A Genome-Wide Genetic Screen Identifies a Novel kDNA Replication Protein

in Trypanosomes

Migla Miskinyte,[a]* Clirim Jetishi,[b] Ana Kalichava,[b]* Alasdair Ivens,[a] Martin Waterfall,[a] , Matt

Gould,[a] Lucy Glover,[c]* David Horn,[c] Torsten Ochsenreiter,[b] Achim Schnaufer[a]#

[a]Institute of Immunology and Infection Research, University of Edinburgh, UK

[b]Institute of Cell Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Bern, Switzerland

[c]Wellcome Centre for Anti-Infectives Research, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee,

UK.

Running Head: A novel trypanosome kDNA replication factor

#Address correspondence to Achim Schnaufer, achim.schnaufer@ed.ac.uk

*Present address:

Migla Miskinyte, Ophiomics, Lisbon, Portugal

Ana Kalichava, Artidis, Basel, Switzerland

Lucy Glover, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France

Word count abstract: 250

Word count text (exclusive of references, tables, and figure legends): 6912

ABSTRACT

Trypanosomatid parasites cause diverse diseases in humans and their livestock, but share a unique biological feature, the organization of mitochondrial DNA into a complex structure, named kinetoplast (kDNA), which is crucial for the survival of the parasites. Replication, segregation and expression of kDNA are highly complex processes that involve an estimated ~300 proteins, only a fraction of which have been identified and characterized. Here, we report the development of an unbiased genetic screen in the experimental model *Trypanosoma brucei* to identify novel factors involved in kDNA maintenance. Our approach uses a combination of genome-wide RNA interference, a novel kDNA staining protocol, and fluorescence-activated cell sorting. Of the 20 highest-ranked genes identified, six are known kDNA maintenance factors. One hit, *Tb927.8.4240*, a gene of previously unknown function, was selected for experimental validation and follow-up. Ultrastructure expansion microscopy using a tagged version of the protein reveals a dynamic localization during the cell cycle. RNAi-mediated ablation of *Tb927.8.4240* results in the progressive but incomplete loss of kDNA, with only a minor effect on the tripartite attachment complex, suggesting the protein is involved in kDNA replication but not segregation. The growth phenotype of *Tb927.8.4240* ablation is fully rescued in a kDNA-independent genetic background, confirming a specific role in kDNA replication.

    In summary, we describe a functional genetic screen for the identification of kDNA maintenance factors in trypanosomes, validate one hit as a novel kDNA replication factor, and provide a prioritized hit list as a promising starting point for the future identification of additional factors.

IMPORTANCE

Trypanosomatid parasites cause several important diseases in humans and their livestock, such as sleeping sickness, leishmaniasis, Chagas disease and nagana. Critical for survival of these parasites in their hosts and vectors is faithful maintenance and expression of their mitochondrial DNA, called kinetoplast DNA (or kDNA). Indeed, several established anti-trypanosomal drugs interfere with these processes. Kinetoplast DNA maintenance is an extraordinarily complex process and incompletely understood. Here we describe the development of a functional genetic screen in the parasite *Trypanosoma brucei* for the identification of genes that are important for kDNA maintenance. The top 20 screening hits include six known kDNA maintenance genes, which validates this screen. Using gene knockdown by RNA interference and localization studies with ultrastructure expansion microscopy we characterize one hit, a gene with the systematic identifier *Tb927.8.4240*, as a novel kDNA replication factor. The hit list from this screen provides a useful resource for future research.

INTRODUCTION

Kinetoplastids are a group of flagellated protists that include the important human and animal pathogens of the genera *Trypanosoma* and *Leishmania* (1). A hallmark feature of these organisms is the kinetoplast DNA (kDNA), the organellar DNA within their single mitochondrion (2). Like mitochondrial DNA of other eukaryotes, kDNA encodes subunits of the mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) system and the mitoribosome (3–5). However, unlike typical mitochondrial DNA, kDNA is arranged in a massive, catenated network comprising 5,000 – 10,000 minicircles and dozens of maxicircles, all physically linked into a planar disk (2, 6). The kDNA disk itself is physically linked to the flagellum's basal body by the so called tripartite attachment complex (TAC), a fibrous structure that crosses both mitochondrial membranes (7). The intricate kDNA network is not only visually striking when observed with electron or atomic force microscopy (8, 9) but is also essential for the survival and propagation of trypanosomes, as the encoded genes are critical for mitochondrial function and parasite viability (2, 5, 6, 10).

The complexity of the kDNA structure is functionally linked to equally complex mechanisms for mitochondrial gene expression. Out of the 18 mRNAs encoded in the maxicircles (20–30 kb in length in *T. brucei*), 12 require post-transcriptional editing by insertion and removal of uridines (11). For some mRNAs this editing is extensive and results in more than doubling of the mRNA length. The precise number of uridines to be inserted or removed, and the location of editing events within the mRNAs, is specified by short guide RNAs (gRNAs) by virtue of their complementarity to the fully edited sequence. The vast majority of gRNAs are encoded by minicircles (~1 kb in length in *T. brucei*, with 3-4 gRNA genes per minicircle (12)). Hence, the sequence information for most kDNA-encoded genes is split between maxicircles and

minicircles, and faithful replication and transfer to daughter cells of both types of molecules

during cell division is therefore critical for parasite viability. Indeed, interference with kDNA

maintenance is a key part of the mode of action of several anti-trypanosomatid drugs (13, 14).

Unsurprisingly, elucidation of the precise mechanism of kDNA replication and segregation,

and of the molecular machineries responsible for these processes, has been a major focus of

research, and the current state of knowledge of these processes has been detailed in several

reviews (e.g. (2, 15, 16)). Replication and segregation of kDNA are highly regulated, multi-

phase processes that occur once per cell cycle and involve the orchestrated action of numerous

enzymatic and structural proteins and complexes. Summarised briefly, replication initiates with

the release of individual minicircles from the kDNA network (presumably by topoisomerase 2)

into the so-called kinetoflagellar zone (KFZ) between kDNA disk and inner mitochondrial

membrane, where they undergo unidirectional theta-type replication (17). The process probably

involves DNA polymerase IB and universal minicircle binding protein 1 (18, 19). The resulting

daughter minicircles are then reattached to the network at so-called antipodal sites (APS) at

opposite sides of the network periphery, a process that must require precise topological control to

prevent entanglement and ensure network integrity (16). The APS are still poorly defined in

composition and structure, but have been reported to contain several components of the kDNA

replication machinery, including enzymes required for reattachment of minicircles into the

network (topoisomerase 2 (20), possibly MiRF172 (21)), initiation of replication (primase 2

(22)), primer removal (helicases PIF1 and PIF5 (23, 24)), and gap repair (polymerase β (25),

DNA ligase LigK-β (26)). The traditional model for minicircle replication postulates a sorting

mechanism that ensures the distribution of the two daughter minicircles to opposite APS (2).

More recently, reexamination of available localization data for kDNA replication factors led to

an alternative 'loose-diploid' model that envisions two distinct lobes within the kDNA disk, each

with an essentially complete set of minicircles that are released from the network laterally,

replicated and then reattached to the same lobe (27). In both models, maxicircles remain attached

to the network for replication (28), which likely involves DNA polymerases IC and ID (29, 30).

Following replication, the accurate segregation of the kDNA daughter networks into daughter

cells is facilitated by the TAC, which links this process to the duplication and segregation of the

basal body during cell division. The molecular architecture and biogenesis of the TAC has been

dissected in considerable detail in recent years (reviewed in (15, 16)). TAC consists of three

distinct subdomains: the extramitochondrial exclusion zone filaments (EZF) between the basal

body and the outer mitochondrial membrane, the intramitochondrial unilateral filaments (ULF)

between inner mitochondrial membrane and kDNA, and the differentiated membranes (DM) that

bridge EZF and ULF. The DM subdomain is complex and consists of at least four different

proteins (pATOM36, TAC40, TAC42 and TAC60; (15)). The EZF appears to consist of a single

component, p197, that directly binds the basal body and is linked to the DM via TAC65 (31).

The ULF consists of at least TAC53 (32), p166 (33) and TAC102 (34); the molecular details of

its interaction with the kDNA disk itself remains to be elucidated, but may involve at least two

additional proteins that are not part of the TAC itself (35).

Overall, 63 different proteins have to date been reported as 'kinetoplast-associated' (36),

which includes the kDNA replication factors and TAC components described above, and it was

estimated that kDNA repair, replication and segregation may involve around 150 proteins (8).

Important aspects of these processes that remain to be elucidated include the precise structural

organisation of kDNA replication, including the mechanism of transport of minicircle replication

intermediates to the reattachment sites, how topology and size of the network are controlled, and

how replication of kDNA and of nuclear DNA are coordinated and integrated into the cell cycle.

The impressive progress that has been made in identifying components of kDNA replication

and segregation in trypanosomes has resulted from a combination of bioinformatics, biochemical

and imaging approaches, with each providing complementary insights into the molecular and

cellular players and processes. In recent years, genome-wide RNAi screens in trypanosomes that

select for phenotypes of interest after gene ablation have become a powerful tool in trypanosome

research (37), but so far such screens have not been applied to the study of kDNA replication and

segregation. Here, we developed an unbiased genetic screen for loss of kDNA after ablation of

gene expression, aiming to identify novel factors that play critical roles in kDNA maintenance

and its regulation and to help fill some of the gaps in understanding outlined above.

RESULTS

Development of a whole-genome scale RNAi screen for kDNA loss

We designed a screening approach based on a combination of genome-wide RNA interference, a

novel kDNA staining protocol, and fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) of $kDNA^0$ cells;

an overview is presented in **Fig. S1**. A key tool in our screening approach is the ability to

genetically engineer bloodstream form *T. brucei* cells that are viable in the absence of kDNA

(38). This is achieved by expressing a mutated copy of the γ subunit (γL262P) of the

mitochondrial F_1F_O-ATPase, allowing for the creation of a mitochondrial membrane potential in

the absence of kDNA-encoded genes (38). We transfected these kDNA-independent *T. brucei*

cells with a whole-genome RNAi library (39, 40), achieving coverage of 60-87% for the eleven

megabase chromosomes, based on Illumina sequencing of RNAi inserts PCR-amplified from

transfected cells and mapping of reads to the *T. brucei* TREU927 reference genome (**Table S1**).

Another essential advancement was our development of an *in vivo* DNA staining protocol that

allowed the sorting, by flow cytometry, of trypanosome cells that had lost their kDNA. Initial

attempts using dihydroethidium (DHE), a dye previously reported to be specific for kDNA (42),

did not yield a sufficient separation of $kDNA^+$ and $kDNA^0$ cells by flow cytometry. However,

subsequent trials of co-staining with DHE and dsFLUOR (43) resulted in a clear, if imperfect,

separation of $kDNA^+$ and $kDNA^0$ *T. brucei* cells, as shown in **Fig. S1B**.

Identification of putative kDNA maintenance factors

In previous studies, RNAi-mediated ablation of kDNA maintenance factors in bloodstream form

parasites has been shown to result in kDNA loss after 3-5 days (35, 44). We therefore induced

RNAi in our library cells for 5 days, after which $kDNA^0$ cells were isolated by FACS (**Fig. S1A**).

After extraction of total DNA from the $kDNA^0$ cells, RNAi inserts were amplified by PCR (41)

and sequenced on an Illumina platform (100 bp pair-ended) using an amplification-free library

preparation protocol (sample 'Day 5 +tet $kDNA^0$'). For controls, we processed samples from (i)

the full RNAi cell library prior to induction ('Day 0') and (ii) from cells un-induced for 5 days

but sorted for $kDNA^0$ ('Day 5 -tet $kDNA^0$'). This strategy aimed to distinguish gene fragments

specifically enriched due to RNAi induction from those lost due to background noise or

nonspecific effects. Illumina reads were mapped to a *T. brucei* reference genome (45) and

differentially abundant reads per gene between tested conditions were determined.

Fig. 1 panels A and B show volcano plots, with thresholds for statistical significance set at

$\log_2$ fold-change > 1.5 and $p < 0.05$. These criteria identified 1852 and 436 candidate genes,

respectively, with an overlap of 132 genes between the two conditions (**Fig. 1C, detailed in**

Table S1). While the precise number of genes required for kDNA maintenance is unknown, it is

likely from the large number of hits identified under either condition that a considerable portion

of the identified genes represent false positives. For example, only a small fraction of the genes

from both hit lists encode proteins with experimentally determined mitochondrial localizations

(36), contrary to what would be expected for most kDNA maintenance factors (**Table S2**).

Specifically, the 'Day 5 +tet $kDNA^0$' vs. 'Day 0' and 'Day 5 +tet $kDNA^0$' vs. 'Day 5 -tet

$kDNA^0$' hit lists included only 249 (13.4%) and 85 (19.5%) genes encoding proteins with

mitochondrial localization. Indeed, these percentages are similar to the percentage of genes

encoding mitochondrial proteins (1,650, or 14.0%, according to the latest estimate (36)) among

all 11,764 annotated genes in the reference genome (45). In addition, of the 63 genes reported to

date (36) that encode kDNA-associated proteins, only 11 and 13, respectively, were included in

our two hit lists (**Table S2**), suggesting false negatives as well. Notably, however, the 132 genes

identified in both comparisons showed an enrichment for genes encoding mitochondrial proteins

(32 genes, or 24%), including seven previously confirmed to be associated with the kinetoplast

(36) (**Fig. 1C, Table S2**).

We therefore considered these 132 genes as our primary list of candidates. **Fig. 2** visualizes

this selection, including published genome-wide data on localization (36, 46), the experimentally

determined mitochondrial proteome of *T. brucei* (47–49), as well as currently known kDNA

maintenance factors (16) (see also **Table S2**). Encouragingly, when candidates were ranked

based on the false discovery rate (FDR) for the 'Day 5 +tet kDNA^0' vs. 'Day 5 -tet kDNA^0'

comparison, the top 20 included six genes encoding experimentally confirmed kDNA

maintenance factors (four TAC components and two enzymes involved in replication): *TAC60*

(50) (*Tb927.7.1400*, rank 1), *primase 2* (22) (*Tb927.1.4010*, rank 2), *TAC65* (51) (*Tb927.5.830*,

rank 4), *mitochondrial DNA polymerase I protein B* (29) (*Tb927.11.4690*, rank 5), *p166* (33, 52)

(*Tb927.11.3290*, rank 11) and *TAC102* (53) (*Tb927.7.2390*, rank 16) (**Table 1, Table S2**).

In addition to these six genes, four other candidates within the top 20 encode proteins with

experimentally confirmed mitochondrial or kinetoplast locations (**Table 1**). *Tb927.8.4240* (rank

7) is annotated in TriTrypDB as a hypothetical gene, universally conserved among the sequenced

kinetoplastids. A C-terminally tagged version of the 184 kDa protein has been localized to the

kinetoplast (46). Notably, *Tb927.8.4240* is a paralog of the adjacent gene *Tb927.8.4230*,

previously identified as encoding a putative interactor of LigK-β in a proximity labelling

approach (54). LigK-β and LigK-α are kDNA-associated DNA ligases (26); only LigK-α

expression has been successfully knocked down and shown to be essential for kDNA replication

(26). While *Tb*927.8.4230 localizes exclusively at the antipodal sites, analogous to *T. brucei*

LigK-β (26, 54), *Tb*927.8.4240 is reportedly localized in the proximity of kDNA, but not at the

antipodal sites themselves (54).

Tb927.6.2200 (rank 8) and *Tb927.6.2190* (rank 10) are neighboring genes, and close

inspection of the mapped RNAi fragments revealed a fragment that included parts of both genes.

It is therefore possible that only one of these two genes is a genuine hit, while the other is a

'collateral' hit. *Tb*927.6.2200 was predicted to be a mitochondrial protein based on its

significantly reduced abundance in a mitochondrial fraction after ablation of ATOM40, a protein

critical for mitochondrial protein import (49). The human homolog of this protein, DJ-1

(encoded by *Park7*), is also mitochondrial and is involved in protection from oxidative stress

(55). *Tb*927.6.2190 is another 'conserved hypothetical' protein; its mitochondrial location was

experimentally confirmed in multiple studies, and its expression appears to be regulated during

the cell cycle (56). The final gene of interest in this subset, *Tb927.7.1910* (rank 18), encodes a

component of the glycine cleavage complex (57), a crucial element in mitochondrial metabolism

and function. This finding highlights the complex's possible involvement in kDNA maintenance,

potentially expanding the list of metabolic enzymes with such a dual function (58).

Six of the top 20 hits do not appear to encode mitochondrial proteins. *Tb927.10.13220* (rank

3) is annotated as a cyclophilin-type isomerase. Although some members of this family, like

Cyclophilin D, have important mitochondrial functions (59), this gene has been predicted to

encode a member of the Fam66 family of cell surface proteins (60). *Tb927.8.3860* (rank 9) has

been experimentally confirmed as a component of the cytosolic iron-sulfur cluster assembly

complex (61), which makes a putative association with kDNA maintenance unexpected.

Tb927.11.16040 (rank 13) is conserved among kinetoplastids, shows some homology to

prefoldin-like chaperones and appears to be located in the cytoplasm. *Tb11.v5.0467* (rank 14),

Tb927.10.11290 (rank 17) and *Tb11.v5.0205* (rank 20), despite their conservation among

kinetoplastids, possess uncertain cellular localizations (46), and lack identifiable functional

motifs, which adds a layer of complexity to the functional characterization of these genes. Gene

Tb11.v5.0205 is identical in sequence to *Tb927.10.6130*. A tagged version of the encoded protein

has been localized to the cytoplasm (46). The *Tb11.v5.0467* entry in TriTrypDB includes a 5'

UTR of nearly 3 kb that, in part, shares 100% identity with much of the *TAC102* coding

sequence, suggesting that the contig that contains *Tb11.v5.0467* might be an assembly artefact

and that this gene is a false positive hit.

Finally, the top 20 candidates include a further three genes that are probably false positive

hits. *Tb927.916940* (rank 12) and *Tb927.9.610* (rank 15) are annotated as pseudogenes.

Tb927.11.4700 (rank 6) is likely a 'collateral hit': it is located next to *Tb927.11.4690* (rank 5, see

above) in the genome, and, similar to *Tb927.6.2200* and *Tb927.6.2190*, a close inspection of the

mapped RNAi fragments revealed a fragment that included parts of both genes. Thus, the

identification of *Tb927.11.4700* may result from its genomic proximity to a true positive hit

rather than involvement in kDNA maintenance.

Confirmation of *Tb*927.8.4240 as a novel protein involved in kDNA maintenance

Based on the above considerations, we prioritized *Tb927.8.4240* for follow-up studies. The 184

kDa protein product has no recognizable features or domains, except an intrinsically disordered

region at its C-terminus (residues 1464-1689) predicted by InterPro (62). The structural model

generated by AlphaFold (https://alphafold.ebi.ac.uk/entry/Q57W11) (63) has only a few domains

of high confidence, with limited structural similarity to the following three proteins: an

uncharacterized protein of *Etheostoma spectabile*, a fungal-specific transcription factor, and a

LisH domain-containing *Anopheles* protein. As reported previously, *Tb927.8.4240* and its

genomic neighbor in *T. brucei*, *Tb927.8.4230* (a gene encoding a smaller protein of 119 kDa) are

the closest homologs of each other in the *T. brucei* genome (E-value 9e-41 in a protein BLAST)

(54); they are likely paralogs that resulted from a gene duplication event. A search for potential

orthologs and their genomics locations in trypanosomatids using the TriTryp database

(www.tritrypdb.org) (64) confirms that both genes are highly conserved and usually syntenic in

trypanosomatids. An exception is *T. cruzi*, where a gene specific for that species has been

inserted between the two paralogs. Interestingly, in *T. congolense* IL3000, both genes – although

still syntenic with their orthologs in other trypanosomatids, appear to have degraded and are

annotated as pseudogenes *TcIL3000.A.H_000602900* and *TcIL3000.A.H_000603000* on

chromosome 8. Orthologs of *Tb927.8.4240* and *Tb927.8.4230* appear to be absent from the free-

living genus *Bodo* (54), the closest known relative of trypanosomatids. A syntenic *Tb927.8.4240*

ortholog is present in the early-branching trypanosomatid *Paratrypanosoma confusum*, but a

Tb927.8.4230 ortholog is missing in this species. This suggests a scenario where the gene

duplication that gave rise to the two paralogs occurred after *P. confusum* had branched off;

however, it is also possible that the *Tb927.8.4230* ortholog was secondarily lost in that species.

Fig. S2 shows sequence conservation for the orthologs from five selected trypanosomatids, *T.*

brucei, *T. vivax*, *T. cruzi*, *L. major* and *Crithidia fasciculata*. Overall, within the *Trypanosoma*

genus, *Tb*927.8.4240 and *Tb*927.8.4230 protein sequences are 57-59% and 43-47% identical,

respectively (**Fig. S2A**). Areas of relatively high conservation are concentrated in six and two

clusters, respectively (**Fig. S2B**; top and middle panel). Despite their common ancestry and

homology, the calculated isoelectric points (pI) of the two paralogs are markedly different. While

*Tb*927.8.4240 has a pI of 8.2, *Tb*927.8.4230 has a pI of 5.2 (note the abundance of negatively

charged glutamic acid and aspartic acid residues, particularly in the C-terminal region of

*Tb*927.8.4230; **Fig. S2B**, middle panel). In the alignment of the ten selected orthologs and

paralogs from the five species, areas of relatively high conservation are restricted to four regions,

the last three resulting from a fragmentation of the long, second region of conservation among

the *Tb*927.8.4230 orthologs (Fig. S2B, bottom panel; Fig. S2C).

To confirm the role of *Tb*927.8.4240 in kDNA maintenance, we ablated its expression in

bloodstream form *T. brucei* by inducible RNAi (**Fig. 3**). In two independent RNAi clones, after 3

days of induction, mRNA levels were reduced to ~50% and ~70%, respectively (**Fig. 3B**), which

resulted in a marked reduction in the population's growth rate approximately 5 days post-

induction (**Fig. 3A**, grey and red dashed lines). Induction of RNAi in a kDNA-independent cell

line (i.e. expressing γL262P) did not result in a growth phenotype (**Fig. 3A**, green lines), despite

a comparable reduction in mRNA levels (**Fig. 3B**), confirming a kDNA-specific growth effect.

After 5 days of RNAi induction, the percentage of cells in the population that had completely

lost their kDNA had increased substantially: from ~0.2% to >10% for 0K1N cells (i.e., cells with

1 nucleus but no kDNA) and from 0% to ~1% for 0K2N cells (**Fig. 3C**). In contrast, cells with

replicated and segregated kDNA (2K1N and 2K2N) had decreased substantially. For cells that

still possessed visible kDNA 5 days post-induction, a quantification of the kDNA-to-nucleus size

ratio at the same time point revealed an overall reduction in average kDNA size and an increased

variability in kDNA size (**Fig. 3D**). Even in the kDNA-independent RNAi cell line, which could

be observed for a longer period after induction, the percentage of 0K1N cells remained relatively

stable at 10% (**Fig. S2**). Depletion of *Tb*927.8.4240 in WT cells or in γL262P cells by RNAi had

only a very minor effect on the amount or localization of the kDNA-proximal TAC component

TAC102 (15, 53) (**Fig. 3E**), ruling out a role for *Tb*927.8.4240 in TAC assembly or structure.

Overall, these results suggested an important and specific role of *Tb*927.8.4240 in kDNA

maintenance. The incomplete cessation of cell growth in kDNA-dependent cells and the

incomplete kDNA loss in both kDNA-dependent and -independent cells could suggest some

intrinsic variability in parasite dependence on *Tb*927.8.4240 function, or it could be a

consequence of variable degrees of RNAi efficiency at the single cell level - the measured

reduction in mRNA levels to 50-70% represents an average across the population.

_Tb_927.8.4240 shows a dynamic localization at and near the kDNA APS and at the kDNA

disk periphery

To confirm the association of the *Tb*927.8.4240 protein with kDNA, we expressed a

hemagglutinin (HA) tagged version in procyclic form *T. brucei* and first determined the

approximate location by fluorescence microscopy (**Fig. 4**). Co-staining for DNA and for

TAC102 suggested that the *Tb*927.8.4240 protein is located at the APS.

To precisely localize *Tb*927.8.4240, we performed Ultrastructure Expansion Microscopy (U-

ExM; **Fig. 5**). Throughout the cell cycle, *Tb*927.8.4240 displayed distinct localization patterns

when viewed from lateral and axial perspectives (**Fig. 5A**). In G1 / early S-phase cells (1K1N),

we observed two punctate signals consistent with APS localization and clearly distinct from the

TAC when viewed laterally (**Fig. 5A$_i$. Fig. 5B**), while, when viewed axially, these signals either

appeared at a punctate distribution around the edge of the disk ('ring') or in elongated shapes at

opposite sites, presumably again reflecting APS localization (**Fig. 5A$_{ii,iii}$**). These two distinct

distributions were of similar frequency (**Fig. 5C**). In cells with dumbbell-shaped kDNA, i.e., in

an advanced stage of replication, *Tb*927.8.4240 signals either exhibited similar elongated shapes

with increased separation (**Fig. 5A$_{iv}$**) or seemed shifted to oppose each other along the replicating

kDNA rather than remaining antipodal (**Fig. 5A$_{v-vii}$**). The latter distribution was observed slightly

more frequently (**Fig. 5C**). In segregating networks, the protein localization appeared as punctate

rings again, with an additional localization along the 'nabelschnur' structure (65) between the

disks (**Fig. 5A**viii).

In summary, we found that the *Tb*927.8.4240 protein shows a dynamic, cell cycle-dependent

localization.

DISCUSSION

Biochemical and imaging approaches have resulted in substantial progress in the identification of genes involved in replication and segregation of the mitochondrial genome of trypanosomatids (16, 36, 66). Using a newly developed, genome-wide genetic screening approach, we have identified novel candidates for genes that are important in this process. We selected the gene with systematic ID *Tb927.8.4240*, annotated as a conserved hypothetical gene (www.TriTrypDB.org; (64)), for experimental follow-up.

Our bioinformatic analysis failed to identify any putative domains or motifs in the 184-kDa *Tb*927.8.4240 protein, except an intrinsically disordered region at its C-terminus. The structure predicted by AlphaFold is also not informative, as it has few domains of high confidence and very limited similarity to any domains with characterized function (63). Our gene expression knockdown by RNAi in bloodstream form *T. brucei* reduced the mRNA level to 50-70% and produced a slow growth phenotype after 5 days, a timescale that is consistent with growth phenotypes obtained in bloodstream forms for other kDNA maintenance factors (21, 67, 68). At day 5 post-induction, about 10% of cells had completely lost their kDNA (0K1N, or $kDNA^0$), and a substantial reduction in kDNA size was observed for most remaining cells. Parasites then continued to grow at a slower rate, with no further increase in $kDNA^0$ cells. It is uncertain why the percentage of $kDNA^0$ cells did not eventually reach 100%, even in a kDNA-independent genetic background, but relatively mild growth and kDNA-loss phenotypes are not unusual after RNAi-mediated ablation in bloodstream form *T. brucei*, even for essential kDNA maintenance genes ((68) and references therein). The most straightforward explanations are the incomplete ablation of *Tb927.8.4240* mRNA (see above) and the known variability of RNAi efficiency within cell populations (69).

A tagged version of the *Tb*927.8.4240 protein was previously reported to localize near the

kDNA antipodal sites (54). Our localization studies using tagged protein and standard

fluorescence microscopy as well as U-ExM showed a dynamic, cell cycle-dependent location of

the *Tb*927.8.4240 protein. For cells at the beginning of kDNA replication, we observed two

alternative localizations within the population: either punctate, distributed around the rim of the

kDNA disk, resulting in a ring-like appearance (Fig. 6, state *a*), or signals consistent with

localization at antipodal sites (Fig. 6, state *b*). Viewed axially, APS localization was not dot-like,

as in images obtained by conventional microscopy (46), but extended along the edge of the

kDNA (**Fig. 6**, state *a*), similar to the recently discovered replication factor MiRF172 (21). In

dumbbell-shaped (or bilobed) kDNA, characteristic of cells at an advanced stage of replication,

the *Tb*927.8.4240 protein exhibited a similar localization patterns in ~45% of cells (**Fig. 6**, state

c), or its location was shifted along the edge of the elongated kDNA rather than remaining

antipodal (**Fig. 6**, state *d*). It seems reasonable to assume that state *d* develops via state *c*, rather

than directly from state *b*, as depicted in **Fig. 6**, although further experiments will be required to

confirm this. This repositioning away from the antipodal sites is reminiscent of patterns observed

after pulse labelling of replicating *T. brucei* minicircles with bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU), which

led to the development of a model of *T. brucei* kDNA replication where back-and-forth

oscillation of the kDNA disk facilitates a more equal distribution of daughter minicircles in the

replicating network (70). Later metabolic labelling experiments with the thymidine analogue 5-

ethynyl-2′-deoxyuridine (EdU) did not reproduce these patterns (71), and it was speculated that

the DNA denaturation step required for BrdU antibody detection might have resulted in imaging

artefacts, questioning the oscillation model (2).

Interestingly, in segregating networks we also observed association of some *Tb*927.8.4240

protein with the 'nabelschnur' (German for umbilical cord) in addition to redistribution to the

punctate pattern around the rim of the disk seen in state *a* (**Fig. 6**, state *e*). As the nabelschnur

represents maxicircle DNA that is stretched out between the segregating networks (65, 72), this

observation suggests that *Tb*927.8.4240 is not exclusively involved in minicircle replication.

Previously, only two proteins have been reported that show association with this structure, the

leucyl aminopeptidase *Tb*LAP1 (73) and *Tb*NAB70 (74). Similar to *Tb*927.8.4240, both proteins

show co-localization with the kDNA disk during replication (specifically with the kDNA

periphery in the case of *Tb*NAB70) and, additionally, with the nabelschnur during segregation.

Phenotypes for ablation are clearly distinct from what we observed for *Tb*927.8.4240, though:

ablation of TbLAP1 results primarily in accumulation of 2K2N cells (73), while ablation of

TbNAB70 prevents kDNA segregation and results in accumulation of 1K2N cells (74).

There is additional precedence for changes in localization of kDNA replication factors during

the cell cycle. For example, DNA polymerases IC and ID only accumulate at antipodal sites in

actively replicating networks, but are dissipated throughout the mitochondrial matrix during

other phases of the cell cycle (75, 76). Particularly relevant to our observation is the dynamic

change in expression and localization of DNA LigK-α during the cell cycle in *C. fasciculata*, this

enzyme being primarily observed on the faces of the kDNA disk in dividing cells, and having

greater localization at the KFZ face in some cells and on the opposite face in others (77). *T.*

brucei LigK-α is encoded by *Tb927.7.600*, the paralog of its neighboring gene, *LigK-β*

(*Tb927.7.610*). The LigK-β protein interacts with the *Tb*927.8.4240 paralog *Tb*927.8.4230 (54),

and both proteins are localized at the antipodal sites (26, 54). It is therefore tempting to speculate

that *Tb*927.8.4240 dynamically interacts with DNA LigK-α, presumably specifically in dividing

cells. The presence of a predicted intrinsically disordered region in Tb927.8.4240 is consistent

with this idea, as the conformational malleability of these domains is well suited to facilitate

dynamic interactions (78). This hypothesis needs to be tested in future experiments. Orthologs

for both DNA ligases are also neighboring genes in the *B. saltans* genome (BSAL_42315 and

BSAL_42320, www.TriTrypDB.org; (64)). Bodonids are free-living kinetoplastids that are a

sister group of the trypanosomatids, have free minicircles and lack a kDNA network structure

(79, 80). It has been suggested that the network structure evolved in trypanosomatids to

minimize minicircle loss during cell division (81). Our search of the TriTrypDB database for

orthologs of *Tb927.8.4230* and *Tb927.8.4240* did not find orthologs for either gene in *B. saltans*.

However, we identified a syntenic ortholog for *Tb927.8.4240*, but not *Tb927.8.4230*, in the early-

branching trypanosomatid *P. confusum*. This suggests a scenario where recruitment of

*Tb*927.8.4240 for kDNA replication and subsequent gene duplication and specialization of

paralog function evolved with the need for more sophisticated kDNA replication and segregation

during trypanosomatid evolution. Future studies should systematically investigate the presence

and absence of known kDNA replication and segregation factors in the rapidly growing number

of kinetoplastid genomes (82).

Above we described the validation and characterization of *Tb*927.8.4240 as a novel kDNA

maintenance factor. This, along with the identification of six known kDNA maintenance factors

in our top 20 list (**Table 1**) is proof-of-concept that, in principle, the genetic screen that we

designed for identification of kDNA maintenance factors is effective. However, the following

findings suggest that there is considerable room for improvement.

i) Of the most recent list of 63 known genes encoding kinetoplast-associated proteins, which

include dozens of genes where ablation has been reported to result in disruption of kDNA

biogenesis (16, 36), only seven are present in our list of 132 candidate genes (**Table S2**,

Fig. 1). Thus, our screen produced a considerable number of false negatives.

ii) The vast majority of proteins that are important for kDNA maintenance are expected to

have mitochondrial localization. Although there is precedence for proteins that are

involved in kDNA maintenance and that have an additional role outside of the

mitochondrion (e.g. *mitochondrial topoisomerase 2*, *Tb927.9.5590* (38, 83)), and indeed

we would expect some non-mitochondrial proteins with important roles in kDNA

maintenance (e.g. for coordination of kDNA replication and segregation with the cell

cycle), the number of proteins where this is the case is expected to be small. The 132

candidate genes include 32 genes (24%) where the protein product has been mapped to the

mitochondrion (36). Although this is a clear enrichment over the estimated 14% of all

genes that, according to a recent estimate (36), encode mitochondrial proteins, a percentage

of 76% non-mitochondrial proteins suggests a considerable number of false positives in

our list. Indeed, the list includes many genes with known functions that are not related to

kDNA biogenesis. Thus, our screen clearly produced a considerable number of false

positive hits.

How could the performance of the screen be improved to reduce the number of false negatives

and false positives? One key step in our experimental design is separation of $kDNA^+$ and $kDNA^0$

cells by FACS (**Fig. S1**). Although this approach separated these cell types well, it was not

perfect: in a control experiment with a pure population of $kDNA^+$ cells, ~0.5% of cells ended up

in the $kDNA^0$ gate (**Fig. S1B**). To produce enough genomic DNA for efficient amplification of

RNAi inserts by PCR, we cultured cells for 80 hours after sorting (see Materials & Methods).

Cells expressing γL262P tolerate kDNA loss well (38), but we noticed that in mixed populations

of kDNA$^+$ and kDNA^0 cells, the percentage of the former slowly increases. Therefore, it would

be beneficial to further optimize the separation of kDNA$^+$ and kDNA^0 cells by FACS and, also,

the PCR protocol to allow efficient amplification of RNAi inserts directly after sorting. Finally,

increasing the number of biological and technical replicates (we had used five biological

replicates for the entire procedure from RNAi induction over sorting to library preparation and

sequencing) would improve the identification of false hits with statistical methods.

Nonetheless, in addition to the characterized hit *Tb927.8.4240*, our hit list includes promising

candidates for prioritization in future studies. This includes three candidates in our top 20 list

with confirmed mitochondrial localization of the encoded proteins (*Tb927.6.2200*, *Tb927.6.2190*,

Tb927.7.1910; **Table 1**) and 22 candidates further down in the list that also encode

mitochondrial proteins (**Table S2**). Of particular note is *Tb927.5.520*, a gene encoding a putative

stomatin-like protein, as proteins with the stomatin/prohibitin/flotillin/HflK/C (SPFH) domain

have been reported as parts of complexes involved in maintenance of mitochondrial DNA (84).

In conclusion, we present an experimental strategy for a genetic screen that (i) has identified a

novel kDNA maintenance factor, *Tb927.8.4240*, (ii) suggests further promising candidates for

genes with important roles in kDNA maintenance, and (iii) points the way for second-generation

screens with improved performance. Our characterization of *Tb927.8.4240* suggests that the

oscillation model for kDNA replication in *T. brucei* should be revisited, and that detailed and

systematic phylogenetic studies of the evolution of known kDNA maintenance factors in

kinetoplastids will be a feasible and fruitful endeavor.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

T. brucei **growth and whole-genome RNAi library generation**

Bloodstream-form *T. brucei* 2T1^{T7} cells, resistant to blasticidin and puromycin, were cultured

according to the published protocol (41). Cells were maintained in HMI-11 medium (85),

supplemented with 10 fetal calf serum (FCS; Gibco) and 50 μg/ml penicillin/streptomycin

(Thermo Fisher Scientific), under a 5% CO_2 atmosphere, unless otherwise specified. A mutated

F_1F_O-ATPase γ subunit (γL262P) was introduced into the 2T1^{T7} cell line by transfection with the

NotI-digested pEnT6-NEO- γL262P plasmid, as described (86), and selected for resistance to

neomycin at a concentration of 1 μg/ml. To confirm replacement of both alleles (γL262P/γL262P

genotype), the relevant genomic region from selected transfectants was PCR-amplified and

sequenced using primers 6 and 141 (**Table S3** lists all PCR and sequencing primers for this

study). In contrast to the published procedure for generation of genome-wide RNAi libraries

(41), expression of I-SceI meganuclease did not increased recombination and transfection

efficiency in our cell lines. To obtain the desired library complexity, a total of 2.8×10^9 2T1^{T7}-

γL262P cells were transfected with 664 μg of the linearised pZJM RNAi library, using a total of

54 independent transfections (approximately 5.1×10^7 cells and 12 μg of DNA per transfection).

Following selection, transfected cells were grown in 300 ml of HMI-11 medium supplemented

with 2 μg/ml phleomycin. After five days, cells were collected by centrifugation and resuspended

in fresh HMI-11 medium with phleomycin, with selection continuing for an additional four days.

Fluorescence activated cell sorting

Fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) was performed using a FACSAria cell sorter (BD

Biosciences). *T. brucei* cells were grown under standard conditions at 37°C with 5% CO_2, using

HMI-11 medium with 10% FCS and antibiotics. To ensure cell vitality, the cultures were

maintained in the exponential growth phase, not exceeding a density of 1×10^6 cells/ml. During

experimental procedures, cells were centrifuged at 1200 g for 5 minutes and then resuspended in

Creek's Minimal Media (CMM) (87) with an additional 10% FCS, followed by a recovery period

of 2-3 hours at a lowered density of 5×10^5 cells/ml. Post-recovery, the cells were labeled with

dsFLUOR dye (Promega, E2671) for 2 minutes at a ratio of 1 μl dye per 4 ml of cell suspension.

Preparing for fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS), the cells were treated with 10 μg/ml

dihydroethidium (DHE), freshly made from a stock solution of 5 mg DHE in 500 μl DMSO, and

incubated for 20 minutes at 37°C in the dark. Subsequently, the cells were washed three times

with CMM containing 4% FCS (1200g for 5 minutes), which had been pre-filtered through a 50

μm filter to eliminate any FCS aggregates that might affect the FACS process. Lastly, the cells

were resuspended at a concentration of about 2×10^7 cells/ml for sorting. To reduce nonspecific

staining, sorting was conducted at a temperature of 10°C. Sorted cells were cultured for 80 hours

to produce sufficient material for PCR amplification of RNAi inserts. Sorting was carried out for

five independent biological replicates each, uninduced or induced for RNAi for 5 days.

DNA deep sequencing

To amplify RNAi target fragments from the genomic DNA, we followed a previously described

PCR protocol using LIB2f and LIB2r primers (41). After amplification, DNA was sent for

Illumina deep sequencing (BGI, Hongkong; 100PE, HiSeq 4000). Paired end sequences were

scanned for the presence of each primer subsequence (F=CCCCTCGAGG,

R=ATCAAGCTTGGCC) and trimmed using a custom script to remove extraneous bases as

appropriate. The resulting fasta output files for F, R and UNK (unknown) for each sample were

aligned to the *T. brucei* TREU927 genome (Release 42 / v5.1 from TriTrypDB.org) using

bowtie2 (version 2.2.7) (88) and parameters --very-sensitive -p 20 --no-unal. The resulting BAM

files were sorted and indexed using SAMtools (version 1.12) (89), and alignment counts to

predicted genes were generated from the BAM files with the appropriate bed file and BEDtools

(v2.23.0) (90) using the multicov -bams *.bam command. The raw gene counts output from

BEDtools was subsequently processed in R.

RIT-seq data analysis

The statistical analysis of the RIT-seq data was performed in the R environment using edgeR

package (91). The number of reads mapping to each CDS for each condition (5 days induced

sorted, 5 days non-induced sorted and day 0 non-sorted populations; 5 replicates each) were

normalized and log-transformed by the function calcNormFactors [trimmed mean of M-values

(TMM) normalization].

Construction of RNAi cell lines

The inducible stem-loop RNAi construct targeting gene Tb927.8.4240 was generated using the

pQuadra system (92). Nucleotides 1-530 of the 8.4240 gene were amplified via PCR using

primers 1722 and 1723 (**Table S3**). The oligonucleotides included specifically designed BstXI

restriction sites to facilitate directional cloning (92). Ligation with BstXI-digested pQuadra1 and

pQuadra3 plasmids generated pQuadra-8.4240, containing inverted repeats of the PCR product

separated by a spacer region, which were confirmed by sequencing the plasmid using primers

116, 102 and 82 (**Table S3**). The NotI-linearized construct was then introduced via nucleofection

(93) into *T. brucei* Lister 427 'single marker' bloodstream form cells, which express the T7 RNA

polymerase and tetracycline repressor protein (94). Transgenic cell lines harboring the inducible

RNAi cassette were selected with phleomycin. Subsequently, kDNA-independent (γL262P) and

kDNA-dependent control (γWT) cell lines were constructed in this RNAi background by

transfection with linearized pEnT6-PURO-γL262P and -γWT plasmids, respectively, as

described previously (86).

Quantitative real-time PCR

RNA from 1×10^8 cells was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). cDNA was synthesized

from 1 μg of total RNA using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied

Biosystems). Tb927.8.4240 RT-PCR was performed using 2 μl of a 1/10 dilution of cDNA, 10 μl

of PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), and 1 μl of 10 μM primer pair

1777/1778 (**Table S3**) using a Roche LightCycler. Analysis of the data was performed using the

2^-ΔΔCT method for relative quantification (95) with telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT;

Tb927.11.10190) as the reference gene (96) (primers 1358/1359, **Table S3**).

Standard fluorescence microscopy

Standard fluorescence microscopy was performed as described previously (86). Briefly, cells

were fixed with 2% (w/v) cold formaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), washed once

with PBS (1000 g, 5 min), and mounted on microscopy slides. DNA was visualized using

Prolong Gold antifade reagent with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Life Technologies),

and images were captured using a Retiga 2000R Mono Cooled charged-coupled device camera

attached to an Axioscope 2 or Axioimager Z2 (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging, Inc.) using either Plan-

Apochromat 63x (1.40 NA) or Plan-Apochromat 100x (1.40 NA) phase-contrast objectives. The

relative amount of DAPI-stained DNA was quantified using ImageJ software (97).

Ultrastructure Expansion Microscopy (U-ExM)

Coverslips (12 mm, Epredia) were functionalized with poly-D-lysine (A3890401, Gibco) at

room temperature for 30 min, followed by three washes with deionized water. Cells were then

spread onto the coverslips and allowed to settle at room temperature for 10 min, fixed with 4%

paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 10 min and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5

min. Cells were washed twice with PBS and samples blocked with 4% bovine serum albumin

(BSA) in PBS. Primary and secondary antibodies (see below for specifications) were diluted in

PBS containing 2% BSA and were incubated for 45 min in between PBS washes. After the last

wash with PBS, cells were anchored in PBS containing 0.7% formaldehyde (Sigma) and 1%

acrylamide (AA; Sigma) at 37°C for 2 h. Gelation was performed using a freshly prepared

solution composed of 19% sodium acrylate (Sigma), 10% AA, and 0.1% N,N'-

methylenebisacrylamide (Sigma), supplemented with 0.5% ammonium persulfate (Thermo

Fisher) and 0.5% tetramethylethylenediamine (Thermo Fisher). For gelation, 35 µl droplets of

gelation solution were placed onto parafilm within a pre-cooled humidity chamber. Coverslips

containing anchored cells were inverted onto droplets and incubated on ice for 5 min, followed

by incubation at 37°C for 30 min to allow polymerization.

Gels were gently detached from the coverslips by incubating in 1 ml denaturation buffer (200

mM SDS, 200 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris in deionized water, pH 9) with gentle shaking at room

temperature for 15 min. Subsequently, gels underwent denaturation in the same buffer at 95°C

for 30 min. After denaturation, gels were washed three times for 5 min each with PBS, then

incubated for 1 h at room temperature with gentle agitation in PBS containing DAPI (5 µg/ml;

Sigma) for DNA staining. Following staining, gels were expanded overnight in deionized water.

Antibodies were used as follows:

•   anti-HA tag antibody, rabbit, 1:1000 (Thermo Fisher)

• Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor™ 594,

1:1000 (Thermo Fisher)

• Mouse monoclonal anti-TAC102 (53), 1:1000

• Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor™ 488

(Thermo Fisher)

• Guinea pig anti-α-tubulin, 1:500 (ABCD Antibodies)

• Guinea pig anti-β-tubulin, 1:500 (ABCD Antibodies)

• anti-guinea pig IgG Alexa Fluor 647, 1:500 (Sigma-Aldrich)

Expanded gels were sectioned and mounted onto poly-D-lysine-coated 25 mm glass-bottom

dishes (Cellvis, 35 mm dish with 20 mm microwell #1.5 cover glass). Z-stack imaging was

performed using a Nikon Ti2 Kinetix Widefield Microscope equipped with a 100x objective (NA

= 1.45). Imaging parameters included a z-step size of 0.2 µm and a pixel size of 65 nm. Images

were deconvolved using Huygens HRM software and analyzed in ImageJ (97).

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental figures S1-S3

Tables S1-S3

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Senior Non-Clinical Fellowship MR/L019701/1 from the UK

Medical Research Council to A.S. and an Institutional Strategic Support Fund (ISSF3) award

(reference IS3-R2.28) to A.S. for a salary for M.M. and consumables. Furthermore, the work was

supported by a Swiss National Science Foundation grant (number 207525) and a grant from the

Uniscientia foundation to T.O. and by a Wellcome Investigator Award (217105/Z/19/Z) to D.H..

REFERENCES

1.   Stuart K, Brun R, Croft S, Fairlamb A, Gürtler RE, McKerrow J, Reed S, Tarleton R. 2008.

Kinetoplastids: related protozoan pathogens, different diseases. The Journal of Clinical

Investigation 118:1301–10.

2.   Jensen RE, Englund PT. 2012. Network News: The Replication of Kinetoplast DNA. Annual Review

of Microbiology 66:473–491.

3.   Kay C, Williams TA, Gibson W. 2020. Mitochondrial DNAs provide insight into trypanosome

phylogeny and molecular evolution. BMC Evolutionary Biology 20:161.

4.   Alfonzo JD, Thiemann O, Simpson L. 1997. The mechanism of U insertion/deletion RNA editing in

kinetoplastid mitochondria. Nucleic acids research 25:3751–9.

5.   Schnaufer A, Domingo GJ, Stuart K. 2002. Natural and induced dyskinetoplastic trypanosomatids:

how to live without mitochondrial DNA. International Journal for Parasitology 32:1071–1084.

6.   Lukes J, Hashimi H, Zíková A. 2005. Unexplained complexity of the mitochondrial genome and

transcriptome in kinetoplastid flagellates. Current genetics 48:277–99.

7.   Ogbadoyi EO, Robinson DR, Gull K. 2003. A High-Order Trans -Membrane Structural Linkage Is

Responsible for Mitochondrial Genome Positioning and Segregation by Flagellar Basal Bodies in

Trypanosomes. Molecular Biology of the Cell 14:1769–1779.

8.   Englund PT. 2014. A passion for parasites. The Journal of biological chemistry 289:33712–29.

9.   He P, Katan AJ, Tubiana L, Dekker C, Michieletto D. 2023. Single-Molecule Structure and Topology

of Kinetoplast DNA Networks. Phys Rev X 13:021010.

10.   Schneider A. 2001. Unique aspects of mitochondrial biogenesis in trypanosomatids. International

Journal for Parasitology 31:1403–1415.

11.   Aphasizheva I, Alfonzo J, Carnes J, Cestari I, Cruz-Reyes J, Göringer HU, Hajduk S, Lukeš J, Madison-

Antenucci S, Maslov DA, McDermott SM, Ochsenreiter T, Read LK, Salavati R, Schnaufer A,

Schneider A, Simpson L, Stuart K, Yurchenko V, Zhou ZH, Zíková A, Zhang L, Zimmer S, Aphasizhev

R. 2020. Lexis and Grammar of Mitochondrial RNA Processing in Trypanosomes. Trends in

Parasitology 36:337–355.

12.   Cooper S, Wadsworth ES, Ochsenreiter T, Ivens A, Savill NJ, Schnaufer A. 2019. Assembly and

annotation of the mitochondrial minicircle genome of a differentiation-competent strain of

Trypanosoma brucei. Nucleic acids research 47:11304–11325.

13.   Gould MK, Schnaufer A. 2014. Independence from Kinetoplast DNA Maintenance and Expression Is

Associated with Multidrug Resistance in Trypanosoma brucei In Vitro. Antimicrobial Agents and

Chemotherapy 58:2925–2928.

14.   Roy Chowdhury A, Bakshi R, Wang J, Yildirir G, Liu B, Pappas-Brown V, Tolun G, Griffith JD, Shapiro

T a, Jensen RE, Englund PT. 2010. The killing of African trypanosomes by ethidium bromide. PLoS

Pathogens 6:e1001226.

15.   Schneider A, Ochsenreiter T. 2018. Failure is not an option – mitochondrial genome segregation in

trypanosomes. Journal of Cell Science 131:jcs221820.

16.   Amodeo S, Bregy I, Ochsenreiter T. 2022. Mitochondrial genome maintenance - the kinetoplast

story. FEMS Microbiol Rev fuac047.

17.   Drew ME, Englund PT. 2001. Intramitochondrial Location and Dynamics of Crithidia fasciculata

Kinetoplast Minicircle Replication Intermediates. The Journal of Cell Biology 153:735–744.

18.   Bruhn DF, Mozeleski B, Falkin L, Klingbeil MM. 2010. Mitochondrial DNA polymerase POLIB is

essential for minicircle DNA replication in African trypanosomes. Molecular Microbiology 75:1414–

25.

19.   Milman N, Motyka SA, Englund PT, Robinson D, Shlomai J. 2007. Mitochondrial origin-binding

protein UMSBP mediates DNA replication and segregation in trypanosomes. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 104:19250–5.

20.   Wang Z, Englund PT. 2001. RNA interference of a trypanosome topoisomerase II causes

progressive loss of mitochondrial DNA. The EMBO journal 20:4674–83.

21.   Amodeo S, Jakob M, Ochsenreiter T. 2018. Characterization of the novel mitochondrial genome

replication factor MiRF172 in Trypanosoma brucei. Journal of cell science 131:pii: jcs211730.

22.   Hines JC, Ray DS. 2011. A second mitochondrial DNA primase is essential for cell growth and

kinetoplast minicircle DNA replication in Trypanosoma brucei. Eukaryotic Cell 10:445–54.

23.   Liu B, Wang J, Yaffe N, Lindsay ME, Zhao Z, Zick A, Shlomai J, Englund PT. 2009. Trypanosomes have

six mitochondrial DNA helicases with one controlling kinetoplast maxicircle replication. Molecular

Cell 35:490–501.

24.   Liu B, Wang J, Yildirir G, Englund PT. 2009. TbPIF5 is a Trypanosoma brucei mitochondrial DNA

helicase involved in processing of minicircle Okazaki fragments. PLoSPathog 5:e1000589.

25.   Saxowsky TT, Choudhary G, Klingbeil MM, Englund PT. 2003. Trypanosoma brucei Has Two Distinct

Mitochondrial DNA Polymerase β Enzymes. Journal of Biological Chemistry 278:49095–49101.

26.   Downey N, Hines JC, Sinha KM, Ray DS. 2005. Mitochondrial DNA ligases of Trypanosoma brucei.

657   Eukaryot Cell 4:765–774.

658   27.   Amodeo S, Bregy I, Ochsenreiter T. 2023. Mitochondrial genome maintenance-the kinetoplast

659   story. FEMS Microbiol Rev 47:fuac047.

660   28.   Carpenter LR, Englund PT. 1995. Kinetoplast maxicircle DNA replication in Crithidia fasciculata and

661   Trypanosoma brucei. Molecular and Cellular Biology 15:6794–6803.

662   29.   Klingbeil MM, Motyka SA, Englund PT. 2002. Multiple mitochondrial DNA polymerases in

663   Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Cell 10:175–186.

664   30.   Chandler J, Vandoros AV, Mozeleski B, Klingbeil MM. 2008. Stem-loop silencing reveals that a third

665   mitochondrial DNA polymerase, POLID, is required for kinetoplast DNA replication in

666   trypanosomes. Eukaryot Cell 7:2141–2146.

667   31.   Aeschlimann S, Kalichava A, Schimanski B, Berger BM, Jetishi C, Stettler P, Ochsenreiter T,

668   Schneider A. 2022. Single p197 molecules of the mitochondrial genome segregation system of

669   Trypanosoma brucei determine the distance between basal body and outer membrane.

670   Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 119:e2204294119.

671   32.   Jetishi C, Aeschlimann S, Schimanski B, Käser S, Mullner R, Oeljeklaus S, Akiyoshi B, Warscheid B,

672   Butter F, Schneider A, Ochsenreiter T. 2025. Connecting basal body and mitochondrial DNA: TAC53

673   and the tubular organization of the tripartite attachment complex. PLoS Pathog 21:e1013521.

674   33.   Schimanski B, Aeschlimann S, Stettler P, Käser S, Gomez-Fabra Gala M, Bender J, Warscheid B,

675   Vögtle F-N, Schneider A. 2022. p166 links membrane and intramitochondrial modules of the

676   trypanosomal tripartite attachment complex. PLOS Pathogens 18:e1010207.

677   34.   Baudouin HCM, Pfeiffer L, Ochsenreiter T. 2020. A comparison of three approaches for the

678   discovery of novel tripartite attachment complex proteins in Trypanosoma brucei. PLOS Neglected

679   Tropical Diseases 14:e0008568.

680   35.   Amodeo S, Bregy I, Hoffmann A, Fradera-Sola A, Kern M, Baudouin H, Zuber B, Butter F,

681   Ochsenreiter T. 2023. Characterization of two novel proteins involved in mitochondrial DNA

682   anchoring in Trypanosoma brucei. PLoS Pathog 19:e1011486.

683   36.   Pyrih J, Hammond M, Alves A, Dean S, Sunter JD, Wheeler RJ, Gull K, Lukeš J. 2023. Comprehensive

684   sub-mitochondrial protein map of the parasitic protist Trypanosoma brucei defines critical features

685   of organellar biology. Cell Rep 42:113083.

686   37.   Horn D. 2022. Genome-scale RNAi screens in African trypanosomes. Trends in parasitology

687   38:160–173.

688   38.   Dean S, Gould MK, Dewar CE, Schnaufer AC. 2013. Single point mutations in ATP synthase

689   compensate for mitochondrial genome loss in trypanosomes. Proceedings of the National

690   Academy of Sciences 110:14741–14746.

691   39.   Morris JC, Wang Z, Drew ME, Englund PT. 2002. Glycolysis modulates trypanosome glycoprotein

692   expression as revealed by an RNAi library. The EMBO Journal 21:4429–4438.

693   40.   Alsford S, Turner DJ, Obado SO, Sanchez-Flores A, Glover L, Berriman M, Hertz-Fowler C, Horn D.

694   2011. High-throughput phenotyping using parallel sequencing of RNA interference targets in the

695   African trypanosome. Genome research 21:915–24.

696   41.   Glover L, Alsford S, Baker N, Turner DJ, Sanchez-Flores A, Hutchinson S, Hertz-Fowler C, Berriman

697   M, Horn D. 2015. Genome-scale RNAi screens for high-throughput phenotyping in bloodstream-

698   form African trypanosomes. Nature protocols 10:106–33.

699   42.   Wang Z, Drew ME, Morris JC, Englund PT. 2002. Asymmetrical division of the kinetoplast DNA

700   network of the trypanosome. The EMBO journal 21:4998–5005.

701   43.   Ashley N, Harris D, Poulton J. 2005. Detection of mitochondrial DNA depletion in living human cells

702   using PicoGreen staining. Experimental cell research 303:432–46.

703   44.   Amodeo S, Kalichava A, Fradera-Sola A, Bertiaux-Lequoy E, Guichard P, Butter F, Ochsenreiter T.

704   2021. Characterization of the novel mitochondrial genome segregation factor TAP110 in

705   Trypanosoma brucei. Journal of cell science 134:jcs.254300.

706   45.   Alvarez-Jarreta J, Amos B, Aurrecoechea C, Bah S, Barba M, Barreto A, Basenko EY, Belnap R,

707   Blevins A, Böhme U, Brestelli J, Brown S, Callan D, Campbell LI, Christophides GK, Crouch K,

708   Davison HR, DeBarry JD, Demko R, Doherty R, Duan Y, Dundore W, Dyer S, Falke D, Fischer S, Gajria

709   B, Galdi D, Giraldo-Calderón GI, Harb OS, Harper E, Helb D, Howington C, Hu S, Humphrey J, Iodice

710   J, Jones A, Judkins J, Kelly SA, Kissinger JC, Kittur N, Kwon DK, Lamoureux K, Li W, Lodha D,

711   MacCallum RM, Maslen G, McDowell MA, Myers J, Nural MV, Roos DS, Rund SSC,

712   Shanmugasundram A, Sitnik V, Spruill D, Starns D, Tomko SS, Wang H, Warrenfeltz S, Wieck R,

713   Wilkinson PA, Zheng J. 2024. VEuPathDB: the eukaryotic pathogen, vector and host bioinformatics

714   resource center in 2023. Nucleic Acids Res 52:D808–D816.

715   46.   Billington K, Halliday C, Madden R, Dyer P, Barker AR, Moreira-Leite FF, Carrington M, Vaughan S,

716   Hertz-Fowler C, Dean S, Sunter JD, Wheeler RJ, Gull K. 2023. Genome-wide subcellular protein map

717   for the flagellate parasite Trypanosoma brucei. Nat Microbiol 8:533–547.

47. Zíková A, Verner Z, Nenarokova A, Michels PAM, Lukeš J. 2017. A paradigm shift: The

mitoproteomes of procyclic and bloodstream Trypanosoma brucei are comparably complex. PLoS

pathogens 13:e1006679.

48. Panigrahi AK, Ogata Y, Zíková A, Anupama A, Dalley RA, Acestor N, Myler PJ, Stuart KD. 2009. A

comprehensive analysis of Trypanosoma brucei mitochondrial proteome. Proteomics 9:434–50.

49. Peikert CD, Mani J, Morgenstern M, Käser S, Knapp B, Wenger C, Harsman A, Oeljeklaus S,

Schneider A, Warscheid B. 2017. Charting organellar importomes by quantitative mass

spectrometry. Nature Communications 8:15272.

50. Käser S, Willemin M, Schnarwiler F, Schimanski B, Poveda-Huertes D, Oeljeklaus S, Haenni B, Zuber

B, Warscheid B, Meisinger C, Schneider A. 2017. Biogenesis of the mitochondrial DNA inheritance

machinery in the mitochondrial outer membrane of Trypanosoma brucei. PLOS Pathogens

13:e1006808.

51. Käser S, Oeljeklaus S, Týč J, Vaughan S, Warscheid B, Schneider A. 2016. Outer membrane protein

functions as integrator of protein import and DNA inheritance in mitochondria. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 113:E4467-75.

52. Zhao Z, Lindsay ME, Roy Chowdhury A, Robinson DR, Englund PT. 2008. p166, a link between the

trypanosome mitochondrial DNA and flagellum, mediates genome segregation. The EMBO Journal

27:143–54.

53. Trikin R, Doiron N, Hoffmann A, Haenni B, Jakob M, Schnaufer A, Schimanski B, Zuber B,

Ochsenreiter T. 2016. TAC102 Is a Novel Component of the Mitochondrial Genome Segregation

Machinery in Trypanosomes. PLOS Pathogens 12:e1005586.

54.   Pyrih J, Rašková V, Škodová-Sveráková I, Pánek T, Lukeš J. 2020. ZapE/Afg1 interacts with Oxa1 and

its depletion causes a multifaceted phenotype. PLOS ONE 15:e0234918.

55.   Nam Y, Na J, Ma S-X, Park H, Park H, Lee E, Kim H, Jang S-M, Ko HS, Kim S. 2024. DJ-1 protects cell

death from a mitochondrial oxidative stress due to GBA1 deficiency. Genes Genomics

https://doi.org/10.1007/s13258-024-01506-w.

56.   Benz C, Urbaniak MD. 2019. Organising the cell cycle in the absence of transcriptional control:

Dynamic phosphorylation co-ordinates the Trypanosoma brucei cell cycle post-transcriptionally.

PLoS Pathog 15:e1008129.

57.   Müller M, Papadopoulou B. 2010. Stage-specific expression of the glycine cleavage complex

subunits in Leishmania infantum. Mol Biochem Parasitol 170:17–27.

58.   Sykes SE, Hajduk SL. 2013. Dual functions of α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase E2 in the Krebs cycle

and mitochondrial DNA inheritance in Trypanosoma brucei. Eukaryotic Cell 12:78–90.

59.   Gauba E, Chen H, Guo L, Du H. 2019. Cyclophilin D deficiency attenuates mitochondrial F1Fo ATP

synthase dysfunction via OSCP in Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Dis 121:138–147.

60.   Jackson AP, Allison HC, Barry JD, Field MC, Hertz-Fowler C, Berriman M. 2013. A cell-surface

phylome for African trypanosomes. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 7:e2121.

61.   Tonini ML, Peña-Diaz P, Haindrich AC, Basu S, Kriegová E, Pierik AJ, Lill R, MacNeill SA, Smith TK,

Lukeš J. 2018. Branched late-steps of the cytosolic iron-sulphur cluster assembly machinery of

Trypanosoma brucei. PLoS Pathog 14:e1007326.

62.   Blum M, Andreeva A, Florentino LC, Chuguransky SR, Grego T, Hobbs E, Pinto BL, Orr A, Paysan-

Lafosse T, Ponamareva I, Salazar GA, Bordin N, Bork P, Bridge A, Colwell L, Gough J, Haft DH,

Letunic I, Llinares-López F, Marchler-Bauer A, Meng-Papaxanthos L, Mi H, Natale DA, Orengo CA,

Pandurangan AP, Piovesan D, Rivoire C, Sigrist CJA, Thanki N, Thibaud-Nissen F, Thomas PD,

Tosatto SCE, Wu CH, Bateman A. 2025. InterPro: the protein sequence classification resource in

2025. Nucleic Acids Res 53:D444–D456.

63. Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, Tunyasuvunakool K, Bates R,

Žídek A, Potapenko A, Bridgland A, Meyer C, Kohl SAA, Ballard AJ, Cowie A, Romera-Paredes B,

Nikolov S, Jain R, Adler J, Back T, Petersen S, Reiman D, Clancy E, Zielinski M, Steinegger M,

Pacholska M, Berghammer T, Bodenstein S, Silver D, Vinyals O, Senior AW, Kavukcuoglu K, Kohli P,

Hassabis D. 2021. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature 596:583–

589.

64. Shanmugasundram A, Starns D, Böhme U, Amos B, Wilkinson PA, Harb OS, Warrenfeltz S, Kissinger

JC, McDowell MA, Roos DS, Crouch K, Jones AR. 2023. TriTrypDB: An integrated functional

genomics resource for kinetoplastida. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 17:e0011058.

65. Gluenz E, Shaw MK, Gull K. 2007. Structural asymmetry and discrete nucleic acid subdomains in

the Trypanosoma brucei kinetoplast. Molecular microbiology 64:1529–39.

66. Cadena LR, Svobodová M, Benz C, Rašková V, Chmelová Ľ, Škodová-Sveráková I, Yurchenko V,

Lukeš J, Hammond M, Durante IM. 2024. Characterization of novel and essential kinetoplast-

associated proteins in *Trypanosoma brucei*. Cell Biology

https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.22.590512.

67. Timms MW, van Deursen FJ, Hendriks EF, Matthews KR. 2002. Mitochondrial development during

life cycle differentiation of African trypanosomes: evidence for a kinetoplast-dependent

differentiation control point. Molecular Biology of the Cell 13:3747–59.

68.   Bruhn DF, Sammartino MP, Klingbeil MM. 2011. Three mitochondrial DNA polymerases are

essential for kinetoplast DNA replication and survival of bloodstream form Trypanosoma brucei.

Eukaryotic Cell 10:734–43.

69.   Drozdz M, Quijada L, Clayton CE. 2002. RNA interference in trypanosomes transfected with sense

and antisense plasmids. Mol Biochem Parasitol 121:149–152.

70.   Liu Y, Englund PT. 2007. The rotational dynamics of kinetoplast DNA replication. Mol Microbiol

64:676–690.

71.   Wang J, Englund PT, Jensen RE. 2012. TbPIF8, a Trypanosoma brucei protein related to the yeast

Pif1 helicase, is essential for cell viability and mitochondrial genome maintenance. Mol Microbiol

83:471–485.

72.   Gluenz E, Povelones ML, Englund PT, Gull K. 2011. The kinetoplast duplication cycle in

Trypanosoma brucei is orchestrated by cytoskeleton-mediated cell morphogenesis. Molecular and

cellular biology 31:1012–21.

73.   Peña-Diaz P, Vancová M, Resl C, Field MC, Lukeš J. 2017. A leucine aminopeptidase is involved in

kinetoplast DNA segregation in Trypanosoma brucei. PLoS pathogens 13:e1006310.

74.   Cadena LR, Hammond M, Tesařová M, Chmelová Ľ, Svobodová M, Durante IM, Yurchenko V, Lukeš

J. 2024. A novel nabelschnur protein regulates segregation of the kinetoplast DNA in Trypanosoma

brucei. Curr Biol 34:4803–4812.

75.   Concepción-Acevedo J, Luo J, Klingbeil MM. 2012. Dynamic Localization of Trypanosoma brucei

Mitochondrial DNA Polymerase ID. Eukaryotic Cell 11:844–855.

76.   Concepción-Acevedo J, Miller JC, Boucher MJ, Klingbeil MM. 2018. Cell cycle localization dynamics

803   of mitochondrial DNA polymerase IC in African trypanosomes. Molecular Biology of the Cell

804   29:2540–2552.

805   77.   Sinha KM, Hines JC, Ray DS. 2006. Cell cycle-dependent localization and properties of a second

806   mitochondrial DNA ligase in Crithidia fasciculata. Eukaryot Cell 5:54–61.

807   78.   Holehouse AS, Kragelund BB. 2024. The molecular basis for cellular function of intrinsically

808   disordered protein regions. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 25:187–211.

809   79.   Lukes J, Guilbride DL, Votýpka J, Zíková A, Benne R, Englund PT. 2002. Kinetoplast DNA network:

810   evolution of an improbable structure. Eukaryotic cell 1:495–502.

811   80.   Blom D, De Haan A, Van den Burg J, van den Berg M, Sloof P, Jirku M, Lukes J, Benne R. 2000.

812   Mitochondrial minicircles in the free-living bodonid Bodo saltans contain two gRNA gene cassettes

813   and are not found in large networks. RNA 6:121–135.

814   81.   Borst P. 1991. Why kinetoplast DNA networks? Trends in genetics : TIG 7:139–41.

815   82.   Kostygov AY, Albanaz ATS, Butenko A, Gerasimov ES, Lukeš J, Yurchenko V. 2023. Phylogenetic

816   framework to explore trait evolution in Trypanosomatidae. Trends Parasitol 40:96–99.

817   83.   Gaziová I, Lukes J. 2003. Mitochondrial and nuclear localization of topoisomerase II in the flagellate

818   Bodo saltans (Kinetoplastida), a species with non-catenated kinetoplast DNA. J Biol Chem

819   278:10900–10907.

820   84.   Merkwirth C, Langer T. 2009. Prohibitin function within mitochondria: Essential roles for cell

821   proliferation and cristae morphogenesis. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell

822   Research 1793:27–32.

85.   Hirumi H, Hirumi K. 1989. Continuous cultivation of Trypanosoma brucei blood stream forms in a

medium containing a low concentration of serum protein without feeder cell layers. The Journal of

parasitology 75:985–9.

86.   Dewar CE, MacGregor P, Cooper S, Gould MK, Matthews KR, Savill NJ, Schnaufer A. 2018.

Mitochondrial DNA is critical for longevity and metabolism of transmission stage Trypanosoma

brucei. PLoS pathogens 14:e1007195.

87.   Creek DJ, Nijagal B, Kim D-H, Rojas F, Matthews KR, Barrett MP. 2013. Metabolomics guides

rational development of a simplified cell culture medium for drug screening against Trypanosoma

brucei. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 57:2768–79.

88.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature Methods

9:357–359.

89.   Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R. 2009.

The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25:2078–2079.

90.   Quinlan AR. 2014. BEDTools: The Swiss-Army Tool for Genome Feature Analysis. Curr Protoc

Bioinformatics 47:11.12.1-34.

91.   Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential

expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26:139–140.

92.   Inoue M, Nakamura Y, Yasuda K, Yasaka N, Hara T, Schnaufer A, Stuart K, Fukuma T. 2005. The 14-

3-3 Proteins of Trypanosoma brucei Function in Motility, Cytokinesis, and Cell Cycle. Journal of

Biological Chemistry 280:14085–14096.

93.   Burkard G, Fragoso CM, Roditi I. 2007. Highly efficient stable transformation of bloodstream forms

of Trypanosoma brucei. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology 153:220–223.

94.   Wirtz E, Leal S, Ochatt C, Cross GeorgeAM. 1999. A tightly regulated inducible expression system

for conditional gene knock-outs and dominant-negative genetics in Trypanosoma brucei.

Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology 99:89–101.

95.   Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time

quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 25:402–408.

96.   Brenndörfer M, Boshart M. 2010. Selection of reference genes for mRNA quantification in

Trypanosoma brucei. Molecular and biochemical parasitology 172:52–5.

97.   Arena ET, Rueden CT, Hiner MC, Wang S, Yuan M, Eliceiri KW. 2017. Quantitating the cell: turning

images into numbers with ImageJ. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Developmental Biology 6:e260.

98.   Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput.

Nucleic Acids Res 32:1792–1797.

99.   Waterhouse AM, Procter JB, Martin DMA, Clamp M, Barton GJ. 2009. Jalview Version 2--a multiple

sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. Bioinformatics 25:1189–1191.

100. Livingstone CD, Barton GJ. 1993. Protein sequence alignments: a strategy for the hierarchical

analysis of residue conservation. Comput Appl Biosci 9:745–756.

Figures

Fig 1 Identification of putative kDNA maintenance factors by genome wide RNAi screening. (**A, B**)

Volcano plots showing the enrichment of genes in 'Day 5 +tet kDNA^0' compared to 'Day 0' (A), and 'Day 5

-tet kDNA^0' (**B**). Significant enrichment is indicated for genes with a log$_2$ fold change (log$_2$FC) greater than

1.5 and an adjusted p-value of less than 0.05, shown in red. Each dot represents a gene; green dots

represent non-significant (NS) genes with a log$_2$FC less than 1.5 and/or an adjusted p-value equal to or

greater than 0.05. The vertical dashed line represents the log$_2$FC threshold of 1.5, and the horizontal

dashed line indicates the p-value threshold of 0.05. Five biological replicates were used for all samples (n

= 5). (**C**) Venn diagram representing the intersection of genes identified in both comparisons.

Fig 2 Visualization of primary set of 132 candidate genes implicated in kDNA maintenance, as identified

through RNAi library screening. The visualized data include metadata on protein localization from

TrypTag.org (36, 46), integration with published mitoproteome analyses (47–49), and previously identified

kDNA maintenance factors (triangles; ref (16) and references therein). Statistically significant changes

(adjusted *p*-value < 0.05) are indicated by larger circles. For clarity and reference, TriTrypDB gene

identifiers are indicated for the top 20 ranked candidates based on the false discovery rate (FDR) in the y-

axis comparison, detailed in Table 1 and Table S1.

Fig 3 Phenotypic analysis of *Tb*927.8.4240 ablation in bloodstream form *T. brucei*. (**A**) Growth phenotype

upon *Tb*927.8.4240 RNAi knockdown in WT cells (two independent RNAi clones (grey and red) is

rescued in kDNA-independent cells (introduction of γL262P mutation; green). (**B**) Levels of mRNA

measured by quantitative RT-PCR, at day 3 post induction (n = 3). (**C**) Quantification of relative

occurrence of kDNA (K) and nuclei (N) in DAPI stained cells from induced (+tet) and non-induced (-tet)

RNAi cell line 1 populations at day 5 post induction (n>100 cells for each triplicate; unpaired *t*-test

P<0.05*, <0.01**, <0.001***). (**D**) The relative amount of kDNA to nucleus area in 1K1N RNAi cell line 1 at

5 days post-induction (Mann-Whitney test; P<0.0001****). (**E**) *Tb*927.8.4240 RNAi knockdown in WT cells

or γL262P cells has only a minor effect on TAC102, as assessed with a TAC-specific antibody and

epifluorescence microscopy (see Fig 4A; n>100 cells for each sample; paired *t*-test P<0.05).

Fig 4 *Tb*927.8.4240 localization in procyclic *T. brucei* throughout the cell cycle assessed using standard

epifluorescence microscopy. From left to right: *Tb*927.8.4240-HA tagged signal (magenta, anti-HA);

nuclear and kDNA (cyan, DAPI); merge of anti-HA and DAPI; TAC102 (red, anti-TAC102); merge with

phase contrast image. Scale bar 5 µm.

Fig 5 *Tb*927.8.4240 localization in procyclic *T. brucei* throughout the cell cycle, determined with

Ultrastructure Expansion Microscopy (U-ExM). (**A**) *Tb*927.8.4240 localization pre replication (images i-iii),

in replicating networks (images iv-vii), and in segregating networks (image viii), from both lateral (image i)

and axial views (images ii-viii). *Tb*927.8.4240 is shown in yellow, kDNA in cyan, tubulin in grey; pBB, pro-

basal body; mBB, mature basal body, nmBB, new mature basal body. Scale bar: 1 µm. (**B**) U-ExM image

of *Tb*927.8.4240 (yellow) co-stained with TAC102 (magenta); kDNA is shown in cyan. Scale bar: 1 µm.

(**C**) Quantitation of Tb927.8.4240 localization during the cell cycle as determined by U-ExM. Configuration

$1K_{div}1N$ represents cells with replicating kDNA, as in images iv-vii in panel A. A total of 144 cells were

analyzed.

Fig 6 Model for dynamic localization of *Tb*927.8.4240 during kDNA replication. The model is based on the

U-ExM studies shown in **Fig. 5**. Blue, kDNA; yellow, *Tb*927.8.4240 protein. Note that the respective size

of the cell cycle stages in the diagram is drawn simply for convenience and not proportional to their actual

duration. The three distinct states of localization are ring-like (a, e), antipodal (b, c) and shifted (d), in

accordance with **Fig. 5**.

Tables

Rank	TriTrypDB ID	TriTrypDB Annotation	kDNA loss confirmed after knockdown	kDNA association confirmed	mitochondrial localisation confirmed	Reference(s) (PMID)
1	*Tb927.7.1400*	Tripartite Attachment Complex Protein 60	yes	yes	yes	29287109
2	*Tb927.1.4010*	primase 2	yes	yes	yes	21257796
3	*Tb927.10.13220*	cyclophilin type peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase, putative				23556014
4	*Tb927.5.830*	Tripartite attachment complex protein 65	yes	yes	yes	27436903
5	*Tb927.11.4690*	mitochondrial DNA polymerase I protein B	yes	yes	yes	12150917
6	*Tb927.11.4700*	prostaglandin f synthase				
7	*Tb927.8.4240*	hypothetical protein, conserved		yes	yes	36804636, 32579605
8	*Tb927.6.2200*	DJ-1 family protein, putative			yes	37669165
9	*Tb927.8.3860*	cytosolic iron-sulfur protein assembly 1				30346997
10	*Tb927.6.2190*	hypothetical protein, conserved			yes	37669165, 28485388
11	*Tb927.11.3290*	Tripartite attachment complex protein 166 (p166)	yes	yes	yes	18059470, 35709300
12	*Tb927.9.16940*	variant surface glycoprotein (VSG, pseudogene), putative				
13	*Tb927.11.16040*	prefoldin subunit, putative				
14	*Tb11.v5.0467*	hypothetical protein, conserved				
15	*Tb927.9.610*	hypothetical protein (pseudogene)				
16	*Tb927.7.2390*	Tripartite attachment complex protein 102	yes	yes	yes	27168148
17	*Tb927.10.11290*	hypothetical protein, conserved				
18	*Tb927.7.1910*	pyridoxal phosphate containing glycine decarboxylase, putative			yes	37669165
19	*Tb927.2.4870*	kinetoplastid-specific dual specificity phosphatase, putative				
20	*Tb927.6.1290*	hypothetical protein, conserved				

924 **TABLE 1** Top 20 candidates from the list of 132 primary candidates, ranked according to lowest false

925 discovery rate (FDR) in the 'Day 5 +tet kDNA^0' vs. 'Day 5 -tet kDNA^0' comparison (Table S1).

 Supplemental Figures

Fig S1 Screening approach. (**A**) Overview of the kDNA RIT-seq experimental design to find genes that are important for kDNA maintenance. (i, ii) A whole genome RNAi library (Alsford et al., Genome Research 2011) was transfected into kDNA-independent *T. brucei*. (iii) Upon RNAi library induction (+tet), fragments corresponding to genes essential for kDNA maintenance are expected to result in the loss of kDNA from the cell. Note that induction of some RNAi fragments will result in a growth defect and depletion of the cells from the population, but in the kDNA-independent background this should not be the case for genes of interest. (iv) To identify these genes, 5 days after induction, live cells are sorted by FACS after staining of DNA with two dyes, dihydro-ethidium (DHE) and dsFLUOR. These dyes differ in their affinities for kDNA vs. nuclear DNA, and measuring fluorescence in the Alexa Fluor 488 and Cy5-5 channels separates $kDNA^+$ and $kDNA^0$ populations. The representative image shows the population before sorting for one of the induced replicates. Isolated $kDNA^0$ cells are then scaled up by growing them for 80 hours in culture media before extraction of total DNA. (v) RNAi fragments are PCR-amplified using RNAi cassette–specific primers. (vi) PCR amplicons are then fragmented and Illumina sequenced. After mapping to the reference genome, the number of mapped reads per gene is compared for the following samples: Comparison 1: day 5 +tet, sorted for $kDNA^0$ (n = 5) vs. uninduced day 0 (n = 5), unsorted. Comparison 2: day 5 +tet, sorted for $kDNA^0$ vs. day 5 -tet, sorted for $kDNA^0$ (n = 5). (**B**) Establishing the FACS protocol for differential staining of $kDNA^+$ and $kDNA^0$ cells. Images show the FACS plots from optimized conditions using 1 µl dsFLUOR dye per 4 ml of cell suspension and 10 µg/ml dihydroethidium. From left to right, cell populations are: control ($kDNA^+$) populations of kDNA-independent *T. brucei*, the same cell line after treatment with ethidium bromide to remove kDNA ($kDNA^0$), and a mix of these populations.

Fig S2 Sequence conservation of *Tb*927.8.4240 protein orthologs and paralogs in selected

trypanosomatids. Sequences 1-5: *Tb*927.8.4240 orthologs. Sequences 6-10: Tb927.8.4230

orthologs. 1, 6: *Crithidia fasciculata* (Cf-C1). 2, 7: *L. major* (Friedlin). 3, 8: *T. cruzi* (Brazil A4).

4, 9: *T. b. brucei* (TREU 927). 5, 10: *T. vivax* (Y486). (**A**) Percent identity matrix, generated

using Clustal 2.1. (**B**) Overviews of sequence conservation in *Tb*927.8.4240 orthologs (top

panel), *Tb*927.8.4230 orthologs (middle panel) and *Tb*927.8.4240 orthologs and paralogs

combined. Alignments were generated using Muscle

(https://www.ebi.ac.uk/jdispatcher/msa/muscle) (98) and visualized using Jalview (99). Amino

acids are colored according to the Clustal color scheme in Jalview. Each residue in the alignment

is assigned a color if the amino acid profile of the alignment at that position meets some

minimum criteria specific for the residue type (40% identity threshold). Blue: hydrophobic (A,

C, I, L, M, F, W, V). Red: positive charge (K, R). Magenta: negative charge (E, D). Green: polar

(N, Q, S, T). Pink: cysteines (C). Orange: glycines (G). Yellow: prolines (P). Cyan: aromatic (H,

Y). White: unconserved. A track with bars underneath the individual sequences reflects the

conservation of the physico-chemical properties for each column of the alignment, calculated

based on the AMAS method of multiple sequence alignment analysis (100). The higher the

score, the taller the bar and the lighter the shading (highest scores being reflected by yellow). (**C**)

Detailed sequence alignment of selected Tb927.8.4240 orthologs and paralogs. Sequences and

color codes are as in panels A and B. Red boxes and shading indicate regions of relatively high

conservation.

Fig S3 Quantification of relative occurrence of kDNA (K) and nuclei (N) in DAPI stained cells

from induced (+tet) and non-induced (-tet) Tb927.8.4240 RNAi cells in the kDNA-independent

γL262P background. Data for days 3, 5, 7 and 10 post-induction are shown (n>100 cells for each

triplicate; unpaired t-test P<0.05*, <0.01**, <0.001***).