Morphological, molecular, and functional evidence for a CNS-like oral nerve ring

in the sea anemone *Nematostella vectensis*

Authors

Ruohan Zhong, Chris W. Seidel, Anna M.L. Klompen, Matthew C. Gibson

Affiliations

Stowers Institute for Medical Research, Kansas City, MO, USA

Correspondence

Matthew C. Gibson (mg2@stowers.org)

Abstract

The emergence of centralized nervous systems reflects a major inflection point in

evolution, enabling animals to integrate diverse inputs and coordinate complex

behaviors. Neural centralization is typically associated with Bilateria, whereas their

sister group, Cnidaria (jellyfish, anemones, and corals), has long been thought to rely on

diffuse nerve nets mediating simple reflexes[1–4]. This view, reinforced by limited

anatomical and molecular data, has left unresolved whether cnidarians can form

localized centers for neural processing, a question sharpened by the growing

recognition of their diverse behavioral repertoires[5–8]. Here we show that the sea

anemone *Nematostella vectensis* possesses an oral nerve ring composed of ganglion-

like condensations, a hallmark of centralized organization. These neurons are enriched

for excitatory, inhibitory, and modulatory receptors but lack sensory or ciliary markers,

yielding a molecular profile most consistent with bilaterian interneurons. Genetic

disruption of a conserved inhibitory receptor subunit predominantly expressed in the

oral nerve ring delayed the initiation of swallowing in a novel feeding paradigm,

demonstrating a potential role in behavioral regulation. Together, these findings provide

converging anatomical, molecular, and functional evidence that cnidarians can

assemble localized integrative centers, suggesting that key elements of neural

centralization predated the cnidarian–bilaterian split.

Introduction

Animals coordinate behavior by concentrating neurons into localized integrative centers, a strategy exemplified by the bilaterian central nervous system (CNS). In contrast, cnidarians, the sister group to bilaterians, are traditionally portrayed as relying on diffuse nerve nets that mediate simple reflexes[1–4] (Fig. 1a). This dichotomy has long framed centralization as a bilaterian innovation and left unresolved whether cnidarians can assemble structures that support local integrative processing.

The diffuse nerve net paradigm has persisted for over a century, in part due to assumptions tied to cnidarians' basal phylogenetic position, the absence of macroscopic brain- or nerve cord-like structures, and a lack of molecular tools to visualize and classify neurons. *Hydra*, long the principal model for cnidarian neurobiology, further shaped this view through its diffuse, highly regenerative but lineage-specific neural organization[9,10]. Within this framework, cnidarian nerve nets were widely interpreted as morphologically and functionally simple. Still, classical anatomical studies hint that this picture might be incomplete. In 1879, Oscar and Richard Hertwig described a high density of neural elements arranged in crisscross and radial patterns in the oral disc of the parasitic anemone *Calliactis*[11]. In 1963, Elaine Robson illustrated radial oral nerve tracts in the swimming anemone *Stomphia* and proposed that they mediate coordination between the oral disc and the mesenteries[12]. In 1982, Kinnamon and Westfall identified interneuron-like cells at hypostome–tentacle junctions in *Hydra littoralis*, which they referred to as "primitive ganglia"[13]. These findings raise the possibility of localized neural organization within cnidarian nerve nets, although their cellular identities, developmental origins, and functional roles remain unknown.

Modern behavioral work strengthens this perspective by revealing functional specialization across cnidarian lineages. *Clytia hemisphaerica* relies on spatially organized subnetworks to coordinate food handling[5]; *Hydra vulgaris* displays satiety-dependent behaviors mediated by enteric- and CNS-like neuronal subpopulations[8]; the box jellyfish *Tripedalia cystophora* can modify obstacle avoidance through operant conditioning[7]; and the sea anemone *Nematostella vectensis* exhibits circadian

locomotion and associative learning[6,14]. Together, these findings indicate that cnidarian

nerve nets support more structured and context-dependent processing than is captured

by the classical diffuse nerve net paradigm.

Nematostella vectensis offers an ideal system to revisit these assumptions with modern

tools. It combines a tractable life cycle[15,16], a well-annotated genome[17–19], and an

expanding molecular toolkit[20–22]. Unlike bilaterian invertebrates such as *Drosophila* or *C.*

elegans, which have undergone extensive gene loss, *Nematostella* retains a broad

ancestral eumetazoan gene repertoire more comparable to vertebrates, including

synaptic machinery, neurotransmitter pathways, and developmental regulators[23–30].

Despite its compact genome (269.4 Mb; ~19,200 protein-coding genes, similar to

humans), our analysis of the current RefSeq assembly reveals an unexpectedly broad

diversification of neurotransmitter receptor families, including glutamate, γ-aminobutyric

acid (GABA), acetylcholine, monoamine, and neuropeptide receptors, well beyond the

two previously characterized types[31,32] and far exceeding the diversity seen in many

bilaterians (Supplementary Table 2). In contrast, receptor numbers for other signaling

pathways such as BMP[33], Wnt[34], and Hedgehog[35] are comparable to or below human

levels, suggesting that this diversification is specific to neural communication genes.

Developmentally, *Nematostella* exhibits bilateral patterning of tentacles, musculature,

and Hox/BMP expression along its directive axis[22,33,36], undermining the traditional

association between radial body plans and diffuse neural organization.

Whether cnidarians can assemble discrete interneuron-like centers capable of local

integrative processing has remained unclear. Here, using anatomical, molecular, and

functional approaches, we examine nervous system organization in the cnidarian

Nematostella vectensis and assess whether features associated with neural

centralization extend deeper in animal evolution than previously appreciated.

Results

Motor coordination during feeding

Feeding, an innate, essential, and readily inducible behavior requiring whole-body

coordination, provides a tractable entry point to test whether a cnidarian nerve net

contains localized neural structures that support complex motor transitions. To

characterize feeding under ecologically relevant conditions, we recorded adult

Nematostella polyps partially burrowed in gravel with the oral disc exposed above the

substrate (Fig. 1b). Upon introduction of free-swimming *Artemia* nauplii (brine shrimp

larvae), tentacles that made contact bent, twisted, and captured prey, whereas non-

contacting tentacles remained poised in the background. Prey-bearing tentacles then

contracted toward the oral opening, conveying food through the pharynx and into the

body cavity (Supplementary Video 1).

In initial experiments, we found that feeding responses were highly flexible and context

dependent, varying with prey size, number, position, and mode of delivery

(Supplementary Videos 2–5 and Extended Data Fig. 5f). To identify the core elements

within this behavioral diversity, we established a simplified feeding paradigm in which a

cylindrical egg-white pellet (1 mm × 1 mm) was gently delivered to the oral disc using a

cat whisker (Fig. 1c,d and Supplementary Video 6). This consistently triggered a

reproducible sequence of motor subroutines involving distinct body regions. We defined

two stereotyped phases: (1) grasping, from the first tentacle-driven movement to the

onset of neck constriction, during which tentacles sense and ensnare the pellet, pull it

toward the mouth, and clasp around it; and (2) swallowing, from the onset of neck

constriction until the pellet reaches the distal end of the pharynx, characterized by

rhythmic neck contractions, mouth opening and closing, food passage through the

pharynx, and temporary suspension of oral-to-aboral peristalsis. Our observations thus

demonstrate that *Nematostella* executes a structured, multi-step feeding program

despite lacking any obvious anatomical centralization.

Anatomical organization of the oral nerve net

The adaptability and robustness of feeding under varied conditions raise the question of

how coordinated behaviors can be directed by a diffuse nerve net. In *Nematostella*, a

previously described *NvElav1* reporter labels neurons along the body column[25] but

shows little expression in neurons of the oral disc and tentacles. To overcome this

limitation, we generated additional reporter lines by screening promoters of candidate

genes identified in scRNA-seq data[28].

Among them, a 1.9 kb upstream fragment of the *Nematostella SH3GL3* (Endophilin-A3)

homolog drove a fluorescent reporter *NvSH3GL3^P>mScarlet-I* (Extended Data Fig. 1b)

that robustly labeled neurons, including dense innervation of the oral disc and tentacles,

major structures involved in feeding (Fig. 1e). Notably, this pattern resembles early

hand-drawn descriptions of oral neuronal condensations in other sea anemones[11,12],

suggesting that it may represent a conserved anatomical feature.

High-resolution imaging of the oral region revealed that neuronal organization is not

diffuse but rather exhibits pronounced spatial patterning in both soma distribution and

neurite orientation (Fig. 1e). Neurons with enlarged somata and multipolar morphology

clustered at tentacle junctions, where they gave rise to prominent circumferential neurite

bundles that together formed a ring-like structure. We refer to this neuronal structure as

the oral nerve ring (ONR). In contrast, other oral neurons exhibited smaller somata and

distinct projection orientations, including radially oriented neurites around the mouth and

longitudinal projections within the tentacles (Fig. 1e). With circumferential neurites

linking tentacles and localization at the interface between the tentacles, pharynx, and

body column, the ONR occupies a position well suited for coordinating activity across

multiple body regions.

To examine how this circumoral organization arises, we analyzed *NvSH3GL3^P*-labeled

neurons during larval-to-polyp transitions associated with tentacle formation (Extended

Data Fig. 1a,c). In late planula larvae, neurons with enlarged somata were present but

sparsely distributed within the oral ectoderm and did not exhibit a coherent ring-like

arrangement. As animals progressed through the tentacle-bud stage and into primary

polyps, the number of these ONR neurons increased, accompanied by higher neurite

density and preferential localization to tentacle junctions (Extended Data Fig. 1c). With

further growth and the sequential addition of tentacles[36], this process gave rise to a

progressively denser circumoral neurite bundle and an increased accumulation of large

neuronal somata at tentacle junctions, ultimately forming a clearly defined oral nerve

ring (Extended Data Fig. 1d). These observations indicate that ONR organization

emerges gradually during development and scales with increasing body size and

morphological complexity.

Molecular diversity of the oral nerve net

Building on the anatomical and developmental characterization of the oral nerve ring,

we next examined its molecular composition to assess potential functional

specialization. Oral discs from adult *NvSH3GL3^P* reporter animals were dissected, and

mScarlet-I-positive cells were enriched by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)

prior to single-cell RNA sequencing using the 10x Genomics platform (Fig. 2a). This

yielded 3,297 cells comprising both neural and non-neural populations (Fig. 2b), as

defined by marker gene expression (Fig. 2c). Specifically, neural clusters expressed

canonical neuronal markers (*ELAV*, *achaete-scute*), synaptic machinery (*synapsin*,

synaptotagmin, *endophilin*, vesicular transporters), as well as cytoskeletal proteins, ion

channels, transporters, and adhesion/signaling molecules (Fig. 2c and Extended Data

Fig. 2a). Expression of the *NvSH3GL3^P* reporter closely paralleled endogenous

NvSH3GL3 across neural clusters, supporting the fidelity of the reporter. In contrast,

non-neural clusters expressed the endogenous red fluorescent protein *NvFP-7R*[37]

together with tissue-associated cytoskeletal and extracellular-matrix genes, providing an

internal reference for non-neural identity (Fig. 2c).

To examine the spatial expression of markers enriched in specific transcriptional

clusters, we analyzed four-tentacle primary polyps (7–10 days post-fertilization, dpf),

which are more amenable to whole-mount fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) and

confocal imaging than adult tissues. Markers enriched in cluster 3 consistently localized

to the pharyngeal region (Fig. 2d,e). In contrast, markers enriched in clusters 5 and 6

localized predominantly to the ectoderm of the oral disc and tentacles (Fig. 2f,g and

Extended Data Fig. 2b–e). Together, these findings show that at least a subset of

transcriptionally defined neural clusters within the oral nerve net exhibit clear spatial

correlates across oral tissues, linking molecular identity to anatomical position.

Interneuron-like molecular features of the oral nerve ring

We next examined the molecular diversity of oral neurons, as reflected in their sensory

transduction components and neurotransmitter receptor repertoires, to assess whether

transcriptionally defined populations exhibit features consistent with functional

specialization. Sensory-related genes included acid-sensing ion channels (ASICs),

transient receptor potential (TRP) channels, opsins, and cilia-associated proteins

(CFAPs, DNAHs, and RSPs). Neurotransmitter receptors fell into three classes:

excitatory (ionotropic glutamate, acetylcholine), inhibitory (ionotropic GABA, glycine),

and modulatory (metabotropic glutamate, acetylcholine, GABA, monoamines,

neuropeptides). Full gene lists are provided in Supplementary Table 2.

Comparison across transcriptional clusters revealed that clusters 5 and 6 were

distinguished by low sensory gene expression and broad representation of excitatory

(E), inhibitory (I), and modulatory (M) receptor classes (Fig. 3a). Co-expression analysis

showed that the majority of cells within these clusters simultaneously expressed

receptors from all three classes (Fig. 3b and Extended Data Fig. 3), indicating a

molecular profile consistent with integrative signal processing rather than sensory

specialization, reminiscent of integrative interneurons in the bilaterian CNS. This

molecular profile also parallels two morphological features observed in ONR neurons

labeled by the $NvSH3GL3^{P}$ reporter, including the absence of sensory cilia and the

presence of ganglion-like neuronal condensations. Although clusters 5 and 6 shared

these general characteristics, their receptor compositions diverged. Cluster 5 exhibited

substantial heterogeneity in E/I/M receptor combinations, whereas cluster 6 displayed a

more uniform and balanced receptor profile across cells (Fig. 3c). This balance,

approximately 20–30–50 in the relative proportions of excitatory, inhibitory, and

modulatory receptor expression per cell, was maintained despite variation in receptor

identity, suggesting functional convergence within cluster 6.

Other neural clusters showed receptor diversity but lacked this integrative signature.

Cluster 9 expressed receptors from all three classes; however, most cells were single-

or double-positive per cell and were also enriched for sensory and ciliary genes,

suggesting a sensory role. Clusters 11, 12, and 14 exhibited broad modulatory

repertoires, with some cells expressing more than 20 receptor types, yet none showed

the balanced E/I/M profile characteristic of clusters 5 and 6 (Fig. 3a–c).

Marker analysis further reinforced these distinctions (Fig. 3d). Clusters 5 and 6 shared

transcription factors including *POU4/Brn3*[29], *Orthopedia (Otp)*, and *SIX3/6*[27], which are

known to regulate anterior CNS patterning and neuronal specification in Bilateria[38–40].

Although *SIX3/6* expression is restricted to the aboral pole in *Nematostella* larvae[27], it

was enriched in adult oral disc neurons (Extended Data Fig. 2b). Both clusters also

expressed multiple ionotropic GABA receptor-like subunits, with shared and cluster-

specific paralogs (Fig. 3d and Fig. 5a), indicating subtype-specific inhibitory tuning. In

contrast, cluster 2 showed broad neuronal gene expression without defining markers,

consistent with an undifferentiated state. Other clusters (11, 12, 14) were characterized

by distinct modulatory receptor expression (Extended Data Fig. 4a) and co-expression

of a broad range of neurotransmitter receptors, with modulatory types especially

prominent, together with ciliary and sensory genes (Extended Data Fig. 4b–d).

Together, these comparisons revealed clusters 5 and 6 as the most plausible ONR-

associated neuronal populations within the broader oral neural domain, based on their

spatial localization and interneuron-like molecular profiles.

To identify molecular markers within clusters 5 and 6 that label ONR neurons, we

performed FISH on four-tentacle primary polyps for genes enriched in these clusters.

Candidate genes were excluded from further consideration if they fell into three

categories that limited their utility as ONR markers: (1) genes enriched in the adult oral

disc scRNA-seq dataset but weak or undetectable in primary polyps (Extended Data

Fig. 2b); (2) transcripts detected in ONR-like cells but also expressed broadly along the

body column (Extended Data Fig. 2c,d); and (3) transcripts confined to the oral end but

either diffusely expressed across tissues or localized to cells lacking the large somata

and tentacle-junction positioning characteristic of ONR neurons (Extended Data Fig.

2e). By contrast, multiple ionotropic GABA receptor-like (GABA$_A$R-like) subunits

satisfied both transcriptional enrichment and anatomical localization criteria, with

expression concentrated in the oral region and in cells with ONR-like morphology (Fig.

2g, Fig. 5b and Extended Data Fig. 2d). Although no single gene exclusively marked the

entire ONR, these results highlight GABA signaling as a promising entry point for

molecular and functional interrogation of the ONR.

GABAergic pathway in the oral nerve net

Building on this molecular signature, we asked whether the ONR contains the core

components of GABAergic signaling. In bilaterians, canonical GABAergic signaling

involves four key elements: synthesis by glutamate decarboxylase (GAD), vesicular

packaging by vesicular GABA transporter (VGAT), reception through ionotropic or

metabotropic GABA receptors, and clearance by GABA transporter (GAT)[41]. To assess

whether *Nematostella* possesses a comparable pathway architecture, we combined

immunohistochemistry, single-cell and bulk transcriptomics, and comparative genomics.

Whole-mount immunostaining of *NvSH3GL3^P>mScarlet-I* primary polyps revealed

widespread GABA immunoreactivity, with strong enrichment at the oral end (Fig. 4a).

Within the oral disc, a subset of GABA-positive cells overlapped with mScarlet-I-positive

neurons possessing large somata characteristic of ONR morphology (Fig. 4b),

suggesting that ONR neurons may both release and respond to GABA.

In our scRNA-seq dataset, among vesicular inhibitory amino acid transporter

(VIAAT) homologs, only a single homolog showed substantial expression in adult oral

neurons (LOC5506413; hereafter *VGAT*). Multiple GABA$_A$R-like subunits showed

expression largely confined to clusters 5 and 6 (Fig. 3d). Individual cells co-expressed

1–10 subunits (Fig. 3a), a combinatorial diversity rarely seen in invertebrates but

characteristic of the vertebrate CNS[41,42]. Subunits displayed broad to cluster-specific

distributions, with mutually exclusive, partially overlapping, or nested expression

patterns (Fig. 5a), consistent with cell type-specific receptor assemblies. Notably,

approximately 50% of cluster 5 neurons and 75% of cluster 6 neurons co-expressed

GABA$_A$R(s) and *VGAT* (Extended Data Fig. 5a), a defining feature of inhibitory

interneurons capable of both releasing and responding to GABA[41]. Some GABA$_A$R-

positive neurons lacked *VGAT*, likely representing postsynaptic targets of inhibition.

Together, these findings indicate that the ONR supports a GABAergic signaling

architecture encompassing both presynaptic and postsynaptic components, with

receptor diversity suggesting a capacity for fine-tuned inhibition comparable to bilaterian

central circuits.

To complement the single-cell RNA-seq data, we used bulk RNA-seq to transcriptionally

profile the adult tentacles, oral disc, pharynx, and oral body wall. This approach

provided deeper and less biased transcript coverage across whole tissues than scRNA-

seq restricted to FACS-enriched oral neurons. GABA$_A$R-like transcripts showed highest

relative expression in the oral disc and tentacles, mirroring GABA immunostaining and

reinforcing the receptor diversity of the oral nerve net (Fig. 4c).

Prompted by this enrichment, we surveyed the full *Nematostella* GABA$_A$R-like gene set.

Here, we use "GABA$_A$R-like" to denote subunits with sequence similarity to bilaterian

GABA$_A$ or glycine receptor subunits, as detailed in the Methods. Genomic analysis

identified 40 distinct subunits in *Nematostella*—exceeding the 2–23 typical of bilaterians

(Fig. 4d and Supplementary Table 3). Phylogenetic analysis across 13 species (7

cnidarians and 6 bilaterians; Supplementary Table 4) supported a single ancestral

GABA$_A$R, with subsequent expansions in both lineages (Fig. 4e and Supplementary Fig.

1). In *Nematostella,* only three subunits clustered with bilaterian orthologs, whereas the

remaining 37 arose from anthozoan-specific duplications, generating a lineage-specific

expansion that underpinned ONR receptor diversity. A recent preprint reported a similar

cnidarian-specific radiation based on narrower taxon sampling (2 cnidarians and 3

bilaterians) and functional assays of selected subunits[43]. Although metabotropic GABA

receptors are also expanded (12 in *Nematostella* vs. 2 in humans; Supplementary Table

3), their expression was scattered across neural and non-neural clusters without ONR

enrichment; therefore, we focused on ionotropic receptors as the core ONR-associated

repertoire.

Despite the presence of both plasma membrane (GAT) and vesicular (VGAT/VIAAT)

GABA transporters, and a diverse repertoire of GABA receptors, we did not identify a

canonical *GAD* homolog enriched in neuronal populations within the oral scRNA-seq

dataset. Ten putative *GAD*-like candidates, including two reported previously[44,45],

showed no enrichment in any neuronal cluster and were instead weakly or sparsely

expressed in a small number of non-neural clusters (Supplementary Information). These

findings suggest that GABA production in *Nematostella* may rely on non-canonical or

lineage-divergent pathways.

A GABA$_A$ receptor-like subunit regulates swallowing initiation

The molecular profiling described above highlights GABA signaling as central to ONR

function. Among the 40 GABA$_A$R-like homologs encoded in the *Nematostella* genome,

we focused on *NvGABAAR1* (LOC5505184), which showed the broadest expression

within cluster 5 and the highest transcript levels (Fig. 5a). *NvGABAAR1* was also co-

expressed with *VGAT*, a canonical feature of inhibitory interneurons. Moreover, it was

one of only three GABA$_A$R subunits that clustered with bilaterian orthologs (Fig. 4e), and

AlphaFold modeling revealed strong structural similarity to human GABRR3 (Fig. 4f and

Extended Data Fig. 5c). Crucially, FISH confirmed that *NvGABAAR1* was expressed in

oral disc neurons with large somata and circumferential neurites, consistent with ONR

morphology, although expression was also observed in a subset of tentacle neurons

(Fig. 5b).

To test the function of *NvGABAAR1*, we used CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis to introduce

a +27 bp insertion, generating a premature stop codon in the ligand-binding domain

(Extended Data Fig. 5b). Homozygous *NvGABAAR1*$^{+27/+27}$ animals were viable and

displayed no overt neural or developmental defects (Fig. 5c).

We next assayed the behavior of *NvGABAAR1$^{+27/+27}$* mutants using our standardized

feeding paradigm. Animals were sequentially presented with four sham prey items (1

mm × 1 mm egg-white pellets), and grasping and swallowing durations were quantified

(Fig. 5d). Grasping duration, defined as the interval from the first tentacle-driven

movement to the onset of neck constriction (Fig. 1d), did not differ between wild-type

(WT) and mutant animals (p = 0.35), indicating intact prey detection and initial motor

responses (Fig. 5e). Grasping duration was also stable across repeated trials for both

genotypes, with no evidence of systematic drift over ten consecutive presentations

(Extended Data Fig. 5d).

By contrast, swallowing showed a genotype-dependent effect restricted to the first of

four feeding trials (Fig. 5f). Linear mixed-effects modeling revealed a significant

genotype × trial interaction. Strikingly, WT animals showed stable swallowing durations

across trials, whereas mutants exhibited a delay on the first feeding trial (46 ± 10 s; p <

0.001) and were indistinguishable from WT on subsequent trials (all p > 0.2).

Importantly, including food-delivery method (pipette vs whisker) or starvation level as

covariates did not alter the genotype × trial interaction, indicating that the delay on trial 1

reflects a robust, intrinsic deficit in swallowing initiation rather than an effect of stimulus

delivery or feeding state. Mutant animals recovered rapidly after the initial trial and

maintained WT-like swallowing durations for the remainder of the assay, a pattern that

persisted for at least nine consecutive trials (Extended Data Fig. 5e). Together, these

findings demonstrate that *NvGABAAR1* is specifically required for the initiation of

swallowing behavior, supporting a role for GABAergic signaling within the oral nerve net

in coordinating the transition from prey capture to ingestion and, potentially, the

engagement of feeding-related behavioral states.

Discussion

Cnidarian nerve nets have long been viewed as diffuse and reflexive, yet their capacity

for structured, context-dependent behaviors hints at deeper organization. Here we show

that the sea anemone *Nematostella vectensis* possesses an oral nerve ring (ONR), a

localized neural structure implicated in motor coordination during feeding. This finding

suggests that key elements of neural integration predate the cnidarian–bilaterian split

some 700 million years ago, extending the evolutionary roots of centralized

organization.

The ONR forms a localized aggregation of neuronal somata that meets classical criteria

for a ganglion-like structure. In bilaterians, ganglia are clusters of somata functioning as

local integrative or relay centers, whereas in cnidarians "ganglion cells" traditionally

refer to aciliate neurons positioned basally within the epithelium[2,25]. Unlike previously

described neurite condensations in cnidarian nerve nets[25,46,47], the ONR constitutes a

true ganglion-like aggregation of neuronal somata, providing the first modern evidence

of soma-level clustering in a cnidarian (Fig. 1e). We use "ganglion-like" here in the

morphological sense only, to describe neuronal clustering rather than positional

homology to bilaterian ganglia. This clustered architecture provides an anatomical basis

for a CNS-like site of integration within a diffuse nerve net. ONR neurons display

morphological diversity, including bipolar and tripolar forms, suggesting heterogeneity in

connectivity and function. Developmentally, the ONR arises from initially scattered

neurons that progressively cluster as polyps grow (Extended Data Fig. 1c,d). This

scaling of organization with body size and muscular compartmentalization may reflect

an adaptive route toward more efficient long-range coordination, a pattern that parallels

proposed evolutionary transitions from diffuse to centralized networks across early

metazoans[4]. While postsynaptic partners remain unmapped, the ONR's position and

organization indicate an interneuron-like hub mediating sensory–motor coordination.

Molecular and functional evidence support an interneuron-like identity for ONR neurons.

Single-cell analyses show that ONR neurons lack sensory markers but express a

combinatorial set of excitatory, inhibitory, and modulatory receptors together with

transcription factors typical of bilaterian interneurons (Fig. 3a–d). This transcriptional

profile defines a CNS-like integrative module, in which prominent inhibitory components,

including GABA-immunoreactive somata, a vesicular GABA transporter, and an

expanded suite of $GABA_A$ receptor-like subunits, form a molecular framework for

regulating excitation balance and temporal precision[41]. Although comprehensive

functional mapping of the ONR remains beyond the scope of this study, our functional

perturbation of an ONR-enriched GABA$_A$R subunit selectively delayed the onset of

swallowing behavior without impairing prey detection or grasping (Fig. 5e,f). The delay

was most evident during the first feeding event but normalized by the second trial,

indicating rapid behavioral recovery once feeding was initiated. This transient

phenotype suggests that the ONR contributes to the timing and coordination of motor

transitions during the initial stages of feeding rather than executing the motor output

itself. Future work may uncover how internal physiological states shape ONR-mediated

coordination. Together, these observations point to a role for inhibitory signaling within

the ONR in modulating behavioral sequence progression, a hallmark of integrative

rather than purely reflexive circuits.

Intriguingly, ONR neurons also expressed *SIX3/6* and *Orthopedia* (Fig. 3d), two broadly

conserved transcription factors that in bilaterians regulate anterior CNS patterning and

neuroendocrine specification[39,40]. These findings suggest that transcriptional programs

defining the bilaterian anterior neuroectoderm may have deep evolutionary roots in the

patterning of neural condensations around feeding structures.

Collectively, our results position the *Nematostella* oral nerve ring as an intermediate

level of neural organization between diffuse nerve nets and centralized nervous

systems. Its architecture exemplifies how clusters of interneuron-like cells can enable

coordination without a bona fide brain. The marked expansion of neurotransmitter

receptors (Supplementary Table 2) underscores molecular elaboration as a route to

circuit flexibility in early animals, perhaps through receptor repurposing or adaptive

tuning to estuarine variability. While it remains unresolved whether the *Nematostella*

ONR and bilaterian CNS share a common origin or represent convergent solutions, their

shared features reveal deep evolutionary continuity in the principles of neural

integration.

References

1. Bullock, T. & Horridge, G. A. *Structure and Function in the Nervous Systems of Invertebrates.* (1965).

2. Grimmelikhuijzen, C. P. & WestfalP, J. A. The nervous systems of Cnidarians. (1995).

3. Holland, L. Z. *et al.* Evolution of bilaterian central nervous systems: a single origin? *EvoDevo* **4**, 27 (2013).

4. Arendt, D., Tosches, M. A. & Marlow, H. From nerve net to nerve ring, nerve cord and brain — evolution of the nervous system. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **17**, 61–72 (2016).

5. Weissbourd, B. *et al.* A genetically tractable jellyfish model for systems and evolutionary neuroscience. *Cell* **184**, 5854-5868.e20 (2021).

6. Botton-Amiot, G., Martinez, P. & Sprecher, S. G. Associative learning in the cnidarian *Nematostella vectensis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **120**, e2220685120 (2023).

7. Bielecki, J., Dam Nielsen, S. K., Nachman, G. & Garm, A. Associative learning in the box jellyfish Tripedalia cystophora. *Curr. Biol.* **33**, 4150-4159.e5 (2023).

8. Giez, C. *et al.* Satiety controls behavior in Hydra through an interplay of pre-enteric and central nervous system-like neuron populations. *Cell Rep.* **43**, 114210 (2024).

9. Galliot, B. Hydra, a fruitful model system for 270 years. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* **56**, 411–423 (2012).

10. Keramidioti, A. *et al.* A new look at the architecture and dynamics of the Hydra nerve net. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.87330.2 (2024).

11. Hertwig, O. & Hertwig, R. K. W. T. von R. K. W. T. von H. S. *Die Actinien : Anatomisch Und Histologisch, Mit Besanderer Berücksichtigung Des Nervenmuskelsystems*. vol. Hft.1 (G. Fischer, Jena, 1879).

12. Robson, E. A. The nerve-net of a swimming anemone, Stomphia coccinea. *J. Cell Sci.* **S3-104**, 535–549 (1963).

13. Kinnamon, J. C. & Westfall, J. A. Types of neurons and synaptic connections at hypostome-tentacle junctions in *Hydra*. *J. Morphol.* **173**, 119–128 (1982).

14. Oren, M. *et al.* Profiling molecular and behavioral circadian rhythms in the non-symbiotic sea anemone Nematostella vectensis. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 11418 (2015).

15. Layden, M. J., Rentzsch, F. & Röttinger, E. The rise of the starlet sea anemone

Nematostella vectensis as a model system to investigate development and

regeneration. *WIREs Dev. Biol.* **5**, 408–428 (2016).

16. Carvalho, J. E., Burtin, M., Detournay, O., Amiel, A. R. & Röttinger, E. Optimized

husbandry and targeted gene-editing for the cnidarian *Nematostella vectensis*.

Development **152**, (2025).

17. Putnam, N. H. *et al.* Sea Anemone Genome Reveals Ancestral Eumetazoan Gene

Repertoire and Genomic Organization. *Science* **317**, 86–94 (2007).

18. Zimmermann, B. *et al.* Topological structures and syntenic conservation in sea

anemone genomes. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 8270 (2023).

19. Fletcher, C. *et al.* The genome sequence of the starlet sea anemone, Nematostella

vectensis (Stephenson, 1935). *Wellcome Open Res.* **8**, 79 (2023).

20. Ikmi, A., McKinney, S. A., Delventhal, K. M. & Gibson, M. C. TALEN and

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing in the early-branching metazoan

Nematostella vectensis. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, (2014).

21. Renfer, E. & Technau, U. Meganuclease-assisted generation of stable transgenics in

the sea anemone Nematostella vectensis. *Nat. Protoc.* **12**, 1844–1854 (2017).

22. He, S. *et al.* An axial Hox code controls tissue segmentation and body patterning in

Nematostella vectensis. *Science* **361**, 1377–1380 (2018).

23. Magie, C. R., Pang, K. & Martindale, M. Q. Genomic inventory and expression of

Sox and Fox genes in the cnidarian Nematostella vectensis. *Dev. Genes Evol.* **215**,

618–630 (2005).

24. Anctil, M. Chemical transmission in the sea anemone Nematostella vectensis: A

genomic perspective. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part D Genomics Proteomics* **4**,

268–289 (2009).

25. Nakanishi, N., Renfer, E., Technau, U. & Rentzsch, F. Nervous systems of the sea

anemone *Nematostella vectensis* are generated by ectoderm and endoderm and

shaped by distinct mechanisms. *Development* **139**, 347–357 (2012).

26. Layden, M. J., Boekhout, M. & Martindale, M. Q. *Nematostella vectensis achaete-*

*scute*homolog*NvashA*regulates embryonic ectodermal neurogenesis and represents

an ancient component of the metazoan neural specification pathway. *Development*

139, 1013–1022 (2012).

27. Sinigaglia, C., Busengdal, H., Leclère, L., Technau, U. & Rentzsch, F. The Bilaterian

Head Patterning Gene six3/6 Controls Aboral Domain Development in a Cnidarian.

PLoS Biol. **11**, e1001488 (2013).

28. Sebé-Pedrós, A. *et al.* Cnidarian Cell Type Diversity and Regulation Revealed by

Whole-Organism Single-Cell RNA-Seq. *Cell* **173**, 1520-1534.e20 (2018).

29. Tournière, O. *et al.* NvPOU4/Brain3 Functions as a Terminal Selector Gene in the

Nervous System of the Cnidarian Nematostella vectensis. *Cell Rep.* **30**, 4473-

4489.e5 (2020).

30. Cole, A. G. *et al.* Updated single cell reference atlas for the starlet anemone

Nematostella vectensis. *Front. Zool.* **21**, 8 (2024).

31. Faltine-Gonzalez, D. Z. & Layden, M. J. Characterization of nAChRs in Nematostella

vectensis supports neuronal and non-neuronal roles in the cnidarian–bilaterian

common ancestor. *EvoDevo* **10**, 27 (2019).

32. Thiel, D. *et al.* Large-scale deorphanization of Nematostella vectensis neuropeptide

G protein-coupled receptors supports the independent expansion of bilaterian and

cnidarian peptidergic systems. *eLife* **12**, RP90674 (2024).

33. Knabl, P., Mörsdorf, D. & Genikhovich, G. A whole-body atlas of BMP signaling

activity in an adult sea anemone. *BMC Biol.* **23**, 49 (2025).

34. Marlow, H., Matus, D. Q. & Martindale, M. Q. Ectopic activation of the canonical wnt

signaling pathway affects ectodermal patterning along the primary axis during larval

development in the anthozoan Nematostella vectensis. *Dev. Biol.* **380**, 324–334

(2013).

35. Matus, D. Q., Magie, C. R., Pang, K., Martindale, M. Q. & Thomsen, G. H. The

Hedgehog gene family of the cnidarian, Nematostella vectensis, and implications for

understanding metazoan Hedgehog pathway evolution. *Dev. Biol.* **313**, 501–518

(2008).

36. Ikmi, A. *et al.* Feeding-dependent tentacle development in the sea anemone

Nematostella vectensis. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 4399 (2020).

37. Ikmi, A. & Gibson, M. C. Identification and In Vivo Characterization of NvFP-7R, a
Developmentally Regulated Red Fluorescent Protein of Nematostella vectensis.
PLoS ONE **5**, e11807 (2010).

38. Leyva-Díaz, E., Masoudi, N., Serrano-Saiz, E., Glenwinkel, L. & Hobert, O.
Brn3/POU-IV-type POU homeobox genes—Paradigmatic regulators of neuronal
identity across phylogeny. *WIREs Dev. Biol.* **9**, (2020).

39. Simeone, A. *et al.* Orthopedia, a novel homeobox-containing gene expressed in the
developing CNS of both mouse and drosophila. *Neuron* **13**, 83–101 (1994).

40. Steinmetz, P. R. *et al.* Six3 demarcates the anterior-most developing brain region in
bilaterian animals. *EvoDevo* **1**, (2010).

41. Owens, D. F. & Kriegstein, A. R. Is there more to gaba than synaptic inhibition? *Nat.*
Rev. Neurosci. **3**, 715–727 (2002).

42. Sequeira, A., Shen, K., Gottlieb, A. & Limon, A. Human brain transcriptome analysis
finds region- and subject-specific expression signatures of GABAAR subunits.
Commun. Biol. **2**, 153 (2019).

43. Gründer, S. Functional analysis of ligand-gated chloride channels in a cnidarian
sheds light on the evolution of inhibitory signalling. (2025).

44. Oren, M., Brikner, I., Appelbaum, L. & Levy, O. Fast Neurotransmission Related
Genes Are Expressed in Non Nervous Endoderm in the Sea Anemone Nematostella
vectensis. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e93832 (2014).

45. Levy, S. *et al.* Ectopic activation of GABAB receptors inhibits neurogenesis and
metamorphosis in the cnidarian Nematostella vectensis. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **5**, 111–121
(2020).

46. Koizumi, O. *et al.* The nerve ring in cnidarians: its presence and structure in
hydrozoan medusae. *Zoology* **118**, 79–88 (2015).

47. Nielsen, S. K. D., Koch, T. L., Wiisbye, S. H., Grimmelikhuijzen, C. J. P. & Garm, A.
Neuropeptide expression in the box jellyfish *Tripedalia cystophora* —New insights
into the complexity of a "simple" nervous system. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **529**, 2865–2882
(2021).

Methods

Animals and husbandry

Nematostella vectensis anemones were maintained in 12 parts per thousand (ppt)

artificial seawater (ASW, Instant Ocean) in glass containers at room temperature (RT,

~22 °C) under low ambient light. Animals were fed freshly hatched *Artemia* nauplii 2–3

times per week, and seawater was replaced the following day to remove residual food

and waste. Juvenile polyps were raised at 27 °C after their first feeding until sexual

maturity, after which adults were kept long-term at either RT or 16 °C as needed. Mixed-

sex populations were housed at the Stowers Institute and were originally derived from

founder stock provided by Mark Martindale. Unless otherwise specified, all animals

used in this study were kept under these standard conditions.

Spawning and embryo preparation

Spawning was induced following established *Nematostella* protocols[48,49]. Sexually

mature adults were kept at 16 °C, then transferred to RT and placed in front of a light

box overnight to induce gamete release. The following morning, dishes were cold-

shocked by replacing the water with 16–18 °C 12 ppt ASW. Unfertilized egg sacs were

collected for microinjection. Egg sacs were dejellied in 4% L-cysteine prepared in 12 ppt

ASW and incubated for 10 min on a gentle rocker. Wide-bore transfer pipettes were

used to minimize mechanical damage. Dejellied eggs were washed several times in

ASW, transferred to clean dishes, and kept at 16 °C until microinjection or fertilization.

Fertilized embryos used for fluorescent in situ hybridization and developmental imaging

were cultured at 24 °C in the dark under standard conditions. Developmental stages

used in this study included planula larvae (3 days post-fertilization, dpf) and primary

polyps (7–10 dpf). Primary polyps corresponded to the first sessile feeding stage and

were unfed, four-tentacle animals within this early post-metamorphic window. Juveniles

were staged by tentacle number. Adults were considered as sexually mature polyps,

typically bearing 16 tentacles and older than three months.

Transgenic line generation

The *NvSH3GL3^P^>mScarlet-I* reporter construct was designed using a 1.9 kb promoter

fragment upstream of the *NvSH3GL3* locus to drive an *mScarlet-I–CAAX–P2A–HaloTag*

reporter cassette followed by an SV40 polyadenylation signal. mScarlet-I fluorescence

was used for all anatomical imaging. The vector was assembled using the NEBuilder

HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix (NEB, E2621) and sequence-verified prior to injection.

Oligonucleotides used for promoter cloning are listed in Supplementary Table 6.

Unfertilized oocytes were microinjected following established Meganuclease (I-SceI)-

mediated transgenesis procedures[21,50]. Injection mix consisted of 10× I-SceI buffer (10

mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM DTT, pH 8.8), ~50 ng µl^{-1} plasmid DNA, 0.4 U µl^{-1} I-

SceI enzyme (NEB, R0694), and FITC (Fisher Scientific, 46425) to visualize injection

boluses. The mixture was assembled and pre-incubated according to standard

protocol[21]. Microinjection was performed using an Eppendorf FemtoJet, and injected

oocytes were fertilized after the injection session (≤ 1 h). Embryos were kept at 18 °C

overnight and subsequently raised at RT with regular ASW changes until first feeding.

Injected animals retaining mosaic reporter expression after two feedings were pooled

and raised to adulthood. Individual F0 adults were crossed to wild-type (WT) animals to

assess germline transmission. A single F0 female carrier was identified and used to

establish the line. Reporter-positive F1 offspring were outcrossed to WT animals,

yielding ~50% reporter-positive F2 progeny maintained as a stable heterozygous

population. F2 reporter animals were used for all anatomical imaging and single-cell

applications. F3 animals were generated by intercrossing F2 individuals, and

homozygotes were identified by test-crossing to WT animals, which yielded 100%

reporter-positive progeny. Homozygous F3 animals were maintained as genetic stock.

For anatomical reference in selected live-imaging experiments, a previously generated

transgenic reporter expressing GFP under the control of the myosin heavy chain

promoter[51] was used to visualize muscle tissue. This reporter was used only for

qualitative anatomical comparison and was not employed for quantitative analyses.

CRISPR/Cas9 mutant generation

CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing was performed as previously described[20,52]. A single

guide RNA (sgRNA) targeting the *NvGABAAR1* coding sequence was designed using

the CRISPRscan server[53] (https://www.crisprscan.org/sequence/) with default

parameters (Cas9 - NGG, In vitro T7 promoter). The selected sgRNA targeted a site

within the ligand-binding domain. A double-stranded DNA template for sgRNA synthesis

was generated by annealing the gene-specific forward primer to a universal reverse

primer, followed by Klenow extension (37 °C for 30 min, 75 °C for 15 min; Klenow

Fragment, NEB, M0210). In vitro transcription was performed using the AmpliScribe T7-

Flash Transcription Kit (Biosearch Technologies, ASF250) at 37 °C for 5 h, followed by

DNase I treatment for 15 min. The sgRNA was purified using the Direct-zol RNA

MiniPrep Kit (Zymo Research, R2052) according to the manufacturer's instructions and

quantified using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

For microinjections, Cas9 protein with NLS (PNA Bio, CP02) was mixed with sgRNA at

equal concentrations and diluted to 500 ng μl^{-1} each in nuclease-free water. FITC

(Fisher Scientific, 46425) was added to visualize injection boluses. Unfertilized oocytes

were injected with Cas9–sgRNA complexes, fertilized with WT sperm following the

injection session, and raised at RT.

To evaluate editing efficiency and identify potential founders, a subset of injected

embryos was sacrificed at 3–10 dpf for genotyping, since their small size precludes non-

lethal tissue sampling. The remaining injected siblings were raised to adulthood as

candidate F0 animals. A single F0 male was identified as carrying a +27 bp insertion,

resulting in an premature stop codon within the ligand-binding domain of *NvGABAAR1*.

This F0 male was crossed to a homozygous F3 *NvSH3GL3^P>mScarlet-I* reporter

female, and genotyping of 3–10 dpf F1 offspring confirmed germline transmission of the

+27 allele. The remaining F1 siblings were raised to juvenile stages and individually

genotyped to identify heterozygous *NvGABAAR1$^{+/+27}$*, reporter-positive individuals. All

such F1 animals were pooled and intercrossed to generate the F2 generation.

Individual F2 animals were genotyped to distinguish reporter-positive WT

(*NvGABAAR1*^{+/+}), heterozygous (*NvGABAAR1*[+/+27]), and homozygous

(*NvGABAAR1*[+27/+27]) siblings. F3 WT controls were generated by intercrossing reporter-

positive F2 WT siblings (*NvGABAAR1*^{+/+} × *NvGABAAR1*^{+/+}), and F3 homozygous

mutants were generated by intercrossing reporter-positive F2 homozygous siblings

(*NvGABAAR1*[+27/+27] × *NvGABAAR1*[+27/+27]). All neural-morphology imaging and

behavioral assays were conducted on F3 homozygous mutants together with their F3

WT siblings. Throughout, "wild-type" refers to same-generation siblings lacking the +27

allele rather than unrelated WT animals.

For genotyping, whole embryos (<10 dpf) or aboral tissue from juveniles and adults was

lysed in QuickExtract DNA Extraction Solution (Biosearch Technologies, QE09050) at

65 °C for 30 min and 98 °C for 2 min. The *NvGABAAR1* target locus was amplified by

PCR, followed by a second round of amplification to introduce sample-specific dual

barcodes. Amplicons were pooled and size-selected using the ProNex Size-Selective

Purification System (Promega), quantified on a Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher

Scientific), and assessed for size and purity on an Agilent Bioanalyzer. Purified pools

were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq 2 × 250 bp flow cell. The resulting sequence data

were demultiplexed, read pairs were joined, and indel frequencies and allele identities

were analyzed using CRIS.py[54].

All primers used for sgRNA template generation and genotyping are listed in

Supplementary Table 6.

Behavioral assays

Animal preparation

Adult F3 *NvGABAAR1*[+27/+27] mutants and their WT siblings were used for all behavioral

experiments. Animals were ~1 year post-fertilization and of comparable size; unusually

large or small individuals were excluded. Because *Nematostella* lacks reliable external

sex markers and no sex-specific differences in feeding behavior are known, mixed-sex
animals were used.

Mutant and WT groups were maintained separately at RT in Pyrex glass dishes under
low ambient light, as described above, and kept on the same shelf to minimize
environmental variation. Animals were fed freshly hatched *Artemia* once per week. To
avoid physiological effects of high-protein or non-native food sources (such as egg
white), feeding assays were spaced by at least 4 weeks of routine *Artemia* feeding.

For each experiment, individual animals were transferred 2–4 days before the assay
into sterile 60 × 15 mm polystyrene dishes (Falcon 351007) containing 12 ppt ASW and
allowed to acclimate to the brighter ambient illumination near the imaging setup.
Seawater was replaced the night before or morning of the assay to remove gametes
and metabolic waste. On the day of testing, dishes were placed next to the imaging
setup for ≥1 h so animals could fully relax and extend under the recording illumination,
which was essential to prevent retraction during dish handling and the assay.

Animals were assayed 7, 11, or 14 days after their last *Artemia* feeding, with 14-day
animals representing the more strongly starved condition. Mutant and WT animals from
the same cohort were always tested in parallel on the same day, and datasets pooled
measurements across multiple independent assay days.

Food preparation

For qualitative behavioral observations, *Artemia* nauplii were used for naturalistic
feeding (Supplementary Video 1), whereas mussel tissue, torn into small pieces with
fine forceps, was used for assays involving multiple food items, lateral presentation, and
sequential feeding (Supplementary Videos 3–5).

For assays involving standardized food geometry, cylindrical egg-white pellets were
prepared from the white portion of hard-boiled chicken eggs (Supplementary Videos 2
and 6). Egg-white slices (5–8 mm thick) were cored using an EMS Core Sampling Tool

(EMS 69039) and gently pressed into a soft substrate to avoid compression artifacts,

generating cylindrical logs. Logs were then cut into pellets with height equal to diameter

using a 0.125-mm ultra-fine tungsten dissecting needle (Roboz Surgical RS-6063).

Pellets of 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.2, 1.5, and 2.0 mm diameter were used for size-series

comparisons (Supplementary Video 2). A diameter of 1.0 mm was selected for all

quantitative assays (Supplementary Video 6), as smaller pellets were difficult to track

and did not reliably elicit mouth opening, whereas larger pellets introduced variability

due to prolonged and inconsistent transit through the pharynx.

Food delivery

Food pellets were delivered by either a pipette- or whisker-based approach. In the

pipette method, a pellet was released from a glass Pasteur pipette positioned adjacent

to a tentacle near the oral opening. Glass pipettes were required because plastic

pipettes caused egg-white pellets to adhere to the inner surface and impeded reliable

release. This method produced a slight water disturbance, providing both mechanical

and chemical cues. In the whisker method, the pellet was first released distally in the

dish and then gently guided toward the oral opening using a trimmed cat whisker affixed

to a wooden toothpick. The whisker provided sufficient rigidity for precise manipulation

while introducing minimal mechanical stimulation. This method enabled more consistent

placement of the food stimulus, although grasping onset was typically slower and more

variable due to reduced mechanical cues relative to pipette delivery.

For swallowing analyses, pipette- and whisker-delivered trials were pooled because the

delivery method did not significantly affect swallowing durations within each genotype.

This was confirmed by the linear mixed-effects models (see Supplementary Table 5).

Parallel to these findings, while delivery method influenced grasping durations, the

effect was comparable across genotypes (Extended Data Fig. 5f).

Feeding assay

Dishes containing single acclimated animals were arranged beside the imaging setup

and moved into view with minimal disturbance. All assays were recorded. Food pellets

were delivered by either the pipette or whisker method, as described above. After a

pellet was grasped and swallowed, the next trial began only once the ingested pellet

had fully passed through the pharynx into the gastrovascular cavity and no further

downward movement was observed.

A trial was defined as one pellet presentation and the resulting grasping and swallowing

sequence. Each behavioral session (one animal per session) consisted of 4–10

sequential trials, but only the first four consecutive trials (trials 1–4) were used for

quantitative analyses. Grasping and swallowing latencies showed no systematic change

after the first three trials, and additional trials increased the likelihood of movement

artifacts or handling disturbances.

Sessions were excluded if swallowing was accompanied by pronounced bending of the

oral region, which mechanically altered ingestion dynamics. Such bending was typically

triggered when a centrally delivered pellet was captured by a peripheral tentacle. To

avoid these artifacts, any peripheral capture within trials 1–4 led to exclusion of the

session. Peripheral capture occurring only after trial 4 did not affect inclusion, as later

trials were not analyzed. Sessions were also terminated if the animal retracted into its

body column during the assay, even if it later re-extended. Animals with unusually

narrow pharynges were excluded to prevent anatomical constraints from influencing

timing measurements.

Video acquisition

Behavioral sessions were recorded on a Leica M165 FC stereo microscope equipped

with a Flexacam C1 camera. Videos were acquired at 1× magnification and 0.73×

zoom, at either 0.50 or 0.87 s/frame.

Behavior annotation

Grasping and swallowing were quantified from time-lapse recordings as two sequential

phases of the feeding response. For quantification, grasping was defined as the period

from the first tentacle-driven engagement of the pellet to the onset of neck constriction,

and swallowing as the period from the initiation of neck constriction to the moment the

pellet reached the distal end of the pharynx.

For each trial, three frames were manually identified in Fiji:

t1 — first clear grasping movement after pellet introduction, marked by the onset of a

tentacle-driven pull toward the mouth.

t2 — beginning of neck constriction, often coinciding with or following a tentacle

clasping motion.

t3 — frame in which the pellet reached the distal end of the pharynx. This endpoint was

used because the time from this point to entry into the gastrovascular cavity varied

widely across trials and individuals.

Grasping and swallowing durations were calculated as the frame difference multiplied

by the corresponding seconds-per-frame value: $T_{grasp} = (t2 - t1) \times$ s/frame and

$T_{swallow} = (t3 - t2) \times$ s/frame. Frame numbers and timestamps were recorded in Excel

for downstream analysis.

Visualization and statistics

Data processing and visualization were performed in R (v4.4.1) using ggplot2 (v3.5.2)

and standard tidyverse packages. Individual trial trajectories were plotted as faint lines,

and genotype-wise mean ± s.e.m. were overlaid as solid lines. Grasping plots included

pipette-delivery trials only, whereas swallowing plots included both pipette- and whisker-

delivery methods.

All statistical analyses were performed in R. All statistical tests were two-sided. Feeding

durations were analyzed using linear mixed-effects models fitted with lme4[55] (v1.1-38).

AnimalID was included as a random intercept to account for repeated measurements

from the same individual across trials. Fixed effects included genotype and trial number.

For swallowing analyses, food delivery method and starvation duration were included as

additional covariates.

Significance of main effects and interactions was assessed using Type III Analysis of

Variance (ANOVA) with Satterthwaite's method implemented in lmerTest[56] (v3.1-3).

Genotype differences at each trial were estimated using estimated marginal means and

pairwise contrasts computed with emmeans[57] (v2.0.0), utilizing the Kenward-Roger

approximation for degrees of freedom. The resulting estimates, standard errors,

confidence intervals, and p-values are provided in Supplementary Table 5. Statistical

inference relied on the assumptions of linear mixed-effects models; no additional tests

of normality or global multiple-comparison corrections were performed.

Whole-mount staining

Whole-mount staining was performed following previously published protocols[58] for

Nematostella with minor modifications. Planula larvae (3 dpf) and primary polyps (7–10

dpf) were collected into 1 ml ASW in round-bottom tubes. Primary polyps were allowed

to relax for ≥ 15 min, after which $MgCl_2$ was added stepwise by adding 100 µl of 7%

$MgCl_2$ in 12 ppt ASW, incubating for 5 min, and repeating once more. Planula larvae

were immobilized by adding 7% $MgCl_2$ directly, without a relaxation period. After

immobilization, the solution was removed and replaced with fixative.

For visualization of F-actin in fixed transgenic reporter animals, samples were fixed in

4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 12 ppt ASW for 1 h at RT with gentle rocking, followed

by washing 5 × 5 min in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.1% Tween-20

(PBTw). Samples were then incubated overnight at 4 °C on a shaker with either Alexa

Fluor 488-conjugated phalloidin (1:300) or SiR-actin (Cytoskeleton, CY-SC001; 1:1000),

washed again in PBTw, and cleared by immersion in ScaleA2 (2 M urea, 75% glycerol

in water) prior to mounting and imaging.

For GABA immunostaining, animals were fixed for 3 min in 4% PFA supplemented with

0.05% glutaraldehyde in 12 ppt ASW, followed by post-fixation in 4% PFA in 12 ppt

ASW for 1 h at room temperature with gentle rocking. Samples were washed 5 × 5 min

in PBTw and incubated in blocking buffer (PBTw supplemented with 5% normal goat

serum, 1% bovine serum albumin, and 10% dimethyl sulfoxide [DMSO]) for 2 h at RT.

Primary antibody incubation was performed overnight at 4 °C on a shaker using rabbit

anti-GABA (Sigma-Aldrich, A2052; 1:500) diluted in blocking buffer without DMSO. The

following day, samples were washed 6 × 20 min in PBTw and incubated overnight at

4 °C on a shaker with goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 647 secondary antibody (Invitrogen,

A-21245; 1:1000) diluted in blocking buffer without DMSO. Samples were then washed

6 × 20 min in PBTw and cleared in ScaleA2 prior to imaging.

Whole-mount fluorescent in situ hybridization

Gene-specific probe regions were selected and verified for specificity by BLAST

searches against the *Nematostella* transcriptome. Nested PCR on cDNA templates

amplified the target region, and the second-round reverse primer incorporated a T7

promoter sequence for in vitro transcription. Final PCR products were Sanger-

sequenced to confirm identity. DIG-labeled antisense RNA probes were generated by in

vitro transcription using the DIG RNA Labeling Mix (Roche, 11277073910), followed by

DNase I treatment and column purification (Zymo Research, R2052). Primer sequences

used for probe generation are listed in Supplementary Table 6.

FISH was performed based on established *Nematostella* protocols[59]. In brief, animals

were immobilized as described for immunostaining, pre-fixed for 90 s in ice-cold 4%

PFA + 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 12 ppt ASW, and then fixed in 4% PFA in 12 ppt ASW for

1 h at RT. Samples were washed in PBS and dehydrated through a PBS/methanol

series into 100% methanol. Endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched in 3% H_2O_2

in 90% methanol for 1 h at RT, followed by rehydration through a methanol/PBS series.

Tissues were permeabilized with Proteinase K (20 µg ml^{-1} for 10 min), post-fixed in 4%

PFA for 1 h, washed in PBTw, and transitioned into hybridization buffer at 60 °C, where

they were pre-hybridized overnight. DIG-labeled probes (1 ng µl^{-1}) were denatured at

80 °C for 10 min, snap-cooled on ice, and hybridized to samples at 60 °C for 48 h. After

hybridization, samples were washed at 60 °C through SSC solutions of increasing

stringency, then returned to RT and washed into TNT (0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 0.15 M

NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20). Samples were blocked in TNT containing 5% sheep serum and

1% blocking reagent (Roche) for 2 h at RT, then incubated overnight at 4 °C with anti-

DIG-POD Fab fragments (Roche, 11207733910; 1:1000). Fluorescent signal was

developed using the TSA Cyanine 3 system (Akoya, NEL704A001KT) for 20 min at RT.

After washing into PBTw, samples were counterstained with SiR-DNA (Cytoskeleton,

CY-SC007; 1:1000), cleared in ScaleA2, and mounted for imaging as described for

immunostaining.

Imaging and image processing

All samples were imaged on either a Nikon spinning-disk confocal system (20× or 40×

objectives) or an Andor Dragonfly spinning-disk confocal microscope (25× or 40×

objectives). Z-stacks were acquired at 1-µm step size. Maximum intensity projections,

orthogonal views generated by reslicing, and all subsequent image processing (rotation,

cropping, and linear min/max intensity scaling) were performed in Fiji for visualization.

Single-cell RNA-seq

Tissue dissociation and cell sorting

Adult F2 *NvSH3GL3^P>mScarlet-I* animals were used for the single-cell RNA-seq

experiment. All animals were of mixed sex, and the workflow was performed as a single

batch on the same day. Animals were fasted for five days and transferred from 16 °C to

RT for ~8 h before dissociation. Animals were kept in 12 ppt ASW until fully relaxed and

immobilized by gradually adding a 7% MgCl$_2$ solution prepared in ASW (Sigma-Aldrich,

M2670). Oral discs were dissected by a single transverse cut just below the pharynx,

with most tentacles trimmed away. Dissected tissues were briefly rinsed in Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+}-

free ASW (154 mM NaCl, 3.57 mM KCl, 0.70 mM NaHCO$_3$, 2.33 mM Na$_2$SO$_4$; all salts

from Sigma-Aldrich), then briefly ground (~15 s) and gently triturated for ~20 min at RT

in Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+}-free ASW containing L-cysteine (2 mg ml^{-1}; Sigma-Aldrich, 168149),

papain (4 mg ml^{-1}; Sigma-Aldrich, P4762), and Liberase TM (0.05 mg ml^{-1}; Roche,

5401119001). Cell suspensions were filtered through 30 µm filters (FILCONS 030-33s;

CTSV), pelleted (300 × g, 10 min, 4 °C), washed in enzyme-free Ca^{2+}/Mg^{2+}-free ASW,

and stained on ice for 5 min with DAPI (2 µg ml^{-1}; Sigma-Aldrich, D9542) and DRAQ5

(25 µM; Thermo Fisher Scientific, 62251).

Cells were analyzed and sorted on a BD Influx flow cytometer (100 µm nozzle, 20 psi).

Excitation and emission were collected for DAPI (345/455 nm), DRAQ5 (647/697 nm),

and mScarlet-I (578/613 nm). Because *Nematostella* oral tissue exhibits strong

autofluorescence from the endogenous red fluorescent protein NvFP-7R[37] (569/593

nm), gating was anchored using a fluorescence-minus-one (FMO) control prepared from

WT oral-disc cells stained with DAPI and DRAQ5 but lacking mScarlet-I. This control

captured the autofluorescence range of NvFP-7R and defined the background threshold

for the reporter channel. A tentacle sample expressing mScarlet-I but lacking NvFP-7R

established the mScarlet-I emission profile. Reporter-positive gates retained events

bright in the mScarlet-I detector and dim in an adjacent 670/30 channel, minimizing

NvFP-7R carryover. Only singlets and live, nucleated events (DAPI$^-$/DRAQ5$^+$) were

included. The final gate contained ~2% of total events in the reporter population versus

0.1% in the FMO control, yielding approximately 45,700 sorted events collected into

RNase-free tubes for single-cell RNA-seq.

Library preparation and sequencing

Dissociated and sorted cells were assessed for concentration and viability using a

LUNA-FL Cell Counter (Logos Biosystems). Samples with ≥ 90% viable cells were

loaded onto a Chromium Controller (10x Genomics), targeting recovery of ~3,200 cells.

Single-cell cDNA libraries were prepared with the Chromium Single Cell 3′ Reagent Kit

v3 (10x Genomics) according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA and final short-

fragment libraries were evaluated for quality and quantity using a 2100 Bioanalyzer

(Agilent Technologies) and a Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Libraries

were sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 500 to a mean depth of ≥ 100,000 reads per

cell (paired-end 28 × 8 × 91 bp) using Real-Time Analysis (RTA) and instrument

software versions current at the time of sequencing.

FASTQ files were processed with Cell Ranger (v8.0.1; 10x Genomics,

https://www.10xgenomics.com/) against the *Nematostella vectensis* genome assembly

jaNemVect1.1 (GCF_932526225.1; NCBI RefSeq[60] Annotation Release 101),

supplemented with the *NvSH3GL3^P>mScarlet-I* reporter sequence. The resulting gene–

cell count matrix was analyzed in Seurat[61] (v5.1.0) in R (v4.4.1). Cells were retained if

they expressed 200–3,000 genes, contained ≤ 15,000 unique molecular identifiers

(UMIs), and exhibited < 5% mitochondrial gene content (identified from RefSeq-

annotated mitochondrial gene prefixes). Data were normalized with SCTransform[62], and

the top 3,000 most variable genes were used for principal-component analysis (PCA).

The first 15 principal components were used to construct a shared nearest-neighbor

graph (FindNeighbors) and perform graph-based clustering (FindClusters, resolution =

0.5). Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) embeddings computed

from the same components were used for visualization.

Clustering and analysis

Cluster identities were assigned by examining marker genes identified with

FindAllMarkers and cross-referenced with manually curated *Nematostella* gene sets for

neuronal developmental, synaptic function, sensory transduction and neurotransmitter

receptors (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). These curated lists were generated from

NCBI RefSeq annotations using keyword-based filtering and provided the framework for

assigning biologically meaningful identities to each cluster.

Gene-set quantification was performed using the same manually curated gene lists.

Expression was evaluated from the SCT-normalized count layer, and a gene was

considered detected in a cluster if it exhibited non-zero expression and was expressed

in more than 10% of cells in that cluster. For each gene set, per-cluster counts of

detected genes and per-gene summary tables (average expression, percent of

expressing cells, and annotation metadata) are provided in Supplementary Table 2.

All visualizations were generated in R using Seurat (v5.1.0), ggplot2 (v3.5.2), ggtern

(v3.5.0), pheatmap (v1.0.12), patchwork (v1.3.0), and standard tidyverse packages. All

analysis and visualization code is available at [link].

Bulk RNA-seq

Adult male *Nematostella vectensis* polyps (n = 3 individuals) were dissected into four

tissue types (pharynx, body wall, proximal tentacles, and oral disc), yielding twelve total

samples (three biological replicates per tissue). Each tissue piece was immersed in 300

µl TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, 15596026) and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen before

storage at –80 °C. RNA was extracted using the Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep Kit (Zymo

Research, R2052) following the manufacturer's protocol, including a 15 min on-column

DNase I digestion to remove genomic DNA.

mRNA-seq libraries were generated from 100 ng (or < 100 ng for two samples) of high-

quality total RNA, as assessed using the Bioanalyzer (Agilent). Libraries were prepared

using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina, 20020594) and TruSeq

RNA Single Indexes Sets A and B (Illumina, 20020492 and 20020493). Libraries were

purified using the AMPure XP bead-based reagent (Beckman Coulter, A63882),

evaluated for quality and quantity using the Bioanalyzer (Agilent) and Qubit Fluorometer

(Life Technologies). Equal-molar libraries were pooled, quantified, and sequenced as 75

bp paired-end reads on a mid-output flow cell using the NextSeq 500 (Illumina).

Following sequencing, demultiplexing and FASTQ generation were performed using

Illumina RTA (v2.4.11) and bcl2fastq2 (v2.20).

FASTQ files were aligned to the *Nematostella vectensis* genome assembly

jaNemVect1.1 (GCF_932526225.1; NCBI RefSeq[60] Annotation Release 101) using

STAR[63] (v2.7.10b) with quantMode GeneCounts to obtain gene-level counts. Alignment

summary rows were removed and raw counts were imported into R and analyzed with

edgeR[64] (v4.2.2). Lowly expressed genes were filtered using filterByExpr with tissue as

the grouping factor, and library sizes were normalized using the trimmed mean of M-

values (TMM) method. Gene lengths were obtained from the corresponding RefSeq

gene models and used to compute TPM values from the filtered count matrix. For

visualization, TPM values were averaged across biological replicates for each tissue,

restricted to a curated list of GABA receptor-like subunits (Supplementary Table 3), and

scaled per gene (row-wise) before generating clustered heatmaps.

Phowgenetic analysis

Sequence identification and curation

Putative *Nematostella vectensis* γ-aminobutyric acid type A receptor (GABA$_A$R) and glycine receptor (GlyR) subunits were first identified by BLASTp[65] searches (v2.13.0+) against the *Homo sapiens* GABA$_A$R and GlyR sequences and verified for domain composition using the InterPro server[66]. Although orthology between GABA$_A$R and GlyR types remains unresolved in early-branching metazoans, no hits overlapped with nicotinic acetylcholine, 5-HT$_3$, or other members of the Cys-loop ligand-gated ion channel (LGIC) superfamily. These sequences are therefore collectively referred to as GABA$_A$R-like subunits throughout.

For *Nematostella*, candidate GABA$_A$R-like sequences were compiled from three curated sources: the NCBI RefSeq proteome[60,19] (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCF_932526225.1/) and two SIMRBase transcriptome-derived proteome assemblies[18] (NV2: wein_nvec200_tcsv2; NVE: wien_nvec200_v1; https://simrbase.stowers.org/starletseaanemone). Not all loci were represented across all datasets. When the same locus appeared in multiple sources, we retained the longest predicted amino-acid product; when sequences were equal in length, the RefSeq entry was selected. This procedure yielded 40 curated *Nematostella* GABA$_A$R-like subunits: 37 for which the RefSeq version was retained, 2 for which RefSeq lacked annotated protein entries and the longest ORFs were contributed by the NV2 assembly, and 1 for which the longest ORF was contributed by the NVE assembly, despite orthologous predictions also present in RefSeq and NV2 (Supplementary Table 3).

Homologous GABA$_A$R-like sequences for comparative phylogenetic analysis were retrieved from UniProt[67] (Swiss-Prot and TrEMBL; downloaded February 2024) for six bilaterian species (*Homo sapiens*, *Danio rerio*, *Drosophila melanogaster*, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, *Ciona intestinalis*, and *Octopus vulgaris*). The *Nematostella* and bilaterian sequences were used as queries for BLASTp[65] searches against six additional cnidarian proteomes representing both anthozoans (*Acropora millepora*,

1014 *Exaiptasia diaphana, Stylophora pistillata*) and medusozoans (*Clytia hemisphaerica,*

1015 *Hydra vulgaris, Hydractinia symbiolongicarpus*). Resulting sequences from these

1016 searches were further curated using CD-HIT[68,69] (v4.8.1) to remove redundant

1017 sequences sharing ≥ 90 % similarity within each species. Protein database sources,

1018 accession numbers, and annotations for all taxa are listed in Supplementary Table 4.

1019

Sequence alignment and phylogeny reconstruction

The non-redundant candidates from all species were aligned with MAFFT[70] (v7.520)

using the L-INS-i algorithm, and discordant sequences were excluded after manual

inspection. Domain composition was re-evaluated using the InterPro server[66]

(https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/search/sequence/, accessed 19 Nov 2024), and

sequences matching the GABA$_A$R/GlyR α-subunit domain (IPR006028) were retained.

No matches to the GlyR β-subunit domain (IPR008060) were detected. The final

alignment was trimmed with TrimAl[71] (v1.4.rev22) using the -gappyout flag.

1028

A maximum-likelihood phylogeny was constructed using the IQ-TREE 2 server[72]

(v1.6.12; http://iqtree.cibiv.univie.ac.at/, accessed March 2025) under the LG+I+G4

substitution model selected by ModelFinder[73]. Branch support values were estimated

from 1,000 replicates using ultrafast bootstrap (-bb)[74] and SH-like approximate

likelihood-ratio tests (-alrt)[75]. Representative nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR)

subunits were included as an outgroup to root the tree. The resulting phylogeny was

visualized in FigTree (v1.4.4; http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/) and formatted

using Inkscape (v1.3; www.inkscape.org) and Adobe Illustrator (v30.0).

1037

AlphaFold structure prediction

The predicted structure of the *Nematostella vectensis* GABA$_A$R-like subunit

NvGABAAR1 was generated using the AlphaFold3 web server[76]

(https://alphafoldserver.com, accessed June 2025), using the longest annotated RefSeq

isoform (gene ID: LOC5505184; protein ID: XP_048587017.1) as input. Predictions

were run with default parameters, and the top-ranked structural model was used for

visualization and structural comparison.

1045

1046   For cross-species comparison, AlphaFold-predicted structures of *Homo sapiens* GABA

1047   type A receptor subunits GABRR3 (UniProt A8MPY1), GABRA2 (UniProt P47869), and

1048   GABRG1 (UniProt Q8N1C3) were obtained from the AlphaFold Protein Structure

1049   Database in July 2025. All human structures correspond to AlphaFold models version

1050   v4 (AF-*-F1-v4).

1051

1052   Structural visualization and pairwise alignment were performed in UCSF ChimeraX[77]

1053   (v1.9). All models were colored by per-residue model confidence, measured as

1054   predicted Local Distance Difference Test (pLDDT), and aligned using the MatchMaker

1055   algorithm.

1056

1057   **Use of AI tools**

1058   Large language models (ChatGPT, OpenAI) were used under author direction to assist

1059   with code generation, debugging, and improving clarity of written text. All scientific

1060   analyses, code execution, and validation were performed by the authors.

1061

Data availability

Single-cell RNA-seq and bulk RNA-seq datasets generated in this study have been

deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession numbers

GSE316974 and GSE316975. All source data will be deposited in the Stowers Original

Data Repository (ODR) prior to publication. Additional supporting data are available

from the corresponding author upon request.

1068

1069   **Code availability**

1070   Custom R scripts used for data analysis and figure generation are provided with this

1071   submission and will be made publicly available upon publication.

1072

Methods References

48. Genikhovich, G. & Technau, U. Induction of Spawning in the Starlet Sea Anemone *Nematostella vectensis* , In Vitro Fertilization of Gametes, and Dejellying of Zygotes. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* **2009**, pdb.prot5281 (2009).

49. Stefanik, D. J., Friedman, L. E. & Finnerty, J. R. Collecting, rearing, spawning and inducing regeneration of the starlet sea anemone, Nematostella vectensis. *Nat. Protoc.* **8**, 916–923 (2013).

50. Layden, M. J., Röttinger, E., Wolenski, F. S., Gilmore, T. D. & Martindale, M. Q. Microinjection of mRNA or morpholinos for reverse genetic analysis in the starlet sea anemone, Nematostella vectensis. *Nat. Protoc.* **8**, 924–934 (2013).

51. Renfer, E., Amon-Hassenzahl, A., Steinmetz, P. R. H. & Technau, U. A muscle-specific transgenic reporter line of the sea anemone, *Nematostella vectensis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **107**, 104–108 (2010).

52. *Whole-Body Regeneration: Methods and Protocols*. vol. 2450 (Springer US, New York, NY, 2022).

53. Moreno-Mateos, M. A. *et al.* CRISPRscan: designing highly efficient sgRNAs for CRISPR-Cas9 targeting in vivo. *Nat. Methods* **12**, 982–988 (2015).

54. Connelly, J. P. & Pruett-Miller, S. M. CRIS.py: A Versatile and High-throughput Analysis Program for CRISPR-based Genome Editing. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 4194 (2019).

55. Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using **lme4**. *J. Stat. Softw.* **67**, (2015).

56. Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P. B. & Christensen, R. H. B. **lmerTest** Package: Tests in Linear Mixed Effects Models. *J. Stat. Softw.* **82**, (2017).

57. Lenth, R. V. & Piaskowski, J. emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means. (2025).

58. Genikhovich, G. & Technau, U. Anti-acetylated Tubulin Antibody Staining and Phalloidin Staining in the Starlet Sea Anemone *Nematostella vectensis. Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* **2009**, pdb.prot5283 (2009).

59. Steinmetz, P. R. H., Aman, A., Kraus, J. E. M. & Technau, U. Gut-like ectodermal tissue in a sea anemone challenges germ layer homology. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **1**, 1535–1542 (2017).

60. Goldfarb, T. *et al.* NCBI RefSeq: reference sequence standards through 25 years of
curation and annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **53**, D243–D257 (2025).

61. Hao, Y. *et al.* Dictionary learning for integrative, multimodal and scalable single-cell
analysis. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **42**, 293–304 (2024).

62. Hafemeister, C. & Satija, R. Normalization and variance stabilization of single-cell
RNA-seq data using regularized negative binomial regression. *Genome Biol.* **20**,
296 (2019).

63. Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15–21
(2013).

64. Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. & Smyth, G. K. edgeR : a Bioconductor package
for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26**,
139–140 (2010).

65. Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. Basic local
alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* **215**, 403–410 (1990).

66. Blum, M. *et al.* InterPro: the protein sequence classification resource in 2025.
Nucleic Acids Res. **53**, D444–D456 (2025).

67. The UniProt Consortium *et al.* UniProt: the Universal Protein Knowledgebase in
2025. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **53**, D609–D617 (2025).

68. Li, W. & Godzik, A. Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of
protein or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **22**, 1658–1659 (2006).

69. Fu, L., Niu, B., Zhu, Z., Wu, S. & Li, W. CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the next-
generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 3150–3152 (2012).

70. Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version
7: Improvements in Performance and Usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 772–780 (2013).

71. Capella-Gutiérrez, S., Silla-Martínez, J. M. & Gabaldón, T. trimAl: a tool for
automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics*
25, 1972–1973 (2009).

72. Minh, B. Q. *et al.* IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic
Inference in the Genomic Era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 1530–1534 (2020).

73. Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B. Q., Wong, T. K. F., Von Haeseler, A. & Jermiin, L. S.

ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat.*

Methods **14**, 587–589 (2017).

74. Hoang, D. T., Chernomor, O., Von Haeseler, A., Minh, B. Q. & Vinh, L. S. UFBoot2:

Improving the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **35**, 518–522

(2018).

75. Anisimova, M., Gil, M., Dufayard, J.-F., Dessimoz, C. & Gascuel, O. Survey of

Branch Support Methods Demonstrates Accuracy, Power, and Robustness of Fast

Likelihood-based Approximation Schemes. *Syst. Biol.* **60**, 685–699 (2011).

76. Abramson, J. *et al.* Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with

AlphaFold 3. *Nature* **630**, 493–500 (2024).

77. Meng, E. C. *et al.* UCSF CHIMERAX : Tools for structure building and analysis. *Protein*

Sci. **32**, e4792 (2023).

1146

Acknowledgements

We thank Boris Rubinstein and Kausik Si for discussions on behavioral experiments, and Kausik Si additionally for advice on neurotransmitter receptor composition analysis. We thank Joshua Zimmerberg for discussions on body size and nerve net scalability, and to Neşet Özel for insights into the relevance of the vesicular GABA transporter in shaping interneuron identity. We are grateful to Mark Miller for creating the *Nematostella* life-cycle illustration, and to past and present members of the Gibson lab for discussions and support. We acknowledge the Stowers Technology Centers for assistance: the Aquatics team for animal husbandry; the Cytometry team, particularly Jillian Blanck, for cell sorting; the Sequencing and Discovery Genomics team for library preparation and sequencing; the Computational Biology team for processing of sequencing data; the Genome Engineering team for CRISPR genotyping; and the Microscopy Center for imaging training and support. This work was supported by the Stowers Institute for Medical Research.

Author contributions

R.Z. conceived and designed the study; performed all experiments and data analyses; prepared the figures; and wrote the manuscript. M.C.G. supervised the research, provided guidance, and edited the manuscript. C.W.S. performed preprocessing of sequencing data, generated genome-assembly mapping tables, and supervised code implementation. A.M.L.K. performed sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree construction. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure 1 | Structured motor coordination and a ganglion-like oral nerve ring in *Nematostella vectensis*.

(a) Phylogenetic distribution of muscles, neurons, and centralized nervous systems (CNS) across major animal lineages; the dotted branch indicates unresolved phylogenetic relationships. **(b)** Adult *Nematostella vectensis* partially buried in gravel while capturing live brine shrimp (*Artemia*). A corresponding video is provided in Supplementary Video 1. **(c)** Schematic of the standardized feeding paradigm, showing the relative geometry of the oral disc, tentacles, and neck, and the size of the food pellet

(1 mm). **(d)** Time-lapse montage illustrating two sequential phases of feeding, grasping

and swallowing, separated by the onset of neck constriction. Each phase is composed

of coordinated motor subroutines executed by the tentacles, neck, and mouth. A

corresponding time-lapse video is provided in Supplementary Video 6. **(e)** Live confocal

images of juvenile *Nematostella vectensis* expressing the neuronal reporter

NvSH3GL3^P>mScarlet-I (orange) or a muscle reporter driven by the myosin heavy chain

promoter[51] (blue). Neuronal and muscle images were acquired from separate animals

and are shown for anatomical reference. Left, overview of the oral region showing

dense neuronal labeling in the oral disc and tentacles. Right, enlarged views of selected

regions reveal distinct neuronal morphologies and spatial organization. Neurons with

enlarged somata, relative to surrounding neurons, cluster at tentacle junctions and

extend circumferential neurites, forming a ring-like pattern. Additional neurons exhibit

smaller somata and distinct projection orientations, with radial projections around the

oral opening and longitudinal projections within tentacles. Scale bars, 100 μm

(overview) and 50 μm (enlarged views).

1197

1198

Figure 2 | Molecular diversity and spatial organization of the oral nerve net.

(a) Schematic of oral-end dissection and cell enrichment for single-cell RNA
sequencing. Oral ends from adult *Nematostella vectensis* were dissected and most
tentacles trimmed prior to mechanical and enzymatic dissociation for 10x Genomics
single-cell RNA sequencing. Cells were enriched for *NvSH3GL3^P^>mScarlet-I*-positive
populations by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS), with gating applied to reduce
spectral contamination from cells expressing the native red fluorescent protein NvFP-
7R. Colors indicate major cell populations (orange, *NvSH3GL3^P^>mScarlet-I*; red, NvFP-
7R; gray, other). **(b)** UMAP visualization of 3,297 cells from the single-cell dataset. **(c)**
Dot plot showing representative gene categories, including housekeeping genes,
canonical neuronal and synaptic markers, cytoskeletal components, ion channels and
transporters, and adhesion/signaling molecules. Dot size indicates the percentage of
cells expressing each gene, and color represents scaled average expression (pale
yellow to dark purple). Neural clusters (left) show strong *SH3GL3^P^* expression, whereas
non-neural clusters (right) include *NvFP-7R*-enriched populations as well as clusters

enriched for contractile, cytoskeletal, and extracellular-matrix genes. Expression of the

SH3GL3^P reporter parallels endogenous *SH3GL3*, supporting reporter fidelity. A

complete list of neural genes with identifiers is provided in Extended Data Fig. 2a. **(d–g)**

Feature plots (top) show SCT-normalized gene expression projected onto the UMAP,

with corresponding fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) images (bottom) in four-

tentacle primary polyps (7–10 days post-fertilization, dpf) for four representative cluster-

enriched genes. (d,e) LOC5502552 and LOC5517708 are enriched in cluster 3 and

display predominant expression in the pharyngeal region by FISH. (f,g) LOC5520912

and LOC5515003 are expressed in partially overlapping subsets of clusters 5 and 6 and

show predominant FISH signal in the oral disc and tentacles. Feature plots are scaled

independently for each gene, with light gray indicating no detectable expression and

increasing color intensity indicating higher expression. DNA is shown in gray and gene-

specific signal in orange. Scale bars, 100 µm.

1227

1229 **Figure 3 | Integrative receptor repertoires distinguish interneuron-like neural**

1230 **clusters.**

(a) Violin plots showing the number of sensory-related and neurotransmitter receptor genes detected per cell across neural clusters. Gene categories are color-coded as sensory (purple), ionotropic excitatory (E, gold), ionotropic inhibitory (I, orange), and metabotropic/modulatory (M, blue). **(b)** Co-expression analysis of E/I/M receptor combinations across neural clusters. The upper histogram shows total cell counts per cluster, and the lower stacked bar plot shows the percentage of cells in each cluster belonging to different receptor-class combination categories. Pale gray denotes cells lacking detectable neurotransmitter receptors; gold, excitatory-only; orange, inhibitory-only; blue, modulatory-only; orange-red, excitatory–inhibitory; light gray, excitatory–modulatory; medium gray, inhibitory–modulatory; and dark gray, cells co-expressing all three receptor classes. **(c)** Ternary plots showing the relative proportions of detected E, I, and M receptors per cell for selected clusters. Each point represents a cell, positioned according to its E/I/M receptor composition. Point color indicates the number of overlapping cells sharing the same receptor ratio, from light gray (1 cell) to black (≥5 cells). **(d)** Dot plot showing expression patterns of transcription factors, synaptic components, ion channels, and neurotransmitter receptors associated with fast neurotransmission and neuromodulation across clusters. Dot size indicates the percentage of cells expressing each gene, and color represents scaled average expression (pale yellow to dark purple). Gold, orange, and blue shading highlight genes belonging to the E, I, and M receptor families, respectively.

1252

1253 **Figure 4 | Expansion and oral enrichment of ionotropic GABAergic signaling**

1254 **components.**

(a) Whole-mount γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) immunostaining of *NvSH3GL3^P^>mScarlet-I* primary polyps, showing enriched GABA immunoreactivity in the oral disc and tentacles. Scale bars, 100 μm. **(b)** Within the oral disc, GABA immunoreactivity is restricted to large-soma cells, including both mScarlet-I-positive neurons and additional large-soma GABA-positive cells lacking reporter signal. Top, merged image of *SH3GL3^P^* (orange) and GABA (blue), with the dashed box indicating the region shown below. Bottom, split-channel views of the boxed region (*SH3GL3^P^*, left; GABA, middle; merge, right). Dashed outlines mark representative large-soma cells that are double-positive for *SH3GL3^P^* and GABA. GABA accumulation is also visible in varicosities along neurites. Scale bars, 50 μm (top), 10 μm (bottom). **(c)** Heatmap showing tissue-specific expression of GABA type A receptor-like (GABA$_A$R-like) homologs in adult *Nematostella*. Colors indicate Z-scored average TPM per gene across tissues (ttl, tentacles; ord, oral disc; bdw, oral body wall; phx, pharynx), with warmer colors representing higher relative expression. **(d)** Gene counts of GABA$_A$R-like homologs across seven cnidarian species (four anthozoans, three medusozoans) and six bilaterian species. Anthozoans (orange) and medusozoans (blue) show marked expansion of the receptor family relative to bilaterians (dark gray). **(e)** Maximum-likelihood phylogeny of GABA$_A$R-like homologs based on amino-acid sequences from the species set in (d). Anthozoan sequences are shown in orange, medusozoan sequences in blue, and bilaterian sequences in dark gray; representative nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) subunits (light gray) form an outgroup to root the tree. Outer arcs denote the cnidarian-specific expansion (orange) and the bilaterian-associated lineage (dark gray). Arrowheads indicate the *Nematostella* subunit NvGABAAR1 (orange) and the human subunit GABRR3 (dark gray) selected for structural comparison in (f). Branch support for the cnidarian–bilaterian split was assessed with ultrafast bootstrap and SH-like aLRT values of 92.3 and 80; full support values are shown in Supplementary Fig. 1. **(f)** AlphaFold-predicted structures of *Homo sapiens* GABRR3 (γ-aminobutyric acid type A receptor subunit ρ3) and its *Nematostella vectensis* homolog NvGABAAR1 (protein ID: XP_048587017.1; gene ID: LOC5505184), corresponding to the subunits marked by arrowheads in (e). Ribbon coloring indicates

1285   per-residue model confidence (pLDDT): orange (0–50), yellow (50–70), light blue (70–

1286   90), and dark blue (90–100).

1287

1289 **Figure 5 | Loss of *NvGABAAR1* selectively delays swallowing initiation during**

1290 **feeding.**

1291 **(a)** Heatmap showing SCT-normalized expression of genes involved in GABA signaling

1292 across single cells from clusters 5 and 6. The gene set includes multiple GABA$_A$R-like

1293 subunits as well as the plasma membrane GABA transporter (*GAT*) and vesicular

1294 GABA transporter (*VGAT*). Columns represent individual cells ordered within each

1295 cluster by aggregate expression of this gene set. Rows are grouped by gene category

1296 and arranged from cluster-5-enriched (top) to cluster-6-enriched (bottom). The orange

1297 arrowhead marks LOC5505184 (*NvGABAAR1*), the subunit highlighted in Fig. 4e and

1298 analyzed functionally in Fig. 5b–f. **(b)** FISH in four-tentacle primary polyps showing

1299 *NvGABAAR1* expression restricted to the oral region, including the oral disc and a

1300 subset of tentacle neurons. *NvGABAAR1* signal in orange; DNA counterstain in gray.

1301 Top, oral view; bottom, lateral view. Scale bars, 50 µm (top), 100 µm (bottom). **(c)**

1302 Confocal images of wild-type (WT) and *NvGABAAR1*$^{+27/+27}$ primary polyps in the

1303 *NvSH3GL3^P>mScarlet-I* reporter background. The organization of the oral nerve ring

1304 appears comparable at the level of gross morphology between WT and mutants. Scale

bars, 50 μm. **(d)** Feeding assay design. Each behavioral session consisted of four

sequential presentations of 1 mm × 1 mm egg-white pellets delivered to the oral disc.

(e) Grasping duration across four feeding trials using pipette-delivered food pellets. Thin

lines represent individual animals (WT in blue, n = 7; $NvGABAAR1^{+27/+27}$ mutants in

orange, n = 7); bold lines and error bars denote mean ± s.e.m. Grasping duration was

comparable between genotypes. **(f)** Swallowing duration across four feeding trials.

Animals were assayed using either pipette or whisker delivery (each animal was tested

with a single method throughout its session). Delivery method did not affect the

genotype × trial interaction (see Methods), so data were analyzed together. Thin lines

represent individual animals (WT in blue, n = 10; mutants in orange, n = 10); bold lines

and error bars denote mean ± s.e.m. Mutants exhibited a pronounced increase in

swallowing duration on trial 1 (46.6 ± 10.1 s; p = 0.0001) but converged to WT-like

performance on trials 2–4. Full statistical details are provided in Supplementary Table 5.

1318

1319

Extended Data Figure 1 | Developmental emergence and scaling of the oral nerve ring

(a) Schematic overview of *Nematostella vectensis* life stages, from embryo to adult, indicating the progressive addition of tentacles and the transition from planula larva to primary polyp and juvenile stages. **(b)** Schematic of the *NvSH3GL3*^{P} reporter construct. A 1.9 kb upstream regulatory region of *NvSH3GL3* drives expression of an mScarlet-I fluorescent reporter used to visualize neuronal morphology. **(c)** Confocal images showing *NvSH3GL3*^{P}>*mScarlet-I*–labeled neurons (orange) across early developmental stages, with F-actin staining (blue). Columns show late planula larvae (3.5 dpf), tentacle buds (4.5 dpf), and primary polyps (10 dpf). Rows show maximum intensity projections (top), single optical sections (middle), and orthogonal (XZ) views (bottom). Late planula and tentacle-bud stages are shown in oral view, whereas primary polyps are shown in both oral and lateral views. In late planulae, sparsely distributed neurons with enlarged somata are observed in basal positions within the oral ectoderm. As tentacles emerge

during the tentacle-bud and primary polyp stages, the number of these large-soma

neurons increases, accompanied by higher neurite density and localization to the

ectoderm at tentacle junctions. White arrowheads indicate representative neuronal

somata shown in corresponding single optical sections and orthogonal views. Scale

bars, 50 µm. **(d)** Oral-view maximum intensity projections showing

NvSH3GL3^P>mScarlet-I–labeled neurons (orange) in polyps with increasing tentacle

number (4, 6, 8, and 12). As polyps grow and sequentially add tentacles, the

circumorally projecting neurite bundle that constitutes the oral nerve ring (ONR)

becomes progressively denser, accompanied by increased accumulation of large

neuronal somata at tentacle junctions. All panels are shown at the same scale. Scale

bar, 200 µm.

1345

1346

Extended Data Figure 2 | Molecular and spatial validation of oral neuronal cluster

identities

(a) Dot plot showing expression of canonical neuronal markers, synaptic machinery, ion

channels, cytoskeletal components, and signaling enzymes across neural and non-

neural clusters. Dot size indicates the percentage of cells expressing each gene, and

color denotes scaled average expression. **(b–e)** Representative fluorescent in situ

hybridization (FISH) analyses in four-tentacle primary polyps (7–10 days post-

fertilization) for genes enriched in clusters 5 and 6 that did not meet criteria for ONR

markers. Shown are examples of transcripts that are weak or undetectable at this stage

(b), expressed in the body column (c,d), or diffusely distributed across oral tissues

without enrichment in ONR-like neurons (e). Oral (top) and lateral (bottom) views are

shown for each FISH experiment. DNA is shown in gray and gene-specific signal in

orange. Scale bars, 50 µm (oral views) and 100 µm (lateral views).

1360

Extended Data Figure 3 | Neurotransmitter receptor family expression across interneuron-like clusters.

Heatmap showing SCT-normalized expression of excitatory (E), inhibitory (I), and modulatory (M) neurotransmitter receptor genes across individual cells from clusters 5 and 6. Columns represent single cells, ordered within each cluster by aggregate expression of the displayed receptor set. Rows represent receptor genes, grouped by gene category and arranged from cluster-5-enriched (top) to cluster-6-enriched (bottom). Color indicates SCT-normalized expression (see color bar). This analysis provides gene-level context for the integrative receptor repertoires summarized in Fig. 3a–c and for the inhibitory signaling components examined in Fig. 5a.

1373

Extended Data Figure 4 | Molecular features of modulatory and sensory-enriched neuronal clusters.

(a) Dot plot showing expression of marker genes enriched in clusters 11, 12, and 14.

Dot size indicates the percentage of cells expressing each gene, and color represents

scaled average expression (pale yellow to dark purple). **(b–d)** Heatmaps showing SCT-

normalized expression of cluster-enriched genes in clusters 11 (b), 12 (c), and 14 (d).

Columns represent individual cells ordered by hierarchical clustering within each cluster,

and rows represent genes grouped by functional category. Gene groups are color-

coded as ionotropic excitatory (gold), ionotropic inhibitory (orange),

metabotropic/modulatory (blue), and sensory (purple).

1384

Extended Data Figure 5 | Molecular context, mutant design, and extended analysis of *NvGABAAR1*⁺²⁷/⁺²⁷ feeding behavior.

(a) Stacked bar plot showing the percentage of cells in clusters 5 and 6 expressing combinations of γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) pathway components, including GABA type A receptor-like (GABA$_A$R-like) subunits, the vesicular GABA transporter (*VGAT*), and the plasma membrane GABA transporter (*GAT*). This analysis complements the single-cell-level expression patterns shown in Fig. 5a. "None" indicates cells lacking detectable expression of all three components. For brevity, GABA$_A$Rs in the color key refer to GABA$_A$R-like subunits. Colors denote distinct co-expression categories: gray, none; light blue, *GAT* only; blue, *VGAT* only; dark blue, *GAT* + *VGAT*; orange,

GABA$_A$Rs only; light orange, GABA$_A$Rs + *GAT*; lavender, GABA$_A$Rs + *VGAT*; and purple, GABA$_A$Rs + *VGAT* + *GAT*. **(b)** Schematic of the *NvGABAAR1*$^{+27}$ allele. CRISPR/Cas9 targeting in exon 4 introduced a 27-bp insertion (orange), resulting in a frameshift and a premature stop codon (underlined). **(c)** AlphaFold-predicted structures of the full-length *Nematostella vectensis* GABA$_A$ receptor-like subunit NvGABAAR1, the truncated NvGABAAR1 protein generated by the +27-bp frameshift mutation shown in (b), together with representative human GABA$_A$ receptor subunits (HsGABRR3, HsGABRA2, and HsGABRG1), shown in addition to the structures presented in Fig. 4f. Structures are displayed as ribbon models and colored by per-residue model confidence (pLDDT), from low (orange) to high (blue). This comparison highlights the structural consequence of NvGABAAR1 truncation and illustrates the range of structural diversity among human GABA$_A$ receptor subunits. **(d)** Grasping duration across ten sequential feeding trials per animal in wild-type (WT; blue, n = 6) and *NvGABAAR1*$^{+27/+27}$ mutants (orange, n = 7), using pipette-delivered food pellets. Each thin line represents an individual animal; thick lines show the mean ± s.e.m. **(e)** Swallowing duration across ten sequential feeding trials per animal in wild-type (n = 5) and *NvGABAAR1*$^{+27/+27}$ mutants (n = 5), using pipette-delivered food pellets. Each thin line represents an individual animal; thick lines show the mean ± s.e.m. **(f)** Grasping duration for WT (blue) and *NvGABAAR1*$^{+27/+27}$ mutants (orange) under two pellet-delivery conditions. Pipette: WT (n = 6 animals, 60 trials) and mutants (n = 7 animals, 70 trials). Whisker: WT (n = 4 animals, 24 trials) and mutants (n = 5 animals, 28 trials). Each point represents an individual trial. Boxplots show the median (horizontal line) and interquartile range (IQR; 25th–75th percentile), with whiskers extending to the most extreme data points within 1.5× the IQR. All data points are plotted as horizontally jittered points.