An NKX2-5 homolog is required downstream of BMP signaling to pattern the sensory-

adhesive organ of a tunicate larva

Christopher J. Johnson[1]*, Joshua Kavaler[2], Christina D. Cota[2], Alberto Stolfi[1]*

1. School of Biological Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA

2. Biology Department, Colby College, Waterville, Maine, USA

* cvjohnson1215@gatech.edu, alberto.stolfi@biosci.gatech.edu

ABSTRACT

Tunicates are the sister group to vertebrates within the chordate phylum, yet unlike other

chordate groups, they evolved a biphasic lifecycle alternating between motile larvae and sessile

adults. The papillae of most tunicate larvae are the key sensory-adhesive organ regulating their

settlement and metamorphosis. The papillae are nearly always arranged as a group of three

morphologically identical organs that arise from an anterior neural plate border region nested

between ventral epidermis and more dorsal/posterior neural tube progenitors. Due to their

embryonic origin and molecular signatures, this anterior border has been evolutionarily linked to

vertebrate placode regions. It was previously shown that the specification, patterning, and

morphogenesis of the embryonic papilla region all depend on BMP signaling, though

downstream mechanisms remain poorly understood. Here we show that the NKX2-3/5/6

ortholog NK4 is a key transcription factor that acts downstream of BMP signaling to pattern the

papillae in the *Ciona* embryo. We present evidence that *NK4* is activated by BMP signaling and

encodes a transcriptional repressor that is required to restrict the expression of the papilla

regulatory gene *Foxg* to three cell clusters that give rise to the three papillae. Loss of NK4

function results in the formation of a single large papilla. In contrast, overexpression of NK4

represses *Foxg,* eliminating the papillae. We also show that the expression of *NK4* is restricted

dorsally by the BMP antagonist Chordin, while the ventrally-expressed transcription factor Msx

alleviates the repressive effect of NK4, potentially allowing for the characteristic tripartite

patterning of the papillae.

INTRODUCTION

Tunicates have emerged as model organisms for the study of chordate evolution and development (Anselmi et al., 2025). Despite sharing key anatomical, cellular, and molecular traits with other chordate subphyla, a majority of tunicate species alternate between motile, pelagic larval and sessile, benthic adult lifecycle phases (Karaiskou et al., 2015; Lemaire, 2011). The sensory-adhesive papilla organ of the larva plays an indispensable role in driving substrate attachment and metamorphosis in most species (Nakayama-Ishimura et al., 2009). In solitary species studied in the lab, it has been shown that continuous attachment to a solid substrate is necessary and sufficient to trigger metamorphosis (Matsunobu and Sasakura, 2015). This metamorphosis has been shown to be driven by the mechanosensory abilities of the papillae, and direct mechanical or optogenetic stimulation of the papilla neurons (PNs) can substitute for attachment in initiating metamorphosis (Totsuka and Hotta, 2025; Wakai et al., 2021). It is thought that the PNs sense mechanical forces generated and/or augmented by substrate attachment (Hozumi et al., 2025), which in turn depends on adhesive material-secreting collocytes that are present alongside the PNs in the papilla organ (Zeng et al., 2019a; Zeng et al., 2019b). Consistent with this model, metamorphosis is blocked by genetically eliminating PNs or perturbing their function (Sakamoto et al., 2022). In most species, the papilla organ is invariably organized in a tripartite manner, consisting of three constituent papillae arranged as vertices of a triangle: two dorsal, lateral papillae and one ventral, medial papilla (Berrill, 1930; Dolcemascolo et al., 2009; Turon, 1991). Each papilla appears to consist of the same number and arrangement of cell types, of which at least five are known based on cytological and molecular characterization (Johnson et al., 2024; Zeng et al., 2019b). This tripartite arrangement is highly conserved, even in the much larger larvae of certain compound tunicate species (Cloney, 1977), suggesting some adaptive advantage for the larva.

The papillae arise from the anteriormost cells of the neural plate, forming an anterior neural border (ANB) that might be evolutionarily connected to the anterior cranial placodes of vertebrates (Abitua et al., 2015; Feinberg et al., 2019; Ikeda et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2023; Roure et al., 2023; Roure et al., 2025; Thawani and Groves, 2020; Tresser et al., 2010; Wagner and Levine, 2012). In multiple species studied, the specification and patterning of the papilla region is regulated by BMP signaling (Liu et al., 2023; Roure et al., 2023). First, BMP signaling is required for proper papilla specification, though in more anterior/ventral epidermis it may suppress papilla fate (Liu et al., 2023; Roure et al., 2023). Later, BMP signaling patterns the

papilla territory into its familiar tripartite arrangement (Liu et al., 2023; Roure et al., 2023). It has

also been shown that the tripartite organization of the papillae depends on the precise

specification of three discrete, Foxg+/Islet+ cell clusters at the mid/late tailbud stages (Johnson

et al., 2024; Liu and Satou, 2019; Roure et al., 2023; Wagner et al., 2014). However, our

understanding of how BMP or other candidate pathways accomplish this remains incomplete

(**Figure 1**).

Here we show that the BMP-responsive regulatory gene *Nkx2-3/5/6,* the ortholog of *NKX2-5,*

NKX2-3, and *NKX2-6* in humans, and of *tinman* in *Drosophila,* is a key intermediate regulator

that mediates the BMP-dependent tripartite patterning of the *Ciona* papillae. We show that BMP

signaling in the papilla territory promotes expression of *Nkx2-3/5/6* (which we call *NK4* herein,

based on the original name of the *Drosophila* tinman protein, NK-4)(Kim and Nirenberg, 1989).

We further show that NK4 acts as a transcriptional repressor that is both necessary and

sufficient to downregulate *Foxg* expression in specific sub-regions of this territory, thereby

allowing for the formation of discrete *Foxg+* cell clusters that give rise to the three papillae.

Disrupting *NK4* largely phenocopies BMP inhibition, resulting in a single large papilla. We

discuss our findings in the context of a larger model that we propose as underlying the

development of the conserved tripartite organization of the papilla organ, and its implications for

larval settlement and metamorphosis.

RESULTS

Knocking out NK4 in the papillae using CRISPR/Cas9 phenocopies BMP inhibition

We initially identified NK4 as a potential regulator of cell fate in the papillae. *NK4* is expressed

throughout the papilla territory (**Figure 2A, Figure S1, Video S1**)(Johnson et al., 2020), where it

was hypothesized to be important for the specification of the Axial Columnar Cells (ACCs), a

papilla cell type with sensory capabilities but of unknown function (Hoyer et al., 2024; Johnson

et al., 2020). We thus originally sought to knock out *NK4* specifically in the papilla territory, to

assay its role in ACC specification. To do so, we selected a previously designed and validated,

high-efficiency single-chain guide RNA (sgRNA) targeting the second exon of *NK4* (**Figure 2B**),

predicted to cut within the sequence encoding part the DNA-binding homeodomain (Gandhi et

al., 2017). To limit CRISPR/Cas9 activity to the early papilla cell lineages, we used the *Foxc*

promoter to drive expression of Cas9 (*Foxc>Cas9*)(Johnson et al., 2024). When we co-

electroporated the sgRNA expression plasmid (*U6>NK4.3*) with *Foxc>Cas9,* we unexpectedly

observed a "*Cyrano*" phenotype (Roure et al., 2023) in which a single protruding papilla forms in

place of the familiar three papillae arranged as a triangle (**Figure 2C,D**). This phenotype was

originally observed in larvae subjected to BMP signaling knockdown (Liu et al., 2023; Roure et

al., 2023), but to our knowledge this is the first report of a CRISPR condition with the same or

similar phenotype. The ACC reporter gene *CryBG>GFP* was still expressed in *NK4* CRISPR

larvae showing a clear single-papilla phenotype (**Figure 2C,E**), suggesting that NK4 is

specifically involved in papilla organ patterning but not necessarily cell type specification or

differentiation. To test this, we replicated the CRISPR-mediated disruption of *NK4* while

assaying the expression of reporters that label the other cell types of the papillae (**Figure 3A,B**).

Indeed, all cell type reporters were still labeling cells contributing to the resulting single large

papilla. The same phenotype of a single large papilla was obtained using another sgRNA

targeting a different part of the *NK4* gene, further supporting our observations (**Figure S2**).

Despite only having one large papilla, *NK4* CRISPR larvae were still fully capable of undergoing

metamorphosis (**Figure 3C,D**), likely due to the fact that all the cell types, especially the PNs,

were still present. Of note, PNs in *NK4 CRISPR* larvae still had their apical cilia, which are

hypothesized to be important for mechanosensory ability (**Video S2-4**) These results suggest

that the tripartite organization of the papillae might not be required for metamorphosis under

laboratory conditions, but could be more important for robust adhesion or to fine-tune substrate

selection in the wild.

BMP signaling activates *NK4* expression in the papilla territory

In *Ciona, NK4* has been shown to be activated by BMP signaling emanating from ventral

regions (Christiaen et al., 2010; Roure et al., 2023; Waki et al., 2015). In the migrating

cardiopharyngeal progenitors, BMP signaling activates *NK4,* which in turn modulates cell

migration and fate decisions in this lineage (Christiaen et al., 2010). In other studies, *NK4*

expression in the ventral epidermis was shown to be upregulated by BMP treatment and

downregulated by pharmacological inhibitors of the BMP pathway (Roure et al., 2023; Waki et

al., 2015). Because the characteristic phenotype originally described in BMP-inhibited larvae so

closely resembled that of our *NK4* CRISPR larvae, we reasoned that *NK4* might act

downstream of BMP signaling to pattern the papillae.

To test this, first we showed that overexpression of the BMP antagonist Noggin (*Foxc>Noggin)*

also results in a single protruding papilla containing all known papilla cell types (**Figure 4A**).

Second, we found that *Foxc>Noggin* also greatly reduces the expression of the *NK4>GFP*

reporter plasmid in the papillae (**Figure 4B**). Expression was not completely abolished, but

quantification of mean GFP fluorescence (normalized to whole-larva expression of an

Islet>mCherry reporter) showed that it is significantly reduced upon BMP inhibition (**Figure 4C-**

E, Figure S3). Interestingly, we observed a complete loss of expression of the the BMP-

dependent ventral papilla marker gene *Msx* (Roure et al., 2023) in Noggin-overexpression

larvae, compared to a more modest reduction in *NK4* CRISPR larvae (**Figure 4F,G**). Despite

the presence of a single large papilla in in many of these larvae, *Msx* reporter plasmid

expression was still observed in the ventral area of the papilla region (**Figure 4F**). This

suggests that, although NK4 functions downstream of BMP signaling to regulate the tripartite

organization of the papillae, activation of *Msx* in the ventral papilla by BMP is mostly

independent of NK4.

In contrast to *NK4* CRISPR or BMP inhibition, overexpression of NK4 (*Foxc>NK4*) resulted in

the near opposite of the *Cyrano* phenotype: a flat layer of cells where the papillae normally form

(**Figure 4H,I**). When combining NK4 overexpression with BMP inhibition (Noggin

overexpression), the NK4 overexpression phenotype (flat/no papillae) clearly prevailed (**Figure**

4J,K). Taken together, these data suggest that NK4 acts downstream of BMP signaling in the

papilla territory to pattern this region into the three protrusions invariably seen in wild-type

larvae.

NK4 represses *Foxg*

Having established a role for NK4 in patterning the papilla organ downstream of BMP signaling,

we next sought to better understand exactly how NK4 achieves this. *NK4* expression has been

shown to begin during the neurula stage (Imai et al., 2004). By mRNA *in situ* hybridization we

observed *NK4* expression in the middle of the papilla territory during the late neurula stage,

overlapping with *Foxg* expression when the latter is still expressed in the anteriormost "U"-

shaped row of cells in the papilla territory (**Figure 5A**). This is just before the refinement of *Foxg*

expression into the three cell clusters, or "spots", corresponding to the future protrusions

(**Figure 1**). At the initial tailbud stage, *NK4* appeared to be most strongly expressed in *Foxg*-

negative cells surrounding the future papillae, including in *Foxg+* cells that nonetheless

downregulate *Foxg* later on (Liu and Satou, 2019), as well as in cells of the presumptive ventral

papilla (**Figure 5A**). *NK4* appears to be expressed at lower levels in more dorsal and lateral

regions, especially near the two dorsolateral "spots" of *Foxg* expression corresponding to the

two dorsal papillae.

Due to the fact that Foxg promotes Islet expression, and that both are required for the formation

of the three protrusions later on (Liu et al., 2023; Liu and Satou, 2019; Wagner et al., 2014), we

hypothesized that NK4 might downregulate *Foxg* in cells between and surrounding the three

Foxg+/Islet+ cell clusters. Indeed, we found that *NK4* CRISPR results in ectopic *Foxg*

expression, observed by *in situ* at the mid-tailbud stage (**Figure 5B,C**). Instead of the three

characteristic *Foxg+* cell clusters, we observe a "U"-shaped stripe of *Foxg* expression. This

phenocopied the expansion of *Foxg* in BMP-inhibited larvae previously reported (Liu et al.,

2023; Roure et al., 2023). We further observed that NK4 CRISPR similarly expands Islet

reporter expression from three dots to a U-shaped stripe, like the expansion of Islet observed

under BMP inhibition (**Figure 5D,E**)(Roure et al., 2023). It is thought that the expansion of these

three *Foxg+/Islet+* clusters into a single contiguous group (**Figure 5F**) results in the enlarged

protrusion seen in BMP-knockdown larvae (Liu et al., 2023; Roure et al., 2023). Indeed,

Foxg>GFP reporter expression was observed throughout the single large papillae of *NK4*

CRISPR larvae (**Figure 5G**). In contrast, NK4 overexpression (Foxc>NK4) suppressed Foxg

reporter expression (**Figure 6A,B**). Taken together, these data suggest that NK4 is necessary

and sufficient to repress *Foxg* in the papillae. Curiously, *Foxc>NK4* does not appear to suppress

Islet reporter expression (**Figure S4**), suggesting more complex regulatory outcomes

downstream of Foxg.

NK4 has a repressive effect, whether directly or indirectly, on *Foxg* expression, and loss of NK4

impairs the refinement of *Foxg* expression into three discrete cell clusters. It was previously

shown that Foxg overexpression results in a single large papilla protrusion (Liu and Satou,

2019). To test whether impaired refinement/downregulation of *Foxg* likely underlies the

phenotype seen in BMP inhibition and *NK4* CRISPR conditions, we electroporated a *Foxg>Foxg*

plasmid designed to overexpress Foxg and thus override the normal downregulation of *Foxg*.

Indeed, the *Foxg>Foxg* plasmid results in a single enlarged papilla with all four major papilla cell

types present, similar to both BMP inhibition and *NK4* CRISPR (**Figure 6C**). However, when we

co-electroporated the *Foxc>Nk4* and *Foxg>Foxg* plasmids together in the same embryos, a

majority of larvae developed a flat papilla territory, more closely resembling the *Foxc>NK4*

phenotype (**Figure 6D,E**). This suggests that NK4 is able to suppress Foxg expression and/or

function, and downstream papilla formation. Based on these results, we propose that the single,

large protruding papilla seen with *NK4* CRISPR is due to impaired downregulation of Foxg in

cells outside the three cell clusters that normally give rise to the three papillae.

NK4 and its orthologs in other species have been described as both transcriptional activators

and repressors (Akazawa and Komuro, 2005; Choi et al., 1999). To probe whether NK4 is

acting as an activator or a repressor in the papilla territory, we replaced the C-terminus of NK4

with either a VP16 transactivation domain (Campbell et al., 1984), or a WRPW repressor

domain (Fisher et al., 1996), and expressed either throughout the papilla territory using the *Foxc*

promoter. Strikingly, the effects of overexpressing NK4::VP16 appeared similar to the

overexpression of Foxg, resulting in a single, large *Foxg+* papilla (**Figure 6F,G**). In contrast,

overexpression of NK4::WRPW phenocopied that of the full-length NK4, resulting instead in a

flat papilla territory (**Figure 6H,I**). These data suggest that NK4 normally functions as a

transcriptional repressor of *Foxg* expression during papilla morphogenesis.

Msx interferes with NK4-mediated repression of Foxg

While NK4 appears to mediate the downregulation of *Foxg*, we next asked how the ventral,

medial protrusion forms. This protrusion arises from an area with high levels of BMP signaling

(Roure et al., 2023) and NK4 expression. Indeed, by *in situ* hybridization, strong *NK4* and *Foxg*

expression overlap in the ventral/medial papilla (**Figure 5A**), which is known to express *Msx*

(**Figure 4F**). We therefore hypothesized that Msx might somehow interfere with NK4's ability to

repress *Foxg.* To test this, we co-electroporated equal amounts of *Foxc>Msx* and *Foxc>NK4*, to

see if the former could neutralize the latter's repressive effect on *Foxg* reporter expression and

papilla formation. Indeed, co-electroporating *Foxc>Msx* and *Foxc>NK4* partially rescued *Foxg*

reporter expression, resulting even in a large single protrusion in ~25% of cases (**Figure 7A-C**).

This suggests that Msx can interfere with NK4 function, which may explain why the ventral

papilla is able to form even in the presence of NK4. However, because the rescue was modest,

there may be additional factors contributing to the de-repression of *Foxg* in the ventral papilla.

Chordin restricts NK4 expression and allows for dorsal papilla formation

Having found that Msx can interfere with NK4 function, which may explain how the ventral

Foxg+ protrusion forms, we next sought to understand how the two dorsal protrusions are

allowed to form. By *in situ* hybridization at the mid-tailbud stage, we could not rule out *NK4*

expression from the dorsal papillae even though expression was weak and did not extend more

dorsally (**Figure 5A,B**). We hypothesized that *NK4* might be downregulated at the very dorsal

edges of the papilla territory, enough to allow for sustained *Foxg* expression in the cells that

eventually give rise to the two dorsal protrusions. Notably, the BMP antagonist Chordin is
expressed in the dorsal midline of the epidermis, immediately posterior to the dorsal papillae
(**Figure 7D, Figure S5**)(Imai et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2023). We hypothesized that Chordin might
be able to limit *NK4* expression more dorsally through its ability to antagonize BMP signaling.
Indeed, when we overexpressed Chordin (*Foxc>Chordin*), we obtained a similar effect as seen
with Noggin overexpression, in which a single papilla protrusion formed (**Figure 7E,F**). In
contrast, CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of *Chordin* in the ectoderm significantly increased
NK4 reporter plasmid expression in the papilla territory (**Figure 7G,H, Figure S6**). By
quantifying normalized mean *NK4>GFP* fluorescence, we demonstrated that its expression in
negative control larvae is indeed lower in the dorsal half of the papilla territory than in the ventral
(**Figure 7H, Figure S7**). CRISPR knockout of *Chordin* increased *NK4* reporter expression,
especially in the dorsal half of the papilla territory, closest to the source of Chordin (**Figure 7H**).
As expected, *Chordin* CRISPR suppressed dorsal *Foxg* reporter expression and dorsal papilla
formation (**Figure 7I,J**). Based on these data, we propose that Chordin limits the reach of BMP-
activated *NK4* expression on the dorsal side of the embryo, allowing for proper specification of
the dorsal papillae.
255
256
257 **DISCUSSION**
258
Here we present evidence for the involvement of NK4 as a key mediator of BMP signaling in
patterning the sensory-adhesive papillae of tunicate larvae. It was previously proposed that
BMP is required in the ventral papilla for the expression of an unknown, secreted factor that
activates the expression of Sp6/7/8 (also known as Zfp220)*,* which in turn was proposed to
repress *Foxg* in interleaving cells to produce the tripartite organization of the papilla organ (Liu
et al., 2023; Liu and Satou, 2019; Roure et al., 2023). This was partially based on lack of clear
phosphoSMAD immunostaining outside the most ventral part of the papilla territory (Roure et
al., 2023). Here we show that the missing factor may be NK4, and that *Sp6/7/8* need not be
invoked. Instead of being secreted, NK4 is predicted to act cell-autonomously. We suggest that
NK4 expression is activated by low levels of BMP signaling, beyond the limits of robust
phosphoSMAD detection, which is consistent with our data and that of other studies tightly
linking *NK4* expression to BMP signaling (Christiaen et al., 2010; Roure et al., 2023; Waki et al.,
2015).
272

We propose that the patterning of the papillae can be explained by a classical morphogen

gradient (BMP) with *NK4* and *Msx* as targets, possibly at distinct activation thresholds (**Figure**

8). We show that NK4 is a transcriptional repressor that is necessary and sufficient to repress

Foxg in the papillae. A ventral-to-dorsal gradient of BMP signaling activates strong *NK4*

expression throughout much of the papilla territory but not at the dorsal-most extreme, where

we predict that BMP signaling is limited by dorsally-expressed Chordin. Here, *NK4* appears to

be downregulated by Chordin, allowing for *Foxg* expression to remain active, resulting in the left

and right dorsal papilla protrusions. In the ventral-most part of the papilla territory, where BMP

signaling is at its highest, we propose that Msx interferes with the ability of NK4 to repress *Foxg,*

contributing to the formation of the ventral papilla protrusion. Yet NK4 represses *Foxg* in all

other cells of the territory, and loss of BMP or NK4 results in ectopic *Foxg* activation throughout

and a single, large papilla (the "*Cyrano*" phenotype). Future work will be needed to confirm

some of these predictions, and to ascertain which regulatory connections are direct or indirect*,*

but this model is consistent with the data presented in the current study.

While our data strongly support a role for Chordin in antagonizing BMP and *NK4* expression in

the dorsal papillae it is still unclear if this activity extends to more ventral areas. In our *Chordin*

CRISPR larvae, different statistical tests were split on whether the increase in *NK4* reporter

expression was statistically significant in the ventral half of the papilla territory, compared to that

in negative control larvae (**Figure 7G,H**). Similarly, statistical tests were split on whether

knocking out *Chordin* results in more uniform *NK4* reporter expression along the dorsal-ventral

axis of the papillae. Accurate measurements may have been further hindered by the obvious

morphological defects in the dorsal papillae elicited by *Chordin* CRISPR (**Figure 7I,J**). Although

it is still not clear whether Chordin may affect the gradient of BMP signaling and *NK4* expression

across the entire papilla territory, our data suggests that the dorsal areas are more sensitive to

loss of Chordin function than the ventral areas. It is also unclear why *Foxg* is normally

downregulated at the very dorsolateral edges of the papilla territory, where presumably NK4

should not be present to repress it. Here, regulation of *Foxg* may be independent of BMP and

NK4, as suggested by the lower sensitivity of *Sp6/7/8* expression to BMP inhibition in these cells

as previously reported (Liu et al., 2023). Similarly, NK4 might not be needed to repress *Foxg* in

the very center of the papilla territory, which is derived from cells that never activate *Foxg* to

begin with (**Figure 1**)(Liu and Satou, 2019).

One outstanding question is how to reconcile this model with data supporting alternative

models. For instance, the MEK/ERK pathway (presumably downstream of FGF) was previously

suggested to limit *Islet* expression to the three spots/protrusions, with pharmacological inhibition

of MEK at the late neurula stage (stage 16) resulting in an expanded domain of *Islet* expression

similar to that seen with BMP inhibition or *NK4* CRISPR (Wagner et al., 2014). MEK inhibition

also suppresses the specification of Islet-negative cell types, such as outer collocytes and

papilla neurons (Johnson et al., 2024). It is possible that MEK/ERK signaling might provide a

temporal, not spatial, restriction on *Islet* expression. We know that MEK/ERK signaling is active

in the lineage at a slightly earlier stage to promote *Foxg* expression in papilla precursors (Liu

and Satou, 2019). If MEK/ERK signaling can repress *Islet* expression at this earlier stage (when

its upstream activator, Foxg, is already present), it would explain why *Islet* is normally not

expressed in a U-shaped territory like we see for *Foxg* early on*.* In other words, this might

constitute a time-delay mechanism to ensure that *Islet* is only expressed in three dots, after

Foxg expression has been refined. Future work would be needed to investigate this, with

carefully timed *in situ* hybridizations to see if *Islet* is indeed precociously activated upon MEK

inhibition. Another unresolved question is the exact role(s) of Sp6/7/8 in patterning the papillae.

Previously we have shown that Sp6/7/8 is required for the proper specification of collocytes in

the papillae, but this appears to complement, not repress, Foxg-mediated gene expression

(Johnson et al., 2024). Furthermore, Sp6/7/8 is expressed in papilla neuron progenitors, but is

not required for neurogenesis (Johnson et al., 2024). Neither BMP inhibition nor *NK4* CRISPR

appear to prevent the formation of collocytes or papilla neurons, suggesting that more work will

be needed to study the precise regulatory relationship between Sp6/7/8 and Foxg.

Finally, our model relies on some kind of interference with NK4 function by Msx. How might this

work, molecularly speaking? Both Msx and NK4 belong to the NKL subclass of homeobox

transcription factors (Holland et al., 2007). NK4 orthologs have been shown to dimerize

(Kasahara et al., 2000; Zaffran and Frasch, 2005), and the residues in the homeodomain

required for dimerization (Kasahara et al., 2001) appear to be shared between *Ciona* NK4 and

Msx (**Figure S8**). One possibility is that co-expression of Msx and NK4 forces the formation of

Msx:NK4 heterodimers that are unable to repress *Foxg.* Alternatively, Msx simply competes for

NK4 binding sites in the *Foxg* promoter. Future work will elucidate the molecular basis for the

ability of Msx to relieve NK4-mediated repression. In our experiments, Msx co-expression only

partially alleviated the repressive effect of NK4, suggesting there may be additional factors

expressed in the ventral medial papilla working together with Msx to promote *Foxg* activation
there.
341
342
343
344 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**
345
Ciona handling and electroporation
Gravid adult specimens of *Ciona robusta* (*intestinalis* Type A) were collected and shipped by
Marinus Scientific (Lakewood, CA) or M-REP (Carlsbad, CA). Gametes were isolated from
adults and fertilized *in vitro* and dechorionated as previously described (Christiaen et al.,
2009b). Electroporation of dechorionated, synchronized zygotes was carried out as previously
described (Christiaen et al., 2009a). Plasmid DNA electroporation amounts were calculated and
listed as total micrograms per 100 µl, for a final electroporation volume of 700 µl (including D-
mannitol solution and sea water). DNA sequences and amounts are listed in the **Supplemental**
Sequences file. Embryos, larvae, and juveniles were reared at 20°C, and staged according to
hours post-fertilization (hpf) and Hotta Staging (Hotta et al., 2020). To monitor metamorphosis,
larvae were transferred at 17 hpf (Hotta Stage 27) to a new agarose-coated 10 cm petri dish
with 400 µl of 100X penicillin-streptomycin stock solution (Gibco) added to each dish. Juveniles
were collected at 44 hpf (stage ~35) and fixed according to the same protocol as for larvae.
Larvae were collected and fixed at 17 hpf, unless otherwise noted.
360
Immunofluorescence, *in situ* hybridization, and imaging
Larvae and embryos were fixed as previously described for whole-mount mRNA *in situ*
hybridizations (Stolfi and Levine, 2011) or for immunofluorescence or fluorescent protein
imaging (Vedurupaka et al., 2025). *In situ* hybridizations were carried out as previously
described (Stolfi and Levine, 2011). *Foxg* and *NK4* probes were previously published (Johnson
et al., 2020). Acetylated tubulin antibody staining was performed modified from a published
protocol (Pennati et al., 2015). Briefly, larvae were fixed by direct addition of 16%
paraformaldehyde (250 µl for a 10 cm dish), then washed four times in PBS + 0.1% Tween-20.
Larvae were incubated at 4°C overnight in mouse anti-acetylated primary antibody (Sigma-
Aldrich catalog# MABT868) diluted 1:200 in PBS + 1% BSA, then washed four times in PBT.
Secondary antibody (donkey anti-mouse AlexaFluor-488, ThemoFisher catalog# A21202) was
incubated at 1:400 in PBS + 1% BSA again overnight at 4°C. Final washes were performed as

before, prior to incubating with DAPI and mounting. Images were acquired on Leica DMIL LED

or DMI8 inverted compound epifluorescence microscopes, or a Zeiss LSM 900 laser-scanning

confocal microscope. Images acquired on Leica microscopes were processed and exported

using LASX software, while confocal images were processed using the ZEN software suite. For

scoring, only embryos/larvae expressing *Foxc>H2B::mCherry* in the papillae were scored,

unless otherwise specifically noted.

NK4 reporter fluorescence quantification and normalization

Fixed, slide-mounted larvae were imaged on a Leica DMI8 inverted compound epifluorescence

microscope using the same illumination and exposure settings between larvae (though settings

changed depending on whether it was GFP or mCherry fluorescence being imaged. For the

Noggin overexpression experiment, the 20X objective (HC PL FLUOTAR L, 0.40 N.A., 0-2 mm

correction collar) was used to image both the papillae and most of the larva (excluding the tail

tip). For the Chordin CRISPR experiment, the 40X objective (HC PL APO, 0.95 N.A., 0.11-0.23

mm correction collar) was used to image mostly the head including the papillae. After image

acquisition, regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn in Leica LASX software, and mean

fluorescence pixel intensity values exported for further analysis and plotting. For the Noggin

overexpression experiment, an ROI around the papilla region and another ROI around the

whole larva was drawn for each individual. For the Chordin CRISPR experiment, one ROI was

drawn around the ventral half of the papilla region, and another around the dorsal half of the

papilla region, for each individual. Raw pixel intensity measurements and calculated

GFP/mCherry ratios can be found in **Supplemental Data S1**.

Tissue-specific CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis in F0

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis in electroporated embryos was carried out as previously

described (Popsuj et al., 2024). The original *NK4*-targeting sgRNA (*NK4.3*) was previously

published and validated by in-house Illumina amplicon sequencing (Gandhi et al., 2017). A

negative control ("Control") sgRNA targeting no *Ciona* sequence was previously published

(Stolfi et al., 2014). An additional sgRNA (*Defcab.*47) targeting the *Defcab* gene (Gibboney et

al., 2020; Kim et al., 2025), which is not expressed in the papillae, was similarly used as a

negative control in one experiment (**Figure 5D-E**). New sgRNAs targeting *NK4* and *Chordin*

were designed using CRISPOR (Concordet and Haeussler, 2018; Haeussler et al., 2016), and

validated by Amplicon E-Z sequencing service by Genewiz/Azenta (South Plainfield, NJ) as

previously described (Johnson et al., 2023; Popsuj et al., 2024). The validation protocol can also

be followed at dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.14egnr97yl5d/v1 (Popsuj et al., 2024). Cas9 was

frequently fused to Geminin$^{\text{N-terminus}}$, which increases mutagenesis efficacy (Pennati et al., 2024;

Song et al., 2022). All sgRNA, Cas9, primer, and relevant plasmid DNA sequences and

amounts are included in the **Supplemental Sequences** file.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dr. Florian Razy-Krajka for cloning parts of the *Chordin*

promoter and coding sequences, and Dr. Robbie Richards for advice on statistical analyses.

The authors also thank Dr. Eduardo Gigante and Hussan Ali for cloning and characterizing the

Noggin coding sequence. The authors are grateful to other past and present members of the

Stolfi and Cota labs for feedback and suggestions. This work was funded by NIH grants

R35GM158421 to AS and R01HD104825 to CDC and AS, NSF (IOS) grant 2052493 to CDC,

and an NSF Graduate Fellowship to CJJ. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Figure 1. Summary diagram of papilla development in *Ciona*.

A stylized diagram summarizing what is currently know about the cell lineages and major cell
fate specification events in the developing papilla territory of *Ciona* embryos. Briefly, the papillae
are derived from anterior-most *Foxc*-expressing cells of the anterior neural plate border at
gastrula stage. The anterior-most row of daughter cells (red dashed rectangle) go on to express
Foxg at the early neurula stage. During tailbud stages, this row of cells begins to bend ventrally,
forming a "U"-shaped swatch of cells. *Foxg* expression is maintained in three discrete clusters of
cells and downregulated in the remaining cells of this row. Eventually, sustained Foxg activates
Islet in the three cell clusters and their descendants, giving rise to the three protruding sensory-
adhesive papillae of the hatched larva: one medial, one ventral papilla, and two lateral, dorsal
papillae. Each papilla is composed of invariant numbers of different cell types (4 axial columnar
cells, 4 inner collocytes, 4 papilla neurons, and 8 outer collocytes, for a total of 20 cells). See
text for references.

Figure 2. *NK4,* an *NKX2-3/5/6* ortholog, is required for the tripartite patterning of the

papillae.

A) A GFP reporter plasmid constructed using a portion of the sole intron of the *NK4* gene of

Ciona robusta ("int1.1")*,* fused to the basal promoter of the *FOG* gene (Rothbächer et al., 2007).

See **Supplemental Sequences** file for details), is expressed throughout the Foxc+ papilla

territory in stage 23 embryos, recapitulating the previously reported mRNA *in situ* hybridization

pattern. Int1.1 reporter activity can also be seen in ventral epidermis and trunk endoderm. hpf =

hours post-fertilization. B) Diagram depicting the *NK4* locus and location of both the int1.1 *cis-*

regulatory element and the target of the published *NK4.3* sgRNA. Underneath is the ATAC-seq

profile of 10 hpf embryos, from Racioppi et al. 2019, showing a peak of DNA accessibility

centered on the int1.1 element. C) Papilla lineage-specific CRISPR/Cas9-mediated

mutagenesis of *NK4* showing the single, enlarged papilla protrusion (indicated by dashed line)

similar to the *Cyrano* phenotype described in Roure et al. 2023 and replicated in Liu et al. 2023.

Compare to the negative control CRISPR using the non-targeting *"Control"* sgRNA instead.

Right panels: the *CryBG>GFP* reporter (green) reveals the presence of ACCs in the single

papilla of the *NK4* CRISPR larvae. Left panels show brightfield images (zoomed in panel

indicated in yellow dashed outline), while right panels show brightfield overlaid on GFP/mCherry

fluorescence. D) Scoring the percentage of transfected larvae showing the single large papilla

phenotype ("*Cyrano*") in *NK4* CRISPR vs. negative control larvae electroporated with only the

reporter plasmids. Transfection was monitored using a combination of *Islet>GFP* and

Foxc>H2B::mCherry reporter plasmid expression in the papillae. E) Scoring the proportion of

transfected (*Foxc>H2B::mCherry+*) larvae showing *CryBG>GFP* expression as shown in panel

478 C. No difference was observed in the proportion of larvae expressing *CryBG>GFP* between

479 *NK4* CRISPR and control larvae. All GFP sequences are tagged with Unc-76, which promotes

480 cytoplasmic localization over nuclear localization (Dynes and Ngai, 1998). Scale bars = 50 m.

481 mCh: mCherry; D: dorsal; V: ventral.

Figure 3. *NK4* **CRISPR does not significantly impact specification of other papilla cell types or metamorphosis, despite resulting in a single large papilla.**

A) Papilla lineage-specific *CRISPR* mutagenesis of *NK4* does not result in loss of other papilla cell type-specific reporter gene expression in spite of the loss of the typical tripartite organization of the papillae. ICs: inner collocytes; OCs: outer collocytes; PNs: papilla neurons. Counterstain in *Seldom>GFP* images is DAPI (white overlay). Scale bars = 25 µm. IC and PN images acquired at 17 hours post-fertilization (hpf; St. 27), while OC images were acquired at 21 hpf (St. 29) on account of the late onset of *L141.36* expression as previously reported (Johnson et al., 2024). B) Scoring the percentage of transfected larvae showing expression of each cell type-specific reporter, comparing *NK4* CRISPR vs. negative control larvae as indicated in panel A. C) *NK4* CRISPR in the papilla lineage also does not significantly prevent metamorphosis, as assayed in 44 hpf juveniles (St. 35) undergoing tail retraction and body axis rotation (white arrow). Scale bars = 50 µm. D) Scoring the percentage of transfected juveniles undergoing either metamorphic process as depicted in panel C. All GFP sequences tagged with Unc-76.

Figure 4. NK4 acts downstream of BMP signaling in the papillae.

A) Overexpression of the BMP antagonist Noggin in the papilla lineage (using *Foxc>Noggin*

plasmid) results in a "*Cyrano*" phenotype similar to *NK4* CRISPR: a single large papilla

containing all four papilla cell types (ACCs, ICs, OCs, adn PNs). DAPI counterstain shown in

white overlay. All at 17 hpf (St. 27) except *L141.36* reporter expression, which is visible only

around 21 hpf (St. 29). B) *NK4*>GFP reporter expression (green) was quantified by measuring

mean fluorescence in the papilla territory (yellow dashed area), in negative control and

Foxc>Noggin-electroporated larvae. Transfection efficacy was normalized using whole-larva

expression of *Islet [intron 1a + -472/+9]>mCherry* (magenta), which is expressed in papilla and

notochord cells. Scale bars = 50 µm. C) Comparison of mean fluorescence intensity readouts of

NK4>GFP expression in the papilla region (as indicated in panel B), between negative control

and *Foxc>Noggin* larvae. Data show significant downregulation of *NK4* reporter expression in

the papillae by Noggin overexpression. 5 outliers of fluorescence over 80 were removed from

the control dataset. **** p<0.0001 by two-tailed Mann-Whitney test. D) Comparison of mean

fluorescence intensity readouts of *Islet>mCherry* fluorescence in the whole larva, between the

same larvae plotted in panel C and shown in panel B. Data show that overexpression of Noggin

had no significant effect on Islet expression levels throughout the larva, indicating comparable

transfection efficacies and suitable use of whole-larva *Islet* reporter expression to normalize

NK4 reporter activity. p = 0.7404 by two-tailed Mann-Whitney. E) Ratio of GFP to mCherry

intensity values plotted in panels C and D, showing that *NK4* reporter is significantly

downregulated by Noggin overexpression even when normalized by transfection efficacy.

p<0.0001 by two-tailed Mann-Whitney. Outliers with GFP:mCherry ratio >3.0 (three in the

control condition) were removed from the entire dataset. Complete data with flagged outliers

can be found in **Supplemental Data S1.** Normalization to papilla-specific *Islet>mCherry*

expression can be found in **Figure S3.** F) Expression of the *Msx>CD4::GFP* reporter (green) in

negative control CRISPR, Noggin overexpression, and *NK4* CRISPR (using *Foxc>Cas9*). G)

Comparison of percentage of transfected larvae showing *Msx* reporter expression in the ventral

papilla region, comparing the conditions shown in panel F. H) Overexpression of NK4 using the

Foxc promoter results in the absence of protruding papillae and flattening of the entire anterior

epidermis. I) Scoring the percentage of transfected larvae showing the flattened papilla territory,

comparing the conditions in panel H. J) Overexpression of NK4 in the papilla region can

override the Noggin overexpression phenotype, converting a single large papilla into a flattened

epidermis. All scale bars (except in panel B) = 25 μm. All GFP sequences except

Msx>CD4::GFP are tagged with Unc-76.

Figure 5. *NK4* CRISPR expands *Foxg* and *Islet* expression.

A) Whole-mount, two-color fluorescent mRNA *in situ* hybridization showing *Foxg* (green) and

NK4 (magenta) expression in the papilla territory. Left: dorsal view at neurula stage, showing

that *NK4* expression does not extend dorsally or laterally beyond the dorsal limits of *Foxg*

expression. Right: ventral view at tailbud stage, showing strong *NK4* expression in ventral areas

including the ventral *Foxg+* cells that will give rise to the ventral medial papilla. hpf = hours post-

fertilization. This is a different focal plane of the same embryo imaged for a previous publication

(Johnson et al. 2020). B) *In situ* hybridization of *Foxg* at 9 hpf (mid tailbud), showing expansion

from three spots to a "U" shape swath of cells upon *NK4* CRISPR, compared to negative control

CRISPR embryos. C) Scoring percentage of transfected embryos showing expanded *Foxg*

expression as shown in panel B. D) Expansion of *Islet>GFP* reporter expression at 11 hpf (late

tailbud) upon *NK4* CRISPR. Control embryos were instead electroporated with the *Defcab.47*

sgRNA, targeting a gene that is not expressed in the papillae (see **materials and methods** for

details). E) Scoring of transfected embryos showing expanded *Islet* reporter expression as seen

in panel D. F) Summary diagram explaining our interpretation of expanded *Foxg* and *Islet*

expression in *NK4* CRISPR embryos. G) *NK4* CRISPR larva showing *Foxg* reporter expression

(green) in the resulting single large papilla. dr: dorsal right; dl: dorsal left; vm: ventral medial; D:

dorsal; V: ventral. All scale bars = 25 μm. All GFP sequences are tagged with Unc-76.

Figure 6. NK4 acts as a transcriptional repressor to suppress *Foxg* expression in the

papillae.

A) Overexpression of NK4 suppresses *Foxg* reporter expression (green) in the flattened papilla

region. B) Scoring of larvae showing *Foxg* reporter expression, showing reduction upon NK4

overexpression compared to negative control larvae. C) Overexpression of *Foxg* by

electroporating the *Foxg>Foxg* plasmid results in a single large papilla containing all four cell

types, similar to that seen in *NK4* CRISPR and Noggin overexpression. OCs imaged at 21 hpf

(St. 29) due to later onset of *L141.36* expression. ACC experiment conducted in a *Foxg*

CRISPR background and imaged at 19 hpf (St. 28) as part of a rescue experiment described

previously (Johnson et al., 2024), see **Supplemental Sequences** file for details. D) Single large

papilla elicited by Foxg overexpression can be suppressed by co-overexpression of NK4. E)

Scoring the percentage of transfected larvae showing either a single large papilla or a flat

papilla territory upon overexpression of Foxg and NK4 combined. F) The C-terminus of NK4 (C-

terminal to the homeodomain) was replaced with a VP16 transactivation domain.

Overexpression of this NK4::VP16 construct resulted in a single large papilla with robust

Foxg>GFP expression. G) Scoring the percentage of transfected larvae showing a single large

papilla, comparing NK4::VP16-ovexpressing larvae to negative control larvae. NK4::VP16 also

resulted in 30% larvae with no protruding papillae. H) Overexpression of NK4::WRPW, in which

the C-terminus of NK4 is replaced with the WRPW Groucho co-repressor recruitment domain.

This phenocopied full-length NK4 overexpression, suggesting NK4 normally acts as a

transcriptional repressor in the papilla territory. I) Scoring the percentage of transfected larvae

with either normal, protruding papillae or flat/no papillae, comparing NK4::WRPW

overexpression to negative control larvae. All counterstained with DAPI (white or blue overlay)

except *CryBG/*ACC image in panel C. All GFP sequences fused to Unc-76. All scale bars = 25

μm. mCh: mCherry.

Figure 7. Regulation of NK4 expression and activity by Msx and Chordin.

A) Overexpression of the ventral papilla-specific regulator Msx can partially rescue the NK4

overexpression-induced loss of *Foxg* reporter expression and papilla formation B) Scoring the

percentage of transfected larvae showing *Foxg>GFP* reporter expression, comparing NK4 and

Msx co-overexpression vs. NK4 overexpression alone, as shown in panel A. C) Scoring the

percentage of larvae showing a flat papilla territory, a single large papilla, or the normal three

papillae, comparing the conditions in panels A and B. D) a larva co-electroporated with

Chordin>TagBFP (blue) and *Foxg>GFP* (green) reporter plasmids. *Chordin* is expressed in

dorsal midline cells just posterior to the dorsal papillae (Liu et al., 2023). Asterisk denotes

migratory mesenchyme cells, which also express *Chordin* at the tailbud stage (Imai et al., 2004).

E) Overexpression of Chordin in the papilla territory phenocopies Noggin overexpression,

suggesting it antagonizes BMP signaling as predicted. F) Scoring the percentage of transfected

larvae showing either wild-type three normal papillae or a single large papilla, comparing

Chordin overexpression and negative control larvae, as in panel E. G) Examples of the papilla

territory divided into ventral and dorsal halves and imaged for *NK4>GFP* (green) and

Foxc>H2B::mCherry (magenta) quantification, divided into dorsal and ventral halves, to

compare *NK4>GFP* expression in negative control and *Chordin* CRISPR larvae. Scale bars =

10 µm. H) Ratios of GFP to mCherry mean fluorescence intensities were calculated for ventral

and dorsal halves, across control and *Chordin* CRISPR conditions as shown in panel G. One-

way ANOVA and Kruskal-Wallis tests were performed in parallel as the data for ventral papillae

in *Chordin* CRISPR was not normally distributed on account of a few outliers. See text for

discussion of possible interpretations. n.s.: not significant (p>0.05). I) *Chordin* CRISPR can

eliminate the dorsal papillae, which are labeled by *Foxg>GFP* (green cytoplasm) but are

negative for *Msx>H2B::mCherry* (magenta). dp: dorsal papilla(e), vp: ventral papilla. Scoring of

larvae expressing *Foxc>H2B::GFP+* (green nuclei), showing presence or absence of dorsal

papillae in control or *Chordin* CRISPR conditions as seen in panel I. All GFP sequences fused

to Unc-76 except otherwise noted. All scale bars = 25 µm unless otherwise specified.

Figure 8. The proposed role of NK4 downstream of BMP in the papillae.

A diagram summarizing the main points of the current work. Top left: A ventral-to-dorsal gradient of BMP signaling is shaped in part by an opposing, dorsal-to-ventral gradient of the BMP antagonist Chordin. Top right: expression domains of *NK4, Msx,* and *Foxg* in the papilla territory (dorsal at top). According to our proposed model, NK4 downregulates *Foxg* in certain intervening cells, but Msx may interfere with NK4 function in the ventral-most cells. Bottom: proposed regulatory network in which NK4 downregulates *Foxg* downstream of BMP signaling.

Supplemental Figure S1.

3D confocal projection of the head of a larva showing the *NK4* and *Foxc* reporters labeling the
papilla territory. Note strong *NK4(int1.1)+bpFOG>Unc-76::GFP* expression (green) in cells
located in between the three papillae, as well as in the ventral medial (vm) papilla, though it
appears weak if not absent from the dorsal right (dr) and dorsal left (dl) papillae.

756 **Figure S2.**

757 A second sgRNA (NK4.113) newly designed to target the first exon of *NK4* also results in a

758 single large papilla. *Islet>GFP = Islet intron 1a + -473/+9>Unc-76::GFP.*

Figure S3.

Comparison of mean fluorescence intensity readouts of *Islet>mCherry* fluorescence in the

papilla territory only (unlike in main Figure 4D, which shows quantification of mean fluorescence

intensity of *Islet>mCherry* in the whole larva), between negative control and *Foxc>Noggin*

conditions as shown in Figure 4. Left: data show no significant effect on Noggin on Islet

expression levels in the papilla, similar to how there was no significant effect on Islet expression

throughout the larva. p = 0.6613 by two-tailed unpaired t-test. Right: ratio of *NK4>GFP* and

Islet>mCherry mean fluorescence values in the papillae (unlike in the main figure 4E, which

showed the ratio between GFP in the papillae and mCherry in the whole larva). These data

show that the *NK4* reporter is significantly downregulated by Noggin overexpression even when

normalized by papilla-specific mCherry levels. p<0.0001 by two-tailed Mann-Whitney. Outliers

with GFP value > 80 (5 in control condition) or GFP:mCherry ratio >1.0 (two in the control

condition, one in the Noggin condition) were removed from the entire dataset. Complete data

with outliers flagged can be found in **Supplemental Data S1.**

Islet>GFP
Foxc>H2B::mCherry

Foxc>NK4

% *Islet>GFP* expressed

Control — n=100
Foxc>NK4 — n=100

% Foxc>H2B::mCherry+ larvae

Supplemental Figure S4.

Overexpression of NK4 flattens the papillae but does not abolish *Islet* reporter expression (green) imaged at the larva stage. Larva is counterstained with DAPI (white overlay). *Islet>GFP = Islet intron 1a + bpFOG>Unc-76::GFP.*

Supplemental Figure S5.

Triple-color image of the same larva imaged in main Figure 7D, here including also

Msx>H2B::mCherry (red) which labels the ventral but not dorsal papillae. *Msx* reporter

expression can also be seen in the dorsal midline. Asterisk denotes Chordin+ migratory

mesenchyme cells. BFP = TagBFP; GFP = Unc-76::GFP.

837 **Supplemental Figure S6.**

838 Validation of three sgRNAs targeting *Chordin,* following the protocol in Popsuj et al. 2024 and

839 at:

840 The validation results for sgRNA 5.29 were delayed and had still not been received at the time

841 of the first preprint version upload.

Supplemental Figure S7.

Quantification of *Foxc>H2B::mCherry* mean fluorescence in negative control vs. *Chordin*

CRISPR larvae, subdivided by ventral or dorsal papilla territories. These are the mean

fluorescence values for mCherry underlying the ratios plotted in main Figure 7H. The mean

fluorescence values are not significantly different between the different halves and/or conditions,

suggesting the differences observed with the ratios of GFP to mCherry mean fluorescence were

indeed attributed to changes in *NK4>GFP* expression. See underlying raw data in

Supplemental Data S1.

```
                                          Homeodomain
Msx.Cirobu      HKPNRKPRTPFSTEQLLSLERRFQDKQYLSIAERAEFSASLALSETQVKIWFQNRRAKAKRLHEAEFEK
NK4.Cirobu      HRTRRKPRVLFSQAQVFELERRFKQQRYLSAPEREHLAQILKLTSTQVKIWFQNRRYKCKRMRQDKTLE
NKX2-5.Hosapi   ARRRRKPRVLFSQAQVYELERRFKQQRYLSAPERDQLASVLKLTSTQVKIWFQNRRYKCKRQRQDQTLE
                : .****. **   *: .*****:::*** ** .::  * *:.********** *.** :: :  :
```

Supplemental Figure S8.

CLUSTAL O(1.2.4) multiple sequence alignment of the homeodomain of *Ciona robusta*
("Cirobu") Msx, NK4, and Homo sapiens ("Hosapi") NKX2-5, showing the conserved KR motif
mediating homodimerization of NKX2-5. See **Supplemental Sequences** file for full protein
sequences.

Supplemental Video descriptions:

Video S1.

3D projection of confocal image Z-stack through a larva co-electroporated with *NK4 int1.1 +*
bpFOG>GFP (green) and *Foxg>H2B::mCherry* (magenta). Ventral medial papilla on the left,
dorsal to the upper right.

Video S2.

A Z-stack series from confocal imaging of an *NK4* CRISPR larva (using *Foxc>Cas9::Geminin$^{N-terminus}$*), co-electroporated with *Seldom>Unc-76::GFP* (green cytoplasm) and
Foxc>H2B::mCherry (red nuclei)*.* Apical cilia are labeled by immunostaining for acetylated
tubulin (AlexaFluor-488, also green), showing that PNs surrounding the single large papilla are
still ciliated. Larva is counterstained with DAPI (blue). Anterior to upper left, dorsal on top.

Video S3.

3D projection of same larva as in Video S2.

Video S4.

3D projection of a confocal image stack through a negative control larva electroporated with
Seldom>Unc-76::GFP (green cytoplasm) and Foxc>H2B::mCherry (red nuclei). Apical cilia are
labeled by immunostaining for acetylated tubulin (AlexaFluor-488, also green). Larva is
counterstained with DAPI (blue). Anterior to bottom right, ventral on left.

REFERENCES

Abitua, P.B., Gainous, T.B., Kaczmarczyk, A.N., Winchell, C.J., Hudson, C., Kamata, K., Nakagawa, M., Tsuda, M., Kusakabe, T.G., Levine, M., 2015. The pre-vertebrate origins of neurogenic placodes. Nature.

Akazawa, H., Komuro, I., 2005. Cardiac transcription factor Csx/Nkx2-5: Its role in cardiac development and diseases. Pharmacology & therapeutics 107, 252-268.

Anselmi, C., Sepe, R.M., Manni, L., Sordino, P., 2025. Tunicates in evolutionary developmental biology. Frontiers Media SA, p. 1549081.

Berrill, N.J., 1930. Studies in tunicate development. Part I. - General physiology development of simple Ascidians. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Containing Papers of a Biological Character 218, 37-78.

Campbell, M.E.M., Palfreyman, J.W., Preston, C.M., 1984. Identification of herpes simplex virus DNA sequences which encode a trans-acting polypeptide responsible for stimulation of immediate early transcription. J Mol Biol 180, 1-19.

Choi, C.Y., Lee, Y.M., Kim, Y.H., Park, T., Jeon, B.H., Schulz, R.A., Kim, Y., 1999. The homeodomain transcription factor NK-4 acts as either a transcriptional activator or repressor and interacts with the p300 coactivator and the Groucho corepressor. Journal of Biological Chemistry 274, 31543-31552.

Christiaen, L., Stolfi, A., Levine, M., 2010. BMP signaling coordinates gene expression and cell migration during precardiac mesoderm development. Developmental Biology 340, 179-187.

Christiaen, L., Wagner, E., Shi, W., Levine, M., 2009a. Electroporation of transgenic DNAs in the sea squirt Ciona. Cold Spring Harbor Protocols 2009, pdb. prot5345.

Christiaen, L., Wagner, E., Shi, W., Levine, M., 2009b. Isolation of sea squirt (Ciona) gametes, fertilization, dechorionation, and development. Cold Spring Harbor Protocols 2009, pdb. prot5344.

Cloney, R.A., 1977. Larval adhesive organs and metamorphosis in ascidians: I. Fine structure of the everting papillae of Distaplia occidentalis. Cell and Tissue Research 183, 423-444.

Concordet, J.-P., Haeussler, M., 2018. CRISPOR: intuitive guide selection for CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing experiments and screens. Nucleic Acids Research 46, W242-W245.

Dolcemascolo, G., Pennati, R., De Bernardi, F., Damiani, F., Gianguzza, M., 2009. Ultrastructural comparative analysis on the adhesive papillae of the swimming larvae of three ascidian species. Invertebrate Survival Journal 6, S77-S86.

Dynes, J.L., Ngai, J., 1998. Pathfinding of olfactory neuron axons to stereotyped glomerular targets revealed by dynamic imaging in living zebrafish embryos. Neuron 20, 1081-1091.

Feinberg, S., Roure, A., Piron, J., Darras, S., 2019. Antero-posterior ectoderm patterning by canonical Wnt signaling during ascidian development. PLoS genetics 15, e1008054.

Fisher, A.L., Ohsako, S., Caudy, M., 1996. The WRPW motif of the hairy-related basic helix-loop-helix repressor proteins acts as a 4-amino-acid transcription repression and protein-protein interaction domain. Molecular and cellular biology 16, 2670-2677.

Gandhi, S., Haeussler, M., Razy-Krajka, F., Christiaen, L., Stolfi, A., 2017. Evaluation and rational design of guide RNAs for efficient CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis in Ciona. Developmental biology 425, 8-20.

Gibboney, S., Orvis, J., Kim, K., Johnson, C.J., Martinez-Feduchi, P., Lowe, E.K., Sharma, S., Stolfi, A., 2020. Effector gene expression underlying neuron subtype-specific traits in the Motor Ganglion of Ciona. Developmental Biology 458, 52-63.

Haeussler, M., Schönig, K., Eckert, H., Eschstruth, A., Mianné, J., Renaud, J.-B., Schneider-Maunoury, S., Shkumatava, A., Teboul, L., Kent, J., 2016. Evaluation of off-target and on-target scoring algorithms and integration into the guide RNA selection tool CRISPOR. Genome biology 17, 1-12.

Holland, P.W.H., Booth, H.A.F., Bruford, E.A., 2007. Classification and nomenclature of all human homeobox genes. BMC biology 5, 47.

Hotta, K., Dauga, D., Manni, L., 2020. The ontology of the anatomy and development of the solitary
ascidian Ciona: the swimming larva and its metamorphosis. Scientific Reports 10, 17916.
Hoyer, J., Kolar, K., Athira, A., van den Burgh, M., Dondorp, D., Liang, Z., Chatzigeorgiou, M., 2024.
Polymodal sensory perception drives robust attachment and metamorphosis of a prevertebrate
zooplanktonic larva. Current Biology.
Hozumi, A., Totsuka, N.M., Onodera, A., Wang, Y., Hamada, M., Shiraishi, A., Satake, H., Horie, T., Hotta,
K., Sasakura, Y., 2025. Stimulatory and inhibitory G-protein signaling relays drive cAMP accumulation for
timely metamorphosis in the chordate Ciona. eLife 13, RP99825.
Ikeda, T., Matsuoka, T., Satou, Y., 2013. A time delay gene circuit is required for palp formation in the
ascidian embryo. Development 140, 4703-4708.
Imai, K.S., Hino, K., Yagi, K., Satoh, N., Satou, Y., 2004. Gene expression profiles of transcription factors
and signaling molecules in the ascidian embryo: towards a comprehensive understanding of gene
networks. Development 131, 4047-4058.
Johnson, C.J., Kulkarni, A., Buxton, W.J., Hui, T.Y., Kayastha, A., Khoja, A.A., Leandre, J., Mehta, V.V.,
Ostrowski, L., Pareizs, E.G., Scotto, R.L., Vargas, V., Vellingiri, R.M., Verzino, G., Vohra, R., Wakade, S.C.,
Winkeljohn, V.M., Winkeljohn, V.M., Rotterman, T.M., Stolfi, A., 2023. Using CRISPR/Cas9 to identify
genes required for mechanosensory neuron development and function. Biology Open 12.
Johnson, C.J., Razy-Krajka, F., Stolfi, A., 2020. Expression of smooth muscle-like effectors and core
cardiomyocyte regulators in the contractile papillae of Ciona. EvoDevo 11, 1-18.
Johnson, C.J., Razy-Krajka, F., Zeng, F., Piekarz, K.M., Biliya, S., Rothbächer, U., Stolfi, A., 2024.
Specification of distinct cell types in a sensory-adhesive organ important for metamorphosis in tunicate
larvae. PLoS biology 22, e3002555.
Karaiskou, A., Swalla, B.J., Sasakura, Y., Chambon, J.P., 2015. Metamorphosis in solitary ascidians.
Genesis 53, 34-47.
Kasahara, H., Lee, B., Schott, J.J., Benson, D.W., Seidman, J.G., Seidman, C.E., Izumo, S., 2000. Loss of
function and inhibitory effects of human CSX/NKX2.5 homeoprotein mutations associated with
congenital heart disease. J Clin Invest 106, 299-308.
Kasahara, H., Usheva, A., Ueyama, T., Aoki, H., Horikoshi, N., Izumo, S., 2001. Characterization of Homo-
and Heterodimerization of Cardiac Csx/Nkx2.5 Homeoprotein *. Journal of Biological Chemistry 276,
4570-4580.
Kim, K., Piekarz, K.M., Stolfi, A., 2025. A gene regulatory network for specification and morphogenesis of
a Mauthner Cell homolog in non-vertebrate chordates. Developmental Biology 522, 51-63.
Kim, Y., Nirenberg, M., 1989. Drosophila NK-homeobox genes. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences 86, 7716-7720.
Lemaire, P., 2011. Evolutionary crossroads in developmental biology: the tunicates. Development 138,
2143-2152.
Liu, B., Ren, X., Satou, Y., 2023. BMP signaling is required to form the anterior neural plate border in
ascidian embryos. Development Genes and Evolution, 1-11.
Liu, B., Satou, Y., 2019. Foxg specifies sensory neurons in the anterior neural plate border of the ascidian
embryo. Nature communications 10, 1-10.
Matsunobu, S., Sasakura, Y., 2015. Time course for tail regression during metamorphosis of the ascidian
Ciona intestinalis. Developmental biology 405, 71-81.
Nakayama-Ishimura, A., Chambon, J.-p., Horie, T., Satoh, N., Sasakura, Y., 2009. Delineating
metamorphic pathways in the ascidian Ciona intestinalis. Developmental biology 326, 357-367.
Pennati, A., Jakobi, M., Zeng, F., Ciampa, L., Rothbächer, U., 2024. Optimizing CRISPR/Cas9 approaches
in the polymorphic tunicate Ciona intestinalis. Developmental Biology 510, 31-39.
Pennati, R., Ficetola, G.F., Brunetti, R., Caicci, F., Gasparini, F., Griggio, F., Sato, A., Stach, T., Kaul-
Strehlow, S., Gissi, C., Manni, L., 2015. Morphological Differences between Larvae of the Ciona

intestinalis Species Complex: Hints for a Valid Taxonomic Definition of Distinct Species. PLOS ONE 10, e0122879.

Popsuj, S., Cohen, L., Ward, S., Lewis, A., Yoshida, S., Herrera, R.A., Cota, C.D., Stolfi, A., 2024. CRISPR/Cas9 protocols for disrupting gene function in the non-vertebrate chordate Ciona. Integrative and Comparative Biology 64, 1182-1193.

Rothbächer, U., Bertrand, V., Lamy, C., Lemaire, P., 2007. A combinatorial code of maternal GATA, Ets and β-catenin-TCF transcription factors specifies and patterns the early ascidian ectoderm. Development 134, 4023-4032.

Roure, A., Chowdhury, R., Darras, S., 2023. Regulation of anterior neurectoderm specification and differentiation by BMP signaling in ascidians. Development 150.

Roure, A., Chowdhury, R., Darras, S., 2025. Six3/6 acts downstream of canonical Wnt signaling to regulate anterior neural border-derived sensory organ formation in ascidian embryos. Development, dev-204927.

Sakamoto, A., Hozumi, A., Shiraishi, A., Satake, H., Horie, T., Sasakura, Y., 2022. The TRP channel PKD2 is involved in sensing the mechanical stimulus of adhesion for initiating metamorphosis in the chordate Ciona. Development, Growth & Differentiation 64, 395-408.

Song, M., Yuan, X., Racioppi, C., Leslie, M., Stutt, N., Aleksandrova, A., Christiaen, L., Wilson, M.D., Scott, I.C., 2022. GATA4/5/6 family transcription factors are conserved determinants of cardiac versus pharyngeal mesoderm fate. Science Advances 8, eabg0834.

Stolfi, A., Gandhi, S., Salek, F., Christiaen, L., 2014. Tissue-specific genome editing in Ciona embryos by CRISPR/Cas9. Development 141, 4115-4120.

Stolfi, A., Levine, M., 2011. Neuronal subtype specification in the spinal cord of a protovertebrate. Development 138, 995-1004.

Thawani, A., Groves, A.K., 2020. Building the Border: Development of the Chordate Neural Plate Border Region and Its Derivatives. Frontiers in Physiology 11.

Totsuka, N.M., Hotta, K., 2025. Optogenetic activation of primary sensory neurons induces metamorphosis in Ciona. Developmental Biology.

Tresser, J., Chiba, S., Veeman, M., El-Nachef, D., Newman-Smith, E., Horie, T., Tsuda, M., Smith, W.C., 2010. doublesex/mab3 related-1 (dmrt1) is essential for development of anterior neural plate derivatives in Ciona. Development 137, 2197-2203.

Turon, X., 1991. Morphology of the adhesive papillae of some ascidian larvae. Cah. Biol. Mar 32, 295-309.

Vedurupaka, S., Jadali, B., Johnson, C.J., Stolfi, A., Popsuj, S., 2025. A protocadherin mediates cell-cell adhesion and integrity of the oral placode in the tunicate Ciona. bioRxiv.

Wagner, E., Levine, M., 2012. FGF signaling establishes the anterior border of the Ciona neural tube. Development 139, 2351-2359.

Wagner, E., Stolfi, A., Choi, Y.G., Levine, M., 2014. Islet is a key determinant of ascidian palp morphogenesis. Development 141, 3084-3092.

Wakai, M.K., Nakamura, M.J., Sawai, S., Hotta, K., Oka, K., 2021. Two-Round Ca2+ transient in papillae by mechanical stimulation induces metamorphosis in the ascidian Ciona intestinalis type A. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 288, 20203207.

Waki, K., Imai, K.S., Satou, Y., 2015. Genetic pathways for differentiation of the peripheral nervous system in ascidians. Nature communications 6, 8719.

Zaffran, S., Frasch, M., 2005. The homeodomain of Tinman mediates homo-and heterodimerization of NK proteins. Biochemical and biophysical research communications 334, 361-369.

Zeng, F., Wunderer, J., Salvenmoser, W., Ederth, T., Rothbächer, U., 2019a. Identifying adhesive components in a model tunicate. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B 374, 20190197.

1079   Zeng, F., Wunderer, J., Salvenmoser, W., Hess, M.W., Ladurner, P., Rothbächer, U., 2019b. Papillae
1080   revisited and the nature of the adhesive secreting collocytes. Developmental biology 448(2), 183-198.

1081