Tracking active heterotrophic microbial communities in the Guaymas Basin deep biosphere with BONCAT-FACS

Andrew Montgomery[1,2,3,*,#], Sylvia Nupp[1,2,3,*], Chase R. Gray[1,2,3], Zackary J. Jay[1,2,3], Virginia Edgcomb[4], Roland Hatzenpichler[1,2,3,5,#]

1. Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA

2. Thermal Biology Institute, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA

3. Center for Biofilm Engineering, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA

4. Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA, USA

5. Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA

*These authors contributed to this work equally.

#correspondence to: R.H., rolandhatzenpichler@gmail.com; A.M., andymontgomery2009@gmail.com

ORCIDs: A.M., 0000-0003-2798-1390; S.N., 0009-0008-1814-1211; R.H., 0000-0002-5489-3444; Z.J.J., 0000-0003-3062-4933; C.R.G., 0009-0005-0296-1491; V.E., https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6805-381X.

Abstract

The marine deep biosphere harbors microbial communities that drive organic matter

transformations and biogeochemical cycles. Previous work on these communities has focused

either on genomic characterization or metabolic activity measurements. However, to understand

microbial ecophysiology in the deep biosphere taxonomic identity and metabolic function must be

connected on both single-cell and ecosystem scales. In this work, we optimized a bioorthogonal

non-canonical amino acid tagging fluorescence-activated cell sorting (BONCAT-FACS)

workflow for low-biomass deep-biosphere sediments obtained during International Ocean

Discovery Program Expedition 385 (IODP 385). BONCAT-FACS with 16S rRNA gene amplicon

sequencing as well as metagenomics of sediment communities was applied to characterize

translationally active communities in hydrothermally altered subsurface sediments of the Guaymas

Basin. Our results revealed a heterotrophic microbial population throughout all sediments

examined, with taxa translationally active down to our deepest sampling point, 154 meters below

the seafloor. Based on 16S rRNA gene identities, the translationally active microbial community

was dominated by heterotrophic members of the *Gammaproteobacteria*, *Bacilli*, *Deinococci*, and

Alphaproteobacteria. These taxa are likely key contributors to cycling the large quantities of

hydrothermally altered organic matter in Guaymas Basin sediments. To further elucidate the

metabolic capacity of active taxa, we mapped 16S rRNA gene amplicons to metagenome

assembled genomes (MAGs) previously obtained from IODP 385. These MAGs contained genes

associated with C1 metabolism, carbohydrate degradation, and fermentation, indicating that active

taxa leverage these metabolisms for energy conservation. Our results demonstrate that BONCAT-

FACS provides high-throughput and single-cell insights into the metabolic activity of microbes in

the low-biomass marine subsurface.

Introduction

The marine deep biosphere is one of the largest ecosystems on Earth, supporting an estimated 10^{29} cells [1, 2]. Defined as sediments >1 m below the seafloor, the deep biosphere encompasses a wide spectrum of redox conditions that extend to the energetic and thermal limits of life. Biological and geological transformations of organic matter drive a dynamic, albeit slow, carbon cycle in these sub-seafloor environments [3–6]. While it is clear that microorganisms are metabolically active in the deep biosphere [7, 8], low cell abundances mean the significance of their activity and contributions to biogeochemical cycles remains largely unknown. Direct connections between the taxonomic identity of active microbial populations and metabolic functions are necessary to understand microbial ecology and biogeochemical cycling in the marine deep biosphere.

Uncovering the metabolic capabilities of constituents of microbial communities *in situ* requires a coordinated effort that simultaneously identifies taxa and links them to ecosystem functions. Historically, cultured isolates were required in order to draw conclusions about the metabolic capabilities of specific microbial taxa. However, less than 1% of microbes can be reliably maintained in a laboratory setting [9, 10], and enrichment and isolation conditions can differ substantially from *in situ* conditions, making it difficult to draw conclusions about how microbes live in their natural habitat. Further, cultivation efforts are impeded by low biomass inocula and energy limitations in the deep biosphere that select for microorganisms that grow at extremely slow rates [11, 12]. More recently, culture-independent techniques have been used to elucidate connections between individual microorganisms and their metabolic functions under (near) *in situ* conditions. With the rise of high-throughput sequencing technologies and bioinformatics tools, culture-independent techniques have facilitated studies of the deep biosphere with metagenomics

[13–15] to identify microbial taxa, or metatranscriptomics [16–20] to reveal which genes are

expressed *in situ*. However, while these approaches offer powerful insights into metabolic

potential and community level activity, they are unable to resolve activity at the single cell level

and thus cannot link specific taxa with metabolic activity *in situ*.

Bioorthogonal non-canonical amino acid tagging (BONCAT) paired with fluorescence-activated

cell sorting (BONCAT-FACS) is a taxonomy-blind Next-Generation Physiology approach [21]

that can be used to identify which taxa were translationally active in complex environmental

samples during a relatively short incubation without culturing individual organisms [22–26].

BONCAT leverages the incorporation of a synthetic amino acid, such as *L*-homopropargylglycine

(HPG), an analog of *L*-methionine, into newly synthesized proteins and its later detection using

azide-alkyne click chemistry [22, 27]. Using fluorescence as the mode of detection, FACS can be

used to sort translationally active cells and study their identity and genetic make-up via 16 rRNA

gene amplicon sequencing [21, 28, 29] or shotgun metagenomics [30] and sorted cells can be

subjected to cultivation [22, 31]. BONCAT-FACS has been used to identify active taxa in marine

sediments [28], soils [26, 32], the human microbiome [33–35], and other complex environments

[29, 36–38]. BONCAT has been compared directly to two gold standards of measuring single cell

activity - radioactive isotope probing coupled to microautoradiography [39] and stable isotope

probing coupled to Nano-scale secondary ion mass spectrometry [22] - and was found to correlate

well with both methods. BONACT coupled to fluorescence microscopy can successfully detect

anabolic activity of an *Escherichia coli* culture after incubation with *L*-azidohomoalanine for 2%

of its generation time [22] and detect the activity of microbial consortia catalyzing the anaerobic

oxidation of methane in deep sea sediments after incubation with HPG for approximately 3.7% of

the estimated generation time [9, 10]. Here, we used BONCAT-FACS to identify the

translationally active community and, coupled with previously generated community shotgun

metagenomics datasets, assess the metabolic capacity of translationally active taxa in the Guaymas

Basin deep biosphere.

Guaymas Basin, located in the Gulf of California, is a hydrothermally active rift basin

characterized by rapid seafloor spreading and high sedimentation rates. Terrestrial inputs and

highly productive waters in the Gulf of California deposit organic matter on the seafloor at rates

>1 mm yr^{-1}, generating sediment packages that can be hundreds of meters thick [40].

Thermocatalytic alteration of the organic matter, influenced by basaltic sill intrusions and

hydrothermal circulation [41, 42], produces a complex mixture of potentially bioavailable organic

compounds in the sediment [43]. This includes methane, low-molecular-weight organics,

hydrocarbons, and crude oil [44–49]. International Ocean Discovery Program Expedition 385

(IODP 385) drilled the subsurface of the Guaymas Basin to investigate how microbial communities

drive organic carbon cycling in the hydrothermally altered deep biosphere. In total, holes were

drilled at eight sites (U1545-U1552) to capture unaltered sediment and a spectrum of hydrothermal

sediments including extremely hot sediments above a more recently intruded sill-sediment system

[50]. To explore the microbial ecology of the Guaymas Basin subsurface, we tracked

translationally active taxa in six samples, from six depths at five sites drilled during IODP 385.

We were limited in the number of samples available for this work from difficult to access sites,

but were able to identify translationally active taxa from these samples. We optimized a previously

established BONCAT-FACS workflow with 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing to identify

translationally active taxa [28, 29] and to assess diversity across biogeochemical gradients. We

coupled this with metagenomic analyses from IODP 385 [14] to provide direct insight into the

metabolic capacity of active taxa within the Guaymas Basin deep biosphere.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection and BONCAT incubation

Sediment cores were collected from Guaymas Basin during IODP expedition 385 onboard drilling

vessel *JOIDES Resolution.* Samples were taken at six depths, ranging from 0.76 to 154 mbsf, from

five drill holes (Table 1). Intact, round samples were aseptically transferred to the microbiology

lab after retrieval on deck and stored at 4°C in heat-sealed gas-tight bags flushed with N_2 gas to

maintain anoxic conditions for up to 30 minutes until processing. Material for metagenome

analysis was immediately frozen at -80°C. While we cannot rule out the chance of drilling fluid or

laboratory contamination in these samples, extensive efforts to minimize the likelihood of this are

described in recent metagenomic analyses performed on the same samples [14, 20]. Briefly, during

IDOP 385 a tracer, perfluoromethyldecalin, was introduced during drilling of holes at each site

intended for microbiology sampling. Any cores where detectable tracer was measured in the core

interior (the portion sampled for BONCAT) were not processed [20]. Working in an anoxic glove

chamber, sediments were taken from the interior of the core excluding 2 cm of the core perimeter,

homogenized with N_2-gassed sterile bottom water, and diluted three times to form a slurry. For

each sample, four replicate aliquots of 40 or 120 mL, depending on depth (shallow vs. deep), were

distributed into Pyrex bottles and sealed with a butyl stopper and aluminum crimp. The volume of

aliquots were larger for deeper samples in order to increase the likelihood of detecting enough

active cells for sorting. The amino acid analog *L*-homopropargylglycine (HPG; Vector

Laboratories, previously Click Chemistry Tools), a synthetic analog of *L*-methionine, was added

to three replicates to a final concentration of 50 µM. The fourth replicate, which served as a control,

was not amended with HPG. After HPG addition, samples were incubated at *in situ* temperature

(Table 1) for seven days.

. After seven days, samples were washed with 1x PBS by adding an equal volume of 1x PBS to

each sediment slurry. The mixture was briefly vortexed to mix, dispensed to 50ml centrifuge tubes,

centrifuged at 3,200 x g for 20 min to pellet the sediment, and the supernatant poured off. A 5mL

subsample was frozen in a cryovial at -80°C for whole sediment DNA extraction, and the

remaining sediment was amended with 10% glycerol (9:1 sediment to glycerol-TE), and stored at

-80°C until further processing. Back at Montana State University, subsequent steps of the

BONCAT-FACS workflow including cell extractions, cell sorting, and 16S rRNA gene amplicon

sequencing were done following modified protocols [28, 29]. The full details of the workflow are

described in the supplementary information.

Analysis of Metagenome Assembled Genomes

Metagenome assembled genomes (MAGs) were previously generated from IODP 385 [14]. In

short, trimmed reads from all drill holes were pooled and co-assembled using MEGAHIT 1.2.9,

and MAGs that were at least 50% complete and contained less than 10% contamination were then

used for downstream analyses. Taxa appearing in assembled MAGs that also appeared in

contamination controls (laboratory extraction blank and a drilling fluid control) were removed

from downstream analyses and are listed in Supplementary data of [14]. Taxonomic identities of

these MAGs were assigned using GTDB-Tk v2.1.0 [51]. MAG contamination and completeness

were checked using CheckM v1.2.2 [52]. Barrnap (v0.9) was used to identify 16S rRNA gene

sequences from these MAGs. The 16S rRNA gene sequences of these MAGs, if present, were then

compared to the 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequences from sorted samples generated in this study

for sequence similarity. From a BLAST nucleotide similarity comparison, we used a cutoff of 90%

identify match and 99% for hit length to identify sequences that matched.

The organism identities of these matches were then compared between the MAGs and amplicon

sequences to determine if they were identified as the same organism. Importantly, the MAG and

16S rRNA gene amplicon sequences were independently sequenced and taxonomy was assigned

using different databases (Silva 138.2 for 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequences, and GTDB-Tk

2.1.0 for MAGs), and taxonomic identification varied between the two. Organism identity was

matched based on nomenclature changes in the literature to the best of our ability. For consistency

across our datasets, the Silva 138.2 taxonomic identification is used throughout the manuscript.

We were able to successfully match six organisms out of the datasets that met our cutoff criteria

of 90% for %ID and 99% for %hit, five bacteria and one archaea.

MAGs were annotated with Prokka v1.14.6 [53] separately for archaea and bacteria. Individual

genes of interest for the environment were selected based on Dombrowski *et al.* [54]. Carbon

metabolism pathways were identified using KEGG BlastKOALA v3.1 [55]. Genes for the Wood-

Ljungdahl pathway were identified using an internal protein database generated from cultured

methanogens because of the presence of MAGs in the same class as other methanogens.

Results & Discussion

Sampling Sites

Samples for BONCAT incubations were collected from drill hole sites, U1545 to U1549, along a

transect across Guaymas Basin during IODP Expedition 385 (Table 1). Sites U1545 and U1546

are located ~52 km off-axis of the central spreading center, and include a relatively unaltered

reference (U1545) site and a more thermally altered (U1546) site with deep sediments (~350-430

mbsf) influenced by a now thermally-equilibrated ancient sill emplacement [50]. Sites U1547 and

U1548 were drilled within and adjacent to the much hotter Ringvent site. Ringvent is shaped by a

shallow, more recently emplaced sill, exhibits a sill-driven hydrothermal mound, and allows us to

compare other samples to a site with higher sediment alteration and elevated temperatures. . Site

U1549 targeted an off-axis cold seep near a subsurface sill, where methane seepage and hydrates

indicate persistent subsurface fluid migration. Sample depths ranged from 0.8 m at site U1546 to

155 m at U1545, and temperatures were between 2.8°C and 46.6°C (Table 1). Sediment and

porefluid geochemical data relevant to microbial activity are outlined in Table 1, and

comprehensive datasets are available in the IODP 385 expedition reports [56–59].

Workflow development

We expanded the applications of BONCAT by refining a workflow for detecting translational

activity of microbial communities in extremely low-biomass marine deep-biosphere sediments that

maximized cell recovery and minimized interference from sediment autofluorescence. To optimize

this workflow and test the efficacy of cell extraction protocols, we spiked IODP 385 sediment with

Escherichia coli (*E. coli*) cells that had been grown with HPG. These sediments had been preserved

analogously to the IODP 385 BONCAT samples and incubated without HPG. The presence of

BONCAT-positive *E. coli* in cell extracts was used to assess the efficacy of different cell extraction

protocols to maximize cell recovery. Additionally, we sought to minimize particulate matter in the

final cell suspension. Autofluorescent sediment particles can interfere with FACS analyses when

the signal overlaps with the target fluorophore and distorts forward and back scatter, complicating

the accurate detection of cells.

The final cell extraction procedure was adapted from an established protocol [28, 60] and consisted

of two primary cell separations. The first wash with methanol only was used to extract cells that

are more susceptible to lysis from detergents. The second step combined sonication with a

detergent mixture (sodium pyrophosphate, Tween80, and methanol) to detach cells from sediment

particles. A 50% Nycodenz gradient was used between detergent wash steps to phase-separate

detached cells from sediment. Based on the number of cells and amount of autofluorescent

sediment in the extracted suspension, we determined that pooling eight technical replicate extracts

was sufficient to extract cells from low-biomass samples for downstream processing with FACS.

Field samples analyzed in this study had in situ cell abundances that ranged from 10^9 and 10^8/cm^3

(near-surface samples U1546B-1H2 and U1547B-1H2) to 10^4/cm^3 (U1547B_8H2 at 65.8 mbsf).

Methods for these cell counts and data are shown in [14, 61]. The rapid decline in down-core cell

counts in the Guaymas Basin subsurface is consistent with decreasing DNA recovery [61]. We

visualized labeling of BONCAT-positive cells from click chemistry in the presort and sorted

fractions with fluorescence microscopy (Fig. S1). During method testing and sample analysis, the

presort fraction contained cells with and without HPG incorporation, while the sorted fraction only

contained HPG-positive cells, demonstrating the ability of our method to successfully sort

exclusively BONCAT-positive cells from low-biomass marine deep biosphere sediments.

BONCAT has been successfully used on dozens of bacterial and archaeal phyla in many

ecosystems, including the human microbiome [33, 34], marine sediments [23, 62] and water

column [63, 64], soil [26], wastewater sludge [65], hot springs [29], the terrestrial subsurface [66]

and, more specifically, Guaymas basin sediments [28]. However, to the best of our knowledge, it

had yet not been applied to fungi. Initially, we aimed to assess the translational activity of fungi

with BONCAT-FACS in this study, so we developed this cell extraction protocol to include fungal

cells. We used two fungal isolates from Guaymas Basin that are representative of the fungal

community in IODP 385 sediment [67], and tested extraction methods similar to our use of *E.coli*

above. Based on our testing, this cell extraction method can be used to separate yeast and

filamentous fungal cells from sediments. Furthermore, these fungal strains incorporate HPG in

liquid media and can be detected with click chemistry and fluorescence microscopy (Fig. S2),

demonstrating that BONCAT workflows can be applied to marine fungi. However, due to issues

with downstream processing, we could not reliably detect fungi in the presort and sorted fractions

with internal transcribed spacer (ITS) amplicon sequencing. While the exact reason for this issue

is unknown, poor extraction efficiency, low fungal biomass (or absence of fungi), the inability to

sort certain fungal cells with FACS, or poorly matched PCR primers for the ITS region in natural

fungal populations [68, 69] may have all impeded the successful detection of fungi in our samples.

Therefore, we chose to exclude any further discussion of fungi from this manuscript and focus on

bacteria and archaea inhabiting the Guaymas Basin deep biosphere.

Diversity of the total, cell extractable, and active prokaryotic community

We combined BONCAT-FACS with 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing of sorted cells to

identify translationally active bacteria and archaea in the Guaymas Basin deep biosphere. To assess

community compositions, the observed diversity (the quantity of unique ASVs) and Shannon's

diversity index were determined for each sample (Fig. 1A-B). For all samples, observed and

Shannon's diversity indices were higher in the whole sediment DNA extract fraction than in the

cell-extracted presort and BONCAT-active sorted fractions (Fig. S3). Diversity was generally

higher in the shallower sediments, reflecting higher cell counts in those sediments. . The two

deepest samples, 1547B-8H2 and 1545B-19F3 (66 and 155 mbsf, respectively), exhibited the

smallest difference in diversity metrics across all three fractions, especially with respect to the

whole sediment DNA extract, taken after incubations but before cell extraction. Compared to

shallower samples, a larger portion of the total microbial community was detected in the BONCAT

active fraction in these deeper sediments, where there are relatively few cells, carbon is more

recalcitrant, energetic conditions are less favorable, and geochemical conditions remain stable over

extended timescales [70, 71]. This suggests that the microbial population is highly specialized to

fill limited available niches in the deep biosphere.

To evaluate the changes in diversity metrics between the presort and sorted fractions, we calculated

fold enrichment (Fig. 1C-F) between these fractions for the most abundant ASVs, which were

affiliated with *Gammaproteobacteria*, *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Bacilli*, and *Deinococci* (Fig. 2 and

Table S2). Generally, these abundant taxa were not overly represented in either fraction

(enrichment values near zero) or were enriched in the presort fraction (*Deinococci* and *Bacilli*),

suggesting that only a portion of those taxa detected in the presort fraction were actually

translationally active. In several cases, corresponding ASVs were not detected in either the presort

or sorted fraction, which skewed enrichment toward the respective other fraction. For instance, in

sample 1548B-2H3, no sequences affiliated with *Alphaproteobacteria* (Fig. 1D) were detected in

the presort fraction, artificially inflating the fold enrichment toward the sorted fraction. Similarly,

no *Deinococci* affiliated ASVs were observed in the sorted fraction for one replicate from 1547B-

1H2 (Fig. 1F). The inability to detect a given ASV in a specific fraction could skew diversity

indices, making it difficult to interpret diversity data in those samples.

Observed diversity of unique ASVs increased from the presort to the sorted fractions in all samples

(Fig. 1A), and Shannon's diversity increased in 1549B-3H2 and 1547B-8H2 between those

fractions (Fig. 1B). It should be expected that diversity would decrease between the presort and

the BONCAT active fraction to reflect that only a portion of the community is translationally active

and detectable with BONCAT-FACS. One explanation for this outcome is that there were

substantially fewer reads in many of the presort samples than in the sorted samples (Fig. S4), likely

due to the relatively small subsample (50 µL) taken from the presort samples for DNA extraction

and the low amount of biomass in the sample. More ASVs were detected when the number of

reads was higher (Fig. S4), which would influence alpha diversity metrics. In the two samples in

which Shannon's diversity increased in the sorted fraction (1547B-8H2 and 1549B-3H2), across

all replicates, we detected between three and eleven unique class-level ASVs in the presort samples

and between 12 and 30 in the sorted samples. This indicates that sequencing depth directly impacts

the detection of additional and/or rare taxa that may have been, in some cases, missed in the presort

fraction.

We observed major differences in Shannon's diversity indices across the BONCAT workflow

(DNA extract to presort to sorted fractions). Shannon's diversity was highest in the DNA extract

relative to the presort or sorted fractions for nearly every sample (the only exception was the sorted

fraction for 1547B-8H2) (Fig. S3). This is expected for the marine subsurface as extracellular DNA

in the sediments may have been amplified with PCR, altering community composition and

artificially inflating diversity metrics in the initial DNA extract fraction [26]. Diversity indices

were generally lower in the presort and sorted fraction as compared to the DNA extract fraction,

as cell extraction for BONCAT-FACS is known to introduce biases [28, 29] that could cause large

discrepancies in diversity metrics between the DNA extract and presort fractions. To address this,

we optimized the cell extraction procedure for these low-biomass deep biosphere samples, but

biased cell recovery is a challenge for any complex sample [21, 72], especially from Guaymas

Basin sediments that are enriched with hydrothermally altered organic carbon. Ideally, cell

extraction procedures should be tuned to specific samples based on experimental goals and

biogeochemical conditions.

Abundant Taxa and Active Community

To assess the active community within the Guaymas Basin deep biosphere, we analyzed the

relative abundances of ASVs in each fraction and compared those frequencies between samples

(Fig. 2 and Fig. S5). In the presort cell extract and BONCAT active sorted fractions, we identified

a total of 40 bacterial classes and six archaeal classes, which were frequently dominated by a few

classes. Across all three fractions (DNA extract, presort, and sorted), ASVs affiliated with

Gammaproteobacteria were consistently the most abundant taxa detected. *Gammaproteobacteria*

had greater than 50% relative abundance within the BONCAT active community in 12 of the sorted

fraction samples (Fig. 2). In three of the six samples (1546B-1H2, 1547B-1H2, and 1545B-19F3),

all *Gammaproteobacterial* genera were detected in higher relative abundance in the sorted fraction

than in the presort fraction (Fig. 2), which indicates members of this group are active in the

Guaymas Basin deep biosphere. High abundances of *Gammaproteobacteria* in the BONCAT

active fraction likely reflect the heterotrophic nature of this class, which is capable of degrading

diverse organic substrates typically found in Guaymas Basin sediments [73–75]. While the relative

frequency of *Gammaproteobacteria* was elevated in most samples, differences in relative

abundance between fractions could be explained by enrichment of *Gammaproteobacteria* during

BONCAT incubation, or methodological biases against other taxonomic groups.

Across all three fractions, we identified 61 genera affiliated with the *Gammaproteobacteria* (Fig.

S6 and Table S3) that are associated with diverse heterotrophic metabolisms. As observed for the

whole community analysis, the highest number of gammaproteobacterial genera was observed in

the DNA extract, and ASVs affiliated with the genus *Thiomicrohabdus* were amongst the most

abundant. In contrast, the presort and sorted fractions were primarily dominated by members of

Halomonas, and to a lesser extent, *Burkholderia-Caaballerionia-Paraburkholderia* and

Acinetobacter, which had the highest relative frequency in the deepest sample (1545B-19F3). In

several samples, ASVs belonging to *Halomonas* (1546B-1H2, 1547B-1H2, and 1549B-3H2) and

Acinetobacter (1545B-19F3) exhibited a relative frequency >75% in the BONCAT active fraction

in at least two replicates. This was a sharp increase compared to the other fractions which, across

all of those samples, were typically less than 20% for the DNA extract and all less than 3% for the

presort fractions. The relative abundance of *Halomonas* demonstrated the largest observed

increase between the presort and sorted fractions (Fig. S6). In particular, BONCAT active

Halomonas ASVs were detected at elevated frequencies in samples with temperatures <15°C

(Table 1), compared to warmer samples (~38°C). Sediment temperatures can fluctuate by >50°C

on relatively small temporal and spatial scales due to hydrothermal activity [76], which may create

a selective pressure on the microbial community. This indicates that some members of the

Halomonas genus remain metabolically active at lower temperatures and withstand large

temperature changes characteristic of hydrothermal sediments. Genomic analyses of these

communities revealed genes associated with central carbon metabolism, CAZymes, hydrocarbon

degradation, and nitrogen, sulfur, and arsenic cycling, suggesting that *Gammaproteobacteria* are

key drivers of organic matter remineralization and redox transformations in the subsurface [75,

77].

In addition to *Gammaproteobacteria*, abundant ASVs in the sorted fraction were affiliated with

Alphaproteobacteria throughout our samples. The relative frequency of translationally active

Alphaproteobacteria was between 28-52% for three replicates in the relatively warm (~14°C)

sediment sample from 1548B-2H3 and up to 19% in the deepest sample from 155 mbsf at the more

thermally moderate site 1545B (~39°C). After BONCAT incubation, *Alphaproteobacteria*

exhibited higher relative frequencies in the sorted fraction in 4 samples compared to the presort

fraction (Fig. 1D). Some of the supposed increase in frequency between fractions was due to low

detection of *Alphaproteobacteria* ASVs in the presort fraction. Regardless, the presence of

Alphaproteobacteria in the sorted fractions demonstrates that members of this class are active in

the Guaymas Basin deep biosphere. Similar to our observations for the *Gammaproteobacteria*,

this is consistent with previous studies that have demonstrated high abundances of

Alphaproteobacteria and associated heterotrophic activity in the marine deep biosphere [73, 78–

80].

Members of the class *Deinococci* were detected in all fractions, and consistently exhibited the

highest relative abundance in the presort fraction compared to the DNA extract and active sorted

fractions (Fig. 2 and Fig. S5). At Ringvent, in sample 1547B-1H2 (2.2 mbsf), *Deinococci* ASVs

constituted up to 54% of the presort fraction, compared to less than 1% in the DNA extract. This

higher frequency in the presort fraction suggests our cell extraction method is biased in favor of

Deinococci cells, which may influence relative abundances in the BONCAT active fraction. In

sample 1547B-8H2 (66 mbsf), members of this class accounted for up to 15% relative abundance

in the BONCAT active fraction and were less than 6% in the corresponding presort fraction. In

hydrothermally impacted habitats, *Deinococci*-affiliated sequences have been detected via 16S

rRNA gene amplicon survey [81]. Additionally, analysis of 52 MAGs assigned to *Deinococci* from

IODP 360 to Atlantis Bank revealed genes associated with broad anaerobic and heterotrophic

metabolisms, including fatty acid and aromatic compound degradation (*e.g.*, acyl-CoA

dehydrogenase and benzoyl-CoA), acetogenesis, nitrogen and sulfur cycling, and metal reduction

[82]. This versatility can promote *Deinococci* metabolism across the dynamic geochemical

gradients observed in the Guaymas Basin deep biosphere (Table 1).

A total of 7 classes affiliated with phylum *Firmicutes* were detected throughout our samples, and

the most abundant ASVs from this phylum were assigned to the *Bacilli* class in the presort and

active sorted fractions. Although ASVs affiliated with *Bacilli* were detected throughout the

sediment, the highest relative abundances in the BONCAT sorted fraction, up to ~20% (one

replicate was above 95%), were observed in the shallower samples (1546B-1H2 and 1547B-1H2)

(Fig. 2). In those shallower depths, above the sulfate methane transition zone, where sulfate is the

dominant terminal electron acceptor (Table 1) for anaerobic metabolisms, BONCAT-active ASVs

affiliated with *Firmicutes* may couple sulfate reduction to organic matter degradation [83].

Firmicutes isolates cultured from several marine deep biosphere sites degrade a broad suite of

organic substrates, including acetate, polysaccharides, amino acids, alcohols, nucleotides, and

microbial necromass, indicating a key role in heterotrophic carbon cycling [28, 73, 84, 85]. This

metabolic capacity was substantiated by metagenomic analysis that also demonstrated high

thermal tolerance, exceeding 80°C [86]. Additionally, members of the *Firmicutes* phylum within

the *Clostridia* class were associated with degradation of crude oil and polycyclic aromatic

hydrocarbon degradation in methanogenic enrichments [87]. Hydrothermally induced pyrolysis of

organic matter in Guaymas Basin sediments generates petroleum hydrocarbons that are dispersed

throughout the sediment column and can fuel diverse microbial metabolisms [45, 46, 88]. In the

Guaymas Basin specifically, *Firmicutes* have been linked to both sulfate and nitrate reduction [83]

and have dominated enrichment cultures amended with methanol, monomethylamine, and

ammonium [89]. Given the wide metabolic capabilities of the *Firmicutes* and the high frequency

in the BONCAT active fraction, members of this phylum likely contribute significantly to organic

matter degradation and elemental cycling in Guaymas Basin sediments.

Implications for deep biosphere carbon cycling

The BONCAT active fractions were dominated by a heterotrophic microbial population capable

of metabolizing a wide range of organic carbon in the Guaymas Basin deep biosphere. To further

assess this metabolic capacity and explore potential implications for biogeochemical cycling in

hydrothermal sediments, we mapped 16S rRNA gene ASVs from the BONCAT active fraction to

annotated metagenome assembled genomes (MAGs) from IODP 385 [14]. Six unique MAGs

associated with the BONCAT active fraction were identified as members of 5 bacterial phyla

(*Planctomycetota*, *Chloroflexota*, *Acidobacteriota*, *Aerophobota*, and *TA06*) and 1 archaeal

phylum (*Thermoproteota*). These MAGs were 52.7-95.9% complete and all had contamination

below 10% (Table S4).

The translationally active MAGs harbored genes associated with diverse carbon cycling pathways,

including carbohydrate degradation, C1 metabolism, and fermentation, plus nitrogen and sulfur

cycling (Fig. 3). MAG_005 (95.91% complete, 9.60% contamination) was assigned to the phylum

Planctomycetota and encodes complete pathways for glycolysis and galactose degradation, and a

nitrite reductase gene. Recently, the first anaerobic culture of *Planctomycetota* isolated from

marine sediments experimentally demonstrated functional capacity for these metabolisms [90],

validating the genomic potential of that isolate and MAG_005. MAG_054 (52.66% complete,

3.54% contamination), assigned to the class *Anaerolineae*, contained genes associated with

carbohydrate degradation and fermentation, both of which have been demonstrated by cultured

Anaerolineae [91]. Similarly, NanoSIMS experiments implicated diverse *Chloroflexota* (phylum

of *Anaerolineae*) in fermentation and broad heterotrophic metabolisms in hypersaline

photoheterotrophic mats [92]. In their experiments, Lee *et al*. (2014) ascertained ^{13}C-substrate

assimilation by *Chloroflexota* members using phylum-specific FISH probes, but could not resolve

taxonomic affiliations on class level or below, or implicate other phyla in carbon cycling [92]. In

contrast, BONCAT-FACS workflows provide a high-throughput community-level analysis of

active taxa, and when coupled with metagenomics, support the investigation of the metabolic

potential of sorted cells [66]. Taken together, BONCAT-FACS, paired with metagenomics, can

corroborate the results from culture-dependent and -independent approaches, while also providing

metabolic insights at the community level.

Most importantly, metagenomic analysis, corroborated by 16S rRNA gene identities, revealed a

diverse, translationally active microbial community in the Guaymas Basin deep biosphere with the

metabolic capacity for a range of carbon transformations and broad anaerobic metabolisms. Our

results confirm that these taxa are active, and that under the right biogeochemical conditions (*e.g.,*

substrate availability, temperature, etc.), the organisms represented by these MAGs likely utilize

these metabolisms for energy conservation in the deep biosphere. However, experimental evidence

is necessary to confirm which of these metabolisms are used *in situ* under different conditions.

Additionally, the specific ASVs affiliated with these MAGs were not necessarily amongst the most

abundant or widespread taxa in the BONCAT sorted fraction. Thus, the extent to which these

microbes drive carbon transformations is yet unknown. Future experiments that combine

BONCAT-FACS with substrate amendment [28, 29] and paired metagenomics [66] and/or

metatranscriptomics could provide deeper, community-level insights into the metabolic

capabilities of translationally active taxa in the marine deep biosphere.

Conclusion

In this study, we assessed the total, cell-extracted (presort), and translationally active (sorted)

microbial community in contrasting anoxic sediments of the Guaymas Basin deep biosphere. We

identified a diverse extant microbial community down to 154 meters below the sediment surface,

and the DNA extract contained 139 unique classes. We optimized our cell extraction and FACS

procedures for low-biomass deep biosphere samples and successfully identified 46 classes in the

presort and sorted fractions. Alpha diversity metrics decreased sharply from the DNA extract

fraction to the presort fraction, likely due to challenges associated with separating certain taxa

from the sediments, but were comparable between the presort and sorted fractions..

Gammaproteobacteria overwhelmingly dominated the BONCAT-active fraction, followed by

Alphaproteobacteria, *Firmicutes*, and *Deinococci*. These phyla have the capacity for a wide range

of heterotrophic metabolisms and drive microbial-mediated recycling of hydrothermally altered

organic matter in the Guaymas Basin deep biosphere.

We demonstrated that BONCAT-FACS can be used to assess microbial activity in low-biomass

marine sediments on a community level. Additionally, we paired this with metagenomic analysis

from IODP 385 to identify six translationally active MAGs and assess their metabolic capacity.

BONCAT-FACS coupled metagenomics links single-cell activity and genetic potential to

understand community-level dynamics in a high-throughput manner [21, 66]. This approach could

be further optimized in the future to generate metagenomes directly from the sorted fraction and/or

by combining experimental amendments with whole sediment metagenomics to more tightly link

taxa with metabolic function. Importantly, our workflow, while optimized for low-biomass

samples, was likely biased against rare taxa, given the decrease in diversity between fractions.

These biases could be exacerbated if combined with metagenomics, which would require greater

quantities of biomass for FACS and sequencing compared to gene amplicon sequencing. We were

limited in this study by the difficulty of accessing the study site, resulting in a small number of

samples. However, we were still able to apply this methodology to deep biosphere samples

successfully. With careful benchmarking and optimization, BONCAT-FACS and metagenomics,

when combined, offer a powerful approach for connecting microbial taxa and metabolic function

in the marine deep biosphere and other complex environmental samples.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the scientific party and D/V JOIDES Resolution crew from IODP 385 for

their assistance in sample collection. Amplicon sequencing was performed by the Molecular

Research Core Facility at Idaho State University (RRID:SCR_012598). This work was supported

by NSF funding to A.M. (PRFB 2010880), R.H. (OCE-2049445), R.H. and V.E. (OCE-2046056),

V.E. and R.H. (OCE-2046799), and V.E. (OCE-1829903). Large language models (LLMs) were

used to assist in developing data visualization scripts.

485   Author Contributions

R.H. and V.E. conceptualized and supervised this study. V.E. collected the samples and performed

shipboard sample processing for BONCAT incubations. A.M., S.N., and C.G. developed the

methodology, performed experiments, and curated data. A.M., S.N., and Z.J. performed

bioinformatics analysis. A.M. and S.N. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. All authors

edited and gave critical feedback on the final manuscript. A.M., V.E., and R.H. raised funding.

A.M. and S.N. contributed to this work equally.

493   Data Availability

Amplicon sequence data generated for this manuscript are publicly available under NCBI

Sequence Read Archive (SRA) Bio Project accession number PRJNA1356905

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=PRJNA1356905) and reads are available under

accession numbers SRR35956508-SRR35956585. The metagenome assembled genomes are

publicly available under NCBI SRA Bio Project accession number PRJNA909197

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=PRJNA909197), and reads are under accession

numbers SRR22580794-SRR22580807 and SRR23614663-SRR23614677. The full

biogeochemical datasets from IODP 385, including data presented in this manuscript, are available

in the IODP expedition report (http://publications.iodp.org/proceedings/385/385title.html).

References

1. Whitman WB, Coleman DC, Wiebe WJ. Prokaryotes: The unseen majority. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 1998;**95**:6578–6583. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.12.6578

2. Kallmeyer J et al. Global distribution of microbial abundance and biomass in subseafloor sediment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2012;**109**:16213–16216. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1203849109

3. Oremland RS, Culbertson C, Simoneit BRT. Methanogenic activity in sediment from Leg 64, Gulf of California. *Initial reports DSDP, Leg 64, Mazatlan to Long Beach, 1978-79 Part 2* 1982;759–762. https://doi.org/10.2973/dsdp.proc.64.122.1982

4. D'Hondt S et al. Metabolic Activity of Subsurface Life in Deep-Sea Sediments. *Science* 2002;**295**:2067–2070.

5. Lever MA. Acetogenesis in the energy-starved deep biosphere-a paradox? *Frontiers in Microbiology* 2012;**2**:1–18. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2011.00284

6. Teske A, Callaghan AV, LaRowe DE. Biosphere frontiers of subsurface life in the sedimented hydrothermal system of Guaymas Basin. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 2014;**5**:1–11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00362

7. Santelli CM et al. Abundance and diversity of microbial life in ocean crust. *Nature* 2008;**453**:653–656. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06899

8. Jørgensen BB. Deep subseafloor microbial cells on physiological standby. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2011;**108**:18193–18194. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1115421108

9. Lloyd KG et al. Phylogenetically Novel Uncultured Microbial Cells Dominate Earth Microbiomes. *mSystems* 2018;**3**. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00055-18

10. Steen AD et al. High proportions of bacteria and archaea across most biomes remain

uncultured. *The ISME Journal* 2019. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-019-0484-y

11. Lever MA et al. Trends in basalt and sediment core contamination during IODP expedition

301.   *Geomicrobiology*   *Journal*   2006;**23**:517–530.

https://doi.org/10.1080/01490450600897245

12. Orcutt BN et al. Microbial activity in the marine deep biosphere: Progress and prospects.

Frontiers in Microbiology 2013;**4**:1–15. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00189

13. Gittins DA et al. Geological processes mediate a microbial dispersal loop in the deep biosphere.

Science Advances 2022;**8**:eabn3485. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abn3485

14. Mara P et al. Metagenomic profiles of archaea and bacteria within thermal and geochemical

gradients of the Guaymas Basin deep subsurface. *Nat Commun* 2023;**14**:7768.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-43296-x

15. Sun J et al. Metagenomic insights into taxonomic and functional patterns in shallow coastal

and deep subseafloor sediments in the Western Pacific. *Microbial Genomics* 2025;**11**:001351.

https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.001351

16. Orsi WD et al. Gene expression in the deep biosphere. *Nature* 2013;**499**:205–208.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12230

17. Coskun ÖK et al. Linking Uncultivated Microbial Populations and Benthic Carbon Turnover

by Using Quantitative Stable Isotope Probing. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*

2018;**84**. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01083-18

18. Coskun ÖK et al. Quantifying population-specific growth in benthic bacterial communities

under low oxygen using H218O. *The ISME Journal* 2019;**13**. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-

019-0373-4

19. Mara P et al. Microbial gene expression in Guaymas Basin subsurface sediments responds to
hydrothermal stress and energy limitation. *ISME J* 2023;**17**:1907–1919.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-023-01492-z

20. Mara P et al. Deep subseafloor sediments in Guaymas Basin harbor cosmopolitan microbiota
and traces of hydrothermal populations. *Commun Earth Environ* 2024;**5**:505.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01662-7

21. Hatzenpichler R et al. Next-generation physiology approaches to study microbiome function
at single cell level. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 2020;**18**:241–256.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-0323-1

22. Hatzenpichler R et al. In situ visualization of newly synthesized proteins in environmental
microbes using amino acid tagging and click chemistry. *Environmental Microbiology*
2014;**16**:2568–2590. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12436

23. Hatzenpichler R et al. Visualizing in situ translational activity for identifying and sorting slow-
growing archaeal - bacterial consortia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
2016;**113**:E4069–E4078. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1603757113

24. Samo TJ et al. Broad distribution and high proportion of protein synthesis active marine
bacteria revealed by click chemistry at the single cell level. *Frontiers in Marine Science*
2014;**1**:1–18. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2014.00048

25. Leizeaga A et al. Using click-chemistry for visualizing in situ changes of translational activity
in planktonic marine bacteria. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 2017;**8**:1–11.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02360

26. Couradeau E et al. Probing the active fraction of soil microbiomes using BONCAT-FACS.
Nature Communications 2019;**10**. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10542-0

27. Kiick KL et al. Incorporation of azides into recombinant proteins for chemoselective

modification by the Staudinger ligation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*

2002;**99**:19–24. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.012583299

28. Krukenberg V et al. Microbial Community Response to Polysaccharide Amendment in Anoxic

Hydrothermal Sediments of the Guaymas Basin. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 2021;**12**.

https://doi.org/fig

29. Reichart NJ et al. Activity-based cell sorting reveals responses of uncultured archaea and

bacteria to substrate amendment. *The ISME Journal* 2020;**14**:2851–2861.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-020-00749-1

30. Rinke C et al. Obtaining genomes from uncultivated environmental microorganisms using

FACS-based single-cell genomics. *Nature Protocols* 2014;**9**:1038–1048.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2014.067

31. Mulay SA et al. BONCAT-Live for isolation and cultivation of active environmental bacteria.

mBio 2025.

32. Harris JE et al. The activity of soil microbial taxa in the rhizosphere predicts the success of

root colonization. *mSystems* 2025;**0**:e00458-25. https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.00458-25

33. Riva A et al. Conversion of Rutin, a Prevalent Dietary Flavonol, by the Human Gut Microbiota.

Front Microbiol 2020;**11**. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.585428

34. Valentini TD et al. Bioorthogonal non-canonical amino acid tagging reveals translationally

active subpopulations of the cystic fibrosis lung microbiota. *Nat Commun* 2020;**11**:2287.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16163-2

35. Taguer M, Shapiro BJ, Maurice CF. Translational activity is uncoupled from nucleic acid

content in bacterial cells of the human gut microbiota. *Gut Microbes* 2021;**13**:1903289.

https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2021.1903289

36. Chen L et al. Isolating and characterizing translationally active fraction of anammox

microbiota using bioorthogonal non-canonical amino acid tagging. *Chemical Engineering*

Journal 2021;**418**:129411. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.129411

37. Du Z, Behrens SF. Tracking *de novo* protein synthesis in the activated sludge microbiome

using BONCAT-FACS. *Water Research* 2021;**205**:117696.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117696

38. Trexler RV et al. BONCAT-FACS-Seq reveals the active fraction of a biocrust community

undergoing a wet-up event. *Front Microbiol* 2023;**14**.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1176751

39. Amano C et al. Single-cell heterotrophic activity in deep-ocean prokaryotic communities

quantified by BONCAT and microautoradiography. *ISME Commun* 2026;ycag038.

https://doi.org/10.1093/ismeco/ycag038

40. Calvert SE. Origin of Diatom-Rich, Varved Sediments from the Gulf of California. *The*

Journal of Geology 1966;**74**:546–565. https://doi.org/10.1086/627188

41. Einsele G et al. Intrusion of basaltic sills into highly porous sediments, and resulting

hydrothermal activity. *Nature* 1980;**283**:441–445.

42. Lonsdale P, Becker K. Hydrothermal plumes, hot springs, and conductive heat flow in the

Southern Trough of Guaymas Basin. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 1985;**73**:211–225.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(85)90070-6

43. Lin YS et al. Near-surface heating of young rift sediment causes mass production and discharge

of reactive dissolved organic matter. *Scientific Reports* 2017;**7**:1–10.

https://doi.org/10.1038/srep44864

44. Simoneit BRT et al. Organic geochemistry of recent sediments from Guaymas Basin, Gulf of

California. *Deep Sea Research Part A, Oceanographic Research Papers* 1979;**26**:879–891.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(79)90102-X

45. Kawka OE, Simoneit BRT. Survey of hydrothermally-generated petroleums from the Guaymas

Basin spreading center. *Organic Geochemistry* 1987;**11**:311–328.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0146-6380(87)90042-8

46. Bazylinski DA, Farrington JW, Jannasch HW. Hydrocarbons in surface sediments from a

Guaymas Basin hydrothermal vent site. *Organic Geochemistry* 1988;**12**:547–558.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0146-6380(88)90146-5

47. Whelan JK, Simoneit BRT, Tarafa ME. C1-C8 hydrocarbons in sediments from Guaymas

Basin, Gulf of California-Comparison to Peru Margin, Japan Trench and California

Borderlands. *Organic Geochemistry* 1988;**12**:171–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/0146-

6380(88)90253-7

48. Martens CS. Generation of short chain acid anions in hydrothermally altered sediments of the

Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California. *Applied Geochemistry* 1990;**5**:71–76.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0883-2927(90)90037-6

49. Zhuang G et al. Generation and Utilization of Volatile Fatty Acids and Alcohols in

Hydrothermally Altered Sediments in the Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California. *Geophysical*

Research Letters 2019;**46**:2637–2646. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081284

50. Teske A et al. 'Expedition 385 Summary' in: Guaymas Basin Tectonics and Biosphere.

International Ocean Discovery Program, 2021.

51. Chaumeil P-A et al. GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes with the Genome Taxonomy

Database. *Bioinformatics* 2020;**36**:1925–1927. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz848

52. Chklovski A et al. CheckM2: a rapid, scalable and accurate tool for assessing microbial genome

quality using machine learning. *Nat Methods* 2023;1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-023-

01940-w

53. Seemann T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* 2014;**30**:2068–

2069. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153

54. Dombrowski N et al. Genomic insights into potential interdependencies in microbial

hydrocarbon and nutrient cycling in hydrothermal sediments. *Microbiome* 2017;**5**:106.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-017-0322-2

55. Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Morishima K. BlastKOALA and GhostKOALA: KEGG Tools for

Functional Characterization of Genome and Metagenome Sequences. *Journal of Molecular*

Biology 2016;**428**:726–731. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2015.11.006

56. Teske AP et al. Site U1545. In Teske, A., Lizarralde, D., Höfig, T. W., and the Expedition 385

Scientists, Guaymas Basin Tectonics and Biosphere. *Proceedings of the International Ocean*

Discovery Program 2021;**385**. https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.385.103.2021

57. Teske AP et al. Site U1546. In Teske, A., Lizarralde, D., Höfig, T. W., and the Expedition 385

Scientists, Guaymas Basin Tectonics and Biosphere. *Proceedings of the International Ocean*

Discovery Program 2021;**385**. https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.385.104.2021

58. Teske AP et al. Sites U1547 and U1548. In Teske, A., Lizarralde, D., Höfig, T. W., and the

Expedition 385 Scientists, Guaymas Basin Tectonics and Biosphere. *Proceedings of the*

International Ocean Discovery Program 2021;**385**.

https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.385.105.2021

59. Teske AP et al. Site U1549. In Teske, A., Lizarralde, D., Höfig, T. W., and the Expedition 385

Scientists, Guaymas Basin Tectonics and Biosphere. *Proceedings of the International Ocean*

Discovery Program 2021;**385**. https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.385.106.2021

60. Kallmeyer J et al. New cell extraction procedure applied to deep subsurface sediments.

Limnology and Oceanography: Methods 2008;**6**:236–245.

https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2008.6.236

61. Ramírez GA et al. Data report: the DNA event horizon in the Guaymas Basin subsurface

biosphere: technical advances and redefined limits in bulk extractions of nucleic acids from

deep marine sediments, IODP Expedition 385. *Proceedings of the International Ocean*

Discovery Program 2024;**385**. https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.385.204.2024

62. Marlow J et al. Spatially resolved correlative microscopy and microbial identification reveal

dynamic depth- and mineral-dependent anabolic activity in salt marsh sediment.

Environmental Microbiology 2021;**00**:1462-2920.15667. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-

2920.15667

63. Sebastián M et al. High growth potential of long-term starved deep ocean opportunistic

heterotrophic bacteria. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 2019;**10**:1–12.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00760

64. Martinez-Varela A et al. Bacterial responses to background organic pollutants in the northeast

subarctic Pacific Ocean. *Environ Microbiol* 2021;**23**:4532–4546.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.15646

65. Madill MBW et al. Activity-Based Cell Sorting Reveals Resistance of Functionally Degenerate

Nitrospira during a Press Disturbance in Nitrifying Activated Sludge. *mSystems*

2021;**6**:10.1128/msystems.00712-21. https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.00712-21

66. Schweitzer HD et al. Subsurface hydrocarbon degradation strategies in low- and high-sulfate

coal seam communities identified with activity-based metagenomics. *npj Biofilms*

Microbiomes 2022;**8**:7. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-022-00267-2

67. Keeler E et al. Deep-sea hydrothermal vent sediments reveal diverse fungi with antibacterial

activities.   *FEMS   Microbiology   Ecology   2021;**97**:fiab103.

https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiab103

68. Taylor DL et al. Accurate Estimation of Fungal Diversity and Abundance through Improved

Lineage-Specific Primers Optimized for Illumina Amplicon Sequencing. *Applied and*

Environmental Microbiology 2016;**82**:7217–7226. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02576-16

69. Tedersoo L et al. Shotgun metagenomes and multiple primer pair-barcode combinations of

amplicons reveal biases in metabarcoding analyses of fungi. *MycoKeys* 2015;**10**:1–43.

https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.10.4852

70. Inagaki F et al. Exploring deep microbial life in coal-bearing sediment down to ~2.5 km below

the ocean floor. *Science* 2015;**349**:420–424. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa6882

71. D'Hondt S et al. Subseafloor life and its biogeochemical impacts. *Nature Communications*

2019;**10**:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11450-z

72. Morono Y et al. An improved cell separation technique for marine subsurface sediments:

applications for high-throughput analysis using flow cytometry and cell sorting.

Environmental Microbiology 2013;**15**:2841–2849. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12153

73. Batzke A et al. Phylogenetic and physiological diversity of cultured deep-biosphere bacteria
from Equatorial Pacific Ocean and Peru Margin sediments. *Geomicrobiology Journal*
2007;**24**:261–273. https://doi.org/10.1080/01490450701456453

74. Biddle JF et al. Prospects for the study of evolution in the deep biosphere. *Frontiers in*
Microbiology 2012;**2**:1–7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2011.00285

75. McKay L et al. Thermal and geochemical influences on microbial biogeography in the
hydrothermal sediments of Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California. *Environmental Microbiology*
Reports 2016;**8**:150–161. https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12365

76. Teske A et al. The Guaymas Basin hiking guide to hydrothermal mounds, chimneys, and
microbial mats: Complex seafloor expressions of subsurface hydrothermal circulation.
Frontiers in Microbiology 2016;**7**. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00075

77. D'Angelo T et al. Oceanic Crustal Fluid Single Cell Genomics Complements Metagenomic
and Metatranscriptomic Surveys With Orders of Magnitude Less Sample Volume. *Frontiers*
in Microbiology 2022;**12**:1–13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.738231

78. Orcutt BN et al. Microbial Ecology of the Dark Ocean above, at, and below the Seafloor.
Microbiology   and   Molecular   Biology   Reviews   2011;**75**:361–422.
https://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.00039-10

79. Meier DV et al. Heterotrophic Proteobacteria in the vicinity of diffuse hydrothermal venting.
Environmental Microbiology 2016;**18**:4348–4368. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13304

80. Dong X et al. Thermogenic hydrocarbon biodegradation by diverse depth-stratified microbial
populations   at   a   Scotian   Basin   cold   seep.   *Nat   Commun*   2020;**11**:5825.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19648-2

81. Wee SY et al. Microbial Abundance and Diversity in Subsurface Lower Oceanic Crust at

Atlantis Bank, Southwest Indian Ridge. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2021;**87**.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01519-21

82. Zhou Z et al. Global patterns of diversity and metabolism of microbial communities in deep-

sea hydrothermal vent deposits. *Microbiome* 2022;**10**:241. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-

022-01424-7

83. Callac N et al. Microbial colonization of basaltic glasses in hydrothermal organic-rich

sediments at Guaymas Basin. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 2013;**4**.

84. L'Haridon S et al. Vulcanibacillus modesticaldus gen. nov., sp. nov., a strictly anaerobic,

nitrate-reducing bacterium from deep-sea hydrothermal vents. *International Journal of*

Systematic   and   Evolutionary   Microbiology   2006;**56**:1047–1053.

https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.64012-0

85. Guo L-Y et al. Bacillus marinisedimentorum sp. nov., isolated from marine sediment. *Int J*

Syst Evol Microbiol 2018;**68**:198–203. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.002482

86. Bell E et al. Hyperthermophilic endospores germinate and metabolize organic carbon in

sediments   heated   to   80°C.   *Environmental   Microbiology*   2022;**n/a**.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.16167

87. Berdugo-Clavijo C et al. Methanogenic biodegradation of two-ringed polycyclic aromatic

hydrocarbons.   *FEMS   Microbiology   Ecology*   2012;**81**:124–133.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01328.x

88. Edgcomb VP, Teske AP, Mara P. Microbial Hydrocarbon Degradation in Guaymas Basin—

Exploring the Roles and Potential Interactions of Fungi and Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria. *Front*

Microbiol 2022;**13**. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.831828

89. Trembath-Reichert E et al. Methyl-compound use and slow growth characterize microbial life

in 2-km-deep subseafloor coal and shale beds. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences 2017;**114**:E9206–E9215. https://doi.org/Tre

90. Zheng R et al. Physiological and metabolic insights into the first cultured anaerobic

representative of deep-sea Planctomycetes bacteria. *eLife* 2024;**12**:RP89874.

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.89874

91. Sekiguchi Y et al. Anaerolinea thermophila gen. nov., sp. nov. and Caldilinea aerophila gen.

nov., sp. nov., novel filamentous thermophiles that represent a previously uncultured lineage

of the domain Bacteria at the subphylum level. *International Journal of Systematic and*

Evolutionary Microbiology 2003;**53**:1843–1851. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02699-0

92. Lee JZ et al. Fermentation couples Chloroflexi and sulfate-reducing bacteria to Cyanobacteria

in hypersaline microbial mats. *Front Microbiol* 2014;**5**.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00061

Figures legends

Figure 1. Alpha diversity indices of each sample and fold enrichment changes of the 4 most

abundant Classes. Plots of Observed Diversity (A) and Shannon's diversity index (B) for all sites.

Fold enrichment of relative abundance between the pre-sort and sorted fractions for

Gammaproteobacteria (C), Alphaproteobacteria (D), Bacilli (E), and Deinococci (F). Fold

enrichment was calculated as the ratio of relative abundances of ASVs affiliated with a given class

between the pre-sort and sorted fraction, normalized to zero so that equal frequencies equate to

zero. In fold-enrichment plots (C-F), yellow bars indicate that a class was more represented in the

sorted fraction, and blue bars show that the class was more abundant in the pre-sort fraction. Bars

represent the average of triplicate samples, and each point shows the value of each replicate. A *

indicated that no ASVs affiliated with that class were detected in either the presort or sorted

fraction. Note that samples are presented in order of increasing depth.

Figure 2. Community composition of cell extract (pre-sort) and BONCAT-positive sorted samples

depicted as the relative abundance of ASVs of the 16S rRNA gene collapsed to class level.

Fractions are grouped separately on the y-axis. Replicate numbers are indicated by 1-3; C refers

to the no-HPG control.

Figure 3. Heat map of metabolic potential of the six translationally active MAGs. A subset of

genes was assessed. Squares indicate the presence of a functional gene (blue), complete metabolic

pathway (red), or partial metabolic pathway (pink). White squares indicate that no gene(s)

affiliated with that pathway were detected. MAGs are numbered following identities in (Mara et

al., 2023). Abbreviations: PPP (pentose phosphate pathway), ED pathway (Entner-Doudoroff

pathway). MAG_012 (84.65% complete, 9.60% contamination), MAG_005 (95.91% complete,

7.52% contamination), MAG_022 (87.01% complete, 4.23% contamination), MAG_054 (52.66%

complete, 3.54% contamination), MAG_013 (86.40% complete, 0.78% contamination),

MAG_014 (88.80% complete, 8.25% contamination).