Antibody Mediated Diversification of Primary and Secondary Immune

Responses

Dennis Schaefer-Babajew[1][*][†], Laurine Binet[1][*], Gabriela S. Silva Santos[1], Chiara

Ruprecht[1], Lachlan P. Deimel[1], Mohamed A. ElTanbouly[1], Dounia Gharrassi[1], Clara

Uhe[1], Kai-Hui Yao[1], Brianna Hernandez[1], Parul Agrawal[2], Anna Gazumyan[1], Leonidas

Stamatatos[2], Harald Hartweger[1][+], Michel C. Nussenzweig[1,3,+]

[1]Laboratory of Molecular Immunology, Rockefeller University; New York, NY, USA.

[2]Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, WA, USA

[3]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, The Rockefeller University; New York, NY, USA.

[†]Current address: Department of Internal Medicine, Yale School of Medicine, New

Haven, CT, USA

[*]equal contribution

[+]equal contibution

Address correspondence to: nussen@rockefeller.edu or hhartweger@rockefeller.edu

Summary

Humoral immune responses diversify over time but whether secreted antibodies influence this process is unknown. Using antibody secretion-deficient mice this study shows a profound impact of secreted antibodies on the development of B cell diversity after vaccination.

Abstract

Humoral immune responses are characterized by increasing antibody affinity and diversity over time. Increased affinity is mediated by a combination of immunoglobulin gene somatic mutation and iterative cycles of selection in germinal centers. Less is understood about how diversity increases in parallel with affinity. Here we examine the role of antibody feedback in diversifying immune responses in mice that produce B cells that are incapable of secreting antibodies. To this end, we produced two strains of mice, one that expresses only membrane and secreted forms of IgM, and a second that produces only the membrane bound form of IgM. Analysis of primary and secondary immune responses show that antibody feedback significantly diversifies both primary and secondary immune responses even when antibodies are present at levels that are 10–30 fold lower than physiologic. The data have significant implication for sequential vaccination approaches aimed at shepherding immunity to produce broadly neutralizing antibodies to highly diversified pathogens such as HIV-1 and Influenza.

Introduction

Both the affinity and diversity of antibodies elicited by immunization or infection increase over time by a process that involves iterative cycles of selection, clonal expansion and somatic mutation in germinal centers (GCs) (Bannard and Cyster, 2017; Victora and Nussenzweig, 2022).

These two apparently discordant features of humoral immune responses, increasing affinity and diversity, can be accounted for by dynamic changes in selection over time and by the existence of two cellular compartments, plasma cells and memory B cells respectively. Plasma cells develop by a mechanism that ensures increasing circulating antibody affinity (Zotos and Tarlinton, 2012; Nutt *et al.*, 2015; Tas *et al.*, 2016; Kräutler *et al.*, 2017; Ise and Kurosaki, 2020; ElTanbouly *et al.*, 2024; MacLean *et al.*, 2025). Memory B cells are selected by a separate mechanism that features diversity over affinity (Suan, Sundling and Brink, 2017; Wang *et al.*, 2017; Viant *et al.*, 2020; Inoue *et al.*, 2021; Inoue, Shinnakasu and Kurosaki, 2022).

Antibody diversification becomes increasingly important during secondary or booster immune responses, when only small numbers of high affinity antigen binding cells can be detected in GCs (Dal Porto *et al.*, 2002; Eckl-Dorna *et al.*, 2019; Mesin *et al.*, 2019; Malladi *et al.*, 2025). Given the strong selection pressure imposed by iterative cycles of mutation and cell division in the GC the evolution away from high affinity is counterintuitive. However, diversification may be an important evolutionary adaptation to deal with rapidly changing pathogens such as Coronaviruses and Influenza virus (Heyman, 2000; Cyster and Wilson, 2024).

Several mechanisms have been suggested to account for the increase in diversity and

loss of detectable antigen binding in late primary and secondary GCs. These include:

1. Continual recruitment of additional lower affinity cells during the reaction (De

Carvalho *et al.*, 2023; Hägglöf *et al.*, 2023); 2. Increasing T cell fitness that lowers the

threshold of B cell selection (Woodruff *et al.,* 2018; Merkenschlager *et al.,* 2023); 3.

Antibody mediated antigen masking or enhancement (Heyman, 2000; Cyster and

Wilson, 2024).

The effects of antibody feedback on immune responses have been studied since 1909

when they were first described by Theobald Smith in experiments on Diphtheria toxin

(Smith, 1909). Recent data shows that masking appears to be mediated by high affinity

antibodies and enhancement by low concentrations or low affinity antibodies that may

be present even before immunization or in the early stages of the immune response

(Heyman, 2000; Cyster and Wilson, 2024). But because all experiments to date,

including Smith's, have been performed in organisms that have at least some level of

circulating antibody, precisely how masking and enhancement contribute to the

evolution of humoral immunity and GC dynamics remains to be determined.

Understanding these phenomena is increasingly important in developing strategies for

sequential vaccination for difficult pathogens such as malaria parasites, HIV-1 and

Influenza virus where antibody mediated masking can interfere with the evolution of

immunity in response to serial vaccine boosters.

Here we examine the role of antibody feedback in regulating GC responses in mice

that have an intact polyclonal B cell compartment but do not secrete antibodies.

Results

Antibody secretion deficient mice

To examine how secreted antibodies impact the development of immune responses

we engineered the IgH locus to produce two strains of mice: a control strain that

expresses membrane and secreted forms of IgM (M-only) but no other isotypes; and

a second strain whose B cells express only the membrane-bound form of IgM (mM-

only) (Figure 1A). To this end, the C57BL/6J Ig heavy chain locus was modified using

CRISPR-Cas9 to delete a 150 kb region 5' of *Ighd* exon 1 to the 3' UTR of *Igha*, leaving

only the IgM locus intact (M-only mice, see methods for further details). M-only mice

were subsequently engineered to produce the mM-only mice by removing the stop

codon of the secreted splice form and its intronic polyadenylation signal in *Ighm* exon

4 (Danner and Leder, 1985; Nussenzweig *et al.*, 1987; Boes *et al.*, 1998; Ehrenstein

et al., 1998; Waisman *et al.*, 2007). The later abrogates production of the secreted

form by preventing its termination thereby enforcing splicing to produce the

membrane-bound isoform of IgM.

Consistent with the observation that membrane bound IgM regulates B cell

development in the bone marrow (Nussenzweig *et al.*, 1987, 1988; Kitamura *et al.*,

1991), M-only and mM-only mice showed generally normal numbers of pro-B, pre-B,

immature and mature B cells in the bone marrow (Figure 1B, Supp.Fig.1B-I).

Moreover, the numbers of transitional, or mature B2 cells in spleen were similar. As

reported by others, mice lacking secreted Igs, show small increases in splenic B1 and

marginal zone B cells in the mesenteric lymph nodes but not in Peyer's patches (Figure

1C,D Supp.Fig.1E-F). There were few if any plasma cells in the bone marrow of mM-

only mice and a decrease that did not reach statistical significance in M-only mice

(Figure 1E, Supp.Fig.1A,C,D). Serum ELISAs performed under steady state

conditions showed slightly increased IgM but no other isotypes in M-only mice and no

detectable antibody in mM-only mice (Figure 1F, Supp.Fig.1A, $P=0.0017$). Despite the

absence of other isotypes, single-cell Ig mRNA sequencing showed that the Ighv, Igkv

and Iglv usage by follicular B cells was similar in wild type (WT), M-only and mM-only

mice (Figure 1G, Supp.Fig.1H). In summary, B cell development appears largely

normal, but in the absence of antibody secretion there are no serum antibodies and

few if any plasma cells in mM-only mice.

Primary immune responses

To determine how antibodies impact the development of primary B cell immune

responses we immunized mice with the Wuhan-Hu1 SARS-Cov2 receptor binding

domain (RBD) (Figure 2A). Antigen-specific antibodies were measured by ELISA

(Figures 2B-D). As expected, M-only mice were limited to the IgM isotype, and mM-

only mice produced no serum antibodies in response to the immunization (Figures 2B-

D). The kinetics of the serological response in M-only mice was similar to WT (Figure

2D), but the total amount of antibody was lower when considering all isotypes (Figure

2B). Notably, whereas the total antibody levels in WT mice decreased over the 150-

day period of observation, serum Ig levels were relatively stable and even increased

modestly in M-only mice (Figure 2B).

Germinal center responses were analyzed by flow cytometry on days 7, 14, 21 and 28. The absolute numbers of B cells and the relative proportions of germinal center B cells among B220$^+$ B cells was similar among WT, M-only and mM-only mice at all time point points analyzed (Figures 2E-F, Supp.Fig.2A). Thus, the contribution of antibodies to GC magnitude and kinetics in the primary response to SARS-CoV2-RBD appears to be limited.

Plasma cell precursors were evident in GCs of all 3 strains, but showed increased caspase expression in mM-only mice and these mice had few detectable mature plasma cells in lymph nodes (LNs) or bone marrow (Figures 2G-J). The data are consistent with the idea that plasma cells that are unable to secrete antibody die by apoptosis (Iwakoshi, Lee and Glimcher, 2003; Todd *et al.*, 2009; Bonaud *et al.*, 2023).

We analyzed the antigen-binding capacity of GC B cells in the primary response by flow cytometry using the Wuhan-Hu1 RBD labeled with 2 different fluorophores (Figure 2K-L). At the peak of the GC response, 14 days after immunization, there were similar proportions of RBD-binding cells in WT and M-only mice (35 % and 26 % respectively), but significantly more in the GCs of mM-only mice (45 % p=0.0021, Fig 2L). Moreover, whereas antigen binding B cells decreased over time in WT and M-only mice they persisted in mM-only GCs (Figure 2L). The data is consistent with the idea that secreted antibodies mask immunodominant epitopes and increase antigen valency, thus curbing the relative competitive advantage of high affinity immunodominant antigen binding cells in the later stages of the primary GC reaction (Heyman, 2000; Andrews *et al.*, 2015; Schaefer-Babajew *et al.*, 2023; Cyster and Wilson, 2024; Yan *et al.*, 2025).

To gain further insights into the effect of antibodies on primary GC responses

we examined clonality, diversity and IgV gene usage by single cell VDJ sequencing

14 days after immunization. As expected expanded clones of B cells were found in

GCs of all 3 genotypes (Figure 3A). However, the individual clones appeared to be

larger and less diverse in the GCs of mM-only mice than in mice that expressed

secreted antibodies. Consistent with this observation mM-only GC B cells showed

reduced Shannon and Inverse Simpson diversity indices, but similar levels of somatic

mutation compared to their counterparts (Figures 3B-D). Moreover, in contrast to the

follicular compartment, Ighv gene usage was strongly skewed to *Ighv1-74, ighv1-80,*

Igkv14-111, Igkv2-109 and *Igkv4-55* in mM-only mice (Figure 3E). In conclusion,

secreted antibodies appear to be essential for the development of optimal diversity in

primary GC responses to SARS-CoV2 RBD – a mechanism that appears to be

significant even at the early stages of the response.

Secondary Immune Responses

To determine whether antibodies developing during the primary response influence

subsequent booster responses, we vaccinated WT, M-only and mM-only mice in

accordance with protocols used during human immunization against SARS-CoV2

using full-length stabilized SARS-Cov2 spike protein (Figure 4A).

Serum antibody responses were measured by ELISA at approximately weekly

intervals from days 6 to 97 after immunization. As in the primary responses, antibodies

were not detectable in mM-only mice (Figure 4B and Supp. Fig. 4A), and M-only mice

produced approximately 30-fold less serum antibody compared to WT mice at the

peak of the response. Flow cytometry on cells obtained from draining lymph nodes

showed that M-only and mM-only mice had overall similar proportions of GC B cells

(Figure 4C). Thus, the complete absence of secreted antibodies does not impact the

ability to form or sustain secondary GCs.

Antigen binding GC B cells were enumerated using fluorescently labeled

Wuhan-hu1 RBD since this is the primary target of the anti-Spike immune response in

mice and humans. Notably, the 3 strains differed significantly in the fraction of Wuhan-

Hu1 RBD binding B cells (Figure 4D-E). Whereas only 1.9 % and 3 % of the B cells in

WT or M-only GCs bound to RBD, 22 % of mM-only GC B cells showed demonstrable

binding (p= 0.002, Figure 4D).

To determine the antigen binding specificity of GC B cells that develop after

boosting, we cloned and expressed 146 representative antibodies and tested them for

binding to Wuhan-Hu1 spike and the isolated RBD by ELISA (Supplementary Table

2). Altogether, only 17 % (wild-type) and 25 % (M-only) of mAbs isolated from

secondary GCs exposed to endogenous antibodies showed measurable binding to the

immunising antigen, whereas 54 % of the mAbs isolated from mM-only GCs were of

sufficiently high affinity to bind by ELISA (Figure 4E and Supp. Fig. 3B). Whereas 32 %

of antibodies obtained from mM-only mice bound to the immunodominant RBD, only

4.2 % and 2.1 % of mAbs from WT and M-only GCs did so, respectively (p=0.0002

and 0.0005 respectively, Figure 4E). The fraction of antibodies binding to non-RBD

epitopes on the spike was 12.5 %, 23 % and 22 % in WT, M-only and mM-only mice,

respectively. To examine the nature of the antibodies produced after booster

immunization, we examined the Ig sequences from single cells. Despite similar

numbers of somatic mutations (Supp. Fig. 3C), GC B cells obtained from secondary

GCs of mM-only mice were more clonal and significantly less diverse than those

obtained from M-only mice with notable enrichment in *Ighv1-53* and *Ighv2-91* (Figures

4F-H). The data suggest that antibody feedback inhibits accumulation of GC B cells

that are restricted to the initial immunodominant epitope even when those antibodies

are restricted to the IgM isotype and when total Ig concentrations are 30-fold lower

than physiologic.

To determine whether passively transferred antibodies are sufficient to revert

the mM-only phenotype we repeated the prime boost experiment with Wuhan-Hu1

spike and transferred contemporaneous serum from WT mice into mM-only recipients

(Figure 5A). As determined by ELISA serologic reconstitution was only partial with an

approximately 10-fold lower level of specific antibodies in the mM-only recipients

compared to their WT counterparts (Supp Fig. 4A). Notably, passive antibody transfer

completely reverted the mM-only phenotype resulting in near complete loss of Wuhan-

Hu1 RBD binding B cells in the mM-only GCs. We conclude that secreted antibodies

make a significant contribution to the specificity and diversity of polyclonal germinal

center responses.

Sequential immunization strategies designed to produce broadly neutralizing

antibodies (bNAbs) to HIV-1 and Influenza virus are currently being investigated in

animal models and in the clinic. The multi-step vaccine concept being tested involves

priming to recruit rare bNAb precursors followed by iterative administration of

progressively more mutated antigens to shepherd B cell responses through a series

of somatic mutations required to produce bNAbs (Escolano *et al.,* 2016; Burton, 2019;

Haynes *et al.*, 2023). This idea has yet to produce necessary protective serologic

responses in part because "off target" antibodies dominate the response after booster

vaccination (Escolano *et al.*, 2019, 2021). To determine how circulating antibodies

might contribute to this effect, we immunized mice with a series of 4 HIV-1 CD4-binding

site-targeting immunogens, from which the first one is currently in early clinical testing

(NCT05471076; 426c.DMRS.Core (TM4) antigen, Figure 6A) (McGuire *et al.*, 2016).

Longitudinal ELISA analysis for antibodies binding to 426c.DMRS.Core showed that

titers were present throughout the immunization protocol in WT and M-only but not

mM-only mice (Figure 6B).

GC B cell numbers and somatic mutations were equivalent among the 3 mouse

strains with median GC B cell frequencies between 1.7 % to 2.2 % of all B cells in the

draining lymph node (Figure 6C and Supp. Fig. 6A). Several Igv genes were used

preferentially by all 3 groups but at variable frequencies, with only *Igkv1-135* uniquely

overrepresented in the mM-only group (Figure 6E and Supp. Fig. 6B). Considering all

cells irrespective of HIV-1 antigen-binding, we saw a high degree of clonality in all 3

groups (Figures 6F-G). Notably, there were very few TM4-binding cells in WT and M-

only mice but they constituted on average 9 % of all GC B cells in mM-only mice

(Figure 6H and Supp. Fig. 5C). Thus, only mM-only mice that are devoid of circulating

antibodies show significant levels of antigen-binding GC B cells after a sequential

series of HIV-1 vaccine boosters.

Discussion

GCs are microanatomic compartments specialized for affinity maturation and

diversification of humoral immune responses. Affinity maturation is an iterative process

involving repetitive cycles of division and mutation in the GC dark zone followed by

migration to the light zone where B cells test their newly acquired antigen receptors

for binding and capture of antigen deposited in follicular dendritic cells. Selection is

mediated by a combination of B cell receptor signaling and T follicular help (Victora

and Nussenzweig, 2022; Chen *et al.*, 2023). How GCs diversify antibody responses in

the face of strong positive selection is less well understood. Our data indicate that

even small amounts of specific antibodies produced during the immune response are

sufficient to diversify the GC by masking responses to immunodominant epitopes and

by producing immune complexes that lower the affinity thresholds for B cell entry into

the GC.

Antibody feedback has been studied primarily by passive antibody transfer in animals

and humans (Heyman, 2000; Cyster and Wilson, 2024). These experiments revealed

that both IgM and IgG can produce immune complexes and mediate masking through

interactions with Fc and complement receptors (Heyman, 2000). Moreover, recent

work in antibody knock in mice producing antibodies of differing affinity to a selected

epitope showed that the antibody feedback effects were dependent on affinity and that

changes in the T cell compartment might also contribute (Barbulescu *et al.*, 2025; Yan

et al., 2025). Our experiments extend these findings to primary and secondary immune

responses in animals that have an otherwise intact polyclonal B and T cell

compartment but are unable to produce secreted antibodies. Our data show that

antibody feedback is a major mechanism for diversification of both primary and

secondary immune responses.

Diversification by antibody feedback has the potential to enable recall responses to

rapidly evolving pathogens. For example, although initial responses to SARS-CoV-2

were focused on highly strain specific epitopes, antibody feedback diversified the

response to include more conserved epitopes that offered some protection against

newly arising variants. However, our data indicate that these feedback effects pose a

very significant barrier to sequential immunization approaches such as those being

tested for HIV-1 that aim to focus immunity to a singular broadly neutralizing epitope.

Methods

Mice

C57BL/6J mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories. M-only and mM-only mice

were created and maintained at the Rockefeller University with assistance from the

Rockefeller University CRISPR and Genome Editing Center and Transgenic and

Reproductive Technology Center. Cas9 (IDT) and sgRNA (IDT) ribonucleoprotein

complexes targeting the IgH locus were electroporated into C57BL6/J single cell

mouse embryos, which were then recovered and incubated overnight at 37 °C before

implantation into female foster mother mice. For M-only mice sgRNA with spacer

sequences GTAGATCTCTTCCTAAGAGG and TTACTAGGCTCCTCCATATG were

used to delete *Ighd–Igha*. M-only mice were retargeted and with sgRNAs with spacer

sequence CGCCTGTGTCAGACATGATC and GGGTAGGACAAGCAACGCAC to

delete the part of *Ighm* exon 4 only found in the secreted IgM splice form, as well as

the subsequent stop codon and alternative, intronic polyadenylation site (Danner and

Leder, 1985; Boes *et al.*, 1998; Ehrenstein *et al.*, 1998; Waisman *et al.*, 2007). Cutsite

adjacent deletions were verified by PCR from tail DNA and Sanger sequencing of PCR

products. Sequences were analyzed using Geneious Prime (GraphPad). Mice were

bred to homozygosity. Presence or absence of antibody isotypes was verified by flow

cytometry and ELISA of serum in homozygous animals from homozygous parents due

to maternal transfer of antibodies in utero and through suckling.

Male and female mice aged 6-12 weeks were used. Animals were housed at the

Rockefeller University Comparative Bioscience Center and all animal procedures were

performed following protocols approved by the Rockefeller University Institutional

Animal Care and Use Committee. Animals were housed at an ambient temperature of

22 °C and a humidity of 30–70 % under a 12 h–12 h light–dark cycle with free access

to food and water. For experimental endpoints, mice were euthanized using CO_2,

followed by cervical dislocation.

Immunization

Immunizations were performed by subcutaneous footpad injection of 5 μg of

immunogen in 33 % alhydrogel (Invivogen).

Antibody infusion

Serum was obtained from equally immunized, time-matched C56BL/6J mice and

administered to recipients i.v. within 6 h of harvest.

Flow cytometry

For steady-state experiments, mice aged from 10 to 11 weeks were sacrificed and

their spleen, mesenteric LN, Peyer's patches and bone marrow were isolated and

dissociated to obtain single-cell suspensions. Bone marrow from pelvic bone, femur

and tibia was isolated by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 15s. Spleen and Peyer's

patches were forced through a 70 μm cell strainer. LNs were collected into 1.5 mL

Eppendorf tubes and dissociated using a pestle. Spleen and bone marrow pellets were

then incubated in ACK lysis buffer for 15 min on ice, washed and processed together

with the LN as described below.

For all experiments, single cell suspensions were incubated in Fc block (BD

Biosciences) for 15 min. Cells were then centrifuged at 350 g for 5 min and

resuspended and incubated in a solution of PBS diluted fluorescent-Biotin-antigen

tetramers for 30 min on ice. Additional labeled antibodies were then added to the cells

and samples were incubate for 30 additional minutes on ice. For intracellular staining

of Caspase 3, cells were permeabilized using BD Foxp3 permeabilization kit, washed

in the supplied permeabilization buffer and stained for 30 min at 4 °C. as per

manufacturer's instructions.

Antigen tetramer staining was performed with a combination of two different

fluorescently-labelled streptavidins per antigen. Biotinylated antigens at 5 μg/mL were

individually pre-incubated with each streptavidin-fluorophore (all Biolegend) at a 1

μg/mL dilution before staining to allow tetramer formation, then combined and cells

resuspend in the mixture and incubated for 30 min on ice, before staining for other

surface markers. Samples were acquired on a BD LSRFortessa or BD Symphony A3

or A5. All cell sorting was performed on the BD FACSymphony S6. Data was analyzed

using FlowJo 10.10.0.

Recombinant protein production

All expression vectors for recombinant protein production were confirmed by Sanger

(Azenta) or Oxford Nanopore sequencing (Plasmidsaurus). The construct encoding

the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 (GenBank MN985325.1; S protein residues 319–539) was

previously described (Barnes *et al.*, 2020). All recombinant proteins were produced in

Expi293F cells (Gibco, A14527), except 426c.WT.SOSIP which was produced in

Expi293F GnTI- cells (Gibco, A39240). Transient transfections of expression plasmids

were performed using Expifectamine 293 transfection kit (Gibco, A14525) as

previously described (McGuire *et al.*, 2014). In brief, 4-6 days post transfection, culture

supernatant was harvested, centrifuged to pellet cells and sterilized by filtration for

affinity chromatography. Trimeric Env proteins were purified by passing the

supernatant through an agarose-bound *Galanthus nivalis* lectin (GNL) resin (Vector

Laboratories) and subsequent size-exclusion chromatography (SEC). A Ni Sepharose

6 Fast Flow resin (Cytiva, 117531803) was used for purification of His-tagged proteins.

Native gel electrophoresis identified peak fractions from size-exclusion

chromatography. Fractions corresponding to monomeric Envelope trimers, Spike S6P

protein trimers or RBD monomers were pooled and stored at -20 °C. Antibodies were

purified over a protein G Sepharose 4Fast Flow resin (Cytive 70611805) and buffer

exchanged into PBS and stored at -80 °C.

For random biotinylation, proteins were biotinylated using the EZ-Link-Sulfo-NHS-LC-

Biotinylation kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

31497). Excess biotin was removed by diafiltration with 100 kDa cutoff. Biotinylated

protein was stored at -20 °C or -80 °C.

Avi-tagged TM4 core gp120 or RBD were biotinylated using a BirA reaction according

to the manufacturer's instructions using a 5-fold molar excess of biotin (Avidity,

EC6.3.4.15), buffer exchanged to PBS and stored at -80°C.

ELISA

All ELISAs used Costar 96-well, half area, high binding, polystyrene assay plates (Corning, Cat.# 3960), which were coated with the indicated antigen (TM4, SARS-CoV-2 Wuhan-hu1 RBD or spike protein, all produced in house) or anti-isotype antibody (anti-IgM, Southern Biotech, 1020-01; anti-IgG3, Southern Biotech, 1100-01; anti-IgG1, Southern Biotech, 1070-01; anti-IgG2b, Southern Biotech, 1090-01; anti-IgG2c, Jackson Immunoresearch, 115-005-208; anti-IgA, Southern Biotech, 1040-01) at $2 - 5\,\mu g/mL$ in PBS over night at 4°C. Plates were blocked with 5 % skimmed milk powder in PBS or 1 % BSA 0.1 mM EDTA 0.05 % Tween20 in PBS for 2 h at room temperature. 6 washes in PBS 0.05 % Tween 20 were performed after every subsequent step. Sera were diluted in PBS at 1:50 to 1:100 top dilution and 1:3 (naïve and after prime) or 1:4 (after boosts) or 1:5 (steady state serum antibody levels) serially diluted for an 8-point curve. Isotype antibody standards (Southern Biotech 5300-01B) were diluted to $10\,\mu g/mL$ and diluted 1:5 in PBS for an 8-point curve. Secondary antibodies conjugated to horseradish peroxidase were used to detect bound antibodies mouse IgG (1:5000, Jackson ImmunoResearch Cat.# 115-035-071 or Southern Biotech Cat.# 1030-05) or mouse IgM, IgG3, IgG1, IgG2b, or IgA (Southern Biotech Cat.# 5300-05B) or IgG2c (Jackson ImmunoResearch 115-035-208) or total Ig (anti-kappa combined with anti-lambda light chain, Southern Biotech Cat.# 5300-05B). HRP substrate 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine substrate (ThermoFisher, Cat.# 34021) was used for development and the reaction was stopped adding an equal volume of 1 M H_2SO_4 (Sigma). Absorbance was read at 450 nm and 570 nm on a FLUOstar Omega (BMG Labtech). For analysis of steady-state antibody concentration in serum, isotype standards were included on every plate and used to fit a sigmoidal

4-parameter logistic regression standard curve in GraphPad Prism 10 which was used

to interpolate serum concentrations from dilutions in the exponential phase of the

curve. For total anti-antigen responses, sigmoidal 4-parameter logistic regression was

fitted to curves of every sample and used to calculate the half maximal binding titer

(BT_{50}). μg/mL values below detection were set to minimum detection level based on

control sera to avoid plotting 0 on logarithmic plots. For samples were signal was too

low for a curve fit BT_{50} was set to 10, which is 5x above the highest measured dilution.

10x genomics and single-cell libraries

Indicated mice were immunized with either Spike, RBD or HIV proteins. Cell

suspensions were prepared as mentioned before from popliteal lymph nodes and

samples were indexed using TotalSeqC cell surface antibodies (Biolegend). Live,

lineage$^-$ B cells ($CD38^+$, GC B cells RBD^+ and GCB RBD^-), and PC were then isolated

flow cytometry and loaded onto the Chromium Controller from 10x Genomics. scRNA-

seq libraries were prepared using the Chromium Single Cell 5′ v.2 Reagent Kit (10x

Genomics) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Libraries were loaded onto a

Illumina NovaSeq for single-cell gene expression (GEX), VDJ analysis and hashtags

(HTO) library at the Rockefeller University Genomics Resource Center. Hashtag

indexing from TotalSeqC antibodies was used to demultiplex the sequencing data and

generate gene and barcode matrices, respectively.

All single-cell BCR libraries were mapped to the Cell Ranger VDJ GRCm38 reference

using Cell Ranger multi v.8.1.0 (10x genomics). Contigs containing less than 50 reads

and more than one heavy or light chain were removed. Antibodies heavy and light

chains were paired and analyzed using igpipeline v.3.0

(https://github.com/stratust/igpipeline/tree/igpipeline3), previously described (Wang *et*

al., 2023), with the mouse IMGT database as reference (Lefranc, 2011).

For the primary response dataset analyses (Fig. 3), scRNA-seq and Hashtag-oligos

unique molecular identifier quantification were performed with Cell Ranger multi

v.8.0.1 using the Cell Ranger GEX reference mm10, and analyzed in R with Seurat

v.5.1.0 (Hao *et al.*, 2021). Cells were demultiplexed with MULTISeqDemux, and those

classified as doublets or with mitochondrial content greater than 10 % and feature

count less than 1,000 or greater than 6,500 were excluded. Cell cycle genes were

regressed out. Sample batches were then merged, scaled and normalized with

SCTransform. B cell (*Cd19, Ms4a1, Cd79a, Tnfrsf13c, Aicda, Lyz2, Cd63*), Follicular

(*Cd38, Cd55, Bcl2, Maml2, Notch2, Gpr183, Sell, Ccr6*), and memory B cell (Binet *et*

al., 2024) signatures were assigned using AddModuleScore. Cells with low B cell

module score ($\leq 25^{th}$ percentile) or with high memory B cell score ($\geq 75^{th}$ percentile)

were excluded. B cells expressing *Bcl6, Aicda, S1pr2* or *Fas* at high levels ($\geq 75^{th}$

percentile) were classified as GC B cells, whereas those with high follicular score ($\geq$

75th percentile) were classified as follicular B cells.

Statistical analysis

Details of statistics including tests used, exact values and n numbers are indicated in

figure legends and/or main text. Quantification and statistical analyses were performed

in R (v4.4.0) with Rstudio server (2024.04.0 Build 735) and/or GraphPad Prism

(Version 10.2.3), unless otherwise detailed in this methods section. For all boxplots,

the central line denotes the median, the box represents the interquartile range (IQR),

lower and upper whiskers denote the data variability with minimum and maximum

data points, respectively, extending 1.5 x IQR from Q1/Q3. Graphs generated using

Prism and R were assembled into figures using Adobe Illustrator. Flow cytometry

analysis was performed in FlowJo v.10.10.0 software (BD).

Figures and figure legends

Figure 1

Figure 1. B cell development in M-only and mM-only mice. (**A**) Schematic shows

structure of *Ighm* exon 4 in M-only and mM-only mice. (**B**) Number of pro-, pre-,

immature and mature B cells in the bone marrow by flow cytometry. Gating as in

Supplementary Fig. 1A. (**C**) Number of the splenic B cell subsets by flow cytometry.

Gating on B220$^+$ cells as in Supplementary Fig. 1B. (**D**) Number of live B cells in

spleen, mesenteric lymph node (mLN) and Peyer's Patches (PP) by flow cytometry.

Gating on B220$^+$ cells as in Supplementary Fig. 1B-D. (**E**) Number of plasma cells in

the bone marrow of one leg by flow cytometry. (**F**) ELISA quantification of IgM, IgG3,

IgG1, IgG2b, IgG2c and IgA in the serum of WT, M-only and mM-only mice. (**G**) Ighv

(left panel) and Igkv (right panel) gene usage in follicular B cells. Data was pooled

from two independent experiments. Each dot or circle represents a mouse. Statistical

analysis was by non-parametric one-way ANOVA. All experiments were performed at

least twice. **p <0.01. Bars indicate mean and standard deviation.

Figure 2

Figure 2. Primary vaccine responses. (**A**) Diagram of the experimental protocol. (**B**)

ELISA quantification of anti-RBD antibodies in the serum measured every 3 to 5 days

between D0 and D150. (**C**) As in (B) for anti-IgG anti-RBD antibodies in the serum.

Thin lines (in B and C) represent single mice, bold lines represent group mean. (**D**)

Serum anti-RBD IgM ELISA 0 or 14 after immunization. (**E**) Flow cytometric gating for

$CD38^-CD95^+$ GC cells. Pre-gated on $B220^+$ cells in draining lymph nodes (dLN). (**F**)

Quantification of GC B cells from (E) at the indicated time points after immunization.

Each dot represents one mouse. (**G**) Flow cytometry gating for $Caspase3^+$ cells among

$CD138^{int/+}$ live lin^- cells. (**H**) Quantification of (G) among $CD138^{int/+}$ live lin- cells in

draining LN at 14 days after immunisaiton. (**I**) Flow cytometric gating for $CD98^+$

$CD138^+$ PC pre-gated on live lin^- cells in the draining LN. (**J**) Quantification of (I) at the

indicated times after immunization. (**K**) Flow cytometric gating of RBD^+ cells among

GC B cells in the draining LNs. Pre-gated for GC as in (E). (**L**) Quantification of (K) at

the indicatd time points after immunization. Each dot represents one mouse. Statistical

analysis using non-parametric one-way ANOVA. All experiments were performed at

least twice. Bars in (D, F, H, J and L) indicate mean ± standard deviation. $**p < 0.01$,

$*p < 0.05$.

Figure 3

Figure 3. Primary GC antibody sequences. (**A**) Pie charts depicting the distribution of antibody sequences obtained 14 days after prime immunization from GC B cells from 4 mice/genotype. Inner circle numbers indicates the number of sequences analyzed for each genotype. White indicates sequences isolated only once, colored or black pie slices are proportional to the number of clonally related sequences. The outlined black line indicates the percentages of cells in a clonal family. (**B**) Boxplots showing shannon entropy scores for sequences depicted in (A). (**C**) Boxplots depicting inverse Simpson index for sequences shown in (A). (**D**) Violin plots of V gene mutations among sequences show in (A). (**E**) Bar graphs showing Ighv and Igkv gene usage among sequences shown in (A). Sequences used to construct plots in panels (M)-(Q) can be found in Supplementary Table 1. Statistics in (A) indicate chi-squared test with Monte Carlo p-value simulation, p-values were subsequently corrected using the Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) procedure. Statistics in (B)-(D) indicate two-sided Mann-Whitney U test. ****$p<0.0001$, ***$p <0.001$,**$p <0.01$, *$p<0.05$. Each dot represent a single mouse.

Figure 4

Figure 4. Prime boost vaccination. (**A**) Diagram of the experimental protocol. (**B**)

ELISA quantification of total anti-RBD antibodies in the serum measured weekly from

D6 to D97 after prime immunization. Thin lines represent single mice, bold line

indicates group mean. Dashed red lines indicate booster immunizations. (**C**)

Percentage of GC B cells among B220$^+$ B cells in the draining LN at D98. Gating as

in (Fig. 2E). (**D**) Percentage of RBD-binding GC B cells in the draining LN at D98. Each

dot represents one mouse. Gating as in (Fig. 2K). (**E**) Pie charts depicting the

distribution of RBD-binding, Spike-binding or non-binding recombinant antibodies

cloned from the largest expanded clones of 4 mice/group of GC B cells on D98 from

draining LNs. $BT_{50} < 10$ μg/mLconsidered binders. Inner circle numbers indicate the

number of sequences analyzed. (**F**) Boxplots showing the percentage of clonal

sequences from GC B cells on Day 98 (**G**) Boxplots depicting the inverse Simpson

index for sequences from (F). (**H**) Bar graphs showing Ighv and Igkv gene usage

among sequences from (F). Statistics in F and G were determined using the Mann-

Whitney U test (two-sided). Bars in (C) and (D) indicate mean ± standard deviation..

All flow cytometry experiments were performed at least twice. Each dot represent a

single mouse.

Figure 5

Figure 5. Immune serum rescues the mM-only phenotype. (**A**) Diagram of the

experimental protocol. (**B**) Percentage of GC B cells among B220+ B cells in the

draining LN on day 98. Each dot represents one mouse. Gating as in (Fig. 2E). (**C**)

Percentage of RBD-binding GC B cells. Each dot represents one mouse. Gating as in

(Fig. 2K). Bars indicate mean ± standard deviation. Statistical analysis was by non-

parametric one-way ANOVA. Each dot represent a single mouse.

Figure 6

Figure 6. Sequential immunization with an HIV-1 antigen series. (A) Diagram of

the experimental protocol. (**B**) ELISA quantification of total anti-TM4-core serum

antibodies measured weekly from day 6 to 69. Thin lines represent single mice, bold

lines represent group mean. (**C**) Percentage of GC B cells among B220$^+$ B cells in the

draining LN on day 96. Gating as in (Fig. 2E). (**D**) Violin plots showing somatic

hypermutation in GC B cells on day 98. (**E**) Bar graphs showing Ighv left panel) and

Igkv (right panel) gene usage in GC B cells on day 98. (F) Boxplots depicting the

percentage of clonal sequences from GC B cells on Day 98 (**G**) Boxplots depicting

Inversed Simpson index of antibody sequences from d98 GC B cells . (**H**) Percentages

of TM4 -binding GC B cells. Bars in (C) and (H) indicate mean ± standard deviation.

Statistical analysis was by non-parametric one-way ANOVA. Each dot represent a

single mouse.

Supplementary Figure S1

Supplementary Figure 1. Analysis of M-only and mM-only mosue strains. Related to

Figure 1. (**A**) Flow cytometric gating strategy in the bone marrow. (**B**) Flow cytometric

gating strategy in the spleen. (**C**) Flow cytometric gating strategy in the mesenteric LN

(mLN). (**D**) Flow cytometric gating strategy in the Peyer's patches (PP). (**E**)

Percentages of splenic, transitional (Transit), pre-marginal zone (Pre-MZB), marginal

zone (MZB) , and follicular (FoB) B cells among live lineage⁻ cells. (**F**) Percentages of

splenic B1a, B1b amd B2 cells among live lineage⁻ cells. (**G**) Percentages of splenic

kappa or lambda light chain bearing mature B cells. (**H**) Bar graphs showing Iglv gene

usage in follicular B cells. (*I*) Geometric mean fluorescence intensity (gMFI) of IgM-

FITC in the bone marrow mature B cells and splenic follicular B cells. Each dot

represent a single mouse. Bars indicate mean ± standard deviation.

Supplementary Figure S2

573   **Supplementary Figure 2. Prime immunization with RBD**. Related to Figure 2. (**A**)

574   Absolute numbers of GC B cells in draining LN 14 days after RBD immunization. (**B**)

575   Flow cytometric gating strategy for PC. (**C**) Numbers of RBD$^+$ GC B cells at D7, D14,

576   D21 and D28 after RBD immunization. Each dot represent a single mouse. Bars

577   indicate mean ± standard deviation.

Supplementary Figure S3

Supplementary Figure 3. Analysis of anti-Spike prime boost responses. Related

to Figure 4. (**A**) ELISA quantification of anti-RBD IgG antibodies in the serum of mice

from Figure 4 measured weekly from day 6 to day 97. (**B**) Bar graph of recombinant

antibodies binding to Spike (top) or RBD (bottom) protein. Each bar represents one

antibody. (**C**) Boxplots depicting somatic hypermutations in GC B cells on day 98 from

Figure 4 . P-value of two-sided Mann-Whitney U test indicated.

Supplementary Figure S4

Supplementary Figure 4. Analysis of serum transfer experiment. Related to

Figure 5. (**A**) ELISA quantification of anti-RBD total Ig antibodies in the serum

measured weekly from day 0 to day 55. Red dashed lines indicate immunizations,

black triangles indicate serum transfer. Thin lines indicate individual mice, bold lines

indicate mean. (**B**) Number of GC B cells in dLN. (**C**) Number of RBD specific GC B

cells in dLN. Each dot represent a single mouse, bars indicate mean ± standard

deviation.

Supplementary Figure S5

606   **Supplementary Figure 5. Analysis of anti-HIV-1 sequential immunization.**

607   Related to Figure 6. (**A**) Number of GC B cells, (**B**) Bar graphs showing Iglv gene

608   usage in GC B cells and (**C**) number of TM4-binding GC B cells in sequentially

609   immunized mice on day 96 in draining LNs. Each dot represent a single mouse, bars

610   indicate mean ± standard deviation.

References

Andrews, S.F. *et al.* (2015) "High Preexisting Serological Antibody Levels Correlate with Diversification of the Influenza Vaccine Response," *Journal of Virology*. Edited by R.M. Sandri-Goldin, 89(6), pp. 3308–3317. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02871-14.

Bannard, O. and Cyster, J.G. (2017) "Germinal centers: programmed for affinity maturation and antibody diversification," *Current Opinion in Immunology*, 45, pp. 21–30. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2016.12.004.

Barbulescu, A. *et al.* (2025) "Antibody-mediated feedback modulates interclonal competition in the germinal center." Immunology. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.11.06.686519.

Barnes, C.O. *et al.* (2020) "Structures of Human Antibodies Bound to SARS-CoV-2 Spike Reveal Common Epitopes and Recurrent Features of Antibodies," *Cell*, 182(4), pp. 828-842.e16. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.025.

Binet, L. *et al.* (2024) "Specific pre-plasma cell states and local proliferation at the dark zone - medulla interface characterize germinal center-derived plasma cell differentiation in lymph node." Immunology. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.07.26.605240.

Boes, M. *et al.* (1998) "Enhanced B-1 cell development, but impaired IgG antibody responses in mice deficient in secreted IgM," *Journal of Immunology (Baltimore, Md.: 1950)*, 160(10), pp. 4776–4787.

Bonaud, A. *et al.* (2023) "Sec22b is a critical and nonredundant regulator of plasma cell maintenance," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 120(2), p. e2213056120. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2213056120.

Burton, D.R. (2019) "Advancing an HIV vaccine; advancing vaccinology," *Nature Reviews Immunology*, 19(2), pp. 77–78. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-018-0103-6.

Chen, S.T. *et al.* (2023) "B cell receptor signaling in germinal centers prolongs survival and primes B cells for selection," *Immunity*, p. S1074761323000778. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2023.02.003.

Cyster, J.G. and Wilson, P.C. (2024) "Antibody modulation of B cell responses—Incorporating positive and negative feedback," *Immunity*, 57(7), pp. 1466–1481. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2024.06.009.

Dal Porto, J.M. *et al.* (2002) "Very Low Affinity B Cells Form Germinal Centers, Become Memory B Cells, and Participate in Secondary Immune Responses When Higher Affinity Competition Is Reduced," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, 195(9), pp. 1215–1221. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20011550.

Danner, D. and Leder, P. (1985) "Role of an RNA cleavage/poly(A) addition site in the production ofmembrane-bound and secreted IgM mRNA," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. Vol.82, December, pp. 8658–8662.

De Carvalho, R.V.H. *et al.* (2023) "Clonal replacement sustains long-lived germinal centers primed by respiratory viruses," *Cell*, 186(1), pp. 131-146.e13. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2022.11.031.

Eckl-Dorna, J. *et al.* (2019) "Allergen-Specific Antibodies Regulate Secondary Allergen-Specific Immune Responses," *Frontiers in Immunology*, 9, p. 3131. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.03131.

Ehrenstein, M.R. *et al.* (1998) "Targeted gene disruption reveals a role for natural secretory IgM in the maturation of the primary immune response," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 95(17), pp. 10089–10093. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.17.10089.

ElTanbouly, M.A. *et al.* (2024) *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, 221(1), p. e20231838. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20231838.

Escolano, A. *et al.* (2016) "Sequential Immunization Elicits Broadly Neutralizing Anti-HIV-1 Antibodies in Ig Knockin Mice," *Cell*, 166(6), pp. 1445-1458.e12. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.07.030.

Escolano, A. *et al.* (2019) "Immunization expands B cells specific to HIV-1 V3 glycan in mice and macaques," *Nature*, 570(7762), pp. 468–473. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1250-z.

Escolano, A. *et al.* (2021) "Sequential immunization of macaques elicits heterologous neutralizing antibodies targeting the V3-glycan patch of HIV-1 Env," *Science Translational Medicine*, 13(621), p. eabk1533. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.abk1533.

Hägglöf, T. *et al.* (2023) "Continuous germinal center invasion contributes to the diversity of the immune response," *Cell*, 186(1), pp. 147-161.e15. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2022.11.032.

Hao, Y. *et al.* (2021) "Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data," *Cell*, 184(13), pp. 3573-3587.e29. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.048.

Haynes, B.F. *et al.* (2023) "Strategies for HIV-1 vaccines that induce broadly neutralizing antibodies," *Nature Reviews Immunology*, 23(3), pp. 142–158. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-022-00753-w.

Heyman, B. (2000) "Regulation of Antibody Responses via Antibodies, Complement, and Fc Receptors," *Annual Review of Immunology*, 18(1), pp. 709–737. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.18.1.709.

Inoue, T. *et al.* (2021) "Exit from germinal center to become quiescent memory B cells depends on metabolic reprograming and provision of a survival signal," *Journal*

of Experimental Medicine, 218(1), p. e20200866. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20200866.

Inoue, T., Shinnakasu, R. and Kurosaki, T. (2022) "Generation of High Quality
Memory B Cells," *Frontiers in Immunology*, 12, p. 825813. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.825813.

Ise, W. and Kurosaki, T. (2020) "Regulation of Plasma Cell Differentiation," in J.-Y.
Wang (ed.) *B Cells in Immunity and Tolerance*. Singapore: Springer Singapore
(Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology), pp. 63–74. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-3532-1_6.

Iwakoshi, N.N., Lee, A. and Glimcher, L.H. (2003) "The X-box binding protein-1
transcription factor is required for plasma cell differentiation and the unfolded protein
response," *Immunological Reviews*, 194(1), pp. 29–38. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-065X.2003.00057.x.

Kitamura, D. *et al.* (1991) "A B cell-deficient mouse by targeted disruption of the
membrane exon of the immunoglobulin μ chain gene," *Nature*, 350(6317), pp. 423–
426. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/350423a0.

Kräutler, N.J. *et al.* (2017) "Differentiation of germinal center B cells into plasma cells
is initiated by high-affinity antigen and completed by Tfh cells," *Journal of
Experimental Medicine*, 214(5), pp. 1259–1267. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20161533.

Lefranc, M.-P. (2011) "IMGT, the International ImMunoGeneTics Information
System," *Cold Spring Harbor Protocols*, 2011(6), p. pdb.top115. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.top115.

MacLean, A.J. *et al.* (2025) "Affinity maturation of antibody responses is mediated by
differential plasma cell proliferation," *Science*, 387(6732), pp. 413–420. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adr6896.

Malladi, S.K. *et al.* (2025) "Germinal center–mediated broadening of B cell
responses to SARS-CoV-2 booster immunization," *Science Immunology*, 10(112), p.
eadu4107. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.adu4107.

McGuire, A.T. *et al.* (2014) "Antigen modification regulates competition of broad and
narrow neutralizing HIV antibodies," *Science*, 346(6215), pp. 1380–1383. Available
at: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1259206.

McGuire, A.T. *et al.* (2016) "Specifically modified Env immunogens activate B-cell
precursors of broadly neutralizing HIV-1 antibodies in transgenic mice," *Nature
Communications*, 7(1), p. 10618. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10618.

Merkenschlager, J. *et al.* (2023) "Continually recruited naïve T cells contribute to the
follicular helper and regulatory T cell pools in germinal centers," *Nature
Communications*, 14(1), p. 6944. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-
41880-9.

Mesin, L. *et al.* (2019) "Restricted Clonality and Limited Germinal Center Reentry Characterize Memory B Cell Reactivation by Boosting," *Cell*, 0(0). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.11.032.

Nussenzweig, M.C. *et al.* (1987) "Allelic Exclusion in Transgenic Mice That Express the Membrane form of Immunoglobulin μ," *Science*, 236(4803), pp. 816–819. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.3107126.

Nussenzweig, M.C. *et al.* (1988) "A human immunoglobulin gene reduces the incidence of lymphomas in c-Myc-bearing transgenic mice," *Nature*, 336(6198), pp. 446–450. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/336446a0.

Nutt, S.L. *et al.* (2015) "The generation of antibody-secreting plasma cells," *Nature Reviews Immunology*, 15(3), pp. 160–171. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3795.

Schaefer-Babajew, D. *et al.* (2023) "Antibody feedback regulates immune memory after SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination," *Nature*, 613(7945), pp. 735–742. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05609-w.

Smith, T. (1909) "ACTIVE IMMUNITY PRODUCED BY SO CALLED BALANCED OR NEUTRAL MIXTURES OF DIPHTHERIA TOXIN AND ANTITOXIN," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, 11(2), pp. 241–256. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.11.2.241.

Suan, D., Sundling, C. and Brink, R. (2017) "Plasma cell and memory B cell differentiation from the germinal center," *Current Opinion in Immunology*, 45, pp. 97–102. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2017.03.006.

Tas, J.M.J. *et al.* (2016) "Visualizing Antibody Affinity Maturation in Germinal Centers," *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 351(6277), pp. 1048–1054. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad3439.

Todd, D.J. *et al.* (2009) "XBP1 governs late events in plasma cell differentiation and is not required for antigen-specific memory B cell development," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, 206(10), pp. 2151–2159. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20090738.

Viant, C. *et al.* (2020) "Antibody Affinity Shapes the Choice between Memory and Germinal Center B Cell Fates," *Cell*, p. S0092867420313040. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.09.063.

Victora, G.D. and Nussenzweig, M.C. (2022) "Germinal Centers," *Annual Review of Immunology*, 40(1), pp. 413–442. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-120419-022408.

Waisman, A. *et al.* (2007) "IgG1 B cell receptor signaling is inhibited by CD22 and promotes the development of B cells whose survival is less dependent on Igα/β," *The Journal of Experimental Medicine*, 204(4), pp. 747–758. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20062024.

Wang, Y. *et al.* (2017) "Germinal-center development of memory B cells driven by IL-
9 from follicular helper T cells," *Nature Immunology*, 18(8), pp. 921–930. Available
at: https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3788.

Wang, Z. *et al.* (2023) "Memory B cell development elicited by mRNA booster
vaccinations in the elderly," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, 220(9), p. e20230668.
Available at: https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20230668.

Woodruff, M.C. *et al.* (2018) "B Cell Competition for Restricted T Cell Help
Suppresses Rare-Epitope Responses," *Cell Reports*, 25(2), pp. 321-327.e3.
Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.09.029.

Yan, Y. *et al.* (2025) "Antibody feedback establishes an affinity brake in the germinal
center." Immunology. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.11.13.688298.

Zotos, D. and Tarlinton, D.M. (2012) "Determining germinal centre B cell fate,"
Trends in Immunology, 33(6), pp. 281–288. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2012.04.003.

Acknowledgements

We thank Kristie Gordon and Jean-Philip Truman for assistance with cell sorting, Thomas Eisenreich for help with mouse colony management and technical help; Masa Jankovic and Tacio A. Waldetario for laboratory support; and all members of the Nussenzweig laboratory and HIVRAD P01 (2P01AI100148) collaborators for helpful discussions.

Funding

This work was supported in part by by the Human Immunome Project and Michelson Medical Research Foundation's Michelson Prize: Next Generation Grant to D.S.B.; NIH grants 2P01AI100148-11 (HIVRAD) to M.C.N; UM1AI191237 (INSPIRE) to H.H. and M.C.N., 1UM1AI144462-01 (CHAVD) to M.C.N. and the Stavros Niarchos Foundation Institute for Global Infectious Disease Research. D.S.B. was supported in part by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NIH Clinical and Translational Science Award program, grant UL1-TR001866) and the Shapiro–Silverberg Fund for the Advancement of Translational Research. M.C.N. is a Howard Hughes Medical Institute investigators.

Author contributions

D.S.B., H.H. and M.C.N conceived the study. D.S.B and H.H. generated the novel mouse strains described in this manuscript. D.S.B., L.B. and H.H. designed and performed the experiments and interpreted data. G.S.S.S. performed bioinformatic analysis. C.R., L.D., M.A.E., D.G. and C.U. assisted and performed experiments. K.H.Y. assisted with mouse experiments and husbandry; B.H. and A.G. produced

recombinant proteins and antibodies. P.A. and L.S. provided HIV reagents. M.C.N.

supervised the study, designed experiments and interpreted data. D.S.B., L.B., H.H.

and M.C.N. wrote the manuscript. All authors edited the manuscript.

Competing interests

M.C.N. is on the scientific advisory board of Celldex Therapeutics. All other authors

declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability

All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are available in the main text

and supplementary materials. Reagents, including mouse strains, are available from

H.H. and M.C.N. under a material transfer agreement with The Rockefeller University

under reasonable request.

817
License information

This article is subject to HHMI's Open Access to Publications policy. HHMI lab heads

have previously granted a nonexclusive CC BY 4.0 license to the public and a

sublicensable license to HHMI in their research articles. Pursuant to those licenses,

the Author Accepted Manuscript (AAM) of this article can be made freely available

under a CC BY 4.0 license immediately upon publication.