**Comparative essentialome analysis of six *Pectobacteriaceae* strains using the TNSEEK pipeline identifies conserved and strain-specific fitness determinants**

Running title: Essential genes across Pectobacteriaceae

Julie Baltenneck[1*], Loïc Couderc[2*], Jacques Pédron[3*], Guillemette Marot[4], Areski Flissi[5], Hélène Touzet[5], Erwan Gueguen[1#], Marie-Anne Barny[3#], Guy Condemine[1#]

[1] Univ Lyon, Université Lyon 1, INSA de Lyon, CNRS UMR 5240 Microbiologie Adaptation et Pathogénie, Villeurbanne, France

[2] Univ. Lille, CNRS, Inserm, CHU Lille, Institut Pasteur de Lille, US 41 - UAR 2014 - PLBS, Lille, France

[3] Sorbonne Université, INRAE, Institut d'Écologie et des Sciences de l'Environnement de Paris, Paris, France

[4] Univ. Lille, ULR2694 Metrics, Lille, France

[5] Univ. Lille, CNRS, Centrale Lille, UMR 9189 - CRIStAL - Centre de Recherche en Informatique Signal et Automatique de Lille, Lille, France

*Co first authors listed in alphabetical order
[#] Co last authors listed on the basis of seniority

Corresponding author: guy.condemine@insa-lyon.fr

Abstract word count: 229
Main text word count: 7549

## Summary

Transposon sequencing (Tn-seq) is a powerful technique for defining the essential genes required for bacterial survival. However, gene essentiality can vary significantly across taxonomic levels, and comparing large Tn-seq datasets from multiple strains presents considerable analytical challenges. To address this, we developed TNSEEK, a fully automated bioinformatics pipeline for the systematic and comparative analysis of transposon sequencing experiments. We applied TNSEEK to analyze six Soft Rot *Pectobacteriaceae* (SRP) strains, encompassing species from the *Dickeya* and *Pectobacterium* genera, grown in a rich medium. This approach identified a core essentialome of 225 genes, primarily involved in fundamental cellular maintenance, conserved across all six strains, a set comparable in size to that of the broader *Enterobacteriaceae* family. Only a few genus-specific essential genes were found highlighting interesting distinct metabolic capabilities between *Dickeya* and *Pectobacterium* genera*.* In striking contrast, we discovered a large variable essentialome comprising 181 strain-specific genes, many of which of unknown function. A portion of these strain-specific essential genes are components of defense systems and prophage genomic regions. The unexpected essentiality of these modules suggests they form a constitutively active frontline defense. Furthermore, a comparison with the *E. coli* essentialome demonstrates that discrepancies in gene essentiality can often be attributed to differences in growth conditions, particularly temperature, as well as variations in genetic redundancy. In conclusion, the TNSEEK pipeline is a robust tool for exploring functional genomics across multiple strains.

**IMPORTANCE (150 mots max)**

*Dickeya* and *Pectobacterium* are two genera of the *Pectobacteriaceae* family that contain mainly plant pathogenic bacteria. To analyze the diversity within bacteria of this family, we performed a Tn-seq analysis on six strains representing a range of ecological niches. To this

aim we developed a bioinformatics pipeline, termed TNSEEK which allows the comparative

analysis of results across diverse experimental conditions and multiple strains. Applied to

growth in rich medium of the tested strains, it allowed the identification of an essentialome of

225 genes at the family level, few genus-specific essential genes but a large essentialome

comprising 181 strain-specific genes, many of which of unknown function. Thus, TNSEEK

proved its ability to analyze a large data set coming from different Tn-seq experiments and it

offers an unparalleled flexibility in handling any number of strains and experiments.

**INTRODUCTION**

In 2005, Samson *et al.* revised the genus *Pectobacterium*, which encompasses

phytopathogenic bacteria responsible for soft rot symptoms, and established the distinct

genus *Dickeya* (1). Subsequently, epidemiological and taxonomic investigations have led to

the identification of numerous novel species within both genera. Currently, 23 recognized

species of *Pectobacterium* and 13 species of *Dickeya* have been characterized (2, 3). Soft

rot *Pectobacteriaceae* (SRP) exhibit a broad host range, encompassing both

monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plant species. The majority of these bacteria have

been isolated from soft rot symptoms in a diverse array of infected plants. These plants

frequently include species of significant agronomic importance, such as potato, rice, maize,

cabbage, and ornamentals. These bacteria are capable of inducing disease during both pre-

harvest production and post-harvest storage. Given the frequent monitoring of these crops

during growth and storage, the isolation of plant-infecting strains is regularly achieved.

However, certain SRP strains can also be found in alternative environments such as weeds

or water (4) and some species have been mostly isolated from freshwater sources and have

not been associated with plant hosts. Examples include *Dickeya aquatica*, *D. lacustris*, *D.*

*undicola*, and *P. aquaticum*  (5). Whole-genome sequencing reveals genome size variability

both intragenerically and intraspecifically. Specifically, within the *Dickeya* genus, average

genome sizes range from 4.02 Mb for *D. poaceiphila* to 5.35 Mb for *D. dadantii*.

Furthermore, intraspecific variation in genome size is evident, with *D. zeae* exhibiting a

range from 4.56 Mb to 4.93 Mb and *D. dadantii* ranging from 4.66 Mb to 5.35 Mb (3).

Genomics also allows development of high-throughput technologies, such as transposon

sequencing (Tn-seq) that have facilitated the identification of numerous essential genes

within a given bacterial strain (6). However, interpretations based on the analysis of a single,

typically laboratory-adapted strain should be approached with caution. To mitigate this

limitation, Tn-seq analysis of multiple strains is imperative. For instance, Ghomi *et al.* (7)

analyzed 14 strains to define a core set of 201 essential genes for the *Enterobacteriaceae*

family. Their analysis of individual strains revealed between 300 and 440 essential genes in

each respective strain. Similarly, an investigation involving 17 strains of *Streptococcus*

*pneumoniae* identified 206 core essential genes, 186 genes present in all strains but

essential in only a subset, and 128 essential genes located within the accessory genome (8).

These findings underscore the strain-dependent nature of gene essentiality and emphasize

the necessity of analyzing and comparing data from a substantial number of strains to

achieve a robust understanding of essentiality at the species, genus, and family levels.

To enable such a comparative analysis of extensive transposon sequencing (Tn-seq)

datasets generated from multiple bacterial strains, we developed a fully automated

bioinformatics pipeline, termed TNSEEK. This pipeline encompasses the entire data

processing workflow, from raw reads to the prediction of essential genes, and permits the

comparative analysis of results across diverse experimental conditions and multiple strains.

TNSEEK was utilized to analyze Tn-seq data obtained from six Soft Rot *Pectobacteriaceae*

(SRP) strains, comprising three *Dickeya* species (*D. dadantii*, *D. parazeae*, and *D.*

*fangzhongdai*) and three *Pectobacterium* species (*P. atrosepticum*, *P. versatile*, and *P.*

*aquaticum*), representing a range of ecological niches. The application of the TNSEEK

pipeline facilitated the determination of the core essential genome of SRP and allowed for

the differentiation of functions associated with core and strain-specific essential genes.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and growth conditions**

All bacterial strains and oligonucleotides employed in this study are detailed in Tables S1

and S2. *Escherichia coli* cells were cultured at 37°C in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium. *Dickeya*

and *Pectobacterium* cells were cultured at 25°C in 50% Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB; 20 g/L)

medium (Formedium Ltd, Norfolk, UK). When required, media were solidified by the addition

of 1.5 g/L agar. Antibiotics were supplemented at the following concentrations: 100 µg/mL

for ampicillin (Amp), 25 µg/mL for kanamycin (Km), and 25 µg/mL for chloramphenicol (Cm).

**Construction of the transposon library**

For each bacterial strain, 10 optical density units at 600 nm ($OD_{600}$.U) of an overnight culture

were combined with 10 $OD_{600}$.U of an overnight culture of *E. coli* MFDpir/pSamEC. The

pSamEC vector is a suicide mobilizable plasmid containing a kanamycin resistance gene

($Km^R$) flanked by mariner inverted repeat sequences with an *MmeI* restriction site and the

*himar1*-C9 transposase gene under the control of the *lac* promoter (9). This transposon

specifically inserts at TA dinucleotide sites within the genome. This mixture was centrifuged

at 5,000 rpm for 10 minutes at ambient temperature. The resulting bacterial pellet was

resuspended in 100 µL of TSB 50% and dropped onto a TSB 50% agar plate supplemented

with 57 mg/L diaminopimelate. Following incubation overnight at 30°C, bacteria were

collected and resuspended in 1 mL of TSB 50%. A sample was then diluted and plated onto

a TSB 50% agar plate containing kanamycin to assess mutagenesis efficiency. The

remaining bacterial suspension was spread onto TSB 50% agar plates with kanamycin and

incubated for 48 hours at 30°C. Bacteria were harvested from the plates by adding TSB

50%. The cultures were adjusted to an $OD_{600nm}$ of 60, mixed with an equal volume of 80%

glycerol, aliquoted, and stored at -80°C.

**141** **DNA preparation for Tn-seq high-throughput sequencing**

**142** Genomic DNA was extracted from approximately 90 mg of bacterial cells  for the control

**143** strain libraries, and from cultures grown for at least seven generations in 50% TSB at 25 °C for

**144** the  mutant libraries using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification kit (Promega), following the

**145** manufacturer's protocol. Subsequent steps followed precisely the methodology described by

**146** Baffert *et al* (10).

**147**

**148** **Sequencing and genome assembly**

**149** High-quality, complete genome sequences were generated using a hybrid sequencing

**150** approach, combining Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) long-read and Illumina short-

**151** read sequencing. *P. versatile* strain A73-S18-O15 and *Dickeya parazeae* strain A586-S18-

**152** A17 were grown in 2 mL of liquid LB medium at 28°C. The bacterial cells were then

**153** harvested by centrifugation and genomic DNA was extracted using the method described by

**154** Sambrook and Russell (2006).  Illumina sequencing was performed at the Next Generation

**155** Sequencing Core Facility of the Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC). Nextera

**156** DNA libraries were prepared from 50 ng of high-quality genomic DNA. Paired-end

**157** sequencing (2 × 75 bp) was conducted on an Illumina NextSeq 500/550 instrument using a

**158** High Output 150-cycle kit, resulting in approximately 60-fold coverage for strain A586-S18-

**159** A17 and 180-fold coverage for strain A73-S18-O15. Long-read sequencing was conducted

**160** at the Genotoul platform (https://www.genotoul.fr) employing Oxford Nanopore GridION

**161** technology over a 72-hour run. This yielded 447,101 reads for strain A586-S18-A17 and

**162** 335,205 reads for strain A73-S18-O15, with average read lengths of 4,473 bp and 5,173 bp,

**163** respectively. The resulting sequencing depths were 417-fold for A586-S18-A17 and 361-fold

**164** for A73-S18-O15. Hybrid genome assembly, utilizing reads from both long-read and short-

**165** read sequencing strategies, was performed using Unicycler v0.4.9 with default parameters

**166** (11). The assembled genomes were deposited and annotated on the National Center for

Biotechnology Information (NCBI) platform and accession numbers for each genome are

indicated in Table S1 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly).

An orthologous gene matrix was computed for the 6 analyzed genomes using the

Orthologous Matrix (OMA) standalone package (https://omabrowser.org/standalone/) (12).

Functional annotation of essential genes was performed using eggNOG-mapper v2 (13)

against the eggNOG v5.0 database (14). The resulting OMA orthogroups and functional

annotations are presented in Table S3.

**Description of TNSEEK**

To enhance the analysis of a substantial volume of sequencing experiments, we have

developed an entirely automated bioinformatics pipeline, TNSEEK, which encompasses the

entire processing chain—from raw reads to the prediction of essential and conditionally

essential genes. TNSEEK relies primarily on statistical approaches provided by the

TRANSIT software suite (15), and offers additional features to facilitate comparison of

results across multiple conditions and strains. An overview is provided in Figure 1, and its

key steps are outlined below:

Step 1: Read mapping and construction of count tables. Initially, reads were filtered to select

only those containing the transposon sequence ACAGGTTGGATGATAAGTCCCCGGTCT

at the 5' end. These sequences were then trimmed from reads using Cutadapt (16), and the

appropriately sized reads were mapped to the reference genome with Bowtie2 (end-to-end

alignment) (17). Specific parameters are applied during this stage: the minimal overlap

between a read and the transposon is set to 7 nucleotides, and a maximal error rate of 0.05

is permitted when searching for the transposon sequence. Reads are discarded if they are

shorter than 16 or longer than 17 nucleotides. To build the raw count tables, only reads that

align uniquely to the genome and whose 3' end corresponds to a "TA" dinucleotide are

retained; all other reads are discarded

Step 2: Normalization and quality control. We began by applying LOESS normalization (local

weighted regression) to correct for replication origin bias. We then eliminated non-biological

variability between tables using TTR normalization (Trimmed Total Reads). This step

resulted in one normalized count table per strain and condition, along with several quality

control metrics, taken from TRANSIT: sum, mean, skewness, and kurtosis of the read counts

in the dataset, the density (i.e., the fraction of sites with insertions), as well as QQ (Quantile-

quantile) and QC (Quality control) plots. The pipeline also performed pairwise comparisons

of replicates: For each strain and each condition, we computed the Pearson correlation

coefficient between TA-sites for each pair of replicates

Step 3: Detailed characterization of essential genes. Based on the read counting, genes

were classified into four categories: essential (ES), growth defect (GD), growth advantage

(GA) and non-essential (NE). For that, we used the Hidden Markov Model (HMM) approach

introduced in (15), that models the genome as a sequence of four hidden states calculating

the likelihood of observed insertion counts for each gene.

Step 4: Characterization of conditionally essential genes: TNSEEK can also identify

conditionally essential genes. TRANSIT's RESAMPLING method is used to compare

experimental conditions with the control conditions. TNSEEK features an intuitive user

interface and facilitates the interpretation of results by generating a comprehensive report

accessible via any standard web browser. This report enables users to systematically

navigate through the analysis process, accompanied by a diverse array of figures and

graphical representations. For a holistic understanding, all findings are synthesized into

global tables at the strain level. This step is not used in the present study,

Step 5: Tn-seq result comparative analysis: TNSEEK provides additional insights to compare

essential and conditionally essential genes across species, utilizing Orthologous Matrix

Analysis (OMA) orthogroup links between strains to enhance comparative analysis. This

allows to differentiate between a TNSEEK-predicted conserved, core essential genes shared

between all strains and variable combinations of strain-specific essential genes, which is

critical for understanding the diversity and adaptability of bacterial species.

Step 6: TNSEEK visualisation. TNSEEK also incorporates a visualization tool for the detailed

examination of individual genes and comparison between orthologous genes (Fig. 2).

TNSEEK comes with a user-friendly interface, and facilitates result interpretation by

generating a comprehensive report that can be conveniently accessed through any web

browser. This report enables users to navigate through the analysis process step by step,

accompanied by a diverse range of figures and graphs. For a holistic understanding, all

results are summarized in global tables at the strain level, providing a comprehensive

overview. Additionally, TNSEEK offers additional insights into essential genes and

conditionally essential genes across species with orthology links between strains, thus

facilitating comparative analysis. Lastly, TNSEEK includes a visualization tool dedicated to

investigating individual genes. The computational core of TNSEEK is implemented in Python

and R. The graphical user interface, specifically the web application designed for data

exploration and visualization, is developed using R Shiny and SQLite. The report generation

is facilitated by R Markdown. The complete pipeline is readily installable and executable as a

Docker    image.    Source    code    and    detailed    documentation    are    available    at

https://gitlab.cristal.univ-lille.fr/bonsai/tnseek.

**Analysis of essential genes**

To validate the essential gene predictions generated by the TNSEEK pipeline, several

confirmatory criteria were established. Firstly, gene duplications within each strain's genome

were identified by conducting all-against-all nucleotide sequence similarity searches using

BLAST 2.13.0+ (E-value threshold $10^{-5}$), and any duplicated genes were subsequently

excluded from the essential gene list and annotated ES DU standing for "duplicated" (Table

S3). Secondly, given the potential polar effects of mariner transposon insertions, the operon

structure of TNSEEK-predicted essential genes was analyzed as described in the result

section. UpSet plots were generated using the Python implementation of the UpSet library

(Fig. 3A and 3B) (18). Intact phage regions within the six genomes were identified by

PHASTEST (https://phastest.ca/).

**RESULTS**

**Genomic diversity and core Genome of the six selected *Pectobacteriaceae* strains**

In this study, we compared the essential genes of six SRP strains, selected to represent a

variety of ecological niches. The chosen species include three from the *Dickeya* genus (*D.*

*dadantii*, *D. parazeae*, and *D. fangzhongdai*) and three from the *Pectobacterium* genus (*P.*

*atrosepticum*, *P. versatile*, and *P. aquaticum*). This selection encompasses a broad

ecological range: *P. versatile* is isolated from both plants and surface water (2, 19), whereas

*P. atrosepticum* is mainly restricted to *Solanaceae* (2), and *P. aquaticum* was found

exclusively in waterways (19, 20). Similarly, within the *Dickeya* genus, *D. fangzhongdai*

occupies diverse habitats, including plants and aquatic environments (21–23), *D. parazeae*

is frequently found in water (19, 24) and infects herbaceous plants like maize and rice (3)

and *D. dadantii* is primarily associated with dicotyledonous plants (3).

Two of these strains, *P. atrosepticum* SCRI1043 and *D. dadantii* 3937, are well-established

model organisms whose genomes were among the first sequenced for their respective

genera (25, 26). The complete genomes of *P. aquaticum* A212-S19-A16 and *D.*

*fangzhongdai* B16 have also been previously published (20, 23). For this study, we

sequenced and assembled the complete genomes of the remaining two strains: *P. versatile*

A73-S18-O15 and *D. parazeae* A586-S18-A17.

A single replicon was observed for all strains analyzed and no plasmid was detected. The

genome sizes range from 4.46 Mb (*P. aquaticum*) to 5.06 Mb (*P. versatile*). The number of

coding sequences (CDS) varies from 4,038 (*P. aquaticum*) to 4,570 (*D. dadantii*). The

number of pseudogenes ranges from 33 in *D. fangzhondai* to 128 in *P. aquaticum*, while the

number of CRISPR arrays varies from one (*P. aquaticum*) to five (*D. fangzhondai*).

While the six strains share a core genome of 2,396 genes, a substantial portion of each

genome is unique (Fig 3A). The number of strain-specific genes ranges from 378 in *D.*

*fangzhongdai* to 727 in *D. dadantii*, underscoring a high degree of genomic individuality. The

analysis also reinforces the genomic divergence between the two genera, identifying 466

genes exclusive to the *Dickeya* strains and 376 genes exclusive to the *Pectobacterium*

strains. As a rule, the number of genes shared exclusively in pairs between two species was

higher for strains belonging to the same genus (from 273 to 76) than between species

belonging to different genera (from 35 to 11). This genomic variability prompted us to

investigate its functional consequences by defining and comparing the essential gene set for

each strain using TNSEEK.

**Generation and validation of mariner-based transposon libraries**

For the transposon sequencing (Tn-seq), a Himar9 mariner transposon derivative was

utilized. A mutant pool of approximately 1,000,000 colonies was generated for each of the

six SRP strains by introducing the plasposon pSam_Ec (9) via conjugation from *E. coli*. DNA

libraries were prepared in two technical replicates from this pool and analyzed using high-

throughput sequencing with the TNSEEK pipeline. The sequencing yielded high-quality data,

with initial read counts ranging from 9.1 to 14.7 million per sample and final mapped reads

between 8.6 and 13.8 million after filtering. Mapping efficiencies were consistently high,

between 84.42% and 96.08%, and the mean read depth per insertion site was uniform

across samples (122.2-129.4 reads), providing sufficient coverage for statistical analysis.

High Pearson correlation coefficients (0.96-0.99) between replicates confirmed the

experimental reproducibility. The number of unique transposon insertion sites varied

between the genera, with *Pectobacterium* strains showing higher counts (199,301-232,639)

than *Dickeya* strains (166,816-194,008), reflecting differences in genome size and

saturation. The TA insertion density, ranging from 37.9% to 62.7%, was sufficient for a

comprehensive determination of gene essentiality. The use of a standardized growth

condition (TSB 50%) allowed for the direct comparison of essential gene repertoires, which

facilitates the identification of both conserved core essential genes and species-specific

fitness determinants among these related phytopathogenic bacteria.

**Defining the core and variable essentialome of *Pectobacteriaceae***

The initial step in our functional analysis involved processing the raw Tn-seq data with the

TNSEEK pipeline to identify essential genes in each of the six strains. This first-pass

analysis yielded a set of 665 orthogroups containing at least one potentially essential gene

(Table S3). However, a known challenge with transposon-based methods like Tn-seq is the

risk of generating false positives due to polar effects (27). An insertion of the mariner

transposon within a gene can not only disrupt that gene but also prevent the transcription of

downstream genes located in the same operon. This can make these downstream genes

appear essential, when in reality their function was never directly tested by an insertion.

To address this challenge and ensure the high quality of our dataset, we implemented a

stringent and systematic curation strategy. The logic of our approach was to specifically

identify and remove cases where essentiality is questionable due to a polar effect. We

leveraged both known operon structures for *D. dadantii* 3937 (28) and predicted operon

structures based on synteny for the other five strains. For each putative operon containing

multiple genes identified as essential, we applied a conservative rule: only the gene located

most distal from the promoter was retained as essential. The rationale is that an insertion in

this last gene is the least likely to have a polar effect on any other gene, making its

essentiality call the most reliable. However, this rule was applied with a critical exception to

avoid inadvertently discarding truly essential genes. If a gene located upstream in an operon

had a known essential ortholog in the well-characterized model organism *E. coli* K-12 (29)

was maintained in our essential gene set. This bioinformatic cross-validation allowed us to

anchor our predictions in established biological knowledge. This meticulous approach

enabled us to identify 455 orthogroups whose essentiality in at least one of the six analyzed

strains could not be attributed to polarity effects. Among the 210 orthogroups categorized as

ES by the TNSEEK pipeline that could be prone to artifactual categorisation due to the

polarity of the Mariner transposon, 97 were categorized as essential in *E. coli* K12 by

Goodall et al  (29). We opted to include these 97 orthogroups in our subsequent analysis.

The majority of these, 76 orthogroups, were categorized as ES across all six analyzed

strains. Through this rigorous, multi-step curation process, we successfully filtered out

ambiguous cases. This refined our initial list to a final, high-confidence dataset of 552

orthogroups containing essential genes, with at least one ES gene across the six analyzed

strains (Table S4). This curated dataset formed the robust foundation for the subsequent

comparative analysis of the core and variable essentialomes.

**The comparative analysis of the 6 strains discriminates between core, genus-specific**

**and strain-specific essentialomes**

Our comparative analysis, based on the high-confidence set of 552 essential orthogroups,

highlights a distinction in the genetic requirements for survival among the SRP strains. We

first identified a conserved core essentialome comprising 225 orthogroups (Figure 3B and

Table S5). These genes are required for growth in all six strains under the tested conditions.

The total number of essential genes per strain was also relatively consistent, varying from

316 for *P. versatile*, 320 for *P. aquaticum*, 345 for *D. dadantii*, 340 for *P. atrosepticum* and

361 for *D. fangzhongdai* or *D. parazeae.*

In addition to this core set, we identified a large strain-specific essentialome, consisting of

181 genes pointing toward a considerable degree of functional individuality. The contribution

of this variable set to each strain's essentialome differed, ranging from 16 unique essential

genes in *P. versatile* to 39 in *P. atrosepticum* (Figure 3B and Tables S6, S7, S8, S9, S10,

S11). This suggests that each strain may have developed specific dependencies on certain

accessory genes. Only a few orthogroups exhibited genus-specific essentiality: 18

orthogroups were found to be exclusively essential for the three *Dickeya* species (Table

S12). Even fewer, 3 orthogroups, were exclusively essential for the three *Pectobacterium*

species (Table S13 and Figure 3B).

We then investigated the genetic basis for this strain-specific essentiality. In a high

proportion of cases (87.6%), a gene was essential in a single strain because its orthologs

were absent from the genomes of the other five strains (Table 1). This observation links the

functional essentiality to the genomic diversity described previously, indicating that the

variable essentialome is primarily composed of the unique gene repertoire of each strain.

Furthermore, our analysis suggests that gene essentiality can be viewed as a continuum of

fitness contribution. This was apparent for genes essential in a majority of strains (four or

five). In these instances, their orthologs in the remaining strains were predominantly

classified as causing a growth defect (GD) (Table 1). This indicates that while the absence of

these genes is not strictly lethal in all genetic backgrounds, it likely imposes a significant

fitness cost.

In summary, the essentialome of these *Pectobacteriaceae* strains is characterized by two

components: a stable, conserved core reflecting their shared biology, and a larger, variable

component derived from the accessory genome, which appears to shape the specific

survival dependencies of each strain.

**Distinct functional signatures of the core and strain-specific essentialomes**

To investigate the biological roles of the core and variable essentialomes, we performed a

functional analysis using the COG (Clusters of Orthologous Groups) database. The results

revealed clear differences in the functional profiles of these two gene sets (Figure 4A).

First, we analyzed the functional distribution of all 552 orthogroups containing at least one

essential gene. Of these, 458 could be assigned to a COG category, while 94 remained

unclassified. As expected, categories related to core cellular processes were significantly

enriched. Specifically, the most overrepresented functions included "Translation, ribosomal

structure and biogenesis" and "Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis". In contrast,

functions related to environmental interaction and adaptation, such as "Defense

mechanisms" and "Cell motility", were the most underrepresented. A contrast was observed

when comparing the 225 core essential genes with the 181 strain-specific essential genes

(Figure 4B). The profile of the variable essentialome was different. A high proportion (50%)

of the 181 strain-specific essential genes could not be assigned to any COG category,

suggesting a gap in the functional annotation of these genes. For the genes that could be

classified, the most frequent designation was "Function unknown", which accounted for over

10% of the set. This functional divide indicates that while the machinery for cell maintenance

appears conserved, a portion of each strain's specific dependencies may be governed by a

diverse and less-characterized collection of genes.

**Comparative analysis with *E. coli* K-12 reveals the influence of growth conditions and**

**functional redundancy**

The *Pectobacteriaceae* family is part of the *Enterobacterales* order (30). To better

understand the factors governing gene essentiality in *Pectobacteriaceae*, we compared the

essentialome of the six SRP strains with that of the well-characterized *Enterobacterales*

model, *E. coli* K-12. This comparison allowed us to identify genes with differential essentiality

and to explore the biological reasons for these differences.

Our analysis identified a set of 24 genes that are considered essential for *E. coli* K-12 but

were found to be non-essential in all six of the SRP strains we investigated (Table S14). A

closer examination of these genes suggests that these discrepancies are not due to

fundamental biological divergence but rather highlights the principle of conditional

essentiality, where a gene's requirement is dependent on the specific environmental context.

A clear illustration of this is the *cydABCDX* gene cluster. In *E. coli*, these genes encode the

cytochrome bd-I quinol oxidase, a terminal oxidase in the electron transport chain.

Cytochrome bd-I is assembled from three core proteins: CydA (orthogroup OMA0072), CydB

(OMA01799), and CydX (QR68_06300). In addition, two accessory proteins, CydC

(OMA00690) and CydD (OMA00639), function as cysteine and glutathione exporters. These

transporters are essential for cytochrome maturation, as they facilitate the incorporation of

heme (31). While this complex is not required for growth at moderate temperatures, it

becomes critical for survival and cell division at 37°C, the standard culture temperature for *E.*

*coli* (32). Consequently, it is classified as essential under these conditions. However, our

SRP strains were cultured at a lower temperature of 25°C. At this temperature, alternative

respiratory pathways are sufficient in *E. coli*, and the *cyd* genes are not essential. The non-

essential status of these genes in our dataset is therefore consistent with the known

temperature-dependent physiology of *Enterobacterales*. A similar temperature-dependent

essentiality is observed for the *rpoE* gene. An *E. coli rpoE* mutant is unable to grow above

30°C, rendering *rpoE* essential at 37°C (33). Importantly, *Dickeya* and *Pectobacterium rpoE*

mutants exhibit no growth defects at 25°C, the temperature used in our experiments.

Furthermore, it is plausible that genes causing non-lethal growth defects in *E. coli* at 37°C

may be classified as essential in our study due to the different growth conditions. For

instance, genes such as *nlpD* (OMA02130), *priA* (OMA00249), and *secF* (OMA03091) are

classified as non-essential in Goodall's *E. coli* dataset (29). However, mutations in these

genes are known to impair growth, with the *secF* mutant, for example, displaying

exacerbated defects at lower temperatures (34–36). It is therefore possible that such growth

defects are more pronounced in *Dickeya* and *Pectobacterium* when cultured at 25°C, leading

to the depletion of these mutants from the population and thus an essential phenotype under

our experimental conditions.

Conversely, our analysis identified 14 orthogroups essential in all six SRP strains but non-

essential in *E. coli* K-12 (Table S15). The presence of paralogs and the existence of non-

orthologous functional analogs in *E. coli* account for these discrepancies. For example the

six SRP strains possess only a single copy of the *gpmA* essential gene (OMA03987), which

encodes a phosphoglyceromutase. In contrast, the *E. coli* genome contains in addition to

*gpm*A the paralog *gpmM*, that performs the same function. Due to this duplication, *E. coli*

can tolerate the loss of *gpmA*, as the function is maintained by *gpmM*. The *gpmA* gene is

therefore classified as non-essential in this genomic context. Both genes must be disrupted

simultaneously in *E. coli* to inhibit growth (37). The second mechanism is the use of non-

orthologous functional analogs, where the two organisms utilize different proteins to perform

the same essential task. This is the case for the DNA helicase loader function, a critical step

in the initiation of DNA replication. In *E. coli*, this essential function is encoded by the *dnaC*

gene (38). The SRP strains rely on a different helicase, belonging to orthogroup OMA05632,

which is essential in all six strains (39). Thus, although the function is essential in both

groups, it is performed by evolutionarily distinct genes.

**Genus-specific patterns of gene essentiality suggest a few distinct metabolic capabilities**

**between *Dickeya* and *Pectobacterium* genera**

Eighteen orthogroups contain genes that are essential in the three *Dickeya* species but not

in the three *Pectobacterium* species (Table S12). A clear example is the D-alanine-D-alanine

ligase (Ddl), which catalyzes a crucial step in peptidoglycan synthesis. *Dickeya* species

possess only the *ddlA* gene that codes for this activity (orthogroup OMA02306), while

*Pectobacterium* species have only *ddlB* (OMA03351). Consequently, the deletion of *ddlA* is

lethal in *Dickeya*, and the deletion of *ddlB* is lethal in *Pectobacterium*. In contrast, *E. coli*

possesses both *ddlA* and *ddlB*, providing functional redundancy that allows it to survive the

loss of either gene individually (40). Another case involves the *nrdA* (OMA00091) and *nrdB*

(OMA01483) genes, which encode the two subunits of the class Ia ribonucleotide reductase.

These genes are essential in both *E. coli* and *Dickeya*, but surprisingly, they are not

essential in *Pectobacterium* (Table S12) (Fig. 5A). While no duplication of *nrdA/B* was found

in *Pectobacterium*, they do possess an alternative ribonucleotide reductase system,

encoded by *nrdE* and *nrdF*. This alternative enzyme, which is absent in *Dickeya*, is known to

function in *E. coli* under specific conditions, such as iron-depleted, manganese-rich

conditions (41). Further investigation is needed to confirm if the *nrdE/F* system provides a

functional substitute for *nrdA/B* in *Pectobacterium* under the growth conditions used in this

study.

Conversely, a more limited set of genes was identified as essential specifically within the

*Pectobacterium* genus. Only three orthogroups contain genes that are essential in the three

studied *Pectobacterium* species but not in the three *Dickeya* species (Table S13).

OMA04333 includes essential orthologous genes in each *Pectobacterium* species

(DMB82_0011620, ECA2435, and LLE50_21950). Based on sequence similarity and

domain architecture, these proteins are orthologs of RdgA, a regulator previously identified

in *P. versatile* strain 71.  RdgA is linked to the control of pectin lyase production and, by

homology with *E. coli*, to DNA repair and stress-response pathways (42). We hypothesize

that these RdgA orthologs act as stress-responsive repressors to coordinate DNA damage

repair, control expression of potentially deleterious genes during rapid growth in rich media,

and thereby maintain cellular homeostasis and viability. Given their essentiality in

*Pectobacterium*, experimental characterization of their precise role is warranted.

Distinct essentiality patterns of shikimate pathway genes were also observed between

*Dickeya* and *Pectobacterium* when grown in TSB 50%. Specifically, in *Dickeya,* the genes

*aroA*, *aroC*, and *aroE* are essential, while these same genes are non-essential in

*Pectobacterium* (Fig. 5C and 5D). These genes encode critical enzymes responsible for

aromatic amino acid biosynthesis, culminating in the production of chorismate that is vital for

synthesizing phenylalanine, tyrosine, tryptophan, and other essential metabolites. Additional

observations show that *aroF*, encoding a tyrosine-sensitive DAHP synthase catalyzing the

first committed step, exhibits a growth-defect phenotype in *Dickeya* but is non-essential in

*Pectobacterium*, while *aroQ*, encoding a type II 3-dehydroquinate dehydratase, remains

essential in both genera. Two other DAHP synthase isoenzyme genes, *aroG* and *aroH*, as

well as the aromatic amino acid permease gene *aroP*, are non-essential in both. This pattern

suggests that *Dickeya* more heavily depends on *de novo* aromatic amino acid biosynthesis

for growth, even in nutrient-rich conditions, whereas *Pectobacterium* compensates for

pathway disruption effectively, likely through superior transport and utilization of

environmental amino acids and peptides. Proposed hypotheses to explain these genus-

specific differences include greater abundance or affinity of aromatic amino acid transporters

and enhanced peptide uptake and hydrolysis systems in *Pectobacterium*, allowing it to

scavenge sufficient aromatic amino acids from the complex peptides in TSB medium.

Additionally, evolutionary divergence in metabolic regulation and differences in the demand

for chorismate-derived compounds may contribute to these distinct physiological strategies.

**Defense related systems reveal strain-specific essential Restriction-Modification (R-**

**M), Toxin-Antitoxin and contact-dependent growth inhibition (CDI) systems**

Defense-related systems are crucial for protecting bacterial cells from foreign genetic

elements like bacteriophages and plasmids. To systematically identify the essential genes

carried by these modules, we analyzed all six genomes using the Defense-Finder tool. This

analysis revealed that the vast majority of defense genes are non-essential for growth in rich

medium. Specifically, out of 197 identified genes belonging to anti-phage systems, 152 were

categorized as non-essential. Nevertheless, by comparing the predicted defense systems

(Table S16) with our catalog of essential genes, we identified a critical subset of 14 essential

anti-phage genes belonging to eight distinct system types. This finding suggests that the

molecular activity associated with these essential genes is constitutive under our

experimental conditions, thereby imposing a selective pressure for their function even in a

phage-free environment.

Several of these essential genes are part of active Restriction-Modification (R-M) systems. In

these systems, a methyltransferase enzyme modifies specific DNA sequences in the host

genome, protecting it from cleavage by a corresponding restriction endonuclease. This

mechanism becomes essential for cell survival if the restriction enzyme is constitutively

expressed. A clear example is found in *D. fangzhongdai*, where the gene QR68_02190,

encoding a DNA cytosine methyltransferase, was identified as essential (Table S16). This

gene is located adjacent to QR68_02195, which encodes the restriction enzyme MvaI which

recognizes the sequence 5′-CCAGG-3′ and is inhibited by the methylation of the internal

cytosine residue (43, 44). The essentiality of the methyltransferase (QR68_02190) implies

that the MvaI restriction enzyme is actively expressed in the TSB 50% medium, making

protective methylation a prerequisite for survival. A similar configuration was observed in *D.*

*parazeae*, where the essential gene LHK94_01575 encodes a site-specific DNA

methyltransferase (tableS16). This enzyme is homologous to the SmaI methyltransferase

from *Serratia marcescens*, which targets the palindromic sequence 5′-CCCGGG-3′. Located

in its genomic vicinity is LHK94_01580, which encodes a Type II restriction enzyme

homologous to the SmaI enzyme. Therefore, the essentiality of the methyltransferase gene

LHK94_01575 is required to prevent the LHK94_01580 enzyme from cleaving the

chromosome. These two examples show that specific Type II R-M systems are essential in

*D. fangzhongdai* and *D. parazeae*. This contrasts with many other methyltransferases

identified by Defense-Finder, which were classified as non-essential in our analysis. For

these non-essential systems, it is likely that their cognate restriction enzymes are either

absent from the genome or not actively expressed under the tested conditions.

The analysis of defense systems revealed that several Toxin-Antitoxin (TA) systems exhibit

diverse essentiality profiles across the studied strains (Table S16). These systems typically

function as addiction modules to ensure their stable inheritance. The essentiality of an

antitoxin gene is a strong indicator that its corresponding toxin is actively expressed, making

the antitoxin's neutralizing function critical for host viability. Defense Finder also identified

several Toxin-Antitoxin (TA) systems with diverse essentiality profiles. Among these, the

RosmerTA system consists of the RmrA antitoxin, a Zn-dependent peptidase, and the RmrT

toxin, which induces membrane depolarization (45). While the RmrT toxin shows sequence

variability, the TA system is conserved in *D. parazeae* (LHK94_20535/LHK94_20530), *P.*

*atrosepticum* (ECA2912/ECA2211), and *P. versatile* (LLE50_14920/LLE50_14925). In these

three species, the *rmrA* antitoxin gene was essential, strongly suggesting that the RmrT

toxin is active under our experimental conditions. Intriguingly, RmrT toxins have previously

been shown to be responsible for the essentiality of the ClpX chaperone in *S. pneumoniae*

(46) and the ClpP protease in *E. coli* E101 (47). Our results reveal a compelling correlation

that supports this model: the genes encoding the ClpX protease (OMA01412) are essential

exclusively in the three strains (*D. parazeae*, *P. atrosepticum*, and *P. versatile*) that contain

the RosmerTA system (Fig 5B).

Another essential module identified is the AbiE system, a Type IV TA system (48) present in

*P. aquaticum* and *P. versatile* (Table S16). This system is encoded by a bicistronic operon

that includes the toxin AbiEii, a GTP☐binding nucleotidyltransferase that causes reversible

growth arrest, and the antitoxin AbiEi, which acts as a transcriptional repressor by binding

the *abiE* promoter. In both *P. aquaticum* and *P. versatile*, the antitoxin genes

(DMB82_0015475 and LEE50_14595, respectively; AbiEi_3-orthogroup OMA07585) were

found to be essential, indicating active expression of the corresponding toxin genes

(DMB82_0015470 and LLE50_14590; AbiEii-orthogroup OMA06941). In contrast, *D.*

*parazeae* possesses a homologous antitoxin gene (LHK94_08840) that is non-essential.

This is explained by the absence of the cognate toxin gene of the orthogroup OMA06941 in

its genome (Table S3).

A final example found by DefenseFinder involves a Type II Toxin-Antitoxin system belonging

to the RelE/ParE family. In *P. aquaticum*, the gene (DMB82_0003135), which encodes an

antitoxin characterized by a C-terminal XRE-HTH domain, was identified as essential (table

S16). This antitoxin is associated with its cognate toxin encoded by the adjacent gene

(DMB82_0003140). The essentiality of this antitoxin is conserved in related species

possessing homologous TA systems, such as *P. atrosepticum* (ECA0673/ECA0674) and *D.*

*fangzhongdai* (QR68_07805/QR68_07810). A notable exception is observed in *P. versatile*,

where the antitoxin gene (LLE50_14865) is non-essential despite the presence of its

cognate toxin gene (LLE50_14870), suggesting a different regulatory mechanism or lack of

toxin expression under the studied conditions.

This principle of an immunity protein being essential to neutralize a cognate toxin extends

beyond canonical Toxin-Antitoxin systems to those involved in intercellular competition. In

the *D. dadantii* 3937 genome, three ES genes, *Dda3937_02097*, *Dda3937_01757*, and

*Dda3937_04645*, unique to *D.dadantii* 3937, encode immunity proteins that protect the

producing strain from self-intoxication by contact-dependent growth inhibition (CDI) systems

(Table S6). *Dda3937_02097*, also known as *cdiI,* encodes an immunity protein that

neutralizes the CdiA toxin delivered via a classical CDI system (49). Similarly,

*Dda3937_01757* (*rhsIA*) and *Dda3937_04645* (*rhsIB*) encode immunity proteins specific to

RhsA and RhsB toxins, respectively (50). The essential function of the 3 genes strongly

indicates that their cognate toxins are actively expressed under the tested conditions and

exert a toxic effect in the absence of their immunity partners.

**Essential genes within prophage regions suggest lysogeny and defensive roles**

To assess the presence of potentially essential genes within prophage regions, we

employed the PHASTEST tool (51) to analyze the six SRP genomes. PHASTEST identified

a total of 13 intact prophage regions across the six genomes: one in *D. fangzhongdai*, two

each in *D. dadantii* 3937, *D. parazeae* A586, *P. aquaticum* A212, and *P. atrosepticum*

SCRI1043, and four in *P. versatile* A73 (Table 2 and S17). Prophages #2, 3# #7 and #11 are

defective prophages encoding tailocins, bacterial phage tails active as antibacterial weapons

conferring a significant competitive advantage within the plant niche (52). Prophages #8 and

#9 of *P. atrosepticum* have been studied by Evans *et al.* (53) and are named ECA29 and

ECA41, respectively. The number of essential genes per prophage varied from zero to four,

with 11 out of the 13 prophages harboring at least one essential gene (Table 2 and S17).

The most frequently identified essential gene function was prophage repression. Specifically,

essential CI-type repressors were found in eight prophage regions. CI repressors maintain

the prophage in its lysogenic state by preventing the expression of genes required for the

lytic cycle. Loss of CI function would typically trigger prophage excision and cell death via

lysis. For instance, the essential classification of *D. dadantii* 3937 gene *Dda3937_01765*,

which encodes a CI repressor, directly reflects its role in preventing the lytic cascade.

Furthermore, three other prophage regions harbor an essential gene encoding a helix-turn-

helix (HTH) XRE transcriptional regulator. XRE-type regulators are a broad family of

bacterial proteins known to modulate gene expression via HTH DNA-binding domains and

are often involved in various processes, including phage–host interactions. These XRE

proteins likely serve a function analogous to the CI repressor (Table 2) (54, 55). Collectively,

these findings suggest that 11 of the 13 identified prophage regions likely encode functional

lysogenic phages and dickeyocin. Beyond the repressors, other essential genes were

identified in the prophage regions (Table 2). For instance, the *Dda3937_01763* gene

(prophage #1) encodes an ASCH domain-containing protein with endoribonuclease activity,

potentially acting to prevent virion production. Additionally, a functional Toxin-Antitoxin pair

was identified in prophage #10 of *P. versatile* (Table S16), where the encoded antitoxin

(*LLE50_12555*) is essential.

**DISCUSSION**

Tn-seq is a powerful tool to study bacterial strain fitness in various environments. However,

to disentangle strain-specific essential fitness traits from those affecting more broadly the

studied species, genus, or family it is imperative to study and compare several strains. For

this purpose, we created the TNSEEK pipeline that allowed a straightforward and easy

comparison of all the Tn-seq data. We used TNSEEK to compare the results of Tn-seq

experiments performed on TSB 50% for six strains belonging to two genera within the

*Pectobacteriaceae* family.

The core essentialome of the *Pectobacteriaceae*, that regroups 225 essential genes

conserved in the six studied bacteria, has almost the same size as the ones defined for the

*Enterobacteriaceae* family (201 genes/13 strains from 5 genera) (7). Interestingly, the core

essentialome defined for the single species *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (206 genes/36

strains studied) is also of a similar size (8).  This suggests a lack of connection between

essentiality and phylogeny, as was previously observed in another study (47). Furthermore,

our analysis indicates that analyzing just six strains is enough to address this essential core

at the family level as already observed at the species level for *P. aeruginosa* (56).

Our work also confirmed that, following Tn-seq analysis, essentiality can be viewed as a

fitness continuum. Indeed, the TNSEEK pipeline revealed that most genes that were

essential in four to five of the analyzed strains were categorized as growth defects (GD) in

the other tested strains. This is due to the fact that, in a Tn-seq screen, each mutant

competes with the population of bacterial cells that are functional for the same character.

Therefore, most mutations that lead to a loss of fitness will either decrease in frequency or

be eliminated from the population, resulting in GD or ES categorization, respectively.

Comparing our Tn-seq analysis with one performed on *E. coli* K12 provided potential

explanations for some of the differences between the two studies. Firstly, while a previous

study suggested that a shift in essentiality is not associated with a shift in expression level

(47), we found that the choice of growth conditions, particularly temperature, can significantly

influence the determination of the essential gene set and account for some of the observed

results. Secondly, duplication events occurring in one strain but not the other could also

influence the outcome of Tn-seq experiments. In-depth analysis also supports this latter

explanation for some of the differences in essentiality observed between the six strains

studied. Additionally, alternative enzymes that fulfil the same essential function, or

differential gene regulation between strains, were also identified as potential explanations for

the differences between the two studies.

Our comparative analysis also identified a large set of 181 species-specific essential genes,

ranging from 39 in the case of *P. atrosepticum* to 16 in the case of *P. versatile*. Most of these

species-specific ES genes are absent from the genomes of the other five analyzed species,

which highlights the importance of variable genomes for essentiality. As only one strain was

studied per species, it was not possible to determine whether the observed specificity is

linked to the analyzed species or the specific strain studied. Future Tn-seq analyses

involving a set of strains from the same species could distinguish between ES-specific genes

that are conserved at the species level and those that are strain-specific. Interestingly, COG

analysis did not assign most of these ES-specific genes to any known function. This

contrasts with core essential genes, which are mostly associated with the maintenance of

bacterial cell functions. The essentiality of a large number of genes with unknown functions

highlights the importance of conducting functional studies in future.

Our focused analysis of the defensome indicated that a few of the ES gene functions were

related to various defense mechanisms including restriction/modification systems,

toxin/antitoxin mechanisms and CrispR proteins for example. The essentiality of these

defense mechanisms in TSB 50%, in the absence of known stressor or bacterial

competition, suggests that they form a constitutive front line that is deployed in the absence

of immediate danger, and could be further reinforced under stress or attack by inducible

defense mechanisms, since most of the defensome proteins remain non-essential. Notably,

the mechanisms responsible for this constitutive frontline defense vary greatly among the

tested strains, highlighting its high evolvability. These species-specific patterns suggest that

distinct regulatory architectures, ecological pressures, or metabolic trade-offs determine

which defense elements are constitutively active and indispensable. Deepening the

investigation into these context-dependent essentialities may uncover the evolutionary

forces shaping bacterial defensome repertoires. These species-specific patterns within

defense gene deployment is also consistent with experimental work performed on SRP

which demonstrated that competition mechanisms are complex and mainly occur between

strains, regardless of the species concern (57).

Surprisingly, phage repressors were found to be essential for most of the phage regions

identified by PHASTEST, regardless of whether the region encoded a putative functional

phage or a phage remnant such as the tailocin dickeyocin of the *Dickeya* genus (52). This

suggests that these regions require repression in TSB 50% in the absence of a known

stressor. Such tight repression is probably necessary to prevent the lytic cycle from starting.

Dickeyocin production is induced by various stress such as mitomycin C, reactive oxygen

species and antibiotics (52, 58). By contrast, previous research on the two complete

prophages of *P. atrosepticum* SCRI1043, the Mu-like ECA41 (prophage #9) and the P2-like

ECA29 (prophage #8), demonstrated their resistance to chemical or physical induction (59),

suggesting that an unknown induction mechanism required to induce their lytic cycle remains

to be discovered.  Our work also revealed a fundamental regulatory distinction between the

*Dickeya* tailocins dickeyocin and the *Pectobacterium* tailocin carotovoricin (60).

Carotovoricin-producing elements lack a dedicated essential repressor gene directly

associated with the carotovoricin clusters (Table 2). This absence suggests that their

activation mechanism diverges from the standard transcriptional repression model

controlling both complete prophages and dickeyocins. Instead, carotovoricin synthesis is

known to be regulated by the bacterial SOS response via the global repressor LexA (61).

The essential nature of the *lexA* gene across all *Pectobacterium* strains analyzed

(OMA04984) aligns with its vital role in this distinct regulatory pathway. In addition to phage

repression, the presence of a functional toxin/antitoxin pair was revealed within one of the

phage regions through the essentiality of the antitoxin gene. As with many moron genes

carried within phages, this toxin/antitoxin pair likely contributes to bacterial strain fitness (58).

In conclusion, the TNSEEK platform, which was specifically developed for this project to

compare the results of Tn-seq experiments performed on bacteria from several species and

genera, has proven its proficiency here. TNSEEK can also be applied to other datasets,

offering unparalleled flexibility in handling any number of strains and experiments, while

ensuring the complete reproducibility of results. For example, TNSEEK will be useful for

comparing the essential genes of SRP required during plant infection.

________________________________________

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We acknowledge the ANR TNPHYTO (ANR-19-CE35-0016), the sequencing and

bioinformatics expertise of the I2BC High-throughput sequencing facility

(https://www.i2bc.paris-saclay.fr/sequencing/ng-sequencing),        supported        by        France

Génomique (funded by the French National Program "Investissement d'Avenir" ANR-10-

INBS-09) and the Genotoul platform (https://www.genotoul.fr) for their help in genomes

sequencing.

**REFERENCES**

1. Samson R, Legendre JB, Christen R, Saux MF-L, Achouak W, Gardan L. 2005. Transfer

of *Pectobacterium chrysanthemi* (Burkholder et al. 1953) Brenner et al. 1973 and

*Brenneria paradisiaca* to the genus Dickeya gen. nov. as *Dickeya chrysanthemi* comb.

nov. and *Dickeya paradisiaca* comb. nov. and delineation of four novel species, *Dickeya*

*dadantii* sp. nov., *Dickeya dianthicola* sp. nov., *Dickeya dieffenbachiae* sp. nov. and

*Dickeya zeae* sp. nov. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary

Microbiology. 55:1416-1427.

2. Portier P, Pédron J, Taghouti G, Fischer-Le Saux M, Caullireau E, Bertrand C, Laurent A,

Chawki K, Oulgazi S, Moumni M, Andrivon D, Dutrieux C, Faure D, Hélias V, Barny M-A.

2019. Elevation of *Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp. *odoriferum* to species level as

*Pectobacterium odoriferum* sp. nov., proposal of *Pectobacterium brasiliense* sp. nov.

and *Pectobacterium actinidiae* sp. nov., emended description of *Pectobacterium*

*carotovorum* and description of *Pectobacterium versatile* sp. nov., isolated from streams

and symptoms on diverse plants. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary

Microbiology. 69:3207-3216.

3. Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat N, Pédron J, Van Gijsegem F. 2023. Insight into biodiversity of

the recently rearranged genus *Dickeya*. Frontiers in Plant Science Volume 14-2023.

4. Fikowicz-Krosko J, Czajkowski R. 2018. Systemic Colonization and Expression of

Disease Symptoms on Bittersweet Nightshade ( *Solanum dulcamara* ) Infected with a

GFP-Tagged *Dickeya solani* IPO2222 (IPO2254). Plant Disease 102:619–627.

5. Toth IK, Barny M, Brurberg MB, Condemine G, Czajkowski R, Elphinstone JG, Helias V,

Johnson SB, Moleleki LN, Pirhonen M, Rossmann S, Tsror L, van der Waals JE, van der

Wolf JM, Van Gijsegem F, Yedidia I. 2021. Pectobacterium and Dickeya: Environment to

Disease Development, p. 39–84. *In* Van Gijsegem, F, van der Wolf, JM, Toth, IK (eds.),

Plant Diseases Caused by *Dickeya* and *Pectobacterium* Species. Springer International

Publishing, Cham.

6. Van Opijnen T, Camilli A. 2012. A fine scale phenotype–genotype virulence map of a

bacterial pathogen. Genome Res 22:2541–2551.

7. A. Ghomi F, Jung JJ, Langridge GC, Cain AK, Boinett CJ, Abd El Ghany M, Pickard DJ,

Kingsley RA, Thomson NR, Parkhill J, Gardner PP, Barquist L. 2024. High-throughput

transposon mutagenesis in the family *Enterobacteriaceae* reveals core essential genes

and rapid turnover of essentiality. mBio 15:e01798-24.

8. Rosconi F, Rudmann E, Li J, Surujon D, Anthony J, Frank M, Jones DS, Rock C, Rosch

JW, Johnston CD, van Opijnen T. 2022. A bacterial pan-genome makes gene

essentiality strain-dependent and evolvable. Nature Microbiology 7:1580–1592.

9. Wiles TJ, Norton JP, Russell CW, Dalley BK, Fischer KF, Mulvey MA. 2013. Combining

quantitative genetic footprinting and trait enrichment analysis to identify fitness

determinants of a bacterial pathogen. PLoS Genet 9:e1003716.

10. Baffert Y, Fraikin N, Makhloufi Y, Baltenneck J, Val M-E, Dedieu-Berne A, Degosserie J,

Iorga BI, Bogaerts P, Gueguen E, Lesterlin C, Bigot S. 2025. Genetic determinants of

pOXA-48 plasmid maintenance and propagation in *Escherichia coli*. Nat Commun

16:7734.

11. Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. 2017. Unicycler: Resolving bacterial genome

assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. PLoS Comput Biol 13:e1005595.

12. Altenhoff AM, Levy J, Zarowiecki M, Tomiczek B, Warwick Vesztrocy A, Dalquen DA,

Müller S, Telford MJ, Glover NM, Dylus D, Dessimoz C. 2019. OMA standalone:

orthology inference among public and custom genomes and transcriptomes. Genome

Res 29:1152–1163.

13. Cantalapiedra CP, Hernández-Plaza A, Letunic I, Bork P, Huerta-Cepas J. 2021.

eggNOG-mapper v2: Functional annotation, orthology assignments, and domain

prediction at the metagenomic scale. Molecular Biology and Evolution 38:5825–5829.

14. Huerta-Cepas J, Szklarczyk D, Heller D, Hernández-Plaza A, Forslund SK, Cook H,

Mende DR, Letunic I, Rattei T, Jensen LJ, von Mering C, Bork P. 2019. eggNOG 5.0: a

hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated orthology resource based on

5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. Nucleic Acids Research 47:D309–D314.

15. DeJesus MA, Ambadipudi C, Baker R, Sassetti C, Ioerger TR. 2015. TRANSIT - A

Software tool for Himar1 TnSeq analysis. PLoS Comput Biol 11:e1004401.

16. Martin M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing

reads. EMBnet j 17:10.

17. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat

Methods 9:357–359.

18. Lex A, Gehlenborg N, Strobelt H, Vuillemot R, Pfister H. 2014. UpSet: Visualization of

intersecting sets. IEEE Trans Visual Comput Graphics 20:1983–1992.

19. Ben Moussa H, Bertrand C, Rochelle-Newall E, Fiorini S, Pédron J, Barny M-A. 2022.

The diversity and abundance of soft rot *Pectobacteriaceae* along the Durance river

stream in the southeast of France revealed by multiple seasonal surveys.

Phytopathology® 112:1676–1685.

20. Ben Moussa H, Pédron J, Bertrand C, Hecquet A, Barny M-A. 2021. *Pectobacterium*

*quasiaquaticum* sp. nov., isolated from waterways. International Journal of Systematic

and Evolutionary Microbiology 71.

21. Suharjo R, Sawada H, Takikawa Y. 2014. Phylogenetic study of Japanese *Dickeya* spp.

and development of new rapid identification methods using PCR–RFLP. J Gen Plant

Pathol 80:237–254.

22. Tian Y, Zhao Y, Yuan X, Yi J, Fan J, Xu Z, Hu B, De Boer SH, Li X. 2016. *Dickeya*

*fangzhongdai* sp. nov., a plant-pathogenic bacterium isolated from pear trees (*Pyrus*

*pyrifolia*). International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 66:2831–

2835.

23. Alič Š, Pédron J, Dreo T, Van Gijsegem F. 2019. Genomic characterisation of the new

*Dickeya fangzhongdai* species regrouping plant pathogens and environmental isolates.

BMC Genomics 20:34.

24. Potrykus M, Golanowska M, Sledz W, Zoledowska S, Motyka A, Kolodziejska A,

Butrymowicz J, Lojkowska E. 2016. Biodiversity of *Dickeya* spp. isolated from potato

plants and water sources in temperate climate. Plant Disease 100:408–417.

25. Bell KS, Sebaihia M, Pritchard L, Holden MTG, Hyman LJ, Holeva MC, Thomson NR,

Bentley SD, Churcher LJC, Mungall K, Atkin R, Bason N, Brooks K, Chillingworth T,

Clark K, Doggett J, Fraser A, Hance Z, Hauser H, Jagels K, Moule S, Norbertczak H,

Ormond D, Price C, Quail MA, Sanders M, Walker D, Whitehead S, Salmond GPC, Birch

PRJ, Parkhill J, Toth IK. 2004. Genome sequence of the enterobacterial phytopathogen

*Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *atroseptica* and characterization of virulence factors. Proc

Natl Acad Sci USA 101:11105–11110.

26. Glasner JD, Yang C-H, Reverchon S, Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat N, Condemine G, Bohin

J-P, Van Gijsegem F, Yang S, Franza T, Expert D, Plunkett G, San Francisco MJ,

Charkowski AO, Py B, Bell K, Rauscher L, Rodriguez-Palenzuela P, Toussaint A, Holeva

MC, He SY, Douet V, Boccara M, Blanco C, Toth I, Anderson BD, Biehl BS, Mau B,

Flynn SM, Barras F, Lindeberg M, Birch PRJ, Tsuyumu S, Shi X, Hibbing M, Yap M-N,

Carpentier M, Dassa E, Umehara M, Kim JF, Rusch M, Soni P, Mayhew GF, Fouts DE,

Gill SR, Blattner FR, Keen NT, Perna NT. 2011. Genome sequence of the plant-

pathogenic bacterium *Dickeya dadantii* 3937. Journal of Bacteriology 193:2076–2077.

27. Hutchison CA, Merryman C, Sun L, Assad-Garcia N, Richter RA, Smith HO, Glass JI.

2019. Polar effects of transposon insertion into a minimal bacterial genome. J Bacteriol

201.

28. Forquet R, Jiang X, Nasser W, Hommais F, Reverchon S, Meyer S. 2022. Mapping the

complex transcriptional landscape of the phytopathogenic bacterium *Dickeya dadantii.*

mBio 13:e00524-22.

29. Goodall ECA, Robinson A, Johnston IG, Jabbari S, Turner KA, Cunningham AF, Lund

PA, Cole JA, Henderson IR. 2018. The Essential Genome of *Escherichia coli* K-12. mBio

9:e02096-17.

30. Adeolu M, Alnajar S, Naushad S, S. Gupta R. 2016. Genome-based phylogeny and

taxonomy of the '*Enterobacteriales*': proposal for *Enterobacterales* ord. nov. divided into

the families *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Erwiniaceae* fam. nov., *Pectobacteriaceae* fam. nov.,

*Yersiniaceae* fam. nov., *Hafniaceae* fam. nov., *Morganellaceae* fam. nov., and

*Budviciaceae* fam. nov. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary

Microbiology 66:5575–5599.

31. Holyoake LV, Poole RK, Shepherd M. 2015. The CydDC family of transporters and their

roles in oxidase assembly and homeostasis, p. 1–53. *In* Advances in Microbial

Physiology. Elsevier.

32. Goldman BS, Gabbert KK, Kranz RG. 1996. The temperature-sensitive growth and

survival phenotypes of *Escherichia coli cydDC* and *cydAB* strains are due to deficiencies

in cytochrome bd and are corrected by exogenous catalase and reducing agents. J

Bacteriol 178:6348–6351.

33. De Las Peñas A, Connolly L, Gross CA. 1997. SigmaE is an essential sigma factor in

*Escherichia coli.* J Bacteriol 179:6862–6864.

34. Tsang M-J, Yakhnina AA, Bernhardt TG. 2017. NlpD links cell wall remodeling and outer

membrane invagination during cytokinesis in *Escherichia coli*. PLoS Genet

13:e1006888.

35. Lee EH, Kornberg A. 1991. Replication deficiencies in *priA* mutants of *Escherichia coli*

lacking the primosomal replication n' protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 88:3029–3032.

36. Pogliano JA, Beckwith J. 1994. SecD and SecF facilitate protein export in *Escherichia*

*coli*. The EMBO Journal 13:554–561.

37. Foster JM, Davis PJ, Raverdy S, Sibley MH, Raleigh EA, Kumar S, Carlow CKS. 2010.

Evolution of bacterial phosphoglycerate mutases: non-homologous isofunctional

enzymes undergoing gene losses, gains and lateral transfers. PLoS ONE 5:e13576.

38. Brézellec P, Vallet-Gely I, Possoz C, Quevillon-Cheruel S, Ferat J-L. 2016. DciA is an

ancestral replicative helicase operator essential for bacterial replication initiation. Nat

Commun 7:13271.

39. Blaine HC, Burke JT, Ravi J, Stallings CL. 2022. DciA helicase Ooerators exhibit

diversity across bacterial phyla. J Bacteriol 204:e00163-22.

40. Zawadzke LE, Bugg TDH, Walsh CT. 1991. Existence of two D-alanine:D-alanine

ligases in *Escherichia coli*: cloning and sequencing of the *ddlA* gene and purification and

characterization of the DdlA and DdlB enzymes. Biochemistry 30:1673–1682.

41. Martin JE, Imlay JA. 2011. The alternative aerobic ribonucleotide reductase of

*Escherichia coli* , NrdEF, is a manganese-dependent enzyme that enables cell

replication during periods of iron starvation. Molecular Microbiology 80:319–334.

42. Liu Y, Chatterjee A, Chatterjee AK. 1994. Nucleotide sequence, organization and

expression of *rdgA* and *rdgB* genes that regulate pectin lyase production in the plant

pathogenic bacterium *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *cartovora* in response to DNA-

damaging agents. Molecular Microbiology 14:999–1010.

43. Stier I, Kiss A. 2010. The Type II restriction endonuclease MvaI has dual specificity.

Nucleic Acids Research 38:8231–8238.

44. Butkus VV, Klimašauskas SJ, Janulaitis AA. 1985. Analysis of products of DNA

modification by methylases: A procedure for the determination of 5- and N4-

methylcytosines in DNA. Analytical Biochemistry 148:194–198.

45. Ernits K, Saha CK, Brodiazhenko T, Chouhan B, Shenoy A, Buttress JA, Duque-Pedraza

JJ, Bojar V, Nakamoto JA, Kurata T, Egorov AA, Shyrokova L, Johansson MJO, Mets T,

Rustamova A, Džigurski J, Tenson T, Garcia-Pino A, Strahl H, Elofsson A, Hauryliuk V,

Atkinson GC. 2023. The structural basis of hyperpromiscuity in a core combinatorial

network of type II toxin–antitoxin and related phage defense systems. Proc Natl Acad

Sci USA 120:e2305393120.

46. Piotrowski A, Burghout P, Morrison DA. 2009. spr1630 is responsible for the lethality of

*clpX* mutations in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. J Bacteriol 191:4888–4895.

47. Rousset F, Cabezas-Caballero J, Piastra-Facon F, Fernández-Rodríguez J, Clermont O,

Denamur E, Rocha EPC, Bikard D. 2021. The impact of genetic diversity on gene

essentiality within the *Escherichia coli* species. Nat Microbiol 6:301–312.

48. Dy RL, Przybilski R, Semeijn K, Salmond GPC, Fineran PC. 2014. A widespread

bacteriophage abortive infection system functions through a Type IV toxin–antitoxin

mechanism. Nucleic Acids Research 42:4590–4605.

49. Aoki SK, Diner EJ, De Roodenbeke C t'Kint, Burgess BR, Poole SJ, Braaten BA, Jones

AM, Webb JS, Hayes CS, Cotter PA, Low DA. 2010. A widespread family of polymorphic

contact-dependent toxin delivery systems in bacteria. Nature 468:439–442.

50. Koskiniemi S, Lamoureux JG, Nikolakakis KC, t'Kint De Roodenbeke C, Kaplan MD,

Low DA, Hayes CS. 2013. Rhs proteins from diverse bacteria mediate intercellular

competition. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 110:7032–7037.

51. Wishart DS, Han S, Saha S, Oler E, Peters H, Grant JR, Stothard P, Gautam V. 2023.

PHASTEST: faster than PHASTER, better than PHAST. Nucleic Acids Research

51:W443–W450.

52. Borowicz M, Krzyżanowska DM, Narajczyk M, Sobolewska M, Rajewska M, Czaplewska

P, Węgrzyn K, Czajkowski R. 2023. Soft rot pathogen *Dickeya dadantii* 3937 produces

tailocins resembling the tails of Peduovirus P2. Front Microbiol 14:1307349.

53. Evans TJ, Coulthurst SJ, Komitopoulou E, Salmond GPC. 2010. Two mobile

*Pectobacterium atrosepticum* prophages modulate virulence. FEMS Microbiology Letters

304:195–202.

54. McLaughlin M, Fiebig A, Crosson S. 2023. XRE transcription factors conserved in

*Caulobacter* and φCbK modulate adhesin development and phage production. PLoS

Genet 19:e1011048.

55. Long X, Wang X, Mao D, Wu W, Luo Y. 2022. A Novel XRE-type regulator mediates

phage lytic development and multiple host metabolic processes in *Pseudomonas*

*aeruginosa*. Microbiol Spectr 10:e0351122.

56. Poulsen BE, Yang R, Clatworthy AE, White T, Osmulski SJ, Li L, Penaranda C, Lander

ES, Shoresh N, Hung DT. 2019. Defining the core essential genome of *Pseudomonas*

*aeruginosa*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 116:10072–10080.

57. Barny M-A, Thieffry S, Gomes De Faria C, Thebault E, Pédron J. 2023. Bacterial

pathogens dynamic during multi-species infections. Microbiology

https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.06.570389.

58. Sobolewska M, Krzyżanowska DM, Borowicz M, Czajkowski R. 2025. Stress-driven

temporal production of phage tail-like particles (tailocins) in *Dickeya dadantii* strain 3937.

Sci Rep 15:27234.

59. Biswas A, Gagnon JN, Brouns SJJ, Fineran PC, Brown CM. 2013. CRISPRTarget:

Bioinformatic prediction and analysis of crRNA targets. RNA Biology 10:817–827.

60. Borowicz M, Krzyżanowska DM, Sobolewska M, Narajczyk M, Mruk I, Czaplewska P,

Pédron J, Barny M, Canto PY, Dziadkowiec J, Czajkowski R. 2025. Tailocin-Mediated

Interactions Among Soft Rot *Pectobacteriaceae*. Molecular Ecology 34:e17728.

61. McEvoy JL, Murata H, Chatterjee AK. 1992. Genetic evidence for an activator required

for induction of pectin lyase in *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *carotovora* by DNA-damaging

agents. J Bacteriol 174:5471–5474.

929
**Figure 1: Overview of the TNSEEK pipeline**

Illustration of the main steps of the pipeline, beginning with the raw sequencing reads and

culminating in the identification of essential and conditionally essential genes. The pipeline

initially processes data from each strain independently, followed by a comparative analysis

of results across strains at the orthology family level.

**Figure 2: Examples of graphical outputs generated by the visualization tool of the TNSEEK pipeline**

A: Distribution of the number of TA sites per gene in *D. dadantii 3937*, B: insertions visualization for an essential genus specific gene (orthogroup OMA03793). The figure clearly shows numerous insertions for the *Pectobacterium* genus (non-essential gene) and an absence of insertion for the *Dickeya* genus (essential gene). Black lines represent TA insertion sites and red lines number of insertions along the gene.

**Figure 3: Shared genes and shared ES genes between the six studied strains**

A: shared genes between the strains. B: shared ES genes between the strains. Blue: core

genes shared by the 6 strains, red: strain specific genes, yellow: genus specific genes,

green: genes shared by 5 strains out of 6. black: other combinations. The number of genes

is indicated on the top of each bar. The graph depicted on the left represents the total

number of genes (A) or ES genes (B) per strain. Only the combinations with a gene number

of more than 25 are shown for (A) and of more than 2 are shown for (B).

A

B

**Figure 4: Distribution of COG categories**

A: representation factor of all essential genes (ES) according to total genes for each COG category (Log2 scale). Factors above 1 mean over-representation, below 1 under-representation according to the total genes. B: percentage of COG categories for the 181 strain specific ES genes (yellow bars) or the 225 core ES genes (red bars). Among the strain specific ES genes nearly 50% are not assigned to any COG category.

**Figure 5: Tn-seq insertion profiles at selected loci in various *Dickeya* and *Pectobacterium* species.** Each panel shows normalized Tn-seq read counts (y-axis, "Reads") mapped along the genomic region encompassing the indicated locus. Black peaks represent mapped insertion reads; absence of peaks indicates a lack of Tn insertions due to essentiality under the tested growth conditions. Red arrows highlight the gene(s) assessed for essentiality in each panel: (A) *nrdAB* cluster; (B) *clpXP* operon; (C) *aroA*, *aroC*, and *aroE*

973      in *D. dadantii*; (D) *aroA*, *aroC*, and *aroE* in *P. atrosepticum*. Gene organization is depicted

974      with black arrows and gene names below each region.

**Figure 6: Comparative genomic analysis of a functional Mu-like prophage and two defective tailocin-encoding prophages in *Pectobacterium* species.** Genomic alignment, generated using clinker, of prophage regions from three *Pectobacterium* strains. The bottom line displays the Mu-like prophage #9 (ECA41) from *P. atrosepticum* SCRI1043. This prophage contains the essential (ES) regulatory gene *ECA3708*, highlighted in red, which is required to maintain lysogeny. Genes encoding structural proteins, such as portal and head components, are also annotated. In contrast, the middle and top lines show prophage #11 from *P. versatile* A73 and prophage #7 from *P. aquaticum* A212. These regions are defective prophages that constitute the carotovoricin operons, which encode a Mu-type tailocin, a potent antibacterial weapon. Arrows represent predicted genes, colored by their putative function according to the key. Gray links between the regions illustrate amino acid identity, with the color intensity corresponding to the percentage of identity. The bottom histogram shows the distribution of Tn-seq reads mapped across the prophage region in *P. atrosepticum*, reflecting the transposon insertion profile. The absence of insertions (i.e., no reads) in the essential gene ECA3708 (highlighted in red) indicates its requirement for bacterial viability under tested conditions, while neighboring genes with higher insertion counts are dispensable.

996 **Table 1: Status of the remaining genes (%) not categorized ES by TNSEEK when at**

997 **least one gene of the OMA orthogroup is essentiel**

| Nb of ES essential genes within the OMA orthogroup | Status of the non ES genes* (% total) | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Growth defect | non essential | Absent | Duplicated |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 76.1 | 13.0 | 8.7 | 2.2 |
| 4 | 87.5 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 | 47.2 | 37.0 | 13.9 | 1.8 |
| 2 | 39.7 | 39.7 | 19.9 | 0.6 |
| 1 | 10.4 | 26.7 | 87.6 | 0.4 |

999 *The Growth advantage category is not represented in the table as none of the non-ES gene

1000 fall into this category

1001

1002 **Table 2 : phage essential genes**

| Strain | Prophage number/ name | Region length (kb) | Region Position | First locus tag* | Last locus tag* | Number of ES genes | Putative phage repressor | | List of ES genes |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | description | locus tag | |
| *D. dadantii* 3937 | 1 | 38 | 915372-952946 | Dda3937_01762 | Dda3937_02545 | 4 | C1 | Dda3937_01765 | Dda3937_01763 Dda3937_01765 Dda3937_02545 Dda3937_02546 |
| | 2 Dickeyocin[a] | 28 | 2733074-2760902 | Dda3937_03777 | Dda3937_00104 | 1 | C1 | Dda3937_03777 | Dda3937_03777 |
| *D. parazeae* A586 | 3 Dickeyocin[a] | 25 | 1993353-2018459 | LHK94_18375 | LHK94_18525 | 1 | C1 | LHK94_18525 | LHK94_18525 |
| | 4 | 59 | 2446385-2505189 | LHK94_20390 | LHK94_20710 | 2 | XRE-family HTH Transcriptional regulator, peptidase s24 domains | LHK94_20615 | LHK94_20495 LHK94_20530 |
| *D. fangzhongdai* B16 | 5 | 28 | 2806765-2834379 | QR68_12205 | QR68_12360 | 1 | C1 | QR68_12205 | |
| *P. aquaticum* A212 | 6 | 55 | 662735-718114 | DMB82_0003125 | DMB82_0003450 | 3 | C1 | DMB82_0003205 | DMB82_0003135 DMB82_0003185 DMB82_0003205 |

| Species | Region | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 7 carotovoricin[a] | 20 | 2653251-2673677 | DMB82_0012420 | DMB82_0012520 | 0 | | | |
| *P. atrosepticum* SCRI1043 | 8 ECA29 prophage[b] | 31 | 2 935 264-2 966 783 | ECA2598 | ECA2637 | 1 | C1 | ECA2636 | ECA2636 |
| | 9 ECA41 prophage[b] | 36 | 4 144 591-4 180 799 | ECA3695 | ECA3742 | 1 | helix-turn-helix-XRE - transcriptional regulator | ECA3708 | ECA3708 |
| *P. versatile* A73 | 10 | 36 | 217090-252597 | LLE50_12330 | LLE50_12560 | 2 | C1 | LLE50_12345 | LLE50_12345 LLE50_12555 |
| | 11 carotovoricin[a] | 22 | 2022299-2043834 | LLE50_20470 | LLE50_20575 | 0 | | | |
| | 12 | 47 | 3215138-3261670 | LLE50_03075 | LLE50_03375 | 1 | C1 | LLE50_03110 | LLE50_03110 |
| | 13 | 37 | 3595501-3632713 | LLE50_04915 | LLE50_05140 | 2 | helix-turn-helix-XRE - transcriptional regulator | LLE50_05055 | LLE50_05050 LLE50_05055 |

1003    *for *D. dadantii* 3937, the locus tags are not ordered

1004    [a] Evans et al, 2010 , [b] Borowicz et al 2025

1005

1006

1007

1008