Environmental complexity constrains evolutionary adaptation across taxa

Giacomo Zilio[1,2,*], Emilie Aubin[3], Stéphanie Bedhomme[1], Laurence Bolick[4], Ignacio G. Bravo[5], Claude Bruand[6], Delphine Capela[6], Marie Challe[2], Guillaume M. Charrière[7], Gaelle Courtay[7], Marie-Ange Devillez[2], Fiona Elmaleh[7], Stanislas Fereol[1], Rémy Froissart[5], Justina Givens[2], Claire Gougat-Barbera[2], Lynn Govaert[4], Alice Guidot[6], Jeanne Hamet[1], Michèle Huet[3], Philipp Hummer[1], Staffan Jacob[3], Oliver Kaltz[2], Marc Krasovec[8], Martin Guillaume[2], Delphine Legrand[3], Thibault Nidelet[9], Denis Orcel[2], Hervé Philippe[3], Gwenael Piganeau[8], M. Stefania Przybylska[1], Philippe Remigi[6], Laurent Sauviac[6], Fabian Schneider-Nettsträter[4], Diego Segond[9], Celine Serre[9], Delphine Sicard[9], João Gabriel Colares Silveira[2], Jeanne Tonnabel[2], François Vasseur[1], Apolline Vedrenne[9], Edith Vidal[8], Cyrille Violle[1], Marielle Kathrin Wenzel[4], Emanuel A. Fronhofer[2,*], Luis-Miguel Chevin[1,*]

[1] CEFE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France
[2] ISEM, Univ of Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France
[3] Station d'Ecologie Théorique et Expérimentale, CNRS, UAR 2029, Moulis 09200, France
[4] Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, Berlin, Germany
[5] MIVEGEC, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, Montpellier, France
[6] Univ Toulouse, CNRS, INRAE, LIPME, Castanet-Tolosan, France
[7] IHPE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, IFREMER, Univ Perpignan Via Domitia, Montpellier, France
[8] Sorbonne Université, Microbial Biodiversity and Biotechnology Laboratory (UMR 8176), University of Perpignan, CNRS, Banyuls sur Mer, France
[9] SPO, Univ Montpellier, INRAE, Institut Agro, Montpellier, France

*Corresponding authors: giacomo.zilio@umontpellier.fr / gcm.zilio@gmail.com, luis-miguel.chevin@cefe.cnrs.fr, emanuel.fronhofer@umontpellier.fr

Abstract

Natural populations are constantly exposed to complex environments, characterized by the simultaneous variation of multiple stressors, such as osmotic and thermal stress, and diverse pollutants. As a consequence, population persistence often depends on the potential to rapidly evolve in the face of these challenges. However, while evolution in response to single stressors has been widely studied, experimental evidence for adaptation to multiple stressors is sparse and remains inconclusive, due to a lack of consistent experimental designs and metrics across systems.

To overcome this limitation, we here used a meta-experimental evolution approach to test how environmental complexity shapes adaptive evolution across a diversity of taxa. We exposed 14 species of bacteria, unicellular eukaryotes, and plants, to single versus multiple stressors for multiple generations, and measured their fitness in the presence or absence of stressors.

We show that across taxa, populations evolving under multiple stressors adapted more slowly, and exhibited fitness costs when exposed back to control environments. The magnitude of these effects varied with the identity of the stressors (*e.g.*, temperature, salinity, copper). Further, all species followed a consistent pattern of greater fitness gains with greater initial fitness reduction at the start of the experiment.

Our results are consistent with the predicted cost of complexity when selection acts on pleiotropic genes. Accounting for the complexity of environmental stress should thus prove crucial to prevent biodiversity loss and its cascading effects on ecosystem functioning.

Introduction

Natural populations live in multifaceted and complex environments, often characterised by the concurrent presence of multiple stressors, *i.e.,* factors that reduce fitness by causing higher mortality or reduced reproduction (1). Anthropogenic activity is one important source of stress, notably imposing changes in temperatures (2) and salinity (3), but also drought or pollution. Hence, multi-stress environments are the new norm in ecosystems worldwide, and understanding their impact on organismal performance (physiology, life history) and ecological outcomes (population growth, biodiversity, ecosystem functioning) is a central problem for basic and applied research. Most evidence of responses to multiple stressors mainly comes from short-term ecological studies (*e.g.*, ecotoxicology), and involves within- or trans-generational plasticity (4, 5). However, genetic evolution can also unfold over ecological timescales (6, 7), and it represents a key mechanism by which organisms may respond to the strong selective pressures imposed by multiple stressors (8–10). It is therefore crucial to investigate how rapidly species can evolve in response to multiple stressors, as adaptation rate determines how effectively organisms will be able to persist under changing environments (11).

Evolutionary theory has long recognized that rates of adaptation can be reduced by a cost of complexity, wherein fewer mutations are available to simultaneously provide an answer to multiple biological challenges (12–14). While this cost was originally formulated with respect to the complexity of the organism in an abstract geometric model of adaptation (12, 13), the number of organismal traits upon which selection acts in any given environment is likely to also reflect the degree of complexity of this environment (defined as increased number of dimensions, as previously argued by (15)). On the one hand, there is abundant evidence for trade-offs that can constrain an organism performance across environments acting via antagonistic pleiotropy, genetic correlations, epistasis, and limitation of resources (16–18), although counter-examples exist (16, 19). On the other hand, a larger number of stressors could also increase the number of genes that may respond to selection. This larger genomic target, which was not considered in traditional theory on the cost of complexity (12, 13), could accelerate adaptation by increasing the overall supply of advantageous mutations. However, the picture may be less clear than the theory anticipates, and adapting to multiple stressors might require specific biological solutions that are unlikely to evolve in response to single stressors (20).

Adaptation to single or multiple stressors may also incur an additional cost: a fitness reduction in environmental conditions where selection did not occur (21, 22), including benign ones (sometimes described as a cost of resistance for adaptation to biocides (23)). Although such fitness costs in non-selective environments are not always quantified and considered (24), they may constrain future evolutionary responses, if the selective environment later changes (14, 25). While some empirical evidence supports costs of adaptation in benign environments (17), other experiments show no relationships, or even positively correlated responses (26–

29). Furthermore, whether or not such costs differ when populations evolve in response to
multiple stressors remains little understood.

Providing robust answers to these fundamental questions about limits to adaptation requires
moving beyond the specificities of individual species and taxa, and relying on manipulative
rather than correlative evidence. Nevertheless, apart from a few notable evolutionary
experiments (18, 30–33), we lack consistent and quantitative evidence about how adaptation
proceeds in response to single *vs.* multiple-stressor environments. For instance, Brennan *et*
al. (30) found faster adaptation under a higher number of stressors in the chlorophyte
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. A recent evolutionary experiment with the yeast *Saccharomyces*
cerevisiae employed a full factorial design with all possible combinations of 4 stressors
(including the 4 single stressors) to explore the role of environmental complexity on
adaptation (18). Populations that evolved under multiple stressors attained lower fitness than
those evolved in the corresponding single-stressor conditions, and paid fitness costs in
environments where they had not undergone selection. In both cases stress levels were not
matched, hence introducing potential confounding of stressor intensity and stressor
complexity, such that more stressors meant a higher probability to include one that severely
reduces fitness. White et al. (34) did control for the total strength of selection while
investigating local adaptation of the rotifer *Brachionus plicatilis* under single- *vs.* four-stressor
regime, and found more rapid adaptation in the multiple stressor treatment. As each of these
studies used somewhat different methodologies and measurements, drawing general
conclusions can be difficult. A powerful alternative is offered by meta-experiments: by
coordinating similar experimental protocols across systems, they help overcome the
idiosyncrasies of any specific study organism, experimental setup or laboratory conditions,
thereby enabling broader inference. Such collaborative experiments have been successfully
applied in ecology to study, for example, dispersal and plant productivity (35–37). Here, we
conducted, to our knowledge, the first evolutionary meta-experiment on the influence of
environmental complexity on adaptive evolution across diverse taxa.

Results

Our meta-experiment involved 12 research groups using analogous protocols across 14
species (**Table 1**), ranging from aquatic and terrestrial bacteria to unicellular eukaryotes
(ciliates, euglenozoa, chlorophytes, fungi) and plants, with one or more strains for each (23
strains in total, see Supporting Information for details). For each species, we used two sources
of stress, combined in four treatments: a control (absence of stressors), two single stressors
applied separately, and a multiple stressor combining the two single stressors. Stressor levels
differed between experimental systems and reflected species-specific stress responses (**Table**
1 and SI). Importantly, however, we adjusted stressor levels for each system so as to obtain
similar initial fitness reductions for single and multiple stressor treatments. This experimental
design allowed us to separate the cost of complexity from the total magnitude of stress, one
main prerequisite of our study.

Furthermore, the identity of stressors differed between species (**Table 1**), and were chosen
among major threats to ecosystems worldwide that exert prominent selective pressures *in*
natura: temperature, salt, copper, antibiotics, and resource deprivation (2, 38–40). We
assessed evolutionary responses by comparing demographic performance (exponential
growth rate r_0 estimated from growth curves as in **Fig. 1;** but see S1-2 for plants protocol) at
the beginning and end of the long-term experiments.

Table 1 List of the species used in the study, with biological and experimental details. The Indirect response
column indicates whether the species was tested in control conditions after evolution. In the stressor identity
column, T indicates Temperature. Unless specified, the different strains within species experienced the same
experimental conditions and replication.

Species	Group	Strains	N° of transfers	Time (months)	Indirect response	Stressor identity	Final replicates per evolutionary treatment
Arabidopsis thaliana	Plants	1	5	60	Yes	Resources, Herbivory	Control x7, Single x14, Multi x7
Brassica rapa	Plants	1	3	12	No	Resources, Shade	Control x5, Single x10, Multi x5
Colpidium striatum	Ciliates	1	~ 17	12	Yes	T, Salt, Copper	Control x3, Single x8, Multi x5
Dunaliella salina (all strains)	Chlorophytes	4	36	8	Yes	T, Salt	Control x3, Single x6, Multi x3
Euglena gracilis	Euglenozoan	1	~ 20	5	Yes	T, Salt, Copper	Control x10, Single x14, Multi x14
Ostreococcus tauri	Chlorophytes	1	17	4	No	T, Salt	Control x6, Single x12, Multi x6
Paramecium caudatum	Ciliates	1	~ 48	12	Yes	T, Salt	Control x5, Single x7, Multi x3
Picochlorum costavermella	Chlorophytes	1	12	3	No	T, Salt	Control x6, Single x12, Multi x5
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	Bacteria	1	26	~1	Yes	Salt, Copper	Control x12, Single x20, Multi x9
Ralstonia solanacearum	Bacteria	1	15	~0.5	Yes	T, Salt	Control x5, Single x10, Multi x5
Saccharomyces cerevisiae (all strains)	Fungi	2	26	3	Yes	T, Copper	Control x3, Single x6, Multi x3
Sinorhizobium meliloti	Bacteria	1	20	~0.66	Yes	T, Salt	Control x5, Single x10, Multi x5
Tetrahymena thermophila Strain T1 Strain D16 Strain D19 Strain D21 Strain D3	Ciliates	5	~ 20	~5	Yes	T, Salt, Copper, Salt, Antibiotic Salt, Antibiotic Salt, Antibiotic Salt, Antibiotic	Control x10, Single x15, Multi x15 Control x6, Single x9, Multi x4 Control x6, Single x12, Multi x5 Control x6, Single x12, Multi x6 Control x6, Single x12, Multi x5
Vibrio aestuarianus Strain 12/016 Strain U29	Bacteria	2	22 16	~1.5 ~0.25	Yes	Salt, Copper	Control x3, Single x6, Multi x3

Fig. 1 General experimental design and methodology. Schematic representation of the main procedures of the study, showing three evolutionary replicates per treatment for illustrative purpose. The model organisms (silhouettes in orange: protists; yellow: fungus; dark green: chlorophytes; light green: plants; light blue: Euglenozoa; purple: bacteria) were propagated in conditions of control (grey), single stressors (blue) or multiple stressor (red) by serial transferring. Growth curves with population density over time were measured at the first (Initial) and last (Final) transfer in their own evolutionary treatment, for all unicellular species (12/14). For the final transfer, fitness was also estimated in the absence of stressors (control conditions) for 11 species. The slope estimates correspond to the intrinsic growth rates r_0 for all microbial species, and were used as proxy for fitness in the main analyses. For plants (2/14 species), fitness estimates were obtained using a different experimental protocol (see section S1-S2). The experimental protocols and additional details for each species are provided in the SI.

The initial fitness drop was similar between single and multiple stressors

Initial exposure to our environmental stressors reduced fitness by ~55 % on average compared to the control treatment (**Fig. 2A**, Linear Mixed-effect Model (LMM), fitness median and 95% Compatibility Interval (CI): 1.140 [0.643, 1.553]; (41)). There was no clear difference between single and multiple stressors, as suggested by their highly overlapping posterior distributions (LMM, Single: 0.607 [0.115, 1.005]; Multiple: 0.399 [-0.101, 0.811]). This result confirms the adequacy of our experimental conditions, designed to impose similar fitness reductions in single and multiple stressor treatments at the start of the evolutionary phase.

Direct evolutionary response was weaker under multiple stressors

After evolution, fitness had increased in all treatments (**Fig. 2B,** see also **Fig. S1** with raw data on initial and final fitness for each strain), indicating that adaptation occurred during experimental evolution. We quantified the direct evolutionary response (**Fig. 2B**) as the difference between final and initial fitness for each treatment (see also caption). Populations evolving under single stressor showed similar increases in fitness as those in the control

treatment (LMM, Single: 0.247 [0.096, 0.398], Control: 0.295 [0.084, 0.501]; **Fig. 2B**). In contrast, the multiple stressor treatment led to only 38% of the fitness increase of single stressor fitness (LMM, 0.094 [-0.112, 0.300]), indicating a general trend of stressor complexity reducing evolutionary rates. Variation in these direct responses was however large (I^2 total mean and 95% compatibility interval; 88.26% [79.95, 94.97]), and mostly due to between-species or between-strain heterogeneity (rather than sampling error), with the between-strain component being smaller (I^2 strains = 31.12% [7.97 %, 75.94 %]; ~ 1/3 of the total I^2) than the between-species (I^2 species = 57.14 % [10.38 %, 85.49 %]; ~ 2/3 of the total I^2). Separate analyses (linear models) conducted on each strain recaptured the same general pattern of smaller fitness increase in multiple stressors than single (lower median values, **Fig. S2**) in 13 cases out of 23. Since the fitness measurement in plants differed from that in microbes (S1-2), we also carried out complementary analysis removing the 2 plant species, which led to the same qualitative outcome (**Fig. S6**).

Fig. 2 Posterior distributions of initial fitness, direct and indirect responses. The dashed lines visualize the median posterior values, and the shaded area the 95% compatibility intervals of the posterior distributions. Note that in a Bayesian framework as used here, increasingly overlapping distributions can be interpreted as little to no effect (or differences), while more separated distributions correspond to stronger effects or differences between treatments. Grey represents the control in the absence of stressors, while blue and red show the single and multiple stressors respectively. (A) Fitness following the initial transfer to the experimental conditions, standardized by centering and reducing within strain. (B) Direct response to selection, assayed in the conditions of experimental evolution, by calculating the difference between final and initial fitness for each treatment. (C) Indirect response to selection, obtained by calculating the difference between the final fitness of populations from the 3 evolutionary treatments when assayed in control conditions, minus the initial fitness in control conditions. The complete dataset (14 species and 23 strains) was used in (A) and (B), and a reduced dataset (11 species and 20 strains) in (C).

<u>The rule of decline adaptability depended on environmental complexity</u>

Consistent with a common observation in experimental evolution, sometimes described as the rule of declining adaptability (42, 43), we found that greater direct evolutionary responses (fitness gain in selective environment) occurred for populations that initially experienced a higher cost of stress (started from lower fitness relative to the control) (**Fig. 3**). This negative relationship between initial cost of stress and fitness gain was strong, and differed between stressor treatments (slope posteriors, insert panel **Fig. 3**), being steeper for single (median and 95% CI slope posterior for Single: -0.979 [-1.123, -0.840]) than for multiple stressor

treatments (Multiple: -0.711 [-0.885, -0.544]). This suggests a constraint to adaptation in complex environments that limits the rate of adaptation conditional on the initial maladaptation.

Fig. 3. The rule of declining adaptability in simple and complex environments. Relationship between direct response to selection and initial cost of stress (fitness in control – fitness in treatment) and initial fitness, for single (blue) and multiple (red) stressors. Each point represents an independent evolutionary replicate relative to its initial average control (14 species and 23 strains, further details in the Material and Methods). The dashed lines are the median, and the shaded areas the 95% CI of the model predictions.

Multiple stressors led to more indirect costs of adaptation

We then assayed the fitness of evolved populations under control conditions at the end of the experiment (**Fig. S3**), to investigate costs of adaptation to stressors for 11 out of 14 species (see "Indirect response" column in **Table 1**). We found that evolved populations from single stressor treatments showed a positive change in fitness (difference between final and initial fitness) in control conditions (LMM, 0.141 [0.023,0.259]; **Fig. 2C**), which was comparable to that of control populations (LMM, 0.213 [0.080,0.346]). This indicates that evolution in single-stress conditions did not come at a fitness cost in the absence of stress. In contrast, we detected a cost for evolved multi-stress populations, which showed a tendency for negative change in fitness (LMM, -0.068 [-0.199, 0.063], **Fig. 2C**), and was clearly different from the control (LMM, minimal overlap in 95% CI). The total heterogeneity in these indirect responses closely resembled that for direct response (I^2 total = 87.32% [75.99, 95.57]), with most variation lying between species (I^2 species = 76.92 % [31.83 %, 95.35 %]), and much lower between-strain component (I^2 strain = 10.40% [1.27 %, 49.19 %]). Separate linear models run on each strain revealed the same general pattern of greater fitness decreases in multiple stressors than single (lower median values, **Fig. S4**) in 16 cases out of 20.

The relationship between direct and indirect responses varied among stressor types

Costs of adaptation can be the result of a trade-off, such that the fitness gain in one environment is proportional to the fitness loss in another (44). Accordingly, we tested for a

quantitative relationship between the direct and correlated responses to selection in our
experiment. Working on a subset of 11 species for which both responses were available we
found a strong effect of the stressor regimes on their bivariate evolutionary responses
(consistent with the small overlap between the red and blue contours in **Fig. 4A**; ΔWAIC=
78395.99 with the model without a treatment effect). We further found an overall positive
correlation (median Pearson correlation coefficient and 95% CI: 0.438 [0.431, 0.444])
between direct and indirect responses across single and multiple stressors (**Fig. 4A**),
confirming that multiple stressors led to overall weaker fitness across the selective and non-
selective environments.

Fig. 4. Joint posterior distributions of the direct (assay in own evolutionary conditions) and indirect (assay in control conditions) responses to selection, for the control, single, and multiple stressor evolutionary treatments. Closed lines are the probability isoclines, spanning from 10% to 95% from darker to lighter. The coloured dots and dashed lines are the median values of the posterior distributions (top- and right-hand side). The overall distribution across stressors (11 species and 20 strains, reduced dataset) is shown in (A), while the next panels show marginal distributions for temperature (B: 8 species and 12 strains), salt (C: 9 species and 13 strains), and copper (D: 6 species and 8 strains). Note that the values of direct and indirect responses in (A) may differ from those in **Fig. 2** because of the reduced dataset used for normalization.

To explore whether the nature of the stressors may also play a role in these responses, we
conducted additional analysis on reduced datasets associated to the 3 most employed
stressors in our study (**Table 1**): temperature, salt and copper. These stressors markedly
differed from the overall pattern (**Fig. 4A**), and all had strong impact, regardless of whether
they were applied individually or in combination with other stressors (**Fig. 4B-D**; ΔWAIC
temperature= 7655.78; ΔWAIC salt= 22150.71; ΔWAIC copper= 19089.98). For temperature,
single and multiple stressors led to a similar direct evolutionary response (**Fig. 4B**, LMM Single:
0.152 [-0.003, 0.305]; Multiple: 0.168 [0.031, 0.302]), as well as indirect negative response
(**Fig. 4B**; -0.051 [-0.102, -0.0001]; -0.033 [-0.082, 0.018]). For salt, we observed a similar direct
evolutionary response in the two treatments (0.115 [0.013, 0.215]; 0.184 [0.084, 0.282]), and
no difference for indirect responses (0.064 [-0.012, 0.149]; 0.066 [-0.007, 0.144]) as shown in
Fig. 4C. For copper, single and multiple stressors led to a similar direct evolutionary response
(0.080 [-0.090, 0.259]; 0.171 [0.012, 0.333]), and no difference for indirect responses (**Fig.4D**;
0.210 [0.048, 0.383]; 0.154 [-0.004, 0.328]).

<u>Organismal complexity had little effect on the rate of adaptation</u>
Species with higher numbers of protein-coding genes or protein families (as a proxy for the
number of functions, and therefore for organismal complexity (45)) might be less constrained
in their evolutionary response to multiple stressors. However, we found no signal for an
association between direct response to selection and the number of protein-coding genes
(after GenBank annotation) in a species, neither for single nor for multiple stressors (LMM,
Single: -0.039 [-0.194, 0.118], Multi: 0.007 [-0.146, 0.165]; **Fig. S7A**). We found the same
absence of relationship (**Fig. S7B**) when using protein families as explanatory variable (across
the 8 species for which this information was available; information from the close relatives
Paramecium tetraurelia and *Vibrio cholerae* was used for the 2 species *P. caudatum* and *V.*
aestuarianus): posterior slopes for single and multi were both not different from 0 (LMM,
Single median and 95% Compatibility Intervals: 0.042 [-0.224, 0.310]; Multi: 0.022 [-0.224,
0.289]).

Discussion
Understanding how species will adapt to the concurrent presence of multiple stressors is a
fundamental open question in evolutionary biology. Using a meta-experimental evolution
approach combining different organisms and stressor types and mixtures, we were able to
uncover broad and robust patterns regarding adaptation to multiple *vs.* single stressors,
decoupling the effect of environmental complexity from that of initial stress.

Evolution under multiple stressors led to a smaller fitness gain compared to single stressors,
confirming the general prediction that it is more difficult for organisms to adapt to more
complex environments. A likely explanation is that pleiotropic interactions limit adaptation
more in complex environments (where more traits are likely to be under selection), as
empirically found for combination therapy of phages and antibiotics (46). Our results also
reinforce findings showing that mixtures of herbicides or antibiotics hindered the evolution

of resistance to these compounds. For herbicides, slower adaptation was possible when these were applied in high combined doses (47). For antibiotics, slower bacterial adaptation was found only for certain pathogens (48). Interestingly, resistance evolution in *E. coli* was hindered under combinations of multiple drugs, requiring novel genetic response relative to the application of these same drugs in isolation (49). The resistance evolution was also delayed in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* when it evolved under multiple stressors (combination of antibiotic and predator), compared to one of the two stressors alone (50). On the other hand, our observations are in contrast with previous experimental evolution studies reporting higher fitness (growth rates) in the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (30) and in the copepod *Acartia tonsa* (51) when evolved under multiple stressors. These results were likely explained by the increasing selection intensity with increasing number of stressors in those studies, leading to faster adaptation for the surviving populations, despite higher probability of extinction. Here we strived to limit this effect by having similar stress levels for the single and multiple stressor treatments.

The negative relationship that we found between fitness gain and initial fitness is consistent with the "rule of declining adaptability", also found in other experimental evolution studies (29, 42, 43). When observed across time over evolutionary trajectories, this pattern is thought to arise from diminishing returns epistasis, where low-fitness genotypes have access to beneficial mutations with larger fitness gains, and thus adapt faster (52, 53). Such macroscopic or global epistasis, where the fitness effects of mutations only depend on the fitness of the genetic background in which they occur (42, 54), can arise (among other possibilities) from deviations of multiple traits from their optimum phenotype (Fisher's geometrical model of adaptation (12, 55)), caused by either genetic or environmental perturbations (56, 57). Interestingly, Fisher's geometrical model was also at the origin of the prediction of a cost of complexity on the rate of adaptive evolution (12, 13). Initial populations grown in the presence of multiple stressors displayed lower fitness initially (although not formally different from single stressor **Fig. 2A**), and we could have expected to have more evolutionary adaptation overall. However, we detected a different negative slope between multiple and single stressors for the relationship between initial fitness and fitness gain (**Fig. 3**). In other words, conditional on the overall stress level (initial fitness decrease) the fitness gain was lower under multiple-stressors evolution, consistent with the predicted constraint to adaptation in complex environments. The fitness gain was however not correlated with the number of coding genes nor protein families (**Fig. S7A-B**), both possible metrics of organism complexity (45, 58).

Evolutionary biologists have long been interested in understanding how adaptation to a novel environment affects fitness in other environments where selection did not occur (17, 18). Here, we found that populations that had evolved under multiple stressors tended to exhibit a loss in fitness in control conditions, while those evolved under single stressors showed a fitness increase. This suggests that adaptation to multiple stressors comes at the ecological cost of maladaptation in the ancestral environment. A similar result was documented in the

rotifer *Brachionus calyciflorus*, where the loss in fitness in the original environment was
greater for the population that adapted to a more complex environment (59). Our results can
have implications for pest and pathogen management: not only is it more difficult to adapt to
combination treatments (*i.e.*, multiple stressors), but there also is a possible selective
disadvantage when these treatments are stopped (costs of adaptation). If general, this
pattern could also affect and complicate ecosystem management. If removing (or
attenuating) multiple stressors *in situ* potentially leads to loss of fitness because the
populations have evolved to adapt to these stressors, such a strategy should be carefully
evaluated. A complete removal of all stressors, while leading to a direct increase in
demographic performance, might render the population more susceptible to future abiotic
and biotic stressors. To inform management decisions, it would therefore be crucial to have
some knowledge on how well-adapted the focal population is to its current stressors (or at
least for how long it has been exposed to these stressors). Alternatively, stressors could be
removed one by one, or sequentially. Using this approach on populations of *B. calyciflorus*
that had evolved in the presence of three stressors (temperature, salt and copper), Orr *et al.*
(32) showed that removing one of the three stressors led to different consequences:
removing the temperature stressor produced fitness increase, whereas the removal of either
salt or copper had no substantial impact on fitness.

Separate analyses for the most recurrent stressors in our study (*i.e.*, temperature, salt,
copper) showed deviations from these general trends (see also **Fig. S5** illustrating the
relationship between direct and indirect responses for each strain). Of particular interest in
the ongoing global change context is the impact of temperature, as heat-waves and rising
temperatures are expected to be a worldwide recurrent stressor affecting all ecosystems (60,
61), and they will jointly accompany other stressors (*e.g.*, pollutants, pH, salinity). Mounting
evidence is showing that several ectotherm species can respond to thermal selection and
evolve their thermal performance curve in the absence of other stressors (62, 63).
Experimental evolution studies using temperature in a multiple stressor context are less
common, but they seem to indicate strong adaptive potential for increased thermal tolerance
in two marine species (30, 51). In contrast, *Drosophila melanogaster* evolved under combined
temperature and diet regime showed no heat tolerance adaptation (64). In our study, direct
responses were identical in thermally-stressed populations either with or without another
stressor, and for direct and indirect responses. Future studies should explore further the
impact of stressor identity on the adaptive potential.

Another important source of heterogeneity in our results came from the species and strain
levels. This highlights another fundamental limit of multiple stressors studies: a single genetic
background might not be sufficient to obtain reliable and general results within species (as a
third of the variation was explained by the strain variation), and similarly between species
within broader taxonomic groups. Thus, in order to understand both short- or long-term
responses and adaptation to complex environments it will be crucial to include and consider

genetic variability and inter-specific variation, as also suggested by recent findings on the evolution of thermal (single stressor) in different species and strains of yeast (63).

Perspectives and conclusion

While our study allows general inferences about the evolutionary consequences of environmental complexity, several limitations must be acknowledged. Firstly, natural ecosystems are far more complex than our experimental settings, as organisms often face more than two concurrent stressors (65). The robustness of our results relies on our species sampling, but in the future, such initiative might increase the number of stressors, considering a greater multidimensionality (34). In addition, we only considered constant stress, and it will be important to consider fluctuating environments, pulse occurrence of stressors, sequential stressor exposure (66, 67), and biotic interactions. This would make it possible to study adaptation to even more realistic temporal regimes and magnitude of stressors. Secondly, adaptive genetic evolution can modify the interactive effects multiple stressors have on phenotypes. For instance, initially additive interactions can become antagonistic, or synergistic (32), or be cancelled out with time, and future analysis with tuned experimental design could investigate such cases. Thirdly, adaptive genetic evolution is not the only path to persistence under global change (10). Populations could persist in the face of novel environments also *via* plasticity (68), epigenetic responses (69) - some of which may unfold over many generations, especially in microbes (70) - , as well as dispersal (71). All these aspects and their interactions should eventually be integrated into all global change research frameworks. Finally, although we included data from two plant species representative of larger and longer-lived organisms, our sampling is biased towards microbial species. Nevertheless, bacteria, protists and microalgae are the basis of food webs and will rapidly evolve due to their short generations and fast turnover (59, 72). Their adaptation to multiple stressors has therefore important implications as they might lead to knock-on consequences for other species (73), but also to the whole ecosystem (11). Such facets of multiple stressors are also of concern for public health (74–76), as many pathogens - with even larger population sizes and faster evolution - can alter disease dynamics and the risk of emerging infections (77–79).

Understanding and predicting the consequences of multiple stressors for biodiversity and ecosystem functioning, but also agriculture, public health, and management strategies, remains a pressing challenge (80–83). Our large-scale meta-experimental evolution study highlights the critical need for including evolutionary dynamics into the multiple-stressor research agenda. Beyond demonstrating this proof of principle, we show that meta-experiments can serve as a powerful framework to test predictions, infer generality, and explore system-specific responses across taxa and stressor types.

Material & Methods

<u>Experimental treatments and data collection</u>

The evolutionary experiments across all species followed the same rationale and protocol. We used two sources of stress in each experiment, for a total of four treatments: a control, two single stressors applied separately, and a multiple stressor corresponding to the two single stressors combined. For three strains (*Tetrahymena thermophila*, *Euglena gracilis*, *Colpidium striatum*), three single stressors and three multiple stressors (three coupled combinations of the single stressors) were used. Each research group used different stressor types, based on the environmental factors predicted to be the most relevant for their model organism. The precise description of the material and methods and experimental protocols for each species (strain details, stressor levels and/or concentration, number of replicates, generations) are provided in the Supporting Information and in **Table 1**.

The levels of the single stressors (within each species) were chosen so that they imposed similar reductions in fitness, quantified by the exponential growth rate of the population as detailed below. For the multiple-stressor treatments, the levels of each individual stressor were adjusted so that the combined impact of multiple stressors was comparable to that of single stressors. During the evolutionary phase, each study organism was propagated for several generations in all treatments, with 3 to 12 independent evolutionary replicates per strain per treatment. Lastly, at the end of the evolutionary experiment, we measured fitness of all 14 species in their own evolutionary treatment, and for 11/14 species also in control conditions.

Data inspection and statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were conducted in R version 4.2.0 using Bayesian Linear Mixed Models with the "rstan" (version 2.32.7; Stan Development Team, 2025 (84)), "brms" (version 2.22, (85)), and "rethinking" (version 2.21; (41)) R packages.

For unicellular organisms, we focused on the exponential growth rate as a fitness estimate. This is an appropriate fitness proxy for populations that have been maintained in near-exponential phase most of their time by frequent dilutions (86), as in the case of our meta-experiment. Firstly, population density was log-transformed and the time series for each individual growth curve was visually inspected to identify the exponential growth phase with linear increase on log scale (shading, **Fig. 1**), as well as to remove potential outliers, and cases in which populations did not grow. Methods that automatically screen growth curves to search for the steepest slope on log scale (exponential growth phase) were also tested (e.g., growthcurver (87), rstan model fitting (88), LoLinR (89)), but discarded as more prone to spurious results. After this screening phase and prior to model fitting, both log-density and time were standardised by centering and reducing across treatments, within each strain of each species, allowing comparison of the influence of treatments among species with markedly different growth rates. For plants, different approaches and protocols were used, all of which are described in Supporting Information Text (S1-2).

We fitted Bayesian linear models to the identified exponential growth phase, and estimated
the exponential growth rate (r_0) as the slope of log abundance against time. Models were
fitted to the most relevant biological level, namely the replicate experimental evolution line.
When the data also included technical replicates (repeated measurements of the same
experimental line), these were treated as a random-effect term in the analysis. We used
vaguely informative priors for the intercepts and slope parameters (normal and half-normal
distribution, respectively), with mean 0 and standard deviation 1. The posterior distributions
for the slope parameter provided standardized estimates of the intrinsic growth rates r_0 (**Figs.**
S1 and S3). In the follow-up analyses, we also included the associated error (standard
deviation) of the slope posteriors to effectively weight fitness effect sizes by study precision
(42). Such weighting procedure is very conservative, leading to a particularly cautious
interpretation of the results.

Using the obtained fitness estimates, we conducted six main analyses. In all analyses we
included "Species ID" and "Strain ID" as random effects, to take into account that different
strains belonged to the same species, and different replicates to the same strain. Following
the results of Cinar *et al.* (90), we did not explicitly include the phylogeny in the model. In fact,
under weak phylogenetic signal as in our study – with a mean phylogenetic matrix correlation
of 0.27 – the model would struggle to separate non-phylogenetic and phylogenetic species
effects. The two variability components would collapse into one, reducing the model
reliability (91). Thus, in such a context, including only the species level random effect is
desirable, and still provides conservative results. The stressor identity was also not included
as a random effect because some stressors, such as antibiotics, herbivory and shade, were
used in one species only (**Table 1**), leading to divergent transitions and models not converging.
In additional tests we evaluated the heterogeneity (I^2) of direct and indirect responses using
posteriors of the variances (σ^2) (91, 92). The posteriors were directly extracted from the
models for all levels (strain and species), and we calculated I^2 with 95% compatibility intervals
for each random effect following Viechtbauer (93). These analyses capture the relative
amount of variance due to true effects rather than sampling error, once the fixed effects are
taken into account.

For the main analyses, we firstly investigated direct responses to selection on the complete
dataset (14 species, 23 strains) using a linear multilevel model (mixed effect). We asked
whether the change in fitness between the initial and final time point, assayed under the
same conditions under which experimental evolution took place, was different in the control,
single, or multiple stressors (6 explanatory factors: 3 treatments x 2 time points). The initial
fitness for single and multiple stressors was standardized by taking the difference with the
corresponding initial control.

Secondly, we explored whether the final change in fitness for direct response was related to
the initial cost of stress, and whether this relation was affected by the stressor treatment,
using a linear multilevel model. For the initial cost of stress, we averaged the initial fitness by

strain (separately within single and multiple stressor treatments), from which we subtracted
the average initial fitness of the control. For the evolutionary change in fitness, we took the
difference between the final and initial fitness estimate of each evolutionary replicate. The
associated error was always propagated at each step, and the weighting procedure described
above was propagated here on both responses and explanatory variables.

Thirdly, we analysed indirect responses to selection, defined as the change in fitness under
experimental evolution with stressors assayed under control conditions. We used the same
model structure as for direct responses, and for each evolved population (under control,
single or multiple stressor conditions) we compared its fitness in control conditions at the end
of the experiment against the initial fitness in control conditions (4 explanatory factors: Initial
Control, Final Control, Final Multiple in control, and Final Single in control). This analysis was
conducted on a reduced dataset (11 species, 20 strains) for which such measurements in the
absence of stressors were taken at the end of experimental evolution.

Fourthly, we merged the data for direct and indirect responses, focusing on the reduced
dataset (11 species, 20 strains). After centering and reducing within strain, we refitted
Bayesian linear models to estimate the exponential growth rate (r_0), and have all data on the
same scale. Thus, the obtained estimates for the control treatment were the same for direct
and indirect response, apart from *A. thaliana*, *D. salina*, and *V. aestuarianus*. The
measurements of the control treatments for these 3 species varied between direct and
indirect responses due to specific protocol handling and experimental design. Following the
same procedure as for the first and second analysis, we investigated direct and indirect
response using linear multilevel model (2 analyses). We then fitted bivariate multilevel
models to the posterior distributions (change in fitness) obtained from the direct and indirect
analyses. We only considered the single- and multiple-stressor treatments. We compared the
intercept model with a model having treatment as explanatory factor (*i.e.*, models with *vs.*
without effects) using WAIC weights (94). We obtained the relative importance (RI) of the
evolutionary treatment explanatory variable by averaging the posterior predictions of the two
models. We additionally performed a Pearson correlation analysis across single and multiple
evolutionary treatments.

Fifthly, we repeated each of the analysis above with respect to specific stressors that were
recurrent across our experiments (**Table 1**), namely temperature (x8 species and x12 strains),
salt (x9 species and x13 strains), and copper (x6 species and x8 strains).

Lastly, we investigated with linear multilevel models whether changes in fitness for direct
responses, calculated as described above, could be explained by species complexity, as
approximated by the number of protein-coding genes (after GenBank annotation), or the
number of protein families (after Pfam annotation, (45)). For *Colpidium striatum*, no data
were available for protein-coding genes, and it was therefore excluded for this analysis (x13
species and x22 strains). Data for *Colpidium striatum*, *Dunaliella salina*, *Euglena gracilis*, and

Picochlorum costavermella were not available for the number of protein families, and they
were removed from this analysis (10 species). For *Paramecium caudatum* and *Vibrio*
aestuarianus data were also not available, and we used the 2 closely related species,
Paramecium tetraurelia and *Vibrio cholerae* respectively.

In complementary analyses we additionally tested direct, indirect response and their
relationship for each strain running the statistical analyses described above with no random
effects (**Figs. S2, S4, and S5**).

Acknowledgments
This work was funded by the Occitanie Regional Council's program "Key challenge BiodivOc",
grant ComplexAdapt. The Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) project PHYTOMICS (ANR-
21-CE02-0026), project POLLUCLIM (ANR-19-CE02-0021-01), and AraBreed project (ANR-17-
CE02-0018-01) contributed to this work. D.L., H.P., S.J., M.H, E.A., P.R, C.B., and D.C. thank
TULIP (Laboratory of Excellence grant no. ANR-10-LABX-41). We thank Sylvain Gandon and
members of the ExpEvolOcc network for discussion at the early stages of this project. This is
publication ISEM-XXXX-XXX of the Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution. We thank David Alvarez
Ponce for providing the data on the protein family.

Author contributions
All authors commented on the draft, and have read and approved the final manuscript. Details
on individual contributions can be found in the "Supporting Information" at the beginning of
each specific "Supporting Information text" section. This research was designed during
meetings of the ExpEvolOcc network. G.Z., S.B., C.B., D.C., G.M.C., S.F., L.G., A.G., S.J., O.K.,
M.K., M.G., D.L., T.N., G.P., H.P., P.R., L.S., D.S., F.V., C.V., E.A.F., L.M.C., J.T. designed
experiments. G.Z., S.B., C.B., G.M.C., L.G., M.K., T.N., G.P., P.R., L.S., D.S., E.A.F., L.M.C., J.T.
supervised students and experiments. L.B., C.B., D.C., G.C., M.A.D, F.E., S.F., J.G., C.G.B., A.G.,
J.H., M.H., P.H., S.J., O.K., M.K., D.L., T.N., D.O., P.R., L.S., F.S.N., D.S., F.V., S.M.S., C.S., J.G.C.S.,
J.T., A.V., E.V., M.K.W., M.C. performed the experiments. More information can be found in
the Supporting Information. G.Z., E.A.F, and L.M.C analysed the experimental data. G.Z., E.A.F,
and L.M.C, drafted the manuscript.

Competing interests
549 The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. R. Bijlsma, V. Loeschcke, Environmental stress, adaptation and evolution: an overview. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **18**, 744–749 (2005).

2. J. Hansen, *et al.*, Global temperature change. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**, 14288–14293 (2006).

3. C. E. Lee, *et al.*, Recognizing Salinity Threats in the Climate Crisis. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* **62**, 441–460 (2022).

4. K. Gao, *et al.*, Rising CO2 and increased light exposure synergistically reduce marine primary productivity. *Nature Clim Change* **2**, 519–523 (2012).

5. P. W. Boyd, *et al.*, Experimental strategies to assess the biological ramifications of multiple drivers of global ocean change—A review. *Global Change Biology* **24**, 2239–2261 (2018).

6. N. G. Hairston, S. P. Ellner, M. A. Geber, T. Yoshida, J. A. Fox, Rapid evolution and the convergence of ecological and evolutionary time. *Ecology Letters* **8**, 1114–1127 (2005).

7. E. A. Fronhofer, *et al.*, Eco-evolution from deep time to contemporary dynamics: The role of timescales and rate modulators. *Ecology Letters* **26**, S91–S108 (2023).

8. C. A. Stockwell, A. P. Hendry, M. T. Kinnison, Contemporary evolution meets conservation biology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **18**, 94–101 (2003).

9. C. Zhang, M. Jansen, L. De Meester, R. Stoks, Rapid evolution in response to warming does not affect the toxicity of a pollutant: Insights from experimental evolution in heated mesocosms. *Evolutionary Applications* **12**, 977–988 (2019).

10. M. C. Urban, *et al.*, When and how can we predict adaptive responses to climate change? *Evolution Letters* qrad038 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1093/evlett/qrad038.

11. L.-M. Chevin, J. Bridle, Impacts of limits to adaptation on population and community persistence in a changing environment. *Philosophical Transactions B* (2025). https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2023.0322.

12. R. A. Fisher, *The Genetical Theory Of Natural Selection* (At The Clarendon Press, 1930).

13. H. A. Orr, Adaptation and the cost of complexity. *Evolution* **54**, 13–20 (2000).

14. O. Shoval, *et al.*, Evolutionary trade-offs, Pareto optimality, and the geometry of phenotype space. *Science* **336**, 1157–1160 (2012).

15. L.-M. Chevin, G. Decorzent, T. Lenormand, Niche dimensionality and the genetics of ecological speciation. *Evolution* **68**, 1244–1256 (2014).

16. L. W. McGee, *et al.*, Synergistic Pleiotropy Overrides the Costs of Complexity in Viral Adaptation. *Genetics* **202**, 285–295 (2016).

17. L. M. Bono, L. B. Smith, D. W. Pfennig, C. L. Burch, The emergence of performance
trade-offs during local adaptation: insights from experimental evolution. *Molecular*
Ecology **26**, 1720–1733 (2017).

18. A. Rêgo, *et al.*, Adaptation to complex environments reveals pervasive trade-offs and
genetic targets with pleiotropic effects. *BioRxiv,* (2025).
https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.01.24.577006v3.

19. A. F. Bennett, R. E. Lenski, An experimental test of evolutionary trade-offs during
temperature adaptation. *PNAS* **104**, 8649–8654 (2007).

20. S. F. Elena, R. E. Lenski, Evolution experiments with microorganisms: the dynamics and
genetic bases of adaptation. *Nat Rev Genet* **4**, 457–469 (2003).

21. M. Dutilleul, *et al.*, Adaptation costs to constant and alternating polluted
environments. *Evol Appl* **10**, 839–851 (2017).

22. S. P. Malusare, G. Zilio, E. A. Fronhofer, Evolution of thermal performance curves: A
meta-analysis of selection experiments. *J of Evolutionary Biology* jeb.14087 (2022).
https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.14087.

23. T. Lenormand, N. Harmand, R. Gallet, Cost of resistance: an unreasonably expensive
concept. *Rethinking Ecology* **3**, 51–70 (2018).

24. J. A. deMayo, *et al.*, Recognizing adaptation costs in the Anthropocene. *Trends in*
Ecology & Evolution **40**, 1225–1233 (2025).

25. D. A. Roff, D. J. Fairbairn, The Evolution of Trade-Offs Under Directional and
Correlational Selection. *Evolution* **66**, 2461–2474 (2012).

26. T. Nidelet, O. Kaltz, Direct and correlated response to selection in a host-parasite
system: testing for the emergence of genotype specificity. *Evolution* **61**, 1803–1811
(2007).

27. S. Magalhães, E. Blanchet, M. Egas, I. Olivieri, Are adaptation costs necessary to build
up a local adaptation pattern? *BMC Evol Biol* **9**, 182 (2009).

28. L. Olazcuaga, *et al.*, Adaptation and correlated fitness responses over two time scales
in Drosophila suzukii populations evolving in different environments. *J Evol Biol* **34**,
1225–1240 (2021).

29. J. Tarkington, R. A. Zufall, Correlated responses to selection across diverse
environments during experimental evolution of Tetrahymena thermophila. *Ecology*
and Evolution **14**, e11395 (2024).

30. G. L. Brennan, N. Colegrave, S. Collins, Evolutionary consequences of multidriver
environmental change in an aquatic primary producer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **114**,
9930–9935 (2017).

31. E. L. Koch, F. Guillaume, Additive and mostly adaptive plastic responses of gene
expression to multiple stress in *Tribolium castaneum*. *PLOS Genetics* **16**, e1008768
(2020).

32. J. A. Orr, P. Luijckx, J.-F. Arnoldi, A. L. Jackson, J. J. Piggott, Rapid evolution generates
synergism between multiple stressors: Linking theory and an evolution experiment.
Global Change Biology **28**, 1740–1752 (2022).

33. J. A. deMayo, *et al.*, Simultaneous warming and acidification limit population fitness
and reveal phenotype costs for a marine copepod. *Proc Biol Sci* **290**, 20231033 (2023).

34. N. J. White, *et al.*, Experimental evolution of local adaptation under unidimensional
and multidimensional selection. *Current Biology* **32**, 1310-1318.e4 (2022).

35. E. A. Fronhofer, *et al.*, Bottom-up and top-down control of dispersal across major
organismal groups. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* **2**, 1859–1863 (2018).

36. A. Milcu, *et al.*, Genotypic variability enhances the reproducibility of an ecological
study. *Nat Ecol Evol* **2**, 279–287 (2018).

37. J. Cote, *et al.*, Dispersal syndromes in challenging environments: A cross-species
experiment. *Ecology Letters* **25**, 2675–2687 (2022).

38. M. Rehman, *et al.*, Copper environmental toxicology, recent advances, and future
outlook: a review. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* **26**, 18003–18016 (2019).

39. D. Cunillera-Montcusí, *et al.*, Freshwater salinisation: a research agenda for a saltier
world. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **37**, 440–453 (2022).

40. M. M. Zambrano, Interplay Between Antimicrobial Resistance and Global
Environmental Change. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **57**, 275–296 (2023).

41. McElreath, R., *Statistical rethinking: A Bayesian course with examples in R and Stan.*,
2nd Ed. (Chapman and Hall/CRC., 2020).

42. S. Kryazhimskiy, D. P. Rice, E. R. Jerison, M. M. Desai, Global epistasis makes adaptation
predictable despite sequence-level stochasticity. *Science* **344**, 1519–1522 (2014).

43. A. Couce, O. A. Tenaillon, The rule of declining adaptability in microbial evolution
experiments. *Frontiers in Genetics* **6** (2015).

44. G. Bell, X. Reboud, Experimental evolution in Chlamydomonas II. Genetic variation in
strongly contrasted environments. *Heredity* **78**, 498–506 (1997).

45. D. Alvarez-Ponce, S. Krishnamurthy, Organismal complexity strongly correlates with the
number of protein families and domains. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
Sciences **122**, e2404332122 (2025).

46. J. Gurney, S. P. Brown, O. Kaltz, M. E. Hochberg, Steering Phages to Combat Bacterial
Pathogens. *Trends Microbiol* **28**, 85–94 (2020).

47. M. Lagator, T. Vogwill, A. Mead, N. Colegrave, P. Neve, Herbicide mixtures at high
doses slow the evolution of resistance in experimentally evolving populations of
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. *New Phytologist* **198**, 938–945 (2013).

48. B. Siedentop, *et al.*, The effect of combining antibiotics on resistance: A systematic
review and meta-analysis. *eLife* **13** (2024).

49. L. J. Jahn, *et al.*, Compatibility of Evolutionary Responses to Constituent Antibiotics
Drive Resistance Evolution to Drug Pairs. *Mol Biol Evol* **38**, 2057–2069 (2021).

50. T. Hiltunen, *et al.*, Dual-stressor selection alters eco-evolutionary dynamics in
experimental communities. *Nat Ecol Evol* **2**, 1974–1981 (2018).

51. R. S. Brennan, *et al.*, Experimental evolution reveals the synergistic genomic
mechanisms of adaptation to ocean warming and acidification in a marine copepod.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **119**, e2201521119 (2022).

52. H.-H. Chou, H.-C. Chiu, N. F. Delaney, D. Segrè, C. J. Marx, Diminishing returns epistasis
among beneficial mutations decelerates adaptation. *Science* **332**, 1190–1192 (2011).

53. A. Couce, *et al.*, Changing fitness effects of mutations through long-term bacterial
evolution. *Science* **383**, eadd1417 (2024).

54. G. Reddy, M. M. Desai, Global epistasis emerges from a generic model of a complex
trait. *eLife* **10**, e64740 (2021).

55. O. Tenaillon, The Utility of Fisher's Geometric Model in Evolutionary Genetics. *Annual
Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **45**, 179–201 (2014).

56. G. Martin, T. Lenormand, The fitness effect of mutations across environments: a survey
in light of fitness landscape models. *Evol* **60**, 2413–2427 (2006).

57. G. Martin, T. Lenormand, The Distribution of Beneficial and Fixed Mutation Fitness
Effects Close to an Optimum. *Genetics* **179**, 907–916 (2008).

58. G. Martin, T. Lenormand, A General Multivariate Extension of Fisher's Geometrical
Model and the Distribution of Mutation Fitness Effects Across Species. *Evolution* **60**,
893–907 (2006).

59. P. Luijckx, *et al.*, Higher rates of sex evolve during adaptation to more complex
environments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114**, 534–539 (2017).

60. G. A. Meehl, C. Tebaldi, More Intense, More Frequent, and Longer Lasting Heat Waves
in the 21st Century. *Science* (2004). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1098704.

61. D. I. V. Domeisen, *et al.*, Prediction and projection of heatwaves. *Nat Rev Earth Environ*
4, 36–50 (2023).

62.   S. Barton, *et al.*, Comparative experimental evolution reveals species-specific
689   idiosyncrasies in marine phytoplankton adaptation to warming. *Global Change Biology*
690   **29**, 5261–5275 (2023).

691   63.   J. Molinet, R. Stelkens, The evolution of thermal performance curves in response to
692   rising temperatures across the model genus yeast. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **122**,
693   e2423262122 (2025).

694   64.   Y. M. M. Choy, F. E. Cockerell, S. Hangartner, C. K. Mirth, C. M. Sgr, Assessing the effect
695   of experimental evolution under combined thermal-nutritional stress on larval
696   thermotolerance and thermal plasticity in Drosophila melanogaster. *Evolution* qpaf151
697   (2025). https://doi.org/10.1093/evolut/qpaf151.

698   65.   M. C. Rillig, *et al.*, Increasing the number of stressors reduces soil ecosystem services
699   worldwide. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 1–6 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01627-
700   2.

701   66.   V. Fugère, *et al.*, Community rescue in experimental phytoplankton communities facing
702   severe herbicide pollution. *Nat Ecol Evol* **4**, 578–588 (2020).

703   67.   M. C. Jackson, S. Pawar, G. Woodward, The Temporal Dynamics of Multiple Stressor
704   Effects: From Individuals to Ecosystems. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **36**, 402–410
705   (2021).

706   68.   L.-M. Chevin, R. Lande, G. M. Mace, Adaptation, Plasticity, and Extinction in a Changing
707   Environment: Towards a Predictive Theory. *PLOS Biology* **8**, e1000357 (2010).

708   69.   R. S. Brennan, *et al.*, Complementary genetic and epigenetic changes facilitate rapid
709   adaptation to multiple global change stressors. *Proceedings of the National Academy
710   of Sciences* **122**, e2422782122 (2025).

711   70.   G. Zilio, *et al.*, Addressing multi-generational non-genetic inheritance in experimental
712   studies of evolution. *EcoEvoRxiv,* (2025). https://doi.org/10.32942/X2V04W

713   71.   J. Clobert, M. Baguette, T. G. Benton, J. M. Bullock, *Dispersal Ecology and Evolution*
714   (Oxford University Press, 2012).

715   72.   M. Baym, *et al.*, Spatiotemporal microbial evolution on antibiotic landscapes. *Science*
716   **353**, 1147–1151 (2016).

717   73.   A. Colléony, S. Clayton, D. Couvet, M. Saint Jalme, A.-C. Prévot, Human preferences for
718   species conservation: Animal charisma trumps endangered status. *Biological
719   Conservation* **206**, 263–269 (2017).

720   74.   X. Rodó, A. San-José, K. Kirchgatter, L. López, Changing climate and the COVID-19
721   pandemic: more than just heads or tails. *Nat Med* **27**, 576–579 (2021).

722   75.   K. C. King, M. D. Hall, J. Wolinska, Infectious disease ecology and evolution in a
723   changing world. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **378**, 20220002 (2023).

724   76.   R. Poulin, P. M. Salloum, J. Bennett, Evolution of parasites in the Anthropocene: new
725   pressures, new adaptive directions. *Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc* **99**, 2234–2252 (2024).

726   77.   N. L. Gottdenker, D. G. Streicker, C. L. Faust, C. R. Carroll, Anthropogenic Land Use
727   Change and Infectious Diseases: A Review of the Evidence. *EcoHealth* **11**, 619–632
728   (2014).

729   78.   J. M. Cohen, E. L. Sauer, O. Santiago, S. Spencer, J. R. Rohr, Divergent impacts of
730   warming weather on wildlife disease risk across climates. *Science* **370** (2020).

731   79.   R. E. Baker, *et al.*, Infectious disease in an era of global change. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **20**,
732   193–205 (2022).

733   80.   R. T. Paine, M. J. Tegner, E. A. Johnson, Compounded Perturbations Yield Ecological
734   Surprises. *Ecosystems* **1**, 535–545 (1998).

735   81.   W. F. Laurance, D. C. Useche, Environmental Synergisms and Extinctions of Tropical
736   Species. *Conservation Biology* **23**, 1427–1437 (2009).

737   82.   C. D. Matthaei, J. J. Piggott, C. R. Townsend, Multiple stressors in agricultural streams:
738   interactions among sediment addition, nutrient enrichment and water abstraction.
739   *Journal of Applied Ecology* **47**, 639–649 (2010).

740   83.   C. Mora, *et al.*, Over half of known human pathogenic diseases can be aggravated by
741   climate change. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **12**, 869–875 (2022).

742   84.   Stan Development Team (2025)., "RStan: the R interface to Stan." R package version
743   2.32.7.

744   85.   P.-C. Bürkner, Bayesian Item Response Modeling in R with brms and Stan. *Journal of
745   Statistical Software* **100**, 1–54 (2021).

746   86.   H. A. Orr, Fitness and its role in evolutionary genetics. *Nat Rev Genet* **10**, 531–539
747   (2009).

748   87.   K. Sprouffske, A. Wagner, Growthcurver: an R package for obtaining interpretable
749   metrics from microbial growth curves. *BMC Bioinformatics* **17**, 172 (2016).

750   88.   B. Rosenbaum, E. A. Fronhofer, Confronting population models with experimental
751   microcosm data: from trajectory matching to state-space models. *Ecosphere* **14**, e4503
752   (2023).

753   89.   C. Olito, C. R. White, D. J. Marshall, D. R. Barneche, Estimating monotonic rates from
754   biological data using local linear regression. *J Exp Biol* **220**, 759–764 (2017).

755   90.   O. Cinar, S. Nakagawa, W. Viechtbauer, Phylogenetic multilevel meta-analysis: A
756   simulation study on the importance of modelling the phylogeny. *Methods Ecol Evol* **13**,
757   383–395 (2022).

91.   J. P. T. Higgins, S. G. Thompson, Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Stat Med*
21, 1539–1558 (2002).

92.   S. Nakagawa, E. S. A. Santos, Methodological issues and advances in biological meta-
analysis. *Evol Ecol* **26**, 1253–1274 (2012).

93.   W. Viechtbauer, Conducting Meta-Analyses in R with the metafor Package. *Journal of*
Statistical Software **36**, 1–48 (2010).

94.   S. Watanabe, Asymptotic Equivalence of Bayes Cross Validation and Widely Applicable
Information Criterion in Singular Learning Theory. *Journal of Machine Learning*
Research **11**, 3571–3594 (2010).

Supporting Information for

Environmental complexity constrains evolutionary adaptation across taxa

Giacomo Zilio[1,2,*], Emilie Aubin[3], Stéphanie Bedhomme[1], Laurence Bolick[4], Ignacio G. Bravo[5], Claude Bruand[6], Delphine Capela[6], Marie Challe[2], Guillaume M. Charrière[7], Gaelle Courtay[7], Marie-Ange Devillez[2], Fiona Elmaleh[7], Stanislas Fereol[1], Rémy Froissart[5], Justina Givens[2], Claire Gougat-Barbera[2], Lynn Govaert[4], Alice Guidot[6], Jeanne Hamet[1], Michèle Huet[3], Philipp Hummer[1], Staffan Jacob[3], Oliver Kaltz[2], Marc Krasovec[8], Martin Guillaume[2], Delphine Legrand[3], Thibault Nidelet[9], Denis Orcel[2], Hervé Philippe[3], Gwenael Piganeau[8], M. Stefania Przybylska[1], Philippe Remigi[6], Laurent Sauviac[6], Fabian Schneider-Nettsträter[4], Diego Segond[9], Celine Serre[9], Delphine Sicard[9], João Gabriel Colares Silveira[2], Jeanne Tonnabel[2], François Vasseur[1], Apolline Vedrenne[9], Edith Vidal[8], Cyrille Violle[1], Marielle Kathrin Wenzel[4], Emanuel A. Fronhofer[2,*], Luis-Miguel Chevin[1,*]

[1] CEFE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France
[2] ISEM, Univ of Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France
[3] Station d'Ecologie Théorique et Expérimentale, CNRS, UAR 2029, Moulis 09200, France
[4] Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, Berlin, Germany
[5] MIVEGEC, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, Montpellier, France
[6] Univ Toulouse, CNRS, INRAE, LIPME, Castanet-Tolosan, France
[7] IHPE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, IFREMER, Univ Perpignan Via Domitia, Montpellier, France
[8] Sorbonne Université, Microbial Biodiversity and Biotechnology Laboratory (UMR 8176), University of Perpignan, CNRS, Banyuls sur Mer, France
[9] SPO, Univ Montpellier, INRAE, Institut Agro, Montpellier, France

*Corresponding authors: giacomo.zilio@umontpellier.fr / gcm.zilio@gmail.com, luis-miguel.chevin@cefe.cnrs.fr, emanuel.fronhofer@umontpellier.fr

Supporting Information Text and Tables

S1 *Arabidopsis thaliana*

Authors

François Vasseur, M. Stefania Przybylska, Cyrille Violle

Study organism

Arabidopsis thaliana is a small plant from the mustard family (Brassicaceae) widely distributed throughout Europe, Asia, and North America (1). At maturity, *A. thaliana* can reach 10 to 50 cm in height, and can produce a variable amount of seeds, from a few dozen up to more than 5000 seeds. Due to its short life cycle (~ 6 weeks), ease of manipulation, and well-characterised genome, *A. thaliana* is an established model species in several research fields from genetics and molecular investigations, biochemistry, physiology, to ecology and evolution (2, 3). *A. thaliana* can rapidly respond to selection (4), and its evolutionary history, as well as its response to environmental variables, are well studied (5).

Experimental setup and treatments

Before the beginning of the evolution experiment, we created 350 recombinant lines (F2 lines) from random crosses between 358 wild accessions spanning a large range of genetic, geographic, and phenotypic variation (6). Around 2500 seeds per cross were pooled to be used

In November 2017, we set up an experimental evolution project (AraBreed project) in the experimental field of Centre d'Ecologie Fonctionnelle et Evolutive (CEFE), Montpellier, France (43° 38' 18" N, 3° 51' 44" E; Fig. S8A). 28 closed mesocosms with 1.2 m length x 1.2 m width x 1 m height were built in seven rows (Fig. S8A, B). Mesocosms were 1.5 m apart and contained entirely, both belowground and aboveground, in an insect-proof veil. During their installation, we removed the top 30 cm of soil (calcareous clay soil), steam sterilized it to prevent seed bank sprouting, mixed it with blond peat, and placed it back within the mesocosms. Mesocosms were assigned to four evolutionary treatments (Fig. S8A, C): resources single stressor (low Resources/ low Herbivory; R-/H-), herbivory single stressor (R+/H+), multiple resources and herbivory stressors (R-/H+), and a control without stressors (R+/H-). Treatment assignment was random but ensuring that each row of mesocosms contained one replicate of all four treatments (Fig. S8A, C; 4 treatments × 7 replicates). At the end of November 2017, we placed approximately 17500 randomly selected F2 seeds in each mesocosm in a density of around 1.2 seeds/cm2.

Rearing protocol

From 2018 to 2020, we let plant generations spontaneously grow in the mesocosms, with spontaneous seed establishment and germination. In this period, from January to June (*i.e.,* the season at which *A. thaliana* generally grows and sets seeds), we applied the treatments

to the mesocosms and we harvested fruits for seed extraction. We watered and fertilized
mesocosms assigned to high resources (R+) with commercial organic fertilizer twice per week.
Low resource (R-) mesocosms received no applications of water or fertilizer. In the same
period, we introduced intermittently snails (*Helix aspersa*, a generalist herbivore) into the
mesocosms assigned to high herbivory (H+). Meanwhile, we sprayed mesocosms assigned to
low herbivory (H-) with large-spectrum insecticide every three weeks. Regarding fruit harvest,
every three weeks, we harvested one fruit per individual located in different parts of the
mesocosm, for a maximum of 100 fruits per mesocosm. We pooled fruits of a given mesocosm
in a given year and extracted seeds, which were then stored in air dry condition at 4°C.
82
Final phenotypic tests and data collection
<u>Population size as direct responses to selective environment</u>
Using pictures of each mesocosm taken in March 2018-2022, the month that roughly
corresponded to the peak of vegetative growth, we estimated population size in all 28
mesocosms. For that, we counted the number of plants in the images using the cell counter
plug-in in ImageJ.
To obtain the fitness estimates for each mesocosm, we fitted Bayesian linear models to the
log-transformed population size data. We used vaguely informative prior (normal
distribution), with mean 0 and standard deviation 1. Since populations evolved with natural
recruitment, comparing the size of the first generation (G1) to the number of seeds sown
initially (G0) was not relevant to estimate initial fitness. We thus calculated the difference in
fitness between G2 and G1, and G5 to G4, and considered these values as initial and final
fitness, respectively. These fitness estimates were used in the main analyses (direct
responses) together with their corresponding standard deviation, which was included as
measurement error in the follow-up statistics to effectively weight fitness effect sizes by
precision. The description of the main analyses with references of the statistical software and
packages are provided in the main text.
100
<u>Common garden and indirect responses in non-selective environment</u>
We performed a completely randomized common garden experiment between February and
July 2021 under shade cloth in the experimental field of Centre d'Ecologie Fonctionnelle et
Evolutive (CEFE), Montpellier, France (Fig. S8D; (7). Pots of 0.08 L were filled with a sterilized
soil mixture composed of 50 % river sand, 37.5 % calcareous clay soil from the experimental
field at CEFE, and 12.5 % blond peat moss. Such conditions represented a non-selective
environment for the evolutionary lines, further allowing control for the confounding effect of
phenotypic plasticity (8). As detailed below, the data obtained during this common garden
were used as indirect fitness responses in the main analyses. 630 individuals were planted in
separate pots organized in three blocks: 198 individuals from the same pool of F2 seeds that
were used to found populations in the mesocosms (G0), and 432 individuals from the third
generation of evolution (G3) in the four treatments (108 individuals × 4 treatments). At the
end of the reproduction phase (fruit ripening), inflorescences were cut and photographed. On

inflorescence pictures, all fruits were counted and the length of three fruits per plant were
measured using ImageJ. To obtain fitness estimates, we then considered the number of fruits
produced per plant weighted by their length (number of fruits * mean fruit length). We fitted
Bayesian linear models to the log-transformed fruits' metric using vaguely informative prior
(normal distribution), with mean 0 and standard deviation 1. Estimates for G0 and G3,
corresponding to the initial and final fitness, were used in the main analyses (indirect
responses) together with their standard deviation (measurement error, as described in the
population size paragraph above). The main analyses, and references on statistical software
and package, are detailed in the main text.

S2 *Brassica rapa*

Authors

Jeanne Tonnabel, Denis Orcel, Marie Challe, François Vasseur, Cyrille Violle

Study organism

Our experimental evolution lines were established using the *Brassica rapa* Wisconsin Fast Plant (WFP) line (Carolina Biological Supply Company, Burlington, North Carolina, USA). This line is particularly well suited for experimental evolution studies, as it was derived from a broad sampling of natural *B. rapa* populations that subsequently underwent artificial selection for a short generation time (~8 weeks), while retaining considerable genetic and phenotypic variation (9, 10). *B. rapa* is a hermaphroditic, insect-pollinated annual herb in the Brassicaceae family. Like other members of the genus, it possesses a genetic self-incompatibility system (11, 12), although this mechanism is leaky, allowing variable levels of self-fertilization. Such incomplete self-incompatibility has been observed in the WFP line as well, where selfing has evolved under experimental evolution conditions (13). Pollination in our experiment was performed by the fly *Phormia terraenovae*, which did not evolve during the course of the study. This species had been validated as an effective pollinator of *B. rapa* in a preliminary experiment (Tonnabel, pers. comm.) and was reared from larvae obtained from a commercial supplier of fishing bait (https://appats-michel.fr/).

Experimental evolution phase

Experimental setup, treatments, and growing protocol

To initiate the experimental evolution populations, plants first underwent three to four generations of selection under strong polygamous mating in five replicate populations. In each generation, seeds were collected from 85 parental plants, each of which had three flowers pollinated with mixed pollen derived from 12 anthers per plant pooled across all 85 individuals. Seeds from the three pollinated flowers of each plant were combined to produce the next generation. The present study used seeds from the third and fourth generations of these lines, hereafter referred to as the 'source population'.

Our protocol followed standard experimental evolution procedures, in which natural selection acts on standing genetic variation present in the source population (14). We subjected this initial standing genetic variation to four treatments: light deprivation (single stressor), nutrient deprivation (single stressor), the combination of both stressors (multiple stressor) and a control (no stressor). Experimental populations were grown in a greenhouse arranged in a 4 × 5 grid. Because of a potential light gradient in the greenhouse, population positions were randomized following specific rules: (i) each row (corresponding to a given distance from the external glass wall) contained one population from each treatment; (ii) treatment assignments were rotated across positions at each generation; and (ii) each population was relocated to a different position between generations.

Using well-mixed seeds from the source population, we established 20 experimental populations, randomly assigning five populations to each of the four treatments in the first generation. All experimental populations were cultivated in a single greenhouse at the CNRS Experimental Plateform in Montpellier. Each population was grown in an individual 80 x 80cm tray containing 100 plants arranged in a 10x10 grid, with individuals spaced 7 cm apart. Two seeds were sown per position, to allow estimation of germination rate based on the absence of plants at a given position, which was later incorporated into individual fitness estimates. After two weeks, when both seeds germinated at a given position and none at other positions, one seedling was transplanted elsewhere within the same population to maximize the total number of plants while retaining a single seedling per position. Germination rate was calculated as the total number of seedlings divided by the total number of seeds sown.

All populations were grown in sterilized, nutrient-poor soil composed of 2/3 sand and 1/3 compost. During the first three weeks of growth, liquid nutrients were supplied at watering to temporarily restore nutrient-rich conditions and allow plants to establish before flowering. We used a liquid fertilizer containing nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (Universal Liquid Fertilizer, StarJardin). Each population received weekly 10L watering with a concentration of 5mL/L of liquid fertilizer. After this initial period, nutrient availability was manipulated by varying the concentration of liquid fertilizer: 5mL/L in the control and light-deprivation treatments to maintain nutrient-rich conditions, and 2.5mL/L in the double-stress treatment.

At flowering onset, each population tray was enclosed within a mesh cage. A paper cup containing approximately 100 *P. terraenovae* larvae and a glass of sugary water covered with fine mesh (allowing access to the solution while preventing drowning) were placed inside each cage. The high abundance of pollinators ensured that none of the populations experienced pollen limitation. Because pollinators were confined to their respective populations, reproduction occurred independently in each population, preventing any gene flow among them. Pollinator densities were visually monitored and adjusted as needed by adding larvae to maintain similar numbers across populations until all plants had senesced.

Data collection
Seed mass as direct responses to selective environment
At the end of each generation, when plants had died, we harvested every individual, counted its total number of fruits, and stored the fruits separately by plant. Seeds were then manually extracted, and total seed mass was measured using a precision balance (Sartorius, Entris II 220g/0.1mg).

We measured the total seed mass for all plants that germinated in each experimental population. To obtain fitness estimates at the population level (20 independent evolutionary replicates), we fitted Bayesian linear models to the log-transformed seed mass using vaguely

informative prior (normal distribution), with mean 0 and standard deviation 1. The individual plant identity, for which the seed mass was calculated, was included as random term. We obtained estimates of averaged seed mass for first and third generation, corresponding to the initial and final fitness, were used in the main analyses (direct responses) together with their standard deviation (error used in the follow-up statistics to fitness effect sizes by precision). The main analyses, and references on statistical software and package, are detailed in the main text. To verify that total seed mass provided a reliable proxy for seed number, we randomly chose 30 plants per population and counted all produced seeds - or a maximum of 30 fruits for highly fecund individuals - before weighing them at the end of the first generation. A linear regression of total seed number on total seed mass confirmed that seed mass is a reliable predictor of seed production (linear model estimate = 601.4 ± 15.7 SD; t = 38.4, $p < 0.0001$; $R^2 = 0.73$).

Seeds from all plants within a population were bulked to establish the next generation, ensuring that the contribution of each individual to the next generation reflected its reproductive success. Each experimental population thus evolved independently under its assigned treatment. In total, three generations of evolution were completed for the 20 experimental populations, corresponding to approximately 6000 plants in total. The fitness assessments took place between October 2023 and January 2024, February and May 2024, and October 2024 and January 2025, respectively.

S3 *Colpidium striatum*

Authors

Lynn Govaert, Laurence Bolick, Fabian Schneider-Nettsträter and Marielle Kathrin Wenzel

Study organism

Freshwater ciliates are well suited organisms for addressing ecological and evolutionary questions, they have high population densities, short generation times, are easy to maintain, and the microcosms they live in are easy to manipulat (15). *Colpidium striatum* is commonly found in freshwater and frequently used in microcosm experiments(15). An original culture of *Colpidium striatum* was purchased at Carolina Biocompany and maintained for several years in the laboratory kept in 250-mL autoclaved erlenmeyers containing two wheat seeds and filled with 100 mL of autoclaved protozoan medium maintained under standard laboratory conditions (20°C and 24h light condition). Since November 2021, protozoan medium consisted of Volvic water Naturelle with 0.40 g/L alfalfa powder (A-4363-BIO Piowald.com) and fed with the bacteria *Serratia marcescens*. From this culture, a single cell was taken and washed to start a monoclonal culture. Throughout the experiment, *Colpidium striatum* reproduces asexually.

Experimental evolution phase

<u>Experimental setup and treatments</u>

At the end of June 2023, an experimental evolution experiment was started using a clonal culture of *C. striatum*. Initially, a single cell was isolated from a genetically diverse stock culture maintained under standard laboratory conditions (*i.e.,* 20°C and 24h light condition) and grown to a dense population. Then, triplicates of this population were exposed to an increased salinity, copper concentration and temperature as well as all pairwise combinations of these stressors. Specifically, triplicates were kept as a control (0 g/L NaCl, 0 µg/L CuSO$_4$, 20°C), exposed to increased temperature (0 g/L NaCl, 0 µg/L CuSO$_4$, 24°C), increased salinity (3 g/L NaCl, 0 µg/L CuSO4, 20°C), increased copper concentration (0 g/L NaCl, 200 µg/L CuSO4), or exposed to an elevated level of each two-stressor combination (Table S3.1). In total, these were 21 cultures that were maintained for one year. The experimental evolution populations were transferred into new medium at a 3-week interval using autoclaved 250-mL Schott bottles with two wheat seeds, which were maintained in a 24h-light environment and were fed with the bacteria *Serratia marcescens*.

The concentrations of salt, copper and temperature were chosen based on previous pilot experiments where we observed extinction of *C. striatum* when exposed to 4 g/L NaCl (when not prior acclimated) and showed a large fitness reduction at 26°C (16). In addition, the combination of 3 g/L NaCl and 26°C previously indicated a high risk for population extinction (16).

To track the population growth of the ciliates, the cell density was measured by taking videos. For this, the bottles were sampled (250 µL) daily for 8 days, then every 2nd day for another

five timepoints. The videos were taken with an Olympus SZX16 stereo-stereomicroscope (1.6-fold magnification) and Hamamatsu Orca Flash 4 video camera. 10 second videos were recorded of an effective sample volume 36.125 µL (height 0.5 mm). The videos were processed with the software ImageJ (version 1.46a) and an adapted version of the BEMOVI R package (17) to extract information on density.

Table S3.1 Evolutionary treatments and number of replicates at the start and end of the experiment.

Strain ID	Salinity (g/L)	Copper (µg/L)	Temperature (°C)	Stress	Replicates start/end
C. striatum	0	0	20	Control	3/3
C. striatum	0	0	24	Single Temperature	3/3
C. striatum	3	0	20	Single Salinity	3/2
C. striatum	0	200	20	Single Copper	3/3
C. striatum	3	0	24	Multi S x T	3/0
C. striatum	3	200	20	Multi S x C	3/2
C. striatum	0	200	24	Multi C x T	3/3

Rearing and transferring protocol

Every three weeks, 20% (*i.e.*, 20 mL) of the experimental evolution populations were transferred into new medium using autoclaved 250-mL Schott bottles with two wheat seeds and filled with 80 mL autoclaved protozoan medium (i.e., autoclaved Volvic water and 0.4 g/L alfalfa powder) and were placed in incubators at the respective treatment temperatures with full 24h-light environment. Cultures were fed with the bacteria *Serratia marcescens*. Given that *C. striatum* has an average generation time of 16h, this resulted on average in 32 generations between transfers.

Final phenotypic tests and data collection

Mid-July 2024, a common garden experiment was started to test for evolutionary changes in the evolved *Colpidium* populations from the different stressor environments. Specifically, each of the populations evolved in a stressor environment was measured in the control environment (i.e., 0 g/L NaCl, 0 µg/L $CuSO_4$ and 20°C) and their original stressor environment. Additionally, the populations evolved in the control environment were measured in all the stressor environments and in the control environment (see Table S3.2).

Table S3.2 Overview of selection cultures and common garden environment condition used in the common garden experiment.

		Environment the existing culture needs to go in (common garden environment)					
		Control	Single salinity	Single copper	Single temperature	Multi S x C	Multi T x C
Existing culture (selection environment)	Control	x	x	x	x	x	x
	Single salinity	x	x				
	Single copper	x		x			
	Single temperature	x			x		
	Multi S x C	x				x	
	Multi T x C	x					x

For the common garden experiment, we used evolved populations of the following stressor
combinations (Table S3.2): three replicates of the control, two replicates of the high salt
environment (single salinity), three replicates of the high copper environment (single copper),
three replicates of the high temperature environment (single temperature), three replicates
of the combined high salt and high copper environment (multi salt x copper), and two
replicates of the high temperature and high copper environment (multi temperature x
copper). Unfortunately, populations from the high temperature and high salinity environment
went all extinct by the end of the experimental evolution phase. In total, we had 16 uniquely
evolved populations.
304

From each of these populations 10 individuals were washed by passing each individual with
minimum of liquid through 5 drops of autoclaved protozoan medium to reduce bacterial load
coming from the selection cultures. The washing of the individual cells was done using the
protozoan medium of their respective stressor environment. Each of the 16 evolved
populations was triplicated and exposed to their respective stressor environment and to the
control (as described earlier), resulting in a total of 132 experimental units. Experimental units
consisted of autoclaved 250-mL Schott bottles with two wheat seeds and were filled with 3
mL of the corresponding selection culture (cultured for 1.5 weeks in their respective stressor
treatment) and with 97 mL of the corresponding protozoan medium with salinity and copper
concentrations modified such that adding the selection culture resulted in salinity and copper
concentrations of 3 g/L NaCl and 200 µg/L $CuSO_4$, respectively (Table S3.3). Because
transferring the selection cultures into their common garden environments brings additional
medium with it, the control condition was set to a concentration of 0.093 g/L NaCl and 6.186
µg/L $CuSO_4$, which is known to not have any effects on the ciliate densities from prior
experience. For temperature treatments, autoclaved protozoan medium of the respective
salinity and copper concentrations was placed in a 24°C incubator to obtain the correct
temperature. All autoclaved protozoan medium was enriched with a 5% 36-h bacterial culture
of *Serratia marcescens* reflecting an addition of 24,338,256 CFU/mL. Experimental units were
given a random ID and placed in either 20 or 24°C incubators depending on the temperature
treatment and maintained in a full 24h light environment.
To track the population growth of the ciliate populations during the common garden
experiment, the cell density was measured by taking videos. For this, we took 150 µL daily for
9 days, then 2 days no sample followed and then by every 2 days for three timepoints. The
last samples were taken another three days later (in total three weeks). The videos were taken
and processed as previously described.

336   **Table S3.3** Overview of salt and copper concentrations for the common garden experiment.

selection environment	common garden environment	Total volume [L]	Culture volume [L]	Medium volume [L]	Salt conc. culture [g/L]	Salt conc. medium [g/L]	Copper conc. culture [µg/L]	Copper conc. medium [µg/L]	Salt conc. end [g/L]	Copper conc. end [µg/L]
control	Control / Single temperature	0.1	0.003	0.097	0	0.093	0	6.186	0.093	6.186
	Single salinity	0.1	0.003	0.097	0	3.093	0	6.186	3	6.186
	Single copper / Multi T x C	0.1	0.003	0.097	0	0.093	0	206.186	0.093	200
	Multi S x C	0.1	0.003	0.097	0	3.093	0	206.186	3	200
Single salinity	Control	0.1	0.003	0.097	3	0	0	6.186	0.093	6.186
	Single Salinity	0.1	0.003	0.097	3	3	0	6.186	3	6.186
Single copper	Control	0.1	0.003	0.097	0	0.093	200	0	0.093	6.186
	Single copper	0.1	0.003	0.097	0	0.093	200	200	0.093	200
Single temperature	Control	0.1	0.003	0.097	0	0.093	0	6.186	0.093	6.186
	Single temperature	0.1	0.003	0.097	0	0.093	0	6.186	0.093	6.186
Multi S x C	Control	0.1	0.003	0.097	3	0	200	0	0.093	6.186
	Multi S x C	0.1	0.003	0.097	3	3	200	200	3	200
Multi T x C	Control	0.1	0.003	0.097	0	0.093	200	0	0.093	6.186
	Multi T x C	0.1	0.003	0.097	0	0.093	200	200	0.093	200

337
338

S4 *Dunaliella salina*

Authors

Philipp Hummer, Stanislas Fereol and Luis-Miguel Chevin

Study organism

Dunaliella salina is a well-studied halophile unicellular green alga due to its extreme

physiology. It is one of the most salt-tolerant eukaryotes, and is the main primary producer

in hypersaline environments (18, 19). It is commonly found in salt lakes, evaporation ponds

of salterns, hypersaline coastal waters and salt marshes worldwide (20). *D. salina* can also

withstand wide ranges of environmental stresses such as temperature (from -35°C to +40°C)

and nitrogen content (20, 21). In standardised laboratory conditions at 24°C and 12/12

dark/light cycle (200 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} light intensity), it reproduces asexually with a growth rate

of ~ 1 generation per day (19).

Experimental evolution phase

<u>Experimental setup and treatments</u>

To start our experiment, we used 4 strains isolated from salterns in southern France

(clonal/isogenic strains; for details see Table S4.1) and their combination (mixed strain;

standing genetic variation). The mixed strain was established by mixing equal densities of the

four different strains. We call these 5 genetic backgrounds "strains" (including the mixed

strain) for simplicity.

Table S4.1 Coordinates and additional information on the sampling sites.

Site	Strain ID	Saltern	Site name	Latitude	Longitude	Salinity (in g/l)	Salinity (in M)	Mean salinity (in g/l)	Mean salinity (in M)
S1	S1	Gruissan	Tables Gruissan	43.096087	3.086734	280	4.8	280.00	4.8
S3	S3	Gruissan	Lac	43.086220	3.091718	120	2.1	80.00	1.4
S11	S11	La Palme	Nord-Ouest	42.973766	3.028587	130	2.2	133.33	2.3
S13	S13	La Palme	Reprise	42.976025	3.032964	200	3.4	176.67	3.0

D. salina strains were cultivated in flasks, using artificial seawater complemented with NaCl

and nutritive F/2 medium, the required salinity was obtained by mixing hypo- (0M) and hyper-

saline (4.8M) solutions (Table S4.2). Before being introduced in the evolutionary treatments,

the strains were maintained under favourable laboratory conditions of 2M salinity and 24°C.

The carrying capacity of each strain was measured (approximately 470k cells/mL for S1, 940k

for S3, 830k for S11 and 770k for S13) and adjusted to start populations at similar densities.

The measurements of cell concentration during all experimental phases were obtained with

the Guava easyCyte HT flow cytometer and the corresponding software guavasoft 3.4 by

Luminex. An established pipeline (22) allowed us to discriminate between live and dead *D.*

salina cells, as well as other particles on the basis of forward scatter (FSC), side scatter (SSC)
and Red and yellow Fluorescence.

Table S4.2 Artificial seawater composition for a total of 1l of water. The two solutions SS1 and SS2 were prepared
separately to avoid unwanted chemical reactions during autoclaving. 20 ml of Guillard's (F/2) Marine Water
Enrichment Solution were added before use.

Solution	Compound	Added mass [g] of the compound
SS1 (0.9l)	NaCl (only for the hypersaline solution)	280.512
	Na_2SO_4	3.55
	KCl	0.599
	$NaHCO_3$	0.174
	KBr	0.0863
	H_3BO_3	0.023
	NaF	0.0028
SS2 (0.1l)	MgCl, 6 H2O	9.592
	$CaCl_2$, 2 H2O	1.344
	$SrCl_2$, 6 H2O	0.0218

For each strain, we established 4 evolutionary treatments in 3 replicates (Table S4.3) by
manipulating temperature and salinity. In the control non-stressful treatment, we used 24°C
and 2M salinity, in line with previous work in the group (*e.g.*, Leung et al. 2020). For the 3
stressful treatments (salinity stress, temperature stress, and the combination of the two),
pilot experiments indicated that a temperature of 36°C and salinities of 3.5M or 4M NaCl
would cause fitness reduction with little risk of population extinctions.
For each strain, the experimental flasks were set up at different salt concentrations by mixing
2mL of the strain with 13mL of medium. The flasks were then placed into two incubators at
their respective treatment temperatures under 12/12 dark/light cycle (200 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} light
intensity). Note that the 3.5M salt concentration only occurred at 36°C (Table S4.3) where our
preliminary tests suggested that 4M would be too stressful. This gave us a total of 75
evolutionary replicates: 5 strains x 2 salinities (2M, 4M) x 3 replicates at 24° C, and 5 strains x
3 salinities (2M, 3.5M, 4M) x 3 replicates at 36°C. In addition, five media flasks with no cells
(for the different conditions) were added to calibrate spectrometer measurements. Air
composition was not controlled in the incubator, but carbon dioxide necessary to
photosynthesis was provided in dissolved form. At 36°C, we additionally placed a tray filled
with water to prevent excessive evaporation. At the first transfer, at the start of the
experimental evolution phase, we tracked population growth with daily measurements of
population density over eleven days for all replicates of the methods described above.

<u>Rearing and transferring protocol</u>
Each of the 75 evolutionary lines was transferred into new flasks weekly. The standard
transfer (for strains S1, S13 and Mix) consisted of diluting 2mL of culture into 13mL of fresh
medium at the same salinity (i.e., a dilution rate of 2/15). However, dilution rates had to be

adjusted for some strains and transfers to prevent out-dilution, as detailed in Table S4.4.
Before each transfer, cell concentration was measured using a flow cytometer (see below) to
keep track of densities and reduce the risk of out-diluting the cultures. Nonetheless, all
replicates from strain S11 failed to grow and were lost at transfer 5 (Table S4.4).
408
409 **Table S4.3** Evolutionary treatments and number of replicates at the start and end of the experiment.

Strain ID	Salinity	Temperature	Stress	Replicates
S1	2M	24°C	Control	3
S1	4M	24°C	Single Salinity	3
S1	2M	36°C	Single Temperature	3
S1	3.5M	36°C	Multi	3
S1	4M	36°C	Multi	3
S3	2M	24°C	Control	3
S3	4M	24°C	Single Salinity	3
S3	2M	36°C	Single Temperature	3
S3	3.5M	36°C	Multi	3
S3	4M	36°C	Multi	3
S11	2M	24°C	Control	3
S11	4M	24°C	Single Salinity	3
S11	2M	36°C	Single Temperature	3
S11	3.5M	36°C	Multi	3
S11	4M	36°C	Multi	3
S13	2M	24°C	Control	3
S13	4M	24°C	Single Salinity	3
S13	2M	36°C	Single Temperature	3
S13	3.5M	36°C	Multi	3
S13	4M	36°C	Multi	3
Mix	2M	24°C	Control	3
Mix	4M	24°C	Single Salinity	3
Mix	2M	36°C	Single Temperature	3
Mix	3.5M	36°C	Multi	3
Mix	4M	36°C	Multi	3

411 **Table S4.4** Dilution rates for the different strains at the two temperatures during the weekly transfers. Variations
412 from the 2/15 dilution are highlighted in bold, and X indicates the strain that failed to grow and was lost.

Transfer week	S1		S3		S11		S13		Mix	
	24°C	36°C	24°C	36°C	24°C	36°C	24°C	36°C	24°C	36°C
1	2/15	2/15	2/15	2/15	2/15	2/15	2/15	2/15	2/15	2/15
2	2/15	2/15	2/15	**5/15**	2/15	**5/15**	2/15	2/15	2/15	2/15
3	2/15	2/15	2/15	**4/15**	2/15	**4/15**	2/15	2/15	2/15	2/15
4	2/15	2/15	2/15	**4/15**	2/15	**4/15**	2/15	2/15	2/15	2/15
5	2/15	2/15	**4/15**	**4/15**	**X**	**X**	2/15	2/15	2/15	2/15
6	2/15	2/15	**4/15**	**4/15**	**X**	**X**	2/15	2/15	2/15	2/15
7	2/15	2/15	2/15	**4/15**	**X**	**X**	2/15	2/15	2/15	2/15

Final phenotypic tests and data collection

At the last transfer, we tracked population growth with daily measurements of population
density over eleven days. Each of the populations evolved in a stressor environment was
measured in their own stressor environment and in the control environment. All
measurements of cell concentration for every evolutionary line (each strain and replicate)
were obtained with the Guava easyCyte HT flow cytometer and the corresponding software
guavasoft 3.4 by Luminex.
421

S5 *Euglena gracilis and Tetrahymena thermophila* (T1)

Authors

João Gabriel Colares Silveira, Justina Givens, Claire Gougat-Barbera, Marie-Ange Devillez, Giacomo Zilio, Emanuel A. Fronhofer

Study organisms

Tetrahymena thermophila and *Euglena gracilis* were chosen due to being two low-maintenance unicellular eukaryote species with very distinct life-history traits and feeding habits. *T. thermophila* and *E. gracilis* have respectively generation times of 2-4 hours (23–25) and 10-30 hours (26, 27), and present heterotrophs (28) and mixotrophy (29) life style. *T. thermophila* and *E. gracilis* have been extensively used as model unicellular eukaryotes in a variety of scientific works (15).

Experimental evolution phase

Experimental setup and treatments

This study was designed around a total of 72 clonal stock cultures or "ancestor populations", of *T. thermophila* and 24 of *E. gracilis* lines. Populations of the two species were subjected to eight treatment conditions, each replicated six times. These treatments involved the presence of one or more environmental variables: moderately elevated temperature and the presence of copper ($CuSO_4$) and/or a salinity (NaCl) concentration. All treatments were also conducted under ambient temperatures with the presence of a control.

The specific concentrations of $CuSO_4$ and NaCl, as well as the temperature regimes for the evolutionary phase, were chosen through preliminary experiments with the goal of making initial fitness effects homogenous (*e.g.* same fitness loss).

Rearing and transferring protocol

Each line was kept in batch cultures fed with filtered bacterized lettuce medium (BLM), which was comprised of mineral water (Volvic®), shredded dry lettuce (1 g for 1.5 L of water, or 0.6 g/L) and a previously prepared liquid culture of the bacterium *Serratia marcescens* (10% of the total volume of the bacterial culture at equilibrium density). The lettuce serves as food resource for the bacterium, which is itself preyed upon by both protist species. Each lineage was transferred to a 100 mL flask as batch cultures for a period of 5 months with 10% bottlenecks. Transfers were done once a week.

Final phenotypic tests and data collection

After the experimental evolution phase, we conducted a common garden experiment to eliminate possible transgenerational plastic effects. An aliquot of 5 mL from each evolved stock culture was washed with 10 mL of sterilized shredded lettuce solution medium without *S. marcescens*. This was then centrifuged for 3 minutes under 1100 rpm in a Heraeus Multifuge 3S Centrifuge (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, Massachusetts, United

States) and the supernatant was discarded. The process was then repeated and the same 5 mL aliquots were transferred to 80 Sarstedt tubes of 25 mL with 15 mL of BLM and no stressors. The tubes were kept in a 25 °C incubator for five days, which was expected to be enough to eliminate the plastic responses.

We then prepared an assay with 16- 12-well Cellvis glass bottom black plates (Cellvis, Mountain View, California, United States), with each well receiving 3 mL of BLM. One aliquot of 50 μL for *T. thermophila* or 150 μL for *E. gracilis* (due to its longer generation time) of each of the Sarstedt tubes was subsequently added to each well of eight plates that would be submitted to experimental conditions (with stressors), as well as to each well of eight more plates, that would be kept under control conditions (no stressors). The well plates were kept under monoxenic conditions (with *S. marcescens*), with BreatheEasy® sealing membranes (Diversified Biotech, Dedham, Massachusetts, United States) preventing contamination. Additionally, the plates were kept in incubators with constant temperature (36 °C for some lineages of *T. thermophila*, 33 °C for some of *E. gracilis* and 25 °C for the rest; according to the scheme shown in Table S5) and a 12:12 hour cycle of light and darkness. The experimental condition plates were submitted to the same treatments used in the first part of the experiment on the ancestor populations, in a way that all possible combinations of stressors were present, including one treatment without any stressors.

The Agilent BioTek Cytation 5 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California, United States) cell imaging multimode reader was used to record a time-series of cell density in each well for four weeks through 15 second-videos. Moreover, the samples were kept under semicontinuous batch regime, with periodical medium replacements 3 times a week in alternated days for the supplementing of food (10% volume replacement). Sterile conditions were maintained with UV exposure to the plates for 20mins and sterile plastic film to seal wells. Through the videos, the density of cells in each population were estimated using BEMOVI, a package that uses R (version 4.2.3) and ImageJ software (17).

Each population was submitted to the same treatment under which it evolved previously, and under control conditions, so that we could also test if a possible adaptation to the stressors brought fitness cost under no stress. We then ended up with 5 replicates for each of the 8 treatments and species (5 x 8 x 2 = 80). This was replicated for the control condition, adding other 80 lines.

Table S5: Experiment design of the assays. Stressful conditions are indicated with "+", while the control conditions are represented by "-". Tet = *Tetrahymena thermophila*, Eug = *Euglena gracilis*, ns = no stressor, cu = copper, na = salt, tp = temperature, cs = copper + salt, ct = copper + temperature, st = salt + temperature, cst = copper+salt+temperature, T = temperature, C = copper level, S = salinity.

Species	Treatments	Variables			Nº of replicates	Stressors		
		Temperature (°C)	$CuSO_4$ (mM)	NaCl (g/L)		T	C	S
Tet	ns	25	-	-	5	-	-	-
Tet	cu	25	0.005	-	5	-	+	-
Tet	na	25	-	7.5	5	-	-	+
Tet	cs	25	0.005	3	5	-	+	+
Tet	tp	36	-	-	5	+	-	-
Tet	ct	36	0.005	-	5	+	+	-
Tet	st	36	-	7.5	5	+	-	+
Tet	cst	36	0.005	3	5	+	+	+
Eug	ns	25	-	-	5	-	-	-
Eug	cu	25	0.005	-	5	-	+	-
Eug	na	25	-	7.5	5	-	-	+
Eug	cs	25	0.015	4.5	5	-	+	+
Eug	tp	33	-	-	5	+	-	-
Eug	ct	33	0.005	-	5	+	+	-
Eug	st	33	-	7.5	5	+	-	+
Eug	cst	33	0.015	4.5	5	+	+	+

S6 *Ostreococcus tauri* and *Picochlorum costavermella*

Authors

Edith Vidal, Marc Krasovec and Gwenael Piganeau

Study organism

Ostreococcus tauri (Mamiellophyceae, Chlorophyta) is a photosynthetic marine picoeukaryote (cell diameter smaller than 2 µm). It was first discovered in the Mediterranean Thau Lagoon (30). *Ostreococcus* species can be dominant during phytoplanktonic blooms in coastal seas, and are the smallest photosynthetic eukaryotic with haploid and very compact genomes of 13 Mb (31, 32). While meiosis has never been observed in the lab, population genomic analyses provided evidence for meiosis and recombination in natural population in *O. tauri* (33).

Picochlorum costavermella (Trebouxiophyceae, Chlorophyta) is a marine unicellular green alga (cell diameter 1.4µm). This unicellular coccoid microalga has a broader halotolerance and thermotolerance range as compared to *Ostreococcus*, as *P. costavermella* cultures can stand freshwater to hypersaline media changes (34). *P. costavermella* RCC4223 has been isolated in 2011 from the estuary of the coastal river "La Massane" (NW Mediterranean Sea, France). *P. costavermella* has a compact haploid 13.3Mb genome (34) .

Experimental evolution phase

Experimental setup and treatments

In preliminary tests, we performed a literature survey about growth rates as a function of temperature and salinity compared to our standard laboratory control conditions (Table S6.1). This survey suggested a temperature range up to 34 and 35°C and a maximum salinity range up to 50 and 80 g.L^{-1}, for *O. tauri* and *P. costavermella*, respectively. We performed an initial test on 32°C and 35°C as well as an increased salinity (Table S6.2). These conditions decreased the growth rate of the strains without imposing high mortality (Table S6.3). One incubator per temperature was used, with a lateral light source.

Table S6.1 Photoperiod, media and light intensity of the control conditions

Species	All species
Temperature	26°C
Media	L1 (NCMA, Bigelow Lab for Ocean Science, USA)
Hours Day:Night	8:16
Light Intensity	50 µmol.m^{-2}.s^{-1}
Incubator	Memmert IPP110 ECO PLUS

Table S6.2 Conditions of the experimental evolution experiment for each species.

Species	Control		Temperature		Salt		Temperature + Salt		Experiment
O. tauri	26°C	0.60M	32°C	0.60M	26°C	0.86M	32°C	0.86M	Exp1
P. costavermella	26°C	0.60M	35°C	0.60M	26°C	1.37M	35°C	1.37M	Exp1
P. costavermella	26°C	0.60M	35°C	0.60M	26°C	1.03M	35°C	1.03M	Exp2

544 **Table S6.3:** Average growth rates and average growth rate decrease (in percent) in control versus stressful
545 experiments over 6 replicates.

Species	Control	Temperature (g | %)		Salt (g | %)		Temperature + Salt (g | %)		Experiment
O. tauri	1.90	1.87	-1.5%	1.83	-55%	1.60	-63%	Exp1
P. costavermella	1.83	1.54	-16%	1.74	-57%	1.05	-95%	Exp1
P. costavermella	1.52	1.00	-34%	1.46	-3.9%	1.26	-17%	Exp2

546
547 The initial fitness measurements were performed for each species from a clonal culture
548 maintained at the Culture Collection of the GENOPHY team. Fitness was estimated by the
549 growth rate, *g*, the number of divisions per day, *t*, during the exponential growth phase of the
550 culture. *N*(*t*) is the number of cells at day *t*.

$$g = \frac{N(t)}{N(0)} e^{1/t}$$

The cell concentration was followed over 20 consecutive days to assess the range of cell
concentrations corresponding to the exponential phase in each condition (for details on cell
counting protocol see below). The growth rates measured under the control and stress
conditions allowed the exponential growth rate to be estimated from $N(0) = 10^5$ cells to $N(7)$
that is 7 days after inoculation. The initial stress response was then estimated by the average
growth rate over the seven first days of the experiment (Table S6.3), each well was inoculated
with 10^5 cells at the start of each cycle.

<u>Rearing and transferring protocol</u>
We started from a culture that originated from one single cell sorted by dilution. The ancestor
culture is thus isogenic, with the exception of variants due to spontaneous mutations. The
spontaneous point mutation rate as well as the spontaneous aneuploidy rate is known for
these two species (33, 35, 36).
The long-term protocol was performed in 24 well plaques (2mL per well). Each well was
inoculated with 10^5 cells every seven days in a total volume of 1mL. Cells count was performed
using a Beckman-Coulter CytoFLEX flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, USA) equipped with
three lasers emitting light in spatially separated pathways at 405 nm (80 mW), 488 nm (50
mW), and 561 nm (30 mW). Subsequent estimations of cell concentrations were calculated
using Becton-Dickinson TrucountTM beads. We used the software CytExpert (v. 2.3.1.22),
generating listmode (FCS 3.0) files. Phytoplankton cells were analysed unstained and
enumerated based on chlorophyll a fluorescence (692 nm maximum emission) and side
scatter (SSC). The concentration of cells was used to estimate the volume required to transfer
10^5 cells from each cell line into fresh media at each transfer. At the start of the experiment,
the cultures were left 7 days under each treatment prior to the first measurement. The
number of cells was then estimated every week (corresponding to one cycle) in their own
evolutionary treatment for the complete duration of the experiment.
In total we established 6 replicates per species and condition, corresponding to a single line
in a 24 wells plate. For *P. costavermella* the experiment was restarted (Table S6.2-3, Exp1-

Exp2) because of too harsh multiple stress conditions, so that we have: 2 species (*P.*
costavermella and *O. tauri*) x 4 treatments x 6 biological replicates for 17 cycles (119 days),
and 1 species (*P. costavermella*) x 4 treatments x 6 biological replicates for 12 cycles (84 days).
585
586   **Table S6.4:** Number of cycles per experiment.

Species	Control	Temperature	Salt	Temperature + Salt	Experiment
O. tauri	17	17	17	17	Exp1
P. costavermella	17	17	17	0	Exp1
P. costavermella	12	12	12	12	Exp2

587
588   **Final phenotypic tests and data collection**
589   In the last cycle, cells were transferred once more in their own evolutionary treatment and
590   counted by flow cytometry as described, but they were not assayed in control conditions in
591   the absence of stressors.
592

S7 *Paramecium caudatum*

Authors

Oliver Kaltz, Marie-Ange Devillez

Study organism

The freshwater ciliate *Paramecium caudatum* represents a classic model system for genetic,

developmental and physiological study (37). It has also been used for ecological studies (38,

39) and experimental evolution (40, 41). Previous work has shown that *P. caudatum* is highly

sensitive to change in temperature and osmotic stress (37, 41–44). For the present study, we

used the strain KS3, collected in Germany (45) and kept in the OK lab since 2019. Under

standard conditions, we keep *Paramecium* mass cultures at 23°C, in a lettuce medium, made

from organic lettuce, Volvic^{TM} mineral water, and supplemented with the bacterium *Serratia*

marcescens as food resource (46).

Experimental evolution phase

Experimental setup and treatments

From a series of pilot experiment, testing the KS3 strain over a range of temperatures and salt

concentrations, we determined the conditions for the long-term experiment, consisting of a

control treatment (23°C), a single-temperature treatment (30°C), a single-salt treatment (2g/L

of NaCl), and a combined treatment (28°C and 2g/L of NaCl).

From a KS3 stationary-phase mass culture at 23°C, we established replicate cultures of 20mL

(in 50mL tubes), with a starting density of 100 individuals and exposed to the four above

treatments (control, single-stress, double-stress). For the temperature treatments, tubes

were placed in incubators set to the appropriate temperature.

Over the course of 9 days, population density was tracked in 1- to 2-day intervals, by removing

small samples of up to 300µL and counting the number of individuals under a dissecting

microscope. After 4 days, we replenished the cultures, by adding 1mL of culture medium. For

each treatment, we established four replicates (16 replicates in total).

Rearing and transferring protocol

From a KS3 stationary-phase mass culture at 23°C, we established replicate cultures of 150mL

(in 250mL glass bottles) with initially c. 1000 individuals. These were assigned to the four

treatments, with 5 long-term replicates per treatment (= 20 lines in total). For the

temperature treatments, tubes were placed in incubators set to the appropriate

temperature. The long-term culture protocol consisted of weekly transfers of 30mL of culture

to a new bottle, to which we added 120mL of freshly prepared medium, adjusted for the

appropriate salt concentration. Over the course of 1 year, 48 transfers were accomplished,

corresponding to ≥96 population doublings (i.e., asexual generations).

Final phenotypic tests and data collection

At the end of the long-term experiment, each evolved line was tested in its own long-term environment and in the control environment. These assays were run in 50mL tubes, and initiated by placing 200 individuals of a given long-term line in 20mL of lettuce medium, adjusted to the appropriate salt concentration. The tubes were then transferred to the appropriate temperature condition. Densities were tracked over 13 days, as described above.

S8 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

Authors

Jeanne Hamet, Stéphanie Bedhomme

Study organism

Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PA) is a gram-negative bacterium and opportunistic pathogen,
responsible for a growing number of nosocomial infections due to its frequent resistance to
antibiotics (47). It is a ubiquitous organism, with a preference for environments close to
human activity (48). PA can be observed in planktonic form, but most of the time, it is
observed in biofilms (49). This habitat enables it to protect itself from biotic and abiotic
environmental stresses (50). In standardized laboratory conditions, at 37°C and classical
culture broth (LB Broth), they asexually divide with a generation time of 30 minutes (51).
Strain PAO1 (Taxonomy ID: 208964) was chosen here because its genome has been
sequenced and it is a model laboratory strain(52). This strain was isolated from a wound (53).

Experimental evolution phase

Experimental setup and treatments

We designed four evolutionary treatments, a control, copper stressor, salt stressor and salt
plus copper stressor. To decide on the concentrations of salt and copper in the three stress
treatments, growth curves were realised over a range of salt and copper stress concentrations
in a crossed design allowing us to define a 2-dimension niche. A pre-culture was set up from
the glycerol stock of the ancestral strain (PAO1) and cultivated in 4mL of LB Broth at 37°C with
220 rpm orbital shaking overnight. 2μL of the pre-culture was then transferred to each well
of a microtiter plate containing 200μL of LB broth and various concentrations of salt (0, 0.1,
0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9 and 1M Sodium chloride) and copper (0, 0.001, 0.002, 0.003,
0.004, 0.005, 0.006 and 0.007M copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate) in triplicate. Sodium chloride
and copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate were ordered from Sigma Aldrich. Growth curves (density
over time) were obtained monitoring the optical density at 550nm (OD550) during 24h with
a Tecan plate reader following the program indicated in Table S8.1.

Table S8.1 Description of the 30-minute cycle used to follow the optical density of growing bacterial populations

Length	Action	Description
5s	Absorbance observation	number of points measured : 5
		OD 550nm
22min	Waiting time	
5min	Shaking time	Shaking (Double Orbital) amplitude: 2,mm
		Shaking frequency: 108rpm
2min	Waiting time	

674 For each simple and double stress treatment, we chose the experimental evolution stressor
675 concentrations causing a reduction in absolute fitness close to 50% (details in table S8.2).
676

677 **Table S8.2** Description of the 4 evolutionary treatments

Name	Treatment	Concentration
S0	Control	
S1	Salt	0.7M NaCl
S2	Copper	0,00325M $CuSO_4^-$
S3	Salt + Copper	0.5M NaCl - 0,002M $CuSO_{4-}$

Prior to the experiment, a pre-culture was set up from the glycerol stock of the ancestral
strain (PAO1) and cultivated in 4mL of LB Broth at 37°C with 220 rpm orbital shaking for 24
hours. All experimental evolution lines are thus derived from a clonal ancestor. To begin the
experimental evolution, we added 12µL of bacterial culture to 1188µL of medium in a
Deepwell plate. 12 replicate populations were set up for each of the four evolutionary
treatments. From these initial mixes, 200µL were transferred to a microtiter plate.
Treatments were distributed in a checkerboard pattern with the same positions on each plate,
and evolution medium in alternating wells to identify medium contamination or high levels
of cross-contamination during transfer. The Deepwell plate was incubated for 24 hours at
37°C, with 220 rpm orbital shaking. The microtiter plate was incubated at 37°C, with shaking
and OD550 was recorded following the cycle described in Table S8.1.

Rearing and transferring protocol
On following days during experimental evolution, 10µL of each population was transferred to
a new plate containing 990µL of fresh medium (10^{-2} dilution, giving approximately 6.6
generations/day). When a population had low turbidity, we transferred 20µL of that
population (Table S8.3). Each transfer started a new cycle.

Table S8.3 List of the 20µL transfers during experimental evolution.

Cycle	Stress	Population
5	Copper	C11
10	Copper	CN2
22	Copper	C2
22	Copper	C3
22	Copper	C6
22	Salt + Copper	CN10
26	Salt + Copper	CN10

Populations were maintained for 26 cycles, resulting in approximately 173 generations of
experimental evolution. At cycle 10 and 26, one line in the salt and copper treatment (S3)
went extinct despite transferring 20µL of the evolved population, leaving 46 lines for the final
phenotypic assays. Frozen archives of the evolving populations were stored at cycle 1, 4, 10,

16, 22 and 26 in the form of glycerol stocks. Each week, we mixed 280μL of homogenate from
each evolved population with 350μL of 70% glycerol saline solution, and stored at -70°C.
705

Final phenotypic tests and data collection
At cycle 26, we closely followed the growth of the evolutionary lines in their own
environment. We added 2μL of the populations to 198μL of their evolutionary medium in a
microtiter plate, and growth curves were obtained by monitoring the OD550 as previously
described. We also tested the lines in control condition. We defrosted the glycerol stock from
cycle 26, and 10μL of each evolutionary line was transferred to 990μL of their evolutionary
medium and incubated at 37°C, with 220 rpm orbital shaking for 24 hours. Afterwards, we
added 2μL of each population to 198μL of control medium in a microtiter plate. The
populations that did not grow during the final tests are listed in Table S8.4.
715

716   **Table S8.4** Populations that did not grow in the final phenotypic tests.

Evolutionary treatment	Final assay environment	Population
Salt	Salt	Pae_06
Copper	Copper	Pae_14
Salt + Copper	Salt + Copper	Pae_43
Salt + Copper	Salt + Copper	Pae_47

717
718

S9 *Ralstonia pseudosolanacearum*

Authors

Delphine Capela, Alice Guidot, Philippe Remigi

Study organism

Ralstonia solanacearum is a soil bacterium belonging to the beta subclass of Proteobacteria. It is a species complex responsible for the bacterial wilt disease on more than 250 plant species in tropical and subtropical countries (54). The strain GMI1000 isolated from Tomato in French Guyana (55) was used as a model strain in many different studies and its genome was the first *R. solanacearum* genome completely sequenced (56)

Experimental evolution phase

Experimental setup and treatments

Liquid cultures of GMI1000 strain were carried out in MP synthetic medium which composition is as follows (g liter^{-1}): $FeSO_4$ $7H_2O$, 1.25 10^{-4}; $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, 0.5; $MgSO_4$ $7H_2O$, 0.05; KH_2PO_4, 3.4. The pH was adjusted to 6.5 with KOH (Plener *et al.*, 2010). The MP synthetic liquid medium was supplemented with L-glutamine(10 mM) and oligo-elements (ZnSO4 7 H2O 55 µg.L^{-1}, H_3BO_3 28.5 µg.L^{-1}, MnCl2 4·H2O 12.6 µg.L^{-1}, CoCl2 6·H2O 4 µg.L^{-1}, CuSO4 5·H2O 3.9 µg.L^{-1}, (NH4)6Mo7O24 4·H2O 2.8 µg.L^{-1}) (57). NaCl was added at final concentrations of 75 or 150 mM as needed (see below).

The standard rearing temperature of *R. solanacearum* growth in laboratory conditions is 28°C. In preliminary tests conducted before the start of the long-term experiments, we assayed growth at higher temperatures. We observed that growth rate was strongly reduced at 37°C (about 5 folds reduction) but was completely inhibited at 38°C. We therefore decided to keep 37°C as the high temperature stress condition. The impact of NaCl addition on GMI1000 growth rate was more linear. The addition of NaCl at a concentration of 150 mM in the bacterial culture reduced the growth rate (about 5 folds reduction). We therefore decided to use 75 and 150 mM NaCl as salt stress conditions at 37 and 28°C, respectively. The ancestral strain GMI1000 was revived from glycerol stock on plates containing rich agar medium (bacto peptone 10 g.L^{-1}, casamino acids 1 g.L^{-1}, yeast extract 1 g.L^{-1}) for 2 days at 28°C. One individual colony was used to inoculate 100 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 20 mL MP-glutamine liquid medium and was incubated overnight at 28°C. After 24 h, optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) was measured, and the pre-culture was used to inoculate 100 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 20 mL MP-glutamine (at starting OD_{600} = 0.001) in four different evolutionary treatments: control (28°C, 0 mM NaCl), saline stress (28°C, 150 mM NaCl), temperature stress (37°C, 0 mM NaCl), and the combination of two stresses (37°C, 75 mM NaCl).

Rearing and transferring protocol

Five independent cultures were inoculated for each treatment. After 24 hours of growth in

760 these conditions, OD_{600} was measured, the cultures were used to re-inoculate new cultures
761 in the same conditions (starting at OD_{600} = 0.001), and aliquots of the cultures were stored at
762 -80°C in the presence of 20% glycerol. The same protocol was applied for 15 cycles.
763
Final phenotypic tests and data collection
We measured the growth rate of all evolved lines in control and in their own evolutionary
condition. The initial growth of the ancestor was tested as well in all four conditions (three
stress and control conditions). To standardize these assays, the ancestor strain and the
evolved populations were first restarted from frozen glycerol stocks in their evolution
medium and incubated for 24 hours at 28°C. These precultures were used to generate, for
each population, multiple 100 μl aliquots of bacterial cultures containing 20% glycerol that
were stored at -80°C. To initiate a phenotypic test, one entire frozen aliquot per population
was thawed in 1ml of its evolution medium and 50 μl or 100 μl of these suspensions were
used to inoculate an overnight pre-culture in the evolution conditions (ancestral strain
GMI1000 was grown in control). On the next day, the pre-cultures were used to inoculate
fresh 20 mL cultures either in control or in evolution medium (ancestral strain GMI1000 was
grown in control as well as in all stress conditions). The starting OD_{600} of these cultures were
adjusted between 0.000003 and 0.01, so that all populations reached an OD_{600}~0.05-0.1 after
~16 hours (the next morning), from which point OD_{600} was monitored every ~2 hours for ~8-
10 hours. After 24 hours, evolved populations grown in control condition were used to
inoculate new 20 mL cultures in their evolution treatment and their growth was followed as
during the first round of culture, in order to estimate the effect of pre-culture condition on
the growth in the stressful environment. The whole experiment was performed at least three
times independently for each of the lines.
784

S10 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

Authors

Apolline Vedrenne, Diego Segond, Delphine Sicard and Thibault Nidelet

Study organism

Saccharomyces cerevisiae is the most known of yeasts also called baker yeast (58). We worked with three strains: EC1118 (a commercial strain that is used as reference in oenological research) and M1OA, a strain from our collection and collected from vineyards around Montpellier. Under our experimental conditions, yeast divides asexually by budding with a generation time of around 2h (59).

Experimental evolution phase

Experimental setup and treatments

Two different strains of Saccharomyces cerevisiae (EC1118, and M1OA) were inoculated into deepwell microplates with a starting population of 10^6 cells/mL. Each strain was inoculated in 4 different media: an unstressed medium with a temperature of 28°C and no copper, a high-temperature stress medium (33°C) with no copper, a medium with a temperature of 28°C but stressed by a high copper concentration (1g/L) a doubly stressed environment with a high temperature of 33°C and a high copper concentration (1g/L). The based medium was a synthetic must that we use for all our experiments (Bely *et al.*, 1990). It contains mainly 200g of sugars and 200mg of assimilable nitrogen sources, as well as phytosterols and other essential nutrients. These conditions have been chosen after preliminary experiments.

Rearing and transferring protocol

For each strain and each medium, three independent lineages were started. Twice a week, 80% of the medium was scattered and replaced by fresh medium. The experiment was carried out for 26 cycles (93 days). Once a week, yeast population growth was determined by cytometry, using an Attune NxT (Life Technologies) with 200 µL/min flux. At the end of the evolutionary phase, we froze all our evolved lines (populations).

Final phenotypic tests and data collection

We thawed all the evolved lines, including the ancestral populations, and grew them for 24 days in yeast extract peptone dextrose (YPD), a neutral environment. We then inoculated in microplates (at 10^6 cells/ml) each of the lines, including the ancestors, in triplicate in their own evolutionary conditions (no stress, high temperature, high copper concentration and double stress), and in control conditions in the absence of stressors. Ancestors were tested in the four evolutionary conditions. As previously illustrated, we used cytometry and measured population density (OD) during 24h.

S11 *Sinorhizobium meliloti*

Authors

Laurent Sauviac and Claude Bruand

Study organism

Sinorhizobium meliloti is a soil bacterium belonging to the alpha subclass of Proteobacteria. It is the natural nitrogen fixing microsymbiont of legume plants of the *Medicago* and *Melilotus* genera, including the forage crop alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) and the model legume *Medicago truncatula*. The strain *Sinorhizobium meliloti* CBT2801 is a derivative of the strain Rm2011 (60).

Experimental evolution phase

<u>Experimental setup and treatments</u>

Liquid cultures of *S. meliloti* were carried out in Vincent minimal medium: VMM; 7.35 mM KH_2PO_4, 5.74 mM K_2HPO_4, 1 mM $MgSO_4$, 456 µM $CaCl_2$, 35 µM $FeCl_3$, 4 µM biotin, 48.5 µM H_3BO_3, 10 µM $MnSO_4$, 1 µM $ZnSO_4$, 0.5 µM $CuSO_4$, 0.27 µM $CoCl_2$, 0.5 µM $NaMoO_4$, 10 mM sodium succinate, 18.7mM NH_4Cl pH 7, always supplemented with 100 µg.ml^{-1} streptomycin (Sm). NaCl was added at final concentrations of 250 or 400 mM as needed (see below). The standard rearing temperature of *S. meliloti* growth in laboratory conditions is 28°C. In preliminary tests conducted before the start of the long-term experiments, we assayed growth at higher temperatures. Bacteria grew better at 37°C than at 28°C, their growth rate was strongly reduced at 40°C and above, as was the maximal culture density. Moreover, addition of even low salt concentrations at 40°C led to a complete cessation of growth. We observed that at 39.5°C, the strain grew more slowly than at 28°C (~2-fold reduction), while reaching a normal maximal culture density, and supporting the addition of salt (see below). We therefore decided to keep 39.5°C as the high temperature stress condition. NaCl addition at concentrations of 200-250 mM had little effect on growth at 28°C, which was significantly reduced (~2-fold) at concentrations of 350 mM and above. At 39.5°C, addition of 250 mM NaCl further slowed down bacterial growth (~60% reduction) without affecting maximum cell density. We therefore decided to use 250 and 400 mM NaCl as salt stress conditions at 39.5 and 28°C, respectively.

Five independent clones isolated on LB rich medium supplemented with 2.5 mM $MgSO_4$, 2.5 mM $CaCl_2$ and 100 µg.ml^{-1} Sm were used to inoculate tubes containing 5 mL VMM and incubated overnight at 28°C under agitation. After 24h, optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) was measured, and cultures were used to inoculate 100 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 20 mL VMM (at starting $OD_{600} = 0.001$) in four different evolutionary treatments: control (28°C, 0 mM NaCl), saline stress (28°C, 400 mM NaCl), temperature stress (39.5°C, 0 mM NaCl), and the combination of two stresses (39.5°C, 250 mM NaCl).

867 <u>Rearing and transferring protocol</u>
868 After 24 hours of growth in the four evolutionary conditions, OD_{600} was measured, the
869 cultures were used to re-inoculate new cultures in the same conditions (starting at OD_{600} =
870 0.001), and aliquots of the cultures were stored at -80°C in the presence of 20% glycerol. The
871 same protocol was applied for 20 cycles.
872

Final phenotypic tests and data collection
We measured the growth rate of all evolved lines in control and in their own evolutionary
condition. The initial growth of the ancestor was tested as well in all four conditions (three
stress and control conditions). The ancestor strain as well as populations evolved for 20 cycles
were first restarted from frozen glycerol stocks in 5 ml tubes of VMM (start OD_{600}= 0.001)
incubated for 24 hours at 28°C. These precultures were used to inoculate 100 ml flasks
containing 20 ml VMM at 28°C. After ~16 hours (overnight) OD_{600} was monitored every ~2
hours for ~8-10 hours, to generate the first dataset (fitness of each line in control conditions).
24 hours post-inoculation, 20 mL cultures were again inoculated from each of these cultures
(start OD_{600}= 0.001), but then incubated in the evolutionary condition of the tested line,
except for the ancestor which was grown in the four evolutionary conditions. After this first
cycle of 24 hours, this step was repeated, and after 16 hours of growth, OD_{600} was monitored
every ~2 hours for ~8-24 hours, to generate the second dataset (fitness of each line in their
own evolutionary treatment). The whole experiment was performed at least three times
independently for each of the lines.

S12 *Tetrahymena thermophila* (D16, D19, D21, D3)

Authors

Delphine Legrand, Staffan Jacob, Michèle Huet, Hervé Philippe

Study organism

Tetrahymena thermophila is a free-living ciliate living from northern America found in freshwater ecosystems. It is a main actor of aquatic trophic chains, as a grazer of bacteria and prey of mesoplanktonic species (61). It is a historical model species in cellular and molecular biology, which has led to major discoveries like identifications of dynein or telomere structure (28, 62), and has more recently turned into an experimental model in ecological and evolutionary studies, for instance in the fields of dispersal, adaptation and phenotypic plasticity (*e.g.*, (63–65)). The species alternates phases of sexual and asexual reproduction in nature, and can be long-maintained as clonal populations in laboratory. Under standard conditions (24-well plates, 23°C, aqueous 0.3X PPYE medium, 0.6% of Proteose Peptone and 0.06% Yeast Extract), the asexual generation time is about 4-6 hours.

Here, we used six clonally reproducing *T. thermophila* strains, namely D3, D8, D10, D16, D19 and D21, initially collected by Pr Paul Doerder and maintained in our laboratory for ~20 years. These strains were recently sequenced (66), which revealed close genomic proximity between D8, D19 and D21, a probable recent cross between a D8/D19/D21-like strain and a divergent strain leading to D16, and diverging genomes for D3 and D10, the only two strains whose origin seems to match the initial sampling of Pr P. Doerder.

Experimental evolution phase

Experimental setup and treatments

To obtain the six ancestors' cultures, we filled six 1L Erlenmeyers with 100mL of PPYE 0.3X in which we inoculated 100µL of 7-old stock cultures. Erlens were placed in an incubator at 23°C and gently shaken during seven days to obtain sufficient initial densities to start the experiment.

We then established four evolutionary treatments (Control, Salt, the antibiotic Chloramphenicol, Salt + Chloramphenicol) by manipulating salinity and chloramphenicol concentrations (Table S12.1), two pollutants that incur serious threats to aquatic ecosystems (67, 68). Pollutants' concentrations were chosen based on pilot experiments in which we exposed the strains to a gradient of salt concentrations (from 0 to 20g/L) and a gradient of chloramphenicol concentrations (from 0 to 500mg/L). Treatment concentrations (5g/L for NaCl and 40mg/L for chloramphenicol) were fixed so that they reduced but not stopped the growth over all strains. As strains have their own growth capacities, the initial growth reduction was strain-specific (see details on growth measurements below).

929   **Table S12.1** Description of the four evolutionary treatments. These four treatments were conducted in 0.3X
930   PPYE medium.

Treatment	Concentration
Control	Pollutant-free
Salt	5g/L NaCl
Chloramphenicol	40mg/L
Salt + Chloramphenicol	5g/L NaCl - 40mg/L

To initiate experimental evolution, we filled three 24-well plates with 2mL of each of the four
evolutionary treatments, for a total of 12 managed plates. Each strain was exposed to the
four evolutionary treatments in six replicates, leading to 144 evolved populations (6 strains x
4 treatments x 6 replicates = 144). Each plate contained two replicates of the six strains,
meaning that 12 wells were left empty in a checkerboard configuration to limit cross-
contaminations. We randomized the position of each strain between the three plates
containing the same evolutionary treatments to control for the effect of the well position. To
initiate the experiment, we inoculated 100µL of ancestral populations.
To estimate initial growth (fitness), we used growth rate as the fitness proxy. At the time of
evolved populations inoculation in 24-well plates, we parallelly inoculated 10µL (~100 to
1,000 cells depending on the strain) of ancestral cultures in five technical replicates into 96-
well plates pre-filled with 250 µL of each of the four treatments (with randomized position of
both strains and treatments). Population growth was quantified by measuring Optical Density
(OD) at 450nm every four hours during 20 days (sufficient delay to reach the stationary phase
for each strain x treatment condition) using a microplate reader (Tecan Infinite
spectrophotometer). OD at 450nm is positively correlated with *T. thermophila* cell density in
our laboratory conditions (*e.g.,*(64)).

<u>Rearing and transfer protocol</u>
Evolved populations were maintained in the 24-well plates during five months and a half, with
plate transfer using 5% of populations (100µL over the 2mL of each well) every seven days
during two months (10 cycles), and then every 14 days until the end of the experiment (7
cycles). We indeed observed that some evolved populations had critical densities requiring
longer growth periods to ensure their survival. In total, we thus performed 17 cycles. Under
standard conditions, the duration of the experiment corresponds to ~800 asexual
generations.

Final phenotypic tests and data collection
During experimental evolution, two types of data were collected:
i) growth rates by spectrophotometry following the protocol described above for the
ancestral populations at cycles 17 (final time, 168 days). We placed 10µL of the 144 evolved
populations in the four treatments immediately after pipetting (144 evolved lines x 4
treatments x 5 replicates = 2,880 growth assays). We further assayed the obtained 144
evolved lines in control conditions.

ii) cell densities seven days after inoculation in fresh plates by video analysis at cycles 1
(ancestors) and 17 (final time, 168 days). To do so, we pipetted 10µl of each evolved
population into multichambered counting slides (Kima precision cell) and immediately took
15s videos from each chamber under darkfield macroscopy to count the number of cells using
the BEMOVI R-package (17). These videos were used to estimate cell densities in the
treatment of evolution, so as to check for population extinctions.
972
From videos and complementary observation of whole wells under a macroscope, we
observed that all populations survived. However, some had very low densities, zero to three
cells in the 10µL sampled for video analyses, which corresponds to no more than ~600 cells
at the population scale. At such low densities after seven days of growth, we are unable to
properly assess growth rates using spectrometry (cell number below the detection threshold).
This was the case of all D10 replicates in the multiple stressor treatment. We thus excluded
this strain from the final analyses. Besides, ancestors of strain D8 did not grow in the control
treatment, meaning that we could not assess its initial fitness. We suspect a pipetting
omission when performing the growth assays of D8 ancestor. We thus excluded D8 from final
analyses, despite having evolved all populations correctly. In the end, we only kept strains D3,
D16, D19 and D21 for the final data analyses.
984

 S13 *Vibrio aestuarianus*

Authors

Fiona Elmaleh, Gaelle Courtay, Guillaume M. Charrière

Study organism

Vibrio aestuarianus is a gram-negative halophile γ-proteobacteria. It is an actively studied

oyster pathogen that has colonized Europe since the second half of the 20th century (69). The

pathogenic subspecies *Vibrio aestuarianus francensis,* like the 12/016 strain, is a primary

pathogen for adult oysters and was shown recently to carry a wide array of copper-resistance

genes when compared to the non-pathogenic environmental stain, like *Vibrio aestuarianus*

U29 (69).

Experimental evolution phase

Experimental setup and treatments

To start our experiment, we used 2 strains (12/016 and U29) isolated from diseased oysters

or within the environment respectively. *V. aestuarianus* strains were cultivated in LB-0.3M

NaCl at 25°C under agitation for all the experiments. Four evolutionary treatments, a control,

copper stress, salt stress and salt plus copper stress were chosen. To decide on the

concentrations of salt and copper in the three stress treatments, growth curves were

performed over a range of salt and copper stress concentrations in a crossed design allowing

to define a 2-dimension niche. A pre-culture was set up from the glycerol stock of the

ancestral strains (12/016 or U29) and cultivated in 4mL of LB-NaCl Broth at 25°C with 150 rpm

orbital shaking overnight. After adjusting OD600 at 1, 2µL of the pre-culture was then

transferred to each well of a microtiter plate containing 200µL of LB broth and various

concentrations of sodium chloride and/or copper (copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate) in

triplicate. Sodium chloride and copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate were ordered from Sigma

Aldrich. The optical density at 600nm (OD600) was monitored during 24h with a plate reader

with 5 min double orbital agitation just before reading, readings were acquired every 20 min.

1014

These experiments allowed us to establish 4 evolutionary treatments in 3 replicates (Table

S9.1) by testing different concentrations of $CuSO_4$ and NaCl. In the control non-stressful

treatment, we used LB-0.3M NaCl. For the 3 stressful treatments (copper, salt, and the

combination of the two), pilot experiments indicated that for 12/016 strain, $CuSO_4$ at 2.5M,

NaCl at 0.84M, and 1.25M $CuSO_4$ + 1.125M NaCl allowed to obtain about 50% initial reduction

of growth. For U29 strain, $CuSO_4$ at 1.25M, NaCl at 0.84M, and 0.625M $CuSO_4$ + 0.84M NaCl

allowed to obtain about 30% initial reduction of growth rate.

For each strain, the experimental microplates were set up with triplicate for each strain and

for each stress condition. Microplate wells were filled with 180µl of media and inoculated

with 20µl of culture at OD600 equal to 1 to obtain a final OD600 at 0.01 and incubated at 25°C

under double orbital agitation in a microplate reader. This gave us a total of 24 evolutionary

replicates: 2 strains x 4 stressor conditions x 3 replicates (Table S13.1).

1027

1028 **Table S13.1** Evolutionary treatments and number of replicates at the start and end of the experiment.

Strain ID	Salinity	Copper	Stress	Replicates
12/016	0.3M	0	Control	3
12/016	0	1.25M	Copper	3
12/016	0.84M	0	Salt	3
12/016	0.84M	0.625M	Copper + Salt	3
U29	0.3M	0	Control	3
U29	0	2.5M	Copper	3
U29	0.84M	0	Salt	3
U29	1.125M	1.25M	Copper + Salt	3

1029

<u>Rearing and transferring protocol</u>

To start each experiment, a pre-culture was set up from a colony and cultivated in 4mL of LB-

0.3M NaCl at 25°C with 150 rpm orbital shaking for 24 hours. All experimental evolution lines

were derived from the same clonal ancestor. For 12/016 strain, evolution lines were

propagated every 48h (to achieve stationary phase) by 1/100 dilution in a new microplate.

For U29, evolution lines were propagated every 12h (to achieve stationary phase) by 1/100

dilution in a new microplate. Readings of OD600 were acquired every 20min for each well.

After propagation, each microplate was cryopreserved at -80°C after adding 15% of glycerol

per well. For 12/016 strain, the experiment lasted for 46 days (22 cycles), corresponding to ~

180 generations. For U29 strain, the experiment lasted 8 days (16 cycles), corresponding to ~

128 generations.

1041

Final phenotypic tests and data collection

As described above, initial and final growth rate was obtained in its own evolutionary

treatment via spectrophotometry at cycles 1 and 22 for strain 12/016, and at cycle 1 and 16

for strain U29. In addition, after defrosting the glycerol stock from the last cycle, 20μL of each

evolutionary line was transferred to 180μL and assayed in the control media (LB-0.3M NaCl,

incubated at 25°C), with double orbital shaking for 24 hours and OD600 recorded every

20min.

1049

Supporting Information Figures

Experimental conditions

Fig. S1 Fitness estimates (r_0) for each of the 14 species (silhouette) assayed in their own evolutionary conditions; control (grey), single stressors (blue) or multiple stressor (red). Shaded points are fitness estimates after the initial transfer to the experimental conditions, while thick points are the final fitness estimates at the end of the evolutionary experiments. The corresponding initial and final fitness estimates are therefore coupled by experimental treatments. Points and bars represent the fitness estimate and standard deviation for a biological replicate. Different strains of the same species are indicated next to their scientific name, with their silhouette repeated. Capital letters indicate the identity of the single stressors, S (Salt), T (Temperature), C (Copper), AB (Antibiotics), D (Disturbance), R (Resources), or of the multiple stressors when more than one combination was tested (*i.e.*, ST, TC, TS).

1062

Fig. S2 Posterior distributions for direct evolutionary responses of each strain. Positive values represent a gain
in fitness over the evolutionary experiment. In grey is the control in the absence of stressor, in blue and red the
single and multiple stressors respectively. The dashed lines visualize the median posterior values, and the shaded
area the 95% compatibility intervals. The posteriors were obtained by calculating the difference between final
and initial fitness for the control, single and multiple stressor evolutionary treatments respectively (14 species
and 23 strains, complete dataset).

1069

Experimental conditions

Fig. S3 Fitness estimates (r_0) for 11/14 species (silhouette) assayed in control conditions, the 3 empty plots correspond to species for which such back-to-control conditions were not tested. The evolutionary treatment of origin is indicated by different colours; grey is control, blue is single stressors, and red is multiple stressors. Shaded grey points are the initial fitness estimates in control conditions, while thick points are the final fitness estimates at the end of the evolutionary experiments. Different strains of the same species are indicated next to their scientific name, with their silhouette repeated. Points and bars represent the fitness estimate and standard deviation for a biological replicate. Capital letters indicate the identity of the single stressors experienced during evolution, S (Salt), T (Temperature), C (Copper), AB (Antibiotics), D (Disturbance), R (Resources), or of the multiple stressors when more than one combination was used (*i.e.*, ST, TC, TS).

Fig. S4 Posterior distributions for indirect evolutionary responses of each strain. Positive values represent a gain in fitness over the evolutionary experiment. In grey is the control in the absence of stressor, in blue and red the single and multiple stressors respectively. The dashed lines visualize the median posterior values, and the shaded area the 95% compatibility intervals. The posteriors were obtained by calculating the difference between the final fitness of the 3 evolutionary treatments assayed in control conditions and the initial fitness in control conditions (11 species and 20 strains, reduced dataset).

Indirect evolutionary response

Direct evolutionary response

1092

Fig. S5 Density distributions of the direct response to selection (assay in own evolutionary conditions) against
the indirect evolutionary response (assay in control conditions) for the control, single and multiple stressor
evolutionary treatments (11 species and 20 strains). Closed lines are the probability regions spanning from 10%
to 95% from darker to lighter. The points and dashed lines are the median values of the posterior distributions.
The direct and indirect responses correspond to the difference between final and initial fitness, with positive
values representing a gain in fitness over the evolutionary experiment.

1099

1100

Fig. S6 Posterior distributions for direct evolutionary responses without the two plant species. Posterior distributions of the direct response to selection (fitness estimates from assay in own evolutionary conditions). The posteriors were obtained by calculating the difference between final and initial fitness for the control, single and multiple stressor evolutionary treatments respectively (12 species and 21 strains).

1101
1102
1103
1104

1105

1106
Fig. S7 Direct evolutionary response in fitness as a function of proxies of organismal complexity. (A) Change in
fitness of the taxon in function of their complexity defined as the logarithm of the number of protein coding
genes. Information for C*olpidium striatum* was not available, and it was removed from this analysis (13 species
and 22 strains). (B) Change in fitness of the taxon in function of their complexity (logarithm of the number of
protein families). The points are the mean fitness value of an independent evolutionary replicate relative to its
average control, and each colour identifies a different taxon. Dashed lines are the median, and the shaded areas
the 95% CI of the model predictions for single (blue) and multiple (red) stressors.

1114

1115

Fig. S8 (A) The localization of the mesocosms of the experiment for *A. thaliana*, with their treatment assignment
indicated with different colours: high resource, low herbivory (R+/H-, dark green); high resource, high herbivory
(R+/H+, light green); low resource, low herbivory (R-/H-, yellow). (B) Photograph of the mesocosms of the
AraBreed experimental evolution project. (C) Photographs of populations representative of the first generation
of experimental evolution in four treatments: high resource, low herbivory (R+/H-, dark green); high resource,
high herbivory (R+/H+, light green); low resource, low herbivory (R-/H-, yellow); and low resource, high herbivory
(R-/H+, red). (D) Photograph of the common garden experiment.

1123

Supporting Information References

Supporting Information References

1.   D. Weigel, Natural Variation in Arabidopsis: From Molecular Genetics to Ecological
Genomics. *Plant Physiol* **158**, 2–22 (2012).

2.   D. W. Meinke, J. M. Cherry, C. Dean, S. D. Rounsley, M. Koornneef, Arabidopsis
thaliana: A Model Plant for Genome Analysis. *Science* **282**, 662–682 (1998).

3.   M. Koornneef, D. Meinke, The development of Arabidopsis as a model plant. *Plant J* **61**,
909–921 (2010).

4.   L. Frachon, *et al.*, Intermediate degrees of synergistic pleiotropy drive adaptive
evolution in ecological time. *Nat Ecol Evol* **1**, 1551–1561 (2017).

5.   M. Exposito-Alonso, A. C. Brennan, C. Alonso-Blanco, F. X. Picó, Spatio-temporal
variation in fitness responses to contrasting environments in Arabidopsis thaliana.
Evolution (2018). https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13508.

6.   F. Vasseur, *et al.*, Nonlinear phenotypic variation uncovers the emergence of heterosis
in Arabidopsis thaliana. *PLOS Biology* **17**, e3000214 (2019).

7.   M. S. Przybylska, *et al.*, AraDiv: a dataset of functional traits and leaf hyperspectral
reflectance of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Sci Data* **10**, 314 (2023).

8.   P. de Villemereuil, O. E. Gaggiotti, M. Mouterde, I. Till-Bottraud, Common garden
experiments in the genomic era: new perspectives and opportunities. *Heredity* **116**,
249–254 (2016).

9.   P. H. Williams, C. B. Hill, Rapid-cycling populations of brassica. *Science* **232**, 1385–1389
(1986).

10. T. S. Sarkissian, L. D. Harder, Direct and indirect responses to selection on pollen size in
Brassica rapa L. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **14**, 456–468 (2001).

11. S. Takayama, A. Isogai, Self-incompatibility in plants. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* **56**, 467–489
(2005).

12. J. B. Nasrallah, Plant mating systems: self-incompatibility and evolutionary transitions
to self-fertility in the mustard family. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **47**, 54–60 (2017).

13. S. E. Ramos, F. P. Schiestl, Rapid plant evolution driven by the interaction of pollination
and herbivory. *Science* **364**, 193–196 (2019).

14. T. J. Kawecki, *et al.*, Experimental evolution. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **27**, 547–560
(2012).

15. F. Altermatt, *et al.*, Big answers from small worlds: a user's guide for protist
microcosms as a model system in ecology and evolution. *Methods in Ecology and*
Evolution **6**, 218–231 (2015).

16. L. Govaert, T. Klauschies, Eco-phenotypic feedback loops differ in multistressor
environments. *Ecology* **106**, e4480 (2025).

17. F. Pennekamp, N. Schtickzelle, O. L. Petchey, BEMOVI, software for extracting behavior
and morphology from videos, illustrated with analyses of microbes. *Ecology and*
Evolution **5**, 2584–2595 (2015).

18. A. Oren, A hundred years of Dunaliella research: 1905–2005. *Saline Syst* **1**, 2 (2005).

19. A. Ben-Amotz, Ed., *The Alga Dunaliella* (CRC Press, 2019).

20. J. E. W. Polle, L. Struwe, E. Jin, Identification and characterization of a new strain of the
unicellular green alga Dunaliella salina (Teod.) from Korea. *J Microbiol Biotechnol* **18**,
821–827 (2008).

21. D. V. S. Rao, "Cultivation, Growth Media, Divison Rates and Applications of Dunaliella
Species" in *The Alga Dunaliella*, (CRC Press, 2009).

22. C. Leung, M. Rescan, D. Grulois, L.-M. Chevin, Reduced phenotypic plasticity evolves in
less predictable environments. *Ecology Letters* **23**, 1664–1672 (2020).

23. E. M. Nelsen, J. Frankel, E. Martel, Development of the ciliature of *Tetrahymena*
thermophila. *Developmental Biology* **88**, 27–38 (1981).

24. T. Kiy, A. Tiedtke, Mass cultivation of Tetrahymena thermophila yielding high cell
densities and short generation times. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **37**, 576–579 (1992).

25. J. Frankel, Cell biology of Tetrahymena thermophila. *Methods Cell Biol* **62**, 27–125
(2000).

26. J. R. Cook, T. W. James, Light-induced division synchrony in *Euglena gracilis* var.
Bacillaris. *Experimental Cell Research* **21**, 583–589 (1960).

27. D. E. Buetow, Differential effects of temperature on the growth of *Euglena gracilis*.
Experimental Cell Research **27**, 137–142 (1962).

28. K. Collins, M. A. Gorovsky, Tetrahymena thermophila. *Curr Biol* **15**, R317-318 (2005).

29. O. Fields, M. J. Hammond, X. Xu, E. C. O'Neill, Advances in euglenoid genomics:
unravelling the fascinating biology of a complex clade. *Trends in Genetics* **41**, 251–260
(2025).

30.   C. Courties, *et al.*, Smallest eukaryotic organism. *Nature* **370**, 255–255 (1994).

31.   R. Blanc-Mathieu, *et al.*, An improved genome of the model marine alga Ostreococcus
1188   tauri unfolds by assessing Illumina de novo assemblies. *BMC Genomics* **15**, 1103 (2014).

1189   32.   S. Yau, *et al.*, Virus-host coexistence in phytoplankton through the genomic lens. *Sci*
1190   *Adv* **6**, eaay2587 (2020).

1191   33.   R. Blanc-Mathieu, *et al.*, Population genomics of picophytoplankton unveils novel
1192   chromosome hypervariability. *Science Advances* **3**, e1700239 (2017).

1193   34.   M. Krasovec, *et al.*, Genome Analyses of the Microalga Picochlorum Provide Insights
1194   into the Evolution of Thermotolerance in the Green Lineage. *Genome Biol Evol* **10**,
1195   2347–2365 (2018).

1196   35.   M. Krasovec, S. Sanchez-Brosseau, N. Grimsley, G. Piganeau, Spontaneous mutation
1197   rate as a source of diversity for improving desirable traits in cultured microalgae. *Algal*
1198   *Research* **35**, 85–90 (2018).

1199   36.   M. Krasovec, R. Merret, F. Sanchez, S. Sanchez-Brosseau, G. Piganeau, A High
1200   Frequency of Chromosomal Duplications in Unicellular Algae Is Compensated by
1201   Translational Regulation. *Genome Biol Evol* **15**, evad086 (2023).

1202   37.   R. Wichterman, *The Biology of Paramecium* (Springer US, 1986).

1203   38.   P. H. Leslie, An analysis of the data for some experiments carried out by Gause with
1204   populations of the protozoa, *Paramecium aurelia* and *Paramecium caudatum*.
1205   *Biometrika* **44**, 314–327 (1957).

1206   39.   A. Banerji, *et al.*, Density- and trait-mediated effects of a parasite and a predator in a
1207   tri-trophic food web. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **84**, 723–733 (2015).

1208   40.   A. B. Duncan, S. Fellous, O. Kaltz, Reverse Evolution: Selection Against Costly Resistance
1209   in Disease-Free Microcosm Populations of Paramecium Caudatum. *Evolution* **65**, 3462–
1210   3474 (2011).

1211   41.   J. Killeen, C. Gougat-Barbera, S. Krenek, O. Kaltz, Evolutionary rescue and local
1212   adaptation under different rates of temperature increase: a combined analysis of
1213   changes in phenotype expression and genotype frequency in Paramecium microcosms.
1214   *Molecular Ecology* **26**, 1734–1746 (2017).

1215   42.   A. B. Duncan, *et al.*, Parasite-mediated protection against osmotic stress for
1216   Paramecium caudatum infected by Holospora undulata is host genotype specific. *FEMS*
1217   *Microbiol Ecol* **74**, 353–360 (2010).

43.   S. Krenek, T. Petzoldt, T. U. Berendonk, Coping with Temperature at the Warm Edge –
Patterns of Thermal Adaptation in the Microbial Eukaryote Paramecium caudatum.
PLOS ONE **7**, e30598 (2012).

44.   A. O. Smurov, S. I. Fokin, Resistance of Paramecium Species (Ciliophora, Peniculia) to
Salinity of Environment.

45.   J. Weiler, *et al.*, Among-Strain Variation in Resistance of Paramecium caudatum to the
Endonuclear Parasite Holospora undulata: Geographic and Lineage-Specific Patterns.
Front. Microbiol. **11**, 603046 (2020).

46.   O. Restif, O. Kaltz, Condition-dependent virulence in a horizontally and vertically
transmitted bacterial parasite. *Oikos* **114**, 148–158 (2006).

47.   S. Qin, *et al.*, Pseudomonas aeruginosa: pathogenesis, virulence factors, antibiotic
resistance, interaction with host, technology advances and emerging therapeutics. *Sig*
Transduct Target Ther **7**, 199 (2022).

48.   S. Crone, *et al.*, The environmental occurrence of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *APMIS*
128, 220–231 (2020).

49.   M. T. T. Thi, D. Wibowo, B. H. A. Rehm, Pseudomonas aeruginosa Biofilms.
International Journal of Molecular Sciences **21**, 8671 (2020).

50.   P. Behzadi, Z. Baráth, M. Gajdács, It's Not Easy Being Green: A Narrative Review on the
Microbiology, Virulence and Therapeutic Prospects of Multidrug-Resistant
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Antibiotics (Basel)* **10**, 42 (2021).

51.   L. Yang, *et al.*, In Situ Growth Rates and Biofilm Development of Pseudomonas
aeruginosa Populations in Chronic Lung Infections. *Journal of Bacteriology* **190**, 2767–
2776 (2008).

52.   C. K. Stover, *et al.*, Complete genome sequence of Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1, an
opportunistic pathogen. *Nature* **406**, 959–964 (2000).

53.   B. W. Holloway, Genetic recombination in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J Gen Microbiol*
13, 572–581 (1955).

54.   F. Vailleau, S. Genin, Ralstonia solanacearum: An Arsenal of Virulence Strategies and
Prospects for Resistance. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* **61**, 25–47 (2023).

55.   C. A. Boucher, P. A. Barberis, A. PH. Trigalet, D. A. Demery, Transposon Mutagenesis of
Pseudomonas solanacearum: Isolation of Tn5-Induced Avirulent Mutants. *Microbiology*
131, 2449–2457 (1985).

56.   M. Salanoubat, *et al.*, Genome sequence of the plant pathogen Ralstonia
solanacearum. *Nature* **415**, 497–502 (2002).

57.   R. Gopalan-Nair, *et al.*, Transcriptomic profiling reveals host-specific evolutionary
pathways promoting enhanced fitness in the plant pathogen Ralstonia
pseudosolanacearum. *Microb Genom* **9**, 001142 (2023).

58.   G. H. Fleet, *Wine Microbiology and Biotechnology* (CRC Press, 1993).

59.   M. Bely, J.-M. Sablayrolles, P. Barre, Automatic detection of assimilable nitrogen
deficiencies during alcoholic fermentation in oenological conditions. *Journal of
Fermentation and Bioengineering* **70**, 246–252 (1990).

60.   E. Sallet, *et al.*, Next-generation annotation of prokaryotic genomes with EuGene-P:
application to Sinorhizobium meliloti 2011. *DNA Res* **20**, 339–354 (2013).

61.   J. R. Beaver, T. L. Crisman, The role of ciliated protozoa in pelagic freshwater
ecosystems. *Microb Ecol* **17**, 111–136 (1989).

62.   M. D. Ruehle, E. Orias, C. G. Pearson, Tetrahymena as a Unicellular Model Eukaryote:
Genetic and Genomic Tools. *Genetics* **203**, 649–665 (2016).

63.   E. A. Fronhofer, F. Altermatt, Eco-evolutionary feedbacks during experimental range
expansions. *Nature Communications* **6** (2015).

64.   S. Jacob, *et al.*, Gene flow favours local adaptation under habitat choice in ciliate
microcosms. *Nat Ecol Evol* **1**, 1407–1410 (2017).

65.   S. Jacob, *et al.*, Phenotypic plasticity and the effects of thermal fluctuations on
specialists and generalists. *Proc Biol Sci* **291**, 20240256 (2024).

66.   R. Derelle, *et al.*, The macronuclear genomic landscape within Tetrahymena
thermophila. *Microb Genom* **10**, 001175 (2024).

67.   H. A. Dugan, *et al.*, Long-term chloride concentrations in North American and European
freshwater lakes. *Sci Data* **4**, 170101 (2017).

68.   P. Grenni, V. Ancona, A. Barra Caracciolo, Ecological effects of antibiotics on natural
ecosystems: A review. *Microchemical Journal* **136**, 25–39 (2018).

69.   A. Mesnil, *et al.*, Emergence and clonal expansion of Vibrio aestuarianus lineages
pathogenic for oysters in Europe. *Molecular Ecology* **32**, 2869–2883 (2023).

1279