between metallochaperones HypA and UreE in

Helicobacter pylori. *Metallomics* **6**, 1731–1736 (2014).

18.   H. Q. Hu, H. T. Huang, M. J. Maroney, The Helicobacter pylori HypA.UreE(2)

Complex Contains a Novel High-Affinity Ni(II)-Binding Site. *Biochemistry* **57**, 2932–

2942 (2018).

19.   J. W. Olson, N. S. Mehta, R. J. Maier, Requirement of nickel metabolism proteins

HypA and HypB for full activity of both hydrogenase and urease in Helicobacter

pylori. *Mol Microbiol* **39**, 176–182 (2001).

20.   S. L. Benoit, A. L. Zbell, R. J. Maier, Nickel enzyme maturation in Helicobacter

hepaticus: roles of accessory proteins in hydrogenase and urease activities.

Microbiology (Reading) **153**, 3748–3756 (2007).

21.   R. C. Johnson, H. Q. Hu, D. S. Merrell, M. J. Maroney, Dynamic HypA zinc site is

essential for acid viability and proper urease maturation in Helicobacter pylori.

Metallomics **7**, 674–682 (2015).

22.   H. Q. Hu, R. C. Johnson, D. S. Merrell, M. J. Maroney, Nickel Ligation of the N-

Terminal Amine of HypA Is Required for Urease Maturation in Helicobacter pylori.

Biochemistry **56**, 1105–1116 (2017).

23.   S. L. Benoit, J. L. McMurry, S. A. Hill, R. J. Maier, Helicobacter pylori hydrogenase

accessory protein HypA and urease accessory protein UreG compete with each other

for UreE recognition. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1820**, 1519–1525 (2012).

24.   B. Zambelli *et al.*, The structure of the high-affinity nickel-binding site in the Ni,Zn-

HypA*UreE2 complex. *Metallomics* **15** (2023).

25.   W. Kabsch, Xds. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **66**, 125–132 (2010).

26.   M. D. Winn *et al.*, Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta*

Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr **67**, 235–242 (2011).

27.   P. Emsley, K. Cowtan, Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta*
600   *Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **60**, 2126–2132 (2004).
601   28.   P. D. Adams *et al.*, PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for
602   macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **66**, 213–221
603   (2010).
604   29.   M. W. Weatherburn, Phenol-Hypochlorite Reaction for Determination of Ammonia.
605   *Anal Chem* **39**, 971 (1967).
606   30.   J. Pei, M. Tang, N. V. Grishin, PROMALS3D web server for accurate multiple protein
607   sequence and structure alignments. *Nucleic Acids Res* **36**, W30–34 (2008).
608   31.   H. Remaut, N. Safarov, S. Ciurli, J. Van Beeumen, Structural basis for Ni(2+)
609   transport and assembly of the urease active site by the metallochaperone UreE from
610   Bacillus pasteurii. *J Biol Chem* **276**, 49365–49370 (2001).

Figure 1. Crystal structures of UreE₂G₂ in complex with nickel and GMPPNP.

(A) In the presence of GTP, UreG dissociates from the UreGFD complex and forms a 2:2 complex with UreE. UreG gets its nickel ion from the UreE₂G₂ complex and then forms an activation complex with UreFD and apo-urease. Upon GTP hydrolysis, the nickel ion is delivered to the active site of urease via a protein tunnel within the activation complex. (B-C) The structures of (B) UreE(1-158)₂G₂ and (C) UreE(1-148)₂G₂ in complex with Ni(II) and GMPPNP were determined at a resolution of 3.10 Å and 2.85 Å, respectively. In the asymmetry unit of UreE(1-158)₂G₂ and UreE(1-148)₂G₂, four and two copies of the UreE₂G₂ complexes were found, respectively. UreE and UreG dimers are in different shades of orange and blue, respectively. (D) Overall folds of UreE (left panel) and UreG (right panel). The C-terminal tail, N-terminal (NTD) and C-terminal (CTD) domains of UreE are in green, teal and pink, respectively. The G1-G5 and CPH motifs of UreG are in cyan, blue, brown, magenta, orange and green, respectively. (E) UreE and UreG forms an asymmetric 2:2 complex, where (F) His68 of distal UreG moves towards the nickel binding site of UreE and chelates a Ni(II) ion with His102 from both proximal and distal UreE. (G-H) Sequence alignment of UreE and UreG. Sequences of *H. pylori* (Hp), *Sporosarcina pasteurii* (Sp) and *Klebsiella aerogenes* (Ka) UreE and UreG were aligned using the program PROMAL3D (30). The names of the secondary structure elements of UreE and UreG follow those used in references (31) and (12). Residue number, according to the Hp sequences, and secondary structure elements are indicated above the alignment. The NTD, CTD, C-terminal tail of UreE, G1-G5 and CPH motifs of UreG are indicated below the alignment.

Figure 2. Asymmetric interactions in the UreE₂G₂ complex.
(A) UreG dimer (orange) interacts mainly with proximal E (light blue). (B) Residues within 4 Å of the UreE-UreG interface are highlighted in light blue (proximal UreE), cyan (distal UreE), light orange (proximal UreG) or orange (distal UreG). Other residues of distal UreE and UreG are in darker shades of gray. Residues that are directly involved in the UreE-UreG interactions are labeled. Apostrophes indicate residues from distal UreE or UreG.

Figure 3. GTP-dependent conformational changes in UreG promote binding of distal UreG to the UreE₂G₂ complex.

(A) Superimposition of proximal (light orange) and distal (orange) UreG with GDP-bound UreG in the UreGFD complex (gray). GMPPNP binding induces conformational changes in the G2 motif (blue) and helix-2 of both proximal and distal UreG. In the distal UreG, additional conformational changes are found in the CPH metal-binding motif (green). (B) Charge repulsion (thick arrow) between the γ-phosphate of GMPPNP and Asp37 induces conformational changes in the G2 motif. As a result, Tyr39 moves towards and forms a hydrogen bond with Glu119 of proximal UreE. The His68 of the CPH motif of distal UreG moves towards UreE and chelates a nickel ion with His102 from both proximal and distal UreE. Hydrogen bonds are indicated by dotted lines. Apostrophes indicate residues from distal UreE or UreG. (C) Conformational changes in the G2 motif cause helix-2 to tilt towards the nucleotide binding site, bringing Asp37, Glu42 and Asp43 of distal UreG to form salt-bridges (dotted lines) to Arg130 and Lys131 of proximal UreG.

Figure 4. UreG binding induces conformational changes in NTD and the C-terminal tail of proximal UreE.

(A) Conformational changes in UreE upon the formation of the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex. NTD, CTD and the C-terminal tail of UreE are in teal, pink and green, respectively. Compared to the crystal structure of nickel-bound UreE dimer (PDB: 3tj8; gray), conformational changes are found in the NTD and the C-terminal tail (149-155) of proximal UreE. (B) The NTD of proximal UreE (light blue) undergoes a domain movement such that Ile2, Ile34, Arg36, Ala46 and Arg48 form a pocket to accommodate Leu197 of proximal UreG (light orange). Structure of the UreE dimer is in salmon. (C) In the nickel-bound UreE dimer (PDB: 3tj8; salmon), His152 is interacting with a nickel ion at the dimeric interface. Upon the formation of the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex, the C-terminal tail of proximal UreE (green) swings outwards such that His152 forms a hydrogen bond with Asp74 of proximal UreG. Residues 156-158 of UreE (dotted lines) are disordered and not involved in binding UreG. (D) The C-terminal tail of UreE is not essential for nickel transfer between UreE and UreG. Ni-bound UreE or its truncation variants were added to a reaction mixture containing GTP, KHCO$_3$, UreGFD and apo-urease. Urease was activated with the addition of Ni-bound UreE or its truncation variants, but not their apo-forms.

Figure 5. Interaction between HypA and the C-terminal tail of UreE facilitates nickel transfer required for urease maturation.

(A) Ni-bound HypA$_{str}$ (Ni-HypA$_{str}$), UreE, UreGFD and apo-urease in buffer containing 2 mM MgSO$_4$ and 300 µM GTP were added to either side of two-chamber dialyzers as indicated. 10 mM KHCO$_3$ was added to activate the GTP hydrolysis of UreG required for urease activation. Urease was activated in A1 where all the protein components for the urease activation were in the same chambers, but not in A2 and A3, where Ni-HypA$_{str}$ and UreE were separated by a dialysis membrane to prevent protein-protein interactions. Our results suggest that UreE was able to receive nickel from Ni-HypA$_{str}$ via protein-protein interactions. (B) The C-terminal tail of UreE is essential for HypA-UreE interaction. UreE and its truncation variants were mixed with HypA$_{str}$ and loaded to Strep-Tactin resins. After extensive washing, bound proteins were eluted with 50 mM biotin. Our results show that truncation of the C-terminal tail of UreE greatly weaken their interactions with HypA$_{str}$. (C) Ni-HypA$_{str}$ was added to UreE or its truncation variants, UreGFD and apo-urease. Apo-form of HypA$_{str}$ was added as negative controls. Our results suggest that the UreE truncation variants failed to receive nickel ions from HypA for urease activation.

Figure 6. Structural insights into how conformational changes in the UreE₂G₂ complex facilitate nickel delivery.

(A) Nickel transfer weakens UreE-UreG interactions. The structure of Ni/GMPPNP-bound UreG dimer (gray; PDB: 5xkt) is superimposed with the distal UreG (orange) in the UreE₂G₂ complex. In the UreE₂G₂ complex, a nickel ion is bound at the UreE binding site by His68 of proximal UreG and His102 of UreE. Transfer of the nickel ion to UreG (green arrow), where it is coordinated by Cys66 and His68, induces conformational changes (black arrows) that break a number of interactions (dashes) between distal UreG and UreE. Apostrophes indicate residues from distal UreE or UreG. (B) The C-terminal tail (red) of UreE is essential in binding and getting its nickel ion from HypA. GTP-dependent conformational changes promote the binding of the UreG dimer to UreE, which causes the C-terminal tail of UreE to flip outwards and promote the dissociation of HypA. The formation of the UreE₂G₂ complex facilitates nickel transfer from UreE to UreG, which induces conformational changes in UreG, weakening the UreE-UreG interactions and promoting dissociation of Ni-bound UreG dimer.

Table S1. Data collection and refinement statistics.

	UreE(1-158)$_2$UreG$_2$	UreE(1-148)$_2$UreG$_2$
PDB code	2OZY	2IAD
Data collection		
Wavelength (Å)	1.477	1.542
Space group	P2$_1$	P2$_1$
Cell dimensions		
a, b, c (Å)	70.17, 166.60, 154.02	70.97, 151.07, 83.15
α, β, γ (°)	90.00, 90.44, 90.00	90.00, 99.50, 90.00
Resolution (Å)	49.69 – 3.10 (3.18 – 3.10)	46.93 – 2.85 (2.97 – 2.85)
R$_{merge}$	0.089 (0.592)	0.097 (0.463)
CC(1/2)	0.985 (0.699)	0.995 (0.812)
I/σI	7.1 (1.3)	8.4 (2.4)
Unique reflection no.	62886 (4411)	40155 (4559)
Completeness (%)	98.1 (97.5)	99.6 (100)
Redundancy	2.5 (2.6)	3.6 (3.6)
Refinement		
R$_{work}$ / R$_{free}$	0.2089 / 0.2448	0.2426 / 0.2719
No. of atoms		
Protein	21509	10356
Ligand/ion	268	134
Water	19	25
B-factors (Å^2)		
Protein	80.31	63.92
Ligand/ion	90.25	48.94
Water	49.76	53.06
r.m.s. deviations		
bond lengths (Å)	0.002	0.003
bond angles (°)	0.483	0.569
Ramachandran		
Favored (%)	98.13	98.66
Allowed (%)	1.87	1.34
Outliers (%)	0.00	0.00

*Values in parentheses are for the highest-resolution shell.

Figure S1. **Structural differences between proximal and distal protomers in the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex.** (A) Structures of proximal UreE (green; chain C, G, K, or O) are superimposable to distal UreE (pink; chain D, H, L, or P). Residues (148-155) in the C-terminal tail are structured in the proximal UreE but are disordered in the distal UreE. The structures of UreE(1-148)$_2$UreG$_2$ are in darker shades of green and pink. (B) Structures of proximal UreG (green; chain A, E, I, or M) are superimposable to distal UreG (pink; chain B, F, J, or N). The only notable structural differences are found in the metal-binding CPH motif (Cys66-Pro67-His68).

Figure S2. Ni(II) ions identified by anomalous diffraction in the UreE(1-158)$_2$-UreG$_2$ complex. Anomalous difference map (mesh) was generated by PHENIX using diffraction data collected at the nickel peak wavelength and contoured at 7σ. (A) A Ni(II) ion (green) was chelated by His68 of distal UreG, His102 of proximal and distal UreE and three water molecules (red) in an octahedral geometry. (B) Ni(II) ions occupy the Mg(II) binding sites from each of the nucleotide binding sites of proximal (lef) and distal (right) UreG. Apostrophes indicate residues from distal UreE and UreG.

Figure S3. Polar Interactions between UreE and UreG in the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex. Residues involved in hydrogen bonds and salt-bridges between UreE and UreG are represented in sticks. Backbone of proximal (light blue) and distal (cyan) UreE are shown as cartoon representation. Residues of proximal and distal UreG are in light orange and orange, respectively. Note that both protomers of UreG mainly interact with proximal UreE. His102', chelating a Ni(II) ion at the interface with His102 of proximal UreE and His68' of distal UreG, is the only residue from distal UreE involved in UreE-UreG interaction. Apostrophes indicate residues from distal UreE or UreG.

Figure S4. Leu197 of proximal UreG forms hydrophobic interactions proximal UreE. Residue 197-199 of UreG is disordered in the crystal structure of the UreGFD complex (gray) (PDB: 4hi0). These residues become structured in the proximal UreG (light orange) and Leu197 is docked to a hydrophobic pocket formed by Ile2, Ile34, Arg36, Ala46 and Arg48.

Figure S5. The G2 motif of proximal UreG does not involve in binding UreE. The G2 motif (37-46) of proximal UreG (blue) is located far away from the UreE-UreG interface and does not involve in interacting with UreE.

Figure S6. The C-terminal tail of UreE does not involve in binding UreG. In the crystal structure of UreE(1-158)$_2$UreG$_2$, residues 1-155 of proximal UreE and 1-147 of distal UreE are structured. The rest of the C-terminal tails of UreE are disordered and do not involve in binding UreG.

Figure S7. GTP-dependent conformational changes in the G2 motif promotes dissociation of UreGFD complex. GTP binding induces conformational changes in the G2 motif (blue) of UreG such that Tyr39 flips outward and clashes with UreF (gray) in the UreGFD complex. UreF and UreG are in surface and cartoon representations, respectively.

Figure S8. The nickel binding site of the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex is the same as that of the UreE. The locations of bound nickel ions in the UreE$_2$G$_2$ complex (left) and the Ni-bound UreE dimer (right) (PDB: 3tj8) are shown.

Figure S9. Formation of nickel-bound UreG dimer induce conformational changes in the distal UreG. The structures of proximal (light orange) and distal (orange) UreG were superimposed with Ni/GMPPNP-bound *Klebsiella* UreG (green; PDB: 5xkt). Major conformational changes are found in the CPH motif of the distal UreG.

Fig. S10. Summary of expression plasmids used in this study. The names of the plasmids are labeled on the left. str: Strep-tag II sequence (WSHPQFEK); SUMO: Small ubiquitin-like modifier protein; 7xHis: poly-histidine tag. The sequences franking the cutting sites of SUMO protease is labeled.

Figure S11. Purified protein samples used in the urease activation and pull-down assays.
Purified protein samples of apo-urease, UreGFD, UreE and its truncation variants, HypA$_{str}$ were analyzed by SDS-PAGE stained by Coomassie blue. ThermoFisher PageRuler ^{TM} Prestained Protein Ladder (M) was loaded on the left and the molecular weight of the protein standards were shown.