<u>Antibody Mediated Diversification of Primary and Secondary Humoral Immune</u>

<u>Responses</u>

Dennis Schaefer-Babajew[1*†], Laurine Binet[1*], Gabriela S. Silva Santos[1], Chiara

Ruprecht[1], Lachlan P. Deimel[1], Mohamed A. ElTanbouly[1], Dounia Gharrassi[1], Gabriella

Lima dos Reis[1], Clara Uhe[1], Kai-Hui Yao[1], Brianna Hernandez[1], Parul Agrawal[2], Anna

Gazumyan[1], Leonidas Stamatatos[2], Harald Hartweger[1 +], Michel C. Nussenzweig[1,3, +]

[1]Laboratory of Molecular Immunology, Rockefeller University; New York, NY, USA.

[2]Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, WA, USA

[3]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, The Rockefeller University; New York, NY, USA.

[†]Current address: Department of Internal Medicine, Yale School of Medicine, New

Haven, CT, USA

*equal contribution

+equal contribution

Address correspondence to: nussen@rockefeller.edu or hhartweger@rockefeller.edu

Summary

Humoral immune responses diversify over time but whether secreted antibodies influence this process is unknown. Using antibody secretion-deficient mice this study shows a profound impact of secreted antibodies on the evolution of B cell diversity after vaccination.

Abstract

Humoral immune responses are characterized by increasing antibody affinity and diversity over time. Increased affinity is mediated by a combination of immunoglobulin gene somatic mutation and iterative cycles of selection in germinal centers. Less is understood about how diversity increases. Here we examine the role of antibody feedback in diversifying immune responses in mice that produce B cells that are incapable of secreting antibodies. To this end, we produced two strains of mice, one that expresses only membrane and secreted forms of IgM, and a second that produces only the membrane bound form of IgM. Analysis of primary and secondary immune responses show that antibody feedback significantly diversifies both primary and secondary immune responses even when antibodies are present at levels that are 10–30 fold lower than physiologic. The data have significant implication for sequential vaccination approaches aimed at shepherding immunity to produce broadly neutralizing antibodies to highly diversified pathogens such as HIV-1 and Influenza.

Introduction

Both the affinity and diversity of antibodies elicited by immunization or infection increase over time by a process that involves iterative cycles of selection, clonal expansion and somatic mutation in germinal centers (GCs) (Bannard and Cyster, 2017; Victora and Nussenzweig, 2022). These two apparently discordant features of humoral immune responses, increasing affinity and diversity, can be accounted for by dynamic changes in selection over time and by the existence of two cellular compartments, plasma cells and memory B cells respectively. Plasma cells develop by a mechanism that ensures increasing circulating antibody affinity (Zotos and Tarlinton, 2012; Nutt et al., 2015; Kräutler et al., 2017; Ise et al., 2018; Tas et al., 2022; ElTanbouly et al., 2024; MacLean et al., 2025). Memory B cells are selected by a separate mechanism that emphasizes diversity over affinity (Inoue et al., 2022, 2021; Suan et al., 2017; Viant et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2017).

Antibody diversification becomes increasingly important during secondary or booster immune responses, when only small numbers of high affinity antigen binding cells can be detected in GCs (Dal Porto et al., 2002; Eckl-Dorna et al., 2019; Mesin et al., 2019; Malladi et al., 2025). Given the strong selection pressure imposed by iterative cycles of mutation and cell division in the GC, the evolution away from high affinity is counterintuitive. However, diversification may be an important evolutionary adaptation to deal with rapidly changing pathogens such as Coronaviruses and Influenza virus (Heyman, 2000; Cyster and Wilson, 2024).

Several mechanisms have been suggested to account for the increase in diversity and loss of detectable antigen binding in late primary and secondary GCs. These include: 1. Continual recruitment of additional lower affinity cells during the reaction (De Carvalho et al., 2023; Hägglöf et al., 2023); 2. Increasing T cell fitness that lowers the threshold of B cell selection (Woodruff et al., 2018; Merkenschlager et al., 2023); 3. Antibody mediated antigen masking or enhancement (Heyman, 2000; Cyster and Wilson, 2024).

The effects of antibody feedback on immune responses have been studied since 1909 when they were first described by Theobald Smith in experiments on Diphtheria toxin (Smith, 1909). Recent data shows that masking appears to be mediated by high affinity antibodies and enhancement by low concentrations or low affinity antibodies that may be present even before immunization or in the early stages of the immune response (Heyman, 2000; Cyster and Wilson, 2024). But because all experiments to date, including Smith's, have been performed in organisms that have at least some level of circulating antibody, precisely how masking and enhancement contribute to the evolution of humoral immunity and GC dynamics remains to be determined. Understanding these phenomena is increasingly important in developing strategies for sequential vaccination for difficult pathogens such as malaria parasites, HIV-1 and influenza virus where antibody mediated masking can interfere with the evolution of immunity in response to serial vaccine boosters (Cyster and Wilson, 2024).

Here we examine the role of antibody feedback in regulating GC responses in mice that have an intact polyclonal B cell compartment but do not secrete antibodies.

Results

Antibody secretion-deficient mice

To examine how secreted antibodies impact the development of immune responses, we engineered the IgH locus to produce two strains of mice: a control strain that expresses membrane and secreted forms of IgM (M-only) but no other isotypes; and a second strain whose B cells express only the membrane-bound form of IgM (mM-only) (Fig. 1 A). To this end, the C57BL/6J Ig heavy chain locus was modified using CRISPR-Cas9 to delete a 150 kb region 5' of *Ighd* exon 1 to the 3' UTR of *Igha*, leaving only the IgM locus intact (M-only mice, see methods for further details). M-only mice were subsequently engineered to produce the mM-only mice by removing the stop codon of the secreted splice form and its intronic polyadenylation signal in *Ighm* exon 4 (Danner and Leder, 1985; Nussenzweig et al., 1987; Boes et al., 1998; Ehrenstein et al., 1998; Waisman et al., 2007). The later abrogates production of the secreted form by preventing its termination thereby enforcing splicing to produce the membrane-bound isoform of IgM. We focused on the IgM isotype because it does not bind to most Fc receptors and therefore its Fc-mediated activity is generally more limited than other isotypes.

Consistent with the observation that membrane-bound IgM regulates B cell development in the bone marrow (Nussenzweig et al., 1987; Kitamura et al., 1991), M-only and mM-only mice showed generally normal numbers of pro-B, pre-B, immature and mature B cells in the bone marrow (Fig. 1 B and Fig. S1 A). Moreover, the overall numbers of transitional and mature B2 cells in spleen were similar, although a minor decrease of T2 cells was observed in mM-only mice. As reported

by others, mice lacking secreted Igs, show increases in splenic B1 and marginal zone

B cells but total numbers of B cells were similar in spleen, mesenteric lymph nodes

(mLN) and Peyer's patches. (Fig. 1 C-E and Fig. S1 B-G) (Hannum et al., 2000;

Anderson et al., 2006). κ/λ light chain ratios showed minor differences in mM-only

mice compared to both WT and M-only mice (Fig. S1 H). There were fewer plasma

cells in the bone marrow of M-only mice compared to WT and they were difficult to

detect in mM-only mice in (Fig. 1 F, Fig. S1 A). Serum ELISAs performed under steady

state conditions showed slightly increased IgM but no other isotypes in M-only mice

and no detectable antibody in mM-only mice (Fig. 1 G, P=0.0017). Despite the

absence of other isotypes, single-cell Ig mRNA sequencing did not reveal any notable

differences in Ighv, Igkv and Iglv usage by follicular B cells between wild type (WT),

M-only and mM-only mice except for an enrichment of Ighv7-3 in mM-only mice

compared to WT (Fig. 1 H and Fig. S1 I). mM-only B cells showed higher levels of

surface IgM expression in both bone marrow and the spleen possibly due to their lack

of IgD and inability to secrete (Fig. S1 J). Finally, at steady state, mLN GCs in mM-

and M-only mice had similar dark zone (DZ)/light zone (LZ) ratios and number of T

follicular helper (T$_{FH}$) cells (Fig. S1 K and L). In summary, B cell development appears

largely normal, but there are no serum antibodies and few if any plasma cells in mM-

only mice.

Primary immune responses

To determine how antibodies impact the development of primary B cell immune

responses we immunized mice with the Wuhan-Hu-1 SARS-Cov2 receptor binding

domain (RBD) (Fig. 2 A). Antigen-specific antibodies were measured by ELISA (Fig. 2

B-D). As expected, M-only mice were limited to the IgM isotype, and mM-only mice

produced no serum antibodies in response to the immunization (Fig. 2 B-D). The

kinetics of the serological response in M-only mice was similar to WT (Fig. 2 B and

D), but the total amount of antibody was lower when considering all isotypes (Fig. 2

B). Notably, whereas the total antibody levels in WT mice decreased over the 150-

day period of observation, serum Ig levels were relatively stable and even increased

modestly in M-only mice (Fig. 2 B).

Germinal center responses were analyzed by flow cytometry on days 0, 7, 14,

21 and 28 after immunization. GCs were absent from popliteal LNs before

immunization (Fig S2 A). The absolute numbers of B cells and the relative proportions

of germinal center B cells among B220$^+$ B cells was similar among WT, M-only and

mM-only mice at all time point points analyzed (Fig. 2 E and F and Fig. S2 A-C). Thus,

the contribution of antibodies to GC magnitude and kinetics in the primary response

to SARS-CoV2-RBD appears to be limited.

Plasma cell precursors were evident in GCs of all 3 strains, but showed

increased caspase expression in mM-only mice and these mice had few detectable

mature plasma cells in lymph nodes (LNs) or bone marrow (Fig. 2 G-J and Fig. 1 F

and Fig. S2 D,E and Fig. S1 A). The data are consistent with the idea that plasma cells

that are unable to secrete antibody die by apoptosis (Iwakoshi et al., 2003; Todd et

al., 2009; Bonaud et al., 2023).

We analyzed the antigen-binding capacity of GC B cells in the primary

response by flow cytometry using the Wuhan-Hu-1 RBD labeled with 2 different

fluorophores (Fig. 2 K and L). At the peak of the GC response, 14 days after

immunization, there were similar proportions of RBD-binding cells in WT and M-only

mice (35 % and 26 % respectively), but significantly more in the GCs of mM-only

mice (45 % p=0.0021, Fig. 2 L and Fig. S2 F). Moreover, whereas antigen binding B

cells decreased over time in WT and M-only mice their relative proportion appeared

to persist in mM-only GCs (Fig. 2 L). Differences in BCR expression did not account

for this difference in antigen binding as IgM surface expression levels were equivalent

among genotypes (Fig. S2 G and H). The data is consistent with the idea that secreted

antibodies mask immunodominant epitopes and increase antigen valency, thus

curbing the relative competitive advantage of high-affinity immunodominant antigen

binding cells in the later stages of the primary GC reaction (Heyman, 2000; Andrews

et al., 2015; Schaefer-Babajew et al., 2023; Cyster and Wilson, 2024; Yan et al., 2025).

To gain further insights into the effect of antibodies on primary GC responses

we examined clonality, diversity and IgV gene usage by single cell VDJ sequencing

14 days after immunization. As expected, expanded clones of B cells were found in

GCs of all 3 genotypes (Fig. 3 A-C and G and Fig. S2 I). However, the individual clones

appeared to be larger and less diverse in the GCs of mM-only mice than in mice that

expressed secreted antibodies as evidenced by significant higher overall clonality

and a decrease in the fraction of individual sequences (Fig. 3 B-C). Consistent with

this observation mM-only GC B cells showed reduced Shannon and Inverse Simpson

diversity indices, but similar levels of somatic mutation compared to their

counterparts, even when analyzing the sequences of clones composed from the top

10 most frequent V genes in mM-only mice (Fig. 3 D-F and Fig. S2 J). We did not

detect significant skewing of IgV gene usage (Fig. 3 G and Fig. S2 K). In conclusion,

secreted antibodies appear to be essential for B cell repertoire diversification in

primary GC responses to SARS-CoV2 RBD – a mechanism that appears to be

significant even at the early stages of the response.

Secondary Immune Responses

To determine whether antibodies developing during the primary response influence

subsequent booster responses, we vaccinated WT, M-only and mM-only mice in

accordance with protocols used during human immunization against SARS-CoV-2

using full-length stabilized SARS-CoV-2 spike protein (Fig. 4 A).

Serum antibody responses were measured by ELISA at approximately weekly

intervals from days 6 to 97 after immunization. As in the primary responses,

antibodies were not detectable in mM-only mice (Fig. 4 B and Fig. S3 A), and M-only

mice produced approximately 30-fold less serum antibody compared to WT mice 14

days after boosting. Flow cytometry on cells obtained from draining lymph nodes

showed that M-only had lower proportions of GC B cells, but mM-only was not

significantly different from WT (Fig. 4 C). Thus, the complete absence of secreted

antibodies does not impact the ability to form or sustain secondary GCs.

Antigen-binding GC B cells were enumerated using fluorescently labeled

Wuhan-Hu-1 RBD since this is the primary target of the anti-Spike immune response

in mice and humans. Notably, the 3 strains differed significantly in the fraction of

Wuhan-Hu-1 RBD-binding B cells (Fig.4 D). Whereas only 1.9 % and 3 % of the B

cells in WT or M-only GCs bound to RBD, 22 % of mM-only GC B cells showed

demonstrable binding (p= 0.002, Fig. 4 D).

To determine the antigen-binding specificity of GC B cells that develop after

boosting, we cloned and expressed 146 representative antibodies and tested them

for binding to Wuhan-Hu-1 spike and the isolated RBD by ELISA (Table S1).

Altogether, only 17 % (wild-type) and 25 % (M-only) of mAbs isolated from secondary

GCs exposed to endogenous antibodies showed measurable binding to the

immunizing antigen, whereas 54 % of the mAbs isolated from mM-only GCs were of

sufficiently high affinity to bind by ELISA (Fig. 4 E and Fig. S3 B). Whereas 32 % of

antibodies obtained from mM-only mice bound to the immunodominant RBD, only

4.2 % and 2.1 % of mAbs from WT and M-only GCs did so, respectively (p=0.0002

and 0.0005 respectively, Fig. 4 E). The fraction of antibodies binding to non-RBD

epitopes on the spike was 12.5 %, 23 % and 22 % in WT, M-only and mM-only mice,

respectively. To examine the nature of the antibodies produced after booster

immunization, we compared the Ig sequences from single GC B cells. GC B cells

obtained from secondary GCs of mM-only mice were more clonal and significantly

less diverse than those obtained from M-only mice (Fig. 4 F-I). Again, we did not

detect significant differences in V gene usage and genotypes displayed similar

numbers of somatic mutations (Fig 4 J and Fig. S3 C). The data suggest that antibody

feedback inhibits accumulation of GC B cells that are restricted to the initial

immunodominant epitope even when those antibodies are restricted to the IgM

isotype and when total Ig concentrations are 30-fold lower than physiologic.

To determine whether passively transferred antibodies are sufficient to revert

the mM-only phenotype, we repeated the prime boost experiment with Wuhan-Hu-1

spike and transferred contemporaneous serum from WT mice into mM-only

recipients (Fig. 4 K). As determined by ELISA serologic reconstitution was only partial

with an approximately 10-fold lower level of specific antibodies in the mM-only

recipients compared to their WT counterparts (Fig. S4 A). Notably, while the fraction

of GC B cells in draining LNs was similar between genotypes, passive antibody

transfer completely reverted the mM-only phenotype resulting in near complete loss

of Wuhan-Hu-1 RBD binding B cells in the mM-only GCs (Fig. 4 L and M and Fig. S4

B and C). We conclude that small amounts of polyclonal antigen-specific serum is

sufficient to drastically change antigen binding specificity in the GC. This observation

is consistent with the idea that secreted antibodies mask immunodominant epitopes

and thereby diversify epitope usage in GCs during polyclonal immune responses.

Sequential immunization strategies designed to produce broadly neutralizing

antibodies (bNAbs) to HIV-1 and influenza virus are currently being investigated in

animal models and in the clinic. The multi-step vaccine concept being tested involves

using mutated, high-affinity priming immunogens to recruit rare bNAb precursors

followed by iterative administration of progressively more native antigens to shepherd

B cell responses through a series of somatic mutations required to produce bNAbs

(Escolano et al., 2016; Burton, 2019; Haynes et al., 2023). At the end of the sequence,

antigen binding B cells should retain binding to the priming immunogen and in

addition bind to all the different antigens used in the vaccine. This idea has yet to

produce necessary broadly protective serologic responses in part because "off

target" antibodies to alternative sites on the immunogen dominate the response after

booster vaccination (Escolano et al., 2019, 2021). To determine how circulating

antibodies might contribute to this effect, we immunized mice with a series of 4 HIV-

1 CD4-binding site-targeting immunogens, the first of which is currently in early

clinical testing (NCT05471076; 426c.DMRS.Core (TM4) antigen, Fig. 5 A) (McGuire et

al., 2016). Immunizations were administered in the same anatomic location

throughout and longitudinal ELISA analysis for antibodies binding to

426c.DMRS.Core showed that titers were present throughout the immunization

protocol in WT and M-only but not mM-only mice (Fig. 5 B).

14 days after the last boost GC B cell numbers were equivalent among the 3

mouse strains with median GC B cell frequencies between 1.7 % to 2.2 % of all B

cells in the draining lymph node (Fig. 5 C and Fig. S5 A). Somatic hypermutation was

again comparable across genotypes including expanded clones (Fig. 5 D and Fig. S5

B). Clonality, clone size, IgV gene usage and measures of diversity did not show

significant differences (Fig. 5 E-I and Fig. S5 C), and considering all cells irrespective

of HIV-1 antigen-binding, we saw a high degree of clonality in all 3 groups (Fig. 5 E).

Antigen binding to TM4, but not to other sequentially delivered antigens was

measured by flow cytometry. Notably, at the end of the sequential vaccine sequence

WT, M-only and mM-only showed 0.76 %, 3 % and 9 % TM4-binding GC cells

respectively (Fig. 5 J and Fig. S5 D). Thus, only mM-only mice that are devoid of

circulating antibodies show continued levels of GC B cells that bind to the priming

immunogen after a sequential series of HIV-1 vaccine boosters. In summary antibody

feedback interfered strongly with persistence of antigen binding GC B cells in

sequential immunization but differences in clonality and diversity were less

pronounced compared to repeated SARS-CoV-2 spike protein immunization. We

speculate that this difference arises partly from the nature of the antigens and

reduced antibody feedback associated with the sequential use of different antigens.

We conclude that circulating antibodies interfere with GC persistence of B cells that

retain the ability to bind to the priming immunogen.

Discussion

GCs are microanatomic compartments specialized for affinity maturation and diversification of humoral immune responses. Affinity maturation is an iterative process involving repetitive cycles of division and mutation in the GC dark zone followed by migration to the light zone where B cells test their newly acquired antigen receptors for binding and capture of antigen deposited in follicular dendritic cells. Selection is mediated by a combination of B cell receptor signaling and T follicular help (Victora and Nussenzweig, 2022; Chen et al., 2023). How GCs diversify antibody responses in the face of strong positive selection is less well understood. Our data indicate that even small amounts of specific polyclonal antibodies produced during the immune response are sufficient to diversify the GC. We hypothesize that this phenomenon is due to masking responses to immunodominant epitopes and by producing immune complexes that lower the affinity thresholds for B cell entry into the GC.

Antibody feedback has been studied primarily by passive antibody transfer in animals and humans (Heyman, 2000; Schaefer-Babajew et al., 2023; Cyster and Wilson, 2024). These experiments revealed that both IgM and IgG can produce immune complexes and mediate masking through interactions with Fc and complement receptors (Heyman, 2000). Moreover, recent work in antibody knock in mice producing antibodies of differing affinity to a selected epitope showed that the antibody feedback effects were dependent on affinity and that changes in the T cell compartment might also contribute (Barbulescu et al., 2025; Yan et al., 2025). In addition, even partial deletion of antibody secreting cells showed that masking impacts the composition of the GC (Schiepers et al., 2024). Our experiments extend

these findings to primary and secondary immune responses in animals that have an

otherwise intact polyclonal B and T cell compartment but are unable to produce

secreted antibodies. Our data show that antibody feedback is a major mechanism for

diversification of both primary and secondary humoral immune responses.

Diversification by antibody feedback has the potential to enable recall responses to

rapidly evolving pathogens. For example, although initial responses to SARS-CoV-2

were focused on highly strain specific epitopes, antibody feedback diversified the

response to include more conserved epitopes that offered some protection against

newly arising variants. However, our data indicate that these feedback effects pose

a very significant barrier to sequential immunization approaches such as those being

tested for HIV-1 that aim to focus immunity to a singular broadly neutralizing epitope.

Methods

Mice

C57BL/6J mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories. M-only and mM-only

mice were created and maintained at the Rockefeller University with assistance from

the Rockefeller University CRISPR and Genome Editing Center and Transgenic and

Reproductive Technology Center. Cas9 (IDT) and sgRNA (IDT) ribonucleoprotein

complexes targeting the IgH locus were electroporated into C57BL6/J single cell

mouse embryos, which were then recovered and incubated overnight at 37°C before

implantation into female foster mother mice. For M-only mice sgRNA spacer

sequences GTAGATCTCTTCCTAAGAGG and TTACTAGGCTCCTCCATATG were

used to delete *Ighd–Igha*. M-only mice were retargeted and with sgRNAs with spacer

sequences CGCCTGTGTCAGACATGATC and GGGTAGGACAAGCAACGCAC to

delete the part of *Ighm* exon 4 only found in the secreted IgM splice form, as well as

the subsequent stop codon and alternative, intronic polyadenylation site (Danner and

Leder, 1985; Boes *et al.*, 1998; Ehrenstein *et al.*, 1998; Waisman *et al.*, 2007). Cutsite

adjacent deletions were verified by PCR from tail DNA and Sanger sequencing of

PCR products. Sequences were analyzed using Geneious Prime (GraphPad). Mice

were bred to homozygosity. Presence or absence of antibody isotypes was verified

by flow cytometry and ELISA of serum in homozygous animals from homozygous

parents due to maternal transfer of antibodies in utero and through suckling.

Male and female mice aged 6-12 weeks were used. Animals were housed at the

Rockefeller University Comparative Bioscience Center and all animal procedures

were performed following protocols approved by the Rockefeller University

Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Animals were housed at an ambient

temperature of 22 °C and a humidity of 30–70 % under a 12 h–12 h light–dark cycle

with free access to food and water. For experimental endpoints, mice were

euthanized using CO_2, followed by cervical dislocation.

Immunization

All immunizations were performed by subcutaneous footpad injection of 5 µg of

immunogen in 33 % alhydrogel (Invivogen).

Serum infusion

Serum was obtained from immunized, time-matched C57BL/6J mice and pooled,

before being administered to recipients at the equivalent time points. Each recipient

received 200 μL of the pooled serum on a weekly basis.

Flow cytometry

For steady state experiments, mice aged from 10 to 11 weeks were sacrificed and

their spleen, mesenteric LN, Peyer's patches and bone marrow were isolated and

dissociated to obtain single-cell suspensions. Bone marrow from pelvic bone, femur

and tibia was isolated by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 15 s. Spleen and Peyer's

patches were forced through a 70 µm cell strainer. LNs were collected into 1.5 mL

Eppendorf tubes and dissociated using a pestle. Spleen and bone marrow pellets

were then incubated in ACK lysis buffer for 15 min on ice, washed and processed

together with the LN as described below.

For all experiments, single cell suspensions were incubated in Fc block (BD

Biosciences) for 15 min. Cells were then centrifuged at 350 g for 5 min and

resuspended and incubated in a solution of PBS diluted fluorescent-Biotin-antigen

tetramers for 30 min on ice. Additional labeled antibodies were then added to the

cells and samples were incubate for 30 additional minutes on ice. For intracellular

staining of Caspase 3, cells were permeabilized using eBioscience Foxp3

permeabilization kit (reference: 00-5523-00), washed in the supplied permeabilization

buffer and stained for 30 min at 4 °C. as per manufacturer's instructions. The different

cell populations were identified as follows: ProB (Dump$^-$, CD19$^+$, B220$^+$, IgM$^-$, CD2$^-$),

PreB (Dump$^-$, CD19$^+$, B220$^+$, IgM$^-$, CD2$^+$), Immature B (Dump$^-$, CD19$^+$, B220$^+$, IgM$^+$,

CD2$^+$, CD23$^-$), Mature B (Dump$^-$, CD19$^+$, B220$^+$, IgM$^+$, CD2$^+$, CD23$^+$), B1a (Dump$^-$,

CD19$^+$, B220$^-$, CD23$^-$, CD5$^+$), B1b (Dump$^-$, CD19$^+$, B220$^-$, CD23$^-$, CD5$^-$), B2 (Dump$^-$,

CD19$^+$, B220$^+$), Transitional B (Dump$^-$, CD19$^+$, B220$^+$, CD93$^+$), pre-marginal zone B

(Dump$^-$, CD19$^+$, B220$^+$, CD21^{hi}, CD93$^-$, IgM^{hi}, CD23$^+$), marginal zone B (Dump$^-$, CD19$^+$,

B220$^+$, CD21^{hi}, CD93$^-$, IgM^{hi}, CD23$^-$), Follicular B (Dump$^-$, CD19$^+$, B220$^+$, CD21^{int},

CD93$^-$, IgM$^+$), PC at steady state (Dump$^-$, CD98$^+$, TACI$^+$) or after immunization (Dump$^-$

CD98$^+$ CD138$^+$), GC B cells (Dump$^-$, CD98$^-$, CD138$^-$, B220$^+$, CD95$^+$, CD38$^-$). The Dump

channel contains Ly6G, F4/80, NK1.1, CD4, CD8 antibodies and Zombie-NIR

live/dead marker. Flow cytometric reagents are listed in Table S2.

Antigen tetramer staining was performed with a combination of two different

fluorescently-labelled streptavidin conjugates per antigen. Biotinylated antigens at

5 µg/mL were individually pre-incubated with each streptavidin-fluorophore (all

Biolegend) at a 1 µg/mL dilution before staining to allow tetramer formation, then

combined and cells resuspend in the mixture and incubated for 30 min on ice, before

staining for other surface markers. Samples were acquired on a BD LSRFortessa or

BD Symphony A3 or A5. All cell sorting was performed on the BD FACSymphony S6.

Data was analyzed using FlowJo 10.10.0.

Recombinant protein production

All expression vectors for recombinant protein production were confirmed by Sanger

(Azenta) or Oxford Nanopore sequencing (Plasmidsaurus). The construct encoding

the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 (GenBank MN985325.1; S protein residues 319–539) was

previously described (Barnes et al., 2020). All recombinant proteins were produced in

Expi293F cells (Gibco, A14527), except 426c.WT.SOSIP which was produced in

Expi293F GnTI- cells (Gibco, A39240). Transient transfections of expression plasmids

399 were performed using Expifectamine 293 transfection kit (Gibco, A14525) as

400 previously described (McGuire et al., 2014). In brief, 4-6 days post transfection,

401 culture supernatant was harvested, centrifuged to pellet cells and sterilized by

402 filtration for affinity chromatography. Trimeric Env proteins were purified by passing

403 the supernatant through an agarose-bound *Galanthus nivalis* lectin (GNL) resin

404 (Vector Laboratories) and subsequent size-exclusion chromatography (SEC). A Ni

405 Sepharose 6 Fast Flow resin (Cytiva, 117531803) was used for purification of His-

406 tagged proteins. Native gel electrophoresis identified peak fractions from size-

407 exclusion chromatography. Fractions corresponding to monomeric Envelope trimers,

408 Spike S6P protein trimers or RBD monomers were pooled and stored at -20 °C.

409 Antibodies were purified over a protein G Sepharose 4Fast Flow resin (Cytiva

410 70611805) and buffer exchanged into PBS and stored at -80 °C.

411 For random biotinylation, proteins were biotinylated using the EZ-Link-Sulfo-NHS-

412 LC-Biotinylation kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Thermo Fisher

413 Scientific, 31497). Excess biotin was removed by diafiltration with 100 kDa cutoff.

414 Biotinylated protein was stored at -20 °C or -80 °C.

415 Avi-tagged TM4 core gp120 or RBD were biotinylated using a BirA reaction according

416 to the manufacturer's instructions using a 5-fold molar excess of biotin (Avidity,

417 EC6.3.4.15), buffer exchanged to PBS and stored at -80°C.

419 **ELISA**

420 All ELISAs used Costar 96-well, half area, high binding, polystyrene assay plates

421 (Corning, Cat.# 3960), which were coated with the indicated antigen (TM4, SARS-

422 CoV-2 Wuhan-Hu-1 RBD or spike protein, all produced in house) or anti-isotype

antibody (anti-IgM, Southern Biotech, 1020-01; anti-IgG3, Southern Biotech, 1100-

01; anti-IgG1, Southern Biotech, 1070-01; anti-IgG2b, Southern Biotech, 1090-01;

anti-IgG2c, Jackson Immunoresearch, 115-005-208; anti-IgA, Southern Biotech,

1040-01) at 2 – 5 µg/mL in PBS over night at 4°C. Plates were blocked with 5 %

skimmed milk powder in PBS or 1 % BSA 0.1 mM EDTA 0.05 % Tween20 in PBS for

2 h at room temperature. 6 washes in PBS 0.05 % Tween 20 were performed after

every subsequent step. Sera were diluted in PBS at 1:50 to 1:100 top dilution and 1:3

(naïve and after prime) or 1:4 (after boosts) or 1:5 (steady state serum antibody levels)

serially diluted for an 8-point curve. Isotype antibody standards (Southern Biotech

5300-01B) were diluted to 10 µg/mL and diluted 1:5 in PBS for an 8-point curve.

Secondary antibodies conjugated to horseradish peroxidase were used to detect

bound antibodies mouse IgG (1:5000, Jackson ImmunoResearch Cat.# 115-035-071

or Southern Biotech Cat.# 1030-05) or mouse IgM, IgG3, IgG1, IgG2b, or IgA

(Southern Biotech Cat.# 5300-05B) or IgG2c (Jackson ImmunoResearch 115-035-

208) or total Ig (anti-kappa combined with anti-lambda light chain, Southern Biotech

Cat.#   5300-05B).   HRP   substrate   3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine   substrate

(ThermoFisher, Cat.# 34021) was used for development and the reaction was

stopped adding an equal volume of 1 M H_2SO_4 (Sigma). Absorbance was read at

450 nm and 570 nm on a FLUOstar Omega (BMG Labtech). For analysis of steady

state antibody concentration in serum, isotype standards were included on every

plate and used to fit a sigmoidal 4-parameter logistic regression standard curve in

GraphPad Prism 10 which was used to interpolate serum concentrations from

dilutions in the exponential phase of the curve. For total anti-antigen responses,

sigmoidal 4-parameter logistic regression was fitted to curves of every sample and

used to calculate the half maximal binding titer (BT_{50}). µg/mL values below detection

were set to minimum detection level based on control sera to avoid plotting 0 on

logarithmic plots. For samples where signal was too low for a curve fit BT_{50} was set

to 10, which is 5x above the highest measured dilution.

10x genomics and single-cell libraries

Indicated mice were immunized with either Spike, RBD or HIV proteins. Cell

suspensions were prepared as mentioned before from popliteal lymph nodes and

samples were indexed using TotalSeqC cell surface antibodies (Biolegend). Live,

Dump$^-$ B cells ($CD38^+$, GC B cells RBD^+ and GCB RBD^-), and PC were then isolated

flow cytometry and loaded onto the Chromium Controller from 10x Genomics.

scRNA-seq libraries were prepared using the Chromium Single Cell 5′ v.2 Reagent

Kit (10x Genomics) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Libraries were loaded

onto an Illumina NovaSeq for single-cell gene expression (GEX), VDJ analysis and

hashtags (HTO) library at the Rockefeller University Genomics Resource Center.

Hashtag indexing from TotalSeqC antibodies was used to demultiplex the

sequencing data and generate gene and barcode matrices, respectively.

All single-cell BCR libraries were mapped to the Cell Ranger VDJ GRCm38 reference

using Cell Ranger multi v.8.1.0 (10x genomics). Contigs containing less than 50 reads

and more than one heavy or light chain were removed. Antibodies heavy and light

chains were paired using in-house scripts, and further analyzed using igpipeline v.3

(https://github.com/stratust/igpipeline/tree/igpipeline3) to define clonotypes, as

previously described (Wang et al., 2023), using the mouse IMGT database as

reference (Lefranc, 2011).

For the primary response dataset analyses (Fig. 1 H and Fig. 3), scRNA-seq and

Hashtag-oligos unique molecular identifier quantification were performed with Cell

Ranger multi v.8.0.1 using the Cell Ranger GEX reference mm10, and analyzed in R

with Seurat v.5.1.0 (Hao et al., 2021). Cells were demultiplexed with

MULTISeqDemux, and those classified as doublets or with mitochondrial content

greater than 10 % and feature count less than 1,000 or greater than 6,500 were

excluded. Cell cycle genes were regressed out. Sample batches were then merged,

scaled and normalized with SCTransform. B cell (*Cd19, Ms4a1, Cd79a, Tnfrsf13c,*

Aicda, Lyz2, Cd63), Follicular (*Cd38, Cd55, Bcl2, Maml2, Notch2, Gpr183, Sell, Ccr6*),

and memory B cell (Binet et al., 2024) signatures were assigned using

AddModuleScore. Cells with low B cell module score ($\leq$ 25th percentile) or with high

memory B cell score ($\geq$ 75th percentile) were excluded. B cells expressing *Bcl6,*

Aicda, S1pr2 or *Fas* at high levels ($\geq$ 75th percentile) were classified as GC B cells,

whereas those with high follicular score ($\geq$ 75th percentile) were classified as follicular

B cells.

Statistical analysis

Details of statistics including tests used, exact values and n numbers are indicated in

figure legends and/or main text. Quantification and statistical analyses were

performed in R (v4.4.0) with Rstudio server (2024.04.0 Build 735) and/or GraphPad

Prism (Version 10.2.3), unless otherwise detailed in this methods section. Graphs

generated using Prism and R were assembled into figures using Adobe Illustrator.

Flow cytometry analysis was performed in FlowJo v.10.10.0 software (BD).

References

Anderson, S.M., L.G. Hannum, and M.J. Shlomchik. 2006. Cutting Edge: Memory B Cell Survival and Function in the Absence of Secreted Antibody and Immune Complexes on Follicular Dendritic Cells. *The Journal of Immunology*. 176:4515–4519. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.176.8.4515.

Andrews, S.F., K. Kaur, N.T. Pauli, M. Huang, Y. Huang, and P.C. Wilson. 2015. High Preexisting Serological Antibody Levels Correlate with Diversification of the Influenza Vaccine Response. *J Virol*. 89:3308–3317. doi:10.1128/JVI.02871-14.

Bannard, O., and J.G. Cyster. 2017. Germinal centers: programmed for affinity maturation and antibody diversification. *Current Opinion in Immunology*. 45:21–30. doi:10.1016/j.coi.2016.12.004.

Barbulescu, A., J. Bilanovic, T. Langelaar, A.K. Teetz, L. Urnavicius, A. Hobbs, J.-J. Shen, N.H. Abrahamse, R.V.H. De Carvalho, L. Mesin, C.L. Ferreira, J. Bortolatto, and G.D. Victora. 2025. Antibody-mediated feedback modulates interclonal competition in the germinal center. doi:10.1101/2025.11.06.686519.

Barnes, C.O., A.P. West, K.E. Huey-Tubman, M.A.G. Hoffmann, N.G. Sharaf, P.R. Hoffman, N. Koranda, H.B. Gristick, C. Gaebler, F. Muecksch, J.C.C. Lorenzi, S. Finkin, T. Hägglöf, A. Hurley, K.G. Millard, Y. Weisblum, F. Schmidt, T. Hatziioannou, P.D. Bieniasz, M. Caskey, D.F. Robbiani, M.C. Nussenzweig, and P.J. Bjorkman. 2020. Structures of Human Antibodies Bound to SARS-CoV-2 Spike Reveal Common Epitopes and Recurrent Features of Antibodies. *Cell*. 182:828-842.e16. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.025.

Binet, L., C. Dong, N. Attaf, L. Gil, M. Fallet, T. Boudier, B. Escalière, L. Chasson, C. Siret, S. Van De Pavert, J.-M. Navarro, and P. Milpied. 2024. Specific pre-plasma cell states and local proliferation at the dark zone - medulla interface characterize germinal center-derived plasma cell differentiation in lymph node. doi:10.1101/2024.07.26.605240.

Boes, M., C. Esau, M.B. Fischer, T. Schmidt, M. Carroll, and J. Chen. 1998. Enhanced B-1 cell development, but impaired IgG antibody responses in mice deficient in secreted IgM. *J Immunol*. 160:4776–4787.

Bonaud, A., L. Gargowitsch, S.M. Gilbert, E. Rajan, P. Canales-Herrerias, D. Stockholm, N.F. Rahman, M.O. Collins, H. Taskiran, D.L. Hill, A. Alloatti, N. Alouche, S. Balor, V. Soldan, D. Gillet, J. Barbier, F. Bachelerie, K.G.C. Smith, J. Jellusova, P. Bruhns, S. Amigorena, K. Balabanian, M.A. Linterman, A.A. Peden, and M. Espéli. 2023. Sec22b is a critical and nonredundant regulator of plasma cell maintenance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 120:e2213056120. doi:10.1073/pnas.2213056120.

Burton, D.R. 2019. Advancing an HIV vaccine; advancing vaccinology. *Nat Rev*
Immunol. 19:77–78. doi:10.1038/s41577-018-0103-6.

Chen, S.T., T.Y. Oliveira, A. Gazumyan, M. Cipolla, and M.C. Nussenzweig. 2023. B
cell receptor signaling in germinal centers prolongs survival and primes B cells
for selection. *Immunity*. 56:547-561.e7. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2023.02.003.

Cyster, J.G., and P.C. Wilson. 2024. Antibody modulation of B cell responses—
Incorporating positive and negative feedback. *Immunity*. 57:1466–1481.
doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2024.06.009.

Dal Porto, J.M., A.M. Haberman, G. Kelsoe, and M.J. Shlomchik. 2002. Very Low
Affinity B Cells Form Germinal Centers, Become Memory B Cells, and
Participate in Secondary Immune Responses When Higher Affinity
Competition Is Reduced. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*. 195:1215–1221.
doi:10.1084/jem.20011550.

Danner, D., and P. Leder. 1985. Role of an RNA cleavage/poly(A) addition site in the
production ofmembrane-bound and secreted IgM mRNA. *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences. 8658–8662.

De Carvalho, R.V.H., J. Ersching, A. Barbulescu, A. Hobbs, T.B.R. Castro, L. Mesin,
J.T. Jacobsen, B.K. Phillips, H.-H. Hoffmann, R. Parsa, M.C.C. Canesso, C.R.
Nowosad, A. Feng, S.R. Leist, R.S. Baric, E. Yang, P.J. Utz, and G.D. Victora.
2023. Clonal replacement sustains long-lived germinal centers primed by
respiratory viruses. *Cell*. 186:131-146.e13. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2022.11.031.

Eckl-Dorna, J., S. Villazala-Merino, B. Linhart, A.V. Karaulov, Y. Zhernov, M. Khaitov,
V. Niederberger-Leppin, and R. Valenta. 2019. Allergen-Specific Antibodies
Regulate Secondary Allergen-Specific Immune Responses. *Front. Immunol.*
9:3131. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2018.03131.

Ehrenstein, M.R., T.L. O'Keefe, S.L. Davies, and M.S. Neuberger. 1998. Targeted
gene disruption reveals a role for natural secretory IgM in the maturation of the
primary immune response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 95:10089–10093.
doi:10.1073/pnas.95.17.10089.

ElTanbouly, M.A., V. Ramos, A.J. MacLean, S.T. Chen, M. Loewe, S. Steinbach, T.
Ben Tanfous, B. Johnson, M. Cipolla, A. Gazumyan, T.Y. Oliveira, and M.C.
Nussenzweig. 2024. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*. 221:e20231838.
doi:10.1084/jem.20231838.

Escolano, A., H.B. Gristick, M.E. Abernathy, J. Merkenschlager, R. Gautam, T.Y.
Oliveira, J. Pai, A.P. West, C.O. Barnes, A.A. Cohen, H. Wang, J. Golijanin, D.
Yost, J.R. Keeffe, Z. Wang, P. Zhao, K.-H. Yao, J. Bauer, L. Nogueira, H. Gao,
A.V. Voll, D.C. Montefiori, M.S. Seaman, A. Gazumyan, M. Silva, A.T. McGuire,
L. Stamatatos, D.J. Irvine, L. Wells, M.A. Martin, P.J. Bjorkman, and M.C.
Nussenzweig. 2019. Immunization expands B cells specific to HIV-1 V3 glycan
in mice and macaques. *Nature*. 570:468–473. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1250-
z.

Escolano, A., H.B. Gristick, R. Gautam, A.T. DeLaitsch, M.E. Abernathy, Z. Yang, H.
Wang, M.A.G. Hoffmann, Y. Nishimura, Z. Wang, N. Koranda, L.M. Kakutani,
H. Gao, P.N.P. Gnanapragasam, H. Raina, A. Gazumyan, M. Cipolla, T.Y.
Oliveira, V. Ramos, D.J. Irvine, M. Silva, A.P. West, J.R. Keeffe, C.O. Barnes,
M.S. Seaman, M.C. Nussenzweig, M.A. Martin, and P.J. Bjorkman. 2021.
Sequential immunization of macaques elicits heterologous neutralizing
antibodies targeting the V3-glycan patch of HIV-1 Env. *Sci. Transl. Med.*
13:eabk1533. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.abk1533.

Escolano, A., J.M. Steichen, P. Dosenovic, D.W. Kulp, J. Golijanin, D. Sok, N.T.
Freund, A.D. Gitlin, T. Oliveira, T. Araki, S. Lowe, S.T. Chen, J. Heinemann, K.-
H. Yao, E. Georgeson, K.L. Saye-Francisco, A. Gazumyan, Y. Adachi, M.
Kubitz, D.R. Burton, W.R. Schief, and M.C. Nussenzweig. 2016. Sequential
Immunization Elicits Broadly Neutralizing Anti-HIV-1 Antibodies in Ig Knockin
Mice. *Cell*. 166:1445-1458.e12. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.07.030.

Hägglöf, T., M. Cipolla, M. Loewe, S.T. Chen, E.E. Kara, L. Mesin, H. Hartweger, M.A.
ElTanbouly, A. Cho, A. Gazumyan, V. Ramos, L. Stamatatos, T.Y. Oliveira,
M.C. Nussenzweig, and C. Viant. 2023. Continuous germinal center invasion
contributes to the diversity of the immune response. *Cell*. 186:147-161.e15.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2022.11.032.

Hannum, L.G., A.M. Haberman, S.M. Anderson, and M.J. Shlomchik. 2000. Germinal
Center Initiation, Variable Gene Region Hypermutation, and Mutant B Cell
Selection without Detectable Immune Complexes on Follicular Dendritic Cells.
The Journal of Experimental Medicine. 192:931–942.
doi:10.1084/jem.192.7.931.

Hao, Y., S. Hao, E. Andersen-Nissen, W.M. Mauck, S. Zheng, A. Butler, M.J. Lee, A.J.
Wilk, C. Darby, M. Zager, P. Hoffman, M. Stoeckius, E. Papalexi, E.P. Mimitou,
J. Jain, A. Srivastava, T. Stuart, L.M. Fleming, B. Yeung, A.J. Rogers, J.M.
McElrath, C.A. Blish, R. Gottardo, P. Smibert, and R. Satija. 2021. Integrated
analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell*. 184:3573-3587.e29.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.048.

Haynes, B.F., K. Wiehe, P. Borrow, K.O. Saunders, B. Korber, K. Wagh, A.J.
McMichael, G. Kelsoe, B.H. Hahn, F. Alt, and G.M. Shaw. 2023. Strategies for
HIV-1 vaccines that induce broadly neutralizing antibodies. *Nat Rev Immunol*.
23:142–158. doi:10.1038/s41577-022-00753-w.

Heyman, B. 2000. Regulation of Antibody Responses via Antibodies, Complement,
and Fc Receptors. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 18:709–737.
doi:10.1146/annurev.immunol.18.1.709.

Inoue, T., R. Shinnakasu, C. Kawai, W. Ise, E. Kawakami, N. Sax, T. Oki, T. Kitamura,
K. Yamashita, H. Fukuyama, and T. Kurosaki. 2021. Exit from germinal center
to become quiescent memory B cells depends on metabolic reprograming and
provision of a survival signal. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*.
218:e20200866. doi:10.1084/jem.20200866.

Inoue, T., R. Shinnakasu, and T. Kurosaki. 2022. Generation of High Quality Memory B Cells. *Front. Immunol.* 12:825813. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.825813.

Ise, W., K. Fujii, K. Shiroguchi, A. Ito, K. Kometani, K. Takeda, E. Kawakami, K. Yamashita, K. Suzuki, T. Okada, and T. Kurosaki. 2018. T Follicular Helper Cell-Germinal Center B Cell Interaction Strength Regulates Entry into Plasma Cell or Recycling Germinal Center Cell Fate. *Immunity*. 48:702-715.e4. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2018.03.027.

Iwakoshi, N.N., A. Lee, and L.H. Glimcher. 2003. The X-box binding protein-1 transcription factor is required for plasma cell differentiation and the unfolded protein response. *Immunological Reviews*. 194:29–38. doi:10.1034/j.1600-065X.2003.00057.x.

Kitamura, D., J. Roes, R. Kühn, and K. Rajewsky. 1991. A B cell-deficient mouse by targeted disruption of the membrane exon of the immunoglobulin μ chain gene. *Nature*. 350:423–426. doi:10.1038/350423a0.

Kräutler, N.J., D. Suan, D. Butt, K. Bourne, J.R. Hermes, T.D. Chan, C. Sundling, W. Kaplan, P. Schofield, J. Jackson, A. Basten, D. Christ, and R. Brink. 2017. Differentiation of germinal center B cells into plasma cells is initiated by high-affinity antigen and completed by Tfh cells. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*. 214:1259–1267. doi:10.1084/jem.20161533.

Lefranc, M.-P. 2011. IMGT, the International ImMunoGeneTics Information System. *Cold Spring Harb Protoc*. 2011:pdb.top115. doi:10.1101/pdb.top115.

MacLean, A.J., L.P. Deimel, P. Zhou, M.A. ElTanbouly, J. Merkenschlager, V. Ramos, G.S. Santos, T. Hägglöf, C.T. Mayer, B. Hernandez, A. Gazumyan, and M.C. Nussenzweig. 2025. Affinity maturation of antibody responses is mediated by differential plasma cell proliferation. *Science*. 387:413–420. doi:10.1126/science.adr6896.

Malladi, S.K., D. Jaiswal, B. Ying, W.B. Alsoussi, T.L. Darling, B. Dadonaite, A. Civljak, S.C. Horvath, J.Q. Zhou, W. Kim, J.S. Turner, A.J. Schmitz, F. Han, S.M. Scheaffer, C.W. Farnsworth, R. Nachbagauer, B. Nestorova, S. Chalkias, M.K. Klebert, D.K. Edwards, R. Paris, B.S. Strnad, W.D. Middleton, J.A. O'Halloran, R.M. Presti, J.D. Bloom, A.C.M. Boon, M.S. Diamond, G. Bajic, and A.H. Ellebedy. 2025. Germinal center–mediated broadening of B cell responses to SARS-CoV-2 booster immunization. *Sci. Immunol.* 10:eadu4107. doi:10.1126/sciimmunol.adu4107.

McGuire, A.T., A.M. Dreyer, S. Carbonetti, A. Lippy, J. Glenn, J.F. Scheid, H. Mouquet, and L. Stamatatos. 2014. Antigen modification regulates competition of broad and narrow neutralizing HIV antibodies. *Science*. 346:1380–1383. doi:10.1126/science.1259206.

McGuire, A.T., M.D. Gray, P. Dosenovic, A.D. Gitlin, N.T. Freund, J. Petersen, C. Correnti, W. Johnsen, R. Kegel, A.B. Stuart, J. Glenn, M.S. Seaman, W.R. Schief, R.K. Strong, M.C. Nussenzweig, and L. Stamatatos. 2016. Specifically

658   modified Env immunogens activate B-cell precursors of broadly neutralizing
659   HIV-1 antibodies in transgenic mice. *Nat Commun*. 7:10618.
660   doi:10.1038/ncomms10618.

661 Merkenschlager, J., R.-M. Berz, V. Ramos, M. Uhlig, A.J. MacLean, C.R. Nowosad,
662   T.Y. Oliveira, and M.C. Nussenzweig. 2023. Continually recruited naïve T cells
663   contribute to the follicular helper and regulatory T cell pools in germinal
664   centers. *Nat Commun*. 14:6944. doi:10.1038/s41467-023-41880-9.

665 Mesin, L., A. Schiepers, J. Ersching, A. Barbulescu, C.B. Cavazzoni, A. Angelini, T.
666   Okada, T. Kurosaki, and G.D. Victora. 2019. Restricted Clonality and Limited
667   Germinal Center Reentry Characterize Memory B Cell Reactivation by
668   Boosting. *Cell*. 0. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.11.032.

669 Nussenzweig, M.C., A.C. Shaw, E. Sinn, D.B. Danner, K.L. Holmes, H.C. Morse, and
670   P. Leder. 1987. Allelic Exclusion in Transgenic Mice That Express the
671   Membrane form of Immunoglobulin μ. *Science*. 236:816–819.
672   doi:10.1126/science.3107126.

673 Nutt, S.L., P.D. Hodgkin, D.M. Tarlinton, and L.M. Corcoran. 2015. The generation of
674   antibody-secreting plasma cells. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 15:160–171.
675   doi:10.1038/nri3795.

676 Schaefer-Babajew, D., Z. Wang, F. Muecksch, A. Cho, M. Loewe, M. Cipolla, R.
677   Raspe, B. Johnson, M. Canis, J. DaSilva, V. Ramos, M. Turroja, K.G. Millard,
678   F. Schmidt, L. Witte, J. Dizon, I. Shimeliovich, K.-H. Yao, T.Y. Oliveira, A.
679   Gazumyan, C. Gaebler, P.D. Bieniasz, T. Hatziioannou, M. Caskey, and M.C.
680   Nussenzweig. 2023. Antibody feedback regulates immune memory after
681   SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination. *Nature*. 613:735–742. doi:10.1038/s41586-
682   022-05609-w.

683 Schiepers, A., M.F.L. Van'T Wout, A. Hobbs, L. Mesin, and G.D. Victora. 2024.
684   Opposing effects of pre-existing antibody and memory T cell help on the
685   dynamics of recall germinal centers. *Immunity*. 57:1618-1628.e4.
686   doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2024.05.009.

687 Smith, T. 1909. ACTIVE IMMUNITY PRODUCED BY SO CALLED BALANCED OR
688   NEUTRAL MIXTURES OF DIPHTHERIA TOXIN AND ANTITOXIN. *Journal of
689   Experimental Medicine*. 11:241–256. doi:10.1084/jem.11.2.241.

690 Suan, D., C. Sundling, and R. Brink. 2017. Plasma cell and memory B cell
691   differentiation from the germinal center. *Current Opinion in Immunology*.
692   45:97–102. doi:10.1016/j.coi.2017.03.006.

693 Tas, J.M.J., J.-H. Koo, Y.-C. Lin, Z. Xie, J.M. Steichen, A.M. Jackson, B.M. Hauser,
694   X. Wang, C.A. Cottrell, J.L. Torres, J.E. Warner, K.H. Kirsch, S.R. Weldon, B.
695   Groschel, B. Nogal, G. Ozorowski, S. Bangaru, N. Phelps, Y. Adachi, S.
696   Eskandarzadeh, M. Kubitz, D.R. Burton, D. Lingwood, A.G. Schmidt, U. Nair,
697   A.B. Ward, W.R. Schief, and F.D. Batista. 2022. Antibodies from primary

humoral responses modulate the recruitment of naive B cells during secondary
responses. *Immunity*. 55:1856-1871.e6. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2022.07.020.

Todd, D.J., L.J. McHeyzer-Williams, C. Kowal, A.-H. Lee, B.T. Volpe, B. Diamond,
M.G. McHeyzer-Williams, and L.H. Glimcher. 2009. XBP1 governs late events
in plasma cell differentiation and is not required for antigen-specific memory B
cell development. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*. 206:2151–2159.
doi:10.1084/jem.20090738.

Viant, C., G.H.J. Weymar, A. Escolano, S. Chen, H. Hartweger, M. Cipolla, A.
Gazumyan, and M.C. Nussenzweig. 2020. Antibody Affinity Shapes the Choice
between Memory and Germinal Center B Cell Fates. *Cell*.
S0092867420313040. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.09.063.

Victora, G.D., and M.C. Nussenzweig. 2022. Germinal Centers. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.*
40:413–442. doi:10.1146/annurev-immunol-120419-022408.

Waisman, A., M. Kraus, J. Seagal, S. Ghosh, D. Melamed, J. Song, Y. Sasaki, S.
Classen, C. Lutz, F. Brombacher, L. Nitschke, and K. Rajewsky. 2007. IgG1 B
cell receptor signaling is inhibited by CD22 and promotes the development of
B cells whose survival is less dependent on Igα/β. *The Journal of Experimental
Medicine*. 204:747–758. doi:10.1084/jem.20062024.

Wang, Y., J. Shi, J. Yan, Z. Xiao, X. Hou, P. Lu, S. Hou, T. Mao, W. Liu, Y. Ma, L.
Zhang, X. Yang, and H. Qi. 2017. Germinal-center development of memory B
cells driven by IL-9 from follicular helper T cells. *Nat Immunol*. 18:921–930.
doi:10.1038/ni.3788.

Wang, Z., F. Muecksch, R. Raspe, F. Johannsen, M. Turroja, M. Canis, M.A.
ElTanbouly, G.S.S. Santos, B. Johnson, V.A. Baharani, R. Patejak, K.-H. Yao,
B.J. Chirco, K.G. Millard, I. Shimeliovich, A. Gazumyan, T.Y. Oliveira, P.D.
Bieniasz, T. Hatziioannou, M. Caskey, and M.C. Nussenzweig. 2023. Memory
B cell development elicited by mRNA booster vaccinations in the elderly.
Journal of Experimental Medicine. 220:e20230668.
doi:10.1084/jem.20230668.

Woodruff, M.C., E.H. Kim, W. Luo, and B. Pulendran. 2018. B Cell Competition for
Restricted T Cell Help Suppresses Rare-Epitope Responses. *Cell Reports*.
25:321-327.e3. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.09.029.

Yan, Y., X. Wang, Z. Xie, D.L.V. Bader, R.H. Lim, K.M. Ma, C.A. Cottrell, J.M. Steichen,
L. Xu, P.M. Villavicencio, M. Akauliya, J.-H. Koo, J.M. Shen, A. Vernich, O.
Kalyuzhniy, J.D. Allen, A.A. Albowaidey, A. Alicea, B. Chen, E. Georgeson, J.R.
Ellis-Pugh, N. Alavi, A. Esposito, H. Naili, N. Phelps, B. Kelley, M. Kubitz, Q.A.
Phan, A. Liguori, T. Prum, R. Tingle, D. Lu, S. Eskandarzadeh, X. Liu, J.E.
Warner, S.R. Weldon, S. Himansu, M. Crispin, U. Nair, S. Liu, W.R. Schief, and
F.D. Batista. 2025. Antibody feedback establishes an affinity brake in the
germinal center. doi:10.1101/2025.11.13.688298.

Zotos, D., and D.M. Tarlinton. 2012. Determining germinal centre B cell fate. *Trends*
in Immunology. 33:281–288. doi:10.1016/j.it.2012.04.003.

 Figures and figure legends

Figure 1

Figure 1. B cell development in M-only and mM-only mice. (**A**) Schematic shows

structure of *Ighm* exon 4 in WT, M-only and mM-only mice. (**B**) Number of pro-, pre-

, immature and mature B cells in the bone marrow on one leg by flow cytometry.

Gating as in Fig. S1 A. (**C**) Number of the splenic B cell subsets by flow cytometry.

Gating as in Fig. S1 B. (**D**) Percentage of splenic marginal zone B cells. Gating in Fig.

S1B. (**E**) Number of live B cells in spleen, mesenteric lymph node (mLN) and Peyer's

Patches (PP) by flow cytometry. Gating in Fig. S1B-D. (**F**) Number of plasma cells in

the bone marrow of one leg by flow cytometry. (**G**) ELISA quantification of total IgM,

IgG3, IgG1, IgG2b, IgG2c and IgA in the serum of WT, M-only and mM-only mice. (**H**)

Bar graph depicting the relative abundance of Ighv (left panel) and Igkv (right panel)

gene usage in follicular B cells. Top ten most frequent genes ranked by the mean

frequency in mM-only mice are shown. Data was pooled from two independent

experiments. Each dot or circle represents a mouse. P-values of non-parametric one-

way ANOVA with Tukey's Honest Significance test are shown. All experiments were

performed at least twice. $**p < 0.01$ $***p < 0.001$. Bars indicate mean $\pm$ standard

deviation (SD).

Figure 2

Figure 2. Primary vaccine responses. (A) Diagram of the experimental protocol. (**B**)

ELISA quantification of anti-RBD antibodies in the serum measured every 3 to 5 days

between D0 and D150. (**C**) As in (B) for IgG anti-RBD antibodies in the serum. Thin

lines (in B and C) represent single mice, bold lines represent group mean. (**D**) Serum

anti-RBD IgM ELISA 0 or 14 after immunization. (**E**) Flow cytometric gating for CD38$^-$

CD95$^+$ GC B cells. Pre-gated on B220$^+$ cells in draining lymph nodes (dLN). (**F**)

Quantification of GC B cells from (E) at the indicated time points after immunization.

(**G**) Flow cytometry gating for Caspase3$^+$ cells among CD138$^{int/+}$ live Dump$^-$ cells. (**H**)

Quantification of (G) among CD138$^{int/+}$ live Dump$^-$ cells in dLN 14 days after

immunization. (**I**) Flow cytometric gating for CD98$^+$ CD138$^+$ PC pre-gated on live

Dump$^-$ cells in the dLN. (**J**) Quantification of (I) at the indicated times after

immunization. (**K**) Flow cytometric gating of RBD$^+$ cells among GC B cells in the

draining LNs. Pre-gated for GC as in (E). (**L**) Quantification of (K) at the indicated time

points after immunization. Each dot in all dot plots represents one mouse. P-values

of non-parametric one-way ANOVA are shown. All experiments were performed at

least twice. Bars in (D, F, H, J and L) indicate mean $\pm$ SD. **p <0.01, *p<0.05.

Figure 3

Figure 3. Primary GC antibody sequences. (**A**) Pie charts depicting the distribution of antibody sequences pooled from 4 mice/genotype obtained 14 days after prime immunization from GC B cells. Inner circle numbers indicate the number of sequences analyzed for each genotype. White section indicates sequences non-expanded sequences, colored or black pie slices are proportional to the number of clonally related sequences. The outlined black line indicates the percentages of cells in a clonal family. (**B**) Dot plot illustrating the percentage of clonally expanded sequences within each mouse. Each dots indicates one mouse. Bars indicate mean. (**C**) Dot plot showing clonotypes size distribution. Bars indicate median. Each dot indicates a clone. (**D**) Dot plot showing Shannon entropy scores for the sequences depicted in (A) within each mouse. Bars indicate median. (**E**) Dot plot showing combined VH + VL gene nucleotide mutations among sequences show in (A). Each dot indicates an antibody VH+VL pair. Bars indicate median. (**F**) Dot plot depicting inverse Simpson index for sequences shown in (A) for each mouse. Each dots indicates one mouse. Bars indicate median. (**G**) Bar graphs showing the relative abundance of mouse Ighv and Igkv gene usage among sequences shown in (A). Top ten most frequent genes ranked by the mean frequency in mM-only mice are shown. Bars indicate mean ± SD. Statistics in (A) indicate chi-squared test with Monte Carlo p-value simulation, p-values were subsequently corrected using the Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) procedure. Statistics in (B)-(F) indicate two-sided Mann-Whitney U test. ****p<0.0001, ***p <0.001,**p <0.01, *p<0.05.

Figure 4

Figure 4. Prime boost vaccination. (**A**) Diagram of the experimental protocol for (A)

to (J). (**B**) ELISA quantification of total anti-RBD antibodies in the serum measured

weekly from D6 to D97 after prime immunization. Thin lines represent single mice,

bold line indicates group mean. Dashed red lines indicate booster immunizations. (**C**)

Percentage of GC B cells among B220$^+$ B cells in the draining LN at D98. Gating as

in (Fig. 2 E). (**D**) Percentage of RBD-binding GC B cells in the draining LN at D98.

Each dot represents one mouse. Gating as in (Fig. 2 K). Each dot represents one

mouse. Bars indicate mean ± SD (**E**) Pie charts depicting the distribution of RBD-

binding, Spike-binding or non-binding recombinant antibodies cloned from the

largest expanded clones of 4 mice/group of GC B cells on D98 from draining LNs.

$BT_{50} < 10$ μg/mL considered binders. Inner circle numbers indicate the number of

recombinant antibodies analyzed. (**F**) Dot plot showing the percentage of clonal

sequences from GC B cells on Day 98. Each dot represents one mouse. Bars indicate

median. (**G**) Number of mutations in GC B cells on D98. Each dot represents one

clone. Bars indicate median. (**H**) Dot plot depicting the Shannon entropy for

sequences from (F). (**I**) Dot plots depicting the inverse Simpson index for sequences

from (F). Each dot represents one mouse. Bars indicate median. (**J**) Bar graphs

showing the relative abundance of mouse Ighv and Igkv gene usage among

sequences from (F). Top ten most frequent genes ranked by the mean frequency in

mM-only mice are shown. Bars indicate mean ± SD. (**K**) Diagram of the experimental

protocol for (L) and (M). (**L**) Percentage of GC B cells among B220$^+$ B cells in the

draining LN on day 98. Each dot represents one mouse. Gating as in (Fig. 2 E). Bars

indicate mean ± SD (**M**) Percentage of RBD-binding GC B cells. Each dot represents

one mouse. Gating as in (Fig. 2K). Bars indicate mean ± SD. Statistics in (C), (D), (J),

(L) and (M) indicate non-parametric, one-way ANOVA p-values. Statistics in (E)-(I)

indicate two-sided Mann-Whitney U test. ****$p<0.0001$, ***$p<0.001$,**$p<0.01$,

*$p<0.05$. All flow cytometry experiments are from at least 2 independent experiments.

Figure 5

Figure 5. Sequential immunization with an HIV-1 antigen series. (A) Diagram of the experimental protocol. **(B)** ELISA quantification of total anti-TM4-core serum antibodies measured weekly from day 6 to 69. Thin lines represent single mice, bold lines represent group mean. **(C)** Percentage of GC B cells among B220$^+$ B cells in the draining LN on day 96. Gating as in (Fig. 2 E). Bars indicate mean ± SD. **(D)** Dot plot showing combined VH + VL gene nucleotide mutations among GC B cells sequences on day 96. Each dot indicates an antibody VH+VL pair. Bars indicate median. **(E)** Proportions of clonal sequences within each mouse at day 96. Each dot indicates sequences from one mouse. Bars indicate median. **(F)** Bar graph showing the relative abundance of mouse Ighv left panel) and Igkv (right panel) gene usage in GC B cells on day 96. Top ten most frequent genes ranked by the mean frequency in mM-only mice are shown. Bars indicate mean ± SD **(G)** Dot plot depicting the percentage of clonal sequences from GC B cells on day 96. Each dot indicates a clone. Bars indicate median. **(H)** Dot plot depicting Inversed Simpson index of antibody sequences from day 96 GC B cells. Each dots represents one mouse. Bars indicate median. **(I)** Dot plot showing the Shannon entropy index form day 96 GC B cells. Each dots represents one mouse. Bars indicate median. **(J)** Percentages of TM4-binding GC B cells. p-values of non-parametric one-way ANOVA indicated. ***p <0.001.

Figure S1

Figure S1. Analysis of M-only and mM-only mouse strains. Related to Fig. 1. (**A**)

Flow cytometric gating strategy in the bone marrow. All stainings were cell surface

and not intracellular stainings. (**B**) Flow cytometric gating strategy in the spleen. (**C**)

Flow cytometric gating strategy in the mesenteric LN (mLN). (**D**) Flow cytometric

gating strategy in the Peyer's patches (PP). (**E**) Percentages of splenic, transitional

(Transit), pre-marginal zone (Pre-MZB), marginal zone (MZB) , and follicular (FoB) B

cells among live Dump⁻ cells. (**F**) Percentages of transitional T1 and T2 B cells in live

Dump⁻ cells. (**G**) Percentages of splenic B1a, B1b and B2 cells among live Dump⁻

cells. (**H**) Percentages of splenic κ or λ light chain bearing mature B cells. (**I**) Bar

graphs showing Iglv gene usage in follicular B cells. Top ten most frequent genes

ranked by the mean frequency in mM-only mice are shown. (**J**) Geometric mean

fluorescence intensity (GMFI) of IgM-FITC in the bone marrow mature B cells and

splenic follicular B cells. (**K**) Proportions of DZ and LZ B cells in mesenteric lymph

nodes at steady state. (**L**) Proportions of T follicular helper cells in mLN at steady

state in total CD3⁺ T cells. Each dot represents a single mouse. Bars indicate mean ±

SD.

Figure S2

Figure S2. Prime immunization with RBD. Related to Fig. 2 and 3. (**A**) Absolute

numbers of GC B cells in draining LN at steady state (left) and 14 days after RBD

immunization (right). (**B**) Proportions of GC B cells in B220$^+$ B cells at day 28 after

immunization. (**C**) Proportions of RBD-specific cells in GC B cells at day 28 after

immunization. (**D**) Flow cytometric gating strategy for PC. (**E**) Proportions of PC in live

dump$^-$ cells. (**F**) Numbers of RBD$^+$ GC B cells at D7, D14, D21 and D28 after RBD

immunization. (**G**) IgM geometric mean fluorescence intensity (GMFI) in CD95$^+$ B220$^+$

GC B cells. (**H**) IgM GMFI in RBD-specific CD95$^+$ B220$^+$ GC B cells. (**I**) Pie charts

depicting the distribution of antibody sequences obtained 14 days after prime

immunization from GC B cells from 4 mice/genotype. Inner circle numbers indicate

the number of sequences analyzed for each mouse. White section indicates non-

expanded sequences, colored or black pie slices are proportional to the number of

clonally related sequences. The outlined black line indicates the percentages of cells

in a clonal family. (**J**) Iglv gene usage frequency in genotypes. VH genes split by the

mean frequency in mM-only are shown. Top 10 highest frequency (hi) and remaining

low frequency VH genes (lo) are plotted. Each dots represents a VH gene. Bars

indicate median. (**K**) Dot plot showing the number of VH nucleotide somatic

hypermutations in sequences from top 10 most frequent V genes in mM-only mice

from Fig. 5 F (Hi) compared with sequences from all remaining low-frequency V

genes. Each dot indicates VH sequence from one cell. Bars indicate median. Each

dot represents a single mouse. Bars indicate mean ± SD. *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$ in one-

way ANOVA.

Figure S3

Figure S3. Analysis of anti-Spike prime boost responses. Related to Fig. 4. (**A**)

ELISA quantification of anti-RBD IgG antibodies in the serum of mice from Fig. 4

measured weekly from day 6 to day 97. Thin lines represent single mice, bold lines

represent group mean. (**B**) Bar graph of recombinant antibodies binding to Spike (top)

or RBD (bottom) protein. Each bar represents one antibody. (**C**) Dot plot depicting

somatic hypermutations in GC B cells on day 98 from Fig. 4. Each dot indicates one

sequence. Bars indicate median. P-value of two-sided Mann-Whitney U test

indicated. ****p<0.0001

Figure S4

Figure S4. Analysis of serum transfer experiment. Related to Fig. 5. (**A**) ELISA

quantification of anti-RBD total Ig antibodies in the serum measured weekly from day

0 to day 55. Red dashed lines indicate immunizations, black triangles indicate serum

transfer. Thin lines indicate individual mice, bold lines indicate group mean. (**B**)

Number of GC B cells in dLN. (**C**) Number of RBD-specific GC B cells in dLN. Each

dot represents a single mouse, bars indicate mean ± SD.

Figure S5

Figure S5. Analysis of anti-HIV-1 sequential immunization. Related to Fig. 5. (**A**)

Number of GC B cells in draining lymph nodes after sequential immunizations on day

96. (**B**) Dot plot showing combined VH + VL gene nucleotide mutations among

clonally expanded sequences compared with non-expanded sequences (singlets).

Each dot indicates an antibody VH+VL pair. Bars indicate median. (**C**) Bar graphs

showing Iglv gene usage in GC B cells. Top ten most frequent genes ranked by the

mean frequency in mM-only mice are shown. (**D**) Number of TM4-binding GC B cells

in sequentially immunized mice on day 96 in draining LNs. Each dot represents a

single mouse, bars indicate mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated. ***$p < 0.001$ non-

parametric one-way ANOVA p-values are shown.

Table S1. Recombinant antibody sequences and binding data. Related to Fig. 4.

Table S2. Flow Cytometric reagents.

Acknowledgements

We thank Kristie Gordon and Jean-Philip Truman for assistance with cell sorting, Thomas Eisenreich for help with mouse colony management and technical help; Masa Jankovic and Tacio A. Waldetario for laboratory support; and all members of the Nussenzweig laboratory and HIVRAD P01 (2P01AI100148) collaborators for helpful discussions.

Funding

This work was supported in part by by the Human Immunome Project and Michelson Medical Research Foundation's Michelson Prize: Next Generation Grant to D.S.B.; NIH grants 2P01AI100148-11 (HIVRAD) to M.C.N; UM1AI191237 (INSPIRE) to H.H. and M.C.N., 1UM1AI144462-01 (CHAVD) to M.C.N. and the Stavros Niarchos Foundation Institute for Global Infectious Disease Research. D.S.B. was supported in part by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NIH Clinical and Translational Science Award program, grant UL1-TR001866) and the Shapiro–Silverberg Fund for the Advancement of Translational Research. M.C.N. is a Howard Hughes Medical Institute investigator.

Author contributions

D.S.B., H.H. and M.C.N conceived the study. D.S.B and H.H. generated the novel mouse strains described in this manuscript. D.S.B., L.B. and H.H. designed and performed the experiments and interpreted data. G.S.S.S. performed bioinformatic analysis. C.R., L.D., M.A.E., D.G., G.L.D.R., and C.U. assisted and performed experiments. K.H.Y. assisted with mouse experiments and husbandry; B.H. and A.G.

produced recombinant proteins and antibodies. P.A. and L.S. provided HIV reagents.

M.C.N. supervised the study, designed experiments and interpreted data. D.S.B.,

L.B., H.H. and M.C.N. wrote the manuscript. All authors edited the manuscript.

Competing interests

M.C.N. is on the scientific advisory board of Celldex Therapeutics. All other authors

declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability

All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are available in the main text

and supplementary materials. Reagents, including mouse strains, are available from

H.H. and M.C.N. under a material transfer agreement with The Rockefeller University

under reasonable request.

956
License information

This article is subject to HHMI's Open Access to Publications policy. HHMI lab heads

have previously granted a nonexclusive CC BY 4.0 license to the public and a

sublicensable license to HHMI in their research articles. Pursuant to those licenses,

the Author Accepted Manuscript (AAM) of this article can be made freely available

under a CC BY 4.0 license immediately upon publication.