Efagins – engineerable agents that evolved independently to target the enterococcal cell wall

Janira Prichula,[1,2,3] Abigail L. Manson,[3] Joshua T. Smith,[3] Natia Karumidze,[4,5] Gracie Richards,[3] Suelen S.

Mello,[1,2] Artur Czajkowski,[6] Mohammed Kaplan,[6] Ashlee M. Earl,[3]* and Michael S. Gilmore,[1,2,3]*

[1]Department of Ophthalmology, Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

[2]Department of Microbiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

[3]Infectious Disease and Microbiome Program, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA

[4]Eliava Institute of Bacteriophages, Tbilisi, Georgia

[5]European University, Tbilisi, Georgia

[6]University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

*Co-corresponding authors: aearl@broadinstitute.org (A.M.E.) and michael_gilmore@meei.harvard.edu (M.S.G.)

ABSTRACT

Enterococci are major causes of multidrug-resistant infections, and antimicrobials with fundamentally new

mechanisms of action are urgently needed. We identify a new class of antibacterial agents, termed efagins,

which are chromosomally encoded, phage-related nanomachines that recognize cell wall carbohydrate

receptors and inhibit subsets of *E. faecalis, E. faecium* and other enterococci selectively—a key reason they

evaded prior detection. Five natural variants with distinct targeting profiles were identified – four related

by sequence divergence, while one appears to have arisen through recombination of the targeting domain,

likely from a phage donor. Operons encoding the corresponding carbohydrate receptors are highly variable,

accounting for targeting specificity. The efagin targeting domain can be engineered to reprogram them

toward alternative receptors, providing a pathway for filling critical coverage gaps. These findings advance

efagins as new selective antibacterials with promise for addressing infection and spread of multidrug-

resistant enterococcal infection.

KEYWORDS

Enterococcus faecalis; generalist species, efagin, phage-related elements; antimicrobial agents; Epa; Rha-

CWPS; VRE; *Enterococcus faecium*; hospital acquired infection

INTRODUCTION

Enterococci are projected to have evolved as gut microbes of land animals about the time arthropods first

terrestrialized 400 – 500 MYA,[1] but now rank among leading causes of multidrug-resistant (MDR)

infections of humans worldwide.[2–4] Of over 90 species present in diverse land animals[5], two that are

distantly related[1] – *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* – cause the vast majority of MDR human infection. Because

of their ancient origins both naturally occur in non-human hosts, with *E. faecalis* being by far the greatest

generalist of all enterococci.[5] This raised the question as to what unique features of *E. faecalis* distinguish

it from all other enterococcal species and allow it to successfully compete with more specialized enterococci

and other species, and colonize diverse gut consortia as they are conveyed up and down the food chain.

Comparing >3,000 *E. faecalis* genomes from diverse ecologies, we observed that despite its ancient

emergence and host diversification over eons, a 14.6 kb cluster of genes previously annotated as encoding

a partial phage[6] (which could not be ascribed phage function even in the presence of helper phages[7]) was

highly conserved in all strains with reading frames well maintained. We interpret this as evidence that the

product of this block of genes contributes fundamentally to the biology of *E. faecalis* in the highly diverse

ecosystems in which it occurs.

Here, we show that this gene block encodes an independently evolved entity with antimicrobial activity that

targets the rhamnose-rich enterococcal cell wall polysaccharide (Rha-CWPS) of enterococci and possibly

other microbes. We term its product efagin (<u>E</u>. *faecalis* ph<u>ag</u>e-related <u>in</u>hibitor). Although sharing little

sequence identity, efagins appear organizationally related to independently derived elements termed

tailocins.[8,9] Efagin activity extends beyond *E. faecalis* to include many *E. faecium* and other enterococci,

likely contributing to the success of this species in colonizing diverse animal guts. The efagin targeting

domain is amenable to reprogramming through domain swaps, highlighting a pathway for filling critical

gaps in coverage and potentially targeting new organisms.

RESULTS

Efagins are encoded within all *E. faecalis* genomes from diverse ecosystems and are exclusive to the

species.

In a comparison of 3,068 genome sequences from diverse geographic and ecological sources, the efagin

operon was found to be very highly conserved (Fig. 1, Table S1). All high-quality drafts (fewer than 75

contigs, n=2278) possess the entire element with reading frames intact; only five publicly available, low-

quality draft genomes the lacked the full element did have partial hits. No *E. faecalis* genomes were found

lacking efagin genes. The efagin gene cluster shows no evidence of mobility as its chromosomal

neighborhood is highly conserved discounting any association with a mobile element (Extended Data Fig.

1). Efagin is therefore core to the *E. faecalis* species, and we interpret the high level of sequence

conservation to reflect strong selection in diverse ecosystems.

To determine if efagin or anything analogous occurs in other enterococcal species, we searched a dataset

of 15,854 *Enterococcaceae* genomes not including *E. faecalis*. No significant identity to efagin (>90%

coverage; E-value <10) was found. A search of all draft prokaryotic genomes (approximately 1.2 million

submissions) in NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) similarly did not identify significant identities

outside of *E. faecalis*. A broader search for: i) the presence of at least a partial phage, and ii) its

occurrence in at least 50% of strains of a species (using the Genomad provirus detection tool[10] with

candidate prophage protein annotations confirmed using Phastest[11]) found that *E. faecalis* efagin was the

only example fitting those criteria. Our search did identify an unrelated incomplete *Melissococcus*

plutonius prophage present in 89.5% of the comparatively few genomes available for that species (17/19;

Tables S2 and S3). However, its structural characteristics diverge from those of efagin as the *M. plutonius*

element is predicted to encode a capsid and scaffold proteins suggestive of a defective phage or gene

transfer agent[12] (Table S3), in contrast to efagins, which are characterized by a complete absence of phage

head-related genes (Fig. 1a; Extended Data Fig.2; Supplementary Fig. 1).

Efagins are evolutionarily distant from Gram-positive and Gram-negative phage-related

antimicrobial agents

Other antimicrobial phage-related systems have been identified.[13–24] Though efagin shares little to no

sequence identity with any, its genetic organization is broadly similar to the tailocin, monocin[20–22]

(Extended Data Fig. 2). At the amino acid sequence level, evidence of distant sequence identity is

detectable only between three protein counterparts (putative regulatory element EF1276 exhibits 40%

amino acid identity over 90% of its length with a monocin counterpart, whereas putative structural

components EF1288 and EF1292 exhibit 21% identity over 59% of length, and 38% over 49% of the

length respectively [Table S4]). For comparison, the ubiquity of monocin and other tailocins within

genomes of the respective species that express them was examined and found to vary widely in contrast to

the high degree of efagin conservation in *E. faecalis* (Tables S5-12; Extended Data Fig. 3). In current

databases, *E. faecalis* phage vB_EfaS_IME197 (41.3 kb)[25] exhibits the closest relationship – six efagin

proteins share 24.6% to 70.7% amino acid sequence identity with its inferred gene products (Table S13),

highlighting its independent evolution.

Fig 1. Efagin is core to *E. faecalis* and highly conserved except for a discrete variable region. a, Genetic

organization of the 14.6kb efagin gene cluster, which in strain V583 consists of genes *ef1276 – ef1293*. **b,**

Alignment of corresponding sequences in 2,774 high quality *E. faecalis* genomes using MUSCLE[26] shows

the largest cluster of variability to occur in the C-terminal domain-encoding sequence of *ef1291*

(highlighted). **c,** Efagin inhibits growth of select heterologous *E. faecalis* strains and not the producing

organism (source of target cells [lavender box], source of efagin [OG1RF, top row; isogenic OG1RF(*Δefg*)

bottom row). **d,** Efagin dilution does not result in discrete plaque formation but breakthrough growth

instead.

Efagin is selectively antimicrobial

Previous work[7] systematically explored seven putative prophage-related sequences moored within the

prototype *E. faecalis* VRE V583 chromosome, including then-annotated partial phage-related element

described here as efagin. All putative prophage-related sequences (alone or with added helper phage),

<u>except</u> for efagin, produced viable particles. Separately, as a byproduct of work assessing vesicle

formation by *E. faecalis*, others[27] observed spurious copurifying particles which were attributed to genes

of the efagin cluster, but the significance of those particles was not explored further.

Because efagin reading frames are well maintained and particles appeared to be expressed from them,[27]

we undertook a search for activity. We reproduced the observations of Afonina et al.[27] by precipitating

efagin exclusively from sterile culture filtrates of wild-type OG1RF and not from an isogenic strain

generated here (OG1RF*Δefg*) in which the efagin gene cluster had been deleted, revealing phage tail-like

particles.

To detect activity, paired sterile filtrates of OG1RF or OG1RF*Δefg* were assayed for potential

antagonistic activity using a known diverse panel of *E. faecalis* lineages.[28] Efagin-specific inhibition was

observed for some *E. faecalis* but not others (Fig. 1c). Notably, efagin expressed by OG1RF did not kill

the producer itself, but was active against select other *E. faecalis* strains. Furthermore, when serially

diluted, efagin inhibitory activity was lost evenly (rather than forming fewer well-resolved individual

plaques, as is typical of phages capable of self-replication and reinfection), with breakthrough growth at

lower concentrations as seen with some antibiotics (Fig. 1d). Further, inhibition appeared confined to the

spot of application on the lawn with little diffusion.

Efagin structure

By transmission electron micrography (TEM), efagin particles measure from 113-234 nm, with a mean of

163 ± 11.8 nm (Fig. 2).

Fig. 2. Efagin appears as a phage tail-like particle. a, TEM image of OG1RF efagin particles (white arrows) with phage tail-like structures including apparent baseplate. **b,** Size distribution of 300 efagin particles.

ef1291 **Sequence variation is non-random**

The observation of a concentrated patch of variability near the 3' end of one gene (*ef1291*) suggested

either a unique opportunity for sequence drift or selection for variation in the function encoded (Fig. 1b).

We therefore examined it closely and found that all variants fell into one of five patterns, termed efagin

types A-E (Fig. 3 and Table S14), supporting the latter prospect. Compared to (arbitrarily chosen) type A,

variations in types B, C, and D clustered around specific amino acid positions. In contrast, the C-terminus

of type E was unrelated (19.1% amino acid identity with type A) and appears to have arisen through

allelic substitution – based on sequence similarity, possibly with a phage of the *Caudoviricetes* group

(Table S15). We interpreted natural allelic replacement of this C-terminal domain to indicate that it likely

encodes an independently functional domain. Additionally, a subvariant (which we named type X) was

observed to occur within representatives of types B (2 subvariants), type C (49 subvariants), and type D

(2 subvariants). Each of these subvariants possesses small sequence perturbations resulting in a premature

stop codon that truncates EF1291 near the middle.

Efagin Types A-E

Type A (*E. faecalis* T9)
VTMPTVNGVP LESSRMAIYE ASGVGEVEAK YQAAFNKDNM KFVLIRVGNR VDAFVRCNLS DPTKLNNHMP KVFNIPTGYK MSSKISASVW NIPLSVAPYV FPYPNCNALY EIGNQGIIFA SSRAGNVYLQ GSWYTDDPFPTK*

Type B (*E. faecalis* T6)
VTMPTVNGLP LESSRMAIYE ASGVGEVEAK YQAAFNKVNM KFVLIRVGNR VDAFVRCNLS DPTKLNTHMP KIFNIPTGYK MSSKISASVW NIPLSVAPAA FPYPNCNALY EIGNQGIIFA SSRAGNVYLQ GSWYTDDPFPTK*

Type C (*E. faecalis* OG1RF)
VTMPTVNGVP FESSKMAIYE ASGVGEVGAK YQAAFNKDNM KFVLIRVGNR VDAFVRCNLS DPTKLNNNLV KVFTVPTGYT LSTKITKGIW NLALTAMQYT FPQPNCAGLY EMGNQGILFG ANRAGNIYLQ GSWYTDDPFPTK*

Type D (*E. faecalis* Com 1)
VTMPTVNGVP LESSKMAIYE ASGVGEVEAK YQAAFNKVNM KFVLIRVGNR VDAFVRCNLS DPTKLNSQVI KVFNVPTGYS INSVLKRNIW NIPLTAVQYN FPQPICTALY EIDNKGIIFC SNRAGNIYLQ GSWYTDDPFPTK*

Type E (*E. faecalis* T19)
SEAPLFKNLP LVSLGKFNLV GINGTEGSFT KVIGNMNNKE VGFGSDFSYI DERAKIANLN KNNNRLVIEE DGMYILIVHF WVQPITTVNG YWYFNFAING VRAGGPTDRF WGLGGTSWKN RQDGLGMVIR NFKKGDQLSA LIETNYTGEV NSTGIKSATLIKIG*

Fig. 3. EF1291 C-terminal sequence variation patterns. Variations in encoded amino acids 448 to 619 fall into distinct classes defining efagin types A-E (differences from type A highlighted in red). Type E appears to have arisen from recombination.

Structural similarity between EF1291 and a listeriophage carbohydrate-recognition domain

With limited inferred amino acid sequence identity to proteins of known function, we used Phyre2[29] to detect possible conserved structural motifs (Table S16). Phyre2[29] modeled 152 inferred C-terminal amino acids of efagin to the structure of the receptor-binding domain of Listeria phage PSA protein gp15 with 96.7% confidence. That domain of gp15 binds specific carbohydrate decorations on the variable *L. monocytogenes* wall teichoic acid (WTA).[30] We therefore used AlphaFold[31] to make a comparative efagin EF1291 model to map EF1291 sequence variations observed on its hypothetical structure (Extended Data Fig. 4). As listeriophage gp15 was solved in trimeric form,[30] a quaternary structure common to other phage target binding proteins,[32–34] EF1291 was modeled as a trimer as well. Variations defining efagin sequence types mapped to the positions of amino acids of gp15 including those known to contact specific carbohydrates of *Listeria* target cell WTAs[30] (Fig. 4).

The rhamnose-rich cell wall polysaccharide (Rha-CWPS) referred to as enterococcal polysaccharide antigen (Epa)[35,36], is the *E. faecalis* analog of the WTA targeted by listeriophage PSA protein gp15[30] and is also known to vary between strains.[37,38] Because the producing cell is not killed by its own efagin, we hypothesized that cells expressing various efagin types would possess different Epa cell wall carbohydrate structures to avoid self-targeting. We therefore tested covariation between the single

nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) encoding the EF1291 efagin type variations, and the presence/absence

of specific Rha-CWPS/*epa* operon genes indicative of alternate Epa structures, across the *E. faecalis*

species diversity. Significant correlations were found between select *ef1291* SNP positions and the

presence/absence of four genes in the *epa* cluster[36,38]: *ef2166* (-0.729, p=1.13e-91), *ef2167* (-0.729,

p=1.13e-91), *ef2168* (-0.723, p=1.46e-89); and *ef2169* (-0.698, p=6.05e-81) (Extended Data Fig. 5 and

Supplementary Fig. 2).

Fig. 4. Structural similarities between EF1291 and listeriophage gp15 includes known carbohydrate-

recognition domain. **a-e,** Base (left) and side (right) views of the predicted trimeric models of EF1291 in

efagin types A-E using Alphafold 3.[31] Amino acids that differ from efagin type A EF1291 are indicated in

red. Amino acids that correlate with the presence/absence of *epa* genes (correlation coefficient < -0.6) are

colored cyan. Amino acids that vary in both variation and correlation analysis are colored purple. **f,** Crystal

structure of listeriophage PSA gp15[30]. Amino acids known to impact WTA binding in gp15 are colored

yellow.[30] Orange amino acids are those known to impact WTA binding in gp15[30] and also vary in EF1291.

To experimentally verify Epa as the efagin target, we selected and sequenced efagin-resistant mutants of

susceptible strains. Partially purified and concentrated type C efagin from OG1RF was spread onto lawns

of susceptible *E. faecalis* strains FA2-2[39] and X98.[40] These targets were chosen because both are

susceptible to type C efagin, but enigmatically were previously shown to be of different *epa* genotypes.[37]

Four FA2-2 mutants (FA2-2R1-R4) and two X98 mutants (X98R1 and -R2) were chosen for genome

sequence comparison to parental controls. All type C efagin resistant mutants of both lineages possessed

mutations in *epa* operon genes (Table S17 and Extended Data Fig. 6), providing direct experimental

evidence that despite differences in *epa* genotype, wild type Epa is necessary for efagin activity.

Building on a prior analysis,[37] we re-examined the diversity of *epa* operons in 1,972 high quality *E. faecalis*

genomes. The *E. faecalis epa* cluster consists of 18 conserved core genes (*epa*A–*epa*R) followed by variable

genes downstream (with *ef2164* to *ef2198* constituting the variable *epa* genes of prototype VRE *E. faecalis*

strain V583)[36,37] (Table S18). Therefore, the diversity of *epa* operons was clustered based on the extent of

shared homologs in the variable gene set, which identified 20 *E. faecalis epa* genotypes (Fig. 5 and Table

S14). All four genes whose presence or absence covaried with type-defining efagin variations (*ef2166–*

ef2169) are widely shared but not universal in the variable gene set (Fig. 5).

Fig. 5. Epa genotypes and their susceptibility to targeting by efagin types. Representative operons of 19 of 20 identified *epa* genotypes occurring in strains available to us are symbolically represented (black [core] and colored [variable] shapes correspond to predicted function). Susceptibility to inhibition of each *epa* genotype strain to efagin types A-E is indicated (grey boxes), as measured using chimeras constructed on the OG1RF type C background to control for other potential antimicrobial activities potentially expressed by natural producers. Chimeras are labeled using the Greek letter "χ"(*chi*), followed by efagin (*efg*) type.

The EF1291 C-terminal domain determines target cell specificity

To prove that the C-terminal domain of EF1291 determines target cell specificity, chimeras possessing C-terminal domain swaps from EF1291 sequence variation type A, B, D, and E donors, were constructed on the efagin type C background of OG1RF by allelic replacement.[41] This allowed assessment of efagin activity and targeting free of other potential antagonistic activities or phages common in wild-type natural producers. Generation of an efagin type D EF1291 C-terminal chimera proved initially difficult. We reasoned that efagin type D may target OG1RF, making such a construct suicidal. Direct assessment of the supernatant of the type D (C-terminus donor strain Com1) supported this prospect (although other potentially Com1-expressed inhibitory activities were not controlled for). Nevertheless, using partially purified Com1 efagin to select for resistant OG1RF mutants, two independently derived colonies were selected for genome sequence analysis, OG1RF-R1 and OG1RF-R2. Consistent with expectation, each possessed a different mutation in the OG1RF *epa* operon variable region (Extended Data Fig. 6 and Table S17). Strain OG1RF-R2 was arbitrarily selected as the host for construction and expression of the type D chimera, which was obtained without further difficulty. Once obtained, the type D chimera was verified as targeting OG1RF, but not OG1RF-R1 and -R2 mutants. Each chimeric construction (termed χ*efg*A, B, D, and E, respectively) was obtained on the OG1RF type C efagin background and verified by sequencing. Construction of EF1291 chimeras did not detectably affect efagin assembly or structure (Supplementary Fig. 3)

Each efagin chimera was systematically examined for altered target cell specificity using representatives

of 19 of the 20 *epa* genotypes identified (Fig. 5), as we were unable to obtain an *epa* genotype 11 strain

for testing (Supplementary Fig. 4). Chimeric efagins χ*efg*A, χ*efg*B, χ*efg*D, and χ*efg*E exhibit distinct

target cell specificities showing both functionality and altered specificity. Efagins show overlapping

activity patterns, but each type displays unique activities. This indicates that each efagin targets a unique

aspect of the variable and complex Rha-CWPS/Epa structure, [42,43] as well as more broadly shared

elements. As an additional proof of targeting, χ*efg*A was used to select for resistant mutants of its

prototype strain target, V583. Again, two mutants were obtained and sequenced, V583-R1 and -R2, and

again both also possessed mutations in variable region genes of its *epa* operon (Extended Data Fig. 6 and

Table S17). All strains targeted by chimeras were confirmed to also be targeted by filtrates of the donors

from which the *ef1291* sequence originated.

Efagin/Epa compatibility as a driver of horizontal gene exchange

Gene clusters encoding efagin (in *E. faecalis* V583, *ef1276 – ef1293*) and Epa (*ef2198 – ef2164*) are not

linked and separated by approximately 1/3rd of the genome. We never detected a population collapse

associated with efagin production by an *E. faecalis* strain, nor do chimeric efagins kill the strains from

which the corresponding C-terminal encoding sequence derived (Fig. 5), indicating that its ecological role

is not likely fratricide.[44] We therefore examined patterns of co-occurrence of efagin and corresponding

epa genotypes in 493 *E. faecalis* genomes representing all major phylogenetic branches across the species

(Supplementary Fig. 5). As predicted, the results reveal a non-random pattern with certain pairings

occurring at high frequency (*e.g.*, efagin type B and *epa* type 8; or efagin type C and *epa* type 1), while

others appear less common but still permissible. Both efagin types and *epa* genotypes are scattered across

the whole genome-based phylogeny (Supplementary Fig. 6), these co-occurrences support a functional

linkage and possible co-evolution between efagin and *epa*, likely shaped by selective pressures related to

self-immunity.

The association between efagin type and a non-targeted *Epa* genotype in the producing cell was found to

be highly significant, providing further support for a model where efagins target Epa types heterologous

to that of the producer (Fisher's exact test p < 0.00001) (Extended Data Fig. 7 and Table S19). However,

exceptions were observed, both implying unexpected susceptibility as well as resistance (Extended Data

Fig. 7). For example, strain SS7 (*epa* type 9) was found to be resistant to killing by efagin type C,

whereas other members of *epa* type 9 were found susceptible. Close examination of the SS7 chromosome

shows that it harbors an additional gene within the *epa* operon (Extended Data Fig. 7, orthogroup 151;

pink), potentially modifying its Epa structure. Other exceptions without obvious gene gains or losses

(*e.g.*, strain Fly2, *epa* operon type 1 but resistant to efagin type D) likely have more subtle changes such

as point mutations that affect expression of specific genes within their *epa* operons, as was found in

experimentally derived resistant mutants, but this remains to be shown.

Efagins and phages select for cross-resistance

Chatterjee and colleagues[45] observed that mutants of *E. faecalis* strain X98 gained immunity to infection

by some phages after acquiring mutations in *epa* genes. We obtained two mutants (51RS1 and 51RS2)

from that study to test for alteration in efagin susceptibility. Wild type X98 is targeted by efagin types A,

C, and E (Extended Data Fig. 6). Phage-resistant mutant 51RS1 (with lesion in the *epa* gene

EFOG_0058[45]) retains susceptibility only to types A and E, but not type C, whereas phage-resistant X98

mutant 51RS2 (with lesion in *epa* gene EFOG_0052)[45] is resistant to all efagin types (Extended Data Fig.

6). This shows that phage selection contributes to variation in efagin susceptibility and likely vice versa.

Efagin target range extends beyond *E. faecalis* to other enterococcal species

We previously identified structural similarities between the *epa* operons of *E. faecalis*, *E. faecium*[37] and in

the related operons of other *Enterococcus* species.[38] It therefore was of interest to determine whether

efagin activity extends beyond *E. faecalis*. A collection of 58 *E. faecium* genomes spanning the species[46]

was assessed for efagin susceptibility *E. faecium epa* operons also possess a conserved and then variable

genes and assessment of their diversity was updated using currently available data (Extended Data Figs. 8

and 9; Tables S20 and S21). Of those 58 diverse strains, 35 were found to be susceptible to at least one

efagin type (Extended Data Fig. 9). Interestingly, 39% of VRE *E. faecium* (7/18) are targeted by an

efagin, whereas 70% (28/40) of VSE *E. faecium* are susceptible. Importantly, only 6% (1/16) of the

highly successful and often VRE clonal complex 17 (CC17) lineage is targeted by an efagin. As both *E.*

faecalis and *E. faecium* species co-occur in the human gut,[47] this raises the prospect that evolving cellular

surfaces resistant to efagin inhibition may have contributed to the ecological success of the VRE *E.*

faecium CC17 lineage.

In addition to *E. faecium*, 40 other *Enterococcus* species spanning all four phylogenetic clades[1,5] were

evaluated for efagin susceptibility (Extended Data Fig. 10 and Table S22). Efagins were found to be

effective against many species within clades I, II, and III, predominantly species possessing *epa* genes

closely related to those of *E. faecalis*. In contrast, many clade IV species lack close homologs to genes for

synthesis of the core rhamnopolysaccharide stem of Epa,[38,42] and their Rha-CWPS/*epa* operon

counterparts have received little study. Interestingly, *E. testudinis* was found to be susceptible to efagin

despite lacking detectable sequence identity to core or variable *epa* genes of *E. faecalis* V583, suggesting

the presence of a distinct cell wall polysaccharide structure that nevertheless possesses terminal

decorations, or perhaps other cell wall structures, that serve as a binding target for efagin type C.

DISCUSSION

Here we identify efagins as a new class of independently evolved, selective microbial inhibitors core to the

biology of *E. faecalis*. A phage tail fiber-like protein, EF1291, targets efagins to variation in enterococcal

cell wall Rha-CWPS Epa which is common to most but not all enterococcal species. Whether functional

targets occur on microbes with non-rhamnose-based carbohydrate structures on their surface is currently

unknown, but appears possible based on the observed inhibition of *E. testudinis*. With activity against *E.*

faecium strains, including some VRE clinical isolates, and the demonstrated ability to engineer specificity

through discrete domain swaps, such agents and potentially engineered derivatives hold promise for

selectively controlling infection as well as the gut ecology of hospitalized patients limiting transmission

and spread.

The discovery of efagins provides a new perspective on *E. faecalis* ecology and evolution as well. Their

conservation in all *E. faecalis* and their uniqueness to that species imply that they were either gained at the

time of species radiation over 300 million years ago[1] and transmitted vertically, or later acquired and spread

throughout a population sweep. Their invariant occurrence in widely ranging ecologies, and absence outside

of that species, argue strongly for the former. With activity against competing strains of many enterococcal

species, efagins appear well suited to facilitate *E. faecalis* entry into diverse gut consortia. That is, as

animals along with their microbes are consumed up the food chain, *E. faecalis* in prey may deploy efagins

in the gut of a predator to displace competitors. As facile gene exchangers[48] the escalation of *E. faecalis* up

the food chain from a vast range of ecosystems positions them ideally to be important conduits of adaptive

traits from various ecosystems, including naturally occurring antibiotic resistance genes.

Cross selection and coevolution of efagins, phages and their Epa targets has likely contributed to *E. faecalis*

genetic diversity. Here, we observed that the evolutionary dynamics of the efagin and *epa* differ from much

of the rest of the core genome, raising the prospect that efagin-mediated selection may represent a new

important driver of gene exchange. Efagin susceptibility patterns across varying *epa* operon genotypes

likely mirror phage susceptibility patterns, substantially informing the development of phages for

therapeutic purposes as well.

The discovery of efagins provides yet another independently derived example of what is often viewed as

the co-option and redeployment of a phage structure for the selective advantage of a bacterium.[49] However,

as for other phage component-like bacterial factors, the paucity of intermediates makes it difficult to

substantiate a precise evolutionary pathway, making it entirely possible if not likely that the independent

utility of tail-like (or alternatively capsid-like) functional units has simply been co-opted in parallel by

microbes and viruses for various cellular and viral uses. [50]

As for tailocins, [15,18,21,24,49,51] we show that efagins are capable of inhibiting the growth of targeted strains

under the conditions tested here. However, much remains to be learned about the actual ecological role of

efagins in nature. The precise mechanism of action for efagins, as for other non-contractile F-type tailocins,

is unclear[18,21,22]. If competitor killing or inhibition is the objective, the nature of the selection that favors a

160 nm tail polymer is also unclear, when a) shorter variations spontaneously occur, and b) killing involves

activities mainly of the baseplate.[22]

Much remains to be learned about the contributions of efagins to the biology of *E. faecalis*, and their

contribution to its exceptional success – both as a commensal of a wide range of terrestrial animal guts and

as a persistent, multidrug resistant hospital pathogen. Irrespective of their role in nature, they clearly possess

the ability to be engineered to target cells expressing a cognate cell wall rhamnopolysaccharide (and

possibly related structures), which may be of considerable value in managing and limiting the spread of

multidrug resistant enterococci in medical and agricultural environments. In an era when few effective

treatment options remain for those with multidrug resistant enterococcal infections, the identification of a

new agent that targets these recalcitrant organisms represents an important advance.

METHODS

Collection of bacterial strains

The bacterial collection used in the experimental work is described in Tables S23, S24, S25, and S26.

Enterococcus species were propagated in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) broth or on 1.5% BHI agar at 34 °C

or 37 °C, depending on temperature preferences for each species[5], while *Vagococcus giribetii* was

incubated at 34 °C. *Escherichia coli* was maintained on 2x Yeast Tryptone medium (2xYT) at 37 °C.

Induction and recovery of efagin particles

To obtain efagin particles, bacterial cultures were grown overnight in BHI broth and incubated at 37 °C

for 18 h. For strains not expressing efagin constitutively, overnight cultures were diluted 1:100 in BHI

broth and incubated for 4 h, followed by induction with mitomycin C (final concentration 2.5 µg/ml) and

incubation at 37 °C overnight. The cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 11,000 × g for 15

minutes at 4 °C. Then the supernatant was collected and filtered through a 0.2 um membrane (Pall Life

Sciences). Efagin particles collected in the supernatants were added along with 1 M NaCl (5.84 g/100 ml)

and 10% (w/vol) polyethylene glycol 8000 (PEG), and the mixture was incubated for 1h at 4 °C. The

PEG-precipitated material was then collected by centrifugation at 25,000 × g, 4 °C, for 1 h. The pellet

was resuspended in SM buffer (50mM Tris-Cl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 8mM MgSO$_4$•7H$_2$O,) and another

addition of 2.5 M NaCl and 20% (w/v) polyethylene glycol PEG-8000 was performed for 30 min at room

temperature. Efagin particles were collected by centrifugation at 17,000 × g, 4 °C, for 1 h, and the pellets

were resuspended in SM buffer.

Efagin purification and concentration

Efagin particles were purified and concentrated using centrifugal filter units: Macrosep 100 K MWCO

advance centrifugal devices (20 ml; Pall Life Sciences), and Amicon Ultra 100K; (0.5 ml; Millipore)

according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, efagin suspensions in SM buffer were loaded into

Macrosep 100 K and centrifuged at 4,000 × g for 1.5 h. The collection tubes were then emptied, refilled

with 10 mL SM buffer, and subjected to a second centrifugation step. Retained particles were

resuspended in 2 mL SM buffer and transferred to Amicon Ultra 100 K filters. These were centrifuged at

5,000 × g for 30 min, and efagin was recovered by reverse spinning at 1,000 × g for 2 min. The resulting

concentrated preparations were used for activity assays of efagin and for subsequent analyses.

Transmission Electron Microscopic (TEM)

Purified efagin particles were diluted in SM buffer to a concentration of 10^7 efagin-forming units/ml.

Freshly ionized carbon coated grids were floated on a 10 ul drop of sample for 1 minute. Grids were

washed with 5 drops of 2% uranyl acetate (UA), and the excess of UA was drawn off with grade 50

Whatman filter paper. Grids were allowed to dry and imaged with a Hitachi 7800 at 100KV.

Grids preparation and imaging conditions for single particle analysis

Purified efagin particles in a volume of 3 µl were applied onto the glow discharged Quantifoil R 1.2/1.3,

300 Mesh, Au grid and plunge frozen using the Vitrobot Mark 4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham,

MA, USA) in 100% humidity and 4°C. The grids were blotted for 3s and automatically plunged into

liquid ethane. Vitrified samples were transferred to storage boxes and stored in liquid nitrogen until use.

Grids were clipped in AutoGrid Rings and loaded into a 300 kV Titan Krios electron microscope (Thermo

Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with Gatan K3 Camera and BioQuantum Energy Filter set to a 20

eV slit width. Micrographs were collected with a nominal 81.000x magnification, with a corresponding

pixel size of 1.065Å, a total dose equal 60e-/Å, 50 frames and defocus range of -2.1 to -0.9 µm.

Micrographs were imported to the CryoSPARC v4.6 software,[52] followed by Patch Motion Correction

and Patch CTF Correction. Three hundred random efagin particles were manually measured, which

allowed us to prepare the length distribution plot using RStudio v2025.09.2 software.[53]

Detection of phage activity and titration

Efagin particles from OG1RF *E. faecalis* were tested on an indicator strain for plaque formation.[54]

Briefly, the indicator strain was cultured overnight and then diluted to equal the turbidity of a 0.5

McFarland standard (~1.5 x 10^8 colony forming units (CFU)/ml). One hundred microliters of the bacterial

suspension were mixed with 1 ul of diluted efagin particles (prepared 10 to 10^9-fold serial dilutions) and 5

ml of 0.5% BHI agar, which was then poured onto a 1% BHI agar plate. Once the overlay solidified, the

plates were air-dried and incubated overnight at 37 °C. Plaque formation was visually detected and

enumerated by plaque assay using JM110 *Escherichia coli* (indicator strain) and M13 bacteriophages as

the positive control.

Efagin locus knockout in *E. faecalis* OG1RF

An efagin knockout strain of *E. faecalis* OG1RF was generated through allelic replacement. Genomic

regions flanking the efagin locus (878 bp upstream and 860 bp downstream) were amplified by PCR

using primer pairs KO-F1_XbaI up-forward/KO-R1_Acc65I up-reverse and KO-F2_KpnI down-

forward/KO-R2_SbfI down-reverse (Table S27), then fused via overlap extension PCR to create a 1,738

bp deletion construct. This fragment was digested with *XbaI*/ *SbfI* and cloned into the temperature-

sensitive plasmid pLT06 pre-digested with the same enzymes. The recombinant plasmid was propagated

in *E. coli* EC1000 and verified by sequencing. Following PCR confirmation with primers OriF and

KS05seqR, the construct was introduced into *E. faecalis* OG1RF by electroporation. Allelic exchange

was carried out using temperature shift and p-chlorophenylalanine counterselection, as described

previously.[41] Candidate mutants were screened by PCR using internal primers (efagin-internal-F and

efagin-internal-R; **Table S27**), and successful deletion was confirmed by whole genome sequencing.

Construction of efagin chimeras in *E. faecalis* OG1RF

Efagin chimeras of *E. faecalis* OG1RF were generated by allelic exchange. A 1,324 bp DNA fragment

spanning the region between the C-terminal end of *ef*1291 and the start of the EF1292 efagin gene was

amplified by PCR using primer pair 817_F_BamHI up-forward/2150_R_PstI up-reverse (Table S27). The

resulting product was digested with BamHI and PstI and ligated into the temperature-sensitive plasmid

pLT06, pre-digested with the same enzymes. Recombinant plasmids were propagated in *E. coli* EC1000

in medium supplemented with chloramphenicol (15 µg/mL), verified by PCR using primers OriF and

KS05seqR, and confirmed by Sanger sequencing. Plasmids were subsequently extracted and introduced

into *E. faecalis* OG1RF by electroporation. Allelic exchange was carried out using temperature shift and

p-chlorophenylalanine counterselection, as previously described.[41] Candidate mutants were screened by

PCR using internal primers for efagin types A–E (internal-F and internal-R; Table S27) and further

evaluated by activity assays. Successful recombinants were validated by sequencing.

Host range determination

Efagin killing assays were conducted using spot tests and the double agar layer method. [55] The indicator

strains were cultured overnight and then diluted to equal the turbidity of a 0.5 McFarland standard (~1.5 x

10^8 CFU/ml). One hundred microliters of the bacterial suspension were applied to a 0.5% Brain Heart

Infusion (BHI) agar overlay, which was then poured onto a 1% BHI agar plate. Once the overlay

solidified, the plates were air-dried and incubated in the refrigerator for 1 hour. Following this, 4 µl of

efagin preparations were carefully spotted onto the plates and allowed to dry/adsorb and incubated at 4°C

for 2h. After that, the plates were incubated at 37 °C overnight, and zones of clearing indicated positive

bactericidal activity.

Isolation of efagin-resistant *E. faecalis* strains

The efagin-resistant phenotypes were selected using spot tests and the double agar layer method as

described previously. Plates were let dry, and 4-20 µl of efagin particles were spotted and allowed to

dry/adsorb for 4 h. After, plates were incubated at 37 °C overnight. The presumptive efagin-resistant

colonies appeared in the zones of clearing. The efagin-resistant phenotypes were confirmed by spot

assays and whole genome sequencing (WGS).

Genomic DNA preparation, high-Throughput sequencing, assembly, and annotation

Enterococcus strains were cultured in BHI broth at 37 °C for 18 h. Genomic DNA was extracted using the

DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, San Luis, MO, USA) with minor modifications to the

manufacturer's protocol. Specifically, 50 µL of lysozyme (50 mg/mL) and 10 µL of mutanolysin (2,500

U/mL; Sigma-Aldrich, Germantown, MD, USA) were added and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C prior to

treatment with 20 µL proteinase K (20 mg/mL). DNA concentrations were determined using the Qubit

dsDNA High-Sensitivity Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA). Sequencing libraries were

prepared with the Nextera XT DNA kit and Illumina index primers. Paired-end reads (2 × 250 bp) were

generated on an Illumina MiSeq platform with the MiSeq Reagent Kit v2. Reads were trimmed to remove

adapters, quality-filtered, and screened for PhiX contamination before de novo assembly in CLC

Genomics Workbench v8.0.3. Ten additional strains (efagin resistant-mutants and chimeras) were

sequenced externally by CD Genomics (https://www.cd-genomics.com) and processed using the same

pipeline.

All Illumina sequencing reads and assembled genomes have been deposited in GenBank under

BioProjects PRJNA313452[56] and PRJNA663900,[57] and PRJNA1371623[58]. Strains and corresponding

accession numbers are provided in Table S1.

WGS variant calling analysis

Whole-genome sequencing was performed on both efagin-resistant mutants and their corresponding

parental strains to identify single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and insertions/deletions (indels).

Sequencing reads were aligned to the finished reference genome using the BWA-MEM algorithm

(v0.7.4).[59] Variant calling was then carried out using Pilon (v1.23).[60] Variants with a mapping quality

score below 10 were excluded from the final dataset to ensure accuracy.

Searching for efagin sequence in Enterococcaceae and other prokaryotic bacteria

We downloaded all 16,907 Enterococcaceae assemblies (Taxid 18,015) from NCBI

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), in May 2021, to analyze together with additional Enterococcaceae

genomes from the Broad Institute's collection (n= 1108). Quality controls were performed to remove

contaminated or incomplete genomes, using Phylosift[61] and CheckM.[62] FastANI[63] was used to identify

species in our dataset by comparing to reference genomes, including *E. faecalis* V583, with the presence

of >95% average nucleotide identity to a known reference genome confirming the species designation.

Our final dataset contained 15,854 confirmed Enterococcaceae assemblies for analysis, including 3,068 *E.*

faecalis.

BLAST (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) was used to search our enterococcal assembly database for hits

matching the efagin sequence from *E. faecalis* reference strain V583. We calculated the total coverage of

efagin for hits within each target sequence, accounting for overlapping hits. Efagin was defined as present

in a strain if there were Blast hits with at least 90% coverage of efagin (E-value <10), including at least

one hit of length > 2000 bp. Another, more comprehensive BLAST search was performed against all draft

prokaryotic genomes available on NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). All sequencing submissions

matching the query "wgs_master[prop] AND (bacteria[orgn] OR archaea[orgn]) NOT refseq[filter]" on

the NCBI Nucleotide website were included in this dataset (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), or

approximately 1.2 million sequencing submissions in Oct 2022.

Selection of representative *E. faecalis, L. monocytogenes, P. aeruginosa and C. difficile* genomes to cover the breadth of diversity within the species

In order to remove biases within our dataset due to over-representation of commonly sequenced clinical subtypes, and to ensure computational tractability, we selected representative genomes for each species, chosen to represent the diversity present across the species. Briefly, all genomes were clustered using the StrainGR (v1.3) software package[64], using its utility for creating representative reference genome databases. We clustered genomes with a jaccard distance of 0.9, and a representative was chosen for each cluster.

For *E. faecalis*, we started with our full set of 3,068 genomes. After clustering, we chose the representatives with the smallest number of contigs, that also contained a full efagin on a single contig, resulting in a set of 441 *E. faecalis* genomes. Additionally, we added lab-tested strains important to this study, with a final total of 493 genomes representing the diversity of *E. faecalis*.

For analyses of *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Clostridium difficile*, we started with 414, 883 and 189 genomes from the complete refseq database, respectively. After clustering, the resulting datasets contained 74, 343, and 32 genomes, respectively, highlighting different levels of diversity for each species in the database.

Searching for partial phages

To detect partial phages from additional enterococcal species and closely related *Melissococcus plutonius*, *Tetragenococcus halophilus*, and *Vagococcus fluvialis*, we used all isolates for each species from the refined dataset of 15,854 Enterococcaceae assemblies described above. These were then used as input into the provirus identification tool within the geNomad software package. [10] This tool identifies and extracts proviruses from assembled genomes by detecting regions enriched in viral hallmark genes using genomad's neural network classification model. We filtered for proviruses present in the majority of isolates in each species and explored whether they lack typical prophage proteins using geNomad[10]. Incomplete annotations were confirmed with Phastest.[11]

Identification of the closest known ancestor of efagin and protein identity

All V583 efagin gene sequences were analyzed using the phage prediction tool PHASTER[65] and

Phastest[11] to identify the closest known phage ancestor. The resulting phage protein sequences were then

compared with efagin proteins using BLAST alignments (default E-value thresholds) to determine

protein-level identity.

Monocin similarity analysis

Using nucleotide sequences from all V583 efagin genes, we searched against the monocin gene sequences

and obtained no hits (default E-value thresholds). Using sequences from all V583 efagin proteins, we

searched against the monocin protein sequences from ATCC 35152 strain using a BLAST e-value

threshold of 1e-5.

Functional annotation of efagin and genes in its genomic neighborhood

Gene function information for efagin (*ef1276* to *ef1293*) and its surrounding region (20 genes upstream

and downstream) was obtained by combining information from Prokka,[66] Pfam,[67] Kegg,[68] Phyre 2.2,[29]

BioCyc,[69] Alphafold 3,[31] and PaperBlast.[70] The annotated genes immediately upstream and downstream

of *efagin* via this pipeline are not present in the *E. faecalis* V583 genome annotation in GenBank

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), but were found in our Prokka[66] annotations. For reference in our

analysis, we assigned them arbitrary *ef* IDs: *ef3441* (upstream) and *ef3442* (downstream).

Orthogroup clustering and characterization of *epa*

To obtain efagin particles, bacterial cultures were grown. Among all isolates containing a complete efagin

sequence, 1,972 also had a complete epa operon on one contig. Since *epa* consists of a conserved region

followed by a variable region (containing 7 to 27 genes), a conserved gene downstream of *epa*, *ef2162*,

was used to define the downstream boundary of the operon. The genes between *epa*A and *ef2162*, not

including *ef2162*, were considered part of the *epa* operon and were included in our orthogroup clustering

analysis. SynerClust[71] was run on these genomes, classifying all *epa* genes into 137 orthogroups. To

518 further correct for possible overclustering artifacts, we split orthogroups with within-cluster average

519 nucleotide identity <90%.

520 To explore the presence of *epa* in *E. faecium*, we downloaded 58 diverse *E. faecium* genomes from a

521 previously published study[46] and repeated the same orthogroup clustering process described above. In

522 total, 95 epa orthogroups were present in *E. faecium*.

523 In order to understand epa diversity across the *Enterococcus* genus, we used previously published

524 results[38] that used BLAST to identify which epa genes are present in other enterococcal species,

525 calculating the percent identity between genes from different enterococci and the *E. faecalis* V583 epa

526 genes. For new isolates not included in that study,[38] we also used the same method to detect epa genes,

527 using the same E-value threshold of 1E-10 for inclusion as a positive hit.

528 **Analysis of correlation between efagin SNPs and *epa* genes**

529 For each gene in the variable region of the epa, we created a vector with a binary value corresponding to

530 the presence or absence of this gene within each *E. faecalis* representative genome selected as described

531 above. Correspondingly, for each 150bp region within efagin, we created a vector containing the number

532 of SNPs in that region for each representative genome within our database. A position in a genome was

533 considered a SNP if it differed from the nucleotide at that position in V583 or if it was an inserted or

534 deleted relative to V583. Pearson correlations were calculated between the vectors for each epa gene and

535 each efagin region.

536 **Protein structural modeling**

537 Alphafold 3[31] was used to model the full-length protein structures of all five efagin *ef*1291 types via

538 alphafoldserver.com. As gp15[30] is known to form a homotrimeric structure, we included three copies of

539 *ef*1291 as input. We generated visualizations using Pymol.[72]

540 **Defining Epa and efagin types**

We defined epa types using hierarchical clustering, based on the presence or absence of *epa* genes in our

orthogroup clustering based on 1,972 *E. faecalis* genomes. This was performed using the

AgglomerativeClustering package from scikit-learn.[73] We chose an optimal threshold by comparing the

clusters with patterns of efagin killing activity, achieving optimal agreement with the distance_threshold

parameter value of 9. For the 58 *E. faecium* genomes, we applied the same approach, using a

distance_threshold parameter value of 6.

Efagin types were defined for the complete dataset using hierarchical clustering of an alignment of amino

acid residues representing the variable region of *ef*1291 (V583 efagin coordinates 12,539-12,967). We

used the AgglomerativeClustering package,[73] and an optimal threshold was chosen by comparing the

clusters with patterns of efagin killing activity, achieving optimal agreement with a distance_threshold

value of 24.

Construction of phylogenies

The whole-genome phylogeny of *E. faecalis* representatives was generated with Parsnp v1.1.2 [2]/23/26

4:23:00 PM using default parameters and V583 as the reference genome. To construct the single-copy

core phylogenetic tree of Enterobacteriaceae, individual representative genomes for each species were

used as input to Orthofinder[74] to find all genes present in >=95% of isolates. These were aligned with

MAFFT[75] and then phylogenetically reconstructed using IQTree[76] in modelfinder mode with 1000

ultrafast bootstraps.

Data availability

All Illumina sequencing reads and assembled genomes have been deposited in GenBank under accession

numbers BioProjects PRJNA313452[56], PRJNA663900[57], and PRJNA1371623[58]. Strains and

corresponding accession numbers are provided in Tables S1. Any additional information required to

reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact (Michael S. Gilmore;

michael_gilmore@meei.harvard.edu) upon request.

REFERENCES

1. Lebreton, F. *et al.* Tracing the Enterococci from Paleozoic Origins to the Hospital. *Cell* **169**, 849-861.e13 (2017).

2. *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States.* https://www.cdc.gov/antimicrobial-resistance/data-research/threats/index.html.

3. Ikuta, K. S. *et al.* Global mortality associated with 33 bacterial pathogens in 2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *The Lancet* **400**, 2221–2248 (2022).

4. *European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control - ECDC (2024). Antimicrobial Resistance in the EU/EEA (EARS-Net)—Annual Epidemiological Report 2023. November 18, 2024.* https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/antimicrobial-resistance-eueea-ears-net-annual-epidemiological-report-2023.

5. Schwartzman, J. A. *et al.* Global diversity of enterococci and description of 18 previously unknown species. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **121**, e2310852121 (2024).

6. Paulsen, I. T. Role of Mobile DNA in the Evolution of Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococcus faecalis. *Science* **299**, 2071–2074 (2003).

7. Matos, R. C. *et al. Enterococcus faecalis* Prophage Dynamics and Contributions to Pathogenic Traits. *PLoS Genet.* **9**, e1003539 (2013).

8. Scholl, D. Phage Tail–Like Bacteriocins. *Annu. Rev. Virol.* **4**, 453–467 (2017).

9. Backman, T., Burbano, H. A. & Karasov, T. L. Tradeoffs and constraints on the evolution of tailocins. *Trends Microbiol.* **32**, 1084–1095 (2024).

10. Camargo, A. P. *et al.* Identification of mobile genetic elements with geNomad. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **42**, 1303–1312 (2024).

11. Wishart, D. S. *et al.* PHASTEST: faster than PHASTER, better than PHAST. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **51**, W443–W450 (2023).

12. Bobay, L.-M., Touchon, M. & Rocha, E. P. C. Pervasive domestication of defective prophages by

bacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **111**, 12127–12132 (2014).

13. Kageyama, M., Ikeda, K. & Egami, F. Studies of a Pyocin: III. Biological Properties of the Pyocin. *J.*

Biochem. (Tokyo) **55**, 59–64 (1964).

14. Heo, Y.-J., Chung, I.-Y., Choi, K. B. & Cho, Y.-H. R-type pyocin is required for competitive growth

advantage between Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **17**, 180–185 (2007).

15. Ge, P. *et al.* Action of a minimal contractile bactericidal nanomachine. *Nature* **580**, 658–662 (2020).

16. Carim, S. *et al.* Systematic discovery of pseudomonad genetic factors involved in sensitivity to

tailocins. *ISME J.* **15**, 2289–2305 (2021).

17. Blasco, L. *et al.* Study of 32 new phage tail-like bacteriocins (pyocins) from a clinical collection of

Pseudomonas aeruginosa and of their potential use as typing markers and antimicrobial agents. *Sci.*

Rep. **13**, 117 (2023).

18. Saha, S. *et al.* F-Type Pyocins Are Diverse Noncontractile Phage Tail-Like Weapons for Killing

Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J. Bacteriol.* **205**, e00029-23 (2023).

19. Zink, R., Loessner, M. J. & Scherer, S. Charaterization of cryptic prophages (monocins) in Listeria

and sequence analysis of a holin/endolysin gene. *Microbiology* **141**, 2577–2584 (1995).

20. Lee, G. *et al.* F-Type Bacteriocins of *Listeria monocytogenes*: a New Class of Phage Tail-Like

Structures Reveals Broad Parallel Coevolution between Tailed Bacteriophages and High-Molecular-

Weight Bacteriocins. *J. Bacteriol.* **198**, 2784–2793 (2016).

21. Sigal, N. *et al.* Specialized *Listeria monocytogenes* produce tailocins to provide a population-level

competitive growth advantage. *Nat. Microbiol.* **9**, 2727–2737 (2024).

22. Gu, Z., Ge, X. & Wang, J. Structure of an F-type phage tail-like bacteriocin from *Listeria*

monocytogenes. *Nat. Commun.* **16**, 1695 (2025).

23. Gebhart, D. *et al.* Novel High-Molecular-Weight, R-Type Bacteriocins of *Clostridium difficile*. *J.*

Bacteriol. **194**, 6240–6247 (2012).

24. Cai, X. *et al.* Atomic structures of a bacteriocin targeting Gram-positive bacteria. *Nat. Commun.* **15**,

7057 (2024).

25. Cheng, S. *et al.* Complete Genome Sequence of a New *Enterococcus faecalis* Bacteriophage,

vB_EfaS_IME197. *Genome Announc.* **4**, e00827-16 (2016).

26. Edgar, R. C. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput.

Nucleic Acids Res. **32**, 1792–1797 (2004).

27. Afonina, I. *et al.* The composition and function of *Enterococcus faecalis* membrane vesicles.

microLife **2**, uqab002 (2021).

28. McBride, S. M., Fischetti, V. A., LeBlanc, D. J., Moellering, R. C. & Gilmore, M. S. Genetic

Diversity among Enterococcus faecalis. *PLoS ONE* **2**, e582 (2007).

29. Powell, H. R., Islam, S. A., David, A. & Sternberg, M. J. E. Phyre2.2: A Community Resource for

Template-based Protein Structure Prediction. *J. Mol. Biol.* **437**, 168960 (2025).

30. Dunne, M. *et al.* Reprogramming Bacteriophage Host Range through Structure-Guided Design of

Chimeric Receptor Binding Proteins. *Cell Rep.* **29**, 1336-1350.e4 (2019).

31. Abramson, J. *et al.* Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3.

Nature **630**, 493–500 (2024).

32. Spinelli, S., Veesler, D., Bebeacua, C. & Cambillau, C. Structures and host-adhesion mechanisms of

lactococcal siphophages. *Front. Microbiol.* **5**, (2014).

33. Ayala, R. *et al.* Nearly complete structure of bacteriophage DT57C reveals architecture of head-to-

tail interface and lateral tail fibers. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 8205 (2023).

34. d'Acapito, A., Decombe, A., Arnaud, C.-A. & Breyton, C. Comparative anatomy of siphophage tails

before and after interaction with their receptor. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **92**, 103045 (2025).

35. Xu, Y., Murray, B. E. & Weinstock, G. M. A Cluster of Genes Involved in Polysaccharide

Biosynthesis from *Enterococcus faecalis* OG1RF. *Infect. Immun.* **66**, 4313–4323 (1998).

36. Teng, F., Singh, K. V., Bourgogne, A., Zeng, J. & Murray, B. E. Further Characterization of the *epa*

Gene Cluster and Epa Polysaccharides of *Enterococcus faecalis*. *Infect. Immun.* **77**, 3759–3767

(2009).

37. Palmer, K. L. *et al.* Comparative Genomics of Enterococci: Variation in *Enterococcus faecalis*, Clade

Structure in E. faecium, and Defining Characteristics of *E. gallinarum* and *E. casseliflavus*. *mBio* **3**,

e00318-11 (2012).

38. Salamzade, R. *et al.* zol and fai: large-scale targeted detection and evolutionary investigation of gene

clusters. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **53**, gkaf045 (2025).

39. Clewell, D. B. *et al.* Mapping of *Streptococcus faecalis* plasmids pAD1 and pAD2 and studies

relating to transposition of Tn917. *J. Bacteriol.* **152**, 1220–1230 (1982).

40. Sharpe, M. E. Serological Types of *Streptococcus faecali*s and its Varieties and their Cell Wall Type

Antigen. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* **36**, 151–160 (1964).

41. Thurlow, L. R., Thomas, V. C. & Hancock, L. E. Capsular Polysaccharide Production in

Enterococcus faecalis and Contribution of CpsF to Capsule Serospecificity. *J. Bacteriol.* **191**, 6203–

6210 (2009).

42. Guerardel, Y. *et al.* Complete Structure of the Enterococcal Polysaccharide Antigen (EPA) of

Vancomycin-Resistant *Enterococcus faecalis* V583 Reveals that EPA Decorations Are Teichoic

Acids Covalently Linked to a Rhamnopolysaccharide Backbone. *mBio* **11**, e00277-20 (2020).

43. Davis, J. L. *et al.* Dissecting the Enterococcal Polysaccharide Antigen (EPA) structure to explore

innate immune evasion and phage specificity. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **347**, 122686 (2025).

44. Gilmore, M. S. & Haas, W. The selective advantage of microbial fratricide. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*

102, 8401–8402 (2005).

45. Chatterjee, A. *et al.* Bacteriophage Resistance Alters Antibiotic-Mediated Intestinal Expansion of

Enterococci. *Infect. Immun.* **87**, e00085-19 (2019).

46. Lebreton, F. *et al.* Emergence of Epidemic Multidrug-Resistant *Enterococcus faecium* from Animal

and Commensal Strains. *mBio* **4**, e00534-13 (2013).

47. Schloissnig, S. *et al.* Genomic variation landscape of the human gut microbiome. *Nature* **493**, 45–50

(2013).

48. Clewell, D. B. *et al.* Extrachromosomal and Mobile Elements in Enterococci: Transmission,

Maintenance, and Epidemiology. in *Enterococci: From Commensals to Leading Causes of Drug*

Resistant Infection (eds Gilmore, M. S., Clewell, D. B., Ike, Y. & Shankar, N.) (Massachusetts Eye

and Ear Infirmary, Boston, 2014).

49. Ghequire, M. G. K. & De Mot, R. The Tailocin Tale: Peeling off Phage Tails. *Trends Microbiol.* **23**,

587–590 (2015).

50. Krupovic, M. & Koonin, E. V. Multiple origins of viral capsid proteins from cellular ancestors. *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci. **114**, (2017).

51. Sarris, P. F., Ladoukakis, E. D., Panopoulos, N. J. & Scoulica, E. V. A Phage Tail-Derived Element

with Wide Distribution among Both Prokaryotic Domains: A Comparative Genomic and

Phylogenetic Study. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **6**, 1739–1747 (2014).

52. Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J. L., Fleet, D. J. & Brubaker, M. A. cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid

unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat. Methods* **14**, 290–296 (2017).

53. Posit team (2025). RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R. Posit Software, PBC,

Boston, MA.

54. Kutter, E. Phage Host Range and Efficiency of Plating. in *Bacteriophages* (eds Clokie, M. R. J. &

Kropinski, A. M.) vol. 501 141–149 (Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, 2009).

55. Carlson, K. *Working with Bacteriophages: Common Techniques and Methodological Approaches,*

Vol 1. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

56. Broad Institute. Data from Bioproject PRJNA313452. NCBI Genbank.

57. Broad Institute. Data from Bioproject PRJNA663900. NCBI Genbank.

58. Mass Eye and Ear/ Harvard Medical School. NCBI Genbank. Data from Bioproject PRJNA1371623.

59. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform.

Bioinformatics **25**, 1754–1760 (2009).

60. Walker, B. J. *et al.* Pilon: An Integrated Tool for Comprehensive Microbial Variant Detection and
Genome Assembly Improvement. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e112963 (2014).

61. Darling, A. E. *et al.* PhyloSift: phylogenetic analysis of genomes and metagenomes. *PeerJ* **2**, e243
(2014).

62. Parks, D. H., Imelfort, M., Skennerton, C. T., Hugenholtz, P. & Tyson, G. W. CheckM: assessing the
quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. *Genome Res.*
25, 1043–1055 (2015).

63. Jain, C., Rodriguez-R, L. M., Phillippy, A. M., Konstantinidis, K. T. & Aluru, S. High throughput
ANI analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 5114
(2018).

64. Van Dijk, L. R. *et al.* StrainGE: a toolkit to track and characterize low-abundance strains in complex
microbial communities. *Genome Biol.* **23**, 74 (2022).

65. Arndt, D. *et al.* PHASTER: a better, faster version of the PHAST phage search tool. *Nucleic Acids*
Res. **44**, W16–W21 (2016).

66. Seemann, T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2068–2069 (2014).

67. Mistry, J. *et al.* Pfam: The protein families database in 2021. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, D412–D419
(2021).

68. Kanehisa, M. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **28**, 27–30
(2000).

69. Karp, P. D. *et al.* The BioCyc collection of microbial genomes and metabolic pathways. *Brief.*
Bioinform. **20**, 1085–1093 (2019).

70. Price, M. N. & Arkin, A. P. PaperBLAST: Text Mining Papers for Information about Homologs.
mSystems **2**, e00039-17 (2017).

71. Georgescu, C. H. *et al.* SynerClust: a highly scalable, synteny-aware orthologue clustering tool.
Microb. Genomics **4**, (2018).

72. Schrödinger, L. & DeLano, W. PyMOL. (2020).

73. Pedregosa, F. *et al.* Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* **12**, 2825–2830 (2011).

74. Emms, D. M. & Kelly, S. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 238 (2019).

75. Katoh, K., Kuma, K., Toh, H. & Miyata, T. MAFFT version 5: improvement in accuracy of multiple sequence alignment. 8.

76. Minh, B. Q. *et al.* IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 1530–1534 (2020).

77. Gilmore, S. M., Earl, A., Prichula, J., Manson, A, Richards, G., Karumidze, N. & Lebreton, F. Compositions and methods for control of specific bacterial populations. US Patent WO2025054631, filed September 10, 2023. https://patentscope.wipo.int/search/en/WO2025054631.

78. Lossouarn, J. *et al.* Enterococcus faecalis Countermeasures Defeat a Virulent Picovirinae Bacteriophage. *Viruses* **11**, 48 (2019).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Nicki E. Watson and the Harvard Center for Nanoscale Systems for technical assistance with TEM. This work was supported by NIH grant AI083214 (Harvard-wide Program on Antibiotic Resistance) and was also funded in part with federal funds from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, under grant numbers U19AI110818 (Broad Institute) and F32AI179151 (J.T.S). Work in the Kaplan laboratory at UChicago was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (R35GM157116 to M.K.) and the Searle Scholars Program (to M.K.). We gratefully acknowledge the expert technical assistance and support provided by Ryan Whipple and Jose T. Saavedra. The authors also thank members of the Gilmore and Earl Labs for helpful discussions during the development of this project and preparation of the manuscript.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

M.S.G. conceived the study. M.S.G. and J.P. designed the overall experimental work and A.M.E. and

A.L.M. supported project development and provided guidance on both the computational analyses and the

biological aspects. A.L.M., J.T.S., G.R., and J.P. performed all the bioinformatic analyses. N.K.

conducted the initial detection of efagin activity, and the efagin deletion mutant in OG1RF was

constructed by N.K. and S.S.M. J.P. optimized efagin production and purification, performed activity

assays, and generated efagin-resistant mutants and efagin chimeras. A.C. and M.K. performed the efagin

particle measurements. J.P., A.L.M., J.T.S., A.M.E., and M.S.G. contributed to data interpretation and

scientific discussion. J.P. and M.S.G. wrote the original draft, and J.P., A.L.M., J.T.S., and M.S.G.

revised and edited the manuscript. A.M.E. and M.S.G. acquired funding and supervised the project. All

authors read and approved the final manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

M.S.G., A.M.E., J.P., A.L.M., G.R., and N.K. are listed as co-inventors on a patent application related to

efagin – WO2025054631 [https://patentscope.wipo.int/search/en/WO2025054631][77]. All other authors

declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

All genomes used in this study are described in **Tables S1, S5, S7, S11, S24, and S25.**

Broad Institute. Data from Bioproject PRJNA313452. NCBI Genbank.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=PRJNA313452.

Broad Institute. Data from Bioproject PRJNA663900. NCBI Genbank.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=PRJNA663900.

Mass Eye and Ear/ Harvard Medical School. Data from Bioproject PRJNA1371623. NCBI Genbank.

https://dataview.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/object/PRJNA1371623.

EXTENDED DATA FIGURES

Extended Data Fig. 1. Genomic neighborhood of efagin shows conserved flanking genes. Bar plots

display the frequency of genes found upstream (left) and downstream (right) of efagin across *E. faecalis*

genomes. The most common gene at each position is shown in red, the second in green, and the third in

purple.

Extended Data Fig. 2. Comparison of gene clusters encoding phage tail-like elements. Pyocin (30.3

kb),   diffocin   (21.8   kb),   monocin   (11.6   kb),   and   efagin   (14.6   kb)   from *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa, *Clostridium difficile*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Enterococcus faecalis*, respectively. While

these systems show broad similarity in gene organization, they share little to no sequence identity,

suggesting   independent   evolutionary   origins.   Genomic   comparison   between   the *L.*

monocytogenes monocin locus and the *E. faecali*s efagin locus reveals similar gene organization,

particularly in structural modules such as the tube, tape measure, baseplate components, and receptor-

binding protein (RBP). Although efagin shares organizational similarity with monocin, it has minimal

sequence identity with monocin across three genes (red asterisk). Both systems encode non-contractile

phage tail-like structures and appear to have arisen independently. Arrows represent open reading frames

(ORFs), color-coded by predicted function: regulatory (lightly grey), structural (pink), and lysis-associated

genes (purple). Particles are classified as R-type (contractile) or F-type (non-contractile). Reference

sequences used include: i) the R- and F-type pyocin clusters from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1,

corresponding to the genomic region spanning genes PA0610–PA0648 (Genbank: AE004091.2); ii) the R-

type diffocin from *Clostridium difficile* 630 (GenBank: NZ_CP010905.2; genes CD1359–CD1379); iii) the

F-type monocin from *Listeria monocytogenes* 10403S (GenBank: NC_017544.1; genes LMRG02361–

LMRG02378); and iv) efagin from *E. faecalis* V583 (GenBank: NC_004668.1; genes ef1276–ef1293)

were included as reference sequences. The number inside each arrow denotes the number of genes

represented.

Extended Data Fig. 3. Distribution of contractile (R-type) and non-contractile (F-type) phage tail-like

elements among genomes from Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial species. In contrast to

efagin, which is highly conserved and intact in all strains for which high-quality genome sequences are

available, the other tailocins appear variable and often occur in alternate hybrid forms.

Extended Data Fig. 4. Predicted model for EF1291 as ribbon and space-filling structures using

Alphafold 3.[31] The three colors (blue, red, and yellow) represent individual monomers within the trimer.

Regions highlighted with darker colors in the C-terminal end correspond to the EF1291 structural regions

related to the receptor-binding domain of Listeria phage PSA protein gp15 by Phyre2.2.[29]

Extended Data Fig. 5. Coordinated variation of *epa* gene presence/absence and efagin-associated

SNPs across *E. faecalis* representative genomes. Example of a correlation between a sequence variant

in efagin *ef*1291 and the presence or absence of a specific gene in the *epa,* across the diversity of *E. faecalis*

strains. This plot shows variation in position 12903 of *ef*1291 and the presence or absence of the *epa* gene

*ef*2167. Gray shading indicates presence or absence concordance between mutated position 12903 and

*ef*2167. Similar correlations were observed between efagin positions and *ef*2166, *ef*2167, *ef*2168, and

*ef*2169, four adjacent genes within the *epa*.

Extended Data Fig. 6. Efagin resistant mutants have defects in *epa* variable region genes. a, Effect

of *epa* mutations on efagin susceptibility in four *E. faecalis* strains (FA2-2, X98, V583, and OG1RF). **b,**

Other studies[43,45, 78] describing *epa* mutations or indels correlated with phage resistance

Extended Data Fig. 7. Relationship between efagin activity and *epa* operon architecture across 54 *E.*

***faecalis* strains.** Schematic figure showing the left-hand heat maps display efagin activity assays from five

different donor strain: OG1RF (type C efagin) and OG1RF chimeras: *χefgA* (type A efagin), *χefgB* (type B

efagin), *χefgD* (type D efagin), and *χefgE* (type E efagin), annotated with its *epa* operon type and assigned

efagin type (A-E) against a diverse collection of *E. faecalis* strains (n=54). Grey indicates susceptible and

white non-susceptibility. Grey hashing highlights donor–target pairs that share either the same efagin

(hashes pointing up to the right) or/ and *epa* type (hashes pointing up to the left), indicating situations where

we would not expect inhibition, and most often do not observe inhibition (**Table S19**). Operon cluster IDs

are listed for each target strain. The figure highlights how variations in *epa* gene content, and efagin type

are associated with differential killing profiles. Chimeric constructs are denoted using the Greek letter "*χ*"

(chi), and efagin is abbreviated as "*efg*."

Extended Data Fig. 8. Comparison of the organization of the *epa* locus between *E. faecalis* V583 and

***E. faecium* Aus0004.** The *epa* rhamnose backbone is conserved in both species (dark yellow arrows), but

differs in gene composition and arrangement, whereas the variable region (light yellow arrows) is

completely different in the two species. Core *epa* genes (*epa*A–*epa*R) show moderate to high sequence

identity (31.2–92.1%), whereas the variable decoration genes (light yellow) lack detectable homology,

highlighting species-specific diversification of surface polysaccharide structures. In *E. faecium*, the locus

follows the order *epa*ABCDEFGHPQLMNOR. The *E. faecium epa*N gene resembles *E. faecalis epa*N at

the N-terminus, sharing a predicted S-adenosylmethionine binding site, but differs in its C-terminal

sequence. We identified orthologs of *epa*P and *epa*Q in both species, but located at distinct genomic sites.

In *E. faecalis*, the central region of the cluster contains *epa*I, *epa*J, and *epa*K, whereas in *E. faecium* these

genes are absent and replaced by *epa*P and *epa*Q, suggesting the rhamnose polysaccharides of the two

species have different structure.

Extended Data Fig. 9. Structural diversity of *epa* operons across *E. faecium* strains and correlation

with efagin susceptibility. Strain identifier, sequence type (ST), clonal complex (CC), *E. faecium* clade

assignments (A1, A2, or B) and vancomycin resistance genotype in relation to the organization of

epa operons. Rec indicates strains that are hybrids between clades. Conserved core genes are shown in

black, while variable genes are colored according to orthogroup membership, with shapes corresponding

to predicted function. The rightmost panel shows the efagin susceptibility profile. In the Epa cluster, black

represents core genes found in all the genomes, and grey indicates rare genes found in less than 5%.

Extended Data Fig. 10. Conservation of *epa* operon genes across *Enterococcus* species and

correlation with efagin susceptibility. Strains of *Enterococcus* clades are colored in graded shades of

purple by membership in clades I – IV.[1] The extent of amino acid sequence identity with inferred *Epa*

gene products, when compared to the prototypes of *E. faecalis* strain V583, is indicated by the gold

heatmap. Efagin susceptibility is indicated with grey shading.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

Supplementary Fig. 1. V583 efagin gene function prediction. Biocyc, PaperBlast, Phyre2.2, Blastp,

Prokka, Pfam, and Alphafold were used to infer the function.

Supplementary Fig. 2. Variation of *epa* gene presence/absence and efagin-associated SNPs across *E.*

***faecalis* representative genomes.** This plot shows the distribution of *epa* gene presence (yellow) and efagin

*ef*1291 SNPs (red) across *E. faecalis* strains, aligned to a whole-genome phylogeny (center). Concordance

between these *ef*1291 SNPs and the presence of these specific *epa* genes was observed in 81% of strains,

far exceeding the ~50% expected by chance, suggesting coordinated evolutionary dynamics. The

enrichment of these reciprocal patterns supports a functional or selective relationship between efagin and

the *epa* locus.

Supplementary Fig. 3. Visualization of efagin from OG1RF*χefgD* chimera strain. Transmission

electron microscopy (TEM) shows images of efagin particles produced by the *E. faecalis* OG1RF*χefgD*

chimera strain expressing type D efagin. Morphological features were visualized and quantified using TEM

and analyzed with Fiji/ImageJ software.

Supplementary Fig. 4. Gene structure of representatives of *Epa* type 11 in 10 *E. faecalis* genomes.

Each row represents a distinct *E. faecalis* genome, labeled by its NCBI accession number. Conserved

core *epa* genes are shown in black, while variable genes (e.g., 000192, 000190, etc.) are represented in

distinct colors, highlighting differences in the epa operon across strains, within *Epa* type 11.

Supplementary Fig. 5. Frequency of combinations of efagin and EPA types present in 493 *E. faecalis*

representative genomes. Rows represent different efagin types; columns represent different *epa* types. The

central heat map indicates the frequency of each combination of *epa* and efagin types; marginal bar plots

show the frequency of each *epa* or efagin type individually across the 493 genomes. A white square

indicates that there are no genomes with that combination of efagin and *epa* types.

Supplementary Fig. 6. Whole-genome phylogeny of *E. faecalis* strains, overlaid with the diversity of

***epa* types (outer ring; 1-20) and efagin types (inner ring; A-E, and X).** The lack of concordance between

the genomic phylogeny and the distribution of efagin or epa types suggests that efagin and *epa* genetic

diversity were likely acquired by horizontal gene transfer and localized recombination. Scale bar indicates

genetic distance.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

Table S1. BLAST results of efagin against 3,068 high-quality *E. faecalis* genomes

Table S2. Summary of Genomad-identified phage-derived elements in *Melissococcus plutonius*

Table S3. Summary of Phastest-identified phage-derived elements in *Melissococcus plutonius*

Table S4. Results of BLAST analysis comparing efagin and monocin

Table S5. Summary of monocin loci identified in 74 *Listeria monocytogenes* genomes

Table S6. Monocin deletion identified across 13 *Listeria monocytogenes* genomes

Table S7. Summary of R-type pyocin loci detected across 343 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* genomes

Table S8. R-pyocin variant region identified across 187 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* genomes

Table S9. Summary of F-type pyocin loci detected across 343 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* genomes

Table S10. F-pyocin variant region identified across 55 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* genomes

Table S11. Summary of R-type diffocin loci detected across 32 *Clostridium difficile* genomes

Table S12. R-diffocin variant region identified across 18 *Clostridium difficile* genomes

Table S13. BLAST results for the *E. faecalis* phage most closely related to the efagin

Table S14. List of efagin and *epa* types assigned to 1,972 *E. faecalis* genomes included in the study

Table S15. BLAST results of type E efagin C-terminal end

Table S16. V583 efagin gene function prediction performed by Prokka, Pfam, and KEGG

Table S17. Whole-genome sequencing data of spontaneous efagin-resistant *E. faecalis* mutants

Table S18. EPA orthogroup dataset of 1,972 *E. faecalis* genomes

Table S19. Statistical analysis of the association between efagin or *epa* type matching and susceptibility to

killing

Table S20. EPA orthogroup dataset of 58 *E. faecium* genomes

Table S21. Amino acid identity between epa core genes of *E. faecalis* V583 and *E. faecium* Aus0004 based

on BLASTP alignments

Table S22. Amino acid sequence identity (%) between genes from the epa cluster of *E. faecalis* V583, and

their top hit in 40 *Enterococcus* species

Table S23. List of *E. faecalis* strains used in the experimental work

Table S24. List of *E. faecium* strains used in the experimental work

Table S25. List of *Enterococcus* species used in the experimental work

Table S26. List of strains used in this study

Table S27. List of primers used in this study