4-Phenylbutyric Acid Activates an NF-κB - Egr-1 Axis to Control Myoblast Proliferation

and ECM Gene Expression Profiles

Kana Tominaga[1*], Naoomi Tominaga[2]

1. Division of Neuropsychiatry, Department of Neuroscience, Yamaguchi University Graduate School of Medicine, 1-1-1 Minami-kogushi, Ube, Yamaguchi, 755-8505, Japan

2. Division of Clinical Laboratory Sciences, Department of Nursing and Laboratory Science, Yamaguchi University Graduate School of Medicine, 1-1-1 Minami-kogushi, Ube 755-8505, Japan

Corresponding author:

Kana Tominaga, Ph.D.

Division of Neuropsychiatry, Department of Neuroscience,

Yamaguchi University School of Medicine

1-1-1 Minami-kogushi, Ube, Yamaguchi, 755-8505, Japan

Email: kanat@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp

TEL: +81-836-22-2255

Abstract

Myoblast proliferation and differentiation are tightly controlled by epigenetic mechanisms, yet how clinically used epigenetic modulators influence myogenic cell fate remains incompletely understood. Here, we demonstrate that the histone deacetylase inhibitor and chemical chaperone 4-phenylbutyric acid (4-PBA) selectively promotes myoblast proliferation without inducing differentiation in C2C12 cells. Mechanistically, 4-PBA increases histone H3 acetylation at lysines 18 and 27 via downregulation of HDAC5, resulting in activation of NF-κB p65. Chromatin immunoprecipitation identifies early growth response 1 (Egr-1) as a direct transcriptional target of NF-κB p65. Transcriptomic analyses reveal that Egr-1 regulates extracellular matrix- and myogenesis-associated gene programs, including multiple collagen genes. Consistently, 4-PBA induces a transcriptional signature that significantly overlaps with Egr-1-dependent gene expression. Functional studies further establish that the NF-κB p65 - Egr-1 axis is required for 4-PBA-mediated transcriptional remodeling in proliferating myoblasts. Together, these findings uncover an epigenetic mechanism by which 4-PBA modulates myoblast proliferation through HDAC5-dependent histone acetylation and NF-κB p65 - Egr-1 driven transcriptional programs, providing insight into how epigenetic therapeutics influence skeletal muscle cell behavior.

Highlight

- 4-PBA enhances murine myoblast proliferation independently of differentiation induction.

- 4-PBA enhances H3K18 and H3K27 acetylation through downregulation of HDAC5.

- NF-κB p65 activates Egr-1 by directly binding to the Egr-1 promoter region.

- 4-PBA stimulates the HDAC5 - NF-κB p65 - Egr-1 axis drives extracellular matrix-related gene profiles.

Main Text

Introduction

Myoblasts are proliferative precursor cells derived from muscle satellite cells[1,2] Satellite cells are skeletal muscle stem cells that reside in a quiescent state beneath the basal lamina of muscle fibers[3,4]. In response to muscle injury or growth signals, satellite cells become activated and give rise to myoblasts, which proliferate and subsequently differentiate to form new muscle fibers (myotubes/myofibers)[5,6]. This process is essential for skeletal muscle growth, regeneration, and repair and represents a promising therapeutic target for muscle-related diseases[7,8].

Histone acetylation plays a central role in the proliferation and the differentiation of myoblasts[9]. During differentiation, histone acetyltransferases (HATs) are recruited to the promoters and enhancers of muscle-specific genes, leading to increased acetylation of histone marks such as H3K9ac and H3K27ac[10–12]. This epigenetic remodeling activates key myogenic genes, including Myog, myosin heavy chain (Myh), and muscle creatine kinase[10,13]. The myogenic transcription factor MyoD recruits HATs such as p300/CBP and PCAF to muscle gene loci, enhancing chromatin accessibility and reinforcing muscle-specific transcription[14,15]. Concurrently, histone deacetylases (HDAC) are displaced from muscle gene promoters but remain associated with cell cycle-related genes to ensure permanent withdrawal from proliferation[16,17]. Pharmacological inhibition of HDAC increases histone acetylation and can accelerate myoblast differentiation, underscoring the importance of balanced acetylation in myogenesis[18].

4-PBA is currently used clinically to treat patients with urea cycle disorders under the names Buphenyl (sodium phenylbutyrate) and Ravicti (glycerol phenylbutyrate)[19]. Its primary mechanism of action involves binding toxic ammonia to provide an alternative pathway for its elimination[19,20]. In addition, 4-PBA functions as a chemical chaperone that reduces endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress and prevents protein aggregation[21–23]. 4-PBA has attracted interest for disorders involving protein misfolding, such as cystic fibrosis with the ΔF508 *CFTR* mutation and vascular ehlers danlos syndrome with *COL3A1* mutations[24–26]. Previous studies have shown that 4-PBA treatment restores the membrane localization of pathogenic mutant

dysferlin (Dysf) in cultured myotubes and myofibers obtained from dysferlinopathy model mice, suggesting

that 4-PBA rescues dysferlin from aggregation or degradation in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)[27]. 4-PBA

is also recognized as a HDAC inhibitor, adding an epigenetic mechanism to its therapeutic effects. During

cardiomyogenesis, 4-PBA promotes early cardiac differentiation via increased histone acetylation but

inhibits late-stage differentiation by maintaining the expression of pluripotency genes such as *Oct4, Nanog,*

and *Sox2*, demonstrating that HDAC regulation exerts context-dependent effects[28]. In the case of cancer, 4-

PBA inhibits tumor cell proliferation by increasing histone acetylation, inducing epithelial-mesenchymal

transition, and promoting acetyl-H3-mediated transcription[29–31]. Hence, these effects suggest that 4-PBA

may alleviate ER stress caused by misfolded proteins and enhance protein homeostasis. In contrast, despite

the clinical response to 4-PBA in diseases caused by misfolded proteins, little is known about its role as an

HDAC inhibitor of myogenesis.

Our study demonstrated that 4-PBA regulates myoblast differentiation through epigenetic and

transcriptional mechanisms. In C2C12 myoblasts, chromatin immunoprecipitation assays revealed that NF-

κB p65 directly binds to the Egr-1 promoter, identifying Egr-1 as a transcriptional target of the NF-κB

signaling pathway. During myogenesis, 4-PBA treatment markedly increased histone H3 acetylation,

especially at Lys18 and Lys27, and downregulated HDAC5 expression, resulting in increased Egr-1 and

p65 expression. Moreover, transcriptome analysis revealed that Egr-1 regulated extracellular matrix

(ECM)-related genes, including multiple collagen genes. This study revealed that 4-PBA acts as an HDAC

inhibitor that contributes to myogenic homeostasis by regulating the NF-κB - Egr-1 axis.

Results

4-PBA induces cell proliferation in murine myoblasts.

To confirm the effects of 4-PBA on myoblast differentiation, we first examined morphological changes in

C2C12 myoblasts following 4-PBA treatment. C2C12 myoblasts were cultured under differentiation

conditions and treated with 4-PBA for four days (Figure S1A). Myotube formation was then assessed by

measuring the myofusion index and the protein levels of myosin heavy chain 1 (Myh1), a major contractile

protein, using immunofluorescence analysis (Figure 1A). We observed a significant decrease in the fusion

index and reduced Myh1 expression in 4-PBA-treated cells compared with control cells (Figure 1B). We

next examined the protein expression of myogenin (Myog), a myogenic transcription factor, and Dysf, a

marker of mature muscle fibers, under 4-PBA-treated conditions (Figure 1C). The results showed that 4-

PBA significantly suppressed the induction of Myog at all stages (day 1 - 4) and Dysf at later stages (day 3

- 4) of myotube differentiation (Figure 1D). On the other hand, RNA-seq analysis of myoblast

differentiation from day 0 to day 4 indicated that there was no difference in the expression of myoblast

differentiation-related genes between DMSO- and 4-PBA-treated cells (Figure S1B - S1D). These results

suggest that 4-PBA suppresses myogenic differentiation primarily through post-transcriptional,

translational, or protein stability-related mechanisms rather than by regulating myogenic gene expression

at the mRNA level.

To identify the biological pathways affected by 4-PBA treatment in myoblasts, we analyzed RNA-seq data

(GSE315556) from C2C12 myoblasts treated with 4-PBA under differentiation conditions for four days.

KEGG pathway analysis revealed that genes upregulated by 4-PBA treatment were primarily associated

with cell proliferation-related pathways (Figure 1E and Data S1). Three representative pathways were

visualized to facilitate interpretation (Figure 1F). To validate the RNA-seq results indicating enhanced

proliferation, we examined cell proliferation in C2C12 myoblasts treated with 4-PBA or DMSO as a control.

Compared with DMSO-treated cells, C2C12 myoblasts treated with 4-PBA showed significantly increased

proliferation after four days (Figure 1G), suggesting that compared with myoblast differentiation, 4-PBA

promotes cell proliferation.

4-PBA induces Egr-1 expression during myogenesis.

4-PBA acts as an HDAC inhibitor, thereby increasing histone acetylation and consesquently promoting

gene expression. Therefore, to identify genes upregulated by 4-PBA treatment during myogenic

differentiation, we compared the gene expression profiles of 4-PBA-treated C2C12 myoblasts with those

of DMSO-treated or undifferentiated (baseline) cells via RNA-Seq (GSE315556, Data S2). Principal

component analysis (PCA) revealed that compared with the baseline treatment, the 4-PBA and DMSO

treatments caused significant changes in the state, after which the 4-PBA-treated C2C12 myotubes formed

a distinct cluster that was clearly separated from the DMSO treatment (Figure 2A). These results indicated

a strong and consistent global transcriptional change caused by 4-PBA treatment during myogenesis.

Transcriptomic analysis revealed that only two genes, Egr-1 and Fos, were differentially expressed genes

(DEGs) based on the criteria of | log2-fold change | > 1.2 and $p < 0.01$ (Figure 2B and 2C). The mRNA

expression levels of both genes were greater in 4-PBA-treated C2C12 myotubes than in DMSO-

treated cells or baseline controls (Figure 2D). At the protein level, Egr-1 expression markedly increased in

4-PBA-treated C2C12 cells compared with that in DMSO-treated and control cells, particularly during

the early stages of differentiation (Figure 2E and 2F). In contrast, Fos protein expression did not

significantly differ between 4-PBA- and DMSO-treated C2C12 cells. These findings indicated that Egr-1

is a primary and functionally relevant target of 4-PBA in the transformation of C2C12 myoblasts to

myotubes.

4-PBA modulates the NF-κB p65-dependent expression of murine myoblasts.

To identify the signaling pathway that regulates Egr-1 expression, we focused on the Erk pathway and the

NF-κB p65 signaling pathway. Some studies have shown that 4-PBA inhibits the activation of both

pathways in cells, primarily through its ability to reduce ER stress. We treated C2C12 myoblasts with 1

mM 4-PBA or DMSO for four days during myotube differentiation and then evaluated the phosphorylation

of Erk or NF-κB p65. Erk1/2 phosphorylation remained unchanged following 4-PBA or DMSO treatment,

whereas NF-κB p65 expression and phosphorylation increased in 4-PBA-treated C2C12 cells from the early

stages of differentiation (Figure 3A and 3B). To confirm whether Egr-1 expression is regulated by the

canonical NF-κB signaling pathway, we analyzed the protein levels of Egr-1 in 4-PBA-treated C2C12

myoblasts treated with dehydroxymethylepoxyquinomicin (DHMEQ), an NF-κB p65 inhibitor, for 24

hours. Immunoblotting revealed that Egr-1 protein levels increased in response to 4-PBA treatment but

decreased in response to the addition of DHMEQ (Figure 3C and 3D). NF-κB p65 may act as a transcription

factor that regulates Egr-1.

Putative NF-κB (p65) binding sites were identified based on the established κB consensus sequence (5′-

GGGRNNYYCC-3′) in the *Homo sapiens* (GRCh38). However, within the EGR promoter region, a

consensus NF-κB (p65) binding motif was identified in the human sequence, whereas no corresponding

motif was detected in the mouse sequence. To identify NF-κB p65 binding sites that control Egr-1

transcription in the *Mus musculus* genome (GRCm39), we predicted putative NF-κB p65 binding sites

within the −2000 bp promoter region of *Egr-1* using the JASPAR database (Figure 3E and S2A). We

designed two primer pairs encompassing the predicted motifs (P1) and two primer pairs flanking regions

adjacent to the motifs (P2 and P3) to assess DNA enrichment (Figure 3F and S2B). ChIP–qPCR analysis

revealed that the P1 fragment was directly bound by NF-κB p65, whereas the other fragments (P2 and P3)

were not enriched (Figure 3G). These results demonstrate that NF-κB p65 directly binds to the *Egr-1*

promoter, specifically at the P1 region (CTGGAATCCC), indicating that *Egr-1* is a direct transcriptional

target of NF-κB p65. Moreover, compared with DMSO-treated C2C12 myoblasts, 4-PBA-treated C2C12

myoblasts exhibited significantly increased binding of NF-κB p65 to the *Egr-1* promoter, suggesting that

this treatment enhances NF-κB-mediated transcriptional regulation of Egr-1, potentially contributing to

altered *Egr-1* gene expression under these conditions.

4-PBA induced the acetylation of histone H3 but not NF-κB p65.

To determine whether 4-PBA treatment directly affects histone acetylation, we examined the acetylation

status of histone H3 at residues H3K9, H3K14, H3K18, H3K27, and H3K56 during myogenesis by

immunoblotting. Histone H3 acetylation markedly increased at the early stage of differentiation following

inhibitor treatment, particularly at H3K18 and H3K27 (Figure 4A and 4B). These results suggest that

HDAC inhibition by 4-PBA alters the acetylation status of specific histone lysine residues.

Other groups have reported that 4-PBA directly interacts with NF-κB p65 and regulates its acetylation[32].

We therefore evaluated the acetylation of NF-κB p65 at Lys310 during C2C12 myoblast differentiation by

immunoblotting. The acetylation of NF-κB p65 at Lys310 increased during myoblast differentiation;

however, inhibitor treatment reduced p65 acetylation (Figure 4C and 4D). Consistently,

coimmunoprecipitation using an antibody against p65 in C2C12 myotubes revealed that inhibitor treatment

decreased NF-κB p65 acetylation (Figure 4E). These findings indicate that despite its strong effects on

histone acetylation, 4-PBA does not increase and instead reduces NF-κB p65 acetylation in C2C12 cells.

4-PBA-induced Hdac5 expression negatively regulates Egr-1 expression during myogenesis.

4-PBA suppresses Hdac5 protein expression, leading to the hyperacetylation of histone H3 in C2C12

myotubes[33]. However, it is still unclear which class of HDACs is the primary target of 4-PBA. To

investigate which classes of HDACs are predominantly downregulated by 4-PBA treatment during

myogenesis, we first evaluated the gene expression of all the HDACs in C2C12 myotubes treated with 1

mM 4-PBA compared with those treated with DMSO. We observed significantly lower Hdac5 gene

expression in C2C12 myotubes than in DMSO control myotubes (Figure 5A and Data S3). Hdac5

expression increases during the early stages of differentiation, confirming that Hdac5 expression is affected

by the formation of immature myotubes (Figure 5B and 5C). In contrast, 4-PBA treatment reduced Hdac5

expression during myogenesis, which is consistent with the RNA-seq data. Together, these results suggest

that Hdac5 is a key epigenetic regulator during early myogenesis and that 4-PBA treatment suppresses its

expression, potentially leading to altered histone acetylation and dysregulated transcriptional programs

during muscle differentiation.

We next confirmed that Hdac5 is directly related to Egr-1 expression. Depletion of *Hdac5* mRNA

expression significantly increased the *Egr-1* mRNA level in 4-PBA-treated C2C12 cells (Figure 5D),

suggesting that Hdac5 negatively regulates *Egr-1* expression. We next performed immunoblotting analysis

to examine the protein levels of Egr-1 and NF-κB p65, as well as histone H3 acetylation at Lys18 and Lys27,

which are known to be upregulated by 4-PBA treatment. The results revealed that the protein expression of

Egr-1 and NF-κB p65, along with the acetylation of histone H3 at Lys18 and Lys27 (Figure 5E and 5F),

was significantly greater in *Hdac5*-knockdown cells than in control cells. These results suggest that HDAC5

knockdown in C2C12 cells enhances histone H3 acetylation and activates NF-κB signaling and Egr-1

expression, indicating that the mechanism is similar to that of 4-PBA.

4-PBA regulated ECM organization-related genes via Egr-1.

To investigate the mechanism through which Egr-1 affects myogenic differentiation, the gene expression

profiles of C2C12 cells with or without 4-PBA were determined via RNA-Seq. GO enrichment analysis

revealed that the significantly enriched functional categories among the upregulated genes included positive

regulation of external encapsulating structure organization, extracellular matrix organization, extracellular

structure organization and collagen aggregation (Figure 6A and Data S4). Furthermore, network analysis

using GO enrichment revealed genes significantly involved in extracellular matrix (ECM) structural

constituent, integrin binding and cell adhesion molecule binding (Figure 6B). In particular, the expression

of collagen-related genes, which encode structural components of the extracellular matrix, increased during

myoblast differentiation (Fig. S3A and Data S5), suggesting that ECM-associated genes play important

roles in muscle differentiation.

We next identified the ECM-related genes regulated by Egr-1 expression that were upregulated by 4-PBA

treatment in C2C12 cells. A Venn diagram was constructed to examine the intersection between Egr-1

target genes based on the ENCODE Transcription Factor Targets Dataset[34] and ECM structural components

(GO: 0005201), indicating that a total of 36 common genes were identified (Figure 6C). On the other hand,

we found that there were no genes common between Egr-1 target genes and categories for the adhesion

molecule binding (GO:0050839), integrin binding (GO:0005178), and heparin binding (GO:0008201) that

the network analysis using GO enrichment reveled (Figure S3B). To identify the genes linking 4-PBA to

the upregulation of ECM via Egr-1 expression, we displayed the main representative up-regulated genes on

a heatmap, and the expression of Egr-1 target genes by 4-PBA increased gradually from left to right (Figure

6D and Data S6). Changes in gene expression following Egr-1 knockdown were confirmed using qRT-PCR.

Several extracellular matrix (ECM)-related genes, including collagen type XI alpha 1 (Col11a1), collagen

type XXVII alpha 1 (Col27a1), collagen type IV alpha 1 (Col4a1), and collagen type IV alpha 2 (Col4a2),

as well as genes involved in the production of collagen, such as cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (Comp),

and myogenesis-related genes, including matrilin-2 (Matn2) and nidogen-2 (Nid2), a crucial BM protein in

muscle, were among the most significantly downregulated in Egr-1-transfected C2C12 myoblasts compared

with those in siControl-transfected cells or at baseline levels (Figure 6E). Moreover, the expression of these

genes was significantly greater in C2C12 myoblasts treated with the inhibitor than in those treated with

DMSO (Figure 6F). Similar downregulation of these genes was also observed in siHdac5-treated cells

(Figure S3C). Overall, these results suggest that 4-PBA promotes ECM remodeling and the expression of

muscle-related genes and that Egr-1 is involved in regulating the expression of these genes.

Discussion

Although significant progress has been made in understanding muscle differentiation, many of the

underlying mechanisms remain unresolved. Here, we report that 4-PBA regulates myoblast differentiation,

cell proliferation, and ECM remodeling via epigenetic and transcriptional mechanisms, advancing our

understanding of muscle pathology and facilitating the development of novel therapeutic strategies for

muscle-related diseases such as sarcopenia and muscular dystrophy.

We found that 4-PBA acts as an epigenetic regulator during myoblast differentiation. Transcriptomic

screening and immunoblotting analysis revealed that histone H3 acetylation (H3K18ac and H3K27ac)

increased during early differentiation, supporting the role of 4-PBA as an effective inhibitor of Hdac5 in

C2C12 myoblasts. We demonstrate that compared with many HDAC inhibitors that reinforce muscle-

specific transcription, 4-PBA inhibits myoblast differentiation and the expression of the myogenic-related

genes *Myod* and *Dysf* to promote muscle differentiation. Importantly, 4-PBA selectively induced the

expression of NF-κB p65 via inhibition of Hdac5 expression. NF-κB plays complex roles in skeletal muscle

differentiation through opposing signaling pathways[35]. The canonical NF-κB signaling pathway, via

RelA/p65, IKKβ, and IKKγ, and is a key transcription factor that generally promotes muscle cell

proliferation, especially in skeletal muscle stem cells (MuSCs) after chronic injury[36–38]. We propose that 4-

PBA promotes cell proliferation by activating the NF-κB signaling pathway, thereby contributing to

myoblast expansion during early   myogenesis following injury.

We identified HDAC5 as a key epigenetic regulator during early myogenesis and suggest that its

suppression by 4-PBA contributes to altered histone acetylation and transcriptional dysregulation. We also

suggest that 4-PBA is a target for the acetylation of histone H3 but not NF-κB p65 directly through HDAC5

inhibition. In fact, fasting or several types of muscular dystrophy increase p65 acetylation at Lys-310,

activating NF-κB and contributing to muscle atrophy[39]. Acetylated NF-κB p65 also promotes the expression

of inflammatory genes, a hallmark of muscle wasting[39,40]. In acute muscle injury, inflammation is necessary

but must be precisely resolved to avoid chronic damage[41]. Notably, long-term 4-PBA treatment during

myogenesis reduces the phosphorylation of NF-κB p65 gradually as myotubes mature, which is activated

in the early stage (Figure 3A and B). These findings suggest that myogenesis-related factors may contribute

to a negative feedback loop that controls the accumulation of NF-κB p65 signaling. This process contributes

to the suppression of excessive activation of the NF-κB signaling pathway. 4-PBA can act downstream of

many signaling cascades, allowing regeneration to proceed without broadly activating NF-κB-driven

inflammatory pathways that can exacerbate tissue damage.

We identified Egr-1 expression as a key factor that alternates between cell proliferation and differentiation

in myoblasts, and we functionally characterized the regulation of Egr-1 expression by NF-κB p65. We

found that 4-PBA induces Egr-1-regulated extracellular matrix (ECM)- and collagen-related gene

expression. Collagen provides structural stability to muscle fibers and the surrounding connective tissues,

thereby contributing to myoblast differentiation, fusion, and proper structural organization[42]. In most

muscular dystrophies, the accumulation of collagen, particularly type I, type III and type IV collagens, is

markedly increased[43–46]. Excessive collagen deposition within the ECM, which is produced mainly by

fibroblasts, progressively leads to fibrosis and impaired muscle function. In contrast, specific collagen

types—primarily nonfibrillar collagens—serve as essential components of the MuSC microenvironment

and regulate their behavior. In our study, we detected increased expression of Col27a1, Col4a1, and Col4a2,

which are known ECM components that support normal muscle development, regeneration, and resistance

to mechanical stress. Notably, Col27a1 is expressed in the MuSC niche, suggesting a potential role in

regulating the local microenvironment[2]. Type IV collagen is a key component of the basement membrane

(BM), which surrounds satellite cells together with laminin and interacts with surface $\alpha7/\beta1$ integrins[47,48].

4-PBA induces the expression of genes involved in collagen production, namely, Matn2 and Nid2. Matn2

functions as a key regulator of myogenic program initiation by modulating BMP7 and integrin $\alpha5$ signaling,

inducing the expression of the Cdk inhibitor p21, and activating myogenic genes, including Nfix, MyoD,

and Myog[52]. Nid2 has been detected in conditioned media from differentiating human myoblasts by mass

spectrometry, which suggests that it is secreted as a soluble protein during myogenesis[49,50]. Nid2 is also a

component of the muscle basal lamina and plays an important role in neuromuscular junction

development[51,52]. Collectively, these results indicate that 4-PBA promotes ECM gene expression via the

NF-κB p65 - Egr-1 signaling axis, thereby facilitating the expansion of undifferentiated muscle cells and

generating replacement cells capable of responding to injury-associated cues. Moreover, in 4-PBA-treated

skeletal muscle cells, these specialized ECMs may regulate signaling activities and maintain satellite cells

in an undifferentiated state.

HDAC inhibitors such as trichostatin A (TSA), sodium butyrate (NaB), and valproic acid (VPA) have been

shown to influence myoblast differentiation by increasing histone acetylation and activating muscle-

specific gene expression in muscular atrophy and muscular dystrophy models[53–55]. Recently, givinostat,

known as DUVYZAT, which is an HDAC inhibitor for HDAC1 and HDAC3, was approved for patients

with Duchenne muscular dystrophy and has the potential to restore dystrophin expression, reduce

inflammation, and preserve muscle integrity[18]. Previous studies have shown that 4-PBA helps to restore the

membrane localization of the DYSF protein and repair the sarcolemma in a mouse model of

dysferlinopathy[27]. Our data also indicate that 4-PBA controls cell proliferation and differentiation by

targeting histone H3 without hyperactivation of inflammatory pathways, including the NF-κB signaling

pathway. Collectively, these findings, together with our present results, suggest that 4-PBA plays an

important role in myogenic differentiation after injury through the regulation of gene expression related to

ECM remodeling and the alleviation of ER stress, potentially contributing to the prevention of muscle

diseases. In summary, this work establishes a role for the HDAC inhibitor 4-PBA in maintaining muscle

homeostasis and identifies Egr-1 expression and NF-κB p65 as key molecules for promoting myoblast

proliferation. Future studies are warranted to determine such potential clinical applications.

Limitation of the study

Despite the mechanistic insights gained in this study, several limitations should be acknowledged, along

with potential strategies to address them in future work.

First, Although we identified HDAC5 inhibition as a primary mechanism underlying 4-PBA-mediated

effects on histone acetylation, NF-κB signaling, and ECM gene expression, 4-PBA is a multifunctional

compound with pleiotropic biological activities. Our findings indicate that chemical chaperone activity and

ER stress alleviation may act in parallel with epigenetic regulation. Dissecting these mechanisms will

require complementary genetic approaches, including ER stress-specific modulators.

Second, our analysis of NF-κB signaling focused primarily on early myogenesis, where transient activation

appears to promote myoblast proliferation. However, prolonged or excessive NF-κB activation is associated

with chronic inflammation and muscle wasting. Long-term in vivo studies are therefore needed to determine

whether 4-PBA maintains a beneficial balance between regenerative signaling and inflammatory resolution

during aging or chronic muscle disease.

Finally, although we observed increased expression of ECM- and collagen-related genes associated with a

supportive satellite cell niche, we did not directly assess ECM architecture, biomechanical properties, or

interactions with fibroblasts and immune cells. Future studies combining histological, biophysical, and

single-cell approaches will help clarify whether 4-PBA-induced ECM remodeling favors regeneration

without promoting fibrosis.

Together, addressing these limitations will be critical for translating 4-PBA-based strategies toward safe

and effective therapies for muscle injury and degenerative diseases.

Methods

Cell culture

C2C12, a myoblast cell line, were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection. Cells were

cultured in growth medium containing Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM; Genesee Scientific)

containing 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS, Avantor Seradigm), 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml

streptomycin (Tharmo Fisher Scientific). Cell lines were cultured in a humidified atmosphere at 37 °C in

5 % CO_2, and the culture medium was changed every 2 days. When cells reached subconfluence, they were

rinsed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4, Genesee Scientific), trypsinized with 0.25 % trypsin-

EDTA solution (Corning) for 5 min at 37°C, and passaged at ratios of 1:4 - 1:8.

To induce the differentiation and cell fusion, cells were washed with PBS, then changed to differentiation

medium containing DMEM containing 5 % horse serum, and 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml

streptomycin. The differentiation medium was changed every day.

Small interfering RNA (siRNA)

For knockdown of Hdac5 or Egr-1, we used Silencer Select Mouse Hdac5 (Assay ID s67423) or Mouse

Egr-1 (Assay ID s65378) purchased from Invitrogen. C2C12 myoblasts were seeded at a density of 1×10^5

cells/well into a 6-well plate and incubated with siRNAs (5 or 15nM) and Lipofectamine RNAiMAX

transfection reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The control was Silencer Select

Negative Control No. 1 siRNA. siRNA-transfected cells were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO_2 until the assay.

Representative data from three independent experiments.

RNA sequence

C2C12 myoblasts were plated at $7.0×10^5$ cells in 60 mm dishes in growth medium (DMEM with 10% FBS

and penicillin/streptomycin). Once confluent, media was changed to differentiation medium (DMEM with

2% HS and penicillin/streptomycin) with 1mM 4-PBA or DMSO as control and left to differentiate for

4 days. Differentiation medium was replenished daily.

We purified total RNA using an Maxwell RSC simply RNA Cell Kit (Promega, AS1390), followed

by DNase treatment and assess the RNA purity using a Tapestation 4200 High Sensitivity RNA (Agilent

Technologies, 5067-5579).

RNA-seq analysis was performed as previously described. We used The NEBNext Poly(A) mRNA

Magnetic Isolation Module (New England BioLabs, E7490) and NEBNext Ultra II Directional RNA

Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England BioLabs, E7760) to generate cDNA libraries for Illumina

sequencing. we used An Agilent 4200 TapeStation (Agilent, D1000) to evaluate the quality and

concentration of the libraries. Confirmed libraries were mixed in equal molar amounts for clustering and

sequencing on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 DNA sequencer with a 50-bp, using NovaSeq 6000 S1 Reagent

Kit V1.5 (Illumina). We used CLC Genomics Workbench 23.0.2 for data analysis. Trimmed reads were

mapped to the *Mus musculus* (GRCm39) release-110 database and counted according to default settings

using a CLC Genomics Workbench (Qiagen). mRNA abundance is expressed as the log2-transformed

transcripts per million plus one. We use the R package seqinr to read the FASTA file.

Immunoblotting

Cultured cells were denatured with SDS sample buffer (Nacalai Tesque) at 99 °C for 5 min. Lysates were

loaded 10 µg protein/lane to run SDS-PAGE. The proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred

to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes. The membranes were blocked with Block Ace (Bio-rad)

and incubated at room temperature for 1 hour with primary antibodies (Table S1). After washing PBS

containing 0.1% Tween 20, the membranes were incubated at room temperature for 1 hour with horseradish

peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG (CST) as secondary antibodies. Signals were

developed using an Immobilon Western Chemiluminescent HRP Substrate (Cytiva) and examined using an

Amasham imaging system (GE Healthcare). To analyze the intensity of bands, we used Fiji (ImageJ 1.53t).

Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP)

The cell lysates were extracted from the treated C2C12 myotubes by use of RIPA lysis buffer, and then

cultured overnight with specific antibodies against p65 and IgG (negative control) in constant speed at 4 °C.

Following mixing with magnetic-beads (Cytiva), the antigen-antibody mixture was acquired. After washing

thrice in IP lysis buffer, immunoblotting was conducted for the eluted proteins. See Table S1 for primer

antibodies details.

Immunofluorescence

The cultured cells were fixed in pre-child 4% parahormardehid at room tempreture for 10 min. After

washing in PBS containing 0.1 % Triton X-100 for 5 min, cells were incubated with 3 % bovine serum

albumin (BSA, Sigma) at room temperature for 60 min to block non-specific binding and then incubated

with Anti-Myh1 antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, ZRB1214) as a primary antibody at room temperature for 1 hour.

After washing with PBS, the cells and sections were incubated with an Alexa Fluor 488 Goat Anti-Rabbit

IgG H&L (abcam, ab150077) as a secondary antibody at room temperature for 1 hour. the cells and sections

were enclosed using ProLong Glass Antifade Mountant (Thermo Fisher Scientific) after staining the nuclei

with 4' ,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) at room temperature for 5 min. The fluorescent images were

obtained under a fluorescence microscope (Keyence).

Cell proliferation assay

C2C12 myoblasts were seeded in 96-well plates at 5,000 cells/well with 1mM 4-PBA or DMSO. After

incubated for 24, 48, and 72 hours, cell proliferation was measured using Cell Titer 96 AQueous Assay Kit

(Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Quantitative real time Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR)

Total RNA was prepared using the ISOSPIN Cell & Tissue RNA (Nippon Gene) and was transcribed into

cDNA using the ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Master Mix with gRNA remover (Toyobo). The qPCR was

performed using PowerTrack SYBR Green Master Mix for qPCR (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A46109) with

specific forward and reverse primers in a QuantStudio3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The relative gene

expression was calculated with the ΔΔCt method[56] (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001), using GAPDH or Actin

for normalization. See Table S2 for qPCR primer details.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) - qPCR

ChIP DNA was obtained using The SimpleChIP Enzymatic Chromatin IP Kit (Cell Signaling Technology

9003) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 4×10^6 C2C12 cells were treated with 4% PFA

for 15 min for cross-link, and then with ultrasonic for shearing DNA into 500-bp of fragments. The

immunoprecipitation was implemented with 30 μL magnetic beads for 2 hours, following overnight

incubation of anti-p65 or control IgG antibodies. The collected precipitated chromatin was subjected to

qPCR. See Table S3 for ChIP-qPCR for primer details.

Quantification and statistical analysis

Statistical analysis were performed using R software (v4.5.1). We used Student's t-test to compare

differences between two samples. We also used one-way ANOVA and Tukey-Kramer test for differences

between the variances of the three groups. Values are presented as means ± S.E.M.

Visualized and analyses were performed using the following packages: clusterProfiler (version 4.16.0),

KEGGREST (version 1.48.1), and GO.db (version 3.21.0), ggplot2 (version 4.0.0), ggpubr (version 0.6.1),

pheatmap (version 1.0.13), VennDiagram (version 1.7.3), org.Mm.eg.db (version 3.21.0) from

Bioconductor (Huber W Nat Methods).

Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was performed using GO annotations[57]. Biological process terms

were tested for enrichment using a hypergeometric test, and p-values were adjusted for multiple testing

using the Benjamini-Hochberg method. GO terms with an adjusted p-value < 0.05 were considered

significant. KEGG pathway enrichment analysis was evaluated using a hypergeometric test with all

expressed genes as the background gene set[58] . P-values were adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg

method, and adjusted p-values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Acknowledgments: We thank T. Ogata for helping in RNA-seq data analysis and A. Kobayashi for

supporting general lab work. We thank Science Research Center, Insutitute of Life Science and Medicine

for animal research, and the Yamaguchi University Center for Gene Research for using Flow cytometry,

Imaging system, Microplate reader, and Real-time PCR system. This work was supported by Astellas

Foundation for Research on Metabolic Disorders (K.T.), Uehara Memorial Foundation (K.T.), New

Frontier Research Grant by Yamaguchi University (K.T., N.T.), Finding-Out & Crystallization of

Subliminals (FOCS) project by Yamaguchi University of Medicine (K.T., N.T.). This work was supported

in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Research Activity Start-up (21K21219), Early-Career Scientists (22K17826)

from Japan Society of the Promotion of Science (JSPS) (N.T.), ACT-X (JPMJAX222B) from Japan

Science and Technology Agency (JST) (N.T.), the Ube City Next-Generation Researchers Project (N.T.).

This work was the result of using research equipment shared in MEXT Project for promoting public

utilization of advanced research infrastructure (Program for supporting construction of core facilities),

Grant Number JPMXS0440400023.

Author contributions: K.T. conceived and designed the study. K.T. and N.T. performed experiments and

did the data analysis and interpretation. K.T. wrote the manuscript and prepared the figures. K.T. and N.T.

reviewed and edited the paper.

Competing interests: The author N.T. is a founder and director of ADDVEMO Inc.. ADDVEMO Inc. was

not involved in the study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation of data, the writing of the report,

or the decision to submit the article for publication. The author N.T. receives research funding from Otsuka

Electronics Co. for this study. The author K.T. declares no competing interests.

Data availability: RNA-seq data were deposited to GEO (GEO: GSE315556). All data in this published

article (and its Supplementary Information files) are available.

Reference:

1.   Yablonka-Reuveni, Z., Balestreri, T.M., and Bowen-Pope~, D.E. Regulation of Proliferation and

Differentiation of Myoblasts Derived from Adult Mouse Skeletal Muscle by Specific Isoforms of

PDGF.

2.   Price, F.D., Matyas, M.N., Gehrke, A.R., Chen, W., Wolin, E.A., Holton, K.M., Gibbs, R.M., Lee,

A., Singu, P.S., Sakakeeny, J.S., et al. (2025). Organoid culture promotes dedifferentiation of

mouse myoblasts into stem cells capable of complete muscle regeneration. Nat. Biotechnol. *43*,

889–903. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-024-02344-7.

3.   Motohashi, N., and Asakura, A. (2014). Muscle satellite cell heterogeneity and self-renewal.

Preprint at Frontiers Media S.A., https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2014.00001

https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2014.00001.

4.   Mourikis, P., and Relaix, F. (2016). Activated Muscle Satellite Cells Chase Ghosts. Preprint at

Cell Press, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2016.01.008 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2016.01.008.

5.   Dumont, N.A., Wang, Y.X., and Rudnicki, M.A. (2015). Intrinsic and extrinsic mechanisms

regulating satellite cell function. Preprint at Company of Biologists Ltd,

https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.114223 https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.114223.

6.   Bentzinger (2010). Extrinsic regulation of satellite cell specification.

7.   Berardi, E. (2017). Muscular dystrophies and cancer cachexia: Similarities in chronic skeletal

muscle degeneration. Preprint at MDPI Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute,

https://doi.org/10.3390/jfmk2040039 https://doi.org/10.3390/jfmk2040039.

8.   Chang, N.C., Chevalier, F.P., and Rudnicki, M.A. (2016). Satellite Cells in Muscular Dystrophy -

Lost in Polarity. Preprint at Elsevier Ltd, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2016.04.002

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2016.04.002.

9.   Liang, D., Chen, C., Huang, S., Liu, S., Fu, L., and Niu, Y. (2022). Alterations of Lysine

Acetylation Profile in Murine Skeletal Muscles Upon Exercise. Front. Aging Neurosci. *14*.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2022.859313.

10.   Sincennes, M.-C., Brun, C.E., and Rudnicki, M.A. (2016). Concise Review: Epigenetic Regulation

of Myogenesis in Health and Disease. Stem Cells Transl. Med. *5*, 282–290.

https://doi.org/10.5966/sctm.2015-0266.

11.   Timothy A McKinsey, C.L.Z. and E.N.O. (2001). Control of muscle development by dueling

HATs and HDACs. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev., 497–504.

12.   Li, Y., Khilji, S., Mach, Y.Z., Chen, J., and Li, Q. (2022). Chromatin state distribution of residue-

specific histone acetylation in early myoblast differentiation. J. Big Data *9*.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-022-00667-3.

13.   Massenet, J., Gardner, E., Chazaud, B., and Dilworth, F.J. (2021). Epigenetic regulation of

satellite cell fate during skeletal muscle regeneration. Preprint at BioMed Central Ltd,

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13395-020-00259-w https://doi.org/10.1186/s13395-020-00259-w.

14.   Khilji, S., Hamed, M., Chen, J., and Li, Q. (2018). Loci-specific histone acetylation profiles

associated with transcriptional coactivator p300 during early myoblast differentiation. Epigenetics

13, 642–654. https://doi.org/10.1080/15592294.2018.1489659.

15.   Hamed, M., Khilji, S., Chen, J., and Li, Q. (2013). Stepwise acetyltransferase association and

histone acetylation at the Myod1 locus during myogenic differentiation. Sci. Rep. *3*.

https://doi.org/10.1038/srep02390.

16.   Pier Lorenzo Puri, S.I.P.S.T.-T.C.R.L.S.G.E.O.M.A.G.L.K.J.Y.J.W. and V.S. (2001). Class I

Histone Deacetylases Sequentially Interact with MyoD and pRb during Skeletal Myogenesis. Mol.

Cell *8*, 885–897.

17.   Núñez-Álvarez, Y., Hurtado, E., Muñoz, M., García-Tuñon, I., Rech, G.E., Pluvinet, R., Sumoy,

L., Pendás, A.M., Peinado, M.A., and Suelves, M. (2021). Loss of HDAC11 accelerates skeletal

muscle regeneration in mice. FEBS Journal *288*, 1201–1223. https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.15468.

18.   Mozzetta, C., Sartorelli, V., Steinkuhler, C., and Puri, P.L. (2024). HDAC inhibitors as

pharmacological treatment for Duchenne muscular dystrophy: a discovery journey from bench to

patients. Preprint at Elsevier Ltd, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2024.01.007

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2024.01.007.

19.   Kolb, P.S., Ayaub, E.A., Zhou, W., Yum, V., Dickhout, J.G., and Ask, K. (2015). The therapeutic

effects of 4-phenylbutyric acid in maintaining proteostasis. Preprint at Elsevier Ltd,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2015.01.015 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2015.01.015.

20.   Lichter-Konecki, U., Diaz, G.A., Merritt, J.L., Feigenbaum, A., Jomphe, C., Marier, J.F.,

Beliveau, M., Mauney, J., Dickinson, K., Martinez, A., et al. (2011). Ammonia control in children

with urea cycle disorders (UCDs); Phase 2 comparison of sodium phenylbutyrate and glycerol

phenylbutyrate. Mol. Genet. Metab. *103*, 323–329. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymgme.2011.04.013.

21.   Luo, T., Chen, B., and Wang, X. (2015). 4-PBA prevents pressure overload-induced myocardial

hypertrophy and interstitial fibrosis by attenuating endoplasmic reticulum stress. Chem. Biol.

Interact. *242*, 99–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2015.09.025.

22.   Mimori, S., Ohtaka, H., Koshikawa, Y., Kawada, K., Kaneko, M., Okuma, Y., Nomura, Y.,

Murakami, Y., and Hamana, H. (2013). 4-Phenylbutyric acid protects against neuronal cell death

by primarily acting as a chemical chaperone rather than histone deacetylase inhibitor. Bioorg.

Med. Chem. Lett. *23*, 6015–6018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2013.08.001.

23.   De Almeida, S.F., Picarote, G., Fleming, J. V., Carmo-Fonseca, M., Azevedo, J.E., and De Sousa,

M. (2007). Chemical chaperones reduce endoplasmic reticulum stress and prevent mutant HFE

aggregate formation. Journal of Biological Chemistry *282*, 27905–27912.

https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M702672200.

24.   Rubenstein, R.C., Egan, M.E., and Zeitlin, P.L. (1997). In vitro pharmacologic restoration of

CFTR-mediated chloride transport with sodium 4-phenylbutyrate in cystic fibrosis epithelial cells

containing ΔF08-CFTR. Journal of Clinical Investigation *100*, 2457–2465.

https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI119788.

25.   Rubenstein, R.C., and Zeitlin, P.L. (1998). A Pilot Clinical Trial of Oral Sodium 4-Phenylbutyrate

(Buphenyl) in F508-Homozygous Cystic Fibrosis Patients Partial Restoration of Nasal Epithelial

CFTR Function.

26.   Omar, R., Lee, M.A.W., Gonzalez-Trueba, L., Thomson, C.R., Hansen, U., Lianos, S., Hazarika,

S., El Abdallah, O. El, Ammar, M.A., Cassels, J., et al. (2025). The chemical chaperone 4-

phenylbutyric acid rescues molecular cell defects of COL3A1 mutations that cause vascular Ehlers

Danlos Syndrome. Cell Death Discov. *11*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41420-025-02476-y.

27.   Tominaga, K., Tominaga, N., Williams, E.O., Rufibach, L., Schöwel, V., Spuler, S., Viswanathan,

M., and Guarente, L.P. (2022). 4-Phenylbutyrate restores localization and membrane repair to

human dysferlin mutations. iScience *25*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2021.103667.

28.   Li, Y., Weng, X., Wang, P., He, Z., Cheng, S., Wang, D., Li, X., Cheng, G., and Li, T. (2021). 4-

phenylbutyrate exerts stage-specific effects on cardiac differentiation via HDAC inhibition. PLoS

One *16*. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250267.

29.   Shi, X., Gong, L., Liu, Y., Hou, K., Fan, Y., Li, C., Wen, T., Qu, X., and Che, X. (2020). 4-

phenylbutyric acid promotes migration of gastric cancer cells by histone deacetylase inhibition-

mediated IL-8 upregulation. Epigenetics *15*, 632–645.

https://doi.org/10.1080/15592294.2019.1700032.

30.   Kusaczuk, M., Krętowski, R., Bartoszewicz, M., and Cechowska-Pasko, M. (2016).

Phenylbutyrate—a pan-HDAC inhibitor—suppresses proliferation of glioblastoma LN-229 cell

line. Tumor Biology *37*, 931–942. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13277-015-3781-8.

31.   Shanmugam, G., Rakshit, S., and Sarkar, K. (2022). HDAC inhibitors: Targets for tumor therapy,

531   immune modulation and lung diseases. Preprint at Neoplasia Press, Inc.,

532   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranon.2021.101312 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranon.2021.101312.

533   32.   Zhou, Y., Jin, X., Yu, H., Qin, G., Pan, P., Zhao, J., Chen, T., Liang, X., Sun, Y., Wang, B., et al.

534   (2022). HDAC5 modulates PD-L1 expression and cancer immunity via p65 deacetylation in

535   pancreatic cancer. Theranostics *12*, 2080–2094. https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.69444.

536   33.   Hu, H., Li, L., Wang, C., He, H., Mao, K., Ma, X., Shi, R., Oh, Y., Zhang, F., Lu, Y., et al. (2014).

537   4-phenylbutyric acid increases GLUT4 gene expression through suppression of HDAC5 but not

538   endoplasmic reticulum stress. Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry *33*, 1899–1910.

539   https://doi.org/10.1159/000362967.

540   34.   Dunham, I., Kundaje, A., Aldred, S.F., Collins, P.J., Davis, C.A., Doyle, F., Epstein, C.B., Frietze,

541   S., Harrow, J., Kaul, R., et al. (2012). An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human

542   genome. Nature *489*, 57–74. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11247.

543   35.   Nadine Bakkar, D.C.G. (2010). NF-B Signaling: A Tale of Two Pathways in Skeletal Myogenesis.

544   Physiol. Rev. *90*, 495–511. https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00040.2009.-NF-B.

545   36.   Acharyya, S., Villalta, S.A., Bakkar, N., Bupha-Intr, T., Janssen, P.M.L., Carathers, M., Li, Z.W.,

546   Beg, A.A., Ghosh, S., Sahenk, Z., et al. (2007). Interplay of IKK/NF-κB signaling in macrophages

547   and myofibers promotes muscle degeneration in Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Journal of

548   Clinical Investigation *117*, 889–901. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI30556.

549   37.   Bakkar, N., Wang, J., Ladner, K.J., Wang, H., Dahlman, J.M., Carathers, M., Acharyya, S.,

550   Rudnicki, M.A., Hollenbach, A.D., and Guttridge, D.C. (2008). IKK/NF-κB regulates skeletal

551   myogenesis via a signaling switch to inhibit differentiation and promote mitochondrial biogenesis.

552   Journal of Cell Biology *180*, 787–802. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200707179.

553   38.   Guttridge, D.C., Albanese, C., Reuther, J.Y., Pestell, R.G., and Baldwin, A.S. (1999). NF-B

554   Controls Cell Growth and Differentiation through Transcriptional Regulation of Cyclin D1.

555   39.   Lee, D., and Goldberg, A.L. (2015). Muscle wasting in fasting requires activation of NF-κB and

556   inhibition of AKT/Mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) by the protein acetylase, GCN5.

557   Journal of Biological Chemistry *290*, 30269–30279. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M115.685164.

558   40.   Pryce, B.R., Oles, A., Talbert, E.E., Romeo, M.J., Vaena, S., Sharma, S., Spadafora, V., Tolliver,

559   L., Mahvi, D.A., Morgan, K.A., et al. (2024). Muscle inflammation is regulated by NF-κB from

560   multiple cells to control distinct states of wasting in cancer cachexia. Cell Rep. *43*.

561   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2024.114925.

562   41.   MARIA KARALAKI, S.F.A.P. and M.K. (2009). Muscle Regeneration: Cellular and Molecular

563   Events. In Vivo (Brooklyn). *23*, 779–796.

564   42.   Zhang, W., Liu, Y., and Zhang, H. (2021). Extracellular matrix: an important regulator of cell

565   functions and skeletal muscle development. Preprint at BioMed Central Ltd,

566   https://doi.org/10.1186/s13578-021-00579-4 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13578-021-00579-4.

567   43.   Sáenz, A., Azpitarte, M., Armañanzas, R., Leturcq, F., Alzualde, A., Inza, I., García-Bragado, F.,

568   De la Herran, G., Corcuera, J., Cabello, A., et al. (2008). Gene expression profiling in limb-girdle

569   muscular dystrophy 2A. PLoS One *3*. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0003750.

570   44.   Rizzo, B., Dragoni, F., Dainesi, M.I., Di Gerlando, R., Minucchi, E., Berardinelli, A.L., and

571   Gagliardi, S. (2025). Comparative Transcriptomic Profiling in Patients Affected by Duchenne and

572   Becker Muscular Dystrophies: A Focus on ECM Genes Dysregulation. Int. J. Mol. Sci. *26*.

573   https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms26146594.

574   45.   Smith, L.R., Hammers, D.W., Sweeney, H.L., and Barton, E.R. (2016). Increased collagen cross-

575   linking is a signature of dystrophin-deficient muscle. Muscle Nerve *54*, 71–78.

576   https://doi.org/10.1002/mus.24998.

577   46.   Ieronimakis, N., Hays, A., Prasad, A., Janebodin, K., Duffield, J.S., and Reyes, M. (2016).

578   PDGFRα signalling promotes fibrogenic responses in collagen-producing cells in Duchenne

579   muscular dystrophy. Journal of Pathology *240*, 410–424. https://doi.org/10.1002/path.4801.

47.   Sanes, J.R. (2003). The basement membrane/basal lamina of skeletal muscle. Preprint,

https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R200027200 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R200027200.

48.   Schüler, S.C., Liu, Y., Dumontier, S., Grandbois, M., Le Moal, E., Cornelison, D.D.W., and

Bentzinger, C.F. (2022). Extracellular matrix: Brick and mortar in the skeletal muscle stem cell

niche. Preprint at Frontiers Media S.A., https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2022.1056523

https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2022.1056523.

49.   Hartwig, S., Raschke, S., Knebel, B., Scheler, M., Irmler, M., Passlack, W., Muller, S., Hanisch,

F.G., Franz, T., Li, X., et al. (2014). Secretome profiling of primary human skeletal muscle cells.

Biochim. Biophys. Acta Proteins Proteom. *1844*, 1011–1017.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2013.08.004.

50.   Le Bihan, M.C., Bigot, A., Jensen, S.S., Dennis, J.L., Rogowska-Wrzesinska, A., Lainé, J., Gache,

V., Furling, D., Jensen, O.N., Voit, T., et al. (2012). In-depth analysis of the secretome identifies

three major independent secretory pathways in differentiating human myoblasts. J. Proteomics *77*,

344–356. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2012.09.008.

51.   Fox, M.A., Ho, M.S.P., Smyth, N., and Sanes, J.R. (2008). A synaptic nidogen: Developmental

regulation and role of nidogen-2 at the neuromuscular junction. Neural Dev. *3*.

https://doi.org/10.1186/1749-8104-3-24.

52.   Deák, F., Mátés, L., Korpos, É., Zvara, Á., Szénási, T., Kiricsi, M., Mendler, L., Keller-Pintér, A.,

Ózsvári, B., Juhász, H., et al. (2014). Extracellular deposition of matrilin-2 controls the timing of

the myogenic program during muscle regeneration. J. Cell Sci. *127*, 3240–3256.

https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.141556.

53.   Zhao, X., Zhu, M., Wang, Z., Gao, M., Long, Y., Zhou, S., and Wang, W. (2025). The Alleviative

Effect of Sodium Butyrate on Dexamethasone-Induced Skeletal Muscle Atrophy. Cell Biol. Int.

49, 508–521. https://doi.org/10.1002/cbin.70003.

54.   Chang, J.-G., Hsieh-Li, H.-M., Jong, Y.-J., Wang, N.M., Tsai, C.-H., and Li, H. (2001). Treatment

of spinal muscular atrophy by sodium butyrate. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A . *98*.

55. Bajanca, F., and Vandel, L. (2017). Epigenetic Regulators Modulate Muscle Damage in Duchenne

Muscular Dystrophy Model. PLoS Curr.

https://doi.org/10.1371/currents.md.f1e2379fa632f8135577333dd92ca83b.

56. Livak, K.J., and Schmittgen, T.D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time

quantitative PCR and the 2-ΔΔCT method. Methods *25*, 402–408.

https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262.

57. Ashburner, M., Ball, C.A., Blake, J.A., Botstein, D., Butler, H., Cherry, J.M., Davis, A.P.,

Dolinski, K., Dwight, S.S., Eppig, J.T., et al. (2000). Gene ontology: Tool for the unification of

biology. Preprint, https://doi.org/10.1038/75556 https://doi.org/10.1038/75556.

58. Kanehisa, M., and Goto, S. (2000). KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.

Figures

Figure 1. 4-PBA Promotes Myoblast Proliferation but Impairs Myogenic Differentiation.

(A) Representative immunofluorescence images of C2C12 myotubes stained for Myh1 (green) and nuclei

(blue). Scale bar, 400 μm.

(B) (left) Quantification of Myh1$^+$ myotube area per field 4 days after differentiation (n = 5). (right) the number of nuclei within multinucleated myotubes (n = 5).

(C) Representative immunoblots showing Myogenin (Myog) and Dysferlin (Dysf) expression in C2C12 cells treated with 1 mM 4-PBA or DMSO for the indicated time points.

(D) Quantification of Myog and Dysf protein levels shown in (C), normalized to β-actin (Actin). Data are representative of three independent experiments.

(E) KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes in C2C12 myotubes at day 4 after differentiation, comparing treatment with 1 mM 4-PBA and DMSO.

(F) Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) plots for the indicated KEGG pathways in 4-PBA– or DMSO-treated cells. FDR, false discovery rate.

(G) Proliferation of C2C12 myoblasts treated with 1 mM 4-PBA or DMSO.

Data in (B), (D) and (G) are presented as mean ± S.E.M. and analyzed using an unpaired two-tailed Student's t test. *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$.

Figure 2. 4-PBA Induces Egr-1 Expression during Myoblast Differentiation.

(A) Principal component analysis (PCA) showing sample clustering based on differentially expressed genes in C2C12 cells treated with 1 mM 4-PBA or DMSO. Baseline indicates cells prior to differentiation induction.

(B) Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes identified by RNA-seq analysis comparing 4-PBA–treated and DMSO-treated C2C12 cells following myotube differentiation after 4 days. Significantly upregulated genes are shown in red. FC, fold change; P-adj, adjusted p value.

(C) RNA-seq–based expression levels of *Egr-1* and *Fos* mRNA in C2C12 cells treated with 1 mM 4-PBA or DMSO, shown as transcripts per million (TPM).

(D) Quantitative PCR (qPCR) validation of *Egr-1* and *Fos* mRNA expression in C2C12 cells treated with 1 mM 4-PBA or DMSO. Data represent four independent experiments.

(E) Representative immunoblots showing Egr-1 and Fos protein expression in C2C12 cells treated with 1 mM 4-PBA or DMSO for the indicated time points.

(F) Quantification of protein levels shown in (E), normalized to β-actin (Actin). Data represent three independent experiments.

Data in (D) and (F) are presented as mean ± S.E.M. and analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test (D) and unpaired two-tailed Student's t test (F). $*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$, $***P < 0.001$.

Figure 3. 4-PBA Regulates NF-κB–Dependent Egr-1 Expression in C2C12 Cells.

(A) Representative immunoblots showing total Erk1/2, phosphorylated Erk1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204), total p65, and phosphorylated p65 (Ser468 and Ser536) in C2C12 cells treated with 1 mM 4-PBA or DMSO for the indicated time points. β-actin (Actin) was used as a loading control.

(B) Quantification of phosphorylated Erk1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204) and phosphorylated p65 (Ser468 and Ser536) shown in (A). Band intensities were normalized to total Erk1/2 or p65, respectively. Data represent three independent experiments.

(C) Representative immunoblots showing Egr-1 and p65 protein levels in C2C12 cells treated with 1 mM 4-PBA in the presence or absence of the NF-κB inhibitor DHMEQ. Actin was used as a loading control.

(D) Quantification of Egr-1 and p65 protein levels shown in (C), normalized to Actin. Data represent three independent experiments.

(E) NF-κB p65 consensus binding motif predicted using the JASPAR database.

(F) Predicted p65 binding sites within the Egr-1 promoter region identified by JASPAR analysis.

(G) Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis showing enrichment of the Egr-1 promoter regions (P1 and P2) in p65- or IgG-immunoprecipitated chromatin from 4-PBA–treated C2C12 cells.

Data in (B), (D) and (G) are presented as mean ± S.E.M. and analyzed using an unpaired two-tailed Student's t test (B) and one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test (D, G). $*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$, $***P < 0.001$; ns, not significant.

Figure 4. 4-PBA Induces Histone H3K27/18 Acetylation but Does Not Promote p65 Acetylation.

(A) Representative immunoblots showing acetylated histone H3 (H3K9/14/18/27/56ac) in C2C12 cells treated with 1 mM 4-PBA or DMSO for the indicated time points. Total histone H3 was used as a loading control.

(B) Quantification of histone H3K27/18 acetylation shown in (A), normalized to total histone H3. Data represent three independent experiments.

(C) Representative immunoblots showing total p65 and acetylated p65 (Lys310) in C2C12 cells treated with 1 mM 4-PBA or DMSO for the indicated time points. β-actin (Actin) was used as a loading control.

(D) Quantification of acetylated p65 (Lys310) levels shown in (C), normalized to Actin. Data represent three independent experiments.

(E) Immunoprecipitation of p65 from C2C12 cell lysates followed by immunoblot analysis with antibodies against total p65 or acetylated p65 (Lys310). Input lysates are shown as controls.

Data in (B) and (D) are presented as mean ± S.E.M. and analyzed using an unpaired two-tailed Student's t test (B, D). *$P < 0.05$; ns, not significant.

Figure 5. 4-PBA Regulates Egr-1 Expression through Suppression of HDAC5 in C2C12 Cells.

(A) Heatmap showing RNA-seq–based expression profiles of histone deacetylase (HDAC) genes in C2C12 cells treated with 1 mM 4-PBA or DMSO.

(B) Representative immunoblots showing HDAC5 protein levels in C2C12 cells treated with 1 mM 4-PBA or DMSO for the indicated time points. β-actin (Actin) was used as a loading control.

(C) Quantification of HDAC5 protein levels shown in (B), normalized to Actin. Data represent three independent experiments.

(D) Relative mRNA expression of *Hdac5* and *Egr-1* in C2C12 cells transfected with siHdac5 (5 or 15 nM), siControl, or mock control, as measured by qPCR. Expression levels were normalized to *β-actin* (*Actin*).

(E) Representative immunoblots showing HDAC5, Egr-1, p65, H3K18ac, and H3K27ac protein levels in C2C12 cells treated with 1 mM 4-PBA or DMSO for the indicated time points. Actin or histone H3 was used as the loading control, as indicated.

(F) Quantification of protein levels shown in (E). Band intensities were normalized to Actin for HDAC5, Egr-1, and p65, and to histone H3 for H3K18ac and H3K27ac. Data represent three independent experiments.

Data in (C), (D) and (F) are presented as mean ± S.E.M. and analyzed using an unpaired two-tailed Student's t test (C) and one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test (D, F). *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$.

Figure 6. Egr-1 Mediates 4-PBA–Dependent Transcriptional Regulation of Extracellular Matrix Organization Genes.

(A) Heatmap of Gene Ontology (GO) hallmark gene sets with the top 20 lowest p values identified by parametric gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) from RNA-seq data of C2C12 cells treated with 1 mM 4-PBA or DMSO.

(B) Network visualization illustrating the functional connectivity between differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and enriched biological processes, highlighting extracellular matrix (ECM) organization–related pathways regulated by 4-PBA. Node size corresponds to the number of genes within each enriched pathway.

(C) Venn diagram showing overlap between genes associated with the ECM structural constituent GO term (GO:0005201) and predicted Egr-1 target genes obtained from the ENCODE Transcription Factor Targets Dataset.

(D) Heatmap showing expression patterns of Egr-1 target genes within the ECM structural constituent gene set, demonstrating coordinated regulation downstream of 4-PBA treatment.

(E) Relative mRNA expression of selected ECM-related genes in C2C12 cells transfected with siEgr-1 (15 nM), siControl, or mock control, showing Egr-1–dependent transcriptional regulation. Expression levels were normalized to β-actin (Actin).

(F) Relative mRNA expression of selected ECM-related genes in C2C12 cells treated with 1 mM 4-PBA or DMSO under differentiation conditions. Baseline indicates expression levels prior to differentiation induction.

Data in (E) and (F) are presented as mean ± S.E.M. and analyzed using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test. $*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$, $***P < 0.001$.