A long non-coding RNA, *afu-254*, is required for the oxidative stress response, cell wall

stress response, azole susceptibility and virulence in *Aspergillus fumigatus*

Ritu Devkota[1,2,*], Nava Poudyal[1,2], Jazmin Reyes Servin[3], Julianna Lenz[3], Kelly M.

Shepardson[3], and Sourabh Dhingra[1,2,4]

1. Department of Biological Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, SC, 29634

2. Eukaryotic Pathogen Innovation Center, Clemson University, Clemson, SC, 29634

3. Molecular Cell Biology Department, University of California Merced, Merced, CA,

95343

4. Address correspondence to: sdhingr@clemson.edu

[*]Current affiliation – Research Associate, SystImmune, ritu.devkota15@gmail.com

Abstract:

Long non-coding RNAs play an important role in stress response in all forms of life; however, a tight regulation of lncRNAs is required for normal function. Abnormal expression of lncRNA is associated with uncontrolled cell growth in many forms of cancer. Recent studies have highlighted the role of lncRNAs in *Aspergillus fumigatus* in azole stress response and virulence. Here, using a transcriptome dataset of *A. fumigatus* response to stress, we identified *afu-254* as an 854bp lncRNA that plays a role in modulating oxidative stress, fungal ARC response, cell wall stress, macrophage phagocytosis and killing *ex vivo*, and virulence in an invertebrate model of *Aspergillus* infection. Importantly, *afu-254* does not produce cross-azole susceptible response and plays a role in fungal azole response against posaconazole and itraconazole but not voriconazole. Furthermore, we showed that stochiometric levels of *afu-254* are important for its function, and ectopic overexpression of *afu-254* in the WT strain leads to an antimorph. This phenotype is due to a higher ordered structure that is denatured with heat, indicating the presence of a non-functional structure. In conclusion, we characterized a novel lncRNA, *afu-254*, that is important for stress response and virulence in the pathogenic fungus *A. fumigatus*.

Importance:

Failure of azole treatment for invasive *Aspergillus* infection by both drug-resistant and drug-

sensitive isolates is an area of concern and global importance. Fungal stress response is

multifaceted, and long non-coding RNAs have emerged as important players in mediating

it, including regulating responses to azole antifungals. Here, we have identified a long non-

coding RNA, *afu-254*, that plays a role in modulating fungal response to oxidative stress,

cell wall stress, azole stress, immune cell stress and is indispensable for virulence in an

invertebrate model of invasive *Aspergillus* infection.

Introduction:

Aspergillus fumigatus (AF), in the genus *Aspergillus*, is the leading cause of invasive

pulmonary aspergillosis (IPA) (1). It is ubiquitous in nature and mainly affects people with a

compromised immune system. Factors such as prolonged neutropenia, hematologic

malignancy, and prolonged use of corticosteroids have all been linked to the disease;

however, patient populations with mild or no immunosuppression are increasingly

becoming susceptible (2, 3). The azole class of drugs is the primary choice and frontline

treatment for management of IPA that includes voriconazole, posaconazole, itraconazole

and isavuconazole (4). However, the recent rise in azole resistance raised the global alarm,

resulting in the WHO identifying drug-resistant AF as a pathogen of critical concern (5).

Azole drugs work by interacting with and blocking the Cyp51 enzyme, a Sterol 14α-

demethylase enzyme, that is part of ergosterol biosynthesis, the primary sterol in AF

membrane (6). However, binding affinities of azoles to Cyp51 differ on the basis of their

side-chains (7), and mutations in the Cyp51 protein sequence, along with duplication in the

promoter region, are the primary resistance mechanisms (8). Different mutations within

Cyp51 have been found to affect how drug binding occurs. For example, the G54 mutation

in Cyp51 confers resistance to itraconazole and posaconazole but not to voriconazole (9,

10). Structural studies have identified differential binding of the short-tail (voriconazole) vs.

long-tail (posaconazole and itraconazole) azole drugs to mutated Cyp51 as the reason for

the lack of cross-resistance (11). Further, MIC changes for posaconazole and itraconazole

correlate owing to their structure (12, 13). Drug binding to the target enzyme is and has

been defined as a major contributing factor to drug resistance. However, recently, non-

genetic changes including persistence, tolerance and hetero-resistance are also

associated with fungal response to azole drugs (14–16).

Iron and redox homeostasis play an important role in azole drug response (17).

Fungal mitochondria play an important role in regulating both these responses, as

mitochondrial dysfunction mutants are resistant to anti-fungal drugs (18–20). For example,

the mitochondrial iron transport gene, *mrsA*, is essential to maintain iron homeostasis and,

upon deletion, leads to abnormal levels of reactive oxygen species and is essential for

azole response (18). Iron homeostasis, oxidative stress response, and ergosterol

production are all linked to virulence (21). However, whether non-genetic mechanisms

regulate these phenotypes remains not fully understood.

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are becoming increasingly important in regulating

non-genetic stress response mechanisms, including drug responses (22). In AF, we

previously showed that an lncRNA, *afu-182*, plays a role in fungal response to azole drugs

without a change in its minimum inhibitory concentration (16), where others have also

shown a role of ncRNA in drug resistance (23). To test the roles of lncRNAs in iron and

oxidative stress response, we analyzed the publicly available dataset (17, 24) and identified

that lncRNA *afu-254* was downregulated under oxidative and iron stress individually, and

~90% downregulated in the presence of both stresses. Thus, we hypothesized that *afu-254*

is important for fungal response to oxidative stress, iron stress and azole response. *afu-254*

has previously been identified via a cDNA approach, and is annotated as a 171bp RNA (24,

25), below the threshold (of 200bp) to be classified as lncRNA (22).

Here, using rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE), we show that *afu-254* is an

854bp RNA with a 60 bp intron that plays a role in oxidative stress response and

differentially regulates azole response to long-tail azoles. In addition, *afu-254* is required

for virulence in an invertebrate model of invasive aspergillosis. Thus, here we characterize

an lncRNA that regulates fungal azole response and does not provide cross-resistance to

azoles. Future research will determine the *afu-254* interactome mediating these

phenotypes.

Results:

***afu-254* is an 854bp-long non-coding RNA:**

To determine the roles of lncRNA in AF stress response, we identified *afu-254* to be

differentially regulated when exposed to oxidative and low iron stress (17). However, *afu-*

254 is currently annotated as 145bp ncRNA (Af293 FungiDB accession no. Afu7g04115)

(24). To determine the role of *afu-254*, we first identified the boundaries of *afu-254*. We

performed 5' Rapid Amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) and 3' RACE (26). We demonstrated

that *afu-254* is a 854 bp lncRNA (sequence-region scf_000008_A_fumigatus_A1163

920,444 – 921 357, crick strand) with a 60bp intron (sequence-region

scf_000008_A_fumigatus_A1163 920,713– 920,772; crick strand) with a Fickett score of

0.39969 and a coding probability of 0.0317573 (27, 28), thus classifying it as a lncRNA

(Figure 1 and Figure S1).

***afu-254* is differentially regulated by oxidative stress and regulates oxidative stress**

response in AF:

To confirm the differential expression of *afu-254*, we determined the RNA levels of *afu-254*

in GMM and GMM + H_2O_2 (3mM) via quantitative RT-PCR. We observed an 85% reduction

(p<0.001) in *afu-254* RNA levels in the presence of oxidative stress (Figure 1B). To determine

the role of *afu-254*, we generated a deletion strain (Δ*afu-254*) and complemented the strain

ectopically. We also over-expressed *afu-254* using the *gpdA* promoter from *A. nidulans* as

previously described (16). To confirm these strains were correct, we used qPCR to

determine the RNA levels of *afu-254* in each strain. We show that there is 4-fold over-

expression in the OE-254 strain and no RNA was detected in the Δ*afu-254* strain (Figure S2).

We also performed a northern blot to confirm the presence of RNA in the complement

strain (Figure S2). To determine the role of *afu-254* in regulating fungal response to oxidative

stress, we inoculated strains in top agar as previously described (29), punched a hole in the

center upon solidification, and added either 5mM H_2O_2 or 2mM menadione. We incubated

the plates for 36 hours and measured the zone of inhibition. Both Δ*afu-254* (p<0.01) and

OE-254 (p<0.01) showed increased zone of inhibition compared to WT, indicating *afu-254* is

necessary for AF to ameliorate oxidative stress (Figures 1C and 1D). The complement strain

reverted growth back to WT. Importantly, the OE-254 strain, like Δ*afu-254* strain, showed

increased susceptibility to oxidative stress. Using a spot assay, by plating AF conidia in the

presence of 3mM H_2O_2, we obtained similar results, further confirming the phenotype

(Figure S2). Thus, *afu-254* plays an important role in regulating fungal oxidative stress

response.

Overexpression of *afu-254* forms a higher order structure leading to an antimorph:

Δ*afu-254* strain shows significant growth inhibition in the presence of oxidative stress

compared to WT (Figure 1C and 1D). Interestingly, the OE-254 strains also showed severe

growth attenuation. To confirm the presence of *afu-254* in the OE-254 strain and to

determine if the stochiometric levels of *afu-254* are important for its functions, we did a

northern blot to determine the quantity and quality of *afu-254* transcript. We demonstrate

over-expression in the OE-254 mutant; however, we consistently observed the presence of

a band above the expected band of OE-254 (Figure 2A, red arrow). To confirm that the

higher order structure is not just a technical artifact due to the increased amount of target

RNA present in the solution, we loaded increasing amounts of WT RNA from 10-40µg

(Figure 2A). We did not see the higher-order structure at any level of loaded RNA, indicating

the second higher band is not an artifact. To identify if this second band is a higher order

structure, we heated the samples at 98°C for 15 min and cooled them immediately before

gel loading. As evident in Figure 2B, we saw complete denaturation of the higher molecular

band, and an increase in the weight of the expected monomeric RNA molecule, indicating a

possible higher ordered state with *afu-254* acting as an antimorph when over-expressed,

resulting in the same oxidative stress phenotype as the deletion mutant.

***afu-254* regulates posaconazole and itraconazole response but is dispensable for**

voriconazole response:

We have previously shown that AF lncRNA regulates fungal response to azole drugs (16).

Others have shown that oxidative stress response plays a role in azole response (18, 30).

Thus, we inoculated WT, Δ*afu-254*, Comp-254 and OE-254 strains in the presence or

absence of posaconazole (0.03µg/ml), itraconazole (0.075 µg/ml), and voriconazole

(0.2µg/ml). In the presence of posaconazole, Δ*afu-254* strain is 73% more inhibited

compared to WT (Figure 3B and 3C, $p < 0.0001$, One-Way ANOVA), and the OE-254 strain

failed to grow (Figure 3B and 3C, $p < 0.0001$, One-Way ANOVA). Similarly, we saw a 74%

reduction in the Δ*afu-254* strain in the presence of itraconazole (Figure 3D and 3E,

$p < 0.0001$, One-Way ANOVA) and like posaconazole, the OE-254 strain failed to grow in the

presence of itraconazole (Figure 3D and 3E, $p < 0.0001$, One-Way ANOVA). Importantly, no

change in voriconazole susceptibility was observed (Figure S3A). Additionally, the

minimum inhibitory concentration of azoles did not change as measured by the broth

microdilution method (Figure S3B). To confirm this is not the growth stage specific

response, we grew the strains as liquid shaking cultures without or with 0.03μg/ml

posaconazole and weighed the 24-hour dry biomass. Both Δ*afu-2*54 and OE-254 strains

failed to produce biomass under liquid shaking conditions (Figure S3C), indicating the

azole susceptibility is not growth condition specific.

***afu-254* regulates surface attachment and is important for azole response in surface**

attached cultures: To determine if germination is affecting the azole susceptibility

phenotypes of *afu-254*, we grew the strains in 24-well plates and allowed the biofilms to

develop for 12 hours. After biofilm formation, planktonic cells were washed with PBS and

GMM without or with 0.2μg/ml of posaconazole was added to the wells for 8 hours. The

wells are washed and stained with crystal violet to determine the attached biomass. The

OE-254 strain showed a 63% decrease in surface attachment after 20 hours in the absence

of azoles (Figure 3F, p<0.0001). Upon treatment with posaconazole, we normalized the

biofilms to untreated controls and observed a 25% reduction in Δ*afu-254* biofilms (Figure

3G, p<0.01, One-way ANOVA) and 78% reduction in OE-254 biofilms (Figure 3G, p<0.0001,

One-Way ANOVA) compared to WT. Thus, *afu-254* plays a role in surface attachment and

azole response of surface-attached cultures.

***afu-254* regulates cell wall stress response:** Hydrogen peroxide-mediated oxidative

stress pathway leads to changes in the cell wall integrity pathway (31). Thus, to determine if

afu-254 plays a role in fungal response to cell wall stress, we incubated the strains in the

absence and presence of Congo red, an anionic azo dye that affects cell wall rigidity (32).

Δ*afu-254* showed 54% reduction in growth ratio (Figures 4A and 4B, p<0.0001, One-Way

ANOVA); whereas OE-254 strain showed 40% reduction in growth ratio (Figures 4A and 4B,

p<0.0001, One-Way ANOVA) compared to WT strains. We did not see a difference when

strains were incubated with the echinocandin drug, Caspofungin, indicating a more

regulated cell wall stress response (Figure 4C).

OE *afu-254* regulates macrophage uptake and killing *ex vivo*: Reactive oxygen species

are detrimental to AF conidia and are a major weapon used by innate immune cells,

including macrophages, to kill AF conidia (33, 34). As *afu-254* plays a role in oxidative stress

response, we tested the ability of immortalized bone-marrow derived macrophages

(BMDMs) to engulf and/or kill conidia using an *ex vivo* adapted FLARE assay and CFU

plating assay, respectively (35). For uptake and killing, we incubated conidia from each

strain with BMDMs (MOI 5:1) for 3 or 5 hours, respectively. Interestingly, we observe a

decrease in uptake of Δ*afu-254* conidia compared to WT but not OE-254 conidia (Figure 4B,

p<0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test). We also observe a significant increase in OE-254 conidia

killing (Figure 5C, p<0.0001, Kruskal-Wallis test). The *ex vivo* killing of Δ*afu-254* trends

higher than WT but is not statistically significant (Figure 5C, p=0.3616, Kruskal-Wallis test).

***afu-254* regulates fungal virulence in the *Galleria mellonella* model of infection:**

The ability to deal with oxidative stress and differences in cell wall stress response has

been associated with virulence (36, 37). Thus, we determined the virulence of AF strains in

the *G. mellonella* infection model (38). Worms infected with Δ*afu-254* had a median

survival time of 82 hours compared to 45.5 hours for WT (Figure 5D, p<0.0001, log rank

test). Fifty percent of larvae survived in the Δ*afu-254* group compared to 5% survival in the

WT group (p< 0.001, Figure 5D). On the other hand, 70% larvae infected with the OE-254

strain survived compared to WT (Figure 5D, median survival time – NA, p<0.0001, log-rank

test). The experiment was repeated three times with similar results. Thus, *afu-254* is

indispensable for fungal virulence.

Discussion:

Long non-coding RNAs play an important role in stress response in all forms of life, and recent findings have shown their importance in azole response in AF (16, 23). However, whether lncRNAs play a role in modulating other stresses is not entirely known. To understand the role of lncRNAs in pathogenesis related stress response, we analyzed a previously published transcriptome dataset in the absence or presence of oxidative stress, low-iron stress, and a combination of both stresses (17). Based on the previous transcriptomics results and our qPCR data, we determined that non-coding RNA *afu-254* is highly expressed RNA and shows an 85% reduction in the presence of oxidative stress mediated by H_2O_2 (Figure 1B). However, *afu-254* was predicted to be a 171bp ncRNA, failing to meet the threshold of 200bp to be classified as lncRNA (24, 25). Previously, the ncRNAs in AF were determined by a cDNA approach, and more recently, during the course of this study, a genome wide characterization of lncRNAs in AF was reported (23, 25). Importantly, *afu-254* was not characterized as an lncRNA in either of those studies; however, longer RNA transcripts are predicted at the locus (24). We did a 5' and 3' RACE analysis and characterized *afu-254* as an 854bp long ncRNA, and the DNA sequence coding for this ncRNA contains a 60bp intron in the DNA sequence (Figure 1A and S1). Under the conditions tested, we only found one transcript; however, whether splicing variants exist or play a role in stress response or virulence is currently under investigation. Also, 5' RACE is dependent on the ability of reverse transcriptase to amplify full length cDNA (39); and, it is plausible that there is premature elongation termination. However, complementing *afu-254* fragment ectopically reverts the oxidative stress phenotype, cell wall stress phenotype and

virulence back to the WT levels (Figures 3, 4 and 5), indicating functionality. Using species-

neutral computational models, the *afu-254* transcript is not predicted to be a protein-

coding sequence (27) and thus is classified as an lncRNA.

As our identification and confirmation showed *afu-254* to be differentially regulated by

oxidative stress, we grew the strains in the presence and absence of H_2O_2 and menadione,

which produce peroxide and superoxide, respectively. Both Δ*afu-254* and OE-254 strains

showed significant reduction compared to WT (Figures 1C and 1D). Various instances in AF

biology have shown the stochiometric levels of proteins to be important for their biological

function (40); however, this is the first instance where WT levels of an lncRNA are important

for its function in AF. To determine if OE-254 strain has a stable transcript, we did northern

blot analysis and observed the intact band at the expected size. In addition to the expected

band, we also saw a slow-moving band indicating either an *in-vitro* artifact, a multimeric

complex or a higher-order structure that is partially denatured (Figure 2A, red arrow).

LncRNAs assemble into three dimensional secondary structures like stem-loops and

cloverleaf, and structural conservation is important for their functions (41–43). Secondary

structures can coalesce to form higher order structures like pseudoknots, triplexes and G-

quadraplexes (44, 45). lncRNA can also interact with other RNAs, DNAs and proteins to

bind to chromatin to exert its function (46) . To determine if this is in fact a higher ordered

structure, we boiled the RNA at 98°C for 15 min, followed by rapid cooling on an ice bath

before gel loading. We saw an increase in the expected RNA band and a discernible loss of

the slow-moving band, indicating loss of higher ordered structure (Figure 2B); however, the

possibility that it is a DNA/RNA or RNA/RNA hybrid cannot be excluded. Overexpression of

lncRNA has long been associated with cancer development and metastasis (47, 48).

lncRNAs can act as sponges and decoys that can sequester other regulatory partners from

exerting their functions (49). For example, overexpression of lncRNA Xist serves as a

competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) for miR-34a through sponging, leading to

overexpression of the MET oncogene that is involved in various cancers (50). In AF,

overexpression of *afu-254* ectopically acts as an antimorph presumably through the

formation of a non-functional multimeric form or tertiary structure (51). It is not clear if this

effect is due to *afu-254* driven by a constitutively active *gpdA* promoter or over-expression

in general. Further studies will focus on inducible promoters to fine-tune the *afu-254* levels

for structural and functional analysis.

Oxidative stress plays a role in fungal response to azole drugs (17). Previous studies on

lncRNAs have shown their roles in azole response (16, 23). Thus, we grew the strains in the

presence of voriconazole (short-tailed azole), posaconazole and itraconazole (long-tailed

azoles) (11). Surprisingly, we did not see a growth difference in response to voriconazole

(Figure S3A), but both Δ*afu-254* and OE-254 strains were hypersusceptible to both

posaconazole and itraconazole (Figure 3). We have previously shown that lncRNA *afu-182*

regulates pan-azole response (16); however, unlike *afu-182*, *afu-254*'s response is limited to

long-tailed azoles only. Previous studies have shown a strong correlation between

posaconazole and itraconazole susceptibility attributed to their structural similarities (12,

52). Azole cross-resistance in AF is determined by specific mutations in the Cyp51

sequence. For example, G54 and P216 mutations in Cyp51 result in resistance to

posaconazole and itraconazole but not to voriconazole (10, 53). The G448S mutation

provides a high level of resistance to voriconazole, but a low level of resistance to

posaconazole and itraconazole (54), and amino acid variations at M220 leads to pan-azole

resistance (55). Promoter duplication, along with amino-acid substitutions, is also a major

driver of pan-azole resistance (8). However, this is the first instance of a lncRNA regulating

variable response against short-tailed voriconazole and long-tailed azoles, posaconazole

and itraconazole. If this effect is mediated by a direct role in drug-*Cyp51* interaction or by

modulating *cyp51* gene expression is an area of further research. However, we show that

both deletion and overexpression of *afu-254* (Δ*afu-254* and OE-254 strains) play a role in

fungal response to azole drugs.

We also determined the role of *afu-254* in modulating cell-wall stress response. We plated

the strains in the presence of Congo red, an anionic azo dye that binds to chitin and blocks

the interaction between chitin and β1,3 glucans, thereby weakening the cell wall (32). We

saw both Δ*afu-254* and OE-254 strains showing increased susceptibility to Congo red

(Figure 4A), however, no difference was observed in response to Echinocandin drug

Caspofungin that targets 1-3-β-D-glucan synthase enzyme, *fksA* (56, 57). It is possible that

afu-254 modulates the levels of cell wall macromolecules or only affects chitin and not

β1,3,-glucans. However, the cell wall is the primary interaction site for AF with immune

cells, which function via oxidative and non-oxidative mechanisms for fungal conidia killing.

As *afu-254* plays a role in mediating oxidative stress response, we performed an *ex vivo*

uptake and killing assay using BMDMs. Our data show decreased uptake but efficient

killing of Δ*afu-254* conidia, and increased killing of OE-254 conidia by BMDMs (Figure 5).

Even though we do see a similar trend in phenotypes in Δ*afu-254* and OE-254 strains, it is

possible that due to the higher order structure of the OE-254 strains, the antimorph effect

is beyond the phenotypes detected here, and we are currently parsing out these responses.

It is possible that an antimorphic allele in the OE-254 strain affects genomic loci with

similar functions, resulting in a hypersusceptible phenotype compared to the null mutant

(58). As we only observed cell wall stress phenotype in the presence of chitin-interacting

Congo red but not Caspofungin, it is possible that cell wall composition is slightly different

in Δ*afu-254* and OE-254 strains. Cell wall beta-glucan becomes exposed in swollen and

germinating spores and is recognized by Dectin-1 on resident alveolar macrophages (59).

This interaction leads to pro-inflammatory cytokine release (60); whereas chitin recognition

is immunomodulatory (61). Chitin can shift the immune response from a Th1 to Th2 and

can suppress the activity of IL-1 via increased secretion of IL-1Ra (61, 62). In Δ*afu-254* and

OE-254, it is possible that different levels of chitin and β glucans are exposed, leading to

differential immune response.

Thus, to further understand if the *ex vivo* immune response plays a role in virulence, we

used the *Galleria mellonella* model of infection, which has been used as a heterologous

host to study host-pathogen interaction (38). Here, we infected the larvae with the WT,

Δ*afu-254*, Comp-254 and OE-254 strains of AF. We observed significantly reduced mortality

in larvae infected with Δ*afu-254* and OE-254 strains that correlate with the *ex vivo* data

(Figure 5D). It is important to note that catalase-deficient mutants and superoxide

dismutase mutants that detoxify peroxides and superoxide, respectively, do not show

virulence defects in animal models (63, 64); whereas, oxidation resistance protein 1 (OxrA)

leads to virulence attenuation (65). It is well established that AF has a diverse set of

mechanisms to detoxify ROS; however, what genes, if any, are regulated by *afu-254* is not

clear. In the future, we plan to study the whole transcriptome response in Δ*afu-254* and OE-

254 strains compared to the WT strain.

In conclusion, we identified that *afu-254* is a long non-coding RNA that plays a role in

fungal response to oxidative stress, cell wall stress and virulence. Additionally, *afu-254*

modulates fungal azole response to posaconazole and itraconazole but not voriconazole

both in surface and attached cultures. The exact mechanisms of this lack of cross-

resistance phenomenon are not clear, and further investigations, both technical and

mechanistic, are required to study and understand the roles of lncRNAs in regulating fungal

azole response.

Materials and Methods:

Rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE). 5' RACE was done using 5' RACE system for

rapid amplification of cDNA ends from Invitrogen. Briefly, total RNA was isolated from

CEA10 strain as previously described (16). Gene specific primer, SD284, was used for first

strand synthesis. cDNA was tailed with dCTP and was amplified with UAP (from the kit) and

SD366.

3' RACE was done as previously described. Briefly, first strand cDNA was synthesized using

the Superscript II enzyme with primer Qt. *afu-254* was amplified with primers Q_0 and SD78.

The resulting fragments were ligated into the pJET1.2 vector (Thermo Fisher) and were

sequenced.

Strain generation: All strains and primers used in this study are listed in Supplementary

Table 1 and Supplementary Table 2 respectively. CEA17, a uridine/ uracil auxotrophic

derivative of CEA10 was used as host strain for creating the *Δafu-254 strain* used in this

study (66). Briefly, a 1kb region upstream and downstream of the predicted *afu-254*

sequence was amplified using primers SD266 and SD267 and SD218 and SD219. A

fragment encoding the 5'-orotodine decarboxylase gene from *A. parasiticus* was amplified

from pSD38.1 using primers SD1 and SD2. The three resulting fragments were fused via

PCR using primers SD222 and SD437.

To obtain the complement *afu-254* plasmid*,* DNA fragment corresponding to the *afu-254*

transcript was amplified with primers SD580 and SD581 that harbor AscI and Not1

restriction sites respectively. The resulting PCR fragment was digested with AscI and NotI

and was ligated into plasmid BS311 harboring the hygromycin resistance gene that was

previously digested with AscI and NotI (16).

To generate an over-expression strain, *A. nidulans gpdA* promoter just before the TSS was

amplified using primers SD134 and SD103 using *A. nidulans* gDNA as the template(67). The

DNA sequence corresponding to *afu-254* transcript was amplified using SD 468 and SD202

with AF gDNA as the template. These fragments were fused together via fusion PCR using

primers SD136 and SD323 that harbor AscI and NotI sites. The resulting fragment was

ligated into plasmid pSD18.1, which contains the *pyrG* gene as a fungal selection marker,

previously digested with AscI and NotI.

DNA was transformed into the protoplast using polyethylene glycol mediated

transformation as previously described (68).

Quantitative RT PCR: RNA was isolated as described previously (69). For RT-qPCR, 1 μg of

RNA was used for first-strand synthesis using MMLV-reverse transcriptase (Promega) per

the manufacturer's instructions. Second-strand synthesis and quantitative real-time PCR

were performed using iTaq Universal SYBR mix (BioRad) in the CFX Connect Real-Time

System (BIO-RAD). *afu-254* transcript levels were quantified using primers SD 324 and SD

325. Histone H4 was used for normalization, and transcript levels were quantified using

primers SD 375 and SD 376.

Northern Analysis: For northern analysis, indicated amounts of RNA was loaded onto

1.2% agarose – 2.2M formaldehyde gel and blotted on positively charged nylon membrane.

DIG labelled probe were synthesized with primers SD201 and SD188 using PCR DIG probe

synthesis kit per manufacturer's recommendations.

Stress test: To test the role of *afu-254* in oxidative stress, cell membrane stress and cell

wall stress response in AF, serial spore dilutions of indicated strains were prepared and

inoculated on the plate containing indicated stresses along with no stress control for 48

hours at 37°C, 5% CO_2. Colony diameter was measured, and statistical analysis was

performed using GraphPad Prism.

Punch hole assay was performed as previously described (40). Briefly, 10^5 spores per ml in

5ml of top agar were plated on GMM plates. After solidification, a filter pipette tip (200μL)

was used to core out the centre of the plate. 100μl of either 5% H_2O_2 or 2mM Menadione

were added and zone on inhibition was measured.

All experiments were performed in triplicate and were repeated three times.

Broth microdilution assay to determine minimum inhibitory concentration: Spore

suspensions were adjusted to 5 x 10^5 spores per ml and 100μl of spores was added to

100μl of drug in GMM in a 96 well plate (16μg/ml – 0.0156μg/ml). The first well showing no

fungal growth was recorded as MIC.

Biofilm assay: In a 24-well plate, 1 ml of GMM containing 10^5 spores of Af strains was

added. The plates were centrifuged at 250 g for 10 minutes and incubated at 37°C for

twelve hours. The culture supernatant was removed, and wells were washed twice with

PBS and stained with 2 ml of 0.1% crystal violet for 10 min. GMM without or with 0.2μg/ml

of posaconazole was added for 8 hours. Wells were then washed twice using autoclaved

water to remove excess Crystal violet and treated with 1.5 ml of 100% ethanol for 10

minutes. 75 µl of suspension from each well was transferred to the 3 wells of 96 well plate,

and absorbance at 600 nm was read using a MultiSkan Sky High Microplate

Spectrophotometer. To calculate biofilm formation, the background reading was

subtracted from the test reading. For azole treated samples, the absorbance was

normalized to untreated controls.

***Ex vivo* killing Assay:** Immortalized C57BL/6 BMDMs (BEI resources; NR-9546) were used

for the macrophage killing assay. Briefly, BMDMs were plated at 0.5×10^6 cells/well in a 12-

well plate. The next day conidia from the indicated strains, at a ratio of 5:1 (conidia:cells),

were added to the BMDMs, centrifuged at 1000rpm for 2 minutes, and then incubated for 5

hours at 37°C, 5% CO_2. Following incubation, cells were lysed for 2 minutes using ice-cold

water. Serial dilutions of the wells were made in PBS and plated on GMM plates. Plates

were incubated at 37°C for 48 hours and CFUs were counted.

Macrophage uptake assay: BMDMs were plated at 0.5×10^6 cells/well in a 12-well plate.

The next day, conidia biotinylation protocol from (35) was used to label each strain with

AF633. Briefly, conidia from each of the 4 strains were rotated in Biotin-XX, SSE at a final

concentration of 5µg/ml in 50 mM carbonate buffer for 1 hr. Following incubation, unbound

biotin was neutralized using 0.1 M Tris- HCL and rotated at room temperature for 15

minutes. Conidia were then resuspended in PBS with 0.02 mg/ml of AF633, incubated for

45 minutes with constant rotation, and protected from light until experimental use.

Following labeling, each of the 5 biotinylated strains were added to the corresponding wells

at a ratio of 5:1 (conidia: cell) and incubated for 3 hours at 37°C, 5% CO_2. After incubation,

wells were washed with PBS and suspended in FACs buffer. Wells were gently scraped with

a cell scraper to resuspend BMDMs. Cells were stained with DAPI for L/D detection and

were analyzed using the BD LSR II flow cytometer. Gating on BMDM uptake (AF633+) vs.

empty BMDMs (AF633-) was used to quantify total uptake and percentage of BMDM uptake

for each strain. Data for CFU and Uptake represent combined data from 3 experiments

(each with 4-5 replicates) and were analyzed with Prism (v10.4.1) using one-way ANOVA

with the Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons.

Galleria mellonella infection: A total of 20 Galleria mellonella larvae of cream-white color

and similar size were used per strain of AF. Ten μl containing 5×10^4 spores was injected

directly via Hamilton syringe to the hemocoel as previously described (38). 10 μl of PBS

was administered to the control group to ensure PBS was free from contamination.

Additionally, 10 worms that were neither infected nor stabbed were used as a control to

check for the quality of the worms. After injection, larvae were incubated at 37°C until 5

days. For survival analysis, larvae were monitored 3 times daily post-injection. The death of

larvae was ensured by a lack of movement upon physical stimuli. Statistical analysis was

performed using GraphPad Prism software. The experiment was done three times with

similar results.

Statistical Analyses: Non-parametric tests were used for *ex vivo* assay and virulence

assay. Parametric tests were used for other comparisons. GraphPad Prism was used for all

statistical analyses. *p<0.05, ** p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001

Acknowledgements:

We would like to acknowledge members of the Dhingra laboratory and the Eukaryotic

Pathogen Innovation Center for discussions surrounding the experiments in the

manuscript. The research in the Dhingra lab is supported by start-up funds from the

Department of Biological Sciences at Clemson University and a National Institute of

General Medical Sciences (NIGMS) award (P20GM146584) (PI - James Morris). The funding

sources had no role in the study design, data collection and interpretation, preparation of

this manuscript or the decision to submit the manuscript. We acknowledge the UC Merced

Stem Cell Instrumentation Foundry for assistance in generating flow cytometry data.

References:

References:

1. Earle K, Valero C, Conn DP, Vere G, Cook PC, Bromley MJ, Bowyer P, Gago S. 2023.

Pathogenicity and virulence of Aspergillus fumigatus. Virulence 14:2172264.

2. Abers MS, Ghebremichael MS, Timmons AK, Warren HS, Poznansky MC, Vyas JM. 2016.

A Critical Reappraisal of Prolonged Neutropenia as a Risk Factor for Invasive Pulmonary

Aspergillosis. Open Forum Infect Dis 3:ofw036.

3. Tio SY, Chen SC-A, Hamilton K, Heath CH, Pradhan A, Morris AJ, Korman TM, Morrissey

O, Halliday CL, Kidd S, Spelman T, Brell N, McMullan B, Clark JE, Mitsakos K, Hardiman

RP, Williams P, Campbell AJ, Beardsley J, Van Hal S, Yong MK, Worth LJ, Slavin MA.

2023. Invasive aspergillosis in adult patients in Australia and New Zealand: 2017-2020.

Lancet Reg Health West Pac 40:100888.

4. Patterson TF, Thompson GR, Denning DW, Fishman JA, Hadley S, Herbrecht R,

Kontoyiannis DP, Marr KA, Morrison VA, Nguyen MH, Segal BH, Steinbach WJ, Stevens

DA, Walsh TJ, Wingard JR, Young J-AH, Bennett JE. 2016. Practice Guidelines for the

Diagnosis and Management of Aspergillosis: 2016 Update by the Infectious Diseases

Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 63:e1–e60.

5. WHO fungal priority pathogens list to guide research, development and public health

action. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240060241. Retrieved 19

September 2025.

6. Lee Y, Robbins N, Cowen LE. 2023. Molecular mechanisms governing antifungal drug

resistance. npj Antimicrob Resist 1:5.

7. Shi N, Zheng Q, Zhang H. 2020. Molecular Dynamics Investigations of Binding

Mechanism for Triazoles Inhibitors to CYP51. Front Mol Biosci 7:586540.

8. Dhingra S, Cramer RA. 2017. Regulation of Sterol Biosynthesis in the Human Fungal

Pathogen Aspergillus fumigatus: Opportunities for Therapeutic Development. Front

Microbiol 8:92.

9. Diaz-Guerra TM, Mellado E, Cuenca-Estrella M, Rodriguez-Tudela JL. 2003. A Point

Mutation in the 14α-Sterol Demethylase Gene cyp51A Contributes to Itraconazole

Resistance in Aspergillus fumigatus. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 47:1120–

1124.

10. Vazquez JA, Manavathu EK. 2016. Molecular Characterization of a Voriconazole-

Resistant, Posaconazole-Susceptible Aspergillus fumigatus Isolate in a Lung

Transplant Recipient in the United States. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy

60:1129–1133.

11. Sagatova AA, Keniya MV, Tyndall JDA, Monk BC. 2018. Impact of Homologous

Resistance Mutations from Pathogenic Yeast on Saccharomyces cerevisiae Lanosterol

14α-Demethylase. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 62:10.1128/aac.02242-17.

12. Mosquera J, Denning DW. 2002. Azole Cross-Resistance in Aspergillus fumigatus.

Antimicrob Agents Chemother 46:556–557.

13. Pfaller MA, Messer SA, Boyken L, Rice C, Tendolkar S, Hollis RJ, Diekema DJ. 2008. In

Vitro Survey of Triazole Cross-Resistance among More than 700 Clinical Isolates of

Aspergillus Species. J Clin Microbiol 46:2568–2572.

14. Scott J, Valero C, Mato-López Á, Donaldson IJ, Roldán A, Chown H, Rhijn NV, Lobo-Vega

R, Gago S, Furukawa T, Morogovsky A, Ami RB, Bowyer P, Osherov N, Fontaine T,

Goldman GH, Mellado E, Bromley M, Amich J. 2022. Aspergillus fumigatus can display

persistence to the fungicidal drug voriconazole. bioRxiv

https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.05.16.491816.

15. Scott J, Valero C, Mato-López Á, Donaldson IJ, Roldán A, Chown H, Rhijn NV, Lobo-Vega

R, Gago S, Furukawa T, Morogovsky A, Ami RB, Bowyer P, Osherov N, Fontaine T,

Goldman GH, Mellado E, Bromley M, Amich J. 2023. Aspergillus fumigatus Can Display

Persistence to the Fungicidal Drug Voriconazole. Microbiology Spectrum

https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.04770-22.

16. Poudyal NR, Mehlem RT, Doneparthi P, Cady T, Willger SD, Ortiz M, Noorai RE, Stajich

JE, Dhingra S. 2025. A long non-coding RNA regulates triazole antifungal susceptibility

and virulence in Aspergillus fumigatus. bioRxiv

https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.10.16.682787.

17. Kurucz V, Krüger T, Antal K, Dietl A-M, Haas H, Pócsi I, Kniemeyer O, Emri T. 2018.

Additional oxidative stress reroutes the global response of Aspergillus fumigatus to iron

depletion. BMC Genomics 19:357.

18. Long N, Xu X, Qian H, Zhang S, Lu L. 2016. A Putative Mitochondrial Iron Transporter

MrsA in Aspergillus fumigatus Plays Important Roles in Azole-, Oxidative Stress

Responses and Virulence. Front Microbiol 7.

19. Chen M, Zhong G, Wang S, Chen P, Li L. 2022. Deletion of cox7c Results in Pan-Azole

Resistance in Aspergillus fumigatus. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy

66:e00151-22.

20. Zhou X, Yang G, Li C, Yang F, Chang X. 2022. Requirement of a putative mitochondrial

GTPase, GemA, for azole susceptibility, virulence, and cell wall integrity in Aspergillus

fumigatus. Front Microbiol 13.

21. Haas H. 2012. Iron – A Key Nexus in the Virulence of Aspergillus fumigatus. Front

Microbiol 3:28.

22. Mattick JS, Amaral PP, Carninci P, Carpenter S, Chang HY, Chen L-L, Chen R, Dean C,

Dinger ME, Fitzgerald KA, Gingeras TR, Guttman M, Hirose T, Huarte M, Johnson R,

Kanduri C, Kapranov P, Lawrence JB, Lee JT, Mendell JT, Mercer TR, Moore KJ, Nakagawa

S, Rinn JL, Spector DL, Ulitsky I, Wan Y, Wilusz JE, Wu M. 2023. Long non-coding RNAs:

definitions, functions, challenges and recommendations. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 24:430–

447.

23. Bowyer P, Weaver D, Qi T, Chown H, Fraczek M, Lebedinec R, Dineen L, Valero C, Rhijn

N van, Furukawa T, Bromley M, Delneri D. 2025. Genome-wide discovery and

phenotyping of non-coding transcripts in A. fumigatus reveals lncRNAs with a role in

antifungal drug sensitivity https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-6048166/v1.

24. Basenko EY, Shanmugasundram A, Böhme U, Starns D, Wilkinson PA, Davison HR,

Crouch K, Maslen G, Harb OS, Amos B, McDowell MA, Kissinger JC, Roos DS, Jones A.

2024. What is new in FungiDB: a web-based bioinformatics platform for omics-scale

data analysis for fungal and oomycete species. Genetics 227:iyae035.

25. Jöchl C, Rederstorff M, Hertel J, Stadler PF, Hofacker IL, Schrettl M, Haas H,

Hüttenhofer A. 2008. Small ncRNA transcriptome analysis from Aspergillus fumigatus

suggests a novel mechanism for regulation of protein synthesis. Nucleic Acids

Research 36:2677–2689.

26. Scotto–Lavino E, Du G, Frohman MA. 2006. 3' End cDNA amplification using classic

RACE. Nat Protoc 1:2742–2745.

27. Kang Y-J, Yang D-C, Kong L, Hou M, Meng Y-Q, Wei L, Gao G. 2017. CPC2: a fast and

accurate coding potential calculator based on sequence intrinsic features. Nucleic

Acids Res 45:W12–W16.

28. Wang L, Park HJ, Dasari S, Wang S, Kocher J-P, Li W. 2013. CPAT: Coding-Potential

Assessment Tool using an alignment-free logistic regression model. Nucleic Acids Res

41:e74.

29. Sugareva V, Härtl A, Brock M, Hübner K, Rohde M, Heinekamp T, Brakhage AA. 2006.

Characterisation of the laccase-encoding gene abr2 of the dihydroxynaphthalene-like

melanin gene cluster of Aspergillus fumigatus. Arch Microbiol 186:345–355.

30. Sasse C, Bastakis E, Bakti F, Höfer AM, Zangl I, Schüller C, Köhler AM, Gerke J,

Krappmann S, Finkernagel F, Harting R, Strauss J, Heimel K, Braus GH. 2023. Induction

of Aspergillus fumigatus zinc cluster transcription factor OdrA/Mdu2 provides

combined cellular responses for oxidative stress protection and multiple antifungal

drug resistance. mBio 14:e0262823.

31. Carrasco-Navarro U, Aguirre J. 2021. H2O2 Induces Major Phosphorylation Changes in

Critical Regulators of Signal Transduction, Gene Expression, Metabolism and

Developmental Networks in Aspergillus nidulans. Journal of Fungi 7:624.

32. Linder T. 2018. Evaluation of the chitin-binding dye Congo red as a selection agent for

the isolation, classification, and enumeration of ascomycete yeasts. Archives of

Microbiology 200:671.

33. Shlezinger N, Hohl TM. Mitochondrial Reactive Oxygen Species Enhance Alveolar

Macrophage Activity against Aspergillus fumigatus but Are Dispensable for Host

Protection. mSphere 6:e00260-21.

34. Hatinguais R, Pradhan A, Brown GD, Brown AJP, Warris A, Shekhova E. 2021.

Mitochondrial Reactive Oxygen Species Regulate Immune Responses of Macrophages

to Aspergillus fumigatus. Front Immunol 12.

35. Jhingran A, Mar KB, Kumasaka DK, Knoblaugh SE, Ngo LY, Segal BH, Iwakura Y, Lowell

CA, Hamerman JA, Lin X, Hohl TM. 2012. Tracing Conidial Fate and Measuring Host Cell

Antifungal Activity Using a Reporter of Microbial Viability in the Lung. Cell Rep 2:1762–

1773.

36. Zhai P, Shi L, Zhong G, Jiang J, Zhou J, Chen X, Dong G, Zhang L, Li R, Song J. 2021. The

OxrA Protein of Aspergillus fumigatus Is Required for the Oxidative Stress Response

and Fungal Pathogenesis. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 87:e01120-21.

37. Earle K, Valero C, Conn DP, Vere G, Cook PC, Bromley MJ, Bowyer P, Gago S.

Pathogenicity and virulence of Aspergillus fumigatus. Virulence 14:2172264.

38. Durieux M-F, Melloul É, Jemel S, Roisin L, Dardé M-L, Guillot J, Dannaoui É, Botterel F.

Galleria mellonella as a screening tool to study virulence factors of Aspergillus

fumigatus. Virulence 12:818–834.

39. Scotto–Lavino E, Du G, Frohman MA. 2006. 5' end cDNA amplification using classic

RACE. Nat Protoc 1:2555–2562.

40. Dhingra S, Andes D, Calvo AM. 2012. VeA regulates conidiation, gliotoxin production,

and protease activity in the opportunistic human pathogen Aspergillus fumigatus.

Eukaryot Cell 11:1531–1543.

41. Diederichs S. 2014. The four dimensions of noncoding RNA conservation. Trends in

Genetics 30:121–123.

42. Graf J, Kretz M. 2020. From structure to function: Route to understanding lncRNA

mechanism. BioEssays 42:2000027.

43. Novikova IV, Hennelly SP, Sanbonmatsu KY. 2012. Sizing up long non-coding RNAs.

Bioarchitecture 2:189–199.

44. Tassinari M, Richter SN, Gandellini P. 2021. Biological relevance and therapeutic

potential of G-quadruplex structures in the human noncoding transcriptome. Nucleic

Acids Res 49:3617–3633.

45. Wan Y, Kertesz M, Spitale RC, Segal E, Chang H. 2011. Understanding the transcriptome

through RNA structure. Nat Rev Genet 12:10.1038/nrg3049.

46. Statello L, Guo C-J, Chen L-L, Huarte M. 2021. Gene regulation by long non-coding

RNAs and its biological functions. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 22:96–118.

47. Baba SK, Baba SK, Mir R, Elfaki I, Algehainy N, Ullah MF, Barnawi J, Altemani FH, Alanazi

M, Mustafa SK, Masoodi T, Akil ASA, Bhat AA, Macha MA. 2023. Long non-coding RNAs

modulate tumor microenvironment to promote metastasis: novel avenue for

therapeutic intervention. Front Cell Dev Biol 11.

48. Liu L, Zhang Y, Lu J. 2020. The roles of long noncoding RNAs in breast cancer

metastasis. Cell Death Dis 11:749.

49. Gao N, Li Y, Li J, Gao Z, Yang Z, Li Y, Liu H, Fan T. 2020. Long Non-Coding RNAs: The

Regulatory Mechanisms, Research Strategies, and Future Directions in Cancers. Front

Oncol 10.

50. Yang J, Qi M, Fei X, Wang X, Wang K. 2021. Long non-coding RNA XIST: a novel oncogene

in multiple cancers. Molecular Medicine 27:159.

51. Muller HJ. 1932. Further studies on the nature and causes of gene mutations.

52. Pfaller MA, Messer SA, Boyken L, Rice C, Tendolkar S, Hollis RJ, Diekema DJ. 2008. In

Vitro Survey of Triazole Cross-Resistance among More than 700 Clinical Isolates of

Aspergillus Species. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 46:2568–2572.

53. Ballard E, Melchers WJG, Zoll J, Brown AJP, Verweij PE, Warris A. 2018. In-host

microevolution of Aspergillus fumigatus: A phenotypic and genotypic analysis. Fungal

Genet Biol 113:1–13.

54. Pelaez T, Gijón P, Bunsow E, Bouza E, Sánchez-Yebra W, Valerio M, Gama B, Cuenca-

Estrella M, Mellado E. 2012. Resistance to Voriconazole Due to a G448S Substitution in

Aspergillus fumigatus in a Patient with Cerebral Aspergillosis. J Clin Microbiol 50:2531–

2534.

55. Mellado E, Garcia-Effron G, Alcazar-Fuoli L, Cuenca-Estrella M, Rodriguez-Tudela JL.

2004. Substitutions at Methionine 220 in the 14α-Sterol Demethylase (Cyp51A) of

Aspergillus fumigatus Are Responsible for Resistance In Vitro to Azole Antifungal Drugs.

Antimicrob Agents Chemother 48:2747–2750.

56. Douglas CM, Foor F, Marrinan JA, Morin N, Nielsen JB, Dahl AM, Mazur P, Baginsky W, Li

W, el-Sherbeini M. 1994. The Saccharomyces cerevisiae FKS1 (ETG1) gene encodes an

integral membrane protein which is a subunit of 1,3-beta-D-glucan synthase. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A 91:12907–12911.

57. Kelly R, Register E, Hsu MJ, Kurtz M, Nielsen J. 1996. Isolation of a gene involved in 1,3-

beta-glucan synthesis in Aspergillus nidulans and purification of the corresponding

protein. Journal of Bacteriology 178:4381–4391.

58. Sijacic P, Wang W, Liu Z. 2011. Recessive Antimorphic Alleles Overcome Functionally

Redundant Loci to Reveal TSO1 Function in Arabidopsis Flowers and Meristems. PLoS

Genet 7:e1002352.

59. Steele C, Rapaka RR, Metz A, Pop SM, Williams DL, Gordon S, Kolls JK, Brown GD. 2005.

The Beta-Glucan Receptor Dectin-1 Recognizes Specific Morphologies of Aspergillus

fumigatus. PLoS Pathog 1:e42.

60. Batbayar S, Lee DH, Kim HW. 2012. Immunomodulation of Fungal β-Glucan in Host

Defense Signaling by Dectin-1. Biomol Ther (Seoul) 20:433–445.

61. Becker KL, Aimanianda V, Wang X, Gresnigt MS, Ammerdorffer A, Jacobs CW,

Gazendam RP, Joosten LAB, Netea MG, Latgé JP, van de Veerdonk FL. 2016. Aspergillus

Cell Wall Chitin Induces Anti- and Proinflammatory Cytokines in Human PBMCs via the

Fc-γ Receptor/Syk/PI3K Pathway. mBio 7:e01823-15.

62. Wagener J, Malireddi RKS, Lenardon MD, Köberle M, Vautier S, MacCallum DM,

Biedermann T, Schaller M, Netea MG, Kanneganti T-D, Brown GD, Brown AJP, Gow NAR.

2014. Fungal Chitin Dampens Inflammation through IL-10 Induction Mediated by NOD2

and TLR9 Activation. PLOS Pathogens 10:e1004050.

63. Paris S, Wysong D, Debeaupuis J-P, Shibuya K, Philippe B, Diamond RD, Latgé J-P. 2003.

Catalases of Aspergillus fumigatus. Infect Immun 71:3551–3562.

64. Lambou K, Lamarre C, Beau R, Dufour N, Latge J-P. 2010. Functional analysis of the

superoxide dismutase family in Aspergillus fumigatus. Molecular Microbiology 75:910–

923.

65. Zhai P, Shi L, Zhong G, Jiang J, Zhou J, Chen X, Dong G, Zhang L, Li R, Song J. The OxrA

Protein of Aspergillus fumigatus Is Required for the Oxidative Stress Response and

Fungal Pathogenesis. Appl Environ Microbiol 87:e01120-21.

66. Bertuzzi M, van Rhijn N, Krappmann S, Bowyer P, Bromley MJ, Bignell EM. 2020. On the

lineage of Aspergillus fumigatus isolates in common laboratory use. Med Mycol 59:7–

13.

67. Punt PJ, Dingemanse MA, Kuyvenhoven A, Soede RDM, Pouwels PH, van den Hondel

CAMJJ. 1990. Functional elements in the promoter region of the *Aspergillus nidulans*

gpdA gene encoding glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. Gene 93:101–109.

68. Szewczyk E, Nayak T, Oakley CE, Edgerton H, Xiong Y, Taheri-Talesh N, Osmani SA,

Oakley BR. 2006. Fusion PCR and gene targeting in Aspergillus nidulans. Nat Protoc

1:3111–3120.

69. Zepeda B, Verdonk JC. 2022. RNA Extraction from Plant Tissue with Homemade Acid

Guanidinium Thiocyanate Phenol Chloroform (AGPC). Current Protocols 2:e351.

Figure 1:

Figure 1. *afu-254* is an lncRNA that regulates fungal oxidative stress response. (A) 5' and 3' rapid amplification of cDNA ends confirmed the boundary of *afu-254* in the genome as indicated. (B) qPCR analysis showing the relative levels of *afu-254* in the presence of oxidative stress compared to GMM. Fungal strains were inoculated in top agar. After solidification, a core was cut in the center using a sterile pipette tip, and 100µl of either (C) 5mM H_2O_2 or (D) 2mM menadione was added. The zone of inhibition was measured after 36 hours and represented. ** $p<0.01$, *** $p<0.001$, ns – not significant

685   Figure 2:

694   Figure 2. OE-254 constitutes an anamorph. (A) WT and OE-254 RNA were loaded in a
695   denaturing formaldehyde gel at the indicated concentration. The samples were heated at
696   65°C for 5 min before loading. The OE-254 strain shows the presence of a higher molecular
697   weight band (red arrow). (B) The samples were heated at 98°C for 15 mins, leading to loss
698   of the higher molecular weight band.

704   Figure 3:

Figure 3. *afu-254* plays a role in fungal response to posaconazole and itraconazole on solid
medium and surface attached cultures. Fungal strains were spot inoculated (10⁴ spores) in
(A) absence of drug or presence of (B and C) posaconazole or (D and E) Itraconazole. Both
Δ*afu-254* and OE-254 show increased susceptibility to long tailed azoles. (D and E)
Quantitative measurements of fungal growth after 48 hours are represented as a ratio of
fungal growth in the presence and absence of the indicated drug. (F) *afu-254* plays a role in
fungal surface attachment. Fungal strains were allowed to adhere to the abiotic surface for
12 hours. The surface attached cultures were then (F) left untreated (GMM), treated with
posaconazole for 8 hours (GMM + 0.2μg/ml posaconazole), washed and stained with
crystal violet. (F) Values represent the absorbance of untreated samples. The OE-254 strain
shows decreased surface attachment. (G) Values represent the ratio between absorbance
measured after azole treatment and fungal attachment before treatment at the 12-hour
time point. a.u. – arbitrary units. **** p<0.0001, One-way ANOVA.

Figure 4:

Figure 4. *afu-254* mediates fungal cell wall response to Congo red but not to Caspofungin.
Fungal strains were spot inoculated (10^4 spores) in a 24 well plate in the absence or
presence of indicated concentrations of (A) Congo red or (C). Caspofungin. Both Δ*afu-254*
and OE-254 strains are susceptible to Congo red (red arrows) but not to Caspofungin. (B)
Quantitative analysis of fungal growth is represented as a ratio between growth in the
presence of CR and the absence of CR. ** $p<0.01$, **** $p<0.0001$.

 Figure 5

Figure 5: *afu-254* regulates fungal *ex vivo* killing and virulence in an invertebrate model of infection. BMDMs were incubated with conidia from the indicated strain (5:1 conidia to cell) that were biotinylated and stained with AF633 for 3 hrs. Cells were collected, and the percentage of live BMDMs (A) with (uptake) or (B) without (empty) conidia was determined by gating on AF633+ vs AF633- BMDMs using flow cytometry. The Δ*afu-254* strain showed less uptake by BMDMs. The points represent combined data from 2 experiments. (C) BMDMs were incubated with conidia from the indicated strains (5:1 conidia to cell) for 5 hrs. Cells were lysed, and CFUs were determined by serial dilution and plating. Results depict the percentage of killed conidia from three independent experiments compared to the starting inoculum. The OE-254 strain shows statistically increased killing by BMDMs, whereas the Δ*afu-254* strain trends towards increased killing, albeit not statistically significant. The Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons was performed for statistical analyses. All error bars represent standard error. * p<0.05, **** p<0.0001. (D) *afu-254* is indispensable for virulence. *Galleria mellonella* larvae were infected with the 5 x

791   10^4 spores of the indicated strains of AF and monitored. The larvae exhibiting a lack of

792   movement upon physical stimuli were marked as dead (n=20 for all infected larvae, 10 for

793   controls). Survival was significantly different for the Δ*afu-254* strain and the OE-254 strain

794   compared to WT (p<0.001 and p<0.0001, respectively, log-rank test).

796   Figure S1:

Figure S1. RACE analysis to establish lncRNA *afu-254* boundaries. Chromatograms
showing sequencing data for (A) 5' RACE or (B) 3' RACE. (C) Annotation depicting the major
parts of sequencing results from 3' RACE showing the pJET vector sequence, primers used
for making cDNA, 3' end and the splice site indicating the site of of 60bp intron (920 713 –
920 772).

Figure S2:

833   Figure S2. afu-254 is a non-essential ncRNA that plays a role in oxidative stress response.
834   (A) qPCR analyses showing the relative expression levels in Comp-254 and OE-254 strains
835   compared to WT. No transcript was detected in Δafu-254 strain. (B) Northern blot analysis
836   to compare the RNA level in the WT and the Comp-254 strain. (C) Spot analysis showing a
837   role of *afu-254* in oxidative stress response in the presence of 3mM H_2O_2.

844   Figure S3:

Fig S3. *afu-254* mediates fungal response to posaconazole and itraconazole but not

voriconazole. (A). Spot assay (10^5-10^3) was done in the presence of 0.2μg/ml voriconazole,

and plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 hours and photographed. (B) MIC levels of

voriconazole, posaconazole and itraconazole are the same for all strains as tested via broth

microdilution assay. (C) Fungal spores were inoculated in 50ml of media in a baffled flask

and were grown at 37°C for 24 hours with constant shaking at 250rpm without or with

0.03μg/ml posaconazole. Fungal biomass was collected and represented as a ratio of dry

weight between treated vs untreated samples.

<u>Supplementary Table 1 – List of strains</u>

Strain	Source
CEA10	Cramer Lab (Dartmouth)
CEA17	Cramer Lab (Dartmouth)
Δ*afu-254*	This study
Comp-254	This study
OE-254	This study

870   Table 2: <u>List of primers</u>

Primer Name	Sequence (5'→3')
SD284	ATGGACTCTGGTGGAGGTGG
SD366	CTGAGAGTGCCTTTCTGGTGGTC
SD78	GCAACGCTTCGTTCACCATCAAC
SD266	CGCATCAGTGCCTCCTCTCAGACATCCTATTATCACCCACGCTCTTACGC
SD267	TGTACGTTTGCATCTCAACTGACAGC
SD 218	GCCAGAGAAGATGTGGGCAATCTC
SD219	AGAGCATTGTTTGAGGCGACCGGTTAGCCCTGTTCACTGACGTAGTCATG
SD1	ACCGGTCGCCTCAAACAATGCTCT
SD2	GTCTGAGAGGAGGCACTGATGCG
SD222	CCAAGGCTGTGACCGAAGCTC
SD437	ACAACAGTCTTCGGCTAGACTCG
SD580	NNNNNNNNGGCGCGCCTACGTCAGTGAACAGGGCTACACG
SD581	NNNNNNGCGGCCGCACAACAGTCTTCGGCTAGACTCG
SD134	CGAAGGCTTGGGGCACCTG
SD103	GGGAAAAGAAAGAGAAAAGAAAAGAGCA
SD 468	TGCTCTTTTCTTTTCTCTTTCTTTTCCCTTTGTTACTCACCCAGAGGCC
SD202	NNNNNNGGCGCGCCCGCCAATAGCTTTGGGACGATGC
SD136	NNNNNNGGCGCGCCCGAGCTCCCAAATCTGTCCAGATC
SD323	NNNNNNGCGGCCGCGTCAGTGAACAGGGCTACACGC
SD 375	CCGCCGTGGTGGTGTCAAG
SD 376	GGCGTGTTCAGTGTAGGTGACG
SD201	CCCGAATTGGCATATCTCACGACG
SD188	CAACACTGACCTCTGCGTTTCG

Figure 1:

Figure 1. *afu-254* is an lncRNA that regulates fungal oxidative stress response. (A) 5' and 3' rapid amplification of cDNA ends confirmed the boundary of *afu-254* in the genome as indicated. (B) qPCR analysis showing the relative levels of *afu-254* in the presence of oxidative stress compared to GMM. Fungal strains were inoculated in top agar. After solidification, a core was cut in the center using a sterile pipette tip, and 100μl of either (C) 5mM H_2O_2 or (D) 2mM menadione was added. The zone of inhibition was measured after 36 hours and represented. ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, ns – not significant

Figure 2:

Figure 2. OE-254 constitutes an anamorph. (A) WT and OE-254 RNA were loaded in a denaturing formaldehyde gel at the indicated concentration. The samples were heated at 65°C for 5 min before loading. The OE-254 strain shows the presence of a higher molecular weight band (red arrow). (B) The samples were heated at 98°C for 15 mins, leading to loss of the higher molecular weight band.

Figure 3:

Figure 3. *afu-254* plays a role in fungal response to posaconazole and itraconazole on solid medium and surface attached cultures. Fungal strains were spot inoculated (10^4 spores) in (A) absence of drug or presence of (B and C) posaconazole or (D and E) Itraconazole. Both *Δafu-254* and OE-254 show increased susceptibility to long tailed azoles. (D and E) Quantitative measurements of fungal growth after 48 hours are represented as a ratio of fungal growth in the presence and absence of the indicated drug. (F) *afu-254* plays a role in fungal surface attachment. Fungal strains were allowed to adhere to the abiotic surface for 12 hours. The surface attached cultures were then (F) left untreated (GMM), treated with posaconazole for 8 hours (GMM + 0.2µg/ml posaconazole), washed and stained with crystal violet. (F) Values represent the absorbance of untreated samples. The OE-254 strain shows decreased surface attachment. (G) Values represent the ratio between absorbance measured after azole treatment and fungal attachment before treatment at the 12-hour time point. a.u. – arbitrary units. **** $p<0.0001$, One-way ANOVA.

Figure 4:

Figure 4. *afu-254* mediates fungal cell wall response to Congo red but not to Caspofungin. Fungal strains were spot inoculated (10^4 spores) in a 24 well plate in the absence or presence of indicated concentrations of (A) Congo red or (C). Caspofungin. Both *Δafu-254* and OE-254 strains are susceptible to Congo red (red arrows) but not to Caspofungin. (B) Quantitative analysis of fungal growth is represented as a ratio between growth in the presence of CR and the absence of CR. ** $p<0.01$, **** $p<0.0001$.

Figure 5

Figure 5: *afu-254* regulates fungal *ex vivo* killing and virulence in an invertebrate model of infection. BMDMs were incubated with conidia from the indicated strain (5:1 conidia to cell) that were biotinylated and stained with AF633 for 3 hrs. Cells were collected, and the percentage of live BMDMs (A) with (uptake) or (B) without (empty) conidia was determined by gating on AF633+ vs AF633- BMDMs using flow cytometry. The Δ*afu-254* strain showed less uptake by BMDMs. The points represent combined data from two experiments. (C) BMDMs were incubated with conidia from the indicated strains (5:1 conidia to cell) for 5 hrs. Cells were lysed, and CFUs were determined by serial dilution and plating. Results depict the percentage of killed conidia from three independent experiments compared to the starting inoculum. The OE-254 strain shows statistically increased killing by BMDMs, whereas the Δ*afu-254* strain trends towards increased killing, albeit not statistically significant. The Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons was performed for statistical analyses. All error bars represent standard error. * p<0.05, **** p<0.0001. (D) *afu-254* is indispensable for virulence. *Galleria mellonella* larvae were infected with the 5 x

10^4 spores of the indicated strains of AF and monitored. The larvae exhibiting a lack of movement upon physical stimuli were marked as dead (n=20 for all infected larvae, 10 for controls). Survival was significantly different for the $\Delta afu\text{-}254$ strain and the OE-254 strain compared to WT (p<0.001 and p<0.0001, respectively, log-rank test).