Basal ppGpp signalling by SpoT integrates metabolism with acid resistance

Yueyue Liu[1#], Muriel Leandra Schicketanz[1#^], Xichuan Zhai[2], Ling Deng[2], Kenn Gerdes[3], Yong

Everett Zhang[1*]

[1] Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, DK-2200 Copenhagen, Denmark

[2] Section of Food Microbiology, Gut Health, and Fermentation, Department of Food Science,

University of Copenhagen, Rolighedsvej 26, 1958 Frederiksberg C, Denmark

[3] Independent Researcher, Copenhagen, Denmark

[#] Y.Y.L. and M.L.S. contributed equally to this work.

[^] Current address: Novonesis A/S, Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

*Correspondence: Yong Everett Zhang zhangy830328@gmail.com

Running title: Basal ppGpp integrates metabolism and acid resistance

Abstract

Bacteria maintain low basal levels of the alarmone ppGpp during steady-state growth, yet how this

basal state is established and why it matters physiologically remain poorly understood. Here, we show

that basal ppGpp represents an actively maintained regulatory state that coordinates metabolic

homeostasis with stress resistance. Using a targeted perturbation of SpoT regulation in *Escherichia*

coli, we uncover a sharp requirement for a sub-basal yet non-zero ppGpp pool to sustain growth in

minimal medium and survival under extreme acid stress. Disruption of this basal state leads to

misallocation of metabolic flux into arginine biosynthesis, depletion of glutamate, and collapse of the

glutamate-dependent Gad acid resistance system. Through intragenic suppressor analysis, enzymatic

perturbations, and protein-level feedback measurements, we further demonstrate that SpoT

intrinsically tunes basal ppGpp through a distributed intramolecular regulatory network coupled to

negative feedback control of SpoT abundance. This regulatory logic stabilizes ppGpp within a narrow

physiological window, below the threshold of canonical stringent response activation. The

requirement for SpoT-dependent basal ppGpp regulation is conserved in pathogenic *Salmonella* and

Shigella. Together, our findings establish basal ppGpp as a distinct and actively regulated signaling

regime that integrates metabolism and stress preparedness beyond acute starvation responses.

KEYWORDS: basal ppGpp, SpoT, stringent response, metabolic homeostasis, acid resistance, Gad

system

Introduction

Bacteria inhabit environments that fluctuate rapidly and often impose multiple, simultaneous stresses. Successful survival under such conditions requires tight coordination between core metabolic processes and stress-protective responses in a manner that preserves essential resources while maintaining viability. For enteric bacteria in particular, the ability to balance nutrient availability with resistance to extreme acidity - such as that encountered during gastric passage - is a key determinant of ecological fitness and pathogenic potential[1,2]. Despite extensive study of individual stress-response pathways, how bacteria integrate metabolic homeostasis with acid resistance remains incompletely understood.

A central regulator of bacterial metabolic adaptation is the stringent response, a conserved signaling network mediated by the alarmone guanosine tetra- and pentaphosphate (ppGpp)[3-6]. Canonically, ppGpp is produced in large bursts upon amino acid starvation, when uncharged tRNAs activate the ribosome-associated enzyme RelA in *Escherichia coli*[7-11]. This acute increase in ppGpp rapidly remodels transcription, repressing stable RNA synthesis while redirecting metabolic flux toward amino acid biosynthesis[12-16]. In parallel, ppGpp directly targets numerous metabolic enzymes, rebalancing nucleotide and amino acid pools[17-21]. This RelA-driven stringent response has therefore been widely viewed as an emergency program that facilitates rapid bacterial adaptation to acute nutrient stress.

Once this acute response has restored metabolic balance and growth resumes, ppGpp levels decline but do not return to zero. In contrast to the well-characterized high-amplitude stringent response, much less is known about the physiological role of these low, basal levels of ppGpp that persist during adapted growth[22]. Basal ppGpp is believed to be primarily controlled by SpoT, a bifunctional enzyme that both synthesizes and hydrolyzes ppGpp[23] and responds to diverse

environmental cues distinct from amino acid starvation[24-30]. Genetic and physiological studies have

established that SpoT activity is essential for viability, virulence, and stress adaptation across many

bacterial species[3,31,32]. However, because SpoT's hydrolase activity is required to counterbalance

RelA-mediated ppGpp synthesis, direct analysis of SpoT regulatory function has been challenging.

As a result, basal ppGpp has often been treated as a passive background signal rather than an active

regulatory regime with distinct physiological roles.

Acid resistance provides a compelling context in which to examine basal ppGpp regulation. *E. coli*

is capable of surviving exposure to pH values as low as 2.5 through multiple acid resistance

systems, among which the glutamate-dependent Gad system is the most effective[2,33,34]. This system

uses cytoplasmic glutamate decarboxylases (GadA and GadB) to convert glutamate to γ-

aminobutyrate, consuming intracellular protons, while the GadC antiporter exchanges γ-

aminobutyrate for extracellular glutamate to sustain the cycle[35,36]. The Gad system is subject to

complex regulation involving transcriptional activators (GadE), global stress regulators (e.g.,

ppGpp), and growth-phase signals (e.g., RpoS)[2,36]. Notably, glutamate itself occupies a central

position in cellular metabolism, serving as a key nitrogen donor and metabolic hub. How bacteria

coordinate glutamate homeostasis with glutamate-dependent acid resistance under nutrient-limited

conditions remains poorly understood.

Here, we set out to investigate how SpoT-dependent basal ppGpp signaling contributes to the

integration of amino acid metabolism with acid resistance during nutrient-limited growth. As an

entry point into this poorly understood regulatory regime, we focused on a strictly conserved

histidine residue (H414) within the C-terminal regulatory region of SpoT. Perturbation of this

residue resulted in pronounced physiological imbalances, including altered metabolic flux through

arginine biosynthesis, depletion of intracellular glutamate, and reduced expression of the glutamate-

dependent Gad acid resistance system. These observations provided a framework for systematically

dissecting how SpoT-dependent basal ppGpp supports metabolic and stress-response homeostasis.

Because SpoT homologs are conserved across enteric bacteria, we further asked whether the

regulatory principles revealed by this approach extend beyond *E. coli*. Addressing this question

allowed us to evaluate whether SpoT-dependent basal ppGpp represents a species-specific

phenomenon or a more general regulatory regime. In this context, our study seeks to expand the

functional scope of ppGpp signaling beyond RelA-mediated acute starvation responses to include a

role in coordinating metabolism and stress resistance during nutrient-limited growth.

Results

Perturbation of SpoT regulation leads to severe growth defects in minimal medium

To examine how SpoT-dependent regulation supports growth under nutrient-limited conditions

independently of RelA, we focused on the conserved C-terminal regulatory region of SpoT, which

is highly conserved among RelA/SpoT homologs but remains poorly understood mechanistically.

Sequence alignment identified a strictly conserved histidine residue (H414 in *E. coli* SpoT) within

the TGS domain, corresponding to H432 in RelA (**Extended Data Fig. 1a, 1b**), a residue cucial for

sensing amino acid starvation[8-11].

As a genetic probe of this conserved regulatory region, we generated a SpoT H414A substitution in

a *ΔrelA* background. While the Δ*relA spoT^wt^* (hereafter referred to as *spoT^wt^*) strain grew normally,

the H414A mutant (*spoT^H414A^*) displayed a severe and unexpected growth defect on glucose

minimal medium (M9Glc), both on solid and in liquid culture (**Fig. 1a, 1b**). This unanticipated

phenotype indicated that SpoT H414 plays a critical role in supporting growth under nutrient-

limited conditions and prompted further investigation into its underlying molecular basis.

Loss of SpoT regulation disrupts transcriptional programs for stress and acid resistance

To gain insight into the molecular defects underlying the growth failure of $spoT^{H414A}$ cells, we performed transcriptomic analysis of the $spoT^{H414A}$ and $spoT^{wt}$ strains. Because $spoT^{H414A}$ cells failed to proliferate in M9Glc, cultures were incubated under identical conditions until the $spoT^{wt}$ strain entered early exponential phase, at which point RNA was harvested from both strains (**Extended Data Fig. 1c**).

This analysis revealed extensive transcriptional dysregulation in $spoT^{H414A}$ cells, with strong repression of stress adaptation and acid resistance genes. Among the most prominently downregulated loci were the glutamate-dependent Gad acid resistance system (*gadE*, *gadX*, *gadW*, *gadA*, *gadB*, *gadC*), as well as additional components of the acid fitness island, including the periplasmic chaperones *hdeAB* (**Fig. 2a, 2b**). Genes associated with osmotic and general stress responses were similarly reduced; in contrast, genes linked to phage shock and SOS responses were strongly induced (**Extended Data Fig. 1d-f**), indicating a broad physiological imbalance. The pronounced repression of acid resistance pathways indicated a defect in acid survival and prompted direct physiological testing of $spoT^{H414A}$ cells under acidic conditions.

SpoT, but not RelA, is required for survival under extreme acid stress

Guided by the pronounced transcriptional repression of acid resistance genes in $spoT^{H414A}$ cells, we next examined survival under lethal acid stress. Upon exposure to pH2.5, $spoT^{H414A}$ cells exhibited profound acid sensitivity, with viability declining rapidly and no detectable survivors after 60 minutes (**Fig. 2c**). In contrast, both the parental wild-type strain and the $spoT^{wt}$ strain survived acid challenge efficiently, indicating that RelA is dispensable for acid resistance under this condition.

Notably, despite the severe acid sensitivity of $spoT^{H414A}$ cells, viability under neutral, well-buffered

conditions (M9Glc) was preserved (**Extended Data Fig. 1g**), suggesting that SpoT H414 is

specifically required to support stress preparedness rather than basal cell viability. Together, these

results identify SpoT, rather than RelA, is required to support survival under extreme acid stress in

E. coli.

Loss of SpoT regulation drives arginine overflow and glutamate depletion

To define the metabolic basis of the growth defect observed in $spoT^{H414A}$ cells, we examined

transcriptomic changes in central metabolic pathways. While expression of many amino acid

biosynthetic genes was modestly reduced, one pathway was prominently induced: arginine

biosynthesis was strongly and coordinately upregulated (**Fig. 3a, 3b**). All the nine enzymes of the

arginine biosynthetic pathway exhibited significantly increased expression, together with *carAB*,

which supplies carbamoylphosphate to this pathway. This pattern indicates a pronounced

redirection of metabolic flux toward arginine production.

In contrast, genes directing carbamoylphosphate toward pyrimidine nucleotide biosynthesis were

downregulated, suggesting selective diversion of this shared intermediate rather than a generalized

increase in anabolic metabolism. Because arginine biosynthesis consumes glutamate as a precursor,

the coordinated induction of the *arg* operons is predicted to substantially deplete intracellular

glutamate pools**.**

In parallel with arginine pathway induction, genes involved in *de novo* purine nucleotide

biosynthesis were broadly upregulated (**Extended Data Fig. 2**). Many of these reactions consume

glutamine as a nitrogen donor, which is regenerated from glutamate, thereby imposing an

additional, indirect demand on glutamate availability. Thus, the combined activation of arginine and

purine biosynthetic programs is expected to exacerbate glutamate depletion, compounding the

metabolic imbalance caused by SpoT H414A mutation.

Together, these transcriptional signatures point to a misallocation of metabolic resources upon loss

of SpoT H414, with excessive flux into arginine biosynthesis and increased purine nucleotide

production collectively depleting glutamate. Given the central role of glutamate in both metabolism

and acid resistance, this imbalance offers a unifying explanation for the growth and stress survival

defects observed in $spoT^{H414A}$ cells and motivated direct tests of glutamate availability in subsequent

experiments.

Glutamate depletion contributes to growth defects caused by SpoT perturbation

Prompted by the transcriptomic evidence for glutamate depletion, we tested whether exogenous

supplementation could restore growth. Addition of glutamate to M9Glc robustly improved growth

of $spoT^{H414A}$ cells, substantially shortening the lag phase and increasing final biomass (**Fig. 3c**). In

contrast, supplementation with arginine or uracil failed to restore growth, and co-supplementation

with glutamate conferred no additional benefit.

Among all 20 amino acids, supplementation with glutamine, asparagine, or proline partially

restored growth, consistent with their metabolic proximity to glutamate, but none matched the effect

of glutamate itself (**Extended Data Fig. 3a**). Increasing the concentration of glutamate up to

tenfold failed to further improve growth (**Extended Data Fig. 3b**), and combining glutamate with

glutamine, asparagine, or proline did not enhance regrowth beyond that achieved with glutamate

alone (**Extended Data Fig. 3c**). In contrast, supplementation with all 20 amino acids fully restored

the growth defect of $spoT^{H414A}$ cells to a level exceeding that of the $spoT^{wt}$ strain (**Extended Data**

Fig. 3d), indicating that glutamate depletion represents a dominant, though not exclusive, metabolic

limitation associated with SpoT H414A dysfunction. Importantly, glutamate supplementation had only minimal effects on the growth of a ppGpp⁰ (*ΔrelA ΔspoT*) strain (**Extended Data Fig. 3e**), indicating that growth rescue requires SpoT-dependent basal ppGpp rather than nonspecific nutritional supplementation.

To directly test whether arginine biosynthesis drives glutamate depletion, we deleted *argA*, encoding the first committed enzyme of the arginine biosynthetic pathway. As expected, the resulting *spoT^wt ΔargA* strain failed to grow in M9Glc; this defect was fully rescued by arginine supplementation but not by glutamate, confirming that growth limitation in this background reflects arginine auxotrophy rather than glutamate deficiency (**Fig. 3d**). Similarly, the *spoT^H414A ΔargA* strain failed to grow in M9Glc (**Fig. 3d**). Notably, arginine, but not glutamate, largely restored growth in this background, indicating that blocking arginine biosynthesis prevents excessive glutamate consumption and alleviates the growth defect of *spoT^H414A*.

Arginine biosynthesis is normally subject to feedback inhibition through ArgA. Conversely, we found that artificial overexpression of a feedback-resistant ArgA variant (ArgA^H15Y)[37] via IPTG induction phenocopied the *spoT^H414A* growth defect in M9Glc, and this delayed growth was substantially alleviated by glutamate supplementation (**Fig. 3e**). Together, these results establish that SpoT H414 restrains arginine-driven glutamate consumption, thereby preserving amino acid homeostasis required for growth in minimal medium.

SpoT perturbation compromises glutamate-dependent acid resistance

Because glutamate is the essential substrate for the Gad acid resistance system, we next asked whether glutamate depletion contributes to the acid sensitivity of *spoT^H414A* cells. Supplementation of the acid challenge medium with glutamate fully rescued survival during short-term acid exposure

and markedly improved long-term viability (**Fig. 4a**). In contrast, supplementation with arginine or

lysine provided only modest protection (**Extended Data Fig. 4a**), consistent with the prominent

contribution of the glutamate-dependent Gad system under extreme acid stress.

To determine whether impaired regulation of the Gad system accounted for the acid resistance

defect, we ectopically expressed *gadE*, the master transcriptional activator of Gad genes. Even low-

level expression of *gadE* significantly restored acid resistance in *spoT*^{H414A} cells, and combined

supplementation with glutamate further enhanced survival (**Extended Data Fig 4b**). These

interventions did not alter acid resistance in *spoT*^{wt} cells, indicating that the defect arises

specifically from SpoT dysfunction. Notably, despite its ability to restore acid survival, ectopic

expression of *gadE* failed to improve the growth of *spoT*^{H414A} cells in M9Glc (**Extended Data Fig.**

4c). This functional separation indicates that impaired acid resistance is not sufficient to account for

the growth defect, which is more closely associated with glutamate depletion and broader metabolic

misallocation.

We further examined acid tolerance across a range of pH values. In addition to catastrophic

sensitivity at pH 2.5, *spoT*^{H414A} cells exhibited reduced survival under mildly acidic conditions (pH

4.0-6.0) (**Fig. 4b, Extended Data Fig 4d**), consistent with a broader impairment of multiple acid

stress response pathways (**Extended Data Fig 4e**). Notably, these defects occurred despite

preserved viability under neutral pH conditions (**Extended Data Fig 1g**), indicating that SpoT-

mediated basal regulation selectively primes cells for acid stress rather than broadly supporting

growth.

Intragenic suppression reveals intrinsic regulation within SpoT

These findings raised a fundamental question of how SpoT activity is regulated to maintain the physiological state underlying growth and stress resistance. The severe growth and acid resistance defects of *spoT^H414A* cells suggested that H414 plays a central regulatory role in SpoT function. To determine whether this phenotype reflected impaired regulation by trans-acting protein factors, we first tested overexpression of established SpoT regulators, including ObgE[38], Rsd[39], YtfK[40], and AcpP[25], none of which measurably restored growth of *spoT^H414A* cells in minimal medium (**Extended Data Fig 5**). We further explored the possibility that H414 mediates regulation through interaction with previously unidentified protein partners by introducing a photo-crosslinkable unnatural amino acid at position 414 and performing UV-activated crosslinking under the same growth conditions. Despite robust expression of full-length SpoT proteins, we did not detect reproducible interactions with additional protein factors (**Extended Data Fig. 6**). Together, these results suggested that the regulatory function of H414 is unlikely to be mediated by trans-acting protein partners. We therefore pursued an unbiased genetic approach and isolated spontaneous suppressor mutants capable of restoring growth of *spoT^H414A* cells on M9Glc. After prolonged incubation (24-72 h), colonies of varying sizes emerged on M9Glc agar (**Fig. 5a**).

Analysis of 50 independently isolated suppressors revealed that the strongest suppressors predominantly carried secondary mutations within the *spoT* gene itself. Among large-colony suppressors, we identified recurrent substitutions at residues G334 (G334S; supA, 17/50), E364 (E364K; supB, 5/50), and S463 (S463R; sup41, 9/50) as well as a small in-frame deletion within the synthetase domain (ΔV_{200}IKE; sup17, 3/50) (**Fig. 5b**). Whole-genome sequencing of small-colony suppressors lacking *spoT* mutations identified a single mutation in *rpoB* (K1262Q), which is likely similar as the previously described stringent-response suppressors[41] supporting the growth of ppGpp^0 strain on minimal media, and therefore these strains were excluded from further analysis.

Reconstruction of the identified *spoT* suppressor mutations in a clean background fully

recapitulated growth restoration of *spoT^{H414A}* in M9Glc (**Extended Data Fig 7**), whereas deletion of

spoT in the suppressor strains abolished growth (**Fig. 5c**). These results demonstrate that intragenic

mutations within SpoT are both necessary and sufficient to suppress the *spoT^{H414A}* growth defect.

We next asked whether suppression of the growth defect was accompanied by restoration of acid

resistance. All four *spoT* suppressor strains exhibited improved survival under lethal acid challenge

(pH 2.5) compared to the parental *spoT^{H414A}* strain, although the degree of rescue varied (**Fig. 5d**).

The suppressor sup41 displayed the most robust acid resistance, followed by supB, supA and sup17.

Supplementation of the acid challenge medium with glutamate further enhanced survival across all

suppressor strains, with particularly pronounced effects in the weaker suppressors supB and sup17

(**Fig. 5d**). These differences suggest that individual suppressor mutations restore SpoT function

through distinct mechanisms that differentially affect glutamate homeostasis and stress

preparedness.

Together, these results show that intragenic suppression of SpoT H414A simultaneously restores

growth and acid resistance, reinforcing the link between SpoT activity, metabolic balance, and

stress survival.

Basal ppGpp is actively maintained within a narrow functional window

Given SpoT's dual role as a ppGpp synthase and hydrolase, we next asked whether the growth

defect of *spoT^{H414A}* cells is associated with altered ppGpp homeostasis, and whether suppressor

mutations restore a physiologically relevant ppGpp state. To address this, we measured intracellular

ppGpp levels using ^{32}P-orthophosphate labeling and thin-layer chromatography[18]. As expected,

spoT^{wt} cells produced a readily detectable basal ppGpp signal, whereas *spoT^{H414A}* cells accumulated

only marginal, near-background levels of ppGpp (**Fig. 6a**). Notably, ppGpp levels in the suppressor

strains remained close to the detection limit and were not substantially elevated relative to $spoT^{H414A}$

cells.

This observation raised the possibility that either very small increases in ppGpp are sufficient to

restore physiological function, or that ppGpp turnover is highly dynamic in suppressor strains. To

distinguish between these possibilities, we genetically perturbed SpoT enzymatic activities.

Inactivation of the ppGpp synthetase activity of SpoT by the E319Q substitution in suppressor

backgrounds severely delayed or abolished growth recovery in M9Glc (**Fig. 6b**), demonstrating that

ppGpp synthesis is required for suppression. Conversely, inactivation of SpoT's hydrolase activity

by the H72A/D73A substitution led to robust ppGpp accumulation (**Fig. 6c**) and accelerated growth

resumption (**Fig. 6d**), indicating that suppressor strains produce ppGpp that is rapidly turned over

under basal conditions. Notably, the hydrolase-inactive variants of supA and sup17 could not be

obtained, likely due to the toxicity associated with excessive ppGpp accumulation.

Because C-terminal domains of RelA/SpoT homologs are known to regulate the catalytic activities

of the N-terminal enzymatic domains, we next systematically truncated these regulatory regions to

assess how suppressor mutations alter intramolecular control of SpoT activity. Consistent with this

model, truncation of individual C-terminal domains revealed that distinct suppressor mutations

differentially modify the contribution of these domains to growth control (**Extended Data Fig. 8**),

without abolishing the requirement for basal ppGpp synthesis. These findings indicate that SpoT

H414 functions within a broader intramolecular regulatory network that fine-tunes basal ppGpp

production, rather than acting as an isolated molecular switch.

Together, these data demonstrate that a sub-basal but non-zero ppGpp pool - close to the detection

limit of conventional assays - is sufficient to support growth in minimal medium, and that SpoT

activity is finely tuned to maintain this pool within a narrow physiological range.

Negative feedback between ppGpp and SpoT abundance stabilizes basal ppGpp

To investigate how SpoT activity is regulated, we examined SpoT protein levels using an antiserum

raised against the C-terminal regulatory domains of SpoT. Immunoblot analysis revealed that SpoT

protein levels were markedly elevated in $spoT^{H414A}$ cells compared to $spoT^{wt}$ and suppressor strains

(**Fig. 6e**).

Because $spoT^{H414A}$ cells produce sub-basal ppGpp levels, whereas suppressor strains restore

physiological function with slightly elevated but tightly controlled ppGpp, these results suggest the

existence of a negative feedback mechanism in which basal ppGpp limits SpoT abundance. Such

feedback would stabilize intracellular ppGpp levels by adjusting SpoT protein concentration in

response to alarmone availability. This regulatory relationship provides a parsimonious mechanistic

framework for how SpoT may maintain a narrow basal ppGpp range sufficient for metabolic

homeostasis without eliciting an acute stringent response.

Graded restoration of transcriptional programs reflects quantitative tuning of basal SpoT

activity

To understand how suppressor mutations restore growth and acid resistance, we performed RNA

sequencing on the four suppressor strains. Parallel coordinates and multidimensional scaling

analyses revealed that strong suppressors (supA and sup41) clustered closely with $spoT^{wt}$ cells,

whereas weaker suppressors (supB and sup17) occupied intermediate positions between $spoT^{H414A}$

and $spoT^{wt}$ (**Extended Data Fig. 9a,9b**).

Across all suppressors, a core set of genes involved in amino acid metabolism and stress adaptation

was consistently restored (**Extended Data Fig. 9c**), whereas genes aberrantly induced in *spoT^{H414A}*

cells were broadly repressed (**Extended Data Fig. 9d**). Moreover, strong suppressors (supA and

sup41) largely restored expression of acid resistance genes and concomitantly repressed phage

shock and SOS response pathways; in contrast, weaker suppressors (supB and sup17) exhibited

only partial correction of these transcriptional defects (**Extended Data Fig. 10**), consistent with

their physiological phenotypes (**Fig. 5c**).

Together, these results indicate that suppressor mutations restore SpoT-dependent regulation in a

graded fashion, with transcriptional recovery closely correlating with physiological rescue.

The regulatory function of SpoT H414 is conserved across enteric pathogens

Finally, we asked whether the regulatory role of SpoT H414 is conserved in pathogenic

enterobacteria. We introduced the corresponding H414A substitution into SpoT homologs from

Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium and *Shigella flexneri* and expressed these proteins in an

E. coli ppGpp⁰ background.

Wild-type SpoT homologs from both species largely restored growth in M9Glc and acid resistance,

whereas the corresponding H414A variants failed to support either phenotype (**Fig. 7a-d**). Notably,

glutamate supplementation rescued both growth and acid resistance defects in the *Shigella* SpoT_{sf}

H414A strain but not in the *Salmonella* SpoT_{st} H414A variant. This differential rescue correlated

with the extent of sequence divergence within the C-terminal regulatory regions of SpoT. *S. flexneri*

SpoT_{sf} differs from *E. coli* SpoT_{ec} at only a single conserved position (A614G) within the CC

domain, whereas *S.* Typhimurium SpoT_{st} shares 98.15% overall identity but contains multiple amino

acid substitutions distributed across the C-terminal domains, including a cluster of nine

substitutions within linker-2 between TGS and CC domains (**Extended Data Fig. 11**). These

sequence differences are likely to modulate intramolecular regulatory interactions within SpoT,

thereby influencing the ability of the H414A variants to respond to glutamate supplementation, akin

to the differential behaviors of the intragenetic suppressor strains (**Fig. 5d**).

Altogether, these results demonstrate that SpoT H414 plays a conserved and functionally important

role in coordinating growth and acid resistance across enteric pathogens, highlighting the

physiological and evolutionary relevance of basal SpoT regulation.

Discussion

Basal ppGpp defines a distinct regulatory regime coordinating metabolism and stress

resistance

The stringent response has classically been viewed as an acute adaptation to amino acid starvation,

driven by RelA-dependent bursts of ppGpp that globally reprogram transcription and metabolism

(**Fig. 7e**, top). In this canonical framework, ppGpp functions as an emergency alarmone that rapidly

suppresses growth-associated biosynthetic programs and redirects cellular resources upon severe

nutrient limitation.

Our findings reveal a complementary and previously underappreciated mode of ppGpp signalling

that operates outside this acute starvation paradigm. By dissecting the function of a conserved

regulatory residue in SpoT, H414, we identify a basal ppGpp state that is actively maintained during

nutrient-limited growth and is essential for coordinating metabolic homeostasis with stress

preparedness (**Fig. 7e**, middle). Perturbation of this state uncovers a sharp physiological threshold

below which metabolic balance and stress resistance collapse (**Fig. 7e**, bottom).

Importantly, the phenotypes observed in $spoT^{H414A}$ cells are not readily explained by defects in

canonical RelA-mediated stringent response activation. All experiments were conducted in a $\Delta relA$

background and under conditions that do not elicit acute amino acid starvation. Moreover, the

transcriptional profile of $spoT^{H414A}$ cells is fundamentally distinct from those reported in classical

stringent response studies driven by RelA-dependent ppGpp bursts[15,16]. Loss of SpoT H414 does

not recapitulate either RelA-activated or ppGpp⁰ transcriptional states. Instead, $spoT^{H414A}$ cells

exhibit a selective and imbalanced response marked by overcommitment to arginine and nucleotide

biosynthesis, depletion of central metabolites such as glutamate, and failure to sustain specific

stress-response programs, most notably the glutamate-dependent acid resistance system.

Together, these observations support the existence of a regulatory regime operating at ppGpp levels

above zero yet below the basal state normally maintained by wild-type SpoT. Within this low-

amplitude range, ppGpp does not function as a global transcriptional on-off switch, but instead acts

as a quantitative tuning signal that constrains metabolic flux allocation and preserves stress

preparedness. Loss of this basal control therefore exposes physiological vulnerabilities that are not

captured by classical models of the stringent response. In this framework, SpoT functions not

merely as a modulator of RelA activity, but as a primary regulator of a stabilized physiological

state. This low but non-zero ppGpp levels act not as an emergency brake on growth, but as a

buffering signal that integrates metabolism with stress preparedness during nutrient-limited growth.

SpoT-mediated control of glutamate homeostasis underlies stress preparedness without

compromising basal viability

A central mechanistic insight from this study is that SpoT-dependent basal ppGpp constrains

metabolic flux allocation to preserve glutamate homeostasis. Transcriptomic profiling of $spoT^{H414A}$

cells revealed coordinated induction of arginine biosynthesis (**Fig. 3a,b**), which directly consumes

glutamate, together with upregulation of *de novo* purine nucleotide biosynthesis (**Extended Data Fig. 3a,b**), which indirectly increases glutamate demand through enhanced glutamine utilization. This pattern reflects a loss of quantitative control over anabolic commitment rather than selective activation of a single biosynthetic pathway.

Genetic and physiological analyses identify arginine biosynthesis as the primary driver of glutamate depletion in this context. Blocking the first committed step of arginine synthesis prevents glutamate starvation and restores growth (**Fig. 3d**), whereas forced arginine overproduction phenocopies the *spoT^{H414A}* defect (**Fig. 3e**).

Glutamate occupies a uniquely central position in bacterial physiology, serving both as a metabolic hub and as the essential substrate for the glutamate-dependent Gad acid resistance system. Accordingly, glutamate depletion provides a parsimonious explanation for the coupled phenotypes observed in *spoT^{H414A}* cells, including impaired growth in minimal medium and failure of acid resistance. Glutamate supplementation and GadE-dependent activation of the acid resistance program rescue survival under low-pH challenge (**Fig. 4a; Extended Data Fig. 4b**), indicating that SpoT-dependent basal ppGpp enforces a metabolic prioritization strategy that preserves metabolites required for effective stress defence.

Importantly, disruption of this basal regulatory state does not cause immediate loss of viability under neutral, well-buffered conditions (**Extended Data Fig. 1g**), despite severe repression of acid resistance genes and extreme sensitivity to low pH (**Fig. 2c**). This separation between basal growth capacity and stress survival indicates that SpoT-derived basal ppGpp primarily maintains physiological preparedness rather than minimal viability. This distinction helps explain why the most pronounced phenotypes of *spoT^{H414A}* cells emerge only upon environmental challenge.

Notably, RelA is dispensable for acid resistance under the conditions examined (**Fig. 2c**),

highlighting a functional division of labour between the two stringent response enzymes. Whereas

RelA mediates acute, high-amplitude responses to amino acid starvation, SpoT maintains a low-

level regulatory state that buffers metabolic allocation and supports long-term stress readiness.

SpoT maintains basal ppGpp through coupled activity tuning and abundance feedback

The identification of intragenic suppressors of $spoT^{H414A}$ reveals that SpoT encodes an intrinsic

regulatory system capable of quantitatively tuning basal ppGpp levels. Suppressor mutations

distributed across both the catalytic and regulatory regions of SpoT restore growth (**Fig. 5c**) and

acid resistance (**Fig. 5d**) without producing large, readily detectable increases in ppGpp (**Fig. 6a**).

These observations indicate that physiological rescue does not require restoration of wild-type

ppGpp abundance, but instead depends on re-establishing a narrowly defined sub-basal ppGpp

state , consistent with the graded restoration of transcriptional programs observed across suppressor

strains (**Extended Data Fig. 9,10**).

Direct perturbation of SpoT enzymatic activities supports this interpretation. Inactivation of ppGpp

synthesis abolishes suppressor-mediated growth recovery (**Fig. 6b**), whereas inactivation of SpoT

hydrolase activity leads to substantial ppGpp accumulation (**Fig. 6c**) and accelerated growth

resumption (**Fig. 6d**). These results indicate that suppressor mutations rebalance SpoT synthetic and

hydrolytic activities rather than bypassing the requirement for ppGpp. The inability to construct

synthetase-only variants in specific suppressor backgrounds further underscores the importance of

quantitative control. In these contexts, elevated ppGpp levels are likely deleterious, consistent with

the well-established growth-inhibitory effects of excessive ppGpp. Thus, both insufficient and

excessive ppGpp are incompatible with optimal growth, defining a sharply constrained

physiological window for basal ppGpp function.

However, tuning of catalytic activity alone is unlikely to be sufficient to stabilize a low-amplitude

signalling state over extended periods of growth. Consistent with this, SpoT protein levels are

markedly elevated in $spoT^{H414A}$ cells but reduced in suppressor backgrounds (**Fig. 6e**), revealing an

additional layer of regulation mediated by negative feedback on SpoT abundance. This inverse

relationship suggests that basal ppGpp limits SpoT accumulation, thereby constraining total

enzymatic capacity for ppGpp turnover.

Together, quantitative tuning of SpoT catalytic activity and feedback control of SpoT abundance

may enable precise maintenance of ppGpp within a narrow functional window. This coupled

regulatory architecture provides a mechanistic model for how bacteria stabilize a low-level ppGpp

signalling state sufficient for metabolic coordination and stress preparedness, yet below the

threshold that triggers growth arrest and the canonical stringent response.

Conservation and implications of basal SpoT regulation

The functional conservation of H414 across *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella*, and *Shigella* SpoT

proteins demonstrates that this residue is broadly required for growth in minimal medium and

survival under extreme acid stress (**Fig. 7a-d**). At the same time, the differential ability of

Salmonella and *Shigella* SpoT H414A variants to respond to glutamate supplementation, akin to the

intragenetic suppressors (**Fig. 5d**), suggests that sequence divergence within C-terminal regulatory

regions modulates how basal SpoT regulation is implemented across species.

These observations indicate that, while the requirement for SpoT-mediated basal ppGpp control is

conserved, individual bacteria may tune SpoT regulatory domains to optimize metabolic and stress-

response coordination according to their metabolic capacity, ecological niches and life cycles. In

this framework, basal ppGpp does not represent a rigid or uniform signalling state, but rather a

conserved regulatory principle flexibly adapted through species-specific intramolecular tuning of

SpoT.

Several important questions remain, including the nature of the signal sensed by SpoT H414 and the

absolute intracellular concentrations and temporal dynamics of basal ppGpp. Addressing these

questions will require new approaches capable of resolving low-abundance alarmone pools with

high sensitivity, ideally at single-cell resolution, and across diverse growth conditions and bacterial

species. Elucidating how basal ppGpp selectively modulates distinct metabolic and stress-response

pathways will further clarify how this regulatory regime integrates diverse physiological demands.

Given the central role of acid resistance in enteric pathogenesis, SpoT-mediated basal ppGpp

regulation may represent a previously underappreciated vulnerability that could be exploited to

compromise bacterial survival under host-associated stresses.

 Figures and legends

a

b

445 **Figure 1. A conserved SpoT H414A mutation reveals defective growth on M9Glc medium. (a)**

446 Growth of wild type *E. coli* MG1655 (*relA^{wt} spoT^{wt}*), and Δ*relA spoT^{wt}*, Δ*relA spoT^{H414A}* strains on

447 LB and M9Glc solid media. **(b)** Growth dynamics of the same strains in liquid LB and M9Glc media.

Figure 2. Transcriptomic analysis reveals collapse of acid resistance programs. (a) Heatmap of genes encoded in the *E. coli* acid fitness island in *spoT^H414A^* relative to *spoT^wt^*. **(b)** Genetic organization of the *E. coli* acid fitness island. **(c)** Acid resistance assay of wild type MG1655 (*relA^wt^ spoT^wt^*), *ΔrelA spoT^H414A^* and *ΔrelA spoT^wt^*. Survival of indicated strains following challenge at pH 2.5, expressed as the percentage of survival (i.e., colony-forming units (CFUs) remaining relative to starting CFUs) for each strain. Data are means ± s.d. from biological replicates. u.d., undetectable.

Statistical significance was assessed using unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-tests. **** denote *P* < 0.0001.

Figure 3. Arginine metabolic overflow drives glutamate depletion in $spoT^{H414A}$. (a) Heatmap of

genes involved in amino acid biosynthesis, comparing $spoT^{H414A}$ and $spoT^{wt}$ strains. The arginine

biosynthesis genes are highlighted. **(b)** Schematic of the arginine biosynthesis pathway in *E. coli*.

Genes upregulated in $spoT^{H414A}$ cells are indicated by red upward arrows, accompanied by average

log$_2$ fold-change values relative to $spoT^{wt}$. **(c)** Normalized lag phase duration of $spoT^{H414A}$ cells

grown in M9Glc medium with supplementation of arginine (5.2 mM, R), uracil (0.2 mM, U),

glutamate (0.6 mM, E), or the indicated combinations, expressed relative to the $spoT^{wt}$ strain. **(d)**

Normalized lag phase duration of indicated strains grown in M9Glc medium with supplementation

of arginine (R), glutamate (E), or both, relative to $spoT^{wt}$. Red dots indicate failure to resume

growth within the 72-h observation window. **(e)** Normalized lag phase duration of $spoT^{wt}$ strains

harboring pCA24N-$argA^{wt}$ or the feedback-resistant pCA24N- $argA^{H15Y}$ allele, grown with or

without IPTG induction and/or glutamate supplementation (0.6 mM), relative to the uninduced

$argA^{wt}$ control. Data are means ± s.d. from biological replicates. Statistical significance was

assessed using unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-tests. ****, *** denote $P < 0.0001$ and 0.001,

respectively.

Figure 4. SpoT H414 is required for survival under extreme acid stress.

(a) Acid resistance assay of $\Delta relA\ spoT^{H414A}$ and $\Delta relA\ spoT^{wt}$ as in Figure 2c. Where indicated

(+E), glutamate (0.6 mM) was included in the acid challenge medium. u.d., undetectable. **(b)**

Survival of $spoT^{H414A}$ and $spoT^{wt}$ strains following 30 min challenge in buffers adjusted to the

indicated pH values, normalized to starting CFUs. Data are means ± s.d. from biological replicates.

Statistical significance was assessed using unpaired two-tailed Student's t-tests. ****, ***, **, *

denote $P < 0.0001$, 0.001, 0.01, and 0.05, respectively.

Figure 5. Intragenic SpoT suppressor mutations restore growth and acid resistance. (a)
Schematic illustrating the isolation of spontaneous suppressor mutations that enable growth of
spoT^{H414A} cells on M9Glc medium. **(b)** Domain architecture of the SpoT protein, indicating the
locations of suppressor mutations. Amino-acid positions are shown below. L1/L2, linker-1/linker-2;
HYD, hydrolase domain; SYN, synthetase domain; TGS, ThrRS-GTPase-SpoT domain; CC,
conserved cysteine domain; ACT, aspartate kinase-chorismate mutase-TyrA domain. **(c)** Growth
dynamics of four suppressor strains (supA, supB, sup17, sup41) compared with *spoT^{H414A}*, *spoT^{wt}*,
ppGpp⁰ (*ΔrelA ΔspoT*), and wild-type MG1655 (*relA^{wt} spoT^{wt}*). Also shown are suppressor strains
in which *spoT* was deleted (*spoT207::cat*). **(d)** Survival of indicated strains following challenge at

Figure 6. A sub-basal ppGpp pool is sufficient for physiological function. (a) Thin-layer

chromatography (TLC) analysis of [32]p-labeled nucleotides from indicated strains grown in low-

phosphate MOPS minimal medium supplemented with 0.2% glucose. NC and PC denote valine-

treated *ΔrelA ΔspoT* and wild-type MG1655 controls, respectively. Red circles mark ppGpp; boxed

regions indicate nonspecific spots also present in *ΔrelA ΔspoT* samples. **(b)** Effect of inactivating

SpoT ppGpp synthetase activity (E319Q; HYD) on growth recovery in M9Glc. Lag phase duration

is normalized to *spoT^wt^*. **(c)** TLC analysis of [32]p-labeled nucleotides from strains carrying SpoT

hydrolase-inactive mutations (H72A D73A; SYN). **(d)** Growth recovery of hydrolase-inactive

strains (SYN), quantified as normalized lag phase duration relative to *spoT^wt^*. Note that the

hydrolase-inactive (SYN) variants of supA and sup17 could not be obtained, thereby not tested. **(e)**

Immunoblot analysis of SpoT protein levels using an antiserum raised against the SpoT C-terminal

(TGS-CC-ACT; anti-TCA) region. Cells were grown in M9Glc to early exponential phase (OD_{600nm}

≈ 0.2) prior to sampling. $spoT^{H414A}$ samples were collected after prolonged incubation, as in

Extended Data Fig. 1c. *ΔrelA ΔspoT* cells grown in LB served as a negative control.

Figure 7. Conservation of SpoT H414 function and model for basal ppGpp regulation. (a,b)

Growth of *E. coli* ppGpp⁰ strains complemented with SpoT homologs from *Salmonella enterica*

serovar Typhimurium ($SpoT_{st}$) **(a)** or *Shigella flexneri* ($SpoT_{sf}$) **(b)**. E, glutamate (0.6 mM); EV,

empty vector. Data represent at least three biological replicates. **(c,d)** Survival of complemented

ppGpp⁰ strains expressing $SpoT_{st}$ **(c)** or $SpoT_{sf}$ **(d)**) following challenge at pH 2.5, normalized to

starting CFUs. Where indicated (+E), glutamate (0.6 mM) was included. **(e)** Top: Under acute

amino-acid starvation, RelA is rapidly activated by ribosome-associated signals to generate a high-

amplitude ppGpp surge, globally reprogramming transcription and metabolism to suppress

nucleotide pathways and promote amino-acid availability. Middle: SpoT maintains a low but

physiologically essential basal ppGpp pool that is distinct from and independent of RelA. This basal

ppGpp state coordinates metabolic homeostasis by restraining excessive arginine and purine

biosynthesis, preserving intracellular glutamate levels, and priming multiple acid resistance

programs, including the glutamate-dependent Gad system (AR). Bottom: In $spoT^{H414A}$ cells, a sub-

basal level of ppGpp remains below the threshold required for effective metabolic and stress

control. Therefore, arginine and purine biosynthetic pathways become overactivated, intracellular

glutamate is depleted, and acid resistance programs are inadequately induced, resulting in growth

failure in minimal medium and extreme sensitivity to acid stress.

EXTENDED DATA FIGURE LEGENDS

Extended Data Fig. 1 (a) Sequence alignment of 12 TGS domains of RelA, SpoT and their

homologous proteins from α, β, γ and δ proteobacteria, Firmicute and Actinomycete. The highly

conserved position corresponding to His 432 of RelA and 414 of SpoT of *E. coli* is indicated with

an arrow. **(b)** Superpositioning of the TGS domains of RelA and SpoT from *E. coli* (rmsd over 91

of 98 atom pairs is 0.762 Å) generated by AF3. **(c)** Representative growth curves of Δ*relA*

spoT^{H414A}, Δ*relA spoT^{wt}* and the four suppressor strains in M9Glc media for collecting RNA

sequencing samples. Cells were collected when their OD_{600nm} approach ca. 0.2, except for *spoT^{H414A}*

cells, which could not grow in this medium, thus these cells were collected after incubated for 18

hrs in the same medium. Coloured arrows indicate the sampling points for each strain. **(d)** Heatmap

of genes with greater than 16-fold changes between Δ*relA spoT^{H414A}* and Δ*relA spoT^{wt}* cells growing

in M9Glc medium. **(e)** Gene ontology groups of genes downregulated in the *spoT^{H414A}* strain.

Genes downregulated at least 16-fold were extracted using DEGUST (|Log2(FC)| > 4; FDR < 0.05)

and analysed by AmiGO and Panther. The 58 genes downregulated more than 16-fold (Log2(FC) <

-4) are listed in **Table S3**. **(f)** Gene ontology groups of genes upregulated at least 16-fold in the

spoT^{H414A} strain. The 28 genes upregulated more than 16-fold (Log2(FC) > 4) are listed in **Table**

S3. Note the differences in the Fold Enrichment scales in **(e)** and **(f)**. Gene names in bold in **(e, f)**

are strongly up or downregulated. **(g)** Normalized percentage of surviving viable cells of the *spoT^{wt}*

and *spoT^{H414A}* strains growing in M9Glc medium. Two replicates were performed and presented.

Extended Data Fig. 2 (a) Purine nucleotide de novo biosynthesis pathway in *E. coli*. The

upregulated genes are indicated by a red upward arrow alongside average log2(FC) values of

spoT^{H414A} versus *spoT^{wt}*. R5P, ribose-5-phosphate; PRPP, 5-phosphoribosyl 1-pyrophosphate; PRA,

5-phosphoribosylamine; GAR, 5'-phosphoribosylglycinamide; FGAR, 5'-phosphoribosyl-N-

formylglycineamide; FGAM, 5'-phosphoribosylformylglycinamidine; AIR, 5-aminoimidazole

ribonucleotide; C-AIR, 5-carboxyamino-1-(5-phospho-D-ribosyl)imidazole; SAICAR, 1-(5-

phosphoribosyl)-4-(N-succino-carboxamide)-5-aminoimidazole; AICAR, 5-amino-1-(5-phospho-D-

ribosyl)imidazole-4-carboxamide; FAICAR, 5-formamido-1-(5-phospho-D-ribosyl)imidazole-4-

carboxamide; IMP, inosine 5'- monophosphate. **(b)** Heatmap of genes involved in purine and

pyrimidine nucleotide de novo biosynthesis. The red box indicates purine de novo biosynthesis

genes.

Extended Data Fig. 3 (a) Normalized lag phase length of the *spoT^{H414A}* strain without or with

supplemented each of the 20 amino acids (in single letters; A, alanine 0.8mM; C, cysteine 0.1mM;

D, aspartic acid 0.4mM; E, glutamate 0.6mM; F, phenylalinine 0.4mM; G, glycine 0.8mM; H,

histidine 0.2mM; I, isoleucine 0.4mM; K, lysine 0.4mM; L, leucine 0.8mM; M, methionine 0.2mM;

N, asparigine 0.4mM; P, proline 0.4mM; Q, glutamine 0.6mM; R, arginine 5.2mM; S, serine 10mM;

T, threonine 0.4mM; V, valine 0.6mM; W, tryptophan 0.1mM; Y, tyrosine 0.2mM), relative to the

spoT^{wt} strain in M9Glc medium. **(b)** Normalized lag phase length of the *spoT^{H414A}* strain without or

with supplemented 1x, 2x, 4x, 10x glutamate (E, 1x = 0.6 mM), relative to the *spoT^wt* strain in M9Glc

medium. **(c)** Normalized lag phase length of the *spoT^H414A* strain without or with supplemented

glutamate (0.6 mM) together with glutamine (Q, 0.6 mM), proline (P, 0.4 mM), asparagine (N, 0.4

mM), relative to the *spoT^wt* strain in M9Glc medium. **(d)** Representative growth curves of Δ*relA*

spoT^H414A, Δ*relA spoT^wt* strains without or with all 20 amino acids (+20AA) (see method for details)

in M9Glc medium. Two biological replicates each with two technical replicates were performed and

presented. **(e)** Normalized lag phase length of the Δ*relA*Δ*spoT207::cat* (ppGpp^0) strain without or

with supplemented arginine (5.2 mM, R), uracil (0.2 mM, U), glutamate (0.6 mM, E) or their varied

combinations, relative to the *spoT^wt* strain in M9Glc medium. Red dots indicate fails of regrowth

within the tested time range of 72 hrs.

Extended Data Fig. 4 (a) Normalized percentage of surviving cells of various strains after

challenged with pH=2.5. The data were normalized to the starting CFUs of each respective tested

strain. +K, +R, lysine (0.6 mM), arginine (0.6 mM) included in the pH=2.5 medium, respectively.

(b) Normalized percentage of surviving cells of $spoT^{wt}$ or $spoT^{H414A}$ strains containing various

plasmid vectors (pCA24N [42], pNTR-SD [43]) after challenged with pH=2.5. The data were

normalized to the starting CFUs of each respective tested strain. EV, empty vector pCA24N. +E,

glutamate (0.6 mM) included in the pH=2.5 medium. **(c)** Representative growth curves of $spoT^{H414A}$

and $spoT^{wt}$ strains containing either the empty vector (EV) or a *gadE* gene on pCA24N or pNTR-SD

vectors in M9Glc medium. Two biological replicates each with two technical replicates were

performed and presented. **(d)** Normalized percentage of surviving cells of $spoT^{wt}$ and $spoT^{H414A}$

strains after challenged with media of various pH values. The data were normalized to the starting

CFUs of each respective tested strain. **(e)** Heatmap of genes involved in acid resistance. Colored

bars indicate genes belonging to the same acid resistance systems: orange, *nuo* genes encoding

NADH dehydrogenase I; yellow, *cyo* genes encoding cytochrome *bo* oxidase; green, lysine

dependent acid resistance systems; cyan, ornithine dependent acid resistance system; black, alkaline

stress; dark blue, arginine dependent acid resistance system.

Extended Data Fig. 5. Growth dynamics of $spoT^{H414A}$ strains expressing candidate SpoT

regulators.

Growth curves of *spoT^H414A* strains carrying either the empty vector (EV) pCA24N or pCA24N

derivatives expressing *acpP, obgE, rsd,* or *ytfK*. Cells were grown in M9Glc medium supplemented

with the indicated concentrations of IPTG to induce expression of the respective genes. Data

represent the mean ± s.d. from three independent biological replicates.

Extended Data Fig. 6. Western blot analysis of SpoT following unnatural amino acid-

mediated UV crosslinking.

SpoT carrying a photo-crosslinkable unnatural amino acid at position 414 was expressed in a *ΔrelA*

ΔspoT background and subjected to UV irradiation (365 nm) to induce covalent crosslinking to

proximal proteins. Samples collected in the absence (-) or presence (+) of UV irradiation were

analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting using anti-SpoT antiserum. Two independent

representative experiments are shown (**a, b**). The positions of full-length SpoT are indicated by the

red arrows.

Extended Data Fig. 7 Growth dynamics of re-constructed four suppressors (cyan)

(*supA/supB/sup17/sup41*) in comparison to *spoT*^{H414A}, *spoT*^{wt}, and the four random suppressors

(blue).

Extended Data Fig. 8 Distributed C-terminal domain regulation tunes SpoT-dependent

growth under basal conditions. (a) Schematic representation of sequential truncations of SpoT C-

terminal regulatory domains introduced into different genetic backgrounds. **(b-g)** Normalized lag

phase duration during growth in M9Glc minimal medium, expressed as a percentage relative to the

corresponding full-length (FL) SpoT parental strain. Red dots indicate strains that failed to resume

growth within the 72-h observation period. Red crosses indicate truncation variants that could not

be obtained. **(h)** Summary of the effects of individual domain deletions on growth resumption in

M9Glc, based on data in panels **b-g**. Blue and red blocks indicate positive or negative effects on

growth, respectively. Red crosses denote non-viable genotypes, and grey blocks indicate conditions

in which no clear effect could be inferred due to indistinguishable growth behavior. **(i-n)**

Normalized lag phase duration during growth in M9Glc supplemented with glutamate (0.6 mM),

expressed relative to the corresponding FL parental strains. **(o)** Summary of domain-specific effects

on growth resumption in the presence of glutamate, based on panels **i-n**. Color coding is as in panel

h.

Extended Data Fig. 9 Suppressor mutations partially restore global transcriptional programs disrupted in $spoT^{H414A}$ cells.

(a) Parallel coordinates plot showing genes with strong differential expression ($|\log_2$ fold change$| > 4$, FDR < 0.05) across $spoT^{wt}$, $spoT^{H414A}$ and four intragenic suppressor strains. (b) Multidimensional scaling (MDS) plot of transcriptomic profiles from the same six strains, with

639 individual biological replicates shown. Growth conditions and sampling strategy are described in

640 the Materials and Methods. Panels **a** and **b** were both generated by DEGUST. **(c)** Cross-suppressor

641 analysis of genes downregulated in *spotT^{H414A}*. Top, Venn diagram showing overlap of genes

642 downregulated in *spotT^{H414A}* and upregulated in one or more suppressor strains. Middle, subset of

643 genes downregulated in *spotT^{H414A}* and consistently upregulated in all four suppressor strains.

644 Bottom, Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of the 124 genes restored across all suppressors.

645 All genes are listed in **Table S4. (d)** Cross-suppressor analysis of genes upregulated in *spotT^{H414A}*.

646 Top, Venn diagram showing overlap of genes upregulated in *spotT^{H414A}* and downregulated in one

647 or more suppressor strains. Middle, subset of genes upregulated in *spotT^{H414A}* and consistently

648 downregulated in all four suppressor strains. Bottom, GO enrichment analysis of the 779 genes

649 consistently repressed across all suppressors. Genes were extracted from DEGUST using thresholds

650 of $|\log_2$ fold change$| > 1$ and FDR < 0.05. Gene lists are provided in **Table S4.** Within GO term

651 annotations, gene names shown in bold indicate transcripts with particularly strong differential

652 expression.

Extended Data Fig. 10 Suppressor mutations differentially correct transcriptional

dysregulation in *spoT^H414A* cells.

(a) Heatmap of genes differentially expressed in *spoT^H414A* relative to *spoT^wt*. DEGUST analysis

using thresholds of |log₂ fold change| > 3.96 and FDR < 0.05 identified 100 genes from a total of

4,575 genes analyzed. Highlighted gene classes include phage shock response genes (*psp*, blue),

SOS response genes (magenta), acid stress response genes (deep purple), and stationary-phase-

induced genes (gold). Genes marked with an asterisk (*) denote transcripts previously reported to

be ppGpp-inducible. **(b, e, h, k)** Heatmaps comparing *spoT^H414A* with each suppressor strain. FDR

thresholds were identical to those used in panel **(a)**, while |log₂ fold change| cutoffs were adjusted to

return approximately 100 genes per comparison. DEGUST identified 102 genes for supA (|log₂ fold

change| > 3.9), 97 genes for sup41 (|log$_2$ fold change| > 3.4), 94 genes for supB (|log$_2$ fold change| >

2.6), and 91 genes for sup17 (|log$_2$ fold change| > 2.3). Gene lists for each comparison are provided

in **Table S5**. **(c, d, f, g, i, j, l, m)** Venn diagram analyses showing overlap of genes dysregulated in

spoT^{H414A} and in each suppressor strain. Genes differentially expressed in *spoT^{H414A}* relative to

spoT^{wt} (from panel **a**) were used as the reference gene set for all Venn analyses, with upregulated

and downregulated genes analyzed separately.

a

Hydrolase Domain (45 - 144)

```
SpoT_E_coli    1 MYLFESLNQLIQTYLPEDQIKRLRQAYLVARDAHEGQTRSSGEPYITHPVAVACILAEMKLDYETLMAALLHDVIEDTPATYQDMEQLFGKSVAELVEGVS
SpoT_S_flex    1 MYLFESLNQLIQTYLPEDQIKRLRQAYLVARDAHEGQTRSSGEPYITHPVAVACILAEMKLDYETLMAALLHDVIEDTPATYQDMEQLFGKSVAELVEGVS
```

```
SpoT_E_coli  102 KLDKLKFRDKKEAQAENFRKMIMAMVQDIRVILIKLADRTHNMRTLGSLRPDKRRRIARETLEIYSPLAHRLGIHHIKTELEELGFEALYPNRYRVIKEVV
SpoT_S_flex  102 KLDKLKFRDKKEAQAENFRKMIMAMVQDIRVILIKLADRTHNMRTLGSLRPDKRRRIARETLEIYSPLAHRLGIHHIKTELEELGFEALYPNRYRVIKEVV
```

Synthase Domain 152 - 325)

```
SpoT_E_coli  203 KAARGNRKEMIQKILSEIEGRLQEAGIPCRVSGREKHLYSIYCKMVLKEQRFHSIMDIYAFRVIVNDSDTCYRVLGQMHSLYKPRPGRVKDYIAIPKANGY
SpoT_S_flex  203 KAARGNRKEMIQKILSEIEGRLQEAGIPCRVSGREKHLYSIYCKMVLKEQRFHSIMDIYAFRVIVNDSDTCYRVLGQMHSLYKPRPGRVKDYIAIPKANGY
```

Linker 1 (347 - 387)

```
SpoT_E_coli  304 QSLHTSMIGPHGVPVEVQIRTEDMDQMAEMGVAAHWAYKEHGETSTTAQIRAQRWMQSLLELQQSAGSSFEFIESVKSDLFPDEIYVFTPEGRIVELPAGA
SpoT_S_flex  304 QSLHTSMIGPHGVPVEVQIRTEDMDQMAEMGVAAHWAYKEHGETSTTAQIRAQRWMQSLLELQQSAGSSFEFIESVKSDLFPDEIYVFTPEGRIVELPAGA
```

TGS Domain (388 - 482)   Linker 2 (483 - 555)

```
SpoT_E_coli  405 TPVDFAYAVHTDIGHACVGARVDRQPYPLSQPLTSGQTVEIITAPGARPNAAWLNFVVSSKARAKIRQLLKNLKRDDSVSLGRRLLNHALGGSRKLNEIPQ
SpoT_S_flex  405 TPVDFAYAVHTDIGHACVGARVDRQPYPLSQPLTSGQTVEIITAPGARPNAAWLNFVVSSKARAKIRQLLKNLKRDDSVSLGRRLLNHALGGSRKLNEIPQ
```

Coiled-coil Domain (556 - 627)

```
SpoT_E_coli  506 ENIQRELDRMKLATLDDLLAEIGLGNAMSVVVAKNLQHGDASIPPATQSHGHLPIKGADGVLITFAKCCRPIPGDPIIAHVSPGKGLVIHHESCRNIRGYQ
SpoT_S_flex  506 ENIQRELDRMKLATLDDLLAEIGLGNAMSVVVAKNLQHGDASIPPATQSHGHLPIKGADGVLITFAKCCRPIPGDPIIAHVSPGKGLVIHHESCRNIRGYQ
```

ACT Domain (628 - 702)

```
SpoT_E_coli  607 KEPEKFMAVEWDKETAQEFITEIKVEMFNHQGALANLTAAINTTTSNIQSLNTEEKDGRVYSAFIRLTARDRVHLANIMRKIRVMPDVIKVTRNRN 702
SpoT_S_flex  607 KEPEKFMGVEWDKETAQEFITEIKVEMFNHQGALANLTAAINTTTSNIQSLNTEEKDGRVYSAFIRLTARDRVHLANIMRKIRVMPDVIKVTRNRN 702
```

b

Hydrolase Domain (45 - 144)

```
SpoT_E_coli    1 MYLFESLNQLIQTYLPEDQIKRLRQAYLVARDAHEGQTRSSGEPYITHPVAVACILAEMKLDYETLMAALLHDVIEDTPATYQDMEQLFGKSVAELVEGVS
SpoT_S_ent.    1 MYLFESLNQLIQTYLPEDQIKRLRQAYLVARDAHEGQTRSSGEPYITHPVAVACILAEMKLDYETLMAALLHDVIEDTPATYQDMEQLFGKSVAELVEGVS
```

```
SpoT_E_coli  102 KLDKLKFRDKKEAQAENFRKMIMAMVQDIRVILIKLADRTHNMRTLGSLRPDKRRRIARETLEIYSPLAHRLGIHHIKTELEELGFEALYPNRYRVIKEVV
SpoT_S_ent.  102 KLDKLKFRDKKEAQAENFRKMIMAMVQDIRVILIKLADRTHNMRTLGSLRPDKRRRIARETLEIYSPLAHRLGIHHIKTELEELGFEALYPNRYRVIKEVV
```

Synthase Domain 152 - 325)

```
SpoT_E_coli  203 KAARGNRKEMIQKILSEIEGRLQEAGIPCRVSGREKHLYSIYCKMVLKEQRFHSIMDIYAFRVIVNDSDTCYRVLGQMHSLYKPRPGRVKDYIAIPKANGY
SpoT_S_ent.  203 KAARGNRKEMIQKILSEIEGRLQEAGIPCRVSGREKHLYSIYCKMVLKEQRFHSIMDIYAFRVIVHDSDTCYRVLGQMHSLYKPRPGRVKDYIAIPKANGY
```

Linker 1 (347 - 387)

```
SpoT_E_coli  304 QSLHTSMIGPHGVPVEVQIRTEDMDQMAEMGVAAHWAYKEHGETSTTAQIRAQRWMQSLLELQQSAGSSFEFIESVKSDLFPDEIYVFTPEGRIVELPAGA
SpoT_S_ent.  304 QSLHTSMIGPHGVPVEVQIRTEDMDQMAEMGVAAHWAYKEHGETSTTAQIRAQRWMQSLLELQQSAGSSFEFIESVKSDLFPDEIYVFTPEGRIVELPAGA
```

TGS Domain (388 - 482)   Linker 2 (483 - 555)

```
SpoT_E_coli  405 TPVDFAYAVHTDIGHACVGARVDRQPYPLSQPLTSGQTVEIITAPGARPNAAWLNFVVSSKARAKIRQLLKNLKRDDSVSLGRRLLNHALGGSRKLNEIPQ
SpoT_S_ent.  405 TPVDFAYAVHTDIGHACVGARVDRQPYPLSQPLSSGQTVEIITAPGARPNAAWLNFVVSSKARAKIRQLLKNLKRDDSVSLGRRLLNHALGGSRKLAEIPQ
```

Coiled-coil Domain (556 - 627)

```
SpoT_E_coli  506 ENIQRELDRMKLATLDDLLAEIGLGNAMSVVVAKNLQHGDASIPPATQS-HGHLPIKGADGVLITFAKCCRPIPGDPIIAHVSPGKGLVIHHESCRNIRGY
SpoT_S_ent.  506 ENIQRELDRMKLATLDDLLAEIGLGNAMSVVVAKNLQQGEAVVPTVAQSNHGHLPIKGADGVLITFAKCCRPIPGDPIIAHVSPGKGLVIHHESCRNIRGY
```

ACT Domain (628 - 702)

```
SpoT_E_coli  606 QKEPEKFMAVEWDKETAQEFITEIKVEMFNHQGALANLTAAINTTTSNIQSLNTEEKDGRVYSAFIRLTARDRVHLANIMRKIRVMPDVIKVTRNRN 703
SpoT_S_ent.  607 QKEPEKFMAVEWDKETEQEFITEIKVEMFNHQGALANLTAAINTTTSNIQSLNTEEKDGRVYSTFIRLTARDRVHLANIMRKIRVMPDVIKVTRNRN 703
```

Extended Data Fig. 11 Sequence conservation and divergence of SpoT in enteric bacteria.

Pairwise sequence comparison of *Escherichia coli* SpoT$_{ec}$ with SpoT homologs from *Salmonella*

enterica serovar Typhimurium SL1334 (SpoT$_{st}$) and *Shigella flexneri* (SpoT$_{sf}$). SpoT$_{sf}$ is nearly

identical to SpoT$_{ec}$, differing by a single conserved substitution (A614G) within the CC domain.

SpoT$_{st}$ (702 amino acids) shares 98.15% overall sequence identity with SpoT$_{ec}$ (701 amino acids),

but contains several amino acid substitutions distributed across multiple domains, including the

hydrolase (HYD; N267H), TGS (T437S), linker-2 (L2; nine substitutions: N501A, H542Q, D544E,

S546V, I547V, P549T, A550V, T551A, and an insertion of N between residues 553-554), CC

(A621E), and ACT (A668T) domains.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Paulina Grucella for helping with Western blot. The work is supported by a Novo Nordisk

Foundation Project Grant (NNF19OC0058331), a Danmarks Frie Forskningsfond grant (2032-

00030B) to Y.E.Z, the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under

the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement (N° 801199) to M.L.S, and the Chinese Scholarship

Council program (N° 202206870009) to Y.Y.L.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Y.E.Z designed this study; Y.Y.L, M.L.S and Y.E.Z acquired fundings; Y.Y.L, M.L.S, Y.E.Z

acquired experimental data; X.C.Z, L.D, K.G, Y.E.Z performed the bioinformatics analyses; Y.E.Z,

K.G, wrote the draft manuscript; and all authors analysed the data and edited the manuscript.

DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

E. coli DH5α (Stratagene) was used for cloning and *E. coli* K-12 MG1655 for experiments requiring

an *E. coli* wt strain background (see **Table S1** for further details). For cloning, nutrient broth (Oxoid),

with agar and antibiotics (chloramphenicol (25 µg/ml), ampicillin (100 µg/ml), and/or kanamycin (25

µg/ml)) when appropriate, was used. The different MG1655 strains were cultivated in Lysogeny

Broth (LB) as well as M9Glc media (1x M9 salt, pH 7.4 1 mM MgSO4, 0.1 mM $CaCl_2$, Thiamine

(0.001 mg/1 ml), 0.2 % (g/ml) glucose) at 37 °C with agitation (160 rpm).

METHOD DETAILS

Isolation of suppressor mutations rescuing the growth of *spoT^{H414A}* in M9Glc

The *spoT^H414A* (YZ527) strain was grown overnight in LB media and then washed twice with PBS

buffer. Approx. 10^7 cells were plated on one M9Glc plate and incubated at 30°C. Colonies of different

sizes were selected between 24-72 hours of incubation, and the restored growth was confirmed in

solid and liquid M9Glc medium.

Growth assay in liquid minimal media

To measure the growth curves of various strains in M9Glc media, the OD_{600nm} was measured of

overnight cultures grown in LB of each strain, washed twice with 1x Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS)

and normalised in PBS and inoculated into fresh M9Glc media with a starting $OD_{600nm} = 0.05$ unless

otherwise stated. The growth was measured every 15 min for 72 hrs in a plate reader (BioTek)

presetted at 30°C with double orbital agitation at 548 cpm. For strains carrying ASKA plasmids (*acpP,*

rsd, ytfK, or *obgE*), protein expression was induced by addition of IPTG at final concentrations of 20,

50, or 200 μM, as indicated.

Anti-serum against SpoT C-terminal domains

Because full-length SpoT proved difficult to obtain in a soluble form, we instead generated a

truncated SpoT construct encompassing the C-terminal regulatory domains. A DNA fragment

corresponding to the *spoT* gene was first amplified using primers pYZ12 and pYZ13 and cloned into

the NcoI and BamHI restriction sites of the pET28b expression vector. Subsequently, primers

pYZ341 and pYZ342 were used for quick-change mutagenesis to delete the N-terminal catalytic

domains, yielding a construct encoding the TGS, CC, and ACT domains of SpoT fused to an N-

terminal His_6-TEV tag (pET28b-his.tev.spoT(TGS-CC-ACT)). The resulting plasmid was

transformed into *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) cells for protein expression. His_6-TEV-SpoT(TGS-

CC-ACT) was purified under denaturing conditions using nickel-affinity chromatography. Cell

pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer containing 6 M urea (pH 8.0), and clarified lysates were applied to Ni-NTA resin. Bound protein was eluted with buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 0.5 M imidazole, and 6 M urea. Purified His$_6$-TEV-SpoT(TGS-CC-ACT) protein was used as antigen to generate polyclonal antiserum in rabbits by Covalab (France), according to standard immunization protocols.

Unnatural amino acid-mediated UV crosslinking

Principle of unnatural amino acid-mediated UV crosslinking

Site-specific incorporation of a photo-crosslinkable unnatural amino acid was used to identify potential protein interaction partners of SpoT. A diazirine-containing lysine analog (DiZPK) was incorporated at position H414 of SpoT via amber stop codon suppression, enabling UV-induced covalent crosslinking to proximal proteins under native growth conditions.

Plasmid construction

To generate an amber codon substitution at position H414 of SpoT, the *spoT* gene was amplified from *Escherichia coli* MG1655 genomic DNA using primer pairs pYZ347/348 and pYZ349/350. Overlap PCR was performed to replace the codon encoding H414 with a TAG stop codon. The resulting PCR product was digested with BamHI and EcoRI and cloned into the corresponding sites of the pNDM220 expression vector, generating pNDM220-RBS-His$_6$.spoT(H414TAG).His$_6$. To remove the native N-terminal histidine tag sequence, primers pYZ700 and pYZ701 were used to amplify the N-terminal region of *spoT* from MG1655 genomic DNA. This fragment was digested with BamHI and PmlI and cloned into the BamHI/PmlI sites of the intermediate pNDM220-RBS-His$_6$.spoT(H414TAG).His$_6$ plasmid, yielding the final construct pNDM220-RBS-spoT(H414TAG).His$_6$.

Cell growth and unnatural amino acid incorporation

The *E. coli ΔrelA ΔspoT* strain harboring pNDM220-RBS-spoT(H414TAG).His$_6$ and pSupAR-

MbPylRS(DiZPK) (YZ1350) was grown overnight in LB medium and subcultured 1:50 into fresh

LB supplemented with 1% (w/v) glucose. Cultures were grown at 30 °C with shaking until OD$_{600nm}$

≈ 0.2, at which point DiZPK (MedChemExpress; CAS 1337883-32-5) was added to a final

concentration of 100 μM. Cells were incubated for an additional 30 min with shaking at 160 rpm,

followed by induction of SpoT expression with 1 mM IPTG for 1 h. Cells were then harvested by

centrifugation, washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and resuspended in M9Glc

medium. Cultures were incubated for an additional 30 min to allow recovery under minimal medium

conditions prior to UV crosslinking.

UV crosslinking

Cell density was measured, and an aliquot (1 ml) was collected prior to UV exposure as a non-

crosslinked control. Samples were pelleted by centrifugation (13,000 rpm, 2 min), supernatants were

removed, and cell pellets were flash-frozen. For crosslinking, cultures were exposed to UV light at

365 nm for 30 min using an F8T5BL UV lamp. Following irradiation, cells were harvested by

centrifugation, washed once with PBS, pelleted, and stored at −20 °C until analysis.

Protein extraction and immunoblotting

Cell pellets were resuspended in Laemmli sample buffer and normalized to equivalent OD$_{600nm}$

values. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE using a 5% resolving gel and transferred to PVDF

membranes. Membranes were probed with anti-SpoT antiserum (1:2,000 dilution) for 1 h at room

temperature, followed by incubation with HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibodies.

Immunoreactive bands were detected by chemiluminescence using Pierce® ECL Western Blotting

Substrate (Thermo Scientific, #32209) and imaged with an ImageQuant LAS4000 system.

Measurements of (p)ppGpp by autoradiography

(p)ppGpp is a highly labile molecule not amenable to HPLC or mass spectrometry analysis. Therefore,

thin layer chromatography (TLC) was used to quantify both pppGpp and ppGpp. The excess

phosphates in M9Glc affect efficient labelling of nucleotides by $H_3{}^{32}PO_4$, therefore MOPS-M9Glc

hybrid media was prepared wherein the PBS buffer in M9Glc was replaced by the MOPS buffer of

the MOPS media[44] (i.e., containing MOPS-tricine 40 mM pH 7.2, 1 mM MgSO4, 0.1 mM CaCl2,

Thiamine (0.001 mg/1 ml), 0.2 % (g/ml) glucose, NH4Cl 0.001 g/ml, 8.5 mM NaCl, 0.2 mM KHPO4).

The strains were grown for ca. 18 hrs in MOPS-M9Glc media at 37 °C with agitation. Afterwards,

the strains were adjusted to the OD_{600nm} of 0.05 in MOPS-M9Glc and grown at 37 °C with agitation

until OD_{600nm} of 0.2-0.3 was reached. Then, 100 µl cells were transferred to a 2-ml Eppendorf tube

together with 5 µl of 0.005 mCi $H_3{}^{32}PO_4$ (100 µCi/ml, PerkinElmer). After 1h at 37 °C with 600 rpm

agitation, 90 µl were transferred to a new tube with 20 µl 2N formic acid, and the sample was stored

at -20°C until analysed by TLC as described in[18].

Sample preparation for RNA-sequencing

The strains were grown overnight in LB media before washed twice in PBS and inoculated into

M9Glc media (OD_{600nm} = 0.05). The strains were grown in M9Glc media until the early exponential

phase (approximately OD_{600nm} = 0.2) before the cells were collected, and snap frozen with liquid

nitrogen and stored at -80 °C. As the strain *spoT^H414A* is unable to grow in M9Glc media, the starting

OD_{600nm} was set to 0.2, and the cells were collected when the other strains are ready to harvest, i.e.

ca. 18 hrs.

Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen; 74104). Ribosomal RNA (rRNA) was

depleted from purified total RNA with Ribo-Zero Plus rRNA Depletion kit (Illumina; 20040892).

First and second strand complementary DNA (cDNA) were synthesized by cDNA Synthesis kit

(Illumina; 20040895). RNA Index Anchors (Illumina; 20040899) was used for ligation of pre-index

anchors to the ends of the double-stranded cDNA fragments to enable dual indexing. Barcoded cDNA

libraries were constructed from anchor-ligated DNA fragments and sequenced on Illumina NovaSeq

6000 Sequencing System from Genewiz (Azenta Life Sciences), yielding a median depth of

39,619,482 paired-end 150 bp reads per sample.

Strain construction

All strains and oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in **Tables S1** and **S2**, respectively. All

chromosomal *in situ* mutations of *spoT* alleles were made by using the I-SceI-based λ -Red

recombineering method[45].

The *spoT* E319Q and H72A D73A mutant strains

Briefly, the pWRG99 plasmid was transformed into the target strains (YZ38, YZ359, YZ543, YZ544,

YZ545, YZ546) creating the target strains YZ313, YZ345, YZ826, YZ827, YZ828, and YZ829. The

SceI site of the pWRG100 vector was PCR amplified using the primers pYZ611 and pYZ612 for the

E319Q mutation and the primers pYZ617 and pYZ618 for the H72A D73A mutation. These PCR

DNAs were then electroporated into the above strains (YZ38, YZ359, YZ543, YZ544, YZ545,

YZ546). Then, the oligos pYZ613 and pYZ616 were used to introduce the E319Q and H72A D73A

mutations into the specific *spoT* alleles *in situ*, generating the E319Q mutant strains (YZ872, YZ873,

YZ874, YZ875, YZ876) and H72A D73A mutant strains (YZ900, YZ902, YZ904, YZ905).

The C-terminal serial truncated mutant strains of *spoT*

pYZ796 and pYZ797 were used to amplify the SceI from pWRG100 and introduced into YZ313,

YZ345, YZ826, YZ827, YZ828, and YZ829. The serial truncations were performed using the

repairing oligos pYZ798, pYZ799, pYZ901, pYZ801, pYZ902, deleting the according domains

(ACT, ACT-CC, ACT-CC-Linker2, ACT-CC-Linker2-TGS, ACT-CC-Linker2-TGS-Linker1,

respectively).

<u>Reconstruction of the *spoT*^{*H414A*} suppressor mutations</u>

Primers pYZ619 and pYZ620 were used to amplify the SceI DNA from pWRG100 vector before it

was introduced into the strain *spoT*^{*wt*} (YZ313). Then, the primers pYZ328 and pYZ70 were used to

amplify the corresponding *spoT* alleles using the chromosomal DNA of the strains supA (YZ543),

supB (YZ544), sup17 (YZ545), and sup41 (YZ546) respectively as the templates. These DNAs were

used to introduce the specific *spoT* suppressing mutations.

P1 phage transduction

The *spoT* genes of the suppressor strains YZ543, YZ544, YZ545, and YZ546 were inactivated via

P1 phage transduction. The donor strain for making the P1 phage lysate was the *spoT207::cat* strain

(YZ47)[13], which contains a chloramphenicol resistance cassette together with *spoT207* deletion allele

for selection. The phage lysate was used to infect strains YZ543, YZ544, YZ545, and YZ546 and the

chloramphenicol resistant transductants were selected and confirmed via diagnostic PCR.

Plasmids constructions

To construct *E. coli (Ec), Salmonella typhimurium(st) or Shigella flexneri(sf) spoT* wild type (wt) and

H414A mutant plasmids, standard molecular cloning procedures were used. For *E. coli*, the *spoT*

coding sequence was PCR-amplified from MG1655 *spoT*^{*wt*} (YZ38) or *spoT*^{*H414A*} (YZ527) using

primers pYZ1201 and pYZ1202. The pBAD33 vector was isolated from *E. coli* DH5α (YZ593). Both

the amplified *spoT* fragments and pBAD33 were digested with *KpnI* and *HindIII* and ligated using

T4 DNA ligase to generate pBAD33- *spoT*^{*wt*} and pBAD33- *spoT*^{*H414A*}. For *S. Typhimurium*, the

H414A mutation was introduced into pGEN-MCS-$spoT_{St}$ (YZ692)[46] by site-directed mutagenesis

using primers pYZ1312 and pYZ1313, yielding pGEN-MCS-spoT$_{st}$ (H414A). For *S. flexneri*, an

A614G substitution was introduced by overlap-extension PCR using pBAD33-$spoT_{Ec}$(wt) or

pBAD33- $spoT_{Ec}$(H414A) as templates. Upstream and downstream fragments were amplified with

primer pairs pYZ1201/pYZ1346 and pYZ1202/pYZ1345, respectively, fused by overlap PCR using

primers pYZ1201 and pYZ1202, and cloned into pBAD33 following digestion with *KpnI* and *HindIII*

to generate pBAD33-$spoT_{Sf}$(WT) and pBAD33-$spoT_{Sf}$(H414A). To construct the plasmid pCA24N-

argA(H15Y), quick-change mutagenesis was carried out using pCA24N-argA(wt) as template and

the primers pYZ1168 and pYZ1169. All plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* DH5α (see **Table**

S1), purified using a commercial miniprep kit (NEB-T1110L), and confirmed by sequencing with

primers pYZ404, pYZ405, and pYZ71 (for pBAD33 constructs), pYZ1305, pYZ1306, and pYZ1228

(for pGEN-MCS constructs) or pYZ34 and pYZ35 (for pCA24N constructs; Eurofins Genomics).

Acid resistance assay

Overnight (16 hrs) cultures were grown in LB medium at 37°C with shaking (160 rpm). Cells were

harvested by centrifugation, washed twice with PBS, and normalized to an optical density at 600 nm

(OD_{600nm}) of 0.4 in minimal medium supplemented with 0.2% glucose (M9Glc, pH 7.4). Cultures

were incubated at 37°C with shaking (160 rpm) for 30 min to allow adaptation to M9Glc. After

adaptation, 1 mL cells were pelleted and resuspended in the same volume of M9Glc medium pre-

adjusted to various pH values (from 2.5 to 8.0), with or without supplemented 0.6 mM glutamate (or

other amino acids). Acid challenge was then performed and assessed at 37°C with shaking (160 rpm).

Cell viability was determined at time zero (i.e., before shifting to M9Glc with a low pH), and at 0.5,

1, 2 and 4 h after acid challenges. For viable-cell counts, 40 µL cell culture at each time point was

collected and 10-fold serially diluted (10^{0}-10^{-7}) in LB medium, and 5 µL of each dilution was spotted

on LB agar plates, allowing colony formation and enumeration and calculation of CFUs the next day.

Western blot

Western blot analysis of protein levels of various mutant SpoT was performed as reported[18]. Briefly,

cells of various strains were collected the same manner as preparation for RNA sequencing (see above,

and **Fig. S1C**). These cells were normalised to have an equal OD_{600nm} per ml and mixed with 2x SDS-

PAGE loading buffer. Samples were heated for 15 min at 95°C and 20 ul of each were separated in

10% SDS-PAGE gels. The proteins were transferred to a PVDF membrane (Amersham) and the SpoT

proteins detected by first incubation with anti-SpoT antiserum (Covalab) and then the secondary HRP

conjugated rabbit IgG antibody (SIGMA). The SpoT bands were visualized by using the Pierce ECL

chemiluminescence substrate (Thermo) and the signals were detected by an Imagequant LAS4100.

Bioinformatic analysis

Preparing RNA-seq data. RNA-seq data (fastq files) were mapped by the BWA-MEM tool of

Galaxy[47] and then analysed by the featureCounts tool in Galaxy https://usegalaxy.eu/). The tabular

format of the featureCounts files were exported from Galaxy and assembled into one single tabular

file from which sub-files containing collections of genes of interest, e.g. amino acid biosynthesis,

purine de novo synthesis, were extracted.

Analysis of RNA-seq data. To generate heatmaps and fold-of-changes, the tabular files containing

the RNA-seq counts were analysed by DEGUST (https://degust.erc.monash.edu/). To generate

Venn diagrams, gene lists were analysed by the jVenn application at

(https://jvenn.toulouse.inrae.fr/app/index.html). Gene Ontology terms were generated by AmiGO

(https://amigo.geneontology.org/amigo) and Panther

(https://pantherdb.org/webservices/go/overrep.jsp). The json-files generated by PANTHER were

analysed by ChatGPT that generated editable SVG files.

<u>Other Bioinformatic Procedures</u>

Protein sequence alignment was accomplished using JalView[48], protein tertiary structure modelling

and visualization by AlphaFold 3 and ChimeraX, respectively[49,50].

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Signals of (p)ppGpp from autoradiography were quantified using ImageQuant (GE Healthcare). At

least three biological replicates were performed and one representative shown in the **Figure**s.

For other physiological growth experiments, at least two biological replicates each with three

technical replicates were done (see **Figure** legends for details). The length (hours) of lag phases was

defined as the period from the growth start to the time point where the slope of growth curves first

reaches the exponential-phase growth rate. These lag phage length values were then normalized to

the parental strains or conditions, and plotted (see each of the legends for details). The student t-test

was used for statistic analysis wherever applicable.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The RNA-seq raw data produced in this study are available through the NCBI Sequence Read

Archive under BioProject accession number PRJNA1097790.

References

1. Fang, F.C., Frawley, E.R., Tapscott, T., and Vázquez-Torres, A. (2016). Bacterial Stress
Responses during Host Infection. Cell Host Microbe *20*, 133-143.
10.1016/j.chom.2016.07.009.
2. Kanjee, U., and Houry, W.A. (2013). Mechanisms of acid resistance in Escherichia coli. Annu
Rev Microbiol *67*, 65-81. 10.1146/annurev-micro-092412-155708.
3. Irving, S.E., Choudhury, N.R., and Corrigan, R.M. (2021). The stringent response and
physiological roles of (pp)pGpp in bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol *19*, 256-271. 10.1038/s41579-
020-00470-y.
4. Anderson, B.W., Fung, D.K., and Wang, J.D. (2021). Regulatory Themes and Variations by
the Stress-Signaling Nucleotide Alarmones (p)ppGpp in Bacteria. Annu Rev Genet *55*, 115-
133. 10.1146/annurev-genet-021821-025827.
5. Bange, G., Brodersen, D.E., Liuzzi, A., and Steinchen, W. (2021). Two P or Not Two P:
Understanding Regulation by the Bacterial Second Messengers (p)ppGpp. Annu Rev
Microbiol *75*, 383-406. 10.1146/annurev-micro-042621-122343.
6. Hauryliuk, V., Atkinson, G.C., Murakami, K.S., Tenson, T., and Gerdes, K. (2015). Recent
functional insights into the role of (p)ppGpp in bacterial physiology. Nat Rev Microbiol *13*,
298-309. 10.1038/nrmicro3448.
7. Block, R., and Haseltine, A.W. (1975). Purification and properties of stringent factor. J Biol
Chem *250*, 1212-1217.
8. Brown, A., Fernandez, I.S., Gordiyenko, Y., and Ramakrishnan, V. (2016). Ribosome-
dependent activation of stringent control. Nature *534*, 277-280. 10.1038/nature17675.
9. Loveland, A.B., Bah, E., Madireddy, R., Zhang, Y., Brilot, A.F., Grigorieff, N., and
Korostelev, A.A. (2016). Ribosome•RelA structures reveal the mechanism of stringent
response activation. Elife *5*. 10.7554/eLife.17029.
10. Arenz, S., Abdelshahid, M., Sohmen, D., Payoe, R., Starosta, A.L., Berninghausen, O.,
Hauryliuk, V., Beckmann, R., and Wilson, D.N. (2016). The stringent factor RelA adopts an
open conformation on the ribosome to stimulate ppGpp synthesis. Nucleic Acids Res *44*,
6471-6481. 10.1093/nar/gkw470.
11. Winther, K.S., Roghanian, M., and Gerdes, K. (2018). Activation of the Stringent Response
by Loading of RelA-tRNA Complexes at the Ribosomal A-Site. Mol Cell *70*, 95-105.e104.
10.1016/j.molcel.2018.02.033.
12. Ross, W., Vrentas, C.E., Sanchez-Vazquez, P., Gaal, T., and Gourse, R.L. (2013). The magic
spot: a ppGpp binding site on E. coli RNA polymerase responsible for regulation of
transcription initiation. Mol Cell *50*, 420-429. 10.1016/j.molcel.2013.03.021.
13. Ross, W., Sanchez-Vazquez, P., Chen, A.Y., Lee, J.H., Burgos, H.L., and Gourse, R.L. (2016).
ppGpp Binding to a Site at the RNAP-DksA Interface Accounts for Its Dramatic Effects on
Transcription Initiation during the Stringent Response. Mol Cell *62*, 811-823.
10.1016/j.molcel.2016.04.029.
14. Durfee, T., Hansen, A.M., Zhi, H., Blattner, F.R., and Jin, D.J. (2008). Transcription profiling
of the stringent response in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol *190*, 1084-1096. 10.1128/jb.01092-
07.
15. Traxler, M.F., Summers, S.M., Nguyen, H.T., Zacharia, V.M., Hightower, G.A., Smith, J.T.,
and Conway, T. (2008). The global, ppGpp-mediated stringent response to amino acid

starvation in Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol *68*, 1128-1148. 10.1111/j.1365-
2958.2008.06229.x.

16. Sanchez-Vazquez, P., Dewey, C.N., Kitten, N., Ross, W., and Gourse, R.L. (2019). Genome-
wide effects on Escherichia coli transcription from ppGpp binding to its two sites on RNA
polymerase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *116*, 8310-8319. 10.1073/pnas.1819682116.

17. Zhang, Y., Zborníková, E., Rejman, D., and Gerdes, K. (2018). Novel (p)ppGpp Binding and
Metabolizing Proteins of Escherichia coli. mBio *9*. 10.1128/mBio.02188-17.

18. Zhang, Y.E., Baerentsen, R.L., Fuhrer, T., Sauer, U., Gerdes, K., and Brodersen, D.E. (2019).
(p)ppGpp Regulates a Bacterial Nucleosidase by an Allosteric Two-Domain Switch. Mol Cell
74, 1239-1249 e1234. 10.1016/j.molcel.2019.03.035.

19. Wang, B., Dai, P., Ding, D., Del Rosario, A., Grant, R.A., Pentelute, B.L., and Laub, M.T.
(2019). Affinity-based capture and identification of protein effectors of the growth regulator
ppGpp. Nat Chem Biol *15*, 141-150. 10.1038/s41589-018-0183-4.

20. Wang, B., Grant, R.A., and Laub, M.T. (2020). ppGpp Coordinates Nucleotide and Amino-
Acid Synthesis in E. coli During Starvation. Mol Cell *80*, 29-42.e10.
10.1016/j.molcel.2020.08.005.

21. Grucela, P.K., Fuhrer, T., Sauer, U., Chao, Y., and Zhang, Y.E. (2023). Ribose 5-phosphate:
the key metabolite bridging the metabolisms of nucleotides and amino acids during stringent
response in Escherichia coli? Microb Cell *10*, 141-144. 10.15698/mic2023.07.799.

22. Fernández-Coll, L., and Cashel, M. (2020). Possible Roles for Basal Levels of (p)ppGpp:
Growth Efficiency Vs. Surviving Stress. Front Microbiol *11*, 592718.
10.3389/fmicb.2020.592718.

23. Xiao, H., Kalman, M., Ikehara, K., Zemel, S., Glaser, G., and Cashel, M. (1991). Residual
guanosine 3',5'-bispyrophosphate synthetic activity of relA null mutants can be eliminated by
spoT null mutations. J Biol Chem *266*, 5980-5990.

24. Seyfzadeh, M., Keener, J., and Nomura, M. (1993). spoT-dependent accumulation of
guanosine tetraphosphate in response to fatty acid starvation in Escherichia coli. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A *90*, 11004-11008.

25. Battesti, A., and Bouveret, E. (2006). Acyl carrier protein/SpoT interaction, the switch linking
SpoT-dependent stress response to fatty acid metabolism. Mol Microbiol *62*, 1048-1063.
10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05442.x.

26. Vinella, D., Albrecht, C., Cashel, M., and D'Ari, R. (2005). Iron limitation induces SpoT-
dependent accumulation of ppGpp in Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol *56*, 958-970.
10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04601.x.

27. Spira, B., Silberstein, N., and Yagil, E. (1995). Guanosine 3',5'-bispyrophosphate (ppGpp)
synthesis in cells of Escherichia coli starved for Pi. J Bacteriol *177*, 4053-4058.
10.1128/jb.177.14.4053-4058.1995.

28. Levin-Reisman, I., Ronin, I., Gefen, O., Braniss, I., Shoresh, N., and Balaban, N.Q. (2017).
Antibiotic tolerance facilitates the evolution of resistance. Science *355*, 826-830.
10.1126/science.aaj2191.

29. Windels, E.M., Michiels, J.E., Fauvart, M., Wenseleers, T., Van den Bergh, B., and Michiels,
J. (2019). Bacterial persistence promotes the evolution of antibiotic resistance by increasing
survival and mutation rates. Isme j *13*, 1239-1251. 10.1038/s41396-019-0344-9.

30. Lewis, K. (2007). Persister cells, dormancy and infectious disease. Nat Rev Microbiol *5*, 48-
56. 10.1038/nrmicro1557.

31. Dalebroux, Z.D., Svensson, S.L., Gaynor, E.C., and Swanson, M.S. (2010). ppGpp conjures
bacterial virulence. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev *74*, 171-199. 10.1128/mmbr.00046-09.

32.　Harms, A., Maisonneuve, E., and Gerdes, K. (2016). Mechanisms of bacterial persistence
during stress and antibiotic exposure. Science *354*. 10.1126/science.aaf4268.
33.　Lin, J., Smith, M.P., Chapin, K.C., Baik, H.S., Bennett, G.N., and Foster, J.W. (1996).
Mechanisms of acid resistance in enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli. Appl Environ
Microbiol *62*, 3094-3100. 10.1128/aem.62.9.3094-3100.1996.
34.　Lund, P., Tramonti, A., and De Biase, D. (2014). Coping with low pH: molecular strategies
in neutralophilic bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev *38*, 1091-1125. 10.1111/1574-6976.12076.
35.　Capitani, G., De Biase, D., Aurizi, C., Gut, H., Bossa, F., and Grütter, M.G. (2003). Crystal
structure and functional analysis of Escherichia coli glutamate decarboxylase. Embo j *22*,
4027-4037. 10.1093/emboj/cdg403.
36.　Castanie-Cornet, M.P., Penfound, T.A., Smith, D., Elliott, J.F., and Foster, J.W. (1999).
Control of acid resistance in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol *181*, 3525-3535.
10.1128/jb.181.11.3525-3535.1999.
37.　Rajagopal, B.S., DePonte, J., 3rd, Tuchman, M., and Malamy, M.H. (1998). Use of inducible
feedback-resistant N-acetylglutamate synthetase (argA) genes for enhanced arginine
biosynthesis by genetically engineered Escherichia coli K-12 strains. Appl Environ Microbiol
64, 1805-1811. 10.1128/aem.64.5.1805-1811.1998.
38.　Persky, N.S., Ferullo, D.J., Cooper, D.L., Moore, H.R., and Lovett, S.T. (2009). The
ObgE/CgtA GTPase influences the stringent response to amino acid starvation in Escherichia
coli. Mol Microbiol *73*, 253-266. 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06767.x.
39.　Lee, J.W., Park, Y.H., and Seok, Y.J. (2018). Rsd balances (p)ppGpp level by stimulating the
hydrolase activity of SpoT during carbon source downshift in Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A *115*, E6845-E6854. 10.1073/pnas.1722514115.
40.　Germain, E., Guiraud, P., Byrne, D., Douzi, B., Djendli, M., and Maisonneuve, E. (2019).
YtfK activates the stringent response by triggering the alarmone synthetase SpoT in
Escherichia coli. Nat Commun *10*, 5763. 10.1038/s41467-019-13764-4.
41.　Zhou, Y.N., and Jin, D.J. (1998). The rpoB mutants destabilizing initiation complexes at
stringently controlled promoters behave like "stringent" RNA polymerases in Escherichia coli.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *95*, 2908-2913. 10.1073/pnas.95.6.2908.
42.　Kitagawa, M., Ara, T., Arifuzzaman, M., Ioka-Nakamichi, T., Inamoto, E., Toyonaga, H., and
Mori, H. (2005). Complete set of ORF clones of Escherichia coli ASKA library (a complete
set of E. coli K-12 ORF archive): unique resources for biological research. DNA Res *12*, 291-
299. 10.1093/dnares/dsi012.
43.　Saka, K., Tadenuma, M., Nakade, S., Tanaka, N., Sugawara, H., Nishikawa, K., Ichiyoshi, N.,
Kitagawa, M., Mori, H., Ogasawara, N., and Nishimura, A. (2005). A complete set of
Escherichia coli open reading frames in mobile plasmids facilitating genetic studies. DNA
Res *12*, 63-68.
44.　Neidhardt, F.C., Bloch, P.L., and Smith, D.F. (1974). Culture medium for enterobacteria. J
Bacteriol *119*, 736-747. 10.1128/jb.119.3.736-747.1974.
45.　Kanjee, U., Gutsche, I., Alexopoulos, E., Zhao, B., El Bakkouri, M., Thibault, G., Liu, K.,
Ramachandran, S., Snider, J., Pai, E.F., and Houry, W.A. (2011). Linkage between the
bacterial acid stress and stringent responses: the structure of the inducible lysine
decarboxylase. Embo j *30*, 931-944. 10.1038/emboj.2011.5.
46.　Chau, N.Y.E., Perez-Morales, D., Elhenawy, W., Bustamante, V.H., Zhang, Y.E., and
Coombes, B.K. (2021). (p)ppGpp-Dependent Regulation of the Nucleotide Hydrolase PpnN
Confers Complement Resistance in Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium. Infect Immun
89. 10.1128/IAI.00639-20.

47.   The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible, and collaborative data analyses: 2024
update. (2024). Nucleic Acids Res *52*, W83-w94. 10.1093/nar/gkae410.

48.   Procter, J.B., Carstairs, G.M., Soares, B., Mourão, K., Ofoegbu, T.C., Barton, D., Lui, L.,
Menard, A., Sherstnev, N., Roldan-Martinez, D., et al. (2021). Alignment of Biological
Sequences with Jalview. Methods Mol Biol *2231*, 203-224. 10.1007/978-1-0716-1036-7_13.

49.   Senior, A.W., Evans, R., Jumper, J., Kirkpatrick, J., Sifre, L., Green, T., Qin, C., Žídek, A.,
Nelson, A.W.R., Bridgland, A., et al. (2020). Improved protein structure prediction using
potentials from deep learning. Nature *577*, 706-710. 10.1038/s41586-019-1923-7.

50.   Pettersen, E.F., Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Meng, E.C., Couch, G.S., Croll, T.I., Morris,
J.H., and Ferrin, T.E. (2021). UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers,
educators, and developers. Protein Sci *30*, 70-82. 10.1002/pro.3943.

1067

1068

Legends to Supplementary Tables

Table S1. Bacterial strains used in this study

Table S2. Oligonucleotides used in this study

Table S3. Differential gene expression analysis of RNA-seq data from *spoT^wt* and *spoT^H414A*

strains . In total, the transcription levels of 4575 genes of MG1655 were analysed. At a setting of

|Log2(FC)| > 1 and FDR < 0.05, 865 and 949 genes were down and up-regulated, respectively. The

change-of-folds |Log2(FC)| of each gene are also shown.

1076

Table S4. Gene ontology analysis of the four suppressor strains.

Sheet #1 (related to Extended Data Fig. 7C): Genes downregulated in the *spoT^H414A* strain relative

to the *spoT^wt* strain (Column A). Genes upregulated in either of the four suppressor strains *supA*,

sup41, *supB* and *sup17* relative to the *spoT^H414A* strain (Columns B to E). The list of genes in

Columns B to E were used to generate a list of genes that were upregulated in at least one

suppressor strain (Column F) and a list of genes that were upregulated in all 4 suppressor strains

(Column G).

1084

Sheet #2 (related to Extended Data Fig. 7D): Genes upregulated in the *spoT^H414A* strain relative

to the *spoT^wt* strain (Column A). Genes downregulated in either of the four suppressor strains

relative to the *spoT^H414A* strain. The list of genes in Columns B to E were used to generate a list of

genes that were upregulated in at least one suppressor strain (Column F) and a list of genes that

were downregulated in all 4 suppressor strains (Column G). Gene lists in **Table S4** were generated

by DEGUST at a setting of |Log2(FC)| > 1 and FRD < 0.05.

1091

1092 **Table S5. Comparison of *spoT^H414A* with suppressor strains (related to Extended Data Fig. 8).**

1093   The Table yields the folds-of-changes in the suppressor strains relative to $spoT^{H414A}$. The Log2(FC)

1094   setting in DEGUST was chosen to yield around 100 genes up and downregulated in each heatmap

1095   Panels a, b, e, h and k of **Extended Data Fig. 8**.