REverse-transcriptase ACTivity with CRISPR (REACTR) Assay for Rapid and User-Friendly Therapeutic Drug Monitoring in Cytomegalovirus Care

Willow A. Chernoske[1], Maya A. Singh[1], Carrie H. Lin[1], Megan M. Chang[1], Cosette A. Craig[2], Albert H. Park,[3,4] Joseph E. Rower[5], Ayokunle O. Olanrewaju[1,2]

Author Affiliations:

1. Department of Bioengineering, Seattle, WA, 98195
2. Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195
3. Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, 84112
4. Department of Pediatrics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, 84112
5. Center for Human Toxicology, Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, 84112

Corresponding author: Ayokunle Olanrewaju, ayokunle@uw.edu

Abstract

Background: Cytomegalovirus (CMV) can cause severe disease and death in infants and immunocompromised people. Although effective at treating CMV, the first-line drug – ganciclovir (GCV) – has high rates of pharmacokinetic variability which leads to significant rates of underexposure or toxicity. Intracellular concentrations of ganciclovir triphosphate (GCV-TP) – GCV's active anabolite – are associated with dose-dependent neutropenia and could enable dose individualization to improve treatment efficacy and reduce adverse effects. However, GCV-TP is currently measured using liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) which is impractical for routine use, especially in resource-limited settings, because of its high cost, labor-intensiveness, and need for specialized equipment. To address this gap, we adapted the REverse transcriptase ACTivity with CRISPR (REACTR) assay to measure GCV-TP.

Methods: We leveraged our earlier work using REACTR to measure reverse transcriptase (RT) inhibitors used to treat and prevent human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) because GCV-TP serendipitously also inhibits HIV RT. We designed custom DNA templates, primers, and CRISPR complexes to accurately measure GCV-TP spiked into buffer and blood. We evaluated the assay's analytical performance with 40 dried blood spots from infants with congenital CMV.

Results: REACTR reproducibly measured clinically relevant GCV-TP concentrations using a simple workflow and equipment that are readily available in many clinical laboratories. REACTR measurements of clinical samples correlated with LC-MS/MS GCV-TP measurements ($r = -0.7891$; $p < 0.0001$).

Conclusions: This study highlights the potential of REACTR as a rapid and accessible alternative to LC-MS/MS for therapeutic drug monitoring of GCV.

Keywords
Cytomegalovirus, Ganciclovir, Therapeutic Drug Monitoring, CRISPR, Assay, Point-of-care, Enzyme Activity Assay

Human cytomegalovirus (CMV) is a herpesvirus with an estimated global seroprevalence of
over 80% [1]. While infection is typically asymptomatic in immunocompetent individuals, CMV
can cause life-long morbidities and even death in immunocompromised or immuno-immature
populations like transplant recipients or infants. Congenital CMV (cCMV) affects approximately
1 in 200 newborns, with 10-20% of infants with cCMV exhibiting symptoms at birth [2], [3]. 50%
of children with cCMV symptoms at birth suffer from long-term hearing loss, developmental
delay, or neurocognitive impairment [4]. Moreover, as many as 10% of infants with symptomatic
cCMV die in early infancy due to the disease [3], [5]. Like other herpesviruses, CMV causes an
incurable, life-long infection that can become dormant and reactivate over time [6]. While there
has been interest in developing CMV vaccines since the 1970s, no CMV vaccine has been
licensed to date [7], [8].
58
Ganciclovir (GCV) and its oral pro-drug valganciclovir (VGCV) serve as the first-line antiviral
agents for symptomatic CMV treatment [3]. GCV, or 9-(1,3-dihydroxy-2-propoxymethyl)guanine,
is an acyclic guanosine analog that potently inhibits the replication of herpesviruses [9]. Upon
cellular uptake, GCV is phosphorylated into its active form, ganciclovir triphosphate (GCV-TP),
by viral and host kinases [10]. GCV-TP works by selectively inhibiting extension of viral DNA by
herpesvirus DNA polymerases, such as UL54 polymerase [11]. Although effective, GCV therapy
is plagued by substantial interindividual variability in drug exposure and high rates of toxicity.
With standard dosing, underexposure and inadequate treatment occur in an estimated 30% of
infants [12], while overexposure and significant toxicity occur in 25% of infants [13]. These
challenges underscore the need for therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) of GCV to guide
personalized dosing.
70
Current TDM of GCV measures plasma or serum GCV concentrations and is performed using
liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) or high-performance liquid
chromatography (HPLC) [14]. These tests provide sensitive and accurate GCV measurement
but require expensive, centralized equipment and highly trained personnel. Alternative methods
for measuring plasma GCV concentrations include Raman spectroscopy, capillary
electrophoresis, and electrochemical sensing, but LC-MS/MS remains the gold standard in
clinical studies and routine care [14], [15], [16], [17]. Furthermore, clinical studies using LC-
MS/MS or HPLC methods for plasma GCV monitoring have presented conflicting evidence for
the effectiveness of plasma GCV TDM as a biomarker for treatment optimization [12], [14], [18],
[19], [20], [21], [22], [23]. While some studies have reported associations between plasma GCV

exposure and treatment outcomes and even target therapeutic ranges for monitoring [19], [20],

[24], others have found no such relationship [21], [22], with results varying by study and patient

population. As a result, experts have called for further exploration of GCV-TP – GCV's active

intracellular anabolite – as a biomarker for TDM, as it may better predict toxicity and treatment

response [22], [25], [26], [27].

A 2015 study demonstrated that intracellular GCV-TP concentrations in kidney transplant

recipients were significantly correlated with neutrophil count [22]. In the same study, no

associations were seen between plasma GCV or other metabolites of the drug and neutrophil

count, suggesting that GCV-TP may be unique in its ability to predict neutropenia. This is the

only study of its kind correlating intracellular GCV derivatives with treatment outcomes, likely

due to the current cost and complexity associated with GCV-TP measurement. Intracellular

GCV-TP concentrations have so far only been measured using LC-MS/MS, a method that

requires a complex, multistep procedure to separate GCV-TP from other GCV derivatives

before mass spectrometry measurements [28]. This intensive procedure and the increased

complexity, time, and equipment associated with GCV-TP measurement make it highly

centralized and limit widespread use.

We recently developed the REverse transcriptase ACTivity with CRISPR (REACTR) assay for

rapid and minimally instrumented measurement of reverse transcriptase (RT) inhibitors used in

human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) treatment and prevention [29], [30], [31], [32], [33].

REACTR measures intracellular concentrations of nucleotide analog drugs based on inhibition

of DNA synthesis by HIV RT enzyme. Complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesized by HIV RT

from a custom DNA template activates a Cas12-crRNA complex, triggering collateral cleavage

of DNA reporter molecules. Nucleotide RT inhibitors (NRTIs) compete for incorporation into

cDNA with deoxynucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs), such that chain termination, cDNA

synthesis, and resulting fluorescence from activated CRISPR complexes are proportional to the

NRTI concentration in the reaction. GCV-TP, despite canonically targeting herpesvirus DNA

polymerases, also inhibits HIV RT via delayed chain termination [34], [35]. Consequently, while

REACTR was originally developed for measuring HIV medications, GCV-TP's inhibition of HIV

RT allowed us to adapt REACTR to measure GCV-TP.

In this study, we adapted the REACTR assay for measuring GCV-TP in buffer and dried blood

spots (DBS) and evaluated the assay's analytical performance with DBS from a clinical

pharmacokinetic (PK) trial. We first designed custom DNA templates and optimized assay
conditions for detecting GCV-TP spiked into buffer and blood matrices, characterized its
sensitivity and reproducibility, and then validated its performance using clinical DBS samples
from the Randomized Controlled Trial of Valganciclovir for Cytomegalovirus Infected Hearing
Impaired Infants (ValEAR) study (ClinicalTrials.gov #NCT03107871) [36]. Finally, we compared
REACTR GCV-TP measurements with those obtained by LC-MS/MS to assess concordance
with the gold-standard method.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Adapting REACTR for measuring GCV-TP

REACTR uses a ssDNA template with a primer binding region followed by a repeat region
specific to the nucleotide analog drug of interest, a complementary crRNA recognition region, a
PAM sequence, and a poly T tail (**Figure 1A**). To adapt REACTR to measure GCV-TP, a
guanine analog, a cytidine repeat region was incorporated into the template. Assays were run
with the DNA template, a reverse primer, RT, dNTPs, a Cas12a-crRNA complex specific to the
DNA template, DNA reporters with fluorophore-quencher pairs, and sample containing GCV-TP
(**Figure 1B, Table S1**). As in previous demonstrations of REACTR, as the amount of drug in the
sample increases, DNA chain termination increases [33]. This prevents synthesis of the crRNA
recognition region and results in reduced fluorescence (**Figure 1B**). Thus, we can infer GCV-TP
drug concentrations based on REACTR assay fluorescence.

Figure 1. Overview of the REverse transcriptase ACTivity with CRISPR (REACTR) assay for measuring GCV-TP based on its inhibition of DNA synthesis by HIV RT enzyme. (**A**) The REACTR template optimized for measuring GCV-TP (a guanine analog) includes a primer binding region followed by a cytidine repeat region, a complementary crRNA recognition region, a PAM sequence, and a poly T tail. (**B**) At low drug concentrations, HIV RT can synthesize full-length DNA with CRISPR binding regions that activate Cas12a complexes that cleave DNA reporters leading to fluorescence. Conversely, at high drug concentrations, DNA chain termination occurs and inactivated Cas12a complexes are unable to generate fluorescence.

REACTR can measure clinically relevant GCV-TP concentrations in buffer

We assessed REACTR's ability to measure clinically relevant GCV-TP concentrations by spiking the drug into aqueous buffer at concentrations from 0.1 mM to 0.01 nM and added the spiked solutions into REACTR assays. Real-time measurements showed decreased fluorescence as GCV-TP concentration increased (**Figure 2A, Figure S1A**). We explored the role of template design on REACTR's sensitivity to GCV-TP by running the assay with a template containing a "CCCC" repeat region designed to bind GCV-TP (a guanine analog) and a "TTTA" repeat region originally designed for tenofovir diphosphate (an adenine analog used in HIV treatment) (**Figure 2B, Figure S1B**). The "CCCC" template had a 50% Inhibitory Concentration (IC_{50}) (95% Confidence Interval [CI]) of 10.8 nM (9.1 to 12.9 nM), while the "TTTA" template required at least 3 orders of magnitude greater GCV-TP concentrations before inhibition was observed and did not provide a quantifiable IC_{50} within the span of concentrations tested. These results, in agreement with our past work, show that REACTR assay parameters like DNA template sequence can be tuned to specifically measure clinically relevant levels of different antiviral drugs.

We also explored the role of reaction incubation time on REACTR assays with GCV-TP by generating enzyme inhibition curves at the 15-, 30-, 60-, and 90-minute time points (**Figure 2C**). As expected, raw fluorescence signal increased as assay incubation time increased. Normalizing data showed that the IC_{50} (95% CI) of GCV-TP at 15, 30, 60, and 90 min were 3.9 nM (N/A to 6.5 nM), 5.2 nM (4.3 to 6.4 nM), 10.8 nM (9.1 to 12.9 nM), and 35.4 nM (29.9 to 42.6 nM), respectively (**Figure 2D**). Thus, there is a tradeoff between the assay fluorescence signal intensity and the ability to detect low concentrations of GCV-TP.

Figure 2. REACTR sensitively and specifically measures varying concentrations of GCV-TP spiked in buffer. (A) Mean real-time REACTR curves using "CCCC" templates with varying concentrations of GCV-TP spiked in buffer. (B) Normalized RT inhibition curves for GCV-TP in REACTR assays using templates with either "CCCC" or "TTTA" repeat regions show specificity in detecting the guanine analog drug using the cytidine-enriched template. (C) RT inhibition curves for GCV-TP at various timepoints – extracted from raw data in (A) – show an increase in fluorescence signal as assay incubation time increases. (D) Normalized RT inhibition curves for GCV-TP at various timepoints show that shorter assay incubation times enable detection of lower GCV-TP concentrations. n = 3 technical replicates. Gray regions indicate the clinical range of GCV-TP (0.362 to 11.5 nM) in DBS of infants with cCMV.

REACTR accurately measures clinically relevant GCV-TP concentrations spiked in DBS

We next adapted REACTR to measure GCV-TP in DBS as a convenient way to test archived clinical samples. Using DBS from healthy donors not receiving any antiviral drugs, we developed a simple sample preparation workflow that used thermolabile proteinase K (TPK) and heat to denature blood proteins (**Figure 3**). GCV-TP was spiked into blood eluted from DBS at concentrations from 0.1 mM to 0.01 nM prior to the addition of TPK and heat. The dilution and

protein denaturation steps in the sample preparation process reduce non-specific inhibition of

HIV-RT by blood matrix components including hemoglobin and immunoglobulins.

Figure 3. Simple sample preparation workflow for measuring antiviral drugs in DBS using

the REACTR enzymatic assay. DBS punches are vortex mixed in water to lyse red blood cells

and release intracellular nucleotide analogs, then treated with thermolabile proteinase K to

digest blood proteins, followed by heat denaturation. The supernatant with extracted GCV-TP is

then added to a tube with RT, DNA template, primer, Cas12a complex, fluorescent reporter, and

nucleotides, then incubated at 37°C for 60 minutes in a fluorescence reader.

We found that, even with our sample preparation process, the maximum signal intensity (from

"no drug" controls) and the assay variation increased with REACTR in DBS compared to buffer

(**Figure S2**). Although in Figure 2D a 30-minute incubation time with REACTR in buffer provided

inhibition curves that enabled GCV-TP quantification within the clinical range, we chose a 60-

minute assay time with REACTR in DBS to improve assay performance. At a 30-minute

incubation time, the decrease in the maximum signal intensity with REACTR in DBS compared

to REACTR in buffer was 46.2% and the coefficient of variation (CV) of "no drug" controls in

DBS was 8.8% compared with 4.4% in buffer (**Figure S2B-D**). Meanwhile, at a 60-minute

incubation time, the maximum signal intensity decreased by 40.7% in DBS compared to buffer,

and the CV of "no drug" controls was 3.7% in DBS compared with 3.1% in buffer (**Figure 4A**).

We attribute these differences in performance between REACTR in DBS and buffer to

incomplete elimination of blood matrix effects with our relatively simple sample preparation

process. Nevertheless, we prioritized assay simplicity and the use of instruments that are

typically available in most clinical laboratories (vortexer, heat block, microcentrifuge, and

fluorescence reader) for all our assay preparation and readout. Despite the decrease in raw

signal intensity, the normalized inhibition curve for GCV-TP spiked in DBS closely mirrored that

seen in buffer at 60 minutes, with a modest rightward shift in IC_{50} between buffer (10.8 nM, 95%

CI = 9.09 to 12.9 nM) and blood (21.2 nM, 95% CI = 15.6 to 28.8 nM) (**Figure 4B**).

Figure 4. REACTR provides consistent results with GCV-TP spiked in DBS. (**A**) RT-

inhibition curve with varied GCV-TP concentrations shows significantly lower maximum

fluorescence signal with spiked DBS compared with spiked buffer at a 60-minute assay

incubation time. n = 3 technical replicates. (**B**) Normalized inhibition curve for GCV-TP spiked in

buffer and DBS – extracted from data in (A) – showing excellent agreement between buffer and

blood with a slight rightward shift for the assay in DBS. (**C**) RT inhibition curves for GCV-TP

spiked in blood from 3 independent blood samples show excellent agreement across samples.

Each point on the curve represents the mean of 3 technical replicates. (**D**) Normalized 60-

minute RT inhibition curves for GCV-TP spiked in 3 independent blood samples – extracted

from data in (C) – show excellent consistency across samples.

We evaluated sample-to-sample variation using spiked DBS samples generated from

independent blood samples not containing any antiviral drugs (**Figure 4C-D, Figure S3**). Both

raw fluorescence curves (**Figure 4C**) and normalized curves (**Figure 4D**) were highly consistent
across samples. Across the three samples, the average intra-assay CV was 12.8% and the
inter-assay CV was 10.6%. We also calculated the Z' score to assess the assay's ability to
distinguish between positive and negative controls and as an indicator of its suitability for high
throughput screening [42]. The average intra-assay Z' score was 0.54 and the inter-assay Z'
score was 0.56 – both above the quality cutoff of 0.5 for Z' value typically used in high-
throughput screening assays.
234
We also evaluated the assay's ability to distinguish drug concentrations in the clinical range
from the "no drug" control. Of the sampled concentrations within the clinical range, the raw
fluorescence became significantly different from "no drug" control at the 5.8 nM drug condition
(p = 0.0214) when assessed with a one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons. The same
cutoff was seen after normalizing fluorescence (p = 0.0001). This indicates that the assay in its
current form can detect GCV-TP concentrations at the upper end of the clinical range (0.362 to
11.5 nM GCV-TP) in DBS, which may be useful for detecting potentially toxic concentrations of
GCV-TP in blood.
243
REACTR measurements correlate with LC-MS/MS-measured GCV-TP concentrations
To assess performance of the REACTR assay with clinical samples, we tested 40 DBS samples
collected from 16 infants enrolled in the ValEAR trial with GCV-TP concentrations ranging from
0 to 1891 fmol/6 mm punch. **Figure 5** shows REACTR fluorescence intensity measurements
compared with LC-MS/MS GCV-TP concentrations from the ValEAR study. REACTR
measurements of the clinical DBS samples correlated with LC-MS/MS measurements of GCV-
TP (n = 40, Pearson r = -0.7891; p < 0.0001; 95% confidence interval (CI) = -0.8834 to -0.6332),
with a coefficient of determination (r^2) of 0.6226. LC-MS/MS correlations to raw fluorescence
values and normalized values (**Figure S3**) were highly consistent. These initial results
demonstrate the potential of REACTR to provide accurate GCV-TP measurements at a fraction
of the time, cost, and complexity required for LC-MS/MS testing.

Figure 5. REACTR results correlate with LC-MS/MS GCV-TP concentrations in DBS from a clinical pharmacokinetic trial. n = 40 DBS from infants with cCMV. Each point represents the mean of 3 technical replicates.

Limitations and future directions

While this study demonstrates the feasibility of adapting REACTR for intracellular GCV-TP monitoring, several limitations exist. First, the current assay is limited to detecting GCV-TP levels at the upper end of the clinical range. Improving assay sensitivity through reagent optimization and enhanced sample preparation or readout methods will be important to expand detection to the lower end of the clinical range. We previously developed and validated a mathematical model showing that increasing DNA template length, increasing the number of potential chain termination events by enriching for the complement of the drug in the DNA template, and decreasing the concentration of competing nucleotides are all effective strategies for increasing the analytical sensitivity of assays like REACTR [31]. Switching from using HIV RT to the UL54 polymerase, the canonical target of GCV-TP, may also improve the assay's sensitivity to lower concentrations of the drug. Exploring alternate sample preparation or readout strategies that reduce the influence of blood matrix effects without sacrificing assay simplicity would also help to improve the performance of the assay. Second, clinical validation was performed using DBS from a relatively small cohort of infants with cCMV. Although REACTR measurements correlated with LC-MS/MS, larger studies will be necessary to establish assay reproducibility and to correlate GCV-TP levels with treatment response and toxicity outcomes. Third, the optimization and clinical validation shown here focused exclusively on infants with

cCMV. GCV is also used widely in transplant recipients who may exhibit different

pharmacokinetic profiles and thus may have a different clinical range. In future work we hope to

validate our assay for measuring GCV-TP in DBS from transplant populations to broaden

clinical utility.

Conclusion

This study validates the REACTR platform for measuring intracellular GCV-TP, the active

metabolite of GCV used in the treatment of CMV infection. By leveraging GCV-TP's ability to

inhibit HIV RT and coupling changes in cDNA synthesis by HIV RT to Cas12a-based detection,

REACTR measures clinically relevant concentrations of GCV-TP in DBS samples in 60 minutes.

REACTR was highly reproducible in DBS across independent blood samples, with average

intra-assay and inter-assay CVs of 12.8% and 10.6%, respectively, and intra-assay and inter-

assay Z' scores above 0.5. Importantly, REACTR measurements of clinical DBS samples from

infants living with cCMV correlated with LC-MS/MS measurements of GCV-TP (r = -0.7891; p <

0.0001), demonstrating agreement with the current gold-standard analytical method. Compared

to LC-MS/MS, REACTR offers several advantages: (1) streamlined sample preparation, (2)

reduced turn-around time, and (3) use of commonly available and relatively inexpensive

laboratory equipment. These features could enable expanded access to therapeutic drug

monitoring of GCV-TP, which has been called for but not widely implemented due to the

limitations and associated costs/complexities of current techniques. Future work will prioritize

improving assay sensitivity through reaction chemistry and workflow optimization and

conducting larger clinical validation studies across both cCMV and transplant populations.

Taken together, our results demonstrate the feasibility of REACTR as a rapid, accessible, and

cost-effective approach for monitoring GCV-TP levels with the potential for use in clinical trials

and/or routine care.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

REACTR assay workflow

REACTR workflows were adapted from a previously described method by Singh et al. [33]. The

Cas12a-crRNA complex was created by incubating 100 nM LbCas12a (M0653T, New England

Biolabs) and 100 nM crRNA (Integrated DNA Technologies) in REACTR buffer containing 50

mM Tris-HCl (77-86-1, Sigma-Aldrich) at pH 8, 50 mM Potassium Chloride (KCl) (60142-100

ML-F, Sigma-Aldrich), 15 mM Magnesium Chloride (MgCl2) (7786-30-3, Sigma-Aldrich), 7 mM

dithiothreitol (DTT) (3483-12-3, Sigma-Aldrich), and 0.06% Triton X-100 (9036-19-5, Sigma-

Aldrich) for 15 minutes at 25℃.

For spiked buffer experiments, GCV-TP (NC2174868, Axxora) was diluted in nuclease-free

water at varying concentrations from 0.1 mM to 0.01 nM. 40 µL REACTR assays containing 10

µL of sample or drug dilution, 25 nM Cas12-crRNA complex, 25 nM dNTPs, 0.5 nM DNA

template, 12.5 nM primer, 500 nM fluorescent reporter (Integrated DNA Technologies), and 0.04

units/µL of HIV RT (LS005009, Worthington Biochemical) in REACTR buffer were added to 96-

well armadillo PCR plates (Thermo Scientific, AB2396). dNTP and template concentrations

were chosen to maximize sensitivity to GCV-TP via decreased competition of dNTPs while

maintaining signal intensity [31]. All assays were run against "no-drug" positive controls and "no-

enzyme" negative controls. Reactions were incubated at 37℃ in a thermal cycler (Bio Rad

CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System) for 60 to 120 minutes. Fluorescence

measurements were taken every minute during the incubation period. Raw and analyzed data is

available on Zenodo [37].

DBS sample preparation

6 mm DBS punches were collected in 1.7 mL Eppendorf tubes and eluted with 67.5 µL of

nuclease free water. The tube was then vortexed for 1 minute and centrifuged in a

microcentrifuge for 30 seconds. Eluted blood was transferred to a separate tube and

thermolabile proteinase K (P8111L, NEB) was added to create a final concentration of 25%

blood and 2.5% proteinase K. The tube was gently mixed before being incubated at 25℃ for 15

minutes followed by a heat inactivation step at 95℃ for 10 minutes. The tube was centrifuged

again in a microcentrifuge for 1 minute to pellet proteinaceous material. The supernatant was

then added to the REACTR assay.

For spiked DBS experiments, GCV-TP was diluted in nuclease-free water (H_2O) at varying

concentrations from 1 mM to 0.1 nM and added to eluted DBS punches at a ten-fold dilution

prior to the proteinase K. The elution volume in spiked DBS experiments was reduced

accordingly to maintain a final concentration of 25% blood and 2.5% proteinase K. Remaining

sample preparation was performed as described above. Sample to sample variation was

assessed using three independent blood samples. Sample 1 was derived from pooled blood

purchased from BioIVT, and samples 2 and 3 were from independent donors not receiving

GCV.

Clinical DBS sample collection

Authentic clinical DBS samples were obtained from the ValEAR trial, a randomized, multicenter, placebo-controlled clinical trial of VGCV in infants with a positive CMV test [38]. The trial was conducted under central institutional review board (IRB) approval (University of Utah/Primary Children's Hospital board; cIRB_00090760, NCT03107871) and in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Infants were enrolled with informed parental consent and randomized to receive VGCV (16 mg/kg twice daily for 6 months) or placebo. Monthly venipuncture blood samples were collected for PK analyses. DBS samples were stored at –80°C until analysis.

LC-MS/MS Analysis of GCV-TP

LC-MS/MS quantification of GCV-TP in DBS samples is described by Lindquist-Kleissler et al. and adapts previously described methods [36], [39], [40], [41]. Briefly, each 6 mm DBS punch was extracted into 0.5 mL of 70% methanol (MeOH) in H_2O by sonication for 15 minutes. GCV-TP in the supernatant was fractionated from other phosphorylated species using Waters QMA solid phase extraction (SPE) cartridges, followed by dephosphorylation with acid phosphatase and cleanup using a Phenomenex Strata-X SPE cartridge. A 30 µL aliquot of each sample was then injected onto a TSQ Vantage triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (ThermoScientific) interfaced with an Accela UHPLC pump and autosampler (ThermoScientific). Chromatographic separation was achieved under isocratic flow of 0.1% formic acid in 99% H_2O and 1% MeOH at 0.250 mL/min through a 100 x 2 mm Polar RP analytical column (Phenomenex$^®$) equipped with a 4 x 3 mm Security Guard Polar-RP^{TM} column (Phenomenex$^®$). Tandem mass spectrometry was operated in positive electrospray ionization (ESI) mode, monitoring mass transitions of 256.1 to 152.1 for GCV and 261.2 to 152.1 for the internal standard. Quantification was based on a calibration curve fit with a $1/x^2$ weighted linear regression over the range of 50 to 4000 fmol/sample. Intra-day accuracy was within ±4.0% of nominal values and the coefficient of variation (CV) was within 4.9% across three runs with 6 technical replicates each of quality control samples.

Clinical range estimate

The clinical range used to guide assay development was derived from the range of concentrations observed in the ValEAR study (233 to 1891 fmol/6 mm punch). Due to the low sample size of participants on valganciclovir used in this dataset, we extended this range to 100

to 3200 fmol/6 mm punch, based on the assumption that variability at the upper end of the

range would exceed that of the lower end [22]. Assuming that each 6 mm punch holds 17.2 μL

of sample based on the storage capacity of Whatman™ 903 Proteinsaver cards, we converted

values in fmol/6mm punch to molar concentrations in REACTR. The resulting molar clinical

range within the REACTR assay was 0.362 nM to 11.6 nM, accounting for a final blood dilution

of 6.25% in the reaction.

Data analysis and statistical methods

Enzyme inhibition curves were generated in GraphPad Prism 10 using REACTR fluorescence

values at the 60-minute timepoint. When applicable, fluorescence intensities were normalized to

the average of the "no drug" positive and "no RT" negative controls as maximum and minimum

values, respectively. Curves were fit to four-parameter logistic regression curves. The intra-

assay coefficient of variation (CV) was calculated from the baseline-subtracted mean

fluorescence of the positive control from 3 technical replicates. The inter-assay CV was

calculated from the baseline-subtracted mean fluorescence of the positive control from 3

independent replicates, each representing the mean of 3 technical replicates. Intra-assay Z-

prime (Z') score was calculated using the raw fluorescence mean and standard deviation values

of assay controls from 3 technical replicates. Inter-assay Z-prime (Z') score was calculated

using the raw fluorescence mean and standard deviation values of assay controls from 3

independent replicates, each representing the mean of 3 technical replicates. All clinical

samples were run and analyzed blinded. One-way ANOVA tests with multiple comparisons were

performed using GraphPad Prism 10 and the reported p-values were adjusted to account for

multiple hypothesis tests. Correlation between LC-MS/MS GCV-TP concentrations and

fluorescence were determined using a Pearson's correlation coefficient.

Acknowledgments

Acknowledgements. We would like to credit DBCLS for the centrifuge and thermal cycler icons

in Figure 2, which are licensed under CC-BY 4.0 Unported

(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) and Servier for the microtube icons in Figure 2,

which are licensed under CC-BY 3.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) and had the

contents and lids modified.

Author Contributions. **W.A.C.:** Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Investigation;

Methodology; Validation; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing; Visualization;

Project administration. **M.A.S.:** Conceptualization; Methodology; Writing – review & editing.

C.H.L.: Methodology. **M.M.C.:** Funding acquisition; Methodology; Writing – review & editing.

C.A.C.: Methodology. **A.H.P.:** Resources. **J.E.R.:** Resources; Writing – review & editing. **A.O.O:**

Conceptualization; Funding acquisition; Methodology; Project administration; Supervision;

Resources; Writing – review & editing.

Data Availability. Nucleotide sequences of REACTR reagents are available in supplementary

Table 1. Raw and analyzed data are available on Zenodo [37].

Conflicts of Interest. The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): W.A.C.,

M.A.S., and A.O.O. are inventors on a patent filed based on this work (63/872,224). M.A.S.,

M.M.C., and A.O.O. are inventors on patents filed based on related work on enzymatic assays

for measuring RT inhibitors (19/396,892, PCT/US2020/037609).

Financial Support. This work was supported by the Arnold and Mabel Beckman Foundation

and the Washington Entrepreneurial Research Evaluation and Commercialization Hub (WE-

REACH) to A.O.O.

Abbreviations

430 GCV, Ganciclovir; VGCV, Valganciclovir; GCV-TP, Ganciclovir triphosphate; TDM, Therapeutic
431 drug monitoring; REACTR, REverse transcriptase ACTivity with CRISPR; LC-MS/MS, Liquid
432 chromatography tandem mass spectrometry; HPLC, high-performance liquid chromatography;
433 RT, Reverse transcriptase; cCMV, Congenital cytomegalovirus; NRTI, Nucleotide reverse
434 transcriptase inhibitor; DBS, Dried blood spots.
435

References

[1] M. Zuhair *et al.*, "Estimation of the worldwide seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus: A
systematic review and meta-analysis," *Reviews in Medical Virology*, vol. 29, no. 3, p.
e2034, May 2019, doi: 10.1002/rmv.2034.
[2] P. Ssentongo *et al.*, "Congenital Cytomegalovirus Infection Burden and Epidemiologic Risk
Factors in Countries With Universal Screening: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis,"

JAMA Netw Open, vol. 4, no. 8, p. e2120736, Aug. 2021, doi:
10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.20736.

[3]   D. W. Kimberlin, R. Banerjee, E. D. Barnett, R. Lynfield, and M. H. Sawyer, Eds.,
"Cytomegalovirus Infection," in *Red Book: 2024–2027 Report of the Committee on*
Infectious Diseases, 33rd ed., American Academy of Pediatrics345 Park Blvd, Itasca, IL
60143, 2024, pp. 344–352. doi: 10.1542/9781610027373-S3_003_015.

[4]   M. J. Korndewal, A. M. Oudesluys-Murphy, A. C. M. Kroes, M. A. B. Van Der Sande, H. E.
De Melker, and A. C. T. M. Vossen, "Long-term impairment attributable to congenital
cytomegalovirus infection: a retrospective cohort study," *Develop Med Child Neuro*, vol. 59,
no. 12, pp. 1261–1268, Dec. 2017, doi: 10.1111/dmcn.13556.

[5]   S. D. Grosse, P. Fleming, M. H. Pesch, and W. D. Rawlinson, "Estimates of congenital
cytomegalovirus-attributable infant mortality in high-income countries: A review," *Reviews*
in Medical Virology, vol. 34, no. 1, p. e2502, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1002/rmv.2502.

[6]   E. Forte, Z. Zhang, E. B. Thorp, and M. Hummel, "Cytomegalovirus Latency and
Reactivation: An Intricate Interplay With the Host Immune Response," *Front. Cell. Infect.*
Microbiol., vol. 10, p. 130, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2020.00130.

[7]   S. R. Permar, M. R. Schleiss, and S. A. Plotkin, "A vaccine against cytomegalovirus: how
close are we?," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 135, no. 1, p. e182317, Jan. 2025,
doi: 10.1172/JCI182317.

[8]   A. Lankina, M. Raposo, A. Hargreaves, C. Atkinson, P. Griffiths, and M. B. Reeves,
"Developing a Vaccine Against Human Cytomegalovirus: Identifying and Targeting
HCMV's Immunological Achilles' Heel," *Vaccines*, vol. 13, no. 5, p. 435, Apr. 2025, doi:
10.3390/vaccines13050435.

[9]   J. C. Martin, C. A. Dvorak, D. F. Smee, T. R. Matthews, and J. P. H. Verheyden, "9-(1,3-
Dihydroxy-2-propoxymethyl)guanine: a new potent and selective antiherpes agent," *J.*
Med. Chem., vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 759–761, May 1983, doi: 10.1021/jm00359a023.

[10] K. K. Biron *et al.*, "Metabolic activation of the nucleoside analog 9-[(2-hydroxy-1-
(hydroxymethyl)ethoxy]methyl)guanine in human diploid fibroblasts infected with human
cytomegalovirus.," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, vol. 82, no. 8, pp. 2473–2477, Apr. 1985,
doi: 10.1073/pnas.82.8.2473.

[11] K. B. Frank, J. F. Chiou, and Y. C. Cheng, "Interaction of herpes simplex virus-induced
DNA polymerase with 9-(1,3-dihydroxy-2-propoxymethyl)guanine triphosphate.," *Journal of*
Biological Chemistry, vol. 259, no. 3, pp. 1566–1569, Feb. 1984, doi: 10.1016/S0021-
9258(17)43446-6.

[12] S. Marfil *et al.*, "Subtherapeutic Exposure of Ganciclovir in Children Despite Appropriate
Dosing: A Short Communication," *Therapeutic Drug Monitoring*, vol. 45, no. 2, p. 269, Apr.
2023, doi: 10.1097/FTD.0000000000001050.

[13] J. Leung, S. D. Grosse, B. Yockey, and T. M. Lanzieri, "Ganciclovir and Valganciclovir Use
Among Infants With Congenital Cytomegalovirus: Data From a Multicenter Electronic
Health Record Dataset in the United States," *Journal of the Pediatric Infectious Diseases*
Society, vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 379–382, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.1093/jpids/piac034.

[14] A.-G. Märtson *et al.*, "Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of Ganciclovir: Where Are We?,"
Therapeutic Drug Monitoring, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 138–147, Feb. 2022, doi: 10/gqmxjv.

[15] K. Hosseini, W. March, F. H. M. Jongsma, F. Hendrikse, and M. Motamedi, "Noninvasive
Detection of Ganciclovir in Ocular Tissue by Raman Spectroscopy: Implication for
Monitoring of Drug Release," *Journal of Ocular Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, vol. 18,
no. 3, pp. 277–285, Jun. 2002, doi: 10.1089/108076802760116197.

[16] S. Saleh and G. Hempel, "Quantification of ganciclovir in human plasma using capillary
electrophoresis," *Electrophoresis*, vol. 27, no. 12, pp. 2439–2443, Jun. 2006, doi:
10.1002/elps.200500903.

[17] T. Alinejad, C. Chen, M. Shamsipur, M. Bagher Gholivand, and G. Paimard,
"Electrochemical evaluation and determination of antiretroviral drug ganciclovir based on
Fe-Cu/TiO2/ multi-walled carbon nanotubes sensor," *Measurement*, vol. 214, p. 112846,
Jun. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.measurement.2023.112846.
[18] P.-P. Piché-Renaud *et al.*, "Treatment of Congenital Cytomegalovirus and Ganciclovir
Therapeutic Drug Monitoring in Twin Preterm Infants," *The Journal of Pediatric
Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 93–101, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.5863/1551-
6776-28.1.93.
[19] A. Abu Bakar, H. Payne, N. Tickner, M. A. Muhd Helmi, T. G. Jacobs, and H. Lyall,
"Dosing, Toxicity and Drug Concentrations for Ganciclovir/Valganciclovir in Preterm and
Low Birthweight Infants Treated for Cytomegalovirus," *Pediatric Infectious Disease
Journal*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 319–325, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.1097/INF.0000000000004605.
[20] A. Galar *et al.*, "Valganciclovir—Ganciclovir Use and Systematic Therapeutic Drug
Monitoring. An Invitation to Antiviral Stewardship," *Antibiotics*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 77, Jan.
2021, doi: 10.3390/antibiotics10010077.
[21] B. M. Ritchie *et al.*, "Relationship of Ganciclovir Therapeutic Drug Monitoring with Clinical
Efficacy and Patient Safety," *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. e01855-18,
Mar. 2019, doi: 10.1128/AAC.01855-18.
[22] P.-A. Billat *et al.*, "Plasma and intracellular exposure to ganciclovir in adult renal transplant
recipients: is there an association with haematological toxicity?," *J. Antimicrob.
Chemother.*, vol. 71, no. 2, pp. 484–489, Feb. 2016, doi: 10.1093/jac/dkv342.
[23] H. Wiltshire *et al.*, "Pharmacodynamics of Oral Ganciclovir and Valganciclovir in Solid
Organ Transplant Recipients," *Transplantation*, vol. 79, no. 11, pp. 1477–1483, Jun. 2005,
doi: 10.1097/01.TP.0000164512.99703.AD.
[24] C. Stockmann, C. M. T. Sherwin, E. D. Knackstedt, A. L. Hersh, A. T. Pavia, and M. G.
Spigarelli, "Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of Ganciclovir Treatment for Cytomegalovirus
Infections Among Immunocompromised Children," *Journal of the Pediatric Infectious
Diseases Society*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 231–232, Jun. 2016, doi: 10.1093/jpids/piw008.
[25] Q.-Y. Li, J. van den Anker, Y.-E. Wu, G.-X. Hao, and W. Zhao, "Optimizing ganciclovir and
valganciclovir dosing regimens in pediatric patients with cytomegalovirus infection: a
spotlight on therapeutic drug monitoring," *Expert Review of Clinical Pharmacology*, Aug.
2023, Accessed: Apr. 11, 2025. [Online]. Available:
https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/17512433.2023.2181161
[26] S. A. Ho, Slavin ,Monica, Roberts ,Jason A., and M. and Yong, "Optimization of Ganciclovir
use in allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant recipients – the role of therapeutic drug
monitoring," *Expert Review of Anti-infective Therapy*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 707–718, Jun.
2021, doi: 10.1080/14787210.2021.1851193.
[27] E. K. McCreary, N. Narayanan, T. Tängdén, and A.-G. Märtson, "(Val)ganciclovir Dosing
for Cytomegalovirus: Revisiting the 2005 Wiltshire et al. PK/PD target," *CMI
Communications*, p. 105171, Dec. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.cmicom.2025.105171.
[28] P.-A. Billat *et al.*, "Liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry quantitation of
intracellular concentrations of ganciclovir and its phosphorylated forms," *Anal Bioanal
Chem*, vol. 407, no. 12, pp. 3449–3456, May 2015, doi: 10.1007/s00216-015-8554-0.
[29] A. O. Olanrewaju *et al.*, "Enzymatic Assay for Rapid Measurement of Antiretroviral Drug
Levels," *ACS Sens.*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 952–959, Apr. 2020, doi:
10.1021/acssensors.9b02198.
[30] A. O. Olanrewaju *et al.*, "Pilot evaluation of an enzymatic assay for rapid measurement of
antiretroviral drug concentrations," *Virol J*, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 77, Dec. 2021, doi:
10.1186/s12985-021-01543-x.
[31] A. O. Olanrewaju *et al.*, "REVERSE TRANSCRIPTASE chain termination (RESTRICT) for
selective measurement of nucleotide analogs used in HIV care and prevention,"

Bioengineering & Transla Med, vol. 8, no. 1, p. e10369, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1002/btm2.10369.

[32] C. Brainerd *et al.*, "REverse transcriptase ACTivity (REACT) assay for point-of-care measurement of established and emerging antiretrovirals for HIV treatment and prevention," Aug. 17, 2024, *Bioengineering*. doi: 10.1101/2024.08.13.607804.

[33] M. A. Singh, M. M. Chang, Q. Wang, C. Rodgers, B. R. Lutz, and A. O. Olanrewaju, "Rapid Enzymatic Assay for Antiretroviral Drug Monitoring Using CRISPR-Cas12a-Enabled Readout," *ACS Synth. Biol.*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 510–519, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.1021/acssynbio.4c00674.

[34] L. Y. Shin *et al.*, "Impact of CMV Therapy With Valganciclovir on Immune Activation and the HIV Viral Load in Semen and Blood: An Observational Clinical Study," *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 251–258, Mar. 2014, doi: 10.1097/01.qai.0000435256.34306.c1.

[35] C. Vanpouille *et al.*, "A common anti-cytomegalovirus drug, ganciclovir, inhibits HIV-1 replication in human tissues ex vivo," *AIDS*, vol. 31, no. 11, p. 1519, Jul. 2017, doi: 10.1097/QAD.0000000000001532.

[36] B. Lindquist-Kleissler *et al.*, "Intracellular Ganciclovir Triphosphate Concentrations in Children With Congenital Cytomegalovirus Infection," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, p. jiaf633, Dec. 2025, doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiaf633.

[37] W. Chernoske *et al.*, "Dataset for the manuscript 'REverse-transcriptase ACTivity with CRISPR (REACTR) Assay for Ganciclovir Triphosphate Monitoring.'" Zenodo, Dec. 08, 2025. doi: 10.5281/ZENODO.17860212.

[38] Q. T. Orb *et al.*, "Congenital Cytomegalovirus Testing Outcomes From the ValEAR Trial," *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*, vol. 170, no. 5, pp. 1430–1441, May 2024, doi: 10.1002/ohn.670.

[39] J.-H. Zheng *et al.*, "Application of an intracellular assay for determination of tenofovir-diphosphate and emtricitabine-triphosphate from erythrocytes using dried blood spots," *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, vol. 122, pp. 16–20, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jpba.2016.01.038.

[40] L. R. Bushman *et al.*, "Determination of nucleoside analog mono-, di-, and tri-phosphates in cellular matrix by solid phase extraction and ultra-sensitive LC–MS/MS detection," *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 390–401, Sep. 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.jpba.2011.05.039.

[41] J. E. Rower, C. Nielson, K. Shi, and A. H. Park, "Validation of an assay for quantifying ganciclovir in dried blood spots," *J Pharm Biomed Anal*, vol. 184, p. 113181, May 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jpba.2020.113181.

[42] J.-H. Zhang, T. D. Y. Chung, and K. R. Oldenburg, "A Simple Statistical Parameter for Use in Evaluation and Validation of High Throughput Screening Assays," *SLAS Discovery*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 67–73, Apr. 1999, doi: 10.1177/108705719900400206.