Inhibition of KDM5A/B promotes antitumor innate immune responses in HHV-8/KSHV-

positive B-cell lymphomas

Authors:

Dawei Zhou[1], Guillaume N Fiches[1], Zhenyu Wu[1], Suha Eleya[1], Youngmin Park[1], Jinshan He[1],

Kateepe ASN Shanaka[1], Thurbu T Lepcha[1], Yan Liu[1], Jason Oliva[2], Kathryn Lurain[3], Jae U

Jung[4], Jun Qi[5], Weiqiang J Zhao[1], Jian Zhu[1,*], Netty G Santoso[1,*]

Affiliations:

1. Department of Pathology, College of Medicine, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH

43210, USA

2. Department of Molecular Genetics, College of Arts and Science, The Ohio State University,

Columbus, OH 43210, USA

3. Division of Hematology, Department of Internal Medicine, College of Medicine, The Ohio

State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

4. Department of Microbial Sciences in Health, and Global Center for Pathogen and Human

Health Research, Cleveland Clinic Research, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH 44195, USA

5. Department of Cancer Biology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, 02215, USA

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Jian Zhu (Jian.Zhu@osumc.edu) or Netty

Santoso (Netty.Santoso@osumc.edu)

Key words: KDM5, KSHV, lytic reactivation, viral oncolysis, antitumor immunity, lymphoma

Summary/Abstract

Histone methylation is a dynamic and reversible epigenetic modification that critically

controls the progression of human diseases, including infections and cancers. Here we reported

that histone lysine demethylases (KDMs) in the KDM5 family KDM5A/B play profound roles in

suppressing lytic reactivation of oncogenic human herpesvirus 8 (HHV-8), i.e., Kaposi's

sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV), as well as antiviral/antitumor innate immune responses

in KSHV-infected B-cell lymphomas. We showed that KSHV lytic replication decreases

KDM5A/B protein stability by enhancing their K-48 linked polyubiquitination while KDM5A/B

depletion facilitates KSHV lytic reactivation. Mechanistic studies illustrated that KDM5A/B

associate with KSHV LANA protein and dampen its chromatin association at both KSHV viral

lytic promoter and promoters of antitumor immune-responsive genes (IRGs). In comparisons to

normal B cells, KDM5A/B expression significantly increased in B-cell lymphoma cells,

including KSHV-positive primary effusion lymphoma (PEL). We demonstrated that KDM5A/B

inhibition remarkably induces both KSHV lytic reactivation and innate immune responses in

PEL cells, resulting in a strong viral oncolytic effect, both *in vitro* in cell cultures and *in vivo*

using a PEL xenograft mouse model. Overall, our studies identified the novel functions of

KDM5A/B to silence KSHV lytic replication and antiviral/antitumor innate immune responses,

which can be blocked to benefit the treatment of KSHV-associated B-cell lymphomas that are

usually aggressive and difficult to treat.

Introduction

HHV-8/KSHV is a human gamma-herpesvirus and an etiological agent of multiple malignancies, including Kaposi's sarcoma(1), primary effusion lymphoma (PEL)(2), and multicentric Castleman's disease (CAD)(3). Once KSHV enters the host cells, it goes through brief lytic replication and establishes latent infection by maintaining viral genomes as extra-chromosomal circular episomes in nuclei with most viral lytic genes silenced(4,5). KSHV lytic reactivation can be triggered by certain stimuli so that new progeny viruses are generated for viral propagation(6). Such latent/lytic switch of KSHV is delicately controlled by both viral and host regulators, including KSHV-encoded latency-associated nuclear antigen (LANA) and replication and transcription activator (RTA) proteins, host transcription and epigenetic factors, and host factors that participate in immune responses(7). KSHV latent/persistent infection is known to promote cell survival, proliferation and immune evasion, eventually leading to tumor initiation and progression(8). On the other hand, it has been tempted to induce KSHV lytic reactivation in KSHV-positive tumor cells so that viral oncolysis effect is achieved(9). Therefore, it is critical to elucidate profound KSHV-host interactions, especially epigenetic regulatory mechanisms that govern viral latent/lytic switch and persistent infection, which will benefit the therapeutic development for treating KSHV-associated malignancies.

Earlier studies have revealed the functional relevance of epigenetic regulation, including histone methylation/demethylation modifications, in KSHV-host interactions(10-13). However, only limited host factors participating in these epigenetic processes have been characterized, which have primarily focused on those targeting histone methylation sites that associate with transcriptional inactivation, such as H3K9 and H3K27. On the contrary, it has been barely

examined regarding the contributions of histone methylation sites that associate with

transcriptional activation (H3K4, H3K36) as well as modification enzymes targeting these sites

to KSHV viral infection and/or KSHV-induced tumorigenesis. The KDM5 family members

(KDM5A-D) are capable of removing methyl groups from the tri- and di-methylation of lysine 4

of histone H3 (H3K4me3/me2) that usually peak at the promoter regions of actively transcribed

genes, which generally results in gene suppression phenotype(14). In particular, KDM5A and

KDM5B (KDM5A/B) are ubiquitously expressed and play a critical role in controlling cell

proliferation and differentiation. There is strong evidence that KDM5A/B are oncogenes

overexpressed, amplified, or mutated in multiple types of human cancers(15). Based on the

continuous efforts of our earlier KDM5A/B studies(16-18), we demonstrated that KSHV lytic

program targets KDM5A/B for proteasome degradation while KDM5A/B suppresses KSHV

lytic reactivation and antiviral/antitumor innate immune responses in KSHV-positive B-cell

lymphomas, which can be inhibited to induce viral oncolysis effect *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

Results

KSHV lytic program targets KDM5A/B for proteasome degradation. An early proteomic

study has implicated that KSHV lytic replication may lead to the reduction of host proteins(17).

We first evaluated whether KSHV impacts KDM5 proteins. We relied on the PEL cell line

BCBL-1 harboring the latently infected KSHV genome while also carrying an integrated cassette

for doxycycline (Dox) induced RTA expression (TREx.BCBL-1.RTA). Dox treatment potently

reactivated latent KSHV in TREx.BCBL-1.RTA cells (**Fig S1A**), which indeed dramatically

reduced the protein level of KDM5A/B (**Fig 1A**) and KDM5C (**Fig S1B**). In contrast, their

mRNA levels were not significantly affected (**Fig 1B, S1C**). Dox treatment had no such effects

on the BJAB cells, a KSHV-negative Burkitt lymphoma (BL) cell line (**Fig 1C, 1D, S1D, S1E**).

We further determined KSHV's impact on KDM5 proteins during its *de novo* infection. The

telomerase-immortalized human endothelial (TIME) cells were spinoculated with KSHV BAC16

viruses (**Fig S1F, S1G**), which also dramatically decreased the protein level of KDM5A/B (**Fig**

1E) and KDM5C (**Fig S1H**) while only slightly reducing their mRNA level (**Fig 1F, S1I**).

Based on these results, we hypothesized that KDM5 protein stability is affected by KSHV

lytic program. To prove this, we treated the TREx.BCBL-1.RTA cells with a proteasome

inhibitor MG132 (+/− Dox). MG132 restored the KDM5A/B protein level that was reduced due

to Dox treatment (**Fig 1G**). Consistently, we showed that the K48-linked polyubiquitination of

KDM5A/B is much higher in Dox-treated *vs* un-treated TREx.BCBL-1.RTA cells in the

presence of MG132 (**Fig 1H, 1I**), while their K63-linked polyubiquitination was not detected.

Early studies indicated that KSHV RTA promotes the protein degradation of certain host factors

through upregulation of their K48-linked polyubiquitination(19,20). We employed the KSHV-

negative BJAB cells carrying a cassette for Dox induced expression of 3 × FLAG-tagged RTA

(TREx.BJAB.3FLAG.RTA) to determine whether RTA directly mediates KDM5 protein

degradation. However, Dox treatment failed to decrease KDM5 proteins in these cells (**Fig S1J**).

Transfection of RTA cDNA in HEK293T cells also failed to do so with or without MG132 (**Fig**

S1K). Overall, our results demonstrated that KSHV lytic replication promotes proteosome

degradation of KDM5 proteins (KDM5A-C), which may depend on other KSHV viral lytic

components/events beyond RTA.

KDM5A/B suppress KSHV lytic reactivation from latency. In light of our early findings

of KDM5A/B's role in silencing HIV proviruses, we were curious whether KDM5A/B impact

the lytic/latent switch of KSHV as well. TREx.BCBL-1.RTA cells were electroporated with

siRNAs targeting KDM5A, KDM5B, or KDM5C, followed by Dox treatment. KDM5A/B were

efficiently depleted (**Fig 2A, 2B**), which significantly promoted the Dox-induced KSHV lytic

reactivation (**Fig 2C-F**). However, knockdown of KDM5C showed no such effects (**Fig S2A**,

S2B). We also confirmed these findings in the iSLK.BAC16 cells, a human renal cell carcinoma

cell line with the epithelial cell origin (SLK) that harbors a bacterial artificial chromosome clone

(BAC16) of the recombinant KSHV genome while also carrying an integrated cassette for Dox

induced RTA expression. Similarly, KDM5A/B depletion by siRNAs dramatically promoted the

Dox-induced KSHV lytic reactivation in iSLK.BAC16 cells (**Fig 2G-L**). Such phenotypes were

also observed in another iSLK cell model of KSHV, the iSLK.r219 cells harboring the KSHV

r219 strain that expresses RFP from KSHV lytic PAN promoter as well as GFP constitutively

from the EF1a promoter. KDM5A/B depletion by either siRNAs or shRNAs remarkably

increased the RFP florescence intensity in iSLK.r219 cells (**Fig 2M, 2N, S2C, S2D**). Thus, we

demonstrated that KDM5A/B but not KDM5C play a role in blocking KSHV lytic reactivation

from latency.

KDM5A/B associate with KSHV LANA and modulate its functions. LANA is a KSHV-

encoded oncoprotein critical to maintain viral latency as well as drive viral tumorigenesis(21). A

report showed that chromatin association of KSHV LANA overlaps significantly with that of

H3K4me3(22), the major substrate of KDM5A/B. We re-analyzed the public-domain chromatin

immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (ChIP-seq) data generated in BC3 cells

(GSE202670). We compared the ChIP-seq peaks of H3K4me3 with host (DNA Polymerase I

alpha) and KSHV viral proteins (LANA, RTA) extracted from the raw datasets. Average profile

plot of ChIP-seq peaks showed the similar patterns only between LANA and H3K4me3 (**Fig 3A**)

as opposed to RTA and DNA Polymerase I alpha. We further focused on their binding peaks

particularly at loci of immune-responsive genes (IRGs). Profile plots and heatmaps of IRG peaks

showed the highly concordant patterns only between LANA and H3K4me3 (**Fig 3B**). The peak

overlap enrichment analysis between LANA and H3K4me3 at IRGs was significant with

adjusted *P*-value (P = 0.0192). These data analyses indicated a cooperative association between

LANA and KDM5A/B-targeted H3K4me3 mark to regulate viral and host gene expression,

including IRGs. To prove this, we first confirmed that KSHV LANA protein interacts with

endogenous KDM5A/B proteins in BCBL-1 cells by protein immunoprecipitations (**Fig 3C**).

Such protein interactions were also verified in iSLK.BAC16 cells by both protein

immunoprecipitations (**Fig 3D**) and protein colocalization (**Fig 3E, 3F**). KDM5A/B remained to

bind with LANA despite that their protein level significantly decreased upon KSHV lytic

reactivation in Dox-treated TREx.BCBL-1.RTA (**Fig S3A**) and iSLK.BAC16 (**Fig S3B, S3C**)

cells.

We next determined whether KDM5A/B interact with LANA to modulate its functions.

Depletion of KDM5A/B by their siRNAs only modestly decreased the protein expression of a

LANA cDNA transfected in the HEK293T (**Fig S3D**). LANA has been reported to promote

KSHV latency through binding to viral lytic promoter that controls RTA expression and

suppressing its activities(23-25). We showed that the chromatin association of LANA at RTA

promotor significantly decreased in iSLK.BAC16 cells due to KDM5A/B depletion by siRNAs

(**Fig 3G**). Furthermore, LANA has also been reported to directly suppress the expression of

certain host antiviral genes to favor KSHV viral persistent infection(26,27). It has been

implicated that LANA directly associates at the promoter of immune genes to regulate their

expression(28), which aligned with our data analysis of LANA ChIP-seq data as well (**Fig 3B**).

We demonstrated that KDM5A/B depletion by siRNAs indeed caused a remarkable reduction of

LANA's chromatin association at the promotor of several antiviral immune genes ((**Fig 3H**).

Overall, we identified that KDM5A/B associate with KSHV LANA, which showed no obvious

impact on LANA protein expression but determined LANA's chromatin association and its

functions to promote KSHV viral latency.

KDM5 inhibition induces KSHV lytic reactivation from latency. As our own data showed

that KDM5A/B prevent latent KSHV from lytic reactivation likely through interaction with

LANA to modulate its functions, we speculated that their inhibition would promote KSHV lytic

reactivation in KSHV-infected tumor cells and induce the viral oncolysis effect. Recently, a

KDM5-specific inhibitor JQKD82 has been developed, which is a prodrug of KDM5-C49(29)

and has demonstrated the antitumor potency against multiple myeloma(30). JQKD82 treatment

significantly enhanced Dox-induced KSHV lytic reactivation in TREx.BCBL-1.RTA cells by

quantifying viral lytic gene expression at the doses with no obvious cytotoxicity (**Fig 4A-C**).

Such findings were confirmed by using another KDM5-specific inhibitor CPI-455 that exerted

the similar effects on Dox-induced KSHV lytic reactivation in TREx.BCBL-1.RTA cells (**Fig

S4A, S4B**). We further tested JQKD82 using KSHV-positive PEL cell lines that harbor latent

KSHV, including BCBL-1 and BC-3. JQKD82 alone was competent to potently induce KSHV

lytic reactivation in these cells at different doses (**Fig 4D, 4E, S4C, S4D**). Regardless of cell

types, JQKD82 still remarkedly promoted Dox-induced KSHV lytic reactivation in iSLK.BAC16

cells (**Fig 4F, 4G**). On the contrary, JQKD82 treatment only slightly decreased the protein

expression of a LANA cDNA transfected in HEK293T cells while increasing the total level of

H3K4me3 (**Fig S4E**). Therefore, our results illustrated the potential to use KDM5 inhibitors for

reversal of latent KSHV that would further lead to viral oncolysis for KSHV-positive B-cell

lymphomas.

KDM5 inhibition induces antiviral/antitumor innate immune responses. During KSHV

lytic reactivation from latency, host innate immune responses are also activated, which is

expected to favor the tumor cell killing by generating the additional oncolytic effects(9). We thus

performed the transcriptome profiling analysis for both KSHV-infected BCBL-1 *vs* KSHV-

negative BJAB cells with or without JQKD82 treatment by RNA-seq. Although JQKD82

induced the expression of several well-defined antiviral/antitumor IRGs, including IRF6, IRF7,

and OAS1, in both BCBL-1 and BJAB cells (**Fig 5A, 5B**), such immune activation was much

stronger in BCBL-1 cells indicated by the pathway analysis showing that several immune-related

pathways are enriched in JQKD82-treated BCBL-1 cells (**Fig 5C**) but not BJAB (**Fig S5A**).

We then focused on a set of highly upregulated IRGs in JQKD82-treated BCBL-1 cells for

experimental validation by RT-qPCR assays (**Fig 5D**). We first quantified the mRNAs of IRGs

identified in both JQKD82-treated BCBL-1 and BJAB cells (IRF6, IRF7, OAS1), which have

been reported to suppress tumor growth(31-33). JQKD82 preferentially caused the induction of

these IRGs in BCBL-1 (**Fig 5E**) compared to BJAB (**Fig S5B**) cells. We further validated

additional antiviral/antitumor IRGs, including IFNγ, IRF8, and MX2, which were enriched only

in JQKD82-treated BCBL-1 cells identified from RNA-seq analysis. Along with induction of

KSHV lytic gene K8 (**Fig 5F**), these additional IRGs were also preferentially induced in

JQKD82-treated BCBL-1 (**Fig 5G**) in comparison to BJAB (**Fig S5C**) cells. Therefore, our

transcriptome profiling analysis and further experimental validation suggested that the KDM5

inhibitor JQKD82 is capable of inducing antiviral/antitumor innate immune responses in KSHV-

negative B-cell lymphomas, but such effects are more striking in KSHV-positive B-cell

lymphomas likely due to KSHV lytic reactivation that further triggers the innate immune

activation in tumor cells.

KDM5 inhibition promotes the death of KSHV-positive PEL cells *in vitro*. Since JQKD82 was capable of inducing viral oncolytic effects and antiviral/antitumor immune responses in KSHV-positive B-cell lymphomas, we next evaluated the drug's potential to treat these tumors. To establish the clinical relevance of KDM5 proteins as targets for anticancer treatment, we first compared their protein expressions between malignant and normal B cells. In contrast to BJAB cells, KDM5 proteins (KDM5A-C) barely expressed in the $CD19^+CD3^-$ primary B cells isolated from three healthy donors (**Fig 6A, S6A, S6B, S6C**). However, KDM5 protein expressions varied across KSHV-negative BL (BJAB, Ramos) and KSHV-positive PEL (BCBL-1, BC-3) cell lines (**Fig 6B, S6D**). We also performed the protein immunofluorescence assays (IFA) of KDM5A/B using the lymph node tissues from the deidentified, two donors of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) and two healthy donors, which showed that KDM5A/B proteins highly express in tumorous *vs* normal lymph nodes (**Fig 6C, 6D**). These findings implicated that KDM5 proteins may serve as promising antitumor targets for treating various subtypes of Non-Hodgkin B-cell lymphomas (NHL), including KSHV-associated ones.

We then determined JQKD82's anticancer effects on inducing the cytotoxicity/death of KSHV-positive PEL cells (BCBL-1, BC-3) as well as KSHV-negative BJAB cells using normal B cells as a reference. These cells were cultured up to 5 days with JQKD82 treatment at different doses (5-50 µM). First, our results showed that JQKD82 causes no or only mild cytotoxicity of BJAB cells while even increasing the viability of normal B cells despite of the high doses of JQKD82 (**Fig 6E, S6E**). Second, in contrast to BJAB cells JQKD82 treatment indeed rendered the more obvious cytotoxicity/death of BCBL-1 (**Fig 6F**) and BC-3 (**Fig 6G**) cells across all-tested drug concentrations *in vitro*. Thus, these studies recognized that KDM5 inhibition could be considered as a viable strategy to preferentially treat KSHV-positive B-cell lymphomas.

KDM5 inhibition blocks the tumor growth of KSHV-positive PEL cells *in vivo*. Given

JQKD82 elicited potent antitumor effects on KSHV-positive PEL *in vitro*, we further evaluated

this compound on tumor growth *in vivo* using a PEL xenograft mouse model (**Fig 7A**). The NSG

mice were transplanted intraperitoneally (i.p.) with the BCBL-1 cells that stably express an

integrated cassette of firefly luciferase (BCBL-1-Luc), which allowed the bioluminescence

imaging (BLI) to monitor the tumor growth in live animals over the time. The results showed

that JQKD82 dramatically inhibits the growth of KSHV-positive PEL in mice during BLI

monitoring twice a week up to 28 days post of tumor transplantation in comparison to vehicle

control (**Fig 7B, 7C**). The mice were sacrificed, and the tumors and ascites were collected for

measurements. JQKD82 treatment substantially decreased the tumor size/volume (**Fig 7D, 7E**),

tumor weight (**Fig 7F**), and ascites volume (**Fig 7G**). However, JQKD82 only moderately

affected the body weight of PEL-transplanted mice comparing to vehicle control (**Fig S7A**). For

the collected tumor samples, we further quantified JQKD82's drug effects on viral and immune

gene expressions by RT-qPCR assays. Consistent with the *in vitro* findings, JQKD82

administration indeed induced KSHV viral gene expressions in tumors (**Fig 7H, S7B**). Likewise,

JQKD82 upregulated the expression of anti-tumor IRGs in tumors as well (**Fig 7I, S7C**). Overall,

our *in vivo* evaluations clearly demonstrated the therapeutic promise of KDM5 inhibitor JQKD82

to treat KSHV-associated B-cell lymphomas through induction of viral oncolysis.

Discussion

The viral life cycle of KSHV includes latent and lytic replications as two distinct phases that

are delicately controlled by both viral and host factors, assuring KSHV to not only establish

persistent infection and escape immune surveillance in the host cells but also generate new

progeny viruses once reactivated for viral dissemination and transmission to the new hosts(34).

KSHV also encodes an array of viral oncogenes that promote host cell's transformation and

contribute to the development of KSHV-associated malignancies(35). Therefore, elucidation of

profound KSHV-host interactions is necessary to advance the understanding of KSHV viral life

cycle as well as KSHV-induced tumorigenesis, which would lead to the identification of new

gene targets for developing potent and specific anti-KSHV agents. In this study, we provided the

novel findings that KDM5A/B proteins play a previously unappreciated role in suppressing

KSHV lytic reactivation from latency (**Fig 2**) while KSHV may temporarily downregulate these

demethylases via proteosome degradation during its lytic replication to benefit viral propagation

(**Fig 1**).

KDM5 family includes four members KDM5A-D, which are the Jumonji-C (JmjC) domain-

containing lysine demethylases and catalyze the removal of methyl groups from the epigenetic

marks of gene activation H3K4me2/3(36). There is growing evidence showing that KDM5A/B

play critical roles in cancer development(15). For example, KDM5A/B are overexpressed in

breast cancer(37,38). Loss of KDM5A sensitized the endocrine-resistant luminal breast cancer

cells to fulvestrant(39), while loss of KDM5B reduced the proliferation of breast cancer cells *in*

vivo(40). Upregulation of KDM5A/B has been observed in multiple types of cancers, such as

gastric cancer(41,42), head and neck cancer(43,44), lung cancer(45,46), indicating their strong

connections to cancer development. So far, only few reports have linked KDM5A/B proteins to

B-cell lymphomas, such as mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) and germinal center (GC)

lymphoma(47,48). In contrast, KDM5C/D locate on X and Y chromosomes, respectively, which

have been linked to sex-dependent conditions, such as X-linked intellectual disability (XLID),

autism, and certain types of sex-specific cancers(49-51). The main B-cell lines we used for this

study were mostly generated from BCBL-1 that was derived from an adult male but with an

acquired, complete loss of the Y chromosome, while the KSHV-negative BJAB was established

from a 5-year-old African girl. Thus, we excluded KDM5D from out studies. Interestingly, we

found that although KSHV lytic replication decreases KDM5C protein (**Fig S1B, S1H**), its

depletion fails to induce KSHV lytic reactivation (**Fig S2A, S2B**). Since KDM5C may share

certain conserved protein domains with KDM5A/B required for KSHV-mediated proteosome

degradation, KDM5C is thus also subjected to such dysregulation. However, more likely

KDM5A/B play the dominant roles in controlling KSHV viral life cycle.

Our mechanistic investigations identified that KDMA5A/B interaction with LANA may

contribute to its functions that promote KSHV viral latency and immune escape to benefit its

persistent infection (**Fig 3, S3**). As a pivotal viral factor, KSHV LANA is known to modulate

both viral and host gene expression by interacting with cellular proteins, including epigenetic

regulators. Early studies have demonstrated that LANA associates with the KSHV viral lytic

promoter and negatively regulate its functions to drive the activation of KSHV viral trans-

activator RTA that further induces a cascade of KSHV lytic gene expression(23). Indeed,

depletion of KDM5A/B significantly decreased LANA chromatin association at the RTA

promoter (**Fig 3G**). Furthermore, LANA has also been reported to suppress antiviral/antitumor

innate immune responses(26,27). Our data mining provided the supportive evidence that

chromatin occupancy of LANA significantly overlaps with that of H3K4me3 at IRG loci (**Fig

3B**). We showed that depletion of KDM5A/B substantially reduces LANA chromatin association

at the promoter of IRGs (**Fig 3H**). It is thus plausible that KSHV targets KDM5A/B for

proteosome degradation, which would alleviate LANA suppression of RTA activation during

viral lytic reactivation. On the other hand, KSHV-mediated KDM5A/B downregulation would

also alleviate LANA suppression of antiviral/antitumor IRG activation, resulting in a viral

oncolytic impact. Although we ruled out that RTA possesses the functions to induce proteosome

degradation of KDM5A/B (**Fig S1J, S1K**), It remains to be identified which viral and/or cellular

mechanisms employed by KSHV to do so.

The fundamental understanding of KDM5A/B's functions to control KSHV viral replications

and anti-KSHV innate immune responses would lay the foundation to target them by using

small-molecule inhibitors for treating KSHV-associated cancers. KDM5 inhibitors, such as

KDM5-C49 or KDM5-C70, have been shown to sensitize endocrine-resistant luminal breast

cancer cells to fulvestrant, and the combination of fulvestrant and KDM5 inhibitor substantially

reduced tumor volume(39). Although the incidence is rare, KSHV highly associates with certain

types of B-cell lymphomas(52), such as PEL, which have the overall lower survival rate than

other common types of aggressive B-cell lymphomas(53), leading to short median survival time

(only 6-22 months) and poor 5-year survival rate (less than 17%). Although certain treatments

extrapolated from other common types of B-cell lymphomas have been applied to PEL and

improved its survival rate, there are still no specific therapies developed against these KSHV-

associated B-cell lymphomas. Based on our own studies, KDM5A/B would be the ideal targets

for treating B-cell lymphomas, as they appeared to preferentially express in malignant *vs* normal

B cells (**Fig 6A-D, S6C, S6D**). As a proof of concept, we demonstrated that a recently available

KDM5 inhibitor JQKD82 is potent to induce KSHV lytic reactivation and activate

antiviral/antitumor innate immune responses in KSHV-infected PEL cells both *in vitro* (**Fig 6**)

and *in vivo* (**Fig 7**), facilitating their killing. Such viral oncolytic approaches could be considered

for treating other virus-associated cancers. For example, we showed that JQKD82 is capable of

inducing lytic reactivation of another human gamma-herpesvirus Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) and

preferentially kills EBV-positive BL cells (BL41-P3HR1) *vs* the parental BL41 (**Fig S7D-F**).

However, a limitation is that our NSG mouse model lacks proper immune systems. In future, we

will consider using the humanized mouse model with PEL xenograft for further evaluation of

KDM5 inhibitor's impact on antitumor immunity.

Materials and Methods

Cells. Cell lines of B-cell lymphomas, including BJAB, Ramos, BL41, BL41-P3HR1,

TREx.BJAB.3FLAG.RTA, BCBL-1, BCBL-1-Luc, TREx.BCBL-1.RTA, were maintained in

RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco). BC-3

cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 20% FBS. iSLK.BAC16,

iSLK.r219, HEK293T cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM)

(Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS. Antibiotics were added in cell cultures for TREx.BCBL-

1.RTA and TREx.BJAB.3FLAG.RTA (200 μg/mL Hygromycin B), BCBL-1-Luc (50 μg/mL

Hygromycin B), iSLK.BAC16 and iSLK.r219 (1.2 mg/mL Hygromycin B, 2 μg/mL puromycin,

250 μg/mL G418) as previously described(54). Telomerase-immortalized human microvascular

endothelial (TIME) cells were maintained in Vascular Cell Basal Medium (ATCC, Cat# PCS-

100-030) supplemented with Microvascular Endothelial Cell Growth Kit-VEGF (ATCC, Cat#

PCS-100-041). Human primary cells, including peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs)

(STEMCELL Technologies) as well as the isolated B cells, were maintained in RPMI 1640

complete medium (RPMI 1640 basic, 1× MEM Non-Essential Amino Acids Solution, 1×

Sodium Pyruvate, and 20 mM HEPES) supplemented with human recombinant IL-2 (rIL-2,

Roche) at 30 U/mL. Human $CD19^+CD3^-$ primary B cells were isolated from PBMCs using the B

cell Isolation Kit II (Miltenyi Biotec, Cat# 130-091-151) according to the manufacturer's

protocol.

Reagents and antibodies. Doxycycline was purchased from Fisher Scientific (Cat# BP2653-

1). DMSO for *in vitro* assays was purchased from Fisher Scientific (Cat# BP231-100). DMSO

for *in vivo* applications was purchased from MP Biomedicals (Cat# 2780148). KDM5 inhibitor

JQKD82 for *in vitro* experiments was designed, synthesized, and kindly provided by Dr. Jun

Qi's lab at Dana-Farber Cancer Institute(30). JQKD82 for *in vivo* administrations was purchased

from Cayman (Cat# 41542). siRNAs targeting KDM5A-C or non-targeting (NT) siRNA were

purchased from Invitrogen. Human IgG was purchased from MP Biomedicals (Cat# 55087).

MG132 was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Cat# 474790). The following antibodies were used

in this study: anti-KDM5A (Active Motif, Cat# 91211); anti-KDM5B (Bethyl Laboratories, Cat#

A301-813A); ant-KDM5C (Bethyl Laboratories, Cat# A301-034A); anti-KSHV K8.1 (Santa

Cruz Biotechnology, Cat# sc-65446); anti-EBV EBNA1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Cat# sc-

81581); anti-GAPDH (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Cat# sc-47724); normal rat IgG (Santa Cruz

Biotechnology, Cat# sc-2026); anti-KSHV LANA (Advanced Biotechnologies, Cat# 13-210-

100); anti-FLAG M2 (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# F1804); anti-CD3-FITC (Miltenyi Biotec, Cat# 130-

113-690); anti-CD19-PE (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Cat# 130-113-731); anti-CD20 (BioLegend,

Cat# 382802); anti-mouse HRP-linked (Cell Signaling Technology, Cat#7076S) and anti-rabbit

HRP-linked (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Cat# 7074S) antibodies; isotype control IgG including

rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Cat# 3900S), mouse IgG_1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology,

Cat# 5415S), mouse IgG_{2a} (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Cat# 61656S) and mouse IgG_{2b} (Santa

Cruz Biotechnology, Cat# 53484S).

Protein immunoblotting and immunoprecipitation assays. Protein immunoblotting and

immunoprecipitation (IP) assays were performed as previously described(55). Briefly, total

proteins were extracted from cell lysates by using 1 × radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA)

buffer (MilliporeSigma, Cat# 20-188) containing broad-spectrum protease inhibitors (Thermo

Scientific, Cat# A32953). Protein concentrations were measured using a BCA assay kit (Thermo

Scientific, Cat# 23227), followed by electrophoresis and dry electro-transfer. The PVDF

membrane was blocked with nonfat milk, and incubated with primary and HRP-conjugated

secondary antibodies, followed by the incubation with ECL substrate for chemiluminescence. To

determine protein-protein interactions, cell lysates were incubated with the specific antibodies

recognizing the targeted proteins or control IgG, followed by the incubation with protein A/G

magnetic beads (Thermo Scientific, Cat# 88803). Beads containing protein immunocomplexes

were washed, eluted, and subjected to protein immunoblotting assay. Gel images were acquired

by the ProteinSimple FluorChem E Imaging System.

Protein immunofluorescence assay (IFA). Primary B cell isolations were evaluated by IFA,

followed by flow cytometry analysis as previously described(56). Original PBMCs as well as

isolated B cells were incubated with anti-CD3-FITC and anti-CD19-PE antibodies for 30 mins,

which were subjected to flow cytometry analysis using BD Accuri C6 Plus. B cell population

(CD19^{+}/CD3^{-}) was analyzed by the FlowJo V10 software. KSHV LANA and KDM5A/B protein

interactions were also determined by IFA. iSLK.BAC16 cells were seeded at 1.5×10^{5} cells per

well on a 24-well culture plate containing circular cover glasses (13 mm) 1 day prior to the

induction of KSHV lytic reactivation. Cells were treated with Dox (1 µg/mL) for 2 days. Cells

were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature (RT) for 10 min, followed by

permeabilization with 0.2% Triton X-100 at RT for 15 min. The fixed cells were blocked with 1×

390 D-PBS containing 5% FBS for 1 hour at RT and incubated with the primary antibodies (LANA

391 and KDM5A/B) in 1× D-PBS containing 2.5% FBS overnight at 4°C. Anti-rat Alexa Fluor 488

392 or anti-mouse/rabbit Alexa Fluor 647 conjugated secondary antibodies were then added to cells

393 for another incubation for 1 hour at RT with constant rocking. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst

394 33342 (Thermo Scientific, Cat# 62249) for 10 min at RT. The cover glasses containing cells

395 were mounted onto a microscope slide with ProLong Glass Antifade Mountant (Invitrogen, Cat#

396 P36980), followed by incubation overnight at 4°C in the dark. Images were acquired using a

397 ZEISS LSM 700 Upright laser scanning confocal microscope with a ZEN imaging software

398 (ZEISS). To quantify KSHV lytic reactivation or *de novo* infection rate, cell samples in culture

399 plates were washed with 1x D-PBS followed by fluorescence imaging using the Cytation 5

400 multi-mode reader and the Gen5 Image+ software (BioTek).

401 **Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP).** ChIP assays were performed as previously

402 described(57). In brief, 1% paraformaldehyde (PFA) was used for cell cross-linking. Cells were

403 then re-suspended by CE buffer and centrifuged to pellet nuclei, which were further incubated

404 with SDS lysis buffer and sonicated to shear DNA fragments. 4% of nuclear lysates were used as

405 the Input, and the remaining lysates were incubated with antibodies recognizing the targeted

406 proteins or control IgG, followed by the incubation with pre-blocked protein A/G magnetic beads.

407 The beads were sequentially washed with low-salt buffer, high-salt buffer, LiCl buffer, and TE

408 buffer, and the IPed protein-DNA complexes were isolated by elution buffer. To recover DNA

409 samples, the elutes were treated with 5 M NaCl and incubated at 65 °C for overnight, followed

410 by the treatment of EDTA, Tris-HCl (pH 6.5), and proteinase K. DNA samples were extracted

411 by phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1). DNA pellets were re-suspended in nuclease-

free water, which were used for qPCR analysis. The primers used for ChIP-qPCR assays were

listed in the supplementary table **(Table S1)**.

Cell viability assay. ATP-based CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega)

was used to measure drug-induced cytotoxicity following the manufacturer's instructions.

Luminescence was measured by the Cytation 5 multimode reader (BioTek).

KSHV viral propagation and infection. KSHV BAC16 viruses were propagated as

previously described with slight modifications(54,56). In brief, iSLK.BAC16 cells were treated

with 2 μg/mL doxycycline together with 1 mM sodium butyrate (NaB) for 24 h to reactivate

KSHV. Cells were then maintained in fresh medium containing 2 μg/mL doxycycline, and the

supernatants containing KSHV BAC16 viruses were harvested every 2 days. Total five batches

of harvested supernatants were collected, centrifuged (400 × g) for 10 mins to remove cell debris,

and filtered through the 0.45 μm filter. KSHV BAC16 viruses were titrated in HEK293T cells

through the serial dilutions. Pre-seeded cells were infected with KSHV BAC16 viruses via

spinoculation (2500 rpm) for 2 h at 37 °C.

Protein immunohistochemistry (IHC) assay. The lymph node specimens from deidentified

healthy donors or DLBCL patients were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) at 4°C for

overnight, dehydrated through a series of grade ethanol, and incubated with Histo-Clear

(National Diagnostics, Cat# 5989-27-5) at room temperature for 2 h prior to paraffin embedding.

7 μm thick sections were then prepared from the paraffin blocks and mounted on the slides. Such

paraffin-embedded tissue slides were baked in a high temperature incubator at 65 °C for 30 min

and deparaffinized in xylene. The slides were sequentially rehydrated in 100%, 95%, 70%, 50%

ethanol and PBS, followed by the antigen unmasking using a pressure cooker with 1 × antigen

retrieval buffer (Abcam, Cat# AB93684) for 2 h to complete the cycle and then cool down.

Slides were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min and blocked with 10%

normal goat serum (NGS) in 1x PBST for 2 h at room temperature, then incubated with anti-

KDM5A, anti-KDM5B, and anti-CD20 antibodies in 5% NGS with 1x PBST at 4°C for

overnight. Fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor 488 or Alexa Fluor 647)

were diluted in 5% NGS with 1x PBST and incubated with the tissue slides for 2 h at room

temperature in the dark. These slides were then stained with Hoechst (1:6000 in PBST, Thermo

Scientific, Cat# 62249) for 10 min at room temperature, followed by mounting with coverslips

using ProLong Glass Antifade Mountant (Invitrogen, Cat# P36982) and curing for overnight.

Images were acquired using the ZEISS LSM 700 Upright laser scanning confocal microscope

and ZEN imaging software (ZEISS).

Quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR). Total RNAs were extracted from

cells by using the NucleoSpin RNA isolation kit (MACHEREY-NAGEL, Cat# 740955.250).

Eluted RNA samples were reverse transcribed by using the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad,

Cat# 1708891) following the manufacturer's instructions. RT-qPCR assays were performed by

using the iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, Cat# 1725125) on a Bio-Rad CFX

Connect Real-Time PCR System. The primers used for RT-qPCR assays were listed in **Table S1**.

RNA-seq analysis. BCBL-1 and BJAB cells were treated with JQKD82 (20 μM) or DMSO

for 4 days. RNA samples from three independent repeats were extracted by using the NucleoSpin

RNA isolation kit following the manufacturer's manual. RNA samples were submitted to

Novogene (Sacramento, CA 95817) for further library preparations. RNA samples that passed

quality control were subjected to rRNA removal through polyA selection, followed by the

generation of cDNA libraries. Sequencing was carried out on an Illumina NovaSeq X Plus

platform with the configuration of 2×150 bp (paired end). Differential expression of genes was

analyzed by DESeq2(58). R packages, EnhancedVolcano, pheatmap and clusterProfiler

programs were used for volcano plotting, heatmap construction and pathway analysis,

respectively. The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in BCBL-1 and BJAB cells due to

JQKD82 treatment were listed in the supplementary tables **(Table S2, S3),** respectively. These

RNA-seq datasets were deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) with the accession

number GSE310839.

A PEL xenograft mouse model. A PEL xenograft mouse model was employed to determine

the antitumor effect of a KDM5 inhibitor JQKD82 on the growth of KHSV-infected PEL cells *in*

vivo. Six-week-old female NOD.Cg-*Prkdc^scid^ Il2rg^tm1Wjl^*/SzJ (NSG) mice were acquired from the

Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center and transplanted with 5×10^6 of BCBL-1-

Luc cells intraperitoneally (i.p.) per mouse. One week post of tumor xenograft, mice were

injected i.p. with D-Luciferin (GoldBio, cat# LUCK-1G) and subjected to the bioluminescence

imaging (BLI) for monitoring tumor growth using the IVIS Spectrum 2 In Vivo Imaging System

(Revvity). These mice were randomized and grouped for administration with either vehicle

control or JQKD82 (50 mg/kg) every two days for two weeks (4 mice per group). The JQKD82

solution was prepared as previously described(30). Briefly, JQKD82 was dissolved in DMSO to

prepare the 50 mg/mL solution, which was then further diluted in the 2-Hydroxypropyl)-β-

cyclodextrin (Sigma-Aldrich, cat# 332607) with 1:9 ratio (5 mg/mL) for *in vivo* administration.

Tumor burden in these mice was monitored by BLI up to three weeks. All mice were euthanized,

and tumor samples were collected for further measurements. These animal studies were

approved by the Ohio State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC).

Statistical analysis. The experimental data were acquired from at least three independent

repeats, and analyzed by using either the unpaired, two-tailed/one-tailed Student's t test or the

one-way/two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). A *P*-value less than 0.05 was considered as

statistically significant (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001). Results were

presented as either Mean ± standard deviation (SD) or Mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM),

and graphed by using the GraphPad Prism 9.0 software.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. Renfeng Li (University of Pittsburgh) for providing BJAB cells,

Dr. Jae Jung (Cleveland Clinic) for TREx.BCBL-1.RTA cells, Dr. Zsolt Toth (University of

Florida) for TREx.BJAB.3FLAG.RTA cells, Dr. Shou-Jiang Gao (University of Pittsburgh) for

iSLK.BAC16, iSLK.r219, and TIME cells, Dr. Erle Robertson (University of Pennsylvania) for

pA3M-LANA plasmid, and Dr. Robert Baiocchi (Ohio State University) for BL41 and BL41-

P3HR1 cells. This study was supported by NIH research grants R01CA260690 to

N.S.; R01MH134402, R01DA059538, and R56AI181631 to J.Z.

Author contributions

N.G.S., J.Z. and D.Z. conceived and designed this study; D.Z. performed the majority of

experiments; G.N.F. and S.E. provided experimental supports; Z.W. performed the RNA-seq

data analysis; D.Z., N.G.S and J.Z. analyzed the results; Y.P., J.H., K.A.S.N.S., T.T.L., Y.L.,

J.O., K.L., J.U.J., J.Q., W.J.Z. contributed materials and/or provided advice for this study; D.Z.,

N.G.S., J.Z. wrote the manuscript; N.G.S. and J.Z. supervised the entire study.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data and materials availability

506   All data are presented in the main manuscript and/or as the supplementary materials. All

507   other related data, information, and materials can be acquired through the direct requests to

508   authors.

References

1.   Antman, K. and Chang, Y. (2000) Kaposi's sarcoma. *N Engl J Med*, **342**, 1027-1038.

2.   Cesarman, E., Chang, Y., Moore, P.S., Said, J.W. and Knowles, D.M. (1995) Kaposi's
sarcoma-associated herpesvirus-like DNA sequences in AIDS-related body-cavity-based
lymphomas. *N Engl J Med*, **332**, 1186-1191.

3.   Soulier, J., Grollet, L., Oksenhendler, E., Cacoub, P., Cazals-Hatem, D., Babinet, P.,
d'Agay, M.F., Clauvel, J.P., Raphael, M., Degos, L. *et al.* (1995) Kaposi's sarcoma-
associated herpesvirus-like DNA sequences in multicentric Castleman's disease. *Blood*,
86, 1276-1280.

4.   Means, R.E., Lang, S.M. and Jung, J.U. (2007) In Arvin, A., Campadelli-Fiume, G.,
Mocarski, E., Moore, P. S., Roizman, B., Whitley, R. and Yamanishi, K. (eds.), *Human*
Herpesviruses: Biology, Therapy, and Immunoprophylaxis, Cambridge.

5.   Longnecker, R. and Neipel, F. (2007) In Arvin, A., Campadelli-Fiume, G., Mocarski, E.,
Moore, P. S., Roizman, B., Whitley, R. and Yamanishi, K. (eds.), *Human Herpesviruses:*
Biology, Therapy, and Immunoprophylaxis, Cambridge.

6.   Sandhu, P.K. and Damania, B. (2022) The regulation of KSHV lytic reactivation by viral
and cellular factors. *Curr Opin Virol*, **52**, 39-47.

7.   Cohen, J.I. (2020) Herpesvirus latency. *J Clin Invest*, **130**, 3361-3369.

8.   Lieberman, P.M. (2013) Keeping it quiet: chromatin control of gammaherpesvirus
latency. *Nat Rev Microbiol*, **11**, 863-875.

9.   Zhou, F., Shimoda, M., Olney, L., Lyu, Y., Tran, K., Jiang, G., Nakano, K., Davis, R.R.,
Tepper, C.G., Maverakis, E. *et al.* (2017) Oncolytic Reactivation of KSHV as a
Therapeutic Approach for Primary Effusion Lymphoma. *Mol Cancer Ther*, **16**, 2627-
2638.

10.   Park, A., Oh, S., Jung, K.L., Choi, U.Y., Lee, H.R., Rosenfeld, M.G. and Jung, J.U.
(2020) Global epigenomic analysis of KSHV-infected primary effusion lymphoma
identifies functional MYC superenhancers and enhancer RNAs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*
A, **117**, 21618-21627.

11.   Gunther, T. and Grundhoff, A. (2010) The epigenetic landscape of latent Kaposi
sarcoma-associated herpesvirus genomes. *PLoS Pathog*, **6**, e1000935.

12.   Maruo, S., Zhao, B., Johannsen, E., Kieff, E., Zou, J. and Takada, K. (2011) Epstein-Barr
virus nuclear antigens 3C and 3A maintain lymphoblastoid cell growth by repressing
p16INK4A and p14ARF expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **108**, 1919-1924.

13.   Murata, T., Kondo, Y., Sugimoto, A., Kawashima, D., Saito, S., Isomura, H., Kanda, T.
and Tsurumi, T. (2012) Epigenetic histone modification of Epstein-Barr virus BZLF1
promoter during latency and reactivation in Raji cells. *J Virol*, **86**, 4752-4761.

14.   Gray, Z.H., Honer, M.A., Ghatalia, P., Shi, Y. and Whetstine, J.R. (2025) 20 years of
histone lysine demethylases: From discovery to the clinic and beyond. *Cell*, **188**, 1747-
1783.

15.   Yoo, J., Kim, G.W., Jeon, Y.H., Kim, J.Y., Lee, S.W. and Kwon, S.H. (2022) Drawing a
line between histone demethylase KDM5A and KDM5B: their roles in development and
tumorigenesis. *Exp Mol Med*, **54**, 2107-2117.

16.   Huang, H., Kong, W., Jean, M., Fiches, G., Zhou, D., Hayashi, T., Que, J., Santoso, N.
and Zhu, J. (2019) A CRISPR/Cas9 screen identifies the histone demethylase MINA53 as
a novel HIV-1 latency-promoting gene (LPG). *Nucleic Acids Res*, **47**, 7333-7347.

17. Gabaev, I., Williamson, J.C., Crozier, T.W.M., Schulz, T.F. and Lehner, P.J. (2020)
Quantitative Proteomics Analysis of Lytic KSHV Infection in Human Endothelial Cells
Reveals Targets of Viral Immune Modulation. *Cell Rep*, **33**, 108249.
18. Li, T.W., Park, Y., Watters, E.G., Wang, X., Zhou, D., Fiches, G.N., Wu, Z., Badley,
A.D., Sacha, J.B., Ho, W.Z. *et al.* (2024) KDM5A/B contribute to HIV-1 latent infection
and survival of HIV-1 infected cells. *Antiviral Res*, **228**, 105947.
19. Yu, Y., Wang, S.E. and Hayward, G.S. (2005) The KSHV immediate-early transcription
factor RTA encodes ubiquitin E3 ligase activity that targets IRF7 for proteosome-
mediated degradation. *Immunity*, **22**, 59-70.
20. Combs, L.R., Spires, L.M., Alonso, J.D., Papp, B. and Toth, Z. (2022) KSHV RTA
Induces Degradation of the Host Transcription Repressor ID2 To Promote the Viral Lytic
Cycle. *J Virol*, **96**, e0010122.
21. Kedes, D.H., Lagunoff, M., Renne, R. and Ganem, D. (1997) Identification of the gene
encoding the major latency-associated nuclear antigen of the Kaposi's sarcoma-associated
herpesvirus. *J Clin Invest*, **100**, 2606-2610.
22. Mercier, A., Arias, C., Madrid, A.S., Holdorf, M.M. and Ganem, D. (2014) Site-specific
association with host and viral chromatin by Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus
LANA and its reversal during lytic reactivation. *J Virol*, **88**, 6762-6777.
23. Lan, K., Kuppers, D.A., Verma, S.C. and Robertson, E.S. (2004) Kaposi's sarcoma-
associated herpesvirus-encoded latency-associated nuclear antigen inhibits lytic
replication by targeting Rta: a potential mechanism for virus-mediated control of latency.
J Virol, **78**, 6585-6594.
24. Hu, J., Yang, Y., Turner, P.C., Jain, V., McIntyre, L.M. and Renne, R. (2014) LANA
binds to multiple active viral and cellular promoters and associates with the
H3K4methyltransferase hSET1 complex. *PLoS Pathog*, **10**, e1004240.
25. Toth, Z., Papp, B., Brulois, K., Choi, Y.J., Gao, S.J. and Jung, J.U. (2016) LANA-
Mediated Recruitment of Host Polycomb Repressive Complexes onto the KSHV Genome
during De Novo Infection. *PLoS Pathog*, **12**, e1005878.
26. Zhang, G., Chan, B., Samarina, N., Abere, B., Weidner-Glunde, M., Buch, A., Pich, A.,
Brinkmann, M.M. and Schulz, T.F. (2016) Cytoplasmic isoforms of Kaposi sarcoma
herpesvirus LANA recruit and antagonize the innate immune DNA sensor cGAS. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci U S A, **113**, E1034-1043.
27. Mariggio, G., Koch, S., Zhang, G., Weidner-Glunde, M., Ruckert, J., Kati, S., Santag, S.
and Schulz, T. (2017) Kaposi Sarcoma Herpesvirus (KSHV) Latency-Associated
Nuclear Antigen (LANA) recruits components of the MRN (Mre11-Rad50-NBS1) repair
complex to modulate an innate immune signaling pathway and viral latency. *PLoS*
Pathog, **13**, e1006335.
28. Cloutier, N. and Flamand, L. (2010) Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus latency-
associated nuclear antigen inhibits interferon (IFN) beta expression by competing with
IFN regulatory factor-3 for binding to IFNB promoter. *J Biol Chem*, **285**, 7208-7221.
29. Johansson, C., Velupillai, S., Tumber, A., Szykowska, A., Hookway, E.S., Nowak, R.P.,
Strain-Damerell, C., Gileadi, C., Philpott, M., Burgess-Brown, N. *et al.* (2016) Structural
analysis of human KDM5B guides histone demethylase inhibitor development. *Nat Chem*
Biol, **12**, 539-545.

30. Ohguchi, H., Park, P.M.C., Wang, T., Gryder, B.E., Ogiya, D., Kurata, K., Zhang, X., Li,
D., Pei, C., Masuda, T. *et al.* (2021) Lysine Demethylase 5A is Required for MYC
Driven Transcription in Multiple Myeloma. *Blood Cancer Discov*, **2**, 370-387.
31. Pidugu, V.K., Wu, M.M., Yen, A.H., Pidugu, H.B., Chang, K.W., Liu, C.J. and Lee, T.C.
(2019) IFIT1 and IFIT3 promote oral squamous cell carcinoma metastasis and contribute
to the anti-tumor effect of gefitinib via enhancing p-EGFR recycling. *Oncogene*, **38**,
3232-3247.
32. Botti, E., Spallone, G., Moretti, F., Marinari, B., Pinetti, V., Galanti, S., De Meo, P.D.,
De Nicola, F., Ganci, F., Castrignano, T. *et al.* (2011) Developmental factor IRF6
exhibits tumor suppressor activity in squamous cell carcinomas. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*
A, **108**, 13710-13715.
33. Boehmer, D.F.R., Formisano, S., de Oliveira Mann, C.C., Mueller, S.A., Kluge, M.,
Metzger, P., Rohlfs, M., Horth, C., Kocheise, L., Lichtenthaler, S.F. *et al.* (2021)
OAS1/RNase L executes RIG-I ligand-dependent tumor cell apoptosis. *Sci Immunol*, **6**.
34. Speck, S.H. and Ganem, D. (2010) Viral latency and its regulation: lessons from the
gamma-herpesviruses. *Cell Host Microbe*, **8**, 100-115.
35. Damania, B. (2004) Oncogenic gamma-herpesviruses: comparison of viral proteins
involved in tumorigenesis. *Nat Rev Microbiol*, **2**, 656-668.
36. Rasmussen, P.B. and Staller, P. (2014) The KDM5 family of histone demethylases as
targets in oncology drug discovery. *Epigenomics*, **6**, 277-286.
37. Hou, J., Wu, J., Dombkowski, A., Zhang, K., Holowatyj, A., Boerner, J.L. and Yang, Z.Q.
(2012) Genomic amplification and a role in drug-resistance for the KDM5A histone
demethylase in breast cancer. *Am J Transl Res*, **4**, 247-256.
38. Yamamoto, S., Wu, Z., Russnes, H.G., Takagi, S., Peluffo, G., Vaske, C., Zhao, X.,
Moen Vollan, H.K., Maruyama, R., Ekram, M.B. *et al.* (2014) JARID1B is a luminal
lineage-driving oncogene in breast cancer. *Cancer Cell*, **25**, 762-777.
39. Hinohara, K., Wu, H.J., Vigneau, S., McDonald, T.O., Igarashi, K.J., Yamamoto, K.N.,
Madsen, T., Fassl, A., Egri, S.B., Papanastasiou, M. *et al.* (2018) KDM5 Histone
Demethylase Activity Links Cellular Transcriptomic Heterogeneity to Therapeutic
Resistance. *Cancer Cell*, **34**, 939-953 e939.
40. Yamane, K., Tateishi, K., Klose, R.J., Fang, J., Fabrizio, L.A., Erdjument-Bromage, H.,
Taylor-Papadimitriou, J., Tempst, P. and Zhang, Y. (2007) PLU-1 is an H3K4
demethylase involved in transcriptional repression and breast cancer cell proliferation.
Mol Cell, **25**, 801-812.
41. Zeng, J., Ge, Z., Wang, L., Li, Q., Wang, N., Bjorkholm, M., Jia, J. and Xu, D. (2010)
The histone demethylase RBP2 Is overexpressed in gastric cancer and its inhibition
triggers senescence of cancer cells. *Gastroenterology*, **138**, 981-992.
42. Li, Y., Chen, L., Feng, L., Zhu, M., Shen, Q., Fang, Y., Liu, X. and Zhang, X. (2019)
NEK2 promotes proliferation, migration and tumor growth of gastric cancer cells via
regulating KDM5B/H3K4me3. *Am J Cancer Res*, **9**, 2364-2378.
43. Li, H., Wawrose, J.S., Gooding, W.E., Garraway, L.A., Lui, V.W., Peyser, N.D. and
Grandis, J.R. (2014) Genomic analysis of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cell
lines and human tumors: a rational approach to preclinical model selection. *Mol Cancer*
Res, **12**, 571-582.

44.   Huang, D., Qiu, Y., Li, G., Liu, C., She, L., Zhang, D., Chen, X., Zhu, G., Zhang, X.,
643   Tian, Y. *et al.* (2018) KDM5B overexpression predicts a poor prognosis in patients with
644   squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *J Cancer*, **9**, 198-204.
645   45.   Oser, M.G., Sabet, A.H., Gao, W., Chakraborty, A.A., Schinzel, A.C., Jennings, R.B.,
646   Fonseca, R., Bonal, D.M., Booker, M.A., Flaifel, A. *et al.* (2019) The KDM5A/RBP2
647   histone demethylase represses NOTCH signaling to sustain neuroendocrine
648   differentiation and promote small cell lung cancer tumorigenesis. *Genes Dev*, **33**, 1718-
649   1738.
650   46.   Kuo, K.T., Huang, W.C., Bamodu, O.A., Lee, W.H., Wang, C.H., Hsiao, M., Wang, L.S.
651   and Yeh, C.T. (2018) Histone demethylase JARID1B/KDM5B promotes aggressiveness
652   of non-small cell lung cancer and serves as a good prognostic predictor. *Clin Epigenetics*,
653   **10**, 107.
654   47.   Heward, J., Konali, L., D'Avola, A., Close, K., Yeomans, A., Philpott, M., Dunford, J.,
655   Rahim, T., Al Seraihi, A.F., Wang, J. *et al.* (2021) KDM5 inhibition offers a novel
656   therapeutic strategy for the treatment of KMT2D mutant lymphomas. *Blood*, **138**, 370-
657   381.
658   48.   Xu, D., Bewicke-Copley, F., Close, K., Okosun, J., Gale, R.P., Apperley, J., Weinstock,
659   D.M., Wendel, H.G. and Fitzgibbon, J. (2024) Targeting lysine demethylase 5 (KDM5)
660   in mantle cell lymphoma. *Blood Cancer J*, **14**, 29.
661   49.   Vallianatos, C.N., Farrehi, C., Friez, M.J., Burmeister, M., Keegan, C.E. and Iwase, S.
662   (2018) Altered Gene-Regulatory Function of KDM5C by a Novel Mutation Associated
663   With Autism and Intellectual Disability. *Front Mol Neurosci*, **11**, 104.
664   50.   Li, J., Lan, Z., Liao, W., Horner, J.W., Xu, X., Liu, J., Yoshihama, Y., Jiang, S., Shim,
665   H.S., Slotnik, M. *et al.* (2023) Histone demethylase KDM5D upregulation drives sex
666   differences in colon cancer. *Nature*, **619**, 632-639.
667   51.   Scandaglia, M., Lopez-Atalaya, J.P., Medrano-Fernandez, A., Lopez-Cascales, M.T., Del
668   Blanco, B., Lipinski, M., Benito, E., Olivares, R., Iwase, S., Shi, Y. *et al.* (2017) Loss of
669   Kdm5c Causes Spurious Transcription and Prevents the Fine-Tuning of Activity-
670   Regulated Enhancers in Neurons. *Cell Rep*, **21**, 47-59.
671   52.   Munz, C. (2021) The Role of Lytic Infection for Lymphomagenesis of Human gamma-
672   Herpesviruses. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol*, **11**, 605258.
673   53.   Lurain, K., Ramaswami, R., Oksenhendler, E., Boutboul, D., Dalla Pria, A., Ulrich, L.,
674   Shanmugasundaram, K., Uldrick, T.S., Bower, M., Yarchoan, R. *et al.* (2025) Primary
675   Effusion Lymphoma Prognostic Score (PEL-PS): A Validated International Prognostic
676   Score in HIV-Associated Primary Effusion Lymphoma. *Am J Hematol*, **100**, 393-401.
677   54.   Fiches, G.N., Wu, Z., Zhou, D., Biswas, A., Li, T.W., Kong, W., Jean, M., Santoso, N.G.
678   and Zhu, J. (2022) Polyamine biosynthesis and eIF5A hypusination are modulated by the
679   DNA tumor virus KSHV and promote KSHV viral infection. *PLoS Pathog*, **18**, e1010503.
680   55.   Zhou, D., Hayashi, T., Jean, M., Kong, W., Fiches, G., Biswas, A., Liu, S., Yosief, H.O.,
681   Zhang, X., Bradner, J. *et al.* (2020) Inhibition of Polo-like kinase 1 (PLK1) facilitates the
682   elimination of HIV-1 viral reservoirs in CD4(+) T cells ex vivo. *Sci Adv*, **6**, eaba1941.
683   56.   Biswas, A., Zhou, D., Fiches, G.N., Wu, Z., Liu, X., Ma, Q., Zhao, W., Zhu, J. and
684   Santoso, N.G. (2021) Inhibition of polo-like kinase 1 (PLK1) facilitates reactivation of
685   gamma-herpesviruses and their elimination. *PLoS Pathog*, **17**, e1009764.
686   57.   Zhou, D., Wu, Z., Park, J.G., Fiches, G.N., Li, T.W., Ma, Q., Huang, H., Biswas, A.,
687   Martinez-Sobrido, L., Santoso, N.G. *et al.* (2022) FACT subunit SUPT16H associates

688   with BRD4 and contributes to silencing of interferon signaling. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **50**,
689   8700-8718.
690   58.   Love, M.I., Huber, W. and Anders, S. (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and
691   dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol*, **15**, 550.
692

Figures

Figure 1. KSHV lytic program targets KDM5A/B for proteasome degradation. (A)

TREx.BCBL-1.RTA cells were treated with doxycycline (Dox, 1 μg/mL) for 2 days, followed by

protein immunoblotting to quantify KDM5A/B proteins and KSHV lytic K8.1 protein. (B)

KDM5A/B mRNA level in cell samples (A) was measured by RT-qPCR. (C) BJAB cells were

treated similarly as (A) and analyzed by protein immunoblotting to quantify KDM5A/B proteins.

(D) KDM5A/B mRNA level in cell samples (C) was measured by RT-qPCR. (E) TIME cells

were spinoculated with KSHV BAC16 viruses. At 2 days post of infection (dpi), cells were

collected and analyzed by protein immunoblotting to quantify KDM5A/B proteins and KSHV

lytic K8.1 protein. (F) KDM5A/B mRNA level in cell samples (E) was measured by RT-qPCR.

(G) TREx.BCBL-1.RTA cells were treated with Dox in the absence or presence of MG132 (1

μM) for 2 days, followed by protein immunoblotting to quantify KDM5A/B proteins and KSHV

lytic K8.1 protein. (H, I) TREx.BCBL-1.RTA cells were treated with Dox in the absence or

presence of MG132 (1 μM) for 2 days, followed by protein immunoprecipitation (IP) of

KDM5A (H) or KDM5B (I) using their specific antibodies, followed by protein immunoblotting

to quantify K48 or K63-linked polyubiquitination of KDM5A/B. Results were calculated from

three independent experiments and presented as Mean ± SD (ns: not significant, * $P < 0.05$, ** P

< 0.01, **** $P < 0.0001$; unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test).

Figure 2. KDM5A/B suppress KSHV lytic reactivation from latency. (A, B) TREx.BCBL-

1.RTA cells were transiently transfected with siRNAs targeting KDM5A (A) or KDM5B (B)

through electroporation. At 3 days post of transfection, cells were collected and analyzed by RT-

qPCR to quantify KDM5A/B knockdown. (C, D) TREx.BCBL-1.RTA cells with depletion of

KDM5A (C) or KDM5B (D) were treated with Dox for 2 days, followed by RT-qPCR to

quantify KSHV lytic genes K8 and K8.1. (E, F) KDM5A/B and KSHV K8.1 proteins in cell

samples (C, D) with Dox treatment were measured by protein immunoblotting. (G, H)

iSLK.BAC16 cells were transiently transfected with siRNAs targeting KDM5A (G) or KDM5B

(H) for 3 days, followed by Dox treatment for 2 days. KDM5A/B knockdown were measured by

RT-qPCR. (I, J) iSLK.BAC16 cells with depletion of KDM5A (I) or KDM5B (J) as well as Dox

treatment was analyzed by RT-qPCR to quantify KSHV lytic genes K8 and K8.1. (K, L)

KDM5A/B and KSHV K8.1 proteins in cell samples in cell samples (I, J) were measured by

protein immunoblotting. (M, N) iSLK.r219 cells were transiently transfected with siRNAs

targeting KDM5A (M) or KDM5B (N) for 3 days, followed by Dox treatment for 2 days.

KDM5A/B knockdown were measured by RT-qPCR. KSHV lytic reactivation was visualized by

fluorescence microscopy (RFP *vs* GFP signal). Results were calculated from three independent

experiments and presented as Mean ± SD (*** $P < 0.001$, **** $P < 0.0001$; unpaired, two-tailed

Student's *t*-test for A; one-way ANOVA for I, J, two-way ANOVA for B, C, E, F, G).

Figure 3. KDM5A/B associate with KSHV LANA and modulate its functions. (A, B)

Average profile plot (A) and heatmap (B) showing the ChIP-seq enrichment pattern of RNAP1,

LANA (KSHV), RTA (KSHV), and H3K4me3 at promoter regions of immune-responsive genes

(IRGs) were presented, which were generated from re-analysis of the public ChIP-seq dataset

(GSE202670). (C, D) BCBL-1 (C) or iSLK.BAC16 (D) cells were collected for protein IP of

KSHV LANA, followed by protein immunoblotting to quantify the co-IPed KDM5A/B protein.

(E, F) iSLK.BAC16 cells were subjected to protein immunofluorescence followed by confocal

microscopy imaging to visualize the co-localization of KSHV LANA protein with KDM5A (E)

or KDM5B (F). (G, H) iSLK.BAC16 cells were transiently transfected with siRNAs targeting

KDM5A/B for 3 days. Cells were collected for chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) of KSHV

LANA protein using its antibody or the control rat IgG, followed by qPCR to quantify the

binding of LANA at the promoter regions of KSHV RTA (G) or host IRGs (H). Results were

calculated from three independent experiments and presented as Mean ± SD (**** $P < 0.0001$;

two-way ANOVA).

Figure 4. KDM5 inhibition induces KSHV lytic reactivation from latency. (A) TREx.BCBL-

1.RTA cells treated with JQKD82 (48 h) was analyzed by the ATP luminescent assay to measure

drug's cytotoxicity. (B) TREx.BCBL-1.RTA cells were treated with JQKD82 for 48 h and then

Dox for another 48 h. Cells were collected for protein immunoblotting of KSHV lytic K8.1

protein. (C) mRNA level of KSHV lytic viral genes (ORF50/RTA, K8, K8.1) in cell samples (B)

was measured by RT-qPCR. (D, E) BCBL-1 (D) or BC-3 cells (E) were treated with JQKD82 for

96 h and analyzed by RT-qPCR to quantify KSHV lytic genes K8 and K8.1. (F) iSLK.BAC16

cells were treated with JQKD82 for 48 h and then Dox for another 48 h. Cells were collected for

protein immunoblotting to quantify KSHV K8.1 protein. (G) iSLK.BAC16 cells treated with

JQKD82 in the absence or presence of Dox were collected and analyzed by RT-qPCR to

quantify KSHV lytic genes K8 and K8.1. Results were calculated from three independent

experiments and presented as Mean ± SD (** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$, **** $P < 0.0001$;

unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test for D, E; two-way ANOVA for C, G).

Figure 5. KDM5 inhibition induces antiviral/antitumor innate immune responses. (A, B)

BCBL-1 (A) and BJAB (B) cells were treated with JQKD82 (20 μM) for 4 days, followed by

RNA-seq analysis. A volcano plot was used to profile the differential gene expression induced

by JQKD82 treatment. Several upregulated antiviral/antitumor IRGs were highlighted. (C) GO

analysis was performed by using g:Profiler to identify the pathway enrichment for JQKD82-

treated BCBL-1 cells . Several immune-related pathways were highlighted. (D) Heatmap was

plotted for the selected differentially expressed antiviral/antitumor IRGs in JQKD82-treated

BCBL-1 cells. (E-G) BCBL-1 cells were treated with JQKD82 (20 µM) for 4 days, followed by

RT-qPCR to quantify the expression of IRGs shared with both JQKD82-treated BCBL-1 and

BJAB cells (E), KSHV K8 (F), and IRGs only upregulated in JQKD82-treated BCBL-1 cells (G).

Results were calculated from three independent experiments and presented as Mean ± SD (* $P <$

0.05, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$, **** $P < 0.0001$; unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test).

Figure 6. KDM5 inhibition promotes the death of KSHV-positive PEL cells *in vitro*. (A)

BJAB and primary B cells (3 heathy donors) were collected and analyzed by protein

immunoblotting to quantify KDM5A/B proteins. (B) KSHV-positive (BCBL-1, BC-3) and

KSHV-negative (BJAB, Ramos) B-cell lymphoma lines were collected and analyzed by protein

immunoblotting to quantify KDM5A/B proteins. (C, D) De-identified lymph node tissue samples

(2 healthy donors, 2 diffuse large B-cell lymphoma [DLBCL] patients) were collected and

analyzed by protein immunofluorescence to visualize KDM5A (C) or KDM5B (D) protein

(Alexa Fluor 647). B-cell marker CD20 was also immuno-stained (Alexa Fluor 488). (E) BJAB

and primary B cells (3 heathy donors) were treated with JQKD82 (20 µM) up to 5 days and

analyzed by the ATP-based cell viability assay at each day. (F, G) BCBL-1 (F) or BC-3 (G) cells

along with BJAB cells were treated with JQKD82 (20 µM) up to 5 days and analyzed by the cell

viability assay at each day. Results were calculated from three independent experiments and

presented as Mean ± SD (** $P < 0.01$, **** $P < 0.0001$; unpaired, two-tailed Student's *t*-test).

Figure 7. KDM5 inhibition blocks the tumor growth of KSHV-positive PEL cells *in vivo*. (A)

A PEL xenograft mouse model was used to evaluate the anti-tumor effect of KDM5 inhibition.

Briefly, NSG mice were intraperitoneally (i.p.) transplanted with BCBL-1-Luc cells. At one

week post of transplantation, mice were randomized to receive vehicle or JQKD82 that was

administrated i.p. at 50 mg/kg every two days for two weeks. Tumor growth was monitored by

bioluminescence imaging (BLI) by using the IVIS Spectrum 2 system twice a week for three

weeks. (B) Representative images of PEL tumors in either vehicle or JQKD82 mouse group at 28

days post of transplantation were illustrated. (C) Fluc activity (total flux) indicating tumor

burden was plotted up to 28 days post of transplantation. (D) Mice were euthanized at Day 29.

Tumors were collected from either vehicle or JQKD82 mouse group and photographed for

visualization. (E-G) Ascites from tumor sites were also collected from the euthanized mice.

Tumor volume (E), tumor weight (F), and ascites volume (G) were measured and compared

between vehicle and JQKD82 mouse groups. (H, I) Total RNAs were extracted from harvested

tumor samples and analyzed by RT-qPCR to quantify the mRNA level of KSHV viral genes (H)

or antitumor IRGs (I). Results were calculated from 4 mice of each condition and presented as

either Mean ± SD (for C) or Mean ± SEM (for E, F, G, H, I) (* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P <$

0.001, **** $P < 0.0001$; unpaired, two-tailed Student's *t*-test for C; unpaired, one-tailed Student's

t-test for E, F, G, H, I).

Figure 1

Figure 2

816   **Figure 3**

820   **Figure 4**

824   **Figure 5**

Figure 6

832   **Figure 7**