Periplasmic SacB as a robust counter-selection tool for genome

engineering in the polyploid bacterium *Zymomonas mobilis*

Katsuya Fuchino[1]*, Christodoulos Astraios[1], Richard Daniel[1], James Grimshaw[1],

Manuel Banzhaf[2], and Waldemar Vollmer[1, 3]

[1]Centre for Bacterial Cell Biology, Biosciences Institute, Newcastle University,

Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom.

[2]Biosciences Institute, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle

upon Tyne, United Kingdom

[3]Institute for Molecular Bioscience, The University of Queensland, Brisbane,

Australia.

*Corresponding author

Katsuya Fuchino, katsuyafuchino@gmail.com

Abstract

The alpha-proteobacterium *Zymomonas mobilis* exhibits exceptional ethanologenic

physiology, which makes it a traditional alcoholic beverage producer and a promising

chassis for biofuel production. Although genetic tools for this organism have

expanded in recent years, a fundamental aspect of its chromosome organization

remains to be understood. In particular, *Z. mobilis* has been suggested to exhibit

polyploidy, but this feature is not fully confirmed because of discrepancies among

studies reporting the copy number of chromosomes. Here, we tagged the

chromosome-partitioning protein ParB with a fluorescent marker to visualise its

cellular localisation and estimate chromosome copy number in individual cells.

Imaging showed that *Z. mobilis* exhibits several distinctive ParB foci throughout the

cytoplasm and an accumulated focus at the pole, demonstrating that a single *Z.*

mobilis cell contains at >5 copies of the chromosome at the *oriC* regions. After

verifying its polyploidy, we sought to establish an efficient counter-selection system,

which is crucial for engineering multiple copies of the chromosome. We assessed

the efficacy of levan-sucrase (SacB) toxicity in *Z. mobilis*. We found that, despite *Z.*

mobilis secreting a native extra-cellular sucrase SacB, heterologous periplasmically-

localised *Bacillus subtilis* SacB rendered *Z. mobilis* cells sensitive to sucrose. We

successfully used this effect for counter-selection when deleting and inserting

targeted DNA sequences into the *Z. mobilis* genome. Together, this work provides

important insights and tools for advancing *Z. mobilis* genetics and its

biotechnological applications.

Importance

Zymomonas mobilis is a promising industrial bacterium with capacity to convert

sugars into ethanol at nearly maximum theoretical yield. With its expanding use in

industrial applications, it is crucial to clarify if individual *Z. mobilis* cells carry multiple

copies of chromosome as this has important implications for genome engineering.

Two previous studies have used quantitative PCR to address this question, but their

reported chromosome copy numbers varied widely from 20 to 100. Here, we used a

cell biological approach to estimate the copy number and confirmed that single *Z.*

mobilis cell possesses multiple copies. In addition, we show that a SacB-based

counter-selection works in *Z. mobilis*, enabling efficient and complete mutation of all

chromosome copies.

Introduction

The alpha-proteobacterium *Zymomonas mobilis* is a natural ethanologen with an exceptional metabolism that can convert sugars into ethanol nearly at the maximal theoretical yield. Its carbon metabolism, the Entner–Doudoroff pathway coupled to pyruvate decarboxylase and alcohol dehydrogenase, is both specific and very rapid (1, 2). These features make *Z. mobilis* one of the most promising chassis organisms for bioethanol production. Beyond ethanol, *Z. mobilis* has recently been engineered to produce various high-value chemicals (3-9).

In efforts to develop *Z. mobilis* for industrial applications, the available genetic tools have expanded considerably in recent years. However, its basic chromosome organisation at the cellular level remains poorly characterised (10). Several studies have suggested that *Z. mobilis* carries multiple copies of its chromosome and is therefore a polyploid species. The first indication of polyploidy came from the transposon mutagenesis study, which revealed insertions in genes considered essential (11). Two other studies using quantitative PCR showed that *Z. mobilis* possesses multiple copies of the chromosome (12, 13). However, the estimated average number of chromosomes per cell varied considerably between reports. Our previous study showed that strains Z6 and Z4 contain approximately 15–20 copies at the replication origin during active growth (12), whereas another study using the same qPCR based method reported that strain Z4 may carry 60 - 100 copies (13). This significant discrepancy required clarification as the presence of multiple chromosome copies can complicate genetic manipulation. When the applied selection is not tight enough, mixed genotypes are generated, with mutant genomes co-existing with wild-type copies in individual cells. This phenomenon has been reported in previous studies attempting to engineer the *Z. mobilis* genome (14-16).

To address this, we employed a complementary approach to estimate the

chromosome copy number: visualising a defined locus using a fluorescent marker

and directly counting the signals per single cells (17). This method also offers

insights into the spatial organisation of chromosomes (18). Using this approach, we

gained further insights in its chromosomal organisation and polyploidy.

Furthermore, having confirmed the polyploidy of *Z. mobilis*, we recognised the need

for a robust counter-selection method to enable efficient introduction of desired

genetic changes into all chromosomal copies (19). We assessed and successfully

applied a SacB-based counter-selection in engineering the *Z. mobilis* genome.

Material and methods

Bacterial strains, plasmids, and growth conditions

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S1. *Z.*

mobilis was grown in RM complex medium containing glucose (20 g/L), yeast extract

(5 g/L), NH_4SO_4 (1 g/L), KH_2PO_4 (1 g/L), and $MgSO_4$ (0.5 g/L). The complex medium

was briefly sparged with nitrogen gas to make it micro-aerobic. 12 ml of anaerobic *Z.*

mobilis culture were grown at 30°C in a capped 15 ml Falcon tube without

shaking. For plotting growth profiles, the optical density was measured in a 96-well

plate by SPECTROstar Nano (BMG labtech).

Construction of *Z. mobilis* strains

For all *Z. mobilis* strains, we used a counter-selection method based on the

previously published method (20). Crucially, we used an integrating vector (suicide

vector) that carried *Bacillus subtilis sacB* for negative selection (pPK15534 +

$SacB^{BS}$). To construct the vector, we amplified the *sacB* gene with its native promoter

region from *B. subtilis* genomic DNA using Q5 DNA polymerase (New England

Biolabs). The product was column purified (QIAquick PCR Purification Kit, Qiagen)

and introduced into the suicide plasmid pPK15534 by Gibson assembly (New

England Biolabs) (20, 21).

To construct plasmids for gene deletion, the upstream and downstream regions (500

– 1000 bp in size) of the gene of interest, in addition to homologous regions required

for Gibson assembly were amplified using Q5 DNA polymerase. For insertion of a

tag, the upstream and downstream regions of the insertion sites and the tag were

amplified. The obtained DNA fragment was inserted into the linearised plasmid

pPK15534 + *sacB^{BS}* by Gibson assembly. The sequences of all constructed plasmids

were verified by Sanger sequencing (Eurofins Genomics).

Transfer of the suicide vector was performed via conjugation from *E. coli* as

described (20). Briefly, the actively growing recipient *Z. mobilis* cells were mixed with

E. coli WM6026 strain carrying the suicide plasmid, and then plated on RM agar

medium with 0.1 mM meso-diaminopimelate (DAP) and 2% glucose overnight at

30 °C. The next day, the grown cells were scraped and resuspended in liquid RM +

2% glucose, followed by an incubation at 30°C for 2 h. Cultures were then diluted

(typically 10x and 100x, depending on the density) into phosphate-buffered saline

(PBS) and 100 µL of each dilution was spread on RM + 2% glucose agar medium

with 90 µg/mL chloramphenicol to select for the cells that received and integrated the

plasmids.

The colonies that grew on the selection plates were then checked for the presence of

plasmid in their chromosomal DNA by colony PCR. Verified colonies were then

grown in liquid RM + 2% glucose without selection overnight (excision of the

plasmids). Next day, the cells were diluted in PBS (10, 100 and 1000x), and 100 μL

from each diluted culture were spread on RM agar supplemented with sucrose

(initially using 3%, 4% or 5%) and the plates were incubated for two days. We found

that 3% sucrose provided tight selection and used this concentration for generating

most of the strains in Table 1, but 5% sucrose was also effective. After the colonies

appeared, single colonies were streaked on RM with 2% glucose, then colony PCR

was performed to identify colonies with the desired genotype. The genomic DNA of

several generated strains were extracted using a genomic DNA kit (Sigma),

sequenced by whole genome sequencing (MicrobesNG) and analysed by CLC

Genomics Workbench (Qiagen). Oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in

Table S2.

Microscopy analysis

A culture of growing Z. mobilis (0.4 μl) was spotted onto a 1% agarose-pad made of

RM growth medium and covered by a small cover-glass. Phase contrast and

fluorescence images of Z. mobilis cells were taken using a Nikon Eclipse Ti

microscope (Nikon) equipped with a Plan Apochromat 100x objective with an NA of

1.40 and Ph3 Phase plate (Nikon) and a Prime sCMOS camera (Teledyne

Photometrics). ParB-sfGFP and FM 4-64 were imaged using 49002 and 49008 filter

cubes respectively (Chroma). Images were acquired using Nikon NIS elements AR

software. Image analysis was performed using MicrobeJ (22). For chromosome foci

counting, we used Maxima function in MicrobeJ using tolerance value as 850. For

staining membrane, the cells were incubated with FM4-64 (2 μg/mL), for 5 minutes

prior to imaging.

Results

ParB-sfGFP forms multiple foci in single *Z. mobilis* cells

To visualise the *oriC* site of chromosome in *Z. mobilis* cells, we expressed chromosome partitioning protein ParB fused to the fluorescent protein sfGFP (super-folder variant of GFP) (23). ParB has been shown to play a central role in segregating of replicating chromosomes in several bacteria, assembling at *parS* DNA sites located near the origins of replication (*oriC*) (24). Bioinformatic analysis predicted four *parS* sites near the *oriC* in the *Z. mobilis* chromosome (25). Thus, ParB sub-cellular localisation should serve as a marker for counting chromosomal origins in a single *Z. mobilis* cell. (17) We generated a *Z. mobilis* strain in which *parB-sfGFP* was introduced at the original locus (*Z6_1203*) encoding ParB. The strain did not show any growth or morphological defects (Fig. S1), indicating that the ParB-sfGFP is a functional fusion. The fluorescence imaging of growing Z6 ParB-sfGFP cells showed that the ParB-sfGFP exhibited several sub-cellular localisation patterns (Fig. 1AB): 1) Multiple distinctive sfGFP foci were distributed throughout the cytoplasm within a cell with noticeable variation in intensity. Interestingly, the *Z. mobilis* ParB-foci did not exhibit an ordered spatial arrangement, in contrast to the organized ParB positioning reported for several other bacteria including polyploid species (17, 26-28). 2) There were often smeared signals around the foci, which might suggest the spreading of ParB (29). 3) A larger focus with an intense signal was observed at one of the cell poles. The polar focus exhibited, on average, more than two-fold higher fluorescence intensity compared with non-polar foci. (Fig. 1C). 4) When the cells were washed with PBS, the fluorescent foci disappeared and the signal became diffused throughout the cytoplasm (Fig. S2), indicating that the foci were unlikely to be inclusion bodies.

We counted the distinctive fluorescent foci within cells using microbeJ (22) which

enables automated detection and quantitation of foci-signals within cells. However,

the number of detectable foci likely represents a lower-bound estimate of the *oriC*

numbers as *Z. mobilis* chromosomes appear to lack ordered spatial organization

within the limited cytoplasmic space, which could lead to co-localization of multiple

ParB-sfGFP foci (see Discussion). Despite this potential technical limitation, we

estimated a minimum chromosomal copy number of 5.6 +- 1.8 based on the

separated ParB-sfGFP foci (from evaluating 561 cells).

Fig. 1. ParB-sfGFP sub-cellular localisation in *Z. mobilis*. (A) Phase contrast (left) and fluorescent

images (middle, right) of growing Z6 ParB-sfGFP cells under standard growth conditions. For

visualising membrane, the cells were stained with FM4-64 (right panel). Scale bar, 5 μm. (B) ParB-

sfGFP detection in the *Z. mobilis* cells using microbeJ (22). Distinctive foci were detected from the

fluorescence images. Scale bar, 5 μm. (C) Box-and-whisker plot presenting ParB-sfGFP fluorescence

intensity of polar focus and foci detected from other cell regions. Biological replicates N = 3, total

measured foci N = 1063.

Periplasmic Levan sucrase is toxic to *Z. mobilis* cells in the presence of

sucrose

Having demonstrated that individual *Z. mobilis* cell contains multiple copies of

chromosome, we recognised that engineering the *Z. mobilis* genome requires an

efficient counter-selection system. In polyploid bacteria, the presence of multiple

chromosome copies could result in heterogeneous genotype during genome editing,

with wild-type and mutated genes coexisting on different chromosomes within the

same cell. Thus, positive selection strategies, such as antibiotic resistance markers,

might lack sufficient selection, because it allows the survival of cells that retained

unmodified, wild-type chromosome copies. In contrast, a negative selection

eliminates cells carrying unmodified chromosomes, efficiently selecting cells in which

all chromosomal copies altered.

Currently, the widely used method to delete gene in *Z. mobilis* is homologous

recombination-based method utilizing a suicide vector carries flanking region of the

targeted gene (30), particularly the method developed by Ral *et al.* which relies on

antibiotic resistance for the integration of the plasmid, and the loss of a GFP

fluorescence reporter for the excision of plasmid from the chromosome (20). This

powerful method has been previously used by us to successfully generate mutant

strains (31). However, we found that the last selection (excision of the integrated

plasmid) was not efficient, which resulted in obtaining mixture of cells that underwent

the excision and cells carrying the integrated plasmid. This was also observed in

another study that used the method (14). The high rate of false positive cells is

presumably due to the presence of multiple chromosome copies. In addition, the

method requires using time-consuming Fluorescence-Activated Cell Sorting (FACS).

Thus, we aimed to develop an alternative, practical negative selection method that

forces the excision event and allows simple isolation of cells that have the desired

genetic manipulation.

Although the *sacB* encoding levan sucrase was previously used as a counter-

selection in *Z. mobilis* (32) the use of levan sucrase was later questioned by the *Z.*

mobilis research community because the *Z. mobilis* genome encodes its own *sacB*

gene and can grow on sucrose as a sole carbon source. However, the native *Z.*

mobilis SacB was reported to be extracellular (33) while the toxicity of levan sucrase

manifests within the periplasm in Gram-negative bacteria (34). SignalP analysis (35)

predicts a periplasmic localisation for *Bacillus subtilis* SacB in Gram-negative

bacterial cells, but not for the *Z. mobilis* SacB consistent with the previous report

(33).

We next examined whether expressing the periplasmic SacB^{BS} (*Bacillus subtilis*

SacB) could be toxic to *Z. mobilis* cells in the presence of sucrose and thus could

potentially serve as a counter-selector as in other Gram-negative bacteria. We

generated the *Z. mobilis* strain carrying *sacB^{BS}* inserted into the chromosome at the

adjacent region of Z6_1449 (31), and tested growth in RM complex medium

supplemented with either 2% glucose or 5% sucrose. While the strain grew as wild-

type in the RM agar medium supplemented with 2% glucose, it failed to grow in the

presence of 5% sucrose (Fig. 2). This demonstrates the SacB-toxicity depends on

the cellular localisation of SacB and indicates that periplasmic SacB^{BS} is applicable

for negative selection in *Z. mobilis*.

Fig. 2. Periplasmic SacB^{BS} prevents growth of *Z. mobilis* cells in the presence of sucrose. (top) Both

Z6 and Z6 + SacB^{BS} strains grew on RM supplemented with 2% glucose. (bottom) Z6 exhibited lysing

colonies on RM + sucrose 5% (left side) while Z6 + SacB^{BS} strain did not grow at all in the presence

of 5% sucrose (right side).

Sucrose in the growth medium triggers explosive lysis of wild-type *Z. mobilis* cell

Close inspection of the wild-type strain grown on RM agar-medium supplemented

with sucrose indicated that the colonies displayed partial lysis (Fig. 2). The lysis is

likely due to the activity of its native, extracellularly secreted SacB producing toxic

levan polymer. Such stress-induced lysis might be a problem for a counter-selection

system, as continuous stress might increase the likelihood of unwanted, secondary

mutations during genome engineering. To observe the lysis at a cellular level, we

performed time-lapse imaging on the wild-type strain Z6 growing on glucose or

sucrose in the complex medium. While the majority of cells fed with sucrose grew

well, we observed abrupt lysis of a few single cells during imaging (Fig. 3). The

cytoplasmic content released from the cell pushed other cells on the agar-pad within

10 minutes during the imaging (Fig. 4, bottom panels). The explosive lysis was

observed in other time-lapse videos, and typically affected only one cell within a

micro colony consisting of few hundred cells. This low level of lysis of Z6 in the

presence of sucrose presumably explains the slimy appearance of cells on the RM

agar-medium with sucrose (Fig. 2 left bottom) and the majority of cells remained

viable and continued to actively grow. Consistent with this, *Z. mobilis* cultures grown

in liquid medium containing sucrose exhibited no apparent growth defects as

previously reported (36, 37).

Fig. 3. *Z. mobilis* cells growing in the presence of 5% sucrose. Images show that one cell exhibits

explosive lysis, releasing its cytoplasm content that washes away other cells on RM medium. (Time

point from top left to bottom right, 20, 220, 320, 370, 380, 390 minutes after the imaging started)

Scale bar, 5 µm.

Periplasmic-SacB[BS] counter selection is applicable in engineering the *Z. mobilis* genome

Next, we applied the SacB[BS] -based counter-selection system by integrating it into

the previously published method (20) by replacing the plasmid-excision step based

on FACS with sucrose-sensitivity selection. In this system, cells retaining the

integrated plasmid are killed in the presence of sucrose. Consequently, only cells in

which the plasmid has been excised from all chromosomal copies survive, ensuring

complete plasmid removal. Using this modified method, we readily obtained colonies

that appeared to have lost the targeted gene, as checked by PCR. To verify the

absence of wild-type gene in all chromosomes, we also analysed the whole genome

sequence of three independent strains (ΔZ6_1449, ΔZ6_0810 and ΔZ6_1479). The

analysis confirmed the 100%, homozygous deletion of targeted gene without the

wild-type copy, and no additional mutations in other regions of the chromosomes. We

further utilised the SacB counter-selection system to introduce gene fusions or tags

into coding sequences (epitope or fluorescent tag) into the chromosomes. We

successfully obtained the strains with desired tags at the precise location in all

copies of chromosome, confirmed by whole genome sequencing (Z6_1449-His x 6-

FLAG). Table 1 summarises the generated strains and frequencies of obtaining the

desired genotype. On average, 29.8% of the mutant colonies (based on PCR

analysis) were obtained from the tested colonies by the sucrose selection, which we

found sufficiently effective and practical. Taken together, these results demonstrate

that the SacB-based counter selection is a reliable and efficient tool for engineering

the Z. mobilis genome.

Table 1, The summary of outcome of genotype after the excision of the plasmid

integrated into the wild-type strain Z6.

Introduced mutation/tag	number of tested colonies	Genotype		
		mixed	wild-type	targeted
ΔZ6_1449	3	1	1	1
Z6_1449-His x 6-FLAG	6	0	4	2
Z6_0812-mCherry	10	0	8	2
ΔZ6_1449 C-ter truncation	11	0	10	1
ΔZ6_0810	6	0	5	1
ΔZ6_0149	12	1	9	2
ΔZ6_1271	22	0	15	7

ΔZ6_1369	24	0	15	9
Z6_1203-GFPsf	28	0	6	22
ΔZ6_1479	28	0	26	2
ΔZ6_0234	28	0	24	4

Discussion

This study adds new insights into the polyploidy and chromosomal organization of *Z.*

mobilis. Our initial objective was to determine the chromosome copy number at the

single-cell level using fluorescently tagged ParB that binds to *parS* site in the

chromosome. However, this proved to be challenging due to the spatial organisation

of chromosomes within the cell, and presumably led to underestimating the copy

numbers because clustered foci were counted as single signal. In particular, the

intense polar focus likely represents multiple *oriC* sites. In addition, *Z. mobilis* cells

are approximately 1.1 - 1.4 µm wide, hence foci positioned above or below the focal

plane might be missed when imaging of a single-plane. We aimed to visualise 3-

dimentional imaging of the signals by Z-stacking of fluorescence imaging, but the foci

were found to be dynamic, which made counting foci from stacked images unreliable

(data not shown). Consequently, the copy numbers obtained from the imaging likely

represent a lower-bound estimate. Consistent with this, the copies numbers obtained

from the sfGFP signals were lower than those previously measured using

quantitative PCR method (12).

The intense polar localization of ParB has been reported for other alpha-

proteobacteria (28, 38) and might reflect a conserved feature of chromosome

replication in this bacterial group, indicating that the observed intense foci might

represent the ongoing replicating site.

The physiological benefits of polyploidy are not yet understood in *Z. mobilis*.

Previous work showed that the strain Z4 can grow under phosphorus-depleted

conditions, presumably by using its chromosome as a phosphorus source, which

might be a strategic advantage of being polyploid (39). Another proposed advantage

of polyploidy is to enhance genomic stability. A study on long-term co-cultivation of *Z.*

mobilis with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to induce genetic changes observed no

major mutations in the *Z. mobilis* chromosome, potentially due to the presence of

multiple chromosome copies that could facilitate reversion of mutations (40). On the

other hand, several studies have employed adaptive evolution to obtain *Z. mobilis*

strains with desirable traits (41-43) and as it remains unclear in some studies

whether the resulting populations were genetically homogeneous or contained mixed

genotypes, further examination in the context of the polyploid nature of *Z. mobilis*

might be needed.

In addition to advancing our understanding of chromosome organization, this study

also provides an additional genetic tool for genome engineering in *Z. mobilis*. We

showed that the frequently-used SacB counter selection is applicable to *Z. mobilis*,

and we implemented in the existing homologous recombination based method,

showing high frequency (29.8%) of obtaining the desired genotype. Recently, two

different counter-selection systems for *Z. mobilis* have been reported (44, 45). The

availability of multiple robust counter selection strategies should be advantageous for

genome engineering in *Z. mobilis*. Efficient counter-selection is not only useful for

homologous recombination–based approaches but also for recently developed

CRISPR-based systems, which needs reliable plasmid curing (46).

Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. Patricia J. Kiley (University of Wisconsin–Madison) for donating the plasmid pPK15534. This work was supported by Newton International Fellowship (The Royal Society) to K.F. (NIF\R1\221190)

References

1. Kalnenieks U. 2006. Physiology of *Zymomonas mobilis*: some unanswered questions. Adv Microb Physiol 51:73-117.
2. Swings J, De Ley J. 1977. The biology of Zymomonas. Bacteriol Rev 41:1-46.
3. Kalnenieks U, Balodite E, Strähler S, Strazdina I, Rex J, Pentjuss A, Fuchino K, Bruheim P, Rutkis R, Pappas KM, Poole RK, Sawodny O, Bettenbrock K. 2019. Improvement of Acetaldehyde Production in Zymomonas mobilis by Engineering of Its Aerobic Metabolism. Front Microbiol 10:2533.
4. He MX, Wu B, Qin H, Ruan ZY, Tan FR, Wang JL, Shui ZX, Dai LC, Zhu QL, Pan K, Tang XY, Wang WG, Hu QC. 2014. Zymomonas mobilis: a novel platform for future biorefineries. Biotechnology for Biofuels 7:101.
5. Wang X, He Q, Yang Y, Wang J, Haning K, Hu Y, Wu B, He M, Zhang Y, Bao J, Contreras LM, Yang S. 2018. Advances and prospects in metabolic engineering of Zymomonas mobilis. Metabolic Engineering 50:57-73.
6. Liu Y, Ghosh IN, Martien J, Zhang Y, Amador-Noguez D, Landick R. 2020. Regulated redirection of central carbon flux enhances anaerobic production of bioproducts in Zymomonas mobilis. Metabolic Engineering 61:261-274.
7. Wang Z, Wang X, Yan X, Yi H, He S, Zhang H, Zhou X, He Q, Yang S. 2024. Metabolic engineering of an industrial bacterium Zymomonas mobilis for anaerobic l-serine production. Synthetic and Systems Biotechnology 9:349-358.
8. Frohwitter J, Behrendt G, Klamt S, Bettenbrock K. 2024. A new Zymomonas mobilis platform strain for the efficient production of chemicals. Microbial Cell Factories 23:143.
9. Yan X, Bao W, Wu Y, Zhang C, Mao Z, Yuan Q, Hu Z, He P, Peng Q, Hu M, Geng B, Ma H, Chen S, Fei Q, He Q, Yang S. 2024. Paradigm of engineering recalcitrant non-model microorganism with dominant metabolic pathway as a biorefinery chassis. Nature Communications 15:10441.
10. Fuchino K, Chan H, Hwang LC, Bruheim P. 2021. The Ethanologenic Bacterium *Zymomonas mobilis* Divides Asymmetrically and Exhibits Heterogeneity in DNA Content. Appl Environ Microbiol 87.
11. Skerker JM, Leon D, Price MN, Mar JS, Tarjan DR, Wetmore KM, Deutschbauer AM, Baumohl JK, Bauer S, Ibáñez AB, Mitchell VD, Wu CH, Hu P, Hazen T, Arkin AP. 2013. Dissecting a complex chemical stress: chemogenomic profiling of plant hydrolysates. Molecular Systems Biology 9:674.

12.   Fuchino K, Wasser D, Soppa J. 2021. Genome Copy Number Quantification
Revealed That the Ethanologenic Alpha-Proteobacterium Zymomonas mobilis Is
Polyploid. Frontiers in Microbiology Volume 12 - 2021.
13.   Brenac L, Baidoo EEK, Keasling JD, Budin I. 2019. Distinct functional roles for
hopanoid composition in the chemical tolerance of Zymomonas mobilis.
Molecular Microbiology 112:1564-1575.
14.   Braga A, Maia AB, Gomes D, Rodrigues JL, Rainha J, Rodrigues LR. 2025.
Improving Fructooligosaccharide Production via sacC Gene Deletion in
Zymomonas mobilis: A Novel Approach for Enhanced Prebiotic Production. Food
and Bioprocess Technology 18:899-915.
15.   Balodite E, Strazdina I, Martynova J, Galinina N, Rutkis R, Lasa Z, Kalnenieks U.
2019. Translocation of Zymomonas mobilis pyruvate decarboxylase to
periplasmic compartment for production of acetaldehyde outside the cytosol.
MicrobiologyOpen 8:e00809.
16.   Xun ZPLR, Kwon,. Eilhann E., Jeong. Sang-Chul, & Jeon. Young Jae. 2015. Growth
Characteristics of a Pyruvate Decarboxylase Mutant Strain of Zymomonas
mobilis. Journal of Life Science 25:1290–1297.
17.   Takacs CN, Wachter J, Xiang Y, Ren Z, Karaboja X, Scott M, Stoner MR, Irnov I,
Jannetty N, Rosa PA, Wang X, Jacobs-Wagner C. 2022. Polyploidy, regular
patterning of genome copies, and unusual control of DNA partitioning in the
Lyme disease spirochete. Nature Communications 13:7173.
18.   Jain IH, Vijayan V, O'Shea EK. 2012. Spatial ordering of chromosomes enhances
the fidelity of chromosome partitioning in cyanobacteria. Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences 109:13638-13643.
19.   Zhang YH, Xue CM, Chen BT, Ouyang P, Ling C. 2025. Comparing three emerging
industrial cell factories: Pseudomonas putida KT2440, Halomonas
bluephagenesis TD01, and Zymomonas mobilis ZM4. Curr Opin Biotechnol
92:103255.
20.   Lal PB, Wells FM, Lyu Y, Ghosh IN, Landick R, Kiley PJ. 2019. A Markerless Method
for Genome Engineering in Zymomonas mobilis ZM4. Frontiers in Microbiology
Volume 10 - 2019.
21.   Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang R-Y, Venter JC, Hutchison CA, Smith HO. 2009.
Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. Nature
Methods 6:343-345.
22.   Ducret A, Quardokus EM, Brun YV. 2016. MicrobeJ, a tool for high throughput
bacterial cell detection and quantitative analysis. Nature Microbiology 1:16077.
23.   Pédelacq J-D, Cabantous S, Tran T, Terwilliger TC, Waldo GS. 2006. Engineering
and characterization of a superfolder green fluorescent protein. Nature
Biotechnology 24:79-88.
24.   Jalal ASB, Le TBK. 2020. Bacterial chromosome segregation by the ParABS
system. Open Biol 10:200097.
25.   Livny J, Yamaichi Y, Waldor MK. 2007. Distribution of centromere-like parS sites
in bacteria: insights from comparative genomics. J Bacteriol 189:8693-703.
26.   Shebelut CW, Guberman JM, van Teeffelen S, Yakhnina AA, Gitai Z. 2010.
Caulobacter chromosome segregation is an ordered multistep process.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 107:14194-14198.

27. Ucci AP, Martins PM, Lau IF, Bacci M, Jr., Belasque J, Jr., Ferreira H. 2014. Asymmetric chromosome segregation in Xanthomonas citri ssp. citri. Microbiologyopen 3:29-41.

28. Robalino-Espinosa JS, Zupan JR, Chavez-Arroyo A, Zambryski P. 2020. Segregation of four Agrobacterium tumefaciens replicons during polar growth: PopZ and PodJ control segregation of essential replicons. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 117:26366-26373.

29. McLean TC, Le TBK. 2023. CTP switches in ParABS-mediated bacterial chromosome segregation and beyond. Current Opinion in Microbiology 73:102289.

30. Boismier EC, Aboulnaga EA, TerAvest MA. 2025. Zymomonas mobilis: bringing an ancient human tool into the genomic era. Current Opinion in Biotechnology 92:103257.

31. Fuchino K, Nalbant A, Gray J, Vollmer W. 2025. Peptidoglycan remodelling improves salt resilience of Zymomonas mobilis. bioRxiv doi:10.1101/2025.10.04.680478:2025.10.04.680478.

32. Xia J, Liu C-G, Zhao X-Q, Xiao Y, Xia X-X, Bai F-W. 2018. Contribution of cellulose synthesis, formation of fibrils and their entanglement to the self-flocculation of Zymomonas mobilis. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 115:2714-2725.

33. Gunasekaran P, Mukundan G, Kannan R, Velmurugan S, Aït-Abdelkader N, Alvarez-Macarie E, Baratti J. 1995. The sacB and sacC genes encoding levansucrase and sucrase form a gene cluster in Zymomonas mobilis. Biotechnology Letters 17:635-642.

34. Reyrat J-M, Pelicic V, Gicquel B, Rappuoli R. 1998. Counterselectable Markers: Untapped Tools for Bacterial Genetics and Pathogenesis. Infection and Immunity 66:4011-4017.

35. Teufel F, Almagro Armenteros JJ, Johansen AR, Gíslason MH, Pihl SI, Tsirigos KD, Winther O, Brunak S, von Heijne G, Nielsen H. 2022. SignalP 6.0 predicts all five types of signal peptides using protein language models. Nat Biotechnol 40:1023-1025.

36. Braga A, Maia AB, Rodrigues LR. 2025. Agro-industrial by-products valorization for fructooligosaccharide production with Zymomonas mobilis. Bioresources and Bioprocessing 12:110.

37. Bekers M, Laukevics J, Upite D, Kaminska E, Vigants A, Viesturs U, Pankova L, Danilevics A. 2002. Fructooligosaccharide and levan producing activity of Zymomonas mobilis extracellular levansucrase. Process Biochemistry 38:701-706.

38. Ebersbach G, Briegel A, Jensen GJ, Jacobs-Wagner C. 2008. A Self-Associating Protein Critical for Chromosome Attachment, Division, and Polar Organization in Caulobacter. Cell 134:956-968.

39. Brück P, Wasser D, Soppa J. 2023. One Advantage of Being Polyploid: Prokaryotes of Various Phylogenetic Groups Can Grow in the Absence of an Environmental Phosphate Source at the Expense of Their High Genome Copy Numbers. Microorganisms 11.

40. Fuchino K, Bruheim P. 2020. An assessment of serial co-cultivation approach for generating novel Zymomonas mobilis strains. BMC Research Notes 13:422.

41. Huang J, Wang X, Chen X, Li H, Chen Y, Hu Z, Yang S. 2023. Adaptive Laboratory
Evolution and Metabolic Engineering of Zymomonas mobilis for Bioethanol
Production Using Molasses. ACS Synthetic Biology 12:1297-1307.
42. Yan Z, Zhang J, Bao J. 2021. Increasing cellulosic ethanol production by
enhancing phenolic tolerance of Zymomonas mobilis in adaptive evolution.
Bioresource Technology 329:124926.
43. Phannarangsee Y, Jiawkhangphlu B, Thanonkeo S, Klanrit P, Yamada M,
Thanonkeo P. 2024. Sorbitol production from mixtures of molasses and
sugarcane bagasse hydrolysate using the thermally adapted Zymomonas mobilis
ZM AD41. Scientific Reports 14:5563.
44. Zheng Y, Fu H, Chen J, Li J, Bian Y, Hu P, Lei L, Liu Y, Yang J, Peng W. 2023.
Development of a counterselectable system for rapid and efficient CRISPR-
based genome engineering in Zymomonas mobilis. Microbial Cell Factories
22:208.
45. Binan G, Yalun W, Xinyan W, Yongfu Y, Peng Z, Yunhaon C, Xuan Z, Chenguang L,
Fengwu B, Ping X, Qiaoning H, Shihui Y. 2024. Efficient genome-editing tools to
engineer the recalcitrant non-model industrial microorganism Zymomonas
mobilis. Trends in Biotechnology 42:1551-1575.
46. Chen M, Huang Y, Zheng Y, Wu B, He M. 2023. The escape of CRISPR-mediated
gene editing in Zymomonas mobilis. FEMS Microbiology Letters 370.

489