Comparing the evolvability of an ancestrally reconstructed and modern adenylate kinase

MacKenzie Patterson[1,2]*, Hannes Ludewig[1-3]*, Christopher Wilson[2,5], Daniel R. Woldring[2,6], Chansik Kim[2,7], Aedan Using[2], Joseph Irvin[2,7], Douglas L. Theobald[4] & Dorothee Kern[1,2#]

[1]Department of Integrated Structural and Computational Biology, Scripps Research & Howard Hughes Medical Institute, La Jolla, CA, USA
[2]Department of Biochemistry & Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA, USA
[3]Department of Biology & Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA, USA
[4]Department of Biochemistry, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA, USA
[5]Current affiliation: Vividion Therapeutics, San Diego, CA, USA
[6]Current affiliation: Chemical Engineering and Materials Science Department, Institute for Quantitative Health Science and Engineering, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA
[7]Current affiliation: DAAN Biotherapeutics, Seoul, 04323, Republic of Korea
[8]Current affiliation: Department of Biology, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA

[#]To whom correspondence should be addressed: dkern@scripps.edu
*These authors contributed equally.

Abstract

Directed evolution transformed protein engineering by providing a customizable framework for generating enzymes with improved catalytic performance across diverse functions. Yet modern enzymes often stall during directed evolution because populations become trapped on local fitness peaks. Researchers have suggested that ancestral enzymes offer better starting points because they are typically more thermostable. Here we propose and experimentally test an alternative explanation that does not depend on ancestral thermostability. We posit that ancestrally reconstructed sequences are unusually evolvable because they are inferred from the evolutionary lineages that survived to produce extant proteins. Less evolvable ancestors, and the trajectories emanating from them, disappeared by extinction and therefore do not contribute to reconstructed ancestors. Using thermophilic ancestral and modern adenylate kinases, we performed independent single-round selection experiments for activity *in vivo* and *in vitro*. In both settings, the ancestral enzyme tolerates a larger number of mutations, yielding more viable variants with greater genetic diversity than its modern descendants. Because mutational robustness promotes evolvability, these results support an intrinsic evolvability of reconstructed ancestral sequences that makes them superior launch points for directed evolution.

Introduction

Ancestral sequence reconstruction (ASR) has emerged as a fundamental approach in understanding the molecular principles of protein evolution[1]. This technique has been

essential in illuminating central evolutionary questions spanning proton pumps[2], bacterial
carbon fixation[3], evolution of allostery[4], and thermo-adaptation of enzymes[5]. Besides their
role in fundamental research, ancestral proteins have accelerated protein engineering
efforts in biomedicine and biotechnology[6], including the engineering of biosensors[7],
terpene cyclases[8], and dehalogenases[9], among others[10,11]. This impact stems from
improved expression levels, often increased (thermo)stability, and substrate promiscuity,
which together make resurrected ancestral proteins unusually robust starting scaffolds for
enzyme engineering.[12,13]. Importantly, these features are hallmarks of highly evolvable
sequences[14], motivating the widely held hypothesis that ancestral sequences are more
evolvable relative to their modern descendants[6,12,14–16].
57
Ancestral reconstructions have indeed served as starting points for successful directed
evolution (DE) campaigns and rational protein design endeavors[17–19]. Even so, direct
experimental evidence comparing the evolvability of ancestral and extant proteins
remains limited[17]. Understanding the molecular basis for enhanced evolvability is
particularly important[20], as protein engineers routinely invest considerable effort to impart
mutational robustness onto less evolvable starting points through approaches such as
extensive library screening[21], neutral drift[22,23], chaperone overexpression[24], or
computational stability enhancement[25,26].
66
Given that phenotypic robustness (a capacity to tolerate mutations) is a known driver of
evolvability[27] and that thermostability enhances robustness[28], it has been generally
assumed that the enhanced thermostability often found in ancestrally resurrected proteins
underlies their increased evolvability[13,16,20,21,29–31]. If thermostability is the reason for
better evolvability of ancestral proteins, one could simply use modern thermophilic
proteins as starting points. Here we propose an alternative explanation: Ancestrally
reconstructed sequences display higher evolvability because they are back-calculated
based on only modern variants that arose from evolutionary selection of the most
evolvable sequences. The vast majority of sequences went extinct because of their lower
robustness.
77
To test our hypothesis, we compared the evolvability of a thermophilic modern adenylate
kinase (Adk) and its thermophilic ancestrally reconstructed counterpart[5] by assessing the
clonal and mutational diversity that emerged after a single round of mutation and
selection. We indeed observed that the ancestral enzyme tolerates a much larger number
of viable variants, which also display a higher degree of sequence diversity (mutations
per gene) than the modern enzyme. Since both Adks are thermophilic, these results
support the hypothesis that improved evolvability of ancestrally reconstructed sequences
is an inherent property that stems from the evolutionary selection uncovered by ASR
rather than thermostability.
87
Results
A weak link selection platform couples adenylate kinase activity to *E. coli* fitness
We reasoned that, if ancestral Adks are more evolvable and robust than their modern
counterparts, an ancestral Adk will tolerate a greater depth of clonal and sequence
diversity, effectively resulting in a larger number of viable variants (Fig. 1A). Since *adk* is

an essential gene and its activity has been shown to correlate with organismal fitness[32,33],
we used *adk* as a "weak link"[32] for organismal fitness of *E. coli* strains expressing
primordial and modern *adk*s and used their doubling times as a proxy for Adk activity. To
accomplish this, we employed a λ red recombination and complementation placeholder
plasmid (pKOCOMP-*adk*)[34] to knock out genomic Ec*adk* and rescue Adk activity in
Escherichia coli BW25113 with thermophilic Adks: namely ancestor 1 (ANC1) and Adk
from *Caldanaerobacter subterraneus* (CsAdk), respectively (first step in scheme shown
in Supplementary Fig. 1). Doubling time measurements between 10 ℃ and 35 ℃ show
that below 25 ℃, both strains complemented with *anc1* or Cs*adk* on the placeholder
plasmid grow more slowly than their parent *E. coli* strain (Fig. 1B). This slower growth
rate parallels their decreased k_{cat} values at these temperatures when compared to EcAdk
(Fig. 1B), highlighting that Adk activity determines cellular fitness between 10 ℃ and
20 ℃. Seeking to verify that this fitness loss originated from lower Adk activity and not
from the process of genetic manipulation, we repeated the doubling time measurement
of an *adk* knockout *E. coli* strain harboring Ec*adk* on the plasmid. Indeed, the recorded
doubling time is within error of wild type (WT) *E. coli*. Notably, at 25 ℃ and above, no
significant difference in growth rate is observed, implying that the speed of Adk (EcAdk:
$k_{cat,40\ ℃}$: 673.4 s^{-1}, CsAdk: $k_{cat,40\ ℃}$: 80.2 s^{-1}, ANC1: $k_{cat,40\ ℃}$: 189.1 s^{-1}) is no longer the
bottleneck (weak link) for growth at these temperatures.
112
Emboldened by these results, we set out to produce an "acceptor strain" (AS) which could
be readily transformed with high efficiency, thus being easily rescued by any sufficiently
active *adk* (either a WT plasmid or a plasmid library of *adk* mutants) in a directed evolution
experiment. Again, we adapted the strategy developed by Billerbeck & Panke[34] and
produced this AS using the previously created Ec*adk* knockout strain (BW25113 *adk*::Cm
[pKOCOMP-Cs*adk*]) which was further transformed with the ancillary plasmid (pI-SceI).
Since the placeholder plasmid we had used for genomic Ec*adk* knockout encodes Cs*adk*,
the AS can survive on CsAdk activity until the strain is further transformed by the desired
adk. The placeholder could then be removed from the resulting strain by rapid and
conditional self-depletion to ensure that cell survival is solely dependent on the *adk* of
interest, thereby avoiding an advantage of insufficiently active *adks*[34]. This
comprehensive self-depletion is facilitated by two indispensable features of the
placeholder: a heat-sensitive origin of replication, enabling plasmid curing at 42 ℃, and
a recognition site for the inducible restriction endonuclease, I-*Sce*I, which is encoded on
an auxiliary plasmid pI-SceI (Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1). To verify
this method, we first transformed the AS with plasmids holding the ancestral or modern
adks and confirmed that the doubling times of these "knock-in" strains are strongly
correlated with their corresponding k_{cat} at 20 ℃ (Pearson r = -0.87; Fig. 1C).
131
Most importantly, this strain engineering allows selection experiments using libraries of
ancestral and modern Adks. We transformed the AS with libraries of either ANC2 or one
of two modern Adks, *Bacillus subtilis* (BsAdk) and *Geobacillus stearothermophilus*
(GsAdk), then grew the cells at 18 ℃ after confirming successful removal of the
placeholder plasmid. The expectation is that (i) only cells expressing active Adk variants
can grow and (ii) higher-activity Adk variants will outcompete slower ones thus enrich in
the culture. Sanger sequencing colonies of the selected ANC2 library indeed shows the

greatest population diversity at both 42 ºC and 18 ºC compared to the modern Adks (Supplementary Fig. 2), indicative of the ancestral sequence being more robust. However, these first experiments have two limitations. 1) Comparisons are convoluted by differences in k_{cat} and thermostability (T_m) among parental Adks: GsAdk is thermophilic but ~8x slower at low temperatures than ANC2, while BsAdk is mesophilic and ~3x slower than ANC2 (Fig. 1D). These discrepancies likely influence the relative response to selective pressures during the necessary 42 ºC placeholder curing and at 18 ºC selection for BsAdk and GsAdk library strains. 2) Sanger sequencing limits the depth (number of variants) that we could identify, thereby impeding highly quantitative comparisons of population diversity. Below we describe how we overcame these two limitations.

We endeavored to find the most similar ancestor/extant pair with respect to k_{cat} and T_m values. After extensive search, we identified Adk from *Anoxybacillus flavithermus* (AfAdk; k_{cat}: 136 s^{-1}; T_m: 71 ºC) as the best match to ANC2 (Fig. 1D). Sequence differences between the two Adks (72.4% sequence identity) are globally distributed (Fig. 1E and Supplementary Fig. 3). Notwithstanding the differences in k_{cat} and T_m, the ANC2 and AfAdk WT knock-ins unveil similar fitness at 20 ºC, as demonstrated by their doubling times, which are much greater (slower growth) than those exhibited at 37 ºC and 42 ºC where Adk activity is no longer rate-limiting for *E. coli* growth (Fig. 1F). To confirm that both enzymes are poorly adapted to 20 ºC, we used a discontinuous high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) assay to measure the temperature dependence of ANC2 and AfAdk k_{cat} values between 10 ºC and 90 ºC. We found they have maximal activities at 70 ºC and 60 ºC, respectively, with ANC2 having a much steeper increase in activity with temperature which is in agreement with other ancestral Adks[5], but importantly show similar activities at low temperatures (Fig. 1G).

Long-term survival selection demonstrates the enhanced evolvability of ancestral over modern adenylate kinase
Aiming to assess Adk evolvability more quantitatively, we returned to selection experiments utilizing error-prone PCR libraries of our newly identified pair, ANC2 and AfAdk. In addition to baffled culture flasks, we parallely grew both libraries in a turbidostat[35], which serves as a suitable, automated culturing alternative allowing for temperature regulation with continuous recording and control of optical density at 650 nm (Fig. 2A). Second, we employed a nanopore sequencing platform adapted from Karst *et al.*[36] to quantitatively compare population composition of flasks against turbidostat cultures with much higher sequencing depth than Sanger sequencing (Supplementary Fig. 4 and Supplementary Table 1-3). We observe no differences in the survivable sequences identified from selection experiments done in flasks versus in the turbidostat (Supplementary Fig. 5). We next performed long-term selection experiments in the turbidostat, where we could continuously record doubling times, quantify bacterial population diversity via next-generation sequencing, and assess enzymatic activities of enriched individual Adks (Fig. 2A).

To ensure that selection experiment outcomes are not impacted by library diversity, we sequenced ANC2 and AfAdk libraries and quantified their diversity to be on the order of 10^4 unique sequences. Notably, AfAdk library is slightly more diverse than the ANC2

library (Supplementary Fig. 6). After 8 days of selection AS-ANC2lib exhibited higher fitness than AS-AfAdklib as judged by doubling times of 180 and 230 min, respectively (Fig. 2B and Supplementary Fig. 7A; Kolmogorov-Smirnov p = 1.9 x 10^{-31}). Characterization of population diversity implicates ANC2 as more robust, revealed by a broader distribution of the number of mutations per *adk* gene at all timepoints and temperatures (Fig. 2C). Further analysis of the nanopore sequencing reveals that not only does ANC2 tolerate more mutations per gene than AfAdk, but more importantly AS-ANC2lib continuously displays a larger number of viable Adks than AS-AfAdklib throughout our selection experiment (Fig. 2D-E and Supplementary Fig. 7B-D). The degree of enrichment of highly abundant Adks mirrors the trajectories of doubling time stabilization by each library strain. The most substantial changes in variant abundances occur during an initial ~2-day growth adaptation, followed by a slow stabilization during the remainder of selection (Fig. 2B, D, E and Supplementary Fig. 7). Strikingly, after 8 days of selection, AS-ANC2lib contains 813 variants, 807 of which still accounted for ~23% of the population (Fig. 2D and Supplementary Fig. 7B). In stark contrast, AS-AfAdk population is composed of 293 different variants but dominated by the single mutant AfAdk-V4M (~80%, Fig. 2E and Supplementary Fig. 7C), despite starting from a 10^4 variant library that was slightly larger than for ANC2. Not only is AfAdk-V4M the dominant winner of the AfAdk library selection at 20 ºC, but it also serves as a compensatory mutation for almost all other low-abundance AfAdk variants in that selection (Fig. 2F). The large discrepancy in population diversities of ancestral and extant library strains is reproducible between biological replicates (Supplementary Fig. 8).

Catalytic activities of purified variants correlate with enrichment during selection
Overall, we observe that mutations in ANC2 are distributed globally throughout the Adk structure. Contrarily, position V4 acts as a hotspot in modern Adks based on its reproducible augmentation in different modern library strains (Fig. 3A and Supplementary Fig. 2, 5, and 7). While the sequencing results convincingly exhibit greater robustness of ANC2 over AfAdk, we asked whether this trend extends to activity as well. To answer this, we used a colorimetric coupled assay to measure the Adk-catalyzed conversion from ADP to ATP and AMP of enriched ANC2 and AfAdk variants and determined that AfAdk-V4M displays a 1.3-fold increased k_{cat} over WT AfAdk. This small increase in activity translates into a minute 0.28 kcal/mol difference in activation free energy, which is challenging to rationalize structurally. A plausible hypothesis is a difference in hinge dynamics affecting lid opening[37], which has been demonstrated to be the rate-limiting step[38]. These differences could be the result of alternative hinge 4 packing. In AfAdk-V4M, residue L72 (part of hinge 4) is now part of the second shell of the mutated residue 4, which resembles the arrangement in the faster ANC2 (judged by AlphaFold 3 prediction; Supplementary Fig. 9). Catalytic improvements within the AS-ANC2lib are more modest, with E166V exhibiting the greatest activity improvement of 1.1-fold over WT ANC2 (Fig. 3B, Supplementary Table 4). Despite these slight improvements, the enrichment of each highly abundant ANC2 variant is strongly correlated with its k_{cat} at 20 ºC (Pearson r = 0.87), confirming our prediction that *E. coli* expressing faster Adks would outcompete bacteria encoding for slower Adks (Fig. 3C).

Regulatory mutations reveal expression-mediated fitness effects during *in vivo* selection

Interestingly, we discovered inadvertent mutations, unpreventably introduced during epPCR library preparation. A mutation in the 5′ untranslated region (5′ UTR), selected for in clones of ANC2 WT is the most abundant and consists of a deletion of cytosine 9 in the ribosomal binding site (RBS$^{\Delta C9}$) (Fig. 3D and Supplementary Fig. 10A, B). This mutation is already present at a low level (<1%) in the starting libraries, therefore it must confer a fitness advantage during the selection. Closer inspection of the other highly abundant ANC2 sequences reveals that all but one (ANC2-I4N:M6I) contain other enriched 5′ UTR mutations (Fig. 3E and Supplementary Fig. 10C-H). Two of those enriched mutants (E166V and E23Q:K79I) contain the same RBS$^{\Delta C9}$ mutation selected for in WT (Fig. 3E and Supplementary Fig. 10E-F and H). This enrichment could possibly be caused by an elevated in-cell Adk concentration, leading to an increase in cellular Adk activity. Indeed, when we tested in-cell Adk concentration, we found that an ANC2 strain harboring a mutant RBS$^{\Delta C9}$ in its 5′ UTR displayed a higher in-cell ANC2 concentration than its WT strain and consequently effectuated a faster doubling time (Fig. 3F-G). These results agree with previous work showing that selective pressure can act on enzyme concentration rather than function to ensure survival[32,33,39]. While we note that AS-AfAdklib WT also possesses two off-target mutations in the promoter, we found that it is outcompeted by V4M, which did not contain any off-target mutations (Supplementary Fig. 11).

To further rationalize the apparent accumulation of high-abundance variants, we measured k_{cat} values of low-abundance sequences that were viable but were not enriched above 2% during selection. On average, these lowly populated Adks have k_{cat} values that are similar to or lower than WT, with one exception in ancestral (ANC2-G104R: 1.3x WT activity) and extant (AfAdk-V4M:N142D: 1.2x WT activity) libraries. Furthermore, there is no detectable relationship between their k_{cat} values and their abundance after 8 days of selection (Supplementary Fig. 12). Most of these variants do not contain off-target mutations. We discovered a further complication in the interpretation of the *in vivo* selection, a high degree of plasmid multimerization in biological samples (Supplementary Fig. 13). Multimerized plasmids encoded multiple origins of replication, which may allow for increased Adk expression. Alternatively, recombination of inactive Adks with active ones on the same plasmid would convolute population diversity.

Orthogonal *in vitro* selection corroborates increased evolvability of reconstructed ancestral adenylate kinase

Despite these additional effects we found in our *in vivo* selection experiments (that are inherent to such experiments), the data robustly reveal that the ancestral enzyme is more evolvable than its modern counterpart. Nevertheless, to further buttress this key result we sought to employ an orthogonal approach and turned towards traditional *in vitro* DE. To screen Adk variant activity we used the coupled assay on lysates of *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) overexpressing epPCR variants of ANC2 or AfAdk (pET17b-library diversity: 10^4 and Supplementary Fig. 14). To test that the coupled assay (which measures production of ATP) accurately measures ATP production by Adk, we used the addition of the Adk

inhibitor AP5A as baseline and compared the ATP production of this inhibited lysate to lysate with added $Mg^{2+} \cdot ADP$.

The distributions of lysate Adk velocities for BL21-ANC2lib and BL21-AfAdklib (Fig. 4A) are significantly different (Kolmogorov-Smirnov p = 7.79 x 10^{-6}), with BL21-ANC2lib generally exhibiting higher activities than BL21-AfAdklib (Kolmogorov-Smirnov p = 3.90 x 10^{-6}). While the mean velocities of these two distributions are similar (BL21-$ANC2lib_{mean}$ = 0.48, BL21-$AfAdklib_{mean}$ = 0.42), their medians are strikingly different (BL21-$ANC2lib_{median}$ = 0.34, BL21-$AfAdklib_{median}$ = 0.13), reflective of this shift of BL21-ANC2lib toward higher activity. As a testament to higher ANC2 robustness, we not only observe fewer inactive BL21-ANC2lib Adks but importantly also observe a higher number of variants with increased activity, when compared to BL21-AfAdklib. Furthermore, BL21-AfAdklib variants exhibit a higher fraction with inactive and near-WT activities than BL21-ANC2lib.

These starkly different features of ancestral and modern activity profiles mirror our *in vivo* findings and are in full agreement with our initial hypothesis that ancestral Adks would be more evolvable. We consequently expressed and purified variants with the highest lysate velocities (~1.5-fold improved over WT) to measure k_{cat} values (Fig. 4B, and Supplementary Tables 5, 6). Intriguingly, we discovered another highly favored mutation site for AfAdk: residue V204, found as single mutation and in combination with other mutations (Fig. 4C and Supplementary Fig. 15A). Similarly to our *in vivo* selection experiments we found that ancestral variants harbor more mutations per gene than extant ones (Fig. 4C, D and Supplementary Fig. 15A). Overall, we observed very little perturbation in T_m values of ANC2 and AfAdk variants but did notice that several ANC2 variants display slightly improved thermostabilities up to 3 ºC greater than WT, in contrast to only one AfAdk variant with a 1 ºC increase in T_m (Fig. 4E). Taken together, these results suggest that ANC2 is more robust to varying amino acid changes than AfAdk.

Similarly to our *in vivo* selection, we could not find evidence that the superior robustness of ANC2 was accompanied by major catalytic improvements. There is a significant correlation between k_{cat} and lysate velocity for both libraries (Pearson r_{ANC2} = 0.45, p_{ANC2} = 0.02; Pearson r_{AfAdk} = 0.73, p_{AfAdk} = 5.46 x 10^{-4}), confirming that lysate activity generally reports on k_{cat} (Supplementary Fig. 15B). The weaker correlation for ANC2 variants can be rationalized by determining Adk concentrations in screened cell lysates (using their k_{cat} values) and correlating these with the respective lysate velocities (Supplementary Fig. 15C). This reveals a moderate, but significant, correlation between lysate velocity and Adk concentration for ANC2 variants (Pearson r_{ANC2} = 0.55, p_{ANC2} = 0.002), but not for AfAdk variants (Pearson r_{AfAdk} = 0.39, p_{AfAdk} = 0.11). This suggests that mutations in ANC2 increase lysate activity in several cases by increasing cellular concentrations of folded Adk. This interpretation is further supported by comparing cellular Adk concentrations of ANC2 and AfAdk variants (Fig. 4F) which reveal a significant difference in their distributions (Kolmogorov-Smirnov p = 0.025), with the ancestral distribution shifted to higher cellular Adk concentration than the modern distribution (Kolmogorov-Smirnov p = 0.013). This finding is unsurprising, since our applied selective pressure acts on total cellular Adk activity, which is the product of the

321 enzymatic rate and total active enzyme concentration in the cell. Not only does this align
322 with our *in vivo* selection experiments but is also in agreement with studies from Shamoo
323 and others that have demonstrated that enzyme concentration is an easily tunable
324 parameter when adapting to selective pressures on energy metabolism[32,33,39,40].
325
Discussion
Enzymes are remarkably facile catalysts promising ever-adapting solutions to emerging
fields of technology, such as synthetic biology and biocatalysis. Key to unlocking these
potentials are successful DE campaigns[41]. However, DE often suffers from premature
optimization plateaus[16]. Thermostability can strongly enhance the evolvability of folded
proteins by increasing mutational robustness[28]. Increased stability allows proteins to
tolerate neutral but destabilizing mutations without catastrophic unfolding of the scaffold,
which helps evolving proteins evade local fitness maxima that can trap evolutionary
trajectories[16,27,42–45]. In turn, these neutral networks enlarge the set of routes through
sequence space and enable broader, more diverse exploration of the fitness landscape[27].
Given that many DE studies leverage thermophilic ancestral protein starting points for
their success, it has been proposed that thermostability-driven robustness is responsible
for their enhanced performance over extant scaffolds[7,9,13,16,18,20,21,29–31]. If thermostability
were the primary cause, then thermostable modern enzymes, which are typically easier
to obtain than ancestral reconstructions, should serve as equally strong starting points.
341
However, the proposed causal link between ancestral thermostability and mutational
robustness still lacks conclusive experimental support. Gomez-Fernandez *et al.* raised
this issue while evolving type II RuBisCOs toward higher thermostability, starting from
ancestrally reconstructed and bacterial (modern) starting sequences[17]. They concluded
that ancestral RuBisCO (MRPro) tolerates mutations better than the modern enzyme
RubRr from *Rhodospirillum rubrum*, based on the larger fraction of active ancestral clones
detected in a high-throughput lysate screen. Notably, though, the study did not
comprehensively report population-level properties or the sequence diversity of the
ancestral and extant starting libraries, which limits how strongly one can connect activity
screening outcomes to robustness and evolvability. In contrast, Dickinson *et al.* used
phage-assisted continuous evolution (PACE) to interrogate the evolution of substrate
specificity in the B-cell lymphoma-2 protein family, using extant and ancestral starting
points, and reported no detectable difference in sequence diversity or evolvability. It is
unclear if their fragment-based Illumina sequencing approach could have resolved clonal
populations well enough to detect more subtle differences[44].
357
Given these conflicting results, we sought to address a focused question: does
thermostability drive the elevated evolvability often observed in ancestrally reconstructed
sequences? To disentangle the thermostability and evolvability of reconstructed
ancestors, we initiated two independent single rounds of laboratory evolution, one *in vivo*
and one *in vitro*, starting with thermophilic ancestral and modern Adks. We observed
limited catalytic improvements in selected Adk variants. Due to its role as an essential
gene and a limiting enzyme for bacterial growth (Fig. 1), *adk* is already optimized to
maintain cellular fitness. Therefore, we did not expect to observe substantial catalytic
enhancements. Instead, we chose to quantify clonal and genetic diversity after a single

round of DE to assess mutational robustness as a readout for evolvability. Indeed, we consistently observed greater population size and higher sequence diversity for the ancestral Adk. These outcomes reveal an elevated mutational robustness for the ancestral enzyme that is uncoupled from ancestral thermostability. We also detected increased ancestral robustness at 42 ºC (Fig. 2D-E), a temperature at which Adk activity no longer limits growth rate (Fig. 1B and F). This observation underscores that ancestral robustness can shape the outcome of laboratory evolution even when selection is not dominated by growth defects caused by Adk activity.

In addition, the ancestral variants selected *in vitro* retained, or even improved, thermostability (Fig. 4E). This property is generally beneficial for long-term evolution over additional DE rounds because it supports the accumulation of cryptic mutations. Such cryptic substitutions can potentiate epistasis, a desirable feature when tackling especially challenging protein engineering problems, because epistasis can open routes to solutions that remain inaccessible under strictly additive mutational effects[30,43,45–47].

Our results also speak to a broader unresolved question in protein evolution: do ancestral thermostability and robustness reflect genuine pressures of ancient environments[5,48,49], or are they a result of ASR, for example from the enrichment of consensus-like substitutions[31,50–52]?

Since our data uncouple ancestral mutational robustness from thermostability, we propose an alternative framework based on evolutionary survivorship. Modern sequences used for ASR are, by definition, descendants of past lineages that survived to the present. ASR will therefore infer ancestors that lay on evolutionary trajectories with sufficient evolvability to adapt and persist, whereas less evolvable lineages vanished by extinction and left no descendants to be sampled. Importantly, this argument does not claim that all proteins that existed billions of years ago were highly evolvable. Rather, it proposes that the specific subset of lineages now available for us to reconstruct had enough evolvability to survive. In this view, ASR preferentially recovers a "needle in the haystack" from historically explored sequence space by leveraging billions of years of evolution. Consequently, the reconstructed sequence with the highest posterior probability may not generally correspond to the most prevalent sequence at the time but instead may be one of the most evolvable sequences among those that left descendants.

We acknowledge that our exploratory attempts to explain ancestral evolvability do not yet reveal the underlying biophysical and molecular mechanisms. Mechanistic dissection would likely require substantially larger gains in catalytic rate achieved over many DE rounds, which was not the goal of this work. Even so, our study provides a practical and conceptually useful starting point for such efforts, and we hope that it encourages further testing of this framework on other reconstructed ancestral proteins.

Overall, our findings and proposed framework place ancestrally reconstructed sequences in a distinctive niche within the DE toolkit. Computational accessibility, combined with enriched evolvability that enables more thorough exploration of sequence space, makes these sequences more promising and economical DE starting points than extant

413 sequences. Moreover, using ASR as a first-choice strategy does not preclude deploying
414 additional DE approaches if a given engineering challenge proves remarkably stubborn.
415

Methods
Data visualization and analysis
Data visualization and statistical analysis were performed using Python
(https://www.python.org). Data manipulation and analysis were performed using Pandas,
NumPy, and SciPy[53–55]. Matplotlib was used for data visualization[56]. AlphaFold 3 was
used to predict the ligand-bound (2ADP, Mg^{2+}, Zn^{2+}) structures of Adks[57]. Crystal
structures and AlphaFold 3 models of Adks were visualized with ChimeraX[58].

Construction of error-prone PCR libraries
Error-prone PCR libraries were prepared using the GeneMorph II EZ-Clone Domain
Mutagenesis kit (Agilent) following instructions provided by the manufacturer, unless
stated otherwise. To achieve a high mutation rate, 1 ng of plasmid (~ 0.15 ng of target)
was amplified (35 cycles) using *adk*-flanking primers (Supplementary Table 1). DpnI
digest of the EZClone reaction was performed for 2 h at 37 ºC, followed by 16 h at 25 ºC,
and was stored at 4-10 ºC until transformation (~4-8 h). XL10-Gold Ultracompetent *E. coli*
cells (Agilent) were transformed with DpnI-treated library according to the instructions
provided by the manufacturer. 50 µl of the recovered culture were plated on LB agar
(50 µg/mL kanamycin or 100 µg/mL ampicillin for *in vivo* and *in vitro* libraries,
respectively). The remaining volume was used to inoculate a 50 mL culture of LB
(respective antibiotics) and was grown overnight at 37 ºC in a shaking incubator (Infors
HT Multitron AJ125) at 220 rpm. Libraries were miniprepped (Qiagen) in 10X volume.
DNA from 10-20 clones was Sanger sequenced (Azenta) to estimate mutation rate and
confirm correct annealing to the parent plasmid. Libraries were stored at -20 ºC.

Construction of adk knockout strains
All knockout strains were made in *E. coli* (BW25113) using an adapted protocol from
Billerbeck & Panke (Supplementary. S1)[34]. BW25113 *E. coli* were made chemically
competent with the Hanahan method[59] and transformed with pKOCOMP encoding *adk*
from *Caldanaerobacter subterraneus* (Cs*adk*). Cell cultures were hereafter grown at
30 ºC unless otherwise stated. Where applicable, antibiotics were used at the following
working concetrations: ampicillin (Ap): 100 µg/ml, chloramphenicol (Cm): 34 µg/ml,
gentamicin (Gm): 10 µg/ml, and kanamycin (Km): 50 µg/ml. λ red recombination[60] was
induced with 10 mM L-arabinose, and induced cells were made electrocompetent and
electroporated with a chloramphenicol resistance cassette. Correct incorporation of the
cassette was confirmed by colony PCR using primers flanking the knocked out genomic
Ecadk gene, and aliquots of isolated clones from the resultant knockout strain were stored
at -80 ºC as 20% (v/v) glycerol stocks.

Cells from a frozen aliquot of the knockout strain were used to inoculate a culture of LB
(+ Cm & Ap) and were made chemically competent[59] for transformation with pI-SceI. The
resultant strain BW25113 *adk::Cm* [pKOCOMP-Cs*adk*; pI-*SceI*] was hereafter referred to
as the "acceptor strain" (AS). Isolated clones from the AS were tested for temperature

and rhamnose sensitivity (for I-SceI induction) before storage as 20% (v/v) glycerol stocks
at -80 ºC.
460
A culture of 50 mL LB media was inoculated with cells from an AS glycerol stock (+ Cm,
Ap & Gm), supplemented with and 1% (w/v) glucose to prevent leaky I-*SceI* expression.
The culture was grown to an OD_{600} of 0.5-0.6. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at
2,500 rcf for 10-15 min at room temperature, then washed twice in 20 mL of LB (+ Cm &
Gm). The culture was resuspended in 10 mL of LB (+ Cm & Gm) and used to inoculate
new 100 mL cultures of LB (+ Cm & Gm) to an OD_{600} of ~0.05. One culture was also
supplemented with ampicillin to serve as an uninduced control.
468
I-*SceI* expression was induced by addition of 10 mM rhamnose to + Cm & Gm cultures
when they reached an OD_{600} between 0.1 and 0.15. The induced cultures were grown
until their growth started to slow relative to the uninduced control. Induced cultures were
then chilled on ice for 30-60 min. All following centrifugation steps were performed at 4 ºC
and cells were kept on ice. All solutions for washing were pre-chilled on wet ice. Cells
were pelleted by centrifugation for 10 min at 2,500 rcf and washed twice with 20 mL of
water (per 50 mL of culture). Cells were then resuspended and washed in 1 mL of water,
followed by 1 mL of 10% (v/v) glycerol, then resuspended in 200 µL of 10% (v/v) glycerol
per electroporation (typical OD_{600} ~30).
478
Electroporation was carried out in 2 mm gap cuvettes (Thermo Scientific #5520) pre-
chilled on ice and with 500 ng DNA (either a homogeneous sample of WT *adk* or a
heterogeneous sample of epPCR library). Cells were electroporated using a BioRad
MicroPulser electroporator (EC2 setting) and immediately recovered with 800 µL of SOC
media (New England Biolabs #B9020S). Qualitative pKOCOMP cleavage and negative
controls were included by electroporating 2 µL of sterile water, each. Cultures were
recovered in round-bottom tubes (Greiner Bio-One #187262) at 42 ºC with shaking at
220 rpm for 1.5 h. After recovery, the entire volume was used to inoculate 50 mL of LB
(+ Cm, Gm, & glucose) in addition to 50 µg/mL kanamycin (+ Km), except for the
pKOCOMP control culture, which was grown in the presence of 100 µg/mL ampicillin
(+ Cm, Gm, Ap & glucose). Cultures were grown at 42 ºC with shaking at 220 rpm until
reaching log phase. To check for pKOCOMP-positive clones, cultures were split to an
OD_{600} of 0.2 into "+/- Ap media" (LB + Cm, Gm, Km, glucose, & +/- Ap). pKOCOMP
depletion was deemed successful after a maximum of two splits. Resulting cultures were
stored at -80 ºC as 15% (w/v) glycerol stocks. If the pKOCOMP-depleted culture was
transformed with a WT *adk* it was plated at 42 ºC, and single clones were isolated and
stored at -80 ºC as 15% (w/v) glycerol stocks. If the culture was transformed with an
epPCR library, it was immediately used to inoculate a selection experiment.
497
***In vivo* selection experiments**
In vivo selection experiments were performed in a Chi.Bio turbidostat (LABmaker, Berlin,
Germany) with default settings unless otherwise stated[35]. Intrinsic turbidostat temperature
control was aided by placing it in a Binder KB 115-UL incubator. All consumables (glass
vials, stir bars, tubing, etc.) were purchased according to instructions provided by the
manufacturer (https://chi.bio/hardware/). Before use, chamber vials and stir bars were

sterilized by autoclaving, and 3-port chamber caps with O-rings were sterilized with
70% (v/v) ethanol. Filled chambers were left to equilibrate to the selection temperature
before blank measurements or inoculation. The medium for selection experiments was
LB (+ Cm, Gm, Km & glucose) with the addition of 25 µg/mL nystatin as an antifungal.
Chambers were inoculated to an OD_{600} of ~0.2 (OD_{650} ~0.35-0.5). Cultures were kept in
mid log phase using OD_{650} regulation dithering between OD_{650} of 0.6 and 0.8 (set point
0.7). OD_{650} was measured every minute, and intervals between dilutions were fit to a
single exponential using LMFIT[61] to calculate growth rate ($y = y_0 e^{rt}$ where y is OD_{650}, y_0
is initial OD_{650}, r is the growth rate, and t is time), then converted to a doubling time (DT)
with the equation $DT = \frac{\ln(2)}{r}$. If the chamber vial was inoculated with a library, the
remainder of that inoculation culture was miniprepped (Qiagen) and stored as a "42 ºC",
or "pre-turbidostat" timepoint. Samples (6 mL) for genotyping were taken at least once
per day and subsequently miniprepped and stored at -20 ºC. For flask experiments,
cultures were inoculated to an OD_{600} of 0.2 and maintained at the selection temperature
in an incubator (Infors HT Multitron AJ125) with shaking at 220 rpm. Cultures were
maintained in log phase (OD_{600} 0.2-0.8) manually.
520
521 **Nanopore sequencing**
522 Sample preparation and data analysis for nanopore sequencing was adapted from Karst
523 *et al*. using the longread_umi pipeline[36]. All primer sequences are included in
524 Supplementary Table 1. All PCR steps were performed using Invitrogen SuperFi DNA
525 Polymerase (Invitrogen #12351010) and Invitrogen dNTP mix (Invitrogen #18427088).
526
1 ng of DNA was amplified in triplicate in a 50 µL PCR reaction to obtain a linear fragment
starting 86 bp upstream of the promoter and ending 79 bp downstream of the *adk* gene.
Reaction components: 1X SuperFi buffer, 1 mM dNTPs, 100 nM forward primer
(pSFOXB15_ont_for), 100 nM reverse primer (pSFOXB15_ont_rev), 1 ng template DNA,
0.04 units/µL SuperFi Polymerase. PCR protocol: (1) initial denaturation for 30 sec at
98 ºC (2) 25 cycles of: (i) 98 ºC denaturation for 10 sec, (ii) 54 ºC annealing for 30 sec,
(iii) 72 ºC extension for 35 sec, (3) 5 min final 72 ºC extension, (4) hold 10 ºC. All DNA
purification steps were performed with a 0.5X volume ratio of AMPure resin (Beckman
Coulter #A63881). Purified fragments were eluted in 30 µL of nuclease-free water
(Invitrogen #AM9939). DNA concentration was quantified using Invitrogen Quant-iT
dsDNA assay kits (Thermo Scientific #Q33130 and #Q33120), according to instructions
provided by the manufacturer, in opaque fluorescence 96-well plates (Corning 3993) with
a SpectraMax i3x Microplate reader (Molecular Devices) or a BioTek Cytation5 Reader
(Agilent). Next, each fragment was diluted to 50,000 molecules/µL (for timepoints) or
250,000 molecules/µL (for unselected libraries) and barcoded on both ends with unique
molecular identifiers (UMIs) in a 50 µL PCR reaction. Reaction components: 1X SuperFi
buffer, 1 mM dNTPs, 500 nM forward primer (ont_ss_dumi_for), 500 nM reverse primer
(ont_ss_dumi_rev), 50,000-250,000 molecules template DNA, 0.04 units/µL SuperFi
Polymerase. PCR protocol: (1) initial denaturation for 30 sec at 98 ºC, (2) 2 cycles of
(i) 98 ºC denaturation for 10 sec, (ii) 55 ºC annealing for 30 sec, (iii) 72 ºC extension for
35 sec, (3) hold at 10 ºC. Tagged fragments were purified and eluted in 20 µL of nuclease-
free water. The entire eluate was used as the template in a 100 µL PCR reaction. Reaction
components: 1X SuperFi buffer, 1 mM dNTPs, 250 nM forward primer, 250 nM reverse

primer, 1 ng template DNA, 0.04 units/µL SuperFi Polymerase. PCR protocol: (1) initial
denaturation for 30 sec at 98 ℃, (2) 25 cycles of (i) 98 ℃ denaturation for 10 sec,
(ii) 60 ℃ annealing for 30 sec, (iii) 72 ℃ extension for 35 sec, (3) 5 min final 72 ℃
extension, (4) hold 10 ℃. 2 ng of the purified PCR product was re-amplified via the same
PCR protocol to obtain sufficient yield for long-read sequencing.

Custom Sequencing was performed by Plasmidsaurus using Oxford Nanopore
Technology to a target depth of 33X with custom analysis and annotation. The basecalled
fastq files were analyzed using the longread_umi with settings longread_umi
nanopore_pipeline　　-v 15　　-s 100　　-e 100　　-m 750　　-M 2000　　-
f CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGAT　　-F GTTATGCTATCAATCGTTGC　　-
r AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATC -R GAAGGTACGCTGTATCTCAG -c 2 -p 2 -
q r103_min_high_g345[36]. The output list of consensus sequences was filtered for a depth
of ≥ 15X and the remaining sequences were aligned to the WT sequence using MAFFT
command line wrapper [62] module in Biopython [63] with settings auto=True,
inputorder=True, jtt=1, tm=2, op=1.53, ep-0.123, quiet=False. This alignment was used
to extract regions from each amplicon (*e.g.,* promoter, 5′ UTR, KSD, *adk*, 5′ UTR) for easy
comparison. The *adk* region of each variant was then translated using Biopython and
pairwise aligned to the WT sequence for naming variants in the format w#m (w=WT ID,
#=residue number, m=mutation ID). Contaminating Adk sequences were identified and
removed by string comparison using RapidFuzz.[64] Frequency of each unique Adk variant
on the amino acid level was calculated by dividing the abundance of each variant by the
total number of variants.

Adk large scale expression
All *adk* overexpression constructs were designed with a C-terminal tobacco etch virus
(TEV) protease cleavage/recognition site and octahistidine tag (*adk-TEV-His$_8$*).
Sequences were codon optimized for expression in *E. coli*, synthesized, and cloned into
pET-17b by GenScript using restriction sites HindIII and KpnI. A pilot culture of LB
supplemented with 100 µg/mL ampicillin was inoculated with 1 colony of BL21 (DE3)
Competent *E. coli* (New England Biolabs) transformed with pET-17b-*adk-TEV-His$_8$* and
grown at 37 ℃ overnight with shaking at 220 rpm. 1-6 L of LB supplemented with
100 µg/mL ampicillin were inoculated with 20 mL/L of pilot culture and grown at 37 ℃ with
shaking at 220 rpm until an OD$_{600}$ of 0.6-0.8 at which point *adk-TEV-His$_8$* expression was
induced with 1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) for 4 h at 37 ℃. Cells
were harvested by centrifugation at 4,000 rpm for 15-20 min in a JLA-8.1000 rotor
(Beckman Coulter) and stored at -20 ℃ until purification.

Adk 96-well plate expression
BL21 (DE3) Competent *E. coli* (New England Biolabs) were transformed with 50 ng of
pET-17b epPCR library according to instructions provided by the manufacturer. Alongside
the libraries, two additional BL21 aliquots were transformed with 50 ng of pET-17b
encoding WT *adk-TEV-His$_8$* or a catalytically inactive variant, *adk-R88K-TEV-His$_8$*. 10-
50 µL of recovered transformation were plated on LB agar plates (100 µg/mL ampicillin)
to obtain well-isolated colonies. All cell growth was done in Thermo Scientific™ Nunc™
Edge™ 96-well, nunclon delta-treated flat-bottomed microplates (Thermo Scientific

#167542) with 200 µL of LB supplemented with 100 µg/mL ampicillin. Wells were covered
with CELLTREAT breathable sealing film and incubated in a MB-100 thermo-shaker. A
pilot culture 96-well plate was inoculated with 1 colony per well (84 library variants, 6 WT,
and 6 R88K) with controls distributed randomly across each plate. The pilot plate was
grown overnight at 37 ºC with shaking at 600 rpm. The next day, an expression plate was
inoculated with 5 µL of pilot culture. Remaining pilot cultures were preserved as
~10% (v/v) glycerol stocks at -80 ºC. The expression plate was grown at 37 ºC with
shaking at 600 rpm until the average plate OD_{600} reached 0.8. All OD_{600} measurements
were performed using a BioTek Cytation5 Reader (Agilent). Expression was induced in
every well with 1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) for 4 h at 37 ºC.
OD_{600} of 5-fold diluted wells was measured to correct for cell content during data analysis.
Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 1,500 rcf for 10-15 min and stored at -20 ºC.
608
Purification of large-scale Adk expressions
All purification steps took place at 4 ºC. Cell pellets from *adk-TEV-His$_8$* overexpression
were resuspended in ~20 mL of lysis buffer per gram of cell pellet. Lysis buffer was Buffer
A (50 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, pH 7.5) supplemented with 1X
Halt Protease inhibitor cocktail, deoxyribonuclease, and lysozyme. Resuspended cells
were lysed by sonication for 10 min (20 sec on, 40 sec off, power output ≤ 40 W) and
cleared by centrifugation in a JA-20 rotor (Beckman Coulter) pre-chilled to 4 ºC at
18,000 rpm for 30-45 min.
617
The His-tagged Adk was purified via nickel-affinity chromatography on an Äkta Start.
Lysate was loaded at 1-2 mL/minute on a HisTrap column (Cytiva) pre-equilibrated in
Buffer A and the column was washed with Buffer A until the UV (280 nm) baselined.
Subsequent wash steps were performed at 4 mL/min. To remove bound nucleotides, the
column was washed with 10 column volumes (CV) of Buffer B (50 mM Tris, 1 M NaCl,
2.5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, pH 7.5). Nonspecifically bound contaminants were removed
by washing with 5-10 CV of Buffer C (50 mM Tris, 300 mM NaCl, 25 mM imidazole,
2.5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, pH 7.5). His-tagged Adk was eluted with Buffer D (50 mM
Tris, 300 mM NaCl, 500 mM imidazole, 2.5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, pH 7.5). His-tagged
TEV protease[65] was added to the eluate in a 1:30 TEV:total protein ratio and the sample
was dialyzed overnight against 2 L of Buffer A per 30 mL of eluate in a 10 kDa MWCO
Slide-A-Lyzer G2 dialysis cassette (Thermo Scientific).
630
The dialysate was filtered through a Whatman 0.2 µm PES membrane and loaded back
onto the HisTrap column to separate cleaved Adk from the tag and TEV protease.
Cleaved Adk was collected in the flow-through. Purity of Adk fractions and confirmation
of successful tag cleavage by TEV protease was assessed by SDS PAGE. Adk was
further purified via gel filtration on a Superdex S75 pg 16/60 or 16/600 (Cytiva) connected
to an Äkta Pure and equilibrated in Buffer E (40 mM MOPS, 50 mM NaCl, 2 mM tris(2-
carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride, pH 7.0). Purified fractions containing Adk were
combined and concentrated, if necessary, in an Amicon Ultra-15 10 kDa MWCO
centrifugal filter to a concentration < 1 mM. Samples were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen
and stored at -80 ºC.
641

Batch purification of small-scale Adk expressions

Cell pellets from 1 L *adk-TEV-His$_8$* expressions were resuspended in 20 mL of Buffer A (40 mM MOPS, 300 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, pH 7) supplemented with 1X Halt protease inhibitor cocktail, deoxyribonuclease, and lysozyme. Resuspended cells were lysed by sonication using a microtip for 2 min (20 sec on, 40 sec off, power output ≤ 21 W). Lysate was cleared by centrifugation in a JA-14.5 rotor (Beckman Coulter) pre-chilled to 4 ºC for 1 h at 8,000 rpm. His-tagged Adk was purified in batch by cobalt affinity. Cleared lysate was filtered directly onto 5 mL of TALON affinity resin (Takara Bio) pre-equilibrated in Buffer A, then incubated for 1 h with gentle agitation at 80 rpm in a shaking incubator (Infors HT Multitron AJ125) at 15 ºC or on a rotating platform at room temperature.

All centrifugation steps were performed for 5-10 min at 3,000 rcf and 10 ºC. After decanting the supernatant, the resin was washed in > 10 CV of Buffer B (40 mM MOPS, 1 M NaCl, 2.5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, pH 7.0) to remove bound nucleotides. Nonspecifically bound proteins were washed with > 10 CV of Buffer C (40 mM MOPS, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, 2.5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, pH 7.0). The resin was washed then with > 10 CV of Buffer A to remove all imidazole, resuspended in 1 mL of Buffer A, and an on-resin tag cleavage was initiated by adding 300 µg of His-tagged TEV protease[65]. Cleavage proceeded at 4 ºC overnight with gentle agitation on a rotating platform.

Cleavage and purity were assessed via SDS-PAGE. Samples were always ≥ 95% pure (data not shown). The samples were then filtered with a Whatman 0.2 µm PES membrane to remove residual resin and slowly mixed with a concentrated stock of tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (250-500 mM) in Buffer A to preserve reducing agent in the samples. The samples were concentrated in Amicon Ultra-0.5 10 kDa MWCO centrifugal filters (Millipore Sigma) to concentrations ranging from 200-500 µM. Precipitated debris was removed by centrifuging each sample at 10 ºC for 10 min at 14,000 rcf and transferring the supernatant into a new tube. Batch-purified Adks occasionally had high 260/280 values when measured by NanoDrop (Thermo Scientific NanoDrop One Microvolume UV-Vis Spectrophotometer or NanoDrop 2000c), thereby making A_{280} readings inaccurate, so a Bradford assay (Thermo Scientific #A55866) was used to quantify protein concentration for these samples. The purified samples were then assayed immediately, after which they were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 ºC.

Coupled assay of purified Adk

Enzymatic activity of Adks was measured in triplicate using a spectroscopic assay coupled to the reduction of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADP$^+$ to NADPH). NADPH formation was measured at 340 nm using either a SpectraMax i3x Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices) or a BioTek Cytation5 Reader (Agilent). Assay buffer was 40 mM MOPS, 80 mM KCl, pH 7.0. Assays were performed in a 100 µL volume containing 10 units of hexokinase from yeast (Millipore Sigma), 10 units of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase from *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* (Fisher Scientific), 0.5 mM NADP$^+$, 5 mM glucose, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 5 mM adenosine diphosphate (ADP), 0.3 mg/mL

bovine serum albumin (BSA), and 1-5 nM Adk in assay buffer. A master mix containing assay buffer, hexokinase, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, $NADP^+$, and BSA was incubated in a covered microplate (Corning 3994) at the assay temperature for ≥ 15 min. After incubation, glucose and then Mg^{2+}•ADP were added to each well. All reagents were also incubated at the assay temperature for ≥ 15 min. Between addition of each reagent there was an additional incubation period of 5 min, during which a baseline A_{340} measurement was recorded. The reaction was initiated with Adk and measured for 30 min. Background reactions were "initiated" with buffer instead of Adk and subtracted from + Adk data. The linear portion of each reaction was used to calculate a slope of NADPH formation vs. time using the correction coefficient 0.0032 or 0.0031 $\mu M^{-1} cm^{-1}$ for the SpectraMax and Cytation5 plate readers, respectively, and divided by Adk concentration to calculate a k_{cat}.

Coupled assay of the acceptor strain expressing Adk variants
Triplicate samples containing 5×10^7 cells were pelleted by centrifugation for 5 min at 5,000 rcf (4 ºC). Pellets were resuspended in 1 mL of assay buffer and sonicated for 20 sec using a microtip (10 sec on, 20 sec off, power output ≤ 15 W) then cleared by centrifugation at 4 ºC for 30 min at 16,900 rcf. 40 µL of lysate per reaction was used in the assay. The coupled assay was performed similarly as to what was described for purified Adks, but with 1 mM $NADP^+$, and lysate was incubated with the master mix and so the reaction was initiated by addition of Mg^{2+}•ADP. 500 µM of the Adk inhibitor P^1,P^5-Di(adenosine-5′) pentaphosphate (Ap5A) was also included for lysates as a negative control for basal lysate activity. The linear portion of each reaction was used to calculate a slope of NADPH formation vs. time using the correction coefficient 0.0032 or 0.0031 $\mu M^{-1} cm^{-1}$ for the SpectraMax and Cytation5 plate readers, respectively, and background-corrected slopes were divided by the k_{cat} to calculate in-cell Adk concentration.

Coupled assay for *in vitro* lysate screen
To prepare *in vitro* lysates, each sample was resuspended in 40 µL of 1X BugBuster (Millipore Sigma) diluted in assay buffer and incubated at room temperature with shaking in a MB-100 thermo-shaker at 600 rpm for 1 h. The lysate was cleared by centrifugation at 1,500 rcf (4 ºC) for 10-15 min and 10 µL of 1/4,900-fold diluted lysate was used in activity assays.

The coupled assay was performed similarly as to what was described for purified Adks, but with 1 mM $NADP^+$, and lysate was incubated with the master mix and so the reaction was initiated by addition of Mg^{2+}•ADP. Wells expressing the catalytically dead R88K variant were used as negative controls for basal lysate activity. The linear portion of each reaction was used to calculate a slope of NADPH formation vs. time using the correction coefficient 0.0032 or 0.0031 $\mu M^{-1} cm^{-1}$ for the SpectraMax and Cytation5 plate readers, respectively, and background-corrected slopes were divided by the final measured OD_{600} to correct for cell content per well. All velocities were normalized to WT activity. Only wells that were induced at OD_{600} between 0.2-1 were analyzed to reduce false negatives from variants that did not express well due to being induced at an OD_{600} that was too low or too high. For wells that were genotyped, Adk concentrations were calculated by dividing the velocities by k_{cat}.

Discontinuous HPLC assay

High-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) assays to assess activity-temperature
profiles were performed from 10-90 ºC (10 ºC increments). Adk was pre-incubated for
15 min at the target temperature before reaction initiation with 5 mM Mg^{2+}•ADP. Between
10-40 ºC, 10 nM and 20 nM of ANC2 and AfAdk, respectively, were used and between
50-90 ºC 5 nM and 10 nM of ANC2 and AfAdk, respectively. 10 µL timepoints (20, 40, 60,
90, and 120 s) at each temperature were collected and quenched with 10% (v/v) TCA
(10 µL) and cleared by centrifuging in a Spin-X centrifugal tube filter (Costar #8160) for
10 min at 5,000 rpm. The pH was neutralized by addition of 20 µL of 1.5 mM HEPES, pH
8.0. Samples were stored at -20 ºC until analysis.

Nucleotide amounts in each sample were analyzed using an HPLC system (Agilent
Infinity 1260) with an analytical HPLC column (ACE; EXL-129-2502U, i.d. 2.1 mm, length
250 mm, C18-AR, 5 Å pore size). Isocratic elution with a 100 mM potassium phosphate,
pH 6.0 mobile phase was used for nucleotide separation. ATP, ADP, and AMP were
individually integrated (ChemStation) and their ratios were used to calculate nominal
concentration considering a total of 5 mM of nucleotides. The reaction velocity at each
temperature was determined by linear regression (Prism 10.1.1 GraphPad Software) of
ATP production over time and k_{cat} was calculated by dividing velocity by enzyme
concentration. Depicted errors are the propagated standard error of the slope obtained
from linear regression. Control experiments using only buffer or Mg^{2+}•ADP were
performed to examine residual contaminants.

Determination of melting temperatures

Melting temperatures were determined using a thermal shift assay conducted in a
QuantStudio 3 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) according to manufacturer's
instructions. 5-20 µM of Adk was combined with 5X SYPRO Orange (Invitrogen #S6650)
in assay buffer (20 µL total volume) in a MicroAmp Optical 96-well reaction plate (Applied
Biosystems #N8010560). Melt curves were generated by measuring fluorescence
intensity from 20-95 ºC. The inflection point of the melting curve was used to determine
the melting temperature.

References

1. Linus Pauling, Emile Zuckerkandl, Thormod Henriksen, & Rolf A. Løvstad. Chemical

Paleogenetics. Molecular 'Restoration Studies' of Extinct Forms of Life. *Acta Chemica*

Scandinavica https://doi.org/10.3891/acta.chem.scand.17s-0009 (1963)

doi:10.3891/acta.chem.scand.17s-0009.

2. Finnigan, G. C., Hanson-Smith, V., Stevens, T. H. & Thornton, J. W. Evolution of

increased complexity in a molecular machine. *Nature* **481**, 360–364 (2012).

3. Schulz, L. *et al.* Evolution of increased complexity and specificity at the dawn of form I

Rubiscos. *Science* **378**, 155–160 (2022).

4. Hadzipasic, A. *et al.* Ancient origins of allosteric activation in a Ser-Thr kinase. *Science*

367, 912–917 (2020).

5. Nguyen, V. *et al.* Evolutionary drivers of thermoadaptation in enzyme catalysis. *Science*

355, 289–294 (2017).

6. Prakinee, K., Phaisan, S., Kongjaroon, S. & Chaiyen, P. Ancestral Sequence

Reconstruction for Designing Biocatalysts and Investigating their Functional

Mechanisms. *JACS Au* **4**, 4571–4591 (2024).

7. Whitfield, J. H. *et al.* Construction of a robust and sensitive arginine biosensor through

ancestral protein reconstruction. *Protein Science* **24**, 1412–1422 (2015).

8. Schriever, K. *et al.* Engineering of Ancestors as a Tool to Elucidate Structure,

Mechanism, and Specificity of Extant Terpene Cyclase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **143**, 3794–

3807 (2021).

9. Babkova, P., Sebestova, E., Brezovsky, J., Chaloupkova, R. & Damborsky, J. Ancestral

Haloalkane Dehalogenases Show Robustness and Unique Substrate Specificity.

ChemBioChem **18**, 1448–1456 (2017).

10. Gutierrez-Rus, L. I. *et al.* Ancestral versus Modern Substrate Scope in

Family-1 Glycosidases. *bioRxiv* 2024.04.11.589065 (2024)

doi:10.1101/2024.04.11.589065.

11. Keser, M. *et al*. Stable and Promiscuous Galactose Oxidases Engineered by Directed

Evolution, Atomistic Design, and Ancestral Sequence Reconstruction. *ACS Synth. Biol.*

14, 239–246 (2025).

12. Spence, M. A., Kaczmarski, J. A., Saunders, J. W. & Jackson, C. J. Ancestral sequence

reconstruction for protein engineers. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* **69**, 131–141

(2021).

13. Alcalde, M. When directed evolution met ancestral enzyme resurrection. *Microb.*

Biotechnol. **10**, 22–24 (2017).

14. Tokuriki, N. & Tawfik, D. S. Protein Dynamism and Evolvability. *Science* **324**, 203–207

(2009).

15. Tokuriki, N. & Tawfik, D. S. Stability effects of mutations and protein evolvability. *Current*

Opinion in Structural Biology **19**, 596–604 (2009).

16. Goldsmith, M. & Tawfik, D. S. Enzyme engineering: reaching the maximal catalytic

efficiency peak. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* **47**, 140–150 (2017).

17. Gomez-Fernandez, B. J. *et al*. Directed -in vitro- evolution of Precambrian and extant

Rubiscos. *Scientific Reports* **8**, 5532 (2018).

18. Risso, V. A. *et al*. De novo active sites for resurrected Precambrian enzymes. *Nature*

Communications **8**, 16113 (2017).

19. Gumulya, Y. *et al*. Engineering highly functional thermostable proteins using ancestral

sequence reconstruction. *Nature Catalysis* **1**, 878–888 (2018).

20. Tokuriki, N. & Tawfik, D. S. Stability effects of mutations and protein evolvability. *Curr.*

Opin. Struct. Biol. **19**, 596–604 (2009).

21. Cole, M. F. & Gaucher, E. A. Utilizing natural diversity to evolve protein function:

applications towards thermostability. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology* **15**, 399–406

(2011).

22. Bershtein, S., Segal, M., Bekerman, R., Tokuriki, N. & Tawfik, D. S. Robustness–epistasis

link shapes the fitness landscape of a randomly drifting protein. *Nature* **444**, 929–932

(2006).

23. Hart, K. M. *et al.* Thermodynamic System Drift in Protein Evolution. *PLOS Biology* **12**,

e1001994 (2014).

24. Tokuriki, N. & Tawfik, D. S. Chaperonin overexpression promotes genetic variation and

enzyme evolution. *Nature* **459**, 668–673 (2009).

25. Goldenzweig, A. *et al.* Automated Structure- and Sequence-Based Design of Proteins

for High Bacterial Expression and Stability. *Molecular Cell* **63**, 337–346 (2016).

26. Goldenzweig, A. & Fleishman, S. Principles of Protein Stability and Their Application in

Computational Design. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **87**, 1–25 (2018).

27. Wagner, A. Robustness and evolvability: a paradox resolved. *Proceedings of the Royal*

Society B: Biological Sciences **275**, 91–100 (2007).

28. Bloom, J. D., Labthavikul, S. T., Otey, C. R. & Arnold, F. H. Protein stability promotes

evolvability. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **103**, 5869–5874 (2006).

29. Wheeler, L. C., Lim, S. A., Marqusee, S. & Harms, M. J. The thermostability and

specificity of ancient proteins. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* **38**, 37–43 (2016).

30. Harms, M. J. & Thornton, J. W. Evolutionary biochemistry: revealing the historical and

physical causes of protein properties. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **14**, 559–571 (2013).

31. Trudeau, D. L., Kaltenbach, M. & Tawfik, D. S. On the Potential Origins of the High

Stability of Reconstructed Ancestral Proteins. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **33**,

2633–2641 (2016).

32. Couñago, R., Chen, S. & Shamoo, Y. In Vivo Molecular Evolution Reveals Biophysical

Origins of Organismal Fitness. *Molecular Cell* **22**, 441–449 (2006).

33. Couñago, R. & Shamoo, Y. Gene replacement of adenylate kinase in the gram-positive

thermophile Geobacillus stearothermophilus disrupts adenine nucleotide

homeostasis and reduces cell viability. *Extremophiles* **9**, 135–144 (2005).

34. Billerbeck, S. & Panke, S. A genetic replacement system for selection-based

engineering of essential proteins. *Microbial Cell Factories* **11**, 110 (2012).

35. Steel, H., Habgood, R., Kelly, C. L. & Papachristodoulou, A. In situ characterisation and

manipulation of biological systems with Chi.Bio. *PLOS Biology* **18**, e3000794 (2020).

36. Karst, S. M. *et al*. High-accuracy long-read amplicon sequences using unique

molecular identifiers with Nanopore or PacBio sequencing. *Nature Methods* **18**, 165–

169 (2021).

37. Henzler-Wildman, K. A. *et al*. A hierarchy of timescales in protein dynamics is linked to

enzyme catalysis. *Nature* **450**, 913–916 (2007).

38. Kerns, S. J. *et al*. The energy landscape of adenylate kinase during catalysis. *Nature*

Structural & Molecular Biology **22**, 124–131 (2015).

39. Kim, J. *et al*. Hidden resources in the Escherichia coli genome restore PLP synthesis

and robust growth after deletion of the essential gene pdxB. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences **116**, 24164–24173 (2019).

40. Peña, M. I., Davlieva, M., Bennett, M. R., Olson, J. S. & Shamoo, Y. Evolutionary fates

within a microbial population highlight an essential role for protein folding during

natural selection. *Molecular Systems Biology* **6**, 387 (2010).

41. Arnold, F. H. Directed Evolution: Bringing New Chemistry to Life. *Angewandte Chemie*

International Edition **57**, 4143–4148 (2018).

42. Payne, J. L. & Wagner, A. The causes of evolvability and their evolution. *Nature Reviews*

Genetics **20**, 24–38 (2019).

43. Starr, T. N., Picton, L. K. & Thornton, J. W. Alternative evolutionary histories in the

sequence space of an ancient protein. *Nature* **549**, 409–413 (2017).

44. Xie, V. C., Pu, J., Metzger, B. P., Thornton, J. W. & Dickinson, B. C. Contingency and

chance erase necessity in the experimental evolution of ancestral proteins. *eLife* **10**,

e67336 (2021).

45. Metzger, B. P. H., Park, Y., Starr, T. N. & Thornton, J. W. Epistasis facilitates functional

evolution in an ancient transcription factor. *eLife* https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.88737.2

(2024) doi:10.7554/elife.88737.2.

46. Fröhlich, C. *et al.* Epistasis arises from shifting the rate-limiting step during enzyme

evolution of a β-lactamase. *Nature Catalysis* **7**, 499–509 (2024).

47. Bridgham, J. T., Ortlund, E. A. & Thornton, J. W. An epistatic ratchet constrains the

direction of glucocorticoid receptor evolution. *Nature* **461**, 515–519 (2009).

48. Akanuma, S. & Yamagishi, A. Biotechnology of Extremophiles:, Advances and

Challenges. *Grand Challenges in Biology and Biotechnology* 581–596 (2016)

doi:10.1007/978-3-319-13521-2_20.

49. Akanuma, S. *et al*. Experimental evidence for the thermophilicity of ancestral life. *PNAS*

110, 11067–11072 (2013).

50. Williams, P. D., Pollock, D. D., Blackburne, B. P. & Goldstein, R. A. Assessing the

Accuracy of Ancestral Protein Reconstruction Methods. *PLoS Computational Biology* **2**,

e69 (2006).

51. Susko, E. & Roger, A. J. Problems With Estimation of Ancestral Frequencies Under

Stationary Models. *Systematic Biology* **62**, 330–338 (2013).

52. Sternke, M., Tripp, K. W. & Barrick, D. Chapter Seven The use of consensus sequence

information to engineer stability and activity in proteins. *Methods in Enzymology* **643**,

149–179 (2020).

53. McKinney, W. Data Structures for Statistical Computing in Python. in *Proceedings of the*

9th Python in Science Conference (eds Walt, S. van der & Millman, J.) 56–61 (2010).

doi:10.25080/Majora-92bf1922-00a.

54. Harris, C. R. *et al*. Array programming with NumPy. *Nature* **585**, 357–362 (2020).

55. Virtanen, P. *et al*. SciPy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python.

Nature Methods **17**, 261–272 (2020).

56. J. D. Hunter. Matplotlib: A 2D Graphics Environment. *Computing in Science &*

Engineering **9**, 90–95 (2007).

57. Abramson, J. *et al*. Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with

AlphaFold 3. *Nature* **630**, 493–500 (2024).

58. Goddard, T. D. *et al*. UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in visualization and

analysis. *Protein Science* **27**, 14–25 (2018).

59. Hanahan, D. Studies on transformation of Escherichia coli with plasmids. *Journal of*

molecular biology **166**, 557–580 (1983).

60. Datsenko, K. A. & Wanner, B. L. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in

Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences **97**, 6640–6645 (2000).

61. Newville, M. *et al.* LMFIT: Non-Linear Least-Squares Minimization and Curve-Fitting for

Python. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.598352 doi:10.5281/zenodo.598352.

62. Katoh, K. & Toh, H. Recent developments in the MAFFT multiple sequence alignment

program. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* **9**, 286–298 (2008).

63. Cock, P. J. A. *et al.* Biopython: freely available Python tools for computational molecular

biology and bioinformatics. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1422–1423 (2009).

64. Bachmann, M. rapidfuzz/RapidFuzz: Release 3.8.1. Zenodo

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10938887 (2024).

65. Keeble, A. H. *et al.* DogCatcher allows loop-friendly protein-protein ligation. *Cell*

Chemical Biology **29**, 339-350.e10 (2022).

Data Availability
Raw Nanopore data is deposited on the Sequence Read Archive with accession number
PRJNA1404875.

Code Availability
The code that supports the findings of this study is available on Zenodo with
doi: 10.5281/zenodo.18301099.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Michael Sennett, Ricardo Pádua, Lufan Xiao and other members of the Kern and Theobald labs for valuable discussions. Additionally, we are grateful for the advice of Sven Panke and Sonja Billerbeck concerning the acceptor strain, Søren Karst regarding sample preparation for and implementation of their nanopore data analysis pipeline, and Harrison Steel concerning the Chi.Bio turbidostat.

Competing Interests. D.K. is a co-founder of Relay Therapeutics and MOMA Therapeutics. H. L. and D.K. are listed as inventors on <u>Patent PCT/US2025/021803</u> WO2025207918A1 related to the methods described in this manuscript. The remaining authors declare no competing interests.

Author contributions:
M.P., H.L., C.W., D.R.W., C.K., D.L.T., and D.K. contributed to the design and conceptualization of the project. M.P., H.L., C.W., D.R.W., and C.K. made knockout and WT knock-in cell strains. M.P., H.L., D.R.W., C.K., and J.I. measured WT acceptor strain doubling times. M.P. made error-prone PCR libraries. M.P. and H.L. performed *in vivo* experiments. M.P. prepared and analyzed all nanopore sequencing data. M.P. performed *in vitro* selection experiments. M.P., H.L., C.K., and A.U. expressed, purified, and measured enzymatic activity and thermostability WT and variant Adks. M.P., H.L., C.K., and A.U. expressed, purified, and measured enzymatic activity and thermostability WT and variant Adks. H.L. performed the HPLC assay of Adks. M.P., H.L., and D.K. wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Fig. 1: ANC2 and AfAdk are the most comparable ancestral/extant pair for *in vivo* **directed evolution at low temperature. A** Collapsed phylogenetic tree taken from Nguyen *et al.*[5] is shown annotated with ancestral and modern Adks used in this study (Ancestor 1: ANC1, Ancestor 2: ANC2, *Caldanaerobacter subterraneus*: CsAdk, *Anoxybacillus flavithermus*: AfAdk, *Geobacillus stearothermophilus*: GsAdk, *Bacillus subtilis*: BsAdk). The hypothesized relationship between evolvability and robustness is illustrated. **B** Doubling times of *Escherichia coli* Adk (EcAdk) knockout *E. coli* BW25113 strains supplemented with indicated Adk variants on a placeholder plasmid at different temperatures. **C** Doubling times at 20 °C of knockout strains rescued with different *adks* strongly correlate with the k_{cat} of the rescue Adk (Pearson r = -0.87) indicating that Adk activity is rate-limiting for *E. coli* growth. **D** k_{cat} of Adk-catalyzed ATP production from 5 mM Mg^{2+}•2ADP measured at 20 °C, via coupled assay (mean ± s.d. of n ≥ 3 replicates) and respective melting temperature T_m (indicated above the bar) show ANC2 and AfAdk as closest-matching ancestor-extant pair. **E** AlphaFold 3 prediction of AfAdk (cartoon) bound to Mg^{2+}•ADP colored by the sequence conservation score of Adks found in the phylogenetic tree. Sequence differences between AfAdk and ANC2 are displayed as blue spheres (sequence ID 72.4%). Mg^{2+} (slate) and Zn^{2+} (light blue) are depicted as small spheres and ADP (black) as black sticks. **F** Temperature dependence of doubling times of the acceptor strain (AS; mean ± s.e. of n ≥ 3 replicates) rescued with WT ANC2 or AfAdk at different temperatures (Two-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, $p_{20°C}$ = 0.03, $p_{37°C}$ = 0.001, $p_{42°C}$ = 0.8; *: p < 0.05, **: p < 0.01, n.s.: not significant). **G** k_{cat} of ATP production from Mg^{2+}•2ADP at different temperatures measured via high-pressure liquid

997 chromatography (HPLC). All k_{cat} values are the average of n ≥ 3 replicate measurements
998 ± s.e.

999
1000

Fig. 2: ANC2 is more robust to mutation than AfAdk at 20 ºC *in vivo*. A Scheme of
the experimental setup for *in vivo* selection of acceptor strains rescued with ancestral or
modern epPCR libraries, ensuring continuous bacterial growth in log phase and
continuous doubling time measurement using the Chi.Bio turbidostat[35]. Readouts for
robustness are the number of viable variants, and two methods used to measure k_{cat} for
winners are shown. **B** Doubling times of AS-ANC2lib and AS-AfAdklib strains throughout
8 days of selection at 20 ºC in turbidostat, revealing a significantly faster growth of the
ancestral libraries than modern libraries (Two-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p = 1.9 x
10^{-31}), with an initial 2-day adaptation phase. **C** Histograms display significantly larger
genetic diversity (mutations/gene) in ancestors than moderns before (at 42 ºC) and after
8 days of selection at 20 ºC (Two-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests: p_{ANC2} = 1.04 x 10^{-5},

$p_{AfAdk} = 7.04 \times 10^{-16}$, $p_{ANC2,AfAdk,42°C} = 2.11 \times 10^{-38}$, $p_{ANC2,AfAdk,day8} = 5.62 \times 10^{-15}$; ****: $p < 0.001$; MAD = mean absolute deviation around the median). **D-E** Throughout selection, ancestral bacterial populations are more diverse than extant ones, as measured by nanopore sequencing of AS-ANC2lib and AS-AfAdklib timepoints at 42 °C and subsequent timepoints of 20 °C selection. Numbers on top identify the total number of variants detected. **F** Sequence logo of low-abundance AS-AfAdklib variants at residues 3-5. Populations in (**D**) and (**E**) are represented as mean ± s.d. of n = 3 replicates and the average number of unique amino acid variants is indicated above each bar. Data for each replicate are provided in Supplementary Tables 2 and 3.

1061

Fig. 3: Selection *in vivo* acts on Adk activity and expression levels. A Mutations for each highly abundant variant after 8 days of selection are plotted onto AlphaFold 3 predictions (as colored spheres) of ANC2 or AfAdk (grey cartoon, depicted as in **Fig. 1E**). **B** k_{cat} of Adk-catalyzed ATP production from 5 mM $Mg^{2+}\cdot$2ADP by ANC2 and AfAdk variants enriched by selection measured via coupled assay (20 °C, mean ± s.d. of n ≥ 3 replicates, Supplementary Table 4) and melting temperature (T_m; above bar). **C** Relative abundance of each highly abundant ANC2 variant after 8 days of selection at 20 °C plotted against its k_{cat} (Pearson r = 0.87) indeed shows enrichment of the fastest variants after selection. **D-E** Mutations in the 5′ UTR (5′ untranslated region) in regions 277-353 and

the ribosomal binding site (RBS) are enriched in both the WT (**D**) and 3 of the 4 highly abundant ANC2 variants (**E**). Parent = wild-type vector sequence). Populations are represented as mean ± s.d. of n = 3 replicates. **F** A mutant ANC2 strain harboring the most common RBS variant, RBS$^{\Delta C9}$ (RBS numbering, see Supplementary Fig. 10H), grows faster at 20 ºC than the ANC2 WT strain (Two-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov test: p = 0.03, *: p < 0.05). **G** In-cell ANC2 concentration was determined in WT and RBS$^{\Delta C9}$ ANC2 strains (grown at 30 ºC) by measuring ATP production in lysate via coupled assay at 20 ºC (mean ± s.d. of n = 3; see Methods).

1119
Fig. 4: ANC2 is more robust to mutation than AfAdk in *in vitro* screening. A
Histograms of lysate Adk activity (ATP production, coupled assay at 20 ºC) show a
significantly different ancestral distribution (Two-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov test: p = 7.79
x 10^{-6}), with ANC2 lysates generally being more active than AfAdk lysates (One-sided
Kolmogorov-Smirnov test: p = 3.90 x 10^{-6}), reflecting an increased mutational robustness
in ANC2 over AfAdk (ANC2$_{mean}$ = 0.48, ANC2$_{median}$ = 0.34, AfAdk$_{mean}$ = 0.42, AfAdk$_{median}$
= 0.13). **B** Catalytic rates of ATP production by highly active Adk variants measured at 20
ºC, via coupled assay (mean ± s.d. of n ≥ 2 replicates). **C** Mutations for each assayed
variant are plotted using the same color as in B onto AlphaFold 3 predictions of ANC2 or
AfAdk (grey cartoon, depicted as in **Fig. 1E**, mutations are labeled in Supplementary Fig.

15A). Mutations that appear in more than one variant are colored as black spheres. **D-E**
k_{cat} of assayed variants at 20 ºC plotted against number of mutations per gene (**D**) and
T_m (**E**) for ANC2 and AfAdk variants. **F** Histogram showing that cellular Adk concentrations
of assayed variants are significantly different (Two-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov test: p =
0.025), with ANC2 expression levels being generally higher (One-sided Kolmogorov-
Smirnov test: p = 0.013; MAD = mean absolute deviation around the median, ****: p <
0.001).
1137