1 The bromodomain protein Bdf3 is sufficient to activate expression of a procyclin gene in
2 bloodstream stage African trypanosomes
3

4 Evan J. Kim[1], Ethan L. Goroza[1], Ashley Y. Tan[1], Jolyne J. Lin[1], Kieran C. Saucedo[1],
5 Fuminori Tanizawa[1], Jaclyn E. Smith[2], Danae Schulz[1,*]
6
7 [1]Department of Biology, Harvey Mudd College, Claremont, CA
8 [2]Institute for Genome Sciences, University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD
9 [*]Corresponding author, dschulz@g.hmc.edu

Abstract

The early branching eukaryotic parasite, *Trypanosoma brucei*, lives extracellularly in the
mammalian host, where it expresses variant surface glycoprotein (VSG). Antigenic
variation of VSGs allows the parasite to evade the host immune response while in the
bloodstream. Upon transition to the tsetse fly vector, the parasite remodels its surface to
express procyclin protein from a limited set of *EP* and *GPEET* genes. While the
environmental signals that trigger the switch from expressing *VSG* genes to expressing
EP and *GPEET* genes are known, the molecular mechanism that initiates transcription of
the *EP* and *GPEET* genes during parasite differentiation to the procyclic stage within the
tsetse is not well understood. Previous work has shown that the chromatin interacting
bromodomain protein Bdf3 is absent from the pol I promoter of the *EP* locus in
bloodstream parasites, but appears there shortly after initiation of differentiation from the
bloodstream to the procyclic stage. Here, we show that tethering of Bdf3 to the *EP*
promoter using a dCas9-Bdf3 fusion protein and a guide RNA is sufficient to increase
transcript levels of *EP1* in bloodstream parasites, where the gene is normally silenced.
This result supports the model that Bdf3 appears at the *EP* locus during differentiation to
facilitate initiation of transcription, which is consistent with the role for Bdf3 as a
transcriptional activator in other systems. Understanding gene regulatory mechanisms in
this early branching eukaryote may help build a more complete picture for the conserved
and unique features of gene regulatory proteins across diverse biological systems.

Importance

34
The *Trypanosoma brucei* parasite is transmitted to a mammalian host via the tsetse fly
vector, where it causes African trypanosomiasis, a fatal disease that causes a severe
human and economic burden for people living in in areas of sub-Saharan African. While
drug treatments have improved for some strains, some infections still require treatment
with drugs that have serious side effects. One avenue for drug development is to try and
manipulate the lifecycle of the parasite to make it poorly adapted to the mammalian host.
However, this requires detailed knowledge of the mechanisms by which parasites
regulate their genes as they progress through the lifecycle. Here, we show that the DNA
interacting bromodomain protein Bdf3 may be a key driver for turning on insect-specific
genes that code for insect-stage surface proteins. This finding could aid in the

development of lifecycle manipulating drugs, and shed light on how gene regulatory
mechanisms evolved.
47
Introduction
48
49
Numerous parasites transition between hosts as part of their natural life cycle. Because
host environments can differ dramatically with respect to temperature, pH, and nutrient
availability, parasites that transition between hosts must evolve systems to adapt to
differing environments. One such parasite is the African trypanosome, *Trypanosoma*
brucei. T. brucei spp. are unicellular, eukaryotic protozoan parasites that infect humans
and ungulates and cause Human and Animal African Trypanosomiasis disease. African
trypanosomiasis is usually fatal, and imposes a severe human and economic burden for
people living in Sub-Saharan Africa, where the disease is endemic (1, 2). *T. brucei* cycle
between a tsetse fly vector and the bloodstream of a mammalian host, where they live
extracellularly. Compared to the tsetse, the bloodstream environment of the mammalian
host is warmer and glucose rich, and the parasite must contend with a robust antibody
response mounted by the host immune system. The parasite has evolved to live in the
mammalian bloodstream by antigenically varying the proteins on its surface, which are
coded for by a large repertoire of Variant Surface Glycloprotein (*VSG*) genes (3).
Antigenic variation of VSG surface proteins allows bloodstream parasites to successfully
evade the host antibody response. Upon transition to the fly midgut following a tsetse
bloodmeal, the parasites alter their glucose metabolism (4–7) and express a far more
limited repertoire of procyclin surface proteins coded by the *GPEET* and *EP* genes (8).
GPEET proteins contain a pentapeptide repeat of the GPEET amino acid sequence, while
EP proteins contain a dipeptide repeat (8, 9). *GPEET, EP1, EP2,* and *EP3* are all co-
expressed within the first few hours after differentiation to the procyclic form. GPEET
protein predominates on the parasite surface early in development, and this protein is
replaced with EP isoforms later in development within the midgut (10). Since the tsetse
lacks an antibody-based immune response, antigenic variation of *VSG* genes is no longer
required, and instead the GPEET and EP procyclin proteins shield the parasites from
proteases expressed by the tsetse (11). Thus, remodeling of the parasite surface is
essential to survive following transition to either the bloodstream or the tsetse
environments.
78
While it has been established that expression of *GPEET* and *EP* genes is transcriptionally
and developmentally regulated (12, 13), the molecular mechanism that initiates
transcription of these genes following parasite transition to the fly is poorly understood.
Unusually for a eukaryote, the *GPEET* and *EP* protein coding genes are transcribed by
Pol I (12, 14–16). Because most protein coding genes in *T. brucei* are transcribed by Pol
II in polycistronic units with limited transcriptional regulation (reviewed in (17) and recently
corroborated with SLAM-seq (18)), the transcriptionally controlled procyclin genes offer a
unique opportunity to examine transcriptional regulation in a highly diverged, early
branching eukaryote.
88

Despite the lack of transcriptional control for pol II genes, *T. brucei* parasites do harbor
much of the machinery associated with the histone code that is best characterized in
model systems such as yeast, worms, and flies (19). This machinery includes numerous
post-translational modifications of histone proteins (20) as well as proteins that read,
write, and erase those modifications (21–28). One of the best characterized domains
found in proteins that act as chromatin readers is the bromodomain, which recognizes
acetylated lysines on histone tails and has an established role in gene activation (29–33).
In murine models, bromodomain proteins maintain cell identity and help regulate
differentiation (34–36).
98
Bromodomain proteins have also been examined in protozoan parasites, where it has
been found that inhibition via small molecules or genetic manipulation results in defects
in transcription for *Toxoplasma gondii* (37) and *Leishmania mexicana (38)* as well as
developmental blocks in *Trypanosoma cruzi* (39, 40) and *Plasmodium falciparum* (41,
42). Small molecule inhibition of bromodomain proteins causes defects in egg
development in *Schistosoma japonicum (43)*. In *T. brucei*, bromodomain proteins are also
involved in differentiation processes, both in bloodstream (25) and in procyclic (44)
stages. *T. brucei* contains 7 proteins with bromodomains identified through homology (21,
26, 45). Bdf1-6 bind transcription start sites in bloodstream parasites (21, 25, 26), while
Bdf7 localizes to transcription termination regions (26). Staneva et al. classified Bdf1,
Bdf3, and Bdf4 as Class I transcription start region associated factors because of the
sharp, high peaks observed in ChIP-seq experiments using antibodies against Bdf1, Bdf3,
and Bdf4. Based on their genomic distribution, the authors hypothesized that Bdf1, Bdf3,
and Bdf4 were likely to be involved in transcription initiation (26). Bdf2, Bdf5, and Bdf6
showed broader distributions, and thus were classified as Class II transcription start
region associated factors. Bdf2, Bdf5, and Bdf6 were hypothesized to be involved in
transcriptional elongation based on this distribution (26). Based on their genomic
localization at pol II transcription start sites and association with transcriptional activation,
we hypothesized that bromodomain proteins in *T. brucei* might also be important for
initiating transcription of pol I driven procyclin genes that are transcriptionally activated as
parasites transition from the bloodstream to the insect stage.
120
Using CUT&RUN (46), we followed the genomic localization of the bromodomain protein
Bdf3 in pleomorphic parasites induced to differentiate from the bloodstream to the
procyclic stage via treatment with cis-aconitate and incubation at 27ºC (47). We found
that both the *EP* locus and the *GPEET* locus lacked genomic occupancy of Bdf3 in
bloodstream parasites. 3 hours after differentiation was induced, we observed *de novo*
occupancy of Bdf3 at both the *EP* locus and the *GPEET* locus. Indeed, these were the
only loci analyzed where Bdf3 was consistently absent from a genomic site in
bloodstream parasites but present following induction of differentiation (48). While the
presence of Bdf3 at the *EP* and *GPEET* loci implicates the protein as contributing to
initiation of transcription at that locus, its mere presence does not definitively prove its
ability to increase transcript levels of procyclin genes. We thus set out to test whether
Bdf3 was sufficient to increase transcript levels at the *EP1* locus in bloodstream parasites,

where the locus should normally be silenced. We engineered a tethering system inspired by CRISPR activation-based systems developed for other organisms (49, 50) and introduced it into a previously validated reporter system for *EP1* transcript levels (51). This system allowed us to artificially drag Bdf3 to the *EP1* locus in bloodstream parasites, where *EP1* is normally silenced. Here, we show that Bdf3 is sufficient to increase transcript levels of *EP1* under these conditions. This system can be used as a platform to study transcriptional activation for a host of other putative activators.

Results

The dCas9-Bdf3 fusion protein is inducibly expressed in *Trypanosoma brucei*

Previous work in our lab showed that Bdf3 is absent from the *EP1* promoter in bloodstream parasites (48). After treatment with cis-aconitate and incubation at 27°C to induce differentiation to the procyclic form, Bdf3 localizes to the *EP* locus at 3 hours post induction and remains there until differentiation is completed at 72h post-induction (48). However, whether Bdf3 occupancy at the *EP1* locus is sufficient to increase transcript levels of the *EP1* gene is unknown. In order to test whether Bdf3 is sufficient to induce expression of *EP1* in bloodstream parasites, we cloned a construct that would allow us to artificially recruit Bdf3 to the *EP1* locus in *EP1/GFP* reporter bloodstream parasites (51). To do this, we fused the entire Bdf3 open reading frame to a catalytically dead version of the Cas9 protein (dCas9) using a flexible GS linker (52). The dCas9 protein is recruited to a specific genomic locus using a guide RNA, but two mutations in the active site prevent dCas9 from creating a double strand break at that location (49, 53). In model systems, fusion of dCas9 to transcriptional activation domains (CRISPR activation) or transcriptional inhibition domains (CRISPR inhibition) and targeting of these fusion proteins to a gene promoter using a guide RNA modulates transcription for the target gene (49, 50). CRISPR activation and CRISPR inhbition systems have been successfully adapted in mammalian cells, plants (54), and in the protozoan parasite *Plasmodium falciparum* (55). Excellent work adapting CRISPR-Cas9 systems for genome editing in *T. brucei* (56–58) allowed us to take advantage of previously built constructs to set up the CRISPR activation system. To our knowledge, we are the first to adapt a dCas9-based CRISPR-activation system to *T. brucei*.

To address whether Bdf3 can increase transcript levels at a silent locus, our FLAG tagged dCas9-Bdf3 fusion construct was cloned into a vector that allows inducible expression using the tetracycline-on system (tet-on) (Fig. 1A, top) (59). The construct was stably integrated into the genome using homology to the rDNA locus. An additional FLAG tagged dCas9 construct was integrated to use as a control. Treatment for 1 day or 2 days with doxycycline (dox) showed inducible expression of both the dCas9-Bdf3 fusion protein and the dCas9 protein in transfected parasites, with both proteins appearing at the expected size (Fig.1B, Fig. 1C). We did not observe background expression of dCas9-Bdf3 in the - Dox condition for any of the three clones (Fig. 1B). We observed a robust expression increase in dCas9 protein levels after doxycycline treatment, although a faint band was

observed in both clones in uninduced samples (-dox). Thus, we proceeded with clone 1.
As an additional control, we cloned the Bdf3 open reading frame into the same vector that
was used for both dCas9-Bdf3 and dCas9 alone and tested inducible expression by
western blot (Fig. 1D). We observed the lowest level of background expression in Clone
2, as evidenced by undetectable protein in the -Dox condition, and so further experiments
continued with this clone. Once inducible expression was verified for both the dCas9-Bdf3
strain and the dCas9-alone strain, we transfected both strains with guide RNAs targeted
to either the *EP1* promoter or a locus unrelated to differentiation. We chose the *NEO* locus
to use as the unrelated control. The *NEO* gene was previously used to select for
integration of the T7 polymerase and tet repressor (59), which is present in the
background of the *EP1/GFP* reporter strain. Guide RNA constructs were designed to
target the *EP1* promoter (12, 14, 16, 60) or the *NEO* gene using the Eukaryotic Pathogen
CRISPR guide RNA/DNA Design Tool (61). Tet/dox inducible guide RNA constructs were
stably integrated into the trypanosome genome using selection with phleomycin (Fig. 1A),
as in (56).
192

Figure 1. The dCas9-Bdf3 fusion protein and the dCas9 protein are inducibly expressed.
(A) Schematic of constructs integrated into the *T. brucei* genome in order to inducibly express the dCas9-Bdf3 fusion protein and the guide RNAs. T7p, T7 promoter, Tet op, tetracycline operator Blast^R, *BSD* gene to generate resistance to blasticidin. Phleo^R, *BLE* gene encoding resistance to phleomycin. Both constructs are targeted to the rDNA locus. (B) Western blot of *T. brucei* extracts transfected with the dCas9-Bdf3 fusion construct and harvested at the indicated timepoints following treatment with 1µg/ml dox. 3 independent clones were analyzed along with a parental control that was not transfected with the dCas9-Bdf3 construct (labeled Parental). Blots were probed with anti-FLAG to detect dCas9-Bdf3 and anti-tubulin to detect tubulin protein. (C) Western blot of *T. brucei* extracts transfected with the dCas9 alone construct and harvested at the indicated timepoints following treatment with 1µg/ml dox. 2 independent clones were analyzed and an extract containing a dCas9-Bdf3 construct was used as a positive control (labeled dB). Blots were probed with anti-FLAG to detect dCas9 and dCas9-Bdf3 and anti-tubulin to detect tubulin protein as a loading control. (D) Western blot of *T. brucei* extracts transfected with the Bdf3 construct and harvested after 48h of treatment with 1µg/ml dox. – indicates untreated samples. 3 independent clones were analyzed (labeled C1, C2, and C3) along with a parental control that was not transfected with the Bdf3 construct. An extract containing a dCas9-Bdf3 construct was used as a positive control (labeled dB). Blots were probed with anti-FLAG to detect Bdf3 and dCas9-Bdf3 and anti-H3 to detect histone H3 as a loading control.

The bromodomain protein Bdf3 is sufficient to increase transcript levels of *EP1/GFP* when targeted to the *EP1* locus

To ascertain whether Bdf3 is sufficient to increase transcript levels of *EP1/GFP* bloodstream parasites where it should not be highly expressed, we measured *EP1/GFP* levels by flow cytometry in *EP1/GFP* reporter parasites harboring either *EP1* guides or *NEO* guides in both dCas9-Bdf3 strains and dCas9 alone strains. 3 different clones were chosen to analyze for each guide RNA tested, and dox was used to induce expression of both the guide RNA and either the dCas9-Bdf3 fusion protein, or the dCas9 alone protein. Flow cytometry measurements were taken after 48h and 72h of dox treatment. For most clones, additional biological replicates were analyzed, except in the case where a clone was inadvertently lost. For each sample, the mean GFP fluorescence intensity was obtained for the +Dox treatment where dCas9-Bdf3, dCas9 alone and the guide RNA were induced, and also the uninduced -Dox treatment used as the control. The fold change in mean GFP fluorescence intensity for the +Dox versus the -Dox samples was computed and is shown in Fig. 2. We found that there was a significant increase in +Dox/-Dox fold changes for mean GFP fluorescence intensity at both time points in dCas9-Bdf3 parasites targeted to the *EP1* locus using two different guide RNAs (dCas9-Bdf3 EP1-1 and dCas9-Bdf3 EP1-3) when compared to control dCas9-Bdf3 parasites targeted to the *NEO* locus (dCas9-Bdf3 NEO-1) (Fig. 2, S1 Fig., S1 Table, S2 Table). This result indicates that the increase in *EP1/GFP* expression occurs only when the fusion protein is targeted to the *EP1* locus, and is thus locus dependent. We also found that there was a significant increase in +Dox/-Dox fold changes in mean GFP fluorescence intensity when dCas9-Bdf3 parasites targeted to the *EP1* locus were compared to dCas9 alone parasites targeted to the *EP1* locus at both timepoints, indicating that dCas9 alone is not sufficient to increase *EP1/GFP* and that Bdf3 is also required (Fig. 2, S1 Table, S2 Table). We do not have evidence that overexpression of Bdf3 alone is sufficient to increase transcript levels of *EP1/GFP*, as there was no significant difference in mean GFP fluorescence intensity fold changes for Bdf3 alone parasites compared to dCas9 alone parasites targeted to either *NEO1* or *EP1* (S1 and S2 Tables). Lastly, there is no significant difference in +Dox/-Dox fold changes in dCas9 alone parasites targeted to *EP1* compared to dCas9 alone parasites targeted to the *NEO* locus. We conclude that Bdf3 is sufficient to increase transcript levels of *EP1/GFP* when targeted to the *EP1* locus. Given that Bdf3 appears at the *EP1* locus after differentiation from the bloodstream to the procyclic stage is initiated (48), our data support a model in which Bdf3 facilitates transcription at the *EP1* locus once differentiation has been triggered.

253
Figure 2. dCas9-Bdf3 targeted to the *EP1* locus is sufficient to increase *EP1/GFP* transcript
levels in bloodstream *T. brucei* parasites. Quantification of flow cytometry data processed to
compute the fold change in mean fluorescence intensity of parasites treated with dox vs untreated
parasites. 1μg/ml dox treatment was used to induce expression of dCas9-Bdf3, dCas9 alone,
Bdf3 alone and guide RNAs targeted to either the *EP1* locus (EP1-1 and EP1-3 guides) or the
NEO locus (NEO-1 guide). 3 independent clones were analyzed for each the dCas9-Bdf3 and
dCas9 alone strains. Only one clone of Bdf3 alone was analyzed with 3 biological replicates. Dots
are color coded such that biological replicates for the same clone are the same color. Data was
analyzed using ANOVA followed by a Tukey HSD (Honestly Significant Difference) post-hoc
analysis. Two different ANOVA analyses were performed, one for 48h and one for 72h dox
treatment. *, p < 0.05, **, p < 0.01, ***, p < 0.001, n.s. not significant. The figure indicates the
most relevant statistical comparisons. A full set of p values for each comparison is provided in S1
Table and S2 Table.
267
268

Expression of the dCas9-Bdf3 fusion protein targeted to the *EP1* locus causes a mild growth defect

In order to assess whether expression of *EP1/GFP* induced by dCas9-Bdf3 causes a growth defect, we performed growth assays on both *EP1* and *NEO* guide RNA parasites expressing either the dCas9-Bdf3 fusion protein or dCas9 alone. We observed a mild growth defect in dCas9-Bdf3 parasites targeted to *EP1* after 48h of induction, while this growth defect was not observed in any other strain we tested (Fig. 3). We do not think the growth defect is caused solely from the expression of the dCas9-Bdf3 fusion protein because parasites induced to express dCas9-Bdf3 targeted to the *NEO* locus do not exhibit a growth defect.

Multiple researchers have shown that expression of more than one VSG protein can lead to growth defects (62–65), and it's possible that the expression of both *VSG* and *EP1* might cause a similar phenotype. Another possibility relates to the growth arrested nature of stumpy parasites, which are an intermediate stage that occurs when bloodstream parasites grow to high density; these parasites have been shown to pre-express *EP1* prior to transition to the fly (66). While the monomorph strains we used in this experiment do not form a true stumpy intermediate, the increased transcription of *EP1* might cause the parasites to acquire some stumpy-characteristics, causing a reduction in growth. Small molecules that induce expression of *EP1* have also been associated with growth defects (51). A study using a procyclin reporter also found that some compounds that induced procyclin reporter activity also inhibited growth of the parasites (67). Thus, while we do not know the exact mechanism for the observed growth defect in dCas9-Bdf3 parasites targeted to the *EP1* locus, other studies have shown that growth inhibition is often associated with an increase in *EP1* transcript levels.

Figure 3. Expression of dCas9-Bdf3 targeted to the *EP1* locus causes a growth defect after induction of dCas9-Bdf3 and the guide RNA. Parasites were seeded at 100,000 cells/ml with or without 1μg/ml dox and grown for 24h before being counted and diluted back to 100,000 cells/ml over the course of 5 days. A Student t-test was used to compared -dox and + dox samples for each parasite strain at each time point. *, $p < 0.05$, **, $p < 0.01$, ***, $p < 0.001$. n.s. not significant.

Transcript levels of *EP1, GPEET, and PAG2* are increased after expression of dCas9-Bdf3 targeted to the *EP1* locus.

To verify the flow cytometry results, we measured *EP1* transcript levels directly using q-PCR on parasites induced to express dCas9-Bdf3 targeted to the *EP1* locus or to the control *NEO* locus following 3 days of Dox treatment. We found that both *EP1* and *GFP* transcript levels were higher in parasites induced to express dCas9-Bdf3 and a guide RNA targeted to *EP1* compared to uninduced parasites (Fig. 4A). Parasites induced to express dCas9-Bdf3 and a guide RNA targeted to the control *NEO* locus did not show an increase in expression of either *EP1* or *GFP*, as expected (Fig. 4B). In addition, expression of a control gene *SF1* (splicing factor 1) that was not expected to change following induction of dCas9-Bdf3 showed no significant change in expression when dCas9-Bdf3 was targeted to either the *EP1* locus or the *NEO* locus (Fig. 4A, Fig. 4B). Because the promoter sequence for *EP1* and *GPEET* are highly similar, we expected that guide RNAs targeted to the *EP1* promoter may also tether dCas9-Bdf3 to the *GPEET* locus, resulting in an increase in *GPEET* expression when both dCas9-Bdf3 and the guide RNA are induced with dox. This hypothesis was supported by an increase in expression of the *GPEET2* gene following induction of both dCas9-Bdf3 and the *EP1* guide RNA (Fig. 4A). No increase in *GPEET2* expression levels was observed in parasites induced to target dCas9-Bdf3 to the *NEO* locus. Given that the *PAG2* gene is found in the same polycistronic unit as *EP1*, we measured the expression levels of *PAG2* following induction of dCas9-Bdf3 targeted to the *EP1* locus. Expression levels of *PAG2* were significantly increased in dCas9-Bdf3 parasites expressing guide RNAs targeted to *EP1* compared to uninduced parasites (Fig. 4A). These changes were not observed when dCas9-Bdf3 was targeted to the control *NEO* locus (Fig. 4B). The observed increase in *EP* and *GPEET* expression in parasites expressing dCas9-Bdf3 targeted to the *EP1* locus are not as large as the changes observed in expression of these genes as parasites are fully differentiating from the bloodstream to the insect stage (51, 68, 69). This observation is consistent with the fact that multiple modes of stabilizing *EP* and *GPEET* transcripts are in play in fully differentiating parasites. Stabilization of *EP* and *GPEET* transcripts has been shown to be mediated through UTR sequences (70–72) and trans-acting factors (73, 74). Environmental factors such as nutrient availability can also influence *GPEET* transcript stability (72, 75). Thus, it is not surprising that transcript levels of *GPEET* and *EP1* observed in dCas9-Bdf3 *EP1* targeted parasites are not as high transcript levels observed in differentiating parasites. Finally, multiple different bromodomain protein complexes have been shown to localize to pol II promoters (26). It is possible that additional transcriptional activators, in addition to Bdf3 and its associated complex members, are required to achieve high transcript levels at the *EP1* promoter or pol I promoters in general.

Previous work in our lab has showed that treatment of *T. brucei* parasites with either spironolactone or eflornithine causes an increase in expression of *EP1, GFP, and PAG2,* and that these changes are accompanied by other changes in transcript levels that occur as parasites transition from the bloodstream to the insect stage (51). These changes

include a decrease in expression of genes that are important in the glycolysis pathway,
including *PYK1, HK1, ALD*, and *GIM5B*. We were surprised to see that transcript levels
of *HK1, GIM5B and ALD* were slightly decreased in parasites where dCas9-Bdf3 was
induced and targeted to the *EP1* locus (Fig. 4A). No significant changes in transcript levels
for these genes was observed in parasites where dCas9-Bdf3 was induced and targeted
to the control *NEO* locus (Fig. 4B). A silent *VSG* gene (VSG18) was also slightly
upregulated, which has also been previously observed in conjunction with changes in
EP1 expression (25). The unexpected decrease in transcript levels for genes associated
with glycolysis may indicate that a feedback loop of unknown mechanism may exist in
parasites for which *EP1* transcript levels are increased. Increases in transcript levels for
EP1 and *GPEET* have been observed in conjunction with a decrease in transcript levels
for glycolysis genes in stumpy parasites that undergo transcriptional pre-adaptation to life
in the tsetse (66, 68), so there is precedent for these changes occurring together.
However, we did not necessarily expect a differentiation program to initiate following an
increase in *EP1* transcript levels, and this phenomenon might be worth exploring in future
work. It should also be emphasized that the observed difference in transcript levels for
glycolysis genes is quite muted compared to the much more dramatic changes in
transcript levels observed in bloodstream parasites induced to differentiate to the
procyclic form (68, 69).
367

Figure 4. dCas9-Bdf3 targeted to the *EP1* locus results in increased expression of *EP-related* genes. Relative expression of each indicated gene analyzed using quantitative PCR from cDNA generated from RNA extracts of parasites harboring dCas9-Bdf3 targeted to the *EP1* locus (A) or dCas9-Bdf3 targeted to the *NEO* locus (B). Parasites were treated with dox for 72h to induce maximal expression of dCas9-Bdf3 and the guide RNA. Scaling was performed such that the average -Dox value for each gene was set to 1 to allow for easy comparisons between samples. Each dot represents the average of 3 technical replicates and the set of 3 dots for each sample represents 3 biological replicates for 3 independent clones. A Student t-test was performed to compare +Dox samples vs -Dox samples. *, $p < 0.05$, **, $p < 0.01$, ***, $p < 0.001$, n.s. not significant. wi_dox, with dox

Discussion

While the role for bromodomain proteins as transcriptional activators in mammalian cells is well established, whether these proteins are important for facilitating transcription initiation in trypanosomes is less clear. Here, we show that the bromodomain protein Bdf3 is sufficient to increase transcript levels for a gene that is normally silenced in bloodstream parasites (Fig. 2). Both Bdf3 and a guide RNA targeting the *EP1* promoter are required to observe an increase in *EP1* transcript levels (Fig. 2).

One thing that remains unclear is whether acetylation at the promoter of the *EP1* locus is required for Bdf3 to increase transcript levels. In many model systems, bromodomains and histone acetylation domains are often found in one protein, allowing both acetylation of histones and reading of that acetylation to be accomplished by the same protein. Examples include p300, CBP, PCAF, and GCN5, among others (76). In *T. brucei*, none of the 7 identified proteins with bromodomains also contain a HAT domain, but there are 3 other identified *T. brucei* proteins with HAT domains (22). Elegant experiments using immunoprecipitation combined with mass spectrometry have shown that Bdf3 complexes with both Bdf5 and Hat2 (26). Depletion of Hat2 in *T. brucei* alters the site of transcription initiation in *T. brucei* (77). Hat2 has been shown to acetylate H4K10 (22, 77), which is found at pol II promoters (21), and recruitment of Hat2 by Bdf3 might result in this same acetylation at the *EP1* promoter in our experiments. Bdf3 has also been shown to be important for deposition of H2A.Z at transcription start sites for pol II promoters (21, 78). However to our knowledge, aside from Bdf3 (48), it remains unclear whether the histone modifications and proteins observed at pol II promoters are also found at the pol I promoters of *EP* and *GPEET* as parasites differentiate from the bloodstream stage to stumpy forms and then to procyclic stages. This is an interesting area for future study. Our favored model is that increases in H4K10ac at the *EP1* promoter may drive recruitment of Bdf3 that is observed in differentiating parasites. Resynthesis of an H4K10ac-specific antibody would provide the tools to interrogate this question.

To our knowledge, we are the first group to engineer a CRISPR activation-type system in *T. brucei*. It remains unclear whether the tool could be easily adapted to overexpress proteins of interest. Because most pol II genes are expressed polycistronicly from transcription start sites, designing a guide to a specific transcription start site and increasing transcription at that site would be expected to increase transcription of multiple genes simultaneously. In addition, because most transcript levels are regulated post-transcriptionally through 5' and 3' UTRs (79, 80), pseudouridination (81), lncRNAs (82), and alternative polyadenylation (83), increasing transcription at the relevant start site may not have any effect on transcript levels for the gene of interest if these other modes of regulation dominate. If a construct containing the gene of interest is placed downstream of a unique promoter and incorporated exogenously, overexpression may be achievable through expression of the dCas9-Bdf3 fusion in conjunction with a guide RNA targeted to the promoter. However, the already existing tet inducible system (59) may offer the same advantages with less engineering.

One outstanding question that should be addressed in future work is whether Bdf3 is
capable of inducing expression from any promoter, or whether there is something unique
about the *EP1* locus. This issue has been difficult to address because only a very limited
number of genes are transcriptionally regulated in different life cycle stages. In order to
rigorously address this question, the promoter in question would ideally be silent in at
least one life cycle stage. The promoters upstream of silent *VSG* genes are one example
of a region that is silenced in bloodstream parasites, but because the expression site
promoters share a great deal of homology, trying to target dCas9-Bdf3 to these promoters
may be difficult because the protein would be diluted among many different sites. Another
way to address this could be to design a guide RNA to an alternate exogenously
introduced promoter. Many *T. brucei* expression constructs utilize either the *GPEET* or
the *EP* promoter to drive expression, but utilization of the rRNA promoter is also common.
Designing guide RNAs targeting the rRNA promoter and examining the effect on
transcript levels of the adjacent gene would address whether Bdf3 is sufficient to increase
transcript levels at multiple promoter types. However, because the rRNA promoter is
strong and constitutively active in bloodstream parasites, it is possible that the addition of
dCas9-Bdf3 would not boost the signal significantly if it is already 'maxed out', so to speak.
Thus, a negative result for this experiment may be difficult to interpret. Because *NEO* is
controlled by readthrough transcription in our trypanosome system, we did not attempt to
control *NEO* expression using guide RNAs. Guide RNAs targeted to the *NEO* gene body
were simply used as a sink for dCas9-Bdf3 to avoid untargeted interactions with other
regions of the genome, something that is a known issue in the absence of a decoy or
irrelevant guide RNA (84, 85).

Another useful feature of the engineered dCas9-Bdf3 system is that mutation analyses
can now be used to determine what features of the protein are necessary to achieve the
increased transcript levels observed when Bdf3 is targeted to the *EP1* locus. Mutation of
a conserved tyrosine and asparagine (86, 87) in the bromodomain would allow
interrogation for the requirement to bind acetylated histones. Truncations might also
assist in determining what portions of the protein are necessary to recruit Hat2 and Bdf5,
if any. Finally, Bdf3 is one of six trypanosome bromodomain proteins shown to localize to
transcription start sites (21, 25, 26). The dCas9 fusion system that we have engineered
can be used to ascertain whether other bromodomain proteins are sufficient to increase
transcript levels at the *EP1* locus. The system can also be used to help characterize the
many chromatin associated proteins found in complexes that localize to transcription start
sites (26).

Materials and Methods

Strain details and culture growth

Bloodstream SM *EP1/GFP* parasites (51) were cultured in HMI-9 (88) at 37°C and 5%
CO_2. The dCas9-Bdf3 fusion construct, the dCas9 alone construct, and the Bdf3 alone

constructs were transfected into reporter parasites using an AMAXA nucleofector with the
X-001 setting. For each transfection, 30-50 million parasites were harvested and
resuspended in 100 uL of nucleofector T cell solution with 5-10 μg of NotI-linearized
plasmid (New England Biolabs). Parasites were grown for 6 days in culture medium and
selected for resistance using 5.0μg/ml Blasticidin. T7 promoter driven guide RNA
constructs were linearized and introduced using the same method except 2.5μg/ml
phleomycin was used for selection. Expression of each introduced protein was confirmed
by western blot (see below).
479
480 **Plasmid construction**
481
The plasmid containing the dCas9 Bdf3 fusion sequence was constructed in two halves:
the first half consisted of the 3X FLAG tag, La Nuclear Localization Signal (89), and the
front half of the dCas9 coding region, and the second half consisted of the back half of
the dCas9 coding region, the GS linker, and the Bdf3 coding region. Both halves were
synthesized by Twist Biosciences. The front 3X Flag/La NLS/dCas9 coding region was
amplified using Forward ggccaagcttatggactacaaggaccac and Reverse
gcgcgggcccacgtagtacggg primers containing HindIII (New England Biolabs) and ApaI
(New England Biolabs) restriction enzyme recognition sites. This section was digested
with HindIII and ApaI and cloned into a pLEW100 vector at these same sites. The back
dCas9/Glycine-Serine linker/Bdf3 coding region was cut with ApaI and BamHI (New
England Biolabs) and cloned into the pLEW100/3X Flag/La NLS/dCas9 vector at the ApaI
and BamHI sites within the vector.
494
495 **sgRNA construction**
496
Complementary single-stranded oligos were engineered with BbsI overhangs. Oligos
were combined at a concentration of 5μM each in TE buffer with a reaction volume of
50μL. The oligos were annealed in a thermocycler as follows: 93°C for 0:01 with a ramp
time of 3 °C/s, 70 °C for 3:00 with a ramp time of 3 °C/s, and a hold at 4°C with a ramp
time of 0.1 °C/s. pT7sgRNA (56) was a gift from David Horn (Addgene plasmid # 111820
; http://n2t.net/addgene:111820 ; RRID:Addgene_111820). pT7sgRNA vector was
linearized with BbsI-HF (New England Biolabs) and purified. 150 ng of linearized
pT7sgRNA and annealed oligo at a concentration of 2 μM of were ligated together using
quick ligase (New England Biolabs) in a 20μL reaction for 5 minutes at 25°C. Ligated
plasmids were transformed into electrocompetent cells to screen for correct integration of
the oligos. The oligo sequences used were: EP1-1 forward, agggtgggcgtgcattgaaaatag;
EP1-1 reverse, aaacctattttcaatgcacgccca; EP1-3 forward, aggggctgttccgtgtctctgggt; EP1-
3 reverse, aaacacccagagacacggaacagc; Neo1 forward, aggggatctggacgaagagcatca;
Neo1 reverse, aaactgatgctcttcgtccagatc.
511
512
513
514

Western Blotting

SM *EPI/GFP* dCas9-Bdf3, dCas9 alone, or Bdf3 alone parasites were treated with or without 1 µg/mL dox and 8 million parasites were harvested after 48h or 72h of treatment. Harvested parasites were resuspended in 2X Laemmli buffer and boiled at 95°C for 10 minutes. The samples were loaded onto a 4-20% gradient polyacrylamide gel and protein was transferred to the PVDF membrane. The membrane was blocked in 7 mL of 5% milk in Tris-Buffered Saline (TBS), then incubated overnight at 4°C in M2 mouse anti-FLAG (Sigma), rabbit anti-FLAG (Cell Signaling), or the control anti-tubulin (a kind gift from George Cross) in 5% milk in (TBS) at a 1:1000 dilution. After 3 washes in TBS with 0.1% Tween-20, the membrane was incubated at room temperature for 1 hr in goat anti-mouse or goat anti-rabbit fluorescently labeled antibody (Biorad) at a 1:10000 dilution in 5% milk in TBS. After all antibody incubations, the membrane was washed three times in TBS with 0.1% Tween-20 and a final wash in TBS alone. The membrane was imaged on a LI-COR Odyssey instrument.

Flow cytometry

SM *EPI/GFP* dCas9-Bdf3 or dCas9 cells were prepared at a density of 15,000 cell/mL and treated with or without 1 µg/mL dox in 2 mL of culture medium. Parasites were diluted in fresh media with dox as needed to maintain them under 1 million/ml. After two and three days of growth, 1 mL of culture was harvested and analyzed by flow cytometry for *EP1/GFP* expression. All samples were analyzed with a Novocyte 2000R from Acea Biosciences.

Analysis of parasite growth

Parasites were prepared at a density of 100,000 cells/mL and grown in 96 well plates with a starting volume of 200µL HMI-9 medium for 24h with or without 1 µg/mL dox. After 24 hours of growth, 20 µL of culture was analyzed by flow cytometry and the number of parasites in the live gate was calculated. Cultures were diluted back down to 100,000 cell/mL using the number of cells determined by the live gate. This procedure occured daily for a total of 5 days.

Quantitative PCR

RNA was isolated from parasites using the Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep kit from Genesee, which includes DNAse treatment. cDNA was generated using the SuperScript IV VILO Master Mix (Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions starting with 1µg of RNA. cDNA was amplified with primers combined with 2X Sybr green master mix (Life Technologies) and analyzed on an Eppendorf Realplex2 instrument. Primers used were as follows: Tb427.10.10260 EP1, tctgctcgctattcttctgttc, cctttgcctcccttagtaagac, Tb927.6.510 GPEET agtcggctagcaacgttatc, ttctggtccggtctcttct,

Tb927.9.11600, GIM5B, ttgcgaggatgggtgatg, gggtttggagagggaagttaat, Tb927.10.2010,
HK1, gtcagcacttactcccatcaa, acgacgcatcgtcaatatcc, Tb927.10.5620, ALD,
gtctgaagctgttgttcgtttc, cacctcaggctccacaatag, Tb927.10.10220, PAG2,
aggagatacgaggaatgagaca, tcttcaaacgcccggtaag, Tb927.10.14140 PYK1,
gagaaggttggcacaaagga, tcacaccgtcgtcaacataaa, GFP, ctacaacagccacaaggtctat,
ggtgttctgctggtagtg, Tb927.10.9400, SF1, ggtatggttcatcaggagttgg,
cgttagcactggtatccttcag.
566
567
Accession numbers
EP1, Tb927.10.10260
PAG2, Tb927.10.10220
GPEET, Tb927.6.510
HK1, Tb927.10.2010
GIM5B, Tb927.9.11600
ALD, Tb927.10.5620
PYK1, Tb927.10.14140
SF1, Tb927.10.9400
BDF3, Tb927.11.10070
578
579
580 # Acknowledgements.
581

582 We would like to acknowledge Monica Mugnier, who provided excellent advice on
583 adapting existing CRISPR-Cas9 systems to *T. brucei*. D.S. is supported by a NSF
584 CAREER grant (2041395), which also supported the undergraduate students who worked
585 on the project.

586
587
588 **References**
589

1. Alsan M. 2015. The Effect of the TseTse Fly on African Development. American

Economic Review 105:382–410.

2. Shaw APM, Cecchi G, Wint GRW, Mattioli RC, Robinson TP. 2014. Mapping the

economic benefits to livestock keepers from intervening against bovine

trypanosomosis in Eastern Africa. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 113:197–210.

3. Cross GAM, Kim H-S, Wickstead B. 2014. Capturing the variant surface

glycoprotein repertoire (the VSGnome) of Trypanosoma brucei Lister 427.

Molecular & Biochemical Parasitology 195:59–73.

4. Van Hellemond JJ, Bakker BM, Tielens AGM. 2005. Energy Metabolism and Its

Compartmentation in Trypanosoma brucei, p. 199–226. *In* Advances in Microbial

Physiology. Elsevier.

5. Van Grinsven KWA, Van Den Abbeele J, Van Den Bossche P, Van Hellemond JJ,

Tielens AGM. 2009. Adaptations in the Glucose Metabolism of Procyclic

Trypanosoma brucei Isolates from Tsetse Flies and during Differentiation of

Bloodstream Forms. Eukaryot Cell 8:1307–1311.

6. Flynn IW, Bowman IBR. 1973. The metabolism of carbohydrate by pleomorphic

African trypanosomes. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B:

Comparative Biochemistry 45:25–42.

7. Hannaert V, Bringaud F, Opperdoes FR, Michels PA. 2003. Evolution of energy

metabolism and its compartmentation in Kinetoplastida. Kinetoplastid Biol Dis 2:11.

8. Roditi I, Carrington M, Turner M. 1987. Expression of a polypeptide containing a

dipeptide repeat is confined to the insect stage of Trypanosoma brucei. Nature

325:272–274.

9. Roditi I, Schwarz H, Pearson TW, Beecroft RP, Liu MK, Richardson JP, Bühring

HJ, Pleiss J, Bülow R, Williams RO. 1989. Procyclin gene expression and loss of

the variant surface glycoprotein during differentiation of Trypanosoma brucei. The

Journal of cell biology 108:737–746.

10. Vassella E, Acosta-Serrano A, Studer E, Lee SH, Englund PT, Roditi I. 2001.

Multiple procyclin isoforms are expressed differentially during the development of

insect forms of Trypanosoma brucei. J Mol Biol 312:597–607.

11. Acosta-Serrano A, Vassella E, Liniger M, Renggli CK, Brun R, Roditi I, Englund PT.

2001. The surface coat of procyclic *Trypanosoma brucei:* Programmed expression

and proteolytic cleavage of procyclin in the tsetse fly. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA

98:1513–1518.

12. Clayton CE, Fueri JP, Itzhaki JE, Bellofatto V, Sherman DR, Wisdom GS,

Vijayasarathy S, Mowatt MR. 1990. Transcription of the procyclic acidic repetitive

protein genes of Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Cell Biol 10:3036–3047.

13. Biebinger S, Rettenmaier S, Flaspohler J, Hartmann C, Peña-Diaz J, Wirtz LE,

Hotz HR, Barry JD, Clayton C. 1996. The PARP promoter of Trypanosoma brucei

is developmentally regulated in a chromosomal context. Nucleic Acids Res

24:1202–1211.

14. Brown SD, Huang J, Van der Ploeg LH. 1992. The promoter for the procyclic acidic

repetitive protein (PARP) genes of Trypanosoma brucei shares features with RNA

polymerase I promoters. Mol Cell Biol 12:2644–2652.

15. Gunzl A, Bruderer T, Laufer G, Schimanski B, Tu LC, Chung HM, Lee PT, Lee

MGS. 2003. RNA Polymerase I Transcribes Procyclin Genes and Variant Surface

Glycoprotein Gene Expression Sites in Trypanosoma brucei. Eukaryotic Cell

2:542–551.

16. Rudenko G, Lee MG, Van der Ploeg LH. 1992. The PARP and VSG genes of

Trypanosoma brucei do not resemble RNA polymerase II transcription units in

sensitivity to Sarkosyl in nuclear run-on assays. Nucleic Acids Res 20:303–306.

17. Clayton CE. 2016. Gene expression in Kinetoplastids. Current Opinion in

Microbiology 32:46–51.

18. Luzak V, Osses E, Danese A, Odendaal C, Cosentino RO, Stricker SH, Haanstra

JR, Erhard F, Siegel TN. 2025. SLAM-seq reveals independent contributions of

RNA processing and stability to gene expression in African trypanosomes. Nucleic

Acids Research 53:gkae1203.

19. Jenuwein T, Allis CD. 2001. Translating the Histone Code. Science 293:1074–

1080.

20. Maree JP, Tvardovskiy A, Ravnsborg T, Jensen ON, Rudenko G, Patterton H-G.

2022. *Trypanosoma brucei* histones are heavily modified with combinatorial post-

translational modifications and mark Pol II transcription start regions with

hyperacetylated H2A. Nucleic Acids Research 50:9705–9723.

21.   Siegel TN, Hekstra DR, Kemp LE, Figueiredo LM, Lowell JE, Fenyo D, Wang X,

Dewell S, Cross GAM. 2009. Four histone variants mark the boundaries of

polycistronic transcription units in Trypanosoma brucei. Genes & Development

23:1063–1076.

22.   Kawahara T, Siegel TN, Ingram AK, Alsford S, Cross GAM, Horn D. 2008. Two

essential MYST-family proteins display distinct roles in histone H4K10 acetylation

and telomeric silencing in trypanosomes. Molecular Microbiology 69:1054–1068.

23.   Siegel TN, Kawahara T, DeGrasse JA, Janzen CJ, Horn D, Cross GAM. 2007.

Acetylation of histone H4K4 is cell cycle regulated and mediated by HAT3 in

Trypanosoma brucei. Molecular Microbiology 67:762–771.

24.   Wang Q-P, Kawahara T, Horn D. 2010. Histone deacetylases play distinct roles in

telomeric VSG expression site silencing in African trypanosomes. Molecular

Microbiology 77:1237–1245.

25.   Schulz D, Mugnier MR, Paulsen E-M, Kim H-S, Chung CW, Tough DF, Rioja I,

Prinjha RK, Papavasiliou FN, Debler EW. 2015. Bromodomain Proteins Contribute

to Maintenance of Bloodstream Form Stage Identity in the African Trypanosome.

PLoS Biol 13:e1002316-38.

26.   Staneva DP, Carloni R, Auchynnikava T, Tong P, Rappsilber J, Jeyaprakash AA,

Matthews KR, Allshire RC. 2021. A systematic analysis of *Trypanosoma brucei*

672 chromatin factors identifies novel protein interaction networks associated with sites

673 of transcription initiation and termination. Genome Res 31:2138–2154.

674 27. Frederiks F, van Welsem T, Oudgenoeg G, Heck AJR, Janzen CJ, van Leeuwen F.

675 2010. Heterologous expression reveals distinct enzymatic activities of two DOT1

676 histone methyltransferases of Trypanosoma brucei. Journal of Cell Science

677 123:4019–4023.

678 28. Figueiredo LM, Janzen CJ, Cross GAM. 2008. A histone methyltransferase

679 modulates antigenic variation in African trypanosomes. PLoS Biol 6:e161.

680 29. Tamkun JW, Deuring R, Scott MP, Kissinger M, Pattatucci AM, Kaufman TC,

681 Kennison JA. 1992. brahma: A regulator of Drosophila homeotic genes structurally

682 related to the yeast transcriptional activator SNF2SWI2. Cell 68:561–572.

683 30. Haynes SR, Dollard C, Winston F, Beck S, Trowsdale J, Dawid IB. 1992. The

684 bromodomain: a conserved sequence found in human, *Drosophila* and yeast

685 proteins. Nucl Acids Res 20:2603–2603.

686 31. Brownell JE, Zhou J, Ranalli T, Kobayashi R, Edmondson DG, Roth SY, Allis CD.

687 1996. Tetrahymena Histone Acetyltransferase A: A Homolog to Yeast Gcn5p

688 Linking Histone Acetylation to Gene Activation. Cell 84:843–851.

689 32. Ogryzko VV, Schiltz RL, Russanova V, Howard BH, Nakatani Y. 1996. The

690 Transcriptional Coactivators p300 and CBP Are Histone Acetyltransferases. Cell

691 87:953–959.

33. Bannister AJ, Kouzarides T. 1996. The CBP co-activator is a histone

acetyltransferase. Nature 384:641–643.

34. Di Micco R, Fontanals-Cirera B, Low V, Ntziachristos P, Yuen SK, Lovell CD,

Dolgalev I, Yonekubo Y, Zhang G, Rusinova E, Gerona-Navarro G, Cañamero M,

Ohlmeyer M, Aifantis I, Zhou M-M, Tsirigos A, Hernando E. 2014. Control of

Embryonic Stem Cell Identity by BRD4-Dependent Transcriptional Elongation of

Super-Enhancer-Associated Pluripotency Genes. Cell Reports 9:234–247.

35. Rodriguez RM, Suarez-Alvarez B, Salvanés R, Huidobro C, Toraño EG, Garcia-

Perez JL, Lopez-Larrea C, Fernandez AF, Bueno C, Menendez P, Fraga MF.

2014. Role of BRD4 in hematopoietic differentiation of embryonic stem cells.

Epigenetics 9:566–578.

36. Horne GA, Stewart HJS, Dickson J, Knapp S, Ramsahoye B, Chevassut T. 2015.

Nanog requires BRD4 to maintain murine embryonic stem cell pluripotency and is

suppressed by bromodomain inhibitor JQ1 together with Lefty1. Stem Cells Dev

24:879–891.

37. Fleck K, McNutt S, Chu F, Jeffers V. 2023. An apicomplexan bromodomain protein,

TgBDP1, associates with diverse epigenetic factors to regulate essential

transcriptional processes in *Toxoplasma gondii*. mBio e03573-22.

38. Jones NG, Geoghegan V, Moore G, Carnielli JBT, Newling K, Calderón F, Gabarró

R, Martín J, Prinjha RK, Rioja I, Wilkinson AJ, Mottram JC. 2022. Bromodomain

factor 5 is an essential regulator of transcription in Leishmania. Nat Commun

13:4071.

39. Alonso VL, Villanova GV, Ritagliati C, Machado Motta MC, Cribb P, Serra EC.

2014. Trypanosoma cruzi Bromodomain Factor 3 Binds Acetylated α-Tubulin and

Concentrates in the Flagellum during Metacyclogenesis. Eukaryot Cell 13:822–

831.

40. Alonso VL, Ritagliati C, Cribb P, Cricco J, Serra E. 2016. Overexpression of

bromodomain factor 3 in Trypanosoma cruzi(TcBDF3) affects parasite

differentiation and protects it against bromodomain inhibitors. FEBS J n/a-n/a.

41. Tang J, Yeoh LM, Grotz MD, Goodman CD, Chisholm SA, Nguyen HHT, Yu C,

Pareek K, McPherson F, Cozijnsen A, Hustadt SA, Josling GA, Day KP, Schulz D,

McFadden GI, de Koning-Ward TF, Petter M, Duffy MF. 2025. *Pf*GCN5 is essential

for *Plasmodium falciparum* survival and transmission and regulates Pf H2B.Z

acetylation and chromatin structure. Nucleic Acids Research 53.

42. Miao J, Wang C, Lucky AB, Liang X, Min H, Adapa SR, Jiang R, Kim K, Cui L.

2021. A unique GCN5 histone acetyltransferase complex controls erythrocyte

invasion and virulence in the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum. PLoS

Pathog 17:e1009351.

43. Tian J, Dai B, Gong L, Wang P, Ding H, Xia S, Sun W, Ren C, Shen J, Liu M. 2022.

JQ-1 ameliorates schistosomiasis liver granuloma in mice by suppressing male and

female reproductive systems and egg development of Schistosoma japonicum.

PLoS Negl Trop Dis 16:e0010661.

44. Ashby EC, Havens JL, Rollosson LM, Hardin J, Schulz D. 2023. Chemical

Inhibition of Bromodomain Proteins in Insect-Stage African Trypanosomes Perturbs

Silencing of the Variant Surface Glycoprotein Repertoire and Results in

Widespread Changes in the Transcriptome. Microbiol Spectr 11:e0014723.

45. Figueiredo LM, Cross GAM, Janzen CJ. 2009. Epigenetic regulation in African

trypanosomes: a new kid on the block. Nature Publishing Group 7:504–513.

46. Miller G, Rollosson LM, Saada C, Wade SJ, Schulz D. 2023. Adaptation of

CUT&RUN for use in African trypanosomes. PLoS One 18:e0292784.

47. Ziegelbauer K, Quinten M, Schwarz H, Pearson TW, Overath P. 1990.

Synchronous differentiation of Trypanosoma brucei from bloodstream to procyclic

forms in vitro. Eur J Biochem 192:373–378.

48. Ashby E, Paddock L, Betts HL, Liao J, Miller G, Porter A, Rollosson LM, Saada C,

Tang E, Wade SJ, Hardin J, Schulz D. 2022. Genomic Occupancy of the

Bromodomain Protein Bdf3 Is Dynamic during Differentiation of African

Trypanosomes from Bloodstream to Procyclic Forms. mSphere 7:e0002322.

49. Gilbert LA, Larson MH, Morsut L, Liu Z, Brar GA, Torres SE, Stern-Ginossar N,

Brandman O, Whitehead EH, Doudna JA, Lim WA, Weissman JS, Qi LS. 2013.

751 CRISPR-Mediated Modular RNA-Guided Regulation of Transcription in

752 Eukaryotes. Cell 154:442–451.

753 50. Gilbert LA, Horlbeck MA, Adamson B, Villalta JE, Chen Y, Whitehead EH,

754 Guimaraes C, Panning B, Ploegh HL, Bassik MC, Qi LS, Kampmann M, Weissman

755 JS. 2014. Genome-Scale CRISPR-Mediated Control of Gene Repression and

756 Activation. Cell 159:647–661.

757 51. Walsh ME, Naudzius EM, Diaz SJ, Wismar TW, Martchenko Shilman M, Schulz D.

758 2020. Identification of clinically approved small molecules that inhibit growth and

759 affect transcript levels of developmentally regulated genes in the African

760 trypanosome. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 14:e0007790.

761 52. Chen X, Zaro JL, Shen W-C. 2013. Fusion protein linkers: Property, design and

762 functionality. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews 65:1357–1369.

763 53. Qi LS, Larson MH, Gilbert LA, Doudna JA, Weissman JS, Arkin AP, Lim WA. 2013.

764 Repurposing CRISPR as an RNA-Guided Platform for Sequence-Specific Control

765 of Gene Expression. Cell 152:1173–1183.

766 54. Pfeiffer ML, Winkler J, Van Damme D, Jacobs TB, Nowack MK. 2022. Conditional

767 and tissue-specific approaches to dissect essential mechanisms in plant

768 development. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 65:102119.

55. Xiao B, Yin S, Hu Y, Sun M, Wei J, Huang Z, Wen Y, Dai X, Chen H, Mu J, Cui L,

Jiang L. 2019. Epigenetic editing by CRISPR/dCas9 in *Plasmodium falciparum*.

Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 116:255–260.

56. Rico E, Jeacock L, Kovářová J, Horn D. 2018. Inducible high-efficiency CRISPR-

Cas9-targeted gene editing and precision base editing in African trypanosomes.

Sci Rep 8:1–10.

57. Shaw S, Knüsel S, Hoenner S, Roditi I. 2020. A transient CRISPR/Cas9 expression

system for genome editing in Trypanosoma brucei. BMC Res Notes 13:268.

58. Beneke T, Madden R, Makin L, Valli J, Sunter J, Gluenz E. 2017. A CRISPR Cas9

high-throughput genome editing toolkit for kinetoplastids. R Soc open sci 4:170095.

59. Wirtz E, Leal S, Ochatt C, Cross GA. 1999. A tightly regulated inducible expression

system for conditional gene knock-outs and dominant-negative genetics in

Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Biochem Parasitol 99:89–101.

60. Huang J, Van Der Ploeg LH. 1991. Requirement of a polypyrimidine tract for trans-

splicing in trypanosomes: discriminating the PARP promoter from the immediately

adjacent 3′ splice acceptor site. The EMBO Journal 10:3877–3885.

61. Peng D, Tarleton R. 2015. EuPaGDT: a web tool tailored to design CRISPR guide

RNAs for eukaryotic pathogens. Microbial Genomics 1.

62. Pena AC, Pimentel MR, Manso H, Vaz-Drago R, Pinto-Neves D, Aresta-Branco F,

Rijo-Ferreira F, Guegan F, Pedro Coelho L, Carmo-Fonseca M, Barbosa-Morais

NL, Figueiredo LM. 2014. *T rypanosoma brucei* histone H 1 inhibits RNA

polymerase I transcription and is important for parasite fitness *in vivo*. Molecular

Microbiology 93:645–663.

63. Glover L, Hutchinson S, Alsford S, Horn D. 2016. VEX1 controls the allelic

exclusion required for antigenic variation in trypanosomes. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences 1–6.

64. Aresta-Branco F, Sanches-Vaz M, Bento F, Rodrigues JA, Figueiredo LM. 2019.

African trypanosomes expressing multiple VSGs are rapidly eliminated by the host

immune system. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 116:20725–20735.

65. Batram C, Jones NG, Janzen CJ, Markert SM, Engstler M. 2014. Expression site

attenuation mechanistically links antigenic variation and development in

Trypanosoma brucei. eLife 3:e02324.

66. Rico E, Rojas F, Mony BM, Szöőr B, MacGregor P, Matthews KR. 2013.

Bloodstream form pre-adaptation to the tsetse fly in Trypanosoma brucei. Front

Cell Infect Microbiol 3:78.

67. Wenzler T, Schumann Burkard G, Schmidt RS, Mäser P, Bergner A, Roditi I, Brun

R. 2016. A new approach to chemotherapy: drug-induced differentiation kills

African trypanosomes. Sci Rep 6:22451.

68. Kabani S, Fenn K, Ross A, Ivens A, Smith TK, Ghazal P, Matthews K. 2009.

Genome-wide expression profiling of in vivo-derived bloodstream parasite stages

and dynamic analysis of mRNA alterations during synchronous differentiation in

Trypanosoma brucei. BMC Genomics 10:427.

69. Queiroz R, Benz C, Fellenberg K, Hoheisel JD, Clayton C. 2009. Transcriptome

analysis of differentiating trypanosomes reveals the existence of multiple post-

transcriptional regulons. BMC Genomics 10:495.

70. Furger A, Schürch N, Kurath U, Roditi I. 1997. Elements in the 3' untranslated

region of procyclin mRNA regulate expression in insect forms of Trypanosoma

brucei by modulating RNA stability and translation. Molecular and Cellular Biology

17:4372–4380.

71. Hehl A, Vassella E, Braun R, Roditi I. 1994. A conserved stem-loop structure in the

3' untranslated region of procyclin mRNAs regulates expression in Trypanosoma

brucei. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91:370–374.

72. Vassella E, Den Abbeele JV, Bütikofer P, Renggli CK, Furger A, Brun R, Roditi I.

2000. A major surface glycoprotein of *Trypanosoma brucei* is expressed transiently

during development and can be regulated post-transcriptionally by glycerol or

hypoxia. Genes Dev 14:615–626.

73. Walrad P, Paterou A, Acosta-Serrano A, Matthews KR. 2009. Differential

Trypanosome Surface Coat Regulation by a CCCH Protein That Co-Associates

with procyclin mRNA cis-Elements. PLoS Pathog 5:e1000317.

74. Walrad PB, Capewell P, Fenn K, Matthews KR. 2012. The post-transcriptional

trans-acting regulator, TbZFP3, co-ordinates transmission-stage enriched mRNAs

in Trypanosoma brucei. Nucleic Acids Res 40:2869–2883.

75. Morris JC, Wang Z, Drew ME, Englund PT. 2002. Glycolysis modulates

trypanosome glycoprotein expression as revealed by an RNAi library. EMBO J

21:4429–4438.

76. Filippakopoulos P, Picaud S, Mangos M, Keates T, Lambert J-P, Barsyte-Lovejoy

D, Felletar I, Volkmer R, Müller S, Pawson T, Gingras A-C, Arrowsmith CH, Knapp

S. 2012. Histone Recognition and Large-Scale Structural Analysis of the Human

Bromodomain Family. Cell 149:214–231.

77. Kraus AJ, Vanselow JT, Lamer S, Brink BG, Schlosser A, Siegel TN. 2020. Distinct

roles for H4 and H2A.Z acetylation in RNA transcription in African trypanosomes.

Nat Commun 11:1498.

78. Vellmer T, Hartleb L, Fradera Sola A, Kramer S, Meyer-Natus E, Butter F, Janzen

CJ. 2022. A novel SNF2 ATPase complex in Trypanosoma brucei with a role in

H2A.Z-mediated chromatin remodelling. PLoS Pathog 18:e1010514.

79. Trenaman A, Tinti M, Wall RJ, Horn D. 2024. Post-transcriptional reprogramming

by thousands of mRNA untranslated regions in trypanosomes. Nat Commun

15:8113.

80. Wilson K, Uyetake L, Boothroyd J. 1999. Trypanosoma brucei: Cis-Acting

Sequences Involved in the Developmental Regulation of PARP Expression.

Experimental Parasitology 91:222–230.

81. Rajan KS, Adler K, Madmoni H, Peleg-Chen D, Cohen-Chalamish S, Doniger T,

Galili B, Gerber D, Unger R, Tschudi C, Michaeli S. 2021. Pseudouridines on

Trypanosoma brucei mRNAs are developmentally regulated: Implications to mRNA

stability and protein binding. Molecular Microbiology 116:808–826.

82. Galili-Kostin B, Rajan KS, Ida Ashkenazi Y, Freedman A, Doniger T, Cohen-

Chalamish S, Waldman Ben-Asher H, Unger R, Roditi I, Tschudi C, Michaeli S.

2025. TblncRNA-23, a long non-coding RNA transcribed by RNA polymerase I,

regulates developmental changes in Trypanosoma brucei. Nat Commun 16:3697.

83. Bard JE, Tylec BL, Dubey AP, Lamb NA, Yergeau DA, Read LK. 2024. Life stage–

specific poly(A) site selection regulated by *Trypanosoma brucei* DRBD18. Proc

Natl Acad Sci USA 121:e2403188121.

84. Radzisheuskaya A, Shlyueva D, Müller I, Helin K. 2016. Optimizing sgRNA position

markedly improves the efficiency of CRISPR/dCas9-mediated transcriptional

repression. Nucleic Acids Res 44:e141–e141.

85. Sundaresan R, Parameshwaran HP, Yogesha SD, Keilbarth MW, Rajan R. 2017.

RNA-Independent DNA Cleavage Activities of Cas9 and Cas12a. Cell Reports

21:3728–3739.

86. Dhalluin C, Carlson JE, Zeng L, He C, Aggarwal AK, Zhou M-M, Zhou M-M. 1999.

Structure and ligand of a histone acetyltransferase bromodomain. Nature 399:491–

496.

87. Mujtaba S, Zeng L, Zhou M-M. 2007. Structure and acetyl-lysine recognition of the

bromodomain. Oncogene 26:5521–5527.

88. Hirumi H, Hirumi K. 1989. Continuous cultivation of Trypanosoma brucei blood

stream forms in a medium containing a low concentration of serum protein without

feeder cell layers. J Parasitol 75:985–989.

89. Gunaratne L, Moore H, Albaum N, Casius A, Henderson J, Kessler A, Hegedűsová

E, Kulkarni S, Arthur H, Ross RL, Paris Z, Maraia R, Lowe TM, Alfonzo JD. 2025.

Key RNA-binding domains in the La protein establish tRNA modification levels in

Trypanosoma brucei. Nucleic Acids Res 53:gkaf594.

879
880
881

A.

B.

C.

D.

A. dCas9-Bdf3 targeted to the *EP1* locus

B. dCas9-Bdf3 targeted to the *NEO* locus