HIV-1 Integration Site Determines the Transcriptional Fate and Persistence of Integrated

Proviruses

PAL VK[1], DANESH A[2], CANIS M[1], DILLING RT[2], MILLER GI[2], HUYNH TT[2], COPERTINO,

D[2], BARROWS D[3], CARROLL T[3], HATZIIOANNOU T[1], JONES RB[2], LEE GQ[2],

MUECKSCH F[1,4], BIENIASZ PD[1,5]

[1]Laboratory of Retrovirology, The Rockefeller University, New York.

[2]Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New

York.

[3]Bioinformatics Resource Center, The Rockefeller University, New York.

[4]Department of Infectious Diseases, Virology, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany.

[5]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, The Rockefeller University, New York.

14
Correspondence to:
Frauke Muecksch (frauke.muecksch@uni-heidelberg.de)
Paul Bieniasz (pbieniasz@rockefeller.edu)

Summary

The mechanisms by which latent HIV-1 reservoirs persist during antiretroviral therapy is

incompletely understood. Here, we derive a model system to measure clonal expansion and viral

latency in which populations of human memory CD4$^+$ T cells, each bearing a single

transcriptionally active HIV-1 provirus are engrafted into immunodeficient mice. Over ~2 months

in vivo, clonal expansion and the establishment of latency occurred in subsets of engrafted

infected cells. Clonal expansion *in vivo* was driven by T-cell receptor identity, but not by proviral

insertional mutagenesis. The integration sites of proviruses that became latent *in vivo* were

enriched on chromosome 19, in intergenic and centromeric satellite regions, and genes whose

expression is atypically low. Pre-existing repressive epigenetic features were associated with

latency for subsets of proviruses. Our findings suggest a confluency of genomic and epigenomic

factors predispose certain genomic locations, including ZNF genes, to host proviruses that

constitute the latent reservoir.

Introduction

During antiretroviral therapy (ART) of HIV-1 infection, a reservoir of CD4$^+$ T-cells harboring intact HIV-1 proviruses persists and comprises a major barrier to achieving cures. These reservoir-harboring cells undergo clonal expansion, evade host immune responses, and proviruses therein provide a source of viral rebound upon ART interruption[1-6]. Understanding the mechanisms underlying the establishment and maintenance of the HIV-1 proviral reservoir may provide an opportunity to purge the reservoir and remove the need for lifelong ART. However, there are a number of obstacles to achieving a detailed understanding of proviral persistence. The extreme rarity of cells harboring intact proviruses *in vivo,* typically ~one in a million CD4$^+$ T cells, poses a significant challenge to the investigation of the mechanisms that enable their persistence[7,8]. Moreover, the intact proviral DNA that is intrinsically capable of initiating viral rebound, is partly obscured by the existence of a larger amount of integrated viral DNA that is not intact, but becomes comparatively abundant due to the selective survival of T-cell clones that harbor defective, non-expressed proviruses [9-12].

Several factors may govern the establishment and maintenance of the HIV-1 reservoir. A key mechanism of persistence is proviral latency, which protects infected cells from viral cytopathicity and immune clearance. Whether a provirus adopts a state of latency versus active transcription can be impacted by the physiological state of the infected cell, which influences the levels of trans-acting factors such as NF-κB and NFAT, that are activated during T-cell activation and link cellular activation to HIV-1 transcription[13,14]. Additionally, immune evasion mechanisms, such as impaired antigen presentation or resistance to cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) killing, may further promote the survival and expansion of reservoir cells during periods of activation [15-19].

The site of proviral integration into host DNA may influence reservoir persistence in two key and distinct ways. First, the genomic context of the integration site may affect the accessibility of proviruses to the transcriptional machinery and thus impact the propensity of a provirus to establish and maintain latency. Second, by acting as an insertional mutagen, HIV-1 integration in or near genes controlling cell proliferation may drive clonal expansion [4,20-29]. HIV-1 favors integration within actively transcribed genes, via the interactions of viral capsid and integrase proteins with CPSF-6 and LEDGF/p75, host proteins that are associated with areas of the genome, or individual genes, that are actively transcribing [30-35]. In HIV-1-infected expanded cell clones from ART-treated individuals, viral integration sites are often found in a small number of genes whose function is related to cell survival and proliferation, suggesting that clonal expansion might be driven in part by viral integration. However, these clones typically harbor defective proviruses, and the mechanism by which these insertions drive clonal expansion, and whether they contribute to intact proviral reservoir persistence, remains unclear [5,27,36]. In contrast, recent studies have shown that expanded clones of cells from infected individuals on long-term ART that carry intact proviruses, do so predominantly with proviral integration sites in regions comprising gene deserts, intergenic, centromeric satellite DNA, and also, inexplicably, within zinc finger (ZNF) genes [23,29,37].

Studies of integrated HIV-1 proviruses in cell lines have suggested the importance of the genomic context in latency and its reversal [38,39]. However, currently available *in vitro* models for studying HIV-1 latency in primary CD4$^+$ T cells [40-44] do not allow the evaluation of the relative contributions of integration site versus the intrinsic physiological properties of T cell clones harboring proviruses. Long-term *ex vivo* cell culture models in which primary CD4$^+$ T cells from ART-treated individuals have been used to model latency in an authentic cell context, but cannot evaluate cell clone-specific effects [45,46]. Thus, there is an unmet need for laboratory methods

capable of identifying individual HIV-1-infected cell clones, tracking their long-term clonal

expansion, and assessing the effects of proviral integration site on latency in a clone-specific

manner.

In this study, we present a novel 'HIV-1 latency mouse' (HIVLM) model that overcomes some

of the limitations of current *in vitro* and *ex vivo* models of HIV-1 latency. We use the model to

determine the impact of proviral integration sites on clonal expansion and latency in HIV-1

infected human memory CD4^{+} T cells. Combining the HIVLM model with a method for efficient

detection of integration sites and quantification of clonal expansion (PRISM-seq) [47], we

determined how host-specific genomic and epigenomic features associated with each distinct

HIV-1 integration site affect clonal survival and proviral expression in the absence of

immunological or anti-viral drug selection pressures. We find that clonal expansion of infected

cells in the HIVLM model was linked to the T cell receptor (TCR) sequence of engrafted cells.

We find no evidence that clonal expansion is driven by insertional mutagenesis associated with

provirus integration into specific genes. In contrast, the proviral genomic location and epigenetic

status proximal to the integration site are key factors distinguishing latent from active proviruses.

Latent proviruses were found at elevated frequency in intergenic regions and associated with

heterochromatin. In line with previous clinical studies, latent proviruses in the HIVLM model

were also found at elevated frequency in ZNF genes. Notably, our analysis reveals that the

increased number of latent proviruses in ZNF genes was associated with their abundance,

location, low expression levels, and heightened repressive epigenetic state.

Results

Long-term *in vivo* survival of HIV-1 infected human memory CD4^{+} T cells in the HIVLM

model

To track HIV-1 latency, we developed a single-cycle HIV-1 reporter virus construct, termed

V1/SBP-GFP, that expresses both GFP, and a cell surface streptavidin binding peptide (SBP)

fused to low-affinity nerve growth factor receptor. These reporters, expressed in place of Nef

under the control of the authentic HIV-1 promoter and splicing signals, could be used to both

monitor HIV-1 gene expression and as an affinity tag for one-step positive or negative selection

of cells with active or latent proviruses, using streptavidin-conjugated magnetic beads.

The suitability of primary human CD4+ T cells for the study of HIV-1 latency over long timespans

is limited by their relatively short lifespan in cell culture. Following activation and infection of

freshly isolated CD4+ T cells, these cells can be maintained in culture for only a few weeks, with

a need for re-stimulation to propagate them further. To enable the investigation of HIV-1 latency

and persistence in primary human CD4+ T cells over longer time periods, we adapted a previously

described participant-derived xenograft (PDX) model of HIV-1 infection[48]. In this model, NSG

mice are engrafted with the memory (CD45RO+) subset of CD4+ T cells, which avoids the severe

graft versus host disease that rapidly occurs in mice engrafted with total human CD4+ T cells[48].

For mouse engraftment, memory CD4+ T cells were enriched from leukapheresis-derived PBMCs

of HIV-1-negative donors via negative selection (Fig. S1a). Cells were activated for 48 hours by

CD3/CD28-stimulation, resulting in >80% in CD69+ cells (Fig. S1b). Activated cells were

infected, and approximately 4×10^9 cells were subjected to streptavidin-bead-based magnetic cell

sorting to isolate V1/SBP-GFP-expressing memory CD4+ T cells. Infection was done at low

multiplicity so that after positive selection, each cell is presumed to carry a single transcriptionally

active provirus at a unique integration site (Fig.1a). The sorting procedure yielded a >90 % pure

cell population of V1/SBP-GFP-expressing cells (Fig. S1c). V1/SBP-GFP expression was

confirmed two days after sorting, and cells were cryopreserved in aliquots for later engraftment

into mice (Fig. S1d).

The transcriptionally active HIV-1 provirus-containing (SBP$^+$GFP$^+$) human memory CD4$^+$ T cells were engrafted into NSG mice by tail vein injection (~5-7×10^6 cells per mouse), and successful engraftment was confirmed by the subsequent appearance of human CD45$^+$ cells in peripheral blood (Fig. 1b and S1e). Engrafted human CD4$^+$ T cells were detected in all mice, with ~10-100 human CD4$^+$ T cells per mm^3 blood at 35 days after engraftment (Fig. S1f). At this time point, ~40 to 65% of the human CD45$^+$ cells were SBP$^+$GFP$^+$, and a time-dependent loss of SBP/GFP reporter expression ensued, (Fig. 1b and S1g). The loss of SBP/GFP expression in human CD4$^+$ T cells was observed in multiple tissues, including spleen, lung, and bone marrow, months after engraftment (Fig. S1h-j). To determine whether the loss of SBP/GFP expression over time reflected the establishment of latency or the selective outgrowth of contaminating uninfected cells, we determined the *psi-gag*, *env*, and human RPP30 DNA copy numbers in genomic DNA extracted from mouse blood and tissues by digital droplet PCR (ddPCR) using an intact proviral DNA assay (IPDA)[49]. Detection of approximately one *psi-gag* and *env* copy per human cell demonstrates the long-term survival of HIV-1 infected memory CD4$^+$ T cells and shows that most human cells in mouse spleens contained a reporter provirus (Fig. S1k), despite the low number of GFP-expressing cells. The observed loss of V1/SBP-GFP expression is therefore not caused by outgrowth of contaminating uninfected cells, but primarily by the transition to latency by a subset of proviruses in infected cells, during the months after engraftment in the HIVLM model.

Clonal expansion of HIV-1 infected human cells and proviral integration site features in the HIVLM model

To determine the distribution of integration sites in engrafted HIV-1 infected human cells, we employed a method, termed PRISM-seq, in which a DNA sample is divided into 96 replicates,

each containing an estimated 50 proviruses, as measured by ddPCR, prior to whole genome

amplification and integration site determination. PRISM-seq enables the identification of >80%

of the integration sites in a sample, and the fraction of the 96 replicates in which a given

integration site is found is a measure of the clonal expansion of the T cell containing an HIV-1

provirus with that integration site [47]. Using this approach, we simultaneously determined HIV-1

integration site identity and the extent of clonal expansion for each HIV-1-infected memory CD4+

T cell harboring a given integration site, for the pre-engraftment cell population, and for cells

recovered from 8 mouse spleens >2 months after engraftment of cells from the same donor. Post-

engraftment spleen samples were either left unsorted or were sorted into active and latent cell

populations, based on SBP/GFP expression, before HIV-1 integration site determination (Fig.

S2a,b,c and S3a,b). We identified 5239 and 3152 unique integration sites in pre-engraftment and

post-engraftment cell populations, respectively (Fig. 1c, S2a,b and S3a). For 57% to 84% of

proviruses, we were able to capture both the 5′ and 3′ LTR-host junction during integration sites

determination (Fig. S2b and S3a), indicating that our overall integration site detection was

efficient, and suggesting (along with the SBP/GFP and IPDA measurements conducted on the

pre- and post-engraftment samples) that the majority of proviruses were intact.

Clonal expansion of the HIV-1-infected cells in the pre-engraftment sample was minimal, with

3.1% of the 5239 integration sites detected in more than one replicate. This result was

approximately as expected given that the cells were sampled 4 days after *in vitro* infection (Fig.

S2a). Conversely, at > 2 months after mouse engraftment, clonal expansion of HIV-1-infected

cells was extensive, with 8% to 60% of integration site detected multiple times in 96 replicates,

and with a few HIV-1 integration sites appearing in all 96 replicates of the PRISM-seq assay

(representing a frequency of > 1 in 50 cells and thus exceeding the dynamic range of our clonal

expansion measurement) (Fig. 1c, S2a and S3a). Consistent with previously published data, HIV-

1 integrations were biased toward genic rather than intergenic regions in the pre-engraftment

sample [50]. Moreover, there was no clear difference between pre- and post-engraftment samples

in genic versus intergenic distribution of proviral integration sites (Fig. 1d, S2c, and S3b), The

proportion of proviruses integrated in genic or intergenic regions in cells recovered from mice

was similar to that reported for ART-treated individuals[11,23,51] (Fig. 1d). Most genic integration

sites were in introns, as expected (Fig. 1e) and approximately equivalent numbers of proviruses

were integrated in the same versus the opposite orientation with respect to transcription of the

gene in which the integration site was found (Fig. 1f). Overall, these results suggest that the

genomic features associated with HIV-1 integration sites obtained pre-engraftment, after *in vitro*

infection of primary CD4$^+$ T-cells, and also after 2 months of engraftment in the HIVLM model

were consistent with patterns observed clinically in ART-treated individuals.

Frequent integration of HIV-1 into specific host genes does not predict clonal expansion

Because HIV-1 has the potential to act as an insertional mutagen that might drive cell proliferation

in humans or the mouse grafts, we next examined whether HIV-1 integration into specific host

genes predicted the extent of expansion of the respective infected human cell clone during the ~

2 month engraftment period. We identified numerous genes, such as NPLOC4, PACS1,

NFATC3, NOSIP, NEAT1, ASH1L, and KDM2A, in which multiple distinct HIV-1 integrations

were found in multiple mice, following 2 months of engraftment (Fig. 2a). However, the

appearance of multiple integrated proviruses in a given gene across several engrafted cell

populations did not predict the degree of expansion of the respective cell clones containing that

integration site. A possible exception to this conclusion was NPLOC4, in which one out of 10

cell clones was highly expanded in one mouse (Fig. 2a). A better predictor of the frequency with

which proviral integrations were found in a given gene post engraftment, was the number of

integration sites found in that same gene in the pre-engraftment population (Fig. 2b). The genes

with increased frequency of integration were clustered near previously identified *in vitro* infection

defined integration 'hot spots'[52], for example on chromosome 11, 16, and 17 in both pre- and

post-engraftment cell populations (Fig. S4). As reported previously for *in vitro* HIV-1 infections,

increased gene density correlated with increased integration frequency in both pre- and post-

engraftment cell populations[53-55] (Fig. S4), The genes with frequent integration in our pre- and

post-engraftment datasets also overlap with the previously described "recurrent integration

genes" (RIGs)[52,56,57] (Fig. S5a and S5b).

Comparison of gene length and gene expression levels, determined based on previously published

RNA-seq data from activated central memory CD4$^+$ T cells[58], revealed that both characteristics

significantly correlated with an increased number of HIV-1 integrations in both pre- and post-

engraftment samples (Fig. 2c and 2d, respectively). Genes such as NPLOC4, PACS1, NFATC3,

NOSIP, NEAT1, ASH1L, and KDM2A may therefore be frequent targets of integration because

they are large genes and/or are highly expressed in memory CD4$^+$ T cells (Fig. 2e,f). CPSF6 and

LEDGF bias HIV-1 integration toward gene bodies and to nuclear speckles[32,34,54]. Using

published TSA-seq and DamID datasets for speckle-associated domains (SPAD) and lamina-

associated domains (LAD)[59], we also found enrichment of proviral integration sites in SPAD

(~75%) as compared to LAD (Fig. S5c) in both pre- and post-engraftment populations. Indeed,

genes with >1 proviral integration were more likely to be found in SPADs compared to genes

without proviruses (Fig. S5d and S5e). In ART-treated individuals, proviral integration sites in

expanded clones have been reported near host genes associated with cell growth and proliferation,

suggesting a possible effect of insertional mutagenesis on proliferation and survival of provirus-

containing cell clones[4,5]. However, comparative ontology analysis of genes with HIV-1

integrations in pre- and post-engraftment cell populations showed a similar distribution of

integration sites in genes associated with various functions, including epigenetic regulation of

gene expression, lymphocyte differentiation, and mitotic cell cycle regulation (Fig. S5f).

Additionally, in pre- and post-engraftment cells, we observed no enrichment or depletion of

integration sites in essential versus non-essential genes in expanded clones as compared to non-

expanded clones, irrespective of whether they were in RIGs or not[60] (Fig. S5g). Overall, the

distribution of HIV-1 integration sites among human genes was similar for *in vitro* infected

memory $CD4^+$ T-cells (pre-engraftment) and among clonally expanded HIV-1 infected $CD4^+$ T

cells in mice (post-engraftment). Because the pattern of integration sites did not differ in these

two populations, these results suggest an absence of selection pressure for proliferation and

survival that is driven by HIV-1 integration into specific genes. Rather, specific genes were found

to harbor proviruses in engrafted cells, including those that were clonally expanded, because they

are more frequent targets for provirus integration, due to their size, expression level, and nuclear

location, not because of putative effects of proviral integration on $CD4^+$ T-cell survival or

proliferation.

T cell receptor (TCR) association with clonal expansion

Mature T cells harbor uniquely rearranged TCR genes, whose sequence can be used to track the

expansion of individual T cell clones. While it was not possible to link individual TCR clonotypes

to a given HIV-1 integration site in our analyses, we determined complementarity-determining

region 3 (CDR3) TCR sequences in human cells pre- and post-engraftment, to assess clonal

expansion (Fig. S6a,b). We found hundreds of unique TCR in each engrafted mouse (mean = 300,

range 111 to 638), similar to the predicted number of independent T-cell clones recovered after

engraftment inferred by HIV-1 integration site mapping (Fig. S6b and Fig. 1c). The number of

unique T cell clones recovered from individual mice at 2 months post-engraftment (mean = 300)

was lower than the number of T cell clones recovered from the pre-engraftment sample (n =

38,621), indicating a bottleneck for cell survival or selective clonal expansion following

engraftment (Fig. S6b). While each mouse harbored a distinct TCR repertoire, and most TCR

sequences were recovered from only one mouse, certain TCR variants were detected in 2 or more

mice post-engraftment for both HIV-1 infected and uninfected engraftments (Fig. 3a and 3b).

Indeed, certain T cell clones were expanded similarly across multiple mice, whether or not the

cells were HIV-1 infected prior to engraftment (Fig. 3c-g). For example, a T-cell clone harboring

the CDR3 sequence CASSYGGAGNYGYTF was similarly expanded in HIV-1 infected and

uninfected grafts (Fig. 3c -f). In that case, the clone-CASSYGGAGNYGYTF was associated with

an abundant clone in the pre-engraftment sample (Fig. 3g, S6c). However, apparently selective

growth of some T cell clones that were either less abundant or not detected in the pre-engraftment

sample was evident in one or more engrafted mice (Fig. 3g and S6c). Indeed, while there was no

correlation between TCR sequence abundance in the pre-engraftment population and it

abundance post-engraftment, the mean number of times a given TCR sequence was recovered

from individual mice was correlated with the number of mice from which that clonotype was

recovered (Fig 3c,d). These findings indicate that the extent of expansion of HIV-infected and

uninfected cell clones post-engraftment is independent of their abundance pre-engraftment and

predicted to some extent by their TCR sequence. Xenoreactivity thus likely plays a role in clone

selection, a notion that is supported by the frequent occurrence of graft vs host disease in human

T-cell xenograft models[61,62], where the memory CD4$^+$T-cells are not purified before engraftment.

While our data do not identify specific drivers for the differential expansion of human memory

CD4$^+$ T cell clones in the HIVLM model, we can nevertheless conclude that a diverse set of

memory CD4$^+$ T-cells are expanded post-engraftment, predicted in part by TCR sequence and

not by HIV-1 integration site. Thus, for the majority of integration sites, HIV-1 proviruses act as

passengers, and not as mutagenic drivers during clonal expansion of infected cells in our model,

and likely in the majority of cases in humans.

Genomic locations of transcriptionally active and latent proviruses

The genomic context in which an HIV-1 provirus resides in an infected cell *in vivo* could affect its transcription status and its propensity to transition to latency[11,28]. Infected human cells harvested from the HIVLM model >2 months post-engraftment were sorted into populations with transcriptionally active (SBP$^+$GFP$^+$) or latent (SBP$^-$GFP$^-$) proviruses. The low-template, single-molecule detection efficiency of PRISM-seq, combined with multiple displacement amplification performed directly on cells isolated from sorted populations, enabled reliable and comprehensive determination of HIV-1 integration sites in both transcriptionally active and latent provirus-harboring cell populations (Fig. S7a and Fig. S7b). This analysis identified 1,347 integration sites associated with transcriptionally active proviruses, 863 sites associated with latent proviruses, and 140 sites associated with both transcriptionally active and latent proviruses (Fig. 4a and Fig. S7c). Note that 2 and 12 integration sites with active and latent proviruses, respectively, were found in more than one mouse and are merged for downstream analysis. Clonal expansion, that is detection in multiple replicate wells was evident for 139 integration sites with only transcriptionally active proviruses (mean clonal size = 7.2 wells, range = 2-93) and 90 integration sites with only latent proviruses (mean clonal size = 2.4 wells, range = 2-10) (Fig 4a). In the comparative analyses that follow, we considered either (i) all detected integration sites or (ii) only the integration sites associated with expanded clones. Restricting the analysis to expanded clones reduced the number of sites that were considered but allowed more confident assignment of active or latent status, as each site was observed multiple times. Additionally, to increase the robustness of our comparisons, we combined all the active and latent integration sites retrieved from four individual mice (Fig. 4a).

Latent proviruses were found to be more frequently integrated in intergenic regions as compared to active proviruses, or proviruses exhibiting both active and latent states (Fig. 4b). This differential distribution of integration sites was especially evident when expanded clones were

analyzed separately from non-expanded clones (Fig. S7d and S7e). Notably, integration sites

harboring latent proviruses, or a mixture of active and latent proviruses, were more likely to be

found on chromosome 19 ($p = 1.7 \times 10^{-6}$) and 21 ($p = 0.049$) as compared to integration sites of

active proviruses (Fig. 4c). This conclusion held for all integration sites found in expanded and

non-expanded clones, but was particularly evident for integration sites found in the expanded

clones (Fig. S8a-b, S9 and S10).

To ascertain whether the expression levels of a gene was associated with the propensity of

proviruses integrated therein to establish latency, we analyzed integration site and host gene

expression data in two ways: First, we assigned each gene to a category, determined by whether

proviruses integrated therein were active, latent or a mixture of both active and latent, regardless

of the number of integration sites in that gene. Second, we assigned each individual genic

integration site to an active, latent, or both active and latent category, regardless of the viral

phenotype associated with other integration sites in that gene. In the first analysis, human genes

with only latent proviruses had lower expression levels than those with only active proviruses (*p*

= 0.027) (Fig. 4d and S11a-b). In the second analysis, integration sites with latent proviruses were

found in genes with lower expression than integration sites with active proviruses (*p = 0.0094*)

(Fig. S11c). The magnitude of these differences was modest but was increased when integration

sites in expanded clones were considered separately (Fig. S11d-e). Thus, integration into human

genes that have lower expression levels increases the probability of generating a latent provirus.

We did not find differences in gene length for human genes with only latent proviruses as

compared to active proviruses (Fig. 4e). Notably, most genes in which multiple independent

integrations occurred had integration sites with mixtures of both active and latent proviruses.

However, some genes exhibited a striking skew in proviral transcriptional activity for the multiple

proviruses integrated therein. For example, 7/8 integration sites in PACS1 had active proviruses

and one provirus was found in both active and latent populations (Fig. 4f). In contrast, all 8

integration sites in the NOSIP gene harbored only latent proviruses (Fig. 4f, S11f-g). For

comparison, the overall fraction of genes with integration sites harboring only active proviruses

was 64.3% (number of genes with only active proviral integration sites divided by the total

number of genes with integrated provirus). This data suggests that (for example) integration into

the NOSIP gene is more likely to generate a latent provirus than integration into the PACS1 gene

($p = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$). The distance to the nearest host gene transcription start site (TSS) has been

previously shown to be correlated with expression of the integrated provirus [37]. For proviruses

found within genes, we found that the distance to the TSS was smaller for latent than active

proviruses, irrespective of orientation relative to host transcription (Fig. S12a-c). Proviral

orientation relative to host gene transcription did not strongly influence whether proviruses were

active or latent (Fig. S12d-f). Integration of proviruses into SPAD versus LAD regions did not

lead to significant differences in their propensity to establish latency (Fig. S13a). Similarly, there

was no significant difference in the proportion of active and latent proviruses when integration

sites in distinct nuclear sub-compartments, defined using chromatin conformation capture (Hi-C)

sequencing data [63], were compared (Fig. S13b). However, mapping of integration sites with

respect to genomic repeat elements showed that latent proviruses were more frequently integrated

into centromeric satellite regions (3.3% of repeat sequence integration sites) as compared to active

proviruses (0.31% of repeat sequence integration sites) (Fig. 4g). This difference was also evident

when integration sites in expanded clones or non-expanded clones were considered separately. In

expanded clones, 13.6% of integration sites with latent proviruses and only 2.8% of integration

sites with active proviruses were in centromeric satellite regions (Fig. S13c-d). Overall, the

anatomical nuclear region did not detectably impact the propensity of HIV-1 proviruses to

establish latency. However, discernible differences in the local sequence context in which

transcriptionally active and latent proviruses were found show that the HIV-1 integration site influences the probability that a given provirus will establish latency.

Repressive epigenetic modifications are enriched in proximity to latent proviruses

Epigenetic modifications in the vicinity of the integration site might constitute the underlying mechanism by which local sequence context affects proviral transcription. After identifying HIV-1 integration sites associated with active and latent proviruses, we examined the levels of histone modifications proximal to each integration site (up to 100 kb upstream or downstream of the integration site) using a previously published ChIP-seq dataset for primary CD4^{+} T cells[64]. Consistent with the fact that most integration sites are in genes, HIV-1 proviruses recovered from engrafted cells were preferentially found in the vicinity of genomic regions enriched for histone modifications typically found within gene bodies (H3K36me3) and enhancers associated with active transcription (H3K4me1 and to a lesser extent H3K27Ac and H3K4me3) (Fig. 5a and S14a). The repressive histone mark H3K27me3 was relatively depleted in the vicinity of integration sites, while another repressive histone mark H3K9me3 appeared unchanged in proximity to integration sites (Fig. 5a). The level of CpG DNA methylation was reduced proximal to integration sites overall but was marginally higher in the proximity of latent proviruses than active proviruses (Fig. 5a). These findings are in agreement with previous studies[53,65], and the notion that HIV-1 integration is favored in transcriptionally active regions of the genome. However, there were not striking differences in the histone modifications associated with active versus latent proviruses when histone modifications were considered individually and proviral populations were considered as a whole.

Proviral integration sites are flanked by chromatin bearing multiple epigenetic modifications, whose effects on proviral expression may be exerted combinatorially. To integrate these signals

and classify genomic regions into distinct chromatin states that account for combinatorial effects,

we applied the generative machine-learning model ChromHMM[66]. The model uses epigenomic

ChIP-seq datasets and enabled us to annotate the genome in CD4$^+$ T-cells into 15 distinct

chromatin states based on the signal intensity of 6 histone marks (Fig. 5b). These states can be

grouped into four functional categories typically associated with promoter, enhancer, transcribed

and repressed sequences. The occurrence of active and latent proviral integration sites within

these chromatin-state defined categories showed that latent proviruses were depleted relative to

active proviruses in genomic regions associated with host gene transcription marked by

H3K36me3 (states 3 and 4) (Fig. 5b-c). Conversely, latent proviruses were found to be enriched

within chromatin states associated with heterochromatin that is transcriptionally repressed,

marked by H3K27me3 and H3K9me3 (state 11-15) (Fig. 5b-c).

We next compared the epigenetic features surrounding the integration sites of the 10 most

expanded clones in the active, latent, and mixed (active and latent) categories. The integration

sites were chosen based purely based the number of times they were encountered in the sorted

categories; by restricting analyses to a population that was sampled most intensively, the

transcriptional phenotype associated with each integration site could be ascribed with the greatest

confidence. For the active, latent, and mixed (active and latent) categories, 9/10, 5/10, and 7/10

of the integration sites were in genes (Fig. 5d). Several of the latent and the mixed integration

sites in this population were associated with intergenic genomic regions with abundant

H3K9me3, but none of the 10 active proviruses demonstrated this property (Fig. 5d and S14b).

Other epigenetic modifications did not differ noticeably among the 10 most expanded clones three

categories.

Properties of ZNF genes favor the occurrence of latent HIV-1 proviruses

Genes encoding ZNF proteins have recently been reported to harbor intact proviruses in

individuals on long-term ART[23,29]. Importantly, ZNF genes are exceptionally abundant in the

human genome, representing 2.6% of all genes. HIV-1 integration *per se* was not biased to ZNF

genes and the large numbers of integration sites found therein (3.1% of all integrations) was

approximately as expected based on the number of ZNF genes in the human genome (p = 0.15)

(Fig. 5e). However, integration into a ZNF gene was more likely to lead to proviral latency than

was integration in other genes. Among proviruses integrated within genes, ZNF genes harbored

a higher fraction that were latent. Indeed, 5.2% of latent proviruses in genes but only 1.6% of

active proviruses in genes were integrated in ZNF genes (Fig. 5f).

Notably, the expression of ZNF genes in general was lower than that of typical non-ZNF genes

in $CD4^+$ T-cells (Fig. S15a). and lower that that of genes that were typically favored for

integration (Fig. S15 b-c). This conclusion held for ZNF genes that harbored active or latent

proviruses (Fig. S15b-c). There was no statistically significant difference in the expression levels

or the levels of promoter and gene body-associated histone marks for ZNF genes that had active

versus latent proviruses (Fig. S15d-e). However, ZNF genes with latent proviruses had higher

levels of integration site-proximal H3K9me3 compared to those of active proviruses (Fig. 5g).

The levels of methylated CpG DNA were higher proximal to latent proviruses with integration

sites in ZNF genes as compared to active proviruses (Fig. 5g) and this property was particularly

prominent for latent proviruses in ZNF genes that did not exhibit proximal H3K9me3 enrichment.

Overall, the majority of latent proviruses in ZNF genes were associated with proximally elevated

levels of either H3K9me3 or methylated CpG DNA (Fig. 5g). These data clearly suggest that the

type of epigenetic modifications found near the site of HIV-1 integration in the human genome

affects the transcriptional fate of the integrated provirus and drives proviral latency. ZNF genes

preferentially harbor latent proviruses due to their large numbers, comparatively low levels of

expression, and enhanced levels of repressive H3K9me3 and CpG epigenetic modifications.

Discussion

Here, we describe a model (HIVLM) to study the impact of HIV-1 integration site on proviral

latency. In this model, memory CD4$^+$ T-cells, each carrying a single transcriptionally active

provirus, are engrafted into mice. The integrated proviruses in this model are fully competent to

report HIV-1 gene expression but do not further replicate – no new viral particles or proviruses

are generated after engraftment. Non-essential genes that may be cytocidal were removed from

the viral genome, and the only viral gene products expressed are those that regulate gene

expression (Tat and Rev). Moreover, the mice into cannot mount an immune response. Therefore,

it is expected that little, if any, negative selective pressure that would favor transcriptional

silencing is applied to the proviral population. Rather, the proviral population is unencumbered

and can exert effects on the expansion of cell clones, and adopt transcriptionally active or latent

states, based purely on the physiology of the cell clone that carries it, and the site in the host

genome at which it is integrated.

Clonal expansion is an inherent phenomenon associated with memory T cell survival *in vivo*[67]

and provirus-containing cells clonally expand to varying degrees after engraftment. Notably

though, a central finding of our study was that the integration site in cells harvested from mouse

grafts mirrored the integration site profile during initial infection of CD4$^+$ T cells prior to

engraftment. Gene features that are key determinants of integration frequency *in vitro* including

size, expression level, and nuclear localization, are sufficient to explain the occurrence of

'recurrent integration genes' such as NPLOC4, PACS1, NOSIP, and NFATC3 in grafted cells,

which match those observed in human studies[11,23,51]. Conversely, TCR analysis revealed that

some common T cell clones are favored for survival and expansion both HIV-1-infected and

uninfected grafts. Overall, our results suggest that TCRs are drivers of T cell clonal expansion in

our model and potentially in humans, while the HIV-1 provirus acts as a passenger during cell

proliferation, in most cases.

The diversity of integration sites harboring latent or active proviruses sites in post-engraftment

cells indicates that many locations in the human genome can sustain proviruses in either an active

or a latent state. Nevertheless, there was a clear enrichment of transcriptionally latent proviruses

in genomic regions that are repressed prior to integration such as intergenic and centromeric

satellite regions. This observation is consistent with studies in which intact proviruses present in

reservoir cells ART-treated human were found to be enriched in transcriptionally repressed

regions in the genome[29,68,69]. The integration site profile in the natural reservoir after long-term

ART presumably reflects the impact of immune selection pressure on a non-replicating viral

population, favoring the survival of proviruses that are poorly expressed[29]. In the HIVLM model,

where immune selection pressure is absent, unselected engrafted cells exhibit an integration

profile indistinguishable from that of *in vitro* infection. Immune selection is mimicked

experimentally in the HIVLM model, by the artificially application of selection pressure using

flow cytometry or magnet-based sorting of harvested SBP^-/GFP^- versus SBP^+/GFP^+ cells. That

the impacts of immune selection over years in humans can apparently be mimicked in our HIVLM

model after a single reporter gene-based simple sort, 2 months post engraftment is notable, and

our approach enables many proviruses, with a great variety of integration sites to be evaluated.

A limitation of our study is that we did not directly measured epigenetic modifications at the

provirus promoter or in the linear proximity of provirus integration site in the infected cell clones,

but rather relied on the distribution of histone markers measured elsewhere in primary CD4 T^+

cells[64]. Nevertheless, our data identifies host epigenetic signatures near provirus integration sites

that predict latency. In some cases, integration associated epigenetic signatures (such as those

found within genes, including elevated H3K36Me3, H3K4Me1, and reduced H3K27Me3)

disfavor latency. Conversely, latency associated signatures include histone modifications, such

as H3K9Me3 as well as CpG methylation, that are generally found outside genes, and typically

encountered in heterochromatin.

The underlying drivers of the phenomenon, that latent intact proviruses are frequently found in

ZNF genes[23,28], is suggested by our data. ZNF genes are a particularly abundant gene class (3.6%

of all genes), and our data shows that integration in ZNF genes predisposed integrated proviruses

to acquire latency in the HIVLM model. Notably ZNF genes exhibit reduced expression in

CD4+T-cells than is typical of human genes of a whole. Moreover, genomic loci in the vicinity

of the subset of ZNF genes that were found to harbor latent proviruses in our experiments

exhibited signatures of an epigenetically repressed states. These states included with a high level

of H3K9me3 mark, or higher than typical levels of methylated CpG. We note that about 40% of

the >700 ZNF genes in the human genome reside on a single human chromosome (chromosome

19), and while chromosome 19 is exceptionally gene dense, ~20% of all genes on chromosome

19 are ZNF genes. Chromosome 19 is also relatively G+C rich and is enriched in CpG

dinucleotides and islands[70]. Chromosome 19 was also strikingly enriched in latent proviruses in

the HIV-LM model. We posit that ZNF genes represent an abundant gene class, residing in a are

of genome where a confluence of factors favoring HIV-1 integration such as high gene density

gene density and those favoring latency (low gene expression and a repressed chromatin state)

are balanced in such a way that the probability of generating latent proviruses approaches a

maximum.

Overall, the approaches described herein provide a model system in which epigenomic

mechanisms involved in latency can be studied and HIV-1 cure strategies employing approaches

to reverse latency in reservoir cells can be evaluated.

Material and methods

Proviral construct V1/SBP-GFP

A proviral plasmid pV1/SBP-GFP was generated based on a minimal viral genome (V1)[71],

engineered from HIV-1 NL43 harboring large deletions or inactivating mutations in *gag*, *pol*, *vif*,

vpu, and *env*, and in which *nef* was replaced by GFP. To construct the V1/SBP-GFP plasmid, an

DNA fragment encoding a streptavidin binding peptide appended to the extracellular domain of

the low affinity nerve growth factor receptor and a P2A site, flanked by NotI restriction sites was

synthesized, PCR-amplified, and cloned into the NotI-linearized pV1 plasmid.

Cell lines

293T cells (ATCC, #CRL-3216; RRID: CVCL_0063) and MT4-LTR-GFP cells[72] were cultured

at 37 °C and 5% CO2 in DMEM (293T) or RPMI (MT4-LTR-GFP) supplemented with 10 %

FCS and Gentamycin. All cell lines used in this study were monitored regularly to ensure the

absence of retroviral contamination. Cells were also monitored regularly for mycoplasma

contamination. Cells used here were contamination-free.

Virus production and characterization

To generate V1/SBP-P2A-GFP-derived viral stocks, 7.5×10^7 293T cells (ATCC, #CRL-3216;

RRID: CVCL_0063) were seeded per $875 cm^2$ 5-layer flask the day before transfection. Cells were

transfected with 75 μg pV1/SBP-P2A-GFP, 75 μg pCRV1/NLGagPol, and 15 μg pVSV-G[73]

using polyethyleneimine. 12 h post-transfection, medium was replaced with fresh growth medium. Virus-containing supernatants were harvested and filtered 48 h post-transfection. Virus was pelleted by ultracentrifugation though a 20% sucrose cushion, resuspended in PBS with 10% BSA to achieve 70-80-fold concentrated virus stocks, aliquoted, and stored at -80 °C. Infectious titers were determined by titration on MT4-LTR-GFP cells[72] and assessment of %GFP-positive cells by flow cytometry 48 hrs after infection.

Isolation and activation of memory CD4$^+$ T cells

A small-volume blood draw (50 ml whole blood) and Leukapheresis were performed at the Rockefeller University Hospital from a healthy adult participant who provided written informed consent before participation. Samples were processed within 4 hrs of collection. PBMCs were isolated from 50 ml whole blood (test infection to determine optimal participant-specific MOI) or 100 ml Leukopack (for preparation of cells for engraftment) by density gradient centrifugation using lymphocyte separation medium (Corning). Memory CD4$^+$ T cells were isolated from PBMCs using the EasySep Human Memory CD4$^+$ T Cell Enrichment Kit (StemCell, #19157). A subset of cells was used for purity assessment of memory CD4$^+$ T cells (CD4$^+$CD45RA$^-$CD45RO$^+$) by flow cytometry using the following fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies: anti-human CD4 Antibody, clone OKT4 (BioLegend, #317408), anti-human CD45RA Antibody, clone HI100 (BD, # 550855), and anti-human CD45RO Antibody, clone UCHL1 (BD, #555493). Approximately 25 % of retrieved memory CD4$^+$ T cells from Leukopack were frozen at a density of 1x10^7 cells/ml in 100% fetal bovine serum and stored at -150 °C. The remaining memory CD4$^+$ T cells were cultured in regular RPMI growth medium supplemented with IL-2 (RPMI supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, gentamycin, 2 ng/ml (20 U/ml) human recombinant IL-2 (PeproTech, #200-02)) and activated with 25 µl Dynabeads Human T-Activator CD3/CD28 (Thermo Scientific) per 1x10^6 cells. Dynabeads were removed after 48 hrs, and cells were

prepared for infection. Activation was assessed by flow cytometry using anti-human CD69

antibody (BD, #555533).

Infection of memory CD4^{+} T cells

Following removal of CD3/CD28 T cell activator beads, $1.3x10^9$ memory CD4^{+} T cells were

infected using $2x10^7$ IU (based on titration of MT4-LTR-GFP cells, equivalent to an approximate

participant-specific MOI of 0.3) V1/SBP-GFP virus in the presence of 8 μg/ml polybrene. For

this, the virus was diluted in 50 ml RPMI growth medium supplemented with IL-2, and polybrene

was added at approximately. 9x concentration. The virus/polybrene mixture was added to the

cells, which were adjusted for a final cell density of $3x10^6$ cells/ml in fresh RPMI growth medium

supplemented with human recombinant IL-2. After 12 hrs, the cell density was decreased to $1x10^6$

cells/ml using fresh RPMI growth medium supplemented with human recombinant IL-2.

Infection efficiency was assessed 48 hours after infection by flow cytometry (GFP).

Antibody-free magnetic isolation of HIV-reporter-expressing memory CD4^{+} T cells

Cells were washed once with PBS and once with PBS + 2mM EDTA + 0.2 % BSA. Cells were

resuspended at a density of $1x10^7$ cells/ml in pre-warmed DMEM + 2mM EDTA + 0.2 % BSA

and incubated with pre-washed Dynabeads Biotin Binder (Thermo Fisher Scientific, #11047) at

a GFP+ cell: bead ratio of 1:20. Following 30 min incubation shaking at 37 °C, unbound

(negative) cells were removed and bead-bound (positive) cells were washed twice on a magnet

using pre-warmed DMEM + 2mM EDTA + 0.2 % BSA. For removal of the beads from the

isolated positive cells, bead-bound cells were incubated in pre-warmed RPMI growth medium

supplemented with 2mM biotin and incubated for 15 min at 37 °C on a shaker. Beads were

removed using a magnet and once again incubated with biotin-containing medium. Supernatants

containing released cells were pooled, counted, and the cell density was adjusted to $5x10^5$ cells/ml

in RPMI growth medium supplemented with IL-2. The efficiency of antibody-free magnetic cell

sorting was confirmed by analysis of input, negative, and positive cells using flow cytometry

(GFP).

Preparation of cells for engraftment

At 48 hours after antibody-free magnetic isolation of V1/SBP-GFP-expressing cells, the purity of

cells was confirmed by flow cytometry, and cells were frozen for future engraftment at a density

of 1×10^7 cells/ml, 1 ml/tube in fetal bovine serum with 10% DMSO. Cells were stored at -150 °C

until engraftment.

The day before engraftment, V1/SBP-GFP-expressing memory CD4$^+$ T cells frozen in fetal

bovine serum were thawed and quickly resuspended in 7-fold excess volume of pre-warmed

RPMI growth medium and centrifuged at 300g for 10 min. Cells were adjusted to 1.6E6 cells/ml

in RPMI growth medium supplemented with 50 U/ml IL-2 and cultured for 14 hrs. Before

engraftment, cells were washed once with PBS and resuspended in HBS at 6E7 cells/ml. 100 µl

of this cell suspension (6E6 cells) was used per mouse for engraftment.

Engraftment of NSG mice with V1/SBP-GFP-expressing human memory CD4$^+$ T cells

Irradiated (50 rad) 6-week-old NSG mice (NOD.Cg-Prkdc^scid Il2rg^tm1Wjl/SzJ from The

Jackson Laboratory) were engrafted with 6×10^6 V1/SBP-P2A-GFP-expressing memory CD4$^+$ T

cells resuspended in 100 µl HBS by tail vein injection. Mice were periodically bled to assess

human immune cell reconstitution and V1/SBP-GFP expression. Approximately 100 µl of

peripheral blood was collected in EDTA-coated tubes. Cell pellets were stained for flow

cytometric analysis. Mice were cared for by trained research animal technicians and received

daily wellness checks. Procedures were performed in accordance with protocols approved by the

Weill Cornell Medical College Institutional Animal and Use Committee and Rockefeller

University CBC facility (Protocol # 24016-H).   At 63 days after engraftment, mice were

sacrificed, and blood, bone marrow, lung, and spleen were isolated. Cells were

immunophenotyped for human CD45, CD3, CD8, and CD4 expression, as well as V1/SBP-GFP

expression. Remaining harvested cells were frozen at -80 °C until DNA extraction.

Flow cytometric analysis of human cells engrafted in NSG mice

Pre-engraftment samples were analyzed for purity using a panel of the following human-specific

antibodies: FITC anti-CD4 (OKT4), PE anti-CD45RO (UCHL1), and APC anti-CD45RA

(HI100). T cell activation was analyzed using APC anti-CD69, and V1/SBP-GFP expression was

analyzed by detection of GFP.   For engraftment samples, the mice's peripheral blood was

collected into EDTA-coated tubes from the mice by submandibular bleed every 2 weeks to assess

human T cell reconstitution. Blood was centrifuged at 2000g for 5 mins to separate plasma and

cell pellets. Cells were immediately stained for flow cytometric analysis. Samples were stained

with human-specific antibodies for the analysis of cell surface markers: CD4 BV421, CD8

BV605, CD3 BV711, CD45 PerCp-Cy5.5, CD45RO-PE, CD69 PE-eFluor 610, CCR7 PE-Cy-7,

CD27 APC, CD25 APC-eFluor780. Fluorescent counting calibration beads were added to each

sample to determine absolute cell counts. For post-engraftment spleen sample analysis and

sorting, a similar antibody panel was used in combination with Live/Dead Aqua (Invitrogen) dead

stain as a cell viability marker. Stained samples were run on Attune NxT cytometer analyzer

(Thermo Fisher Scientific), sorted on BD FACS Aria III (BD Biosciences), and data were

analyzed by FlowJo version 10 software (Treestar, Ashland, OR).

Intact Proviral DNA Assay (IPDA)

Genomic DNA was isolated from blood, bone marrow, lung, and spleen using the Zymo Quick-

DNA miniprep PLUS kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. Intact HIV-1

copies/million CD4$^+$ T cells or per ng DNA were determined by digital droplet PCR (ddPCR)

using the Intact Proviral DNA Assay (IPDA)[49], where HIV-1 and human RPP30 reactions were

performed independently in parallel, and copies were normalized to the amount of input DNA. In

each ddPCR reaction, an average of 15 ng of genomic DNA was combined with ddPCR supermix

for probes (no dUTP, BioRad), primers (final concentration 900 nM, Integrated DNA

technologies), probes (final concentration 250 nM, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and nuclease-free

water. Primer and probe sequences (5′–>3′) were as follows:

RPP30 Forward Primer- GATTTGGACCTGCGAGCG,

RPP30 Probe- VIC-CTGACCTGAAGGCTCT- MGBNFQ,

RPP30 Reverse Primer- GCGGCTGTCTCCACAAGT;

HIV-1 Ψ Forward Primer- CAGGACTCGGCTTGCTGAAG,

HIV-1 Ψ Probe- FAM- TTTTGGCGTACTCACCAGT- MGBNFQ,

HIV-1 Ψ Reverse Primer- GCACCCATCTCTCTCCTTCTAGC;

HIV-1 env Forward Primer- AGTGGTGCAGAGAGAAAAAAGAGC,

HIV-1 env Probe- VIC-CCTTGGGTTCTTGGGA- MGBNFQ,

HIV-1 env Reverse Primer- GTCTGGCCTGTACCGTCAGC.

Droplets were prepared using a QX200 Droplet Generator (BioRad) and cycled at 95 °C for 10

min; 45 cycles of (94 °C for 30 sec, 59 °C for 1 min) and 98 °C for 10 min. Droplets were analyzed

on a QX200 Droplet Reader (BioRad) using QuantaSoft software (BioRad). Four technical

replicates were run for each sample.

Ligation-mediated PCR-based HIV-1 integration site analysis

A detailed procedure for intergrtaion site determination using PRISM-seq will be published

elsewhere (manuscript submitted). Briefly, extracted DNA was diluted according to ddPCR

results, so that 50 provirus was present in each well of the 96-well plate. Subsequently, gDNA in

each well was subjected to multiple displacement amplification (MDA) with phi29 polymerase

(Qiagen REPLI-g Single Cell Kit #150345) at 30 °C for 4 h. DNA produced by whole-genome

amplification was used as a template. Viral-host junction regions were amplified using a nested

PCR strategy. 5LTR host junction was amplified with LSP1

(GCTTCAGCAAGCCGAGTCCTGCGTCGAG) and LSP2

(GCTCCTCTGGTTTCCCTTTCGCTTTCAA), and 3LTR host junction was amplified with

LestraLTR1 (CTTAAGCCTCAATAAAGCTTGCCTTGAG) and LestraLTR2

(AGACCCTTTTAGTCAGTGTGGAAAATC) as forward primers in the 1st and 2nd PCR

reaction in combination with reverse primers annealing with the ligated adaptor sequence, AP1

(GTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGC) and AP2 (ACTATAGGGCACGCGTGGT) respectively.

The resulting PCR products were subjected to next-generation sequencing using Illumina MiSeq.

MiSeq paired-end FASTQ files were demultiplexed; small reads (142 bp) were then aligned

sequentially to HIV-1 reference genomes HXB2 then to the human reference genome GRCh38.

Biocomputational identification of integration sites was performed using a house R-based

pipeline (BulkIntSiteR). Briefly, chimeric reads containing both human and HIV-1 sequences

were evaluated for mapping quality based on (i) HIV-1 coordinates mapping to the terminal

nucleotides of the viral genome, (ii) absolute counts of chimeric reads, and (iii) depth of

sequencing coverage in the host genome adjacent to the viral integration site. The final list of

integration sites and corresponding chromosomal annotations was obtained using Ensembl (v86,

www.ensembl.org), the UCSC Genome Browser (www.genome.ucsc.edu), and GENCODE (v39,

www.gencodegenes.org). Repetitive genomic sequences harboring HIV-1 integration sites were

identified using Repeat Masker (www.repeatmasker.org).

TCR analysis of HIV-1 infected and uninfected cell populations

Briefly, 1ug gDNA samples from mouse spleen samples of HIV-1 infected and uninfected

engrafts were outsourced to Adaptive Biotechnologies for TCR sequencing using the assay type

hsTCRBv4b. The data obtained was analyzed using the ImmunoSeq analyzer and an in-house

built-in pipeline. The clones with a read count of 1 were removed, and the CDR3 protein

sequences were taken to create a unique list of TCR in each individual sample. The log count per

million was used as a measure to indicate the degree of clonal expansion of each cell clone in an

individual sample. The Pearson correlation analysis was performed to compare clones that

expanded across the mice engrafts in HIV-1 infected and uninfected samples.

Analysis of epigenetic modifications proximal to integrations sites.

The HIV-1 integration site coordinates retrieved from cells harboring latent and active proviruses

were used to generate 4kb binned chromosomal regions spanning 100kb upstream and

downstream of the provirus. The ChiP-seq dataset was assessed from ENCODE database for

H3K4me1, H3K4me3, H3K27ac, H3K36me3, H3K27me3, and H3K9me3 histone marks for

primary CD4$^+$ T cells using the following criteria: organ, blood; cell, leukocyte; biosample,

GM12878 or CD4-positive, alpha-beta memory T cell; genome assembly, GRCh38; file type,

"bigwig"; and output type, "fold change over control." Only datasets based on two replicates are

used. The histone modification signal for each epigenetic mark was represented as an average

read signal for 100 kb upstream and downstream of the proviral integration coordinate using the

UCSC bigWigAverageOverBed tool.

Quantification and statistical analysis

Data are presented as pie charts, bar charts, scatter plots with individual values, or box and

Whisker plots (indicating the median, minimum, maximum, and interquartile ranges).

Differences were tested for statistical significance using Mann-Whitney U tests, Fisher's exact

tests, chi-square tests, and two-sided Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric tests, as appropriate. P < 0.05

was considered significant; false discovery rate (FDR) correction was performed using the

Benjamini-Hochberg method. Statistical details can be found in the corresponding figure legends.

Analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism and R software.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Rockefeller University flow cytometry core, Comparative Biosciences Center (CBC), and Genomic Resource Center. We are grateful to Marina Caskey (The Rockefeller University) for organizing Leukapheresis at The Rockefeller University Hospital and Emily Mastrocola and Dennis Copertino for technical assistance. This work was supported by NIH grants to the Research Enterprise to Advance a Cure for HIV (REACH) 5UM1AI164565 and the Center for Structural Biology of HIV RNA U54 AI170660. This article is subject to HHMI's Open Access to Publications policy. HHMI lab heads have previously granted a nonexclusive CC BY 4.0 license to the public and a sublicensable license to HHMI in their research articles. Pursuant to those licenses, the author-accepted manuscript of this article can be made freely available under a CC BY 4.0 license immediately upon publication.

References

1   Finzi, D. *et al*. Latent infection of CD4+ T cells provides a mechanism for lifelong persistence of HIV-1, even in patients on effective combination therapy. *Nature Medicine* **5**, 512–517 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1038/8394

2   Wong, J. K. *et al*. Recovery of replication-competent HIV despite prolonged suppression of plasma viremia. *Science* **278**, 1291–1295 (1997). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.278.5341.1291

3   Chun, T. W. *et al*. Presence of an inducible HIV-1 latent reservoir during highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **94**, 13193–13197 (1997). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.24.13193

4   Maldarelli, F. *et al*. Specific HIV integration sites are linked to clonal expansion and persistence of infected cells. *Science* **345**, 179–183 (2014). https://doi.org/doi:10.1126/science.1254194

5   Wagner, T. A. *et al*. HIV latency. Proliferation of cells with HIV integrated into cancer
genes contributes to persistent infection. *Science* **345**, 570–573 (2014).
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1256304
6   Cohn, Lillian B. *et al*. HIV-1 Integration Landscape during Latent and Active
Infection. *Cell* **160**, 420–432 (2015).
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.01.020
7   Hosmane, N. N. *et al*. Proliferation of latently infected CD4(+) T cells carrying
replication-competent HIV-1: Potential role in latent reservoir dynamics. *J Exp Med*
214, 959–972 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20170193
8   Siliciano, J. D. *et al*. Long-term follow-up studies confirm the stability of the latent
reservoir for HIV-1 in resting CD4+ T cells. *Nature Medicine* **9**, 727–728 (2003).
https://doi.org/10.1038/nm880
9   Ho, Y.-C. *et al*. Replication-Competent Noninduced Proviruses in the Latent
Reservoir Increase Barrier to HIV-1 Cure. *Cell* **155**, 540–551 (2013).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.09.020
10   White, J. A. *et al*. Clonally expanded HIV-1 proviruses with 5'-leader defects can give
rise to nonsuppressible residual viremia. *J Clin Invest* **133** (2023).
https://doi.org/10.1172/jci165245
11   Einkauf, K. B. *et al*. Intact HIV-1 proviruses accumulate at distinct chromosomal
positions during prolonged antiretroviral therapy. *J Clin Invest* **129**, 988–998 (2019).
https://doi.org/10.1172/jci124291
12   Wei, Y. *et al*. Single-cell epigenetic, transcriptional, and protein profiling of latent
and active HIV-1 reservoir revealed that IKZF3 promotes HIV-1
persistence. *Immunity* **56**, 2584–2601.e2587 (2023).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2023.10.002
13   Kinoshita, S., Chen, B. K., Kaneshima, H. & Nolan, G. P. Host Control of HIV-1
Parasitism in T Cells by the Nuclear Factor of Activated T Cells. *Cell* **95**, 595–604
(1998). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81630-X
14   Hokello, J., Lakhikumar Sharma, A. & Tyagi, M. AP-1 and NF-κB synergize to
transcriptionally activate latent HIV upon T-cell receptor activation. *FEBS Letters*
595, 577–594 (2021). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.14033
15   Klatt, N. R., Chomont, N., Douek, D. C. & Deeks, S. G. Immune activation and HIV
persistence: implications for curative approaches to HIV infection. *Immunological*
Reviews **254**, 326–342 (2013). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/imr.12065
16   Gramatica, A. *et al*. EZH2 inhibition mitigates HIV immune evasion, reduces
reservoir formation, and promotes skewing of CD8⁺ T cells toward
less-exhausted phenotypes. *Cell Reports* **44** (2025).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2025.115652
17   Huang, S. H. *et al*. Latent HIV reservoirs exhibit inherent resistance to elimination by
CD8+ T cells. *J Clin Invest* **128**, 876–889 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1172/jci97555
18   Ren, Y. *et al*. BCL-2 antagonism sensitizes cytotoxic T cell-resistant HIV reservoirs
to elimination ex vivo. *J Clin Invest* **130**, 2542–2559 (2020).
https://doi.org/10.1172/jci132374
19   Sun, W. *et al*. Phenotypic signatures of immune selection in HIV-1 reservoir cells.
Nature **614**, 309–317 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05538-8

20 Hughes, Stephen H. & Coffin, John M. What Integration Sites Tell Us about HIV
Persistence. *Cell Host & Microbe* **19**, 588–598 (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2016.04.010

21 Zhang, T.-h. *et al.* Barcoded HIV-1 reveals viral persistence driven by clonal
proliferation and distinct epigenetic patterns. *Nature Communications* **16**, 1641
(2025). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-56771-4

22 Nguyen, K. & Karn, J. The sounds of silencing: dynamic epigenetic control of HIV
latency. *Current Opinion in HIV and AIDS* **19**, 102–109 (2024).
https://doi.org/10.1097/coh.0000000000000850

23 Huang, A. S. *et al.* Integration features of intact latent HIV-1 in CD4+ T cell clones
contribute to viral persistence. *Journal of Experimental Medicine* **218** (2021).
https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20211427

24 Wu, V. H. *et al.* Profound phenotypic and epigenetic heterogeneity of the HIV-1-
infected CD4+ T cell reservoir. *Nature Immunology* **24**, 359–370 (2023).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-022-01371-3

25 Teixeira, A. R. *et al.* Transcription of HIV-1 at sites of intact latent provirus
integration. *J Exp Med* **221** (2024). https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20240391

26 Symons, J., Cameron, P. U. & Lewin, S. R. HIV integration sites and implications for
maintenance of the reservoir. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS* **13**, 152–159 (2018).
https://doi.org/10.1097/coh.0000000000000438

27 Maldarelli, F. *et al.* HIV latency. Specific HIV integration sites are linked to clonal
expansion and persistence of infected cells. *Science* **345**, 179–183 (2014).
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1254194

28 Jiang, C. *et al.* Distinct viral reservoirs in individuals with spontaneous control of
HIV-1. *Nature* **585**, 261–267 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2651-8

29 Lian, X. *et al.* Progressive transformation of the HIV-1 reservoir cell profile over two
decades of antiviral therapy. *Cell Host Microbe* **31**, 83–96.e85 (2023).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2022.12.002

30 Schröder, A. R. *et al.* HIV-1 integration in the human genome favors active genes and
local hotspots. *Cell* **110**, 521–529 (2002). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-
8674(02)00864-4

31 Han, Y. *et al.* Resting CD4+ T cells from human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-
1)-infected individuals carry integrated HIV-1 genomes within actively transcribed
host genes. *J Virol* **78**, 6122–6133 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.78.12.6122-
6133.2004

32 Engelman, A. N. & Singh, P. K. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of HIV-1
integration targeting. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **75**, 2491–2507 (2018).
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-018-2772-5

33 Winans, S. *et al.* A point mutation in HIV-1 integrase redirects proviral integration
into centromeric repeats. *Nature Communications* **13**, 1474 (2022).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-29097-8

34 Achuthan, V. *et al.* Capsid-CPSF6 Interaction Licenses Nuclear HIV-1 Trafficking to
Sites of Viral DNA Integration. *Cell Host Microbe* **24**, 392–404.e398 (2018).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2018.08.002

35 Ciuffi, A. *et al.* A role for LEDGF/p75 in targeting HIV DNA integration. *Nature*
Medicine **11**, 1287–1289 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1329

36 Coffin, J. M. *et al*. Integration in oncogenes plays only a minor role in determining the
in vivo distribution of HIV integration sites before or during suppressive antiretroviral
therapy. *PLoS Pathog* **17**, e1009141 (2021).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009141

37 Einkauf, K. B. *et al*. Parallel analysis of transcription, integration, and sequence of
single HIV-1 proviruses. *Cell* **185**, 266–282.e215 (2022).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.12.011

38 Lewinski, M. K. *et al*. Genome-wide analysis of chromosomal features repressing
human immunodeficiency virus transcription. *J Virol* **79**, 6610–6619 (2005).
https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.79.11.6610-6619.2005

39 Chen, H. C., Martinez, J. P., Zorita, E., Meyerhans, A. & Filion, G. J. Position effects
influence HIV latency reversal. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **24**, 47–54 (2017).
https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.3328

40 Dobrowolski, C. *et al*. Entry of Polarized Effector Cells into Quiescence Forces HIV
Latency. *mBio* **10**, 10.1128/mbio.00337–00319 (2019).
https://doi.org/doi:10.1128/mbio.00337-19

41 Martins, L. J. *et al*. Modeling HIV-1 Latency in Primary T Cells Using a Replication-
Competent Virus. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* **32**, 187–193 (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1089/aid.2015.0106

42 Bosque, A. & Planelles, V. Induction of HIV-1 latency and reactivation in primary
memory CD4+ T cells. *Blood* **113**, 58–65 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-
2008-07-168393

43 Zhu, J., Yamane, H. & Paul, W. E. Differentiation of effector CD4 T cell populations
(*). *Annu Rev Immunol* **28**, 445–489 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-
immunol-030409-101212

44 Kaech, S. M., Wherry, E. J. & Ahmed, R. Effector and memory T-cell differentiation:
implications for vaccine development. *Nature Reviews Immunology* **2**, 251–262
(2002). https://doi.org/10.1038/nri778

45 Kessing, C. F. *et al*. In Vivo Suppression of HIV Rebound by Didehydro-
Cortistatin A, a “Block-and-Lock” Strategy for HIV-1 Treatment.
Cell Reports **21**, 600–611 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.09.080

46 Takata, H. *et al*. Modeling HIV-1 Latency Using Primary CD4(+) T Cells from Virally
Suppressed HIV-1-Infected Individuals on Antiretroviral Therapy. *J Virol* **93** (2019).
https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.02248-18

47 Pal, V. K. *et al*. PRISM-Seq: An Ultra-sensitive Sequencing Approach For Mapping
Lentiviral Integration Sites. *bioRxiv*, 2025.2012.2020.695659 (2025).
https://doi.org/10.64898/2025.12.20.695659

48 McCann, C. D. *et al*. A participant-derived xenograft model of HIV enables long-term
evaluation of autologous immunotherapies. *J Exp Med* **218** (2021).
https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20201908

49 Bruner, K. M. *et al*. A quantitative approach for measuring the reservoir of latent HIV-
1 proviruses. *Nature* **566**, 120–125 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-
0898-8

50 Schröder, A. R. W. *et al*. HIV-1 Integration in the Human Genome Favors Active
Genes and Local Hotspots. *Cell* **110**, 521–529 (2002).
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(02)00864-4

51 Guo, S. *et al*. HIV infected CD4+ T cell clones are more stable than uninfected clones
during long-term antiretroviral therapy. *PLoS Pathog* **18**, e1010726 (2022).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010726

52 Marini, B. *et al*. Nuclear architecture dictates HIV-1 integration site selection.
Nature **521**, 227–231 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14226

53 Singh, P. K., Bedwell, G. J. & Engelman, A. N. Spatial and Genomic Correlates of HIV-
1 Integration Site Targeting. *Cells* **11** (2022). https://doi.org/10.3390/cells11040655

54 Sowd, G. A. *et al*. A critical role for alternative polyadenylation factor CPSF6 in
targeting HIV-1 integration to transcriptionally active chromatin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
U S A **113**, E1054–1063 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1524213113

55 Ocwieja, K. E. *et al*. HIV integration targeting: a pathway involving Transportin-3 and
the nuclear pore protein RanBP2. *PLoS Pathog* **7**, e1001313 (2011).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1001313

56 Lucic, B. *et al*. Spatially clustered loci with multiple enhancers are frequent targets
of HIV-1 integration. *Nature Communications* **10**, 4059 (2019).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12046-3

57 Bedwell, G. J., Jang, S., Li, W., Singh, P. K. & Engelman, Alan N. rigrag: high-
resolution mapping of genic targeting preferences during HIV-1 integration in vitro
and in vivo. *Nucleic Acids Research* **49**, 7330–7346 (2021).
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab514

58 White, C. H. *et al*. Transcriptomic Analysis Implicates the p53 Signaling Pathway in
the Establishment of HIV-1 Latency in Central Memory CD4 T Cells in an In Vitro
Model. *PLoS Pathog* **12**, e1006026 (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006026

59 Chen, Y. *et al*. Mapping 3D genome organization relative to nuclear compartments
using TSA-Seq as a cytological ruler. *J Cell Biol* **217**, 4025–4048 (2018).
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201807108

60 Funk, L. *et al*. The phenotypic landscape of essential human genes. *Cell* **185**, 4634–
4653.e4622 (2022). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2022.10.017

61 Jeon, M. S. *et al*. Xenoreactivity of human clonal mesenchymal stem cells in a major
histocompatibility complex-matched allogeneic graft-versus-host disease mouse
model. *Cell Immunol* **261**, 57–63 (2010).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellimm.2009.11.001

62 Alhaj Hussen, K. *et al*. CD4(+)CD8(+) T-Lymphocytes in Xenogeneic and Human
Graft-versus-Host Disease. *Front Immunol* **11**, 579776 (2020).
https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.579776

63 Xiong, K. & Ma, J. Revealing Hi-C subcompartments by imputing inter-chromosomal
chromatin interactions. *Nature Communications* **10**, 5069 (2019).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12954-4

64 Kundaje, A. *et al*. Integrative analysis of 111 reference human epigenomes. *Nature*
518, 317–330 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14248

65 Sapp, N. *et al*. HIV-1 Preintegration Complex Preferentially Integrates the Viral DNA
into Nucleosomes Containing Trimethylated Histone 3-Lysine 36 Modification and
Flanking Linker DNA. *Journal of Virology* **96**, e01011–01022 (2022).
https://doi.org/doi:10.1128/jvi.01011-22

66 Ernst, J. & Kellis, M. Chromatin-state discovery and genome annotation with
ChromHMM. *Nature Protocols* **12**, 2478–2492 (2017).
https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2017.124
67 Soerens, A. G. *et al*. Functional T cells are capable of supernumerary cell division
and longevity. *Nature* **614**, 762–766 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-
05626-9
68 Armani-Tourret, M. *et al*. Selection of epigenetically privileged HIV-1 proviruses
during treatment with panobinostat and interferon-α2a. *Cell* **187**, 1238–1254.e1214
(2024). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2024.01.037
69 Bittar, C. *et al*. HIV-1 expression is heterogeneous among clones of CD4+ T cells
carrying authentic intact latent proviruses. *bioRxiv*, 2025.2008.2005.668730 (2025).
https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.08.05.668730
70 Grimwood, J. *et al*. The DNA sequence and biology of human chromosome 19.
Nature **428**, 529–535 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02399
71 Zennou, V. & Bieniasz, P. D. Comparative analysis of the antiretroviral activity of
APOBEC3G and APOBEC3F from primates. *Virology* **349**, 31–40 (2006).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2005.12.035
72 Kane, M. *et al*. Identification of Interferon-Stimulated Genes with Antiretroviral
Activity. *Cell Host Microbe* **20**, 392–405 (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2016.08.005
73 Yee, J. K., Friedmann, T. & Burns, J. C. Generation of high-titer pseudotyped retroviral
vectors with very broad host range. *Methods Cell Biol* **43 Pt A**, 99–112 (1994).
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0091-679x(08)60600-7
939

Figure 1

Figure 1. Tracking clonal expansion of HIV-1 infected human memory CD4$^+$ T cells *in vivo* in HIVLM model.
(a) Isolation of human CD4$^+$ memory T cells, reporter HIV-1 (V1/SPB-GFP) infection, selection of infected cells, and engraftment in NSG mice. **(b)** Flow cytometry-based temporal analysis of GFP/SBP expression in engrafted human cells in the peripheral blood of a typical mouse. **(c)** Circos plots showing the relative expansion of HIV-1 infected cell clones in the pre- and post-engraftment (spleen) cell populations. Each clone is color-coded according to its proviral integration site, and the area of the pie represents the relative extent of clonal expansion, as measured by the number of wells in which that integration site was detected. Each integration site is labelled as the name of the gene with integrated provirus or as 'intergenic' if integration was outside of the gene, followed by the chromosome name, the genomic coordinate, and the host DNA strand (positive or negative). The n value represents the total number of unique integration sites identified in an individual pre- or post-engraftment (spleen) cell population. **(d)** Comparison of genic and intergenic distribution of unique integration sites in pre- or post-engraftment (spleen) cell populations, and a previously published clinical datasets[11,23,50]. **(e, f)** Comparison of the

proportion of genic integrations present in introns versus exons (**e**), and in same or opposite orientation as host transcription (**f**). The p-values for differences compared to post-engraftment (spleen) cell populations were determined by Fisher's exact test; ns = non-significant.

Figure 2

Figure 2. Identification of genes with frequent HIV-1 integration and their association with clonal expansion. **(a)** Extent of clonal expansion, as measured by the mean number of wells in which a unique integration site in a given gene was detected, plotted against the number of unique integration sites in a given gene detected post-engraftment. Each data point represents a gene with at least one integrant. **(b)** The number of unique integrations in a given gene in the pre-engraftment cell population plotted against the number of unique integration sites detected in that same gene 2 months post-engraftment. For **a** and **b**, data from four mice whose cells were not sorted is pooled. **(c, d)** Box plot of gene lengths **(c)** and level of expression **(d)** for genes with 0, 1, or >1 integrations. The plot shows median, interquartile ranges, and minimum/maximum values. P values were determined by pairwise Wilcoxon rank-sum tests with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. **(e, f)** Gene length and expression level for genes without or with a varying number of integrated proviruses as indicated by the color scale in (e) pre- and (f) post-engraftment samples.

Figure 3

Figure 3. TCR analysis of HIV-1 infected and uninfected human memory CD4$^+$ T cells post-engraftment in mice. (a and b) Upset plots comparing unique T cell clones retrieved from multiple mice engrafted with HIV-1 infected (a) or uninfected (b) human cells from the same donor. **(c and d)** Correlation between the extent of clonal expansion and the number of mice from which individual T cell clonotypes were retrieved after engraftment of HIV-1 infected (c) or uninfected (d) human cells. The r value denotes the Pearson correlation coefficient value. **(e and f)** Circos plots showing the extent of clonal expansion of each T cell clone in pre-engraftment and post-engraftment in individual mouse samples. Arcs mark clones shared by a minimum of 4 mice for HIV-1 infected (e) and uninfected (f) grafts. Each T cell clone is color-coded and shown as boxes at the circumference of the circos plot for the respective sample. The T cell clones were arranged clockwise from most expanded to least expanded. **(g)** Circos plot showing T cell clones identified in pre-engraftment, and uninfected, HIV-1-infected post engraftment populations for a shared by a minimum of 4 mice.

Figure 4. Genomic features associated with active and latent proviral integration sites. (a) Expansion of HIV-1-infected cell clones harboring only active, only latent, or a mixture of cells with active or latent proviruses. Axis values represent the number of wells in which an integrant was detected, integrant that were not detected are assigned a value of 0.1 for representation on a logarithmic scale. **(b)** Percentage of genic and intergenic integration site obtained in active, latent, or mixed active and latent cell clones. **(c)** Distribution of active, latent, or active and latent proviruses integration sites on human chromosomes. **(d)** Expression level of genes with only active proviruses, only latent proviruses, or both active and latent proviruses. **(e)** Length of genes with only active proviruses, only latent proviruses, or both active and latent proviruses. **(f)** Number of distinct integration sites in genes with only active proviruses, only latent proviruses, or both active and latent proviruses. The color of the dots and labels indicates if the proviruses in a given gene was found to be active, latent, or exhibited both phenotypes. **(g)** Percentage of integration sites in distinct chromosomal repeat regions in the human genome for the active, latent, or active and latent proviruses. P-values were calculated using Fisher's exact test (**b** and **c**), Wilcoxon rank-sum test with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons (**d** and **e**), and two proportions z-test to compare the proviruses present satellite repeat-rich region between the active and latent populations (**g**).

Figure 5

Figure 5. Distinct epigenetic signatures in the proximity of active and latent proviruses. (a) Heat map showing levels histone marks and methylated CpG found up to 100kb upstream and downstream of the integration sites of active, latent, or both (active and latent) proviruses. The line plot above the heat map shows the mean signal across all integration sites found within the active (black), latent (pink), and both (green) categories. The heatmaps for each group are arranged from top to bottom according to the highest to lowest mean signal intensity for H3K36me3 (orange) and this order is maintained for other histone marks, H3K4me1 (black), H3K27me3 (purple), H3K9me3 (pink), and methylated CpG (cyan), respectively. **(b)** Heatmap showing the mean signal intensity of various histone marks in the 15 chromatin states predicted by the ChromHMM model. **(c)** Stack bar plot showing the distribution of proviruses in distinct chromatin state groups. Global group-level statistical comparisons are shown on top of the plot using the chi-square test, and per-state level comparison between active and latent proviruses is given in in the legend using a two-proportion z-test. **(d)** The levels of H3K36me3 (orange), H3K27me3 (purple), and H3K9me3 (pink) histone marks in the proximity of the integration sites for active, latent, active and latent proviruses found in the top 10 expanded clones arranged in order arranged from top to bottom according to mean H3K9me3 signal.

Bars to the right depict whether each proviruses within a group was integrated into a genic or intergenic region. **(e)** Pie charts shows the percentage of ZNF genes in the genome and the fraction of ZNF and non ZNF genes that have HIV-1 integration. **(f)** Proportion of ZNF and non-ZNF genes harboring either active or latent proviruses. The p-values were determined by Fisher's exact test**. (g)** Histone modification levels proximal to active, latent, or active and latent proviruses found within ZNF genes.

<u>Figure S1</u>

Figure S1. Infection, selection of reporter HIV-1-infected human memory CD4⁺ T cells, and engraftment of NSG mice. **(a)** Flow cytometry plot showing the isolation of human memory CD4⁺ T cells (lower panels)

from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs, upper panels) of an HIV-1-uninfected human donor. **(b)** Frequency of activated human memory CD4$^+$ T cells (CD69$^+$) 4 days after activation with anti-CD3/CD28 beads and 2 days after infection with V1/SBP-GFP virus. **(c)** Streptavidin magnetic bead-based cell isolation of V1/SBP-GFP-expressing memory CD4$^+$ T cells. Bead-bound (positive) cells were separated from unbound (negative) cells 48 hours after infection with V1/SBP-GFP virus. **(d)** Percentage of V1/SBP-GFP positive cells 48 hours after sorting, immediately before freezing cells in aliquots for engraftment. **(e)** Flow cytometry gating strategy used for quantification of human CD4$^+$ T cell counts and HIV-1 expression post engraftment. Counting beads for calibration of the quantification were gated based on FSC-A and VL-5 (detector), an Attune NxT detector excited by a 405nm laser with an emission filter at 710/50nm. The figure reports the percentage of transcriptionally active HIV-1-infected (SBP$^+$GFP$^+$) cells in the hCD3$^+$/hCD45$^+$/hCD4$^+$ human cell compartment in a typical mouse peripheral blood sample. **(f, g)** Quantification of the numbers of human cells over ~2 months following mouse engraftment; total number of human cells **(f)** and percentage of transcriptionally active HIV-1 infected (SBP$^+$GFP$^+$) human cells **(g)** over time in multiple animals. **(h-j)** Percentage of transcriptionally active HIV-1 infected (SBP$^+$GFP$^+$) human cells (gated on hCD3$^+$/hCD45$^+$/hCD4$^+$ cells) in mouse spleen **(h)**, lung **(i)**, and bone marrow **(j)** of individual animals harvested 2 months after engraftment. **(k)** Quantification of *psi-gag* and *env* DNA copies per million human cells in spleen from each engrafted mouse.

Figure S2

a

Sample	Sample processing		Viral-host junction	Total integration sites	Unique integration sites	% clonal
Pre-infusion	unsorted		5' LTR	3789	5239	3.10%
			3' LTR	3887		
M1			5' LTR	1338	117	54.70%
			3' LTR	1258		
M2			5' LTR	1928	183	63.90%
			3' LTR	2066		
M3			5' LTR	1713	190	50%
			3' LTR	1797		
M4			5' LTR	1166	158	44.30%
			3' LTR	1087		
M5	sorted	Active	5' LTR	2191	328	23.17%
			3' LTR	2594		
		Latent	5' LTR	230	133	25.56%
			3' LTR	362		
M6		Active	5' LTR	971	85	35.30%
			3' LTR	1110		
		Latent	5' LTR	1022	46	41.30%
			3' LTR	1514		
M7		Active	5' LTR	971	235	28.51%
			3' LTR	1110		
		Latent	5' LTR	230	179	19.55%
			3' LTR	362		
M8		Active	5' LTR	2191	841	8.44%
			3' LTR	2594		
		Latent	5' LTR	1022	657	12.48%
			3' LTR	1514		

Figure S2. Identification of proviral integration sites in HIV-1 infected human memory CD4$^+$ T cell populations pre- and post-engraftment. **(a)** Table showing the number of unique integration sites retrieved from human cells harvested from the spleen of individual, engrafted mice. Cells recovered from mouse spleens for animals M1-M4 were not sorted, while human cells recovered from mice M5-M8 were sorted based on SBP/GFP expression. The 'percent clonal' column reports the proportion of the total number of unique integration sites found within a sample that were detected in more than one well out of the 96 wells tested. **(b)** Comparison and overlap in the numbers of integration sites retrieved by either 5′LTR or 3′LTR viral-host junction amplification strategies for pre- and post-engraftment cell populations. **(c)** Distribution of integrated proviruses in genes and intergenic regions in pre- engraftment cells and post-engraftment cells from individual mice.

Figure S3

Figure S3. Integration sites identified in HIV-1 infected human memory CD4^{+} T-cells post-engraftment with active and latent proviruses. **(a)** Comparison of integration sites retrieved by 5´LTR and 3´LTR viral-host junction amplification. Circos plots showing the relative proportion of expansion of each clone in post-engraftment human cells from individual mouse spleens, sorted into populations with active and latent

proviruses. Each clone is color-coded according to its proviral integration site. Each integration site is labelled as the name of the gene with integrated provirus or as intergenic if integration was outside of the gene, followed by the chromosome name, the genomic coordinate, and the host DNA strand (positive or negative). **(b)** Distribution of integrated proviruses in genes and intergenic regions in pre- and post-engraftment samples from individual mice.

Figure S4. Gene density and integration frequency for human chromosomes and integration sites found in the pre- and post-engraftment cell populations. Genes with >5 unique integration sites post-engraftment are highlighted. The stacked y-axes represent the number of genes (0-87), the number of integrations in pre-(0-29), and post- (0-15) engraftment cell populations.

Figure S5. (a) Overlap of genes with >1 integration identified in the pre- and post- engraftment cell populations with genes designated RIGs in previous studies[51,55,56]. **(b)** List of 46 genes that overlap between the pre- /post-engraftment and the previously known RIGs. **(c)** Percentage of proviral integration in SPAD and LAD for integration sites obtained from pre- and post-engraftment populations. **(d, e)** Distribution in SPAD and LAD genomic regions for genes with 0, 1 or >1 integrations in pre- (d) and post-engraftment (e) samples. **(f)** Comparison of genes with HIV-1 integration sites in pre- and post-engraftment samples for association with various pathways as defined by gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis. The top 15 enriched pathways based on the post-engraftment genic integration sites dataset were selected, and their respective enrichment in both pre- and post-engraftment samples compared. **(g)** Genic integration site distribution in genes that are RIG and essential, RIG and not essential, not RIG and essential, and not RIG and not essential, for pre-engraftment, as well as expanded, and non-expanded clones in post-engraftment datasets. P values determined by Pearson's Chi-squared test.

Figure S6

Figure S6. (a) Quantification of the number of human cells following engraftment of uninfected human memory CD4$^+$ T cells over time in multiple animals. Each mouse was sacrificed at 98 days to measure the clonal expansion of individual T cell clones. **(b)** Number of T cell clones retrieved from pre-engraftment, HIV-1-infected, and uninfected post engraftment cell populations from individual mouse spleen samples. **(c)** Comparison of TCR sequence abundance in pre- and post-engraftment cell populations. The dot plot shows the total expansion of all clones retrieved from the uninfected and infected grafts. Each dot represents a T cell clone marked by its CDR3 TCR sequence. TCR sequence not detected in one of the two populations are assigned an arbitrary value of 0.5 for representation on a logarithmic scale.

Figure S7. (a) Procedure for sorting of cell populations with active and latent HIV-1 proviruses post-engraftment. **(b)** Flow cytometry gating strategy to sort active (GFP⁺SBP+) and latent (GFP⁻SBP⁻) HIV-1-infected cell subpopulations post-engraftment. **(c)** Overlap analysis of integration sites found in sorted active and latent cell populations post-engraftment, pooled data from four mice. **(d, e)** Genic and intergenic distribution of integration sites obtained in active, latent, or active and latent proviruses for expanded and non-expanded cell clones, respectively. P-value denotes Fisher's exact test.

Figure S8

Figure S8. (a and **b)** Distribution of active, latent, or active and latent provirus integration sites found in expanded and non-expanded cell clones on human chromosomes. P-value denotes Fisher's exact test.

Figure S9. Karyoplot showing the chromosomal distribution of active, latent, or both active and latent provirus integration sites identified in expanded clones. Each ideogram represents a chromosome, and

integration sites are mapped to their genomic coordinates. Proviruses are colored according to transcriptional status. Cytogenetic bands are shown based on Giemsa staining patterns following UCSC Genome Browser and ISCN conventions. Cytoband color key shows white (gneg), gene-rich GC-rich euchromatin; light to dark gray (gpos25–gpos100), increasing Giemsa-positive intensity indicating progressively AT-rich, gene-poor heterochromatin; red, centromeric regions; blue, secondary constrictions containing rRNA gene clusters; gray (gvar), variable heterochromatin.

Figure S10

Figure S10. Karyoplot showing the chromosomal distribution of active and latent provirus integration sites identified in non-expanded clones. Each ideogram represents a chromosome, and integration sites are mapped to their genomic coordinates. Proviruses are colored according to transcriptional status, and

multiple integrations within non-expanded clones are displayed on the same chromosome. Cytogenetic bands are shown based on Giemsa staining patterns as described for Figure S9.

Figure S11. (a and **b)** Expression levels of genes with active, latent, or active and latent proviruses for expanded and non-expanded cell clones. **(c-e)** Gene expression associated with individual active, latent, or active and latent proviruses for expanded, non-expanded, and total cell clones. P value denotes the Wilcoxon rank-sum test with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. **(f** and **g)** Number of distinct integrations in genes with active and latent proviruses found in expanded and non-expanded cell clones.

Figure S12

Figure S12. (a-c) Distance of integrated genic proviruses from the TSS for proviruses integrated in the same or opposite orientation of the TSS in the expanded, non-expanded, and total cell clones. **(d-f)** Expression of genes with integrated proviruses in the same or opposite orientation with respect to host transcription in expanded, non-expanded, and total cell clones. P value denotes the Wilcoxon rank-sum test with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons.

Figure S13

Figure S13. (a) Proportion of SPAD and LAD annotation for associated with active, latent, or active and latent proviruses in total, expanded, and non-expanded cell clones. **(b)** Proportion of active, latent, or active and latent proviruses found within chromatin structural compartments A and B and their respective sub-compartments as determined by Hi-C sequencing data for expanded, non-expanded, and total cell clones. P-value denotes the two-proportion z-test. **(c and d)** Percent of proviruses in distinct chromosomal repeat regions in the human genome for active, latent, or both active and latent proviruses in expanded and non-expanded cell clones. P-value denotes the two proportions z-test for the satellite repeat elements class.

Figure S14

Figure S14. (a) Heat map showing levels of histone marks found up to 100kb upstream and downstream of the integration sites of active, latent, or both (active and latent) proviruses. The line plot above the heat map shows the mean signal across all integration sites found within the active (black), latent (pink), and both (green) categories. The heatmaps for each group are arranged from top to bottom according to the highest to lowest mean signal intensity for H3K36me3, as in Fig 5a. **(b)** Heat map showing levels of histone marks found up to 100kb upstream and downstream of the integration sites of active, latent, or both (active and latent) proviruses for the top 10 expanded clones in each category.

Figure S15. (a) Comparison of the level of expression of genes with or without HIV-1 integration, non-ZNF, and ZNF genes. **(b** and **c)** The expression of ZNF genes as compared to non-ZNF genes with either the active **(b)** or latent **(c)** proviruses. **(d)** Comparison of the expression of ZNF genes with active or latent proviruses. The box inside the violin plot shows median, interquartile ranges, and minimum/maximum values. P values were determined by the pairwise Wilcoxon rank-sum test. **(e)** Heat map showing levels of histone marks found up to 100kb upstream and downstream of the integration sites of active, latent, or both (active and latent) proviruses for proviruses integrated in ZNF genes.