Neuroligin-2 is ubiquitinated by Nedd4l to control developmental astrocyte

morphogenesis.

Kristina Sakers[1-2*], Juan J. Ramirez[1,3], Nimrod Elazar[1-2], Leykashree Nagendren[1], Erik

Soderblom[1, 3], Cagla Eroglu[1-2,4]

Affiliations:

1. Department of Cell Biology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

2. Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC,

USA

3. Duke Proteomics and Metabolomics Shared Resource and Duke Center for Genomic

and Computational Biology, Duke University Medical School, Durham, North Carolina,

USA

4. Department of Neurobiology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

Corresponding Authors:

Kristina Sakers, Ph.D. kristina.sakers@duke.edu

Cagla Eroglu, Ph.D. cagla.eroglu@duke.edu

ABSTRACT

Astrocytes of the central nervous system have an intricate and highly branched

morphology. Proper development of perisynaptic astrocyte processes is necessary for

the tripartite synapse development, maturation, and function. However, how astrocyte

morphogenesis is controlled, and the specific molecules orchestrating this development

are largely unknown. Previously, we identified Neuroligins (NLs) 1-3 as regulators of

astrocyte morphogenesis by forming transcellular adhesions with neuronal neurexins.

Here, we found that NL2 plays a non-redundant essential role in astrocyte

morphogenesis. Through structure and function studies, we identified a WW-binding

motif within the NL2 intracellular domain required for astrocyte morphogenesis. Using

cell-specific *in vivo* proximity labeling by biotinylation (iBioID), we found that each NL

displays distinct protein-protein interactions within astrocytes, and NL2 has cell-type

specific interactomes in astrocytes versus neurons. By leveraging this interactome, we

conducted *in vitro* and *in vivo* candidate screens and identified WW domain-containing

E3 ubiquitin ligase Nedd4l to control astrocyte morphogenesis. Biochemical assays

revealed that Nedd4l ubiquitinates and stabilizes NL2, and this ubiquitination step is

required for astrocyte morphogenesis. This study shows that Neuroligins have non-

overlapping roles in controlling astrocyte growth and uncovers a molecular mechanism

of how NL2 mediates astrocyte morphogenesis.

INTRODUCTION

Protoplasmic astrocytes of the central nervous system (CNS) are easily identified by their unique bush-like morphology, yet the molecular mechanisms that govern how astrocytes develop and their complex structure are largely unknown. Astrocytes tile the brain and extend fine filopodia-like processes into the neuropil(Bushong et al., 2004, 2002), allowing them to play an active role in critical brain functions including synaptogenesis, regulation of synaptic strength, and buffering of neurotransmitters and ions(Farizatto and Baldwin, 2023; Verkhratsky and Nedergaard, 2018). Human astrocytes are disproportionately larger and more complex than rodent astrocytes(Oberheim et al., 2006), suggesting that higher-order species require astrocytes for advanced-level brain function. Consequently, astrocyte dysfunction has been identified across a myriad of neurological diseases(Tian et al., 2010; Rothstein et al., 1995; Preman et al., 2021; Booth et al., 2017; Gutmann et al., 1999). In particular, a recent study found that numerous Alzheimer's risk genes correlate specifically with astrocyte territory size(Endo et al., 2022). Thus, it is crucial to understand how astrocyte morphogenesis proceeds and which cell biological mechanisms govern this process.

Various signals have emerged as regulators of astrocyte growth, including neuronal-derived secreted factors such as Sonic Hedgehog(Xie et al., 2022) and BDNF(Holt et al., 2019), actin-binding proteins including Ezrin(Endo et al., 2022; Lavialle et al., 2011) and Profilin-1(Molotkov et al., 2013), and numerous cell-adhesion molecules(Baldwin et al., 2023). Amongst the latter, Neuroligins (NLs) play a critical role in the morphogenesis of astrocytes(Ackerman et al., 2021; Stogsdill et al., 2017), as well as oligodendrocyte precursor cells(Li et al., 2024). NLs are trans-synaptic cell-adhesion proteins that bind to

Neurexins to promote synaptic formation(Barrow et al., 2009; Chih et al., 2005; Scheiffele et al., 2000; Shipman and Nicoll, 2012), and function(Babaev et al., 2016; Varoqueaux et al., 2006). There are four NL genes expressed in rodents, with NL 1, 2, and 3 being the predominant family members. A limited number of studies have been conducted to investigate how NLs control the outgrowth and complexity of neuronal processes. In rodent neurons, NL1 dose-dependently controls dendritic morphology, wherein NL1 overexpression increases, and NL1 knockdown decreases, dendritic arborization(Schnell et al., 2014, 2012). In contrast, loss of NL3 results in a more complex dendritic arbor(Xu et al., 2019), suggesting non-overlapping roles for NLs in controlling neuronal morphology. Moreover, neuronal NLs were also found to have non-redundant roles in their control of synaptic function(Chanda et al., 2017; Nguyen et al., 2016). In astrocytes, loss of NLs 1-3 each reduces astrocyte territory and neuropil infiltration size(Stogsdill et al., 2017). However, whether NLs play unique roles in astrocyte morphogenesis and what mechanisms control this process is unclear. NLs are implicated in neurodevelopmental diseases including Autism Spectrum Disorder(Jamain et al., 2003; Parente et al., 2017) and thus, it is imperative to understand NL function across all cell types throughout development.

Functional differences across NLs may stem from divergence in amino acid sequence. Rodent NLs 1-3 have similar extracellular domains (ECD, ~75% similarity) and more disparate intracellular domains (ICD, ~50% similarity). Within the ICD, several amino acid motifs have been shown to direct interactions with specific protein partners, ultimately leading to unique functional consequences such as the specification of inhibitory versus excitatory synapses(Poulopoulos et al., 2009; Shipman et al., 2011;

Sumita et al., 2007). One study using chimeric NLs demonstrated that NL function is not

solely dependent on Neurexin binding to the ECD, but also requires interactions with

intracellular proteins in the cytosol to control synapse-specific functions(Nguyen et al.,

2016). Further, post-translational modifications on NL ICDs can influence protein-protein

interactions and downstream signaling. For example, NL1 tyrosine phosphorylation at

Y782 results in preferential binding to the excitatory presynaptic marker PSD-95 over

the inhibitory postsynaptic scaffold protein Gephyrin. PSD95 then recruits α-amino-3-

hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptors and controls synaptic

firing(Giannone et al., 2013; Letellier et al., 2018). Yet, previous studies have been

conducted in a neuronal context, and thus, whether astrocytic NLs have distinct protein-

protein interactions and how these interactions control astrocyte development is still

unknown.

In this study, we show that NLs 1-3 have non-overlapping roles in controlling astrocyte

morphological development. We identify that the WW-binding motif in NL2 is necessary

for astrocyte morphogenesis. Using an unbiased proximity-labeling approach *in vivo*, we

uncover interaction networks for astrocytic NL1, NL2, and NL3. We also performed

proximity-labeling for neuronal NL2 to compare cell-type specific differences in NL2

interactome and function. We show that NLs have distinct interactomes representing

unique functions within astrocytes and between astrocytes and neurons. These data

revealed numerous WW domain-containing proteins that recognize the WW-binding

motifs in NLs. Of these, we identified Nedd4l, a HECT-domain E3 ubiquitin ligase, to

control NL2 stability via ubiquitination, which is necessary for astrocyte morphogenesis.

Moreover, the loss of Nedd4l in astrocytes results in a stunted astrocyte morphology.

Importantly, Nedd4l interacts with NL1 and NL2, but not NL3. Together, this study

reveals unique interactions of astrocytic NL2, which reveal a novel mechanism of

astrocyte morphological development.

RESULTS

Astrocytic Neuroligins 1, 2, and 3 have non-redundant roles in morphogenesis.

NLs 1, 2, and 3 are all expressed throughout development in mouse cortical

astrocytes(Boisvert et al., 2018; Clarke et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2014; Farhy-

Tselnicker et al., 2021). Previous work from our lab demonstrated that loss of either

NL1, NL2, or NL3 from cortical astrocytes significantly reduces astrocyte complexity.

Moreover, the loss of all three NLs simultaneously results in an additive reduction of

complexity(Stogsdill et al., 2017), indicating that these proteins play distinct roles during

astrocyte development. NLs have similar ECD amino acid sequences yet differ

substantially in their intracellular domains (Fig 1A). Considering the differences in amino

acid sequence and the combinatorial deficit in astrocyte complexity, we analyzed

whether NLs have redundant roles in astrocyte morphogenesis. To address this

question, we used an optimized and validated *in vitro* astrocyte-neuron co-culture

method to quantify astrocyte branch complexity via Sholl analysis(Stogsdill et al., 2017;

Baldwin et al., 2021; Tan et al., 2023) (Fig 1B). Previously, we showed that astrocytes

require neuronal contact to develop a highly branched morphology(Baldwin et al., 2021;

Stogsdill et al., 2017). In this system, we can manipulate astrocyte gene expression

without affecting the neurons. We focused on NL2 because the loss of NL2 affects

astrocyte morphogenesis *in vivo* at both early and late developmental time points,

suggesting a critical role for astrocytic NL2. We transfected astrocytes with a plasmid

expressing an shRNA for *Nlgn2* (shNL2) which has been extensively used and tested

for off-target effects(Stogsdill et al., 2017; Chih et al., 2006; Singh et al., 2016). This

plasmid also encodes CAG-GFP to visualize transfected cells. Loss of *Nlgn2* in

astrocytes causes a substantial reduction in astrocyte morphology when co-cultured

with neurons (Fig S1A, B; Fig 1C, D). To provide another line of evidence for the role of

Nlgn2 in astrocyte morphogenesis and address potentially confounding results due to

shRNA toxicity(Goel and Ploski, 2022), we designed and tested gRNAs targeting rat

Nlgn2 (Fig S1C). Two of three of the gRNAs targeting *Nlgn2* were able to cause a

translation-disrupting frameshift mutation, reflected by the loss of GFP expression

downstream of the gRNA and PAM sequences (see Methods) (Fig S1D). We expressed

these two gRNAs in primary rat astrocytes, along with *Staphylococcus aureus* Cas9

(SaCas9)(Hunker and Zweifel, 2020), under the control of the GfaABC1D promoter(Lee

et al., 2008) and found that both gRNAs significantly reduced the morphological

complexity in transfected astrocytes cultured with WT neurons, compared to the empty

vector control (Fig S1E, F). Thus, these data further support that our Nlgn2 shRNA is

specific, and the morphological phenotype cannot be explained by cytotoxicity.

Next we tested whether the stunted morphological phenotype of *Nlgn2* knockdown

astrocytes can be rescued by the co-expression of an HA-tagged NL2 that has been

modified to be shNL2 resistant (HA-NL2-RM)(Stogsdill et al., 2017) or via the co-

expression of HA-tagged NL1 or NL3 with shNL2. Interestingly, HA-tagged NL1 or NL3

did not rescue the astrocyte morphology (Fig 1C, D). In contrast, co-expression of HA-

NL2 with either shNL1 or shNL3 curiously resulted in a higher number of maximum

intersections in the astrocyte branches compared to a scrambled shRNA control (shCtrl)

(Fig 1E, F). Together, these data show that NLs 1, 2, and 3 do not have redundant roles

in controlling astrocyte morphogenesis. Moreover, these findings suggest that NL2 is

indispensable in astrocyte morphological development.

The intracellular WW-binding motifs of Neuroligins uniquely regulate astrocyte morphology

Previous work has revealed unique roles for the NL ICD in controlling synaptic transmission(Nguyen et al., 2016). Thus, we hypothesized that the ICDs of NLs may underlie their distinct roles in controlling astrocyte morphogenesis. NLs contain multiple protein motifs in their ICDs (Fig S2A) that regulate binding to synaptic scaffolding molecules, including Gephyrin and Collybistin(Poulopoulos et al., 2009) which are necessary for inhibitory synapse specification, and PSD-95(Irie et al., 1997), which organizes excitatory postsynaptic sites. We wondered if any of these motifs in the NL2 ICD are necessary for astrocyte morphology. We used mutagenesis to either delete the NL2 PDZ binding domain (NL2 ΔPDZ, deletion of amino acids 833-836), or the NL2 polyproline-rich motif, which activates Collybistin (NL2 ΔPRM, deletion of amino acids 798-805) or mutate NL2 Gephyrin binding residue (NL2 GBM, Y770A) (Fig 2A). We tested whether these mutant constructs could rescue the shNL2 morphological deficits in our co-culture system. These mutants do not alter NL2 localization, as all mutants were trafficked to the cell surface (Fig S2B). Surprisingly, all three mutants were able to rescue the morphological deficits in shNL2 astrocytes (Fig 2B-C), suggesting that potential interactions with Gephyrin, Collybistin, and PSD-95 are dispensable for NL2 control of astrocyte morphology.

To identify the regions of the NL2 ICD that are required for astrocyte morphogenesis, we used previously validated(Nguyen et al., 2016) NL2 chimeric constructs to investigate whether replacing the NL2 ICD with either the NL1 or the NL3 ICD is sufficient to rescue the loss of NL2 in astrocytes (Fig 2D). Using astrocyte-neuron co-

cultures, we expressed the NL chimeras in astrocytes in the presence of shNL2 and

quantified astrocyte complexity (Fig 2E). While the NL2 ECD/NL1 ICD condition resulted

in more complex astrocytes than shNL2 alone, the astrocytes transfected with NL2

ECD/NL3 ICD were identical to shNL2 alone (Fig 2F). This finding suggests that NL1

and NL2 ICD share a common amino acid sequence necessary for controlling astrocyte

morphogenesis, which is absent from the NL3 ICD.

To pinpoint the interaction motif in the NL2 ICD that is required for astrocyte

morphogenesis, we aligned the intracellular domain amino acid sequences of NL1-3

(Fig 2G, Fig S2A) and isolated motifs that were present in both NL1 and NL2, but

absent from NL3. Using TomTom motif scanning(Gupta et al., 2007), we found the

sequence PPDY – a Group I WW domain binding motif, known to have the consensus

sequence of PPxY(Kasanov et al., 2001; Pirozzi et al., 1997), to fit our criterion. When

we mutated the PPDY motif of NL2 ICD to four alanines, this mutant could no longer

fully support astrocyte morphogenesis (Fig 2H-J). Similarly, mutation of the PPDY

(PPDY > AAAA) in the NL2 ECD/NL1 ICD chimera completely ablated the

morphogenesis rescue previously seen for the non-mutated chimera (Fig 2H-J).

Together, these data suggest that NL2 controls astrocyte morphogenesis through

interactions mediated via the PPDY motif in its ICD.

***In vivo* BioID reveals unique interactions of astrocytic Neuroligins, including WW**

domain-containing proteins

PPxY motifs are recognized by Group I WW domain-containing proteins(Kato et al.,

2004, 2002). WW domains are around 40 amino acids, with two flanking conserved

tryptophan (single amino acid code: W) residues(Sudol and Hunter, 2000). In the

human proteome, there are over 50 proteins that contain a WW domain, with many

proteins containing multiple WW domains. To identify which WW domain-containing

protein binds NL1 and NL2, we used *in vivo* BioID (iBioID). This approach(Roux et al.,

2012) uses a biotin ligase, Turbo BirA, fused to a bait protein to identify all proteins

interacting with the bait, within 10nm(Branon et al., 2018). We made C-terminal fusions

of Turbo BirA to NL1, 2, or 3 and virally expressed these constructs under the control of

the astrocyte promoter GfaABC1D(Lee et al., 2008) (Fig 3A-D). To investigate cell-type

specific differences in NL2 interactome, we also expressed NL2-Turbo BirA under the

control of the neuronal promoter hSyn1 (Fig 3A-B). As controls, we expressed soluble

TurboID in astrocytes or neurons, which will biotinylate the repertoire of intracellular

proteins in each cell-type. We chose to use a cytosol-localized control, as NLs are

trafficked throughout many cellular compartments(Kang et al., 2014; Binda et al., 2019;

Halff et al., 2019; Xie et al., 2023; Xu et al., 2024) , and we aimed to capture all

physiologically relevant interactors, not just those at the plasma membrane. We

confirmed that the NL2-Turbo BirA constructs were properly localized to the cell

membrane in cultured astrocytes (Fig S3A) and they could rescue the morphological

deficits of shNL2 astrocytes (Fig S3B, C), thus indicating that NL2 functioned as

expected. However, we found that placing the Turbo BirA after the PDZ binding motif in

the NL2 C-terminal diminished the biotinylation activity of the enzyme. Thus, we moved

the PDZ domain after the TurboID. We could see robust biotinylation after moving the

Turbo BirA to just before the PDZ binding motif (Fig S3B) and proceeded with this

placement of the TurboID (before the PDZ binding motif) for all NL constructs (Fig 3A).

We injected perinatal mice (P0-P1) intracortically with AAVs expressing the NL-Turbo

BirA fusions, or the cell-type-specific soluble BirA control. After three days of biotin

injection (P18-P20), we collected P21 mouse cortices and isolated biotinylated proteins

by streptavidin immunoprecipitation (Figs 3A, E). We chose P21 as an endpoint

because NL2 loss in developing astrocytes results in significantly smaller astrocyte

territories with concomitant deficits in synapse formation and function at P21(Stogsdill et

al., 2017). We confirmed that our viral vectors were cell-type-specific by staining for the

astrocyte-specific marker Sox9 (Fig 3C-D) or the neuronal-specific marker NeuN (Fig

S3D), and both induced cell-type-specific biotinylation (visualized by Streptavidin-594

antibody staining) *in vivo*. Mass spectrometry identification and quantification of the

biotinylated proteins (Fig S4 A-D, Dataset S1) revealed substantial differences in the

putative interactomes of astrocytic NL1-3 (Fig 3F, Dataset S1), when normalized to their

respective soluble TurboID controls. Similarly, there were substantial differences

between proteins proximal to neuronal versus astrocytic NL2 (Fig 3F, Dataset S1).

We bioinformatically compared our data to previously published NL2 interactome data

(identified via NL2 co-immunoprecipitation in adult mouse whole brain(Kang et al.,

2014), *in vitro* extracellular NL2 APEX proximity proteomics(Loh et al., 2016), and a

yeast two-hybrid screen of the NL2 ICD(Poulopoulos et al., 2009)) and found a

significant overlap with our datasets for each of the previously published data (Fig 4A).

We also found noticeable differences between the astrocytic and neuronal interactome

of NL2. For example, neuronal NL2 is well characterized to interact with the inhibitory

synapse scaffold protein, Gephyrin(Poulopoulos et al., 2009), which we detected to be

highly enriched in the neuronal NL2 iBioID interactome (Fig 4B, Dataset S1). However,

even though Gepyhrin is present in astrocytes at both the mRNA(Zhang et al., 2014)

and protein levels (Dataset S1), we did not detect a significant enrichment of Gepyhrin

in the astrocytic NL2 iBioID (Fig 4B, Dataset S1). This result strongly suggests cell-type-

specific regulation of protein-protein interactions of NL2 and is consistent with our

finding that Gephyrin interaction with NL2 is not necessary for astrocyte morphogenesis

(Fig 2A, B).

Gene ontology analysis of the unique proximity interactomes across astrocytic NLs

suggests distinct functions of NLs in astrocytes (Fig 4C) and of astrocytic vs neuronal

NL2 (Fig 4D). For example, while all astrocytic NLs interact with ion channels and solute

transporters, astrocytic NL1 alone interacts with proteins involved in epigenetic

modifications, while astrocytic NL2 proximal proteins appear to bind phospholipids.

These data support our earlier findings, showing that NLs have non-redundant roles in

astrocytes and that these differences likely extend beyond the control of astrocyte

morphogenesis. Further, gene ontology analysis of NL2 proximal proteins between

neurons and astrocytes reveals functional differences. Interestingly, only astrocytic NL2

interacts with proteins that bind actin filaments (Fig 4D, S4E), which are abundant in

perisynaptic astrocyte processes(Soto et al., 2023).

The putative NL2 interactome we identified provided us with the means to determine

which nearby proteins could recognize the PPxY motif in NL2 and thus participate in

NL2-controlled astrocyte morphogenesis. To do so, we analyzed WW domain-

containing proteins across all datasets that were significantly enriched with the bait

protein, compared to the cytoplasmic control. Across the 4 iBioID experiments, we

found 9 WW domain-containing proteins within NL interactomes (Fig 4E). The WW

domain-containing proteins within the NL interactomes varied across NL isoform and

cell type. Even though NL1 and NL2 have identical PPxY motifs (PPDY, Fig 2G), there

was minimal overlap in WW domain-containing proteins that interacted with both. This

finding suggests that the interactions of NLs with WW domain-containing proteins in

vivo are not solely determined by the presence of the PPxY motif, and that additional

protein-protein interaction motifs in the ICD may confer specificity to these interactions.

Furthermore, the utility of including NL3 as a bait in our BioID experiments served as an

informative control for the PPxY motif, as NL3 contains the LPxY motif, which is only

weakly bound by WW proteins, providing a strong comparative reference for motif-

dependent interactions.

The WW domain-containing E3 ubiquitin ligase Nedd4l is necessary for astrocyte

morphogenesis, but not synaptogenesis

The binding of WW domains to WW motifs has various physiological effects in the cell

including cellular growth(Lee et al., 2020), endocytosis of membrane proteins(Totland et

al., 2017), and transcription(Sudol et al., 2001). Importantly, WW domain-containing

proteins and their interactions with WW motifs have been implicated in various

diseases, including the neurodevelopmental disorder Rett Syndrome(Rodrigues et al.,

2020), Muscular Dystrophy(Ilsley et al., 2002), and hypertension(Minegishi et al., 2016).

We observed three significantly enriched WW domain-containing protein interactors of

astrocytic NL2, Dystrophin (*Dmd*), growth arrest-specific protein 7 (*Gas7*), and neural

precursor cell expressed developmentally downregulated 4-like protein (*Nedd4l*, also

called Nedd4-2) (Fig 4E). We chose to focus on Nedd4l from these results for two

reasons. First, both NL1 and NL2 PPDY motifs are necessary for astrocyte

morphogenesis (Fig 2I-J), and Nedd4l is enriched in astrocytic NL1 and NL2, but not

NL3 (Fig 4E) proximity proteomes. Second, Nedd4l has a known role in regulating

astrocyte membrane proteins. For example, Nedd4l controls the abundance of the

potassium channel Kir4.1, the gap junction protein, Connexin-43(Altas et al., 2024; Liu

et al., 2021) as well as the abundance and surface localization of the glutamate

transporter Glt-1(Zhang et al., 2017), via ubiquitination.

To investigate if Nedd4l is necessary for astrocyte morphogenesis, we designed and

validated an shRNA (Figs 5A, S5A-B) targeting *Nedd4l*. We expressed this shRNA in

astrocytes to determine how the loss of Nedd4l impacts the morphological complexity of

astrocytes cultured with wild-type neurons. Strikingly, we observed a severe reduction in

astrocyte morphological complexity in shNedd4l-transfected astrocytes, compared to

control (Fig 5A-B). Co-knockdown of *Nedd4l* and *Nlgn2* (using a plasmid that also

encodes mCherry) did not further reduce astrocyte complexity. These findings suggest

that Nedd4l and NL2 might work in the same pathway (Fig 5B).

Next, we tested whether Nedd4l is necessary for astrocyte morphogenesis *in vivo*. To

achieve genetically targeted and sparsely labeled astrocytes in the mouse cortex, we

used postnatal astrocyte labeling by electroporation (PALE)(Baldwin et al., 2021;

Stogsdill et al., 2017). In this method, plasmid DNA expressing a shRNA with

CAG:mCherry-CAAX and a GLAST promoter-driven piggyBac enzyme are injected into

P0-P1 mouse lateral ventricles and then electroporated into the cortex. This technique

results in the uptake of the plasmid by radial glia and subsequent astrocyte labeling

after differentiation (Fig 5C). Using this technique, we previously found that loss of

astrocytic NL2, using both shRNA targeting *Nlgn2* or a floxed allele with astrocytic Cre

expression, results in a significantly stunted astrocyte territory volume and branch

complexity(Stogsdill et al., 2017). We used confocal imaging of the entire astrocyte in

100μm tissue sections and reconstructed the astrocyte volume using Imaris software.

Quantification of the astrocyte territory volume revealed a significant reduction in

shNedd4l astrocytes, compared to a scrambled shRNA control (shCtrl) (Fig 5D-E).

Additionally, quantification of the astrocyte complexity using *in vivo* Sholl analysis

revealed a significant decrease in complexity of Nedd4l knockdown astrocytes (Fig 5F).

These data suggest that astrocyte morphogenesis is controlled by Nedd4l at both the

level of territory size and branch complexity.

We previously showed that loss of *Nlgn2* in sparsely labeled astrocytes in the mouse V1

cortex results in reduced excitatory synaptogenesis in the surrounding neuropil. Thus,

next, we tested if Nedd4l loss also affects synapse density by using PALE to knock

down *Nedd4l* in sparse astrocytes in the mouse V1 cortex. At P21, we stained cortical

sections for presynaptic Bassoon, and postsynaptic PSD95 and Gephyrin. Using a

combination of these antibodies, we quantified both excitatory (closely apposed

Bassoon and PSD95) and inhibitory (Bassoon and Gephyrin) synapses in the same

sections (Fig S6B). We used the mCherry signal from the piggyBAC vector (Fig 5C) to

define perturbed astrocyte territories. Using open-source SynBot software(Savage et

al., 2024), we quantified the synaptic density within labeled astrocytes and outside of

labeled astrocytes (Fig S6A-B). These data revealed no significant differences in

synapse density in *Nedd4l*-knockdown astrocytes, *in vivo* (Fig S6C-D). This data

suggests Nedd4l and its interaction with NL2 controls astrocyte morphological

development independently from the role of astrocytic NL2 in synaptogenesis. Thus, the

WW domain-containing protein Nedd4l is necessary for astrocyte morphogenesis, but

not for astrocyte-dependent synaptogenesis.

The PPDY motif in Neuroligin-2 is sufficient for Nedd4l interaction

The Nedd4 family is part of Group I WW binding proteins, which exhibit preferential

binding to PPxY motifs and only weakly bind to LPxY(Hatstat et al., 2021). In the

absence of a PPxY containing substrate, Nedd4l is known to bind its own LPxY motif,

autoubiquitinate and degrade(Bruce et al., 2008). Because NL1 and NL2 have a PPxY

motif, and NL3 has an LPxY motif (Fig 2G; Fig S2B), we wondered if Nedd4l

preferentially and directly interacted with NL1 and NL2 ICDs but not the NL3 ICD. To

test this, we used either the wildtype NL2 or NL2 ECD/NL1 ICD chimeras (Fig 2D) and

mutated the NL2 ICD and NL1 ICD PPDY motifs to alanines to abolish the WW domain-

containing motif, as described earlier (Fig 2H-J; Fig 6A). Conversely, we also mutated

the NL3 ICD LPDY motif to PPDY to mimic NL1 and NL2 ICDs. All chimeric constructs

contained the NL2 ECD to rule out the effects of sequence divergence in NL ECDs.

Next, we co-expressed HA-tagged NL2 and NL2 chimeras with V5-tagged Nedd4l in

HEK 293T cells and co-immunoprecipitated NLs using anti-HA tag magnetic beads. We

found that Nedd4l co-immunoprecipitated with wildtype NL2 (Fig 6B); however, ablation

of the PPDY motif in NL2 ICD (NL2ΔWW, Fig 6A) resulted in a substantial, yet variable,

reduction of Nedd4l co-immunoprecipitation (Fig 6B, C). A previous study investigating

the interaction of NL2 and the WW domain-containing protein S-SCAM (*Magi2*) found

that a longer stretch of amino acids 766-796, which contains the PPDY motif, in the NL2

ICD is necessary for direct binding of S-SCAM to NL2(Sumita et al., 2007). Thus, we

next deleted these amino acids from the NL2 ICD (NL2ΔWWLrg, Fig 6A) and performed

co-immunoprecipitation with Nedd4l. Loss of this sequence in NL2 ICD completely

abolished the interaction with Nedd4l (Fig 6D-E), demonstrating that this is a critical

region in NL2 ICD containing the PPDY motif that mediates Nedd4l binding.

Ablation of the PPDY motif in NL1 ICD (NL2/1ΔWW, Fig 6A), however, abolished the

interaction with Nedd4l entirely, further showing the importance of the PPDY motif in

NL-Nedd4l interactions (Fig 6F-G). As expected, we found that Nedd4l does not interact

with wild-type NL3 ICD (Fig 6H). However, strikingly, mutating the LPDY motif of NL3

ICD to PPDY (NL2/3WW, Fig 6A, H-I) was sufficient to induce an interaction between

Nedd4l and NL3 ICD. These results reveal that the PPDY is sufficient for Nedd4l

interactions with NL ICDs. Additional sequences in the NL2 ICD between amino acids

766-796 flanking the PPDY motif are also involved in NL2/Nedd4l binding.

Nedd4l ubiquitinates Neuroligin-2 at K749, resulting in increased stability of

Neuroligin-2

Nedd4l is an E3 ubiquitin ligase of the HECT domain family which has previously been

shown to polyubiquitinate the astrocyte membrane proteins Kir4.1, Connexin-43, and

Glt-1, resulting in their degradation(Altas et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2021; Zhang et al.,

2017). Previous proteomic studies have identified that the intracellular domain of NL2 is

ubiquitinated at lysine 749 (K749)(Na et al., 2012; Wagner et al., 2012) in both mouse

and rat brain tissues. However, the function of this posttranslational modification in NL2

is unknown. Thus, next, we investigated whether NL2 is ubiquitinated at K749. To do

so, we first blocked proteasomal degradation using the proteasomal inhibitor MG-132.

We next heat-denatured lysates to disrupt protein-protein interactions and subsequently

immunoprecipitated HA-NL2 from primary rat astrocytes. After running the

immunoprecipitated eluates on a western blot, we found that HA-NL2 showed a smear

of high molecular weight HA-immunoreactive protein, indicative of polyubiquitinated

protein (Fig 7A). We found that we could significantly decrease this polyubiquitination by

mutating K749 to R (Arginine), as immunoprecipitation of HA-NL2K749R primarily

displayed only one band at the expected molecular weight for NL2 (Fig 7A-B). These

data show that NL2 is ubiquitinated in primary astrocytes, which can be blocked by

mutating K749.

We next aimed to test if Nedd4l ubiquitinates NL2. Interestingly, while our *Nedd4l*

expression construct works well in HEK 293T cells, we were unable to overexpress

Nedd4l in primary astrocytes. This may be due to global proteasome disruptions and

cytotoxicity due to Nedd4l's role in astrocyte protein turnover(Altas et al., 2024). To

circumvent this, we turned to HEK 293T cells and expressed HA-NL2 with or without

V5-Nedd4l co-expression and then probed for GFP-tagged ubiquitination via Western

blot with a GFP antibody. We found that, indeed, compared to NL2 alone, co-expression

with Nedd4l resulted in a high molecular weight smear above the expected size of NL2

indicating that Nedd4l is sufficient to enhance polyubiquitination of NL2, when compared

to NL2 alone (Fig S7A-B). Further, we could reduce this ubiquitination by mutating K749

of NL2 to arginine (K749R). Co-expression of Nedd4l and NL2K749R also did not result

in enhanced polyubiquitination of NL2, indicating that K749 is the only ubiquitinated

residue in NL2 (Fig S7A-B). Together, these data reveal that Nedd4l is sufficient to

ubiquitinate NL2 at K749.

While ubiquitination is typically associated with protein degradation, it can also serve as

a posttranslational signal for various cellular processes(Mukhopadhyay and Riezman,

2007). We first tested whether Nedd4l-mediated ubiquitination of NL2 results in the

degradation of NL2. We expressed NL2 with or without Nedd4l in HEK cells and

collected cell lysates at different time points after cycloheximide (CHX) treatment, which

blocks nascent translation. Western blotting of these samples revealed a decrease in

HA-NL2 abundance over time, indicating NL2 is degraded (Fig 7C-D). Strikingly, co-

expression of Nedd4l with NL2 completely blocked this degradation and stabilized NL2

protein over time (Fig 7C-D). Interestingly, mutation of NL2 lysine 749 to arginine

(K749R) was sufficient to block NL2 degradation, with no further effect by the addition of

Nedd4l (Fig 7E-F). Taken together, these data reveal that ubiquitination at K749

controls NL2 degradation; however, ubiquitination at K749 by Nedd4l stabilizes NL2

protein and prevents its degradation.

Ubiquitination of Neuroligin-2 at Lysine 749 is required for astrocyte

morphogenesis

NL2 is ubiquitinated, *in vivo*(Na et al., 2012; Wagner et al., 2012), yet whether

ubiquitination at this residue has a functional role is unexplored. Therefore, we next

tested if ubiquitination at K749 is necessary for NL2's control of astrocyte

morphogenesis. To do so, we expressed NL2K749R (also mutated to be resistant to

shNL2) in primary astrocytes and subsequently co-cultured the astrocytes on top of

neurons to quantify astrocyte complexity. Surprisingly, we found that NL2K749R

expression significantly reduced astrocyte morphology in a dominant-negative fashion

compared to the scrambled shRNA control (Fig 8A-B). NL2K749R was also incapable of

any morphological rescue of shNL2 transfected astrocytes (Fig 8A-B).

Finally, we investigated whether NL2K749R is crucial for astrocyte morphogenesis in

the developing mouse cortex. In *Nlgn2* homozygous flox animals (*Nlgn2* fl/fl), also

carrying a Rosa26:lox-STOP-lox tdTomato allele, we used PALE (Fig 5C), to express

Cre to ablate *Nlgn2* in astrocytes, while simultaneously expressing HA-NL2 (WT) or HA-

NL2K749R (Fig 8C). At P21, we harvested the brains to analyze astrocyte

morphological development via Imaris reconstruction and 3D Sholl analysis. As

previously shown(Stogsdill et al., 2017), the knock-out of both copies of *Nlgn2* via Cre

expression in astrocytes results in a significantly diminished territory volume, compared

to *Nlgn2* +/+ animals (also carrying the Rosa26:lox-STOP-lox tdTomato allele) (Fig 8D).

This morphological deficit was rescued by co-expressing an HA-tagged NL2 plasmid in

the astrocytes (Fig 8D). Supporting our *in vitro* findings (Fig 8B), co-expression of HA-

NL2K749R with Cre in *Nlgn2* fl/fl astrocytes was not able to rescue the reduction in

territory volume, and showed no significant difference between *Nlgn2* fl/fl astrocytes that

received Cre alone (Fig 8D). We did observe a bimodal distribution in the territory

volumes of *Nlgn2* fl/fl astrocytes that received Cre + HA-NL2K749R that was not related

to sex or astrocyte layer identity, suggesting an unknown variable influences the

functional importance of NL2 ubiquitination status. Further, we found that astrocyte

complexity, measured by 3D Sholl analysis (Fig 8E), largely mirrored the territory

volume findings in Fig 8D. Altogether, these findings show that ubiquitination of NL2 at

K749 is necessary for its role in astrocyte morphogenesis at both the territory volume

and branch complexity levels.

DISCUSSION

Astrocytes play crucial roles in nervous system development and function. Proper

astrocyte function relies on their highly branched astrocyte morphology, which is largely

controlled by cell-adhesion molecules(Ackerman et al., 2021; Baldwin et al., 2021;

Stogsdill et al., 2017; Tan et al., 2023). However, the mechanisms downstream of these

cell adhesion molecules are not yet fully understood. Here, we show that NLs are non-

redundant in controlling astrocyte morphology due to their unique intracellular protein

interactomes. We found a critical motif that regulates Nedd4l interaction and

ubiquitination of NL2, which is necessary for NL2-mediated control of astrocyte

morphogenesis. Further, we provide *in vivo* astrocytic NL1-3 and neuronal NL2

proximity proteomes, which provide insights into the differences in astrocytic NL function

and cell-type-specific functions of NL2 between astrocytes and neurons.

How do NLs uniquely contribute to astrocyte morphogenesis? We found that

overexpression of NLs could not compensate for the loss of one another *in vitro*, which

may be explained by various reasons. First, NL mRNA expression patterns differ in the

developing cortex(Clarke et al., 2018), suggesting that temporal dynamics of NLs may

differentially control astrocyte cytoarchitecture. Second, NLs form both homo- and

heterodimers, and dimerization is necessary for both localization and

function(Poulopoulos et al., 2012; Shipman and Nicoll, 2012). In neurons, only NL1/NL3

and NL1/NL2 heterodimers have been detected(Poulopoulos et al., 2012); however, our

results indicate that both NL1/NL2 and NL2/NL3 dimers exist in both astrocytes and

neurons, suggesting that cell-type-specific heterodimers may impact NL function during

brain development. Lastly, our interactome data reveals disparate protein interactions

with NLs which likely contribute to unique astrocytic NL function. Interestingly, gene

ontology analysis revealed a significant interaction with actin binding proteins and

cytoskeletal related proteins specifically for astrocyte NL2, and minimally for astrocyte

NL1 or NL3 or neuronal NL2, suggesting astrocytic NL2 plays a unique role in

controlling astrocyte morphology (Dataset S2, Fig S4 E-F). However, it is important to

note a few limitations of our iBioID data: first, because the TurboID promiscuously

biotinylates proteins within 10nm, interactions with a bait protein (here, NLs) may or

may not be due to direct binding and should be validated orthogonally, as we showed

with Nedd4l (Fig 6). Second, interactions with NLs may be transient and/or

developmentally regulated, and thus, our 3-day biotinylation treatment window may

capture both static and transient interactions. Therefore, these data should not be

interpreted as a compendium of NL-interacting proteins. Lastly, GFAP promoter activity

is highest in the lower layers of the cortex (Fig 3C-D), thus our astrocyte-specific

proteomic experiments are largely influenced by lower-layer astrocytes. Nevertheless,

the iBioID interactomes generated in this study will be beneficial in elucidating the

mechanisms through which NLs control astrocyte structure and function.

In this work, we show that the WW domain-containing protein Nedd4l is critical for

astrocyte morphogenesis, and this protein has distinct specificity for each NL, which we

validated by direct protein-protein interaction. How do WW domain proteins specify

interactions with NLs? Nedd4l preferentially binds PPxY motifs, but can also weakly

bind LPxY(Bruce et al., 2008; Hatstat et al., 2021). We identified that NL1 and NL2

contain a PPxY motif in their ICDs, whereas NL3 contains an LPxY motif. This single

amino acid change ultimately impacts the binding of Nedd4l to NLs but does not explain

the interaction specificity entirely. Only after deleting amino acids 766-796 in NL2, ICD Nedd4l binding is blocked, suggesting a larger surface is critical for the interaction between NL2 and Nedd4l. This may explain why mutation of PPDY to AAAA in the NL2 ICD is still able to restore some of the astrocyte complexity (Fig 2J) and suggests that the WW binding motif in NL2 is not the sole determinant of NL2 function in astrocyte morphogenesis. Moreover, in our iBioID results, Nedd4l is not enriched in NL1 proximal proteome as strongly as NL2 in astrocytes, which may indicate a weaker physiological interaction. Tyrosine phosphorylation in WW motifs is known to occlude binding with WW domains(Chen et al., 1997). The conserved Tyrosine (Y) in the PPxY motif is the same residue that modulates Gephyrin binding (Y770)(Poulopoulos et al., 2009) and is phosphorylated in neuronal NL1 but not neuronal NL2(Letellier et al., 2018), therefore, phosphorylation and/or Gephyrin binding might modulate Nedd4l binding to NLs. Nedd4l interactions with its known targets are controlled through adapter proteins, namely the 14-3-3 family(Lee et al., 2018; Nagaki et al., 2006). Our data reveals that astrocytic NL2 interacts with several of the 14-3-3 family proteins, pointing toward a role of 14-3-3 proteins mediating the Nedd4l-NL2 interaction in astrocytes. Moreover, several WW domain-containing proteins interact with NLs in a cell-type-specific manner (Fig. 4E). Future studies will reveal the cell-type-specific effects of WW domain-containing protein interactions with NLs.

Until this study, the functional consequence of NL2 ubiquitination had not been demonstrated in either astrocytes or neurons. We found that Nedd4l ubiquitinates NL2 at K749. Numerous reports indicate that Nedd4l ubiquitinates its targets, including the astrocytic targets Kir4.1 and Connexin-43(Altas et al., 2024), which results in

proteasome-mediated degradation(Liu et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2017; Zhou et al.,

2007). However, here we found that Nedd4l ubiquitination of NL2 results in increased

stability of NL2. Interestingly, ubiquitination-mediated protein stabilization has been

reported previously for other HECT E3 ubiquitin ligases. Nedd4, a close family member

of Nedd4l, has been demonstrated to ubiquitinate another E3 ubiquitin ligase, Mdm2,

which results in increased stability of the protein(Xu et al., 2015). We speculate that

Nedd4l-mediated stability of NL2 may maintain the surface pool of NL2 and/or overall

NL2 abundance, to maintain synaptic contacts with Neurexins. While Nedd4l

ubiquitination of NL2 does not lead to protein degradation, our data reveal that

NL2K749 ubiquitination can be a degradative signal. In heterologous cells, NL2 protein

abundance significantly decreases over time, and blocking ubiquitination at K749

prevents this degradation (Fig 7C-F). A limitation of this experiment is that it was

performed in heterologous cells, due to the low expression, possibly resulting from rapid

degradation, of astrocytic NL2 in the absence of neurons. Future work using cell-type-

specific knock-in or conditional degradation models will be required to test Nedd4l's role

in regulating NL2 stability in astrocytes, *in vivo*. Finally, ubiquitination of NL2 was

identified in both mouse and rat brains at lysine K749 via ubiquitin proteomics, but NL1

and NL3 were not(Na et al., 2012; Wagner et al., 2012). We found that blocking

ubiquitination at K749 results in a stunted morphological phenotype for NL2-mediated

astrocyte morphogenesis, both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Fig 8A-E). This phenotype may be

due to an excess of NL2 in the astrocyte surface, thus leading to improper targeting of

perisynaptic astrocyte processes in the neuropil and preventing precise interactions with

neuronal neurexins. To this end, understanding how trans-synaptic neurexin binding to

NL2 impacts Nedd4l interaction with NL2 and/or ubiquitination of NL2 will be an

important future direction. Altogether, this finding underscores the importance of NL2

turnover in the development of astrocyte morphology.

Emerging evidence emphasizes the differential roles of astrocytic versus neuronal NLs.

Numerous laboratories have identified a unique physiological role of NLs in various glia

including astrocytes(Stogsdill et al., 2017; Ackerman et al., 2021), oligodendrocyte

precursor cells(Li et al., 2024), as well as glioma(Venkatesh et al., 2015, 2017).

Recently, an article claimed that astrocytic NLs do not control astrocyte morphogenesis

or synapse formation(Golf et al., 2025). This study used an astrocyte Cre line and floxed

NL alleles to knock out *Nlgns1-3* in the mouse brain, yet analyzed effects at different

timepoints than our study. First, the authors demonstrate a decrease in astrocyte-

specific ribosome-bound mRNA only at 8 weeks postnatally, but show no difference in

mouse cortical astrocyte morphology at P35. We suspect that their incongruous findings

are due to incomplete knock-down of NLs early in development, which we have

previously shown to be particularly difficult to knock out *in vivo* using the traditional Cre-

Lox system(Stogsdill et al., 2017). In this work, to circumvent such issues, we have

used carefully validated shRNAs(Chih et al., 2006) *in vitro* and *in vivo*, which have no

compounding effect in NL2 cKO astrocytes, indicating no off-target effects(Stogsdill et

al., 2017), and we have validated these findings using the CRISPR-Cas9 system (Fig

S1C-F) and PALE-mediated rescue of NL2-dependent astrocyte morphogenesis (Fig

8C-E). Further, our study lends insight into how slight perturbations of NL2 abundance,

in either direction, derail astrocyte morphology. We find that overexpression of NL2

does not completely rescue the morphological phenotype of shNL2 expressing

astrocytes (Fig S1B), suggesting that too much astrocytic NL2 results in an overly

adhesive phenotype. This aligns with the dominant negative morphological phenotype

of astrocytes expressing NL2 with the K749R mutation (Fig 8A-B), in which we expect

too much NL2 protein in the astrocytes due to the lack of proteasome-mediated

degradation of NL2 (Figure 7C-D).

In summary, we provide new insight into NL-mediated control of astrocyte

morphogenesis and reveal that the WW domain-containing protein, Nedd4I, is required

for astrocyte morphogenesis via ubiquitination and stabilization of NL2. Lastly, we show

that NL2 ubiquitination is critical for astrocyte morphogenesis, *in vitro and in vivo*.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Mice

All mice and rats were used in accordance with the Institutional Animal Care and Use
Committee (IACUC), under the guidance of the Duke Division of Laboratory Animal
Resources (DLAR) with protocol numbers A147-17-06, A117-20-05, and A103-23-04.
All animals were housed in 12-hour light/dark cycles. Timed-pregnant CD1 female mice
(RRID: IMSR_CRL:022) were used for PALE and iBioID experiments, obtained from
Charles River Laboratories. The NL2 floxed (B6;SJL-Nlgn2tm1.1Sud/J; Stock #025544,
RRID:IMSR_JAX:025544), and Ai14 (RTM) (B6;129S6-Gt(ROSA)26Sortm14(CAG-
tdTomato)Hze/J; Stock #007908, RRID:IMSR_JAX:007908) animals were obtained
through Jackson Laboratory. CD Sprague-Dawley IGS Rats (SD-001, RRID:
IMSR_CRL:400) were used for primary culture preps, obtained from Charles River
Laboratories. Mice and rats of both sexes were pooled and included in all experiments.

Plasmids:

shRNA plasmids:

shRNAs for NL1(RRID:Addgene_59339), 2 (RRID:Addgene_59358), and 3
(RRID:Addgene_59359) and scrambled NL shRNA control (shCtrl) constructs were
described previously(Stogsdill et al., 2017).

shRNAs were cloned into pLKO.1 vector (a kind gift from David Root, Addgene plasmid
#10878, RRID: Addgene_10878). A CAG-eGFP sequence was introduced into the
vector for expression in primary astrocytes. Antisense shRNA sequences:

▪   Nedd4l: TTTATTAAGGGCTTTGCCTGC (Horizon Discovery, TRCN0000086868)

For PALE, the same shRNA sequence was cloned into a piggyBAC transposon system

expressing mCherry CAAX and transfected with a GLAST-piggyBACase plasmid (a kind

gift from Dr. Joseph LoTurco) as previously described(Tan et al., 2023). The Nedd4l

shRNA in the piggybac backbone is available at Addgene (RRID:Addgene_249589).

NL chimeric plasmids:

Chimeric HA-NL2 constructs were a kind gift from Dr. Roger Nicoll and described

previously(Nguyen et al., 2016) (NL2/1 Chimera RRID_Addgene_249601; NL2/3

Chimera RRID_249602). These constructs were modified to be resistant to

shNL2(Stogsdill et al., 2017).

HA-NL2 mutation plasmids:

Deletion of the PDZ domain (RRID:Addgene_249594), poly-proline domain

(RRID:Addgene_249595), and mutation of WW domains (PPDY → AAAA in NL2

(RRID:Addgene_249600), Large WW Deletion (a.a. 766-796 deletion,

RRID:Addgene_249599), PPDY → AAAA in NL1/2 chimera (RRID:Addgene_249597)

or LPDY → PPDY in NL3 chimera (RRID:Addgene_249598)) and K749R

(RRID:Addgene_249588) were performed using custom gene blocks (IDT) in the HA-

NL2-RM backbone. Mutation of the gephyrin binding residue in HA-NL2-RM (HA-NL2-

GBM-RM RRID:Addgene_249596) was performed via site-directed mutagenesis using

the QuikChange Lightning kit (Agilent) and the following primers:

Sense: 5' GCGCCCTGCCTGTgcagccGACgctACCCTGGCCTTGCGCCG 3'

Antisense: 5' GCCCGGCGCAAGGCCAGGGTAGCGTCggctgcACAGGCAG 3'.

TurboID plasmids:

pZac2.1-gfaABC1D-Turbo-BirA plasmid was a kind gift from Dr. Scott Soderling. The

NL-Turbo fusion constructs were made by PCR amplification from the HA-tagged

expression plasmids and subsequent In-Fusion cloning (Takara). A flexible glycine

hexamer linker was inserted between the NL expression sequence and the Turbo-BirA.

pZac2.1-GFABC1D-Turbo-BirA was digested with XhoI and NotI to release the Turbo-

BirA to insert HA-NL1/2/3-Turbo-BirA. The gfaABC1D promoter was swapped for hSyn1

for neuronal expression of NL2-TurboID. The TurboID constructs are available through

Addgene: gfaABC1D HA-NL2 Turbo RRID:Addgene_249590; gfaABC1D HA-NL1 Turbo

RRID:Addgene_249591; gfaABC1D HA-NL3 Turbo RRID:Addgene_249592; hSyn1 HA-

NL2 Turbo RRID:Addgene_249593.

Expression plasmids:

HA-NL1 (RRID:Addgene_15227), HA-NL2 (RRID:Addgene_15259) and HA-NL3

(RRID:Addgene_59318) were previously described(Stogsdill et al., 2017). V5-Nedd4l

was made by custom geneblock cloning (IDT) from the HA-Nedd4l (human sequence, a

kind gift from Joan Massague (Addgene plasmid # 27000; RRID:Addgene 27000)).

GFP-Ubiquitin was a kind gift from Nico Dantuma (Addgene plasmid # 11928;

RRID:Addgene 11928). For NL2 rescue PALE experiments, the CMV promoter was

replaced with GfaABC1D(Lee et al., 2008) for both HA-NL2 (RRID:Addgene_249587) or

HA-NL2K749R (RRID:Addgene_249588) via In Fusion Snap Assembly (Takara).

pCAG-Cre was a gift from Connie Cepko (Addgene plasmid # 13775 ;

http://n2t.net/addgene:13775 ; RRID:Addgene_13775).

CRISPR plasmids:

pX601-mCherry was a gift from Yuet Wai Kan (Addgene plasmid # 84039 ;

http://n2t.net/addgene:84039 ; RRID:Addgene_84039). The CMV promoter was

replaced with GfaABC1D promoter from the Turbo-BirA plasmids. SaCas9 gRNAs

targeting rat *Nlgn2* were designed using CRISPOR(Concordet and Haeussler, 2018)

software, and cloned into the BsaI sites in the pX601 vector.

▪ *Nlgn2* gRNA1: AAGAACTGCACGACCGGGCC

▪ *Nlgn2* gRNA2: GTGGTGAACACAGCCTACGG (RRID:Addgene_250933)

▪ *Nlgn2* gRNA3: GCCACACTCAACTACCGTCT (RRID:Addgene_250934)

For the CRISPR GFP translation assay, we cloned the NL2 gRNAs and their PAM

sequences upstream of and in frame with GFP under the control of the chicken beta

actin promoter.

Rat astrocyte and neuron co-culture:

Astrocyte and neuron cultures were performed as described(Baldwin et al., 2021;

Stogsdill et al., 2017). Briefly, P1 rat cortices were dissected and digested in ~7.5

units/ml Papain (Worthington). A single-cell suspension was extracted by gentle

pipetting with ovomucoid solutions (Worthington). Cells were filtered through a 20µm

mesh membrane (Elko Filtering 03-20/14) and then split for astrocyte and neuron

cultures.

For neuronal isolation, cortical cells were applied to negative panning dishes coated

with Bandeiraea Simplicifolia Lectin 1 (x2), followed by AffiniPure goat anti-mouse

IgG+IgM (H+L) (Jackson ImmunoResearch 115-005-044, RRID: AB_2338451), and

AffiniPure goat anti-rat IgG+IgM (H+L) (Jackson ImmunoResearch 112-005-044,

RRID:AB_2338094) antibodies to bind unwanted cells and debris. Cell suspensions

were passed onto positive panning dishes coated with mouse anti-L1 (ASCS4,

Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, Univ. Iowa, RRID:AB_528349) to bind cortical

neurons (>95% neuron). Adherent cells were collected following several washes with

DPBS (Gibco 14287) (supplemented with BSA and insulin) and forceful pipetting with a

P1000 pipette. Isolated neurons were pelleted (11 minutes at 200 g) and resuspended

in serum-free neuron growth media (NGM; Neurobasal, B27 supplement, 2 mM L-

Glutamine, 100 U/mL Pen/Strep, 1 mM NaPyruvate, 4.2 µg/mL Forskolin, 50 ng/mL,

BDNF, and 10 ng/mL CNTF). 100,000 neurons were plated onto 12 mm glass

coverslips (24-well plate) coated with 10 µg/mL poly-D-lysine (PDL, Sigma P6407) and

2 µg/mL laminin, and incubated at 37oC in 10% CO_2. On DIV2, neurons were treated

with 10µM AraC for one day. Further, on DIV2, media was changed to Neurobasal Plus

Growth Media (NGM+; Neurobasal Plus, B27 Plus supplement, 100 U/mL Pen/Strep, 1

mM NaPyruvate, 4.2 µg/mL Forskolin, 50 ng/mL, BDNF, and 10 ng/mL CNTF). Neurons

were given a 50% media change every third day until astrocyte co-culture. A detailed

protocol can be found at: https://www.protocols.io/view/glia-free-cortical-neuron-culture-

36wgq3r35lk5/v1.

For astrocyte cultures, cortical cells were centrifuged and resuspended in astrocyte

growth medium (AGM; DMEM (Gibco 11960), 10% FBS, 10 µM, hydrocortisone, 100

U/mL Pen/Strep, 2 mM L-Glutamine, 5 µg/ml Insulin, 1 mM NaPyruvate, 5 µg/ml N-

Acetyl-L-cysteine). On the third day in vitro (DIV), astrocytes were washed with DPBS

and then shaken vigorously by hand until only adherent astrocytes remained. DPBS

was replaced with fresh AGM. AraC was added to the culture at DIV5 for two days. On

DIV7, astrocytes were passaged via Trypsin (Gibco) into 6- or 12-well dishes and then

transfected on DIV8 with Lipofectamine-LTX. On DIV10, transfected astrocytes were

trypsinized and resuspended into NGM+ and plated on neurons at a density of 20,000

cells per well (24 well plates). Cells were fixed after 48 hours of co-culture with warm

4% PFA (Electron Microscopy Sciences) for exactly 7 minutes.

Immunocytochemistry:

After fixation in 4% warmed PFA for 7 minutes (except in the case of surface staining in

which cold PFA was used for 5 minutes), coverslips were blocked in a blocking buffer

(150 NaCl, 50 Tris-base, 1% BSA, 100 mM L-lysine, 0.04% Sodium azide, pH 7.4)

containing 0.2% Triton X-100 (omitted in surface staining) and 50% NGS was added to

the coverslips for 30 minutes, followed by 2 washes of DPBS. Primary antibodies were

diluted in a blocking buffer containing 10% NGS and added to the coverslips overnight

at 4C. The following antibodies were used: GFAP (1:4000, rabbit, DAKO Z0334,

RRID:AB_10013382), GFP (1:1000, chicken, Millipore AB16901, RRID:AB_90890), HA

(1:1000, rat, Roche 11867423001, RRID:AB_390918), RFP (1:1000, rabbit, Rockland

600-401-379, RRID:AB_2209751). The next day, coverslips were washed 3 times with

PBS, and Alexa Fluor conjugated secondaries (Invitrogen: Rb 594, RRID:AB_2534079;

Ck 488 RRID:AB_2534096; Rat 594 RRID:AB_10561522) were diluted 1:1000 in

blocking buffer containing 10% NGS and incubated for 2 hours at room temperature.

After the incubation, the coverslips were washed 3 times and mounted onto glass slides

(VWR) with Vectashield mounting media containing DAPI (Vector Laboratories).

Imaging was described as before(Stogsdill et al., 2017) on an AxioImager M1 (Zeiss)

and a Keyence BZ-X800 at 40X magnification by a blinded investigator. Images were

analyzed with Sholl analysis in FIJI (NIH).

CRISPR genome editing efficiency:

To quantify the percent of frameshift inducing mutations, we cloned all 3 NL2 gRNAs

and their respective PAM sequences upstream of and in frame with a GFP coding

sequence. In HEK293T (ATCC, RRID:CVCL_4V93) cells we co-transfected the pX601-

CMV-SaCas9-U6 gRNA plasmids (containing each NL2 gRNA) with the GFP target

plasmid. Three days after transfection, the cells were harvested in 1X MPER (Thermo

Scientific), incubated at 4C with end-over-end rotation for 10 minutes and then spun at

15,000 x g for 5 minutes. The supernatant was kept for downstream western blot

analysis. GFP expression on the resulting western blot was quantified using ImageJ and

normalized to GAPDH expression. In this assay, a reduction of GFP expression is

interpreted to be genomic cutting by the corresponding gRNA.

In vitro Sholl Analysis:

Sholl Analysis was performed in FIJI (NIH, v1.53c) using the Sholl Analysis plug-in

(v4.0.1). The number of intersections is measured with concentric circles at every 1μm

starting from a radius of 10μm from the center of the nucleus. Resulting values were

then analyzed in R (v4.0.0 and above) using an in-home pipeline which can be found

here: https://github.com/Eroglu-Lab/In-Vitro-Sholl. The final graphs are the average of

each biological replicate, not of each image. The line graphs were generated using the

LOESS method (ggplot2 [Hadley Wickham], stat_smooth function) and the variance

(standard error) is represented with the colored lines.

Postnatal astrocyte labeling by electroporation (PALE):

PALE was performed as previously described(Baldwin et al., 2021). Briefly, P0-P1 pups

were placed on a glove on ice for < 5 minutes until unresponsive. 1-2µg (in 1-2µl total

volume) of plasmid was loaded into a pulled glass pipette containing 1% fast green dye

(Sigma F7252). The glass pipette was then inserted into one lateral ventricle of the

mouse brain and DNA was injected. Electrodes were then positioned above the visual

cortex (positive end) and below the jaw (negative end) and five 50ms pulses of 100V

with an interpulse interval of 950ms were applied. Pups recovered on a heating pad and

were then returned to the home cage with the dam. Each litter received a random

assignment of experimental groups. All animals that recovered after surgery with no

visible health issues were kept for the analysis. Animals were transcardially perfused

with 4% PFA at P21.

Immunohistochemistry:

Mice were perfused transcardially with 4% PFA and were transferred to 4% PFA

overnight before incubation with 30% sucrose in TBS. Coronal sections were collected

on a cryostat (Leica) at either 40µm (iBioID, synaptic staining) or 100µm (territory

volume and 3D Sholl). Sections were washed 3 times for 10 minutes on a shaker in

0.2%(40µm sections) or 0.4%(100µm sections) Triton-X in TBS (TBST). Sections were

blocked in 10% Goat serum in TBST for 1 hour on the shaker at room temperature.

Primary antibodies: PSD95 (1:300, rabbit, Invitrogen 51-6900, RRID:AB_2533914),

Gephyrin (1:500, mouse, Synaptic Systems 147011, RRID:AB_2810215), RFP (1:1000,

chicken, Rockland 600-901-379, RRID:AB_10704808, used for synaptic staining),

Bassoon (1:500, guinea pig, Synaptic Systems 141318, RRID:AB_2927388), HA

(1:200, rat, Roche 11867423001, RRID:AB_390918), RFP [for detecting mCherry CAAX

in shRNA PALE experiments] (1:1000, rabbit, Rockland 600-401-379,

RRID:AB_2209751), RFP nanobody 568 coupled [for detecting tdTomato in Ai14 mice

for NL2 rescue PALE experiments] (1:100, Synaptic Systems,N0404-AF568-L,

RRID:AB_2209751), Sox9 (1:1000, Rabbit, Millipore AB5535, RRID:AB_2239761),

NeuN (1:1000, Mouse, Millipore MAB377, RRID:AB_2298772) were diluted in fresh

blocking buffer and incubated either overnight (40μm) or for 3 nights (100μm). Alexa

Fluor or Streptavidin conjugated fluorescent secondaries (Invitrogen: Rabbit 405+

RRID:AB_2890548; Mouse IgG1 488 RRID:AB_2535764, Chicken 568

RRID:AB_2534098, Guinea Pig 647 RRID:AB_2535867, Rat 488 RRID:AB_2534074,

Rabbit 594 RRID:AB_2534095, Streptavidin Alexa Fluor conjugate (Thermo Fisher,

S32357)) were diluted in blocking buffer at 1:200 and incubated on tissue for 3 hours at

room temperature. DAPI was added in the final wash before mounting. Sections were

mounted on glass slides with mounting media (90% Glycerol, 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 0.5%

n-Propyl gallate).

Synapse quantification:

Images for synapse quantification were acquired on an Olympus FV 3000 at 60X

magnification with 1.64X zoom, in 1μm stacks with a 0.34μm step size. We used

SynBot(Savage et al., 2024) (https://github.com/Eroglu-Lab/Syn_Bot) in FIJI to quantify

the colocalization of Bassoon and Psd95 (excitatory) or Bassoon and Gepyhrin

(inhibitory) synapses for the entire image using manual thresholding. Using a custom

macro in FIJI (https://github.com/Eroglu-Lab/Sakers-et-al-2025), we then cropped the

binarized synaptic output images to draw an ROI around the labeled astrocyte and

quantify the number of synaptic puncta within and outside the ROI. These synaptic

puncta were normalized to the corresponding ROI to obtain a synapse density. The data

were analyzed with a linear mixed effects ANOVA in R.

Imaris territory volume and 3D Sholl analysis:

100μm sections were imaged on an Olympus FV 3000 at 60X magnification, with 2X

zoom. Single astrocytes were captured in a frame in a Z stack at 0.5μm step size to

capture the whole astrocyte. Imaris v9.9 (Bitplane) was used to reconstruct images

using the filament tracer in 3D. We used two custom extensions to quantify filament

complexity (3D Sholl analysis) every 5μm from the cell nucleus [note that this is different

from 2D Sholl analysis where a measurement is taken every 1μm from the cell nucleus].

A linear mixed model followed by Dunnett's post-hoc test was used to analyze the 3D

Sholl data using a custom R script found at: https://github.com/Eroglu-Lab/In-vivo-Sholl-

Analysis. The whole astrocyte territory volume was calculated using the Convex Hull

function in Imaris on the cell surface territory. Analysis of territory volume was analyzed

in R using a one-way repeated measures ANOVA to account for multiple images taken

per animal, followed by Dunnett's post-hoc test.

Adeno-associated virus production:

Purified AAV was produced as described previously(Baldwin et al., 2021). Briefly,

HEK293T cells were transfected with pAd-DELTA F6, serotype plasmid AAV PHP.eB,

and AAV plasmids (pZac2.1 Turbo ID constructs). Three days after transfection, cells

were collected in 15 mM NaCl, 5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, and lysed with repeat freeze-

thaw cycles followed by treatment with Benzonase (Novagen 70664) at 37°C for 30

minutes. Lysed cells were pelleted by centrifugation and the supernatant, containing

AAV was applied to an Optiprep density gradient (Sigma D1556, 15%, 25%, 40%, and

60%) and centrifuged at 67,000 rpm using a Beckman Ti-70 rotor for 1 hour. The AAV-

enriched fraction was isolated from between 40% and 60% iodixanol solution and

concentrated by repeated washes with sterile PBS in an Amicon Ultra-15 filtration unit

(NMWL: 100 kDa, Millipore UFC910008) to a final volume of ~100 µL and aliquoted for

storage at −80°C.

In vivo BioID:

Pregnant CD1 dams were ordered from Charles River Laboratories. On P1, pups were

anesthetized by hypothermia and subsequently injected intracranially at a depth of 2mm

with 1µl equal viral titers of either NL2-Turbo-BirA or Turbo-BirA as previously

described. Mice were treated with 0.75mL of 5mM Biotin in sterile PBS via

subcutaneous injections once a day from P18 to P20. On P21, mice were sacrificed with

200 mg/kg tribromoethanol (avertin) and transcardially perfused with TBS/Heparin for 5

minutes to wash out excess biotin. Subsequently, cortices were rapidly dissected in

DPBS and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen.

All solutions were made fresh using proteomics-grade chemicals and solvents. Brains

were homogenized with approximately 12 strokes in a glass homogenizer and Teflon

pestle in 1mL Lysis buffer [50mM Tris/HCl pH7.5, 150mM NaCl, 1mM EDTA with

protease inhibitors (Roche)] on ice. An equal volume of 2X RIPA [50mM Tris/HCl pH7.5,

150mM NaCl, 1mM EDTA, 0.4% SDS, 2%TritonX100, 2% deoxycholate] was added to

the lysates. Lysates were then sonicated (level 9) for 30 seconds (3 x 10s) total,

followed by centrifugation at 15,000 RPM for 10 minutes at 4C. The supernatant was

transferred to an ultracentrifuge 1.5mL tube (Beckman Coulter) and spun in a TLA-55

rotor (Beckman coulter) in an ultracentrifuge at 40,000 RPM for 30 minutes at 4C.

Supernatants were transferred to a low-protein binding tube (Eppendorf) and SDS was

added to a final concentration of 1%. Samples were then briefly vortexed and heated at

45C for 45 mins, cooled on ice, and spun at 15,000RPM for 30 minutes at 4C. 70 µl

Neutravidin beads (ThermoFisher 29202) were prepared per condition by washing with

1mL of 2X RIPA two times. The samples were transferred to 15mL tubes (1 brain per

IP, 3 brains per condition), and washed Neutravidin beads were added to the sample

supernatant. 30ul of the supernatant was saved for western blot analysis. The beads

and samples were incubated overnight at 4C with rotation. The next day beads were

sequentially washed with 1mL of the following solutions for 10 minutes with rotation,

followed by two-minute spins: 2% SDS x2, Wash buffer (1% TritonX100, 1%

deoxycholate, 25 mM LiCl) x2, 1M NaCl x2, 50mM Ammonium bicarbonate x5. Elution

buffer was prepared during the last washes by adding 1.2mg of biotin to 2X sample

buffer [4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 0.1% beta-mercaptoethanol, 125mM Tris pH 6.8 to 20mL

with keratin-free water]. 70ul of elution buffer was added to the beads and samples

were then heated at 60C for 15 minutes to elute bound proteins. The sample was stored

at -80C before mass spec analysis, and 5ul of the eluate was saved for western blotting.

All washes and elution were done in a tissue culture hood, in sterile conditions to avoid

keratin contamination.

Mass spectrometry:

Sample Preparation: The Duke Proteomics Core Facility (DPCF) received 6 samples (3

replicates each of two conditions). Samples were reduced with 10 mM dithiothreitol for

30 min at 80C and alkylated with 20 mM iodoacetamide for 30 min at room temperature.

Next, they were supplemented with a final concentration of 1.2% phosphoric acid and

597 µL of S-Trap (Protifi) binding buffer (90% MeOH/100mM TEAB). Proteins were

trapped on the S-Trap, digested using 20 ng/µl sequencing grade trypsin (Promega) for

1 hr at 47C, and eluted using 50 mM TEAB, followed by 0.2% FA, and lastly using 50%

ACN/0.2% FA. All samples were then lyophilized to dryness and resuspended in 12µL

1%TFA/2% acetonitrile containing 12.5 fmol/µL yeast alcohol dehydrogenase

(ADH_YEAST). A QC Pool was created from 3uL of each sample. All QC Pools were

run periodically throughout the acquisition period.

Quantitative Analysis, Methods: Quantitative LC/MS/MS was performed on 3 µL of each

sample, using a nanoAcquity UPLC system (Waters Corp) coupled to a Thermo

Orbitrap Fusion Lumos high-resolution accurate mass tandem mass spectrometer

(Thermo) via a nanoelectrospray ionization source. Briefly, the sample was first trapped

on a Symmetry C18 20 mm × 180 µm trapping column (5 µl/min at 99.9/0.1 v/v

water/acetonitrile), after which the analytical separation was performed using a 1.8 µm

Acquity HSS T3 C18 75 µm × 250 mm column (Waters Corp.) with a 90-min linear

gradient of 5 to 30% acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid at a flow rate of 400

nanoliters/minute (nL/min) with a column temperature of 55C. Data collection on the

Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer was performed in a data-dependent acquisition

(DDA) mode of acquisition with a r=120,000 (@ m/z 200) full MS scan from m/z 375 –

1500 with a target AGC value of 2e5 ions. MS/MS scans were acquired at a Rapid scan

rate (Ion Trap) with an AGC target of 5e3 ions and a max injection time of 100 ms. The

total cycle time for MS and MS/MS scans was 2 sec. A 20s dynamic exclusion was

employed to increase the depth of coverage. The total analysis cycle time for each

sample injection was approximately 2 hours. Following 9 total UPLC-MS/MS analyses

(excluding conditioning runs, but including 3 replicate QC Pool), data was imported into

Proteome Discoverer 2.3 (Thermo Scientific Inc.), and analyses were aligned based on

the accurate mass and retention time of detected ions ("features") using Minora Feature

Detector algorithm in Proteome Discoverer. Relative peptide abundance was calculated

based on the area-under-the-curve (AUC) of the selected ion chromatograms of the

aligned features across all runs. The MS/MS data was searched against the SwissProt

M. musculus database (downloaded in Apr2017) and an equal number of reversed-

sequence "decoys" for false discovery rate determination. Mascot Distiller and Mascot

Server (v 2.5, Matrix Sciences) were utilized to produce fragment ion spectra and to

perform the database searches. Database search parameters included fixed

modifications on Cys (carbamidomethyl) and variable modifications on Meth (oxidation)

and Asn and Gln (deamidation). Peptide Validator and Protein FDR Validator nodes in

Proteome Discoverer were used to annotate the data at a maximum 1% protein false

discovery rate.

Statistical analysis of iBioID data:

In vivo BioID has an inherently high background in mass spectrometry due to the time

necessary for biotin to accumulate in the system, and the diffuse nature of AMP-biotin.

We controlled for this background using a soluble (non-bait) TurboID enzyme in both

astrocytes and neurons, as this would accurately replicate the high background in bait

conditions. Further, to account for differences in expression due to the size differenced

of soluble TurboID and NL-tagged TurboID, we employed a robust-mean normalization

which excludes the top and bottom 10% of signal intensities and mitigates the

influences of outliers. The BioID datasets were generated from distinct viral constructs

and cellular contexts, thus necessitating an enrichment-over-control design. To do so,

we calculated the fold changes and used a two-tailed heteroscedastic t-test on log2-

transformed data comparing the NL2 and Turbo BioID groups, and performed FDR

correction to obtain high-confidence putative interactors.

Western blotting:

iBioID: 5ul of IP eluate and 30ul of sonicated lysate were run on a 4-15% Mini-Protean

precast gel (BioRad). After transfer, membranes were blocked in Odyssey blocking

buffer (LI-COR) diluted in PBS (1:1) followed by incubation in the same buffer with

Alexa 680 conjugated streptavidin (Invitrogen). Membranes were imaged on a LI-COR

Odyssey CLx.

All other blots: Equal protein (measured by Bicinchoninic Acid Protein Assay (BCA,

Pierce)) was loaded on a 4-15% Mini-Protean precast gel (BioRad). In the case of

immunoprecipitations, input samples were loaded as an equal percentage of total

sample homogenate, and the entirety of the IP eluate was run per lane. Gels were

transferred using a Power Blotter System (Invitrogen) and then blocked in a Blocking

Buffer for Fluorescent Western Blotting (Rockland, MB-070) diluting in PBS (1:1). The

following primary antibodies were used for blotting: Rabbit anti-Nedd4l (Cell Signaling

Technology, 4013S, 1:1000, RRID:AB_1904063), Rabbit anti-V5 (Cell Signaling

Technology, 13202S, 1:500, RRID:AB_2687461), Chicken anti-HA (Aves Labs, ET-

HA100, 1:2000, RRID:AB_2313511), Rabbit anti-GFP (Novus Biologicals, NB600-308,

1:1000, RRID:AB_10003058), Mouse anti-Gapdh (Abcam, ab8245, 1:2500,

RRID:AB_2107448), Mouse anti-Actin (Sigma-Aldrich, A5441, 1:2000,

RRID:AB_476744) diluted in blocking buffer. LI-COR 680 or 800 fluorescent secondary

antibodies (Chicken 800 RRID:AB_10974977; Rabbit 800 RRID:AB_621848; Mouse

800 RRID:AB_621842, Rabbit 680 RRID:AB_621845, Chicken 680 RRID:AB_1850018)

were diluted 1:2500 in blocking buffer and blots were imaged on a LI-COR Odyssey

CLx.

Lentivirus production and transduction:

8-10 million 293T cells were plated in a T-75 culture flask and transfected using

Xtremegene HP Transfection Reagent (Roche, 06366236001) with the following

plasmids: pLKO.1 puromycin-resistant shRNA plasmids (described above), VSVG (an

envelope plasmid), and dR8.91 packaging plasmid. One day post-transfection, the

media was replaced with astrocyte growth media, and lentivirus was collected on days 2

and 3 and filtered through a 40μm syringe filter. Media was tested for lentiviral particles

using Lenti-X Go Stix (Takara, 631280). Astrocytes were treated with 500μl of lentiviral

media supernatant, and 1μg/mL polybrene on DIV8. On DIV10, astrocytes were treated

with 1μg/mL puromycin every other day until a non-transduced well was completely

dead. Cells were lysed for qPCR as described below. Cells collected for western blot

were collected in T-PER (Thermo Scientific, 78510) and protein was measured using a

BCA protein assay (Thermo Scientific, 23227).

Gene ontology analysis:

Gene ontology analysis was performed in R using the package clusterProfiler v4.6.2(Wu

et al., 2021). Proteins from iBioID lists that were at least 1.5 fold enriched at a p-value of

<0.05, compared to respective controls described in legends, were subjected to

Biological Processes enrichment. Resulting output was reduced using the simplify

function in clusterProfiler. The top 5-10 categories (sorted by adjusted p-value) were

plotted in R. The entire enrichment can be found in Supplemental Dataset 2.

Immunoprecipitation:

4 million 293T cells (ATCC, RRID:CVCL_4V93) (passage #8-15) were plated in 10cm

dishes and then transfected the next day with 2.5µg of each plasmid using the

Xtremegene HP Transfection Reagent (Roche, 06366236001) according to the

manufacturer's protocol. 48 hours post transfection cells were washed with 1X TBS

supplemented with calcium and magnesium and pelleted. Cell pellets were

resuspended in 400µl of lysis buffer (25mM Tris pH 7.4, 1mM Calcium Chloride, 1mM

Magnesium Chloride, 150mM Sodium Chloride, 0.5% NP-40, 1X EDTA-free protease

inhibitor cocktail (Roche)) and centrifuged at 20,000xg for 10 minutes. 5% of the

supernatant was saved for input samples, and the remaining lysate was diluted with

lysis buffer without NP-40 for IP. Lysate was added to 30µl of magnetic anti-HA beads

(Pierce, 88836) overnight at 4C with rotation. Beads were collected on a magnetic rack

and washed 3 times with wash buffer (25mM Tris pH 7.4, 1mM Calcium Chloride, 1mM

Magnesium Chloride, 150mM Sodium Chloride, 0.1% NP-40, 1X EDTA-free protease

inhibitor cocktail (Roche)). Beads were eluted with 2X Laemmli buffer (Bio-Rad,

1610737) at 42C for 15 minutes and then denatured with 5% β-mercaptoethanol at 45C

for 45 minutes before loading on SDS-PAGE.

Ubiquitination assay:

293T cells were transfected as indicated in the immunoprecipitation subsection. 48

hours post-transfection, cells were treated with 25µM MG-132 (Sigma-Aldrich, 474790)

for 2-4 hours to block the proteasome. Cells were washed 3 times in ice-cold 1X TBS

supplemented with magnesium, calcium, and N-ethylmaleimide (NEM) (Sigma-Aldrich,

E3876) to block deubiquitinases and then pelleted. Cells were resuspended in 1X RIPA

buffer with SDS (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% Sodium

Deoxycholate, 1% Sodium dodecyl sulfate, supplemented with 1X protease inhibitor and

20mM NEM) and then boiled for 5 minutes to disrupt protein-protein interactions. The

lysates were diluted 10X with 1X RIPA without SDS and incubated on a rotator at 4C for

1 hour. The lysates were then pelleted at 15,000xg for 15 minutes and the supernatant

was added to magnetic anti-HA beads, and 5% of the supernatant was collected for

input fraction. Elution was performed identically to the immunoprecipitation subsection

above. For ubiquitination assay in primary rat astrocytes, 5 million DIV7 astrocytes

(prepared as described above) were nucleofected with the Basic Glial Cells

Nucleofection Kit (Lonza) and 5ug of each plasmid. 24 hours after nucleofection, the

media was changed to remove dead cells and nucleofection solution. Ubiquitination IP

was performed 3 days after nucleofection in the same manner as 293T cells.

Degradation assay:

350,000 HEK 293T cells were plated into wells of a 6-well plate and then transfected

one day later with 1μg of each plasmid via Xtremegene HP Transfection Reagent

reagent (Roche #06366236001). 48 hours later cells were treated with cycloheximide

(100μg/mL). At each time point, the collected cells were washed with 1X TBS with

magnesium and calcium, pelleted, and then resuspended in 1X RIPA (Sigma-Aldrich)

supplemented with protease inhibitors. Lysates were incubated at 4C with rotation for

40 minutes, centrifuged at 20,000xg at 4C and supernatants were saved for protein

quantitation via BCA. 5μg of total protein was loaded on a 4-15% SDS-PAGE. Three

independent cultures were used for statistical analysis.

Statistics:

Experiments were randomized where applicable and analyses were run by an

experimenter blind to the condition. All in vitro experiments were performed at least 3

times (3 different cultures), with 20+ cells imaged per replicate. Note that some

conditions were plotted across several figures (i.e. shNL2 in Figure S1, Figure 1; shNL2

+ HA-NL2 Figure 1D and F and S1, and shNL2 in Figure 5), as experiments were done

in parallel. All analyses were done in R (v4.0.0 and above). An R script with

reproducible data can be found at the following links for both in vitro analysis

(https://github.com/Eroglu-Lab/In-Vitro-Sholl) and in vivo analysis

(https://github.com/Eroglu-Lab/In-vivo-Sholl-Analysis). Statistical analyses were

performed using N of independent culture or animal rather than image to avoid

pseudoreplication artifacts. Specific details on sample size, and test applied can be

found in the figure legends and Methods subsections and in Table S1.

Data availability statement

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE(Perez-Riverol et al., 2022) partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD049185. All data in this manuscript can be found in the attached Source Data file.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01 NS102237 and R01 DA047258 to C. Eroglu, F32 NS112565 for K. Sakers, and F31NS125985 for J.J. Ramirez), and fellowships from The Ruth K. Broad Biomedical Research Foundation at Duke (to N. Elazar) and the Life Sciences Research Foundation (to N. Elazar).

We thank Dr. Roger Nicoll for the NL chimeric constructs. We thank members of Dr. Scott Soderling's lab for iBioID constructs and protocols. We thank Dr. Dolores Irala for her help with figure organization and design. We thank Dr. Jessica Moore, Dr. Dolores Irala, Dr. Jeff Stogsdill and Dr. Debra Silver for the critical review of this manuscript.

C. Eroglu is an HHMI Investigator. This article is subject to HHMI's Open Access to Publications policy. Under this policy, the accepted research article will be published under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY4.0). Therefore, this article will be freely available to the public by the publication date.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Author contributions

1018   Conceptualization: K. Sakers and C. Eroglu; Investigation: K. Sakers, J.J. Ramirez, N.

1019   Elazar, L. Nagendren; Methodology: K. Sakers, C. Eroglu; Data curation: K. Sakers;

1020   Formal analysis: K. Sakers, E. Soderblom; Writing: K. Sakers, C. Eroglu. Project

1021   administration: K. Sakers; Supervision: C. Eroglu; Funding acquisition: C. Eroglu, K.

1022   Sakers, N. Elazar and J.J. Ramirez

REFERENCES

Ackerman, S.D., N.A. Perez-Catalan, M.R. Freeman, and C.Q. Doe. 2021. Astrocytes close a motor circuit critical period. *Nature*. 592:414–420. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03441-2.

Altas, B., H.-J. Rhee, A. Ju, H.C. Solís, S. Karaca, J. Winchenbach, O. Kaplan-Arabaci, M. Schwark, M.C. Ambrozkiewicz, C. Lee, L. Spieth, G.L. Wieser, V.K. Chaugule, I. Majoul, M.A. Hassan, R. Goel, S.M. Wojcik, N. Koganezawa, K. Hanamura, D. Rotin, A. Pichler, M. Mitkovski, L. de Hoz, A. Poulopoulos, H. Urlaub, O. Jahn, G. Saher, N. Brose, J. Rhee, and H. Kawabe. 2024. Nedd4-2-dependent regulation of astrocytic Kir4.1 and Connexin43 controls neuronal network activity. *J. Cell Biol.* 223:e201902050. doi:10.1083/jcb.201902050.

Babaev, O., P. Botta, E. Meyer, C. Müller, H. Ehrenreich, N. Brose, A. Lüthi, and D. Krueger-Burg. 2016. Neuroligin 2 deletion alters inhibitory synapse function and anxiety-associated neuronal activation in the amygdala. *Neuropharmacology*. 100:56–65. doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2015.06.016.

Baldwin, K.T., K.K. Murai, and B.S. Khakh. 2023. Astrocyte morphology. *Trends Cell Biol.* S0962-8924(23)00204–0. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2023.09.006.

Baldwin, K.T., C.X. Tan, S.T. Strader, C. Jiang, J.T. Savage, X. Elorza-Vidal, X. Contreras, T. Rülicke, S. Hippenmeyer, R. Estévez, R.-R. Ji, and C. Eroglu. 2021. HepaCAM controls astrocyte self-organization and coupling. *Neuron*. 109:2427-2442.e10. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2021.05.025.

Barrow, S.L., J.R. Constable, E. Clark, F. El-Sabeawy, A.K. McAllister, and P. Washbourne. 2009. Neuroligin1: a cell adhesion molecule that recruits PSD-95 and NMDA receptors by distinct mechanisms during synaptogenesis. *Neural Develop.* 4:17. doi:10.1186/1749-8104-4-17.

Binda, C.S., Y. Nakamura, J.M. Henley, and K.A. Wilkinson. 2019. Sorting nexin 27 rescues neuroligin 2 from lysosomal degradation to control inhibitory synapse number. *Biochem. J.* 476:293–306. doi:10.1042/BCJ20180504.

Boisvert, M.M., G.A. Erikson, M.N. Shokhirev, and N.J. Allen. 2018. The Aging Astrocyte Transcriptome from Multiple Regions of the Mouse Brain. *Cell Rep.* 22:269–285. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2017.12.039.

Booth, H.D.E., W.D. Hirst, and R. Wade-Martins. 2017. The Role of Astrocyte Dysfunction in Parkinson's Disease Pathogenesis. *Trends Neurosci.* 40:358–370. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2017.04.001.

Branon, T.C., J.A. Bosch, A.D. Sanchez, N.D. Udeshi, T. Svinkina, S.A. Carr, J.L. Feldman, N. Perrimon, and A.Y. Ting. 2018. Efficient proximity labeling in living cells and organisms with TurboID. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 36:880–887. doi:10.1038/nbt.4201.

Bruce, M.C., V. Kanelis, F. Fouladkou, A. Debonneville, O. Staub, and D. Rotin. 2008. Regulation of Nedd4-2 self-ubiquitination and stability by a PY motif located within its HECT-domain. *Biochem. J.* 415:155–163. doi:10.1042/BJ20071708.

Bushong, E.A., M.E. Martone, and M.H. Ellisman. 2004. Maturation of astrocyte morphology and the
establishment of astrocyte domains during postnatal hippocampal development. *Int. J. Dev.*
Neurosci. 22:73–86. doi:10.1016/j.ijdevneu.2003.12.008.

Bushong, E.A., M.E. Martone, Y.Z. Jones, and M.H. Ellisman. 2002. Protoplasmic astrocytes in CA1
stratum radiatum occupy separate anatomical domains. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci.*
22:183–192. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22-01-00183.2002.

Chanda, S., W.D. Hale, B. Zhang, M. Wernig, and T.C. Südhof. 2017. Unique versus Redundant Functions
of Neuroligin Genes in Shaping Excitatory and Inhibitory Synapse Properties. *J. Neurosci. Off. J.*
Soc. Neurosci. 37:6816–6836. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0125-17.2017.

Chen, H.I., A. Einbond, S.J. Kwak, H. Linn, E. Koepf, S. Peterson, J.W. Kelly, and M. Sudol. 1997.
Characterization of the WW domain of human yes-associated protein and its polyproline-
containing ligands. *J. Biol. Chem.* 272:17070–17077. doi:10.1074/jbc.272.27.17070.

Chih, B., H. Engelman, and P. Scheiffele. 2005. Control of excitatory and inhibitory synapse formation by
neuroligins. *Science*. 307:1324–1328. doi:10.1126/science.1107470.

Chih, B., L. Gollan, and P. Scheiffele. 2006. Alternative splicing controls selective trans-synaptic
interactions of the neuroligin-neurexin complex. *Neuron*. 51:171–178.
doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2006.06.005.

Clarke, L.E., S.A. Liddelow, C. Chakraborty, A.E. Münch, M. Heiman, and B.A. Barres. 2018. Normal aging
induces A1-like astrocyte reactivity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 115:E1896–E1905.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1800165115.

Concordet, J.-P., and M. Haeussler. 2018. CRISPOR: intuitive guide selection for CRISPR/Cas9 genome
editing experiments and screens. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46:W242–W245. doi:10.1093/nar/gky354.

Endo, F., A. Kasai, J.S. Soto, X. Yu, Z. Qu, H. Hashimoto, V. Gradinaru, R. Kawaguchi, and B.S. Khakh. 2022.
Molecular basis of astrocyte diversity and morphology across the CNS in health and disease.
Science. 378:eadc9020. doi:10.1126/science.adc9020.

Farhy-Tselnicker, I., M.M. Boisvert, H. Liu, C. Dowling, G.A. Erikson, E. Blanco-Suarez, C. Farhy, M.N.
Shokhirev, J.R. Ecker, and N.J. Allen. 2021. Activity-dependent modulation of synapse-regulating
genes in astrocytes. *eLife*. 10:e70514. doi:10.7554/eLife.70514.

Farizatto, K.L.G., and K.T. Baldwin. 2023. Astrocyte-synapse interactions during brain development. *Curr.*
Opin. Neurobiol. 80:102704. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2023.102704.

Giannone, G., M. Mondin, D. Grillo-Bosch, B. Tessier, E. Saint-Michel, K. Czöndör, M. Sainlos, D. Choquet,
and O. Thoumine. 2013. Neurexin-1β Binding to Neuroligin-1 Triggers the Preferential
Recruitment of PSD-95 versus Gephyrin through Tyrosine Phosphorylation of Neuroligin-1. *Cell*
Rep. 3:1996–2007. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2013.05.013.

Goel, K., and J.E. Ploski. 2022. RISC-y Business: Limitations of Short Hairpin RNA-Mediated Gene
Silencing in the Brain and a Discussion of CRISPR/Cas-Based Alternatives. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.*
15. doi:10.3389/fnmol.2022.914430.

Golf, S.R., J.H. Trotter, J. Wang, G. Nakahara, X. Han, M. Wernig, and T.C. Südhof. 2025. Deletion of
Neuroligins from Astrocytes Does Not Detectably Alter Synapse Numbers or Astrocyte
Cytoarchitecture by Maturity. *eLife*. 12. doi:10.7554/eLife.87589.3.

Gupta, S., J.A. Stamatoyannopoulos, T.L. Bailey, and W.S. Noble. 2007. Quantifying similarity between
motifs. *Genome Biol.* 8:R24. doi:10.1186/gb-2007-8-2-r24.

Gutmann, D.H., A. Loehr, Y. Zhang, J. Kim, M. Henkemeyer, and A. Cashen. 1999. Haploinsufficiency for
the neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1) tumor suppressor results in increased astrocyte proliferation.
Oncogene. 18:4450–4459. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1202829.

Halff, E.F., B.R. Szulc, F. Lesept, and J.T. Kittler. 2019. SNX27-Mediated Recycling of Neuroligin-2
Regulates Inhibitory Signaling. *Cell Rep.* 29:2599-2607.e6. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.10.096.

Hatstat, A.K., M.D. Pupi, and D.G. McCafferty. 2021. Predicting PY motif-mediated protein-protein
interactions in the Nedd4 family of ubiquitin ligases. *PLOS ONE*. 16:e0258315.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0258315.

Holt, L.M., R.D. Hernandez, N.L. Pacheco, B. Torres Ceja, M. Hossain, and M.L. Olsen. 2019. Astrocyte
morphogenesis is dependent on BDNF signaling via astrocytic TrkB.T1. *eLife*. 8:e44667.
doi:10.7554/eLife.44667.

Hunker, A.C., and L.S. Zweifel. 2020. Protocol to Design, Clone, and Validate sgRNAs for *In Vivo* Reverse
Genetic Studies. *STAR Protoc.* 1:100070. doi:10.1016/j.xpro.2020.100070.

Ilsley, J.L., M. Sudol, and S.J. Winder. 2002. The WW domain: linking cell signalling to the membrane
cytoskeleton. *Cell. Signal.* 14:183–189.

Irie, M., Y. Hata, M. Takeuchi, K. Ichtchenko, A. Toyoda, K. Hirao, Y. Takai, T.W. Rosahl, and T.C. Südhof.
1997. Binding of Neuroligins to PSD-95. *Science*. 277:1511–1515.
doi:10.1126/science.277.5331.1511.

Jamain, S., H. Quach, C. Betancur, M. Råstam, C. Colineaux, I.C. Gillberg, H. Söderström, B. Giros, M.
Leboyer, C. Gillberg, and T. Bourgeron. 2003. Mutations of the X-linked genes encoding
neuroligins NLGN3 and NLGN4 are associated with autism. *Nat. Genet.* 34:27–29.
doi:10.1038/ng1136.

Kang, Y., Y. Ge, R.M. Cassidy, V. Lam, L. Luo, K.-M. Moon, R. Lewis, R.S. Molday, R.O.L. Wong, L.J. Foster,
and A.M. Craig. 2014. A Combined Transgenic Proteomic Analysis and Regulated Trafficking of
Neuroligin-2. *J. Biol. Chem.* 289:29350–29364. doi:10.1074/jbc.M114.549279.

Kasanov, J., G. Pirozzi, A.J. Uveges, and B.K. Kay. 2001. Characterizing Class I WW domains defines key
specificity determinants and generates mutant domains with novel specificities. *Chem. Biol.*
8:231–241.

Kato, Y., M. Ito, K. Kawai, K. Nagata, and M. Tanokura. 2002. Determinants of Ligand Specificity in
Groups I and IV WW Domains as Studied by Surface Plasmon Resonance and Model Building *. *J.
Biol. Chem.* 277:10173–10177. doi:10.1074/jbc.M110490200.

Kato, Y., K. Nagata, M. Takahashi, L. Lian, J.J. Herrero, M. Sudol, and M. Tanokura. 2004. Common
mechanism of ligand recognition by group II/III WW domains: redefining their functional
classification. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279:31833–31841. doi:10.1074/jbc.M404719200.

Kirkeby, S., D. Moe, T.C. Bøg-Hansen, and C.J.F. van Noorden. 1993. Biotin carboxylases in mitochondria
and the cytosol from skeletal and cardiac muscle as detected by avidin binding. *Histochemistry*.
100:415–421. doi:10.1007/BF00267821.

Lavialle, M., G. Aumann, E. Anlauf, F. Pröls, M. Arpin, and A. Derouiche. 2011. Structural plasticity of
perisynaptic astrocyte processes involves ezrin and metabotropic glutamate receptors. *Proc.*
Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 108:12915–12919. doi:10.1073/pnas.1100957108.

Lee, D.-E., J.E. Yoo, J. Kim, S. Kim, S. Kim, H. Lee, and H. Cheong. 2020. NEDD4L downregulates
autophagy and cell growth by modulating ULK1 and a glutamine transporter. *Cell Death Dis.*
11:1–17. doi:10.1038/s41419-020-2242-5.

Lee, K.Y., K.A. Jewett, H.J. Chung, and N.-P. Tsai. 2018. Loss of fragile X protein FMRP impairs
homeostatic synaptic downscaling through tumor suppressor p53 and ubiquitin E3 ligase Nedd4-
2. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 27:2805–2816. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddy189.

Lee, Y., A. Messing, M. Su, and M. Brenner. 2008. GFAP promoter elements required for region-specific
and astrocyte-specific expression. *Glia*. 56:481–493. doi:10.1002/glia.20622.

Letellier, M., Z. Szíber, I. Chamma, C. Saphy, I. Papasideri, B. Tessier, M. Sainlos, K. Czöndör, and O.
Thoumine. 2018. A unique intracellular tyrosine in neuroligin-1 regulates AMPA receptor
recruitment during synapse differentiation and potentiation. *Nat. Commun.* 9:3979.
doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06220-2.

Li, J., T.G. Miramontes, T. Czopka, and K.R. Monk. 2024. Synaptic input and Ca2+ activity in zebrafish
oligodendrocyte precursor cells contribute to myelin sheath formation. *Nat. Neurosci.*
doi:10.1038/s41593-023-01553-8.

Liu, X., H. Zhang, B. Zhang, J. Tu, X. Li, and Y. Zhao. 2021. Nedd4-2 haploinsufficiency in mice causes
increased seizure susceptibility and impaired Kir4.1 ubiquitination. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol.*
Basis Dis. 1867:166128. doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2021.166128.

Loh, K.H., P.S. Stawski, A.S. Draycott, N.D. Udeshi, E.K. Lehrman, D.K. Wilton, T. Svinkina, T.J. Deerinck,
M.H. Ellisman, B. Stevens, S.A. Carr, and A.Y. Ting. 2016. Proteomic Analysis of Unbounded
Cellular Compartments: Synaptic Clefts. *Cell*. 166:1295-1307.e21.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.07.041.

Minegishi, S., T. Ishigami, T. Kino, L. Chen, R. Nakashima-Sasaki, N. Araki, K. Yatsu, M. Fujita, and S.
Umemura. 2016. An isoform of Nedd4-2 is critically involved in the renal adaptation to high salt
intake in mice. *Sci. Rep.* 6:27137. doi:10.1038/srep27137.

Molotkov, D., S. Zobova, J.M. Arcas, and L. Khiroug. 2013. Calcium-induced outgrowth of astrocytic
peripheral processes requires actin binding by Profilin-1. *Cell Calcium*. 53:338–348.
doi:10.1016/j.ceca.2013.03.001.

Mukhopadhyay, D., and H. Riezman. 2007. Proteasome-independent functions of ubiquitin in
endocytosis and signaling. *Science*. 315:201–205. doi:10.1126/science.1127085.

Na, C.H., D.R. Jones, Y. Yang, X. Wang, Y. Xu, and J. Peng. 2012. Synaptic Protein Ubiquitination in Rat
Brain Revealed by Antibody-based Ubiquitome Analysis. *J. Proteome Res.* 11:4722–4732.
doi:10.1021/pr300536k.

Nagaki, K., H. Yamamura, S. Shimada, T. Saito, S. Hisanaga, M. Taoka, T. Isobe, and T. Ichimura. 2006. 14-
3-3 Mediates phosphorylation-dependent inhibition of the interaction between the ubiquitin E3
ligase Nedd4-2 and epithelial Na+ channels. *Biochemistry*. 45:6733–6740.
doi:10.1021/bi052640q.

Nguyen, Q.-A., M.E. Horn, and R.A. Nicoll. 2016. Distinct roles for extracellular and intracellular domains
in neuroligin function at inhibitory synapses. *eLife*. 5. doi:10.7554/eLife.19236.

Oberheim, N.A., X. Wang, S. Goldman, and M. Nedergaard. 2006. Astrocytic complexity distinguishes the
human brain. *Trends Neurosci.* 29:547–553. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2006.08.004.

Parente, D.J., C. Garriga, B. Baskin, G. Douglas, M.T. Cho, G.C. Araujo, and M. Shinawi. 2017. Neuroligin 2
nonsense variant associated with anxiety, autism, intellectual disability, hyperphagia, and
obesity. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A.* 173:213–216. doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.37977.

Perez-Riverol, Y., J. Bai, C. Bandla, D. García-Seisdedos, S. Hewapathirana, S. Kamatchinathan, D.J.
Kundu, A. Prakash, A. Frericks-Zipper, M. Eisenacher, M. Walzer, S. Wang, A. Brazma, and J.A.
Vizcaíno. 2022. The PRIDE database resources in 2022: a hub for mass spectrometry-based
proteomics evidences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 50:D543–D552. doi:10.1093/nar/gkab1038.

Pirozzi, G., S.J. McConnell, A.J. Uveges, J.M. Carter, A.B. Sparks, B.K. Kay, and D.M. Fowlkes. 1997.
Identification of novel human WW domain-containing proteins by cloning of ligand targets. *J.*
Biol. Chem. 272:14611–14616. doi:10.1074/jbc.272.23.14611.

Poulopoulos, A., G. Aramuni, G. Meyer, T. Soykan, M. Hoon, T. Papadopoulos, M. Zhang, I. Paarmann, C.
Fuchs, K. Harvey, P. Jedlicka, S.W. Schwarzacher, H. Betz, R.J. Harvey, N. Brose, W. Zhang, and F.
Varoqueaux. 2009. Neuroligin 2 Drives Postsynaptic Assembly at Perisomatic Inhibitory Synapses
through Gephyrin and Collybistin. *Neuron*. 63:628–642. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2009.08.023.

Poulopoulos, A., T. Soykan, L.P. Tuffy, M. Hammer, F. Varoqueaux, and N. Brose. 2012.
Homodimerization and isoform-specific heterodimerization of neuroligins. *Biochem. J.* 446:321–
330. doi:10.1042/BJ20120808.

Preman, P., M. Alfonso-Triguero, E. Alberdi, A. Verkhratsky, and A.M. Arranz. 2021. Astrocytes in
Alzheimer's Disease: Pathological Significance and Molecular Pathways. *Cells*. 10:540.
doi:10.3390/cells10030540.

Rodrigues, D.C., M. Mufteev, R.J. Weatheritt, U. Djuric, K.C.H. Ha, P.J. Ross, W. Wei, A. Piekna, M.A.
Sartori, L. Byres, R.S.F. Mok, K. Zaslavsky, P. Pasceri, P. Diamandis, Q. Morris, B.J. Blencowe, and
J. Ellis. 2020. Shifts in Ribosome Engagement Impact Key Gene Sets in Neurodevelopment and
Ubiquitination in Rett Syndrome. *Cell Rep.* 30:4179-4196.e11. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2020.02.107.

Rothstein, J.D., M. Van Kammen, A.I. Levey, L.J. Martin, and R.W. Kuncl. 1995. Selective loss of glial
glutamate transporter GLT-1 in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Ann. Neurol.* 38:73–84.
doi:10.1002/ana.410380114.

Roux, K.J., D.I. Kim, M. Raida, and B. Burke. 2012. A promiscuous biotin ligase fusion protein identifies
proximal and interacting proteins in mammalian cells. *J. Cell Biol.* 196:801–810.
doi:10.1083/jcb.201112098.

Savage, J.T., J.J. Ramirez, W.C. Risher, Y. Wang, D. Irala, and C. Eroglu. 2024. SynBot is an open-source
image analysis software for automated quantification of synapses. *Cell Rep. Methods*. 4:100861.
doi:10.1016/j.crmeth.2024.100861.

Scheiffele, P., J. Fan, J. Choih, R. Fetter, and T. Serafini. 2000. Neuroligin expressed in nonneuronal cells
triggers presynaptic development in contacting axons. *Cell*. 101:657–669.

Schnell, E., A.L. Bensen, E.K. Washburn, and G.L. Westbrook. 2012. Neuroligin-1 overexpression in
newborn granule cells in vivo. *PloS One*. 7:e48045. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048045.

Schnell, E., T.H. Long, A.L. Bensen, E.K. Washburn, and G.L. Westbrook. 2014. Neuroligin-1 knockdown
reduces survival of adult-generated newborn hippocampal neurons. *Front. Neurosci.* 8.

Shipman, S.L., and R.A. Nicoll. 2012. Dimerization of postsynaptic neuroligin drives synaptic assembly via
transsynaptic clustering of neurexin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 109:19432–19437.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1217633109.

Shipman, S.L., E. Schnell, T. Hirai, B.-S. Chen, K.W. Roche, and R.A. Nicoll. 2011. Functional dependence
of neuroligin on a new non-PDZ intracellular domain. *Nat. Neurosci.* 14:718–726.
doi:10.1038/nn.2825.

Singh, S.K., J.A. Stogsdill, N.S. Pulimood, H. Dingsdale, Y.H. Kim, L.-J. Pilaz, I.H. Kim, A.C. Manhaes, W.S.
Rodrigues Jr., A. Pamukcu, E. Enustun, Z. Ertuz, P. Scheiffele, S.H. Soderling, D.L. Silver, R.-R. Ji,
A.E. Medina, and C. Eroglu. 2016. Astrocytes Assemble Thalamocortical Synapses by Bridging
NRX1α and NL1 via Hevin. *Cell*. 164:183–196. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.034.

Soto, J.S., Y. Jami-Alahmadi, J. Chacon, S.L. Moye, B. Diaz-Castro, J.A. Wohlschlegel, and B.S. Khakh.
2023. Astrocyte-neuron subproteomes and obsessive-compulsive disorder mechanisms. *Nature*.
616:764–773. doi:10.1038/s41586-023-05927-7.

Stogsdill, J.A., J. Ramirez, D. Liu, Y.H. Kim, K.T. Baldwin, E. Enustun, T. Ejikeme, R.-R. Ji, and C. Eroglu.
2017. Astrocytic neuroligins control astrocyte morphogenesis and synaptogenesis. *Nature*.
551:192–197. doi:10.1038/nature24638.

Sudol, M., and T. Hunter. 2000. NeW Wrinkles for an Old Domain. *Cell*. 103:1001–1004.
doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)00203-8.

Sudol, M., K. Sliwa, and T. Russo. 2001. Functions of WW domains in the nucleus. *FEBS Lett.* 490:190–
195.

Sumita, K., Y. Sato, J. Iida, A. Kawata, M. Hamano, S. Hirabayashi, K. Ohno, E. Peles, and Y. Hata. 2007.
Synaptic scaffolding molecule (S-SCAM) membrane-associated guanylate kinase with inverted
organization (MAGI)-2 is associated with cell adhesion molecules at inhibitory synapses in rat
hippocampal neurons. *J. Neurochem.* 100:154–166. doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.2006.04170.x.

Tan, C.X., D.S. Bindu, E.J. Hardin, K. Sakers, R. Baumert, J.J. Ramirez, J.T. Savage, and C. Eroglu. 2023. δ-
Catenin controls astrocyte morphogenesis via layer-specific astrocyte-neuron cadherin
interactions. *J. Cell Biol.* 222:e202303138. doi:10.1083/jcb.202303138.

Tian, R., X. Wu, T.L. Hagemann, A.A. Sosunov, A. Messing, G.M. McKhann, and J.E. Goldman. 2010.
Alexander Disease Mutant Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein Compromises Glutamate Transport in
Astrocytes. *J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol.* 69:335–345. doi:10.1097/NEN.0b013e3181d3cb52.

Totland, M.Z., C.H. Bergsland, T.A. Fykerud, L.M. Knudsen, N.L. Rasmussen, P.W. Eide, Z. Yohannes, V.
Sørensen, A. Brech, R.A. Lothe, and E. Leithe. 2017. E3 ubiquitin ligase NEDD4 induces
endocytosis and lysosomal sorting of connexin43 to promote loss of gap junctions. *J. Cell Sci.*
jcs.202408. doi:10.1242/jcs.202408.

Varoqueaux, F., G. Aramuni, R.L. Rawson, R. Mohrmann, M. Missler, K. Gottmann, W. Zhang, T.C.
Südhof, and N. Brose. 2006. Neuroligins determine synapse maturation and function. *Neuron*.
51:741–754. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2006.09.003.

Venkatesh, H.S., T.B. Johung, V. Caretti, A. Noll, Y. Tang, S. Nagaraja, E.M. Gibson, C.W. Mount, J.
Polepalli, S.S. Mitra, P.J. Woo, R.C. Malenka, H. Vogel, M. Bredel, P. Mallick, and M. Monje.
2015. Neuronal Activity Promotes Glioma Growth through Neuroligin-3 Secretion. *Cell*. 161:803–
816. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.04.012.

Venkatesh, H.S., L.T. Tam, P.J. Woo, J. Lennon, S. Nagaraja, S.M. Gillespie, J. Ni, D.Y. Duveau, P.J. Morris,
J.J. Zhao, C.J. Thomas, and M. Monje. 2017. Targeting neuronal activity-regulated neuroligin-3
dependency in high-grade glioma. *Nature*. 549:533–537. doi:10.1038/nature24014.

Verkhratsky, A., and M. Nedergaard. 2018. Physiology of Astroglia. *Physiol. Rev.* 98:239–389.
doi:10.1152/physrev.00042.2016.

Wagner, S.A., P. Beli, B.T. Weinert, C. Schölz, C.D. Kelstrup, C. Young, M.L. Nielsen, J.V. Olsen, C.
Brakebusch, and C. Choudhary. 2012. Proteomic analyses reveal divergent ubiquitylation site
patterns in murine tissues. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics MCP*. 11:1578–1585.
doi:10.1074/mcp.M112.017905.

Wu, T., E. Hu, S. Xu, M. Chen, P. Guo, Z. Dai, T. Feng, L. Zhou, W. Tang, L. Zhan, X. Fu, S. Liu, X. Bo, and G.
Yu. 2021. clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. *The*
Innovation. 2:100141. doi:10.1016/j.xinn.2021.100141.

Xie, H., S. Liu, Y. Fu, Q. Cheng, P. Wang, C.-L. Bi, R. Wang, M.-M. Chen, and M. Fang. 2023. Nuclear access
of DNlg3 c-terminal fragment and its function in regulating innate immune response genes.
Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 641:93–101. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2022.12.030.

Xie, Y., A.T. Kuan, W. Wang, Z.T. Herbert, O. Mosto, O. Olukoya, M. Adam, S. Vu, M. Kim, D. Tran, N.
Gómez, C. Charpentier, I. Sorour, T.E. Lacey, M.Y. Tolstorukov, B.L. Sabatini, W.-C.A. Lee, and

1278   C.C. Harwell. 2022. Astrocyte-neuron crosstalk through Hedgehog signaling mediates cortical
1279   synapse development. *Cell Rep.* 38:110416. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110416.

1280   Xu, C., C.D. Fan, and X. Wang. 2015. Regulation of Mdm2 protein stability and the p53 response by
1281   NEDD4-1 E3 ligase. *Oncogene*. 34:281–289. doi:10.1038/onc.2013.557.

1282   Xu, J., Y. Du, J. Xu, X. Hu, L. Gu, X. Li, P. Hu, T. Liao, Q. Xia, Q. Sun, L. Shi, J. Luo, J. Xia, Z. Wang, and J. Xu.
1283   2019. Neuroligin 3 Regulates Dendritic Outgrowth by Modulating Akt/mTOR Signaling. *Front.*
1284   *Cell. Neurosci.* 13:518. doi:10.3389/fncel.2019.00518.

1285   Xu, N., R. Cao, S.-Y. Chen, X.-Z. Gou, B. Wang, H.-M. Luo, F. Gao, and A.-H. Tang. 2024. Structural and
1286   functional reorganization of inhibitory synapses by activity-dependent cleavage of neuroligin-2.
1287   *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 121:e2314541121. doi:10.1073/pnas.2314541121.

1288   Zhang, Y., K. Chen, S.A. Sloan, M.L. Bennett, A.R. Scholze, S. O'Keeffe, H.P. Phatnani, P. Guarnieri, C.
1289   Caneda, N. Ruderisch, S. Deng, S.A. Liddelow, C. Zhang, R. Daneman, T. Maniatis, B.A. Barres,
1290   and J.Q. Wu. 2014. An RNA-Sequencing Transcriptome and Splicing Database of Glia, Neurons,
1291   and Vascular Cells of the Cerebral Cortex. *J. Neurosci.* 34:11929–11947.
1292   doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1860-14.2014.

1293   Zhang, Y., X. He, X. Meng, X. Wu, H. Tong, X. Zhang, and S. Qu. 2017. Regulation of glutamate
1294   transporter trafficking by Nedd4-2 in a Parkinson's disease model. *Cell Death Dis.* 8:e2574.
1295   doi:10.1038/cddis.2016.454.

1296   Zhou, R., S.V. Patel, and P.M. Snyder. 2007. Nedd4-2 catalyzes ubiquitination and degradation of cell
1297   surface ENaC. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282:20207–20212. doi:10.1074/jbc.M611329200.

1298

1299

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Astrocytic Neuroligins 1, 2, and 3 have non-redundant roles in

morphogenesis.

A) Cartoon depiction of NLs 1-3. Length and homology refer to mouse sequences.

B) Neuron-astrocyte co-culture method to study astrocyte morphogenesis in vitro. P1 rat cortices are used to isolate astrocytes and neurons separately to allow for astrocyte-specific gene manipulation. Astrocytes post-transfection are plated onto neurons for 48h to allow arborization.

C) Representative images of astrocytes transfected with shNL2 and either: HA-NL2-RM (Rescue mutant, resistant to shRNA), HA-NL1, or HA-NL3. Scale bars are 20µm.

D) Sholl analysis quantification of conditions shown in C. Linear-mixed model followed by ANOVA reveals main effect of condition $F(3, 12) = 9.074$, $p = 0.0021$. P-values represent Dunnett's post-hoc with respect to the shNL2+HA-NL2-RM condition. N = 48-96 cells per condition, across >3 independent culture experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using the biological replicate (independent cultures) N to avoid pseudoreplication artifacts.

E) Representative images of astrocytes co-transfected with shRNA against either NL1, NL2, or NL3, and HA-NL2-RM. Scale bars are 20µm.

F) Sholl analysis quantification of conditions in E. Linear-mixed model followed by ANOVA reveals trending effect of condition $F(3, 11)=3.15$, $p=0.0686$. P-values represent Dunnett's post-hoc with respect to shCtrl+NL2-RM. N = 53-97 cells per condition, across 3 independent culture experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using the biological replicate (independent cultures) N to avoid pseudoreplication artifacts. Note that the shNL2 + HA-NL2 data is the same across D and F.

Figure 2: The intracellular WW-binding motifs of Neuroligins uniquely regulate

astrocyte morphology.

A) Cartoon of NL2 intracellular domain mutants.

B) Representative images of astrocytes co-transfected with shNL2 and either HA-NL2-

RM (Rescue Mutant, resistant to shRNA) or mutants lacking known motifs. Scale bars

are 20μm.

C) Sholl analysis quantification of the conditions in D. Linear-mixed model followed by

ANOVA reveals no effect of condition $F_{(3, 8)}=0.32$, $p=0.81$. NS = not significant in

Dunnett's post-hoc. N=36-46 cells per condition, across 3 independent culture

experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using the biological replicate

(independent cultures) N to avoid pseudoreplication artifacts.

D) Cartoon of NL2-ECD and NL1 or 3 ICD chimeric constructs.

E) Representative images of astrocytes transfected with shNL2 and either HA-NL2-RM

(Rescue mutant, resistant to shRNA), or chimeric proteins: HA-NL2(ECD)-NL1(ICD),

HA-NL2(ECD)-NL3(ICD). Scale bars are 20μm.

F) Sholl analysis quantification of conditions in B. Linear-mixed model followed by

ANOVA reveals the main effect of condition $F_{(3,12)}=8.8$, $p=0.0023$. P-values represent

Dunnett's post-hoc with respect to shNL2+NL2-RM. N=57-97 cells per condition, across

4 independent culture experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using the

biological replicate (independent cultures) N to avoid pseudoreplication artifacts.

G) Venn diagram depicting the overlap of sequences in NL1, NL2, and NL3 ICDs used

for motif scanning by TomTom. We identified the motif PPDY which is common between

NL1 and NL2 but absent in NL3.

H) Cartoon of NL2ΔWW and NL2/1ΔWW mutant constructs.

I) Representative images of astrocytes transfected with shNL2 and HA-NL2-RM-ΔWW

or shNL2 and HA-NL2/1-ΔWW. Scale bars are 20μm.

J) Sholl analysis quantification of conditions in F. Linear-mixed model ANOVA reveals

the main effect of condition $F_{(3, 13)}=9.9$, $p=0.0011$. P-values represent Dunnett's

posthoc with respect to shNL2+NL2-RM. N = 71-84 cells per condition, across 4

independent culture experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using the biological

replicate (independent cultures) N to avoid pseudoreplication artifacts.

1357

Figure 3: *In vivo* Neuroligin BioID.

A) Schematic of AAV constructs and the experimental strategy of in vivo BioID to identify NL interactome *in vivo*. Each biological replicate contained two pooled cortices, 3 biological replicates per condition.

B) Cartoon depiction of Neuroligin iBioID constructs in astrocytes or neurons.

C-D). Representative cortical images of Astrocytic Turbo BirA (C) or Astrocytic NL2 Turbo BirA (D) injected animals. Stained with Streptavidin-594 (Strept), HA and Sox9 to determine astrocyte specificity. Scale bars: column image = 100µm; inset = 20µm.

E) Representative western blot of iBioID immunoprecipitation from astrocytic Turbo vs NL2-Turbo animals demonstrates successful enrichment of biotinylated proteins in immunoprecipitation. Input is 2% of total cortex homogenate. IP is 15% of the eluate sample. Prominent bands are expected at approximately 150 and 75kDa which represent mitochondrial carboxylases(Kirkeby et al., 1993) [blot is overexposed to show this in the input lanes]. Resulting 'smear' in IP lanes indicated various-sized proteins that have been biotinylated near the bait protein.

F) Venn diagram of protein interactors across astrocytic NL1, NL2, and NL3 and astrocytic versus neuronal NL2.

1376   **Figure 4: Neuroligin iBioID reveals novel intracellular domain interacting proteins.**

1377

A) Enrichment of NL2 iBioID datasets with previously published datasets of NL2-

interacting proteins. P-values are the result of Fisher's exact test. The number on the

bars indicates the number of proteins from appropriate NL2 iBioID that are found in the

published dataset.

B) Gephyrin enrichment with each NL iBioID. Enrichment is defined as fold change bait /

cytosolic control (Turbo BirA). P values are the results of t-tests within each experiment.

C) Top 5 Gene Ontology Biological Processes categories for each NL BioID. Common

indicates proteins found in astrocytic NL1, NL2, and NL3 iBioID. The complete gene

ontology list can be found in Datset S2. GeneRatio indicates number of gene names

(from the input iBioID protein list) in the GO category, out of all gene names in that

category. Input for the analysis was proteins from iBioID lists that were at least 1.5 fold

enriched at a p-value of <0.05, compared to respective controls.

D) Top 5 Gene Ontology Biological Processes categories for each Neuronal or

Astrocytic NL2 BioID. Common indicates proteins found in both astrocytic NL2 and

neuronal NL2 iBioID. The complete gene ontology list can be found in Dataset S2.

GeneRatio indicates number of gene names (from the input iBioID protein list) in the GO

category, out of all gene names in that category. Input for the analysis was proteins

from iBioID lists that were at least 1.5 fold enriched at a p-value of <0.05, compared to

respective controls.

E) All identified WW-binding protein interactors in iBioID experiments. Fold change is

the enrichment of the interaction with the bait compared to cytosolic Turbo BirA alone,

per cell type. P-value represents a two-tailed T-test compared to soluble Turbo BirA, per

cell type. Input for the analysis was proteins from iBioID lists that were at least 1.5 fold

enriched at a p-value of <0.05, compared to respective controls.

 Figure 5: WW domain-containing proteins are necessary for astrocyte

morphogenesis in vitro.

A) Representative images of astrocytes transfected with either a control shRNA (scrambled NL2 shRNA), an shRNA targeting Nedd4l (also expressing GFP) or shNL2 (also expressing mCherry), or in combination. Astrocytes are cultured on top of neurons (not labeled). Scale bar is 20µm.

B) Sholl analysis of the conditions in A. Linear-mixed model ANOVA reveals the main effect of condition $F_{(3, 13)}=38.104$, $p=1.05E-6$. P-values on the graph represent Tukey's posthoc comparison to shCtrl. N = 60-100 cells per condition, across 4 independent culture experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using the biological replicate (independent cultures) N to avoid pseudoreplication artifacts.

C) Cartoon of postnatal astrocyte labeling by electroporation (PALE). Two plasmids (U6:shRNA and CAG:mCherry CAAX flanked by piggyBAC transposons, and GLAST: piggyBACase transposase) are electroporated into late P0 or early P1 mouse lateral ventricles. Brains are collected at P21 and stained for mCherry to visualize astrocyte territories.

D) Imaris reconstructions of *in vivo* astrocyte territory volumes (inset) and 3D Sholl analysis of mouse cortical astrocytes. 'Filament' cytoskeletal structure is shown with grey lines, while spheres indicate 3D Sholl concentric circles [different colors indicate different distances from the nucleus]. Scale bars = 10µm.

E) Territory volume super plot quantification of the conditions in C. Large dots on graph = average per animal. Smaller dots = individual cells. Data were analyzed using a nested ANOVA; main effect of condition, $F_{(1, 8)} = 11.95$, $p=0.0023$. The same cells were measured for territory volume and Sholl analysis. N=14-18 cells across 4-7

animals (biological replicates) per condition. Statistical analysis was performed on the

biological replicate number and not the number of cells to avoid pseudoreplication

artifacts.

F) 3D Sholl analysis. Linear mixed model ANOVA $F_{(1,9)}=5.59$, $p=0.0424$. The same

cells were measured for territory volume and Sholl analysis. N=14-18 cells across 4-7

animals (biological replicates) per condition. Statistical analysis was performed on the

biological replicate number and not the number of cells to avoid pseudoreplication

artifacts.

Figure 6: Nedd4l binding to Neuroligins is modulated by intracellular PPxY motifs.

1436

1437 A) Cartoon of NL2 and NL2-ICD chimeric constructs. Numbers indicate amino acid

1438 positions in mouse sequence.

1439 B) Western blots from HEK cell lysates transfected with HA-NL2 or HA-NL2ΔWW with

1440 or without V5-Nedd4l constructs. Co-immunoprecipitation (IP) of V5-Nedd4l was

1441 detected with NL2-HA constructs using Li-Cor fluorescent imaging.

C) Densitometry quantification of IP signal from conditions in B. N=4 biological replicates. P-values represent results of paired, Student's t-test.

D) Western blots from HEK cell lysates transfected with HA-NL2 or HA-NL2ΔWWLrg (Deletion of amino acids 766-796) with or without V5-Nedd4l constructs. Co-immunoprecipitation (IP) of V5-Nedd4l was detected with NL2-HA constructs using Li-Cor fluorescent imaging.

E) Densitometry quantification of IP signal from conditions in D. N=3 biological replicates (independent culture experiments). P-values represent results of paired, Student's t-test.

F) Western blots from HEK cell lysates transfected with HA-NL2/1 or HA-NL2/1ΔWW with or without V5-Nedd4l constructs.

G) Densitometry quantification of IP signal from conditions in F. N=3 biological replicates. P-values represent results of paired, Student's t-test.

H) Western blots from HEK cell lysates transfected with HA-NL2/3 or HA-NL2/3-WW with or without V5-Nedd4l constructs.

I) Densitometry quantification of IP signal from conditions in H. N=3 biological replicates. P-values represent results of paired, Student's t-test.

(B, D, F, H) All western blots were run at least 3 times with independent samples. Note that Nedd4l (V5) blots were run separately (eluate divided evenly) to avoid bleed-through from the strong HA signal.

1463 **Figure 7: Nedd4l stabilizes Neuroligin-2 via ubiquitination at K749R.**

1464

A) Western blot from rat primary astrocyte cell lysates and HA-NL2 IPs shows NL2 is

ubiquitinated in astrocytes, which is lost when NL2 K749 is mutated to arginine

(K749R). Input is 2% of total lysate.

B) Quantification of HA ubiquitination signal from conditions in A. The ubiquitinated HA

bands >100kDa were quantified via densitometry and normalized to the HA IP band

(~100kDa) per biological replicate. N=3 biological replicates (independent culture

experiments). P-values represent results of paired, Student's t-test.

C) Western blots from HEK cell lysates transfected with HA-NL2 or HA-NL2 + V5-

Nedd4l and treated with CHX. Numbers above the blot indicate hours after CHX

treatment.

D) Quantification of the conditions in B. Samples were collected on N=3 independent

samples. Two-way ANOVA reveals main effect of condition $F_{(1, 16)}=15.018$,

$p=0.00134$, with no significant effect of time-point $F_{(3, 16)}=0.41$, $p=0.74827$ or

interaction $F_{(3, 16)}=2.242$, $p=0.123$.

E) Western blots from HEK cell lysates transfected with HA-NL2K749R or HA-

NL2K749R + V5-Nedd4l and treated with CHX. Numbers above the blot indicate hours

after CHX treatment.

F) Quantification of the conditions in D. Samples were collected on N=3 independent

samples. Two-way ANOVA reveals no significant effect of condition $F_{(1, 16)}=1.392$,

$p=0.255$, time-point $F_{(3, 16)}=1.681$, $p=0.211$ or interaction $F_{(3, 16)}=2.328$, $p=0.113$.

1485

Figure 8: Neuroligin-2 ubiquitination at K749 is critical for astrocyte

morphogenesis.

A) Representative images of astrocytes transfected with either shCtrl or shNL2 with or without HA-NL2K749R expression. Scale bars are 20µm.

B) Sholl analysis quantification of images in J. Linear-mixed model ANOVA reveals the main effect of condition $F_{(3, 8)}=13.49$, p=0.0017. P-values represent Dunnett's posthoc with respect to shCtrl. N = 62-80 cells per condition, across 3 independent culture experiments.

C) Representative images of reconstructed astrocytes. 'Filament' cytoskeletal structure is shown with grey lines, while spheres indicate 3D Sholl concentric circles [different colors indicate different distances from the nucleus]. Insets show the astrocyte signal [tdTomato signal in red; HA signal in cyan] with the convex hull [grey surface lines]. Scale bar = 10µm.

D) Territory volume super plot quantification of the conditions in C. Large dots on graph = average per animal. Smaller dots = individual cells. Data were analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test: χ^2 = 19.5, df=3, p=0.00013. P-values on the graph are results of the Wilcoxon rank sum post hoc tests with Holm-Šídák multiple comparison correction. The same cells were measured for territory volume and Sholl analysis. N=21-33 cells across 6-7 animals (biological replicates) per condition.

E) 3D Sholl analysis. Linear mixed model ANOVA $F_{(3, 105)}=5.46$, p=0.0016. P-values in the table represent Tukey's post hoc tests. The same cells were measured for territory volume and Sholl analysis. N=21-33 cells across 6-7 animals (biological replicates) per condition.

1511 **Supplemental Figure 1:**

1512

1513 A) Representative images of astrocytes cultured on neurons. Astrocytes were

1514 transfected with either shCtrl or shNL2, alone or with HA-NL2-RM (Rescue mutant).

B) Sholl analysis of images represented in A. Linear-mixed model followed by ANOVA reveals the main effect of condition $F_{(3, 10)}=12.145$, $p=0.0011$. P-values represent post hoc Dunnett's comparison to shCtrl. N = 53-96 cells per condition, across 3 biological replicates (independent culture experiments).

C) *Nlgn2* sequence illustrating location of the gRNAs using in D and E.

D) Western blot of GFP translation assay. The target sequence of the gRNAs is cloned upstream and in-frame with GFP. gRNAs are co-expressed and then lysates are collected and run in equal protein load on an SDS-PAGE. The western blot was probed for GFP and GAPDH, for normalization.

E) Representative images of astrocytes cultured on neurons. Astrocytes were transfected with pX601 (U6 gRNA GfaABC1D Cas9 T2A mCherry) prior to culturing on neurons.

F) Sholl analysis of images represented in C. Linear-mixed model followed by ANOVA reveals the main effect of condition $F_{(2, 6)} = 21.12$, $p=0.0019$. P-values represent post hoc Tukey's comparison to shCtrl. N = 40 cells per condition, across 3 biological replicates (independent culture experiments).

Supplemental Figure 2:

1532

A) Mouse Neuroligin intracellular domain alignment, highlighting relevant protein

sequence motifs.

B) Representative images of surface-stained astrocyte/neuron co-cultures. Cells were

fixed with cold 4% PFA for 5 minutes, and triton was omitted from blocking steps to

avoid intracellular staining. GFAP was used as a negative control. HA (magenta) signal

shows membrane localization as expected. GFAP signal is largely absent in the

absence of detergent.

Supplemental Figure 3:

A) Representative images of surface-stained astrocyte/neuron co-cultures. Cells were fixed with cold 4% PFA for 5 minutes, and triton was omitted from blocking steps to avoid intracellular staining. GFP and GFAP were used as negative controls. HA (magenta) signal shows membrane localization as expected.

B) Representative images demonstrating effective biotinylation (Streptavidin Alexa 594, red) in HA-NL2-Turbo-PDZ but not in HA-NL2 (negative control) or HA-NL2-Turbo. Experiments were performed at least twice with at least 20 cells per condition.

C) Sholl analysis quantification of images in B. Linear-mixed model reveals the main effect of condition, $F(1,3)=11.01$, $p=1.4E-6$. P-values represent Dunnett's post-hoc with respect to shNL2 + NL2-RM. NS = not significant in Dunnett's. N = 14-56 cells across 3 biological replicates (independent culture experiments).

D). Representative cortical images of Neuronal Turbo BirA injected animals. Stained with Streptavidin-594 (Strept), HA and NeuN to determine neuronal specificity. Scale bars: column image = 100μm; inset = 20μm.

 Supplemental Figure 4:

A-D) Volcano plots of all proteins identified in the iBioID experiments. Pink dots indicate

proteins enriched over cytoplasmic control with a fold change > 1.5 and an FDR-

corrected p-value < 0.05. Green dots indicate proteins enriched over cytoplasmic control

with a fold change > 1.5 and a p-value < 0.05.

E-F) Expression of genes related to Actin Binding (E) or Structural Constituent of

Cytoskeleton (F) from Gene Ontology analysis of iBioID shows that astrocytic NL2

significantly interacts with cytoskeletal genes, compared to astrocyte NL1/NL3 or

neuronal NL2.

Supplemental Figure 5:

1567

1568 A) *left:* Western blots of astrocytes treated with lentivirus expressing shCtrl (scrambled

1569 shRNA) or shNedd4l. *Right:* Densitometry quantification [ImageJ]. The p value

1570 represents the results of the Student's t-test.

1571

1572   **Supplemental Figure 6:**

1573

A) Cartoon of analysis. Sparsely labeled astrocytes (shControl mCherry CAAX;

shNedd4l mCherry CAAX) were imaged in P21 mouse V1 cortex. Synapses

(colocalized Psd95 + Bassoon [excitatory synapses] or Gephyrin + Bassoon [inhibitory

synapses]) were quantified within the astrocyte territory (inside) and outside of the

astrocyte territory (outside).

B) Representative images of mCherry-labeled astrocytes (RFP antibody), with Psd95

(cyan), Gephyrin (green), Bassoon (magenta) staining.

C) Magnified image showing colocalized synapse puncta for excitatory (Psd95 +

Bassoon), and inhibitory (Gephyrin and Bassoon) synapses. Colocalized puncta are

shown in white, with examples indicated with arrows.

D) Quantification of synapse density within labeled astrocyte to the outside unlabeled

astrocyte territory. Large dots represent individual animals, with small dots representing

each image from an animal. P-values indicate main effect of linear mixed effects

ANOVA. Excitatory synapses: $F(1,13) = 0.12$, p=0.73. Inhibitory synapses: $F(1,13) =$

0.61, p=0.45. 7-8 animals were used per condition, with 4-8 images taken per animal.

1589

1590 **Supplemental Figure 7:**

1591

A, B) Western blot from HEK cell lysates and HA-NL2 IPs shows NL2 ubiquitination with

Nedd4l overexpression, which is lost when NL2 is mutated at K749. GFP-tagged

ubiquitin was overexpressed in these cells to visualize ubiquitinated NL2 in the

immunoprecipitation.

Supplemental Dataset 1: In vivo BioID results. All proteins significantly enriched in

Astrocytic NL1 (sheet 1), Astrocytic NL2 (sheet 2), Astrocytic NL3 (sheet 3), and

Neuronal NL (sheet 4) iBioID compared to Astrocytic TurboID alone.

Supplemental Dataset 2: iBioID Gene Ontology output. All biological process gene

ontology results. Column 1 indicates the iBioID list to which the categories correspond

to.

Supplemental Table 1: Detailed statistical analyses for each figure panel.