Cryo-EM structure of pro-aggregant P301L/S320F double-mutant tau filaments

formed in mouse brains following peripheral AAV delivery

Authors

Maria Kano[1#], Taeko Kimura[1#], Haruaki Yanagisawa[2#], Lisa Tatsumi[1], Komei Yamashita[1],

Asahi Sakai[1], Xinyi Li[1], Takaomi C. Saido[3], Takashi Saito[4], Sho Takatori[1], Masahide

Kikkawa[2], Taisuke Tomita[1*]

Author Information

[1]Laboratory of Neuropathology and Neuroscience, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical

Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

[2]Department of Cell Biology and Anatomy, Graduate School of Medicine, The University

of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

[3]Laboratory for Proteolytic Neuroscience, RIKEN Center for Brain Science, 2-1

Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

[4]Department of Neurocognitive Science, Institute of Brain Science, Nagoya City

University Graduate School of Medical Science, 1 Kawasumi, Mizuho-cho, Mizuho-ku,

Nagoya, Aichi 467-8601, Japan

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding author

Correspondence, Taisuke Tomita, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan.

Email: taisuke@mol.f.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Abstract

Tauopathies are characterized by the accumulation of abnormally phosphorylated tau filaments, with disease-specific folds revealed by cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM). Here, we delivered a recombinant human tau carrying the P301L/S320F double mutation into App^{NL-G-F}/$MAPT$ double knock-in mice using a blood-brain-barrier-permeable AAV (AAV-PHP.eB), enabling rapid induction of tau aggregation *in vivo*. The mutant tau was systemically administered via retro-orbital injection, providing a minimally invasive approach for widespread neuronal transduction. Phosphorylated tau aggregation, as well as seeding activity, were observed without the need for exogenous seeds. Our cryo-EM analysis resolved a novel filament fold incorporating residues 279-329, in which the P301L and S320F mutations introduced stabilizing interactions that reinforced filament assembly. This conformation was distinct from previously reported folds, including Alzheimer and Pick tau filaments. Moreover, the filaments adopted a more compact architecture than those observed in patient-derived samples or other model mice. This finding demonstrates that P301L/S320F double-mutant tau adopts a structurally unique, highly aggregation-prone fold, and that this system provides a rapid platform for modeling tau filament formation.

Keywords

Alzheimer disease, cryo-EM, Pick disease tau, tauopathy

Introduction

Tauopathies comprise a spectrum of neurodegenerative disorders defined by intracellular inclusions of abnormally phosphorylated and aggregated microtubule-associated protein tau, which is encoded by the Microtubule-Associated Protein Tau *(MAPT)* gene. These disorders include Alzheimer disease (AD), frontotemporal dementia (FTD), Pick's disease (PiD), and corticobasal degeneration. In these conditions, the accumulation of tau filaments, known as neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs), is closely associated with progressive neurodegeneration and synaptic dysfunction [1,2].

Tau is an intrinsically disordered protein that stabilizes and dynamically regulates microtubules. The human *MAPT* gene generates six isoforms through alternative splicing, which differ in the number of microtubule-binding repeats (three-repeat (3R) or four-repeat (4R)) and the presence or absence of N-terminal inserts (Supplementary Fig. 1) [1]. In the adult human brain, 3R and 4R tau isoforms are expressed at approximately equal ratios, whereas murine brains predominantly express 4R tau [3]. The binding of tau to microtubules is tightly regulated by its phosphorylation state [4], and dysregulation of this balance is thought to underlie the onset of pathological aggregation. Notably, the discovery of pathogenic MAPT mutations in families with FTDP-17 provided strong genetic evidence that tau dysfunction is sufficient to cause neurodegeneration, and linked tau aggregation pathology to the pathogenesis of tauopathies [5,6].

In AD brains, aggregated tau forms paired helical filaments (PHFs) [7], which assemble into NFTs. This filament structure exhibits an AD-specific fold that reflects the disease's molecular identity [8–10]. These filaments propagate in a prion-like manner

between neurons, driving the stepwise regional spread of NFTs described by Braak

staging [11]. The progression of NFT pathology correlates strongly with neuronal loss

and cognitive decline [11,12], suggesting that tau filament accumulation directly drives

neurodegeneration. Filamentous tau is not only hyperphosphorylated but also modified

by ubiquitination [13–15], acetylation [16,17], and SUMOylation [18], among other post-

translational modifications.

The advent of cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) technology has enabled near-

atomic structural determination of tau filaments isolated from tauopathy patient brains

[8–10]. These structural studies have substantially advanced our understanding of the

molecular mechanisms underlying tau aggregation and the pathological heterogeneity of

tau-related disorders.

In parallel, *in vivo* modeling of tau pathology has advanced considerably.

Transgenic mice expressing tauopathy-associated mutations [19] and models involving

intracerebral inoculation of patient-derived [20] or recombinant tau filaments [21,22]

have provided invaluable insights into the mechanisms of tau propagation and filament

formation. More recently, expression of the P301L/S320F double-mutant tau—

combining the frontotemporal dementia and Parkinsonism linked to chromosome 17

(FTDP-17)–associated P301L mutation [23,24] and the PiD-associated S320F mutation

[25,26]—via adeno-associated virus (AAV) injection into neonatal mouse ventricles has

been shown to induce robust tau aggregation within a short period [27]. This approach

has attracted attention as a rapid and reproducible model of tau filament formation.

In this study, we sought to develop a less invasive and efficient *in vivo* model of

AD-related tau pathology and to elucidate the structural determinants underlying the

marked aggregation propensity of tau by analyzing the filamentous structures formed in

this model. To this end, we utilized the AAV-PHP.eB system, a modified AAV9 variant

that enables highly efficient central nervous system transduction following systemic

administration, and expressed P301L/S320F mutant human tau *via* retro-orbital venous

injection [28,29]. The AAV-PHP.eB serotype is reported to cross the blood–brain barrier

following systemic administration efficiently and to robustly transduce cortical and

hippocampal neurons, offering a less invasive alternative to direct intracranial injection.

For the recipient mouse, we used humanized tau knock-in mice with an amyloid plaque–

forming background (App^{NL-G-F}/*MAPT* double knock-in mice), in which the murine *App*

and *Mapt* genes are replaced by the human *APP* exons carrying familial AD-linked

mutations as well as the *MAPT* locus, respectively [30]. This mouse model expresses both

3R and 4R human tau isoforms under endogenous control [31], with a comparable balance

of tau isoforms to that observed in the human brain and also accumulates amyloid-β (Aβ)

[30], thereby allowing investigation of tau aggregation within a genetic and pathological

milieu that closely resembles the human AD brain.

Following systemic administration of AAV-PHP.eB encoding P301L/S320F

mutant human tau, phosphorylated tau–positive aggregates were induced within

approximately 1.5 months in a minimally invasive manner. Biochemical and cryo-EM

analyses of sarkosyl-insoluble fractions revealed tau filaments with a near-atomic fold.

These findings provide mechanistic insight into how the P301L/S320F mutation enhances

tau aggregation propensity and support the emergence of a unique filament structure

formed in a human tau background.

Material and methods

Mouse and cell culture

$App^{NL\text{-}G\text{-}F}/hMAPT$ double knock-in mice were maintained on a C57BL/6J background [32]. HEK293A cell was a kind gift from Dr. Hidenori Ichijo (The University of Tokyo)[33,34]. Biosensor (BS) cells, which provide a fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) signal by seeding-competent tau filaments, were purchased from ATCC (CRL-3275). The cells were cultured as previously described [35,36].

Extraction of the tau filaments from culture cells

Cells were resuspended in 1 mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and centrifuged at 4,500 × g for 5 min at 25 °C. The resulting pellets were resuspended in 300 µL of lysis buffer containing 1% sarkosyl in A68 buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 10% sucrose, 0.8 M NaCl, and 1 mM EGTA) [37], followed by sonication using HG-30 homogenizer (Hitachi). An additional 300 µL of lysis buffer was then added, and the samples were centrifuged at 168,000 × g (S55A2-2698 roter, Himac) for 20 min at room temperature. The supernatant (soluble fraction) and pellet (insoluble fraction) were collected separately [38,39]. Protein concentrations in the supernatant were determined using a BCA assay, and 5× SDS sample buffer was added. The pellet fractions were resuspended in 50 µL of 2 × SDS sample buffer for western blotting, followed by brief sonication. All samples were heated at 100 °C for 5 min prior to electrophoresis. Prepared samples were separated by SDS–PAGE and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore) as described[35]. The list of primary antibodies and their

dilution ratios is provided in Tables S1 and S2.

Fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET)

BS cells were seeded into 24-well plates, and 20 µg of mouse brain homogenate

was added to each well. The homogenate was mixed with Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo

Fisher Scientific) at 1.47 µL per well, incubated for 15 min at room temperature, and then

applied to the cells. After treatment, the cells were cultured for 72 h. After incubation,

cells were washed with PBS, detached by trypsinization, and resuspended in PBS

containing 20% FBS. FRET signals were measured by flow cytometry using the MA900

cell sorter (SONY), with the increase in acceptor fluorescence upon donor excitation as

the readout. The percentage of FRET-positive cells was calculated as described [40].

Electron microscopy

For electron microscopy of cultured cell-derived tau filaments, pellet fractions

were resuspended in 50 µL of Tris buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM

EGTA). After sarkosyl fractionation of brain homogenates, the sarkosyl-insoluble pellet

was resuspended in 10 µL of physiological saline, and 1.5 µL was applied onto collodion-

coated 200 mesh copper grids (Nisshin EM Co). Negative staining was performed with

2% phosphotungstic acid. Samples were examined using a transmission electron

microscope (JEM-1200EXII, JEOL) operated at an acceleration voltage of 80 kV. Images

were acquired at magnifications ranging from 12,000× to 200,000× using a CCD camera

(Veleta, JEOL) controlled with iTEM software (Olympus Soft Imaging Solutions). For

immuno-electron microscopy, purified tau filaments were adsorbed onto collodion-coated

200 mesh copper grids (Nisshin EM Co.) for 30 s, followed by blocking with PBS

containing 1% gelatin for 10 min and incubation with primary antibodies for 1 h. The

grids were then washed with blocking buffer and incubated with 10 nm gold-conjugated

anti-rabbit IgG or 5 nm gold-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (1:50 in blocking buffer) for 1 h

(Table S1, 2). After washing with water, the samples were negatively stained with 2%

PTA for 90 s[41].

Recombinant AAV system

A recombinant AAV system of the PHP.eB [42] serotype was used to express

human 1N4R MAPT carrying the P301L and S320F mutations. The expression cassette

consists of the human MAPT cDNA with the P301L/S320F mutation under the control of

the human synapsin I promoter, followed by a woodchuck hepatitis virus post-

transcriptional regulatory element (WPRE) and a polyadenylation signal. The expression

cassette was inserted into the pAAV-hSyn1-eYFP backbone (Addgene plasmid #117382)

[29]. The eYFP coding sequence was removed by digestion with EcoRI and KpnI, and

the MAPT insert was introduced using HiFi DNA Assembly (New England Biolabs). The

recombinant AAV viruses used for mouse injections were produced and purified by the

Department of Neurodegenerative Medicine, Gunma University Graduate School of

Medicine. Recombinant virus production was carried out in HEK293T cells using the

triple plasmids; pAAV-hSyn1-tau-WPRE, pHelper (Agilent Technologies), pAAV-

PHP.eB [43,44]. AAV-PHP.eB were produced using the ultracentrifugation method

described in a previous paper[43]. For *in vivo* experiments, recombinant viruses were

delivered to mice via the retro-orbital sinus at a titer of $2–5 \times 10^{11}$ vg per mouse.

Immunohistochemistry and image quantification

Immunohistochemical analyses were performed as previously described [45].

Stained sections were examined using fluorescence microscopy (BZ-X800, Keyence).

High-resolution tiled images of AT8, AT180, PHF-1, and pS396 were reconstructed by

stitching without compression, and exported using the Keyence analyzer package (BZ-X

series). For the quantitative analysis of protein associations with phospho-tau lesions, we

used a $20 \times$ objective lens. Regions of interest were manually selected and cropped from

the acquired images based on anatomical landmarks. The percentage of areas stained by

AT8, AT180, PHF-1, and pS396 in selected brain regions was quantified using the BZ-

X800 Analyzer Hybrid Cell Count Module (Keyence). Parameters were set to a threshold

of control and applied automatically to all images within the proper comparisons. To

minimize the influence of background staining and potential artifacts, AT8- or pS396-

positive regions exceeding 10,000 μm^2 in area were excluded from the quantification.

This threshold was set to filter out abnormally large signals likely due to non-specific

staining. Statistical analyses were conducted using R software (version 4.5.1). Group

means were compared using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by

Tukey's Honest Significant Difference (HSD) test for post-hoc analysis ($*p < 0.05$).

Sampling of mouse brains for western blotting

Fractionation of mouse brains for western blotting was performed as previously

described with some modifications [46]. Half brains were homogenized in 10 volumes of

homogenization buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM EDTA, 0.8 M

NaCl, 10% sucrose, supplemented with PhosSTOP (Sigma) and a protease inhibitor

cocktail (cOmplete™ ULTRA, 1 tablet/50 mL; Roche) using a HG-30 homogenizer. The

homogenates were ultracentrifuged at 168,000 × g for 20 min at 25 °C with an S55A2-

2698 rotor. Sarkosyl was then added to the supernatant at a final concentration of 2%,

followed by shaking at 37 °C for 30 min. After sonication, the samples were centrifuged

at 20,000 × g for 20 min at 25 °C with an S55A2-2698 rotor, and the resulting supernatant

was further ultracentrifuged at 168,000 × g for 20 min at 25 °C using an S55A2-2698

rotor. The pellet was resuspended in homogenization buffer containing 1% sarkosyl and

subjected to the same centrifugation cycle. The final pellet was resuspended in Tris buffer,

mixed with an equal volume of 2× SDS sample buffer, and boiled at 100 °C for 10 min

to obtain the sarkosyl-insoluble fraction. Supernatants obtained at each centrifugation

step were combined with sample buffer and used as sarkosyl-soluble fractions.

Extraction of the tau filaments from mouse brains

Mouse brains were weighed and homogenized in 10 volumes (w/v) of

homogenization buffer. Homogenization was performed using the HG-30 homogenizer

(Hitachi). The homogenates were centrifuged at 11,400 × g (SW41Ti rotor, Beckman

Coulter) for 10 min at room temperature, and the supernatants were collected. The

supernatants were then centrifuged at 183,000 × g (SW41Ti rotor) for 4 h at 25 °C. The

resulting pellets were resuspended in 1% sarkosyl solution prepared with half the volume

of the original tissue buffer and incubated at 37 °C for 60 min with shaking. After

incubation, the suspensions were centrifuged at 12,100 × g for 20 min at 25 °C (S55A2-

2698 rotor), and the supernatants were collected. These supernatants were further

centrifuged at 168,000 × g for 20 min at 25 °C (S55A2-2698 rotor), and the resulting

pellets were resuspended in 10 mL of tissue buffer. To remove contaminating components,

the suspension was treated with 0.2 mg/mL collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich), 2 mM $MgCl_2$,

0.5 mM $CaCl_2$, and 200 U/mL DNase I (Takara), followed by shaking at 200 rpm at 37 °C

for 1 h. After enzymatic digestion, the final concentration of NaCl was adjusted to 1 M,

and Triton X-100 was added to 0.5% (v/v). The mixture was further incubated at 37 °C

for 30 min with shaking. To precipitate proteins, three volumes of pre-chilled methanol

at –30 °C were added, and the samples were incubated for 30 min at –30 °C, followed by

centrifugation at 20,000 × g for 30 min at 4 °C. The resulting pellets were resuspended in

10 mL of 5% sarkosyl solution. Subsequently, the following fractionation steps were

repeated: centrifugation at 11,400 × g for 20 min at 25 °C to remove debris, followed by

centrifugation of the supernatants at 168,000 × g for 30 min at 25 °C (S55A2-2698 rotor).

The resulting pellets were resuspended in 2 mL of 5% sarkosyl solution, homogenized by

passing through a 25-gauge needle (Terumo), and sequentially treated with 2% and 1%

sarkosyl solutions in the same manner. At each step, the suspensions were mixed

thoroughly by pipetting (100 times) before centrifugation. Finally, the pellets obtained

were resuspended in 500 µL of 1% sarkosyl solution, homogenized again by pipetting,

and centrifuged at 168,000 × g for 30 min (S55A2-2698 rotor). The final pellets,

containing the sarkosyl-insoluble tau filaments, were collected and stored at –80 °C until

use.

Cryo-Electron Microscopy (cryo-EM)

Samples were resuspended in cryo-EM buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 1 mM DTT, 0.04% sarkosyl [47]). A 2 µl aliquot of the sample was applied to each side of freshly glow-discharged UltrAuFoil R1.2/1.3 300 mesh grids (Quantifoil Micro Tools GmbH). The grids were blotted from both sides for 4 seconds at 12°C under 100% humidity and subsequently plunge-frozen in liquid ethane using a Vitrobot Mark IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Images were recorded on a CRYO ARM 300 II (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan), operated at 300 kV, equipped with an Omega filter whose slit width was set to 20 eV, and a Gatan K3 direct electron detector in correlated-double sampling (CDS) mode. The nominal magnification was set to 60,000×, yielding a physical pixel size of 0.878 Å/pixel. Movies were acquired using the SerialEM software [48], with a target defocus range of -0.8~-1.8 µm. Each movie was recorded with a total electron dose of 50 e$^-$/ Å^2, divided into 50 frames.

Data processing of Cyro-EM data

Raw movie frames were motion-corrected, and the contrast transfer function (CTF) was estimated using the Patch CTF function on cryoSPARC v4.7.1[49]. Fibrils were automatically picked using the filament tracer tool, and segments were extracted with a box size of 420 pixels scaled to 300 pixels and an inter-box distance set to 10% of the box size. Several rounds of 2D classification were performed to exclude bad classes that lacked discernible helical twists and non-amyloid contaminants. Initial helical

parameters were estimated from 2D class averages with a box size of 1000 pixels scaled

to 750 pixels, and an initial reference was generated using the relion_helix_inimodel2d

program [50]. The helical refinement was performed using local helical parameter

searches in cryoSPARC. After further local and global CTF refinement, reference-based

motion correction, and 3D classification, the particle coordinates were converted into

Relion STAR format using UCSF pyem [51] with in-house modifications to convert

metadata generated by the filament tracer tool to Relion's counterparts. Relion v5.0

[52,53] was used for further CTF refinement and the 3D auto-refine with local helical

parameter searches.

Model building and refinement

An initial model was generated using ModelAngelo[53], and the model was

refined using Coot v0.9.8.1 [54], Phenix v1.21.2-5419 [55], and Servalcat v0.4.105 [56].

The refined models were validated using Phenix. Image rendering was performed using

UCSF ChimeraX [57] and MaskChains tool [58]. The schematic figures were produced

using atom2svg.py [59].

Result

P301L/S320F double-mutant tau induced tau aggregation in cultured cells.

In the human brain, six tau isoforms are generated by combinations of the

number of microtubule-binding repeats,3R or 4R, and the presence of 0, 1, or 2 N-

terminal insertions (Fig. S1).To partially recapitulate this isoform expression of the 3R

and 4R pattern, we generated HEK293A-derived cell lines that stably express the

representative 3R and 4R tau isoforms, 1N3R and 1N4R human tau. We then transfected

these cells with a plasmid encoding the 1N4R P301L/S320F mutant tau (Fig. 1a). Three

days after transfection, cells were collected and separated into sarkosyl-soluble and -

insoluble fractions (Fig. 1b). Western blot analysis showed that P301L/S320F double-

mutant tau was prominently detected in the sarkosyl-insoluble fraction, exhibiting a

higher signal compared with wild-type (WT) tau. This finding indicates that the

P301L/S320F mutation promoted the tau aggregation in cells, as previously described

(Fig. 1c, d). Furthermore, electron microscopy of the sarkosyl-insoluble fraction revealed

fibrillar structures with a twisted morphology and a diameter of approximately 20 nm

(Fig. 1e). Taken together, these findings suggest that P301L/S320F tau can promote

highly intracellular aggregation and filament formation.

AAV-PHP.eB–mediated delivery of P301L/S320F double mutant tau induces intracellular tau

aggregation in the mouse brain.

In AD, tau aggregation is known to occur subsequent to the accumulation of Aβ.

To model this coexisting Aβ and tau pathology *in vivo*, we employed *App*[NL-G-F]/*hMAPT*

double knock-in mice [30,32]. This mouse line carries a knock-in human *APP* allele harboring the Swedish (KM670/671NL), Iberian (I716F), and Arctic (E693G) mutations (App^{NL-G-F}), combined with a knock-in human *MAPT* (a.k.a., *hMAPT*) allele in which the endogenous mouse *Mapt* locus is replaced. In App^{NL-G-F} mice, age-dependent deposition of human Aβ begins around 2 months of age and reaches saturation by approximately 8 months. To induce tau aggregation under an Aβ-rich background, 9–11-month-old App^{NL-G-F}/*hMAPT* mice were intravenously injected via the retro-orbital sinus with a recombinant AAV-PHP.eB expressing human P301L/S320F double-mutant tau under the human synapsin I promoter. Control groups included mice injected with WT human tau and non-injected mice (Fig. 2a). After two months, the brains were collected, hemisected, and used for immunohistochemical and biochemical analyses (Fig. 2a). AT8 immunoreactivity, a marker of pathological tau phosphorylation [60], revealed prominent AT8-positive signals in the CA2 region of the hippocampus and in layer II of the cerebral cortex in mice expressing P301L/S320F double-mutant tau (Fig. 2b). Quantification confirmed significant AT8 accumulation in the medial parietal association area (MPtA) (Fig. 2c, d) and hippocampal CA2 (Fig. 2e, f). Additionally, other antibodies detecting the phosphorylation-dependent tau pathology, such as AT180 [61], anti-pS396 [62], and PHF-1 [60], likewise detected exclusively in mice expressing P301L/S320F tau (Fig. S2–4). Collectively, these findings indicate that P301L/S320F tau robustly induces the formation of phosphorylated tau aggregates *in vivo* without exogenous seeding.

Overexpressed P301L/S320F double-mutant tau in the mouse brain acts as a seed with strong aggregation propensity.

We then biochemically analyzed the right hemisphere of the brains from $App^{NL\text{-}G\text{-}F}$/$hMAPT$ mice injected with AAV expressing P301L/S320F tau by sequential sarkosyl fractionation and western blotting (Fig. 3b). Quantification of the amount of the aggregated tau in the sarkosyl-insoluble fraction relative to the soluble fraction was markedly increased in mice expressing P301L/S320F double-mutant tau compared with those expressing WT tau (Fig. 3c). Notably, endogenous 3R as well as 4R tau were also detected within the insoluble fraction. Furthermore, murine endogenous tau was also detected in the sarkosyl-insoluble tau fraction in mice expressing P301L/S320F double-mutant tau (Fig. S5a.b). Pellets from the sarkosyl-insoluble fractions were analyzed by negative-stain electron microscopy using phosphotungstic acid and by immuno-electron microscopy (Fig. 3d). Only in the P301L/S320F group, fibrillar structures were observed that were immunoreactive for TauC4 [8], AT8, and anti-pS396 antibodies (Fig. 3e-g). Finally, we assessed the seeding activity of brain lysates using BS cells [40]. After transfection of the mouse brain homogenates, the proportion of FRET-positive cells was measured by flow cytometry only in the P301L/S320F group. In contrast, WT tau and uninjected controls showed no detectable FRET signal (Fig. S6). These data suggested that the double-mutant tau acted as a seed for endogenous tau protein regardless of repeat numbers or species.

Structural analysis of tau filaments derived from mice expressing P301L/S320F mutant tau by

cryo-EM

To characterize the ultrastructure of tau assemblies formed *in vivo*, we analyzed

the sarkosyl-insoluble tau filaments isolated from the mouse brain expressing the

P301L/S320F double-mutant tau (PDB: 22JY) by cryo-EM (Fig. S7). The predominant

filaments consisted of a single helical filament type (Fig. 4a, b), and the final

reconstructed map reached a resolution of 2.24 Å. The filaments exhibited an approximate

diameter of 7 nm and a helical pitch of ~35 nm (Fig. 4a, b). The ordered core comprised

residues 279–329 of tau, forming five β-strands connected by loops and turns (Fig. 4c, d).

These β-strands corresponded to β1 (N279–S285), β2 (H299–L301), β3 (S305–Y310),

β4 (V319–C322), and β5 (L325–H329). The five β-strands were stacked to form a layered

β-sheet architecture that contributed to filament stabilization. The β-strands were linked

by flexible loops, giving the overall structure a gently curved, folded conformation (Fig.

4c, d).

In addition, three prominent extra densities protruding from the filament surface

were observed near lysine residues; although consistently positioned, their molecular

identities remain unclear (Fig. 5a, b). The pathogenic residues P301L and S320F were

each located within the second and fourth β-strands of the core, respectively. P301L

formed hydrophobic interactions with I328 (Fig. 5c), whereas S320F was buried within a

loop region, where its aromatic ring engaged in Z-axis π–π stacking that further stabilized

the filament. S320F additionally established hydrophobic contacts with Q307, V309,

P312, L315, and V318, likely increasing the stability of the entire loop structure (Fig. 5d).

These hydrophobic interactions are not present in WT tau, where P301 and S320

are normally solvent-exposed. Therefore, the incorporation of these residues into the

filament core represents a structural feature unique to the mutant filaments.

The structure of P301L/S320F mutant tau filaments was distinct from previously reported

patient-derived tau filament structures.

To compare the structure of the P301L/S320F mutant tau filaments obtained in

this study (magenta) with the structure of tau filaments derived from an FTDP-17 patient

carrying the P301L mutation (PDB 9GG0; cyan) [63], we performed structural alignment.

The results showed limited similarity, with an RMSD of 4.23 Å over 40 aligned residues

(Fig. 6a). In the patient-derived fold, the filament bends at the P301L position, whereas

in the P301L/S320F mutant, the filament bends at a different region. Moreover, in our

structure, a hydrophobic interaction between P301L and I328—absent in the patient

P301L fold—is observed. This is likely due to the S320F-induced folding of a unique

loop through extensive hydrophobic interactions, bringing P301L into proximity with

I328. The combined effects of P301L- and S320F-mediated interactions appear to rigidify

the L301–H329 region, enabling the formation of a second compact loop in which V306

and I308 interlock with L282, L284, and V287 (Fig. 5a, b).

In patients with FTDP-17 carrying the S320F mutation [25,26], Pick bodies

composed of 3R tau are observed as a characteristic pathology [64]. We therefore

compared our structure with the tau filament derived from PiD (PDB 6GX5; cyan)[65].

The residue numbering corresponds to the 441-aa human tau isoform, in which the R2

repeat (residues 275–305) is absent. The similarity was again low, with an RMSD of 3.87

Å over 42 residues (Fig. 6b).

Likewise, comparison with AD-derived PHFs (PDB 6HRE; cyan) [66] showed

similarity only in a short loop near S320 (RMSD = 2.09 Å over 16 residues), whereas the

overall fold was clearly distinct (Fig. 6c). Taken together, the fold obtained here is distinct

from previously reported tau filament structures, including the Pick fold, the three-lobed

fold, nor the Alzheimer PHF fold. Importantly, it also differs from the patient-derived

P301L fold, suggesting that the combination of P301L and S320F mutations stabilizes a

novel filament conformation not observed in any previously described human tauopathy.

Discussion

P301L/S320F double-mutant has already been established as a rapidly

developing tauopathy model characterized by aggregation of and accumulation of

phosphorylated tau in neuronal cells, NFT pathology, cognitive impairment, synaptic

protein abnormalities, and deficits in contextual memory [27]. In contrast, conventional

tau transgenic mice typically require more than 6 months to develop detectable tau tangles.

Therefore, AAV-mediated expression of P301L/S320F double-mutant tau can be regarded

as a much more robust model of tau pathology. We further demonstrate that peripheral

administration of the recombinant AAV-PHP.eB encoding P301L/S320F mutant tau

rapidly induced the neuronal intracellular aggregation and filament formation in mouse

brains without the injection of exogenous seeds to mouse brains. Owing to this

combination of mutations, P301L/S320F double-mutant tau provides an excellent and not

highly invasive tauopathy model in which tau pathology is markedly accelerated

following AAV delivery.

Our cryo-EM analysis revealed that the extracted filaments consisted
predominantly of single helical filaments, and the final reconstructed map reached a
resolution of 2.2 Å. The ordered core was composed of tau residues 279–329, in which
five β-sheets connected by loop and turn structures formed a compact three-folded
architecture. This fold accounted for 55.9% of all tau filaments with clearly resolved β-
sheets observed by cryo-EM. All filaments in which a defined helical pitch could be
confidently determined exhibited the structure (Fig. 5). The remaining 44.1 % of
filaments displayed a longer helical pitch or non-helical and disordered β-sheets, making
structural determination difficult. The fold observed for P301L/S320F mutant tau in this
study is distinct from the known tau folds reported in AD and PiD, nor from the structure
derived from P301L patient brains (Fig. 6). This indicates that the combination of P301L
and S320F generates a newly stabilized and unique three-dimensional conformation.
Such structural insights provide important clues for understanding the diversity of tau
filaments and the molecular basis of filament formation in tauopathies.

The S320F mutation is clinically associated with pathology resembling sporadic
PiD, in which both straight and twisted tau filaments have been reported. However,
whereas PiD inclusions are composed exclusively of 3R tau, the insoluble tau fraction
from S320F carriers contains both 3R and 4R tau isoforms [25]. Although S320F carriers
exhibit PiD-like straight filament formation and develop frontotemporal dementia, the
coexistence of 3R and 4R tau suggests that the underlying filament architecture in these
cases may differ from that of typical PiD, which is defined by the exclusive presence of
3R tau. Electron microscopy has further shown that the major tau species (~80%) form

straight filaments similar to those in AD [25,67]. *In vitro*, S320F tau has been shown to

increase the rate of tau nucleation, shorten the lag phase of aggregation, and promote the

formation of short protofibrils and oligomers [68,69], which may explain the ability of

this mutant to aggregate in the absence of preformed seeds [70]. Taking these previous

reports together with our structural data, S320F appears to form a stable loop through π–

π stacking between F320 aromatic rings along the Z-axis and hydrophobic interactions

with the surrounding residues Q307, V309, P312, L315, and V318. Because Q307, V309,

P312, L315, and V318 are shared by both 3R and 4R tau, tau carrying the S320F mutation

is likely to recruit both free 3R and 4R tau into the growing filaments. Thus, the loop

structure centered on S320F may accelerate amyloid assembly and core formation,

providing a mechanistic explanation for the presence of both 3R and 4R isoforms in the

insoluble tau fractions from S320F carriers. Furthermore, comparison of the S320F-

containing loop with the structure of tau filaments from PiD patients lacking this mutation

reveals similarities in the length and packing of the major hairpin-like arm around

residues 306–341 and in the packing of residues near position 320. Taken together, these

observations suggest that the S320F mutation itself, rather than tau isoform composition

alone, may contribute to the emergence of a PiD-like disease phenotype through its

unique structural effects, even in filaments containing both 3R and 4R tau isoforms.

However, among filaments with a clearly defined pitch, we did not observe a

robust fold that incorporated WT 3R or 4R tau. Such species likely belong to the

population of filaments with excessively long pitch and partially ordered β-sheets in

which structural determination was not feasible. This implies that, although S320F

promotes filament formation through loop stabilization, it may not by itself be sufficient

to generate highly ordered β-sheets within a short time frame in the model mouse. This

interpretation is consistent with previous observations that AAV-mediated expression of

S320F alone in neonatal ventricles does not produce substantial tau aggregation within

three months [27].

In the present study, the combination of P301L and S320F mutations generated

not only the S320F-dependent loop but also an additional hydrophobic interaction

between L301 and I328. This hydrophobic contact appears to rigidify the base of the

S320F-dependent loop, thereby defining a specific loop spanning 28 amino acids from

L301 to I328. Formation of this L301–I328 loop exposes the hydrophobic residues V306

and I308 on the outer surface of the loop, allowing L282, L284, V287, and Q288 to pack

against them and stabilize the three-folded architecture. Furthermore, interactions

between N286 and S289 are likely to support and lock in this folding pattern. Collectively,

these interactions contribute to the robust, compact three-folded structure observed for

P301L/S320F double-mutant tau.

One of the key advantages of our model is the rapid induction of tau pathology.

Whereas conventional models such as PS19 mice require more than 6 months for

detectable tau aggregation, our system recapitulated tau accumulation and filament

formation within 1–2 months. This should not be interpreted as a more faithful

reproduction of human pathology, but rather as a powerful experimental system that

enables rapid induction and analysis of tau aggregation. Because tau filament formation

in our model was induced within at most 2 months in mouse brains, it is plausible that we

captured a particularly stable and compact fold. WT 4R tau does not contain L301, and

3R tau lacks this region entirely; therefore, filaments that incorporate WT tau isoforms

are expected to adopt structures distinct from the fold identified here, and their maturation may require longer periods. Long-term observation will thus be necessary to elucidate how WT tau is incorporated and how these filaments structurally evolve.

This study has several limitations: although peripheral administration of a recombinant AAV-PHP.eB achieved widespread gene delivery throughout the brain, transduction efficiency varied among regions, and the distribution of tau aggregates largely mirrored the pattern of AAV infection. In addition, because the number of transduced cells was limited, we did not observe large-scale neurodegeneration such as overt brain atrophy or extensive neuronal loss. Future studies combining region-specific intracranial injections with strategies to further enhance transduction efficiency may allow stronger pathology and more widespread neurodegeneration to be modeled.

Taken together, our findings demonstrate that P301L/S320F mutant tau promotes aggregation and filament formation in the absence of exogenous seeds, and that the resulting fold is clearly distinct from previously reported tau structures. These results deepen our mechanistic understanding of tau aggregation and provide a structural framework for exploring the basis of tauopathies and for identifying potential therapeutic targets.

Conclusions

In this study, we established a non-invasive and rapid *in vivo* model of tau pathology by administering a recombinant AAV-PHP.eB from the periphery. Expression of the P301L/S320F double-mutant human tau in adult mouse brain induced robust tau

aggregation without the need for exogenous seeds, accompanied by phosphorylation patterns commonly observed in AD, including AT8, AT180, pSer396, and PHF-1. The concomitant detection of early (AT8 and AT180) and late (pSer396 and PHF-1) phosphorylation epitopes indicates that tau phosphorylation progresses in a stepwise manner, leading to the accumulation of filamentous tau species that have reached an advanced pathological maturation stage. Our cryo-EM analysis of sarkosyl-insoluble tau filaments demonstrated that the combined P301L and S320F mutations cooperatively remodel the tau core to generate a previously unreported filament fold distinct from known patient-derived structures. Together, these findings reveal the strong intrinsic aggregation propensity and unique fibrillization mechanism of P301L/S320F tau *in vivo*. The model presented here faithfully reproduces tau pathology on a background of humanized tau and Aβ deposition, providing a powerful platform for dissecting disease mechanisms and advancing therapeutic strategies for tauopathies.

524 **Abbreviations**

525   AAV: adeno-associated virus

526   AD: Alzheimer disease

527   APP: amyloid precursor protein

528   BS cells: biosensor cells

529   CA2: Cornu Ammonis 2

530   CFP: cyan fluorescent protein

531   cryo-EM: cryo-electron microscopy

532   DAPI: 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole

533   EM: electron microscopy

534   FRET: fluorescence resonance energy transfer

535   FTD: frontotemporal dementia

536   FTDP-17: frontotemporal dementia with Parkinsonism linked to chromosome 17

537   HEK293A: human embryonic kidney 293A

538   hMAPT: human microtubule-associated protein tau

539   MPtA: medial parietal association area

540   PBS: phosphate-buffered saline

541   RD: repeat domain (tau)

542   Sup: sarkosyl-soluble fraction

543   Pellet: sarkosyl-insoluble fraction

544   vg: viral genomes

545   WPRE: woodchuck hepatitis virus post-transcriptional regulatory element

546   WT: wild-type

 Figure Legends

 Figure 1. P301L/S320F double-mutant tau forms sarkosyl-insoluble tau filaments in cultured

 cells.

 a. Schematic representation of human 1N4R tau, showing the amino acid sequences of

 the microtubule-binding repeats (R1–R4), and the mutation sites P301L and S320F.

 b. Experimental design for assessing tau aggregation in HEK293A cells. Cells stably

 expressing 1N3R and 1N4R WT tau isoforms were transfected with plasmids encoding

 either 1N4R WT tau or 1N4R P301L/S320F double-mutant tau, followed by sarkosyl

 extraction to separate the soluble (Sup) and insoluble (Pellet) fractions.

 c. Western blot analysis of each fraction. Tau in the sarkosyl-insoluble fraction was

 prominently detected in the P301L/S320F group compared with the WT and empty-vector

 (EV) groups. GAPDH served as a loading control.

 d. Quantification of sarkosyl-insoluble tau normalized to soluble tau (mean ± SEM; n =

 3 per group). Statistical significance was evaluated using a two-tailed Welch's t-test. For

 all comparisons: $p < 0.05$ (*), ns = not significant.

 e. Resuspended sarkosyl-insoluble pellets obtained as in b were negatively stained with

 2% phosphotungstic acid (pH 7.0) and observed under a transmission electron microscope.

 Scale bar is 100 nm.

Figure 2. P301L/S320F tau induces phosphorylated tau aggregates in the brains of *App*[NL-G-]

[F]/*hMAPT* mice.

a. Experimental design. *App*[NL-G-F]/*hMAPT* double knock-in mice aged 9–11 months were

intravenously injected via the retro-orbital sinus with the recombinant AAV-PHP.eB

(2×10^{11} vg/mouse). Brains were collected 2 months after injection for histological analysis.

b. Immunostaining of brain hemispheres with AT8 antibody in mice expressing WT tau

or P301L/S320F via AAV-PHP.eB, and from non-injected control mice.

c. High-magnification images of the MPtA (boxed by a solid line) in b. Scale bars are 100

mm.

d. Quantification of AT8-positive area in the MPtA. Statistical significance was

determined by Welch's two-tailed *t*-test. Mean ± SEM, n = 3. *$p < 0.05$, ns = not

significant.

e. High-magnification images of the hippocampal CA2 region in b. Scale bars are 100

mm.

f. Quantification of AT8-positive area in the CA2 region. Statistical significance was

determined by Welch's two-tailed *t*-test. Mean ± SEM, n = 3. *$p < 0.05$, ns = not

significant.

Figure 3. Evaluation of tau aggregation propensity and seeding activity in mouse brains

expressing P301L/S320F tau

a. Experimental workflow. Following systemic administration of AAV-PHP.eB encoding

either WT or P301L/S320F tau, mouse brain hemispheres were fractionated into soluble

(Sup) and insoluble (Pellet) fractions by the sarkosyl extraction method.

b. Western blot analysis of each fraction.

c. Ratio of sarkosyl-insoluble to soluble tau (mean ± SEM; n = 3 per group), showing a

significant increase in the P301L/S320F group ($p < 0.05$). Statistical significance was

determined by Welch's two-tailed *t*-test.

d. Sarkosyl-insoluble pellets were negatively stained with 2% phosphotungstic acid (pH

7.0).

e-g. Filaments positive for TauC4 (e), AT8 (f), and pS396 (g) antibodies were observed

in the P301L/S320F group. Secondary antibodies used were 10 nm gold-conjugated anti-

rabbit IgG for TauC4 and pS396 and 5 nm gold-conjugated anti-mouse IgG for AT8.

Figure 4. Cryo-EM structure of P301L/S320F tau filaments extracted from mouse brains.

a. Representative cross-sections through the cryo-EM reconstruction of P301L/S320F tau

filaments, shown perpendicular to the helical axis with a projected thickness of

approximately one rung. The map resolution is 2.24 Å. Scale bar is 5 nm.

b. Three-dimensional reconstruction of the P301L/S320F tau filaments obtained by

helical reconstruction. Scale bar is 5 nm.

c. Amino acid sequence of human 1N4R tau (residues 255–367) corresponding to the

reconstructed region. The five β-strand regions (β1–β5) are indicated by green arrows.

Mutation sites P301L and S320F are shown in red.

d. Rendered view of the secondary structure elements in two successive rungs.

Figure 5. Local structural effects of P301L and S320F mutations on tau filaments.

a. Sharpened cryo-EM density map of the P301L/S320F tau filament with the atomic

model fitted. Major side chains are shown, and mutated residues L301 and F320 are

highlighted in red.

b. Schematic representation of the P301L/S320F tau filament fold. β-strands are indicated

by thick lines with arrowheads. Orange semicircles indicate additional densities observed

near the filament crossover region.

c. Structure surrounding the P301L mutation site. The L301 residue (red) is positioned

within the β-strand core and forms hydrophobic interactions with nearby residues,

including V300 and I297.

d. Structure surrounding the S320F mutation site. The F320 residue (red) is embedded

within the loop region and forms hydrophobic interactions with Q307, V309, P312, L315,

and V318, which likely contribute to the stabilization of the filament.

Figure 6. Comparison with previously reported patient-derived tau filament structures.

a. Structural comparison between P301L/S320F mouse tau filaments (magenta) and

P301L patient tau filaments (cyan, PDB: 9GG0), with an RMSD of 4.23 Å over 40

residues.

b. Structural comparison between P301L/S320F mouse tau filaments (magenta) and PiD

patient tau filaments (cyan, PDB: 6GX5), with an RMSD of 3.87 Å over 42 residues.

c. Structural comparison between P301L/S320F mouse tau filaments (magenta) and AD

patient tau filaments (cyan, PDB: 6HRE), with an RMSD of 2.09 Å over 16 residues.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the

Institutional Animal Care Committee of the Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences

at the University of Tokyo (protocol no. P3-20).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Cryo-EM maps have been deposited in the Electron Microscopy Data Bank

(EMDB) with the accession numbers EMD-68389 (P301L/S320F tau filament).

Corresponding refined atomic models have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank

(PDB) under accession numbers PDB: 22JY. The datasets used and analyzed during the

current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported by the Research Support Project for Life Science and Drug Discovery (Basis for Supporting Innovative Drug Discovery and Life Science Research (BINDS)) from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED) under Grant Number JP23dm0207073 (for T.T.), JP24wm0625303 (for T.T.), Moonshot Research and Development Program (JPMJMS2024-1 for T.T.) from the Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), KAKENHI (JP25KJ1009 for M.Ka., JP23H00394 for T.T., JP23K06112 for T.K.) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS), and the International Graduate Program of Innovation for Intelligent World (GCL/IIW for M.Ka.) from the University of Tokyo.

Authors' contributions

M.Ka performed cell and biochemical experiments, extracted filaments from mouse brains, analyzed the data, prepared figures, and wrote the original draft of the manuscript. T.K. supervised the biochemical experiments and provided technical guidance. H.Y. conducted cryo-EM imaging, performed structural reconstruction, and assisted in figure preparation. L.T. contributed to the optimization of experimental conditions for cell-based and mouse experiments. K.Y. performed immunohistochemical staining of mouse brain sections. A.S. carried out quantitative analyses of Western blot and immunohistochemical staining data. X.L. extracted tau filaments from cultured cells. S.T. constructed the P301L/S320F tau plasmid and established the AAV-PHP.eB systemic delivery protocol in mice. T.C.S. and T.S. established and provided $App^{NL\text{-}G\text{-}F}/hMAPT$

double knock-in mice. M.Ki supervised the structural analysis and provided critical input

on the manuscript. T.T. conceived and supervised the overall project, secured funding,

and served as the corresponding author.

Acknowledgements

AAV production and purification were performed by the Department of

Neurophysiology & Neural Repair, Gunma University Graduate School of Medicine,

Gunma University (supported by JP21dm0207111). The authors are grateful to the

Feinstein Institutes for Medical Research (Dr. Philippe Marambaud and Dr. Jeremy

Koppel) for providing the PHF-1 antibody, originally developed by Dr. Peter Davies at

Albert Einstein College of Medicine [71]. We acknowledge Viviana Gradinaru and

Benjamin Deverman for their pioneering work in developing the AAV-PHP.eB capsid [42].

References

1. Goedert M, Spillantini MG, Jakes R, Rutherford D, Crowther RA. Multiple isoforms of human microtubule-associated protein tau: sequences and localization in neurofibrillary tangles of Alzheimer's disease. Neuron. 1989;3:519–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(89)90210-9

2. Kimura T, Sato H, Kano M, Tatsumi L, Tomita T. Novel aspects of the phosphorylation and structure of pathological tau: implications for tauopathy biomarkers. FEBS Open Bio. 2024;14:181–93. https://doi.org/10.1002/2211-5463.13667

3. McMillan P, Korvatska E, Poorkaj P, Evstafjeva Z, Robinson L, Greenup L, et al. Tau isoform regulation is region and cell-specific in mouse brain. J Comp Neurol. 2008;511:788–803. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.21867

4. Gustke N, Steiner B, Mandelkow E-M, Biernat J, Meyer H e., Goedert M, et al. The Alzheimer-like phosphorylation of tau protein reduces microtubule binding and involves Ser-Pro and Thr-Pro motifs. FEBS Lett. 1992;307:199–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(92)80767-B

5. Poorkaj P, Bird TD, Wijsman E, Nemens E, Garruto RM, Anderson L, et al. Tau is a candidate gene for chromosome 17 frontotemporal dementia. Ann Neurol. 1998;43:815–25. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.410430617

6. Hutton M, Lendon CL, Rizzu P, Baker M, Froelich S, Houlden H, et al. Association of missense and 5′-splice-site mutations in tau with the inherited dementia FTDP-17. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 1998;393:702–5. https://doi.org/10.1038/31508

7. Goedert M, Spillantini MG, Cairns NJ, Crowther RA. Tau proteins of alzheimer paired helical filaments: Abnormal phosphorylation of all six brain isoforms. Neuron. 1992;8:159–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(92)90117-V

8. Fitzpatrick AWP, Falcon B, He S, Murzin AG, Murshudov G, Garringer HJ, et al. Cryo-EM structures of tau filaments from Alzheimer's disease. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2017;547:185–90. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23002

9. Scheres SH, Zhang W, Falcon B, Goedert M. Cryo-EM structures of tau filaments.

Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2020;64:17–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2020.05.011

10. Shi Y, Zhang W, Yang Y, Murzin AG, Falcon B, Kotecha A, et al. Structure-based
classification of tauopathies. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2021;598:359–63.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03911-7

11. Braak H, Braak E. Neuropathological stageing of Alzheimer-related changes. Acta
Neuropathol (Berl). 1991;82:239–59. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00308809

12. Arriagada PV, Growdon JH, Hedley-Whyte ET, Hyman BT. Neurofibrillary tangles
but not senile plaques parallel duration and severity of Alzheimer's disease.
Neurology. Wolters Kluwer; 1992;42:631–631.
https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.42.3.631

13. Morishima-Kawashima M, Hasegawa M, Takio K, Suzuki M, Titani K, Ihara Y.
Ubiquitin is conjugated with amino-terminally processed tau in paired helical
filaments. Neuron. 1993;10:1151–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(93)90063-w

14. Dale GE, Leigh PN, Luthert P, Anderton BH, Roberts GW. Neurofibrillary tangles in
dementia pugilistica are ubiquitinated. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. BMJ
Publishing Group Ltd; 1991;54:116–8. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.54.2.116

15. Cripps D, Thomas SN, Jeng Y, Yang F, Davies P, Yang AJ. Alzheimer disease-
specific conformation of hyperphosphorylated paired helical filament-Tau is
polyubiquitinated through Lys-48, Lys-11, and Lys-6 ubiquitin conjugation. J Biol
Chem. 2006;281:10825–38. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M512786200

16. Cohen TJ, Guo JL, Hurtado DE, Kwong LK, Mills IP, Trojanowski JQ, et al. The
acetylation of tau inhibits its function and promotes pathological tau aggregation. Nat
Commun. 2011;2:252. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1255

17. Cook C, Carlomagno Y, Gendron TF, Dunmore J, Scheffel K, Stetler C, et al.
Acetylation of the KXGS motifs in tau is a critical determinant in modulation of tau
aggregation and clearance. Hum Mol Genet. 2014;23:104–16.
https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddt402

18. Dorval V, Fraser PE. Small Ubiquitin-like Modifier (SUMO) Modification of
Natively Unfolded Proteins Tau and α-Synuclein *. J Biol Chem. Elsevier;

2006;281:9919–24. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M510127200

19. Yokoyama M, Kobayashi H, Tatsumi L, Tomita T. Mouse Models of Alzheimer's
Disease. Front Mol Neurosci [Internet]. Frontiers; 2022 [cited 2025 June 26];15.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2022.912995

20. Clavaguera F, Akatsu H, Fraser G, Crowther RA, Frank S, Hench J, et al. Brain
homogenates from human tauopathies induce tau inclusions in mouse brain. Proc
Natl Acad Sci. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences; 2013;110:9535–
40. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1301175110

21. Iba M, Guo JL, McBride JD, Zhang B, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VM-Y. Synthetic Tau
Fibrils Mediate Transmission of Neurofibrillary Tangles in a Transgenic Mouse
Model of Alzheimer's-Like Tauopathy. J Neurosci. Society for Neuroscience;
2013;33:1024–37. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2642-12.2013

22. Peeraer E, Bottelbergs A, Van Kolen K, Stancu I-C, Vasconcelos B, Mahieu M, et al.
Intracerebral injection of preformed synthetic tau fibrils initiates widespread
tauopathy and neuronal loss in the brains of tau transgenic mice. Neurobiol Dis.
2015;73:83–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2014.08.032

23. Terwel D, Lasrado R, Snauwaert J, Vandeweert E, Van Haesendonck C, Borghgraef
P, et al. Changed Conformation of Mutant Tau-P301L Underlies the Moribund
Tauopathy, Absent in Progressive, Nonlethal Axonopathy of Tau-4R/2N Transgenic
Mice*. J Biol Chem. 2005;280:3963–73. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M409876200

24. Wang K-W, Zhang G, Kuo M-H. Frontotemporal Dementia P301L Mutation
Potentiates but Is Not Sufficient to Cause the Formation of Cytotoxic Fibrils of Tau.
Int J Mol Sci. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute; 2023;24:14996.
https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms241914996

25. Rosso SM, Van Herpen E, Deelen W, Kamphorst W, Severijnen L-A, Willemsen R,
et al. A novel tau mutation, S320F, causes a tauopathy with inclusions similar to
those in Pick's disease. Ann Neurol. 2002;51:373–6.
https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.10140

26. Chen D, Bali S, Singh R, Wosztyl A, Mullapudi V, Vaquer-Alicea J, et al. FTD-tau
S320F mutation stabilizes local structure and allosterically promotes amyloid motif-

dependent aggregation. Nat Commun. 2023;14:1625. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-
023-37274-6

27. Koller EJ, Gonzalez De La Cruz E, Machula T, Ibanez KR, Lin W-L, Williams T, et
al. Combining P301L and S320F tau variants produces a novel accelerated model of
tauopathy. Hum Mol Genet. 2019;28:3255–69. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddz151

28. Deverman BE, Pravdo PL, Simpson BP, Kumar SR, Chan KY, Banerjee A, et al.
Cre-dependent selection yields AAV variants for widespread gene transfer to the
adult brain. Nat Biotechnol. Nature Publishing Group; 2016;34:204–9.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3440

29. Challis RC, Ravindra Kumar S, Chan KY, Challis C, Beadle K, Jang MJ, et al.
Systemic AAV vectors for widespread and targeted gene delivery in rodents. Nat
Protoc. Nature Publishing Group; 2019;14:379–414. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-
018-0097-3

30. Saito T, Mihira N, Matsuba Y, Sasaguri H, Hashimoto S, Narasimhan S, et al.
Humanization of the entire murine Mapt gene provides a murine model of
pathological human tau propagation. J Biol Chem. 2019;294:12754–65.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.009487

31. Liu F, Gong C-X. Tau exon 10 alternative splicing and tauopathies. Mol
Neurodegener. 2008;3:8. https://doi.org/10.1186/1750-1326-3-8

32. Saito T, Matsuba Y, Mihira N, Takano J, Nilsson P, Itohara S, et al. Single App
knock-in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. Nat Neurosci. Nature Publishing
Group; 2014;17:661–3. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3697

33. Cai T, Morishima K, Takagi-Niidome S, Tominaga A, Tomita T. Conformational
Dynamics of Transmembrane Domain 3 of Presenilin 1 Is Associated with the
Trimming Activity of γ-Secretase. J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci. 2019;39:8600–10.
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0838-19.2019

34. Araki M, Ito K, Takatori S, Ito G, Tomita T. BORCS6 is involved in the enlargement
of lung lamellar bodies in Lrrk2 knockout mice. Hum Mol Genet. 2021;30:1618–31.
https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddab146

35. Tomita T, Maruyama K, Saido TC, Kume H, Shinozaki K, Tokuhiro S, et al. The

presenilin 2 mutation (N141I) linked to familial Alzheimer disease (Volga German

families) increases the secretion of amyloid beta protein ending at the 42nd (or 43rd)

residue. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997;94:2025–30.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.5.2025

36. Kanatsu K, Morohashi Y, Suzuki M, Kuroda H, Watanabe T, Tomita T, et al.

Decreased CALM expression reduces Aβ42 to total Aβ ratio through clathrin-

mediated endocytosis of γ-secretase. Nat Commun. 2014;5:3386.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4386

37. Lee VM, Balin BJ, Otvos L, Trojanowski JQ. A68: a major subunit of paired helical

filaments and derivatized forms of normal Tau. Science. 1991;251:675–8.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1899488

38. Lövestam S, Schweighauser M, Matsubara T, Murayama S, Tomita T, Ando T, et al.

Seeded assembly in vitro does not replicate the structures of α-synuclein filaments

from multiple system atrophy. FEBS Open Bio. 2021;11:999–1013.

https://doi.org/10.1002/2211-5463.13110

39. Tarutani A, Miyata H, Nonaka T, Hasegawa K, Yoshida M, Saito Y, et al. Human

tauopathy-derived tau strains determine the substrates recruited for templated

amplification. Brain J Neurol. 2021;144:2333–48.

https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awab091

40. Holmes BB, Furman JL, Mahan TE, Yamasaki TR, Mirbaha H, Eades WC, et al.

Proteopathic tau seeding predicts tauopathy in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.

2014;111:E4376-4385. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1411649111

41. Tarutani A, Lövestam S, Zhang X, Kotecha A, Robinson AC, Mann DMA, et al.

Cryo-EM structures of tau filaments from SH-SY5Y cells seeded with brain extracts

from cases of Alzheimer's disease and corticobasal degeneration. FEBS Open Bio.

2023;13:1394–404. https://doi.org/10.1002/2211-5463.13657

42. Chan KY, Jang MJ, Yoo BB, Greenbaum A, Ravi N, Wu W-L, et al. Engineered

AAVs for efficient noninvasive gene delivery to the central and peripheral nervous

systems. Nat Neurosci. 2017;20:1172–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4593

43. Konno A, Hirai H. Efficient whole brain transduction by systemic infusion of
minimally purified AAV-PHP.eB. J Neurosci Methods. 2020;346:108914.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2020.108914

44. Shinohara Y, Konno A, Nitta K, Matsuzaki Y, Yasui H, Suwa J, et al. Effects of
Neutralizing Antibody Production on AAV-PHP.B-Mediated Transduction of the
Mouse Central Nervous System. Mol Neurobiol. 2019;56:4203–14.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-018-1366-4

45. Iguchi A, Takatori S, Kimura S, Muneto H, Wang K, Etani H, et al. INPP5D
modulates TREM2 loss-of-function phenotypes in a β-amyloidosis mouse model.
iScience. 2023;26:106375. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2023.106375

46. Kimura T, Hosokawa T, Taoka M, Tsutsumi K, Ando K, Ishiguro K, et al.
Quantitative and combinatory determination of in situ phosphorylation of tau and its
FTDP-17 mutants. Sci Rep. Nature Publishing Group; 2016;6:33479.
https://doi.org/10.1038/srep33479

47. Yadav S, Vinothkumar KR. Factors affecting macromolecule orientations in thin
films formed in cryo-EM. Acta Crystallogr Sect Struct Biol. 2024;80:535–50.
https://doi.org/10.1107/S2059798324005229

48. Schorb M, Haberbosch I, Hagen WJH, Schwab Y, Mastronarde DN. Software tools
for automated transmission electron microscopy. Nat Methods. Nature Publishing
Group; 2019;16:471–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0396-9

49. Punjani A, Rubinstein JL, Fleet DJ, Brubaker MA. cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid
unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. Nat Methods. Nature Publishing
Group; 2017;14:290–6. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4169

50. Scheres SHW. Amyloid structure determination in RELION-3.1. Acta Crystallogr
Sect Struct Biol. International Union of Crystallography; 2020;76:94–101.
https://doi.org/10.1107/S2059798319016577

51. Asarnow D, Palovcak E, Cheng Y. UCSF pyem v0.5 [Internet]. 2019.
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3576630

52. He S, Scheres SHW. Helical reconstruction in RELION. J Struct Biol.

2017;198:163–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2017.02.003

53. Jamali K, Käll L, Zhang R, Brown A, Kimanius D, Scheres SHW. Automated model
building and protein identification in cryo-EM maps. Nature. Nature Publishing
Group; 2024;628:450–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07215-4

54. Emsley P, Cowtan K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta
Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. International Union of Crystallography;
2004;60:2126–32. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444904019158

55. Liebschner D, Afonine PV, Baker ML, Bunkóczi G, Chen VB, Croll TI, et al.
Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and electrons: recent
developments in Phenix. Acta Crystallogr Sect Struct Biol. International Union of
Crystallography; 2019;75:861–77. https://doi.org/10.1107/S2059798319011471

56. Yamashita K, Palmer CM, Burnley T, Murshudov GN. Cryo-EM single-particle
structure refinement and map calculation using Servalcat. Acta Crystallogr Sect
Struct Biol. International Union of Crystallography; 2021;77:1282–91.
https://doi.org/10.1107/S2059798321009475

57. Meng EC, Goddard TD, Pettersen EF, Couch GS, Pearson ZJ, Morris JH, et al.
UCSF ChimeraX: Tools for structure building and analysis. Protein Sci.
2023;32:e4792. https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.4792

58. Hoq MR, Fernandez A, Vago FS, Hallinan GI, Bharath SR, Li D, et al. Cryo-EM
structures of cotton wool plaques' amyloid β and of tau filaments in dominantly
inherited Alzheimer disease. Acta Neuropathol (Berl). 2024;148:20.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-024-02786-y

59. Nakane, T. atom2svg [Internet]. 2020. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4090925

60. Mercken M, Vandermeeren M, Lübke U, Six J, Boons J, Van de Voorde A, et al.
Monoclonal antibodies with selective specificity for Alzheimer Tau are directed
against phosphatase-sensitive epitopes. Acta Neuropathol (Berl). 1992;84:265–72.
https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00227819

61. Goedert M, Jakes R, Crowther RA, Cohen P, Vanmechelen E, Vandermeeren M, et
al. Epitope mapping of monoclonal antibodies to the paired helical filaments of

Alzheimer's disease: identification of phosphorylation sites in tau protein. Biochem

J. 1994;301 (Pt 3):871–7. https://doi.org/10.1042/bj3010871

62. Bramblett GT, Goedert M, Jakes R, Merrick SE, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VM.

Abnormal tau phosphorylation at Ser396 in Alzheimer's disease recapitulates

development and contributes to reduced microtubule binding. Neuron.

1993;10:1089–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(93)90057-x

63. Schweighauser M, Shi Y, Murzin AG, Garringer HJ, Vidal R, Murrell JR, et al.

Distinct tau filament folds in human MAPT mutants P301L and P301T. Nat Struct

Mol Biol. 2025;32:1470–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-025-01575-9

64. Heston LL, Mastri AR. Age at Onset of Pick's and Alzheimer's Dementia:

Implications for Diagnosis and Research. J Gerontol. 1982;37:422–4.

https://doi.org/10.1093/geronj/37.4.422

65. Falcon B, Zhang W, Murzin AG, Murshudov G, Garringer HJ, Vidal R, et al.

Structures of filaments from Pick's disease reveal a novel tau protein fold. Nature.

Nature Publishing Group; 2018;561:137–40. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-

0454-y

66. Falcon B, Zhang W, Schweighauser M, Murzin AG, Vidal R, Garringer HJ, et al.

Tau filaments from multiple cases of sporadic and inherited Alzheimer's disease

adopt a common fold. Acta Neuropathol (Berl). 2018;136:699–708.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-018-1914-z

67. Rosso SM, Kaat LD, Baks T, Joosse M, de Koning I, Pijnenburg Y, et al.

Frontotemporal dementia in The Netherlands: patient characteristics and prevalence

estimates from a population-based study. Brain. 2003;126:2016–22.

https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awg204

68. Combs B, Gamblin TC. FTDP-17 tau mutations induce distinct effects on

aggregation and microtubule interactions. Biochemistry. 2012;51:8597–607.

https://doi.org/10.1021/bi3010818

69. Bardai FH, Wang L, Mutreja Y, Yenjerla M, Gamblin TC, Feany MB. A Conserved

Cytoskeletal Signaling Cascade Mediates Neurotoxicity of FTDP-17 Tau Mutations

In Vivo. J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci. 2018;38:108–19.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1550-17.2017

70. Strang KH, Croft CL, Sorrentino ZA, Chakrabarty P, Golde TE, Giasson BI. Distinct
differences in prion-like seeding and aggregation between Tau protein variants
provide mechanistic insights into tauopathies. J Biol Chem. 2018;293:2408–21.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M117.815357

71. Greenberg SG, Davies P, Schein JD, Binder LI. Hydrofluoric acid-treated tau PHF
proteins display the same biochemical properties as normal tau. J Biol Chem.
1992;267:564–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)48531-6

Figures

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

a

b

5 nm

5 nm

c

```
 1        255
MAE . . . . NVKSKIGSTENLKHQPGGGKVQIINKKLDLSNVQSQSKCGS
 279        285            293
                                          ────β1────▶
```

```
294    299 301    305      310        319 320 322  325    329        341
KDNIKHVLGGGSVQIVYKPVDLSKVTFKCGSLGNIHHKPGGGQVEVKS
       ──β2──   ──β3──▶           ─β4─  ──β5──▶
```

```
342                          367        441
EKLDFKDRVQSKIGSLDNITHVPGGG. . . . .GL
```

d

299 301

945 **Fig. 5**

a

P301L patient(9GG0)
P301L/S320F mouse

L301

F320

L301

RMSD = 4.23 Å

b

PiD patient(6GX5)
P301L/S320F mouse

S320

F320

L301

RMSD = 3.87 Å

c

AD patient(6HRE)
P301L/S320F mouse

S320

F320

L301

RMSD = 2.09 Å

948 **Fig. 6**