Spatiotemporal Mapping of Tertiary Lymphoid Structure Heterogeneity Shapes Immune Niches and Clinical Outcomes in Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma

Authors

Liaoliao Gao[1#], Jie Mei[2, 3#], Libing Hong[1#], Yuzhi Jin[1#], Jinlin Cheng[4], Xuqi Sun[1], Chuan Liu[1], Bin Li[1], Xiaomeng Dai[1, 5], Bo Lin[6,7], Yajie Sun[8], Peng Zhao[1, 5], Minshan Chen[2, 3], Rongping Guo[2, 3], Shan Xin[9], Jingping Yun[3, 10], Inmaculada Martínez-Reyes[11, 12, 13], Wei Wei[2, 3*], Weijia Fang[1, 5*], Xuanwen Bao[1, 5*]

Affiliations

[1]Department of Medical Oncology, The First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China.

[2]Department of Liver Surgery, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China.

[3]State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Guangdong Provincial Clinical Research Center for Cancer, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China.

[4]State Key Laboratory for Diagnosis and Treatment of Infectious Diseases, The First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China.

[5]National Key Laboratory of Advanced Drug Delivery and Release Systems, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China.

[6]School of Software, Zhejiang University, Ningbo, China.

[7]Innovation Centre for Information, Binjiang Institute of Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China.

[8]Cancer Center, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China.

[9]Department of Genetics, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, USA.

[10]Department of Pathology, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China.

[11]German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany.

[12]German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), partner site Berlin, a partnership between DKFZ and Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, 10117 Berlin, Germany.

[13]Department of Hematology, Oncology and Cancer Immunology, Campus Benjamin Franklin, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 12203 Berlin, Germany.

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

[*]Corresponding Authors: xuanwen.bao@zju.edu.cn (Xuanwen Bao), weijiafang@zju.edu.cn (Weijia Fang), weiwei@sysucc.org.cn (Wei Wei)

Abstract

Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (iCCA) is a highly lethal malignancy with limited therapeutic options. The spatial architecture and functional diversity of tertiary lymphoid structures (TLSs) in iCCA remain unclear. Here, we present a multimodal spatial atlas of TLSs and identified Intra-tumoral TLSs (iTLSs) as independent prognostic markers. Bulk proteomic profiling of 214 discovery and 155 validation cases identified a four-tier TLS-based TME classification system and supported development of a TLS-predictive random forest classifier. Imaging mass cytometry revealed that iTLS$^+$ tumors harbor structured immune architectures, where M1-like tissue-resident macrophages (RTMs), dendritic cells, and CXCL13$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells co-localize to form antigen-presenting neighborhoods (apc-CNs) spatially coupled to TLS core regions (TLScore-CNs). Single-cell spatial transcriptomics further resolved 61 TLSs into 14 spatial niches and defined a pseudo-temporal maturation continuum: aggregated, activated, and post-activated. Intra-niche communication, primarily mediated by ifnCAFs, iCAFs, and CXCL12$^+$ macrophages, evolved dynamically with maturation. Single-nucleus RNA-sequencing combined with Tangram-based spatial mapping revealed CXCL12$^+$ macrophages and iCAFs forming a peripheral band in aggregated TLSs, while ifnCAFs infiltrated TLS interiors during activation. These findings define TLS heterogeneity and provide insights for stroma-directed immunotherapy.

Teaser

An atlas of tertiary lymphoid structures reveals immune-stroma interactions in Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma.

MAIN TEXT

Introduction

Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (iCCA), the second most common primary liver malignancy, is marked by aggressive clinical behavior and pronounced molecular heterogeneity, posing significant challenges for effective therapeutic management (*1*). Despite the advent of PD-(L)1 blockade and targeted agents, clinical benefits remain limited to specific subsets of patients (*2*), underscoring the need for a deeper understanding of tumor microenvironmental (TME) features that could inform more precise therapeutic stratification.

Tertiary lymphoid structures (TLSs) have emerged as key immunological components within the TME, with accumulating evidence across multiple cancer types supporting their role in antitumor immunity and their predictive value for immunotherapy response (*3*). In iCCA and related hepatobiliary malignancies, TLSs exhibit striking heterogeneity in both spatial distribution and maturation status, with functional consequences for local immune responses (*4-8*).

Specifically, intratumoral TLSs—particularly follicular structures containing germinal centers—have been associated with improved patient survival and enhanced

recruitment of CXCL13$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells, reflecting a capacity to support productive T–B
cell interactions (*9*). In contrast, peritumoral TLSs may contribute to
immunosuppression via Treg enrichment, and immature aggregates (Agg) often lack
prognostic significance, highlighting the functional divergence of TLS subtypes.
This prognostic paradigm of TLS heterogeneity is recapitulated in other malignancies.
In hepatocellular carcinoma, immature TLSs can be further stratified into conforming
and deviating forms, with only the former exhibiting responsiveness to immunotherapy
on par with mature TLSs (*10*). Similarly, in high-grade serous ovarian cancer, the
spatial maturity of TLSs varies markedly across anatomical sites, with more developed
structures correlating with increased immune activity and improved outcomes (*11*).
These findings converge on the notion that the spatial architecture, developmental
trajectory, and immune functionality of TLSs collectively determine their clinical
relevance and therapeutic implications.
Despite these insights, a systematic, high-resolution atlas of TLS heterogeneity in iCCA
has yet to be established. To address this, we employed an integrative spatial multi-
modal approach, combining bulk and spatial proteomics, single-nucleus RNA
sequencing (snRNA-seq), and imaging mass cytometry (IMC) with single-cell spatial
transcriptomics. Through this spatial multi-modal framework, we identified four TLS-
based TME subtypes—Desertic, Excluded, Immature, and Structured—defined by
distinct TLS morphologies and spatial features. Among them, the Structured subtype,
characterized by intratumoral TLSs (iTLS), emerged as an independent prognostic
marker.
Subtype-specific proteomic signatures enabled construction of a predictive random
forest classifier, while paired IMC provided high-resolution maps of spatial
architectures. Single-cell spatial transcriptomics further delineated the molecular
identity of TLSs, uncovering subtype-specific cellular compositions and pseudo-time
dynamic remodeling of spatial niche networks within the TME. snRNA-seq provided
active signaling pathways and enabled high-resolution spatial mapping, revealing
subtype-specific transcriptional programs. Together, these findings establish a
multidimensional framework for understanding spatiotemporal TLS diversity in iCCA,
offering insights into its prognostic relevance and potential therapeutic leverage points.
111
112
Results
Comprehensive Landscape of TLS Distribution and Classification in iCCA
We conducted a spatial and morphological assessment of TLSs in tumor samples from
214 patients with iCCA (Figure 1A, Table S1). Histological evaluation and staining
with CD20, CD3, CD21, BCL6, and Ki67 were used to classify TLSs into three distinct
morphologies: aggregates (Agg), primary follicles (FL1), and secondary follicles (FL2)
(Figure 1B–C, Figure S1A-B). Spatial profiling showed that 22.2% of TLSs were
located intratumorally, with the remainder residing in the peri-tumoral stroma. Density
distribution analysis revealed a strong positional preference within 5 mm of the tumor

boundary (Figure 1D). Morphological mapping using Sankey diagrams revealed distinct configurations of TLS maturation between intra-tumoral and peri-tumoral compartments (Figure 1E). For each patient, TLS morphology was evaluated at both sites, and the most advanced TLS stage observed within each compartment (FL2 > FL1 > Agg > none) was used to represent that patient's status. iTLS were detected in 28% patients with mature TLS (FL1 and FL2) existing in most cases, while pTLS were observed in 77% patients with immature (Agg) and mature TLS evenly evaluated. Based on these patterns, we devised a four-tier TLS-based TME classification system comprising: the Structured subtype (iTLS$^+$), Excluded (iTLS$^-$/pFL$^+$), Immature (iTLS$^-$/pAgg$^+$), and Desertic (iTLS$^-$/pTLS$^-$) phenotypes (Figure 1F). This classification showed significant prognostic value, with differences in overall survival (OS, $p = 0.00052$) and progression-free survival (PFS, $p < 0.0001$) across subtypes (Figure 1G). Notably, patients with Structured TLSs exhibited significantly improved outcomes (OS $p = 0.0037$; PFS $p = 0.00018$). In multivariate analysis adjusted for clinical covariates, the presence of iTLS was independently associated with better OS (HR = 0.52, 95% CI: 0.33–0.82, $p = 0.005$) and PFS (HR = 0.52, 95% CI: 0.35–0.77, $p = 0.001$) (Figure 1H).

Proteomic Profiling Reveals TLS-Associated Immune Subtypes

To investigate the molecular correlates of TLS heterogeneity, we performed multi-omics profiling across a discovery cohort (n = 214) and additional validation cohorts, including spatial proteomics and paired imaging mass cytometry (IMC) (n = 155, Table S2), single-nucleus RNA sequencing (snRNA-seq, n = 14), and spatial transcriptomics (10x Xenium in situ, n = 5). Mass spectrometry–based bulk proteomics enabled construction of a TLS-predictive random forest classifier, which was subsequently validated using expansion-gel spatial proteomics (n = 155) (Figure 2A). To allow spatially matched validation, expansion-gel spatial proteomics and IMC were performed on serial tissue sections from the same specimens. Regions of interest (ROIs) were precisely co-registered across modalities to ensure that spatial proteomic data and IMC data were derived from the same anatomical context. IMC was used to delineate the single-cell spatial architectures of TME subtypes, while 10x Xenium whole-slide spatial transcriptomics enabled comprehensive TLS profiling at tissue scale with subcellular resolution. In parallel, snRNA-seq profiles were applied to spatially mapped onto Xenium spatial transcriptomic coordinates for high-resolution projection and uncover subtype-specific transcriptional programs.

Distinct proteomic signatures were identified across TLS-based TME subtypes (Figure 2B). Structured TLSs were enriched for lymphoid-associated molecules, including CR2, CD19, and MS4A1, along with chemokines such as CXCL13. In contrast, Desertic tumors exhibited increased expression of epithelial and metabolic markers, notably KRT4. Pathway enrichment analysis highlighted subtype-specific immune activity: Structured TLSs showed enhanced immune activation, including CD28 co-stimulation, S1PR4 signaling, and IL-12 response pathways, whereas Desertic tumors exhibited

dominant signatures of hypoxia, epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT), and
coagulation (Figure 2C).

To further validate these subtype-specific immune landscapes, we applied a previously
published TLS-related gene signature (*12*) (Table S3) to derive a proteomics-based TLS
score. Structured tumors exhibited the highest TLS scores, while Desertic tumors had
the lowest (Figure S2A), supporting the subtype classification. GO analysis of
differentially expressed proteins among the four subtypes revealed that Structured
tumors were enriched for immune-activated pathways—such as B cell receptor
signaling, leukocyte proliferation, T cell selection, and immune homeostasis—while
Desertic tumors showed upregulation of coagulation, reactive oxygen species
metabolism, complement activation, and extracellular matrix remodeling (e.g., cell–
substrate adhesion) (Figure S2B). Volcano plot and enrichment analysis highlighted
functional immune divergence: iTLS$^+$ tumors displayed activation of antigen receptor–
mediated signaling, T cell receptor signaling, regulation of B cell proliferation and
chemokine signaling (Figure S2C–D). In contrast, iTLS$^-$ tumors showed upregulation
of ECM reorganization, metabolic reprogramming, and cell cycle–related pathways,
including p53 signaling (Figure S2E).

Given the pronounced survival advantage observed in iTLS$^+$ tumors, we next asked
whether proteomic profiles could effectively distinguish iTLS$^+$ from iTLS$^-$ samples.
We therefore constructed a random forest classifier to predict iTLS status based on
protein expression features derived from the discovery cohort. The dataset was
randomly split 3:1 into training and testing sets and evaluated using five-fold cross-
validation. The model achieved a training AUC of 84.5% and a testing AUC of 90.1%
($p < 0.001$) (Figure 2D), with permutation control confirming specificity (Figure 2E).
Top discriminative proteins included MS4A1, CR2, TCL1A, BANK1, ADRA2A, and
CXCL13 (Figure 2F), many of which were also prognostically significant in univariate
survival analyses (Figure 2G). External validation in an independent cohort using
expansion-gel spatial proteomics combined with IMC achieved an AUC of 92.5%,
further supporting model generalizability (Figure 2H–I).

In validation cohort, IMC-based mapping first revealed distinct major cell populations
and their finer subdivision into subsets based on specific markers, as shown in
Supplementary Figure S3A-C. These analyses identified immune cell subsets and their
spatial distribution across the tumor microenvironment. The characterization of these
populations allowed us to further investigate the immune landscape in iCCA and
correlate it with the TME subtypes. Structured subtypes showed organized lymphoid
aggregation, while Excluded and Immature subtypes displayed compartmentalized
immune architecture. Desertic tumors exhibited sparse immune infiltration dominated
by stromal and epithelial cells (Figure 2J). Notably, the structured subtype revealed a
significant clustering of T and B cells within the TLS region ROI, highlighting the
organized immune architecture characteristic of mature TLS (Figure 2J and Figure
S3D).

Single-cell Spatial Architecture of TLS-Enriched Tumor Microenvironments

To investigate how immune spatial architecture differs across TLS-defined TME subtypes, we performed IMC to resolve single-cell spatial features within representative tumor regions. IMC was applied to rigorously selected ROIs representing TLSs, immune-infiltrated areas, and stromal compartments (Figure 3A). Survival analysis at whole-slide level in the validation cohort recapitulated subtype associations with OS ($p = 1e^{-04}$) and confirmed prognostic value of iTLS presence ($p = 0.00011$) (Figure 3B).

Structured TME featured densely intermingled T and B cells forming tightly compacted aggregates resistant to sub-clustering, a hallmark of mature TLS organization. Surrounding immune-rich zones were enriched for specialized T cell subsets, including CXCL13$^+$ memory CD4$^+$ T cells, CD127$^+$ and PD1$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells, and naïve CD4$^+$ T cells (Figure 3C). Expression gradients of CD20, CD45RO, and CD45RA further validated the spatial stratification (Figure 3D), corroborated by spatial proteomics mapping of CXCL13 and CCL19 (Figure 3E).

Spatial proximity analyses highlighted M1-like RTMs as key architectural hubs, forming tight associations with both T/B clusters and CXCL13$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells (Figure 3F). Structured tumors exhibited the closest interactions, while Desertic subtypes were characterized by dispersed and poorly connected immune compartments (Figure 3G). DCs also localized near TLSs, though at slightly increased distances compared to M1-like RTMs (Figure 3F–G). Lastly, M1-like RTMs and DCs showed greatest cell proximity to T cell subpopulations than other myeloid cells (Figure 3H). These findings position M1-like RTMs and DCs as organizational anchors in TLS spatial hierarchies.

TME Subtype-Specific Spatial Context Networks

To further dissect intercellular interactions, we conducted spatial analyses integrating Effective Score (ES), pairwise cell interaction (PCI), and cellular neighborhood (CN) mapping (Figure 4A). M1-like RTMs and DCs showed peak ES in TLS regions of Structured tumors, suggesting their functional compartmentalization within mature TLSs (Figure 4B). PCI mapping confirmed their privileged proximity to CXCL13$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells and T/B clusters (Figure 4C).

CN analysis revealed two functionally distinct but spatially coupled neighborhoods: CN8, comprising T cells with M1-like RTMs and DCs (apc-CN), and CN19, representing organized T/B cell aggregates (TLScore-CN) (Figure 4D). Regional enrichment analyses demonstrated that CN19 localized specifically to TLS regions, whereas CN8 was predominant in peri-TLS immune cell-enriched zones (Figure 4E). Multicellular neighborhood interfaces may reflect coordinated immune functions within the TME. To quantify and formalize spatial associations between CNs, we applied a spatial context framework adapted from prior studies(*13, 14*). iTLS$^-$ tumors exhibited higher degrees of compartmentalization compared to iTLS$^+$ tumors (Figure 4F, top row), suggesting a more homogeneous and spatially segregated immune composition. In contrast, CNs such as apc-CN and TLScore-CN showed greater spatial

dominance and compartmental stability in iTLS⁺ tumors, indicative of coordinated
expansion of APC–T cell–rich TLS regions (Figure 4F, top row). These CNs
transformed large tumor regions into structured immune hubs with conserved cell-type
compositions.
Spatial windows composed of ≥85% from two CNs were used to define functionally
meaningful CN interfaces. iTLS⁺ tumors showed higher frequencies of apc-CN and
TLScore-CN co-occurrence compared to iTLS⁻ tumors, where CN pairing appeared
more stochastic (Figure 4F, middle row). This suggests that the local compositional
balance between these immune neighborhoods may be more functionally relevant than
global cell abundance averages.
To further quantify this, we analyzed the relative proportions of apc-CN, TLScore-CN,
and other major immune CNs within spatial windows enriched for these interactions
(≥85%) (Figure 4G). A dominant interface of apc-CN and TLScore-CN emerged in
iTLS⁺ tumors (Figure 4G), which was visibly localized when CNs were spatially
mapped (Figure 4G, pink and chestnut red), highlighting their consistent juxtaposition
within Structured TLS regions. Furthermore, CN9 (Endothelium enriched) displayed
prominent spatial interactions with CN19 (TLScore-CN) and CN8 (T&APC enriched)
in iTLS⁺ tumors. This finding is consistent with the observed colocalization of TLSs
and vascular structures, suggesting that endothelial neighborhoods may support the
formation and organization of TLS-associated immune microenvironments through
vascular-immune crosstalk.
These data support a sequential model of TLS organization, wherein antigen
presentation and CD4⁺ T cell activation by M1-like RTMs and DCs in CN8 precede the
recruitment and spatial organization of lymphocytes into CN19, ultimately establishing
mature TLS architecture.
272
Single-cell Spatial Transcriptomics Uncovers Molecular States of TLS
Our initial multimodal profiling—combining histopathology, bulk proteomics, and
IMC—revealed broad immune heterogeneity in iCCA, with spatial distribution of TLSs
correlating with distinct TME landscapes. While IMC identified major TLS-associated
neighborhoods, such as dense T/B cell clusters, its limitations in marker depth and
spatial throughput precluded fine-resolution dissection of TLS molecular programs. To
overcome these constraints, we employed high-content spatial transcriptomics using
10x Genomics Xenium, enabling transcriptome-wide subcellular profiling across intact
tissue.
We profiled 433,051 cells from five iCCA samples (4 iTLS⁺, 1 iTLS⁻), with detailed
annotation of immune, stromal, and epithelial compartments (Figure 5A–D). TLS-rich
zones showed spatially restricted expression of canonical markers (e.g., CXCL13,
MS4A1, CR2, CD3E), aligned with cell mask boundaries (Figure 5B). Major cell types
and their subtypes—including distinct T cell, B cell, stromal, and myeloid lineages—
were resolved at high resolution (Figure 5C–D). Dot plots of marker gene expression
validated both major lineages and finer subdivisions (Figure S4A–B).

We next identified 61 TLS structures across samples using combined spatial and
transcriptomic criteria. Based on histological evaluation, 33% were classified as Agg,
46% as FL1, and 21% as FL2 (Figure S5A). Treating each TLS as a pseudo-bulk unit,
we performed PCA on their aggregated gene expression profiles. Principal components
PC1 and PC2 captured substantial molecular heterogeneity (Figure 5E), and expression
gradients of key TLS-related genes (e.g., CXCL12, CXCL13, BANK1, CR2, RORC,
IRF8) revealed continuous, rather than discrete, diversity (Figure 5F; extended in
Figure S4C).
Based on PCA positioning and molecular signatures, we stratified TLSs into three
pseudo-temporal transcriptional states: Aggregated, Activated, and Post-activated
(Figure 5G). Transcriptomic profiling revealed that 44% of TLSs were Aggregated, 25%
were Activated, and 31% were Post-activated (Figure S5A). While these subtypes
partially overlapped with conventional histology (Agg, FL1, FL2), significant intra-
class variability highlighted the added granularity of transcriptomic classification
(Figure 5H). Abundance analysis of constituent cell types further distinguished these
molecular states: Aggregated TLSs were enriched in CXCL12$^+$ macrophages, plasma
cells, and iCAFs; Activated TLSs featured CXCL13$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells; and Post-activated
TLSs were characterized by TCF7$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells and Tregs (Figure 5I). To explore the
spatial distribution of TLS maturation states relative to tumor architecture, we measured
the distances from each TLS (Aggregated, Activated, and Post-activated) to the nearest
tumor boundary (Figure S5B). The analysis revealed that Aggregated and Activated
TLSs tended to localize closer to the tumor margin, whereas Post-activated TLSs were
more frequently positioned at greater distances from the tumor margin. This spatial
pattern suggests that TLS maturation may initiate near the invasive front, where
immune–tumor interactions are most active, and subsequently progress toward more
organized, distal immune regions. These findings suggest a coordinated progression
from stromal priming to immune activation and eventual regulatory consolidation.
316
Spatiotemporal Niche Rewiring and Intercellular Signaling Define TLS
Maturation
To elucidate how TLSs mature within the iCCA tumor microenvironment, we
systematically mapped 14 spatially resolved cellular niches across the pseudo-temporal
trajectory of TLS progression—Aggregated, Activated, and Post-activated. Each TLS
stage exhibited distinct niche compositions and organizational architectures (Figure
6A–B). Aggregated TLSs were enriched for niches containing iCAFs and CCL19$^+$
CD8$^+$ T cells, as well as antigen-presenting niches harboring cDC2 and CXCL12$^+$
macrophages. In contrast, Activated TLSs displayed marked expansion of niches
enriched in memory B cells, CXCL13$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells, IFN-producing CAFs, mregDCs,
and GZMK$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells, consistent with a transition toward coordinated immune
activation. Post-activated TLSs were dominated by regulatory niches composed of
TCF7$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells, Tregs, and germinal center–like regions populated by naïve and
proliferating B cells (Figure S5C-D).

To further dissect the spatial coordination of immune compartments, we performed
niche-level interaction network analysis, revealing that germinal center and memory B
cell zones formed distinct niche–niche interaction hubs at each TLS stage (Figure 6C).
In the aggregated subtype, niche connectivity analysis identified significant spatial
interactions between iCAF & CCL19$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cell-enriched niches and both memory
B cells & CXCL13$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cell-enriched niches and cDC2 & CXCL12$^+$ macrophage-
enriched niches. While the activated subtype exhibited reorganization of the interaction
landscape, where memory B cells & CXCL13$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cell-enriched niches occupied
central network positions and demonstrated enhanced connectivity with diverse
partners including IFN-producing CAFs, mregDCs, and GZMK$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cell-enriched
niches and other niches, collectively highlighting subtype-specific topological shifts
during TLS functional progression. Supplementary Figure S5E further validates this
shift by mapping cell–cell network interactions across TME subtypes, complementing
niche-level architecture described in Figure 6C.
Hallmark pathway enrichment further demonstrated functional divergence across TLS
states (Figure 6D). Aggregated TLSs were enriched for angiogenesis and TGF-β
signaling pathways, while Activated TLSs showed prominent interferon responses
(IFN-α, IFN-γ), IL-10 signaling, PD-1 pathway activation, and CD3/TCR
phosphorylation. Post-activated TLSs exhibited heightened signatures of oxidative
stress (ROS pathway), TNF-α signaling via NF-κB, inflammatory responses, and IL6–
JAK–STAT3 activation, suggesting immunoregulatory consolidation. Differential
expression analysis supported these patterns, with Aggregated TLSs expressing higher
levels of CXCL6, Activated TLSs upregulating CR2, CXCL9, and LAMP3, and Post-
activated TLSs marked by increased IL6 and CCN1 (Figure 6E).
To investigate intra-niche signaling, we mapped cellular communication networks
across TLS maturation states (Figure 6F). We identified strong intra-niche
communication circuits where major sender cell types—such as IfnCAF, iCAF,
CXCL12$^+$ macrophages, and CCL19$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells—engaged with recipient cells
localized within the same niche microenvironment (Figure 6F, top panel). Furthermore,
dynamic shifts were found in dominant signaling cell populations: Aggregated TLSs
were characterized by prominent signaling from CXCL12$^+$ macrophages and iCAFs,
while Activated TLSs showed a transition to IFN-producing CAFs as the primary signal
senders (Figure 6F, top panel). Notably, CXCL12-mediated signaling exhibited stage-
specific patterns - in Aggregated TLSs, CXCL12 signals originated predominantly
from macrophages and iCAFs; during the Activated phase, IFN-producing CAFs
became the main CXCL12 source; and in Post-activated TLSs, CXCL12 signaling
became more evenly distributed across multiple cell types (Figure 6F, bottom panel).
These tightly compartmentalized signaling patterns delineate a spatially organized
mechanism whereby niche-specific immune communication orchestrates both
microenvironment construction and phase transition during TLS development.
Collectively, these findings define a spatiotemporal trajectory of TLS maturation,
transitioning from stromal priming to immune activation and ultimately regulatory

consolidation, orchestrated through dynamic niche rewiring and stage-specific
intercellular communication networks.
375

snRNA-seq and Spatial Mapping Reveal TLS-Associated Immune Topologies
To further validate the spatial and functional signatures identified via IMC and Xenium,
we performed single-nucleus RNA sequencing using the 10x Genomics Chromium
Flex platform, which offers significantly higher gene detection sensitivity per cell
compared to Xenium, across 14 iCCA samples (8 iTLS$^+$, 6 iTLS$^-$) (Figure 7B).
Desertic-type iTLS$^-$ tumors were selected for snRNA-seq to establish a TLS-free
baseline. Importantly, we included cases with detectable immune infiltration to ensure
sufficient representation of immune subsets for meaningful transcriptional comparison
with iTLS$^+$ samples. A total of 105,226 cells were profiled, encompassing major
compartments including T cells, B cells, myeloid cells, stromal cells, endothelial cells,
epithelial cells, cycling cells, and hepatocytes. Refined clustering identified six T cell
subsets (e.g., TCF7$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells, CXCL13$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells), three B cell subsets (naive
B, memory B, and germinal center B cells), four stromal subsets (iCAF, ifnCAF,
myoCAF, and pericyte), and twelve transcriptionally distinct myeloid populations (e.g.,
cDC1, cDC2, CD16$^+$ monocytes, CXCL12$^+$ macrophages) (Figure 7C). Cross-platform
validation via dot plots in Supplemental Figure S6A–E confirmed concordance between
Xenium and 10x Flex in identifying these subsets, with the latter exhibiting superior
gene detection sensitivity (Figure S6A-E).
Ro/e analysis revealed preferential accumulation of T cells, B cells, and plasma cells in
iTLS$^+$ tumors, whereas stromal, endothelial, epithelial, and myeloid cells were more
abundant in iTLS$^-$ tumors (Figure 7D). At the subset level, immune populations
associated with memory and helper functions, including TCF7$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells, memory
B cells, and CXCL13$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells with TFH-like properties, were enriched in iTLS$^+$
tumors. Antigen-presenting cells such as cDC1, cDC2, and CXCL12$^+$ macrophages
were also more prevalent in the iTLS$^+$ group. In line with spatial transcriptomics results,
stromal subsets including iCAF and ifnCAF showed increased abundance in iTLS$^+$
tumors (Figure 7D).
We further dissected the functional polarization of CXCL12$^+$ macrophages, which
exhibited elevated activities of antigen presentation pathway and M1-related pathway
(Figure S6F). Comparative analysis of M1/M2 gene sets confirmed their M1-like
phenotype, distinct from other monocyte-derived macrophages (Figure S6G). Notably,
these cells scored highest for an M1-RTM signature derived from prior work (Figure
S6H), underscoring their role in early TLS initiation.
We next applied Tangram to spatially map the single-nucleus RNA-seq profiles onto
Xenium spatial transcriptomic coordinates, thus enabling high-resolution projection of
transcriptionally defined cell types and at the same time expanding the gene throughput
in spatial tissue architecture (Figure 7E). This integration compensated for Xenium's
limited gene detection by precisely localizing 10x Flex-defined states and their
associated pathways. To evaluate the accuracy of spatial mapping between single-

nucleus RNA-seq and Xenium spatial transcriptomics, we performed quality control
based on Tangram projection metrics. The distribution of training scores indicated
overall robust alignment between modalities, with most cells exhibiting high mapping
confidence (Figure S7A, left). Sparsity analyses further validated mapping consistency,
showing expected relationships between cellular sparsity and spatial signal distribution
(Figure S7A, middle and right). Spatial prediction scores were inversely correlated with
pixel-level sparsity, yielding a global AUC of 0.704 (Figure S7B), supporting the
reliability of Tangram integration. Finally, mapped cell-type compositions across TME
subtypes recapitulated biologically coherent patterns demonstrating the spatial fidelity
of projection (Figure S7C).
We revealed that iCAF, and CXCL12$^+$ macrophages were predominantly enriched in
Aggregated TLSs and localized to the peripheral regions of TLS structures, whereas
CXCL13$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells, memory B cells, and ifnCAFs were more abundant in
Activated TLSs. Notably, iCAF and ifnCAF populations formed a ring-like peripheral
organization in Aggregated TLSs, which progressively infiltrated into the TLS core in
Activated TLSs, reflecting a potential stromal remodeling process.
To further validate the spatial allocation of key cell types across TLS maturation states,
we assigned each Xenium-detected cell to its most probable snRNA-seq–defined
identity and performed a OR analysis across the 61 TLSs (Figure 7F). The distributions
confirmed the expected localization patterns observed in earlier analyses—for example,
iCAF, CXCL12$^+$ macrophages predominated in Aggregated TLSs, while mregDCs
were enriched in Activated TLSs—thereby supporting the spatial validity of the
Xenium-based classification framework. The signature scores across mapped cells were
further calculated. The M1-RTM signature, derived from macrophages with M1-like
tissue-residency properties (5), was predominantly enriched in Aggregated TLSs,
indicating the early involvement of CXCL12$^+$ macrophages in TLS initiation (Figure
7F). In contrast, the APC signature peaked in Activated TLSs, suggesting that robust
antigen presentation programs emerge later as TLSs transition into functionally active
immune niches.
Together, these integrated results from Xenium and 10x Flex reveal the dynamic spatial
reorganization of stromal and immune compartments across TLS developmental states.
In combination with IMC-derived spatial CNs, these findings reinforce the model in
which antigen presentation and CD4$^+$ T cell activation by M1-like RTMs and DCs in
early-stage TLS regions precede lymphocyte aggregation and organization into
TLScore-CNs, ultimately establishing mature TLS structures. Spatial localization of
DCs and CXCL12$^+$ macrophages at TLS peripheries in Aggregated states further
implicates them as early orchestrators of TLS nucleation.
452
Key TLS-Associated Niches Predict Immunotherapy Response
To explore the potential relevance of TLS-associated spatial niches in predicting
immunotherapy efficacy, we investigated the prognostic value of five key niches—N3
(cDC2 & CXCL12$^+$ Mac enriched), N4 (GC B & Naïve B enriched), N5 (iCAF &

CCL9⁺ T & Mac enriched), N10 (ifnCAF, mregDC & GZMK⁺ CD8⁺ T enriched), and
N13 (Memory B & CXCL13⁺ CD4⁺ T enriched)—previously implicated in TLS
molecular remodeling across the Aggregated to Post-activated continuum. Using three
publicly available bulk RNA-seq datasets from immune checkpoint blockade–treated
cohorts (GSE91061, GSE126044, and PRJEB23709), which include clinical outcome
data from melanoma and non–small cell lung cancer patients, we computed niche-
specific signature scores to each cohort. Across all datasets, we observed consistent
enrichment of these niche signatures in responders compared to non-responders,
indicating their association with favorable therapeutic responses (Figure 8A). Moreover,
elevated expression of niche-specific signatures correlated with prolonged overall
survival, suggesting that transcriptional features reflective of these spatial niches may
serve as conserved biomarkers of effective anti–PD-1–mediated immune engagement
(Figure 8B-D). These results suggest that TLS-centric spatial programs may contribute
to effective antitumor immunity and serve as predictive markers of immunotherapy
benefit. Additionally, we analyzed the additional TLS-associated niches, N8 (plasma
cell, CXCL9⁺ T and macrophage-enriched), N12 (T/Mac-enriched), and N14 (TCF7⁺
CD4⁺ Treg-enriched), across all three immunotherapy-treated RNA-seq cohorts (Figure
S8). Among these, N8 exhibited significant enrichment in responders and improved
survival only in the PRJEB23709 cohort, while the other two datasets showed
nonsignificant trends, suggesting potential cohort-dependent activation of this effector-
like niche. In contrast, both N12 and N14 displayed consistent and robust patterns
across all three datasets: their signature scores were significantly higher in responders
and correlated with better overall survival, indicating that these niches may reflect
coordinated immune activation rather than immune suppression. These results reinforce
that distinct TLS-associated niches display heterogeneous relationships with
immunotherapy response and survival outcomes.
Finally, we summarized the contrasting tumor microenvironmental features of iTLS-
positive and iTLS-negative patients and furtherly proposing a spatiotemporal model of
TLS maturation (Figure 9). This maturation continuum highlights how coordinated
T/B-cell aggregation and chemokine-driven activation sculpt functional immune niches
within iTLS-positive tumors. Together, this model provides a conceptual framework
linking TLS evolution to the development of functional immune niches and potential
therapeutic responsiveness in iCCA.
490
491

Discussion
Our study presents the first comprehensive spatial atlas of TLSs in iCCA, unveiling
their remarkable molecular and architectural heterogeneity. By classifying iCCA into
four distinct immune subtypes based on TLS spatial and morphological features, we
propose a novel framework for interpreting tumor–immune interactions. Notably, the
identification of the Structured subtype, characterized by the presence of iTLS, as an
independent prognostic factor highlights that it is the spatial configuration—rather than

the mere presence—of TLSs that dictates clinical outcome. This observation is consistent with findings in other tumor types (*6*), while also revealing iCCA-specific patterns in TLS biology.

To achieve a multidimensional understanding of TLS heterogeneity, we implemented an integrative, multimodal strategy combining bulk and spatial omics platforms. Bulk proteomics enabled large-scale molecular stratification and served as the basis for TME subtype classification via a random forest model. IMC offered single-cell spatial resolution but was limited in multiplexing and tissue throughput. Expansion-based spatial proteomics provided broader cohort-level coverage, albeit at pseudo-bulk resolution. To resolve intra-TLS cellular architecture, we applied single-cell spatial transcriptomics, allowing high-resolution classification of immune and stromal subsets. Given its lower gene detection sensitivity, we further incorporated single-nucleus RNA sequencing to enhance transcriptomic depth and resolve functional programs. Through coordinated use of these complementary technologies, we captured TLS-associated features at multiple molecular and spatial scales—enabling cross-validation of cell types, spatial niches, activation states, and communication networks. This multimodal integration not only reinforces the robustness of our findings but also establishes a scalable framework for decoding complex immune ecosystems in solid tumors.

Our spatial proteomic and transcriptomic analyses underscore the requirement for precise cellular architecture in the formation of functional TLS niches. Specifically, M1-like RTMs, DCs, and T cells form highly organized apc-CN, which spatially interact with TLScore-CN. This architectural coordination recapitulates the microanatomy of lymph nodes, suggesting a conserved paradigm of immune cell orchestration across secondary and ectopic lymphoid sites. The identification of apc-CN as key regulatory hubs within TLS ecosystems offers several mechanistic insights. First, transcriptional analysis via snRNA-seq revealed enrichment of antigen presentation pathways in $CXCL12^+$ macrophages (M1-like RTM phenotype) and DCs, implicating their role in local T cell priming. Second, their close spatial proximity to $CXCL13^+$ $CD4^+$ T cells suggests a function in maintaining TLS-associated chemokine gradients (e.g., CXCL12, CXCL13) (*15, 16*). Third, their interaction networks with TLScore-CN imply potential support for humoral immunity (*17, 18*). These findings complement recent work showing myeloid-dependent TLS activities (*3*), while revealing iCCA-specific organizational patterns.

Leveraging Xenium spatial transcriptomics, we further resolved TLSs into a pseudo-temporal continuum of molecular subtypes—aggregated, activated, and post-activated. Early stages were dominated by niches co-enriched for iCAFs, $CCL19^+$ $CD8^+$ T cells, and APC-like myeloid subsets, including cDC2s and $CXCL12^+$ macrophages, which formed coordinated spatial interaction networks suggestive of TLS initiation and immune recruitment. As TLSs transitioned to the activated state, niches characterized by $CXCL13^+$ $CD4^+$ T cells and memory B cells emerged as dominant hubs, indicating progression toward germinal center–like immune architecture.

Interestingly, our observation of TLS subtype based on gene expression can only
partially align with TLS maturity identified by HE staining morphology. This suggested
that traditional clustering strategy for TLS maturity is insufficient to distinguish TLSs
which are pre-activated or post-activated. This claimed the necessity to develop more
reliable methods for TLS clustering, which was significantly relative to clinical
outcome.

To validate and extend these observations, we performed snRNA-seq using the 10x
Chromium Flex platform across 14 iCCA samples. This approach enabled fine-grained
identification of TLS-associated immune and stromal subsets, including $CXCL13^+$
$CD4^+$ T cells, memory B cells, and $CXCL12^+$ macrophages. Mapping Flex-derived cell
types back onto Xenium spatial coordinates using Tangram revealed strong spatial
congruence across platforms. Specifically, $CXCL12^+$ macrophages and iCAFs were
enriched at the periphery of Aggregated TLSs and formed ring-like distributions, while
$CXCL13^+$ $CD4^+$ T cells and memory B cells infiltrated central TLS regions in Activated
states. $CXCL12^+$ macrophages exhibited high expression of M1-related genes and
scored highest for an M1-RTM signature, reinforcing their role as spatially privileged
initiators of TLS nucleation. The secretion of CXCL12, TNF, and IL2RA by $CXCL12^+$
macrophages may orchestrate immune cell recruitment and spatial organization—
CXCL12 guides cDC2 and lymphocyte positioning, TNF potentiates stromal activation
and lymphoid chemokine production (e.g., CCL19, we also identified enrichment of
$CCL19^+$ T cells in Agg subtype), while IL2RA could modulate local T cell survival,
collectively reinforcing TLS aggregation. These results support a stepwise model in
which chemokine production, antigen presentation and $CD4^+$ T cell activation by M1-
like RTMs and DCs precede lymphocyte recruitment and follicular organization,
ultimately establishing mature TLS architecture—a model consistent with peripheral
apc-CN to TLS-score CN transition defined in our IMC analysis.

Several clinical implications emerge from these findings. Our random forest classifier,
derived from proteomic features, may serve as a diagnostic tool for TLS-based tumor
stratification. More importantly, mechanistic insights into M1-like RTM and apc-CN
function suggest opportunities for therapeutic TLS modulation—either by promoting
their spatial assembly or by reprogramming immunosuppressive counterparts. In our
previous work, we identified M2-like RTMs as mediators of immunotherapy resistance
through suppression of $CD8^+$ T cells, where their spatial proximity to $CD8^+$ cells was
associated with poor clinical outcomes in iCCA (5).Building on these findings,
reprogramming M2-like into M1-like RTMs emerges as a rational strategy to enhance
anti-tumor immunity. Our integrative framework for TLS classification—spanning
morphological, spatial proteomic, and transcriptomic dimensions—provides a potential
basis for clinical implementation. The histological markers used to define TLS
maturation (CD20, CD3, CD21, BCL6, Ki67) are all compatible with routine IHC
platforms and could be adapted for biopsy-level assessment. A semi-quantitative TLS
maturity score might therefore serve as a feasible biomarker for identifying iCCA
patients with 'immune-hot' tumor microenvironments who are more likely to benefit

from immunotherapy. Future studies in biopsy-based cohorts will be needed to evaluate
the predictive value and reproducibility of such scoring systems in the clinical setting.
This study is not without limitations. Our analyses are primarily observational and
descriptive. Future investigations utilizing genetic manipulation models are needed to
validate causal relationships between M1-like RTMs and TLS formation. Moreover,
longitudinal spatial profiling may clarify whether TLS maturation states represent
stable subtypes or transient phases within an evolving tumor-immune ecosystem.
In conclusion, our spatial ecosystem analysis redefines the landscape of TLS biology
in iCCA. We show that coordinated cellular reorganization and niche-level remodeling
underlie TLS heterogeneity, with implications for both biomarker development and
therapeutic targeting. These insights offer a foundation for future strategies aiming to
harness or reengineer TLSs to overcome immune resistance in this challenging
malignancy.
595
Methods
Histopathological Analysis
All patients in the in-house cohorts were evaluated using standardized protocols. The
study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Helsinki Declaration and
received ethical approval from the Medical Ethics Committees of the following
institutions: Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center (SYSUCC) (Approval No. B2024-
665-01), and the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University (Approval No. 0324).
The IMC data of the validation cohorts was described in our previous study (*5*).
Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining was performed on 4-μm formalin-fixed,
paraffin-embedded (FFPE) iCCA tissue sections following standard protocols. After
deparaffinization in xylene (3 × 5 min) and rehydration through graded ethanol series
(100%, 95%, 75%, 50%; 5 min each), sections were washed in phosphate-buffered
saline (PBS, pH 7.4; Solarbio, cat# P1020). Nuclear staining was achieved using
Mayer's hematoxylin (Solarbio, cat# G1080) for 5-8 min at room temperature, followed
by differentiation in 1% acid ethanol and bluing in 1% ammonium hydroxide (Solarbio,
cat# G1822). Cytoplasmic counterstaining employed eosin Y (Solarbio, cat# G1100)
for 2 min. Processed sections were dehydrated, cleared in xylene, and mounted with
resinous medium (Solarbio, cat# G1402). Whole-slide imaging was conducted using a
Pannoramic 250 Flash II Scanner (3D HISTECH) at 20× magnification. TLSs were
identified on H&E-stained sections as discrete lymphoid aggregates within or adjacent
to tumor regions. A structure was considered TLS-positive when contiguous $CD20^+$
and $CD3^+$ lymphocytic clusters exceeded 7,000 um^2 in area and contained at least 100
lymphocytes (*19*). TLS maturation was classified into three categories—Agg, FL1, and
FL2—based on combined morphological and immunohistochemical criteria. Agg were
defined as B and T lymphocytic clusters without clear organization. FL1 showed a more
compact architecture with distinct $CD20^+$ B-cell and $CD3^+$ T-cell zones but no evidence
of germinal center formation. FL2 displayed well-formed follicular structures
characterized by a dense $CD20^+$ B-cell core surrounded by a $CD3^+$ T-cell area,

accompanied by a CD21$^+$ follicular dendritic cell (FDC) network and positive staining for BCL6 and Ki67 within the germinal center, indicating active B-cell proliferation.

Based on TLS morphological patterns (Agg, FL1, FL2) and their spatial distribution, a four-tier TLS-based TME classification system was established, comprising: Structured (presence of iTLS), Excluded (lack of iTLSs and presence of mature TLSs outside tumor region), Immature (absence of iTLSs and all pTLSs are immature), and Desertic (no iTLSs or pTLSs at all) phenotypes.

For spatial proteomic and paired IMC analyses, one experienced pathologist first annotated the tumor core, invasive margin, and paratumoral regions at the whole-slide level to evaluate TLS distribution (Figure S2F). Because TLSs were most abundantly localized within the invasive margin, all ROIs for spatial proteomics were subsequently selected from this region. Within the invasive margin, ROIs were further categorized into three subtypes according to histological features: Tumor & Stroma, Immune Cell Infiltrated areas (with varying immune density but no TLS structure), and TLS Region.

Immunohistochemical Staining

Automated immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed on 4-μm FFPE sections using the BOND RX system (Leica Biosystems). Following deparaffinization and rehydration, antigen retrieval was performed in EDTA buffer (pH 9.0; Leica, cat# AR9640) at 100°C for 20 min. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 3% H$_2$O$_2$ before incubation with primary antibodies: anti-CD20 (1:200; Abcam ab955), anti-CD21 (1:200; Abcam ab955), anti-CD3 (1:200; Abcam ab955), anti-BCL6 (1:200; Abcam ab955), anti-Ki-67 (1:200; Abcam ab955) for 20 min at 37°C. Detection employed the BOND Polymer Refine Detection Kit (Leica, cat# DS9800) with DAB chromogen development. Counterstaining with hematoxylin (Leica, cat# CS700), dehydration, and mounting preceded whole-slide scanning (Pannoramic 250 Flash II, 20×).

Sample Preparation and Proteomic Analysis

FFPE tissue samples were processed through sequential dewaxing and protein extraction steps prior to mass spectrometry analysis. Samples were initially equilibrated at 37°C for 30 minutes, followed by two 10-minute heptane incubations for paraffin removal. Gradual rehydration was achieved through a series of ethanol washes (100%, 90%, and 75% ethanol, 5 minutes each). The dewaxed samples were then transferred to PCT tubes containing 12.5 μL of 100 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 10) and subjected to protein extraction at 95°C with constant agitation (600 rpm) for 30 minutes.

Protein samples underwent reduction and alkylation in 30 μL of lysis buffer (6 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 100 mM TEAB) supplemented with 5 μL of 200 mM TCEP and 2.5 μL of 800 mM IAA using Pressure Cycling Technology (PCT). This process involved 90 cycles of alternating high (45,000 psi for 30 seconds) and ambient pressure at 30°C. Following buffer dilution with 75 μL of 0.1 M TEAB, enzymatic digestion was performed with trypsin (0.5 μg/μL) and Lys-C (0.25 μg/μL) under 20,000 psi pressure

through 120 cycles (50 seconds high pressure/10 seconds ambient pressure) at 30°C.
The digestion was terminated by acidification with 15 μL of 10% trifluoroacetic acid,
and the resulting peptides were desalted using SOLAμ SPE cartridges (Thermo Fisher
Scientific) after confirming sample pH between 2-3.
LC-MS/MS analysis was conducted on a Vanquish Neo UHPLC system coupled to an
Orbitrap Astral mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). Peptides were separated on a
reversed-phase analytical column (1.9 μm, 120 Å pore size, 150 mm length × 75 μm
inner diameter) using a 500 nL/min flow rate with a 19-minute gradient from 8% to 40%
mobile phase B (0.1% formic acid in 80% acetonitrile). The mass spectrometer operated
in data-independent acquisition (DIA) mode with FAIMS voltage set to -42 V. Full
scan MS spectra were acquired from 380-980 m/z at 240,000 resolutions, while MS/MS
spectra covered 150-2000 m/z with 25% collision energy. The analysis employed 299
isolation windows (2 m/z width) with automatic gain control targets of 500% and
maximum injection times of 3 ms for both MS1 and MS2 scans.
Mass spectrometry data were processed using DIA-NN software (version 1.8.1) against
the UniProt human reference proteome database (2023-07-25 release) with match-
between-runs enabled. Static modification was set for carbamidomethylated cysteine
residues, while methionine oxidation was specified as a variable modification. All
identifications were filtered at a 1% false discovery rate threshold to ensure data quality.
685
Random Forest Model Construction and Validation
We employed the R package ranger to construct a random forest classification model
for predicting iTLS status (iTLS$^+$ vs. iTLS$^-$) based on proteomic profiles. The model
was trained on the discovery cohort (n = 214) and subsequently validated on an
independent cohort (n = 155).
To ensure robustness, we performed 10 independent training iterations, each initialized
with a distinct random seed. In each iteration, the discovery cohort was randomly
partitioned into training (75%) and internal testing (25%) subsets. Hyperparameter
optimization was conducted via 5-fold cross-validation using a grid search strategy to
identify the optimal model configuration. The best-performing parameters from each
iteration were used to train the final model, and its predictive performance was
evaluated on the held-out test subset using receiver operating characteristic (ROC)
analysis. The results from all 10 iterations were aggregated to assess overall model
stability and accuracy. The validated model was then applied to the independent
validation cohort (n = 155) to evaluate its generalizability. Input data consisted of
standardized proteomic expression matrices, with binary iTLS classification as the
outcome variable.
703
Gene Set Enrichment Analysis and Gene Ontology analysis
Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) was performed using the hallmark gene sets
from the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) to identify enriched biological
pathways across TLS molecular subtypes. The analysis was conducted with GSEA

software using 1000 gene set permutations with weighted enrichment statistic and
signal-to-noise ratio for gene ranking. Gene sets meeting significance thresholds of
false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.25 and nominal p-value < 0.05 were considered
statistically enriched. For single-sample GSEA (ssGSEA), we calculated enrichment
scores for each sample using the same hallmark gene set collection, which were
subsequently correlated with immune cell abundances and clinical parameters. The
gene signature used in this study was summarized in Table S3.
Gene Ontology (GO) analysis was performed to identify significantly overrepresented
biological processes, molecular functions, and cellular components. We applied
Benjamini-Hochberg correction with an adjusted p-value cutoff of 0.05 and required a
minimum of 5 differentially expressed genes per term. To reduce redundancy among
significant GO terms, semantic similarity analysis was performed. KEGG pathway
enrichment analysis was performed using the clusterProfiler R package (*20*), with
pathways considered significantly enriched at a false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05.
722
Immune Architecture Analysis
Building on previously established methods (*21*), immune cell interactions were
analyzed using a k-nearest neighbor graph built with the *buildSpatialGraph* function
from the imcRtools package. The analysis pipeline comprised three key computational
steps: First, spatial graphs were constructed using the buildSpatialGraph function.
Cellular neighborhoods (CNs) were subsequently identified through k-means clustering
(k=20) based on both cellular phenotypes and spatial relationships. Second, cell-cell
interactions were quantified using an expanded neighborhood graph (15 μm expansion
radius) where physically contacting cells were considered interacting partners. The
testInteractions function (histcat method) was implemented to calculate interaction
frequencies between all possible cell type pairs. For each cell subset A, we determined
the number of adjacent subset B cells and normalized this by the proportion of A cells
having ≥1 B-type neighbor within each region of interest (ROI). Statistical significance
was assessed through 1,000 permutations of cell type labels while maintaining spatial
structure, generating empirical null distributions. Significant interactions (attraction or
avoidance) were defined as those exceeding the 95th or falling below the 5th percentile
of the null distribution, respectively. Third, minimum intercellular distances were
computed using the minDistToCells function to quantify spatial relationships between
specific immune subsets. Fourth, effective score was calculated by total counts of a
specific neighbor cell type which is aggregated from k-nearest neighbor matrix, and
then summarized by mean for each center cell type (*22*). All analyses were performed
at the ROI level, with results aggregated by spatial immune type for comprehensive
visualization.
Spatial context maps were generated using a custom analysis pipeline developed by
Garry P. Nolan's group(*14*). To assess CN–CN spatial associations, we adapted the
general framework used for cellular neighborhood identification with several key
modifications. For each cell, spatial windows were defined using the 100 nearest

neighbors to capture broader local tissue architecture. Within each window, we used CN labels—rather than single-cell annotations—to quantify the composition.

Instead of clustering the windows, we determined the smallest combination of CNs that collectively accounted for more than 85% of the cells within each window, which we defined as the spatial context. This approach captured the dominant CN interactions in each local area. All unique combinations were counted and aggregated to construct spatial context maps.

In the resulting network graphs, each node represented a unique CN combination, with color indicating the CN pairing and node size reflecting its relative frequency across the tissue. Graph rows corresponded to the number of CNs forming the dominant combination in a window: windows dominated by a single CN (>85%) were placed in the first row; windows with two CNs contributing >85% were placed in the second row; and those with three or more CNs in the third or higher rows.

The number of CNs per spatial context provided insight into underlying structural biology. Single-CN dominance indicated spatial compartmentalization, reflecting large regions with uniform CN composition. Conversely, combinations involving three or more CNs reflected mixed immune environments. Of particular interest, two-CN combinations making up ≥85% of a window suggested structured interfaces between immune compartments that may underlie coordinated functional activity.

Xenium In Situ Spatial Transcriptomic Analysis

The Xenium In Situ platform (10x Genomics) was employed for high-resolution spatial transcriptomic profiling using a fully integrated system with automated sample handling, liquid processing, and wide-field epifluorescence imaging capabilities. The instrument features a dual-slide configuration with an imaging area of 12 × 24 mm per slide and incorporates an optimized analytical pipeline performing image preprocessing, fluorescent puncta detection, transcript decoding with quality score assignment, and nuclear segmentation based on DAPI staining followed by cytoplasmic expansion to a 15 μm radius or until adjacent cell boundary contact. The platform generates standardized output files including feature-cell matrices in HDF5/MEX formats compatible with 10x Genomics' single-cell RNA-seq pipelines, transcript localization files containing mRNA coordinates and quality metrics, and CSV-formatted cellular boundary definitions. For region-specific analysis, tissue domains of interest were manually annotated using the polygon annotation tool in Xenium Explorer software (10x Genomics developmental version), with coordinate data exported in CSV format for subsequent computational integration, enabling precise interrogation of transcriptomic patterns within histologically defined tissue compartments.

Niche analysis is based on the BuildNicheAssay function from within Seurat to construct a new assay called niche, which contains the cell type composition spatially neighboring each cell. Due to the multiple fovs in our Xenium data, we first calculate the 25 nearest neighbors in each fov separately and then merge the matrix together for k-means clustering (k = 14).

792 For network visualization, the minimum distance between each cell type/niche was
793 computed and visualized using the R package qgraph (*23*). Frequency of each cell
794 type/niche was calculated for node size, and cellular/niche neighborhood (k = 25) was
795 aggregated for edge width.
796
TLS states identification in Xenium
A total of 61 TLS structures was first identified in the Xenium explorer software
regarding on both histological and molecular features. Pseudo-bulk expression matrix
for each TLS was generated from AggregateExpression function from Seurat package.
Inspired by previously established methods(*24*), we first performed PCA of TLSs in
each sample separately. TLSs in 4647T samples shows largest diversity among all
samples. Depended on this, we set TLSs from 4647T as a reference and projected TLSs
from other samples onto the 4647T's low-dimension space. Expression level of
representative gene including CXCL12, CXCL13, BANK1, CR2, RORC, and IRF8
were characterized. To classify TLSs into different states, we performed k-means
clustering on TLSs using the first two principal components (PC1 and PC2) with k set
to 3. Together with cell type components, we defined three pseudo-temporal TLS states:
Aggregated, Activated, and Post-activated. The cluster with a high LTi signature
(RORC) represented the early aggregated state. The cluster with high expression of
functional chemokines (CXCL13) and germinal center relative gene (CR2) refers to the
activated TLS state. The last cluster with descending chemokine expression and
increasing hypoxia signature were considered as post-activated TLS.
814
Single-nucleus RNA Sequencing from FFPE Tissue
FFPE tissue curls (50 μm) were dissociated using Liberase™ TH Research Grade
(Roche Diagnostics Deutschland GmbH, Cat# 05401151001) following the
manufacturer's protocol. The resulting nuclei were washed, counted, and resuspended,
and approximately 16,000 nuclei were loaded per well on a Chromium Chip Q (10x
Genomics), targeting a recovery of ~10,000 nuclei per GEM well.
Library preparation was performed using the Chromium Fixed RNA Profiling Kit (10x
Genomics, Cat# 1000474) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sequencing
was carried out on an Illumina NovaSeq™ X Plus system using paired-end dual
indexing. Raw BCL files were demultiplexed using bcl2fastq (Illumina), and the
resulting FASTQ files were processed with Cell Ranger v7.0.1 (10x Genomics) using
the multi pipeline and aligned to the GRCh38-2020-A human reference genome.
Quality control and integration were performed using the "Seurat" R package (version
4.4.0) (*25*). To remove low-quality data, genes expressed in fewer than three cells were
excluded. Cells with fewer than 200 or more than 4,000 detected genes, were filtered
out to eliminate barcodes corresponding to empty droplets or potential doublets. Cells
with mitochondrial gene content exceeding 15% were also excluded. Doublets and
multiplets were identified and removed using the scDblFinder algorithm with default
parameters (*26*).

For data integration across individuals, Seurat's *IntegrateData* function was applied to
correct for batch effects and project all single cells into a shared low-dimensional space.
After integration, a graph-based unsupervised clustering algorithm was implemented
using Seurat's default pipeline. The UMI count matrix was first normalized with the
NormalizeData function, and log-normalized expression values were used to identify
2,000 highly variable genes via the *FindVariableFeatures* function with the "vst"
method. These genes were then used for dimensionality reduction by principal
component analysis (PCA), with 20 PCs retained after regressing out total UMI counts.
Clustering was performed on the top 20 PCs using the *FindClusters* function at a
resolution of 1.2. Clusters were visualized via Uniform Manifold Approximation and
Projection (UMAP) and annotated based on canonical lineage markers. To define
cluster-specific marker genes, the *FindAllMarkers* function was applied using the
Wilcoxon rank-sum test, with thresholds set to min.pct = 0.25 and logfc.threshold =
0.25. Identified marker genes, together with known lineage-specific signatures, were
used for downstream cluster annotation. The 10x flex sequencing data was spatially
mapped to 10x xenium data by Tangram package(*27*) at cluster level with default
parameters.
851

Tissue Distribution of Clusters
For each cell subtype, we evaluated its distribution pattern across the four groups
through the calculation of the Ro/e as previously described (*28*). Specifically, Ro/e is
the ratio of the observed cell number to the expected cell number of a given combination
of cell subtype and tissue. We used the "calTissueDist" function from the Startrac
package to obtain the observed and expected cell numbers, using cell frequency as the
input data. Briefly, a cell subtype was more frequently observed in a specific tissue than
random expectations when Ro/e >1 and was therefore assumed to be enriched in that
group.
861

Statistical analysis
863 All statistical analyses were performed using the R software (Version 4.4.1) and the
864 Python software (Version 3.12.7). The Cox proportional-hazards model was utilized to
865 compare the survival outcomes. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation.
866 Statistical significance was determined as follows: $*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$, $***p < 0.001$.
867

Reference

1. F. Bray, M. Laversanne, H. Sung, J. Ferlay, R. L. Siegel, I. Soerjomataram, A. Jemal, Global cancer statistics 2022: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians* **74**, 229-263 (2024).

2. D.-Y. Oh, A. R. He, M. Bouattour, T. Okusaka, S. Qin, L.-T. Chen, M. Kitano, C.-k. Lee, J. W. Kim, M.-H. Chen, Durvalumab or placebo plus gemcitabine and cisplatin in participants with advanced biliary tract cancer (TOPAZ-1): updated overall survival from a randomised phase 3 study. *The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology* **9**, 694-704 (2024).

3. C. Sautès-Fridman, F. Petitprez, J. Calderaro, W. H. Fridman, Tertiary lymphoid structures in the era of cancer immunotherapy. *Nature Reviews Cancer* **19**, 307-325 (2019).

4. R. I. R. Macias, S. Roessler, M. M. A. Verstegen, Deciphering the spatial tumor microenvironment in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Hepatology*, (2025).

5. L. Hong, J. Mei, X. Sun, Y. Wu, Z. Dong, Y. Jin, L. Gao, J. Cheng, W. Tian, C. Liu, B. Li, P. Hu, L. Liu, S. Xin, X. Dai, P. Zhao, R. Guo, M. Chen, J. Yun, B. Lin, W. Wei, W. Fang, X. Bao, Spatial single-cell proteomics landscape decodes the tumor microenvironmental ecosystem of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Hepatology*, (2025).

6. Y. Zhang, G. Liu, Q. Zeng, W. Wu, K. Lei, C. Zhang, M. Tang, Y. Zhang, X. Xiang, L. Tan, R. Cui, S. Qin, X. Song, C. Yin, Z. Chen, M. Kuang, CCL19-producing fibroblasts promote tertiary lymphoid structure formation enhancing anti-tumor IgG response in colorectal cancer liver metastasis. *Cancer Cell* **42**, 1370-1385 e1379 (2024).

7. J. Calderaro, F. Petitprez, E. Becht, A. Laurent, T. Z. Hirsch, B. Rousseau, A. Luciani, G. Amaddeo, J. Derman, C. Charpy, J. Zucman-Rossi, W. H. Fridman, C. Sautes-Fridman, Intra-tumoral tertiary lymphoid structures are associated with a low risk of early recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* **70**, 58-65 (2019).

8. D. H. Shu, W. J. Ho, L. T. Kagohara, A. Girgis, S. M. Shin, L. Danilova, J. W. Lee, D. N. Sidiropoulos, S. Mitchell, K. Munjal, K. Howe, K. J. Bendinelli, E. Kartalia, H. Qi, G. Mo, J. Montagne, J. M. Leatherman, T. Y. Lopez-Vidal, Q. Zhu, A. L. Huff, X. Yuan, A. Hernandez, E. M. Coyne, N. Zaidi, D. J. Zabransky, L. L. Engle, A. Ogurtsova, M. Baretti, D. Laheru, J. N. Durham, H. Wang, J. C. Sunshine, R. J. Johnston, J. S. Deutsch, J. M. Taube, R. A. Anders, E. M. Jaffee, E. J. Fertig, M. Yarchoan, Immunotherapy response induces divergent tertiary lymphoid structure morphologies in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nat Immunol* **25**, 2110-2123 (2024).

9. G.-Y. Ding, J.-Q. Ma, J.-P. Yun, X. Chen, Y. Ling, S. Zhang, J.-Y. Shi, Y.-Q. Chang, Y. Ji, X.-Y. Wang, Distribution and density of tertiary lymphoid structures

predict clinical outcome in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Journal of Hepatology* **76**, 608-618 (2022).

10. Z. Tang, Y. Bai, Q. Fang, Y. Yuan, Q. Zeng, S. Chen, T. Xu, J. Chen, L. Tan, C. Wang, Q. Li, J. Lin, Z. Yang, X. Wu, G. Shi, J. Wang, C. Yin, J. Guo, S. Liu, S. Peng, M. Kuang, Spatial transcriptomics reveals tryptophan metabolism restricting maturation of intratumoral tertiary lymphoid structures. *Cancer Cell* **43**, 1025-1044.e1014 (2025).

11. I. P. MacFawn, G. Magnon, G. Gorecki, S. Kunning, R. Rashid, M. E. Kaiza, H. Atiya, A. T. Ruffin, S. Taylor, T. R. Soong, The activity of tertiary lymphoid structures in high grade serous ovarian cancer is governed by site, stroma, and cellular interactions. *Cancer Cell* **42**, 1864-1881. e1865 (2024).

12. M.-C. Dieu-Nosjean, J. Goc, N. A. Giraldo, C. Sautès-Fridman, W. H. Fridman, Tertiary lymphoid structures in cancer and beyond. *Trends in immunology* **35**, 571-580 (2014).

13. J. W. Hickey, M. Haist, N. Horowitz, C. Caraccio, Y. Tan, A. J. Rech, M. A. Baertsch, X. Rovira-Clave, B. Zhu, G. Vazquez, G. Barlow, E. Agmon, Y. Goltsev, J. B. Sunwoo, M. Covert, G. P. Nolan, T cell-mediated curation and restructuring of tumor tissue coordinates an effective immune response. *Cell Rep* **42**, 113494 (2023).

14. S. S. Bhate, G. L. Barlow, C. M. Schurch, G. P. Nolan, Tissue schematics map the specialization of immune tissue motifs and their appropriation by tumors. *Cell Syst* **13**, 109-130 e106 (2022).

15. M. Ukita, J. Hamanishi, H. Yoshitomi, K. Yamanoi, S. Takamatsu, A. Ueda, H. Suzuki, Y. Hosoe, Y. Furutake, M. Taki, CXCL13-producing CD4+ T cells accumulate in the early phase of tertiary lymphoid structures in ovarian cancer. *JCI insight* **7**, e157215 (2022).

16. E. M. Pimenta, B. J. Barnes, Role of tertiary lymphoid structures (TLS) in anti-tumor immunity: potential tumor-induced cytokines/chemokines that regulate TLS formation in epithelial-derived cancers. *Cancers* **6**, 969-997 (2014).

17. W. H. Fridman, M. Meylan, F. Petitprez, C.-M. Sun, A. Italiano, C. Sautès-Fridman, B cells and tertiary lymphoid structures as determinants of tumour immune contexture and clinical outcome. *Nature reviews Clinical oncology* **19**, 441-457 (2022).

18. W. H. Fridman, M. Meylan, G. Pupier, A. Calvez, I. Hernandez, C. Sautès-Fridman, Tertiary lymphoid structures and B cells: An intratumoral immunity cycle. *Immunity* **56**, 2254-2269 (2023).

19. M. Meylan, F. Petitprez, E. Becht, A. Bougouin, G. Pupier, A. Calvez, I. Giglioli, V. Verkarre, G. Lacroix, J. Verneau, C. M. Sun, P. Laurent-Puig, Y. A. Vano, R. Elaidi, A. Mejean, R. Sanchez-Salas, E. Barret, X. Cathelineau, S. Oudard, C. A. Reynaud, A. de Reynies, C. Sautes-Fridman, W. H. Fridman, Tertiary lymphoid structures generate and propagate anti-tumor antibody-producing plasma cells in renal cell cancer. *Immunity* **55**, 527-541 e525 (2022).

952   20.   G. Yu, L.-G. Wang, Y. Han, Q.-Y. He, clusterProfiler: an R package for
953   comparing biological themes among gene clusters. *Omics: a journal of*
954   *integrative biology* **16**, 284-287 (2012).

955   21.   H. Salié, L. Wischer, A. D'Alessio, I. Godbole, Y. Suo, P. Otto-Mora, J. Beck, O.
956   Neumann, A. Stenzinger, P. Schirmacher, C. A. M. Fulgenzi, A. Blaumeiser, M.
957   Boerries, N. Roehlen, M. Schultheiß, M. Hofmann, R. Thimme, D. J. Pinato, T.
958   Longerich, B. Bengsch, Spatial single-cell profiling and neighbourhood analysis
959   reveal the determinants of immune architecture connected to checkpoint
960   inhibitor therapy outcome in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gut* **74**, 451-466 (2025).

961   22.   Y. Chen, K. Jia, Y. Sun, C. Zhang, Y. Li, L. Zhang, Z. Chen, J. Zhang, Y. Hu, J.
962   Yuan, Predicting response to immunotherapy in gastric cancer via multi-
963   dimensional analyses of the tumour immune microenvironment. *Nature*
964   *communications* **13**, 4851 (2022).

965   23.   S. Epskamp, A. O. J. Cramer, L. J. Waldorp, V. D. Schmittmann, D. Borsboom,
966   qgraph: Network Visualizations of Relationships in Psychometric Data. *Journal*
967   *of Statistical Software* **48**, 1 - 18 (2012).

968   24.   Y. Yan, D. Sun, J. Hu, Y. Chen, L. Sun, H. Yu, Y. Xiong, Z. Huang, H. Xia, X.
969   Zhu, D. Bian, F. Sun, L. Hou, C. Wu, O. R. Fan, H. Hu, A. Zeng, L. Zhang, Y. E.
970   Sun, C. Wang, P. Zhang, Multi-omic profiling highlights factors associated with
971   resistance to immuno-chemotherapy in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Nat Genet*
972   **57**, 126-139 (2025).

973   25.   F. A. Wolf, P. Angerer, F. J. Theis, SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene
974   expression data analysis. *Genome Biol* **19**, 15 (2018).

975   26.   P. L. Germain, A. Lun, C. Garcia Meixide, W. Macnair, M. D. Robinson, Doublet
976   identification in single-cell sequencing data using scDblFinder. *F1000Res* **10**,
977   979 (2021).

978   27.   T. Biancalani, G. Scalia, L. Buffoni, R. Avasthi, Z. Lu, A. Sanger, N. Tokcan, C.
979   R. Vanderburg, Å. Segerstolpe, M. Zhang, Deep learning and alignment of
980   spatially resolved single-cell transcriptomes with Tangram. *Nature methods* **18**,
981   1352-1362 (2021).

982   28.   Y. Chen, D. Wang, Y. Li, L. Qi, W. Si, Y. Bo, X. Chen, Z. Ye, H. Fan, B. Liu,
983   Spatiotemporal single-cell analysis decodes cellular dynamics underlying
984   different responses to immunotherapy in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Cell* **42**,
985   1268-1285. e1267 (2024).

986
987

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China 82473471, 82102817, 82373428 and by Zhejiang Provincial National Science Foundation of China LY23H160013 and by the Major Scientific Project of Zhejiang Province 2023C03061 and by the "Pioneer" and "Leading Goose" R&D Program of Zhejiang Province 2023C03061 and 2025C02073. We give thanks for technical support by the Central Laboratory (Zhi Jiang Division), the First Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author contributions

Writing - original draft: Liaoliao Gao, Jie Mei, Xuanwen Bao. Writing - review & editing: Liaoliao Gao, Libing Hong, Yuzhi Jin, Xuqi Sun, Chuan Liu, Bin Li, Xiaomeng Dai, Bo Lin, Yajie Sun, Peng Zhao, Minshan Chen, Rongping Guo, Shan Xin, Jingping Yun, Inmaculada Reyes, Weijia Fang, Xuanwen Bao. Conceptualization: Liaoliao Gao, Jie Mei, Shan Xin, Wei Wei, Weijia Fang, Xuanwen Bao. Investigation: Liaoliao Gao, Jinlin Cheng, Xuanwen Bao. Methodology: Liaoliao Gao, Jie Mei, Wei Wei, Weijia Fang, Xuanwen Bao. Resources: Liaoliao Gao, Weijia Fang, Xuanwen Bao. Data curation: Liaoliao Gao, Jie Mei, Libing Hong. Formal analysis: Liaoliao Gao, Libing Hong, Yuzhi Jin, Jinlin Cheng. Software: Liaoliao Gao, Jie Mei. Visualization: Liaoliao Gao, Libing Hong, Yuzhi Jin, Xuqi Sun. Validation: Liaoliao Gao, Xuanwen Bao. Supervision: Wei Wei, Weijia Fang, Xuanwen Bao. Project administration: Weijia Fang, Xuanwen Bao. Funding acquisition: Jie Mei, Xiaomeng Dai, Weijia Fang, Xuanwen Bao.

Data availability

The proteomics data of the discovery cohort have been deposited in the iProX under the project number IPX0011444000. The snRNA-seq data and Xenium spatial transcriptomics data have been deposited in the National Genomics Data Center (NGDC) database under the accession numbers HRA012124 and OMIX012686, respectively. Publicly available datasets used in this study can be accessed from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession numbers GSE91061 and GSE126044, and from the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under accession number PRJEB23709.

1022 **Figure**

1023

1024 **Figure 1. Comprehensive evaluation of TLS distribution, morphology, and**
1025 **prognostic classification in iCCA.**
1026 (A) Schematic overview of TLS identification, spatial annotation, morphological
1027 classification, and prognostic stratification in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (iCCA).

(B) Representative hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stained images showing the three morphological subtypes of tertiary lymphoid structures (TLS): lymphoid aggregates (AGG), primary follicles (FL1), and secondary follicles (FL2).

(C) Representative immunohistochemical staining of CD20 highlighting B-cell-rich TLS structures, supporting morphological classification.

(D) Density plot showing the spatial distribution of TLS relative to the tumor boundary, demonstrating predominant localization within 5 mm from the tumor edge.

(E) Sankey diagram depicting patient-level TLS maturation patterns across intra-tumoral and peri-tumoral compartments. Each patient was assigned a TLS status for both regions based on the most advanced morphology observed (hierarchy: FL2 > FL1 > Agg > none).

(F) Histological and immunohistochemical images (H&E and CD20 staining) demonstrating the criteria used to define the four-tier TLS classification: Structured (mature intratumoral TLS), Excluded (iTLS– & pFL+), Immature (iTLS– & pAGG+), and Desertic (iTLS– & pTLS–).

(G) Kaplan–Meier survival curves showing overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) across TME subtypes, indicating significant prognostic stratification.

(H) Forest plots from multivariate Cox regression analysis demonstrating iTLS presence as an independent prognostic factor for both OS and PFS.

Figure 2. Proteomic and spatial characterization of TLS-associated tumor microenvironment subtypes in iCCA.

(A) Overview of the integrated spatial multi-omics strategy used to characterize TLS heterogeneity in iCCA, including bulk proteomics, imaging mass cytometry (IMC),

single-nucleus RNA sequencing (snRNA-seq), and spatial transcriptomics (10x Xenium).

(B) Volcano plot showing differentially expressed proteins between TME subtypes identified in the discovery cohort (n = 214). Notably, Structured tumors show upregulation of lymphoid markers such as CR2, CD19, MS4A1, and CXCL13, while Desertic tumors upregulate epithelial programs (e.g., KRT4).

(C) Heatmap of pathway enrichment scores reveals immunoactive signaling in Structured subtype (e.g., CD28 co-stimulation, S1PR4, IL-12 response) and hypoxia/EMT/coagulation programs in Desertic subtype.

(D) Performance of the TME subtype prediction model using a random forest classifier trained on proteomic data, showing training and testing AUCs (84.5% and 90.1%, respectively; p < 0.001).

(E) Boxplot comparing AUC values of the random forest model in the testing cohort under true versus permuted TLS labels, demonstrating significant performance drop in permuted conditions and confirming the model's specificity.

(F) Feature importance analysis from the random forest model, highlighting top discriminative proteins including MS4A1, CR2, TCL1A, BANK1, MUSTN1, ADRA2A, and CXCL13.

(G) Univariate survival analysis of the top-ranked features and others from TLS-prediction model, showing significant prognostic associations.

(H) ROC curve demonstrating external validation performance of the TLS prediction model in an independent iCCA cohort (n = 155) using expansion-gel-based spatial proteomics (AUC = 92.5%).

(I) Confusion matrix evaluating prediction accuracy in the validation cohort, confirming high classification performance.

(J) Heatmap generated from IMC data showing cellular composition and spatial immune architecture across TME subtypes. Structured tumors feature dense, organized lymphoid aggregates; Excluded and Immature subtypes display compartmentalized immune patterns; Desertic tumors exhibit immune-depleted, stromal-dominant landscapes.

1082

Figure 3. Single-cell spatial architecture of TLS-enriched tumor microenvironments in iCCA.

(A) Workflow of imaging mass cytometry (IMC) analysis with region-of-interest (ROI) segmentation in TLS, immune-infiltrated, and tumor/stroma regions across iCCA samples.

(B) Kaplan-Meier survival analysis in the validation cohort showing significantly
improved overall survival across TME subtypes (p = 1e-04) and between iTLS$^+$ and
iTLS$^-$ groups (p = 0.00011).
(C) Heatmap displaying the relative abundance of immune cell subsets across spatial
compartments. Structured TLS regions are enriched for CXCL13$^+$ TFH-like memory
CD4$^+$ T cells, naïve CD4$^+$ T cells, PD-1$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells, and CD20$^+$ B cells.
(D) Boxplots showing IMC-derived expression levels of key markers (CD20, CD45RO,
CD45RA), revealing spatial gradients with highest expression in TLS regions.
(E) Violinplot of expansion-gel based spatial proteomics data demonstrating
compartment-specific expression of TLS-associated chemokines (CXCL13, CCL19),
with enrichment in TLS regions.
(F) Boxplots quantifying mean spatial distances between M1-like resident tissue
macrophages (RTMs) and lymphoid cells (T/B cells and CXCL13$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells),
highlighting their close physical proximity in TLS regions.
(G) Boxplots quantifying distances from T/B cells and CXCL13$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells to RTMs
and DCs across spatial compartments. A consistent decrease in distance is observed
from tumor/stroma to immune-infiltrated zones and further into TLS regions, reflecting
increasing spatial organization of immune cell interactions during TLS maturation.
(H) Heatmap of pairwise spatial proximities between myeloid populations and CD4$^+$ T
cell subsets. M1-like RTMs show preferential interactions with CXCL13$^+$ memory
CD4$^+$ T cells, supporting their role as structural anchors in TLS organization.

1109

Figure 4. Spatial interaction networks define TLS-associated cellular neighborhoods in iCCA.

(A) Schematic workflow for multi-layered spatial analysis integrating Effective Score (ES), pairwise cell interaction (PCI), and cellular neighborhood (CN) profiling.

(B) Boxplots showing ES values of M1-like RTMs and DCs across tissue regions,
highlighting selective enrichment in TLS regions of structured subtype tumor.
(C) Heatmap comparing spatial distances between M1-like RTMs or DCs and
CXCL13$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells or T/B cell clusters across subtypes. M1-like RTMs and DCs
exhibit the shortest interaction distances in structured TLS$^+$ tumors.
(D) Heatmap depicting relative abundance of major immune cell types across distinct
CNs.
(E) RO/e analysis showing spatial distribution of CN8 and CN19 across tissue
compartments. CN19 is enriched in TLS regions of structured subtype, while CN8
localizes to immune cell-enriched regions of structured subtype.
(F) CN interaction analysis illustrating stronger CN8–CN19-CN9 interactions in TLS$^+$
tumors, particularly in the structured subtype.
(G) Triangle plot demonstrating increased co-occurrence of CN8, CN19, and CN9 in
TLS$^+$ tumors, reflecting coordinated spatial coupling within mature TLS regions.

1128

1129 **Figure 5. Single-cell spatial transcriptomics reveals TLS molecular heterogeneity**
1130 **and pseudo-temporal subtypes.**
1131 (A) Schematic overview of the 10x Xenium spatial transcriptomics workflow for
1132 profiling TLS at single-cell resolution in iCCA.

(B) Representative spatial transcriptomic images from iTLS$^+$ and iTLS$^-$ samples, showing TLS detection and localization.

(C) UMAP embedding of all 433,051 spatially resolved cells colored by major immune and stromal lineages.

(D) Sub-UMAPs showing detailed annotation of myeloid cells, T cells, stromal cells, and B cells.

(E) Pseudo-bulk PCA treating each of the 61 identified TLS as an individual unit; each dot represents a TLS, colored by patient/sample identity.

(F) PCA of TLS colored by expression levels of selected TLS-related markers (e.g., CXCL13, CXCL12, BANK1, RORC, CR2, IRF8), used to define pseudo-temporal states.

(G) Pseudo-temporal classification of TLS into three molecular subtypes: Aggregated, Activated, and Post-activated.

(H) UpSet plot showing the overlap between molecular subtypes and morphological subtypes (AGG, FL1, FL2), revealing partial concordance but substantial heterogeneity within each morphological class.

(I) Heatmap showing differences in cellular composition among TLS molecular subtypes, with Aggregated TLS enriched in plasma cells, Activated TLS enriched in CXCL13$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells, and Post-activated TLS enriched in TCF7$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells and Tregs.

1153

Figure 6. Pseudo-temporal spatial niche remodeling and intercellular signaling across TLS maturation states in iCCA.

(A) Schematic of spatial niche analysis across three TLS molecular states (Aggregated, Activated, Post-activated) based on single-cell spatial transcriptomic data.

(B) Heatmap displaying the cellular composition of 14 spatial niches, with each niche
characterized by specific enrichment of stromal, myeloid, and lymphoid cell subsets.
(C) Niche interaction networks reconstructed separately for Aggregated, Activated, and
Post-activated TLS, revealing stage-specific topologies. Aggregated TLS show sparse
stromal-lymphoid connectivity; Activated TLS exhibit expanded immune coordination;
Post-activated TLS display dense regulatory niche interactions.
(D) Heatmap of single-sample GSEA (ssGSEA) scores demonstrating hallmark and
immune checkpoint-related pathway activities across TLS states. Activated TLS show
enrichment for IFN-γ and antigen presentation pathways, while Post-activated TLS are
enriched for Treg and immune regulatory signatures.
(E) Volcano plot showing differentially expressed genes across TLS molecular states,
highlighting key immune regulators and TLS-related chemokines (e.g., CXCL12, IL6,
LAMP3, CR2).
(F) Intercellular communication networks and CXCL12 signaling dynamics during
TLS maturation. Top panels: Niche-specific communication networks in Aggregated
(left), Activated (middle), and Post-activated (right) TLS subtypes, showing dominant
cell-cell interactions (edges) and key signaling cell populations (nodes). Bottom panels:
Corresponding CXCL12 signaling pathways across maturation stages, illustrating
dynamic changes in signal-sending cell types (colored nodes) and interaction strengths
(edge weights).

1178
1179 **Figure 7. Single-nucleus RNA sequencing and cross-platform spatial mapping**
1180 **reveal TLS-associated immune programs and spatial configuratixons.**
1181 (A) Schematic of the integrative workflow combining 10x Chromium Flex single-
1182 nucleus RNA sequencing and Tangram-based spatial mapping to Xenium spatial
1183 transcriptomics coordinates.

(B) UMAP projection of 105,226 nuclei across 14 iCCA samples (8 iTLS$^+$, 6 iTLS$^-$), showing major cell compartments including T cells, B cells, myeloid cells, stromal cells, endothelial cells, epithelial cells, cycling cells, and hepatocytes.

(C) Refined clustering of T, B, myeloid, and stromal populations into functionally distinct subsets, including TCF7$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells, CXCL13$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells, germinal center B cells, iCAFs, IFNCAFs, and CXCL12$^+$ macrophages.

(D) Ro/e analysis comparing cellular composition between iTLS$^+$ and iTLS$^-$ tumors at both major lineage and subset levels. iTLS$^+$ tumors are enriched for memory-type and antigen-presenting populations, while iTLS$^-$ tumors display elevated epithelial, stromal, and endothelial content.

(E) Tangram-projected spatial mapping of Flex-identified subsets onto Xenium spatial transcriptomics coordinates reveals structured localization patterns. CXCL12$^+$ macrophages and iCAFs localize to TLS periphery in Aggregated states, while CXCL13$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells and memory B cells concentrate in TLS centers in Activated states.

(F) Odds ratio (OR) analysis quantifying spatial distribution of mapped cell types across TLS molecular subtypes (Aggregated, Activated, Post-activated), validating subtype-specific enrichment patterns observed in spatial transcriptomic data.

Figure 8. Clinical relevance of TLS-associated niches predicting immunotherapy benefit and survival outcomes.

(A) Boxplots showing the signature scores of five TLS-associated spatial niches: N3 (cDC2 & CXCL12$^+$ Mac enriched), N4 (GC B & Naïve B enriched), N5 (iCAF & CCL9$^+$ T & Mac enriched), N10 (ifnCAF, mregDC & GZMK$^+$ CD8$^+$ T enriched), and N13 (Memory B & CXCL13$^+$ CD4$^+$ T enriched) in responder versus non-responder groups across three independent immune checkpoint blockade cohorts (GSE91061, GSE126044, PRJEB23709). Signature scores were calculated using GSVA.

(B-D) Kaplan–Meier survival curves showing overall survival stratified by the signature score levels of TLS niche 3, 4, 5, 10, and 13 signatures in three independent immunotherapy-treated bulk RNA-seq datasets: (B) GSE91061 (anti–PD-1/anti-CTLA4–treated melanoma and non-small cell lung cancer), (C) GSE126044 (anti–PD-1–treated non–small cell lung cancer), and (D) PRJEB23709 (anti–PD-1/anti-CTLA4–

1216   treated melanoma and non-small cell lung cancer). Signatures were computed using
1217   GSVA scores of niche-specific genes. p-values were calculated using the log-rank test.
1218

1219
Figure 9. Graphical summary of iTLS-positive and iTLS-negative tumor
microenvironments and the spatiotemporal trajectory of TLS maturation in iCCA.
(1) iTLS+ tumors harbor apc-CNs, which is consisted of M1-like RTM, dendritic cells,
and CXCL13+ CD4+ T cells, as well as TLScore-CNs, which represent TLS existence.
(2) iTLS- tumors, which means no TLS is not observed, enrich Granulocyte and
CD11b+ Mac CNs. (3) TLSs are defined into a pseudo-temporal maturation continuum
comprising aggregated, activated, and post-activated stages, each of which exhibits
distinct microenvironmental changes.
1228

1229