Title

Systematic overexpression reveals a conserved spectrum of harmful and beneficial amino acid homorepeats shaped by evolution.

Authors

Yukihiro Murase[1], Naoki Kitamura[2], Shotaro Namba[1], Ayano Satoh[3], Takashi Makino[4], Ayako Moriya[5], and Hisao Moriya[5]

Affiliations

1. Graduate School of Life, Environmental, Natural Science and Technology, Okayama University
2. Graduate School of Interdisciplinary Science and Engineering in Health Systems, Okayama University
3. Faculty of Interdisciplinary Science and Engineering in Health Systems, Okayama University
4. Graduate School of Life Sciences, Tohoku University
5. Faculty of Life, Environmental, Natural Science and Technology, Okayama University

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest accompanying this paper.

Author contribution

23 YM and NK performed the experiments. TM conducted the bioinformatics analyses, and SN carried

24 out the data analysis. AM achieved technical support. AS supervised the research. YM and HM prepared

25 the figures and wrote the manuscript.

Funding

28 This work was partly supported by the Nagase Science and Technology Foundation, Institute for

29 Fermentation, Osaka (IFO) General Research Funding, JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 25K22437,

30 and 24K02313, the New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization (NEDO) Grant

31 Number P20011.

Keywords

34 • amino acid homorepeat

35 • overexpression

36 • protein cytotoxicity

37 • protein evolution

Abstract

Proteins are composed of combinations of 20 amino acids, and the sequences selected through evolution reflect a balance between harmful and beneficial properties that together define their compatibility. However, the general principles governing the compatibility of amino acid sequences in living cells remain unclear. In this study, we systematically evaluated the neutrality—a measure of harmful versus beneficial properties—of simple amino acid homorepeats composed of ten identical residues ($Poly_{10}X$), using yeast as an experimental model system. EGFP-$Poly_{10}X$ fusions were analyzed using the genetic tug-of-war (gTOW) method to quantify maximum expression levels, revealing amino-acid-specific neutrality values. Hydrophobic repeats (I, V, W, F, Y) were strongly harmful and aggregation-prone, whereas hydrophilic repeats (E, S, N, Q) alleviated harmfulness. These trends were conserved across protein backgrounds and structural contexts. Consistently, hydrophobic repeats such as $Poly_{10}I$ formed aggregates and were intrinsically harmful, whereas $Poly_{10}E$ suppressed protein aggregation and prevented activation of proteotoxic stress responses. Furthermore, analysis of PolyX occurrence across the proteomes of multiple species revealed a strong correlation between experimentally measured $Poly_{10}X$ neutrality and both the length and frequency of homorepeats in natural proteins. Hydrophobic amino acids were rarely observed as homorepeats, whereas residues such as E, N, Q, and S appeared frequently and were beneficial in our assays. Taken together, $Poly_{10}X$ neutrality serves as an experimental measure of amino acid tolerance in cells and defines the compatible sequence space of proteins. It explains the absence of certain homorepeats in nature and has implications for protein design and mutation-induced harmfulness.

Introduction

Most proteins produced by extant organisms are composed of combinations of 20 amino acids with diverse physicochemical properties. The possible combinations of amino acid sequences—and thus their physicochemical characteristics—are virtually infinite. Among them, sequences that are compatible with living organisms have been selectively retained through the course of evolution [1]. This compatibility is determined by a balance between harms and benefits to biological functions, where the harms include various factors that decrease fitness, such as cytotoxicity or excessive consumption of cellular resources [2].

One effective way to understand the compatibility of amino acid sequences is to identify patterns commonly present in amino acid sequences (natural sequences) used by extant organisms. With the vast amount of protein sequence data now available, attempts have been made to extract features of natural sequences using artificial intelligence and to apply them to three-dimensional structure prediction and de novo protein design [3–6]. Although this approach has achieved success in extracting, predicting, and designing functional sequences, it does not directly reveal the compatibility of sequences that do not exist in nature, nor the characteristics of sequences that are not compatible due to harmful effects. "Nullpeptides," which are peptides absent from the proteome of a given organism, may represent sequences that have been eliminated during evolution [7,8]. However, such inferences still depend on the limited sequence space of natural proteins, and thus have inherent limitations in capturing the full landscape of non-compatible sequences.

Another approach to investigating sequence compatibility is the synthetic exploration of compatible combinations among amino acid sequences. By constructing a comprehensive sequence library and performing functional screening, it is possible to obtain functional sequences that do not exist in nature [9–11]. Furthermore, the use of Deep Mutational Scanning (DMS) enables systematic and quantitative evaluation of sequences within the library, allowing the identification of both the diversity and the characteristics of compatible sequences [12,13]. The resulting data can also serve as training datasets for

machine learning, and with sufficient data density, highly accurate predictive models can be developed

[14,15]. Neme *et al.* demonstrated through DMS in *Escherichia coli* that, while many random sequences

are harmful, a considerable number of beneficial sequences also exist [16,17]. However, this exploration

still covers only a limited portion of the vast sequence space, and the specific features and physiological

effects of harmful or beneficial sequences remain largely unknown.

Therefore, in this study, we aimed to extract harmful and beneficial features from extremely simple

model sequences. Of particular interest are continuous stretches of identical amino acids, known as

amino acid homorepeats [18–24] or PolyX. PolyX sequences are also referred to as homopolymeric amino

acid stretches/repeats [25–29], amino acid runs [30], or homopeptide repeats [31], and they are present in a wide

range of natural proteins. PolyX motifs exhibit distinct physiological functions and have been exploited

in various biotechnological applications [19,25,32]. For example, PolyP (~10 residues) segments of formin

act as nucleation sites for actin filament formation [33], and the arginine-rich region of protamine

containing PolyR tracts (~6 residues) binds strongly to DNA, inducing chromatin condensation [34].

Translation of the poly(A) tail resulting from termination failure produces PolyK, which serves as a

signal for protein degradation [35,36]. The metal-chelating property of PolyH (~6 residues) is utilized as a

purification tag [37], while the membrane-penetrating ability of PolyR (~8 residues) is exploited for

molecular delivery [38]. Conversely, PolyX sequences can also exert harmful effects and are associated

with diseases. Abnormal expansion of PolyQ beyond ~40 residues leads to aggregate formation and

neurodegenerative disorders [39]. Positively charged PolyR and PolyK (~10 residues) interact with the

negatively charged ribosomal tunnel, causing translational stalling [40], and PolyW (~10 residues) induces

ribosome stalling that prevents CAT-tail addition [41]. Negatively charged PolyD and PolyE (~10

residues) destabilize ribosomes and induce translational arrest [42,43].In natural protein sequences, both

the frequency and the length of PolyX motifs show amino acid–specific biases [18,29,31,44], which are

thought to reflect a balance between harmful and beneficial effects. PolyX sequences are considered

evolutionary hotspots, as they can readily arise through mutations [29,45–47]. When present in natural

proteins, they may confer benefits, whereas their absence could indicate harms that led to their

elimination. Although the cytotoxicity of certain PolyX sequences has been examined in mammalian

cells [26,27,48], general trends that transcend species and expression conditions remain unexplored.

In this study, we systematically evaluated the harmful and beneficial effects of peptide sequences

composed of ten identical amino acids ($Poly_{10}X$) by individually overexpressing them in yeast. A length

of ten residues was chosen as it is sufficiently long to manifest amino-acid-specific physicochemical

effects, such as charge accumulation or hydrophobic interactions, while remaining short enough to

minimize sequence context and secondary-structure complexity. By fixing sequence length and

repeating a single residue, this approach allows direct comparison of how intrinsic physicochemical

properties—such as charge and hydrophobicity—affect cellular tolerance. Moreover, comparison with

PolyX occurrence in natural proteomes enables us to infer which sequence features are favored or

disfavored by evolutionary selection, thereby testing whether their harmful or beneficial effects

contribute to determining their abundance in extant proteomes.

Results

The harmful effects of each Poly$_{10}$X are generally conserved across species.

In this study, we began by investigating the expression limits of enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) fused at its C-terminus with 20 different Poly$_{10}$X peptides (Figure 1A, S1, and S2). The fusion was made at the C-terminus rather than the N-terminus to minimize the influence of N-terminal sequences, which are known to strongly affect translation efficiency [49]. For harmfulness assessment in yeast, we expressed EGFP and EGFP-Poly$_{10}$X from the strong constitutive *TDH3* promoter (*TDH3$_{pro}$*) and increased plasmid copy numbers using the genetic tug-of-war (gTOW) method [50,51]. During this process, we measured both growth inhibition and EGFP expression levels (cellular fluorescence). In the gTOW assay, plasmid copy number can be increased by culturing cells with or without leucine supplementation. Under both conditions, we evaluated cell growth and fluorescence to calculate the maximum growth rate (MGR) and maximum fluorescence intensity (MFI). To enable one-dimensional comparison of the harmfulness of each Poly$_{10}$X variant, we defined relative neutrality based on growth and expression data as follows: Relative neutrality = %(MGR_Poly$_{10}$X / MGR_Δ) × %(MFI_Poly$_{10}$X / MFI_Δ). Where, Δ refers to the control EGFP without any Poly$_{10}$X. Thus, the relative neutrality values were normalized such that the control (Δ) equals 10,000 (Figure 1A) .

As a result, the growth rate and fluorescence intensity varied greatly depending on the type of expressed EGFP-Poly$_{10}$X (Figure 1B). Under leucine-depleted conditions, strong growth inhibition was observed for about half of the Poly$_{10}$X variants, and yeast cells showed almost no growth. The harmfulness of each Poly$_{10}$X was quantified as relative neutrality (Figure 1C), revealing that the degree of harmfulness differed substantially among amino acids. The addition of some Poly$_{10}$X sequences markedly reduced the neutrality of EGFP (increasing harmful effects), whereas the addition of other Poly$_{10}$X sequences resulted in neutrality comparable to, or even higher than, that of EGFP.

Next, to examine whether the neutrality of $Poly_{10}X$ is conserved across species, we performed equivalent experiments in *Escherichia coli*. Since the gTOW method cannot be applied to *E. coli*, we constructed multicopy plasmids expressing EGFP-$Poly_{10}X$ under the control of the *lac* promoter (*lac_{pro}*) and induced their expression with isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (Figure S3). The resulting data were also converted into relative neutrality values (Figures 1D and 1E). In *E. coli*, although the expression levels of EGFP-$Poly_{10}X$ varied considerably among the different $Poly_{10}X$ variants, the effects on growth were limited (Figure 1D). This is likely due to the weaker selection pressure on plasmid maintenance compared with the yeast gTOW system—plasmids expressing highly harmful $Poly_{10}X$ variants are thought to be lost from the population during cultivation (Figure S3E). Previously, the cytotoxicity of PolyX (~30 residues) in mammalian cells was evaluated by Oma *et al.* [26]. They assessed PolyX cytotoxicity in African green monkey kidney–derived COS-7 cells by strongly expressing YFP-PolyX under the CMV promoter and measuring cell viability. Because numeric cell viability data were not provided, we relied on reported statistical significance and converted it into four ranks (1–4 corresponding to $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.01$, $p < 0.05$, and $p > 0.05$, respectively). We then compared the $Poly_{10}X$ neutrality data obtained in this study for yeast and *E. coli* with those reported by Oma *et al.* for mammalian cells. The results showed a generally high correlation among the three systems (Spearman's correlation $\rho > 0.6$; Figures 1F-H), indicating that $Poly_{10}X$ harmfulness exhibits a conserved trend across species.

To further refine the analysis, we evaluated the neutrality of highly harmful $Poly_{10}X$ variants by using the WTC_{846} promoter, which allows tunable expression [52]. By gradually modulating expression levels, we assessed the neutrality of each $Poly_{10}X$ variant (Figure S4). The neutrality values (Figure 1I) showed a stronger correlation with mammalian cell cytotoxicity ($\rho = 0.72$, Figure 1J), thereby reinforcing the conclusion that $Poly_{10}X$ harmful exhibits a conserved trend across species. Figure 1K compares the neutrality of EGFP-$Poly_{10}X$ variants in yeast across a range of expression strengths. Some amino acids were consistently harmful across all expression levels, whereas others were more neutral than EGFP (i.e., beneficial) at specific expression ranges.

Figure 1

Figure 1. The harmful effects of each Poly$_{10}$X are generally conserved across species.

A) Experimental setup. Poly$_{10}$X sequences were fused to the C-terminus of EGFP and expressed in *S. cerevisiae* under the *TDH3* or *WTC$_{846}$* promoter, or in *E. coli* under the *lac* promoter. Cellular fitness (growth rate) and expression level (fluorescence intensity) of cells overexpressing each construct were measured. Relative neutrality was calculated by comparison with the corresponding control EGFP construct lacking Poly$_{10}$X (Δ).

B, C) Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity of *S. cerevisiae* cells overexpressing EGFP–Poly$_{10}$X in SC–LU medium at 30 °C, and the calculated relative neutrality (**C**). Growth and fluorescence curves are shown in Figure S2B.

D, E) Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity of *E. coli* expressing EGFP–Poly$_{10}$X in LB + ampicillin medium supplemented with 1 mM IPTG at 37 °C, and the calculated relative neutrality (**E**). Growth and fluorescence curves are shown in Figure S3B.

F–H) Cross-species comparison of Poly$_{10}$X harmful effects. Average relative neutrality values obtained in *S. cerevisiae* (**C**) and *E. coli* (**E**) were compared with each other and with previously reported Poly$_{10}$X cytotoxicity ranks in COS-7 cells [26]. Cytotoxicity ranks were calculated as described in *Materials and Methods*.

I) Precise determination of relative neutrality in *S. cerevisiae*. EGFP–Poly$_{10}$X expression was titrated across seven concentrations of aTc, and the maximum neutrality point was used for final normalization to the EGFP control (Δ). Growth and fluorescence curves are shown in Figure S4B and C.

J) Correlation between the maximum relative neutrality of Poly$_{10}$X in *S. cerevisiae* (**I**) and the Poly$_{10}$X cytotoxicity ranking in COS-7 cells [26]. Single-letter codes indicate the amino acid repeated in PolyX.

K) Hierarchical clustering analysis of relative neutrality values across varying expression levels. On the y-axis, TDH3_U and TDH3_LU indicate experiments using *TDH3$_{pro}$* under SC-U (Figure S1) and SC-

LU (**C** and Figure S2) conditions, respectively. The numbers indicate the concentration of aTc in

experiments using WTC_{846} (**I** and Figure S4). Each dataset was normalized to the neutrality of the EGFP

control. Euclidean distance and average linkage were used. The heatmap represents relative neutrality

levels.

In **B**–**E** and **I**, bars, dots, and error bars represent the mean, individual values, and standard deviation

from at least three biological replicates. In **B**, **C**, and **D**, asterisks indicate significant differences in

maximum growth rate, maximum fluorescence intensity, and relative neutrality, respectively, compared

with the control (Δ) ($p < 0.05$, Student's *t*-test with Bonferroni correction). In **F**–**H** and **J,** Spearman's

rank correlation coefficient (ρ) and its *p*-value are shown.

Poly$_{10}$X harmful and beneficial effects are associated with amino acid polarity and hydrophobicity

Next, to examine whether the harmful or beneficial effects of Poly$_{10}$X depend on the physicochemical

properties of the fused protein, we fused Poly$_{10}$X to the C-terminus of six different fluorescent proteins

and evaluated their neutrality in the same manner (Figure 2A, S5–S9). The obtained neutrality values

showed similar trends, with correlations above 0.85 between datasets (Figure 2C). Based on this, we

analyzed the relationship between amino acid biochemical and physicochemical parameters and

neutrality. Correlation analysis with 28 amino acid indices [2,53] (Figure S10A) revealed that biosynthetic

energy cost showed the highest correlation ($\rho = –0.76$, $p = 1.8e\text{-}23$), followed by polar requirement (ρ

$= 0.66$, $p = 2.2e\text{-}16$) and hydrophobicity ($\rho = –0.60$, $p = 4.0e\text{-}13$) (Figure 2D). Because these parameters

mutually showed high intercorrelation (Figure S10B), neutrality may be largely explained by these

characteristics.

To further characterize the nature of Poly$_{10}$X, we performed cluster analysis and classified amino acids

into three groups (Figure 2E). Cluster 1 included amino acids that increased the relative neutrality above

10,000 for most fluorescent proteins when added to the C-terminus, indicating beneficial effects that reduced protein cytotoxicity. Cluster 2 consisted of amino acids that exhibited harmful effects regardless of the fluorescent protein to which they were attached. Cluster 3 contained amino acids whose effects varied depending on the fused fluorescent protein. Notably, we did not detect any beneficial amino acids in Gamillus, probably because the expression level was insufficient to cause overexpression-dependent growth inhibition, even at maximum induction (Figure S7). Figure 2F shows the representative biochemical and physicochemical properties of amino acids in each cluster. Cluster 1 included E, S, N, and Q, which are low-cost, have high polar requirements, and low hydrophobicity. Cluster 2 mainly contains amino acids with high cost, low polarity, and high hydrophobicity (C, P, M, V, F, I, W, L, Y), but also includes positively charged ones (K, R). Cluster 3 contains G, T, H, and A, which exhibit intermediate cost, polar requirement, and hydrophobicity, while D is somewhat exceptional.

To examine whether the strong harmfulness of the four $Poly_{10}X$ variants (F, I, W, and Y) was caused by the high amino acid synthesis cost, we re-evaluated the harmfulness of EGFP (Δ) and four EGFP–$Poly_{10}X$ constructs after supplementing the medium with excess corresponding amino acids. As a result, in all cases, the harmfulness was not alleviated (Figure 2G and S11), suggesting that amino acid depletion due to high biosynthetic cost was not the cause of harmfulness. As mentioned above, since the biosynthetic cost correlates well with polarity and hydrophobicity, the apparent correlation with synthesis cost likely reflects a pseudo-correlation arising from these physicochemical properties.

Figure 2

Figure 2. $Poly_{10}X$ harmful and beneficial effects are associated with amino acid polarity and hydrophobicity.

A) Schematic of the constructs used in this study. Each $Poly_{10}X$ was attached to the C-terminal of each fluorescent protein (FP), and expressed in *S. cerevisiae* under the control of the WTC_{846} promoter. EGFP constructs were expressed under the *TDH3* promoter (see Figure 1B, C).

B) Relative neutrality of $Poly_{10}X$ measured using various fluorescent proteins in SC–LU medium at 30 °C. Bars represent the mean relative neutrality for each amino acid, and dots correspond to measurements across different fluorescent proteins. Each dot represents the mean of at least three biological replicates, and the bars indicate the mean relative neutrality obtained across six different fluorescent proteins. Growth and fluorescence curves are shown in Figure S2B, S5B–S9B.

C) Correlation matrix comparing the trends of $Poly_{10}X$ neutrality across different fluorescent proteins. Each value represents the Spearman's rank correlation coefficient based on relative neutrality values measured for each FPs–$Poly_{10}X$.

D) Relationships between $Poly_{10}X$ neutrality and amino acid physicochemical properties (energy cost, polar requirement and hydrophobicity). Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (ρ) and its *p*-values are shown.

E) Hierarchical clustering of relative neutrality values obtained from different fluorescent proteins. Clustering was performed using Euclidean distance and average linkage. Single-letter codes indicate the amino acid repeated in $Poly_{10}X$.

F) Comparison of the three $Poly_{10}X$ clusters identified in **E** with amino acid physicochemical properties (energy cost, polar requirement and hydrophobicity). Statistical analyses were performed using the Mann–Whitney *U* test between each cluster and the remaining total samples, followed by Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons.

G) Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity of *S. cerevisiae* cells overexpressing EGFP without $Poly_{10}X$ (Δ) or with C-terminal $Poly_{10}F$, $Poly_{10}I$, $Poly_{10}W$, or $Poly_{10}Y$ fusions in SC–LU medium at 30 °C. Indicated amino acid was supplemented to the medium at standard ($\times 1$), $\times 2$, or $\times 4$ concentrations. Bars, dots, and error bars represent the mean, individual values, and standard deviation from four biological replicates. Growth and fluorescence curves are shown in Figure S11B.

Structural context modulates the effect of $Poly_{10}X$, while its overall neutrality trend is conserved.

So far, we have examined the effects of C-terminally fused $Poly_{10}X$. Next, to investigate the effects of $Poly_{10}X$ in different structural contexts, we constructed three types of variants (Figures 3A and S12–14): $Poly_{10}X$ was inserted between two fluorescent proteins (EGFP and mCherry); $Poly_{10}X$ was inserted into an internal loop of EGFP; and the C-terminally fused $Poly_{10}X$ was detached from the fluorescent protein by a P2A sequence [54]. These designs allowed us to test whether the effects of $Poly_{10}X$ depend on the presence of a downstream protein domain, its position within a protein, or its physical coupling to the fluorescent protein. As a result, all constructs showed distinct growth rates, fluorescence levels, and neutrality indices depending on the $Poly_{10}X$ sequence (Figures 3B–G and S12–14). It should be noted that in the detached construct, the fluorescence intensity reflects the amount of free EGFP released by the P2A cleavage, but not the amount of the $Poly_{10}X$ peptide itself. In contrast, internal $Poly_{10}X$ insertions may influence not only protein neutrality but also the fluorescence properties of EGFP, meaning that fluorescence does not necessarily reflect expression levels directly. The neutrality indices among the three structural contexts and the C-terminal EGFP–$Poly_{10}X$ construct showed rank correlations above 0.74 ($p = 2.2$e-4) (Figure 3H), indicating that $Poly_{10}X$ exhibits a generally conserved trend in neutrality regardless of structural context. Among them, K and P showed markedly reduced harmfulness when placed between two fluorescent proteins compared with when fused to the C-terminus (Figure 3I, upper left). I, V, and W maintained strong harmfulness even in the detached

Poly$_{10}$X construct (Figure 3I, lower right), suggesting that the Poly$_{10}$X peptides themselves can exert

harmfulness independently. Conversely, the significant harmfulness of C and H (Figure 1C) were lost

in the detached Poly$_{10}$X construct (Figure 3G), indicating that these Poly$_{10}$X sequences exhibit

harmfulness only when fused to EGFP. No beneficial effect of Poly$_{10}$X was observed when the Poly$_{10}$X

were detached (Figure 3G). Therefore, the beneficial effects might arise from mitigating the

overexpression-induced harmfulness of fluorescent proteins.

Figure 3

Figure 3. Structural context modulates the effect of $Poly_{10}X$, while its overall

neutrality trend is conserved.

A) Schematic representation of the constructs used to examine the effect of $Poly_{10}X$ in different

structural contexts. In the "$Poly_{10}X$ between two FPs" construct, a $Poly_{10}X$–GS linker sequence was

inserted between EGFP and mCherry. In the "internal $Poly_{10}X$" construct, $Poly_{10}X$ was inserted between

residues 173 and 174 of EGFP. In the "detached $Poly_{10}X$" construct, a P2A self-cleaving sequence was

placed between EGFP and $Poly_{10}X$.

B, C) Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity (**B**), and relative neutrality (**C**) of *S.*

cerevisiae cells overexpressing the $Poly_{10}X$ between two FPs construct in SC–LU medium at 30 °C.

Growth and fluorescence curves are shown in Figure S12B.

D, **E**) Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity (**D**), and relative neutrality (**E**) of

cells expressing the internal $Poly_{10}X$ construct in SC–LU medium at 30 °C. Growth and fluorescence

curves are shown in Figure S13B.

F, **G**) Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity (**F**), and relative neutrality (**G**) of

cells overexpressing the detached $Poly_{10}X$ construct in SC–LU medium at 30 °C. Growth and

fluorescence curves are shown in Figure S14B.

H) Cross-comparison of $Poly_{10}X$ neutrality profiles among different structural contexts. Each value

represents the Spearman's rank correlation coefficient calculated from the relative neutrality indices

obtained in each construct.

I) Pairwise comparison of $Poly_{10}X$ neutrality trends between different structural contexts. Scatter plots

show correlations of average relative neutrality values across constructs. Spearman's rank correlation

coefficient (ρ) and its *p*-values are shown. Single-letter codes indicate the amino acid repeated in

$Poly_{10}X$.

In **B**–**G** bars, dots, and error bars represent the mean, individual values, and standard deviation from at least three biological replicates.

Poly$_{10}$X induces protein relocalization and aggregate formation

Next, to investigate the effects of Poly$_{10}$X on cellular functions, we observed the intracellular localization of EGFP–Poly$_{10}$X using fluorescence microscopy (Figures 4A and S15–S16). As a result, highly harmful and hydrophobic amino acids—W, I, Y, V, L, and F, except for M—were observed as intracellular aggregates. The appearance of these aggregates varied among amino acids, suggesting that they form distinct types of intracellular assemblies. D and C were enriched in the nucleus, whereas R formed a single bright punctum. Other amino acids showed diffuse cytoplasmic localization similar to the control. These results suggest that highly harmful Poly$_{10}$X sequences exert their harmfulness through aggregation propensity and interactions with cellular structures. Notably, these localization patterns were largely consistent with the subcellular localization of YFP–PolyX observed in COS-7 cells by Oma *et al.* [27], indicating that the intracellular behavior of PolyX is conserved among eukaryotic cells.

We also examined the localization of constructs in which Poly$_{10}$X was inserted between EGFP and mCherry (Figures S17–S19). For most amino acids, EGFP and mCherry showed identical localization patterns, consistent with those observed for EGFP–Poly$_{10}$X. In contrast, in the Poly$_{10}$K and Poly$_{10}$P constructs, EGFP localized to the cell surface, while mCherry fluorescence was barely detectable (Figure 4B). Because such behavior was not observed in EGFP–Poly$_{10}$K or EGFP–Poly$_{10}$P (Figure 4A), some Poly$_{10}$X can exhibit distinct behaviors depending on the structural context.

Figure 4. Poly$_{10}$X induces protein relocalization and aggregate formation

A) Fluorescence microscopy images of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing EGFP–Poly$_{10}$X under the control of *TDH3$_{pro}$*. Cells were pre-cultured in SC–U medium and then cultured overnight in SC–U or SC–LU medium before imaging shown as –U and –LU. Brightness and contrast were adjusted to allow clear visualization of cell morphology. Indicated subcellular localization and aggregation were categorized by visual inspection.

B) Fluorescence microscopy images of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing EGFP–mCherry, EGFP–Poly$_{10}$K–mCherry, and EGFP–Poly$_{10}$P–mCherry under the control of the *WTC$_{846}$* promoter, observed 6 hours after aTc induction. No mCherry fluorescence was detected in cells expressing EGFP–Poly$_{10}$K–mCherry, and EGFP–Poly$_{10}$P–mCherry.

Single-letter codes indicate the amino acid repeated in Poly$_{10}$X. Δ represents the protein without a Poly$_{10}$X fusion.

Poly$_{10}$E reduces the harmful effects of protein overexpression through aggregation suppression.

As described above, beneficial Poly$_{10}$X sequences are thought to alleviate the harmful effects caused by the overexpression of fluorescent proteins. Overexpressed fluorescent proteins can exert toxicity through multiple mechanisms [55]. In the case of EGFP, overexpression leads to aggregate formation due to misfolding and imposes a burden on proteostasis [56]. Since these adverse effects become more pronounced at high temperatures, we evaluated the neutrality of EGFP-Poly$_{10}$X at 38 °C. As a result, the growth of most Poly$_{10}$X-overexpressing strains, including EGFP (Δ), which had been able to grow at 30 °C, was markedly reduced (Figure S20). In contrast, appending Poly$_{10}$E, Poly$_{10}$G, Poly$_{10}$Q, or Poly$_{10}$S maintained growth even under this condition, resulting in a substantial increase in relative

neutrality (Figure 5A and Figure S20), with $Poly_{10}E$ showing the most pronounced increase in relative

neutrality (Figure 5B).

The adverse effects on proteostasis caused by overexpression are limited in the case of moxGFP, whose

folding properties have been improved compared to EGFP [56]. Indeed, all moxGFP-$Poly_{10}X$

overexpression strains that were able to grow at 30 °C (Figure S5) also grew at 38 °C, and their relative

neutrality did not change significantly (Figure 5C, 5D, and S21). In contrast, although $Poly_{10}E$ did not

show any beneficial effect in the 30 °C experiments using moxGFP (Figure S6), it became beneficial at

38 °C (Figure 5C and 5D). It is likely that moxGFP, which has stable folding at 30 °C, does not benefit

from $Poly_{10}E$ under that condition, but as the structure becomes less stable at 38 °C, the beneficial effect

of $Poly_{10}E$ emerges.

Next, we examined whether the addition of $Poly_{10}E$ mitigates the formation of protein aggregates,

Hsp70 aggregates, morphological abnormalities in mutant strains, and the induction of the heat shock

response that occur upon EGFP overexpression [56]. The amount of insoluble EGFP-$Poly_{10}E$ in

overexpressing cells was significantly lower than that of insoluble EGFP (Figure 5E, 5F, and S22). The

number of Hsp70 aggregates in EGFP-$Poly_{10}E$–overexpressing cells showed a decreasing trend, though

not statistically significant, compared to EGFP-overexpressing cells (Figure 5G), and images showing

more dispersed aggregates were frequently observed (Figure 5H and S23). Furthermore, the

morphological abnormalities of the *cdc24-5* and *rpl19aΔ* strains induced by EGFP overexpression were

not observed in EGFP-$Poly_{10}E$–overexpressing cells (Figure 5I, S24, and S25). Finally, transcriptome

analysis by RNA-seq revealed that the heat shock response and the induction of the proteasome-related

transcription factor *RPN4*, both triggered by EGFP overexpression, were markedly reduced in EGFP-

$Poly_{10}E$ (Figure 5J and S26A). In contrast, EGFP-$Poly_{10}D$, which does not show a growth advantage

over EGFP (Figure 1C), exhibited only limited suppression of the heat shock response and *RPN4*

induction (Figure 5K and S26A). Collectively, all these results support the conclusion that the addition

of $Poly_{10}E$ to EGFP alleviates the proteostatic burden caused by misfolding during EGFP

overexpression.

Notably, when one of the most harmful $Poly_{10}X$ variants, $Poly_{10}I$, was appended to EGFP, it induced a

strong heat shock response and *RPN4* activation, opposite to the effect observed with $Poly_{10}E$ (Figure

5L and S26B). Therefore, $Poly_{10}I$ is suggested to either promote misfolding of EGFP more strongly or

aggregate on its own, thereby disrupting intracellular proteostasis. These contrasting effects suggest that

the physicochemical properties of the appended poly-amino acid strongly influence protein folding and

proteostasis.

A EGFP-Poly₁₀X, 38˚C

B

C moxGFP-Poly₁₀X, 38˚C

D

E

F

G

H

I

J

K

L

Figure 5. $Poly_{10}E$ reduces the harmful effects of protein overexpression through aggregation suppression.

A) Relative neutrality of $EGFP-Poly_{10}X$ under heat stress. Cells were cultured in SC–LU medium at 38 °C. Growth and fluorescence data are shown in Figure S20.

B) Comparison of the relative neutrality of $EGFP-Poly_{10}X$ at 30 °C (from Figure 1C) and 38 °C (from **A**). Average values are used, and the plot of $EGFP-Poly_{10}E$ is shown as $Poly_{10}E$.

C) Relative neutrality of $moxGFP-Poly_{10}X$ under heat stress. Cells were cultured in SC–LU medium at 38 °C. Growth and fluorescence data are shown in Figure S21.

D) Comparison of the relative neutrality of $moxGFP-Poly_{10}X$ at 30 °C (from Figure S5D) and 38 °C (from **C**). Average values are used, and the plot of $moxGFP-Poly_{10}E$ is shown as $Poly_{10}E$.

E) Representative image of SDS–PAGE of total, soluble, and insoluble protein fractions from cells overexpressing vector, EGFP, and $EGFP-Poly_{10}E$ cultured in SC–LU medium. Proteins were visualized by fluorescent dye staining. The arrowhead indicates the band corresponding to EGFP or $EGFP-Poly_{10}E$.

F) Quantification of target protein levels (% of total protein) based on the band intensity in **E** and Figure S22. Only monomeric bands were quantified; therefore, polymerized EGFP or $EGFP-Poly_{10}E$ may not be accurately represented. Bars, dots, and error bars represent the mean, individual values, and standard deviation from five biological replicates. Statistical comparisons were performed by Welch's t-test. The raw gel images used for the quantification are shown in Figure S22.

G) Percentage of cells forming Hsp70 aggregates among those overexpressing vector, EGFP, or $EGFP-Poly_{10}E$. The *p*-values were calculated by Welch's t-test with Bonferroni correction. For each of three biological replicates, 200 cells per sample were randomly selected, and aggregate formation was visually assessed under blinded conditions.

H) Fluorescent microscopic images of Hsp70 foci in cells overexpressing EGFP or EGFP–Poly$_{10}$E. Hsp70/Ssa1–mScarlet-I was genomically integrated to monitor Hsp70 aggregate formation. Image brightness and contrast were adjusted to enhance visibility of aggregates. Enlarged images are shown in Figure S23.

I) Microscopic observation of morphological phenotypes in various yeast strains overexpressing vector, EGFP, EGFP–Poly$_{10}$E, or moxGFP. Wild-type strain BY4741 and mutant strains (*cdc24-5*, *rpl19aΔ*) were cultured in SC–LU medium for 18 h before imaging. Representative images, the morphological quantification method, and the quantitative results for all seven mutants are shown in Figures S24 and S25.

J–L) Transcriptomic analysis of *S. cerevisiae* cells overexpressing EGFP or EGFP–Poly$_{10}$X constructs by RNA-seq. Volcano plots show differential gene expression between cells expressing EGFP and EGFP–Poly$_{10}$E (**J**), EGFP–Poly$_{10}$D (**K**), and EGFP–Poly$_{10}$I (**L**). Genes regulated by the heat-shock transcription factor Hsf1 and the proteasome stress-response gene *RPN4* are highlighted. Cells expressing EGFP–Poly$_{10}$E and EGFP–Poly$_{10}$D were cultured in SC–LU medium, while those expressing EGFP–Poly$_{10}$I were cultured in SC–U together with the EGFP control.

The neutrality of Poly$_{10}$X mirrors its evolutionary usage in proteomes

To explore whether the experimentally observed neutrality of Poly$_{10}$X is reflected in natural proteomes, we first analyzed the maximum number of consecutive residues for each of the 20 amino acids (PolyX$_{max}$) and the number of sequences containing ten or more consecutive identical residues (Num-Poly$_{10}$X) in the *S. cerevisiae* S288C reference ORFs. We found that both PolyX$_{max}$ and Num-Poly$_{10}$X showed clear biases (Figure 6A). About half of the amino acids never appeared as stretches longer than ten residues. To test whether the observed PolyX$_{max}$ values are maintained by natural selection, we compared them with those obtained from a simulation using randomized ORF sequences (Shuffled

S288C ORFs). This analysis revealed that D, Q, N, S, E, R, H, P, K, A, and V displayed significantly

longer consecutive runs than expected ($q < 0.001$, Monte Carlo method with FDR correction). The other

amino acids fell within the expected range, and no clear bias toward increasing or decreasing repeat

length was detected. Next, using ORFs from 1,392 *S. cerevisiae* isolates (pan *Sc* ORFs) [57], we calculated

$PolyX_{max}$ and Num-$Poly_{10}X$ with higher resolution. In the *S. cerevisiae* S288C reference strain, the

amino acids A, V, T, G, L, F, I, Y, and M, which showed zero Num-$Poly_{10}X$ values, appeared as $Poly_{10}X$

sequences at very low frequencies (≤ 1); $Poly_{10}X$ of C and W were never observed (Figure 6A).

To examine whether the PolyX occurrence patterns observed in *S. cerevisiae* are shared across other organisms,

we analyzed nine species (*C. elegans*, *D. melanogaster*, sea urchin, amphioxus, *Ciona*, zebrafish, human, *A.*

thaliana, and rice) for $PolyX_{max}$ and Num-$Poly_{10}X$ normalized by gene number, and compared their frequencies

among species. A comparison of $PolyX_{max}$ between *S. cerevisiae* and the other species showed a high correlation

coefficient of 0.57 or greater, suggesting that the overall occurrence pattern of $PolyX_{max}$ is largely conserved

across species (Figure 6B). In contrast, a similar comparison using Num-$Poly_{10}X$ yielded a lower correlation of

0.38 or higher (Figure 6C). Whether this lower correlation reflects species-specific characteristics or results from

annotation errors in ORF datasets remains to be determined.

Finally, we compared the occurrence patterns of PolyX observed in ORFs with the neutrality of $Poly_{10}X$

determined in our experiments, in which each $Poly_{10}X$ was fused to the C-terminus of a fluorescent protein (Figure

2). As shown in Figure 6D and 6E, both $PolyX_{max}$ and Num-$Poly_{10}X$ in the pan-ORF dataset showed strong

correlations with neutrality. Five amino acids—E, S, N, Q, and D—were clearly separated from the others,

exhibiting longer $PolyX_{max}$ values and higher occurrence numbers in $Poly_{10}X$. These amino acids also displayed

beneficial effects when fused to the C-terminus of certain fluorescent proteins (neutrality $>10,000$). In contrast,

hydrophobic amino acids with low occurrence frequencies generally showed low neutrality and high harmfulness.

Exceptions were A, G, and T, which exhibited high neutrality despite having an average Num-$Poly_{10}X$ of less

than one in the pan *Sc* ORF dataset. The probability that these amino acids (A, G, and T) would randomly appear

as ten consecutive residues is low (Figure 6A). Therefore, their behavior may represent that of amino acids that

are neutral and lack inherent beneficial effects. Taken together, these findings indicate that $Poly_{10}X$ neutrality, as

determined experimentally, closely explains the occurrence patterns of amino acid homorepeats in natural

proteomes.

Figure 6

Figure 6. The neutrality of Poly$_{10}$X mirrors its evolutionary usage in proteomes.

A）Analysis of PolyX occurrence patterns ($PolyX_{max}$ and Num–$Poly_{10}X$) in *S. cerevisiae*. The bar graph

shows the maximum number of consecutive identical residues ($PolyX_{max}$) for each amino acid in S288C

ORFs. Shuffled S288C ORFs represent the minimum, mode, and maximum values obtained from

10,000 random simulations. Asterisks indicate amino acids that exhibit significantly longer homorepeat

lengths than expected from simulation ($q < 0.001$, Monte Carlo test with FDR correction). Numbers

below the graph indicate the counts of proteins containing ≥ 10 consecutive identical residues (Num–

$Poly_{10}X$) for S288C ORFs, shuffled S288C ORFs, and pan *Sc* ORFs/isolates (from top to bottom).

Values for pan-*Sc* ORFs/isolates are rounded to one decimal place.

B）Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (ρ) of $PolyX_{max}$ values among different species. The

underlying numerical data used for the calculation are provided in Figure S27.

C）Spearman's rank correlation coefficients (ρ) of Num–$Poly_{10}X$/Gene_number across species. The

underlying numerical data used for the calculation are provided in Figure S27.

D）Relationship between $PolyX_{max}$ in S288C ORFs and experimentally measured relative neutrality.

Colors indicate the chemical properties of each amino acid.

E）Relationship between Num–$Poly_{10}X$ in Pan *Sc* ORFs/isolates and experimentally measured relative

neutrality. For cysteine (C) and tryptophan (W), values of 0 were plotted as 0.001 for visualization

purposes.

In **D** and **E**, Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (ρ) and its *p*-value are shown. Colors indicate the

physicochemical properties of each amino acid.

Discussion

In this study, we systematically evaluated the neutrality (harmful and beneficial properties) of simple amino acid sequences in which a single residue is repeated ten times (Poly$_{10}$X). We found that neutrality varied markedly among amino acids, with hydrophobic residues exhibiting pronounced harmfulness (Figures 1 and 2). In contrast, several hydrophilic or negatively charged amino acids (e.g., E, S, N, Q) showed beneficial effects on cellular tolerance to protein overexpression, which was particularly intriguing. Notably, the harmfulness of Poly$_{10}$I, Poly$_{10}$V, and Poly$_{10}$W persisted even when detached from EGFP (Figure 3), indicating that these repeats possess intrinsic harmfulness. Conversely, the beneficial effects of Poly$_{10}$E, Poly$_{10}$Q, Poly$_{10}$N, and Poly$_{10}$S disappeared upon detachment from EGFP (Figure 3), indicating that these repeats reduce cellular burden by mitigating the toxicity of the host protein. Mechanistically, the harmful effect of Poly$_{10}$I appears to stem from its intrinsic aggregation propensity, whereas the beneficial effect of Poly$_{10}$E is likely due to its ability to prevent aggregation (Figures 4 and 5).

Furthermore, when we compared the occurrence frequencies of PolyX across the proteomes of diverse organisms, we found that their cross-species occurrence profiles were remarkably similar across species and showed strong correlation with the experimentally determined neutrality values (Figure 6). Notably, hydrophobic residues, which rarely form homorepeats in natural proteins, exhibited high harmfulness in our assays, suggesting that such sequences are likely eliminated immediately upon emergence during evolution. In contrast, amino acids such as E, N, Q, and S—whose homorepeats are widely observed in natural proteins—showed low harmfulness and, in some cases, even beneficial effects, implying that their appearance may confer an advantage to cells from the outset. PolyQ, for example, can contribute to normal protein function by modulating protein–protein interactions when maintained at moderate lengths, but become pathogenic upon excessive expansion [39]. Thus, the evolutionarily permissible range of PolyQ length is likely determined by a balance between harm and benefit.

Taken together, the occurrence frequencies of amino acid sequences in natural proteomes are well explained by experimentally determined neutrality, reflecting a balance between harmful and beneficial effects. Our assays were performed by placing simple homorepeat sequences at the C-terminus or within internal loops of fluorescent proteins and expressing them in the cytosol. Because homorepeats found in natural proteins predominantly occur in intrinsically disordered regions (Figure S29), our experimental design provides an appropriate framework for

evaluating their harmful and beneficial effects. In contrast, whether similar principles apply to more complex sequences buried within membranes or folded protein cores remains an open question. For structured or interaction-dependent motifs, intrinsic harmfulness might be masked—or even neutralized—by specific binding partners. This idea raises the possibility that "eliminating harmfulness" itself acts as an evolutionary pressure driving the formation of higher-order structures or interaction modules. Interestingly, hydrophobic homorepeats— among the most harmful sequences in our assays—are also utilized as secretion signals [58]. It is tempting to speculate that what was originally a "dangerous" sequence requiring removal from the cytosol may have been evolutionarily co-opted into the secretory pathway.

The design of artificial proteins is rapidly advancing. However, it remains difficult to determine whether sequences absent in nature are missing because they offer no benefit or because they are eliminated due to harmfulness. The parameter we identified in this study—neutrality, which integrates both harmful and beneficial effects—may represent a fundamental evolutionary design principle that shapes the compatible amino acid sequence space in living organisms. A deeper understanding of this parameter will aid in rational protein design and may also shed light on the molecular mechanisms underlying neurodegenerative diseases and aging, in which mutations elevate protein harmfulness.

Materials and Methods

Strains, plasmids, growth conditions

S. cerevisiae and *E. coli* strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in the Key Resources Table. Synthetic complete (SC) medium lacking uracil (U) or leucine (L) was used for yeast cultures. LB medium was used for *E. coli* cultures.

Genetic tug-of-war (gTOW) method

The gTOW method [50,51] enables artificial elevation of plasmid copy number for a target protein by exploiting the combination of a multi-copy plasmid replication origin (2μ ORI) and the auxotrophic selection marker *leu2-89*. In SC–U medium, where no copy-number–increasing selection pressure is present, the plasmid remains at a low copy number (approximately 30 copies for an empty vector). In contrast, in SC–LU medium, strong selection pressure increases the plasmid copy number to approximately 150 copies. As plasmid copy number increases, the expression level of the target protein also rises. If expression becomes high enough to inhibit cell growth before the plasmid reaches ~150 copies, this expression level is defined as the protein's maximum tolerable expression level. Thus, gTOW allows quantitative determination of how much of a given protein a yeast cell can tolerate before growth inhibition occurs. By combining the measured expression level with the degree of growth inhibition, protein harmfulness can be represented as a one-dimensional parameter, relative neutrality, enabling direct comparison of harmfulness across different proteins [55]. In this study, modified fluorescent proteins were expressed under either the strong *TDH3* promoter or the WTC_{846} ($P_{7.tet1}$) promoter, a *TDH3*-derived variant whose expression can be induced by anhydrotetracycline (aTc) [52].

Measurement of growth rate, fluorescence intensity, and calculation of the relative neutrality in yeast.

Cells of the BY4741 or BYW2 strain carrying the expression plasmids were pre-cultured in SC–U and then transferred to either SC–LU (for constructs expressed under $TDH3_{pro}$ in BY4741) or SC–LU supplemented with aTc (for constructs expressed under the WTC_{846} promoter in BYW2). Cells were cultured under these conditions while OD_{595} and fluorescence signals were monitored every 10 or 30 minutes using an Infinite F Nano+ microplate reader. Fluorescence of EGFP, moxGFP, mNeonGreen, and Gamillus was measured using an excitation/emission filter set of 485/535 nm, whereas fluorescence of mScarlet-I and mCherry was measured using a 535/590 nm filter set. From these time-course measurements, the maximum growth rate (MGR) and maximum fluorescence intensity (MFI) were determined using a custom Python script. The relative neutrality index was calculated by multiplying the percentage of MGR relative to the control vector (Δ) by the percentage of MFI relative to the control fluorescent protein as follows: Relative neutrality = %(MGR_Poly$_{10}$X / MGR_Δ) × %(MFI_Poly$_{10}$X / MFI_Δ).

Measurement of growth rate, fluorescence intensity, and calculation of the relative neutrality in *E. coli*.

Cells of the BW25113 strain carrying the expression plasmids were pre-cultured in LB + ampicillin medium and then transferred to fresh LB + ampicillin medium. Cells were cultured under these conditions while OD_{595} and fluorescence (485/535 nm) signals were monitored every 5 minutes using an Infinite F Nano+ microplate reader. From these time-course measurements, the maximum growth rate (MGR) and maximum fluorescence intensity (MFI) were determined using a custom Python script. The relative neutrality index was calculated by multiplying the percentage of MGR relative to the control vector (Δ) by the percentage of MFI relative to the control fluorescent protein as follows: Relative neutrality = %(MGR_Poly$_{10}$X / MGR_Δ) × %(MFI_Poly$_{10}$X / MFI_Δ).

Clustering analysis

Clustering analysis was performed using a custom Python script (pandas, seaborn, and matplotlib) after

organizing the relative neutrality data in an Excel file. Hierarchical clustering and heatmap visualization

were conducted using the clustermap function in seaborn. Euclidean distance (metric = "euclidean")

was used as the distance metric, and average linkage (UPGMA; method = "average") was applied for

clustering. The resulting clustering patterns were visualized as row- and column-wise heatmaps, with

dendrograms shown or hidden depending on the purpose of the analysis. A custom continuous colormap

defined by three reference points was used, and the display range was fixed from 0 to 20,000. This

scaling was chosen because relative neutrality values were normalized such that the control (Δ)

corresponded to 10,000, representing the non-toxic state; accordingly, the color scale was centered at

10,000.

Amino acid parameters

For the correlation analysis in Figure S10, a total of 28 amino acid parameters were used, consisting of

18 nonredundant indices from the AAindex database (https://www.genome.jp/aaindex/; see

https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.5239) and 10 additional parameters: hydrophobicity [59], hydropathy index [60],

isoelectric point, side-chain molecular weight, amino acid usage (%) (this study), metabolic cost [61],

biosynthetic cost (ATPs) [62], biosynthetic steps (enzymes) [62], and total energy costs of amino acids and

nucleotide precursors under fermentative or respiratory conditions [63].

Microscopic observation

Yeast cells overexpressing the target protein were cultured in either SC–U or SC–LU medium, and

imaged using a DMI6000B microscope (Leica Microsystems), and images were processed using the

Leica Application Suite X software. GFP and RFP fluorescence signals were acquired using a GFP

filter cube and RFP filter cube, respectively.

For the morphology analysis in Figure S24 and S25, microscopic images were processed using Cellpose

[64] for cell segmentation. The segmented images were subsequently analyzed using the

MeasureObjectSizeShape module in CellProfiler [65] to quantify the major and minor axes of individual

cells. Elongation ratio values were calculated for each cell using the major and minor axis lengths

obtained from the *MeasureObjectSizeShape* module, either with a custom Python script or in Excel,

according to the following formula: Elongation Ratio = MajorAxisLength / MinorAxisLength. Cells

with an elongation ratio ≥ 1.5 were defined as morphologically abnormal.

Protein analysis

BY4741 strains expressing the target proteins were cultured overnight in 6 mL or 25 mL of SC–LU

medium. Total protein was extracted from cells in the logarithmic growth phase ($OD_{660} = 0.9$–1.0) using

0.2 mol/L NaOH, followed by solubilization in NuPAGE sample buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For

each analysis, total protein was extracted from a cell mass corresponding to 1 OD unit at OD_{660}.

For the cell lysate analysis, cells were washed with PBST [10 mM phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4),

0.001% Tween 20] supplemented with Halt protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific), then

disrupted with glass beads using a bead-beating homogenizer (Micro Smash MS-100, TOMY) at 5000

rpm for 30 s, repeated five times. Cell lysates were centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 10 min to separate

soluble and insoluble fractions. The insoluble fraction was washed with 1 mL PBST and centrifuged

again at 15,000 rpm for 10 min.

Extracted proteins were fluorescently labeled using EZLabel Fluoro NEO (ATTO) according to the

manufacturer's instructions and separated by SDS–PAGE on 4–12% gradient gels. Fluorescent signals

were detected using the SYBR Green fluorescence mode of a LAS-4000 image analyzer (GE

Healthcare). Proteins separated by SDS–PAGE were transferred onto a PVDF membrane (Thermo Fisher Scientific). GFP signals were detected using an anti-GFP primary antibody (Roche), an peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Nichirei Bioscience), and chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Chemiluminescent images were acquired with the chemiluminescence mode of the LAS-4000 image analyzer.

RNA sequencing analysis

S cerevisiae strain BY4741 with the control vector or overexpressing EGFP, EGFP–Poly$_{10}$D, or EGFP–Poly$_{10}$E was cultured in SC–LU medium, and strain BY4743 with the vector or overexpressing EGFP, or EGFP–Poly$_{10}$I was cultured in SC–U medium. Cells were collected at the logarithmic growth phase (OD$_{660}$ ≈ 1.0). Total RNA was extracted according to a previously described protocol [66]. The concentration of purified RNA was initially measured using a Qubit fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and samples were stored at −80 °C until further use. Before library preparation, RNA concentrations were re-measured using the Quant-iT RiboGreen RNA Assay Kit (Invitrogen) on an ARVO Multimode Microplate Reader (PerkinElmer), and RNA quality was assessed with a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). cDNA libraries were prepared using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina) and sequenced on a NovaSeq X Plus platform (Illumina) with 100-bp paired-end reads. Raw sequencing data were quality-checked using FastP [67] and aligned to the reference genome with Hisat2 [68]. Aligned data were converted to BAM format with Samtools [69] and quantified using StringTie [70]. Differential gene expression analysis was performed using EdgeR [71]. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was conducted with the clusterProfiler [72] and org.Sc.sgd.db packages (DOI: 10.18129/B9.bioc.org.Sc.sgd.db) in R to identify significantly enriched GO terms. All analyses were performed using three biological replicates for each strain. The raw data were deposited into DDBJ (accession number: PRJDB39951).

PolyX analysis in the *S. cerevisiae* proteome and other species

Proteome-wide PolyX analysis was performed using all verified ORFs registered in the *Saccharomyces* Genome Database (SGD), covering all 20 amino acids. As of October 2024, 6,585 ORFs are annotated in the *S. cerevisiae* (S288C) genome. From this set, 5,911 verified ORFs (Sc ORFs) were retained for analysis after removing those annotated as "Dubious." A custom Python script first loaded the ORF list and excluded all entries whose Qualifier field was labeled "Dubious." Regular expressions were then used to identify, for each ORF, the longest homorepeat for each amino acid. The resulting maximum repeat lengths were compiled into a dataframe, and two metrics were calculated as follows: $PolyX_{max}$ – the maximum length of consecutive identical amino acids for each amino acid across all ORFs, and $Num\_Poly_{10}X$ – the number of ORFs containing a homorepeat of length ≥ 10 for each amino acid. For pan *Sc* ORFs, the same analysis was conducted using FASTA assemblies downloaded from Zenodo (https://zenodo.org/records/3407352), which include 1,392 *S. cerevisiae* genome assemblies [57].

Simulated (shuffled) S288C ORFs were generated as follows using a custom Python script. Each sequence of above 5,911 ORFs was randomly shuffled while preserving amino acid composition. This produced randomized sequences with identical amino acid compositions but altered residue order. $PolyX_{max}$ and $Num\_Poly_{10}X$ values were computed for each shuffled ORF set using the same regular expression pipeline described above. This simulation was repeated 10,000 times, using seed values from 0 to 9,999. For each iteration, $PolyX_{max}$ and $Num\_Poly_{10}X$ results were stored in a dataframe. For every amino acid, the minimum, mode, mean, maximum, and standard deviation across the 10,000 trials were calculated and summarized.

For cross-species comparisons, proteomes of nine eukaryotic species (*Caenorhabditis elegans, Drosophila melanogaster, Strongylocentrotus purpuratus, Branchiostoma lanceolatum, Ciona intestinalis, Danio rerio, Homo sapiens, Arabidopsis thaliana*, and *Oryza sativa*) were downloaded from Ensembl databases (https://asia.ensembl.org). When multiple isoforms were annotated for a given gene, the isoform with the longest amino acid sequence was selected as the representative sequence for analysis. For each amino acid sequence, PolyX of identical amino acids were detected based on regular-

expression matching. Two metrics were calculated for each of the 20 amino acids: (i) PolyXmax,

defined as the maximum length of consecutive identical residues observed across all proteins, and (ii)

Num–Poly$_{10}$X, defined as the number of proteins containing a homorepeat of length ≥10 residues. For

interspecies comparisons, Num–Poly$_{10}$X values were normalized by the total number of genes analyzed

for each species. Correlations between PolyX occurrence metrics (PolyXmax and Num–Poly$_{10}$X) and

experimentally determined Poly$_{10}$X neutrality values were evaluated using Spearman's rank correlation

coefficients.

Data availability statement

688   All source data used for figure generation, and all analysis scripts have been deposited on GitHub

689   (https://github.com/hisaomlab/Murase_PolyX). RNA-seq data have been deposited in a public

690   repository (DDBJ accession number: PRJDB39951). All plasmids used in this study are available from

691   the National BioResource Project (NBRP)-yeast (https://yeast.nig.ac.jp/yeast/).

Acknowledgements

We thank the members of the Moriya laboratory (Okayama University) for helpful discussions, Yuhei

Chadani (Okayama University) for providing the *E. coli* strain, and Christian Landry (Université Laval)

for valuable comments and suggestions on the manuscript.

References

1. Dryden, D. T. F., Thomson, A. R. & White, J. H. How much of protein sequence space has been explored by life on Earth? *J. R. Soc. Interface* **5**, 953–956 (2008).

2. Barton, M. D., Delneri, D., Oliver, S. G., Rattray, M. & Bergman, C. M. Evolutionary systems biology of amino acid biosynthetic cost in yeast. *PLoS One* **5**, e11935 (2010).

3. Jumper, J. *et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583–589 (2021).

4. Abramson, J. *et al.* Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. *Nature* **630**, 493–500 (2024).

5. Baek, M. *et al.* Accurate prediction of protein structures and interactions using a three-track neural network. *Science* **373**, 871–876 (2021).

6. Hayes, T. *et al.* Simulating 500 million years of evolution with a language model. *Science* **387**, 850–858 (2025).

7. Georgakopoulos-Soares, I., Yizhar-Barnea, O., Mouratidis, I., Hemberg, M. & Ahituv, N. Absent from DNA and protein: genomic characterization of nullomers and nullpeptides across functional categories and evolution. *Genome Biol.* **22**, 245 (2021).

8. Koulouras, G. & Frith, M. C. Significant non-existence of sequences in genomes and proteomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, 3139–3155 (2021).

9. Keefe, A. D. & Szostak, J. W. Functional proteins from a random-sequence library. *Nature* **410**, 715–718 (2001).

10. Devlin, J. J., Panganiban, L. C. & Devlin, P. E. Random peptide libraries: a source of specific protein binding molecules. *Science* **249**, 404–406 (1990).

11. Romero, P. A. & Arnold, F. H. Exploring protein fitness landscapes by directed evolution. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **10**, 866–876 (2009).

12. Fowler, D. M., Stephany, J. J. & Fields, S. Measuring the activity of protein variants on a large scale using deep mutational scanning. *Nat. Protoc.* **9**, 2267–2284 (2014).

723 13. Diss, G. & Lehner, B. The genetic landscape of a physical interaction. *Elife* **7**, (2018).

724 14. Vaishnav, E. D. *et al.* The evolution, evolvability and engineering of gene regulatory DNA.

725  *Nature* **603**, 455–463 (2022).

726 15. Thompson, M. *et al.* Massive experimental quantification allows interpretable deep learning of

727  protein aggregation. *Sci. Adv.* **11**, eadt5111 (2025).

728 16. Castro, J. F. & Tautz, D. The effects of sequence length and composition of random sequence

729  peptides on the growth of E. coli cells. *Genes (Basel)* **12**, 1913 (2021).

730 17. Neme, R., Amador, C., Yildirim, B., McConnell, E. & Tautz, D. Random sequences are an

731  abundant source of bioactive RNAs or peptides. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **1**, 0217 (2017).

732 18. Chavali, S. *et al.* Constraints and consequences of the emergence of amino acid repeats in

733  eukaryotic proteins. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **24**, 765–777 (2017).

734 19. Chavali, S., Singh, A. K., Santhanam, B. & Babu, M. M. Amino acid homorepeats in proteins.

735  *Nat. Rev. Chem.* **4**, 420–434 (2020).

736 20. Jorda, J. & Kajava, A. V. Protein homorepeats sequences, structures, evolution, and functions.

737  *Adv. Protein Chem. Struct. Biol.* **79**, 59–88 (2010).

738 21. Lobanov, M. Y., Sokolovskiy, I. V. & Galzitskaya, O. V. HRaP: database of occurrence of

739  HomoRepeats and patterns in proteomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, D273–8 (2014).

740 22. Lobanov, M. Y. & Galzitskaya, O. V. Occurrence of disordered patterns and homorepeats in

741  eukaryotic and bacterial proteomes. *Mol. Biosyst.* **8**, 327–337 (2012).

742 23. Mier, P. & Andrade-Navarro, M. A. dAPE: a web server to detect homorepeats and follow their

743  evolution. *Bioinformatics* **33**, 1221–1223 (2017).

744 24. Mier, P. & Andrade-Navarro, M. A. PolyX2: Fast detection of homorepeats in large protein

745  datasets. *Genes (Basel)* **13**, 758 (2022).

746 25. Cascarina, S. M. & Ross, E. D. Proteome-scale relationships between local amino acid

747  composition and protein fates and functions. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **14**, e1006256 (2018).

748 26. Oma, Y., Kino, Y., Sasagawa, N. & Ishiura, S. Comparative analysis of the cytotoxicity of

749  homopolymeric amino acids. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1748**, 174–179 (2005).

27. Oma, Y., Kino, Y., Sasagawa, N. & Ishiura, S. Intracellular localization of homopolymeric

amino acid-containing proteins expressed in mammalian cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 21217–21222

(2004).

28. Oma, Y., Kino, Y., Toriumi, K., Sasagawa, N. & Ishiura, S. Interactions between

homopolymeric amino acids (HPAAs). *Protein Sci.* **16**, 2195–2204 (2007).

29. Gojobori, J. & Ueda, S. Elevated evolutionary rate in genes with homopolymeric amino acid

repeats constituting nondisordered structure. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **28**, 543–550 (2011).

30. Karlin, S., Brocchieri, L., Bergman, A., Mrazek, J. & Gentles, A. J. Amino acid runs in

eukaryotic proteomes and disease associations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **99**, 333–338

(2002).

31. Faux, N. G. *et al.* Functional insights from the distribution and role of homopeptide repeat-

containing proteins. *Genome Res.* **15**, 537–551 (2005).

32. Qiu, Y., Xu, D., Lei, P., Li, S. & Xu, H. Engineering functional homopolymeric amino acids:

from biosynthesis to design. *Trends Biotechnol.* **42**, 310–325 (2024).

33. Courtemanche, N. Mechanisms of formin-mediated actin assembly and dynamics. *Biophys. Rev.*

10, 1553–1569 (2018).

34. Balhorn, R. The protamine family of sperm nuclear proteins. *Genome Biol* **8**, 227 (2007).

35. Guhaniyogi, J. & Brewer, G. Regulation of mRNA stability in mammalian cells. *Gene* **265**, 11–

23 (2001).

36. Ito-Harashima, S., Kuroha, K., Tatematsu, T. & Inada, T. Translation of the poly(A) tail plays

crucial roles in nonstop mRNA surveillance via translation repression and protein destabilization

by proteasome in yeast. *Genes Dev.* **21**, 519–524 (2007).

37. Terpe, K. Overview of tag protein fusions: from molecular and biochemical fundamentals to

commercial systems. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **60**, 523–533 (2003).

38. Futaki, S. *et al.* Arginine-rich peptides. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**, 5836–5840 (2001).

39. Orr, H. T. & Zoghbi, H. Y. Trinucleotide repeat disorders. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **30**, 575–621

(2007).

40.   Dimitrova, L. N., Kuroha, K., Tatematsu, T. & Inada, T. Nascent peptide-dependent translation

arrest leads to Not4p-mediated protein degradation by the proteasome. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**,

10343–10352 (2009).

41.   Mizuno, M. *et al.* The nascent polypeptide in the 60S subunit determines the Rqc2-dependency

of ribosomal quality control. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, 2102–2113 (2021).

42.   Chadani, Y. *et al.* Intrinsic ribosome destabilization underlies translation and provides an

organism with a strategy of environmental sensing. *Mol. Cell* **68**, 528–539.e5 (2017).

43.   Ito, Y. *et al.* Nascent peptide-induced translation discontinuation in eukaryotes impacts biased

amino acid usage in proteomes. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, (2022).

44.   Haerty, W. & Golding, G. B. Low-complexity sequences and single amino acid repeats: not just

'junk' peptide sequences. *Genome* **53**, 753–762 (2010).

45.   Ntountoumi, C. *et al.* Low complexity regions in the proteins of prokaryotes perform important

functional roles and are highly conserved. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, 9998–10009 (2019).

46.   Kashi, Y. & King, D. G. Simple sequence repeats as advantageous mutators in evolution. *Trends*

Genet. **22**, 253–259 (2006).

47.   Pelassa, I. *et al.* Compound dynamics and combinatorial patterns of amino acid repeats encode a

system of evolutionary and developmental markers. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **11**, 3159–3178 (2019).

48.   Dorsman, J. C. *et al.* Strong aggregation and increased toxicity of polyleucine over

polyglutamine stretches in mammalian cells. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **11**, 1487–1496 (2002).

49.   Moriya, H. The expression level and cytotoxicity of green fluorescent protein are modulated by

an additional N-terminal sequence. *AIMS Biophys.* **7**, 121–132 (2020).

50.   Moriya, H., Makanae, K., Watanabe, K., Chino, A. & Shimizu-Yoshida, Y. Robustness analysis

of cellular systems using the genetic tug-of-war method. *Mol. Biosyst.* **8**, 2513–2522 (2012).

51.   Moriya, H., Shimizu-Yoshida, Y. & Kitano, H. In vivo robustness analysis of cell division cycle

genes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *PLoS Genet.* **2**, e111 (2006).

52.   Azizoglu, A., Brent, R. & Rudolf, F. A precisely adjustable, variation-suppressed eukaryotic

transcriptional controller to enable genetic discovery. *Elife* **10**, (2021).

53. Buric, F. *et al.* Amino acid sequence encodes protein abundance shaped by protein stability at

reduced synthesis cost. *Protein Sci.* **34**, e5239 (2025).

54. Liu, Z. *et al.* Systematic comparison of 2A peptides for cloning multi-genes in a polycistronic

vector. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 2193 (2017).

55. Fujita, Y., Namba, S. & Moriya, H. Impact of maximal Overexpression of a non-toxic protein on

yeast cell physiology. (2024) doi:10.7554/elife.99572.

56. Namba, S., Kato, H., Shigenobu, S., Makino, T. & Moriya, H. Massive expression of cysteine-

containing proteins causes abnormal elongation of yeast cells by perturbing the proteasome. *G3*

(2022) doi:10.1093/g3journal/jkac106.

57. Li, G., Ji, B. & Nielsen, J. The pan-genome of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *FEMS Yeast Res.* **19**,

(2019).

58. Hatsuzawa, K., Tagaya, M. & Mizushima, S. The hydrophobic region of signal peptides is a

determinant for SRP recognition and protein translocation across the ER membrane. *J. Biochem.*

121, 270–277 (1997).

59. Fauchère, J. L., Charton, M., Kier, L. B., Verloop, A. & Pliska, V. Amino acid side chain

parameters for correlation studies in biology and pharmacology. *Int. J. Pept. Protein Res.* **32**,

269–278 (1988).

60. Kyte, J. & Doolittle, R. F. A simple method for displaying the hydropathic character of a protein.

J. Mol. Biol. **157**, 105–132 (1982).

61. Akashi, H. & Gojobori, T. Metabolic efficiency and amino acid composition in the proteomes of

Escherichia coli and Bacillus subtilis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **99**, 3695–3700 (2002).

62. Craig, C. L. & Weber, R. S. Selection costs of amino acid substitutions in ColE1 and ColIa gene

clusters harbored by Escherichia coli. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **15**, 774–776 (1998).

63. Wagner, A. Energy constraints on the evolution of gene expression. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **22**, 1365–

1374 (2005).

64. Stringer, C., Wang, T., Michaelos, M. & Pachitariu, M. Cellpose: a generalist algorithm for

cellular segmentation. *Nat. Methods* **18**, 100–106 (2021).

65.   Lamprecht, M. R., Sabatini, D. M. & Carpenter, A. E. CellProfiler: free, versatile software for

automated biological image analysis. *Biotechniques* **42**, 71–75 (2007).

66.   Köhrer, K. & Domdey, H. [27] Preparation of high molecular weight RNA. in *Methods in*

Enzymology vol. 194 398–405 (Academic Press, 1991).

67.   Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y. & Gu, J. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.

Bioinformatics **34**, i884–i890 (2018).

68.   Kim, D., Paggi, J. M., Park, C., Bennett, C. & Salzberg, S. L. Graph-based genome alignment

and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 907–915 (2019).

69.   Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 2078–2079

(2009).

70.   Pertea, M. *et al.* StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq

reads. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **33**, 290–295 (2015).

71.   Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. & Smyth, G. K. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for

differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 139–140

(2010).

72.   Xu, S. *et al.* Using clusterProfiler to characterize multiomics data. *Nat. Protoc.* **19**, 3292–3320

(2024).

Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. Neutrality of C-terminal Poly10X fusions to EGFP in yeast (low-copy conditions).

A) Schematic representation of the expression constructs. $Poly_{10}X$ was fused to the C-terminus of EGFP

and expressed under the *TDH3* promoter in *S. cerevisiae*.

B) Growth and fluorescence curves of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing EGFP–$Poly_{10}X$, measured using

the gTOW method in SC–U medium at 30 °C. Curves represent the mean values from at least three

biological replicates, and shaded regions indicate the standard deviation (SD).

C, D) Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity of *S. cerevisiae* cells low-level

overexpressing EGFP–$Poly_{10}X$ in SC–U medium at 30 °C, and the calculated relative neutrality (**D**).

Bars, dots, and error bars represent the mean, individual data points, and standard deviation from at

least three biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences in maximum growth rate,

maximum fluorescence intensity, and relative neutrality, respectively, compared with the control (Δ) (p

< 0.05, Student's *t*-test with Bonferroni correction).

S. cerevisiae, SC–LU (high copy conditions)

Figure S2. Neutrality of C-terminal Poly$_{10}$X fusions to EGFP in yeast (High-copy conditions).

A) Schematic representation of the expression constructs. Poly$_{10}$X was fused to the C-terminus of EGFP and expressed under the *TDH3* promoter in *S. cerevisiae*.

B) Growth and fluorescence curves of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing EGFP–Poly$_{10}$X, measured using the gTOW method in SC–LU medium at 30 °C. Curves represent the mean values from at least three biological replicates, and shaded regions indicate the standard deviation (SD).

C, D) Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity of *S. cerevisiae* cells overexpressing EGFP–Poly$_{10}$X in SC–LU medium at 30 °C, and the calculated relative neutrality (**D**). Bars, dots, and error bars represent the mean, individual data points, and standard deviation from at least three biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences in maximum growth rate, maximum fluorescence intensity, and relative neutrality, respectively, compared with the control (Δ) ($p < 0.05$, Student's *t*-test with Bonferroni correction).

E） Comparison of Poly$_{10}$X harmfulness trends under low-level (SC–U) and high-level (SC–LU) overexpression conditions. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (ρ) is shown.

Figure S3. Neutrality of C-terminal Poly$_{10}$X fusions to EGFP in *E. coli*.

A) Schematic representation of the expression constructs. $Poly_{10}X$ was fused to the C-terminus of EGFP

and expressed under the *lac* promoter in *E. coli*.

B) Growth and fluorescence curves of *E. coli* cells expressing $EGFP–Poly_{10}X$, measured in LB +

ampicillin medium at 37 °C. Curves represent the mean values from four biological replicates, and

shaded regions indicate the standard deviation (SD).

C, D) Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity of *E. coli* cells expressing EGFP–

$Poly_{10}X$ in LB + ampicillin medium at 37 °C, and the calculated relative neutrality (**D**). Bars, dots, and

error bars represent the mean, individual data points, and standard deviation from four biological

replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences in maximum growth rate, maximum fluorescence

intensity, and relative neutrality, respectively, compared with the control (Δ) ($p < 0.05$, Student's *t*-test

with Bonferroni correction).

E） Several *E. coli* strains expressing $EGFP–Poly_{10}X$ were collected after cultivation in **B**, serially

diluted 10-fold, and spotted (5 µl each) onto LB or LB + ampicillin agar plates. Plates were incubated

overnight at 37 °C and photographed the following day. These data suggest plasmid loss after

cultivation of cells expressing harmful Poly10X variants. Single-letter codes indicate the amino acid

repeated in $Poly_{10}X$. Δ represents the protein without a $Poly_{10}X$ fusion.

Figure S4. Neutrality of C-terminal Poly$_{10}$X fusions to EGFP under different

induction levels in yeast.

A) Schematic representation of the expression constructs. $Poly_{10}X$ was fused to the C-terminus of EGFP

and expressed under the control of the *WTC$_{846}$* promoter in *S. cerevisiae*.

B, C) Stepwise growth (**B**) and fluorescence (**C**) curves of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing EGFP–$Poly_{10}X$

measured using the gTOW method in SC–LU medium at 30 °C. Gradual induction of expression was

achieved under the control of the *WTC$_{846}$* promoter by stepwise adjustment of aTc concentration. Curves

represent the mean values from four biological replicates.

Figure S5. Neutrality of C-terminal Poly$_{10}$X fusions to moxGFP in yeast.

A) Schematic representation of the expression constructs. $Poly_{10}X$ was fused to the C-terminus of

moxGFP and expressed under the control of the WTC_{846} promoter in *S. cerevisiae*.

B) Growth and fluorescence curves of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing moxGFP–$Poly_{10}X$, measured using

the gTOW method in SC–LU medium at 30 °C. Curves represent the mean values from at least three

biological replicates, and shaded regions indicate the standard deviation (SD).

C, D) Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity of *S. cerevisiae* cells overexpressing

moxGFP–$Poly_{10}X$ in SC–LU medium at 30 °C, and the calculated relative neutrality (**D**). Bars, dots,

and error bars represent the mean, individual data points, and standard deviation from at least three

biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences in maximum growth rate, maximum

fluorescence intensity, and relative neutrality, respectively, compared with the control (Δ) ($p < 0.05$,

Student's *t*-test with Bonferroni correction).

Figure S6. Neutrality of C-terminal Poly$_{10}$X fusions to mNeonGreen in yeast.

A) Schematic representation of the expression constructs. $Poly_{10}X$ was fused to the C-terminus of mNeonGreen and expressed under the control of the WTC_{846} promoter in *S. cerevisiae*.

B) Growth and fluorescence curves of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing mNeonGreen–$Poly_{10}X$, measured using the gTOW method in SC–LU medium at 30 °C. Curves represent the mean values from at least three biological replicates, and shaded regions indicate the standard deviation (SD).

C, D) Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity of *S. cerevisiae* cells overexpressing mNeonGreen–$Poly_{10}X$ in SC–LU medium at 30 °C, and the calculated relative neutrality (**D**). Bars, dots, and error bars represent the mean, individual data points, and standard deviation from at least three biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences in maximum growth rate, maximum fluorescence intensity, and relative neutrality, respectively, compared with the control (Δ) ($p < 0.05$, Student's *t*-test with Bonferroni correction).

Figure S7. Neutrality of C-terminal Poly$_{10}$X fusions to Gamillus in yeast.

A) Schematic representation of the expression constructs. $Poly_{10}X$ were fused to the C-terminus of Gamillus and expressed under the control of the WTC_{846} promoter in *S. cerevisiae*.

B) Growth and fluorescence curves of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing Gamillus–$Poly_{10}X$, measured using the gTOW method in SC–LU medium at 30 °C. Curves represent the mean values from at least three biological replicates, and shaded regions indicate the standard deviation (SD).

C, D) Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity of *S. cerevisiae* cells overexpressing Gamillus–$Poly_{10}X$ in SC–LU medium at 30 °C, and the calculated relative neutrality (**D**). Bars, dots, and error bars represent the mean, individual data points, and standard deviation from at least three biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences in maximum growth rate, maximum fluorescence intensity, and relative neutrality, respectively, compared with the control (Δ) ($p < 0.05$, Student's *t*-test with Bonferroni correction).

Figure S8. Neutrality of C-terminal Poly$_{10}$X fusions to mScarlet-I in yeast.

A) Schematic representation of the expression constructs. $Poly_{10}X$ was fused to the C-terminus of mScarlet-I and expressed under the control of the WTC_{846} promoter in *S. cerevisiae*.

B) Growth and fluorescence curves of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing mScarlet-I–$Poly_{10}X$, measured using the gTOW method in SC–LU medium at 30 °C. Curves represent the mean values from at least three biological replicates, and shaded regions indicate the standard deviation (SD).

C, D) Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity of *S. cerevisiae* cells overexpressing mScarlet-I–$Poly_{10}X$ in SC–LU medium at 30 °C, and the calculated relative neutrality (**D**). Bars, dots, and error bars represent the mean, individual data points, and standard deviation from at least three biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences in maximum growth rate, maximum fluorescence intensity, and relative neutrality, respectively, compared with the control (Δ) ($p < 0.05$, Student's *t*-test with Bonferroni correction).

Figure S9. Neutrality of C-terminal Poly$_{10}$X fusions to mCherry in yeast.

A) Schematic representation of the expression constructs. $Poly_{10}X$ was fused to the C-terminus of

mCherry and expressed under the control of the WTC_{846} promoter in *S. cerevisiae*.

B) Growth and fluorescence curves of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing mCherry–$Poly_{10}X$, measured using

the gTOW method in SC–LU medium at 30 °C. Curves represent the mean values from at least three

biological replicates, and shaded regions indicate the standard deviation (SD).

C, D) Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity of *S. cerevisiae* cells overexpressing

mCherry–$Poly_{10}X$ in SC–LU medium at 30 °C, and the calculated relative neutrality (**D**). Bars, dots,

and error bars represent the mean, individual data points, and standard deviation from at least three

biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences in maximum growth rate, maximum

fluorescence intensity, and relative neutrality, respectively, compared with the control (Δ) ($p < 0.05$,

Student's *t*-test with Bonferroni correction).

Figure S10. Correlation analysis between Poly$_{10}$X neutrality and amino acid

properties.

A) Correlation between $Poly_{10}X$ neutrality and various amino acid indices (physicochemical and usage-related properties). Each dot and bar represents individual Spearman's rank correlation coefficients and their mean values calculated across six fluorescent proteins.

B) Relationships among amino acid indices that showed strong correlations with $Poly_{10}X$ relative neutrality. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (ρ) and its p-value are shown.

Figure S11. Effects of supplemented amino acids on the harmfulness of high-

biosynthetic-cost Poly$_{10}$X repeats.

A) Schematic representation of the expression constructs. $Poly_{10}F$, $Poly_{10}I$, $Poly_{10}W$, and $Poly_{10}Y$ were fused to the C-terminus of EGFP and expressed under the control of the *WTC$_{846}$* promoter in *S. cerevisiae*.

B) Growth and fluorescence curves of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing EGFP–$Poly_{10}X$, measured using the gTOW method in SC–LU medium supplemented with additional amino acids (×1, ×2, ×4) at 30 °C. Curves represent the mean values from four biological replicates, and shaded regions indicate the standard deviation (SD).

C) Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity of *S. cerevisiae* cells overexpressing EGFP–$Poly_{10}X$ in SC–LU medium supplemented with additional amino acids (×1, ×2, ×4) at 30 °C. Bars, dots, and error bars represent the mean, individual data points, and standard deviation from four biological replicates.

Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity of *S. cerevisiae* cells overexpressing EGFP without $Poly_{10}X$ (Δ) or with C-terminal $Poly_{10}F$, $Poly_{10}I$, $Poly_{10}W$, or $Poly_{10}Y$ fusions in SC–LU medium at 30 °C. Indicated amino acid was supplemented to the medium at standard (×1), ×2, or ×4 concentrations. Bars, dots, and error bars represent the mean, individual values, and standard deviation from four biological replicates.

1002

1003 **Figure S12.** Neutrality of Poly₁₀X Insertions between two FPs in yeast.

A) Schematic representation of the expression constructs. $Poly_{10}X$ were inserted between EGFP and mCherry and expressed under the control of the WTC_{846} promoter in *S. cerevisiae*.

B) Growth and fluorescence curves of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing EGFP–$Poly_{10}X$–GSlinker–mCherry, measured using the gTOW method in SC–LU medium at 30 °C. Curves represent the mean values from at least three biological replicates, and shaded regions indicate the standard deviation (SD).

C, D) Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity of *S. cerevisiae* cells overexpressing EGFP–$Poly_{10}X$–GSlinker–mCherry in SC–LU medium at 30 °C, and the calculated relative neutrality (**D**). Bars, dots, and error bars represent the mean, individual data points, and standard deviation from at least three biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences in maximum growth rate, maximum fluorescence intensity, and relative neutrality, respectively, compared with the control (Δ) ($p < 0.05$, Student's *t*-test with Bonferroni correction).

A Internal Poly₁₀X

B

C

D

1015

Figure S13. Neutrality of Poly₁₀X Insertions within EGFP in yeast.

A) Schematic representation of the expression constructs. $Poly_{10}X$ was inserted into an internal loop of

EGFP between residues 173 and 174, and expressed under the control of the WTC_{846} promoter in *S.*

cerevisiae.

B) Growth and fluorescence curves of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing $EGFP_{173}–Poly_{10}X–_{174}EGFP$,

measured using the gTOW method in SC–LU medium at 30 °C. Curves represent the mean values from

at least three biological replicates, and shaded regions indicate the standard deviation (SD).

C, D) Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity of *S. cerevisiae* cells overexpressing

$EGFP_{173}–Poly_{10}X–_{174}EGFP$ in SC–LU medium at 30 °C, and the calculated relative neutrality (**D**). Bars,

dots, and error bars represent the mean, individual data points, and standard deviation from at least three

biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences in maximum growth rate, maximum

fluorescence intensity, and relative neutrality, respectively, compared with the control (Δ) ($p < 0.05$,

Student's *t*-test with Bonferroni correction).

Figure S14. Neutrality of Poly$_{10}$X detached from EGFP via P2A in Yeast.

1029

1030

A) Schematic representation of the expression constructs. A self-cleaving P2A sequence was inserted between EGFP and Poly_{10}X, allowing Poly_{10}X to be detached from EGFP during translation. The construct was expressed under the control of the *TDH3* promoter in *S. cerevisiae*.

B) Growth and fluorescence curves of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing EGFP–P2A–Poly_{10}X, measured using the gTOW method in SC–LU medium at 30 °C. Curves represent the mean values from four biological replicates, and shaded regions indicate the standard deviation (SD).

C, D) Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity of *S. cerevisiae* cells overexpressing EGFP–P2A–Poly_{10}X in SC–LU medium at 30 °C, and the calculated relative neutrality (**D**). Bars, dots, and error bars represent the mean, individual data points, and standard deviation from four biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences in maximum growth rate, maximum fluorescence intensity, and relative neutrality, respectively, compared with the control (Δ) ($p < 0.05$, Student's *t*-test with Bonferroni correction).

Δ   A   C

D   E   F

G   H   I

K   L   M

N   P   Q

R   S   T

V   W   Y

Bright-field   GFP   Bright-field   GFP   Bright-field   GFP

10μm

1043

Figure S15. Fluorescence microscopy of yeast cells expressing EGFP–Poly$_{10}$X

(low-copy conditions).

Fluorescence microscopy images of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing EGFP–Poly$_{10}$X under the control of

TDH3$_{pro}$. Cells were pre-cultured in SC–U medium and subsequently cultured overnight in the same

medium before imaging. Brightness and contrast were adjusted to clearly visualize cell morphology.

For each sample, the bright-field image (left) and the corresponding GFP fluorescence image (right) are

shown. Single-letter codes indicate the amino acid repeated in Poly$_{10}$X. Δ represents the protein without

a Poly$_{10}$X fusion.

Figure S16. Fluorescence microscopy of yeast cells expressing EGFP–Poly$_{10}$X

(High-copy expression).

Fluorescence microscopy images of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing EGFP–Poly$_{10}$X under the control of

TDH3$_{pro}$. Cells were pre-cultured in SC–U medium and subsequently cultured overnight in SC–LU

medium before imaging. Brightness and contrast were adjusted to clearly visualize cell morphology.

For each sample, the bright-field image (left) and the corresponding GFP fluorescence image (right) are

shown. Single-letter codes indicate the amino acid repeated in Poly$_{10}$X. Δ represents the protein without

a Poly$_{10}$X fusion.

point

1061

Figure S17. Fluorescence microscopy of EGFP–Poly$_{10}$X–mCherry expression

immediately after induction in yeast.

Fluorescence microscopy images of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing EGFP–Poly$_{10}$X–GSlinker–mCherry

under the control of the *WTC$_{846}$* promoter immediately after aTc induction. Cells were pre-cultured in

SC–U medium and subsequently cultured overnight in SC–LU medium before imaging. Brightness and

contrast were adjusted to clearly visualize cell morphology. For each sample, the bright-field image

(left), GFP fluorescence image (center left), RFP fluorescence image (center right), and the merged

GFP/RFP image (right) are shown. Single-letter codes indicate the amino acid repeated in Poly$_{10}$X. Δ

represents the protein without a Poly$_{10}$X fusion.

6 hour

Scale bar: 10µm

1071

Figure S18. Fluorescence microscopy of EGFP–Poly$_{10}$X–mCherry expression

six hours after aTc induction in yeast

Fluorescence microscopy images of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing EGFP–Poly$_{10}$X–GSlinker–mCherry

under the control of the *WTC$_{846}$* promoter six hours after aTc induction. Cells were pre-cultured in SC–

U medium and subsequently cultured overnight in SC–LU medium before imaging. Brightness and

contrast were adjusted to clearly visualize cell morphology. For each sample, the bright-field image

(left), GFP fluorescence image (center left), RFP fluorescence image (center right), and the merged

GFP/RFP image (right) are shown. Single-letter codes indicate the amino acid repeated in Poly$_{10}$X. Δ

represents the protein without a Poly$_{10}$X fusion.

Overnight

10µm

1081

Figure S19. Fluorescence microscopy of EGFP–Poly$_{10}$X–mCherry expression

overnight after aTc induction in yeast.

Fluorescence microscopy images of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing EGFP–Poly$_{10}$X–GSlinker–mCherry

under the control of the *WTC$_{846}$* promoter overnight after aTc induction. Cells were pre-cultured in SC–

U medium and subsequently cultured overnight in SC–LU medium before imaging. Brightness and

contrast were adjusted to clearly visualize cell morphology. For each sample, the bright-field image

(left), GFP fluorescence image (center left), RFP fluorescence image (center right), and the merged

GFP/RFP image (right) are shown. Single-letter codes indicate the amino acid repeated in Poly$_{10}$X. Δ

represents the protein without a Poly$_{10}$X fusion.

A

TDH3_pro

EGFP   Poly_10X

S. cerevisiae, SC–LU in 38˚C

B

OD_595

Fluorescence intensity

Δ: Protein without Poly_10X
Single-letter code: Amino acid in Poly_10X

Time [hour]

C

D

1091

Figure S20. Neutrality of C-terminal Poly_10X fusions to EGFP in yeast under

heat-stress conditions.

A) Schematic representation of the expression constructs. $Poly_{10}X$ was fused to the C-terminus of EGFP and expressed under the *TDH3* promoter in *S. cerevisiae*.

B) Growth and fluorescence curves of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing $EGFP–Poly_{10}X$, measured using the gTOW method in SC–LU medium at 38 °C. Curves represent the mean values from at least three biological replicates, and shaded regions indicate the standard deviation (SD).

C, D) Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity of *S. cerevisiae* cells overexpressing $EGFP–Poly_{10}X$ in SC–LU medium at 38 °C, and the calculated relative neutrality (**D**). Bars, dots, and error bars represent the mean, individual data points, and standard deviation from at least three biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences in maximum growth rate, maximum fluorescence intensity, and relative neutrality, respectively, compared with the control (Δ) ($p < 0.05$, Student's *t*-test with Bonferroni correction).

Figure S21. Neutrality of C-terminal Poly$_{10}$X fusions to moxGFP in yeast under heat-stress conditions.

A) Schematic representation of the expression constructs. $Poly_{10}X$ were fused to the C-terminus of moxGFP and expressed under the WTC_{846} promoter in *S. cerevisiae*.

B) Growth and fluorescence curves of *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing moxGFP–$Poly_{10}X$, measured using the gTOW method in SC–LU medium at 38 °C. Curves represent the mean values from at least three biological replicates, and shaded regions indicate the standard deviation (SD).

C, D) Maximum growth rate and maximum fluorescence intensity of *S. cerevisiae* cells overexpressing moxGFP–$Poly_{10}X$ in SC–LU medium at 38 °C, and the calculated relative neutrality (**D**). Bars, dots, and error bars represent the mean, individual data points, and standard deviation from at least three biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences in maximum growth rate, maximum fluorescence intensity, and relative neutrality, respectively, compared with the control (Δ) ($p < 0.05$, Student's *t*-test with Bonferroni correction).

Figure S22

1119

Figure S22. SDS–PAGE and Western blot analysis of EGFP and EGFP–

Poly$_{10}$E proteins in yeast

A) Schematic overview of the protein extraction procedure. A 25 mL culture was collected at approximately OD$_{660}$ = 1. One milliliter was used for total protein extraction, while the remaining 5 or 24 mL was fractionated into soluble and insoluble fractions. Each fraction was analyzed by SDS–PAGE, followed by western blotting. Protein concentrations were normalized based on the total protein amount extracted from cells in 1 mL of culture at OD$_{660}$ = 1, which was defined as 1 unit (1 U).

B) SDS–PAGE images of proteins extracted from cells with the control vector (Vector) or overexpressing EGFP or EGFP–Poly$_{10}$E (Poly$_{10}$E). Cells were cultured in SC–LU medium and collected at approximately OD$_{660}$ = 1. Lanes correspond to total, soluble (Sol), and insoluble (Insol) fractions (from left to right). Protein bands were visualized using a chemiluminescent detection reagent. Five biological replicates were analyzed. Protein loading concentrations are indicated in the figure.

C) Quantification method for %protein level from SDS–PAGE images shown in **B**. Signal intensity corresponding to the EGFP band (Red) was corrected by subtracting the background intensity from the same region (Blue), and the resulting value was normalized to the total protein amount in the sample (green) to calculate the percentage.

D) Western blot images of proteins extracted from cells with the control vector (Vector) or overexpressing EGFP or EGFP–Poly$_{10}$E (Poly$_{10}$E). The same gels used in **B** were transferred to membranes and probed with anti-GFP antibodies. Lanes correspond to total, soluble, and insoluble fractions (from left to right).

1140

Figure S23. Fluorescence imaging of Hsp70 foci in yeast cells overexpressing

EGFP or EGFP–Poly$_{10}$E.

Fluorescence microscopy images of Hsp70 foci in cells overexpressing EGFP or EGFP–Poly$_{10}$E under

the control of $TDH3_{pro}$ in SC–LU conditions. Hsp70–mScarlet-I was genomically integrated to visualize

Hsp70 aggregate formation. Representative images of nine individual cells are shown, along with the

corresponding GFP and RFP fluorescence images. Green indicates EGFP or EGFP–Poly$_{10}$E, and

magenta represents the distribution of Hsp70. Image brightness and contrast were adjusted to enhance

the visibility of aggregates.

Figure S24. Morphological analysis of yeast strains overexpressing EGFP or

EGFP–Poly$_{10}$E

A) Microscopy images of *S. cerevisiae* strain BY4741 and seven mutant strains (*pre7-ph*, *rpl18bΔ*,

rpl19aΔ, *cdc24-5*, *mac1Δ*, *mmr1Δ*, and *psk1Δ*) with the control vector (Vector) or overexpressing EGFP,

EGFP–Poly$_{10}$E, or moxGFP under the control of *TDH3$_{pro}$*. Cells were pre-cultured in SC–U medium

and then cultured for 18 h in SC–LU medium before imaging. Brightness and contrast were adjusted to

clearly visualize cell morphology.

B) Schematic workflow of image analysis. After culturing the cells for 18 h in SC–LU medium,

microscopic images were acquired and processed using Cellpose 2.2.3 [64] for cell segmentation. The

segmented images were then analyzed with the *MeasureObjectSizeShape* module in CellProfiler 4.2.6

[65] to measure the major and minor axes of each cell. Elongation ratio (major axis/minor axis) values

were calculated using a Python script or Excel and visualized.

C) Schematic representation of cell elongation analysis. In this study, cells with an elongation ratio ≥

1.5 were defined as morphologically abnormal.

1164

Figure S25. Quantification of cell elongation defects in yeast strains

overexpressing EGFP or EGFP–Poly$_{10}$E.

A) Distribution of elongation ratios in *S. cerevisiae* strain BY4741 and seven mutant strains (*pre7-ph*, *rpl18bΔ*, *rpl19aΔ*, *cdc24-5*, *mac1Δ*, *mmr1Δ*, and *psk1Δ*) with the control vector (Vector) or overexpressing EGFP, EGFP–Poly$_{10}$E, or moxGFP under the control of *TDH3$_{pro}$*. Each violin plot represents the elongation ratio (major axis/minor axis) of individual cells. Wider regions indicate a higher frequency of cells with the corresponding elongation ratio. The dashed horizontal line represents the threshold for morphological abnormality (elongation ratio ≥ 1.5). Morphological parameters were calculated from microscopic images analyzed using Cellpose and CellProfiler as described in Figure S24B and C.

B) Proportion of morphologically abnormal cells (elongation ratio ≥ 1.5) in each strain. The analysis was performed on a single biological replicate, with at least 197 cells analyzed per condition. Bars indicate the percentage of abnormal cells relative to the total number of segmented cells.

1178

1179

Figure S26. Expression changes of Hsf1-regulated genes and *RPN4* in yeast

expressing EGFP-Poly$_{10}$D, EGFP-Poly$_{10}$E, or EGFP-Poly$_{10}$I.

A, B) Comparison of expression ratios (vs. Vector) for genes regulated by Hsf1 and for *RPN4*,

quantified by RNA-seq. In **A**, bars indicate the expression ratios of cells overexpressing EGFP, EGFP–

Poly$_{10}$D, and EGFP–Poly$_{10}$E, respectively. In **B**, bars indicate the expression ratios of cells with low-

level overexpressing EGFP and EGFP–Poly$_{10}$I, respectively. Outlines denote genes showing significant

differential expression compared with the vector control (FDR < 0.05).

Figure S27. PolyX occurrence across diverse species.

A) Heatmap of PolyX$_{max}$ values (maximum homorepeat length for each amino acid) across multiple

species.

B) Heatmap of Num-Poly$_{10}$X values across the same species. Each value represents the number of

proteins containing ≥10-residue homorepeats per amino acid, normalized by the total number of genes

in the species and multiplied by 1000. Gray boxes indicate amino acids for which no homorepeats of

length ≥10 were detected.

Figure S28. Correlation analysis between experimental Poly$_{10}$X harmfulness

patterns and proteome-wide PolyX occurrence trends.

Correlation matrix comparing Poly$_{10}$X harmfulness patterns observed across different fluorescent

proteins with amino acid–level PolyX$_{max}$ and Num-Poly$_{10}$X values obtained from proteome-wide

computational analyses. Each value represents the Spearman's rank correlation coefficient for the

corresponding pairwise comparison.

Figure S29. Structural context of PolyX homorepeats in the *S. cerevisiae* proteome based on AlphaFold pLDDT scores.

A) Distribution plots showing the relationship between amino acid homorepeat length in the *S. cerevisiae* proteome and the corresponding pLDDT scores obtained from the AlphaFold structural prediction dataset (https://alphafold.ebi.ac.uk/). Each dot represents a sequence region within a protein. The pLDDT score indicates prediction confidence (100–90: very high; 90–70: confident; 70–50: low; 50–0: very low). Regions with low pLDDT scores likely correspond not only to low model confidence but also to intrinsically disordered regions (IDRs), which do not adopt a fixed 3D structure. This analysis was performed to examine whether naturally occurring PolyX regions tend to reside within

well-structured protein cores or within flexible, disordered regions. For most amino acids, longer

homorepeats were associated with lower pLDDT scores, indicating enrichment in unstructured regions.

In contrast, PolyQ (glutamine) repeats maintained relatively high pLDDT scores even at longer lengths.

B) Representative structures of PolyQ-containing proteins, with PolyQ segments that exhibit pLDDT ≥

70 highlighted in red. Although these PolyQ regions show high prediction confidence, they appear to

be located away from the structured protein core. This suggests that PolyX tracts, including PolyQ, are

generally enriched in flexible or intrinsically disordered regions rather than in tightly structured core

domains.