Climbing invasions or climatic refugees: how many and to which extent non-native plant species

could reach the top of the Pyrenees mountains under climate change?

Noèmie Collette[1], Sébastien Pinel[2], Anaïs Gibert[1], Valérie Delorme-Hinoux[1], Joris A.M. Bertrand[1]

[1]Laboratoire Génome et Développement des Plantes (LGDP), UMR 5096, Université de Perpignan Via

Domitia (UPVD) - Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Perpignan, France

[2]Centre de Formation et de Recherche sur les Environnements Méditerranéens (CEFREM), UMR 5110,

Université de Perpignan Via Domitia (UPVD) - Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS),

Perpignan, France

Abstract

Under climate change, the status of invasive species is becoming complex, as taxa considered invasive in one region may become threatened in another, experiencing simultaneous expansion and decline across their range. In this context, mountain systems may act both as climatic refuges and/or as out-of-reach areas for these species. The Pyrenees, a longitudinal barrier separating the Iberian Peninsula from the rest of Europe, are increasingly exposed to alien plant species spreading from lowlands. Several invasive species have already reached high elevations in the massif, raising concerns about how many more may follow and to what extent under future climate change.

This study assesses future invasion risks for 35 non-native plant species recognized as invasive on both sides of the Pyrenees. We project future dynamics of their bioclimatic niche suitability to identify which are likely to become widespread and where by 2100. Combining occurrence data with 19 bioclimatic variables at 1×1 km resolution, we model current bioclimatic niches and project its future distribution using an ensemble framework integrating five algorithms under four climate scenarios covering the 2021–2100 period.

Projections indicate that 80 % of invasive plant species in the Pyrenees would not be climatic winners under future warming. The centroid of suitable climatic conditions is projected to shift upslope for more than 87 % of species. This upward movement would tend to stall around 2,000 m, as suggested by future hotspot patterns, effectively squeezing suitable areas and constraining future invasions within mountain zones, as climatic pressure increases at lower elevations. Such redistribution would not necessarily imply negative outcomes, and overlapping with endemic species would remain very limited. These results raise a broader question: could today's invasive species become tomorrow's mountain flora?

Keywords: Invasive species, Climate change, Mountain, Range shifts, Invasion risk, Species Distribution Models

Introduction

Biological invasions are a defining feature of the Anthropocene (ESSL ET AL., 2011 ; SEEBENS ET AL., 2017). The unprecedented acceleration of international trade (HULME, 2021) and tourism (ANDERSON ET AL., 2015), supported by expanding transportation networks (HULME, 2009 ; MCGRANNACHAN ET AL., 2021), has intensified species introductions worldwide, while climate change further facilitates the establishment and spread of non-native organisms (HELLMANN ET AL., 2008 ; HULME, 2017 ; STACHOWICZ ET AL., 2002 ; WALTHER ET AL., 2009 ; WILLIS ET AL., 2010). Among these, invasive species, defined as established non-native alien taxa that spread and form successive populations beyond their introduction points (SOTO ET AL., 2024) are reshaping ecological communities (BELLARD ET AL., 2016 ; PYSEK ET AL., 2020b ; VILA ET AL., 2011). Global syntheses further show that they generate substantial economic costs across all continents (AHMED ET AL., 2023 ; DIAGNE ET AL., 2021 ; ZENNI ET AL., 2021), with no sign of slowing down. However, these costs are unevenly distributed, as damages can outweigh management expenditures (BRADSHAW ET AL., 2024). Together, the growing concern surrounding invasive species and their increasing ecological and economic impacts raises practical questions about which species are most likely to expand and where management attention should be focused (CUTHBERT ET AL., 2022), as climate change may promote invasion in some cases while leading to contrasted outcomes in others (BELLARD ET AL., 2013 ; 2018 ; CAO PINNA ET AL., 2024 ; FINCH ET AL., 2021 ; LOPES ET AL., 2023).

In this global context, invasive vascular plants warrant attention, as they dominate recent introductions worldwide (HAUBROCK ET AL., 2023 ; SEEBENS ET AL., 2017). Experimental evidence shows that warming legacies enhance invasive plant growth (IVISON ET AL., 2025 ; LEMBRECHTS ET AL., 2016) while it may simultaneously reduce enemy performance (ZHOU ET AL., 2025), creating synergistic advantages for colonization success. Mountain regions were long considered protected from plant invasions due to climatic constraints and limited propagule pressure (i.e. Introduction frequency) (ALEXANDER ET AL., 2016 ; ZEFFERMAN ET AL., 2015). However, these filters weaken under anthropogenic pressures (KUEFFER ET AL., 2013 ; PAUCHARD ET AL., 2009 ; 2016), promoting invasive plant establishment at higher elevations (LARSON ET AL., 2021 ; STEINBAUER ET AL., 2018). In particular, tourism development and expanding infrastructure (e.g. roads, hiking trails and ropeway corridors), increase the frequency of introductions by improving human accessibility, thus intensifying propagule pressure and facilitating the upslope spread of non-native taxa into montane and subalpine environments (BARROS & PICKERING, 2014 ; HEMP, 2008 ; KOYAMA ET AL., 2024 ; LIEDTKE ET AL., 2020). Observations across mountain ranges worldwide document accelerating plant invasions at high elevations (ISELI ET AL., 2023) accompanied by thermophilization patterns reflecting the

progressive recruitment of a low-elevation pool of warm-adapted alien taxa (GOTTFRIED *ET AL.*, 2012 ; HAMID

ET AL., 2020 ; ISELI *ET AL.*, 2025) as well as high rates of species turnover (ISELI *ET AL.*, 2023 ; KALWIJ *ET AL.*,

2015 ; ZU *ET AL.*, 2022). However, despite growing evidence of invasive plants spread at high elevations,

invasion risk is rarely assessed across entire mountain ranges and multiple species, leaving climate-driven

redistributions along elevational gradients poorly understood.

As a major European mountain range, the Pyrenees (Figure 1) provide a case study for assessing climate-

driven invasion risks in mountain ecosystems. Spanning France, Andorra, and Spain between the Atlantic

and Mediterranean regions, this range is located at the crossroads of several climatic and biogeographic

influences. It is considered among the top biodiversity hotspots in Europe, with 3652 indigenous vascular

plant species, of which about 5% are endemic (GÓMEZ *ET AL.*, 2017). The Pyrenees have acted both as

refugia and dispersal corridors during past climatic fluctuations (GARCÍA *ET AL.*, 2022 ; SCHMITT, 2009).

However, under ongoing climate warming, it remains unclear whether they will primarily function as a

biogeographic barrier constraining the further spread of invasive species, emerge as a climatic refuge for

species displaced from warmer regions, or become newly colonized environments, fostering novel species

assemblages involving native taxa. Most invasive plants in the Pyrenees occur at lower elevations, where

human activities and associated anthropogenic corridors have facilitated their introduction and

establishment, with valleys acting as the primary entry points into the range (AYMERICH & SÁEZ, 2019 ; JANTZI

ET AL., 2021 ; NINOT *ET AL.*, 2017). As a transboundary mountain range, it is interconnected by dense

transport and tourism networks that facilitate species introductions from multiple biogeographic sources.

Although few species are invasive at high elevations in the Pyrenees, factors such as second-home

development, intense touristic and commercial connectivity, and ongoing climate warming may foster the

future spread of some non-native species (AYMERICH & SÁEZ, 2019 ; SOTO *ET AL.*, 2025).

Although projections tend to predict an intensification of invasion impacts under future climates (ADHIKARI *ET*

AL., 2022 ; CARBONI *ET AL.*, 2018 ; PETITPIERRE *ET AL.*, 2016), recent evidence suggests that non-native

species trajectories may be more complex than uniformly expansive. Range dynamics involve both gains

and losses, with some taxa thriving under new conditions (PAUDEL *ET AL.*, 2025), while others experience

local declines or face extinction risks within their native range (STAUDE *ET AL.*, 2025). This challenges the

traditional perception of invasive species as systematic "winners" of global change and highlights a dynamic

continuum of biogeographic responses shaped by regional climates, human influence, and ecological

constraints (HONG *ET AL.*, 2025). One quarter of naturalized plant species are threatened within their native

range (STAUDE *ET AL.*, 2025), illustrating that invasion success in one region can coincide with conservation

concerns in another (GARZÓN-MACHADO *ET AL.*, 2012 ; MARKOVÁ *ET AL.*, 2020). This paradox, increasingly recognized across taxonomic groups (ROBUCHON *ET AL.*, 2025 ; TEDESCHI *ET AL.*, 2025), raises fundamental questions about whether non-native populations should be conserved, and to what extent naturalization may act as inadvertent *ex-situ* refuges under accelerating global change.

In this context of contrasting invasion trajectories, a spatially explicit assessment of future climatic suitability is required to clarify patterns in mountain systems. Rather than assuming uniform expansion, we hypothesize that future climatic suitability in the Pyrenees will display species-specific and spatially heterogeneous dynamics, driven by interspecific differences in climatic niches and strong environmental gradients within this mountain chain. Consequently, invasion risk requires examining how suitable conditions may expand, persist, or contract across space and time, with direct implications for proactive management efforts and associated economic costs. We therefore used Species Distribution Models (SDM) to assess the dynamics of future bioclimatic suitability of 35 non-native plant species classified as invasive on both sides of the Pyrenean range, documenting species-specific potential shifts and identifying areas most likely to become vulnerable to invasion by 2100 under multiple climate scenarios. This study provides a rare, range-wide, spatially explicit assessment of climate-driven invasion risks across an entire mountain chain, revealing contrasting invasion trajectories and where invasion risk is likely to persist, shift, or emerge under future climates.

Spatially explicit tools, such as species distribution models (SDMs), provide a well-suited framework to address these objectives. SDMs are widely used in invasion ecology to project how climate change may expand suitable areas to non-native species (BARBET-MASSIN *ET AL.*, 2018 ; RENTERIA *ET AL.*, 2021 ; SRIVASTAVA *ET AL.*, 2019). Here, we employ an ensemble SDM framework to characterize large-scale patterns of climatic suitability and to identify where and for which species environmental conditions are becoming increasingly permissive to invasion under ongoing warming. By focusing on species-specific dynamics along broad climatic gradients, our analyses allow consistent comparisons of invasion risk across species and regions and provide an operational basis for anticipating future spread and guiding surveillance and preventive management efforts.

Material and methods

Species occurrences and bioclimatic data

We focused on plant species officially listed as invasive with a major impact on at least one regional list of areas adjacent to the Pyrenees (i.e. widely distributed taxa forming dense populations, according to French

classifications, see Appendix S1 in Supporting Information) All selected species occur in both France and

Spain, ensuring their relevance across the Pyrenean range.

For each species, post-1970 occurrence data were obtained from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility

(doi: 10.5281/zenodo.18114751). To limit spatial sampling bias, records with coordinate uncertainty > 1 km

were removed, and spatial thinning was applied to retain a single occurrence per grid cell. Species lacking

GBIF occurrence records in regions adjacent to the Pyrenees or species with insufficient occurrences to

ensure representation across all spatial blocks required by the spatial cross-validation scheme were

excluded. After filtering, 35 species remained out of the 41 initially identified.

Bioclimatic predictors were obtained from the WorldClim v2.1 database (FICK & HIJMANS, 2017), including 19

temperature and precipitation-related variables for the baseline period (1970–2000) and four futures periods

(2021–2040, 2041–2060, 2061–2080, and 2081–2100, referred to as 2030, 2050, 2070, and 2090). Future

projections were generated under four Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs, SSP126, SSP245, SSP370,

and SSP585), covering a range of potential climate trajectories (O'NEILL ET AL., 2017).

Distribution of invasive species across the altitudinal belts of the Pyrenees

Occurrence records were intersected with four elevation belts corresponding to the main vegetation zones of

the Pyrenees: foothills and lower montane (0–900 m), montane (901–1 800 m), subalpine (1 801–2 300 m),

and alpine zone above 2 300 m (SAULE ET AL., 2018). This analysis used the full set of occurrence records

(i.e., non–spatially thinned data). For each belt, we quantified species richness, species composition and

relative proportions, and summarized species composition by life form, using data from the FloraVeg.EU

database (CHYTRÝ ET AL., 2024). Life forms were harmonized into four categories: trees, shrubs, herbs, and

aquatic species (see Appendix S2). Six species with no recorded occurrences within the Pyrenees

(*Cabomba caroliniana, Crassula helmsii, Lindernia dubia, Pistia stratiotes, Elodea nuttallii, and Hydrocotyle*

ranunculoides) were excluded from these analyses.

Bioclimatic suitability modeling and spatial dynamics of invasion risk

Bioclimatic suitability modeling

We adopted the ensemble modeling framework described in COLLETTE ET AL., 2025. Because invasive plants

typically have broader and better-documented distributions than rare endemic taxa, occurrence data are less

imbalanced; model performance was therefore evaluated using the Area Under the Receiver Operating

Characteristic Curve (AUC-ROC), rather than the Area Under the Precision–Recall Curve (AUC-PR). The

spatial cross-validation scheme was adjusted by increasing the number of spatial blocks to five, with an

adjusted block size. These study-specific methodological adaptations are detailed in the ODMAP protocol

(Overview, Data, Model, Assessment and Prediction), a standardized framework for transparent SDMs

reporting (ZURELL *ET AL.*, 2020, see Appendix S3).

Model calibration was performed within the smallest bounding box maximizing GBIF data coverage while

maintaining computational efficiency. This extent included climatically extreme regions (e.g., northern Europe

and the Alps) to ensure broad environmental representation. This approach is consistent with evidence that

models calibrated on invaded ranges outperform those built across entire distributions (BARBET-MASSIN *ET*

AL., 2018). Future projections were restricted to a bounding box encompassing the Pyrenean range, and all

suitability maps for analyses were subsequently cropped to the Pyrenees following the mountain delimitation

proposed by KÖRNER *ET AL.* (2011) and updated by SNETHLAGE *ET AL.* (2022) in WGS84 (EPSG:4326) (Figure

1). Maps are available in Appendix S4, Supplementary Material.

While these results illustrate the use of bioclimatic projections to explore potential climate-driven shifts in

invasion risk, their interpretation requires careful consideration of their scope and limitations (COLLETTE *ET*

AL., 2025a ; FENOLLOSA *ET AL.*, 2025 ; GALLIEN *ET AL.*, 2015 ; SRIVASTAVA *ET AL.*, 2019). Accordingly,

projected changes in climatic suitability should be interpreted as indicators of potential establishment of non-

native species rather than direct predictors of future abundance or realized spread and must be interpreted

alongside broader ecological and socio-environmental processes.

Spatial and temporal dynamics of bioclimatic suitable area

To quantify changes in bioclimatic suitability, continuous suitability maps were binarized for each period and

SSP using the maximum sum of sensitivity and specificity (maxSSS) threshold (LIU *ET AL.*, 2013 ; 2016).

Suitable area was calculated for the current period and future period–SSP combination. Spatial overlap

between current and future binary maps was computed to estimate the proportion of currently suitable areas

projected to remain suitable under each scenario. Each taxon was classified according to whether it showed

an increase ("winner") or decrease ("loser") in suitable bioclimatic areas throughout the century across all

SSP scenarios. Latitudinal, longitudinal and elevational shifts between the current period and 2081–2100

were quantified by overlaying binarized projections with elevation data from CGIAR-CSI (2018; ~1 × 1 km

resolution at the equator) and geographic coordinates. For each species, elevational, latitudinal and

longitudinal centroids, defined as the mean elevation, latitude and longitude of suitable pixels, were

calculated for the current period and for each SSP. Only species retaining suitable areas under all SSPs

were used to estimate overall centroid shifts between present and future conditions. Species-specific shifts

under each SSP are reported in Appendix S5, supporting information.

Mapping bioclimatic invasion hotspots and their overlap with endemic biodiversity.

Continuous suitability maps for the current and future (2081–2100) periods were averaged across species to identify bioclimatic hotspots, defined as areas where the highest number of invasive taxa is projected to find suitable climatic conditions. To assess potential spatial conflicts between biological invasions and native biodiversity, invasive-species hotspots were compared with bioclimatic hotspots previously found for endemic plant species of the Pyrenees, using the same framework (COLLETTE *ET AL.*, 2025b). Hotspot maps of all SSPs were binarized using a threshold of 0.2, corresponding to the lowest non-zero class of the legend and retaining all areas showing any hotspot signal. Spatial overlap between invasive and endemic hotspots was quantified using the Jaccard similarity index (spatial overlap index; (JACCARD, 1901)). This index ranges from 0 (no overlap) to 1 (complete overlap), providing a standardized measure of spatial congruence between invasion risk hotspots and areas of high endemic suitability.

Results

A strong decline in invasive species richness with elevation

Intersecting occurrence records with the four elevational belts revealed that invasive were clustered along valleys and low elevations, especially in the northeastern Pyrenees (Figure 2a). The foothill and lower montane belt (0–900 m), which represents 45.8 % of the Pyrenees surface, hosted 28 species, accounting for 77.26**%** of all occurrences, making it the richest zone (Figure 2b). Species richness then declined steadily with elevation: 20 species in the montane belt (901–1 800 m), 10 species in the subalpine belt (1 801–2 300 m), and 5 species in the upper alpine zone (> 2 300 m). After standardizing by belt area, species density followed the same decreasing trend with elevation (see Appendix S6). Five species are recorded in the alpine zone, *Elodea canadensis, Fallopia baldschuanica, Helianthus tuberosus, Impatiens balfourii, Senecio inaequidens*. Within the subalpine belt, most species were rare; eight of the 10 species occurred only marginally (0.11–2 % of their occurrences). Two species showed higher representation at this elevation. *Heracleum mantegazzianum* (36 % of records) and *Elodea canadensis* (around 11 %), indicating a greater capacity in colder, high-mountain environments. Overall, invasive plants in the Pyrenees are primarily concentrated at low elevations, although several taxa already show the ability to colonize high-mountain environments.

Life-form composition also shifted with elevation (Figure 2c). Herbs dominated all elevational belts, reflecting their broad ecological tolerance. Shrubs and trees were concentrated in the lower and mid-montane belts,

with richness declined sharply with elevation. Trees were absent from the upper alpine zone (> 2 300 m),

indicating that woody invasive species are currently unable to establish in the most climatically constrained

conditions. This consistent dominance of herbs and the limited altitudinal distribution of woody species

highlight the strong climatic and habitat filtering along the Pyrenean gradient.

Future bioclimatic suitability declines for most invasive species, with only 20% potential winners

Projections indicated variation in future bioclimatic suitability across the 35 invasive taxa (Figure 3). Overall,

80 % of species were projected to experience a reduction in suitable climatic areas by 2081–2100 under at

least one SSP scenario. Losses were substantial for several species: six were projected to lose more than

75 % of their suitable area, ten could lose 50–75 %, one lose 33–50 %, and eighteen lose less than 33 %.

Averaged across species, changes ranged from –8.33 % under the most optimistic scenario (SSP126; min:

+222.6 %, max: –92.9 %) to –82.24 % under the most pessimistic one (SSP585; min: –0.7 %, max: –100

%). Two species (*Paspalum dilatatum* and *Heracleum mantegazzianum*) experienced a complete loss of

suitable bioclimatic area in at least one scenario. In contrast, seven species (20 %) were identified as

potential "winners" of climate change, with average gains of +191.42 % under SSP126 (min: +22.8 %, max:

+476.5 %) and up to +380.83 % under SSP585 (min: +22.6 %, max: +819.9 %). More species could appear

as winners based on their consistent increases in suitable areas under the mid and intermediate scenarios

(SSP126 or SSP245), but these apparent beneficiaries ultimately shifted to the "loser" category by

experiencing sharp reductions in suitability under other more pessimistic scenario.

Across all algorithms (GLM, GAM, GBM, RF, MaxEnt), cross-validated model performance was high (AUC

0.82 ± 0.07; Boyce index 0.82 ± 0.13; sensitivity 0.87 ± 0.04; see Appendix S7))

Climate-driven upward, northward and westward shifts of climatically suitable areas with complete

spatial reorganization

Across species retaining suitable conditions across SSPs during the 2081-2100 period, the centroid of

climatically suitable areas shifted upward on average by 161 m (range -309.9 to +562.1 m), with a mean

northward shift of ~11 km (range -34.7 to +56 km) and a western shift of ~59 km (range –222.3 to +25.6 km)

(Figure 4a). Overall, 29 species are projected to shift upslope (Figure 4b), with the centroid of their

climatically suitable areas moving upward by +2.03 % to +194.22 % (mean: 53.65 %), whereas only four

species are projected to shift downslope (Figure 4b), with centroid elevations downslope by –35.38 % to

–4.17 % (mean: –20.98 %). Longitudinal shifts were predominantly westward (25 species, mean:–83.1 km;

range: –222.3 to –0.1 km), whereas eastward shifts were less frequent (8 species; mean: +14.8 km; range:

+4.2 to +25.6 km). Latitudinal shifts were mainly northward (23 species; mean: +20.2 km; range: +3.1 to +56

km), whereas southward shifts were less frequent (10 species; mean: −11.8 km; range: −34.7 to 0 km)

(Figure 4a).

Spatial overlap between current and future suitable areas declined steadily as emission levels increased

(Figure 4c). Under the most optimistic scenario (SSP126), sixteen species maintained high (>75 %) overlap

with their current climatic range. This number decreased sharply under intermediate scenarios (e.g., only

nine species under SSP245 and six under SSP370). Under the most pessimistic scenario (SSP585), overlap

collapsed: six species showed no overlap with their present climatic niche, twenty retained 0–25 % overlap,

and five maintained near (75 %) to complete overlap. Low overlap resulted either from the disappearance of

present climatic conditions (SSP245: 1 species; SSP370: 1; SSP585: 2) or a strong spatial displacement of

suitable areas relative to the current range (SSP245: 1 species; SSP370: 2; SSP585: 4).

Rising invasion risk in montane belts with limited overlap with endemic hotspots

Hotspot analysis, defined as areas where multiple species simultaneously retain suitable conditions,

revealed marked changes (Figure 5). Maximum hotspot suitability declined from 0.73 under current

conditions to 0.59 by the 2081–2100 period, accompanied by an increasingly pronounced upward

displacement under more pessimistic climate scenarios. The most persistent hotspot was concentrated in

the Atlantic sector of the Pyrenees, consistent with westward shifts in species centroids of bioclimatic

suitability. No hotspot extended above 2 000 m in any scenario, while low-elevation plains south of the range

became increasingly unsuitable, contributing to the overall decline in hotspot maximum suitability.

Spatial overlap between invasive and endemic bioclimatic hotspots was limited under current climatic

conditions and declined sharply under future scenarios (Figure 6). Under current conditions, hotspot overlap

covered 2 750 km², corresponding to 16.6 % of endemic hotspot area and 10.1 % of invasive hotspot area

(Jaccard index = 0.07). By the 2081-2100 period, overlap declined across all scenarios, decreasing to 878

km² under SSP126 (8.4 % of endemic and 2.9 % of invasive hotspots; Jaccard index = 0.02), and becoming

nearly negligible under SSP245 (< 3.16 km²; Jaccard index ≈ 0). No spatial overlap was detected under the

most pessimistic scenarios (SSP370 and SSP585).

Discussion

Our study provides a range-wide, spatially explicit assessment of invasion risks in a major European

mountain system and reveals that climate change will not systematically promote invasive plant expansion in

mountain landscapes. Although invasive plant species are generally perceived, and often reported, as

"winners" under climate change (GOURY ET AL., 2025), we found that 80% are more likely to be "losers", with

only seven species identified as putative winners. These results contrast with those of comparable studies

and call for a re-evaluation of the status of invasive species and a better adjustment of control strategies.

Climate change will not promote invasive plant expansion in mountain landscapes

Our results challenge the expectation that invasive species generally benefit from global change. Most of the

assessed taxa (80% of the 35 species) are projected to lose suitable bioclimatic areas by 2081–2100 period

under at least one emission scenario, on average by –9.12% under SSP126 to –82.59% under

SSP585. Two species even lose all suitable bioclimatic areas, *Paspalum dilatatum* and *Heracleum*

mantegazzianum, under pessimistic scenarios after 2041–2060 period. This demonstrates that even invasive

species, often assumed to tolerate broad environmental conditions (EYSTER & WOLKOVICH, 2021 ; GODOY ET

AL., 2011 ; HIGGINS & RICHARDSON, 2014) and to gain a relative advantage over native species under climate

change (DESLIPPE & VEENENDAAL, 2025 ; LIU ET AL., 2017 ; YANG ET AL., 2022), can experience sharp

declines as climatic stress intensifies. Conversely, a smaller subset of species showed expansion potential,

with seven species increasing their suitable bioclimatic area on average by +191.42% under SSP126 and up

to +380.83% under SSP585. Among these, *Araujia sericifera* and *Opuntia stricta* showed particularly

pronounced gains, exceeding 800%. In addition, several taxa that initially appeared to benefit under

moderate warming ultimately shifted to net losses under more extreme scenarios, indicating that apparent

climatic "winners" may quickly become "losers" once tolerance thresholds are exceeded. Together, these

findings reinforce emerging global evidence that invasive species do not uniformly benefit from warming, a

conclusion already suggested by early global assessments showing that climate change does not

systematically advantage these species (BELLARD ET AL., 2013 ; THUILLER ET AL., 2007). Moreover, the

literature consistently shows that invasion success is context-specific, shaped by interactions between

species characteristics (BUCKLEY & CSERGŐ, 2017) and recipient ecosystem properties (CATFORD ET AL.,

2022 ; GIORIA ET AL., 2023 ; KAUSHIK ET AL., 2022 ; NOVOA ET AL., 2020 ; PYSEK ET AL., 2020a).

Climate change reshapes invasion risk toward mountain belts

For invasive species, climate change is expected to drive spatial redistribution of suitable bioclimatic

conditions, reshaping potential invasion pathways. Spatial overlap between current and future suitable areas

declines sharply with increasing emission scenarios, indicating a growing mismatch between present-day

distributions and future climatic conditions. This reflects two complementary processes: the loss of currently

suitable climates for some taxa, and the spatial displacement of suitability for others. Both point to

pronounced distributional turnover and increasing constraints on species' ability to track suitable conditions

under high-emission trajectories (CHAN ET AL., 2024 ; VITASSE ET AL., 2021), with implications for invasion risk

assessment. Among taxa retaining suitable conditions across all climate scenarios by 2081-2100 period, the

centroid of bioclimatic suitable areas is projected to shift upward by an average of +161 m, northward by

10.5 km and westward by 59.4 km. In the Pyrenees, these align with projected increases in mean annual

temperature (+2.2 °C under SSP126 to +6.1 °C under SSP585) and declining precipitation (–3.6 mm under

SSP126 to –132.4 mm under SSP585) (COLLETTE ET AL., 2025b), indicating upslope tracking of suitable

climates as foothill environments become increasingly restrictive. Westward and northward shifts further

reflect climatic contrast across the range, with wetter Atlantic conditions in the western Pyrenees and warmer

climates prevailing south of the range in Spain. These patterns are also consistent with the widespread

altitudinal and latitudinal shifts in plant distributions documented in the literature over recent decades (ISELI

ET AL., 2023 ; LENOIR ET AL., 2008 ; RUBENSTEIN ET AL., 2023). Despite these upslope shifts, no invasion

hotspots, defined as areas where the highest number of species could simultaneously find suitable

conditions, are projected to occur above 2 000 m, highlighting the persistent environmental constraints of

alpine environments throughout the century. This pattern is consistent with the documented decline in

species richness with elevation (ALEXANDER ET AL., 2016 ; DI MUSCIANO ET AL., 2021), with few species

occurring in the alpine zone (BECKER ET AL., 2005). However, the persistence and intensification of hotspots

within the montane belt indicate increasing invasion pressure at higher elevations. In contrast, hotspots in

the southwestern foothills and adjacent lowlands show marked losses of climatic suitability, suggesting a

progressive retreat of invasive plants from areas expected to become excessively warm or dry. Together,

these patterns suggest that invasive species may increasingly concentrate at intermediate elevations,

consistent with the recurrent mid-elevation peak in plant species richness along elevational gradients

(MCCAIN & GRYTNES, 2010), as limited suitability in lowland and alpine zones constrains further downslope or

upslope expansion. In this context, mid-elevation slice of the Pyrenees may function as a climatic refugee

but also as a climatic trap, temporarily retaining invasive populations within a narrowing elevational band.

At the regional scale, these projections are supported by empirical evidence from a mountain system located

south of the Pyrenees, where vegetation belts have already shifted upslope, with Mediterranean formations

expanding and montane and subalpine communities regressing, in response to rising temperatures and

land-use changes, particularly agro-pastoral abandonment (PENUELAS & BOADA, 2003). In the Pyrenees

themselves, invasion patterns already reflect strong biogeographical and anthropogenic structuring. On the

Mediterranean side, coastal areas host the highest richness of established alien species, some of which

have spread into the Pyrenean foothills and subalpine zones. In central areas such as Andorra, most introductions are recent, mainly after the 1980s, coinciding with the rise of mountain tourism and the ornamental plant trade (SOTO ET AL., 2025). In the southern Pyrenees, introductions are predominantly of Palearctic origin, reflecting intra-continental "neo-naturalization" rather than tropical exotic invasion. These trends are consistent with biogeographical patterns, as widely distributed alien species are largely associated with Mediterranean and temperate climates and rarely linked to boreal–alpine environments. As a result, they are mainly concentrated at low to mid-elevations, where such habitats are available (NINOT ET AL., 2007). In line with these observations, several species in our dataset already persist within the montane and, in some cases, alpine belts, suggesting that future climates could promote the expansion of these early colonizers and facilitate the establishment of additional taxa at higher elevations. This upward recruitment is likely to be further reinforced by anthropogenic dispersal processes. Roads and valley corridors act as efficient conduits for upslope spread (ISELI ET AL., 2023 ; VORSTENBOSCH ET AL., 2020), as reflected by the clustering of current occurrence records along valleys, influenced by a large lowland urban center (>250 000 inhabitants) and the proximity of the Mediterranean coast. In addition, recreational and agro-pastoral activities can inadvertently transport propagules into otherwise isolated high-elevation habitats and facilitate their establishment by disturbing natural habitats (BARROS ET AL., 2025 ; HEMP, 2008 ; KOYAMA ET AL., 2024 ; LIEDTKE ET AL., 2020 ; MONTAGNANI ET AL., 2022). Together, these mechanisms highlight mountain valleys and adjacent elevational gradients as key areas for early detection, rapid response and coordinated transboundary management.

Spotlights on trajectories of famous climatic "winners", conditional "winners" and consistent "losers"

Species-specific climatic trajectories reveal heterogeneity in how invasive taxa may respond to future warming. To illustrate these contrasts, we focus on representative species from our dataset. Among the most consistent climatic "winners", *Opuntia stricta* shows continuous increases in projected suitability across all scenarios. This perennial succulent is tolerant to heat, drought and climatic seasonality, with evidence of persistence beyond arid systems (GAYE ET AL., 2025 ; SONG ET AL., 2025). It preferentially establishes in open, disturbed habitats associated with human activities (MISURI ET AL., 2025 ; SHACKLETON ET AL., 2017). Under future warming, its suitable bioclimatic conditions are projected to expand mainly at mid elevations, where reduced frost frequency may facilitate its upslope expansion into open grasslands and pastoral systems in the Pyrenees. Given its status as one of the world's most problematic invasive species (SIMBERLOFF & REJMANEK, 2019) targeted monitoring is needed where future climatic suitability overlaps with

human activities. Similar patterns in other mountain systems suggest that climatic winners under warming

often combine thermophilic and ruderal strategies with high colonization capacity, traits overrepresented

among invasive taxa (GOURY ET AL., 2025). *Opuntia stricta* exemplifies this syndrome and calls for

heightened vigilance in the Pyrenees.

In contrast, *Senecio inaequidens,* an invasive grassland species, and *Datura stramonium*, a toxic invasive

herb posing risks to livestock and agricultural systems, emerge as conditional "winners". Both displayed

increases in suitability under moderate warming (SSP126–245) followed by declines under high-emission

scenarios (SSP370–585) after 2041–2060. Although *S. inaequidens* already recruits at high elevations in the

Pyrenees and other mountain systems and has not fully occupied its current climatic niche (VACCHIANO ET

AL., 2013), projected declines under severe warming suggest emerging climatic constraints. Its capacity for

rapid local adaptation (MONTY & MAHY, 2009), tolerance for cold winters (LACHMUTH ET AL., 2010), and early

establishment in species-poor communities (DELORY ET AL., 2019) may nonetheless allow persistence

despite declining suitability. *D. stramonium* displays a comparable non-linear response: gains under

intermediate scenarios likely reflect its broad climatic niche (CHEN ET AL., 2025) and warming-driven growth

advantages, whereas subsequent declines may result from increasing drought stress at lower elevations

(CHADHA ET AL., 2020). However, this result must be interpreted with caution, as this species can maintain

high seed production under moderate drought and may therefore remain invasive despite climatic

constraints. Together, these cases illustrate that early climatic gains do not necessarily translate into

sustained expansion once key physiological thresholds are exceeded.

A third set of species, including *Buddleja davidii*, a fast-growing invasive shrub disrupting native pollination

networks, and *Reynoutria japonica*, a clonal plant forming dense monospecific strands, consistently emerges

as climatic "losers", with projected contractions of suitable areas under all scenarios. In both cases, our

hypothesis is that range losses are primarily driven by upward shifts of lower elevational limits rather than

successful colonization at higher elevations, resulting in net range contraction (ZU ET AL., 2021). This pattern

is consistent with evidence from the Alps, where successful upslope colonization by invasive plants is largely

restricted to cold-tolerant taxa (PETITPIERRE ET AL., 2016), and with global analysis showing that local

extinctions disproportionately affect populations at warm range margins as annual maximum temperatures

increase (ROMÁN-PALACIOS & WIENS, 2020). Despite their broad ecological and altitudinal tolerance in their

native ranges (*B. davidii*, up to 3500 m across temperate to subtropical climates (TALLENT & WATT, 2009); *R.*

japonica, up to 3 800 m (BEERLING ET AL., 1994 ; NEGREA ET AL., 2022)) associated with riparian and

disturbed habitats (BARNEY ET AL., 2006)) projected declines in the Pyrenees are best interpreted as an

altitudinal squeeze. Increasing summer heat, moisture limitation and water stress reduce suitability at lower elevations (KADLECOVÁ ET AL., 2024 ; MIROSHNYK ET AL., 2025), while upward expansion remains constrained by persistent cold conditions, temperature variability and minimum growing-season temperatures (MIROSHNYK ET AL., 2025 ; WITTIG, 2012). For both species, reliance on phenotypic and ecological plasticity rather than local adaptation (*B. davidii*: EBELING ET AL., 2011, R. japonica: MARTIN ET AL., 2020) may limit their ability to overcome these upper-elevation constraints.

Together, these examples illustrate a continuum of invasion trajectories shaped by species-specific combinations of climatic tolerance, habitat dependence and sensitivity to climatic thresholds. While identifying traits associated with persistent expansion can inform risk assessment (but see EARLE ET AL., 2023; MOLES ET AL., 2012), invasion outcomes remain strongly context dependent.

Climate could constrain direct competition between invasive and endemic plants in the Pyrenees

The decline in hotspot intensity and their upward displacement resulted in a contraction of spatial overlap between invasive and endemic plant hotspots, from 16.6 % under current conditions to nearly zero in the future. This pattern suggests that ongoing warming will substantially reduce the area simultaneously suitable for both invasive and endemic taxa, thereby limiting the spatial scope for direct biotic interactions. Such upward invasion pressure raises management concerns, as alpine and subalpine communities are maybe vulnerable to replacement by taxa that track warming climates more efficiently (BRADLEY ET AL., 2024 ; DAINESE ET AL., 2017 ; GEPPERT ET AL., 2023). However, our results indicate that climatic constraints may also restrict the long-term co-occurrence of invasive and endemic plants. A comparable analysis of Pyrenean endemic plant species (Collette et al., 2025b) indicates that suitability hotspots for endemics are projected to remain largely confined above 2 000 m, whereas our results show that invasive species hotspots predominantly occur below this elevation. This consistent elevational segregation suggests that climate change may act as an environmental filter, allowing endemic taxa to persist in high-elevation climatic refugia while limiting the upward expansion of most invasive species. Even where invasive and endemic species co-occur at high elevations, the impacts of non-native plants on alpine ecosystems are not systematic and have so far been reported as limited (ALEXANDER ET AL., 2016). Under our scenarios, invasive and endemic plants are projected to increasingly occupy distinct climatic niches, reducing the likelihood of widespread direct competition along the mountain chain, even if endemic species face substantial constraints in tracking suitable conditions.

Rethinking invasive risks under climate change: should invaders be "allowed" to persist for the sake of species conservation?

The divergent trajectories observed among taxa call for a reassessment of how invasion risk is defined in the context of rapid global change. Our results reveal contrasting situations in which the same mountain system may either facilitate or constrain the persistence of non-native species. *Carpobrotus edulis* illustrates this complexity in our analysis. In the Pyrenees, this species is a climatic winner, projected to expand its suitable area under future warming. Yet, model-based extinction-risk assessments suggest that it may become threatened in parts of its native range (BACHMAN *ET AL.*, 2024). Here, mountain systems may act as climatic refugia, providing a non-native taxa one of the last environments matching its fundamental climatic niche. Conversely, climatic losers such as *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* illustrate the opposite trajectory. This species currently represents a major management concern due to its severe health impacts (SCHAFFNER *ET AL.*, 2020).. Our projections further suggest that its long-term persistence in the Pyrenees may become increasingly unlikely, with potentially positive implications for human health in the region. Here, mountain systems may instead act as climatic barriers, or even as traps, limiting future establishment and spread. Together, these contrasting outcomes raise a fundamental question: should global invasive species be managed uniformly when their climatic vulnerability and persistence differ across regions (BAQUERO *ET AL.*, 2023)? Beyond this dichotomy, our framework also allows for a third outcome, in which species may face extinction risks in both their native and invaded regions, as illustrated by *Impatiens balfourii* in our study. Such cases exemplify the conservation–invasion paradox, whereby invasive species may simultaneously pose ecological threats in some regions while raising conservation concerns in others (HONG *ET AL.*, 2025 ; STAUDE *ET AL.*, 2025), calling for more nuanced, context-dependent management strategies.

In mountainous systems where most endemic species are threatened by climate change regardless of invasive species (COLLETTE *ET AL.*, 2025b), the future composition of the flora becomes a central issue. However the role of non-native and invasive species in this composition is unclear. In the Pyrenees, our results indicate only marginal spatial overlap between endemic and invasive plants, suggesting that invasive species may contribute to future floristic reorganization without constituting a primary threat to endemic persistence. Beyond species redistribution, further investigation is required into whether invasive species may also shape future flora through the generation of evolutionary novelty. Such novelty may arise through rapid adaptation (CLEMENTS & JONES, 2021 ; MORAN & ALEXANDER, 2014) or through hybridization with native taxa or among non-native lineages, potentially leading to the emergence of new evolutionary lineages (ELLSTRAND, 2009 ; ELLSTRAND & SCHIERENBECK, 2000) and thus generate novel evolutionary outcomes

480 (MEYERSON *ET AL.*, 2010 ; MOONEY & CLELAND, 2001) and diversity when colonizing new environments

481 (VELLEND *ET AL.*, 2007). However, although evolutionary change can occur over short timescales, its

482 magnitude is frequently insufficient to keep pace with the rate of projected climate change (JEZKOVA &

483 WIENS, 2016).

Using bioclimatic niche projections to inform proactive invasion management

Although invasive species are increasingly recognized as an emerging threat in mountain systems, the

Pyrenees still lack a harmonized transnational framework to identify priority areas for surveillance and to

coordinate management across administrative boundaries. This gap is particularly critical in a transboundary

mountain range where invasion pathways and suitable conditions extend beyond political borders. In this

context, focusing monitoring efforts on areas projected to become climatically suitable could improve

management efficiency, as early detection and rapid response are consistently more cost-effective and

ecologically sustainable than reactive control following establishment (AHMED *ET AL.*, 2022 ; HANLEY &

ROBERTS, 2019 ; VENETTE *ET AL.*, 2021). This is particularly relevant in mountain landscapes, where rugged

and inaccessible terrain constrains mechanized control and increases reliance on labor-intensive

interventions, thereby amplifying both financial and human costs (MCDOUGALL *ET AL.*, 2011). However,

bioclimatic projections should not be interpreted as direct prescriptions for disengagement. While species

projected to lose climatic suitability may justify a gradual reallocation of management effort, complete

disengagement is rarely warranted. Taxa may persist long after climatic conditions become unsuitable, a

process described as extinction debt (KUUSSAARI *ET AL.*, 2009). Even after population decline or local

eradication, invasive species may continue to exert ecological and economic impacts through persistent

legacies on soils, biotic interactions and ecosystem processes, affecting vulnerable communities well beyond

their expansion phase (AHMAD *ET AL.*, 2021 ; CORBIN & D'ANTONIO, 2012 ; ESSL *ET AL.*, 2015 ; PRIOR *ET AL.*,

2018).

More broadly, our findings highlight a growing mismatch between climatic opportunities and conventional

invasion risk assessments, calling for a reassessment of how non-native species are evaluated and

prioritized under ongoing climate change. Climate change increasingly blurs the boundary between natural

range shifts and biological invasions, challenging traditional invasion categories and complicating the

terminology and frameworks traditionally used in invasion ecology (FUSCO *ET AL.*, 2024 ; WALTHER *ET AL.*,

2009). These dynamics call for more flexible, context-dependent management frameworks, often referred to

as climate-smart approaches (COLBERG *ET AL.*, 2024), which highlight the importance of considering climate-

driven shifts in species distributions and persistence, as well as their implications for management feasibility

and effectiveness. Our results indicate that mountain systems such as the Pyrenees may simultaneously act

as climatic barriers, refugia, or potential traps for non-native taxa. A central challenge lies in distinguishing

species likely to lose climatic suitability and ecological relevance from those that may benefit from warming,

in assessing the magnitude of their future impacts, and in anticipating which taxa could increasingly

contribute to the future emblematic flora of the Pyrenees as suitable conditions disappear elsewhere.

Integrating genetic, ecological and environmental data across invasion stages provides a robust framework

for anticipating invasion dynamics under global change, and supports the development of flexible, climate-

informed management strategies that explicitly account for evolutionary and demographic processes

(SHERPA & DESPRÉS, 2021).

References

ADHIKARI Pradeep, LEE Y.H., ADHIKARI Prabhat, HONG S.H. & PARK Y.-S. 2022. Climate change-induced invasion risk of ecosystem disturbing alien plant species: An evaluation using species distribution modeling. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* [en ligne]. 10. URL: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/ecology-and-evolution/articles/10.3389/fevo.2022.880987/full [lien valide au 28 octobre 2025]. DOI 10.3389/fevo.2022.880987.

AHMAD R., RASHID I., HAMID M., MALIK A.H. & KHUROO A.A. 2021. Invasion shadows in soil system overshadow the restoration of invaded ecosystems: Implications for invasive plant management. *Ecological Engineering* . 164: 106219. DOI 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2021.106219.

AHMED D.A., HAUBROCK P.J., CUTHBERT R.N., BANG A., SOTO I., BALZANI P., TARKAN A.S., MACÊDO R.L., CARNEIRO L., BODEY T.W., OFICIALDEGUI F.J., COURTOIS P., KOURANTIDOU M., ANGULO E., HERINGER G., RENAULT D., TURBELIN A.J., HUDGINS E.J., LIU C., GOJERY S.A., ARBIEU U., DIAGNE C., LEROY B., BRISKI E., BRADSHAW C.J.A. & COURCHAMP F. 2023. Recent advances in availability and synthesis of the economic costs of biological invasions. *BioScience* . 73(8): 560-574. DOI 10.1093/biosci/biad060.

AHMED D.A., HUDGINS E.J., CUTHBERT R.N., KOURANTIDOU M., DIAGNE C., HAUBROCK P.J., LEUNG B., LIU C., LEROY B., PETROVSKII S., BEIDAS A. & COURCHAMP F. 2022. Managing biological invasions: the cost of inaction. *Biol Invasions* . 24(7): 1927-1946. DOI 10.1007/s10530-022-02755-0.

ALEXANDER J.M., LEMBRECHTS J.J., CAVIERES L.A., DAEHLER C., HAIDER S., KUEFFER C., LIU G., MCDOUGALL K., MILBAU A., PAUCHARD A., REW L.J. & SEIPEL T. 2016. Plant invasions into mountains and alpine ecosystems: current status and future challenges. *Alp Botany* . 126(2): 89-103. DOI 10.1007/s00035-016-0172-8.

ANDERSON L.G., ROCLIFFE S., HADDAWAY N.R. & DUNN A.M. 2015. The Role of Tourism and Recreation in the Spread of Non-Native Species: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PLoS ONE* . 10(10): e0140833. DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0140833.

AYMERICH P. & SÁEZ L. 2019. Checklist of the vascular alien flora of Catalonia (northeastern Iberian Peninsula, Spain). *MBOT* . 40(2): 215-242. DOI 10.5209/mbot.63608.

BACHMAN S.P., BROWN M.J.M., LEÃO T.C.C., NIC LUGHADHA E. & WALKER B.E. 2024. Extinction risk predictions for the world's flowering plants to support their conservation. *New Phytologist* . 242(2): 797-808. DOI 10.1111/nph.19592.

BAQUERO R., OFICIALDEGUI F., AYLLON D. & NICOLA G. 2023. The challenge of managing threatened invasive species at a continental scale. *Conservation Biology* . 37. DOI 10.1111/cobi.14165.

BARBET-MASSIN M., ROME Q., VILLEMANT C. & COURCHAMP F. 2018. Can species distribution models really predict the expansion of invasive species?. *PLOS ONE* . 13(3): e0193085. DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0193085.

BARNEY J.N., THARAYIL N., DITOMMASO A. & BHOWMIK P.C. 2006. The Biology of Invasive Alien Plants in Canada. 5. *Polygonum cuspidatum* Sieb. & Zucc. [= *Fallopia japonica* (Houtt.) Ronse Decr.]. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* . 86(3): 887-906. DOI 10.4141/P05-170.

BARROS A., FUENTES LILLO E., ASCHERO V., PAUCHARD A., ALVAREZ M.A., WEDEGÄRTNER R., CLAVEL J., MÜLLEROVÁ J.,
PERGL J., ZONG S., VÍTKOVÁ M., KLINEROVÁ T., CAVIERES L.A., LARSON C., REW L.J., SEIPEL T., MEFFRE C.,
ARELLANO T., ESSL F., DULLINGER S., GWATE O., CLARK V.R., ACHERMANN M., HAIDER S. & LEMBRECHTS J.J.
2025. Beyond the Trail—Understanding Non-Native Plant Invasions in Mountain Ecosystems. *Global*
Ecol Biogeogr . 34(6): e70060. DOI 10.1111/geb.70060.

BARROS A. & PICKERING C. 2014. Non-native Plant Invasion in Relation to Tourism Use of Aconcagua Park,
Argentina, the Highest Protected Area in the Southern Hemisphere. *Mountain Research and*
Development . 34: 13-26. DOI 10.1659/MRD-JOURNAL-D-13-00054.1.

BECKER T., DIETZ H., BILLETER R., BUSCHMANN H. & EDWARDS P.J. 2005. Altitudinal distribution of alien plant
species in the Swiss Alps. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* . 7(3): 173-183.
DOI 10.1016/j.ppees.2005.09.006.

BEERLING D.J., BAILEY J.P. & CONOLLY A.P. 1994. Fallopia Japonica (Houtt.) Ronse Decraene. *The Journal of*
Ecology . 82(4): 959. DOI 10.2307/2261459.

BELLARD C., CASSEY P. & BLACKBURN T.M. 2016. Alien species as a driver of recent extinctions. *Biology Letters* .
12(2): 20150623. DOI 10.1098/rsbl.2015.0623.

BELLARD C., JESCHKE J.M., LEROY B. & MACE G.M. 2018. Insights from modeling studies on how climate change
affects invasive alien species geography. *Ecology and Evolution* . 8(11): 5688-5700. DOI
10.1002/ece3.4098.

BELLARD C., THUILLER W., LEROY B., GENOVESI P., BAKKENES M. & COURCHAMP F. 2013. Will climate change
promote future invasions?. *Glob Chang Biol* . 19(12): 3740-3748. DOI 10.1111/gcb.12344.

BRADLEY B.A., BEAURY E.M., GALLARDO B., IBÁÑEZ I., JARNEVICH C., MORELLI T.L., SOFAER H.R., SORTE C.J.B. & VILÀ
M. 2024. Observed and Potential Range Shifts of Native and Nonnative Species with Climate
Change. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* . 55(1): 23-40. DOI 10.1146/annurev-
ecolsys-102722-013135.

BRADSHAW C.J.A., HULME P.E., HUDGINS E.J., LEUNG B., KOURANTIDOU M., COURTOIS P., TURBELIN A.J., MCDERMOTT
S.M., LEE K., AHMED D.A., LATOMBE G., BANG A., BODEY T.W., HAUBROCK P.J., SALTRÉ F. & COURCHAMP F.
2024. Damage costs from invasive species exceed management expenditure in nations
experiencing lower economic activity. *Ecological Economics* . 220: 108166. DOI
10.1016/j.ecolecon.2024.108166.

BUCKLEY Y.M. & CSERGŐ A.M. 2017. Predicting invasion winners and losers under climate change. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A . 114(16): 4040-4041. DOI 10.1073/pnas.1703510114.

CAO PINNA L., GALLIEN L., POLLOCK L., AXMANOVÁ I., CHYTRY M., MALAVASI M., ACOSTA A., CAMPOS J.A. & CARBONI
M. 2024. Plant invasion in Mediterranean Europe: current hotspots and future scenarios.
Ecography . 2024. DOI 10.1111/ecog.07085.

CARBONI M., GUÉGUEN M., BARROS C., GEORGES D., BOULANGEAT I., DOUZET R., DULLINGER S., KLONNER G., VAN
KLEUNEN M., ESSL F., BOSSDORF O., HAEUSER E., TALLUTO M.V., MOSER D., BLOCK S., CONTI L., DULLINGER I.,
MÜNKEMÜLLER T. & THUILLER W. 2018. Simulating plant invasion dynamics in mountain ecosystems
under global change scenarios. *Global Change Biology* [en ligne]. 24(1). URL:
https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/gcb.13879 [lien valide au 16 décembre 2024]. DOI
10.1111/gcb.13879.

CATFORD J.A., WILSON J.R.U., PYSEK P., HULME P.E. & DUNCAN R.P. 2022. Addressing context dependence in
ecology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* . 37(2): 158-170. DOI 10.1016/j.tree.2021.09.007.

CGIAR-CSI. 2018. SRTM 90m DEM Digital Elevation Database [en ligne]. [en ligne]. URL:
https://srtm.csi.cgiar.org/ [lien valide au 24 janvier 2025].

CHADHA A., FLORENTINE S., JAVAID M., WELGAMA A. & TURVILLE C. 2020. Influence of elements of climate change
on the growth and fecundity of Datura stramonium. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int* . 27(28): 35859-
35869. DOI 10.1007/s11356-020-10251-y.

CHAN W.-P., LENOIR J., MAI G.-S., KUO H.-C., CHEN I.-C. & SHEN S.-F. 2024. Climate velocities and species
tracking in global mountain regions. *Nature* . 629(8010): 114-120. DOI 10.1038/s41586-024-07264-
9.

CHEN Y., ZENG Z., LA Q., WANG J., CHEN Y., ZENG Z., LA Q. & WANG J. 2025. Invasion Mechanisms of the Alien
Plant Datura stramonium in Xizang: Insights from Genetic Differentiation, Allelopathy, and

Ecological Niche Analysis. *Biology* [en ligne]. 14(11). URL: https://www.mdpi.com/2079-7737/14/11/1629 [lien valide au 16 décembre 2025]. DOI 10.3390/biology14111629.

CHYTRÝ M., ŘEZNICKOVA M., NOVOTNÝ P., HOLUBOVA D., PREISLEROVA Z., ATTORRE F., BIURRUN I., BLAZEK P., BONARI G., BOROVYK D., ČEPLOVA N., DANIHELKA J., DAVYDOV D., DREVOJAN P., FAHS N., GUARINO R., GÜLER B., HENNEKENS S.M., HRIVNAK R., KALNIKOVA V., KALUSOVA V., KEBERT T., KNOLLOVA I., KNOTKOVA K., KOLJANIN D., KUZEMKO A., LOIDI J., LOSOSOVA Z., MARCENO C., MIDOLO G., MILANOVIC D., MUCINA L., NOVAK P., RAAB-STRAUBE E.V., RECZYNSKA K., SCHAMINEE J.H.J., ŠTEPANKOVA P., ŚWIERKOSZ K., TESITEL J., TESITELOVA T., TICHÝ L., VYNOKUROV D., WILLNER W. & AXMANOVA I. 2024. FloraVeg . EU — An online database of European vegetation, habitats and flora. *Applied Vegetation Science* . 27(3): e12798. DOI 10.1111/avsc.12798.

CLEMENTS D.R. & JONES V.L. 2021. Rapid Evolution of Invasive Weeds Under Climate Change: Present Evidence and Future Research Needs. *Front. Agron.* [en ligne]. 3. URL: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/agronomy/articles/10.3389/fagro.2021.664034/full [lien valide au 30 décembre 2025]. DOI 10.3389/fagro.2021.664034.

COLBERG E.M., BRADLEY B.A., MORELLI T.L. & BROWN-LIMA C.J. 2024. Climate-Smart Invasive Species Management for 21st Century Global Change Challenges. *Global Change Biology* . 30(10): e17531. DOI 10.1111/gcb.17531.

COLLETTE N., BERTRAND R., PINEL S., DELORME-HINOUX V., MURIENNE J. & BERTRAND J.A.M. 2025a. Modèles de distribution d'espèces : enjeux, défis et perspectives pour une conservation éclairée. *Monde Pl.* . 524-525-526: 51-57. DOI 10.65583/xeis6969.

COLLETTE N., PINEL S., DELORME-HINOUX V. & BERTRAND J.A.M. 2025b. Projecting spatiotemporal bioclimatic niche dynamics of endemic Pyrenean plant species under climate change: how much will we lose?. *Ecography* . n/a(n/a): e08067. DOI 10.1002/ecog.08067.

CORBIN J. & D'ANTONIO C. 2012. Gone but Not Forgotten? Invasive Plants' Legacies on Community and Ecosystem Properties. *Invasive Plant Science and Management* . 5: 117-124. DOI 10.1614/IPSM-D-11-00005.1.

CUTHBERT R.N., DIAGNE C., HUDGINS E.J., TURBELIN A., AHMED D.A., ALBERT C., BODEY T.W., BRISKI E., ESSL F., HAUBROCK P.J., GOZLAN R.E., KIRICHENKO N., KOURANTIDOU M., KRAMER A.M. & COURCHAMP F. 2022. Biological invasion costs reveal insufficient proactive management worldwide. *Science of The Total Environment* . 819: 153404. DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153404.

DAINESE M., AIKIO S., HULME P.E., BERTOLLI A., PROSSER F. & MARINI L. 2017. Human disturbance and upward expansion of plants in a warming climate. *Nature Clim Change* . 7(8): 577-580. DOI 10.1038/nclimate3337.

DELORY B.M., WEIDLICH E.W.A., KUNZ M., NEITZEL J. & TEMPERTON V.M. 2019. The exotic species Senecio inaequidens pays the price for arriving late in temperate European grassland communities. *Oecologia* . 191(3): 657-671. DOI 10.1007/s00442-019-04521-x.

DESLIPPE J.R. & VEENENDAAL J.A. 2025. Plant Invasions in a Changing Climate: Reshaping Communities, Ecosystem Functions, and Services. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* . 56(Volume 56, 2025): 571-596. DOI 10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102723-040443.

DI MUSCIANO M., ZANNINI P., FERRARA C., SPINA L., NASCIMBENE J., VETAAS O., BHATTA K., D'AGOSTINO M., PERUZZI L., CARTA A. & CHIARUCCI A. 2021. Investigating elevational gradients of species richness in a Mediterranean plant hotspot using a published flora. *Frontiers of Biogeography* . 13. DOI 10.21425/F5FBG50007.

DIAGNE C., LEROY B., VAISSIÈRE A.-C., GOZLAN R.E., ROIZ D., JARIĆ I., SALLES J.-M., BRADSHAW C.J.A. & COURCHAMP F. 2021. High and rising economic costs of biological invasions worldwide. *Nature* . 592(7855): 571-576. DOI 10.1038/s41586-021-03405-6.

ELLSTRAND N.C. 2009. Evolution of invasiveness in plants following hybridization. *Biol Invasions* . 11(5): 1089-1091. DOI 10.1007/s10530-008-9389-9.

ELLSTRAND N.C. & SCHIERENBECK K.A. 2000. Hybridization as a stimulus for the evolution of invasiveness in plants?. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* . 97(13): 7043-7050. DOI 10.1073/pnas.97.13.7043.

ESSL F., DULLINGER S., RABITSCH W., HULME P.E., HÜLBER K., JAROŠÍK V., KLEINBAUER I., KRAUSMANN F., KÜHN I., NENTWIG W., VILÀ M., GENOVESI P., GHERARDI F., DESPREZ-LOUSTAU M.-L., ROQUES A. & PYŠEK P. 2011.

Socioeconomic legacy yields an invasion debt. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* . 108(1): 203-207. DOI 10.1073/pnas.1011728108.

Essl F., Dullinger S., Rabitsch W., Hulme P.E., Pyšek P., Wilson J.R.U. & Richardson D.M. 2015. Delayed biodiversity change: no time to waste. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* . 30(7): 375-378. DOI 10.1016/j.tree.2015.05.002.

Eyster H.N. & Wolkovich E.M. 2021. Comparisons in the native and introduced ranges reveal little evidence of climatic adaptation in germination traits. *Climate Change Ecology* . 2: 100023. DOI 10.1016/j.ecochg.2021.100023.

Fenollosa E., Pang S.E.H., Briscoe N., Guisan A. & Salguero-Gómez R. 2025. Powerful yet challenging: mechanistic niche models for predicting invasive species potential distribution under climate change. *Ecography* . DOI 10.1002/ecog.07775.

Fick S.E. & Hijmans R.J. 2017. WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology* . 37(12): 4302-4315. DOI 10.1002/joc.5086.

Finch D.M., Butler J.L., Runyon J.B., Fettig C.J., Kilkenny F.F., Jose S., Frankel S.J., Cushman S.A., Cobb R.C., Dukes J.S., Hicke J.A. & Amelon S.K. 2021. Effects of Climate Change on Invasive Species. *In*: Poland T.M., Patel-Weynand T., Finch D.M., Miniat C.F., Hayes D.C., & Lopez V.M. (Éd.).Invasive Species in Forests and Rangelands of the United States: A Comprehensive Science Synthesis for the United States Forest Sector [en ligne]. Springer International Publishing, Cham. 57-83, URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45367-1_4 [lien valide au 15 décembre 2025].

Fusco E.J., Falk B.G., Heimowitz P.J., Lieurance D., Parsons E.W., Rottler C.M., Thurman L.L. & Evans A.E. 2024. The emerging invasive species and climate-change lexicon. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* . 39(12): 1119-1129. DOI 10.1016/j.tree.2024.08.005.

GALLIEN L., MAZEL F., LAVERGNE S., RENAUD J., DOUZET R. & THUILLER W. 2015. Contrasting the effects of environment, dispersal and biotic interactions to explain the distribution of invasive plants in alpine communities. *Biol Invasions* . 17(5): 1407-1423. DOI 10.1007/s10530-014-0803-1.

Garcia M.B., Miranda H., Pizarro M., Font X., Roquet C. & Gonzalez-Samperiz P. 2022. Habitats hold an evolutionary signal of past climatic refugia. *Biodivers Conserv* . 31(5): 1665-1688. DOI 10.1007/s10531-022-02419-4.

Garzón-Machado V., del-Arco-Aguilar M.J. & Pérez-de-Paz P.L. 2012. Threat or threatened species? A paradox in conservation biology. *Journal for Nature Conservation* . 20(4): 228-230. DOI 10.1016/j.jnc.2012.03.001.

Gaye serina, Kollie L. & Qi Z. 2025. Opuntia Invasion Risk and Management Strategies in China: A Comprehensive Review Under Climate Change Scenarios. s. n.

Geppert C., Bertolli A., Prosser F. & Marini L. 2023. Red-listed plants are contracting their elevational range faster than common plants in the European Alps. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* . 120(12): e2211531120. DOI 10.1073/pnas.2211531120.

Gioria M., Hulme P.E., Richardson D.M. & Pyšek P. 2023. Why Are Invasive Plants Successful?. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* . 74(Volume 74, 2023): 635-670. DOI 10.1146/annurev-arplant-070522-071021.

Godoy O., Valladares F. & Castro-Díez P. 2011. Multispecies comparison reveals that invasive and native plants differ in their traits but not in their plasticity. *Functional Ecology* . 25(6): 1248-1259. DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2435.2011.01886.x.

Gomez D., Garcia M.B., Font Castell X. & Aizpuru Oiarbide I. 2017. Distribución espacial y análisis ambiental de la flora vascular de los Pirineos. *Pirineos* . 172: 028. DOI 10.3989/pirineos.2017.172003.

Gottfried M., Pauli H., Futschik A., Akhalkatsi M., Barancok P., Benito Alonso J.L., Coldea G., Dick J., Erschbamer B., Fernandez Calzado M.R., Kazakis G., Krajci J., Larsson P., Mallaun M., Michelsen O., Moiseev D., Moiseev P., Molau U., Merzouki A., Nagy L., Nakhutsrishvili G., Pedersen B., Pelino G., Puscas M., Rossi G., Stanisci A., Theurillat J.-P., Tomaselli M., Villar L., Vittoz P., Vogiatzakis I. & Grabherr G. 2012. Continent-wide response of mountain vegetation to climate change. *Nature Clim Change* . 2(2): 111-115. DOI 10.1038/nclimate1329.

Goury R., Thuiller W., Abdulhak S., Pache G., Van Es J., Bowler D.E., Renaud J., Violle C. & Münkemüller T. 2025. Recent vegetation shifts in the French Alps with winners outnumbering losers. *Journal of*

Ecology [en ligne]. n/a(n/a). URL: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/1365-2745.70159 [lien valide au 30 octobre 2025]. DOI 10.1111/1365-2745.70159.

HAMID M., KHUROO A.A., MALIK A.H., AHMAD R., SINGH C.P., DOLEZAL J. & HAQ S.M. 2020. Early Evidence of Shifts in Alpine Summit Vegetation: A Case Study From Kashmir Himalaya. *Front. Plant Sci.* [en ligne]. 11. URL: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/plant-science/articles/10.3389/fpls.2020.00421/full [lien valide au 30 octobre 2025]. DOI 10.3389/fpls.2020.00421.

HANLEY N. & ROBERTS M. 2019. The economic benefits of invasive species management. *People and Nature* . 1(2): 124-137. DOI 10.1002/pan3.31.

HAUBROCK P.J., BALZANI P., MACÊDO R. & TARKAN A.S. 2023. Is the number of non-native species in the European Union saturating?. *Environmental Sciences Europe* . 35(1): 48. DOI 10.1186/s12302-023-00752-1.

HELLMANN J.J., BYERS J.E., BIERWAGEN B.G. & DUKES J.S. 2008. Five Potential Consequences of Climate Change for Invasive Species. *Conservation Biology* . 22(3): 534-543. DOI 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2008.00951.x.

HEMP A. 2008. Introduced plants on Kilimanjaro: tourism and its impact. *Plant Ecol* . 197(1): 17-29. DOI 10.1007/s11258-007-9356-z.

HIGGINS S.I. & RICHARDSON D.M. 2014. Invasive plants have broader physiological niches. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* . 111(29): 10610-10614. DOI 10.1073/pnas.1406075111.

HONG Y., YUAN Z. & LIU X. 2025. Global drivers of the conservation–invasion paradox. *Conserv Biol* . 39(5): e14290. DOI 10.1111/cobi.14290.

HULME P.E. 2009. Trade, transport and trouble: managing invasive species pathways in an era of globalization. *Journal of Applied Ecology* . 46(1): 10-18. DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2008.01600.x.

HULME P.E. 2017. Climate change and biological invasions: evidence, expectations, and response options. *Biological Reviews* . 92(3): 1297-1313. DOI 10.1111/brv.12282.

HULME P.E. 2021. Unwelcome exchange: International trade as a direct and indirect driver of biological invasions worldwide. *One Earth* . 4(5): 666-679. DOI 10.1016/j.oneear.2021.04.015.

ISELI E., CHISHOLM C., LENOIR J., HAIDER S., SEIPEL T., BARROS A., HARGREAVES A.L., KARDOL P., LEMBRECHTS J.J., MCDOUGALL K., RASHID I., RUMPF S.B., ARÉVALO J.R., CAVIERES L., DAEHLER C., DAR P.A., ENDRESS B., JAKOBS G., JIMÉNEZ A., KÜFFER C., MIHOC M., MILBAU A., MORGAN J.W., NAYLOR B.J., PAUCHARD A., RATIER BACKES A., RESHI Z.A., REW L.J., RIGHETTI D., SHANNON J.M., VALENCIA G., WALSH N., WRIGHT G.T. & ALEXANDER J.M. 2023. Rapid upwards spread of non-native plants in mountains across continents. *Nat Ecol Evol* . 7(3): 405-413. DOI 10.1038/s41559-022-01979-6.

ISELI E., DIAZ ZEUGIN N., BRIOSCHI C., ALEXANDER J. & LENOIR J. 2025. Early detection of plant community responses to climate warming along mountain roads. *Journal of Ecology* . 113(9): 2575-2589. DOI 10.1111/1365-2745.70114.

IVISON K., VANGE V., SPEED J.M. & DAWSON W. 2025. Invasive plants grow taller under experimental warming, but mediated effects of biotic interactions are species-specific. *Oikos* . 2025(2): e10932. DOI 10.1111/oik.10932.

JACCARD P. 1901. Distribution de la Flore Alpine dans le Bassin des Dranses et dans quelques régions voisines. *Bulletin de la Societe Vaudoise des Sciences Naturelles* . 37: 241-72. DOI 10.5169/seals-266440.

JANTZI H., MARAIS-SICRE C., MAIRE E., BARCET H. & GUILLERME S. 2021. Diachronic Mapping of Invasive Plants Using Airborne RGB Imagery in a Central Pyrenees Landscape (South-West France). *Biology and Life Sciences Forum* . 3(1): 51. DOI 10.3390/IECAG2021-10008.

JEZKOVA T. & WIENS J.J. 2016. Rates of change in climatic niches in plant and animal populations are much slower than projected climate change. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* . 283(1843): 20162104. DOI 10.1098/rspb.2016.2104.

KADLECOVÁ M., VOJÍK M., VACULA J. & BERCHOVÁ BÍMOVÁ K. 2024. Grab to fill the gap: key factors influencing Reynoutria japonica germination and seedling establishment in the secondary distribution range. *Plant Ecol* . 225(8): 863-873. DOI 10.1007/s11258-024-01438-1.

KALWIJ J.M., ROBERTSON M.P. & VAN RENSBURG B.J. 2015. Annual monitoring reveals rapid upward movement of exotic plants in a montane ecosystem. *Biol Invasions* . 17(12): 3517-3529. DOI 10.1007/s10530-015-0975-3.

KAUSHIK P., PATI P.K., KHAN M.L. & KHARE P.K. 2022. Plant functional traits best explain invasive species'
performance within a dynamic ecosystem - A review. *Trees, Forests and People* . 8: 100260. DOI
10.1016/j.tfp.2022.100260.
KÖRNER C., PAULSEN J. & SPEHN E.M. 2011. A definition of mountains and their bioclimatic belts for global
comparisons of biodiversity data. *Alp Botany* . 121(2): 73-78. DOI 10.1007/s00035-011-0094-4.
KOYAMA A., EGAWA C. & AKASAKA M. 2024. Decline in alien plant species turnover among geographically
isolated mountains with ropeway corridors. *Global Ecology and Conservation* . 56: e03282. DOI
10.1016/j.gecco.2024.e03282.
KUEFFER Christoph, MCDOUGALL Keith, ALEXANDER Jake, DAEHLER C., EDWARDS P., HAIDER S., MILBAU A., PARKS C.,
PAUCHARD A., RESHI Z., REW L., SEIPEL T., KUEFFER C, bullet, ALEXANDER J, EDWARDS bullet, SEIPEL bullet
& MCDOUGALL K. 2013. Plant Invasions into Mountain Protected Areas: Assessment, Prevention and
Control at Multiple Spatial Scales.*in*.Plant Invasions in Protected Areas: Patterns, Problems and
Challenges. s. n. 89-113.
KUUSSAARI M., BOMMARCO R., HEIKKINEN R.K., HELM A., KRAUSS J., LINDBORG R., ÖCKINGER E., PÄRTEL M., PINO J.,
RODÀ F., STEFANESCU C., TEDER T., ZOBEL M. & STEFFAN-DEWENTER I. 2009. Extinction debt: a challenge
for biodiversity conservation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* . 24(10): 564-571. DOI
10.1016/j.tree.2009.04.011.
LACHMUTH S., DURKA W. & SCHURR F. 2010. The making of a rapid plant invader: Genetic diversity and
differentiation in the native and invaded range of Senecio inaequidens. *Molecular ecology* . 19:
3952-67. DOI 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04797.x.
LARSON C., POLLNAC F., SCHMITZ K. & REW L. 2021. Climate change and micro-topography are facilitating the
mountain invasion by a non-native perennial plant species. *NeoBiota* . 65: 23-45. DOI
10.3897/neobiota.65.61673.
LEMBRECHTS J.J., PAUCHARD A., LENOIR J., NUÑEZ M.A., GERON C., VEN A., BRAVO-MONASTERIO P., TENEB E., NIJS I. &
MILBAU A. 2016. Disturbance is the key to plant invasions in cold environments. *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences . 113(49): 14061-14066. DOI 10.1073/pnas.1608980113.
LENOIR J., GÉGOUT J.-C., MARQUET P., RUFFRAY P. & BRISSE H. 2008. A Significant Upward Shift in Plant Species
Optimum Elevation During the 20th Century. *Science* . 320: 1768-1771.
LIEDTKE R., BARROS A., ESSL F., LEMBRECHTS J.J., WEDEGÄRTNER R.E.M., PAUCHARD A. & DULLINGER S. 2020. Hiking
trails as conduits for the spread of non-native species in mountain areas. *Biol Invasions* . 22(3):
1121-1134. DOI 10.1007/s10530-019-02165-9.
LIU C., NEWELL G. & WHITE M. 2016. On the selection of thresholds for predicting species occurrence with
presence-only data. *Ecology and Evolution* . 6(1): 337-348. DOI 10.1002/ece3.1878.
LIU Y., ODUOR A.M.O., ZHANG Z., MANEA A., TOOTH I.M., LEISHMAN M.R., XU X. & VAN KLEUNEN M. 2017. Do
invasive alien plants benefit more from global environmental change than native plants?. *Global*
Change Biology . 23(8): 3363-3370. DOI 10.1111/gcb.13579.
LIU C., WHITE M. & NEWELL G. 2013. Selecting thresholds for the prediction of species occurrence with
presence-only data. *Journal of Biogeography* . 40(4): 778-789. DOI 10.1111/jbi.12058.
LOPES A., DEMARCHI L.O., PIEDADE M.T.F., SCHÖNGART J., WITTMANN F., MUNHOZ C.B.R., FERREIRA C.S. & FRANCO
A.C. 2023. Predicting the range expansion of invasive alien grasses under climate change in the
Neotropics. *Perspectives in Ecology and Conservation* . 21(2): 128-135. DOI
10.1016/j.pecon.2023.02.005.
MARKOVÁ J., JERIKHO R., WARDIATNO Y., KAMAL M.M., MAGALHÃES A.L.B., BOHATÁ L., KALOUS L. & PATOKA J. 2020.
Conservation paradox of giant arapaima Arapaima gigas (Schinz, 1822) (Pisces: Arapaimidae):
endangered in its native range in Brazil and invasive in Indonesia. *Knowl. Manag. Aquat. Ecosyst.* .
(421): 47. DOI 10.1051/kmae/2020039.
MCCAIN C.M. & GRYTNES J.-A. 2010. Elevational Gradients in Species Richness.*in*.Encyclopedia of Life Sciences
[en ligne]. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. URL:
https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/9780470015902.a0022548 [lien valide au 17
décembre 2025].
MCDOUGALL K.L., KHUROO A.A., LOOPE L.L., PARKS C.G., PAUCHARD A., RESHI Z.A., RUSHWORTH I. & KUEFFER C.
2011. Plant Invasions in Mountains: Global Lessons for Better Management. *mred* . 31(4): 380-387.
DOI 10.1659/MRD-JOURNAL-D-11-00082.1.

McGrannachan C.M., Pagad S. & McGeoch M.A. 2021. A multiregional assessment of transnational
pathways of introduction. *NeoBiota* . 64: 43-67. DOI 10.3897/neobiota.64.60642.
Meyerson L.A., Viola D.V. & Brown R.N. 2010. Hybridization of invasive Phragmites australis with a native
subspecies in North America. *Biol Invasions* . 12(1): 103-111. DOI 10.1007/s10530-009-9434-3.
Miroshnyk N., Grabovska T. & Roubík H. 2025. The spread of the invasive species Reynoutria japonica Houtt.
will both expand and contract with climate change: results of climate modelling for 14 European
countries. *Pest Management Science* . 81(7): 3642-3653. DOI 10.1002/ps.8732.
Misuri A., Mugnai M., Dell'Olmo L., Foggi B., Benesperi R., Viciani D., Giunti M. & Lazzaro L. 2025.
Monitoring Natura 2000 habitats to support Opuntia stricta containment on Capraia Island (Tuscan
Archipelago, Italy). *Vegetation Ecology and Diversity* . 62: e176928. DOI 10.3897/ved.176928.
Montagnani C., Gentili R., Brundu G., Caronni S., Citterio S., Montagnani C., Gentili R., Brundu G., Caronni
S. & Citterio S. 2022. Accidental Introduction and Spread of Top Invasive Alien Plants in the
European Union through Human-Mediated Agricultural Pathways: What Should We Expect?.
Agronomy [en ligne]. 12(2). URL: https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4395/12/2/423 [lien valide au 29
décembre 2025]. DOI 10.3390/agronomy12020423.
Monty A. & Mahy G. 2009. Clinal differentiation during invasion: Senecio inaequidens (Asteraceae) along
altitudinal gradients in Europe. *Oecologia* . 159(2): 305-315. DOI 10.1007/s00442-008-1228-2.
Mooney H.A. & Cleland E.E. 2001. The evolutionary impact of invasive species. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* .
98(10): 5446-5451. DOI 10.1073/pnas.091093398.
Moran E.V. & Alexander J.M. 2014. Evolutionary responses to global change: lessons from invasive species.
Ecology Letters . 17(5): 637-649. DOI 10.1111/ele.12262.
Negrea B.-M., Stoilov-Linu V., Pop C.-E., Deák G., Crăciun N., Făgăraș M.M., Negrea B.-M., Stoilov-Linu V.,
Pop C.-E., Deák G., Crăciun N. & Făgăraș M.M. 2022. Expansion of the Invasive Plant Species
Reynoutria japonica Houtt in the Upper Bistrița Mountain River Basin with a Calculus on the
Productive Potential of a Mountain Meadow. *Sustainability* [en ligne]. 14(9). URL:
https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/14/9/5737 [lien valide au 16 décembre 2025]. DOI
10.3390/su14095737.
Ninot J., Carrillo E. & Ferré A. 2017. The Pyrenees.*in*. s. n. 323-366.
Ninot J.M., Carrillo E., Font X., Carreras J., Ferre A., Masalles R.M., Soriano I. & Vigo J. 2007. Altitude
zonation in the Pyrenees. A geobotanic interpretation. *phyto* . 37(3-4): 371-398. DOI 10.1127/0340-
269X/2007/0037-0371.
Novoa A., Richardson D.M., Pyšek P., Meyerson L.A., Bacher S., Canavan S., Catford J.A., Čuda J., Essl F.,
Foxcroft L.C., Genovesi P., Hirsch H., Hui C., Jackson M.C., Kueffer C., Le Roux J.J., Measey J.,
Mohanty N.P., Moodley D., Müller-Schärer H., Packer J.G., Pergl J., Robinson T.B., Saul W.-C.,
Shackleton R.T., Visser V., Weyl O.L.F., Yannelli F.A. & Wilson J.R.U. 2020. Invasion syndromes: a
systematic approach for predicting biological invasions and facilitating effective management. *Biol
Invasions* . 22(5): 1801-1820. DOI 10.1007/s10530-020-02220-w.
O'Neill B.C., Kriegler E., Ebi K.L., Kemp-Benedict E., Riahi K., Rothman D.S., van Ruijven B.J., van Vuuren D.P.,
Birkmann J., Kok K., Levy M. & Solecki W. 2017. The roads ahead: Narratives for shared
socioeconomic pathways describing world futures in the 21st century. *Global Environmental
Change* . 42: 169-180. DOI 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2015.01.004.
Pauchard A., Kueffer C., Dietz H., Daehler C.C., Alexander J., Edwards P.J., Arévalo J.R., Cavieres L.A., Guisan
A., Haider S., Jakobs G., McDougall K., Millar C.I., Naylor B.J., Parks C.G., Rew L.J. & Seipel T. 2009.
Ain't no mountain high enough: plant invasions reaching new elevations. *Frontiers in Ecol & Environ
. 7(9): 479-486. DOI 10.1890/080072.
Pauchard A., Milbau A., Albihn A., Alexander J., Burgess T., Daehler C., Englund G., Essl F., Evengård B.,
Greenwood G.B., Haider S., Lenoir J., McDougall K., Muths E., Nuñez M.A., Olofsson J., Pellissier L.,
Rabitsch W., Rew L.J., Robertson M., Sanders N. & Kueffer C. 2016. Non-native and native
organisms moving into high elevation and high latitude ecosystems in an era of climate change:
new challenges for ecology and conservation. *Biol Invasions* . 18(2): 345-353. DOI 10.1007/s10530-
015-1025-x.
Paudel R., Fristoe T.S., Kinlock N.L., Davis A.J.S., Zhao W., Van Calster H., Chytrý M., Danihelka J., Decocq G.,
Ehrendorfer - Schratt L., Guo K., Guo W.-Y., Kaplan Z., Pierce S., Wild J., Dawson W., Essl F., Kreft

H., PERGL J., PYŠEK P., WINTER M. & VAN KLEUNEN M. 2025. Many plants naturalized as aliens abroad have also become more common within their native regions. *Nat Commun* . 16(1): 8227. DOI 10.1038/s41467-025-63293-6.

PENUELAS J. & BOADA M. 2003. A global change-induced biome shift in the Montseny mountains (NE Spain). Global Change Biol 9: 131-140. *Global Change Biology* . 9: 131-140. DOI 10.1046/j.1365-2486.2003.00566.x.

PETITPIERRE B., MCDOUGALL K., SEIPEL T., BROENNIMANN O., GUISAN A. & KUEFFER C. 2016. Will climate change increase the risk of plant invasions into mountains?. *Ecological Applications* . 26(2): 530-544. DOI 10.1890/14-1871.

PRIOR K.M., ADAMS D.C., KLEPZIG K.D. & HULCR J. 2018. When does invasive species removal lead to ecological recovery? Implications for management success. *Biol Invasions* . 20(2): 267-283. DOI 10.1007/s10530-017-1542-x.

PYŠEK P., BACHER S., KÜHN I., NOVOA A., CATFORD J.A., HULME P.E., PERGL J., RICHARDSON D.M., WILSON J.R.U. & BLACKBURN T.M. 2020a. MAcroecological Framework for Invasive Aliens (MAFIA): disentangling large-scale context dependence in biological invasions. *NeoBiota* . 62: 407-461. DOI 10.3897/neobiota.62.52787.

PYŠEK P., HULME P.E., SIMBERLOFF D., BACHER S., BLACKBURN T.M., CARLTON J.T., DAWSON W., ESSL F., FOXCROFT L.C., GENOVESI P., JESCHKE J.M., KÜHN I., LIEBHOLD A.M., MANDRAK N.E., MEYERSON L.A., PAUCHARD A., PERGL J., ROY H.E., SEEBENS H., VAN KLEUNEN M., VILÀ M., WINGFIELD M.J. & RICHARDSON D.M. 2020b. Scientists' warning on invasive alien species. *Biological Reviews* . 95(6): 1511-1534. DOI 10.1111/brv.12627.

RENTERIA J.L., DARIN G.M.S. & GROSHOLZ E.D. 2021. Assessing the risk of plant species invasion under different climate change scenarios in California. *ipsm* . 14(3): 172-182. DOI 10.1017/inp.2021.23.

ROBUCHON M., BERNERY C., CARDOSO A.C., DIA C.A.K.M., COURCHAMP F., DIAGNE C., GERVASINI E., HERINGER G., PAVOINE S., RENAULT D., REZENDE V., VAISSIÈRE A.-C. & BELLARD C. 2025. Conservation paradoxes and challenges in invasive alien species with economic costs. *Biological Conservation* . 305: 111041. DOI 10.1016/j.biocon.2025.111041.

ROMAN-PALACIOS C. & WIENS J.J. 2020. Recent responses to climate change reveal the drivers of species extinction and survival. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* . 117(8): 4211-4217. DOI 10.1073/pnas.1913007117.

RUBENSTEIN M.A., WEISKOPF S.R., BERTRAND R., CARTER S.L., COMTE L., EATON M.J., JOHNSON C.G., LENOIR J., LYNCH A.J., MILLER B.W., MORELLI T.L., RODRIGUEZ M.A., TERANDO A. & THOMPSON L.M. 2023. Climate change and the global redistribution of biodiversity: substantial variation in empirical support for expected range shifts. *Environmental Evidence* . 12(1): 7. DOI 10.1186/s13750-023-00296-0.

SAULE M., LARGIER G. & SAULE-SORBE H. 2018. Nouvelle flore illustrée des Pyrénées. Éditions du Pin à crochets, Pau, 1379 p.

SCHAFFNER U., STEINBACH S., SUN Y., SKJØTH C.A., DE WEGER L.A., LOMMEN S.T., AUGUSTINUS B.A., BONINI M., KARRER G., ŠIKOPARIJA B., THIBAUDON M. & MÜLLER-SCHÄRER H. 2020. Biological weed control to relieve millions from Ambrosia allergies in Europe. *Nat Commun* . 11: 1745. DOI 10.1038/s41467-020-15586-1.

SCHMITT T. 2009. Biogeographical and evolutionary importance of the European high mountain systems. *Frontiers in Zoology* . 6(1): 9. DOI 10.1186/1742-9994-6-9.

SEEBENS H., BLACKBURN T.M., DYER E.E., GENOVESI P., HULME P.E., JESCHKE J.M., PAGAD S., PYŠEK P., WINTER M., ARIANOUTSOU M., BACHER S., BLASIUS B., BRUNDU G., CAPINHA C., CELESTI-GRAPOW L., DAWSON W., DULLINGER S., FUENTES N., JÄGER H., KARTESZ J., KENIS M., KREFT H., KÜHN I., LENZNER B., LIEBHOLD A., MOSENA A., MOSER D., NISHINO M., PEARMAN D., PERGL J., RABITSCH W., ROJAS-SANDOVAL J., ROQUES A., RORKE S., ROSSINELLI S., ROY H.E., SCALERA R., SCHINDLER S., ŠTAJEROVÁ K., TOKARSKA-GUZIK B., VAN KLEUNEN M., WALKER K., WEIGELT P., YAMANAKA T. & ESSL F. 2017. No saturation in the accumulation of alien species worldwide. *Nat Commun* . 8(1): 14435. DOI 10.1038/ncomms14435.

SHACKLETON R.T., WITT A.B.R., PIRORIS F.M. & VAN WILGEN B.W. 2017. Distribution and socio-ecological impacts of the invasive alien cactus Opuntia stricta in eastern Africa. *Biol Invasions* . 19(8): 2427-2441. DOI 10.1007/s10530-017-1453-x.

SHERPA S. & DESPRÉS L. 2021. The evolutionary dynamics of biological invasions: A multi-approach
perspective. *Evolutionary Applications* . 14(6): 1463-1484. DOI 10.1111/eva.13215.
SIMBERLOFF D. & REJMANEK M. (Éd.). 2019. 100 of the World's Worst Invasive Alien Species: A Selection From
The Global Invasive Species Database.Encyclopedia of Biological Invasions [en ligne]. University of
California   Press.   715-716,   URL:
https://www.degruyter.com/document/doi/10.1525/9780520948433-159/html [lien valide au 15
décembre 2025].
SNETHLAGE M.A., GESCHKE J., RANIPETA A., JETZ W., YOCCOZ N.G., KÖRNER C., SPEHN E.M., FISCHER M. & URBACH D.
2022. A hierarchical inventory of the world's mountains for global comparative mountain science.
Sci Data . 9: 149. DOI 10.1038/s41597-022-01256-y.
SONG J., ZHAO C., ODUOR K.T., LIAO H.-Y., TANG Z., BRETAS I.L., NAGARAJA S.A., DUBEUX J.C.B., OWINO W.O. & SHAO
W. 2025. Mapping invasive *Opuntia stricta* in Kenya's Drylands using explainable machine learning
with time-series remote sensing and geographic context. *International Journal of Applied Earth
Observation and Geoinformation* . 144: 104867. DOI 10.1016/j.jag.2025.104867.
SOTO I., BALZANI P., CARNEIRO L., CUTHBERT R.N., MACÊDO R., SERHAN TARKAN A., AHMED D.A., BANG A., BACELA-
SPYCHALSKA K., BAILEY S.A., BAUDRY T., BALLESTEROS-MEJIA L., BORTOLUS A., BRISKI E., BRITTON J.R., BUŘIČ
M., CAMACHO-CERVANTES M., CANO-BARBACIL C., COPILAŞ-CIOCIANU D., COUGHLAN N.E., COURTOIS P.,
CSABAI Z., DALU T., DE SANTIS V., DICKEY J.W.E., DIMARCO R.D., FALK-ANDERSSON J., FERNANDEZ R.D.,
FLORENCIO M., FRANCO A.C.S., GARCÍA-BERTHOU E., GIANNETTO D., GLAVENDEKIC M.M., GRABOWSKI M.,
HERINGER G., HERRERA I., HUANG W., KAMELAMELA K.L., KIRICHENKO N.I., KOUBA A., KOURANTIDOU M.,
KURTUL I., LAUFER G., LIPTÁK B., LIU C., LÓPEZ-LÓPEZ E., LOZANO V., MAMMOLA S., MARCHINI A., MESHKOVA
V., MILARDI M., MUSOLIN D.L., NUÑEZ M.A., OFICIALDEGUI F.J., PATOKA J., PATTISON Z., PINCHEIRA-DONOSO
D., PIRIA M., PROBERT A.F., RASMUSSEN J.J., RENAULT D., RIBEIRO F., RILOV G., ROBINSON T.B., SANCHEZ A.E.,
SCHWINDT E., SOUTH J., STOETT P., VERREYCKEN H., VILIZZI L., WANG Y.-J., WATARI Y., WEHI P.M., WEIPERTH
A., WIBERG-LARSEN P., YAPICI S., YOĞURTÇUOĞLU B., ZENNI R.D., GALIL B.S., DICK J.T.A., RUSSELL J.C.,
RICCIARDI A., SIMBERLOFF D., BRADSHAW C.J.A. & HAUBROCK P.J. 2024. Taming the terminological
tempest in invasion science. *Biological Reviews* . 99(4): 1357-1390. DOI 10.1111/brv.13071.
SOTO I., OFICIALDEGUI F., BEDMAR S., CAPINHA C., SOUSA R., GARCÍA-BERTHOU E., MENDES MUNIZ C., OSCOZ J., CANO-
BARBACIL C., ANASTÁCIO P., ANGULO E., ARNANZ PORRAS C., FLORENCIO M., KOUBA A., MIRANDA R., OLIVA-
PATERNA F., RIBEIRO F., LÓPEZ-PUJOL J., DALMAU M. & BRISKI E. 2025. Over 1200 Non-Native Species Are
Established in the Iberian Peninsula. *Diversity and Distributions* . 31. DOI 10.1111/ddi.70071.
SRIVASTAVA V., LAFOND V. & GRIESS V.C. 2019. Species distribution models (SDM): applications, benefits and
challenges   in   invasive   species   management.   *CABI   Reviews*   .   1-13.   DOI
10.1079/PAVSNNR201914020.
STACHOWICZ J.J., TERWIN J.R., WHITLATCH R.B. & OSMAN R.W. 2002. Linking climate change and biological
invasions: Ocean warming facilitates nonindigenous species invasions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* .
99(24): 15497-15500. DOI 10.1073/pnas.242437499.
STAUDE I.R., GRENIÉ M., THOMAS C.D., KÜHN I., ZIZKA A., GOLIVETS M., LEDGER S.E.H. & MÉNDEZ L. 2025. Many
non-native plant species are threatened in parts of their native range. *New Phytologist* . 247(4):
1579-1583. DOI 10.1111/nph.70193.
STEINBAUER M., GRYTNES J.A., JURASINSKI G., KULONEN A., LENOIR J., PAULI H., RIXEN C., WINKLER M., BARDY-
DURCHHALTER M., BARNI E., BJORKMAN A., BREINER F., BURG S., CZORTEK P., DAWES M., DELIMAT A.,
DULLINGER S., ERSCHBAMER B., ASTRUP FELDE V. & WIPF S. 2018. Accelerated increase in plant species
richness on mountain summits is linked to warming. *Nature* . 556: 231-234. DOI 10.1038/s41586-
018-0005-6.
TALLENT N. & WATT M. 2009. The Invasive Buddleja davidii (Butterfly Bush). *The Botanical Review* . 75: 292-
325. DOI 10.1007/s12229-009-9033-0.
TEDESCHI L., LENZNER B., SCHERTLER A., BIANCOLINI D., ESSL F. & RONDININI C. 2025. Threatened Mammals With
Alien Populations: Distribution, Causes, and Conservation. *Conservation Letters* . 18(1): e13069. DOI
10.1111/conl.13069.
THUILLER W., RICHARDSON D. & MIDGLEY G. 2007. Will Climate Change Promote Alien Plant
Invasions?.*in*.Biological Invasions. s. n. 197-211.

Vacchiano G., Barni E., Lonati M., Masante D., Curtaz A., Tutino S. & Siniscalco C. 2013. Monitoring and
modeling the invasion of the fast spreading alien Senecio inaequidens DC. in an alpine region. *Plant*
Biosystems . 147: 1-9. DOI 10.1080/11263504.2013.861535.
Vellend M., Harmon L.J., Lockwood J.L., Mayfield M.M., Hughes A.R., Wares J.P. & Sax D.F. 2007. Effects of
exotic species on evolutionary diversification. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* . 22(9): 481-488. DOI
10.1016/j.tree.2007.02.017.
Venette R.C., Gordon D.R., Juzwik J., Koch F.H., Liebhold A.M., Peterson R.K.D., Sing S.E. & Yemshanov D.
2021. Early Intervention Strategies for Invasive Species Management: Connections Between Risk
Assessment, Prevention Efforts, Eradication, and Other Rapid Responses. *In*: Poland T.M., Patel-
Weynand T., Finch D.M., Miniat C.F., Hayes D.C., & Lopez V.M. (Éd.).Invasive Species in Forests and
Rangelands of the United States: A Comprehensive Science Synthesis for the United States Forest
Sector   [en   ligne].   Springer   International   Publishing,   Cham.   111-131,   URL:
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45367-1_6 [lien valide au 4 novembre 2025].
Vila M., Espinar J.L., Hejda M., Hulme P., Jarosik V., Maron J., Pergl J., Schaffner U., Sun Y. & Pysek P. 2011.
Ecological impacts of invasive alien plants: A meta-analysis of their effects on species, communities
and ecosystems. *Ecology letters* . 14: 702-8. DOI 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01628.x.
Vitasse Y., Ursenbacher S., Klein G., Bohnenstengel T., Chittaro Y., Delestrade A., Monnerat C., Rebetez M.,
Rixen C., Strebel N., Schmidt B.R., Wipf S., Wohlgemuth T., Yoccoz N.G. & Lenoir J. 2021.
Phenological and elevational shifts of plants, animals and fungi under climate change in the
European Alps. *Biological Reviews* . 96(5): 1816-1835. DOI 10.1111/brv.12727.
Vorstenbosch T., Essl F. & Lenzner B. 2020. An uphill battle? The elevational distribution of alien plant
species   along   rivers   and   roads   in   the   Austrian   Alps.   *NeoBiota*   .   63:   1-24.   DOI
10.3897/neobiota.63.55096.
Walther G.-R., Roques A., Hulme P.E., Sykes M.T., Pyšek P., Kühn I., Zobel M., Bacher S., Botta-Dukát Z. &
Bugmann H. 2009. Alien species in a warmer world: risks and opportunities. *Trends in Ecology &*
Evolution . 24(12): 686-693. DOI 10.1016/j.tree.2009.06.008.
Willis C.G., Ruhfel B.R., Primack R.B., Miller-Rushing A.J., Losos J.B. & Davis C.C. 2010. Favorable Climate
Change Response Explains Non-Native Species' Success in Thoreau's Woods. *PLOS ONE* . 5(1):
e8878. DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0008878.
Wittig R. 2012. Frequency of *Buddleja davidii* Franch. (Buddlejaceae) in Germany along ecological
gradients. *Flora - Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants* . 207(2): 133-140. DOI
10.1016/j.flora.2011.09.001.
Yang B., Cui M., Du Y., Ren G., Li J., Wang C., Li G., Dai Z., Rutherford S., Wan J.S.H. & Du D. 2022. Influence
of multiple global change drivers on plant invasion: Additive effects are uncommon. *Front. Plant*
Sci.   [en   ligne].   13.   URL: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/plant-
science/articles/10.3389/fpls.2022.1020621/full   [lien   valide   au   15   décembre   2025].   DOI
10.3389/fpls.2022.1020621.
Zefferman E., Stevens J.T., Charles G.K., Dunbar-Irwin M., Emam T., Fick S., Morales L.V., Wolf K.M., Young
D.J.N. & Young T.P. 2015. Plant communities in harsh sites are less invaded: a summary of
observations and proposed explanations. *AoB PLANTS* . 7: plv056. DOI 10.1093/aobpla/plv056.
Zenni R.D., Essl F., García-Berthou E. & McDermott S.M. 2021. The economic costs of biological invasions
around the world. *NeoBiota* . 67: 1-9. DOI 10.3897/neobiota.67.69971.
Zhou X.-H., He W.-M., Peng P.-H. & Li J.-J. 2025. Long-term warming legacies facilitate invasive plant growth
and inhibit enemy performance. *J Plant Ecol* . 18(3): rtaf033. DOI 10.1093/jpe/rtaf033.
Zu K., Wang Z., Lenoir J., Shen Z., Chen F. & Shrestha N. 2022. Different range shifts and determinations of
elevational redistributions of native and non-native plant species in Jinfo Mountain of subtropical
China. *Ecological Indicators* . 145: 109678. DOI 10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109678.
Zu K., Wang Z., Zhu X., Lenoir J., Shrestha N., Lyu T., Luo A., Li Y., Ji C., Peng S., Meng J. & Zhou J. 2021.
Upward shift and elevational range contractions of subtropical mountain plants in response to
climate   change.   *Science   of   The   Total   Environment*   .   783:   146896.   DOI
10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146896.
Zurell D., Franklin J., König C., Bouchet P.J., Dormann C.F., Elith J., Fandos G., Feng X., Guillera-Arroita G.,
Guisan A., Lahoz-Monfort J.J., Leitão P.J., Park D.S., Peterson A.T., Rapacciuolo G., Schmatz D.R.,

SCHRÖDER B., SERRA-DIAZ J.M., THUILLER W., YATES K.L., ZIMMERMANN N.E. & MEROW C. 2020. A standard
protocol for reporting species distribution models. *Ecography* . 43(9): 1261-1277. DOI
10.1111/ecog.04960.

1031
1032

1033   **Data availability statement**: The original data supporting the findings of this study are openly available from

1034   public domain resources, including GBIF, WorldClim. The code and data required to reproduce the results

1035   are accessible in the Zenodo repository at [DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18114751]. Further supporting details are

1036   available in the Supplementary Information.

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043   **Figures for:**

1044   **Climbing invasions or climatic refugees: how many and to which extent non-native plant species**

1045   **could reach the Pyrenees mountains under climate change?**

Figure 1. Geographic location of the Pyrenean mountain range in Europe. The Pyrenees are shown in their European context (bottom panel) and in detail with the mountain boundary highlighted in black (top panel), following the Global Mountain Biodiversity Assessment definition (Körner *et al.*, 2011, updated by Snethlage *et al.*, 2022). National boundaries are indicated in white. Maps are displayed in WGS84 (EPSG:4326). Background imagery © Esri, *Esri World Imagery*.

Figure 2. Spatial, elevational and life-form distribution of 35 invasive plant species in the Pyrenees. (a) Spatial distribution of all occurrence records across the main vegetation belts of the Pyrenees: foothills and lower montane (0–900 m), montane (901–1 800 m), subalpine (1 801–2 300 m), and alpine (> 2 300

1065 m). **(b)** Percentage of occurrences per species across the four vegetation belts and their life-form categories

1066 (tree, shrub, herb and aquatic). **(c)** Species richness across elevation for each life-form category.

1067

Figure 3. Projected extent changes in bioclimatic suitability for 35 invasive plant species in the

Pyrenees. Extent changes in suitable areas over the 2021-2100 period under Shared Socioeconomic

Pathways (SSP) SSP126, SSP245, SSP370, SSP585, relative to the baseline period (1970-2000) for 35

invasive plant species. Each box represents the extent change in suitable areas, with percentage values

shown for the 2081-2100 period. Orange indicates a reduction in suitable areas, green expansion, and black

a complete loss of suitability. 2021-2040, 2041-2060, 2061-2080, 2081-2100 periods designated as 2030,

2050, 2070, 2090.

1075

1076

Figure 4. Projected distribution changes in bioclimatic suitability for invasive plant species between the current (1970-2000) and 2081-2100 periods in the Pyrenees. (a) Projected latitudinal, longitudinal and elevational shifts of the centroids of bioclimatic suitability for the 2081–2100 period, averaged across SSPs.

Each triangle represents one of the 33 species retaining suitable areas under all SSPs. Triangle color

reflects changes in suitable areas (green = gain; red = loss). Triangle orientation indicates elevational shifts:

upward-pointing triangles denote upslope shifts, and downward-pointing triangles denote downslope shifts.

The black arrow indicates the overall centroid mean displacement in latitude (Δ lat) and longitude (Δ lon)

across species. **(b)** Projected elevational shifts of the centroids of bioclimatic suitability of invasive plant

species, averaged across SSPs. Yellow lines indicate downslope shifts and blue lines upslope shifts relative

to the current period. Bolded traits are discussed in more detail in the Discussion section. **(c)** Spatial overlap

(%) between current and future (2081–2100) bioclimatic suitable areas for invasive plant species under

SSPs. Each pie chart displays the number of species considered and their distribution among overlap

classes, ranging from total overlap (100%) to no overlap (0%). 2021-2040, 2041-2060, 2061-2080, 2081-

2100 periods designated as 2030, 2050, 2070, 2090.

1091

Figure 5. Current and future bioclimatic hotspots for invasive plant species in the Pyrenees. Current

and projected (2081-2100) bioclimatic hotspots, i.e. areas where the highest number of species could find

suitable bioclimatic conditions, under Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs). Darker colors indicate

higher bioclimatic suitability. Contour lines mark the 1000- and 2000-meter elevation topography. The inset

reproduces a portion of a figure from Collette et al. (2025), illustrating results for endemic Pyrenean species

over the same period under SSP370, for comparison purposes.

1098

Figure 6. Spatial overlap between invasive and endemic bioclimatic hotspots in the Pyrenees.

Current and projected (2081–2100) bioclimatic hotspots for invasive and endemic plant species under SSPs,

binarized using a threshold of 0.2 to retain all areas showing any hotspot signal and facilitate the assessment

of spatial overlap. Areas exclusive to endemic species (blue), invasive species (purple), and their overlap

(red) are shown. Spatial overlap is quantified using the Jaccard index (J; shown when > 0). Contours denote

the 1,000 and 2,000 m elevation isolines.