Angiotensin II- Angiotensin II Receptor Type 1 Signaling Facilitates Gastric Cancer Metastasis via Kruppel-like Factor 4 Suppression and Tight Junction Breakdown

Sooraj Kakkat [1,2], Prabhat Suman [1,2], Sandeep Goswami [1,2], Brusi Kola [1,2], Kira A Bruno [2], Wendy L Frankel [3,4], Sujit Basu [3,4, 5], Elba A Turbat-Herrera[2], Veronica Ramirez-Alcantara[2], Martin J Heslin[2], Joel F Andrews [2], Paramahansa Pramanik [6], Chandrani Sarkar [1,2, 7], Debanjan Chakroborty [1,2, 7*]

[1] Department of Pathology, University of South Alabama, Mobile, AL 36617, USA

[2] Mitchell Cancer Institute, University of South Alabama, Mobile, AL 36604, USA

[3] Department of Pathology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

[4] Comprehensive Cancer Center, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

[5] Department of Medical Oncology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

[6] Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of South Alabama, Mobile, AL   36688, USA

[7] Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of South Alabama, Mobile, AL 36688, USA

*Correspondence:

Debanjan Chakroborty, PhD

Assistant Professor of Pathology

University of South Alabama

dchakroborty@southalabama.edu

+1-507-271-2880

Conflict Of Interest:

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

ABSTRACT

Background and Aims: Cell-cell attachment governed by tight junctions (TJs) is crucial for epithelial integrity, and the loss correlates with adverse outcomes in gastric cancer (GC). Restoration of TJ integrity is therefore considered a promising therapeutic strategy in GC. The study identifies potential drivers of TJ disruption in GC to enable targeted intervention.

Design: GC patient tissues, human GC cell lines, and orthotopic GC xenograft models were employed to investigate the role of the stomach renin angiotensin system (stRAS) in TJ regulation in GC cells and tissues. Global RNA-seq, CRISPR/Cas9 gene deletion, chromatin immunoprecipitation, and functional assays were performed to identify the underlying molecular mechanisms. Pharmacological inhibition of ATII signaling using AT1R antagonists was evaluated for effects on TJ restoration, tumor growth, and metastasis in mouse models of GC.

Results: The study identified the stRAS as a major regulator of TJs in GC by demonstrating an autocrine loop involving angiotensin II (ATII or AGT), the main effector peptide of stRAS, and its receptor, ATII receptor type 1 (AT1R or AGTR1), which are overexpressed in GC, as potent suppressors of key TJ protein expressions in GC cells. Mechanistically, ATII, which is overexpressed in GC and predominantly produced by cancer cells within the TME, suppresses the expression of krüppel-like factor 4 (KLF4), a transcription factor crucial for the transcription of key TJ genes (CLDN1, 3, 4, and TJP1), thereby reducing TJ protein synthesis via AT1R expressed on cancer cells. Notably, pharmacological inhibition of ATII signaling using AT1R antagonists, commonly used blood pressure medications, restored TJ integrity and suppressed GC growth and metastasis *in vivo*.

Conclusion: These findings delineate a previously unrecognized role for ATII in governing TJ disassembly in GC and highlight the ATII/AT1R axis as a promising therapeutic target.

Keywords: Gastric cancer, Tight junctions, Kruppel-like factor 4, Angiotensin II, Angiotensin II receptor type 1, Metastasis

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT (*Image created using BioRender*)

INTRODUCTION

Stomach or gastric cancer (GC) is one of the most prevalent malignancies and a leading cause of cancer-related death in the world [1]. The disease is potentially curable when diagnosed early, with a survival rate of more than 90%. This number, however, sharply decreases to less than 20% when diagnosed at an advanced stage [2]. Newer advanced therapies have raised the bar for GC curability to ~10-15% in resectable tumors. However, the overall 5-year survival rate for GC is still less than 25% and the number has not changed in recent years because the majority of GC cases are diagnosed late, with more than 60% of patients presenting with metastatic disease [3]. Currently, the treatment options for these patients are limited, as surgery is less effective and patients often develop resistance to available agents quickly [2]. Therefore, there is a critical need for a better understanding of the process underlying GC metastasis to design novel, improved therapeutic strategies.

Metastasis initiation in solid tumors begins with cell-cell detachment within the primary tumor [4]. In their native environment, cells are held together by adhesive interactions and contacts maintained by tight junctions (TJs), the disruption of which has been considered an early essential step in the cellular metastatic cascade [5]. TJs are primarily known for their role as tissue barriers, controlling the diffusion of selective molecules across paracellular spaces [6]. However, in epithelial cells, the primary function of TJs is to promote adhesive interactions and form tight attachments between adjacent cells [7]. Dysfunctional TJs, resulting from aberrant expression or distribution of TJ proteins, have been consistently linked to disease progression and a poorer prognosis in several epithelial cancers, including GC [8–12]. Several TJ components, including claudins, occludins, and zonula occludens, affect several aspects of tumorigenesis, including cellular differentiation, metastasis, and prognosis in GC. Nonetheless, the mechanisms that disrupt TJs in GC remain incompletely understood to date, creating a major roadblock to the design of effective strategies targeting TJ abnormalities in cancers. Therefore, gaining insight into the molecular mechanisms that regulate TJ disruption in GC is crucial, as this could lead to the

development of potential therapeutic interventions to prevent the metastatic dissemination of cancer cells.

In this study, we reveal a novel role of locally expressed stomach tissue renin angiotensin system (stRAS) in initiating and facilitating GC cellular metastasis by disrupting TJ homeostasis between adjacent cancer cells within the primary tumor microenvironment. StRAS components, particularly Angiotensin II (ATII), the key effector peptide, and its receptor Angiotensin II receptor type 1 (AT1R), are significantly upregulated in GC compared to normal gastric tissues. Herein, we demonstrate that ATII, upon release from GC cells, interacts with AT1R expressed on GC cells to suppress KLF4, an evolutionarily conserved zinc finger transcription factor, and foster premetastatic niche development in GC. The suppression of KLF4 due to increased ATII-AT1R signaling in GC cells inhibits the synthesis of key TJ components, claudin 1, 3, and 4 (CLDN1, 3, 4), and zonula occludens 1 (ZO-1), leading to dysfunctional TJs that facilitate cell departure from their primary environment. Importantly, we identify that Inhibition of AT1R is an effective strategy to prevent metastatic dissemination of GC cells, reversing this cascade, restoring junction integrity via KLF4 rescue in GC cells. The finding highlights the key role of the ATII-AT1R-KLF4 autocrine axis in mediating metastasis in GC and suggests that targeting this pathway may be beneficial for preventing metastasis by restoring TJs in GC.

Results

Elevated expression of stomach renin angiotensin system, angiotensin II and angiotensin II receptor type 1 in GC.

To determine the role of stRAS in GC, we initially detected the expression of RAS components, ATII, and AT1R, in metastatic and non-metastatic human GC samples and adjacent normal tissues collected from the same patients by immunohistochemistry (IHC) (Fig. 1A). Interestingly, a significant difference in the expression of ATII (Novus Biologicals, 1:100) was identified between normal, non-metastatic, and metastatic GC tissues, with metastatic tissues showing the highest expression of ATII within the TME collected from primary tumor sites (Fig. 1B; $p<0.05$). AT1R expression was also significantly different between adjacent normal stomach and GC tissues

($p<0.05$); however, unlike ATII, the difference in the expression of AT1R (Abcam, 1:50) between non-metastatic and metastatic GC tissues did not reach a statistically significant value (Fig. 1 B; p=0.80). The above findings were subsequently validated in a larger cohort of GC patients by consulting the publicly available transcriptomic dataset from The University of Alabama at Birmingham Cancer Data Analysis portal (UALCAN) and The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), which indicated a similar increase in the expression of *AGT* at the transcript level in human GC tissues compared to normal tissues (Fig. 1C). The increased expressions of *AGT* and *AGTR1* also showed an association with reduced overall and disease-free survival in GC patients (Fig. 1D), suggesting the potential involvement of both of these stRAS components, in GC progression and outcome.

Angiotensin II, acting through angiotensin II receptor type 1 expressed on GC cells, promotes GC cell metastasis.

The next set of experiments was conducted to determine the source of ATII and expression of AT1R and functional significance of ATII/AT1R expression in GC. For this, *in vitro* experiments were performed using human GC cell lines (MKN45, MKN7, AGS, and NCI-N87). Results from the enzymatic immune assay (EIA, Sigma Aldrich), qRT-PCR, and western blot analysis identified GC cells as a potential source of ATII (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, western blot and qRT-PCR data indicated a strong expression of AT1R in these cancer cells (Fig. 2B). Therefore, to determine the functional role of ATII-AT1R in GC cells, ATII-secreting MKN45 and NCI-N87 GC cells were cultured to near confluence alone or in the presence of a specific AT1R blocker /antagonist, losartan (1µM) [13]. Significant changes in cell growth and behavior of GC cells were noted upon AT1R inhibition. Cell proliferation (Fig. 2C), along with migration (Fig. 2D) and invasion (Fig. 2E), was significantly inhibited in losartan-treated MKN45 and NCI-N87 cells compared to untreated GC cells. Taken together, the above results identified a novel autocrine function of the ATII/AT1R axis in mediating an aggressive behavior in GC cells.

Angiotensin II receptor type 1 inhibition alters the tight junction gene signature in GC cells.

Since significant changes in GC cell growth and metastasis were observed upon AT1R inhibition, subsequent experiments were carried out to identify the molecular events associated with these

behavioral changes upon A1R inhibition. For this, Global RNA-seq was first performed. GC cells (MKN 45) upon confluence were serum starved overnight. Next day, cells were treated with the AT1R antagonist, losartan (1 µM) or left without out treatment. Upon incubation, total RNA from both these groups of cells was extracted and deposited for sequencing and analysis (Novogene, Sacramento, CA, USA). RNA-seq was performed in duplicate on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 using paired-end sequencing. Analysis of RNA-seq data derived from control and losartan treated MKN45 GC cells identified 2637 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) (fold change ≥1.5, p-value <0.05) between these two groups, of which 1053 were upregulated and 1584 downregulated in losartan-treated cells compared to cells that were not treated (Fig. 2F). Among DEGs, a significant change in the expression of the genes associated with cell-cell attachments, cell polarity and TJ maintenance and formation were identified that showed significant upregulation in cells treated with losartan compared to untreated GC cells (Fig. 2G). The above observation was further validated by Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA), which revealed a strong positive enrichment of genes (NES=1.12, p-value=0.20) (Fig. 2H) associated with TJ development and formation in group of cells treated with losartan (Fig. 2I), suggesting the pivotal role of ATII in destabilizing TJ and facilitating the process of metastasis acting through AT1R expressed on these cells. The changes in TJ gene signature in GC cells upon AT1R blockade were further confirmed by qRT-PCR (Fig. 3A and B), followed by western blot analysis (Fig. 3C and D), and confocal microscopy (Fig. 3E and F), which clearly demonstrated a significant upregulation in the expression of key TJs, CLDN 1,3,4 and Zonula occludes 1 (ZO-1) both at transcript and protein level in MKN45 and NCI-N87 GC cells treated with losartan compared to cells that didn't receive any treatment.

Loss of tight junction proteins in human gastric cancer tissues strongly correlates with expression of angiotensin II and angiotensin II receptor type 1.

The TJ status in human GC was next checked. For this expression of key TJ proteins was checked in GC and nonmalignant adjacent tissues collected from same patients. Our IHC data indicated a significant loss of TJ proteins, CLDN1, 3, 4 and ZO-1 in GC tissues compared to the adjacent normal tissues (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, a significant association was observed between ATII/AT1R expression and the expression of TJ proteins, CLDN 1,3,4, and ZO-1, where the expressions of

ATII in human GC tissues was identified to be negatively correlated with the expressions of TJ proteins, i.e., CLDN 1 (p=0.0001), CLDN 3 (p<0.0001), CLDN 4 (p<0.0001) and ZO- 1 (p=0.0003) (Fig. 4B). Like ATII, the expression of AT1R was also negatively correlated with the expression of TJ proteins, i.e., CLDN 1 (p=0.0480), CLDN3 (p=0.0338), CLDN 4 (p=0.0267) and ZO-1 (p=0.3129) (Fig. 4C), indicating a strong connection between ATII /AT1R expression and TJ alteration in GC and their possible role in advancing the disease.

Loss of Krüppel-like factor 4 in gastric cancer.

Next, we sought to determine the underlying molecular mechanism by which ATII/AT1R-mediates TJ disruption in GC. Reports, including those from our group, indicate that members of the KLF family, a zinc-finger transcription factor, play a critical role in regulating cell-cell integrity and barrier function across different cell and tissue types [14–16]. To determine the underlying molecular event leading to ATII-AT1R-mediated TJ disruption in GC, we investigated the potential involvement of the KLF family of transcription factors, known for their crucial roles in maintaining cell-cell attachments, barrier function, and cell layer integrity across different organs and tissues [17–19]. Interrogation and analysis of publicly available transcriptomic datasets from UALCAN identified alterations in the expression of several members of the KLF family transcription factor in human GC (Fig. S1A). However, a consistent trend was only noted in the expression of KLF4 and KLF5. While the expression of KLF5 was upregulated, the expression of KLF4 exhibited a particular pattern of downregulation that became more pronounced with the progression of GC (Fig. S1B). The above observation was confirmed further by consulting the protein expression database, Human Protein Atlas (Fig. S1C) [20], and immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis of GC tissues at different stages (non-metastatic and metastatic) collected from the department of pathology tissue archive at the Ohio State University and University of South Alabama Health Biobank.

Consistent with previous reports identifying KLF5 as a pro-proliferative transcription factor in most cancers, including GC, this observation reaffirms its pro-tumorigenic role in GC [17]. However, the role of KLF4 in cancers is conflicting, with both pro-tumorigenic and antitumor effects that depend cancer type [18,21]. Our IHC data revealed a significant loss of KLF4-positive cells in GC tissues compared to adjacent normal tissues isolated from the same patients, with the loss more

pronounced in metastatic GC tissues (Fig. 4D). KLF4 is known for its diverse roles in cellular physiology. In addition to its prominent role in cell pluripotency, plasticity, and cell stemness, KLF4 in epithelial cells has been identified for its key role in keeping cellular integrity in the cell layer, promoting cell-cell cohesion, loss of which compromises tissue integrity and has been considered a key initial step in the cellular metastatic cascade [19].

Loss of Krüppel-like factor 4 expression in human gastric cancer tissues strongly correlates with the loss of tight junction proteins.

The association between KLF4 loss and TJ status in GC tissues was checked next. For this, IHC scores of TJ proteins were correlated with the number of KLF4-positive cells. Our data identified a strong and positive correlation between the expressions of CLDN 1, 3, 4, and ZO- 1 proteins with the number of KLF4-positive cells in gastric tissues (Fig. 4E). These findings indicate the potential role of KLF4 in maintaining TJ integrity in gastric epithelial cells and suggest that its loss in GC might be a determining factor in initiating metastasis via TJ disintegration, supporting its tumor suppressive role in GC.

Loss of Krüppel-like factor 4 facilitates gastric cancer cell metastasis.

Analysis of available transcriptomic data and our IHC results revealed a significant loss of KLF4 during disease progression in GC. We next checked the expression status of KLF4 in different human GC cell lines (MKN45, AGS, and NCI-N87). Interestingly, our qRT-PCR and western blot data showed that all GC cells expressed *KLF4* at a certain level, with MKN45 and NCI-N87 cells exhibiting significant expression levels of *KLF4*, both at the mRNA and protein levels (Fig. S2A). To simulate the scenario of KLF4 loss observed in GC patients, we next manipulated the expression of *KLF4* in GC cells (MKN45 and NCI-N87). The CRISPR/Cas9 gene deletion method was used to create *KLF4* knockout (KLF4KO) GC cell lines, KLF4KOMKN45, and KLF4KONCIN87 (Fig. S2B and C). During the generation, we also included scramble control gRNA (Scr) to serve as a negative control. Along with cell growth and proliferation (determined by doubling time) (Fig. S2D), our results identified significant increase in the migration and invasion of GC cells upon *KLF4* deletion compared to the scramble cells (Fig. S2E), identifying a potent tumor suppressive role of KLF4 in gastric tumorigenesis.

Loss of Krüppel-like factor 4 alters the expression of early and late metastatic genes in gastric cancer cells.

Next, we investigated the molecular connection between KLF4 loss and GC cell metastasis. This was performed using MKN 45 GC cells. Analyses of global RNA-Seq data from control MKN45 GC cells and KLF4KOMKN45 GC cells revealed a significant alteration in the expression of several early and late metastatic genes upon *KLF4* deletion. RNA-seq of MKN45 GC cells and KLF4KOMKN45 GC cells identified 4188 DEGs (fold change ≥1.5, p-value <0.05), of which 1927 were upregulated and 2261 downregulated in KLF4KOMKN45 cells. The gene ontology and pathways of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were analyzed with BigOmics Analytics [22]. The raw data were converted into counts per million and log2, and quantile normalization was applied. Other unwanted variations were removed using surrogate variable analysis in the sva package. The KEGG pathway analysis revealed that the TJ interaction pathway was among the most negatively enriched pathways in *KLF4*-deleted cells compared to control cells ($p = 0.0009$) (Fig. 5A). Along with this, gene silencing pathways by miRNA were identified to be positively enriched in KLF4KOMKN45 cells, suggesting a potential function of KLF4 in transcriptional regulation of TJ genes in GC cells ($p= 0.0057$) (Fig. 5B).

Furthermore, Gene Set Enrichment Analysis revealed distinct divergence in TJ gene set enrichment in KLF4KOMKN45 cells compared to the control cells. When the key KEGG pathways and core-related genes were obtained, the KLF4KOMKN45 cells showed a clear depletion in the enrichment of genes associated with TJs (NES= -1.12, p-value=0.15) (Fig. 5C). Differential expression analysis revealed that multiple genes involved in TJ formation and several members of the CLDN family, including *CLDN2*, *CLDN3*, *CLDN4*, *CLDN23*, and *CLDN18*, along with *PARD6A*, were significantly downregulated in KLF4KOMKN45 cells (Fig. 5D and E) compared to the control cells. Overall, the data identified a significant downregulation in the expression of TJ-related genes, accompanied by an increase in cell metastasis in KLF4KO GC cells, indicating KLF4 loss in GC as a key factor contributing to metastasis through TJ disruption between adjacent GC cells (Fig. 5F).

Western blot analyses (Fig. 6A), qRT-PCR (Fig. 6B), and confocal microscopy (Fig. 6C) further confirmed significant loss of important TJs, CLDN 1, 3, 4, and ZO-1 in both KLF4KOMKN45 and KLF4KONCI-N87 cells compared to scramble cells [23,24].

Since KEGG pathway analyses revealed changes in transcriptional control between wildtype and KLF4KO GC cells, and our data identified reduced TJ expression in KLF4KO GC cells, we next determined whether KLF4 can transcriptionally regulate the expression of TJ genes. The JASPAR database was utilized to identify the binding of *KLF4* in the promoter sequences of *CLDN 1*, *3*, *4*, and *TJP1*. The relatively higher binding score, indicating a stronger likelihood of *KLF4* binding, was then selected for further investigation (supplementary file S1). A ChIP assay was performed, and interestingly, our data revealed strong KLF4 binding to the promoter regions of *CLDN 1*, *3*, *4*, and *TJP1* (Fig. 6D).

Elevated expressions of angiotensin II and angiotensin II receptor type 1 negatively regulate Krüppel-like factor 4 expression in gastric cancer.

Next, we sought to determine the upstream events prompting KLF4 loss or suppression in GC. In recent years, KLF4 has earned significant attention as a crucial regulator of cellular hypertrophy in cardiac physiology. Interestingly, reports also indicate that ATII, through regulation of KLF4 expression in cardiomyocytes, plays an important role in cardiac hypertrophy [25,26]. Since we observed high ATII and AT1R expression in GC tissues, we next investigated the role of the ATII/AT1R axis in regulating the expression of KLF4 in GC tissues. For this, the average IHC score of ATII/AT1R expression in individual tissues was correlated with the number of KLF4-positive cells in the same tissue. A significant association was observed between ATII/AT1R expression and the number of KLF4-positive cells, where the expressions of ATII and AT1R negatively correlated with the number of KLF4-positive cells identified in GC tissues (Fig. S3A). This finding was also validated using transcriptomic data collected from cBioPortal, which showed a negative correlation between *AGT* /*AGTR1* and the expression of *KLF4* in GC (Fig. S3B)[27].

Next, the effect of ATII on the expression of KLF4 in GC cells was examined *in vitro*. Our results identified a significant increase in KLF4 expression in GC cells upon treatment with losartan. Western blot data revealed a significant increase in the expression and nuclear enrichment of

KLF4 over time in both MKN45 and NCI-N87 GC cells upon losartan treatment (Fig. 7A and B), which was further validated by confocal immunofluorescence analysis (Fig. 7C and D). Confocal microscopy images demonstrated a significant elevation in expression and nuclear incorporation that begins at 2 hours after losartan treatment and shows a gradual enrichment up to 8 hours. Collectively, the results indicated a significant and prominent role of the ATII/AT1R in regulating KLF4 expression and function in GC cells.

Angiotensin II receptor type 1 inhibition fails to restore tight junction integrity to suppress gastric cancer cell metastasis in the absence of Krüppel-like factor 4.

Our next set of experiments was conducted to confirm that ATII/AT1R-mediated TJ disruption was through KLF4. For this, KLF4KOMKN45 and KLF4KONCI-N87 cells were used. Cell migration, invasion, and TJ protein expression were checked upon losartan treatment and compared with those of untreated cells. Results from scratch wound healing assays and cell invasion assays indicated that in the absence of KLF4 in GC cells, losartan treatment has no significant impact on inhibiting cell invasion and migration, as the losartan-treated cells and control cells exhibited comparable rates of wound closure over the observed period (24Hr) (Fig. S4A and B). Quantitative analysis also showed that the wound closure and invasion index were similar between the two groups of cells, with no significant difference in migration or the number of cells that invaded through the matrigel-coated basement membrane between the losartan-treated and control groups (Fig. S4C). These results were further confirmed by statistical analysis, suggesting the essential role of KLF4 in ATII-mediated GC cell metastasis. In line with the cell migration and invasion results, we also observed that losartan treatment had no significant impact on the expression of CLDN 1, 3, and 4, as well as ZO-1 1, in *KLF4*-deleted GC cells (Fig. S4D). These findings confirm the inability of AT1R blockers to restore TJs in GC in the absence of KLF4, thereby establishing the ATII/AT1R/KLF4 axis as a regulator of TJs in GC metastasis.

Angiotensin II receptor type 1 blockade significantly inhibits the growth and metastasis of gastric cancer *in vivo.*

The efficacy of AT1R inhibition in vivo was checked next. For this GC xenografts were created using MKN45 and NCI-N87 GC cells, which were orthotopically implanted to athymic mice. Liver

metastases were observed in both xenograft models, (Fig. 8A) indicating the invasive and metastatic potential of the implanted GC cells (Fig. 8B). Upregulation of ATII and AT1R expressions similar to human GC was also observed in tissues collected from mouse xenografts (Fig. 8C). Interestingly, like human GC, loss of KLF4, which is otherwise expressed in normal mouse stomach, was observed in both these models (Fig. 8D). The effect of ATII inhibition *in vivo* was tested by administering AT1R antagonists, losartan (10 mg/kg) and candesartan (2 mg/kg), orally for 28 consecutive days after the tumor was detected. Results demonstrated a significant inhibition of tumor growth (as measured by wet tumor weight) and metastasis in mice treated with AT1R antagonists, losartan and candesartan, compared to untreated mice. The control MKN45 group exhibited a mean tumor weight of 375.68 ± 49.71mg (mean ± SEM). In contrast, the losartan-treated group showed a significant reduction (72%) in tumor weight (102.11 ± 24.02mg, mean ± SEM) (p<0.0001). A 68% reduction in tumor weight in the candesartan-treated group (117.99 ± 14.92mg, mean ± SEM) compared to the untreated group (p<0.0001) was also observed. Similarly, in the NCI-N87 orthotopic GC model, the untreated group had a mean tumor weight of 241.87 ± 38.92mg (mean ± SEM). The losartan-treated group showed a significant 69% reduction in tumor weight (73.18 ± 11.96mg, mean ± SEM) (p=0.0001), and candesartan-treated group a 55% reduction (106.50 ± 15.80mg, mean ± SEM) (p=0.0019) compared to the untreated group (Fig. 8E). Importantly, liver metastasis was significantly reduced in the losartan- and candesartan-treated groups (Fig. 8F) compared to untreated groups, indicating that AT1R blockers are highly effective in restricting GC growth and metastasis in mouse models of GC.

Angiotensin II receptor type 1 blockade enhances the expression of tight junction proteins in gastric cancer models.

While assessing TJ integrity after AT1R blockade, it was observed that in orthotopic GC models, losartan treatment significantly increased the expression of TJ genes and KLF4 at the transcript level (Fig. 8G). This finding was further supported by western blot, which showed a corresponding increase in the expression of these TJ and KLF4 proteins, with a notable upregulation of CLDN 1 and 4 in the losartan-treated group compared to untreated GC (Fig. 8H). Interestingly, unlike GC

tissues, the expression of TJ proteins in normal mouse stomach is considerably high, indicating that their loss plays a crucial role in GC progression and metastasis (Fig. S5A).

DISCUSSION

TJs are fundamental determinants of epithelial barrier integrity in the GI tract, where they regulate paracellular diffusion and ion gradients across cell layers. TJs, however, have garnered increasing attention for their role in promoting adhesive interactions between adjacent cells, particularly in epithelial cells [7]. TJ abnormalities accordingly have been consistently linked to disease progression and poorer outcomes in GC, with changes in the expression and localization of several key TJ components being associated with different aspects of carcinogenesis, such as cell proliferation and loss of epithelial cell polarity, leading to migration and invasion. TJ evaluation and targeted manipulation have thus emerged as an important area of GC research, both as a window into disease biology and as a potential therapeutic strategy [28,29]. However, the complex and dynamic nature of TJs, combined with inconsistent and, in some cases, contradictory reports on individual TJ proteins in GC development and progression, has made progress difficult. Prior work has largely identified alterations within the TME and their effects on disease progression, but has provided little information on the molecular events underlying TJ changes or GC disruption.

In this context, the present findings advance the field by connecting a ligand-receptor signaling pathway within the GC TME that directly compromises TJ integrity by repressing multiple TJ components in gastric epithelial cancer cells. Specifically, we uncover a previously unrecognized autocrine function of cancer cell-derived ATII and its receptor AT1R, which are overexpressed in the GC TME, in weakening the TJ barrier and facilitating the easy escape of GC cells from the primary tumor site. These findings extend prior observations from our and other laboratories on the existence and functions of local tRAS [13,30], which, unlike the systemic RAS, which primarily regulates cardiovascular homeostasis and electrolyte balance, plays an important role in tissue growth and epithelial organization. Dysregulation of tRAS has been reported in multiple malignancies, and pharmacologic manipulation of this pathway has been effective, although the underlying molecular mechanisms have remained poorly defined. Interestingly, our study unveils

an intriguing connection between ATII/AT1R overexpression and TJ dysregulation in GC, which is mediated through suppression of KLF4 or gut enriched KLF (GKLF), a prominent member of the zinc finger containing KLF transcription factor family known for its fundamental role in cell proliferation, cellular pluripotency and maintenance of epithelial cell layer and cellular integrity [19,31–38]. KLF4 has previously been implicated in cancer. However, unlike other members of the KLF family, which either promote tumor progression or play a suppressive role, KLF4 has attracted significant attention for its context-dependent roles that vary by cancer type and can promote or suppress tumorigenesis [39,40]. In GC, reports of reduced expression indicate that KLF4 plays a suppressive role, although its precise contribution remains unclear to date. Here, we provide compelling evidence that defines the entire molecular cascade, identifies the upstream regulatory events that trigger its loss in GC cells, and characterizes the downstream molecular events that drive cell detachment and metastasis through TJ dysfunction. Thus, by linking the ATII-AT1R axis to KLF4 suppression in GC, leading to reduced synthesis of key claudins (CLN1, 3, 4) and ZO-1, we not only provide a mechanistic regulatory scaffold but also identify a novel actionable target to restore TJs and improve disease outcome.

From a translational perspective, our study is particularly significant given the long-standing challenge of therapeutically manipulating TJs *in vivo*. Our study identifies an affordable, translatable therapeutic strategy that can be used *in vivo* to counter the molecular changes that drive metastatic progression of GC by restoring epithelial integrity. While the present study primarily evaluated the effects of AT1R inhibition on KLF4 and TJ restoration in GC cells and in vivo orthotopic xenograft models, these findings provide a strong rationale for validation in larger, clinically annotated patient cohorts. Future studies should also explore GC subtype–specific dependencies on the ATII/AT1R axis, given the molecular heterogeneity of the disease. Collectively, our study identifies a novel, targetable signaling pathway that governs TJ integrity in GC and highlights restoration of TJ function as a promising therapeutic strategy to limit tumor invasion and metastasis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Human tissues

Metastatic and non-metastatic clinical specimens from male and female patients, adjacent normal/benign tissues, and normal gastric tissues were obtained from The Ohio State University tissue archive and the University of South Alabama Health biobank and histology core facility in accordance with institutional IRB guidelines. These formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue sections were used for immunohistochemical analyses.

Cell culture

MKN45 (JCRB, Osaka, Japan) and NCI-N87 (ATCC, Manassas, VA), and AGS (ATCC, Manassas, VA) gastric cancer cell lines were cultured in RPMI medium supplemented with 10% FBS (ATCC, Manassas, VA). Cells were maintained in a 37°C humidified incubator with 5% CO_2. All cell lines were validated by short tandem repeat DNA fingerprinting at ATCC and were found to be negative for mycoplasma infection. The cell lines were subcultured and stored, and the genetic background was confirmed through STR analysis.

CRISPR/Cas9 KLF4 gene knockout in gastric cancer cells

The KLF4 gene knockout was performed with KN2.0, Human gene knockout kit via CRISPR, non-homology mediated (Cat No: KN406691, OriGene, Rockville, USA). The gastric cancer cells (MKN45 and NCI-N87) were plated in a 6-well plate ($3x10^5$ cells) and incubated at 37℃ with 5% CO_2 to reach 60-70% confluency. 1µg of the gRNA vectors (KN406691G1, KN406691G2) was diluted in 250µL of Opti-MEM I (Gibco, Cat No: 31985070). 1µg of the linear donor DNA containing LoxP-EF1A-tGFP-P2A-Puro-LoxP (KN406691D) was added into the same 250µL of Opti-MEM I. 6µL of Turbofectin 8.0 was added into the diluted DNA, and all the components were mixed gently. The mixtures were incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature. The mixture was added drop-wise to the cells and incubated in a 5% CO_2 incubator. 48 hours post-transfection, the cells were passaged at a 1:10 ratio and cultured for an additional 48 hours. The cells underwent four subsequent passages under standard culture conditions, followed by puromycin (2µg/ml) selection [41], media change, and then the cells were allowed to grow for 48 hours. Once the cells had

acquired adequate confluence, they were plated onto 10cm cell culture dishes. KLF4 gene knockout was confirmed with western blot and qRT-PCR. During the generation, we also included scramble control gRNA (Scr) to serve as a negative control (Cat No: KN303984, SKU GE100003, OriGene, Rockville, USA). KLF4 knockout MKN45 (KLFKOMKN45) and NCI-N87 (KLF4KONCI-N87) clones were expanded, cryopreserved, and used for further experiments.

Mouse tumor models and treatment

All mouse experiments were performed according to protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, and the University of South Alabama, Mobile, AL. For the development of orthotopic MKN45 and NCI-N87 tumor models, athymic nude mice of both sexes, aged 6-8 weeks, were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, and models were created as described previously [16,42]. All mice were housed in ventilated cages (max 4 mice/cage) under standard conditions in the institutional animal facility, with controlled temperature (22 ± 2°C), humidity (55 ± 10%), and a 12h light/dark cycle. Animals had a minimum 7-day acclimatization period after arrival and before any procedures were performed. A combination of ketamine and xylazine (100 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg, i.p.) was used to anesthetize the mice. MKN45 and NCI-N87 cells (1×10^6 cells in 50µL 1X PBS) were transplanted to the serosal side of the stomach with the bevel facing up. The stomach was carefully returned to the abdominal cavity, and absorbable sutures were used in a 2-step closure process; first, the peritoneal layers were closed, followed by the skin incision [13,16,43,44]. Buprenorphine (2mg/kg BW) or Ethiqa (0.05ml per 20 gram mouse) was administered prior to recovery. Animals with similar sized tumors in stomach were divided randomly into control and treatment groups. Eleven mice were used per group to ensure adequate power, given a 1.7-fold effect on tumor size and metastatic load (CV=50% and alpha=0.025/2 for two two-group comparisons). None of the animals were excluded, and analysis was conducted on all these mice. None of the animals reached the humane endpoint criteria (weight loss of more than 20% and interference with normal functioning of the vital organs and physical activities). Treatment group mice were treated with losartan (10mg/kg X 28 days, oral gavage) [45] and candesartan (2mg/kg X 28 days, Oral gavage)

[46]. Mice were sacrificed on the 29th day. At necropsy, tumors were harvested from the primary site, and the wet tumor weights were recorded and compared among different groups.

Immunohistochemistry/immunofluorescence staining

After collection, all tissues were snap-frozen or fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin. The formalin-fixed sections were processed for paraffin sectioning. The sections were cut at a thickness of 5µm for histology or immunostaining experiments. Tissue sections were deparaffinized at 65°C for 15 minutes and hydrated through serial baths with xylene and alcohol before staining. Antigen retrieval was done in a pre-heat steamer (2100 Antigen Retriever, Electron Microscopy Sciences) using sodium citrate buffer (10 mM sodium citrate, 0.05% Tween 20, pH 6.0) for 2 hours. Slides were allowed to cool for 20 minutes at room temperature. Tissue sections were washed 5 times in 1X phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then immersed in methanol containing 3% H_2O_2 for 5 minutes at room temperature. The sections were again rinsed with PBS and incubated with 2.5% normal horse serum (Vector Laboratories, CA) at room temperature for 20 minutes to block the nonspecific binding sites and were stained for ATII (Cat# NB100-62346 Novus Biologicals, CO), AT1R (Cat# ab124505 Abcam, MA), KLF4 (Cat# ab216968 Abcam, MA and Cat# 4038S Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), CLDN1 (Cat# H00009076-M01 Abnova, Taipei, Taiwan), CLDN3 (Cat# NBP2-46299 Novus Biologicals, CO), CLDN4 (Cat# ab53156 Abcam, MA), ZO-1 (Cat# NBP2-80141 Novus Biologicals, CO). The slides were finally visualized; stained cells were counted/ scored in a blinded manner by two independent investigators and photographed using the Revolve model of ECHO: A BICO Company fluorescent microscope [16,44]. The tissue staining percentage was measured on a scale of 0-4 (0= no stain, 1 = <10% positive staining, 2 = 10–50% positive staining, 3 = 51–80% positive staining, and 4 = >80% positive staining). Staining intensity was measured on a scale of 0-3 (0 = no reaction, 1 = mild reaction, 2 = moderate reaction, and 3 = intense reaction). The score for each section was calculated by multiplying the two values obtained to normalize the heterogeneity of staining and account for the multifocal nature of the tumor [44,47]. Immunofluorescence was performed in gastric cancer cell lines to study the expression or nuclear co-localization of KLF4 (Cat# ab216968 Abcam, MA, and Cat# 4038S Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA). Immunofluorescence study for the visualization of tight

junction proteins was performed with CLDN1 (Cat# H00009076-M01 Abnova, Taipei, Taiwan), CLDN3 (Cat# NBP2-46299 Novus Biologicals, CO), CLDN4 (Cat# ab53156 Abcam, MA), ZO-1 (Cat# NBP2-80141 Novus Biologicals, CO). Staining was visualized under a confocal scanning microscope (Nikon Eclipse Ti), analyzed, and compared between different groups. The images were analyzed and processed with NIH ImageJ.

Immunofluorescence image quantification

To quantify fluorescence intensity, we used a custom macro script written with Nikon's NIS-Elements software. Briefly, maximum projection images of the collected Z-stacks were generated, creating a single image from each stack. The green channel in each of these images was thresholded to generate a binary mask including all of the cells in the field but excluding the background, and the mean fluorescence intensity of the pixels under the binary mask was measured in arbitrary fluorescence units (A.F.U.), output to Excel, and then statistically analyzed [48].

Western blot analysis

The antibodies ATII (Cat# NB100-62346 Novus Biologicals, CO), AT1R (Cat# ab124505 Abcam, MA), KLF4 (Cat# ab216968 Abcam, MA and Cat# 4038S Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), claudin 1 (Cat# H00009076-M01 Abnova, Taipei, Taiwan), claudin 3 (Cat# NBP2-46299 Novus Biologicals, CO), claudin 4 (Cat# ab53156, Abcam, MA), Zonula occludens 1 (Cat# NBP2-80141 Novus Biologicals, CO), GAPDH (Cat#2118 Cell Signaling Technology, MA), HDAC1 (Cat# NB100-56340 Novus Biologicals, CO) were used for western blotting (Table S1). RIPA (Boston Bioproducts, MA), containing protease inhibitor cocktail, PMSF, and sodium orthovanadate (Sigma, MO), was used for lysing the cells. The proteins were estimated using the Bradford protein assay, Bio-Rad Laboratories, CA. An equal amount of (50µg of cell lysates) was electrophoresed using a polyacrylamide gel. The proteins were transferred to a 0.2-µm PVDF membrane using a Bio-Rad Trans-Blot TurboTM Transfer Pack. The membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat dried milk in TBS (5%) and then incubated with primary and HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies. A Protein molecular weight marker (Precision Plus protein Standards, Bio-Rad Laboratories, CA) was run on the same gel to determine the molecular weight. The bands were visualized with a C-

Digit blot scanner [16,44]. Densitometry analysis was performed to measure the representative bands and compare the groups. GAPDH and HDAC1 served as internal controls.

Nuclear and cytoplasmic fractionation

MKN45 and NCI-N87 (control), KLF4 knockout cells were harvested using trypsin-EDTA and centrifuged at 500×g for 5 minutes. Cell pellets were washed with PBS and resuspended in ice-cold CER I buffer (Cat#78833, Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. After 10 minutes of incubation on ice, CER II was added, followed by brief vortexing and centrifugation at 16,000×g for 5 minutes. The supernatant (cytoplasmic fraction) was collected. The nuclear pellet was then resuspended in ice-cold NER buffer, vortexed intermittently over 40 minutes, and centrifuged at 16,000×g for 10 minutes. The resulting supernatant (nuclear extract) was collected and stored at -80°C [49].

Scratch wound assay

Cells were cultured in a 96-well plate and allowed to grow until they reached near confluence. Following that, cells were starved for 12 hours. The scratch was created with a 10μl pipette tip in one direction. Losartan (1μM) was added to the treated group. After the scratch, the images were taken, and the scratch area was measured as A0. Well bottoms were marked with a fine-tip marker, which was used as the reference point for further measurement. The cells were incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours. Cell movements were monitored and imaged every 2-4 hours using a phase contrast microscope. Experiments were terminated when wound gap closure was first observed in any of the experimental groups. Images were taken from the same field, and the gap was calculated in all the groups at the same time point. Wound closure was calculated by measuring the average area between edges of the two migrating columns and expressed as % wound closure [% wound closure = {(A0 − At/A0) × 100} where A0 is the initial wound area between two migrating cell fronts and At is the final area between two migrating cell fronts and expressed as relative wound closure compared to the control groups [16,44,50].

***In vitro* cell invasion assay**

Cell invasion was analyzed using Transwell assays. A Corning® BioCoat™ Matrigel® Invasion Chamber with an 8.0-μm PET membrane (Corning, NY) was used to investigate cell migration

[16,44,51]. MKN45 (1×10^3) and NCIN87 (1×10^4) cells were added to the upper chamber containing serum-free media and pre-coated with Matrigel. The medium containing 10% FBS, which served as the chemoattractant, was added to the lower chamber. Once the cells in the upper chamber had settled, the AT1R inhibitor losartan (1µM) was added to the upper chamber, and the cells were allowed to invade for 24 hours at 37℃ with 5% CO2. The inserts were taken out, and cells in the upper chamber were removed using a cotton swab. The cells attached to the bottom of the membrane were fixed and stained with Hema 3™ Manual Staining System and Stat Pack (Fischer Scientific, NH). After staining, the number of cells that migrated across the coated membrane was visualized using the Revolve ECHO: A BICO fluorescent microscope. After standardization and compensation with the negative control, the number of migrated cells in the control and treatment groups was calculated and compared among groups.

Quantitative Real time-PCR

The RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, MD) was used according to the manufacturer's protocol to isolate total RNA from MKN45 and NCIN87 cells, as well as mouse tumor models. The quantity and quality of the RNA were determined with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA). cDNA synthesis was done using the RevertAid™ premium first-strand cDNA synthesis system from Thermo Scientific using oligo dT primers. Quantitative Real Time-PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed with SYBR green mix (catalog number: K0221, Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA) using QuantStudio ™ 3 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystem, MA) to determine expressions of *KLF4, AGT, AGTR1, CLDN1, CLDN3, CLDN4, AND TJP-1* in the samples with first-strand cDNA as templates. Gene sequences were identified and corresponding PCR primers designed using the Genebank database. GAPDH was used as an endogenous control. Arbitrary units were used to express the relative expression, and the fold change in gene expression was determined by the ΔCt method. Primers used: *KLF4* (forward 5′-CATCTCAAGGCACACCTGCGAA-3′, reverse 5′-TCGGTCGCATTTTTGGCACTGG -3′); *CLDN1* (forward 5′-GTCTTTGACTCCTTGCTGAATCTG-3′, reverse 5′-CACCTCATCGTCTTCCAAGCAC -3′); *CLDN3* (forward 5′-GCCTTCATCGGCAGCAACATCA-3′, reverse 5′-AGCGAGTCGTTACACCTTGCACT-3′); *CLDN4* (forward 5′-AGTGCAAGGTGTACGACTCGCT-3′, reverse 5′-CGCTTTCATCCTCCAGGCAGTT-

3′); *TJP-1* (forward 5′-GTCCAGAATCTCGGAAAAGTGCC -3′, reverse 5′-CTTTCAGCGCACCATACCAACC-3′); *AGT* (forward 5'-TGGACAGCACCCTGGCTTTCAA - 3′, reverse 5′-ACACTGAGGTGCTGTTGTCCAC-3′); *AGTR1* (forward 5'-CAGCGTCAGTTTCAACCTGTACG - 3′, reverse 5′-GCAGGTGACTTTGGCTACAAGC-3′) and *GAPDH* (forward 5'-GTCTCCTCTGACTTCAACAGCG- 3′, reverse 5′-ACCACCCTGTTGCTGTAGCCAA-3′) (Invitrogen, CA). Primer sequences for gene expression studies are provided in Table S2.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) Assay

The genomic database GeneCopoeia was used to identify the transcription start sites for genes encoding key TJ proteins. For each gene, upstream sequences flanking the TSS (typically from -1000 to +100 base pairs) that are known to harbor promoter elements and potential binding sites for transcription factors were extracted. The JASPAR database was utilized to analyze the extracted promoter sequences, with a focus on the KLF4 binding motif. The binding sites with higher relative scores, indicating a stronger likelihood of KLF4 binding, were then selected and subjected to further investigation. Following the manufacturer's protocol, ChIP assays were performed using the SimpleChIP® Enzymatic Chromatin IP Kit (Cell Signaling Technology, USA; Cat # 9003S) [52]. MKN45 cells ($3x10^5$) were cultured in 100mm dishes to 50-60% confluence and treated with losartan at a concentration of 1μM for 24 hours. After that, the cells were cross-linked using 1% formaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat # 252549) to preserve protein-DNA interactions. Cells were then lysed, and chromatin was digested using Micrococcal Nuclease (MNase) (Cell Signaling Technology, USA; Cat # 10011) per the kit instructions. Following digestion, chromatin was immunoprecipitated using an anti-KLF4 antibody. For control experiments, IgG (Cell Signaling Technology, USA; Cat # 2729) was used as a negative control, and Histone H3 (Cell Signaling Technology, USA; Cat # 4620) was used as a positive control. Immunoprecipitated chromatin complexes were eluted, and DNA was recovered and purified following the manufacturer's instructions. The purified DNA was subjected to qRT-PCR to detect the binding of KLF4 to the promoter regions of *CLDN1*, *CLDN3*, *CLDN4*, and *TJP1* using promoter sequence-specific primer

sets. The fold change in ChIP DNA was determined using qRT-PCR. Primer sequences for the ChIP assay are provided in Table S3.

RNA isolation from cell lines

RNA was extracted from MKN45 (control), KLF4 knockout, and losartan-treated cells using Qiagen's RNeasy MINI Kit, per the manufacturer's instructions. The isolated RNA was quantified and qualitatively assessed using a Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and a Bioanalyzer (Agilent2100), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The total RNA, with a concentration of 20 ng/µl, an RNA integrity of ≥4.0, and an OD260/280 ratio of ≥2.0, was then sent to Novogene (https://en.novogene.com/) for mRNA sequencing (WBI).

Library Construction, Quality Control, and Sequencing

Messenger RNA was purified from total RNA using poly-T oligo-attached magnetic beads. The first strand cDNA was synthesized after fragmentation by using random hexamer primers. This was followed by the second strand cDNA synthesis using dTTP for a non-directional library. The library was ready after end repair, A-tailing, adapter ligation, size selection, amplification, and purification. The library was checked using Qubit, and real-time PCR was employed for quantification. A bioanalyzer was used to determine the size distribution. Illumina platforms were used to sequence pooled quantified libraries according to effective library concentration and data amount [53,54].

RNA-seq analysis

RNA sequencing was performed in duplicate on the Illumina Novaseq 6000 using paired-end sequencing (150 bp). There were ~40 million reads for each sample. The processed FASTQ files were mapped to the reference genome using HISAT2, and feature counts were used for gene expression quantification. The raw counts were normalized and expressed as Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads (FPKM) to estimate the gene expression levels and enable comparison across samples. For the differential gene expression analysis, the DESeq2 R package (version 1.20.1) was used to compare controls to losartan and knockout samples, as previously described [55]. The GSEA database was used to perform gene set enrichment analysis [56]. The gene ontology and pathways of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were analyzed

with BigOmics Analytics [22]. The volcano plot and heatmaps of differentially expressed genes were generated using the SRplot online tool [57].

TCGA analysis

The TCGA data on AGT and AT1R expressions were obtained from the TCGA data portal (http://ualcan.path.uab.edu) [58,59]. The data were accessed in May 2025, and RNAseq data were available for a total of 415 primary tumors and 34 normal samples. Gene expression data is represented as normalized RNA-seq counts (Transcripts Per Million, TPM) from the TCGA dataset. The expression levels of AGT and AGTR1 were analyzed in tumor tissues compared to adjacent normal tissues. Also, the data were stratified in different stages for subgroup analysis based on tumor stage (i.e., Stage I, II, III, and IV). To compare the impact of AGT and AT1R expression on overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) in stomach cancer patients, Survival analysis of AGT and AT1R for stomach adenocarcinoma patients in the TCGA-STAD profile was compared in the Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis web service (GEPIA, http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/index.html) [60]. The OS analysis was performed using the Kaplan-Meier survival curve provided by GEPIA. We plotted the survival curve and extracted information on median survival time, hazard ratio (HR), and the log-rank p-value to determine the statistical significance of the survival differences between the high and low expression groups. Similarly, DFS analysis was performed using GEPIA's survival function. The same statistical parameters (median survival time, HR, and log-rank p-value) were recorded to assess the influence of AGT and AT1R expression on disease-free survival outcomes. A log-rank test was used to analyze the statistical significance of survival in high and low AGT and AT1R expression groups. The statistical significance was cut off at a p-value of less than 0.05.

Statistics

All statistical analyses were conducted in a blinded manner. GraphPad Prism 8.1.0 version (San Diego, California, USA) was used for analysis of quantitative data generated from in vivo and in vitro experimental studies. A two-sample t test was used to analyze data generated from experimental procedures involving two groups. The data generated from studies involving multiple experimental groups were analyzed using ANOVA. Quantitative data are presented as mean ±

SD. For correlation studies, the correlation between two variables was analyzed using Spearman's correlation method. Each RNA-seq experiment was performed in two independent biological replicates. For RNA-seq, DEGs were defined as those showing a fold change (FC) > 1.5 and a p-value <0.05. Significance levels are indicated as *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001 [16,44].

Author's contribution statement

Conception: DC; design: DC; development of methodology: SK, PS and SG; experiment and data generation: SK, PS, SG, BK and KB; analysis and interpretation of data: DC, CS, SK, PS, SG, BK, WF, SB, EH, VRA, JA and PP; writing original draft: SK, CS and DC; writing/reviewing and editing: SK, MH, VRA, CS and DC; administrative and study supervision: DC. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All mouse experiments were performed according to protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, and the University of South Alabama, Mobile, AL.

Consent for publication

All authors give consent to publish and agree on the final manuscript submission.

Availability of data and materials

All data associated with this study are present in the paper or the Supplementary Materials. The raw and analyzed datasets generated during the study are available for research purposes from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Funding

This study is supported by funding from DOD, USA [W81XWH-20-1-0618 to DC]. DC also acknowledges funding received from the Mitchell Cancer Institute, the University of South Alabama, and the Robert E. Reed Gastrointestinal Oncology Research Foundation (to DC and CS).

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have influenced the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the support of the veterinarian and vivarium staff at OSU, as well as Dr. Michele Schuler and Ms. Leigh Ann Wiggins of the USA, for our animal studies. We also acknowledge the support of USA Health Biobank, Histology, and confocal microscopy core facilities for this study.

Supplemental Material

Fig. S1 shows the expression of KLF4 in GC tissues compared with normal stomach as revealed by TCGA transcriptomic data and Human Protein Atlas. Fig. S2 demonstrates the expression of KLF4 in different GC cells and migratory and invasive behavior of KLF4 expression modulated GC cells. Fig. S3 reveals the negative correlation of ATII and AT1R with KLF4 by IHC and cBioPortal data. Fig. S4 shows the effect of AT1R inhibition in migratory and invasive behavior of KLF4 deleted GC cells. Fig. S5 provides western blot data showing baseline tight junction protein expression in normal mouse stomach tissue. Table S1 shows the list of antibodies used for immunohistochemistry, western-blot and immunofluorescence analyses. Table S2 shows the sequences of primers used for qRT-PCR. Table S3 shows the sequences of primers used in ChIP assay. Supplementary file S1 shows the genomic sequences containing KLF4 binding sites in tight junction genes.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

[1] J.Y. Park, D. Georges, C.J. Alberts, F. Bray, G. Clifford, I. Baussano, Global lifetime estimates of expected and preventable gastric cancers across 185 countries, Nat Med (2025). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-025-03793-6.
[2] R.E. Sexton, M.N. Al Hallak, M. Diab, A.S. Azmi, Gastric cancer: a comprehensive review of current and future treatment strategies, Cancer Metastasis Rev 39 (2020) 1179–1203. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10555-020-09925-3.
[3] J. Taieb, J. Bennouna, F. Penault-Llorca, D. Basile, E. Samalin, A. Zaanan, Treatment of gastric adenocarcinoma: A rapidly evolving landscape, Eur J Cancer 195 (2023) 113370. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2023.113370.
[4] X. Sun, Y. Zhou, S. Sun, S. Qiu, M. Peng, H. Gong, J. Guo, C. Wen, Y. Zhang, Y. Xie, H. Li, L. Liang, G. Luo, W. Wu, J. Liu, W. Tan, M. Ye, Cancer cells sense solid stress to enhance metastasis by CKAP4 phase separation-mediated microtubule branching, Cell Discov 10 (2024) 114. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41421-024-00737-1.

[5] Y. Huang, W. Hong, X. Wei, The molecular mechanisms and therapeutic strategies of EMT in tumor progression and metastasis, J Hematol Oncol 15 (2022) 129. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-022-01347-8.

[6] P. Dhawan, A.B. Singh, N.G. Deane, Y. No, S.-R. Shiou, C. Schmidt, J. Neff, M.K. Washington, R.D. Beauchamp, Claudin-1 regulates cellular transformation and metastatic behavior in colon cancer, J Clin Invest 115 (2005) 1765–1776. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI24543.

[7] T.P. Nguyen, T. Otani, M. Tsutsumi, N. Kinoshita, S. Fujiwara, T. Nemoto, T. Fujimori, M. Furuse, Tight junction membrane proteins regulate the mechanical resistance of the apical junctional complex, J Cell Biol 223 (2024) e202307104. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.202307104.

[8] J. Huang, J. Li, Y. Qu, J. Zhang, L. Zhang, X. Chen, B. Liu, Z. Zhu, The expression of claudin 1 correlates with β-catenin and is a prognostic factor of poor outcome in gastric cancer, Int J Oncol 44 (2014) 1293–1301. https://doi.org/10.3892/ijo.2014.2298.

[9] T.-L. Hwang, T.-T. Changchien, C.-C. Wang, C.-M. Wu, Claudin-4 expression in gastric cancer cells enhances the invasion and is associated with the increased level of matrix metalloproteinase-2 and -9 expression, Oncol Lett 8 (2014) 1367–1371. https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2014.2295.

[10] S. Ohtani, M. Terashima, J. Satoh, N. Soeta, Z. Saze, S. Kashimura, F. Ohsuka, Y. Hoshino, M. Kogure, M. Gotoh, Expression of tight-junction-associated proteins in human gastric cancer: downregulation of claudin-4 correlates with tumor aggressiveness and survival, Gastric Cancer 12 (2009) 43–51. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10120-008-0497-0.

[11] S.J. Hagen, L.-H. Ang, Y. Zheng, S.N. Karahan, J. Wu, Y.E. Wang, T.J. Caron, A.P. Gad, S. Muthupalani, J.G. Fox, Loss of Tight Junction Protein Claudin 18 Promotes Progressive Neoplasia Development in Mouse Stomach, Gastroenterology 155 (2018) 1852–1867. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2018.08.041.

[12] K.-H. Jun, J.-H. Kim, J.-H. Jung, H.-J. Choi, H.-M. Chin, Expression of claudin-7 and loss of claudin-18 correlate with poor prognosis in gastric cancer, Int J Surg 12 (2014) 156–162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2013.11.022.

[13] C. Sarkar, R.K. Ganju, V.J. Pompili, D. Chakroborty, Enhanced peripheral dopamine impairs post-ischemic healing by suppressing angiotensin receptor type 1 expression in endothelial cells and inhibiting angiogenesis, Angiogenesis 20 (2017) 97–107. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10456-016-9531-8.

[14] A.M. Ghaleb, M.O. Nandan, S. Chanchevalap, W.B. Dalton, I.M. Hisamuddin, V.W. Yang, Krüppel-like factors 4 and 5: the yin and yang regulators of cellular proliferation, Cell Res 15 (2005) 92–96. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.cr.7290271.

[15] P. Sangwung, G. Zhou, L. Nayak, E.R. Chan, S. Kumar, D.-W. Kang, R. Zhang, X. Liao, Y. Lu, K. Sugi, H. Fujioka, H. Shi, S.D. Lapping, C.C. Ghosh, S.J. Higgins, S.M. Parikh, H. Jo, M.K. Jain, KLF2 and KLF4 control endothelial identity and vascular integrity, JCI Insight 2 (2017) e91700. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.91700.

[16] D. Chakroborty, C. Sarkar, H. Yu, J. Wang, Z. Liu, P.S. Dasgupta, S. Basu, Dopamine stabilizes tumor blood vessels by up-regulating angiopoietin 1 expression in pericytes and Kruppel-like factor-2 expression in tumor endothelial cells, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108 (2011) 20730–20735. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1108696108.

[17] P. Ma, Y. Pan, F. Yang, Y. Fang, W. Liu, C. Zhao, T. Yu, M. Xie, X. Jing, X. Wu, C. Sun, W. Li, T. Xu, Y. Shu, KLF5-Modulated lncRNA NEAT1 Contributes to Tumorigenesis by Acting as a Scaffold for BRG1 to Silence GADD45A in Gastric Cancer, Mol Ther Nucleic Acids 22 (2020) 382–395. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2020.09.003.

[18] Z. He, J. He, K. Xie, KLF4 transcription factor in tumorigenesis, Cell Death Discov 9 (2023) 118. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41420-023-01416-y.

[19] J.M. Shields, R.J. Christy, V.W. Yang, Identification and characterization of a gene encoding a gut-enriched Krüppel-like factor expressed during growth arrest, J Biol Chem 271 (1996) 20009–20017. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.33.20009.

[20] M. Uhlén, L. Fagerberg, B.M. Hallström, C. Lindskog, P. Oksvold, A. Mardinoglu, Å. Sivertsson, C. Kampf, E. Sjöstedt, A. Asplund, I. Olsson, K. Edlund, E. Lundberg, S. Navani, C.A.-K. Szigyarto, J. Odeberg, D. Djureinovic, J.O. Takanen, S. Hober, T. Alm, P.-H. Edqvist, H. Berling, H. Tegel, J. Mulder, J. Rockberg, P. Nilsson, J.M. Schwenk, M. Hamsten, K. von Feilitzen, M. Forsberg, L. Persson, F. Johansson, M. Zwahlen, G. von Heijne, J. Nielsen, F. Pontén, Proteomics. Tissue-based map of the human proteome, Science 347 (2015) 1260419. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1260419.

[21] F. Kong, T. Sun, X. Kong, D. Xie, Z. Li, K. Xie, Krüppel-like Factor 4 Suppresses Serine/Threonine Kinase 33 Activation and Metastasis of Gastric Cancer through Reversing Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition, Clin Cancer Res 24 (2018) 2440–2451. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-3346.

[22] M. Akhmedov, A. Martinelli, R. Geiger, I. Kwee, Omics Playground: a comprehensive self-service platform for visualization, analytics and exploration of Big Omics Data, NAR Genom Bioinform 2 (2020) lqz019. https://doi.org/10.1093/nargab/lqz019.

[23] A. Tiwari, C.L. Loughner, S. Swamynathan, S.K. Swamynathan, KLF4 Plays an Essential Role in Corneal Epithelial Homeostasis by Promoting Epithelial Cell Fate and Suppressing Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition, Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 58 (2017) 2785–2795. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.17-21826.

[24] G.L. Sen, L.D. Boxer, D.E. Webster, R.T. Bussat, K. Qu, B.J. Zarnegar, D. Johnston, Z. Siprashvili, P.A. Khavari, ZNF750 is a p63 target gene that induces KLF4 to drive terminal epidermal differentiation, Dev Cell 22 (2012) 669–677. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2011.12.001.

[25] K.-G. Shyu, W.-P. Cheng, B.-W. Wang, Angiotensin II Downregulates MicroRNA-145 to Regulate Kruppel-like Factor 4 and Myocardin Expression in Human Coronary Arterial Smooth Muscle Cells under High Glucose Conditions, Mol Med 21 (2015) 616–625. https://doi.org/10.2119/molmed.2015.00041.

[26] S.J. Forrester, G.W. Booz, C.D. Sigmund, T.M. Coffman, T. Kawai, V. Rizzo, R. Scalia, S. Eguchi, Angiotensin II Signal Transduction: An Update on Mechanisms of Physiology and Pathophysiology, Physiol Rev 98 (2018) 1627–1738. https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00038.2017.

[27] I. de Bruijn, R. Kundra, B. Mastrogiacomo, T.N. Tran, L. Sikina, T. Mazor, X. Li, A. Ochoa, G. Zhao, B. Lai, A. Abeshouse, D. Baiceanu, E. Ciftci, U. Dogrusoz, A. Dufilie, Z. Erkoc, E. Garcia Lara, Z. Fu, B. Gross, C. Haynes, A. Heath, D. Higgins, P. Jagannathan, K. Kalletla, P. Kumari, J. Lindsay, A. Lisman, B. Leenknegt, P. Lukasse, D. Madela, R. Madupuri, P. van Nierop, O. Plantalech, J. Quach, A.C. Resnick, S.Y.A. Rodenburg, B.A. Satravada, F. Schaeffer, R. Sheridan, J. Singh, R. Sirohi, S.O. Sumer, S. van Hagen, A. Wang, M. Wilson, H. Zhang, K. Zhu, N. Rusk, S. Brown, J.A. Lavery, K.S. Panageas, J.E. Rudolph, M.L. LeNoue-Newton, J.L. Warner, X. Guo, H. Hunter-Zinck, T.V. Yu, S. Pilai, C. Nichols, S.M. Gardos, J. Philip, AACR Project GENIE BPC Core Team, AACR Project GENIE Consortium, K.L. Kehl, G.J. Riely, D. Schrag, J. Lee, M.V. Fiandalo, S.M. Sweeney, T.J. Pugh, C. Sander, E. Cerami, J. Gao, N. Schultz, Analysis and Visualization of Longitudinal Genomic and Clinical Data from the AACR Project GENIE Biopharma Collaborative in cBioPortal, Cancer Res 83 (2023) 3861–3867. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-23-0816.

[28] G. Grizzi, K. Venetis, N. Denaro, M. Bonomi, A. Celotti, A. Pagkali, J.C. Hahne, G. Tomasello, F. Petrelli, N. Fusco, M. Ghidini, Anti-Claudin Treatments in Gastroesophageal Adenocarcinoma: Mainstream and Upcoming Strategies, J Clin Med 12 (2023) 2973. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm12082973.

[29] D. Luo, Y. Liu, Z. Lu, L. Huang, Targeted therapy and immunotherapy for gastric cancer: rational strategies, novel advancements, challenges, and future perspectives, Mol Med 31 (2025) 52. https://doi.org/10.1186/s10020-025-01075-y.

[30] S.J. Delforce, E.R. Lumbers, C. Corbisier de Meaultsart, Y. Wang, A. Proietto, G. Otton, J. Scurry, N.M. Verrills, R.J. Scott, K.G. Pringle, Expression of renin-angiotensin system (RAS) components in endometrial cancer, Endocr Connect 6 (2017) 9–19. https://doi.org/10.1530/EC-16-0082.

[31] T. Xiang, C. Yang, Z. Deng, D. Sun, F. Luo, Y. Chen, Krüppel-like factors family in health and disease, MedComm (2020) 5 (2024) e723. https://doi.org/10.1002/mco2.723.

[32] T. Suzuki, D. Sawaki, K. Aizawa, Y. Munemasa, T. Matsumura, J. Ishida, R. Nagai, Kruppel-like factor 5 shows proliferation-specific roles in vascular remodeling, direct stimulation of cell growth, and inhibition of apoptosis, J Biol Chem 284 (2009) 9549–9557. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M806230200.

[33] C.-K. Kim, P. He, A.B. Bialkowska, V.W. Yang, SP and KLF Transcription Factors in Digestive Physiology and Diseases, Gastroenterology 152 (2017) 1845–1875. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2017.03.035.

[34] Y. Gao, Q. Cao, L. Lu, X. Zhang, Z. Zhang, X. Dong, W. Jia, Y. Cao, Kruppel-like factor family genes are expressed during Xenopus embryogenesis and involved in germ layer formation and body axis patterning, Dev Dyn 244 (2015) 1328–1346. https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.24310.

[35] G. Ito, M. Uchiyama, M. Kondo, S. Mori, N. Usami, O. Maeda, T. Kawabe, Y. Hasegawa, K. Shimokata, Y. Sekido, Krüppel-like factor 6 is frequently down-regulated and induces apoptosis in non-small cell lung cancer cells, Cancer Res 64 (2004) 3838–3843. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-0185.

[36] H.L. Reeves, G. Narla, O. Ogunbiyi, A.I. Haq, A. Katz, S. Benzeno, E. Hod, N. Harpaz, S. Goldberg, S. Tal-Kremer, F.J. Eng, M.J.P. Arthur, J.A. Martignetti, S.L. Friedman, Kruppel-like factor 6 (KLF6) is a tumor-suppressor gene frequently inactivated in colorectal cancer, Gastroenterology 126 (2004) 1090–1103. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2004.01.005.

[37] J. Lin, H. Tan, Y. Nie, D. Wu, W. Zheng, W. Lin, Z. Zhu, B. Yang, X. Chen, T. Chen, Krüppel-like factor 2 inhibits hepatocarcinogenesis through negative regulation of the Hedgehog pathway, Cancer Sci 110 (2019) 1220–1231. https://doi.org/10.1111/cas.13961.

[38] L.A. Garrett-Sinha, H. Eberspaecher, M.F. Seldin, B. de Crombrugghe, A gene for a novel zinc-finger protein expressed in differentiated epithelial cells and transiently in certain mesenchymal cells, J Biol Chem 271 (1996) 31384–31390. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.49.31384.

[39] D. Wei, W. Gong, M. Kanai, C. Schlunk, L. Wang, J.C. Yao, T.-T. Wu, S. Huang, K. Xie, Drastic down-regulation of Krüppel-like factor 4 expression is critical in human gastric cancer development and progression, Cancer Res 65 (2005) 2746–2754. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-3619.

[40] B.D. Rowland, R. Bernards, D.S. Peeper, The KLF4 tumour suppressor is a transcriptional repressor of p53 that acts as a context-dependent oncogene, Nat Cell Biol 7 (2005) 1074–1082. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1314.

[41] Z. Xie, Q. Yang, F. Lan, W. Kong, S. Zhao, J. Sun, Y. Yan, Z. Quan, Z. Bai, H. Qing, J. Mao, J. Ni, Cathepsin B deficiency disrupts cortical development via PEG3, leading to depression-like behavior, Commun Biol 8 (2025) 1097. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-025-08508-8.

[42] D. Chakroborty, C. Sarkar, R.B. Mitra, S. Banerjee, P.S. Dasgupta, S. Basu, Depleted dopamine in gastric cancer tissues: dopamine treatment retards growth of gastric cancer by inhibiting angiogenesis, Clin Cancer Res 10 (2004) 4349–4356. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-0059.

[43] C. Sarkar, D. Chakroborty, S. Goswami, H. Fan, X. Mo, S. Basu, VEGF-A controls the expression of its regulator of angiogenic functions, dopamine D2 receptor, on endothelial cells, J Cell Sci 135 (2022) jcs259617. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.259617.

[44] D. Chakroborty, S. Goswami, H. Fan, W.L. Frankel, S. Basu, C. Sarkar, Neuropeptide Y, a paracrine factor secreted by cancer cells, is an independent regulator of angiogenesis in colon cancer, Br J Cancer 127 (2022) 1440–1449. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-022-01916-1.

[45] S.A. Arnold, L.B. Rivera, J.G. Carbon, J.E. Toombs, C.-L. Chang, A.D. Bradshaw, R.A. Brekken, Losartan slows pancreatic tumor progression and extends survival of SPARC-null mice by abrogating aberrant TGFβ activation, PLoS One 7 (2012) e31384. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0031384.

[46] E. Tabatabai, M. Khazaei, F. Asgharzadeh, S.E. Nazari, N. Shakour, H. Fiuji, A. Ziaeemehr, A. Mostafapour, M.R. Parizadeh, M. Nouri, S.M. Hassanian, F. Hadizadeh, G.A. Ferns, M. Rahmati, F. Rahmani, A. Avan, Inhibition of angiotensin II type 1 receptor by candesartan reduces tumor growth and ameliorates fibrosis in colorectal cancer, EXCLI J 20 (2021) 863–878. https://doi.org/10.17179/excli2021-3421.

[47] N. Fedchenko, J. Reifenrath, Different approaches for interpretation and reporting of immunohistochemistry analysis results in the bone tissue - a review, Diagn Pathol 9 (2014) 221. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13000-014-0221-9.

[48] P. Agyakwa, J. Dai, J. Li, B. Mouawad, L. Yang, M. Corfield, C.M. Johnson, Three-dimensional damage morphologies of thermomechanically deformed sintered nanosilver die attachments for power electronics modules, J Microsc 277 (2020) 140–153. https://doi.org/10.1111/jmi.12803.

[49] M.F. Siqueira, S. Flowers, R. Bhattacharya, D. Faibish, Y. Behl, D.N. Kotton, L. Gerstenfeld, E. Moran, D.T. Graves, FOXO1 modulates osteoblast differentiation, Bone 48 (2011) 1043–1051. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2011.01.019.

[50] C.-C. Liang, A.Y. Park, J.-L. Guan, In vitro scratch assay: a convenient and inexpensive method for analysis of cell migration in vitro, Nat Protoc 2 (2007) 329–333. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2007.30.

[51] A. Albini, Y. Iwamoto, H.K. Kleinman, G.R. Martin, S.A. Aaronson, J.M. Kozlowski, R.N. McEwan, A rapid in vitro assay for quantitating the invasive potential of tumor cells, Cancer Res 47 (1987) 3239–3245.

[52] J.D. Nelson, O. Denisenko, K. Bomsztyk, Protocol for the fast chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) method, Nat Protoc 1 (2006) 179–185. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2006.27.

[53] Z. Wang, M. Gerstein, M. Snyder, RNA-Seq: a revolutionary tool for transcriptomics, Nat Rev Genet 10 (2009) 57–63. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2484.

[54] D. Parkhomchuk, T. Borodina, V. Amstislavskiy, M. Banaru, L. Hallen, S. Krobitsch, H. Lehrach, A. Soldatov, Transcriptome analysis by strand-specific sequencing of complementary DNA, Nucleic Acids Res 37 (2009) e123. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp596.

[55] S. Anders, W. Huber, Differential expression analysis for sequence count data, Genome Biol 11 (2010) R106. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2010-11-10-r106.

[56] A. Subramanian, P. Tamayo, V.K. Mootha, S. Mukherjee, B.L. Ebert, M.A. Gillette, A. Paulovich, S.L. Pomeroy, T.R. Golub, E.S. Lander, J.P. Mesirov, Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102 (2005) 15545–15550. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0506580102.

[57] D. Tang, M. Chen, X. Huang, G. Zhang, L. Zeng, G. Zhang, S. Wu, Y. Wang, SRplot: A free online platform for data visualization and graphing, PLoS One 18 (2023) e0294236. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0294236.

[58] D.S. Chandrashekar, B. Bashel, S.A.H. Balasubramanya, C.J. Creighton, I. Ponce-Rodriguez, B.V.S.K. Chakravarthi, S. Varambally, UALCAN: A Portal for Facilitating Tumor Subgroup

Gene Expression and Survival Analyses, Neoplasia 19 (2017) 649–658. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neo.2017.05.002.

[59] D.S. Chandrashekar, S.K. Karthikeyan, P.K. Korla, H. Patel, A.R. Shovon, M. Athar, G.J. Netto, Z.S. Qin, S. Kumar, U. Manne, C.J. Creighton, S. Varambally, UALCAN: An update to the integrated cancer data analysis platform, Neoplasia 25 (2022) 18–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neo.2022.01.001.

[60] Z. Tang, C. Li, B. Kang, G. Gao, C. Li, Z. Zhang, GEPIA: a web server for cancer and normal gene expression profiling and interactive analyses, Nucleic Acids Res 45 (2017) W98–W102. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx247.

Figure 1

Fig. 1. Increased ATII and AT1R expression in gastric cancer. (A) Representative IHC staining shows that the expression of ATII and AT1R is significantly elevated in GC tissues compared with adjacent normal gastric tissues. Original magnification, x20 **(B)** The violin plot shows that the IHC score for ATII and AT1R is significantly higher in non-metastatic and metastatic GC tissues than in adjacent normal gastric tissues. Significance was determined by 1-way ANOVA ($n = 64$). (**C**) TCGA data show the expression of *AGT* and a stage-wise increase in expression of *AGT* in STAD. (**D)** Kaplan-Meier survival curves from TCGA show OS and DFS of STAD patients grouped by *AGT* and *AGTR1* expressions. High *AGT* expression is associated with significantly poor OS ($p = 0.02$), and high *AGTR1* expression is associated with significantly poor DFS ($p = 0.0035$). GC, gastric cancer; IHC, immunohistochemistry; STAD, stomach adenocarcinoma; OS, overall survival; DFS, disease-free survival; TCGA, the cancer genome atlas; *AGT, Angiotensin II; AGTR1, Angiotensin II receptor 1*.**P < 0.01; ****P < 0.0001. ns: no significance.

Fig. 2. ATII promotes gastric cancer cell metastasis by regulating the expression of TJ proteins in gastric cancer cells via KLF4. (A) Enzymatic Immuno Assay (EIA), western blot, and qRT-PCR reveal expression of ATII in GC cells, MKN45, MKN7, AGS, and NCI-N87. For quantification, the mRNA level of the ATII gene was normalized to the housekeeping gene GAPDH. Data represented as mean±SD of three independent experiments. **(B)** Western blot and qRT-PCR data show the expression of AT1R in GC cells. For quantification, the mRNA level of the ATIR gene was normalized to the housekeeping gene GAPDH. Data represented as mean±SD of three independent experiments. Blockade of AT1R by the AT1R antagonist losartan (1μM) significantly reduces GC cell **(C)** proliferation, **(D)** migration (Original magnification, ×4), and **(E)** invasion (Original magnification, ×20). For the proliferation the data represented as mean±SEM and analyzed using 2-tailed t test ($n = 5$). The percentage of wound closure is shown in bar diagrams. Data represented as mean±SD and analyzed using 2-tailed t test ($n = 3$). Transwell assay shows that losartan treatment significantly reduces the invasive ability of MKN45 and NCI-N87 GC cells by inhibition of the AT1R receptors expressed in these cells. The number of invaded cells through the membrane in the control and losartan-treated groups is represented as a bar diagram. Data represented as mean±SEM and analyzed using 2-tailed t test ($n = 9$). **(F)** A volcano plot illustrating the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) obtained from RNA-seq analysis of losartan-treated MKN45 GC cancer cells compared to control cells. Losartan-treated GC cells with upregulated and downregulated genes are shown in red and blue colors respectively. (**G**) The heatmap illustrates the differential expression of TJ-related genes in losartan-treated MKN45 cells compared to control cells. (**H**) GSEA plot demonstrates a positive enrichment of the KEGG TJ gene set in losartan-treated MKN45 cells, suggesting that TJs are more intact upon treatment with losartan. **I**, Violin plot depicts the overall expression of TJ-related genes in losartan-treated MKN45 cells compared with control cells. ***$P < 0.001$, ****$P < 0.0001$. GC, gastric cancer; EIA, enzymatic immunoassay; GSEA, gene set enrichment analysis; KEGG, Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes.

Figure 3

Fig. 3. AT1R inhibition enhances the expression and membrane localization of TJ proteins in gastric cancer cells. (**A** and **B)** The qRT-PCR data show that blocking AT1R with losartan over time, from 2 hours to 24 hours in MKN45 and NCI-N87 GC cells, significantly increases the expression of TJ genes, *CLDN1, CLDN3, CLDN4, and TJP1*. For quantification, the mRNA level of TJ genes was normalized to the housekeeping gene GAPDH. Data represented as mean±SD and analyzed using 2-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test (*n* = 3). (**C** and **D)** Western blot data also confirm the increase in the expression of TJ proteins in GC cells upon AT1R blockade. (**E** and **F)** Immunofluorescence staining further demonstrates the enhanced membrane localizations of Claudin 1, Claudin 4, and Zonula occludens 1 in losartan-treated GC cells compared to untreated controls. Original magnification, ×20. The green fluorescence intensity in maximum projection images of Z-stacks was quantified. The green channel was thresholded to create binary masks encompassing all cells, while excluding background, followed by measurement of the mean intensity in arbitrary fluorescence units, as shown in the bar diagram. Data represented as mean±SEM of three independent experiments and analyzed using 2-tailed *t* test. *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; ***$P < 0.001$; ****$P < 0.0001$. *ns*: no significance. GC, gastric cancer; TJ, tight junction.

Figure 4

Fig. 4. Loss of tight junction proteins negatively correlates with ATII/AT1R expression and GC progression. (A) IHC staining shows that the expression of TJ proteins Claudin 1, 3, 4, and Zonula occludens 1 is markedly decreased in human GC tissues compared to adjacent normal gastric tissues. The IHC score was analyzed and shown by the dot plot comparing IHC scores for Claudin 1 ($n = 26$ for NS; $n = 27$ for GC), 3 ($n = 19$ for NS; $n = 19$ for GC), 4 ($n = 21$ for NS; $n = 19$ for GC), and Zonula occludens 1 ($n = 22$ for NS; $n = 21$ for GC) in two groups: NS and GC. Original magnification, ×20. The IHC score for each TJ protein is significantly higher in normal stomach tissues compared to GC tissues. Each dot represents an individual data point, and the horizontal lines represent the median for each group. Data analyzed using 2-tailed t test. **(B)** Expression of ATII in human GC tissues shows an inverse correlation with the expression of TJ proteins, Claudin 1 ($n = 40$), 3 ($n = 40$), 4 ($n = 43$), and Zonula occludens 1 ($n = 44$). Correlation analysis between ATII expression and Claudins was performed using Pearson's correlation test and the values are displayed on the graph. **(C)** Expression of AT1R in human GC tissues shows an inverse correlation with the expression of TJ proteins, Claudin 1 ($n = 40$), 3 ($n = 40$), 4 ($n = 43$), and Zonula occludens 1 ($n = 44$). Correlation analysis between ATIR expression and Claudins was performed using Pearson's correlation test and the values are displayed on the graph. **(D)** IHC images and quantification of KLF4-positive cells indicate a gradual loss of KLF4 expression in GC tissues with disease progression. Original magnification, ×20. Significance was determined by 1-way ANOVA ($n = 64$). **(E)** Quantitative analysis reveals a strong positive correlation between the percentage of KLF4-positive cells and the expression of these TJ proteins, Claudin 1 ($n = 40$), 3 ($n = 40$), 4 ($n = 43$), and Zonula occludens 1 ($n = 43$) in GC tissues. Correlation analysis between KLF4 expression and Claudins was performed using Pearson's correlation test and the values are displayed on the graph. $**P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001$. *ns*: no significance. GC, gastric cancer; TJ, tight junction; NS, normal stomach; IHC, immunohistochemistry.

Figure 5

Fig. 5. Loss of KLF4 results in the transcriptional repression of tight junction-related genes in GC cells. (A) KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes reveals that the genes associated with tight junction interactions are significantly enriched in KLF4KO GC cells. (**B**) Gene ontology biological process analysis of differentially expressed genes shows a marked upregulation of pathways related to gene silencing by miRNA in KLF4KO cells. (**C**) GSEA plot demonstrates a negative enrichment of the KEGG TJ gene set in KLF4KO cells, indicating depletion of tight junction-associated transcripts in KLF4KOMKN45 cells. (**D**) The heat map shows the differential expression of tight junction-related genes in KLF4KOMKN45 cells versus MKN45 Scr cells; downregulation is particularly prominent across the claudin gene family. (**E**) Volcano plot highlights significantly downregulated tight junction genes (e.g., *CLDN2*, *CLDN3*, *CLDN4*, *PARD6A*, *CLDN23*, *CLDN18*, and *PRKCI*) and upregulated genes (e.g., *CLDN12*, *PATJ*) in KLF4KO GC cells. KLF4KOMKN45 GC cells with upregulated and downregulated genes are shown in red and blue colors respectively. **(F)** The violin plot depicts the overall reduction in the expression of tight junction-related genes in KLF4KO cells compared with wild type parental cells, consistent with impaired epithelial integrity following KLF4 loss. GC, gastric cancer; TJ, tight junction; GSEA, gene set enrichment analysis; KEGG, Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes.

Figure 6

Fig. 6. KLF4 regulates the expression of tight junction proteins in gastric cancer cells. (A) Western Blot analysis shows reduced expression of multiple TJ proteins, including Claudin 1, 3, 4, and Zonula occludens 1, in KLF4KOMKN45 and KLF4KONCI-N87 GC cells compared to scramble cells. **(B)** qRT-PCR analysis indicates significant downregulation of TJ genes, *CLDN1, CLDN3, CLDN4,* and *TJP1* at the transcript level in both KLF4KOMKN45 and KLF4KONCI-N87 cells. The quantification of mRNA levels of TJ genes was normalized to the housekeeping gene GAPDH. Data represented as mean±SD and analyzed using 1-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test and 2-tailed *t* test (n = 3) **(C)** Representative confocal images of immunofluorescence staining for TJ proteins in scrambled control and KLF4KOMKN45 cells. DAPI (Blue) is used as a nuclear stain. Original magnification, x20. Loss of membrane-localized TJ proteins is evident in KLF4KO cells, indicating disruption of junctional integrity. Insets show higher magnification of the selected regions. The green fluorescence intensity in maximum projection images of Z-stacks was quantified. The green channel was thresholded to create binary masks encompassing all cells, while excluding the background, followed by measurement of the mean intensity in arbitrary fluorescence units, as shown in the bar diagram. Data represented as mean±SEM of three independent experiments and analyzed using 2-tailed *t* test. **(D)** ChIP followed by PCR demonstrates the direct binding of KLF4 to the promoter regions of *CLDN1*, *CLDN3*, *CLDN4*, and *TJP1*, supporting the transcriptional regulation of TJ genes by KLF4. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001. GC, gastric cancer; TJ, tight junction; ChIP, chromatin immunoprecipitation; Scr, scrambled control.

Fig. 7. AT1R blockade induces KLF4 expression and nuclear localization in GC cells. (A and B) Western blot data and densitometry analysis show a significant increase in KLF4 expression over time following losartan treatment of MKN45 and NCI-N87 GC cells. Data represented as mean±SD. Significance was determined by 1-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test. (**C and D)** Confocal microscopy also confirms the nuclear enrichment of KLF4 in MKN45 and NCI-N87 cells upon treatment with losartan. Original magnification, x20. The green fluorescence intensity in maximum projection images of Z-stacks was quantified. The green channel was thresholded to create binary masks encompassing all cells, while excluding the background, followed by measurement of the mean intensity in arbitrary fluorescence units, as shown in the bar diagram. Data represented as mean±SEM. Significance was determined by 1-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test. *$^{***}P$ < 0.001; $^{****}P$ < 0.0001. GC, gastric cancer.

Fig. 8. Substantial expression of ATII, AT1R in orthotropic human gastric cancer xenografts. (A) Schematic representation of MKN45 and NCI-N87 GC orthotopic implantations followed by treatment with AT1R blockers in MKN45 and NCI-N87 tumor-bearing athymic mice (created by BioRender). **(B)** Mice transplanted with MKN45 and NCI-N87 cells show visible liver metastatic nodules. **(C)** Orthotopic GC tumors show high expression of ATII and AT1R (n = 11 per group). Original magnification, x20. **(D)** Immunohistochemistry shows a significant change in expression of KLF4 in MKN45 and NCI-N87 orthotopic tumors, which are highly metastatic to the liver. Strong KLF4 expression is observed in normal mouse stomach tissues (n = 11). Original magnification, x20. **(E)** *In vivo* administration of AT1R blockers, losartan and candesartan, for 28 consecutive days significantly reduces tumor growth in MKN45 and NCI-N87 orthotopic xenograft models, as measured by the wet tumor weight. Each dot represents a mouse. Data represented as mean±SEM. Significance was determined by 1-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test. **(F)** Treatment with losartan and candesartan also results in a significant reduction in distant metastasis. **(G)** qRT-PCR analysis shows significant upregulation of *KLF4, CLDN1, CLDN3, CLDN4, and TJP1* transcripts in GC tissues from losartan-treated mice compared to those from untreated mice. For the quantification of mRNA levels, genes were normalized to the housekeeping gene GAPDH. Data represented as mean±SD and analyzed using 1-way ANOVA with Turkey's multiple comparisons test (n = 3). **(H)** Western blot analysis further confirms the significant increase in the expression of KLF4, Claudin 1 and Claudin 4 in mouse GC tissues upon losartan treatment. *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; ***$P < 0.001$; ****$P < 0.0001$. GC, gastric cancer; IHC, immunohistochemistry; TJ, tight junction.

Supplementary figure 1

Fig. S1. KLF4 downregulation in GC is associated with poor patient prognosis. (**A**) Heatmap (generated using TCGA transcriptomic data) shows the expression profiles of Kruppel-like factor (*KLF*) family genes in normal gastric (*n* = 34) and primary stomach adenocarcinoma tissues (STAD) (*n* = 415). Data indicates a consistent change in the expression of *KLF4* (downregulation or loss) and *KLF5* (upregulation) in human GC tissues among 17 *KLFs* suggesting their involvement in gastric carcinogenesis (**B**) Box plot shows significantly decreased *KLF4* and significantly increased *KLF5* transcript levels in STAD tumors compared to normal tissues (data obtained from TCGA) and the stage-wise decrease in the expression of *KLF4* in STAD. (**C**) Representative IHC images collected from The Human Protein Atlas show a significant loss of KLF4 in malignant gastric cancer tissue. GC, gastric cancer; STAD, stomach adenocarcinoma; TCGA, the Cancer Genome Atlas; IHC, immunohistochemistry.

Supplementary figure 2

Fig. S2. Loss of KLF4 promotes cell proliferation and metastasis of GC cells. (A) Representative qRT-PCR and western blot images show the expression of KLF4 in different gastric cancer cell lines. KLF4 shows a varied pattern of expression in GC cells. Data represented as mean±SD. (**B and C**) Western blot and qRT-PCR analysis confirm the deletion of KLF4 in MKN45 and NCI-N87 cells after CRISPR/Cas9 mediated knockout. For the quantification of mRNA levels, KLF4 was normalized to the housekeeping gene GAPDH. Densitometric quantification of KLF4 protein levels normalized to GAPDH from the western blots. Significance was determined by 1-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test and 2-tailed *t* test (*n* = 3) (**D**) A significant decrease in cell doubling time and an increase in cell proliferation are observed in KLF4KOMKN45 and KLF4KONCI-N87 cells compared to their scrambled control cells (Scr). Significance was determined by 2-tailed *t* test (*n* = 3) (**E**) KLF4 knockout MKN45 and NCI-N87 cells (KLF4KOMKN45 and KLF4KONCI-N87) show markedly enhanced cell migration *in vitro*, as demonstrated by wound healing assays at 0 h and 24 h. Original magnification, x4. Transwell invasion assays revealed significantly increased invasive capacity in *KLF4*-deleted cells compared to scrambled cells. Original magnification, x20. Quantification of migrated and invaded cells is shown in bar graphs (right panels). Data represented as mean±SEM. Significance was determined by 2-tailed *t* test (migration, *n* = 3; invasion, *n* =9). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001;****P < 0.0001. GC, gastric cancer; Scr, scrambled control.

Fig. S3. ATII/AT1R activation is negatively associated with KLF4 and TJ proteins in GC. (A) The quantitative correlation analysis shows a significant negative correlation between the average IHC score for ATII/AT1R expression and the number of KLF4-positive cells. Correlation was performed using Pearson's correlation test and the values are displayed on the graph ($n = 46$). **(B)** cBioPortal data show that elevated mRNA expression of *AGT* and *AGTR1* is negatively correlated with *KLF4* expression in GC. *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; ***$P < 0.001$; ****$P < 0.0001$. GC, gastric cancer; TJ, tight junction.

Supplementary figure 4

Fig. S4. AT1R inhibition fails to restore the expression of TJ proteins and inhibit the metastatic capacity of KLF4-knockout GC cells. (A and B) Wound closure and transwell assay demonstrate that losartan treatment does not significantly alter the migratory and invasive potential of KLF4KO MKN45 and KLF4KONCI-N87 cells compared to untreated KLF4KOMKN45 and KLF4KONCI-N87 cells (magnification migration, x4; magnification invasion, x20) **(C)** The percentage of wound closure is calculated and shown as a bar diagram. Data represented as mean±SEM. Significance was determined by 2-tailed t test ($n = 12$). The cell invasive index was analyzed by counting the number of cells per microscopic field and is shown as a bar diagram. Data represented as mean±SEM. Significance was determined by 2-tailed t test ($n = 9$). **(D)** Western blot analysis demonstrates that there is no significant change in the expression levels of TJ proteins, Claudin 1, 3, 4, and Zonula occludens 1, in losartan-treated KLF4KOMKN45 and KLF4KONCI-N87 cells compared to untreated knockout cells. *ns*: no significance. GC, gastric cancer; TJs, tight junctions.

Fig. S5. Western blot analysis of TJ protein expression in normal mouse stomach tissue. Representative western blot data show the expression levels of Claudin 1, 3, 4, and Zonula occludens 1 in normal mouse stomach tissue. GAPDH was used as a loading control. TJ, tight junction.

Supplementary file S1: Genomic sequences containing KLF4 binding sites in tight junction genes

>NG_021418.1 Homo sapiens claudin 1 (CLDN1), RefSeqGene on chromosome

TCCGTGCGTGAGTGTGTCTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTAAATCATGTTGCTCTCTGGGTCTGTTTCCCAATCTG
TAGAGTGTAAAAGTCTCTGAGGCTCCTTGCAGAGACAAGTGATGGAACGACCTTGACAGAAGAGAGCAGAGAGAGGAA
AGAAGGGGGAGGAAGCCAAGCAAAGGAGAGAGAAATGGTGTGATGGGGGAGGAGACGCGGAGTTGGGTAGAAATGC
CTTTTAATAAGATATTGGGAAAAAAGTATTAAACCTAAAACTGCAGCTCTTGAAGGATCATTTTTTCATCTTTGTGTTAGG
ATTTACAAGTACAGTGCATAGTAATTTTTGGATAATTGGAGTGAATGAATGAAAAGCGTGAAACGCCTTACAGGA<mark>GCGA
GAAGATCCACGAGAGA</mark>AAGCGAGCAGGGACGCAGCTCTGGTGCCTGGTCCTGCCGGGTGGTCCCCACGCCGCCAGC
CGCGCGTTCCCCAACCGGGCGCTCCCGGCGCCCTCTCGGTGAGCCGCCCTGAAACCGCCAGGGGGCGCTCCCCGG
CTGCCGGGGCTGAGGC<mark>GGGCGGAGCTGCTTTAAATC</mark>GCGGCGCCCAGCGGTTCTGCGTCTCAGTTCCCGAGCCTGG
GAGCAACCGCAGCTTCTAGTATCCAGACTCCAGCGCCGCCCCGGGCGCGGACCCCAACCCCGACCCAGAGCTTCTCC
AGCGGCGGCGCAGCGAGCAGGGCTCCCCGCCTTAACTTCCTCCGCGGGGCCCAGCCACCTTCGGGAGTCCGGGTTG
CCCACCTGCAAACTCTCCGCCTTCTGCACCTGCCACCCCTGAGCCAGCGCGGGCGCCCGAGCGAGTCATGGCCAACG
CGGGGCTGCAGCTGTTGGGCTTCATTCTCGCCTTCCTGGGATGGATCGGCGCCATCGTCAGCACTGCCCTGCCCCAG
TGGAGGATTTACTCCTATGCCGGCGACAACATCGTGACCGCCCAGGCCATGTACGAGGGGCTGTGGATGTCCTGCGT
GTCGCAGAGCACCGGGCAGATCCAGTGCAAAGTCTTTGACTCCTTGCTGAATCTGAGCAGTGAGTGCACCCCCACC.

Matrix ID	Name	Score	Relative score	Sequence ID	Start	End	Strand	Binding site
MA0039.4	MA0039.4.KLF4	10.04055	0.8765289230236886	CLDN1	453	464	+	GTCCCCACGCCG

>NG_012023.1 Homo sapiens claudin 3 (CLDN3), RefSeqGene on chromosome

TAGGCTTAAGGGCATCTTTTGGGTACCTTTGCGCAGGCAGTCCCTGGCTTC<mark>TGGGCCCAGCAATTCCTG</mark>GGCTGGACA
ACCCCACCGCCCGGACTCAGGCTGAGCCTGTGCGACGTGTGTACGGGAGGGGCAGAGCAGCAGGTGCGCGGCTCCT
GCAAACAGCCGCGGCCACGCCCACCCTGGTCCTCCCCGCCCCGCCGTTCCGGGGTAATTGGCAGCGCCCCCATCCC
CACGCGGCACGTGCCAAAAGCGCCCAAAGTGGTGA<mark>GGAGAGAGAAGCCGTGTCAA</mark>ATTCTTTGGGATTTGCACCCCA
AGCCCCTGACGCATCCCGTCCTCGGTTTTGCCCCGGGAGAATTCATTCCCGAGCAGAGAGGGACAAGGACCGAGGGGC
GGGGGCTGGGTCAGGTCCCGCCCTT]CCTTGGCAACCCCCCTACCCCGGCGTTGTGGGCCGCGCAGGGCCAAGTCCT
TCCTCCCAGGACGCTCGGTGAAGGTGGGAGGCAGGGGCCACGTCACTGTCCTTAGGCCCTGGAGAGCGCGGCTCCG
CCCCTACCGCCCCCACCGGAGCGCTGGGCACTTAGCTAAGACGCACCGGCCCCAGCCCAGGGCCAGCCCAGTCGCC
GCCGCCCGCCCACAAAGCCACAGGCAGGTGCAGGCGCAGCCGCGGCGAGAGCGTATGGAGCCGAGCCGTTAGCGC
GCGCCGTCGGTGAGTCAGTCCGTCCGTCCGTCCGTCGGGGCGCCGCAGCTCCCGCCAGGCCCAGCGGCCCC
GGCCCCTCGTCTCCCCGCACCCGGAGCCACCCGGTGGAGCGGGCCTTGCCGCGGCAGCCATGTCCATGGGCCTGGA
GATCACGGGCACCGCGCTGGCCGTGCTGGGCTGGCTGGGCACCATCGTGTGCTGCGCGTTGCCCATGTGGCGCGTG
TCGGCCTTCATCGGCAGCAACATCATCACGTCGCAGAACATCTGGGAGGGCCTGTGGATGAACTGCGTGGTGCAGAG
CACCGGCCAGATGCAGTGCAAGGTGTACGACTCGCTGCTGGCACTGCCACAGGACCTTCAGGCGGCCCGCGCCCTCA
TCGTGGTGGCCA.

Matrix ID	Name	Score	Relative score	Sequence ID	Start	End	Strand	Binding site
MA0039.4	MA0039.4.KLF4	12.289534	0.9221905102998987	CLDN3	186	197	+	CTCCCCGCCCCG

>NG_012868.1 Homo sapiens claudin 4 (CLDN4), RefSeqGene on chromosome

TTGTGCCACACAGCACCCCTTTGCAGTCAAGCTCCCTCAGCACCACCACCTCCACCCTGGAAGAGTTCCCCCTTCCCTTT
GAAATCTCATGGGACTTTGCACCCACTCTGGCTTTATTGGAAGGCTTTGTATGTCTCCACAGGGTAAACACCCATTTACT
GGGGTGATGATGTCTCCAGGATCTAGTTCATGTTTGTCGTTGGTGACTGGCCCCACCCAGTTCTGGGCAAGCAGGCTG
GATCCCGGCAGGAACAGAGCCCACCAGCCTAAACTTCCATGGAGGTGGAGAGGGGACAGGCTTCTGTCTCTTTTTGGC
TGAAGGTGCATCATGTCCAAGGCCCCTCTTCTAGCCAAGCAGAGAAGCTGGGTGATAAGGATGGGTGAGAGTGGGTGA
TGTACCCCGGAGTCCTGGCCTCCCGGCTCCTCACTCCCCTACACGTAACTTTATCCGGCCAATGCCGCAAAGACTGCTG
GTGAGGCCAGATGCATGAGTGATCATACTCACAACAGTCGTGAAACTGCCAGTGATGAAACTGGTAAGGACAAGAAATG
ACAATA<mark>ATCAAGGTGGGGTTTCTCGT</mark>GGACGTTTCCAAGACTTCATTCTCAAATTCTCTCCCTCAGGGTCCCCACCCTGT
CCTCCCACCTAAGCCTGGAATGAGGGGGCACTGGCCTGTGGGGACCCTGGTCTTCAGGCTCCCAAACCTGGCTGGGT
CTGGTTGCCC<mark>CCTGGCCTTAACCTGTGAAC</mark>ATCCAGCTGTCCCTGGGCTGTGATTCAGTGTCTGTCTCCCGGGTGACCT
CAGCATGGGCTTTGAGGAAGGGGAGAGAGTAGTTTCTTCTGAGACTGGATAGTGACTCAGGGACCCGGGGCTGGGGC
CTCAAAAGTGCCTTTGTTGGCCTGGGCTCAGGAATCCAGAGAAACTGGTCAGGAGGAGGCCCCAGTGACAAAAACCCC
TCCCTCTGCCCCGCCCCTCTGCCAGAGCCATATAACTGCTCAACCTGTCCCCGAGAGAGAGTGCCCTGGCAGCTGTC
GGCTGGAAGGAACTGGTCTGCTCACACTTGCTGGCTTGCGCATCAGGACTGGCTTTATCTCCTGACTCACGGTGCAAAG
GT.

Matrix ID	Name	Score	Relative score	Sequence ID	Start	End	Strand	Binding site
MA0039.4	MA0039.4.KLF4	14.489207	0.9668509516445771	CLDN4	893	904	+	GTCCCCACCCTG

>NC_000015.10 Homo sapiens chromosome 15, GRCh38.p14 Primary Assembly (TJP1)

ACTCCCCATCTGCCTG<mark>CTAGGTGGCCTTCCTGCATTT</mark>ACTGCCACATCCCTTCCTAGCCAACTGCTCCCTGTCTAGAC
GGAAGCCACCCAGTGTCAACGTCTGTGTCTCCTCACCTGAGTCATCTCCATCTGCTCAGTGCCTTGTGTACTGCATTA
AGTACTTGCTCAGAGGTCAAGAGGAGGAACTGACTCACGCAGCATTAGTGGATGTTCACTAAGCCTTCTGTTGATTGT
AA<mark>CGTTAGTATAGGGGAAGGGCAAA</mark>AGCAGAATTCTGACCCTAAAGCTTGGCCATCTGAATGAACTCACTGCACCATG
CTCAGTGCTGTGGGAAGATGGTTAGGCAACAGAAATGTCCTTAGGAAGGAGCTCTAGGTATCTACACCTGACCAGGT
GTTCATGCCACATACTAAAAGGTAGGGGGACAAAAAACAGACTTACTGAGTTGTGTCCCTTTCACAATAAATTGTTTCC
CTAATTTATCTAAATGAAAACTGTCTTATTTAGGCCTTATATATGTGTGTCTGTGTTTACAATAAGGACACATTTAAAACA
GAAAACTCAACACCTATATCATACTACACATAAAGTTAACTCAAAGTGGATCACAGACATAAATATAAAACAAAAAATTC
TGAAACTTGAGAAGTCTTTACACTTTGGGCTAAGTGAAGATTTCTCTTAGGATAGAACATCTTAGTGAAGATATGACAAA
AGCATAATCTATGAAAGAACAAACTGATTAAACTAGACTTCATCAAACTGAAGTCAAGCGAAATGAAGTAACGTTTACA
CAAAAACCTGTACGCAAATGTTTATAGCAGTTTACTAATAATCTCCCAAACCTGGAAACAACCCAGAAGTTCCTTAACTG
GGGAATGGATAAACAAGCTCTGGTACAGCCACACAATGGAATACCACCTGGCAATAAAAAAGAACCTGCAACATCATA
CATGAATCTCAAATGCATCATGCTAAGTGAAATAAGCCAGACTCAAAGGCAACATATTGTATGATTCCATTTATATGACA
CTGTCAAAAAGGCAACTATAGAAACAGAAGAGATCATCCTGCCAGGGGCTGGAAGAAGGGTTGAGTATAAAGGGGTA
CAAATGATTAGAGAAGATAAAGAAACTGTTGTATGTATTAACCGTGGTAAATAAACAGTATGTGTTTGTCAAAATTTGAA
CTATACATTTTAAAAACAGTAACTTACTCTATGTAAATTATACCTTAACAAAGATGAAAAAATAAAATCCACATAGATGG
ATTCAGTCCACAAAGGTGTTTACTGAAACACTATAGCAATAATCCAAATGTCAACAGGGGTGGCAGTTAAGTAAGTAGG
ATGTCCACAATGGAATATTTATGCTCACTAAACCCATTTTCTTCCCCCAAATGTGGGT.

Matrix ID	Name	Score	Relative score	Sequence ID	Start	End	Strand	Binding site
MA0039.3	MA0039.3.KLF4	6.9804773	0.8127306	TJP1	42	52	+	CCACATCCCTT

Table S1. List of antibodies used for immunohistochemistry, western-blot and immunofluorescence analyses.

Primary Antibody	Dilution			Source	Catalogue No
	Western Blot	Immunohistochemistry	Immunofluorescence		
Angiotensin II/III Antibody (Ang II E7 (BGN/KA/4L))	1:800	1:100	-	Novus Biologicals, CO	NB 100-62346
Anti-Angiotensin II Type 1 Receptor antibody	1:1000	1:50	-	Abcam, MA	ab124505
Anti-KLF4 antibody	1:500	1:100	1:800	Abcam, MA	ab216968
KLF4 Antibody	1:1000	1:100	1:800	Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA	4038S
CLDN1 monoclonal antibody (M01), clone 1C5-D9	1:1000	1:100	1:600	Abnova, Taipei, Taiwan	H00009076-M01
Claudin-3 Antibody (OTI1E7)	1:1000	1:100	-	Novus Biologicals, CO	NBP2-46299
Anti-Claudin 4 antibody	1:1000	1:100	1:600	Abcam, MA	ab53156
Tight Junction Protein 1 Antibody	1:1000	1:100	1:500	Novus Biologicals, CO	NBP2-80141

Table S2. Sequences of primers used in qRT-PCR

Primer Name	Accession & Definition	Primer Pair Sequence 5'-3'(Sense/Antisense)	Fragment Size (bp)
KLF4	NM_001314052.2	CATCTCAAGGCACACCTGCGAA TCGGTCGCATTTTTGGCACTGG	156
CLDN1	NM_021101.5	GTCTTTGACTCCTTGCTGAATCTG CACCTCATCGTCTTCCAAGCAC	144
CLDN3	NM_001306.4	GCCTTCATCGGCAGCAACATCA AGCGAGTCGTTACACCTTGCACT	111
CLDN4	NM_001305.5	AGTGCAAGGTGTACGACTCGCT CGCTTTCATCCTCCAGGCAGTT	150
TJP1	XM_054330054.1	GTCCAGAATCTCGGAAAAGTGCC CTTTCAGCGCACCATACCAACC	132
AGT	NM_001384479.1	TGGACAGCACCCTGGCTTTCAA ACACTGAGGTGCTGTTGTCCAC	111
AGTR1	NM_000685.5	CAGCGTCAGTTTCAACCTGTACG GCAGGTGACTTTGGCTACAAGC	130
GAPDH	NM_001289746.2	GTCTCCTCTGACTTCAACAGCG ACCACCCTGTTGCTGTAGCCAA	131

Table S3. Sequences of primers used in ChIP assay

Primer Name	Primer Pair Sequence 5'-3'(Sense/Antisense)	Location (nt)	Fragment Size(bp)
CLDN1	GCGAGAAGATCCACGAGAGA GATTTAAAGCAGCTCCGCCC	chr3:190322467-190322657	191
CLDN3	TGGGCCCAGCAATTCCTG TTGACACGGCTTCTCTCTCC	chr7:73770586-73770819	234
CLDN4	ATCAAGGTGGGGTTTCTCGT GTTCACAGGTTAAGGCCAGG	chr7:73830553+73830733	181
TJP1	CTAGGTGGCCTTCCTGCATTT TTTGCCCTTCCCCTATACTAACG	chr15:27489152+27489394	243