Night temperature determines nearly half of wheat yield variation globally

Abstract

Daily minimum temperature (Tmin) is increasing faster than maximum temperature. However, its impact on the physiology of crop yield and adaptation is barely studied. Using 42 years of annual yield trials at 255 sites representing most spring wheat production regions, the effects of environmental covariates were examined. Average Tmin during grain filling explained 40% of the variation in yield, and 52% when also considering radiation. Generally, an increase of 1ºC reduces yield by ~0.5 t/ha. The relation between yield was linear over a 14ºC range. An average increase of 1.2ºC at the test sites reduced yield by more than 10%. A shortened grain filling period is part of the explanation; nocturnal rates of dark respiration are also likely factors. Improvement in adaptation to warmer nights could generate a step-change in wheat yield, the most widely-grown crop globally, covering more than 220 million ha and providing 20% of human calories and protein.

Introduction

Climate change has increased the frequency and intensity of hot weather events[1], and led to a steep increase in nighttime minimum (Tmin) relative to daytime maximum (Tmax) temperature gains[2]. Importantly, the effects of global warming differ by region[3]; hence, one cannot expect identical changes in temperatures across all wheat production regions. Further, a review paper by Ullah et al.[4] concluded that the effects of extreme temperatures on grain yield of wheat are variable. One contributing factor is that irrigated wheat can cool its canopy up to 8ºC during the day in semi-arid regions[5], partially mitigating the effects of high daytime temperatures. To quantify the effect of global warming on historic and future wheat yields, crop simulation models take weather, as well as soil and cultivar characteristics into account[6,7,8]. Assessing the plausibility of the simulated impact of climate change on wheat production remains challenging, as the simulation results depend on many inputs, such as climate scenarios, and the response of wheat to warming nighttime temperatures is still not fully understood. This is of major concern as crop productivity is negatively coupled to night temperature[9,10], for yet unknown reasons.

Wheat evolved in the Fertile Crescent, where it is exposed to cool temperatures during the winter months and high temperatures, as well as dry and sunny conditions during the grain filling period, which coincides with the end of the rainy season in the spring.

During the roughly three week period from heading, which coincides with the end of leaf
growth, until the beginning of grain filling, organ growth is minimal and assimilates are
stored in the form of water soluble carbohydrates and starch, to be subsequently
remobilized for grain growth and maintenance respiration, especially under drought
conditions[11–13]. This capacity to buffer adverse environmental conditions makes it
challenging to quantify the effects of temperature and solar radiation on grain yield. As
Evans et al[14] point out, measured responses to heat can change over time and across
scales, i.e., from biochemical kinetics to biome effects. Specifically, thermal acclimation
of dark respiration to nighttime temperatures can greatly alter the net biomass
accumulation, leading to discrepancies between results obtained under artificial
conditions and in the field[15–17].
51
CIMMYT has sent advanced nursery lines for testing by partners across all major wheat
production regions on Earth since the 1970's. In return, partners share back key
observations and measurements, such as yield. The lack of daily weather data has
limited analyses until recently, whereby advances in reconstructing historic weather
data to hourly and daily timesteps now allow for thorough analyses of the effects of
weather on yield[18]. Based on a global data set spanning more than 40 years, the
objective was to identify environmental variables with global impact on yield. Results
enable identification of lines and genomes with adaptation to Tmin and other
environmental conditions. This provides 'model genotypes' to guide research and is a
diversity source for breeding.
62

Results

Our data set consists of 850 site-years. It spans a period of 42 years and represents
most of the important spring wheat growing areas on Earth (Fig.1). We tested the
effects of temperature, solar radiation, and vapor pressure deficit on grain yield during
three cardinal growth periods: 1) sowing to heading minus 10 days when the canopy is
established; 2) heading +/-10 days when grain set is determined; and 3) grain filling.
The analysis showed that weather conditions during the grain filling period have the
strongest association with yield variation: average daily minimum temperature (Tmin)
during this period ranked first, followed by average daily solar radiation (Supplementary
Fig. 1). These two parameters were followed by the minimum temperature during the
heading period. The prediction powers of average daily Tmin and Tmax, as well as solar
radiation during grain filling for grain yield are shown in Supplementary Fig. 2.
75
The locations with the coolest nights or lowest minimum temperatures during the grain
filling period are located in Egypt and Chile. These locations coincide with those that
have the highest grain yields[19]. The Yaqui Valley in northwest Mexico also has high
solar radiation, but slightly warmer Tmin. Sudan, Nigeria, and several countries in South

Asia had the warmest nighttime Tmin. The lowest yields were recorded in Laos and
Thailand, where warm nights coincide with low solar radiation during the grain filling
period.
83

84
85　***Figure 1: a)*** *Grain yield, and **b)** average daily solar radiation, **c)** maximum and **d)** minimum*
86　*temperatures during grain filling at 255 test sites for the Elite Spring Wheat Yield Trial (ESWYT)*
87　*nurseries grown between 1979 and 2021.*

88
Combined Tmin and solar radiation during grain filling explains 52% of the variability of
grain yield at sites across the globe, with a root mean square error of 1.38 t/ha (Fig. 2).
Our data set which encompasses the majority of spring wheat production regions,
shows that the yield response to average nighttime Tmin is linear within the observed
range from 8 to 22ºC. An increase of 1ºC in average Tmin reduces yield by 0.5 t/ha. The
results also show that high solar radiation can help plants compensate for warm
nighttime Tmin: even under a warm average nighttime Tmin of e.g., 18ºC, yields
increased from 2.6 t/ha to 5.9 t/ha when average daily solar radiation increased from 15
to 31 MJ/m^2/day. Notably, the interaction between nighttime Tmin and solar radiation
was not significant; hence, the effect of solar radiation is constant over the entire range
of Tmin. The combination of low radiation and warm nighttime temperature causes the
lowest yields. Vice versa, cool nights in high radiation environments, such as in Egypt or
the Yaqui Valley in Mexico, result in the highest yields. The capacity of wheat to take
advantage of high solar radiation levels can presumably be traced back to its origins in
the Fertile Crescent, which helped it propagate under conditions of limited and erratic

water supply combined with high temperatures and solar radiation during grain filling.
This analysis shows that high solar radiation helps wheat tolerate warm nights.
106

107
108 ***Figure 2:*** *Effect of average minimum temperatures and solar radiation during the grain filling*
109 *period on the average yield obtained at 850 nursery-site-years. The non-significant interaction*
110 *effects of different levels of solar radiation (SR) are overlaid.*

111
There is a large regional variability in the increase in nighttime Tmin during grain filling
over the period from 1980 to 2021 (Fig. 3). The largest increases were observed for the
Mediterranean basin. They were generally above 1.5°C. Central Europe, Sudan,
Mesopotamia, and Western China experienced similar temperature increases. In the
other parts of the world, the Tmin increases were generally below 1.5°C. The lowest
increases were observed for Chile, Argentina, and the Canadian Prairies, as well as
north-east China. These are important spring wheat-producing regions. Interestingly, in
India and Pakistan, the warming trend was higher at sites in the Indo-Gangetic Plain
than at those further south.
121
122

Figure 3: *Change in minimum temperature (ºC) at 255 nursery test sites of spring wheat*
between 1979 and 2021 during the grain filling period.

Based on trends in Tmin changes, we calculated their impact on yield, using the
estimated value of a yield loss of 0.5 t/ha for a 1ºC increase. The yield declines over the
past 42 years, expressed in percent of the reported yields (Supplementary Fig. 3),
tended to be larger in locations that are already low yielding, such as Sudan, where the
average Tmin during the grain filling are higher than 20ºC (Fig. 4). In that country, the
yield loss at three out of 4 locations exceeded more than 40%. Yield declines of more
than 20% percent were estimated for Morocco, Central Europe, Mongolia, and five out
of 19 locations in Pakistan. The majority of sites in Spain, Portugal, Iran, Syria, Türkiye,
Afghanistan, and China had yield losses of 10 to 20%. In India, Iran, Mexico, and
Türkiye, the yield losses hovered at around 10%. Slightly lower yield losses were
observed for Brazil. In southern Africa, yield losses were below 10% in Zambia,
Zimbabwe, and South Africa. Relatively small yield losses were also observed for
Argentina, Chile, and Canada. It is noteworthy that in Chile, the country with the highest
reported average yield, yield losses were close to zero (Supplementary Table 1).

141
142 **Figure 4**: *Yield losses (%) of average reported yield caused by changes in daily minimum*
143 *temperatures during the grain filling period at 255 nursery test sites for spring wheat.*

Discussion

Our study is based on a set of global multilocation elite-wheat field trials, spanning 42
years and representative of most spring wheat production regions. The finding that
nighttime minimum temperatures (Tmin) control grain yield to a much larger extent than
generally assumed is in line with a large meta-analysis[20]. The authors of that study[20]
also emphasized that results from field studies differ from those obtained under
controlled conditions.

In a recent review, Amthor[21] hypothesized that warming effects on truncating the
duration of grain filling[22], and thus grain yield, may override the effects of warming *per*
se on specific rates of photosynthesis and respiration, i.e, yield declines are mostly due
to a change in phenology. We had records of observed days to maturity for 262 site-
years. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 4, grain filling duration indeed was shortened by
warmer nighttime Tmin. Each increase in Tmin by one degree Celsius accounted for a
shortening by 1.6 days. However, the prediction accuracy was not very high, with an R^2
of 0.27 and RMSE of 6.4 days. In order to quantify the relative importance of the two
factors, we calculated the Variable Importance Index, that reflects the relative
contribution of a factor alone, not in combination with other factors. Using the
dependent resampled inputs options, the report revealed that the main effect of
nighttime Tmin on yield was 0.56, while it was 0.44 for duration of grain filling. Hence

the shortened duration of grain filling is important. However, the direct effect of
nighttime Tmin on other processes carried even more weight.

We did not measure the rates of photosynthesis and respiration; however, observed
grain yield is a function of these two metabolic processes. Daytime temperature has a
strong effect on the rate of photosynthesis, i.e., the production of ATP and NADPH
(energy and reducing power) to synthesize sugars like glucose, while nighttime
temperatures primarily stimulate dark respiration rates[23,24], the process that produces
ATP for cellular maintenance and plant growth. It is noteworthy that higher dark
respiration rates can create a larger sink for photosynthates, leading to higher
photosynthesis rates under sink-limited conditions[23,24]. A key process that is likely
accelerated by night warming is nocturnal protein turnover[22] – which increases demand
for respiratory ATP and the rate of respiratory CO_2 release in darkness [22]. Another
process is the effect of night warming on membrane fluidity, with negative
consequences for the efficiency of respiratory ATP synthesis and the scale of nocturnal
respiratory CO_2 release[25,26]. The loss of carbon by respiration matters, as even under
optimal conditions whole-plant respiration releases 30–60% of the carbon fixed by
photosynthesis each day[27,28,29,30] with approximately half of the CO_2 released by whole-
plant respiration coming from leaves during the night[31,32]. High rates of leaf respiration
during warm nights thus have the potential to play an important role in controlling daily
carbon gain. This has consequences for both plant growth and grain yield.
184
Our study, at the biome level, helps to better understand how temperature and solar
radiation affect these two metabolic processes: photosynthesis and nocturnal
respiration. The fact that nighttime Tmin are more closely related to yield than daytime
maximum temperatures emphasizes the important role of nocturnal dark respiration, a
process which has received much less attention than photosynthesis. While both
photosynthesis and respiration matter, growth rates of wild and crop plants are often
much more strongly correlated with dark respiration than with photosynthesis[33]. The
importance of respiration for growth and yield was further highlighted by the finding that
low-respiring ryegrass lines exhibited the highest yield[34]. Our study, which found that
increasing night Tmin reduces yields, complements these findings and emphasizes the
importance of nocturnal rates of dark respiration.
196
One factor that likely influences the extent to which warm-night mediated increases in
respiratory CO_2 release influence yield is whether respiration acclimates to sustained
increases in night temperature. Acclimation to high growth temperatures often results in
reduced rates of leaf dark respiration at any given temperature[35], potentially reducing
the stimulatory effect of warm nights on respiration rates. For wheat, this can result in
homeostatic rates of whole-plant respiratory CO_2 release in plants experiencing both
warm days and nights, compared to those grown under cooler days/nights, when each

(i.e. cool and warm grown plants) are measured at their respective growth
temperatures[27]. However, in a recent study assessing the impact of warm nights *per se*
on leaf respiration and growth in wheat, no acclimation of leaf respiratory CO_2 release
was observed[36]. As a result, warm nights stimulated respiratory CO_2 loss and reduced
plant growth. If similar patterns of minimal acclimation responses to warm nights occur
at the field sites used in our study (particularly during grain filling), it would explain why
warm nights were associated with reduced yields. In the future, improvements in yield
under warm nights may be possible through screening for genotypic variability in
thermal acclimation potential, building on the recent observations that leaf dark
respiration is partially under genetic control[37] and that there is genotypic variation in the
extent of acclimation of wheat respiration to warmer nights[38]. A better understanding of
dark respiration in combination with targeted breeding efforts may help limit yield losses
caused by global warming, which creates a sharp increase in nighttime temperatures.
217
Our estimated yield losses tend to be larger than the forecasts generated by ensembles
of crop simulation models in conjunction with climate change scenarios[7,8]. These two
papers cover different periods: while the paper by Asseng et al.[7] focused on hot spots of
yield decline for irrigated spring wheat for the period from 2030 to 2041, Jägermeyr et
al.[8] covered most current and potential wheat production regions on Earth between
1980 and 2100. Unlike these studies, our study did not account for the contested effects
of CO_2 fertilization[39]. A recent meta-analysis[39] concluded that rising temperatures can
negate the effects of CO_2 fertilization. Nevertheless, one would expect similar regional
trends, even when our study focuses on the past. Asseng et al.[7] forecast yield declines
in all regions, which matches our analyses: Our studies agree on small yield declines for
Egypt, and strong yield declines for Sudan, Saudi Arabia, and Western China. However,
for India and Pakistan, our model estimates the largest relative yield losses for the
regions with the highest production levels: Punjab in Pakistan and India, and Haryana in
India, and smaller losses for the regions further south and east, which is the opposite
pattern predicted by the multimodel ensemble. We also estimate relatively larger yield
declines for Mesopotamia. The global study by Jägermeyr et al. agrees with our
estimate of largest declines in Sudan and Saudi Arabia, and intermediate declines in
Mexico. We estimate only small declines for Chile and Canada, whereas Jägermeyr
estimates yield increases for these countries by 2100. The largest discrepancies
between our nighttime temperature based model and Jägermeyr et al. are observed for
the Mediterranean, the Middle East and Western China, for which we estimate a yield
decline since 1980 by 10 to 20%, whereas Jägermeyr estimates a slight increase in
yield by 2100 in the Mediterranean and a strong yield increase for the Middle East,
Central Asia and all of China. While our model is empirical, based on daily minimum
temperature and solar radiation along with measured yield data, crop simulations rely
on a number of assumptions. Our observations show much bleaker trends for wheat

production in these regions. Unlike the crop simulation models, we do not take rainfall
into account. Given the fact that rainfall amounts have shown a declining trend and
have become more erratic over the past four decades in Mesopotamia and Türkiye[40,41],
it is unrealistic to foresee an increase in wheat yields in this region, where wheat is the
most important staple crop. This has serious negative implications for food security.
249
Our study highlights that warming trends vary greatly across wheat production regions.
Notably, the Mediterranean basin and Mesopotamia are much more affected by global
warming than those located south of the equator. Our results, which are representative
for most of the important spring wheat production regions on Earth, also indicate that
the effects of warming on grain yield of wheat are more severe than those forecasted by
ensembles of crop simulation models or from meta-analyses[20,42].
256
257

258 Conclusions

Our study, based on field-grown wheat representing most of the spring wheat
production regions globally, demonstrates that increases in nighttime minimum, and not
daytime maximum temperatures, have limited the grain yield of wheat. This is partly due
to a shortening of the grain filling period, but to a larger extent caused by the impact of
warmer temperatures on a multitude of respiratory processes collectively referred to as
maintenance respiration. High solar radiation appears to mitigate the negative effects of
warm nights.
266
Our nighttime-based analysis shows an alarming trend, with the potential yield generally
declining by 10% or more over the past four decades. More efforts and resources are
needed to intensify breeding efforts for lines that are more tolerant of high nighttime
temperatures. These efforts need to be complemented by better agronomic practices,
such as conservation agriculture, that improve soil health and can buffer surface soil
temperature from weather anomalies where crop residue retention is practiced and thus
foster yields[43,44]. Most of the 'low-hanging fruits' in crop improvement, such as improved
harvest index, have been exploited. New breeding tools, such as genomic selection and
gene editing, offer new paths to accelerate breeding gains, but we first need to better
understand the mechanisms of how nighttime temperature and nighttime physiology in
general control key physiological processes, including dark respiration.
278
Our results are of considerable importance to food security and commerce. They fill a
gap in fundamental research by showing that growth is tightly coupled to a relatively
wide range of night temperatures. Hence, a significant improvement of adaptation to
warmer nights has the potential to generate a step change in yield over the majority of
current wheat-growing environments. Similarly, contributors to increased respiratory

costs for cellular maintenance - which include protein turnover and maintenance of ion
gradients across membranes - along with the energy costs of starch synthesis in
growing spikes, will need to be studied for genetic variation and potential to improve
efficiency. For example, starch synthase is well known to be heat-labile. Genetic
variation in diurnal cycles and temperature thresholds may help to identify the genetic
bases of components of maintenance respiration. Differences in varietal response to
warming nighttime temperatures identified by this study can be used in crossing
strategies almost immediately.
292
293

Material and Methods

We used a data set derived from the Elite Spring Wheat Yield Trials (ESWYT) for this
analysis. Under the umbrella of the International Wheat Improvement Network (IWIN),
CIMMYT sends out different nurseries consisting of 30 to 50 advanced lines for testing
by its partners across all the major wheat production regions on Earth (Fig. 1). In return
for getting access to advanced genetic material, the partners record many parameters,
such as sowing date, days to heading and maturity, plant height, disease incidence
levels and yield. However, some of the returned data sets have missing values. Days to
heading was available for 92% of the records, while days to maturity was reported for
32%. Missing phenological data were gap-filled. The data set was quality controlled,
resulting in 850 site-year combinations. For each combination and line, we calculated
the best linear unbiased estimation (BLUE) yield. Each nursery year consists mostly of
new lines, and only a few are retained for more than one year. All in all, 1402 lines were
tested. We extracted the daily weather parameters from AgERA5[18] and calculated
weather related parameters for the following three periods: 1) sowing to heading minus
10 days, 2) heading minus 10 days to heading plus 10 days, and 3) heading plus 10
days to maturity. The last period will be referred to as grain filling. The first period
covers the establishment of the plants and tiller growth, the second one lasts from
booting to the beginning of grain filling, the period around anthesis, during which organ
growth is minimal, and the last one coincides with grain filling. For each period, we
calculated average daily minimum and maximum temperature, solar radiation, vapor
pressure deficit (VPD), number of days on which daily maximum temperature (Tmax) is
exceeding 30ºC and daily minimum temperature (Tmin) is exceeding 25ºC, and vapor
pressure deficit VPD exceeding 4 kPa. For ease of understanding, we will refer to daily
minimum temperature as nighttime temperature, although they are not precisely the
same.
321
Statistical analyses were conducted with JMP V18.2 (www.jmp.com). First, we identified
the variables with the largest prediction power for yield, using the Predictor Screening

Platform. For each response, it builds a bootstrap forest model using 100 decision trees.
Average daily minimum temperature and solar radiation during the grain filling period
had the largest prediction power (Supplementary Fig. 1). We then used the Neural
Network platform to model the response and interactions between the 2 parameters.
We also used JMP to calculate the variable importance index, which reflects the
relative contribution of a factor alone, not in combination with other factors.
330
In order to quantify and visualize the changes in Tmin during the grain filling period,
we calculated the average Tmin for each site-year-occurrence combination, between
the start and end day of the year for all 42 years. Next, we fit a linear regression of
harvest year versus all 42 average Tmin data to estimate the change in Tmin during
grain filling at a given location. In a last step, we used the finding of this study that
an increase in average Tmin by 1⁰C decreases yield by 0.5 t/ha to estimate the yield
loss, using the average of the reported yields at a given location as a reference.
338

339 **Acknowledgements**
340 We gratefully acknowledge support of Digital Transformation and the Climate Action
341 Science Programs of CGIAR, and the International Wheat Yield Partnership (IWYP)
342 funded by the Biotechnology and Biological Research Council of the UK.
343
344

345 **Author contributions**
346 US, MPR and SS conceptualized the study. US conducted the data analysis.
347 US, OA and MPR wrote the initial draft and EG wrote the software for data analysis and
348 visualization. SS was responsible for funding acquisition and project administration. All
349 authors contributed suggestions and reviewed and refined the text.
350
351

Data availability

353 The nursery data together with the environmental covariables can be accessed at:
354 https://doi.org/10.71682/10549387
355 The AgERA5 agrometeorological indicators from 1979 to present derived from
356 reanalysis, can be downloaded from: https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.6c68c9bb
357
358

References

360
1. Fischer, E. M. *et al.* Record-breaking extremes in a warming climate. *Nat. Rev. Earth*

Environ. **6**, 456–470 (2025).

2.   Donat, M. G. & Alexander, L. V. The shifting probability distribution of global daytime and

night☐time temperatures. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **39**, 2012GL052459 (2012).

3.   Eltahir, E. A. B. & Choi, Y. A Theory on Regional Impacts of Global Warming. *Geophys.*

Res. Lett. **52**, e2025GL118808 (2025).

4.   Ullah, N., Nawaz, M. A. & Alsafran, M. Physiological mechanisms regulating source-sink

interactions and grain yield formation in heat-stressed wheat. *Plant Stress* **14**, 100654

(2024).

5.   Reynolds, M., Balota, M., Delgado, M., Amani, I. & Fischer, R. Physiological and

Morphological Traits Associated With Spring Wheat Yield Under Hot, Irrigated Conditions.

Funct. Plant Biol. **21**, 717 (1994).

6.   Fletcher, A. L., Chen, C., Ota, N., Lawes, R. A. & Oliver, Y. M. Has historic climate change

affected the spatial distribution of water-limited wheat yield across Western Australia? *Clim.*

Change **159**, 347–364 (2020).

7.   Asseng, S. *et al.* Hot spots of wheat yield decline with rising temperatures. *Glob. Change*

Biol. **23**, 2464–2472 (2017).

8.   Jägermeyr, J. *et al.* Climate impacts on global agriculture emerge earlier in new generation

of climate and crop models. *Nat. Food* **2**, 873–885 (2021).

9.   Fischer, T. *et al.* Increase in irrigated wheat yield in north-west Mexico from 1960 to 2019:

Unravelling the negative relationship to minimum temperature. *Field Crops Res.* **275**,

108331 (2022).

10.   Serrago, R. A. *et al.* Out of sight, out of mind: Night-temperature impact on major field crops.

Field Crops Res. **333**, 110108 (2025).

11.   Bidinger, F., Musgrave, R. & Fischer, R. Contribution of stored pre-anthesis assimilate to

grain yield in wheat and barley. *Nature* **270**, 431–433 (1977).

12. Schnyder, H. The Role of Carbohydrate Storage and Redistribution in the Source-Sink

Relations of Wheat and Barley During Grain Filling - A Review. *New Phytol* **123**, 233–245

(1993).

13. Inoue, T., Inanaga, S., Sugimoto, Y. & El Siddig, K. Contribution of Pre-Anthesis Assimilates

and Current Photosynthesis to Grain Yield, and their Relationships to Drought Resistance in

Wheat Cultivars Grown Under Different Soil Moisture. *Photosynthetica* **42**, 99–104 (2004).

14. Evans, M. E., Hu, J. & Michaletz, S. T. Scaling plant responses to heat: From molecules to

the biosphere. *Science* **388**, 1167–1173 (2025).

15. Atkin, O. K. & Tjoelker, M. G. Thermal acclimation and the dynamic response of plant

respiration to temperature. *Trends Plant Sci.* **8**, 343–351 (2003).

16. Coast, O. *et al.* Acclimation of leaf photosynthesis and respiration to warming in field☐grown

wheat. *Plant Cell Environ.* **44**, 2331–2346 (2021).

17. Impa, S. M. *et al.* High night temperature effects on wheat and rice: Current status and way

forward. *Plant Cell Environ.* **44**, 2049–2065 (2021).

18. Boogaard, H. *et al.* Agrometeorological indicators from 1979 to present derived from

reanalysis. *Copernic. Clim. Change Serv. C3S Clim. Data Store CDS* **10**, (2020).

19. Griffiths, S. *et al.* Genetic Dissection of Grain Size and Grain Number Trade-Offs in

CIMMYT Wheat Germplasm. *PLOS ONE* **10**, e0118847 (2015).

20. Giménez, V. D. *et al.* Nighttime warming affects yields of major grain crops: A global meta-

analysis. *Field Crops Res.* **334**, 110142 (2025).

21. Amthor, J. S. After photosynthesis, what then: Importance of respiration to crop growth and

yield. *Field Crops Res.* **321**, 109638 (2025).

22. Slafer, G. A. Differences in phasic development rate amongst wheat cultivars independent

of responses to photoperiod and vernalization. A viewpoint of the intrinsic earliness

hypothesis. *J. Agric. Sci.* **126**, 403–419 (1996).

23. Turnbull, M. H., Murthy, R. & Griffin, K. L. The relative impacts of daytime and night time

warming on photosynthetic capacity in *Populus deltoides*. *Plant Cell Environ.* **25**, 1729–

1737 (2002).

24. Turnbull, M. H. *et al.* Nocturnal warming increases photosynthesis at elevated CO_2 partial

pressure in *Populus deltoides*. *New Phytol.* **161**, 819–826 (2004).

25. Scafaro, A. P. *et al.* Responses of leaf respiration to heatwaves. *Plant Cell Environ.* **44**,

2090–2101 (2021).

26. Posch, B. C. *et al.* Exploring high temperature responses of photosynthesis and respiration

to improve heat tolerance in wheat. *J. Exp. Bot.* **70**, 5051–5069 (2019).

27. Gifford, R. M. Whole plant respiration and photosynthesis of wheat under increased CO_2

concentration and temperature: long term vs. short term distinctions for modelling. *Glob.*

Change Biol. **1**, 385–396 (1995).

28. Amthor, J. S. *Respiration and Crop Productivity*. (Springer New York, NY, New York, 2012).

29. Albrizio, R. & Steduto, P. Photosynthesis, respiration and conservative carbon use efficiency

of four field grown crops. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **116**, 19–36 (2003).

30. Lambers, H. & Oliveira, R. S. Photosynthesis, Respiration, and Long-Distance Transport:

Respiration. in *Plant Physiological Ecology* (eds Lambers, H. & Oliveira, R. S.) 115–172

(Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2019). doi:10.1007/978-3-030-29639-1_3.

31. Poorter, H., Remkes, C. & Lambers, H. Carbon and nitrogen economy of 24 wild species

differing in relative growth rate. *Plant Physiol.* **94**, 621–627 (1990).

32. Atkin, O. K., Scheurwater, I. & Pons, T. L. Respiration as a percentage of daily

photosynthesis in whole plants is homeostatic at moderate, but not high, growth

temperatures. *New Phytol.* **174**, 367–380 (2007).

33. Smith, B. N., Lytle, C. M. & Hansen, L. D. Predicting Plant Growth Rates From Dark

Respiration Rates: an Experimental Approach.

34. Wilson, D. & Jones, J. Effect of selection for dark respiration rate of mature leaves on crop

yields of Lolium perenne cv. S23. *Ann. Bot.* **49**, 313–320 (1982).

35. Atkin, O. K. & Tjoelker, M. G. Thermal acclimation and the dynamic response of plant

respiration to temperature. *Trends Plant Sci.* **8**, 343–351 (2003).

36. Posch, B. C. *et al.* Wheat respiratory O2 consumption falls with night warming alongside

greater respiratory CO2 loss and reduced biomass. *J. Exp. Bot.* **73**, 915–926 (2022).

37. Gaju, O. *et al.* Accounting for the impact of genotype and environment on variation in leaf

respiration of wheat in Mexico and Australia. *J. Exp. Bot.* **76**, 1099–1115 (2025).

38. Impa, S. M. *et al.* Carbon balance and source☐sink metabolic changes in winter wheat

exposed to high night☐time temperature. *Plant Cell Environ.* **42**, 1233–1246 (2019).

39. Zhu, C. *et al.* Rising temperatures can negate CO2 fertilization effects on global staple crop

yields: A meta-regression analysis. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **342**, 109737 (2023).

40. Khozeymehnezhad, H. & Nazeri Tahroudi, M. Annual and seasonal distribution pattern of

rainfall in Iran and neighboring regions. *Arab. J. Geosci.* **12**, 271 (2019).

41. Kömüşcü, A. Ü. & Aksoy, M. Long-term spatio-temporal trends and periodicities in monthly

and seasonal precipitation in Turkey. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.* **151**, 1623–1649 (2023).

42. Helman, D. & Bonfil, D. J. Six decades of warming and drought in the world's top wheat-

producing countries offset the benefits of rising CO2 to yield. *Sci. Rep.* **12**, 7921 (2022).

43. Fonteyne, S. *et al.* Effects of conservation agriculture on physicochemical soil health in 20

maize-based trials in different agro-ecological regions across Mexico. *Land Degrad. Dev.*

32, 2242–2256 (2021).

44. Jat, H. S. *et al.* A decade of conservation agriculture in intensive cereal systems:

Transitioning to soil resilience and stable yield trends in a climate crisis. *J. Environ. Manage.*

373, 123448 (2025).

494 **Supplementary Table 1**: *Average change in minimum temperature (Tmin) during grain filling at*
495 *the ESWYT nurseries test sites. Yield data represent the average nursery yield reported within a*
496 *country, and yield losses are expressed as a percentage of the reported yield. The data cover*
497 *42 years, from 1979 until 2021.*

Country	Change in TMin grain filling (℃)	Yield (t/ha)	Yield loss (t/ha)	Yield loss (%)
Afghanistan	1.29	5.611	0.646	11.8
Argentina	0.83	5.234	0.416	8.0
Bangladesh	0.69	4.715	0.342	7.5
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	0.99	2.412	0.493	40.7
Brazil	0.73	4.563	0.364	8.3

Canada	0.09	4.830	0.043	0.9
Chile	0.18	8.964	0.091	1.1
China	1.81	6.126	0.902	15.4
Czechia	2.71	7.294	1.352	18.5
Egypt	1.36	8.020	0.680	8.9
Eswatini	0.34	2.726	0.167	6.1
Ethiopia	1.04	6.298	0.519	8.2
Greece	2.20	6.133	1.098	17.9
India	0.95	4.855	0.473	9.9
Indonesia	0.29	3.398	0.146	4.3
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	1.35	6.599	0.676	10.6
Iraq	1.59	6.363	0.793	14.1
Israel	1.10	6.550	0.550	8.4
Italy	1.67	8.638	0.835	10.1
Japan	0.95	3.548	0.473	13.3
Libya	1.54	8.859	0.768	8.7
Madagascar	0.61	6.794	0.306	4.5
Mauritius	0.97	5.309	0.482	9.1
Mexico	1.02	5.828	0.510	10.2
Mongolia	1.36	2.907	0.680	23.4
Morocco	2.04	7.301	1.021	15.2
Myanmar	0.82	2.663	0.412	16.9
Nepal	0.68	5.278	0.338	10.0
New Zealand	0.60	9.422	0.301	3.2
Nigeria	0.87	5.158	0.433	7.7
Pakistan	1.53	4.713	0.765	16.6
Paraguay	0.57	3.890	0.285	7.7
Poland	2.48	6.486	1.238	19.8
Portugal	1.68	6.815	0.837	12.3
Qatar	1.66	5.321	0.831	15.6
Saudi Arabia	1.94	6.257	0.968	17.9
Serbai	1.61	6.497	0.807	12.4
South Africa	1.05	6.747	0.526	7.8
Spain	2.14	8.155	1.069	13.5
Sudan	2.50	3.171	1.248	42.7
Syrian Arab Republic	2.09	6.263	1.045	16.7
Tanzania	0.36	2.823	0.181	6.4
Thailand	0.28	2.352	0.140	5.5
Türkiye	1.62	7.118	0.810	11.5
Zambia	0.73	6.012	0.362	6.6

| | Zimbabwe | 0.66 | 7.161 | 0.331 | 4.7 |

Predictor	BLUE_YLD				Rank ^
	Contribution	Portion			
avg_TMin_GrainFill	715.670	0.4120			1
avg_SolRad_GrainFill	278.766	0.1605			2
avg_TMin_Hminus10d-Hplus10d	194.766	0.1121			3
avg_SolRad_Hminus10d-Hplus10d	97.847	0.0563			4
avg_TMin_SHminus10d	95.936	0.0552			5
avg_TMax_SHminus10d	60.174	0.0346			6
avg_TMax_GrainFill	57.860	0.0333			7
avg_SolRad_SHminus10d	48.787	0.0281			8
avg_TMax_Hminus10d-Hplus10d	48.604	0.0280			9
avg_VPD_SHminus10d	43.096	0.0248			10
ndays_TMax_Hplus10dM	39.738	0.0229			11
avg_VPD_GrainFill	31.111	0.0179			12
ndays_TMax_gt32C_Hminus10d-Hplus10d	19.749	0.0114			13
ndays_TMax_gt32C_SHminus10d	4.675	0.0027			14
ndays_TMin_Hplus10dM	0.430	0.0002			15
ndays_VPD_gt4kPa_Hminus10d-Hplus10d	0.048	0.0000			16
ndays_VPD_gt4kPa_SHminus10d	0.000	0.0000			17
ndays_TMin_gt25C_SHminus10d	0.000	0.0000			18
ndays_TMin_gt25C_Hminus10d-Hplus10d	0.000	0.0000			19

530
531 **Supplementary Fig. 1**: *Prediction power of environmental variables to estimate grain yield at*
532 *850 site-years. Variables represent three periods: 1) sowing to heading minus 10 days*
533 *(SHMinus10d), 2) heading minus 10 days to heading plus 10 days (HMinus10d-Hplus10d), and*
534 *3) heading plus 10 days to maturity (Hplus10dM). The last period represents grain filling.*

535
536
537

538
539 **Supplementary Fig. 2**: *Prediction of wheat yield at 850 site-years as a function of a)* *daily*
540 *average maximum temperature, b)* *minimum temperature and c)* *solar radiation observed during*
541 *the grain filling period.*

542
543

544
545   ***Supplementary Figure 3****: Observed average yield at 255 spring wheat nursery test sites.*

$y = -1.599X + 56.507 - R^2: 0.27$
Observations: 262

547
548   ***Supplementary Figure 4:*** *Effect of minimum temperature during grainfilling on the number of*
549   *reported days between heading and maturity at 262 site-years.*