Loss of ABAP1 INTERACTING PROTEIN 10 (AIP10) enhances colonization by
beneficial bacteria in the native root microbiome and following bioinoculant application
in *Arabidopsis thaliana*

Maria Clara de Oliveira Urquiaga[1±], Helkin Giovani Forero Ballesteros[1,2±], João Victor Silva[1],
Patrícia Montessoro[1,2], Sara Gawantka Evangelista[1], Adriana Silva Hemerly[1*]

1- Laboratório de Biologia Molecular de Plantas, Instituto de Bioquímica Médica Leopoldo de
Meis, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil
2- Hapiseeds Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento LTDA, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil (Present address)

± These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship.
* Author for correspondence: hemerly.adriana@gmail.com

Email address for each author:
1. Maria Clara de Oliveira Urquiaga: mariaclara.urquiaga@gmail.com (ORCID: 0000-
0001-9539-6543)
2. Helkin Giovani F. Ballesteros: helfos85@gmail.com (ORCID: 0000-0001-5365-1841)
3. João Victor Silva de Oliveira: jvsilvaolivera.2001@gmail.com (ORCID: 0009-0000-
4657-0242)
4. Patricia Montessoro: patriciamontessoro@gmail.com (ORCID:0000-0001-7541-7714)
5. Sara Gawantka Evangelista: saragawantka@gmail.com (ORCID:0009-0008-9133-
5856)
6. Adriana S. Hemerly: hemerly.adriana@gmail.com (ORCID: 0000-0003-3748-7118)

29
30

Abstract

Sustaining high agricultural productivity with minimal environmental impact requires innovative and sustainable strategies that reduce reliance on mineral fertilizers. To enhance root colonization by plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB), either within the native microbiome or through bioinoculant application, represents a promising strategy to improve crop performance while reducing mineral fertilizer use. However, their effectiveness largely depends on plant genetic factors that regulate microbial recruitment and colonization. Here, we tested whether silencing the ABAP1 Interacting Protein (AIP10), a negative regulator that links cell division with primary metabolism, modulates the association of Arabidopsis thaliana to PGPB. Transcriptome profiling of aip10-1 knockout mutant revealed extensive reprogramming of metabolic pathways associated with plant-microbe interactions. Bacterial community composition was reshaped in aip10-1 root microbiome, favoring the association with specific plant growth-promoting taxa. Under low fertilization, aip10-1 plants showed enhanced responsiveness to bioinoculants, with increased biomass accumulation and reproductive performance. These effects were accompanied by modulation of plant nitrogen uptake and assimilation pathways, including genes involved in nitrate transport and ammonium incorporation, together with increased bacterial colonization. Finally, we propose that AIP10 acts as a regulatory node coordinating growth, metabolism, and microbial recruitment, thereby contributing to improved plant performance and functional integration with beneficial bacteria.

Keywords: beneficial association, nitrogen, biological nitrogen fixation, cell cycle regulator, microbiome, ABAP1

1. Introduction

Global population growth has increased the demand for agricultural products, including food, bioenergy, and other bioproducts, while climate change negatively impacts agricultural productivity. To sustain high yields while protecting the environment, modern agriculture must develop innovative and sustainable technologies that reduce environmental impacts, particularly those associated with the excessive use of chemical fertilizers, which contribute to increased greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (Tilman et al., 2011). In parallel, nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) is estimated to remain below 50% for many crop species (Mueller et al., 2019; Govindasamy et al., 2023). Bioinoculants based on non-nodulating Plant Growth Promoting Bacteria (PGPB) represent a sustainable alternative to mineral fertilization, as they increase nutrients availability, improving soil fertility mainly through biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) and phosphate solubilization (Carvalho et al., 2016; Omara et al., 2019; Zuluaga et al., 2020; Favero et al., 2021; Pereira et al., 2021; Rodrigues et al., 2022; Galindo et al., 2024). Furthermore, PGPB can stimulate plant development by synthesizing phytohormones, modulating antioxidant production, and altering the expression of defense-related genes, thereby enhancing plant tolerance to both biotic and abiotic stresses (Vargas *et al.*, 2014; Fukami *et al.*, 2017; Filgueiras *et al.*, 2020; Moretti *et al.*, 2021; Nunes *et al.*, 2021; Orozco-Mosqueda *et al.*, 2023).

Agriculturally important non-nodulating monocot crops, such as maize, rice and sugarcane have shown beneficial responses to inoculation with bioinoculants based on non-nodulating diazotrophic bacteria (Hardoim et al., 2020; Pankievicz et al., 2021; Carvalho et al., 2022; Ferrarezi et al., 2022; Tian et al., 2022; Fonseca et al., 2025). Although FBN in these crops is less efficient than in legumes, numerous studies have demonstrated that bacterial inoculation significantly increases grain yield and biomass with BNF contributing up to 37% of the total nitrogen in maize (Alves *et al.*, 2014; Di Salvo *et al.*, 2018; dos Santos *et al.*, 2020; Aquino *et al.*, 2021; Dias *et al.*, 2021). The use of PGPB-based bioinoculants represents a promising strategy for developing more resilient and productive crops. However, their efficient application still faces major challenges. The success of these interactions depends on both biotic and abiotic environmental factors, as well as on the host plant genotype by modulation of plant gene expression (Carvalho *et al.*, 2011, 2014; Vargas *et al.*, 2012; Rosman *et al.*, 2024). This modulation allows the host plant to recognize the bacterial strain as a beneficial partner and, in turn, regulate plant gene expression to facilitate PGPB colonization, thereby directly or indirectly activating plant pathways that promote plant growth (Carvalho *et al.*, 2011; Do

Amaral *et al.*, 2014; Espindula *et al.*, 2019; Hardoim *et al.*, 2020; Ballesteros *et al.*, 2021;

Pankievicz *et al.*, 2021; Thiebaut *et al.*, 2022; Rosman *et al.*, 2024).

Several studies have shown that alterations in plant genes can influence the assembly

of root-associated bacterial communities, indicating that root microbiome composition is

strongly shaped by plant genotype (Zang *et al.,* 2023). Modulation of defense-related pathways,

such as salicylic acid signaling, has been reported to affect the recruitment of specific bacterial

groups in the rhizosphere (Lebeis *et al.*, 2015). Likewise, genes involved in key signaling

modules including the PHR1–RALF–FERONIA pathway have been implicated in shaping

root-associated microbial communities with distinct functional capacities (Tang *et al.*, 2022).

Additionally, genes regulating the production of root-derived metabolites, such as coumarins,

contribute to differences in microbial composition and the enrichment of plant growth–

promoting taxa (Stringlis *et al.*, 2018). Together, these studies highlight that genetic variation

in plants, including reductions in gene activity, can play a significant role in determining the

structure and function of the root microbiome.

Plant plasticity, which allows the modulation of plant growth in response to different

environmental cues, including association with PGPB that enhance plant biomass and

productivity. Ultimately, biomass increases are driven by higher rates the regulation of cell

division rates (Qi and Zhang, 2020; Pierik *et al.*, 2021; Zluhan-Martínez *et al.*, 2021). Recently,

our group described a novel cell cycle regulator, the ABAP1 Interacting Protein 10 (AIP10),

which acts in a central hub integrating cell division rates with transcriptional reprogramming

of basal metabolism (Montessoro *et al.*, 2025). This role is mediated by AIP10 protein

interactions with the Armadillo BTB Arabidopsis protein 1 (ABAP1), a negative regulator of

the cell cycle in plants, and with KIN10, a catalytic subunit of the Sucrose non-fermenting-1-

related protein kinase 1 (SnRK1) (Masuda *et al.*, 2008; Montessoro *et al.*, 2025). In *Arabidopsis*

thaliana, aip10-1 knockout mutant plants exhibited increased cell division rates, resulting in

enhanced shoot and root vegetative growth, as well as higher numbers of silique and seed

production (Montessoro *et al.*, 2025). In addition, reduced *AIP10* expression triggered a major

transcriptional reprogramming of plant primary metabolism mediated by SnRK1, leading to

increased photosynthetic and water use efficiency and greater carbon fixation that met plant

energy demands for increased biomass accumulation, and higher contents of proteins, lipids

and carbohydrates (Montessoro *et al.*, 2025).

Developing strategies that enhance plant-bacteria interaction is a promising approach

to increasing agricultural productivity and promoting more sustainable agriculture. *Arabidopsis*

thaliana was already used as a model system to assess developmental responses and proteomic

changes triggered by association with PGPB, such as endophytic diazotrophic bacteria (Cohen *et al.*, 2015; Souza *et al.*, 2016; Leandro *et al.*, 2019; Dos Santos *et al.*, 2020). Here, we evaluated the role of *AIP10* in plant interactions with PGPB, both within the native root microbiota and following inoculation with PGPB-based inoculants. The previously described *A. thaliana aip10-1* full knockout mutant was used as a model and analyzed using complementary approaches, including gene expression profiling by RT-qPCR and RNAseq transcriptome data; root and soil microbiome characterization by metabarcoding; PGPB inoculation followed by quantification and localization using confocal microscopy; and comprehensive plant phenotyping. In this work, we showed that *AIP10* expression is downregulated in sugarcane and in *A. thaliana* by successful PGPB colonization. The transcriptional profile of *aip10-1* roots resembled signatures reported in genotypes with enhanced capacity to interact with beneficial microorganisms. Loss of AIP10 function in *A. thaliana* reshaped root-associated bacterial communities, promoting the recruitment of specific beneficial PGPB species, while being depleted in potentially pathogenic taxa. Consistently, *aip10-1* plants showed better colonization by the PGPB *Herbaspirillum seropedicae* and *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus,* leading to increased vegetative and reproductive growth. Moreover, *aip10-1* plants exhibited improved responses to bioinoculants under low fertilizer conditions, accumulating biomass comparable to that of non-inoculated mutants grown under high fertilizer input. Taken together, our findings suggest that silencing *AIP10* expression represents a promising strategy to enhance PGPB colonization in the root microbiome or in response to bioinoculants, and to improve nutrient use efficiency under reduced chemical fertilization.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions

For plant inoculation, the diazotrophic bacterial strain *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* PAL5 DsRed, labeled with red fluorescent protein and *Herbaspirillum seropedicae* RAM10, labeled with green fluorescent protein, kindly provided by Dr. Gonçalo Apolinário de Souza Filho (State University of Norte Fluminense Darcy Ribeiro), and *Herbaspirillum seropedicae* HRC54 (BR 11335), obtained from EMBRAPA Agrobiologia (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), were used. Additionally, the commercial biofertilizer BioFree® (liquid formulation), supplied by BioFROST and registered with the Brazilian Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Food Supply (MAPA, No. PR001593-8.000001), containing *Azospirillum*

brasilense Ab-V6 and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* CCTB03 (1×10^{11} CFU/L), was employed in

the assays. *G. diazotrophicus* PAL5 DsRed was cultured in LGI-P medium (100 g/L granulated

sugar; 2 mL/L K_2HPO sol. 10%; 6mL/L KH_2PO_4 sol. 10%; 2 mL/L $MgSO_4.7H_2O$ sol. 10%; 2

mL/L $CaCl_2.2H_2O$ sol. 1%; 1 ml/L $FeCl_3.6H_2O$ sol. 1%; 2 mL/L $Na_2MoO_4.2H_2O$ sol. 0.1%; 5

mL/L bromothymol blue sol. 5% w/v in 0.2N KOH; pH 5.8) supplemented with streptomycin

(50 mg/L) and 1 mL of vitamin stock solution (biotin 100mg/L; pyridoxal-HCL 200 mg/L)

per liter of medium (Baldani *et al.*, 1996) and *H. seropedicae* HRC54 was grown in JNFB

medium (5 g/L malic acid; 6 mL/L K_2HPO sol. 10%; 18 mL/L KH_2PO_4 sol. 10%; 2 mL/L

$MgSO_4.7H_2O$ sol. 10%; 1 mL/L NaCl sol. 10%; 2 mL/L bromothymol blue sol. 5% w/v in

0.2N KOH; 4 mL/L FeEDTA sol. 1.64%; 4 g/L KOH; 1,07 g/L NH_4Cl; pH 6.0) supplemented

with 1 mL of vitamin stock solution and 2 mL of micronutrients stock solution (1 g/L

$Na_2MoO_4.2H_2O$; 1.175 g/L $MnSO.HO$; 1.4 g/L HBO; 0.04 g/L $CuSO.5HO$; 0.12 g/L

$ZnSO.7HO$) per liter (Baldani *et al.*, 1996). Both strains were subsequently transferred to

DYGS liquid medium (2 g/L malic acid; 2 g/L glucose; 1.5 g/L bacteriological peptone; 2 g/L

yeast extract; 0.5 g/L K_2HPO_4; 0.5 g/L $MgSO_4.7H_2O$ and 1.5 g/L glutamic acid, pH 6.0 for

HRC54 and pH 5.8 for Pal5 without malic acid) (Baldani *et al.*, 1996) and incubated at 30 °C

with agitation (200 rpm) for 6–12 hours until reaching an optical density at 660 nm of

approximately 0.8, corresponding to $\sim 10^8$ cells mL^{-1}. For plant inoculation, bacterial cultures

and the commercial inoculant were diluted 1:1000 (v/v) in autoclaved distilled water.

2.2. Plant material and inoculation with plant-growth promoting bacteria

Seeds of the wild-type *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype Col-0 and the knockout mutant

line *aip10-1* (SALK_022332, T-DNA insertion), obtained from the Salk collection (Salk

Institute Genomic Analysis Laboratory) and previously characterized (Montessoro *et al.*,

2025), were used in association assays with diazotrophic bacteria. The seeds were sterilized by

exposure to chlorine gas, generated by the reaction between 97 mL of bleach (4.3%) and 3 mL

of hydrochloric acid (37%). After 2 hrs of treatment, the seeds were left in a laminar flow hood

until the gas had completely evaporated. Then, they were sown in Petri dishes containing

Murashige and Skoog (MS) ½ medium, supplemented with 0.05% 2-(N-morpholino)

ethanesulfonic acid (MES), 1% sucrose and 0.8% Phytagel (Sigma-Aldrich®), adjusted to pH

5.7. Seeds were stratified at 4 °C for 2 days and subsequently grown vertically in a growth

chamber with a 12/12 hrs photoperiod at 21 °C.

Four days after germination (4 DAG), 2 µL of the diluted bacterial suspension (1:1000),
containing approximately 10^2 cells $µL^{-1}$, were applied to the root zone. Control seedlings were
mock-treated with sterile DYGS medium diluted in the same proportion. After inoculation, the
seedlings were transferred to Petri dishes containing modified MS ½ medium (sucrose-free)
and maintained under the same culture conditions for another 7 days, totaling 11 DAG. For
molecular analyses, three biological replicates per treatment were harvested at 11 DAG, with
shoots and roots separated, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80 °C. Plants were then
transplanted into pots containing a standard mixture of substate:vermiculite (3:1, w/w) and
grown under a 16/8 hrs photoperiod at 21 °C until 17 DAG.

Regarding the experiment with different nutritional doses, after a 6-day acclimatization
period, the plants were watered with two different concentrations of NPK 20-20-20 fertilizer,
with a final concentration of 0.06 g/L (low availability) and 0.12 g/L (high availability). The
plants were harvested at 31 DAI (35 DAG) for phenotypic analysis, and their productivity was
evaluated at 60 DAG.

2.3. Phenotypic analyses of A. thaliana plants

Phenotypic analyses were performed on *A. thaliana* plants after separating shoots and
roots at 31 DAI. Fresh matter weight was measured using an analytical precision balance with
eight biological replicates. Thereafter, shoot and root samples were then placed in paper bags
and oven-dried at 60 °C for 6 days. Dry weight was subsequently measured using the same
balance, with eight biological replicates, to determine biomass. In addition, the rosette area was
determined from images evaluated in imageJ software (Schindelin *et al.*, 2012). The values of
fresh and dry matter and rosette area obtained were statistically analyzed using GraphPad Prism
software version 8.0.0. Statistical analysis was performed using Student's T-test. Statistical
significance was defined in all cases as p-value < 0.05

To analyze root development, harvested roots were immersed in 70% ethanol for 7
days. Subsequently, roots were laid out in an acrylic container (10 × 45 cm), with water at an
approximate depth of 1 cm for scanning. They were then characterized by image analysis using
WinRHIZO Pro® software (Regent Instruments, QC, Quebec, Canada) coupled to an Epson
Expression 11000XL LA2400 image scanner, as described in previous works (Bauhus and
Messier, 1999). Root length (cm) and surface area (m^2) were evaluated in five plants per
treatment. All analyzes used the Student's T-test. Statistical significance was defined as p-value
< 0.05.

Productivity analyses were performed at 56 DAI (60 DAG), including measurements
of number of siliques, length of the main inflorescence axis, and weight of seeds per plant. The
resulting data were subjected to statistical analysis using GraphPad Prism software version
8.0.0. Statistical significance was assessed using Student's T-test. The significance level of p
< 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance across all analyses.
242

2.4. Photosynthetic pigments

Chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b were extracted at 31 DAI using dimethyl sulfoxide
(DMSO). Two rosette discs from the fifth leaf were incubated in 1 mL of DMSO for 72 h at
room temperature in the dark. Absorbance was measured at 480, 649.1, and 665.1 nm
(NanoDrop™ 2000), and pigment concentrations were calculated based on standard equations
(Ca $= 12.74 \times$ A665.1 $- 3.62 \times$ A649.1; Cb $= 25.06 \times$ A649.1 $- 6.5 \times$ A665.1). Results were
expressed as µg/g fresh weight. Statistical analysis was based on the Student's T-test for all
comparisons. Statistical significance was defined as p-value < 0.05.

2.5. RNA extraction and Real-time quantitative PCR

For molecular analyses, plant roots were macerated in liquid nitrogen and immediately
stored at $-80°C$. At 11 DAG, total RNA was extracted from inoculated and non-inoculated
samples of each genotype using a lithium chloride–based protocol, as described by (Logemann
et al., 1987). RNA concentration was determined with a NanoDrop™ 2000c spectrophotometer
(Thermo Scientific, USA), and its integrity was assessed by electrophoresis in a 1% agarose
gel stained with ethidium bromide. Approximately 5 µg of total RNA was treated with DNase
I (Biolabs), according to the manufacturer's instructions, and used for the synthesis of the first
strand of cDNA with GoScript reverse transcriptase (Promega). Gene expression analyses were
performed by RT-qPCR using SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). Each
reaction was assembled in a final volume of 10 µL, containing 2 µL of cDNA diluted 1:3, 5 µL
of SYBR Green mix, 1 µL of each primer (forward and reverse, 10 µM) and 1 µL of sterile
ultrapure water. The primers specific for the target genes analyzed are listed in Table S1.
Expression normalization was performed based on the constitutive genes *UBIQUITIN 14*
(*UBI14*) and *GLYCERALDEHYDE-3-PHOSPHATE DEHYDROGENASE* (*GAPDH*) of *A.*
thaliana. Data analysis followed the ΔCT method, based on the subtraction of the CT value of
the constitutive gene in relation to the target gene. All experiments were conducted with three
biological replicates per condition.

2.6. DNA extraction and Real time quantitative PCR absolute bacterial quantification

Total DNA extraction was performed using CTAB 2% protocol (Doyle and Doyle, 1987). DNA concentration was determined with a NanoDrop™ 2000c spectrophotometer, and its integrity was assessed by electrophoresis in a 1% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. Total DNA was treated with 0,01g/mL RNase A and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. To determine the bacterial cell number cells (cells g^{-1} plant tissue), the average Ct value from two technical replicates for each DNA sample was used to quantify *G. diazotrophicus* PAL5, *H. seropedicae* HRC54, *A. brasiliense* Ab-V6. Genus-specific standard curve equations, previously established in the laboratory, were applied for quantification. The number of cells were inferred from the amplicon copy number, assuming the target sequence is single copy per genome. Target-specific primer pairs are listed in Table S1. For each treatment, cells per gram of tissue were calculated from the DNA samples using the following equation: Absolute number of cells $= A \times B$ (ng μL^{-1}) $\times C$ (μL) / D (ng) $\times E$ (g). Where, A = number of fragment copies, obtained from the strain-specific standard curve; B = Concentration of extracted genomic DNA; C = volume of DNA extracted; D = amount of DNA used in the qPCR reaction; E = initial plant tissue mass used for DNA extraction.

2.7. Metagenomic Barcode Analysis

Plants used in the metagenomic barcode analysis experiment were collected at 20 DAG. For each genotype Col-0 wild type and *aip10-1* plants, one sample pool consisting of three plants was collected. Metagenomic barcode analysis experiment was performed exclusively from the root tissue of each genotype. Soil samples were obtained as a sample pool composed of material from the three pots corresponding to the plants whose roots were collected.

Total DNA was extracted separately from root and rhizosphere soil samples of *Arabidopsis thaliana* Col-0 and the mutant *aip10-1* using a commercial soil DNA kit. The V3–V4 regions of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene were amplified with universal primers containing Illumina adapters, and amplicon libraries were prepared following standard protocols. Sequencing was performed on an Illumina MiSeq platform (PE 500 bp). Taxonomic assignment was performed against the SILVA 138 database, with removal of non-bacterial sequences. Relative abundance of bacterial taxa was calculated separately for root and soil samples, and results were visualized using GraphPad Prism 10.5.0 for bar plots and comparisons between genotypes. Heatmaps of selected PGPB, diazotrophs, and pathogens were generated in R (pheatmap package), based on log-transformed relative abundances and hierarchical clustering.

2.8. Confocal microscope and microscopic analysis

Roots of *A. thalian*a ecotype Col-0 wild type and *aip10-1* inoculated with *G. diazotrophicus*

Pal5-RFP and *H. seropedicae* RAM10-GFP were analyzed 7 days post inoculation (DAI).

Imaging was conducted using a Leica TCS SPE confocal microscope. Plant samples were

mounted on microscope slides with a drop of water and covered with a coverslip for

observation under a light microscope. For RFP observation (from *G. diazotrophicus* Pal5-

RFP), samples were excited at 568 nm and emission was collected at 640 nm. For *H.*

seropedicae RAM10-GFP (BR 11335), samples were excited at 475 nm and emission was

collected at 509 nm. Differential interference contrast (DIC) images were also captured

simultaneously using bright field. Image acquisition and processing were performed using

Leica LAS AF software.

3. Results

AIP10 expression profile in response to plant growth-promoting bacteria

To first investigate the relationship between PGPB association, plant genotype, and

AIP10 expression, the transcriptional profile of the sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp.) *AIP10*

homologue AIP10 homologue was analyzed using previously published RNA-seq datasets

from two genotypes well characterized by their contrasting efficiencies in association with

beneficial bacteria and in biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) capacity: SP70-1143 (high BNF)

and Chunee (low BNF) (Urquiaga and Boddey, 1992; Carvalho *et al.*, 2022). Transcript levels

were analyzed in root and shoot tissues from plants grown under natural bacterial colonization

and bacteria-free hydroponic conditions. Fold change values (SP70-1143/Chunee) were

calculated based on RPKM values to compare the genotype with higher association efficiency

with nitrogen-fixing bacteria to the less efficient genotype.

Under natural colonization conditions, the *AIP10* homolog exhibited lower expression

levels in both roots and shoots of the high-BNF genotype SP70-1143 relative to Chunee (Fig.

1A). In contrast, under bacteria-free conditions (BF), *AIP10* expression was upregulated in

both tissues of SP70-1143. These results indicate that *AIP10* expression is reduced in the

genotype exhibiting higher BNF capacity when associated with beneficial bacteria, supporting

its role as a negative regulator of plant growth, and suggesting its modulation may participate

in growth promotion in response to beneficial plant-bacteria interaction.

A comparative protein domain analysis revealed that AIP10 proteins from *A. thaliana*

and sugarcane share a conserved domain architecture, including predicted nuclear localization

signals (NLS) and coiled-coil domains (Fig. 1B). This high level of structural conservation
suggests a conserved regulatory function of AIP10 across monocot and dicot species. In
agreement with these findings, the data revealed a similar *AIP10* expression profile in both
plant species, suggesting that these proteins may share similar biological functions.
Comparative protein domain analysis of two AIP10 orthologous isoforms in *A. thaliana*
(AT1G80940.1 and AT1G80940.3) and one putative isoform in *S. spontaneum*
(Sspoon.04G0001710-3D) further confirmed the conservation of nuclear localization and
coiled-coil domains, while revealing the presence of an additional ribosomal L32e domain in
the sugarcane protein.

Next, we investigated the modulation of *AIP10* expression profile by inoculation with
beneficial PGPB in wild-type *A. thaliana* Col-0 plants. Plant material was collected at seven
days post-inoculation with the diazotrophic PGPB *H. seropedicae* or *G. diazotrophicus,* to
assess the *AIP10* transcriptional response during the early stages of plant–microbe interaction
(Fig. 1C). The results showed a significant repression of *AIP10* expression in response to both
bacterial strains compared to mock-treated controls, with a stronger down-regulation observed
upon inoculation with *H. seropedicae* (Fig. 1C). These data suggest that *AIP10* expression is
reduced during early stages of plant-PGPB interactions. Together, the results indicate that
AIP10 displays a conserved domain architecture across species, while its expression is
differentially modulated by bacterial colonization in sugarcane genotypes contrasting for BNF
efficiency and in *A. thaliana*.

To explore molecular pathways involved in plant–microorganism interactions that are
potentially modulated by *AIP10* repression, we analyzed enriched functional categories by
reanalyzing previously generated RNA-seq data in the root transcriptomes of *A. thaliana* Col-
0 and *AIP10* silenced plants (*aip10-1*) at 11 DAG (Montessoro *et al*., 2025) across metabolic
pathways related to Cell wall formation, Response to stimuli, Immune response to bacteria,
Responses to hormones, Responses to lipids, Carbohydrates and General metabolic Processes
(Fig. S1).

Consistent with the enrichment of cell wall–related categories identified in the
functional analysis, genes directly involved in cell wall reinforcement and stress-associated
remodeling were downregulated (Fig. S1A), including *EXT15* (*Extensin 15*, AT1G23720) and
LRX3 (*Leucine-rich repeat extensin 3*, AT4G13340). The repression of extensins and LRX
proteins, which are critical for cell wall assembly, integrity, and mechanical strength, suggests
increased cell wall plasticity, that may facilitate bacterial association and root tissue
colonization (Kandel *et al.*, 2017). In contrast, a distinct group of proteins associated with cell

wall expansion and extracellular matrix organization was significantly induced at 11 DAG, including *GASA1* (Gibberellic Acid Stimulated Arabidopsis 1, AT1G75750) and *LCR69* (Low-molecular-weight Cysteine-rich protein 69, AT2G02100), suggesting enhanced wall loosening and elongation processes. In line with this pattern of cell wall modification, genes encoding enzymes involved in polysaccharide modification and pectin remodeling were also upregulated, including *PME46* (Pectin Methylesterase 46, AT5G04960), *XHT* (Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase, AT4G25820), and *ATTIP2* (Tonoplast Intrinsic Protein 2, AT3G16240), suggesting an active restructuring of the polysaccharide network that controls wall extensibility and porosity in *aip10-1* plants, which may enhance apoplastic permeability and promote cell-to-cell communication (Dora *et al.*, 2022)

Other genes positively related to processes involved in plant growth promotion by PGPB were upregulated in *aip10-1* plants. In the hormone-responsive category, the auxin-responsive genes *SAUR69* (Small Auxin Upregulated RNA 69) and *SAUR72* (Small Auxin Upregulated RNA 72) were strongly upregulated, suggesting a role in promotion of plant growth. Class I ROOT HAIR DEFECTIVE SIX-LIKE 4 (RSL4) basic helix–loop–helix (bHLH85) transcription factor, that positively regulates root hair development, was upregulated in *aip10-1* roots.

Transcriptome analyses of *aip10-1* plants revealed both repression and induction of genes involved in defense or response pathways to bacterial interaction, required for beneficial associations with PGPB (Stringlis *et al.*, 2018). Among the most downregulated genes were *CYP71A12* and *CYP71A13* (Cytochrome P450 71A12 and– Cytochrome P450 71A13), which are key enzymes involved in camalexin and tryptophan-derived secondary metabolite biosynthesis. Their repression suggests a reduction in chemical defense pathways. In contrast, a distinct subset of bacterium-responsive genes was strongly induced, reflecting activation of regulatory pathways associated with plant–microbe communication and systemic signaling. A subset of stimulus-related genes, including *PDF2.2* (*Plant Defensin 2.2*), *ATL15* (*RING-type E3 ubiquitin ligase 15*), AT1G22770, and AT1G15010, was induced, suggesting the activation of regulatory and antimicrobial components that may contribute to controlling the number of bacteria that could associate with and colonize the root tissue. The most strongly induced gene was *ILA* (ILITHYIA), which displayed a marked transcriptional activation, suggesting a central role in coordinating developmental and immune signaling (Faus *et al.*, 2018).

The regulation of metabolic, lipid, and carbohydrate-responsive genes in *aip10-1* revealed a coordinated transcriptional reprogramming affecting primary metabolism and stress-associated pathways. Repression of *PAD3* (Phytoalexin Deficient 3) and *CBP60G*

(Calmodulin-Binding Protein 60G) supports an attenuation of defense-associated metabolic
pathways, indicating a general downregulation of stress- and defense-related metabolic
programs. Within this metabolic shift, genes involved in carbohydrate-associated processes
also displayed a regulatory adjustment. In the carbohydrate response pathway, repression of
GLS1 (Glucan Synthase-Like 1) together with induction of *ATL15* (RING-Type E3 Ubiquitin
Ligase 15) suggests a reprogramming of carbon-related regulation, suggesting an adjustment
of carbohydrate metabolism rather than a simple reduction in carbon availability.

Together, the transcriptional changes observed in *AIP10*-silenced plants reveal
modulation of core metabolic processes combined with regulatory control of defense and
growth-related pathways, consistent with a transcriptional reprogramming associated with
plant responses to beneficial endophytic or associative PGPB and aligned with the reduced
AIP10 expression observed under natural bacterial colonization in sugarcane.

Root-associated microbiota composition in A. thaliana wild-type and aip10-1 plants

Given that transcriptional reprogramming in *aip10-1* plants affects multiple pathways
related to plant–microbe interactions, we next examined whether AIP10 silencing alters the
composition of the root-associated microbiota in *A. thaliana*. A metagenomic barcode analysis
of the root-associated bacterial communities and the rhizosphere soil of non-inoculated Col-0
and *aip10-1* plants was performed.

The Venn diagram revealed a complex distribution of shared and unique taxa among
the four sample types, comprising soil and roots from both genotypes. Col-0 and *aip10-1* roots
each harbored a high number of genotype-specific bacterial species (237 and 203, respectively)
(Fig. 2A), indicating distinct recruitment patterns. Despite these differences, a substantial core
microbiome of 975 bacterial species was shared across all samples, highlighting a conserved
microbial baseline between soil and plant genotypes. Additionally, soil samples associated with
Col-0 and *aip10-1* each contained unique taxa (173 and 220 species, respectively (Fig. 2A),
reflecting environmental and genotype-driven filtering effects. Together, these findings
demonstrate that *AIP10* silencing reshapes root-associated bacterial communities while
maintaining a large portion of shared microbial taxa.

For a more focused assessment of functionally relevant components of the native
microbiome potentially associated with growth promotion and innate immune responses in the
host plant, the abundance of selected bacterial categories was analyzed by z-score values (Table
S1), including potential diazotrophic bacteria, PGPB, and plant pathogenic bacteria.

Comparative profiling of bacterial communities associated with the plant root
compartment revealed pronounced differences between Col-0 and *aip10-1* genotypes (Fig. 2B-
C). At the species level, roots of *aip10-1* plants exhibited increased relative abundance of
several potential diazotrophic bacteria, including, *Azospirillum brasilense* (z-score 1,4),
Azospirillum halopraferens (z-score 1,5), *Bradyrhizobium elkanii* (z-score 1,4) and
Bradyrhizobium guangxiense (z-score 0,9). In addition, plant PGPB species were enriched in
aip10-1 roots in comparison with Col-0 roots, as *Paenibacillus medicaginis* (z-score 0,9) (Fig.
2C).

In contrast, roots of Col-0 plants displayed higher relative abundance of several
pathogenic species, compared to *aip10-1* roots (Fig. 2D). Taxa such as *Xanthomonas*
arboricola (z-score 1,5), *Xanthomonas axonopodis* (z-score 0,5), *Pantoea brenneri* (z-score
1,5), and *Erwinia soli* (z-score 0,4) were comparatively more abundant in Col-0 and were not
detected in *aip10-1*. These data suggest that *aip10*-1 plants tend to preferentially recruit and
associate with specific beneficial PGPB species in the root compartment while being depleted
in potentially pathogenic taxa.

A similar pattern was observed in soil-associated bacterial communities (Fig. 2B-C).
Soils cultivated with *aip10-1* plants were characterized by increased relative abundance of
several potential diazotrophic species, including *Mesorhizobium composti* (z-score 31,2),
Mesorhizobium gobiense (z-score 52,7), *Mesorhizobium hankyongi* (z-score 1,0),
Mesorhizobium silamurunense (z-score 3,7) *Azospirillum formosense* (z-score 1,5),
Burkholderia alpina (z-score 6,3), *Burkholderia ultramafica* (z-score 0,7), when compared
with soils from Col-0 plants. Likewise, PGPB species such as *Paraburkholderia diazotrophica*
(z-score 1,1), *Paraburkholderia megapolitana* (z-score 1,2), *Paraburkholderia metrosideri* (z-
score 0,9) and *Bradyrhizobium canariense* (z-score 0,9) were more abundant in soils associated
with *aip10-1*.

On the other hand, soils from Col-0 plants harbored higher relative abundances of
potential pathogenic species (Fig. 2D), including *Xanthomonas campestris* (z-score 1,5),
Xanthomonas translucens (z-score 1,5), *Erwinia billingiae* (z-score 1,5), and *Agrobacterium*
larrymoorei (z-score 1,5).

Together, these results suggest that the loss of AIP10 function reshapes the root-
associated bacteria in roots and rhizospheric soil, increasing the prevalence of beneficial
bacteria while reducing potential pathogens.

Efficiency of PGPB based-bioinoculant colonization in aip10-1 plants

As *AIP10* silenced mutants showed an increased abundance of beneficial bacteria in their root microbiome, we next investigated whether *aip10-1* mutants exhibit enhanced colonization in response to inoculation with diazotrophic PGPB-based bioinoculants, compared to wild-type Col-0 plants, by quantifying and assessing the dynamics of bacterial root colonization.

Inoculation experiments were performed with two nitrogen-fixing bacterial strains, *G. diazotrophicus* PAL5 and *H. seropedicae* HRC54. Representative images of *aip10-1* plants 20 DAG, inoculated with either strain, are shown in Fig. 3A. Under in vitro growth conditions, root architectural parameters were evaluated revealing that inoculated *aip10-1* plants displayed a significant increase in primary root length compared with mock-treated plants and inoculated Col-0 controls (Fig. 3B). In addition, the number of lateral roots was significantly higher in inoculated *aip10-1* plants, particularly in response to PAL5 (Fig. 3C).

To determine whether these early root architectural changes translate into later developmental outcomes, shoot-related traits were assessed at later developmental stages. On 21 DAG *aip10-1* plants inoculated with both bacterial strains accumulated significantly higher shoot biomass (Fig. 3D) and developed larger rosette areas compared with Col-0 plants and mock-treated controls (Fig. 3E).

Quantification of bacterial colonization based on absolute values of single-copy bacterial gene using genus-specific primers showed that *aip10-1* roots of inoculated plants were better colonized by both bioinoculants, compared to wild-type Col-0 roots (Fig. 3F and G). To evaluate if the higher colonization by *G. diazotrophicus* or *H. seropedicae* was correlated with actively metabolic bacteria, *nifH* mRNA expression was analyzed. The *nifH* is present in most diazotrophic microorganisms and encodes a key component of nitrogenase, making it an ideal marker for assessing the stimulation of N_2-fixation metabolism in bacterial communities (Nichio *et al.*, 2025). As shown in Fig. 3H and I, PGPB-inoculated *aip10-1* plants showed significantly higher relative expression of *nifH* than inoculated wild-type plants during association with both HRC54 or PAL5, respectively.

To investigate whether *aip10-1* mutants affect the niche of bacterial colonization in root tissues, RFP- and GFP-labeled G. *diazotrophicus* and *H. seropedicae* bacteria, respectively, were used as bioinoculants and monitored by confocal microscopy. The data showed differences in Col-0 and *aip10-1* roots in relation to the pattern of bacterial colonization. In mock Col-0 roots, both RFP- and GFP-labeled bacteria showed minimal and discontinuous fluorescence, indicating low colonization levels. In contrast, inoculated *aip10-1* roots displayed strong and spatially extended fluorescent signals, with well-defined aggregates and continuous

bands along the root surface (Fig. 3J). A detailed analysis of distinct root regions further
demonstrated that these differences were spatially structured. In the meristematic zone, both
genotypes exhibited low colonization (Fig. S2). However, beginning in the root hair zone,
aip10-1 showed a marked increase in bacterial attachment, with continuous fluorescent bands
extending along the root axis. This pattern became more evident in the elongation zone, where
large portions of the cortex and epidermis were covered by bacteria, in sharp contrast to the
fragmented and sparse pattern observed in Col-0 (Fig. S2). The highest colonization levels
were observed at lateral root emergence sites, where inoculated aip*10-1* roots displayed
extensive and well-defined bacterial aggregates, whereas Col-0 presented only small, scattered
foci. Together, these spatial colonization patterns indicate that loss of *AIP10* enhances root
colonization, particularly in regions of active growth and lateral root formation, resulting in
greater density, continuity, and stability of bacterial association.

Together, these results indicate that AIP10 silencing is associated with enhanced
bacterial colonization and activity in roots, accompanied by pronounced changes in root
architecture and shoot growth following inoculation.

Mechanisms of plant growth in PGPB based-inoculated aip10-1 plants

As *AIP10* silenced mutants showed increased root colonization by beneficial bacteria
and enhanced plant growth promotion, compared to wild-type Col-0 plants, we investigated
the molecular mechanisms that may contribute to the improved responsiveness of *aip10-1*
plants to PGPB-based bioinoculants.

First, we investigated the modulation of cell division controls in inoculated *aip10-1* and
Col-0 plants. AIP10 has previously been described as a negative modulator of cell division,
through its interaction with ABAP1 and regulation of ABAP1 pathway target gene expression
(Montessoro *et al.*, 2025). Also, *ABAP1* expression is negatively regulated in *aip10-1* mutants.
Therefore, the expression levels of *ABAP1* and *CDT1a*, a target gene negatively regulated by
ABAP1 and a positive marker of DNA replication, were evaluated by RT-qPCR (Fig. 4). In
aip10-1 plants, *ABAP1* expression was more strongly repressed in response to inoculation with
PAL5 and HRC54 compared to mock-treated mutants, whereas inoculated Col-0 plants
consistently showed higher *ABAP1* expression than *aip10-1* mutants (Fig. 4A). Accordingly,
CDT1a expression was induced in *aip10-1* plants in response to both beneficial inoculations,
with PAL5 triggering significantly higher induction than in mock-treated plants (Fig. 4B).
Expression of the cell division marker *CYCB1;1* was strongly induced in inoculated *aip10-1*
mutant plants compared to mock-treated controls, suggesting that beneficial bacterial

colonization stimulate higher cell division rates in *aip10-1* plants (Fig. 4C). Overall, increased colonization by PGPB-based bioinoculants in *aip10-1* mutant plants enhanced the transcriptional regulation of ABAP1 and genes associated with this pathway, consistent with the growth promotion observed upon inoculation.

Next, based on previously reported RNAseq data from non-inoculated Col-0 and *aip10-1* plants (Montessoro et al., 2025), three genes related to cell wall organization, defense against bacteria, or root hair development were selected for expression analysis in roots under beneficial inoculation (Fig. S1). Leucine-rich repeat extensins (LRXs) are extracellular proteins composed of an N-terminal leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domain and a C-terminal extensin domain (Draeger *et al.*, 2015) Among the 11 *LRX* genes in *A. thaliana*, *LRX3, LRX4* and *LRX5* perform redundant functions associated with the regulation of plant growth and cell wall formation, predominantly expressed in leaves and stems (Draeger *et al.*, 2015). *LRX3* and *LRX4* were downregulated in *aip10-1* compared to Col-0 according to transcriptomic data. Consistently, validation analysis revealed reduced *LRX4* expression in *aip10-1* mock-treated plants, with no change upon bacterial inoculation when compared to wild-type plants (Fig. 4D). Furthermore, we evaluated the expression of *ILITYHIA* (*ILA*), which was found to be upregulated in *aip10-1* in the transcriptome analysis. *ILA* is required for plant immunity, being directly associated with basal defense in *A. thaliana* against the pathogenic bacteria *Pseudomonas syringae* (Monaghan and Li, 2010). Here, *ILA* expression was higher in both *aip10-1* mock and inoculated plants, compared to Col-0, supporting the transcriptomic data and suggesting that some plant defense responses may be basally active in *aip10-1* mutants (Fig. 4E). Enhanced root hair growth is a commonly reported response to inoculation with PGPB (Zhao et al., 2025). Transcriptomic data revealed a positive regulation of *RSL4* (bHLH85, ROOT HAIR DEFECTIVE 6-LIKE 4) in *aip10-1* roots, which was corroborated by RT–qPCR analyses showing higher expression levels in mock-treated *aip10-1* plants compared to Col-0, with expression remaining elevated in the presence of both bacterial strains (Fig. 4F). Our analyses showed that gene expression profiles were largely similar between non-inoculated and inoculated *aip10-1* plants, including genes related to cell wall dynamics and bacterial responses. This lack of transcriptional modulation upon inoculation suggests that *aip10-1* mutants may already exhibit a basal transcriptional state associated with beneficial plant–microorganism interactions, potentially reflecting their enhanced capacity to naturally associate with PGPB, as supported by the root-associated microbiome composition observed under non-inoculated conditions.

Response of *aip10-1* plants to association with diazotrophic PGPB-based bioinoculants under high and low fertilization conditions

Given the improved efficiency of the association between *aip10-1* plants and PGPB-based bioinoculants, as well as increased *nifH e*xpression indicating higher nitrogenase activity in *aip10-1* roots, we investigated whether inoculation of aip*10-1* mutant plants could reduce their dependence on mineral fertilization. To address this question, inoculation responses of *aip10-1* and wild-type Col-0 plants were analyzed under conditions of low and high nutrient availability.

Initially, an *in vitro* inoculation system followed by greenhouse cultivation was established to study the interaction of *aip10-1* mutant plants with *H. seropedicae* HRC54 or *G. diazotrophicus* Pal5, or a commercial bioinoculant (Biofree) containing the strains *A. brasilense* Ab-V6 and *P. fluorescens* CCTB03, with the aim of characterizing phenotypic and molecular responses under low (0.06 g/L NPK; 20:20:20, corresponding to 12 mg/L N, 5.2 mg/L P and 10 mg/L K) and high (0.12 g/L NPK; 20:20:20, corresponding to 24 mg/L N, 10.4 mg/L P and 20 mg/L K) nutrient availability. Four treatment groups were established for both Col-0 and *aip10-1* plants: i) mock-treated plants grown under low fertilization, ii) mock-treated plants grown under high fertilization, iii) plants inoculated with the HRC54 or PAL5 or Biofree grown under low fertilization, iv) plants inoculated with the HRC54 or PAL5 or Biofree grown under high fertilization (Fig. S3). First, root colonization with the PGPB-based bioinoculants (HRC54 or Pal5 or Biofree) was validated in plants at 7 DAI, before starting differential fertilization treatments, through absolute quantification of a single-copy bacterial gene using genus-specific primers by qPCR (Fig. 3F-G and Fig. S4). Bacterial counts showed that *aip10-1* plants have a higher number of bacterial cells per gram of plant tissue compared to Col-0, suggesting a better interaction of mutant plants with the bioinoculants.

The effects of fertilization with high or low doses of nutrients were analyzed in the shoots and roots at 35 DAG, which corresponds to 31 DAI (Fig. 5A and B). Our results showed that *aip10-1* plants were more responsive to bioinoculants under low nutrient availability, exhibiting enhanced growth, like the phenotype observed in mock-treated mutant plants grown in environments with high fertilizer availability. In shoot, this beneficial effect was observed through analyses of rosette area and dry biomass, where *aip10-1* plants presented 22% (HRC54) (Fig. 5C) and 26% (Pal5) (Fig. 5F) larger area, as well as 33% (HRC54) (Fig. 5D) and 35% (Pal5) (Fig. 5G) greater dry weight when inoculated under low nitrogen availability compared to Col-0 plants under the same conditions. Furthermore, rosette area and dry biomass

increased by 30% (Fig. 5C and F) and 42% (Fig. 5D and G), respectively, in mock-treated

aip10-1 mutant plants under conditions of high fertilizer availability. Chlorophyll content

analyses demonstrated this same pattern, with an increase in response to both inoculations in

aip10-1 plants compared to wild-type plants under low fertilization conditions (Fig. 5E and H),

consistent with the increase observed in mock mutant plants grown under high fertilization,

corroborating previous results. Parallel experiments were conducted using a commercial

bioinoculant, Biofree, containing the strains *A. brasilense* Ab-V6 and *P. fluorescens* CCTB03.

Under low nutrient availability, *aip10-1* plants inoculated with Biofree exhibited a marked

increase in rosette expansion and biomass accumulation compared with mock-treated mutants

(Fig. S4). Again, this growth promotion was comparable to that observed in mock-treated

aip10-1 plants grown under high nutrient conditions (Fig. S4).

Regarding root phenotypic analyses, plants with reduced *AIP10* levels exhibited more

developed root systems in response to inoculation with the HRC54 and Pal5 strains upon low

fertilization (Fig. 6). Under these conditions, *aip10-1* plants inoculated with HRC54 and Pal5

exhibited 20–51% longer roots (Fig. 6B and E) and 24–42% larger surface area (Fig. 6C and

F) compared to Col-0, respectively. At the same time, under high fertilization, non-inoculated

aip10-1 plants still exhibited average increases of 40% in root length and 50% in surface area

relative to wild-type plants (Fig. 6B-C and 6E-F). The increased root growth of *AIP10* mutants

resulted in a significant increase in dry matter. In response to beneficial inoculation, the gain

in root dry matter ranged from 40% (Fig. 6A) to 70% (Fig. 6D) in mutant plants relative to

wild-type plants under low fertilization, equivalent to the increase found in *aip10-1* mock-

treated plants grown under high fertilization. Also, under low-nutrient conditions, Biofree

inoculation markedly enhanced root biomass, elongation, and surface area in mutant plants,

while producing no increases in Col-0 (Fig. S5).

The use of bioinoculants also promoted positive changes at the plant reproductive stage

(Fig. 7A). Consistent with the results for the vegetative phase, low fertilization positively

influenced the height of the main inflorescence axis in inoculated mutant plants, with an

average increase of approximately in response to both inoculations compared to Col-0 (Fig. 7B

and E). In addition, this combination of stimuli generated inflorescences with 23–29% more

siliques on the main axis of inoculated *aip10-1* plants compared to all other groups grown under

low fertilization (Fig. 7C and F). Similarly, *AIP10* mutants produced a higher total seeds weight

even under low fertilization conditions, due to the inoculation of HRC54 (Fig. 7D) and Pal5

(Fig. 7G). Additionally, the commercial microbial consortium more strongly enhanced silique

number and total seed yield in *aip10-1* than in Col-0, particularly under nutrient limitation (Fig.

S6). Overall, *AIP10* mutants responded better to the bioinoculants containing diazotrophic

bacteria, resulting in greater dry biomass accumulation in both shoots and roots compared to

Col-0 plants. Moreover, these benefits were primarily evident under low-fertilization

conditions. Taken together, the results suggest that the use of bioinoculants can largely replace

the use of mineral fertilizers in *aip10-1* mutant plants.

Nitrogen metabolism in *aip10-1* plants associated with diazotrophic PGPB under high

and low fertilization conditions

Our data showed that PGPB inoculation in *aip10-1* increased bacterial *nifH* expression

and had additive effects on plant biomass and chlorophyl levels, partially compensating for the

need for chemical fertilizers. We therefore investigated N assimilation in *aip10-1* plants by

analyzing the expression of representatives in two selected key gene families known to respond

to changes in nitrogen availability and PGPB inoculation (Rosman *et al.*, 2024). The N

assimilation genes analyzed were: i) the two Nitrate Reductases (NR) *NIA1* and *NIA2* that

are modulated by nitrate availability (Wilkinson and Crawford, 1993); ii) and the two

Glutamine Synthetase cytosolic genes (GS1), *GLN1;1* and *GLN1;3,* that are high-affinity and

low-affinity-related isoforms, respectively (Ishiyama *et al.*, 2004)*.* Analyzes were performed

by RT-qPCR of root samples collected at 20 DAG from wild-type and *aip10-1* plants in mock-

treated or inoculated and grown in a greenhouse under the two fertilization conditions.

We first evaluated the N metabolism data in mock-treated plants, as the native

microbiome in non-inoculated *aip10-1* root compartment was enriched with PGPB and

diazotrophic bacteria. Consistent with the microbiome data, Fig. 8A showed higher levels of

nifH expression in *aip10-1* mock-treated plants cultivated under low nutrients. *NIA1* and *NIA2*

showed similar expression patterns (Fig. 8B and C), being induced under high nutrients

availability in non-inoculated *aip10-1* plants compared to wild-type ones and showing no

modulation in response to low nutrients condition (Fig. 8B and C). Under both nutritional

conditions, mock-treated *aip10-1* plants showed a tendency towards higher levels of *GLN1;1*

expression compared to Col-0 (Fig. 8D); and *GLN1;3* was up-regulated in mock-treated *aip10-*

1 mutants relative to Col-0 under high nutrient availability (Fig. 8D). Taken together, these

data suggest that *AIP10* silencing can activate ammonium and nitrate assimilation pathways,

particularly in roots exposed to high nutrient availability. *aip10-1* potentially supports a more

efficient nitrogen assimilation compared to wild-type plants, consistent with the enhanced

biomass accumulation previously reported for *aip10-1* under high nutrient conditions.

Furthermore, we evaluated how nutrient availability affects *nifH* expression in natural

microbiomes associated with wild-type and *aip10-1* plants. The results revealed that low
nutrient availability boosted *nifH* expression in *aip10-1* mock compared with high-nutrient
conditions or non-inoculated controls (Fig. 8F).

Given that in this study we observed increased growth promotion in *aip10-1* plants
when associated with N$_2$-fixing bioinoculants under low nutrient availability, we investigated
whether inoculation with diazotrophic bacteria affected regulation of nitrogen assimilation
enzymes. Initially, we evaluated the effect of nutrient availability on *nifH* expression in
diazotrophic bacteria associated with Col-0 and *aip10-1* plants. Low nutrient availability
increased *nifH* expression in *aip10-1* plants inoculated with HRC54 and Pal5 relative to high-
nutrient conditions or non-inoculated controls (Fig. 9A and B). Under low nitrogen conditions,
GLN1;1 expression displayed similar patterns in *AIP10*-silenced plants in response to both
inoculations, with a trend toward higher expression but no difference relative to mock controls
or to mutant plants grown under high nutrient availability (Fig. 9C and D). These data suggest
that, despite *nifH* activation, growth promotion by diazotrophic bacteria may not be restricted
to N$_2$ fixation. By contrast, under low nitrogen fertilization, *H. seropedicae* inoculation
significantly increased *NIA1* expression in *aip10-1* plants relative to other inoculated groups
grown under high or low nitrogen, whereas *G. diazotrophicus* inoculation had no effect on
NIA1 expression (Fig. 9E and F). Rather than reflecting a direct increase in nitrogen
availability, the induction of *NIA1* in *aip10-1* plants following bacterial inoculation may be
associated with improved nitrogen use efficiency, mediated by multiple plant growth-
promoting mechanisms, including hormonal modulation and potential restructuring of the root-
associated microbiome.

4. Discussion

Achieving high agricultural productivity while minimizing environmental harm requires
the adoption of novel and sustainable approaches that limit dependence on mineral fertilizers.
PGPB-based bioinoculants represent a promising strategy to enhance crop performance while
reducing reliance on mineral fertilizers. However, their effectiveness is highly variable and
largely depends on plant genetic factors that regulate microbial recruitment and colonization.
Although plants actively shape their root-associated microbiota, the molecular mechanisms that
enable the accommodation of beneficial PGPB without triggering defense responses remain
poorly understood (Vandenkoornhuyse *et al.*, 2015; Zhang *et al.*, 2023). Elucidating these
plant-controlled processes is critical for improving the consistency of PGPB-plant interactions.

Our research group has systematically investigated the molecular basis of plant-PGPB
communication, identifying key signaling, transcriptional, and metabolic pathways that are
modulated during these interactions ultimately influencing plant physiological and
developmental responses (Carvalho *et al.*, 2011, 2016, 2022; Thiebaut *et al.*, 2017; Hardoim *et al.*, 2020; Ballesteros *et al.*, 2021; Rosman *et al.*, 2024). Here we investigated whether a plant
growth regulatory network integrating cell-cycle and metabolic regulation contributes to
beneficial interactions with PGPB in the endogenous root microbiome or in response do PGPB-
bioinoculants. Within this network, AIP10 acts as a negative regulator, and its silencing results
in healthier plants with increased biomass and yield, along with enhanced metabolite
accumulation (Montessoro *et al.*, 2025). We have demonstrated that silencing of *AIP10*
promotes changes in root architecture, characterized by increased lateral root formation, and
triggers the regulation of multiple metabolic pathways associated with development, cell wall
remodeling, immune responses, and metabolite biosynthesis, suggesting a physiological
reprogramming that may favor plant interactions with PGPB. These findings converged on a
central question of this work: to what extent do the molecular frameworks governing plant-
bacteria communication and those controlling cell division and metabolism are integrated?

AIP10 silencing favors beneficial microbial recruitment

Consistent with evidence that plant genes shape root-associated bacterial communities,
we found that loss of *AIP10* function in *A. thaliana* reshaped root-associated bacterial
communities while maintaining a substantial core of shared taxa. Notably, *aip10-1* plants
preferentially recruited beneficial PGPB, particularly diazotrophic PGPB, in both the root
compartment and rhizospheric soil, while being depleted in potentially pathogenic taxa. Based
on recent advances in root microbiome research, we can hypothesize about the mechanisms
underlying PGPB recruitment in *aip10-1* plants.

Increasing evidence suggests that plant performance and environmental adaptation rely
on coordinated interactions with the root microbiota. Genes governing development, immune
responses, nutrient uptake, and metabolite release were shown to possibly function as
determinants of the microbiome assembly (Zhang *et al.*, 2023). AIP10 plays an important role
in modulation of plant development, to adapt to the environment, and *aip10-1* plants show
improved performance (Montessoro *et al.*, 2025). In rice, NRT1.1B, a nitrate transporter and
sensor, was associated with modulation of root microbiota composition, integrated with higher
NUE in field-grown plants (Zhang *et al.*, 2019). Consistent with this mechanism, non-
inoculated *aip10-1* plants showed higher expression of nitrogen assimilation genes compared

to Col-0, along with a root microbiota enriched in PGPR and diazotrophic bacteria that enhance
nitrogen availability to the plant.

The mechanisms by which *aip10-1* re-shapes its root microbiome toward the
enrichment of beneficial PGPB, particularly diazotrophic bacteria, may involve alterations in
plant carbon metabolism and allocation. Carbohydrate levels were quantitatively increased in
leaves of *aip10-1* plants indicating changes in primary metabolism (Montessoro *et al.*, 2025).
Consistently, in the present study, genes associated with carbohydrate metabolic pathways
were differentially expressed, suggesting altered carbon availability that could support the
recruitment of diazotrophic PGPB, for which carbohydrates represent key nutrient sources
(Upadhyay *et al.*, 2022; Hemelda and Noutoshi, 2025). There is evidence that plant metabolites
can play a selective role, as root-derived metabolites actively shape the root microbiome, with
specific plant genes influencing microbial recruitment, community structure, and function
(Vandenkoornhuyse *et al.*, 2015; Zhang *et al.*, 2023). Loss-of-function mutations in genes
controlling coumarin biosynthesis or export significantly modify rhizosphere and endosphere
communities, promoting the recruitment of growth-promoting or nutrient-mobilizing bacteria
through changes in root-derived specialized metabolites (Stringlis *et al.*, 2018; Huang *et al.*,
2019; Voges *et al.*, 2019). Secondary metabolites such as phenolics and terpenes have been
shown to modulate microbiome composition and enrich beneficial taxa (Stringlis *et al.*, 2018;
Harbort *et al.*, 2020). Furthermore, genotype-specific metabolites explain substantial
microbiome variation in crops such as rice (Liu *et al.*, 2025).

In parallel, silencing of *AIP10* lead to major transcriptional reprogramming, including
genes in pathways related to plant-microorganism interaction such as cell wall formation,
defense, immune response to bacteria and hormonal responses. In *aip10-1* roots, modulation
of cell wall–related genes suggest increased cell wall plasticity. Genes involved in cell wall
loosening, such as *XTH* and *PME46*, were induced, whereas genes associated with cell wall
reinforcement and stress-related remodeling, including *EXT15* and *LRX3*, were repressed. This
shift may facilitate bacterial association and root tissue colonization.

The transcriptional profile of *aip10-1* plants resembles signatures reported in genotypes
with enhanced capacity to interact with beneficial microorganisms. In sugarcane, genotypes
that efficiently associate with endophytic diazotrophic bacteria show extensive reprogramming
of cell wall–modifying genes, promoting increased tissue plasticity and microbial
accommodation (Ballesteros *et al.*, 2021). Consistent with this, broader evidence indicates that
cell wall remodeling is a key feature of plant readiness for symbiotic or associative interactions,
as exposure to PGPR commonly induces expansins and XTHs across diverse plant species

(Vacheron *et al.*, 2013; Srivastava *et al.*, 2023). The similarity between these microbial-induced

responses and the transcriptional profile of *aip10-1* roots, even in the absence of bacterial

inoculation, suggests that *AIP10* silencing may promote a pre-accommodative or primed state

characterized by increased cell wall flexibility and altered root surface properties.

In *aip10-1* plants, genes involved in immune and defense pathways related to bacterial

interactions were either repressed or induced. Such transcriptional reprogramming is required

for successful beneficial associations with PGPB, as plants must downregulate specific defense

responses to permit bacterial colonization while simultaneously activating other defense

pathways to prevent bacterial overgrowth (Carvalho *et al.*, 2016). Alterations in innate

immunity pathways also lead to distinctive microbial assemblages, as shown in mutants

impaired in pattern-recognition receptors, which exhibit shifts in core bacterial taxa and

increased abundance of taxa typically associated with beneficial functions (Ma *et al.*, 2021).

Upregulation of bacterial response regulators such as ILA in *aip10-1* is consistent with plants

genetically primed for beneficial microbial associations showing enhanced sensitivity to

microbe-derived signals before colonization (Liu *et al.*, 2017; Gao *et al.*, 2022). Although ILA

regulates immunity, its association with salicylic acid (SA) signaling may help offset the costs

of accelerated growth by activating defense responses or increasing stress tolerance.

Consistently, disruption of salicylic acid (SA) signaling was shown to alter the abundance of

specific microbial groups in the Arabidopsis rhizosphere, supporting immune pathways as key

determinants of microbiota structure (Lebeis *et al.*, 2015). ERF1 (Ethylene Response Factor 1)

was strongly induced in *aip10-1* roots, indicating activation of ethylene-responsive pathways

involved in growth regulation and stress signaling. Taking together, the transcriptional

reprogramming in *aip10-1* does not reflect a fully activated or suppressed immune system, but

rather an intermediate immune state. This state appears to alter how roots perceive and respond

to soil microorganisms, creating a more permissive environment for colonization by

diazotrophic and PGPB-enriched taxa while limiting the presence of potential pathogens.

Enhanced growth promotion in *aip10-1* in response to PGPB inoculation are driven by

coordinated activation of cell division and nitrogen metabolism

Consistent with a native root microbiota enriched with beneficial bacteria, inoculated

aip10-1 genotype was colonized by higher numbers of PGPB-based bioinoculant during early

phases after inoculation. Consequently, *aip10-1* plants exhibited increased root and shoot dry

biomass and higher productivity in response to inoculation with *G. diazotrophicus* Pal5 and *H.*

seropedicae HRC54, compared to inoculated wild-type plants. Previous studies in sugarcane

and maize have shown that plant-PGPB associations modulate the expression of gene clusters

related to nitrogen metabolism, root development, cell wall modification, defense and immune

responses and hormonal responses (Hardoim *et al.*, 2020; Ballesteros *et al.*, 2021; Rosman *et*

al., 2024). In the previous topic, the transcriptional modulation of these pathways was

discussed as possible mechanisms that support the high abundance of PGPB in non-inoculated

aip10-1 microbiota. In PGPB-inoculated *aip10-1* plants, we have further investigated the

mRNA levels of selected genes involved in immune response (*ILA)*, cell wall modification

(LRX4) and root development (*RSL4*), and compared to the expression profile in no-inoculated

plants. Our analyses showed that gene expression profiles were similar between non-inoculated

and inoculated *aip10-1* plants, possibly because the beneficial bacteria effects were already

present in the non-inoculated mutants. However, the enhanced traits observed in non-

inoculated *aip10-1* plants were further amplified upon inoculation, indicating additive effects

on plant growth promotion.

Beneficial plant-bacteria associations can directly stimulate plant growth and

development through several mechanisms including BNF and phytohormone production,

primarily improving nutrient use efficiency (Thiebaut *et al.*, 2022). Increased biomass

ultimately depends on higher rates of cell division, as plant growth and morphogenesis are

governed by the balance between cell division, cell expansion, and cell differentiation

(Jorgensen and Tyers, 2004; Jones *et al.*, 2017; Carneiro *et al.*, 2021). Because AIP10 is part

of a regulatory network that controls plant growth by modulating cell cycle progression at G1

to S phase, a key checkpoint regulated by environmental signals, such as biotic interactions

(Masuda *et al.*, 2008; Montessoro *et al.*, 2025), we investigated whether this network

contributes to the improved performance of inoculated *aip10-1* plants. ABAP1 and its

interacting proteins (AIP) negatively regulate DNA replication by reducing the activity of the

pre-replication complex (preRC) and by decreasing transcription of preRC members as

AtCdt1a and *AtCdt1b* (Masuda *et al.*, 2008). *AtABAP1* overexpression reduces DNA

replication and cell division rates in *A. thaliana*, whereas reduced *AtABAP1* expression leads

to higher cell division rates and enhanced plant growth (Masuda *et al.*, 2008). Recently,

(Montessoro *et al.*, 2025) described a combined role of AIP10 and ABAP1 as negative

modulators of proliferative cell divisions in all plant meristems, by direct interaction between

them. In addition, *ABAP1* expression was reduced in *aip10-1* plants suggesting that AIP10 may

also control cell division through a feedback mechanism of *ABAP1* transcriptional control. Our

results suggest that the enhanced growth of *aip10-1* plants in response to bioinoculants can be

increased by the negative regulation of *ABAP1*, favoring higher cell division rates and increased

growth. Moreover, *AtCDT1a* showed increased expression in *aip10-1* plants after inoculation

with both bioinoculants. A similar pattern was observed for the cell division marker *CYCB1;1*,

supporting the idea that beneficial bacterial colonization could stimulate the higher cell division

rates observed in *aip10-1*.

Nutrient availability decisively modulated the interaction between PGPB and *aip10-1*

plants, with an intensified growth-promoting response under low-fertilization conditions.

Nitrogen levels in the environment regulate the expression of multiple genes involved in

assimilation, transport, and utilization (Wilkinson and Crawford, 1993; Lothier *et al.*, 2011;

O'Brien *et al.*, 2016). In this study, we investigated the regulation of genes associated with

nitrogen assimilation which are modulated by variations in N sources, especially when

combined with the presence of PGPB (Rosman *et al.*, 2024).

Inoculation with *H. seropedicae* promoted the induction of *NIA1* in plants lacking

AIP10 grown under low-nitrogen fertilization conditions. In plants, nitrate absorbed by the

roots is reduced to ammonium by nitrate reductase, encoded by *NIA1* and *NIA2*, and

subsequently incorporated into amino acids (Wilkinson and Crawford, 1993). Transcriptome

analysis revealed that *NIA1* was induced in shoots and roots of *A. thaliana* in response to

inoculation with Pal5, at 50 DAI (Soares *et al.*, 2024). Moreover, inoculation with the

endophytic fungus *Piriformospora indica* leads to the induction of NR gene expression,

resulting in increased nitrogen accumulation in Arabidopsis and tobacco seedlings (Sherameti

et al., 2005). Ammonium is primarily assimilated in the roots, from where it travels to the aerial

parts of the plant, initially being converted into glutamine through an ATP-dependent reaction

catalyzed by GS (Tobin and Yamaya, 2001; Thomsen *et al.*, 2014). The expression dynamics

of *GLN1;1*, which encodes for a high-affinity ammonium isoform, have shown that regardless

of nutrient availability conditions, mutant plants tend to exhibit higher expression levels. In

response to inoculation with HRC54 and Pal5, this tendency is markedly more pronounced

under low-nutrient conditions. Given that *GLN1;1* and *GLN1;4* are highly expressed under low

nitrogen availability, studies presume that high-affinity isoforms play a predominant role in

ammonium assimilation at low concentrations in roots (Lothier *et al.*, 2011; Konishi *et al.*,

2018). Notably, high N availability induced the expression of *NIA1*, *NIA2*, and *GLN1;3,* which

can contribute to ammonium assimilation under conditions of sufficient ammonium

availability, in non-inoculated mutant plants compared to wild-type plants, with no additional

effect of inoculation. These results align with findings from (Montessoro *et al.*, 2025) showing

that *AIP10* mutants achieve superior carbon assimilation and biomass gain under high

fertilization. In an integrated manner, the results suggest that silencing *AIP10* favors the
induction of ammonium assimilation pathways, mainly in roots submitted to high nitrogen
levels. However, under conditions of low availability, this pattern was only reproduced in
inoculated mutants, particularly those inoculated with HRC54. From a bacterial perspective,
nifH expression in HRC54 and PAL5 associated with plant roots was quantified at 7 DAI,
before differential fertilization, and at 16 DAI, after three days of nutritional treatment. *aip10-*
1 plants consistently showed higher *nifH* levels than Col-0 for both strains at 7 DAI.
Remarkably, this difference persisted at 16 DAI only under low-nutrient conditions. Given that
the plant growth-promoting capacity of *H. seropedicae* and *G. diazotrophicus* is associated
with the coordinated action of genes linked to N_2 fixation (Pedrosa *et al.*, 2011; Tufail *et al.*,
2021), our results suggest that the loss of function of AIP10 not only increases root colonization
but also potentiates bacterial N_2-fixation metabolism, especially in environments with low N
availability.

AIP10 as a key regulatory target for improving plant performance under nutrient-limited
conditions in association with PGPB

Conventional strategies for maintaining high crop productivity largely depend on the
extensive application of nitrogen-based fertilizers. However, crop yields have not increased
proportionally to fertilizer inputs, revealing low NUE and higher production costs (Mueller *et*
al., 2019). In this context, to meet the nutritional needs of crops and maintain high levels of
productivity, modern agriculture has resorted to the use of bio-inputs, which favor plant
production, soil health, and the reduction of GHG emissions. Therefore, investing in
alternatives such as modulation of native root microbiomes to favor enrichment with PGPB, or
development PGPB-based biological inputs, becomes crucial strategies to ensure the
agricultural sustainability of various crops such as maize, sugarcane, wheat, and rice (Canellas
et al., 2013; Martins *et al.*, 2020; Carril *et al.*, 2021; Pankievicz *et al.*, 2021; Tufail *et al.*, 2021).
Dissecting the plant genetic factors that govern the attraction of beneficial PGPB within the
microbiome, as well as the establishment of effective interactions with PGPB-based
bioinoculants, is essential for improving crop yield and plant fitness.
The absence of AIP10 shaped root microbiome to a higher abundance of PGPB and
diazotrophic bacteria. This technology also increased responsiveness to colonization by
bioinoculants under low nutrient availability. Remarkably, the enhanced traits observed in non-

inoculated *aip10-1* plants were further amplified upon inoculation, indicating additive effects
on plant growth promotion. PGPB inoculation in low nutrient availability resulted in enhanced
growth similar to that observed in non-inoculated mutant plants grown under high fertilization
(Montessoro *et al.*, 2025). Under these conditions, inoculation increased shoot biomass
accumulation by up to 35% in *aip10-1* plants under low fertilization. Regarding root
development, silencing *AIP10* promoted the formation of more robust root systems in response
to inoculation with HRC54 and Pal5 under low fertilization, increasing root surface area by up
to 42% compared to Col-0. This enhanced root growth resulted in a significant increase in dry
biomass, with gains of up to 70% after inoculation, equivalent to the increase observed in non-
inoculated *aip10-1* plants grown under high fertilization. The efficiency of the interaction
between plants and PGPB has been widely attributed to external conditions, including plant
genotype, bacterial genotype, and soil nutrient availability (Ballesteros *et al.*, 2021; Carvalho
et al., 2022; Rosman *et al.*, 2024). Among these factors, the nutritional composition of the soil
stands out, playing an essential role in controlling plant growth and development, including the
establishment of the plant-PGPB association. Low nitrogen fertilization conditions encourage
the establishment of efficient plant-bacteria associations (Tufail *et al.*, 2021; Rosman *et al.*,
2024). In sugarcane, the association with PGPB can contribute up to 70% of all accumulated
N through BNF or improved NUE, varying according to the plant and bacterial genotype and
soil fertility (Urquiaga *et al.*, 2012; Antunes *et al.*, 2019; Martins *et al.*, 2020; Singh *et al.*,
2021). Among the diazotrophic bacteria that are associated with sugarcane, the *Herbaspirillum*
stands out, found colonizing the apoplast of leaves, very close to the vascular parenchyma cells
(Silva *et al.*, 2003). Several studies have already reported the positive effects of this association,
such as promoting increased biomass, shoot and secondary root growth, as well as the
accumulation of N, P and K (Oliveira *et al.*, 2006; dos Santos *et al.*, 2020; Martins *et al.*, 2020).
Specifically for *H. seropedicae* in maize, inoculation has been shown to increase root fresh
mass, root surface area (Nunes *et al.*, 2021); number of lateral roots (Do Amaral *et al.*, 2014;
Ferrari *et al.*, 2014; Hardoim *et al.*, 2020); N accumulation (Brusamarello-Santos *et al.*, 2017);
in addition to altering N metabolism and ensuring tolerance to abiotic stresses (Alves *et al.*,
2014; Curá *et al.*, 2017; Breda *et al.*, 2019; Dias *et al.*, 2021). Moreover, *aip10-1* plants
inoculated with Biofree showed increased rosette expansion and biomass accumulation
compared with mock-treated mutants under low nutrient availability. As this commercial
bioinoculant comprises *A. brasilense* Ab-V6 and *P. fluorescens* CCTB03, PGPB associated
with phytohormone synthesis and phosphate solubilization (Park *et al.*, 2009; Zhao *et al.*,
2025), these results suggest that multiple growth-promoting mechanisms may contribute to

enhanced plant performance in plants with silenced *AIP10* expression under reduced chemical
fertilization.

Our observations raise the important question of why the loss of AIP10 function, a gene
conserved across terrestrial plants, confer advantages such as enhanced root colonization by
beneficial bacteria. We previously proposed that AIP10 acts within an integrated regulatory
network that maintains transcriptional homeostasis among its components, to fine-tune plant
responses to environmental conditions. Several traits displayed by the loss of AIP10 activity
are associated with improved resilience linked to the conquest of the terrestrial environment,
including higher CO_2 assimilation and reduced transpiration, leading to more efficient water
use. We can hypothesize that AIP10 function on soil-microbe interactions have also evolved
in the context of plant adaptation to terrestrial environments.

Enhancing plant-beneficial bacteria interactions, either in native microbiome or in
response to inoculation, emerges as a strategic alternative to increase agricultural productivity
and advance towards sustainable practices. Here, we investigated the modulation of the native
root microbiome and the response to inoculation with bioinoculants of *A. thaliana aip10-1*
plants with silenced *AIP10* expression, aiming to increase plant growth and biomass
accumulation in scenarios of lower chemical fertilizer use. Remarkably, under low fertilization
conditions, plants lacking AIP10 shaped their root microbiome to a higher abundance of PGPB
and diazotrophic bacteria. *aip10-1* mutants also respond better to bioinoculants, under low
fertilization conditions, accumulating biomass comparable to that of non-inoculated mutants
grown with high fertilization. In addition, similarly to the vegetative phase, low fertilization
increased reproductive development, leading to a 29% increase in siliques on the main
inflorescence axis compared with all other groups under the same conditions. Taken together,
our results indicate that modifying *AIP10* expression, previously associated with the control of
a central hub that integrates cell division rates and primary metabolism, can be used as a
strategy to improve nutrient use efficiency under low chemical fertilization, contributing for a
more sustainable and regenerative agriculture. This approach results in a real increase in
biomass, productivity, and possibly the nutritional value of the plants, having an important
impact on food security.

Supplementary data

The following supplementary data are available at JWB online.

Supplementary Table S1. Primers used in this study.

Supplementary Table S2. Relative abundance of diazotrophic, plant growth–promoting
(PGPB), and pathogenic bacterial communities associated with the roots of Col-0 and *aip10-1*
plants.

Supplementary Fig. S1. Differential gene expression patterns in roots Col-0 and *aip10-1* of *A.*
thaliana 11 days after germination.

Supplementary Fig S2. Visualization of bacterial colonization patterns in different root zones
of *A. thaliana* Col-0 and *aip10-1* mutant plants.

Supplementary Fig S3. Experimental design of the differential fertilization trial in *aip10-1* and
wild-type Col-0 plants inoculated with *H. seropedicae* HRC54 (HRC54), *G. diazotrophicus*
Pal5 (Pal5), Biofree or non-inoculated (mock).

Supplementary Fig S4. Growth performance and physiological responses of Col-0 and *aip10-*
1 plants inoculated with commercial bioinoculant Biofree under contrasting nutrient
conditions.

Supplementary Fig S5. Root architecture responses of Col-0 and *aip10-1 A. thaliana* plants to
Biofree inoculation under contrasting nutrient conditions.

Supplementary Fig S6. Reproductive performance of Col-0 and *aip10-1 A. thaliana* plants in
response to Biofree inoculation under high- and low-nutrient conditions.

Author contribution

MCU: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review
& editing. HF: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing –
review & editing. PM: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. JVS:
Methodology, Writing – original draft. SGE: Data curation, Methodology, AH: Funding
acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

This research was supported by grants from Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ) and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq). CNPq, FAPERJ, and the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES) also supported the work with graduate and post-graduate scholarships. MCU and HF were supported by a CNPq postdoctoral fellowship. PM were supported by CNPq/SEMPI/MCTI grant no. 021/2021 (Rhae Program). SGE was supported by a CAPES MSc fellowship. JVS was supported by a CNPq undergraduate fellowship. ASH was supported by a research scientist fellowship from CNPq (306643/2022-7).

5. References

Alves GC, Videira SS, Urquiaga S, Reis VM. 2014. Differential plant growth promotion and nitrogen fixation in two genotypes of maize by several Herbaspirillum inoculants. Plant Soil, 1–15.

Do Amaral FP, Bueno JCF, Hermes VS, Arisi ACM. 2014. Gene expression analysis of maize seedlings (DKB240 variety) inoculated with plant growth promoting bacterium Herbaspirillum seropedicae. Symbiosis **62**, 41–50.

Antunes JEL, De Freitas ADS, Oliveira LMS, De Lyra MDCCP, Fonseca MAC, Santos CERS, De Oliveira JP, De Araújo ASF, Figueiredo MVB. 2019. Sugarcane inoculated with endophytic diazotrophic bacteria: Effects on yield, biological nitrogen fixation and industrial characteristics. Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciencias **91**, 1–12.

Aquino JPA, Antunes JEL, Bonifácio A, Rocha SMB, Amorim MR, Alcântara Neto F, Araujo ASF. 2021. Plant growth-promoting bacteria improve growth and nitrogen metabolism in maize and sorghum. Theoretical and Experimental Plant Physiology **33**, 249–260.

Baldani JI, Pot B, Kirchhof G, *et al.* 1996. Emended Description of Herbaspirillum; Inclusion of [Pseudomonas] rubrisubalbicans, a Mild Plant Pathogen, as Herbaspirillum rubrisubalbicans comb. nov.; and Classification of a Group of Clinical Isolates (EF Group 1) as Herbaspirillum Species 3. International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology, 802–810.

Ballesteros HGF, Rosman AC, Carvalho TLG, Grativol C, Hemerly AS. 2021. Cell wall formation pathways are differentially regulated in sugarcane contrasting genotypes associated with endophytic diazotrophic bacteria. Planta **254**, 1–21.

Bauhus J, Messier C. 1999. IMAGE ANALYSIS Evaluation of Fine Root Length and Diameter Measurements Obtained Using RHIZO Image Analysis. Agronomie **91**, 142–147.

Breda FA, da Silva TFR, dos Santos SG, Alves GC, Reis VM. 2019. Modulation of nitrogen metabolism of maize plants inoculated with Azospirillum brasilense and Herbaspirillum seropedicae. Archives of Microbiology **201**, 547–558.

Brusamarello-Santos LC, Gilard F, Brulé L, Quilleré I, Gourion B, Ratet P, De Souza EM, Lea PJ, Hirel B. 2017. Metabolic profiling of two maize (Zea mays L.) inbred lines inoculated with the nitrogen fixing plant-interacting bacteria Herbaspirillum seropedicae and Azospirillum brasilense. PLoS ONE **12**, 1–19.

Canellas LP, Balmori DM, Médici LO, Aguiar NO, Campostrini E, Rosa RCC, Façanha
AR, Olivares FL. 2013. A combination of humic substances and Herbaspirillum seropedicae
inoculation enhances the growth of maize (Zea mays L.). Plant and Soil **366**, 119–132.
Carneiro AK, Montessoro P da F, Fusaro AF, Araújo BG, Hemerly AS. 2021. Plant
cdks—driving the cell cycle through climate change. Plants **10**, 1–27.
Carril P, Cruz J, di Serio C, Pieraccini G, Ait Bessai S, Tenreiro R, Cruz C. 2021.
Modulation of the Wheat Seed-Borne Bacterial Community by Herbaspirillum seropedicae
RAM10 and Its Potential Effects for Tryptophan Metabolism in the Root Endosphere.
Frontiers in Microbiology **12**.
Carvalho T, Ballesteros H, Thiebaut F, Ferreira P, Hemerly A. 2016. Nice to meet you:
genetic, epigenetic and metabolic controls of plant perception of beneficial associative and
endophytic diazotrophic bacteria in non-leguminous plants. Plant Molecular Biology **90**, 1–
14.
Carvalho TLG, Balsemão-Pires E, Saraiva RM, Ferreira PCG, Hemerly AS. 2014.
Nitrogen signalling in plant interactions with associative and endophytic diazotrophic
bacteria. Journal of Experimental Botany **65**, 5631–5642.
Carvalho TLG, Ferreira PCG, Hemerly AS. 2011. Sugarcane Genetic Controls Involved
in the Association with Beneficial Endophytic Nitrogen Fixing Bacteria. Tropical Plant
Biology **4**, 31–41.
Carvalho TLG, Rosman AC, Grativol C, Nogueira EM, Baldani J, Hemerly AS. 2022.
Sugarcane Genotypes with Contrasting Biological Nitrogen Fixation Efficiencies
Differentially Modulate Nitrogen. Plants **11**, 1–31.
Cohen AC, Bottini R, Pontin M, Berli FJ, Moreno D, Boccanlandro H, Travaglia CN,
Piccoli PN. 2015. Azospirillum brasilense ameliorates the response of Arabidopsis thaliana
to drought mainly via enhancement of ABA levels. Physiologia Plantarum **153**, 79–90.
Curá A, Franz DR, Filosofía JE, Balestrasse KB, Burgueño LE. 2017. Inoculation with
Azospirillum sp . and Herbaspirillum sp . Bacteria Increases the Tolerance of Maize to
Drought Stress. Microorganisms, 1–16.
Dias AC, Alves GC, Da Silva TFR, Alves BJR, Santos LA, Reis VM. 2021. Comparison
of N uptake of maize inoculated with two diazotrophic bacterial species grown under two N
levels. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science **00**, 1–17.
Dora S, Terrett OM, Sánchez-Rodríguez C. 2022. Plant-microbe interactions in the
apoplast: Communication at the plant cell wall. Plant Cell **34**, 1532–1550.
Doyle JJ, Doyle JL. 1987. A Rapid DNA Isolation Procedure for Small Quantities of Fresh
Leaf Tissue. Phytochemical Bulletin **19**, 11–15.
Draeger C, Ndinyanka Fabrice T, Gineau E, *et al.* 2015. Arabidopsis leucine-rich repeat
extensin (LRX) proteins modify cell wall composition and influence plant growth. BMC
Plant Biology **15**, 1–11.
Espindula E, Sperb ER, Bach E, Passaglia LMP. 2019. The combined analysis as the best
strategy for dual RNA-seq mapping. Genetics and Molecular Biology **42**.
Faus I, Niñoles R, Kesari V, Llabata P, Tam E, Nebauer SG, Santiago J, Hauser MT,
Gadea J. 2018. Arabidopsis ILITHYIA protein is necessary for proper chloroplast biogenesis
and root development independent of eIF2α phosphorylation. Journal of Plant Physiology
224–225, 173–182.

Favero VO, Carvalho RH, Motta VM, Leite ABC, Coelho MRR, Xavier GR, Rumjanek NG, Urquiaga S. 2021. Bradyrhizobium as the Only Rhizobial Inhabitant of Mung Bean (Vigna radiata) Nodules in Tropical Soils: A Strategy Based on Microbiome for Improving Biological Nitrogen Fixation Using Bio-Products. Frontiers in Plant Science **11**, 1–16.

Ferrarezi JA, Carvalho-Estrada P de A, Batista BD, *et al.* 2022. Effects of inoculation with plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria from the Brazilian Amazon on the bacterial community associated with maize in field. Applied Soil Ecology **170**, 1–14.

Ferrari CS, Amaral FP, Bueno JCF, Scariot MC, Valentim-Neto PA, Arisi ACM. 2014. Expressed Proteins of Herbaspirillum seropedicae in Maize (DKB240) Roots-Bacteria Interaction Revealed Using Proteomics. Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology **174**, 2267–2277.

Filgueiras L, Silva R, Almeida I, Vidal M, Baldani JI, Meneses CHSG. 2020. Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus mitigates drought stress in Oryza sativa L. Plant and Soil **451**, 57–73.

Fukami J, Ollero FJ, Megías M, Hungria M. 2017. Phytohormones and induction of plant-stress tolerance and defense genes by seed and foliar inoculation with Azospirillum brasilense cells and metabolites promote maize growth. AMB Express **7**, 1–13.

Gao Y, Feng J, Wu J, Wang K, Wu S, Liu H, Jiang M. 2022. Transcriptome analysis of the growth-promoting effect of volatile organic compounds produced by Microbacterium aurantiacum GX14001 on tobacco (Nicotiana benthamiana). BMC Plant Biology **22**, 1–15.

Govindasamy P, Muthusamy SK, Bagavathiannan M, *et al.* 2023. Nitrogen use efficiency—a key to enhance crop productivity under a changing climate. Frontiers in Plant Science **14**, 1–48.

Harbort CJ, Hashimoto M, Inoue H, *et al.* 2020. Root-Secreted Coumarins and the Microbiota Interact to Improve Iron Nutrition in Arabidopsis. Cell Host and Microbe **28**, 825-837.e6.

Hardoim PR, de Carvalho TLG, Ballesteros HGF, Bellieny-Rabelo D, Rojas CA, Venancio TM, Ferreira PCG, Hemerly AS. 2020. Genome-wide transcriptome profiling provides insights into the responses of maize (Zea mays L.) to diazotrophic bacteria. Plant and Soil **451**, 121–143.

Hemelda NM, Noutoshi Y. 2025. Root-exuded sugars as drivers of rhizosphere microbiome assembly. Plant Biotechnology **42**, 215–227.

Huang AC, Jiang T, Liu YX, Bai YC, Reed J, Qu B, Goossens A, Nützmann HW, Bai Y, Osbourn A. 2019. A specialized metabolic network selectively modulates Arabidopsis root microbiota. Science **364**, 1–11.

Ishiyama K, Inoue E, Watanabe-Takahashi A, Obara M, Yamaya T, Takahashi H. 2004. Kinetic Properties and Ammonium-dependent Regulation of Cytosolic Isoenzymes of Glutamine Synthetase in Arabidopsis. Journal of Biological Chemistry **279**, 16598–16605.

Jones AR, Forero-Vargas M, Withers SP, Smith RS, Traas J, Dewitte W, Murray JAH. 2017. Cell-size dependent progression of the cell cycle creates homeostasis and flexibility of plant cell size. Nature Communications **8**, 1–13.

Jorgensen P, Tyers M. 2004. How cells coordinate growth and division. Current Biology **14**, 1–14.

Kandel SL, Joubert PM, Doty SL. 2017. Bacterial endophyte colonization and distribution
within plants. Microorganisms **5**.
Konishi N, Saito M, Imagawa F, Kanno K, Yamaya T, Kojima S. 2018. Cytosolic
Glutamine Synthetase Isozymes Play Redundant Roles in Ammonium Assimilation under
Low-Ammonium Conditions in Roots of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant and Cell Physiology **59**,
601–613.
Leandro MR, Rangel PL, dos Santos TC, *et al.* 2019. Colonization of Arabidopsis thaliana
by Herbaspirillum seropedicae promotes its growth and changes its proteomic profile. Plant
and Soil **443**, 429–447.
Lebeis SL, Sur Herrera Paredes, Derek S. Lundberg, *et al.* 2015. Salicylic acid modulates
colonizationof the root microbiome by specificbacterial taxa. Science **349**, 860–864.
Liu H, Carvalhais LC, Crawford M, Singh E, Dennis PG, Pieterse CMJ, Schenk PM.
2017. Inner plant values: Diversity, colonization and benefits from endophytic bacteria.
Frontiers in Microbiology **8**, 1–17.
Liu Y, Xu C, Chen S, Chu G, Yu K, Wang D. 2025. Beyond genotype: the influence of
developmental stage on rice rhizospheric microbiome-metabolome networks. BMC Plant
Biology **25**.
Logemann J, Schell J, Willmitzer L. 1987. Improved Method for the Isolation of RNA
from Plant Tissues. ANALYTICAL BIOCHEMISTRY **163**, 16–20.
Lothier J, Gaufichon L, Sormani R, Lemaître T, Azzopardi M, Morin H, Chardon F,
Reisdorf-Cren M, Avice JC, Masclaux-Daubresse C. 2011. The cytosolic glutamine
synthetase GLN1;2 plays a role in the control of plant growth and ammonium homeostasis in
Arabidopsis rosettes when nitrate supply is not limiting. Journal of Experimental Botany **62**,
1375–1390.
Ma KW, Niu Y, Jia Y, *et al.* 2021. Coordination of microbe–host homeostasis by crosstalk
with plant innate immunity. Nature Plants **7**, 814–825.
Martins DS, Reis VM, Schultz N, Alves BJR, Urquiaga S, Pereira W, Sousa JS, Boddey
RM. 2020. Both the contribution of soil nitrogen and of biological N2 fixation to sugarcane
can increase with the inoculation of diazotrophic bacteria. Plant and Soil **454**, 155–169.
Masuda HP, Cabral LM, De Veylder L, Tanurdzic M, De Almeida Engler J, Geelen D,
Inzé D, Martienssen RA, Ferreira PCG, Hemerly AS. 2008. ABAP1 is a novel plant
Armadillo BTB protein involved in DNA replication and transcription. EMBO Journal **27**,
2746–2756.
Monaghan J, Li X. 2010. The HEAT repeat protein ILITYHIA is Required for plant
immunity. Plant and Cell Physiology **51**, 742–753.
Montessoro P, Paixão JFR, Costa CNM, *et al.* 2025. The ABAP1 interacting protein 10
(AIP10) exerts a dual role in the cell cycle and primary metabolism pathways in Arabidopsis
thaliana. Plant Journal **123**, 1–24.
Moretti LG, Crusciol CAC, Bossolani JW, Calonego JC, Moreira A, Garcia A,
Momesso L, Kuramae EE, Hungria M. 2021. Beneficial microbial species and metabolites
alleviate soybean oxidative damage and increase grain yield during short dry spells. European
Journal of Agronomy **127**, 1–12.
Mueller SM, Messina CD, Vyn TJ. 2019. Simultaneous gains in grain yield and nitrogen
efficiency over 70 years of maize genetic improvement. Scientific Reports **9**, 1–8.

Nichio BT de L, Chaves RBR, Pedrosa F de O, Raittz RT. 2025. Exploring diazotrophic diversity: unveiling Nif core distribution and evolutionary patterns in nitrogen-fixing organisms. BMC Genomics **26**, 1–17.

Nunes R de O, Domiciano Abrahão G, de Sousa Alves W, Aparecida de Oliveira J, César Sousa Nogueira F, Pasqualoto Canellas L, Lopes Olivares F, Benedeta Zingali R, Soares MR. 2021. Quantitative proteomic analysis reveals altered enzyme expression profile in Zea mays roots during the early stages of colonization by Herbaspirillum seropedicae. Proteomics **21**, 1–12.

O'Brien JAA, Vega A, Bouguyon E, Krouk G, Gojon A, Coruzzi G, Gutiérrez RAA. 2016. Nitrate Transport, Sensing, and Responses in Plants. Molecular Plant **9**, 837–856.

Oliveira LM De, Canuto EDL, Urquiaga S, Reis VM. 2006. Yield of micropropagated sugarcane varieties in different soil types following inoculation with diazotrophic bacteria. **1**, 23–32.

Omara P, Aula L, Oyebiyi F, Raun WR. 2019. World Cereal Nitrogen Use Efficiency Trends: Review and Current Knowledge. Agrosystems, Geosciences and Environment **2**, 1–8.

Orozco-Mosqueda M del C, Santoyo G, Glick BR. 2023. Recent Advances in the Bacterial Phytohormone Modulation of Plant Growth. Plants **12**, 1–15.

Pankievicz VC, do Amaral FP, Ané JM, Stacey G. 2021. Diazotrophic bacteria and their mechanisms to interact and benefit cereals. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions **34**, 491–498.

Park KH, Lee CY, Son HJ. 2009. Mechanism of insoluble phosphate solubilization by Pseudomonas fluorescens RAF15 isolated from ginseng rhizosphere and its plant growth-promoting activities. Letters in Applied Microbiology **49**, 222–228.

Pedrosa FO, Monteiro RA, Wassem R, _et al._ 2011. Genome of herbaspirillum seropedicae strain SmR1, a specialized diazotrophic endophyte of tropical grasses. PLoS Genetics **7**, 1–10.

Pereira W, Oliveira RP, Pereira A, Sousa JS, Schultz N, Urquiaga S, Reis VM. 2021. Nitrogen acquisition and 15N-fertiliser recovery efficiency of sugarcane cultivar RB92579 inoculated with five diazotrophs. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems **119**, 37–50.

Pierik R, Fankhauser C, Strader LC, Sinha N. 2021. Architecture and plasticity: Optimizing plant performance in dynamic environments. Plant Physiology **187**, 1029–1032.

Qi F, Zhang F. 2020. Cell cycle regulation in the plant response to stress. Frontiers in Plant Science **10**, 1–23.

Rodrigues GL, Matteoli FP, Gazara RK, _et al._ 2022. Characterization of cellular, biochemical and genomic features of the diazotrophic plant growth-promoting bacterium Azospirillum sp. UENF-412522, a novel member of the Azospirillum genus. Microbiological Research **254**, 1–12.

Rosman AC, Urquiaga MC de O, Thiebaut F, Ballesteros HGF, de Oliveira EAG, Hemerly AS. 2024. Dual RNA-seq of maize and H. seropedicae ZAE94 association, in different doses of nitrate, reveals novel insights into Plant-PGPB-environment relationship. Frontiers in Plant Science **15**, 1–23.

Di Salvo LP, Cellucci GC, Carlino ME, García de Salamone IE. 2018. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria inoculation and nitrogen fertilization increase maize (Zea mays L.)

grain yield and modified rhizosphere microbial communities. Applied Soil Ecology **126**, 113–120.

dos Santos R, Andrea P, Diaz E, Lana L, Lobo B. 2020. Use of Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria in Maize and Sugarcane : Characteristics and Applications. **4**, 1–15.

Dos Santos TC, Leandro MR, Maia CY, Rangel P, Soares FS, Reis R, Passamani L, Silveira V, De Souza Filho GA. 2020. Arabidopsis thaliana exudates induce growth and proteomic changes in Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus. PeerJ **8**.

Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, *et al.* 2012. Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nature Methods **9**, 676–682.

Sherameti I, Shahollari B, Venus Y, Altschmied L, Varma A, Oelmüller R. 2005. The endophytic fungus Piriformospora indica stimulates the expression of nitrate reductase and the starch-degrading enzyme glucan-water dikinase in tobacco and Arabidopsis roots through a homeodomain transcription factor that binds to a conserved motif in their promoters. Journal of Biological Chemistry **280**, 26241–26247.

Silva GL, Miguens CF, Olivares FL. 2003. Herbaspirillum seropedicae and sugarcane endophytic interaction investigated by using high pressure freezing electron microscopy. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology **34**, 69–71.

Singh P, Singh RK, Li HB, *et al.* 2021. Diazotrophic Bacteria Pantoea dispersa and Enterobacter asburiae Promote Sugarcane Growth by Inducing Nitrogen Uptake and Defense-Related Gene Expression. Frontiers in Microbiology **11**, 1–20.

Soares FS, Rangel de Souza ALS, de Souza SA, de Souza Vespoli L, Pinto VB, Matiello L, da Silva FR, Menossi M, de Souza Filho GA. 2024. Fine-Tuning of Arabidopsis thaliana Response to Endophytic Colonization by Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus PAL5 Revealed by Transcriptomic Analysis. Plants **13**, 1–19.

Souza ALSR De, Souza SA De, Oliveira MVV De, Ferraz TM. 2016. Endophytic colonization of Arabidopsis thaliana by Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus and its effect on plant growth promotion , plant physiology , and activation of plant defense. Plant Soil **399**, 257–270.

Srivastava S, Ranjan M, Bano N, Asif MH, Srivastava S. 2023. Comparative transcriptome analysis reveals the phosphate starvation alleviation mechanism of phosphate accumulating Pseudomonas putida in Arabidopsis thaliana. Scientific Reports **13**, 1–15.

Stringlis IA, Proietti S, Hickman R, Van Verk MC, Zamioudis C, Pieterse CMJ. 2018. Root transcriptional dynamics induced by beneficial rhizobacteria and microbial immune elicitors reveal signatures of adaptation to mutualists. Plant Journal **93**, 166–180.

Tang J, Wu D, Li X, *et al.* 2022. Plant immunity suppression via PHR1-RALF-FERONIA shapes the root microbiome to alleviate phosphate starvation. The EMBO Journal **41**, 1–19.

Thiebaut F, Rojas CA, Grativol C, *et al.* 2017. Roles of non-coding RNA in sugarcane-microbe interaction. Non-coding RNA **3**, 1–24.

Thiebaut F, Urquiaga MC de O, Rosman AC, da Silva ML, Hemerly AS. 2022. The Impact of Non-Nodulating Diazotrophic Bacteria in Agriculture: Understanding the Molecular Mechanisms That Benefit Crops. International Journal of Molecular Sciences **23**, 1–22.

Thomsen HC, Eriksson D, Møller IS, Schjoerring JK. 2014. Cytosolic glutamine
synthetase: A target for improvement of crop nitrogen use efficiency? Trends in Plant
Science **19**, 656–663.

Tian W, Li L, Xiao X, *et al.* 2022. Identification of a plant endophytic growth-promoting
bacteria capable of inhibiting cadmium uptake in rice. Journal of Applied Microbiology **132**,
520–531.

Tilman D, Balzer C, Hill J, Befort BL. 2011. Global food demand and the sustainable
intensification of agriculture. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
States of America **108**, 20260–20264.

Tobin AK, Yamaya T. 2001. Cellular compartmentation of ammonium assimilation in rice
and barley. Journal of Experimental Botany **52**, 591–604.

Tufail MA, Touceda-González M, Pertot I, Ehlers RU. 2021. Gluconacetobacter
diazotrophicus pal5 enhances plant robustness status under the combination of moderate
drought and low nitrogen stress in zea mays l. Microorganisms **9**.

**Upadhyay SK, Srivastava AK, Rajput VD, Chauhan PK, Bhojiya AA, Jain D, Chaubey
G, Dwivedi P, Sharma B, Minkina T**. 2022. Root Exudates: Mechanistic Insight of Plant
Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria for Sustainable Crop Production. Frontiers in Microbiology
13.

Urquiaga S, Boddey R. 1992. Contribution of Nitrogen Fixation to Sugar Cane: Nitrogen15
and NitrogenBalance Estimates. doi: 10.2136/sssaj1992.03615995005600010017x.

Urquiaga S, Xavier RP, de Morais RF, *et al.* 2012. Evidence from field nitrogen balance
and 15N natural abundance data for the contribution of biological N 2 fixation to Brazilian
sugarcane varieties. Plant and Soil **356**, 5–21.

**Vacheron J, Desbrosses G, Bouffaud ML, Touraine B, Moënne-Loccoz Y, Muller D,
Legendre L, Wisniewski-Dyé F, Prigent-Combaret C**. 2013. Plant growth-promoting
rhizobacteria and root system functioning. Frontiers in Plant Science **4**, 1–19.

Vandenkoornhuyse P, Quaiser A, Duhamel M, Le Van A, Dufresne A. 2015. The
importance of the microbiome of the plant holobiont. New Phytologist **206**, 1196–1206.

Vargas L, Brígida A, Mota J, *et al.* 2014. Drought tolerance conferred to sugarcane by
association with Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus: A transcriptomic view of hormone
pathways. PLoS ONE, 1–37.

Vargas L, de Carvalho TLG, Ferreira PCG, Baldani VLD, Baldani JI, Hemerly AS.
2012. Early responses of rice (Oryza sativa L.) seedlings to inoculation with beneficial
diazotrophic bacteria are dependent on plant and bacterial genotypes. Plant and Soil **356**,
127–137.

Voges MJEEE, Bai Y, Schulze-Lefert P, Sattely ES. 2019. Plant-derived coumarins shape
the composition of an Arabidopsis synthetic root microbiome. Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **116**, 12558–12565.

Wilkinson JQ, Crawford NM. 1993. Identification and characterization of a chlorate-
resistant mutant of Arabidopsis thaliana with mutations in both nitrate reductase structural
genes NIA1 and NIA2. Molecular Genetics and Genomics **239**, 289–297.

Zhang J, Liu W, Bu J, Lin Y, Bai Y. 2023. Host genetics regulate the plant microbiome.
Current Opinion in Microbiology **72**.

Zhang J, Liu YX, Zhang N, *et al.* 2019. NRT1.1B is associated with root microbiota
composition and nitrogen use in field-grown rice. Nature Biotechnology **37**, 676–684.
Zhao H, Xu J, Huang L, Ding Y, Lin X, Sun C. 2025. Auxin and Root Hair Defective Six-
Like 4 Regulate Azospirillum brasilense-Induced Root Hair Development in Arabidopsis.
Plant, Cell and Environment, 1–2.
Zluhan-Martínez E, López-Ruíz BA, García-Gómez ML, García-Ponce B, de la Paz
Sánchez M, Álvarez-Buylla ER, Garay-Arroyo A. 2021. Integrative Roles of
Phytohormones on Cell Proliferation, Elongation and Differentiation in the Arabidopsis
thaliana Primary Root. Frontiers in Plant Science **12**, 1–20.
Zuluaga MYA, Milani KML, Gonçalves LSA, De Oliveira ALM. 2020. Diversity and
plant growth-promoting functions of diazotrophic/N-scavenging bacteria isolated from the
soils and rhizospheres of two species of Solanum. PLoS ONE **15**, 1–25.

1311

1312 **Table S1.** Primers used in this study.

Primer name	Primer Forward Sequence	Primer Reverse Sequence	Organism
RT-qPCR			
GAPDH	TTGGTGACAACAGGTCAAGCA	AAACTTGTCGCTCAATGCAATC	*A. thaliana*
UBI14	TCACTGGAAAGACCATTACTCTTGAA	AGCTGTTTTCCAGCGAAGATG	*A. thaliana*
ABAP1	TCAGCCTTAAGAAGAGCTTGCA	ACCATAATTGAGAGCTGAGCTTAGTG	*A. thaliana*
Cdt1a	AATCCGATCACGTCTTGAAGAAG	GAACCACGATCTCAAGAAAGCA	*A. thaliana*
Cyclin B1;1	CCTCCATTCACTCTCAACAG	CCTGGCAGCTGTGGAATATG	*A. thaliana*
ILA	CCCGACGGTTATCCAAGTTTC	ACATGTTTCCTCCTACCCACTTACA	*A. thaliana*
LRX4	CACTCCTCACGCAGATGTATCG	CTGACTTCGGTGTCGGAGAGA	*A. thaliana*
RSL4	TGGGATGGACATTGGTCTCA	CGTCCGAAGGCGGAGAATA	*A. thaliana*
XHT14	GCCTCCCCAAGGAGTGTAAAC	CAAGATGAAACAAAACGGAAAGAA	*A. thaliana*
nifH	AACAAGGCGCAGGAAATCTA	AAATGCCCTTGGAGATGTTG	*H. seropedicae*
nifH	GCGTCCGCCAGATCGTATT	CTGGGTGGACTGATCTGCAA	*G. diazotrophicus*
q-PCR			
AZO-2	GCGCGGAAGTCCTGAAT	GGTGGTCGATAACTCCATCG	*A. brasiliense*
FIC	CGCAAGGCAAACTCCAGATG	CAGGCACACGCATTCTCTTTC	*H. seropedicae*
GSH	CCTGGCTCGTCTACCTGATC	TGAGGCGGTGACTGACAA	*G. diazotrophicus*

1313
6. Figure legends

Fig 1. Repression of AIP10 expression by plant growth–promoting bacteria and
conservation of its nuclear protein structure in Arabidopsis and sugarcane. (A)
Expression profile of the *AIP10* homolog in sugarcane root and shoot tissues of two BNF
contrasting genotypes (SP70-1143, high BNF; Chunee, low BNF) from plants naturally
colonized by bacteria (Stalk) and plants grown under bacteria-free conditions (BF). Expression
values are shown as $\log_2$ fold change. **(B)** Predicted protein structures of *AIP10* putative

homologs encoded by two isoforms *AT1G80940.1* and *AT1G80940.3* from *A. thaliana*, and
Sspoon.04G0001710-3D from *Saccharum spontaneum*. Protein domains were predicted using
the SMART program. The scheme shows the nuclear localization signal (NLS), coiled-coil,
and ribosomal L32e domains, suggesting a possible role related to nuclear regulation and
protein interaction, potentially conserved between species. **(C)** Expression levels of *AIP10* in
A. thaliana Col-0 plants at 7 DAI after inoculation with two different PGPB strains, *G.*
diazotrophicus and *H. seropedicae*.

1328

Fig 2. Taxonomic distribution and enrichment patterns of key microbial functional
groups in roots and bulk soil of Col-0 and *aip10-1* plants following inoculation. (A) Venn
diagram showing shared and unique microbial species associated with roots and surrounding
soil from Col-0 and *aip10-1* plants. **(B–D)** Relative abundance (left panels) and z-score
heatmaps (right panels) for microbial groups with functional relevance to plant performance,
including **(B)** diazotrophic species, **(C)** plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB), and **(D)**
pathogenic species. Data are shown for root and soil compartments of Col-0 wild type and
aip10-1 plants. In the heat map, the color red represents higher abundance, and blue represents
lower abundance, according to the z-score value.

1338

Fig 3. Functional effect of AIP10 silencing on *A. thaliana* root colonization and association
with diazotrophic PGPB strains *H. seropedicae* HRC54 and *G. diazotrophicus* Pal5. (A)
Representative images of Col-0 and aip10-1 plants inoculated with G. diazotrophicus Pal5 or
H. seropedicae HRC54 at 20 days after germination (DAG). Mock-inoculated plants served as
controls. **(B–E)** Growth and developmental parameters of Col-0 and aip10-1 plants inoculated
with H. seropedicae HRC54 or G. diazotrophicus Pal5. **(B)** Primary root length and **(C)** lateral
root number measured at 15 DAG. **(D)** Dry weight of the shoots and **(E)** rosette area measured
at 21 DAG. Data are presented as box plots showing median, interquartile range, and
minimum/maximum values. **(F, G)** Absolute qPCR quantification of *H. seropedicae* HRC54
and *G. diazotrophicus* Pal5 colonization in roots of Col-0 and aip10-1 at 7 DAI, respectively.
The data represent the number of bacterial cells per gram of root tissue, obtained by absolute
qPCR quantification. **(H, I)** Relative expression of the *nifH* gene in *H. seropedicae* HRC54 and
G. diazotrophicus Pal5 colonizing Col-0 and aip10-1 roots at 7 DAI, quantified by RT-qPCR.
Gene expression values are shown as fold-change relative to GAPDH and UBI14 reference
genes and normalized to the mock-inoculated condition. **(J)** Confocal microscopy images
showing colonization patterns of *G. diazotrophicus* (RFP-labeled) *H. seropedicae* (GFP-
labeled) and in Col-0 and aip10-1 roots at 11 DAG and 7 DAI. Images include RFP, GFP, DIC,
and merged channels. Statistical analyses were performed using Student's t-test at a 5%
significance level. (*) indicates statistically significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$).

1358

Fig 4. RT-qPCR analysis for genes involved in cell division, cell wall organization,
bacterial response, and root morphogenesis in *aip10-1* and wild-type Col-0 roots, at 7 after
inoculation. (A) *ABAP1*. **(B)** *CDT1a*. **(C)** *CYCB1;1*. **(D)** *LRX4*. **(E)** *ILA*. **(F)** *RSL4*. RT-qPCR
results are presented as the ratio of expression of each gene relative to the constitutive
expression of *GAPDH* and *UBI14* reference genes when compared to mock and inoculated
plants. Bars represent mean ± standard deviation of the relative mRNA expression in three
biological replicates and each biological replicate analyzed with three technical replicates.
Statistical analysis was performed using the Student's t-test ($P \leq 0.05$). Means with different
letters are significantly different at 5% level of confidence.

1368

Fig 5. Differential fertilization assay (0.06 g/L and 0.12 g/L NPK) on *aip10-1* and wild-
type Col-0 plants inoculated with distinct plant growth-promotion bacteria. (A)
Representative images of *A. thaliana* Col-0 and *aip10-1* plants inoculated with *H. seropedicae*
HRC54 at 35 DAG (31 DAI), grown in a greenhouse under two different fertilization
conditions. **(B)** Representative images of *A. thaliana* Col-0 and *aip10-1* plants inoculated with
G. diazotrophicus Pal5 at 35 DAG (31 DAI), grown in a greenhouse under two different
fertilization conditions. **(C)** Rosette area measurement using ImageJ program in mock and
HRC54-inoculated plants at 35 DAG. **(D)** Shoot dry weight in mock and HRC54-inoculated
plants at 35 DAG. **(E)** Total chlorophyll content in leaf disks of mock and HRC54-inoculated
plants at 35 DAG. **(F)** Rosette area measurement using ImageJ program in mock and Pal5-
inoculated plants at 35 DAG. **(G)** Shoot dry weight in mock and Pal5-inoculated plants at 35
DAG. **(H)** Total chlorophyll content in leaf disks of mock and Pal5-inoculated plants at 35
DAG. The box plot graphs show the distribution of data of six individuals. The box represents
the interquartile range (IQR), with the inner line running down the median. The whiskers
extend to the maximum and minimum values, including all points within that range.
Differences between groups were confirmed by Student's *t*-test and means followed by
different letters indicate significant differences at the 5% confidence level.

1386

1387

Fig 6. Root development of Col-0 and *aip10-1* plants inoculated with different plant
growth-promoting bacteria, grown in a greenhouse under two fertilization conditions at
35 DAG. (A) Root dry weight in mock and HRC54-inoculated plants. **(B)** Root length in mock
and HRC54-inoculated plants. **(C)** Root surface area in mock and HRC54-inoculated plants.
(D) Root dry weight in mock and Pal5-inoculated plants. **(E)** Root length in mock and Pal5-
inoculated plants. **(F)** Root surface area in mock and Pal5-inoculated plants. The box plot
graphs show the distribution of data of five individuals. The box represents the interquartile
range (IQR), with the inner line running down the median. The whiskers extend to the
maximum and minimum values, including all points within that range. Differences between
groups were confirmed by Student's *t*-test and means followed by different letters indicate
significant differences at the 5% confidence level.

1399

1400

Fig 7. Reproductive stage of Col-0 and *aip10-1* plants inoculated with different plant
growth-promoting bacteria, cultivated in a greenhouse under two fertilization conditions
at 60 DAG. (A) Representative images of Col-0 and *aip10-1* during the reproductive stage
compare the different responses to HRC54 and Pal5 inoculation, in response to low and high
fertilization treatments. **(B)** Height of the main inflorescence axis in mock and HRC54-
inoculated plants. **(C)** Total number of siliques in the main inflorescence axis in mock and
HRC54-inoculated plants. **(D)** Total seed weight per individual in mock and HRC54-inoculated
plants. **(E)** Height of the main inflorescence axis in mock and Pal5-inoculated plants. **(F)** Total
number of siliques in the main inflorescence axis in mock and Pal5-inoculated plants. **(G)** Total
seed weight per individual in mock and Pal5-inoculated plants. The box plot graphs show the
distribution of data of five individuals. The box represents the interquartile range (IQR), with
the inner line running down the median. The whiskers extend to the maximum and minimum
values, including all points within that range. Differences between groups were confirmed by
Student's *t*-test and means followed by different letters indicate significant differences at the
5% confidence level.

1416

Figure 8. Expression profiling of nitrogen metabolism-related genes in Col-0 and *aip10-1* roots, cultivated in a greenhouse under two fertilization conditions at 20 DAG. Roots of wild-type Col-0 and the *aip10-1* knockout were cultivated in a greenhouse under high- and low-nitrogen fertilization and sampled at 20 DAG for RT-qPCR analysis.(**A, B**) GLN1;1 transcript levels in mock-, *H. seropedicae* HRC54–, and *G. diazotrophicus* Pal5–inoculated plants.(**C, D**) GLN1;3 transcript levels across the same inoculation treatments.(**E, F**) Expression patterns of NIA1, and (**G, H**) NIA2, in mock-, HRC54-, and Pal5-inoculated roots.(I, J) *nifH* transcript abundance from *H. seropedicae* HRC54 and *G. diazotrophicus* Pal5 colonizing Col-0 and *aip10-1* roots under both nitrogen treatments. Relative expression values were quantified by RT-qPCR and normalized by UBI14 and GAPDH reference genes. Data represent transcriptional responses to inoculation and nitrogen availability, highlighting genotype-dependent modulation of nitrogen assimilation pathways. Bars represent mean ± standard deviation of the relative mRNA expression in three biological replicates and each biological replicate analyzed with three technical replicates. Statistical analysis was performed using the Student's t-test ($P \leq 0.05$). Means with different letters are significantly different at 5% level of confidence.

Fig. 9. Expression profiling of nitrogen metabolism-related genes in Col-0 and *aip10-1* roots of inoculated plants, cultivated in a greenhouse under two fertilization conditions at 20 DAG. Roots of wild-type Col-0 and the *aip10-1* knockout were cultivated in a greenhouse under high- and low-nitrogen fertilization and sampled at 20 DAG for RT-qPCR analysis. (**A, B**) *nifH* transcript abundance from *H. seropedicae* HRC54 and *G. diazotrophicus* Pal5 colonizing Col-0 and *aip10-1* roots under both nitrogen treatments; (**B, C**) *GLN1;1* transcript levels in *H. seropedicae* HRC54–, *and G. diazotrophicus* Pal5–inoculated plants; (**E, F**) *NIA1* transcript levels in *H. seropedicae* HRC54–, *and G. diazotrophicus* Pal5–inoculated plants. Relative expression values were quantified by RT-qPCR and normalized by UBI14 and GAPDH reference genes. Bars represent mean ± standard deviation of the relative mRNA expression in three biological replicates and each biological replicate analyzed with three technical replicates. Statistical analysis was performed using the Student's t-test. Means with different letters are significantly different at 5% level of confidence.

Fig S1. Differential gene expression patterns in roots Col-0 and *aip10-1* of *A. thaliana* 11 days after germination. (**A**) Functional classification of differentially expressed transcripts (DEGs) in metabolic and biological pathways. (**B**) Gene expression pattern within the categories response to bacteria, hormone response, biotic stimulus response, and cell wall, highlighting the contribution of genes related to plant immunity and structural remodeling. (**C**) Expression pattern of genes involved in carbohydrate response, lipid metabolism, and general metabolic processes, suggesting an intense reorganization of energy and structural metabolism during root development. Expression values were obtained from RPKM data and represented as *log fold change* between *aip10-1*vs Col-0 roots. Pink bars indicate higher gene induction in *aip10-1*, while blue bars represent gene repression.

Fig S2. Visualization of bacterial colonization patterns in different root zones of *A. thaliana* Col-0 and *aip10-1* mutant plants. Confocal microscopy images showing bacterial localization in the meristematic zone, root hair area, elongation zone, and lateral root area. Plants were inoculated with *G. diazotrophicus* PAL5 strain expressing RFP (red) or *H. seropedicae* HRC54 strain expressing GFP (green) reporters, enabling direct visualization of colonization along the root surface and tissues. In *aip10-1* mutant roots, a higher fluorescence

signal was consistently observed across all analyzed regions, indicating a greater bacterial presence and colonization density compared with the Col-0 wild type. Scale bars = 100 μm.

Fig S3. Experimental design of the differential fertilization trial in *aip10-1* and wild-type Col-0 plants inoculated with *H. seropedicae* HRC54 (HRC54), *G. diazotrophicus* Pal5 (Pal5), Biofree or non-inoculated (mock). Seeds were pre-germinated *in vitro*, and seedlings were inoculated at 4 DAG. Seven days after inoculation (11 DAG), plants were transferred to the greenhouse. Differential irrigation treatments with low nutrients (0.06 g/L NPK; 20:20:20) and high nutrients (0.12 g/L NPK; 20:20:20) were initiated at 17 DAG. Samples for molecular analyses were collected at 11 and 20 DAG, while those for phenotypic analyses were collected at 35 and 60 DAG. Created with BioRender.com.

Fig S4. Growth performance and physiological responses of Col-0 and *aip10-1* plants inoculated with commercial bioinoculant Biofree under contrasting nutrient conditions. **(A)** Representative images of Col-0 and *aip10-1* plants grown under high-nutrient (HN) and low-nutrient (LN) conditions, and non-inoculated (Mock) or inoculated with the Biofree bacterial consortium. **(B)** Absolute qPCR quantification of *Azospirillum brasilense* Ab-V6 colonization in roots of Col-0 and aip10-1 at 7 DAI. **(C–E)** Quantification of key growth traits, including rosette area **(C)**, shoot dry weight **(B)**, and total chlorophyll content **(E)**. Plants were evaluated at the vegetative stage, and boxplots depict the distribution of five biological replicates. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$).

Fig S5. Root architecture responses of Col-0 and *aip10-1 A. thaliana* plants to Biofree inoculation under contrasting nutrient conditions. (A) Representative root system images of Col-0 and *aip10-1* plants grown under high-nutrient and low-nutrient conditions, non-inoculated (Mock) or inoculated with the Biofree microbial consortium. Quantitative analysis of **(B)** root dry weight, **(C)** primary root length, and **(D)** total root surface area. Boxplots represent five biological replicates; different letters indicate statistically significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$).

Fig S6. Reproductive performance of Col-0 and *aip10-1 A. thaliana* plants in response to Biofree inoculation under high- and low-nutrient conditions. (A) Representative images of inflorescence Col-0 and *aip10-1* grown under high-fertility and low-fertility conditions, non-inoculated (Mock) or inoculated with the Biofree microbial consortium. **(B–D)** Quantification of reproductive traits including **(B)** main inflorescence length, **(C)** number of siliques per main inflorescence, and **(D)** total seed weight per plant. Data are presented as boxplots of five biological replicates; different letters denote significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$).

1514
1515
1516
Fig 1. Repression of AIP10 expression by plant growth–promoting bacteria and
conservation of its nuclear protein structure in Arabidopsis and sugarcane. (A)
Expression profile of the *AIP10* homolog in sugarcane root and shoot tissues of two BNF
contrasting genotypes (SP70-1143, high BNF; Chunee, low BNF) from plants naturally
colonized by bacteria (Stalk) and plants grown under bacteria-free conditions (BF). Expression
values are shown as log$_2$ fold change. **(B)** Predicted protein structures of *AIP10* putative
homologs encoded by two isoforms *AT1G80940.1* and *AT1G80940.3* from *A. thaliana*, and
Sspoon.04G0001710-3D from *Saccharum spontaneum*. Protein domains were predicted using
the SMART program. The scheme shows the nuclear localization signal (NLS), coiled-coil,
and ribosomal L32e domains, suggesting a possible role related to nuclear regulation and
protein interaction, potentially conserved between species. **(C)** Expression levels of *AIP10* in
A. thaliana Col-0 plants at 7 DAI after inoculation with two different PGPB strains, *G.*
diazotrophicus and *H. seropedicae*.

1535
1536
Fig 2. Taxonomic distribution and enrichment patterns of key microbial functional
groups in roots and bulk soil of Col-0 and *aip10-1* plants following inoculation. (A) Venn
diagram showing shared and unique microbial species associated with roots and surrounding
soil from Col-0 and *aip10-1* plants. **(B–D)** Relative abundance (left panels) and z-score
heatmaps (right panels) for microbial groups with functional relevance to plant performance,
including **(B)** diazotrophic species, **(C)** plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB), and **(D)**
pathogenic species. Data are shown for root and soil compartments of Col-0 wild type and
aip10-1 plants. In the heat map, the color red represents higher abundance, and blue represents
lower abundance, according to the z-score value.

1546

Fig 3. Functional effect of AIP10 silencing on *A. thaliana* root colonization and association with diazotrophic PGPB strains *H. seropedicae* HRC54 and *G. diazotrophicus* Pal5. (A) Representative images of Col-0 and aip10-1 plants inoculated with G. diazotrophicus Pal5 or H. seropedicae HRC54 at 20 days after germination (DAG). Mock-inoculated plants served as controls. **(B–E)** Growth and developmental parameters of Col-0 and aip10-1 plants inoculated with H. seropedicae HRC54 or G. diazotrophicus Pal5. **(B)** Primary root length and **(C)** lateral root number measured at 15 DAG. **(D)** Dry weight of the shoots and **(E)** rosette area measured at 21 DAG. Data are presented as box plots showing median, interquartile range, and minimum/maximum values. **(F, G)** Absolute qPCR quantification of *H. seropedicae* HRC54 and *G. diazotrophicus* Pal5 colonization in roots of Col-0 and aip10-1 at 7 DAI, respectively. The data represent the number of bacterial cells per gram of root tissue, obtained by absolute qPCR quantification. **(H, I)** Relative expression of the *nifH* gene in *H. seropedicae* HRC54 and *G. diazotrophicus* Pal5 colonizing Col-0 and aip10-1 roots at 7 DAI, quantified by RT-qPCR. Gene expression values are shown as fold-change relative to GAPDH and UBI14 reference genes and normalized to the mock-inoculated condition. **(J)** Confocal microscopy images showing colonization patterns of *G. diazotrophicus* (RFP-labeled) *H. seropedicae* (GFP-labeled) and in Col-0 and aip10-1 roots at 11 DAG and 7 DAI. Images include RFP, GFP, DIC, and merged channels. Statistical analyses were performed using Student's t-test at a 5% significance level. (*) indicates statistically significant differences (p ≤ 0.05).

Fig 4. RT-qPCR analysis for genes involved in cell division, cell wall organization, bacterial response, and root morphogenesis in *aip10-1* and wild-type Col-0 roots, at 7 after inoculation. (A) *ABAP1*. **(B)** *CDT1a*. **(C)** *CYCB1;1*. **(D)** *LRX4*. **(E)** *ILA*. **(F)** *RSL4*. RT-qPCR results are presented as the ratio of expression of each gene relative to the constitutive expression of *GAPDH* and *UBI14* reference genes when compared to mock and inoculated plants. Bars represent mean ± standard deviation of the relative mRNA expression in three biological replicates and each biological replicate analyzed with three technical replicates. Statistical analysis was performed using the Student's t-test (P ≤ 0.05). Means with different letters are significantly different at 5% level of confidence.

1594
1595

Fig 5. Differential fertilization assay (0.06 g/L and 0.12 g/L NPK) on *aip10-1* and wild-type Col-0 plants inoculated with distinct plant growth-promotion bacteria. (A) Representative images of *A. thaliana* Col-0 and *aip10-1* plants inoculated with *H. seropedicae* HRC54 at 35 DAG (31 DAI), grown in a greenhouse under two different fertilization conditions. **(B)** Representative images of *A. thaliana* Col-0 and *aip10-1* plants inoculated with *G. diazotrophicus* Pal5 at 35 DAG (31 DAI), grown in a greenhouse under two different fertilization conditions. **(C)** Rosette area measurement using ImageJ program in mock and HRC54-inoculated plants at 35 DAG. **(D)** Shoot dry weight in mock and HRC54-inoculated plants at 35 DAG. **(E)** Total chlorophyll content in leaf disks of mock and HRC54-inoculated plants at 35 DAG. **(F)** Rosette area measurement using ImageJ program in mock and Pal5-inoculated plants at 35 DAG. **(G)** Shoot dry weight in mock and Pal5-inoculated plants at 35 DAG. **(H)** Total chlorophyll content in leaf disks of mock and Pal5-inoculated plants at 35 DAG. The box plot graphs show the distribution of data of six individuals. The box represents the interquartile range (IQR), with the inner line running down the median. The whiskers extend to the maximum and minimum values, including all points within that range. Differences between groups were confirmed by Student's *t*-test and means followed by different letters indicate significant differences at the 5% confidence level.

Fig 6. Root development of Col-0 and *aip10-1* plants inoculated with different plant growth-promoting bacteria, grown in a greenhouse under two fertilization conditions at 35 DAG. (A) Root dry weight in mock and HRC54-inoculated plants. **(B)** Root length in mock and HRC54-inoculated plants. **(C)** Root surface area in mock and HRC54-inoculated plants. **(D)** Root dry weight in mock and Pal5-inoculated plants. **(E)** Root length in mock and Pal5-inoculated plants. **(F)** Root surface area in mock and Pal5-inoculated plants. The box plot graphs show the distribution of data of five individuals. The box represents the interquartile range (IQR), with the inner line running down the median. The whiskers extend to the maximum and minimum values, including all points within that range. Differences between groups were confirmed by Student's *t*-test and means followed by different letters indicate significant differences at the 5% confidence level.

Fig 7. Reproductive stage of Col-0 and *aip10-1* plants inoculated with different plant growth-promoting bacteria, cultivated in a greenhouse under two fertilization conditions at 60 DAG. (A) Representative images of Col-0 and *aip10-1* during the reproductive stage compare the different responses to HRC54 and Pal5 inoculation, in response to low and high fertilization treatments. **(B)** Height of the main inflorescence axis in mock and HRC54-inoculated plants. **(C)** Total number of siliques in the main inflorescence axis in mock and HRC54-inoculated plants. **(D)** Total seed weight per individual in mock and HRC54-inoculated plants. **(E)** Height of the main inflorescence axis in mock and Pal5-inoculated plants. **(F)** Total number of siliques in the main inflorescence axis in mock and Pal5-inoculated plants. **(G)** Total seed weight per individual in mock and Pal5-inoculated plants. The box plot graphs show the distribution of data of five individuals. The box represents the interquartile range (IQR), with the inner line running down the median. The whiskers extend to the maximum and minimum values, including all points within that range. Differences between groups were confirmed by Student's *t*-test and means followed by different letters indicate significant differences at the 5% confidence level.

Figure 8. Expression profiling of nitrogen metabolism-related genes in Col-0 and *aip10-1* roots, cultivated in a greenhouse under two fertilization conditions at 20 DAG. Roots of wild-type Col-0 and the *aip10-1* knockout were cultivated in a greenhouse under high- and low-nitrogen fertilization and sampled at 20 DAG for RT-qPCR analysis.(**A, B**) GLN1;1 transcript levels in mock-, *H. seropedicae* HRC54–, and *G. diazotrophicus* Pal5–inoculated plants.(**C, D**) GLN1;3 transcript levels across the same inoculation treatments.(**E, F**) Expression patterns of NIA1, and (**G, H**) NIA2, in mock-, HRC54-, and Pal5-inoculated roots.(I, J) *nifH* transcript abundance from *H. seropedicae* HRC54 and *G. diazotrophicus* Pal5 colonizing Col-0 and *aip10-1* roots under both nitrogen treatments. Relative expression values were quantified by RT-qPCR and normalized by UBI14 and GAPDH reference genes. Data represent transcriptional responses to inoculation and nitrogen availability, highlighting genotype-dependent modulation of nitrogen assimilation pathways. Bars represent mean ± standard deviation of the relative mRNA expression in three biological replicates and each biological replicate analyzed with three technical replicates. Statistical analysis was performed using the Student's t-test ($P \leq 0.05$). Means with different letters are significantly different at 5% level of confidence.

1683
1684
Fig. 9. Expression profiling of nitrogen metabolism-related genes in Col-0 and *aip10-1*
roots of inoculated plants, cultivated in a greenhouse under two fertilization conditions at
20 DAG. Roots of wild-type Col-0 and the *aip10-1* knockout were cultivated in a greenhouse
under high- and low-nitrogen fertilization and sampled at 20 DAG for RT-qPCR analysis. (**A,**
B) *nifH* transcript abundance from *H. seropedicae* HRC54 and *G. diazotrophicus* Pal5
colonizing Col-0 and *aip10-1* roots under both nitrogen treatments; (**B, C**) *GLN1;1* transcript
levels in *H. seropedicae* HRC54–, *and G. diazotrophicus* Pal5–inoculated plants; (**E, F**) *NIA1*
transcript levels in *H. seropedicae* HRC54–, *and G. diazotrophicus* Pal5–inoculated plants.
Relative expression values were quantified by RT-qPCR and normalized by UBI14 and
GAPDH reference genes. Bars represent mean ± standard deviation of the relative mRNA
expression in three biological replicates and each biological replicate analyzed with three
technical replicates. Statistical analysis was performed using the Student's t-test. Means with
different letters are significantly different at 5% level of confidence.