Neural microexons contain lengthened sequence and extended RNA structure between

the branchpoint and splice site motif

Authors: Alexandra Randazza[1,2], *Kathryn E. Howe[1,2], *John R. McCoy[1,2], Abigail Hatfield[1], Tara

Doucet-O'Hare[1,2], +Lela Lackey[1,2]

* equal contributions

+ corresponding author

Affiliations: [1]Clemson University Institute for Human Genetics, [2]Department of Genetics and

Biochemistry

Running title: Sequence and structure of neural microexons

Key words: microexons, splicing, RNA structure, neural development, chick embryo

Abstract:

Microexons are extremely short exons that are highly conserved in vertebrates and are

essential for normal brain development. Their small size poses a challenge for canonical exon-

definition splice site recognition, which typically relies on spliceosomal interactions across

standard length exons. Here, we determine the sequence and RNA structural features of neural

microexons in humans in comparison with chickens. We find that both human and chicken

neural microexons share intronic features that extend the functional distance between the

branchpoint and the 3' splice site, including distal positioning and lengthening of polypyrimidine

tracts. Using experimental RNA structure-probing on a subset of neural microexons, we show

that the human branchpoint-to-splice site region is unusually accessible and relatively unpaired

compared to other exon classes. However, we find little support for shared RNA secondary

structures between orthologous human and chicken precursor RNAs despite high sequence

conservation. Using the chick embryo as a developmental model, we demonstrate that a subset

of neural microexons undergo dynamic, stage-specific regulation during brain development that

correlates with expression of known microexon regulators, *SRRM4* and *NOVA1*. Our data

suggest that neural microexon splicing fluctuates during brain development and may rely on an

extended polypyrimidine tract and structural accessibility for splicing efficiency, rather than

conserved secondary structures.

Introduction:

The brain exhibits some of the highest levels of alternative splicing in the human body,

producing a diverse array of RNA isoforms, including transcripts containing microexons (Porter

et al. 2018). Microexons are short exons (3-27 nucleotides (nts) in length) that are highly

conserved in vertebrates (Irimia et al. 2014; Li et al. 2015; Torres-Mendez et al. 2019).

Microexons are usually part of the coding sequence and retain open reading frames, frequently

resulting in small amino acid insertions in proteins. These insertions can alter the protein's

Randazza, *et al.* 2

binding affinities and partners (Irimia et al. 2014; Mackensen and Irimia 2025). Specific

microexons have been linked to neurological disorders and development (Mackensen and Irimia

2025), including a microexon in cytoplasmic polyadenylation element binding protein 4 (*CPEB4*)

that broadly regulates translational efficiency and is associated with autism (Parras et al. 2018;

Olla et al. 2023; Garcia-Cabau et al. 2025), and a microexon in roundabout guidance receptor 1

(*ROBO1*) that influences neural migration during brain development (Johnson et al. 2019).

Changes in microexon splicing can be caused by misregulation of the splicing factor

serine/arginine repetitive matrix 4 (SRRM4) protein and have been associated with a variety of

neurological disorders, such as autism and schizophrenia (Irimia et al. 2014; Quesnel-Vallieres

et al. 2016; Gandal et al. 2018). Defining the mechanisms that regulate microexon splicing are

essential for understanding early brain development and neurodevelopmental disorders.

Splicing depends on both sequence and structural elements to guide splice site selection and

promote efficiency (Lee and Rio 2015; Shenasa and Hertel 2019; Herbert et al. 2023). For

example, hairpin formation at the 5' splice site of the microtubule associated protein tau (*MAPT*)

precursor RNA can inhibit spliceosomal recognition and is essential for appropriate splice site

recognition and balance of mature mRNA isoforms (Kumar et al. 2022). CryoEM structures of

early spliceosome assembly on hairpin containing precursor RNA in yeast reveal that hairpins

can also promote splicing (Li et al. 2019). Our previous work studying the secondary structure

of precursor RNAs found a key role for RNA flexibility in splicing fidelity, with mis-spliced RNAs

much more flexible than robustly spliced RNAs (Herbert et al. 2025). However, the structure of

most precursor RNAs, including precursor RNAs containing microexons, remains unknown.

Furthermore, how structural and sequence elements interact to influence splice site selection is

unclear.

Mechanistically, most vertebrates rely on the exon-definition model of splicing. This model

presupposes that most vertebrate exons are 120-150 nts in length, making them both more

consistent and significantly shorter than most vertebrate introns at 1,100-1,700 nts (Gazave et

al. 2007; Gelfman et al. 2012). In the exon-definition model, three splice sites are identified,

starting with the original 5' splice site and the downstream 5' splice site. This downstream 5'

splice site assists with identification of the 3' splice site, before the spliceosome remodels into

an intron-spanning complex for splicing with the original 5' splice site and the newly identified 3'

splice site (De Conti et al. 2013; Li et al. 2019; Zhang et al. 2024). Exon definition is assisted by

members of the family of serine-arginine rich RNA binding proteins (RBPs), including serine and

arginine rich splicing factor 1 (SRSF1), that can bind exon enhancer elements or be recruited by

the U1 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein (snRNP) component of the spliceosome (Jobbins et al.

2022). In addition to sequence elements, RNA structure can alter the distance between splice

sites or regulatory elements (Saha et al. 2021; Carranza et al. 2022; Saha and Ghosh 2022),

potentially influencing intron or exon definition splicing. Given their small size, microexons are

typically thought to fall outside the bounds of conventional exon-definition splicing (Ustianenko

et al. 2017).

Here we analyze the properties of neural-specific microexons in humans and in chickens.

Neural developmental milestones in the chicken embryo are well characterized, providing a unique

opportunity to explore microexon conservation in a developmental context (Williams et al. 2022).

We find that both human and chicken neural microexons have extended intronic polypyrimidine

tracts that push the predicted branch-point farther into the intron. In addition, our experimentally-

based structure models show that human microexons have less base-pairing between the branch-

point and 3' splice site than the same region in standard-size exons. Our results suggest that

despite their small size, microexons may be capable of using exon-definition for splice site

selection. Using the chicken model system for analysis of neurological development, we show that

conserved microexons have dynamic temporal regulation during embryonic brain development that

correlates with expression of known microexon regulators, neuro-oncological ventral antigen 1

(NOVA1) and *SRRM4*.

Results:

Neural microexons are highly conserved

We classified microexons into two groups using the VastDB splicing database (Tapial et al.

2017): those with altered splicing in neural tissues and those without, termed "neural

microexons" and "non-neural microexons", respectively. We found that the intronic regions of

neural microexons are significantly more conserved in vertebrates than the intronic regions of

non-neural microexons or moderately sized exons (100-200 nts, midexons, Figure 1A, Supp

Figure S1). Intronic conservation is specific to the microexon itself and not the precursor RNA in

general as this intronic conservation is not apparent at intronic regions upstream or downstream

of microexons (Supp Figure S2A). Neural microexon splicing can be identified and separately

clustered from non-neural microexons in humans, chickens, and mice (Figure 1B, Supp Figure

S3). Microexons with neural regulation are frequently shared between species, with 35.7% of

human neural microexons also displaying neural regulation in chicken and mouse microexons

(Supp Figure S2B). This contrasts with midexons where shared neural regulation is not common

between species with only 2.1% of human neural midexons also displaying neural regulation in

chicken and mouse (Supp Figure S2B). Our results support prior studies showing that neural

microexons and their proximate intronic regions are highly conserved in vertebrates (Irimia et al.

2014; Torres-Mendez et al. 2019).

We analyzed the overall length of the introns flanking neural microexons in contrast to other

classes of exon. We found that introns flanking neural microexons are significantly shorter than

introns flanking midexons in humans (Figure 2A and B) as observed in other studies (Li et al.

2015; Ustianenko et al. 2017). However, this was not true for chicken microexons. Overall,

chicken introns are much shorter than human introns, and introns flanking chicken neural

microexons are longer than introns flanking other classes of exon (Figure 2A-D). To further

investigate the difference in human and chicken intron lengths, we analyzed repetitive element

content and tandem repeats in the introns (Smit 1996-2010; Jurka 2000). We found that both

upstream and downstream introns flanking human neural microexons have significantly less

nucleotides from repetitive sequences than introns flanking midexons (Figure 2E and F, Supp

Figure S4A and B). In contrast, there was no significant difference in repeat content in introns

flanking chicken neural microexons compared to introns flanking other classes of exons (Figure

2E and F). This implies that introns around human microexons could be protected from repeat

increases, suggesting a functional role for introns flanking microexons.

Neural microexons do not retain specific secondary structure elements across species

To better understand the mechanisms underlying splicing regulation of neural microexons we

selected 13 neural microexons conserved in humans and chickens for structural studies. These

13 microexons were selected based on their expression levels, percent spliced-in (PSI) values,

and neurological disorder association (Table 1). Consistent with high vertebrate conservation at

microexons, the sequence similarity between humans and chickens is higher around

microexons than around midexons (Table 1; Supp Figure S5). We expected RNA structures to

be highly similar between humans and chickens, as RNA structure can maintain even more

information about functional conservation than sequence alone (Bernhart et al. 2008; Eddy

2014). Regions with conserved RNA structure can be identified through covariation analysis,

which detects nucleotide variation that preserves base pairing and thus maintains functional

RNA structures (Bernhart et al. 2008). We performed covariation and conservation analysis

using alignments at our 13 select microexons from 9 model species and ⸏100 vertebrates⸏. We

found evidence for covariation at 11 microexons using model species and at 6 microexons using

100 vertebrates (Table 1). For example, conservation and covariation analysis of a microexon in

ArfGAP with GTPase domain, ankyrin repeat and PH domain 1 (*AGAP1*) suggests the presence

of a stem-loop encompassing the microexon (Figure 3A).

To test predicted covariant/conserved structures and compare the structural similarity of human

and chicken microexon precursor RNAs, we developed experimentally-based *in vitro* structural

models of all 13 microexons and local intronic regions. We performed both selective 2' hydroxyl

acylation by primer extension (SHAPE) and mutational profiling (SHAPE-MaP) and dimethyl

sulfide (DMS) mutational profiling (DMS-MaP) chemical probing and found high reproducibility

between replicates (~0.9). We also found reasonable correlation between SHAPE and DMS

data, (~0.4), as these methods depend on different chemical reagents (Supp Tables S1 and S2)

(Siegfried et al. 2014; Zubradt et al. 2017). To confirm that *in vitro* structure data was

representative of the RNA structure in the cell, nuclei from a medulloblastoma cell line were

isolated and probed with both 5NIA and DMS. *In vitro* and cellular SHAPE reactivities were

highly correlated (mean ~0.7) (Supp Figures S6-9, Supp Table S3). *In vitro* and cellular DMS-

MaP experiments were less correlated (~0.5), but likely less accurate overall as DMS only

provides highly accurate reactivity at adenines and cytosines, which were limited in our cellular

targets (Supp Table S3). We found that all SHAPE-MaP replicates as well as SHAPE-MaP

derived *in vitro* and in cellular comparisons were highly similar.

In *AGAP1*, we found that the nucleotides involved in the predicted covariation are maintained as

base-pairs in a stem-loop downstream of the microexon in representative structures for both

human and chicken (Figure 3B). However, predicted covarying nucleotides were not

consistently found in the secondary structure predictions in the other 10 microexons containing

potential covariation (Supp Figures S10-21). In addition, base-pairing predicted by

Randazza, *et al.*   7

covariation/conservation did not successfully predict low SHAPE or DMS reactivity overall (Supp

Figure S22). We do not find evidence for covariation as a mechanism to maintain conserved

RNA structures around neural microexons. In addition, despite the high sequence similarity

between humans and chickens, such as in *AGAP1* (0.79), the overall structural correlation

based on the experimental reactivity data is relatively low (0.39) (Figure 3C, Supp Table S4),

although still significantly higher than randomized reactivities (Figure 3D). Despite the relatively

low overall structural correlation, the structural similarity we do see is dependent on sequence

similarity (Figure 3C). Supporting this, despite relatively high sequence similarity scores (>0.5),

the microexons in ER membrane protein complex subunit 1 (EMC1) and dynactin subunit 1

(DCTN1) could not be distinguished from background as structurally similar between humans

and chickens (Figure 3C). Our data do not suggest that microexons or their surrounding intronic

sequence use covariation or maintain high levels of RNA structural similarity.

We observed that human and chicken sequences frequently contain small insertions or

deletions, which could contribute to low structure similarity scores; therefore, we focused on

regions of contiguous high similarity scores to identify whether small local regions of structure

could be conserved between humans and chickens. We identified blocks of structural similarity

and found that these blocks typically include seeds of sequence similarity of at least 0.5 (Figure

3F; Supp Figures S10-21). *AGAP1* contains extensive structural blocks encompassing the

microexon and region of predicted covariation/conservation (Figure 3F). This suggests that

small regions of structure in the flanking introns and microexons are conserved between

humans and chickens. However, these structural blocks are not located in a consistent pattern

within the 13 tested microexons and can be upstream, downstream or in the microexon (Figure

3F, Supp Figures S10-21). Our data suggest that neural microexons do not have high levels of

structural conservation but have maintained blocks of structural similarity that primarily correlate

with high sequence similarity. Due to their varied localization and length within our selected

microexons, these regions of structural similarity likely contribute in unique ways to the

regulation of each individual precursor RNA.

The branchpoint-to-splice site region in neural microexons is lengthened by an extended

polypyrimidine tract and structurally accessibly nucleotides

Due to their small size, microexons have been found to require robust splicing sequence signals

to promote exon inclusion (Li et al. 2015). Splicing sequence signals can be compared to 5' and

3' splice site consensus sequences and quantified for strength (MAXENT score, (Yeo et al.

2004)). We found that the 3' splice sites of neural microexons were significantly weaker than the

3' splice sites of neural midexons in humans (Figure 4A) and in chickens (Supp Figure S23A),

as was also observed previously in (Irimia et al. 2014). As expected, human midexons and non-

neural microexons have higher polypyrimidine frequencies between 5 to 15 nts from the 3'

splice site, but we found that neural microexons have the highest polypyrimidine frequency

between 15 to 30 nts from the GU (Figure 4C). While the weaker 3' splice site around

microexons may be partially offset by the significantly stronger 5' splice site in microexons

compared to midexons (Figure 4B, Supp Figure S23B), the 3' splice site score may also not fully

capture the unusual properties of the polypyrimidine tract flanking neural microexons. MAXENT

strength is based on the presence of a strong polypyrimidine tract within 18 nts of the GU splice

site dinucleotide (Yeo et al. 2004). A similar trend also occurs in chicken neural microexons

(Supp Figure S23C). This unusual position of the polypyrimidine tract is not apparent at the

downstream constitutive 3' splice site for neural microexons, midexons, or non-neural

microexons (Figure 4D, Supp Figure S23D). The unusual position of the polypyrimidine tract in

microexons may not reflect their contribution to splice site selection (Yeo et al. 2004).

Based on the unusual properties of polypyrimidine tracts in neural microexons (Figure 4C), we

analyzed the predicted location of the branchpoint using SVM-BPfinder (Corvelo et al. 2010).

We found, in both humans and in chickens, that the branchpoint was predicted to be

significantly farther from the 3' splice site around neural microexons, (48 vs 43 nts in humans,

50 vs 43 nts in chicken, Figure 5A, Supp Figure S24A). We observed that human and chicken

neural microexons have significantly longer polypyrimidine tracts in unusually close proximity to

the predicted branchpoint (Figure 5B and C, Supp Figure S24B and C). In addition, the region

between the branchpoint and the 3' splice site has significantly higher accessibility in human

neural microexons compared to human neural midexons (Figure 5D). The high reactivity in the

branchpoint-to-splice site region indicates that this region is accessible and likely extended. In

contrast, we did not find any other patterns of consistent accessibility in our experimental

reactivity data at core elements, including the branchpoint, 3' splice site and 5' splice site (Supp

Figure S25). This suggests that neural microexons use a distinct and shared splicing

mechanism that lengthens the distance between the branchpoint and splice site through both

sequence and structural elements, potentially allowing for exon-definition to assist in splice site

identification.

The chick embryo model reproduces regulated neural microexon splicing during brain

development

We employed a chick embryo model system to analyze microexon splicing during embryonic

development. We dissected embryonic brain and heart tissue at five time-points (Hamburger-

Hamilton Stages 11, 15, 27, 31 and 35), prepared sequencing libraries and performed short

read sequencing. We identified microexon splicing specific to brain tissue for 12 of 13 selected

neural microexons. The transition between early stages HH11-15 and later stages HH27-35 is

especially dramatic, with nearly half of microexons sharply increasing in inclusion at this

developmental stage in the brain (Figure 6A; *FRY microtubule binding protein (FRY), AGAP1,*

protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor type K (PTPRK), CPEB4, amyloid beta precursor protein

binding family B member 2 (APBB2) and *DCTN1*). The inclusion of the *ROBO1* microexon

decreases at the transition between HH15 and HH27, consistent with its known biological role in

axon guidance (Johnson et al. 2019). The inclusion of microexons in dedicator of cytokinesis 7

(*DOCK7)* and myocyte enhancer factor 2A (*MEF2A)* remain relatively stable during early

development in chicken brain tissues (Figure 6A). Splicing of microexons in our select 13 genes

is not strongly affected by sex (Supp Figure S26). In addition, transcript levels for the 13

selected microexon genes are similar between males and females in both brain and heart tissue

(Supp Figure S27). The level of transcription is not correlated with microexon splicing in either

brain or heart (Supp Figure S28). We find strong stage-dependent splicing regulation of

microexons during chick development similar to expected stage-specific splicing regulation of

human microexons during brain development based on limited evidence (Gonatopoulos-

Pournatzis and Blencowe 2020).

At the transition point between HH15 and HH27, where we identified dynamic microexon

regulation (Figure 6A), we also see dramatic increases in expression of the RNA binding

proteins *NOVA1* and *SRRM4* in the brain at the RNA level, followed by a slow decrease from

HH27 to HH31 and HH35 (Figure 6B). NOVA1 and SRRM4 are classic microexon regulatory

factors that influence human microexon splicing (Mackensen and Irimia 2025). *SRRM4* is

expressed 50-fold higher in brain and *NOVA1* is expressed 6-fold higher in brain than in heart

tissue (Figure 6B and C; Supp Figure S29). *RNA binding fox-1 homolog 1 (RBFOX1)* and *RNA*

binding fox-1 homolog 3 (RBFOX3) may be relevant for neural specific splicing as they also

have higher expression in brain than in heart tissue and increase at the HH27 transition. Other

splicing factors, *polypyrimidine tract binding protein 1 (PTBP1), serine and arginine rich splicing*

factor 11 (SRSF11) and *RNA binding protein with serine rich domain 1 (RNPS1)*, may not be

related to neural microexon specific regulation as they have similar RNA expression in both

brain tissues and heart tissue (Supp Figure S29).

Discussion

Microexons present a conceptual challenge for canonical models of splice site recognition due to their extremely small size. The exon-definition model, which relies on coordinated recognition of splice sites across an exon, is incompatible with microexons because they lack sufficient sequence length to physically accommodate cross-exon interactions (Li et al. 2019). In this study, we identify factors that contribute to lengthening of the crucial branchpoint-to-splice site region including 1) distal placement of the polypyrimidine tract farther into the intron (Figure 4C) and 2) extension of the polypyrimidine tract sequence (Figure 5A and B). In addition, we find that 3) the branchpoint-to-splice site region is highly accessible and likely unpaired in neural microexons (Figure 5D). As exon-definition is the predominant model in vertebrates with long introns (De Conti et al. 2013), the sequence and structural lengthening of neural microexons may allow them to take advantage of this splicing mechanism to aid in robust splicing despite their small size.

Due to shared sequence content, we were surprised to find little evidence that neural microexons rely on conserved RNA secondary structures maintained through covariation. Although covariation analysis predicted conserved base-pairing around many of the selected microexons, these predictions were not supported by experimental SHAPE reactivity data (Supp Figure S22). Moreover, structural similarity between orthologous human and chicken precursor RNAs was relatively low, even in cases of high sequence similarity (Figure 3C). The lack of strong structural or accessibility conservation suggests that, for neural microexons, large regions of specific structure are not strictly required for function across species. We find evidence for small local conserved structures at unique positions in each neural microexon we analyzed. These local structures, in blocks of conserved sequence, may be adequate for functional regulation. In addition, other structural properties, such as decreased pairing within the extended branchpoint-to-splice site region, may be critical instead of specific structural

motifs. Future studies will be important for directly testing the mechanistic role of the extended

branchpoint-to-splice site region in splicing efficiency.

We are interested in functional roles for neural microexons; however, many of these genes are

difficult to study as they are involved in embryonic brain development (Irimia et al. 2014;

Gonatopoulos-Pournatzis and Blencowe 2020). The chick embryo is a well-established

developmental model system (Williams et al. 2022). We harvested brain and heart tissue from

five embryonic developmental stages. We find that the majority of our selected neural

microexons undergo dynamic, stage-specific regulation during brain development. The timing of

increased microexon inclusion coincides with elevated expression of known microexon

regulators, including SRRM4 and NOVA1, supporting a conserved regulatory program across

vertebrates. Microexon splicing is regulated at the splicing level during brain development and

not with transcriptional changes to the microexon gene as changes in microexon

inclusion/exclusion are not correlated with gene expression. The chick embryo is an accessible

developmental system that allows for perturbation of regulation, including splicing, and analysis

of downstream impact on morphology and function. Future studies on the role of broad changes

to microexon inclusion, such as through SRRM4 knock-down, and targeted changes to specific

microexons, such as microexon exclusion within *ROBO1*, are exciting potential future

experiments with this model system.

Limitations of this study should be acknowledged. Branchpoint positions were inferred

computationally using a program based on mammalian splicing (Corvelo et al. 2010). Although

human and chicken ribosomal RNA sequences are highly similar, and consistent trends were

observed across both species, branchpoint prediction may be less accurate for chickens. In

addition, our *in vitro* structural assays were performed on RNA fragments of the microexon and

surrounding intronic sequences. We find high correlation between our *in vitro* results and the

microexon RNA structure derived from cellular RNA, suggesting that the *in vitro* RNA is

representative of *in vivo* RNA. However, these experiments may not fully capture the influence

of co-transcriptional folding, RNA-binding proteins, or spliceosomal components.

In summary, our data confirm high conservation of introns surrounding microexons (Figure 1A).

We find that neural microexons do not share specific local structures between orthologous

regions in human and chicken but have unique regions of similar structural accessibility that

correlate with high sequence similarity (Figure 3). However, neural microexons do have similar

polypyrimidine tract positioning in both humans and chickens (Figure 4C, Supp Figure S23C),

and human neural microexons have a significantly more accessible branchpoint-to-splice site

region (Figure 5D). These features suggest a mechanism by which neural microexons may

overcome size constraints to achieve efficient and regulated splicing during neural development.

This work provides a foundation for future mechanistic studies of microexon splicing regulation.

Materials and Methods

Splice site selection

Scripts for analyses and plots are available on GitHub

(https://github.com/randazzal/Microexons_Hsa_Gga.git). VastDB tables "EVENT

INFORMATION" and "MAIN PSI TABLE" datasets for human (hg38), chicken (galGal4), and

mouse (mm10) were downloaded from VastDB (Tapial et al. 2017). For each species, the

VastDB events were filtered by size with microexons > 0 and <= 27 nts and midexons > 100 and

<= 200 nts. Exon skipping events were selected. To ensure selection of mostly alternative

exons, events with a percent spliced in (PSI) <= 5% and >= 95% in 4 or more samples were

removed. Events with 10 or more reads in at least half the samples and 20 or more reads in at

least a fifth of the samples were considered high confidence and used for further analysis. The

samples from VastDB were divided into neural and non-neural categories. Welch's t-test was

used to identify exons that had significantly higher or lower PSI values in neural samples. After

adjustment with Benjamini–Hochberg, events with p-values < 0.005 were classified as "neural"

while those not meeting this threshold were classified as "non-neural"

(event_filtering_criteria.R). UCSC LiftOver (Hinrichs et al. 2006) was used to update the galGal4

coordinates to galGal6.

Exon and intron characterization

Conservation was measured using phastCons scores (Siepel et al. 2005) from UCSC Table

Browser (assembly: hg38, group: Comparitive Genomics, track: UCSC 100 Vertebrates, table:

Cons 100 Verts (phastCons100way) (Karolchik et al. 2004)

(Figure_1/PhastCons_A3ss_sample.R). Splice site strength was calculated with MaxEntScan

(Yeo et al. 2004) (Figure_4/splice_site_strength_human.R). Repeat content in upstream and

downstream introns was generated with bedtools intersect (bedtools2 v2.29.2) (Quinlan and

Hall 2010) and repeat annotations for hg38 and galGal6 from UCSC Table Browser (track:

RepeatMasker, table: rmsk) (Smit 1996-2010). Repeat content was also calculated with Tandem

Repeat Finder (TRF) (Benson 1999) using the recommended parameters

(Figure_2/repetitive_sequence_plots.R). Branchpoints were identified using SVM-BPfinder

(Corvelo et al. 2010) with "-s Hsap" and "-l 100" for both human and chicken due to the absence

of a model trained for chicken sequences. The branchpoint with the highest "svm_scr" per

intronic sequence was used for analysis (Figure_5/BPfinder_plots_human.R). All analyses

including sequence content (Figure_4/polypyrimidine_probabilities_human.R), and intron length

(Figure_2/human_intron_length_plots.R) were conducted in R v4.1.2.

Human and chicken sequence alignment

The VastDB table "EVENT CONSERVATION" was used to identify the chicken orthologs of

selected human microexons and midexons. Sequences 200 nts upstream from the 3' splice site

and 200 nts downstream from the 5' splice site were used for alignment. The global alignment

score between orthologous flanking introns was calculated using PairwiseAligner from the

Bio.Align package (https://biopython.org/docs/1.76/api/Bio.Align.html;

Supplemental_Figures/alignment_score_seq_ident.ipynb) with default parameters. In addition,

the unaligned sequence identity was calculated as "matches" divided by 200. Bootstrapping was

performed in R v4.1.2.

Conservation/covariation analysis

Multiple alignment files of the 450 nts in each direction from the 3' splice site of a subset of

neural microexons were downloaded from the multiz100way track (track: UCSC 100

vertebrates, table: Multiz Align (multiz100way) (Blanchette et al. 2004). The MAF files were

converted to fasta files with Galaxy MAF to FASTA tool (Blankenberg et al. 2011). Specific

species were kept and realigned using Clustal Omega v1.2.4 (Sievers et al. 2011). Sequences

on the human negative strand required an in-house python script to generate the reverse

complement of all the sequences in the alignment (Figure_3/reverse_complement.ipynb). The

ViennaRNA (Lorenz et al. 2011) package RNAalifold (Bernhart et al. 2008) was utilized to

identify shared RNA structures between multiple species around the microexons using the

following command: RNAalifold -p –maxBPspan 66 –sci –aln –color <alignment_fasta>.

Chemical structure probing

Gene fragments for microexons and midexons of interest were designed and synthesized by

Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT). Most human microexon and all midexon sequences contain

450 nucleotides (nts) flanking the 3' splice site in both directions. Due to sequence constraints

additional human microexons (*CPEB4, DCTN1, ASAP2, MEF2A, DOCK7*) and all chicken

microexon sequences contain 200 nts flanking the 3' splice site in both directions. A T7 promoter

followed by a stabilizing hairpin sequence was on the 5' end and a universal sequence was on

the 3' end of each gene fragment. The HiScribe T7 High Yield RNA synthesis kit (New England

Biolabs, NEB) was used for *in vitro* RNA synthesis using the gene fragments acting as a

template following the standard protocol. The synthesized RNA was treated with DNase I for 20

minutes at 37°C and cleaned up with RNA XP beads (Beckman Coulter). The RNA was

incubated in folding buffer (400 mM bicine, 200 mM NaCl, 20 mM MgCL2), RNase inhibitor, and

5ul of 25 mM 5NIA or DMSO at 37°C for 5 minutes for SHAPE-MaP experiments (Siegfried et al.

2014). DMS-MaP experiments (Zubradt et al. 2017) used 5ul of 10% DMS in ethanol or ethanol

alone at 37°C for 5 minutes followed by incubation with 20% beta-mercaptoethanol for 5

minutes on ice. Treatment with the chemical probe was followed immediately by RNA XP bead

cleanup and error-prone reverse transcription (RT) using SuperScript™ II (Invitrogen,

ThermoFisher Scientific) with modified buffer (10X: 500 mM Tris pH 8, 750 mM KCl). 6nM

manganese, RNase inhibitor, and reverse primer 5'-TGTTGGAGTCACTCGACTCCGGT-3' were

added to the RT reaction. The RT product was cleaned up with RNA XP beads and used as the

template for second strand synthesis (Invitrogen Second Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit;

ThermoFisher Scientific). Libraries were prepared using the Nextera DNA Library Preparation

Kit (Illumina). The paired-end libraries were sequenced at 2 x 150 on an Illumina NovaSeq.

Experiments were performed in duplicate.

Structure probing analysis

Structure probing analysis was conducted with ShapeMapper v2.2.0 (Busan and Weeks 2018)

using default parameters and the "--dms" flag for DMS probing samples. The DMSO/EtOH

reads were designated as "untreated" and the 5NIA/DMS reads as "modified", respectively. The

Pearson correlation between replicates was calculated (Supp Table S1), and due to highly

similar reactivity profiles, the replicates were merged. Normalized reactivity profiles were limited

between 0 and 4 and utilized for downstream analysis. Representative 2D structures for human

and chicken sequences were generated with RNAstructure v6.5 (Reuter and Mathews 2010)

incorporating reactivity data and a max distance of 66 base pairs. Regions bound by primers for

library prep were set as single-stranded.

Sliding Reactivity similarity

Human and chicken sequence alignments from PairwiseAligner from the Bio.Align package with

default parameters were used to align normalized reactivity values. Spearman correlations

between human and chicken SHAPE reactivities were calculated for both the full sequences

(Supp Table S4; Figure_3/spearman_reactivity_correlation.R) and centered 15-nt sliding

windows (step size = 1). For each window, sequence identity was calculated as the number of

matching nucleotides divided by the window size (15 nts). Positions containing missing values

were included in the window, but windows with less than 8 valid reactivity values were skipped.

To determine significance, both human and chicken reactivity values were shuffled, and the

correlation calculations were repeated 1000 times for both the full sequences and centered 15-

nt sliding windows (Figure_3/observed_struct_correlation_vs_shuffled.R). A null distribution of

correlation run lengths were computed for each microexon with a run counting as 2 or more

neighboring windows having Spearman correlations > 0.2. The number of runs at any given

length were compiled across the 1000 iterations. The length at which < 5% of runs were longer

was set as the threshold for significance. Runs longer than this threshold in the unshuffled data

were interpreted to include regions of highly similar reactivity profiles

(Figure_3/identify_struct_similar_regions_agap1.R;

Figure_3/sequence_identity_struct_overlay_agap1.R). All correlations and plots were done in R

v4.1.2.

Chicken brain and heart sample collection

Tetra-H chicken (*Gallus gallus domesticus*) eggs were incubated at ~37.5 °C, monitored by

thermometer, for 42 hours, 56 hours, 5, 7 and 9 days at a ~50% humidity to reach Hamburger-

Hamilton (HH) stages 11, 15, 27, 31 and 35, respectively (Hamburger and Hamilton 1992).

Initially, fertilized eggs obtained from the Clemson Morgan Poultry Center (Clemson, SC) were

stored at 15 °C for up to one week, and before incubation eggs were left at room temperature

for 24 hours. Eggs were incubated in a Georgia Quail Farm (GQF) "Digital Sportsman" Model

1502 Incubator (Georgia Quail Farm, Cat. No. 1502) in humid conditions and were rotated

mechanically every hour to facilitate embryo development. To collect embryos at HH stages 11

and 15, eggs were opened and the contents transferred to a Petri dish. Embryos were isolated

from the yolk using a cloverleaf filter paper technique, and developmental stage was confirmed

based on established Hamburger–Hamilton morphological criteria prior to tissue processing

(Hamburger and Hamilton 1992; Chapman et al. 2001). The filter paper and embryo were

transferred to a Petri dish with isotonic saline. The vitelline membrane was surgically removed

to fully expose the embryo, and the heart was isolated and excised by sharp transection at its

superior inflow and inferior outflow connections. The brain was subsequently dissected, and

neural tissue encompassing the hindbrain and all more rostral regions were collected. After

excision, both the brain and heart tissues were immediately transferred to TRIzol reagent

(Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific), mechanically dissociated with pipetting, and flash frozen

on dry ice. Embryos at HH stage 27 and later were harvested by opening the eggshell and

transferring the embryo to a Petri dish containing isotonic saline. The vitelline membrane was

carefully removed to expose the embryo. The heart was isolated and excised via a supra-aortic

transection and immediately submerged in 500ul TRIzol reagent. For brain tissue collection, the

ocular structures were removed along with surrounding non-neural tissues to fully expose the

brain. Following gross dissection and cleaning of the neural tissue with saline, the brain was

transferred to 500ul TRIzol reagent, mechanically dissociated using sterile scissors, and flash

frozen. All tissue samples were stored at −80°C until RNA extraction.

RNA extraction and library preparation

The samples stored in TRIzol were homogenized with 2.4mm metal bulk beads (Fisher

Scientific) at 5 m/s for 60 seconds, repeating until tissue was fully homogenized. An additional

500ul TRIzol was added, and RNA extraction was performed following the manufacturer's

suggested protocol. After RNA isolation, samples were treated with DNase (Turbo DNA Free Kit,

Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Following standard ribosomal RNA

depletion (Qiagen QIAseq FastSelect -rRNA HMR Kit or NEBNext rRNA Depletion Kit v2), cDNA

was synthesized using the SuperScript™ IV First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen,

ThermoFisher Scientific) and Second Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Invitrogen, ThermoFisher

Scientific) both according to the standard protocol. Libraries were prepared using the Nextera

DNA Library Preparation Kit (Illumina). The paired-end libraries were sequenced at 2 x 150 on

the Illumina NovaSeq. Samples were collected in triplicate per sex and per tissue.

RNA sequencing analysis

Read quality was checked with FastQC v0.11.9 (https://github.com/s-andrews/FastQC). Reads

were then mapped to the galGal6 genome assembly with STAR v2.7.10a (Dobin et al. 2013)

(Figure_6/star.sh) followed by filtering for uniquely mapped reads with Samtools v1.10 (Li et al.

2009) (Figure_6/mapq_filt.sh). Aligned files were sorted and indexed with Samtools and gene

expression was quantified with featureCounts from Subread v1.6.4 (Liao et al. 2014) followed by

analysis with edgeR (Robinson et al. 2010) (Figure_6/edgeR_analysis_plots.R). Splicing

analysis was performed with rMATs v4.1.1 (Wang et al. 2024) (Figure_6/rmats_psi_heatmap.R)

comparing splicing patterns between male and female derived tissues and between consecutive

developmental stages for each tissue. Skipped exon events <= 27nts in length were included for

further analysis with a special focus on the selected 13 microexons.

Acknowledgments

The authors want to acknowledge Dr. Susan Chapman for her valuable mentorship, training,

and equipment sharing which facilitated the chicken embryo work in this manuscript. In addition,

the authors wish to acknowledge the Institute for Human Genetics Molecular Core and the

Institute for Human Genetics Bioinformatics Core, which supported the generation of the

sequencing libraries and analysis presented in this work.

Data availability statement

Scripts used for data analysis and plotting are available at

https://github.com/randazzal/Microexons_Hsa_Gga.git. Raw sequencing reads from embryonic

chicken heart and brain tissues will be deposited to the gene expression omnibus. Other data

available upon request.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and National Institute of

General Medical Sciences grant (R35 GM142851) to Lela Lackey. Experiments used resources

in the Institute for Human Genetics Molecular Core and Bioinformatics Core, which are

supported by a COBRE in Human Genetics 1P20GM139769 awarded to Dr. Trudy F. C. Mackay

and Dr. Robert R. H. Anholt from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the

National Institutes of Health.

Figures

Figure 1. Neural microexons are flanked by highly conserved intronic sequences and

spliced in a tissue-specific manner. (A) Introns flanking neural microexons are more

conserved than introns flanking other exons, as shown by high mean PhastCons scores across

+/- 150 nucleotides of intronic sequence. Shaded regions show +/- 1 standard deviation. (B)

Heatmap of microexon percent spliced in (PSI) values for a subset of human, chicken, and

mouse tissues from VastDB. Dark blue indicates missing values. 3'ss = 3' splice site, 5'ss = 5'

splice site.

Figure 2. Short flanking intron length in human neural microexons is maintained despite

expanded repetitive elements. (A) Human microexons have short upstream introns (blue) and

(B) short downstream introns (green). The upstream constitutive exon 1 (C1), alternative exon

(A), downstream constitutive exon 2 (C1) and intronic regions are not to scale. (C) Although

overall smaller than human introns, chicken neural microexon upstream introns and (D)

downstream introns are comparatively longer than other exon classes. (y-axis display limited to

15,000). (E) Microexons in humans (Hsa) have less repetitive sequence than other classes of

Randazza, *et al*.   23

543   human exons at both upstream and (F) downstream introns. Microexons in chickens (Gga) have

544   less repetitive sequence than in human and do not vary by exon class. (Wilcoxon signed-rank

545   test; * = p-value < 0.05, ** = p-value < 0.01, *** = p-value < 0.005, n.s = p-value > 0.05).

Figure 3. Secondary structure depends on sequence conservation in *AGAP1* and other

microexons. (A) Predicted *AGAP1* structure and sequence alignment based on covariation

(green) and conservation (red) within common model organisms. Microexon (orange) and

covariate positions (green) highlighted. (B) Representative structures of human (top) and

chicken (bottom) *AGAP1*. (C) Structural similarity between human and chicken microexons is

highly correlated with sequence similarity (Spearman). (D) Structural similarity between human

and chicken is significant as compared to background (Spearman correlation, background

=1000 iterations of shuffled reactivity values, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; *= p-value < 0.05, ** =

p-value < 0.01, *** = p-value < 0.005, n.s = p-value > 0.05). (E) Regions of similarity and

difference can be identified in reactivity profiles of human (top) and chicken (bottom) *AGAP1*

with (F) similar regions of different sizes highlighted in green and blue (>= 19 nts or < 19 nts

respectively). Splice sites indicated by black vertical lines. Covariation predicted structures

indicated by red boxes.

Figure 4. Human neural microexons have weak 3' splice sites and a shifted

polypyrimidine tract. (A) Human neural microexons have weak 3' splice site strength scores.

(B) Human neural microexons have strong 5' splice site strength scores. (C) The polypyrimidine

tract is shifted farther into the intron in human neural microexons as shown by the shift in

probability of cytosine/uridine per nucleotide upstream. (D) Altered polypyrimidine tracts are not

evident in human neural microexons or other classes at the 3'ss of exons downstream.

(Wilcoxon signed-rank test; * = p-value < 0.05, ** = p-value < 0.01, *** = p-value < 0.005).

Figure 5. Human neural microexons have an extended branchpoint-to-splice site region

through unique sequence and RNA structure elements. (A) Human neural microexons have

a longer distance between the top-scoring branch-point (BP) and the 3' splice site compared to

other classes of exon. (B) Likewise, human neural microexons have longer polypyrimidine tracts

(PPT). (C) Human neural microexon polypyrimidine tracts are very close to the top-scoring

branchpoint. Measurements were generated with SVM-BPfinder. (D) The branchpoint-to-splice

site region of human neural microexons is significantly more accessible than human neural

midexons using experimental reactivity data in select regions. Regions upstream of the

branchpoint, within the exon and downstream of the 3' splice site have similar accessibility.

(neural microexons n = 13, neural midexons n = 7, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; *= p-value < 0.05,

** = p-value < 0.01, *** = p-value < 0.005, n.s = p-value > 0.05).

Figure 6. The majority of select neural microexons are spliced in a tissue-specific and temporally regulated manner during chick embryonic development. (A) Chicken neural microexons have dynamic splicing during HH11, HH15, HH27, HH31, and HH35 developmental stages as well as differences between brain and heart tissue. Includes both male and female chickens. Samples collected in triplicate. (B) Expression of the RNA binding proteins *NOVA1* and *SRRM4* show a pattern of upregulation between HH15 and HH27 that corresponds to the dynamic splicing changes of many neural microexons between HH15 and HH27. (C) This pattern of *NOVA1* and *SRRM4* upregulation is not evident in heart tissue.

595   **Tables**

596   **Table 1. Selected conserved neural microexons and their properties (Tapial et al. 2017).**

597   **Gene function summarized from (Irimia et al. 2014; Gonatopoulos-Pournatzis and**

598   **Blencowe 2020; NCBI 2025)**

Gene	Human Expression (RPKM)	Human Average PSI	Gene Function	Microexon Function	Human to Chicken Sequence Alignment	Covariation (9 model / 100 vertebrates)
AGAP1	15.8	13.1%	Endolysosomal trafficking	unknown	79.3%	yes/yes
APBB2	10.4	14.8%	Amyloidogenesis	unknown	67.5%	yes/yes
ASAP2	14.7	8.3%	Cellular migration	unknown	72.0%	yes/no
CLEC16A	6.4	9.7%	Autophagy and mitophagy regulation	unknown	72.0%	no/no
CPEB4	15.9	12.4%	Polyadenylation regulator	CPEB4 condensate interactions (Olla et al. 2023; Garcia-Cabau et al. 2025)	67.8%	yes/no
DCTN1	76.1	11.9%	Axonal transport	unknown	62.5%	no/no
DOCK7	8.3	19.8%	Neuronal polarization	unknown	76.5%	yes/yes
EMC1	11.4	13.9%	Membrane protein biogenesis	unknown	66.5%	yes/yes
FRY	10.3	21.3%	Bipolar spindle organization	unknown	71.3%	yes/no
ITSN1	9.8	6.4%	Signaling	Tissue specific protein interactions (Dergai et al. 2010)	68.8%	yes/no
MEF2A	17.8	13.6%	Neuronal differentiation and survival	unknown	69.5%	yes/yes
PTPRK	11.7	11.2%	Synaptic transmission	unknown	69.5%	yes/yes
ROBO1	27.7	88.5%	Axon guidance	Midline crossing (Johnson et al. 2019)	79.3%	yes/no

References

Benson G. 1999. Tandem repeats finder: a program to analyze DNA sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* **27**: 573-580.

Bernhart SH, Hofacker IL, Will S, Gruber AR, Stadler PF. 2008. RNAalifold: improved consensus structure prediction for RNA alignments. *BMC Bioinformatics* **9**: 474.

Blanchette M, Kent WJ, Riemer C, Elnitski L, Smit AF, Roskin KM, Baertsch R, Rosenbloom K, Clawson H, Green ED et al. 2004. Aligning multiple genomic sequences with the threaded blockset aligner. *Genome Res* **14**: 708-715.

Blankenberg D, Taylor J, Nekrutenko A, Galaxy T. 2011. Making whole genome multiple alignments usable for biologists. *Bioinformatics* **27**: 2426-2428.

Busan S, Weeks KM. 2018. Accurate detection of chemical modifications in RNA by mutational profiling (MaP) with ShapeMapper 2. *RNA* **24**: 143-148.

Carranza F, Shenasa H, Hertel KJ. 2022. Splice site proximity influences alternative exon definition. *RNA Biol* **19**: 829-840.

Chapman SC, Collignon J, Schoenwolf GC, Lumsden A. 2001. Improved method for chick whole-embryo culture using a filter paper carrier. *Dev Dyn* **220**: 284-289.

Corvelo A, Hallegger M, Smith CW, Eyras E. 2010. Genome-wide association between branch point properties and alternative splicing. *PLoS Comput Biol* **6**: e1001016.

De Conti L, Baralle M, Buratti E. 2013. Exon and intron definition in pre-mRNA splicing. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA* **4**: 49-60.

Dergai M, Tsyba L, Dergai O, Zlatskii I, Skrypkina I, Kovalenko V, Rynditch A. 2010. Microexon-based regulation of ITSN1 and Src SH3 domains specificity relies on introduction of charged amino acids into the interaction interface. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **399**: 307-312.

Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras TR. 2013. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**: 15-21.

Eddy SR. 2014. Computational analysis of conserved RNA secondary structure in transcriptomes and genomes. *Annu Rev Biophys* **43**: 433-456.

Gandal MJ, Zhang P, Hadjimichael E, Walker RL, Chen C, Liu S, Won H, van Bakel H, Varghese M, Wang Y et al. 2018. Transcriptome-wide isoform-level dysregulation in ASD, schizophrenia, and bipolar disorder. *Science* **362**.

Garcia-Cabau C, Bartomeu A, Tesei G, Cheung KC, Pose-Utrilla J, Pico S, Balaceanu A, Duran-Arque B, Fernandez-Alfara M, Martin J et al. 2025. Mis-splicing of a neuronal microexon promotes CPEB4 aggregation in ASD. *Nature* **637**: 496-503.

Gazave E, Marques-Bonet T, Fernando O, Charlesworth B, Navarro A. 2007. Patterns and rates of intron divergence between humans and chimpanzees. *Genome Biol* **8**: R21.

Gelfman S, Burstein D, Penn O, Savchenko A, Amit M, Schwartz S, Pupko T, Ast G. 2012. Changes in exon-intron structure during vertebrate evolution affect the splicing pattern of exons. *Genome Res* **22**: 35-50.

Gonatopoulos-Pournatzis T, Blencowe BJ. 2020. Microexons: at the nexus of nervous system development, behaviour and autism spectrum disorder. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **65**: 22-33.

Hamburger V, Hamilton HL. 1992. A series of normal stages in the development of the chick embryo. 1951. *Dev Dyn* **195**: 231-272.

Herbert A, Hatfield A, Lackey L. 2023. How does precursor RNA structure influence RNA processing and gene expression? *Biosci Rep* **43**.

Herbert A, Hatfield A, Randazza A, Miyamoto V, Palmer K, Lackey L. 2025. Precursor RNA structural patterns at SF3B1 mutation sensitive cryptic 3' splice sites. *RNA Biol* **22**: 1-15.

Hinrichs AS, Karolchik D, Baertsch R, Barber GP, Bejerano G, Clawson H, Diekhans M, Furey TS, Harte RA, Hsu F et al. 2006. The UCSC Genome Browser Database: update 2006. *Nucleic Acids Res* **34**: D590-598.

Irimia M, Weatheritt RJ, Ellis JD, Parikshak NN, Gonatopoulos-Pournatzis T, Babor M, Quesnel-
Vallieres M, Tapial J, Raj B, O'Hanlon D et al. 2014. A highly conserved program of
neuronal microexons is misregulated in autistic brains. *Cell* **159**: 1511-1523.
Jobbins AM, Campagne S, Weinmeister R, Lucas CM, Gosliga AR, Clery A, Chen L, Eperon LP,
Hodson MJ, Hudson AJ et al. 2022. Exon-independent recruitment of SRSF1 is
mediated by U1 snRNP stem-loop 3. *EMBO J* **41**: e107640.
Johnson V, Junge HJ, Chen Z. 2019. Temporal regulation of axonal repulsion by alternative
splicing of a conserved microexon in mammalian Robo1 and Robo2. *Elife* **8**.
Jurka J. 2000. Repbase update: a database and an electronic journal of repetitive elements.
Trends Genet **16**: 418-420.
Karolchik D, Hinrichs AS, Furey TS, Roskin KM, Sugnet CW, Haussler D, Kent WJ. 2004. The
UCSC Table Browser data retrieval tool. *Nucleic Acids Res* **32**: D493-496.
Kumar J, Lackey L, Waldern JM, Dey A, Mustoe AM, Weeks KM, Mathews DH, Laederach A.
2022. Quantitative prediction of variant effects on alternative splicing in MAPT using
endogenous pre-messenger RNA structure probing. *Elife* **11**.
Lee Y, Rio DC. 2015. Mechanisms and Regulation of Alternative Pre-mRNA Splicing. *Annu Rev
Biochem* **84**: 291-323.
Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R,
Genome Project Data Processing S. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and
SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 2078-2079.
Li X, Liu S, Zhang L, Issaian A, Hill RC, Espinosa S, Shi S, Cui Y, Kappel K, Das R et al. 2019. A
unified mechanism for intron and exon definition and back-splicing. *Nature* **573**: 375-380.
Li YI, Sanchez-Pulido L, Haerty W, Ponting CP. 2015. RBFOX and PTBP1 proteins regulate the
alternative splicing of micro-exons in human brain transcripts. *Genome Res* **25**: 1-13.
Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. 2014. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for
assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 923-930.
Lorenz R, Bernhart SH, Honer Zu Siederdissen C, Tafer H, Flamm C, Stadler PF, Hofacker IL.
2011. ViennaRNA Package 2.0. *Algorithms Mol Biol* **6**: 26.
Mackensen T, Irimia M. 2025. From Tiny Exons to Big Insights: The Expanding Field of
Microexons. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* **26**: 77-102.
NCBI NCfBI. 2025. NCBI Gene. Vol 2025.
Olla I, Pardinas AF, Parras A, Hernandez IH, Santos-Galindo M, Pico S, Callado LF, Elorza A,
Rodriguez-Lopez C, Fernandez-Miranda G et al. 2023. Pathogenic Mis-splicing of
CPEB4 in Schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* **94**: 341-351.
Parras A, Anta H, Santos-Galindo M, Swarup V, Elorza A, Nieto-Gonzalez JL, Pico S,
Hernandez IH, Diaz-Hernandez JI, Belloc E et al. 2018. Autism-like phenotype and risk
gene mRNA deadenylation by CPEB4 mis-splicing. *Nature* **560**: 441-446.
Porter RS, Jaamour F, Iwase S. 2018. Neuron-specific alternative splicing of transcriptional
machineries: Implications for neurodevelopmental disorders. *Mol Cell Neurosci* **87**: 35-
45.
Quesnel-Vallieres M, Dargaei Z, Irimia M, Gonatopoulos-Pournatzis T, Ip JY, Wu M, Sterne-
Weiler T, Nakagawa S, Woodin MA, Blencowe BJ et al. 2016. Misregulation of an
Activity-Dependent Splicing Network as a Common Mechanism Underlying Autism
Spectrum Disorders. *Mol Cell* **64**: 1023-1034.
Quinlan AR, Hall IM. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features.
Bioinformatics **26**: 841-842.
Reuter JS, Mathews DH. 2010. RNAstructure: software for RNA secondary structure prediction
and analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics* **11**: 129.
Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential
expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 139-140.

Saha K, Fernandez MM, Biswas T, Joseph S, Ghosh G. 2021. Discovery of a pre-mRNA structural scaffold as a contributor to the mammalian splicing code. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**: 7103-7121.

Saha K, Ghosh G. 2022. Cooperative engagement and subsequent selective displacement of SR proteins define the pre-mRNA 3D structural scaffold for early spliceosome assembly. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**: 8262-8278.

Shenasa H, Hertel KJ. 2019. Combinatorial regulation of alternative splicing. *Biochim Biophys Acta Gene Regul Mech* **1862**: 194392.

Siegfried NA, Busan S, Rice GM, Nelson JA, Weeks KM. 2014. RNA motif discovery by SHAPE and mutational profiling (SHAPE-MaP). *Nat Methods* **11**: 959-965.

Siepel A, Bejerano G, Pedersen JS, Hinrichs AS, Hou M, Rosenbloom K, Clawson H, Spieth J, Hillier LW, Richards S et al. 2005. Evolutionarily conserved elements in vertebrate, insect, worm, and yeast genomes. *Genome Res* **15**: 1034-1050.

Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, Lopez R, McWilliam H, Remmert M, Soding J et al. 2011. Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol Syst Biol* **7**: 539.

Smit AH, R; Green, P 1996-2010. RepeatMasker Open-3.0.

Tapial J, Ha KCH, Sterne-Weiler T, Gohr A, Braunschweig U, Hermoso-Pulido A, Quesnel-Vallieres M, Permanyer J, Sodaei R, Marquez Y et al. 2017. An atlas of alternative splicing profiles and functional associations reveals new regulatory programs and genes that simultaneously express multiple major isoforms. *Genome Res* **27**: 1759-1768.

Torres-Mendez A, Bonnal S, Marquez Y, Roth J, Iglesias M, Permanyer J, Almudi I, O'Hanlon D, Guitart T, Soller M et al. 2019. A novel protein domain in an ancestral splicing factor drove the evolution of neural microexons. *Nat Ecol Evol* **3**: 691-701.

Ustianenko D, Weyn-Vanhentenryck SM, Zhang C. 2017. Microexons: discovery, regulation, and function. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA* **8**.

Wang Y, Xie Z, Kutschera E, Adams JI, Kadash-Edmondson KE, Xing Y. 2024. rMATS-turbo: an efficient and flexible computational tool for alternative splicing analysis of large-scale RNA-seq data. *Nat Protoc* **19**: 1083-1104.

Williams RM, Lukoseviciute M, Sauka-Spengler T, Bronner ME. 2022. Single-cell atlas of early chick development reveals gradual segregation of neural crest lineage from the neural plate border during neurulation. *Elife* **11**.

Yeo G, Holste D, Kreiman G, Burge CB. 2004. Variation in alternative splicing across human tissues. *Genome Biol* **5**: R74.

Zhang Z, Kumar V, Dybkov O, Will CL, Zhong J, Ludwig SEJ, Urlaub H, Kastner B, Stark H, Luhrmann R. 2024. Structural insights into the cross-exon to cross-intron spliceosome switch. *Nature* **630**: 1012-1019.

Zubradt M, Gupta P, Persad S, Lambowitz AM, Weissman JS, Rouskin S. 2017. DMS-MaPseq for genome-wide or targeted RNA structure probing in vivo. *Nat Methods* **14**: 75-82.