Article

Forest Owlet or Farmer's owlet: Scale-dependent habitat selection
reveals conditional compatibility between Forest Owlet conservation
and traditional agroforestry in Gujarat, India

Jenis R. Patel* [1,2], Kuldeep Gamit[2], Shashank Patel[3], Kulbhushansingh Suryawanshi[1,5, 7]

and Anirudhkumar Vasava [2,6]

Corresponding author: jenis@ncf-india.org, [https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2280-4141]

[1]*Nature Conservation Foundation, Mysuru, India*
[2] *Voluntary nature club, Vidya Nagar, India*
[3]*Community medicine department, GMERS, Valsad, India*
[5]*Snow Leopard Trust, Seattle, Washington, United states*
[6]*Sálim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History, Tamil Nadu, India*
[7]*Future Flourishing, Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, Toronto, Ontario, Canada*

Abstract

Understanding how species that are threatened with extinction utilise human-modified landscapes
is essential for evidence-based conservation. We investigated multi-scale habitat selection by the
Forest Owlet (*Athene blewitti*), an Endangered species, endemic to central India with fewer than
1000 mature individuals, in the Dangs district of Gujarat, the westernmost extent of its range. Using
a hierarchical Bayesian occupancy framework, we examined how forest cover and three
agricultural land-use types (dry agriculture with trees, dry agriculture without trees, and intensive
agriculture) affected occupancy across three nested spatial scales: regional (81 km²), landscape (4
km²), and territory (0.25 km²). At the regional scale, the forest × agriculture interaction term was
significantly negative (β = -6.82, 95% CI: -9.87 to -1.59), indicating that owlets favour
agroforestry-dominated regions over forest-dominated landscapes. Conversely, at the landscape
scale, a significant positive interaction (β = 1.36, 95% CI: 0.41–2.50) revealed synergistic benefits
from forest-agriculture mosaics. Agriculture type strongly influenced landscape-scale occupancy:
dry agriculture with trees showed positive effects (β = 1.17, 95% CI: 0.43–2.02), whereas dry
agriculture without trees had significant negative effects (β = -1.19, 95% CI: -2.28 to -0.29). These
findings demonstrate that Forest Owlets are not forest-obligate specialists but occupy complex
agroforestry mosaics, requiring multi-scale conservation strategies. We propose that the traditional

Malki agroforestry system, which incentives tree retention on farmland, offers conditional
compatibility with Forest Owlet conservation, provided that mature cavity-bearing trees and small
forest patches are explicitly protected.

Keywords agroforestry, *Athene blewitti*, Dangs District, Forest Owlet, habitat selection,
hierarchical occupancy model, Malki practice, multi-scale analysis

36   Introduction

Effective in-situ conservation of rare and endemic species requires a detailed understanding
of their habitat requirements at multiple spatial scales (Martínez & Zuberogoitia, 2004;
Lipsey et al., 2017). The habitat provides essential resources for survival and reproduction,
including refuges, foraging grounds, breeding sites, and corridors for dispersal. Changes in
habitat can disrupt these processes. As a result, populations may decline and local
extinction can occur. Notably, different life-history processes operate at different spatial
scales: foraging takes place within small patches, whereas natal dispersal and meta-
population dynamics occur across landscapes. Not considering scale in species habitat
relationships can lead to missing key ecological patterns. These patterns are important for
population viability and conservation (Šálek et al., 2016; Comfort et al., 2016; Lipsey et
al., 2017).

Traditional habitat studies often neglect two critical dimensions. First, landscape
configuration matters: the size, connectivity, and spatial arrangement of habitat patches
influence species occurrence beyond simple presence or absence of particular land-cover
types. Second, habitat effects are inherently compositional, species require multiple
landscape elements that together create suitable conditions. A site may provide foraging
opportunities but lack nesting substrate, or vice versa. Understanding how different habitat
components interact across scales is essential for identifying truly suitable habitat, yet most
studies examine variables in isolation at single scales (Lipsey et al., 2017). This approach
can misinform management priorities and lead to ineffective conservation designations
(Denac et al., 2019).

Agricultural landscapes present particular challenges for habitat assessment because
agriculture includes a wide range of practices with very different ecological outcomes. In
India, the varied geography means that agricultural systems vary greatly from one region
to another, shaped by the climate, topography, history, and policy. Traditional low-intensity
farming can provide a habitat for species that depend on forests, whereas mechanised
intensive agriculture usually does not. Treating all agricultural land as the same ignores the
important ecological differences that may be crucial for conservation planning (Yashmita-
Ulman et al., 2018; Aditya & Shaanker, 2020). The Forest Owlet (*Athene blewitti*) is an
endemic and endangered owlet that was rediscovered in 1997 after being presumed extinct
for over a century (King & Rasmussen, 1998; Rasmussen & Collar, 1998). This owlet is
distinct from other Athene species in terms of its morphology and behaviour, with strongly
diurnal habits, a direct flight pattern, and a preference for semi-open forest habitats (Ishtiaq
& Rahmani, 2005). The current global population is estimated to be between 250 and 999
individuals, which are distributed across fragmented forest patches in central India
(BirdLife International, 2018). The species has a consistent association with dry deciduous,
teak-bearing forests in the Satpura and adjoining hill systems, showing a marked preference
for semi-open, structurally heterogeneous habitats that feature large mature trees with open
ground (Kulkarni & Mehta, 2020; Khan et al., 2023). The Forest Owlets nest and roost in
the cavities of large, mature trees, which makes the retention of old-growth elements
critical for the species' population to persist (Ishtiaq & Rahmani, 2005; Jathar & Rahmani,
2012).

Recent surveys have revealed an intriguing paradox: despite being classed as a forest-
dependent species, Forest Owlets are most often found in agricultural landscapes rather
than continuous forest. Previous studies have recorded 82 individuals in south Gujarat, of
which 71.4% were in teak-dominated agricultural landscapes, 20.4% in degraded forest,
6.1% in riverine habitat, and only 2.0% in intact forest (Patel et al., 2015, 2017). Also,
subsequent study found that the species' occupancy was positively influenced by both the
density of large trees and the cover of agricultural land, with the species occurring where
these habitats form a mosaic (Khan et al., 2023). Studies from other regions have found

similarly that the species prefers semi-open teak dry deciduous forest interspersed with
agricultural fields, while also confirming that it is not found in non-forest habitats, such as
pure agriculture and human habitation (Kulkarni & Mehta, 2020). These studies have
established Forest Owlets as edge specialists that utilise the interface between forest and
agriculture, yet a fundamental question remains unanswered: do Forest Owlets respond to
the heterogeneity of agricultural landscapes, or does the forest context modify the effects
of agriculture at different spatial scales?

The Dangs District of Gujarat is a prime example of agricultural heterogeneity within a
forest matrix. The 'Malki' agroforestry system is unique to this area: government policy
encourages farmers to grow and protect valuable trees, mainly teak and Terminalia species,
along field boundaries, and in return, they are granted ownership rights. These trees cannot
be cut down without permission from the Forest Department, which leads to the creation
of persistent agroforestry mosaics (Dasa et al., 2022). The hilly terrain and rocky soils in
the region cause water scarcity during certain times of the year, despite the area receiving
the highest rainfall in Gujarat, resulting in most areas only being able to support one crop
a year and fields being left fallow for long periods potentially creating a habitat for Forest
Owlets to forage. This mix of forest and agriculture, with large forest areas among villages
and farmland, is a rare landscape type in the species' range. The recent designation of The
Dangs as an Aspirational District will lead to increased agricultural activity to reduce
poverty among tribal communities, making it urgent to understand the relationship between
Forest Owlets and agriculture to develop conservation strategies that balance development
with the survival of the species.

We investigated the multi-scale habitat selection of Forest Owlets across three nested
spatial scales: regional (81 km²) encompassing population chunks, landscape mosaics that
approximate the extent of their multiple home ranges (4 km²), and territory-scale habitat
patches (0.25 km²). We tested two hypotheses: (H_1) that Forest Owlet occupancy is higher
in tree-based agroforestry than in treeless or intensive agriculture, as this reflects a
preference for structurally heterogeneous habitats that provide roosting sites, movement

corridors, and accessible prey; and (H_2) that the forest context modifies the effects of
agriculture on occupancy through scale-dependent interactions, where agriculture provides
suitable habitat only when it is embedded within landscapes that maintain sufficient forest
connectivity. Our objectives were to (1) determine how different types of agricultural land
use affect Forest Owlet occupancy, by comparing dry agriculture with trees, without trees,
and intensive agriculture systems; and (2) to test whether the forest context modulates the
effects of agriculture through interactions between forest and agriculture across spatial
scales.

Study area

The study was conducted in The Dangs District (20°33'–21°05'N, 73°27'–73°56'E),
Gujarat, India, which encompasses approximately 1,764 km² of the northern Western Ghats
foothills (Fig. 1). The district lies at the westernmost edge of the Forest Owlet's known
range, with the species first confirmed from Gujarat in November 2014 when two
individuals were documented in Purna Wildlife Sanctuary (Patel et al., 2015). Elevation
ranges from 100 to 1,100 m above sea level, with undulating terrain characterized by rocky
lateritic soils.

Monsoon in Dang brings a mean annual rainfall of 2,000–2,500 mm, mostly falling
between June and September, the highest in Gujarat. However, the region still experiences
seasonal water scarcity due to rocky and hilly terrain. The area is mainly covered by
southern tropical dry deciduous forest, which is dominated by teak (*Tectona grandis*), and
also features Mahua (*Madhuca indica*), Haldu (*Haldina cordifolia*), and Khair (*Acacia*
catechu), Sadad (*Anogeissus latifolia*) other *Terminalia sp* along with bamboos
(Bambusa arundinacea, Dendrocalamus strictus) as significant species. Forest areas are
mixed with agricultural land and human settlements, mainly inhabited by indigenous tribal
communities. Human population density in the area is relatively low, at around 129 people
per square kilometer, compared to the national average of 382 people per square
kilometer (Census of India, 2011).

The Malki agroforestry system is a distinctive feature of the Dangs landscape. Under this

state-driven forest-use system, landholders can legally harvest trees on their land, but they

must carry out compensatory plantations, which indicates substantial livelihood benefits

alongside tree retention (Dasa et al., 2022). This system creates natural variation in

agricultural tree density, ranging from traditional agroforestry with dense tree cover to

treeless agriculture in drier areas and intensive agriculture where irrigation facilities are

available, providing analytical leverage for testing how tree retention affects Forest Owlet

occupancy.

Methods

Survey design

These surveys were the first conducted after the first confirmed sighting of the species in

Gujarat in November 2014 (Patel et al., 2015, 2017). They took place from November 2015

to February 2016, which is the post-monsoon season. During this time, Forest Owlets are

more active and vocal, making them easier to detect. Each grid was surveyed using a

combination of walking and motorbike transects, covering three to four transects of

approximately 4 km each within the 4 km^2 grid. At intervals of approximately 500 m along

each transect, pre-recorded Forest Owlet calls were broadcast for one minute, followed by

ten minutes of listening for vocal responses. Both direct sightings and vocal responses were

recorded as indicators of presence. Each grid was surveyed three times, at 15-day intervals,

to account for temporal variation in detection probability.

Land cover classification

Land cover was classified using Sentinel-2 imagery from 2016, with cloud masking applied

to remove contamination. We computed spectral indices, such as NDVI, NDBI, MNDWI,

and BSI, and texture features, including contrast, correlation, energy, and homogeneity.

These were incorporated into a composite image, alongside elevation and slope data from

the ALOS Digital Elevation Model. Training points were collected for six land-cover

classes, with built-up areas initially masked using the Global Human Settlement Layer

(Pesaresi, 2023). A Random Forest classifier with 100 trees was trained on the normalized

composite image. The final classification achieved an overall accuracy of 81.3% and a

Kappa coefficient of 0.776, indicating substantial agreement.

The final land-cover classification consisted of seven classes: (1) Forest, which included

dense vegetation areas; (2) Dry agricultural fields with trees, representing traditional Malki

agroforestry, with cultivated areas having sparse vegetation and isolated tall trees; (3) Dry

agricultural fields without trees, which were cultivated areas with minimal vegetation and

no tall trees; (4) Intensive agriculture, characterised by areas with year-round farming

activities supported by irrigation, showing a bimodal annual NDVI trend; (5) Water bodies,

comprising rivers and lakes; (6) Dry riverbeds, which were areas with exposed rocks and

dry river channels; and (7) Built-up areas, including urban and village areas, which were

reintroduced using the Global Human Settlement Layer.

Multi-scale occupancy modelling

We implemented a spatially hierarchical occupancy model following (Lipsey et al.,

2017)) to examine Forest Owlet habitat selection across three nested spatial scales (Fig. 2).

The broad scale (81 km²) captured regional composition and suitability. The intermediate

scale (4 km²) approximated multiple home-range extents and captured landscape-level

forest-agriculture mosaics. The fine scale (0.25 km²) captured local habitat patch structure

including scattered trees within agricultural fields. The sampling structure comprised 17

broad-scale regions, 71 intermediate-scale landscapes nested within those regions, and 199

surveyed fine-scale sites nested within landscapes, totalling 6,219 spatial units across the

three hierarchical scales.

The hierarchical model structure allows the overall occupancy probability to be expressed

as the product of scale-specific occupancies: $\Psi = \psi \times \theta \times \varphi$, where ψ represents the broad-

scale occupancy (the probability that a regional unit is occupied), θ represents the

intermediate-scale occupancy conditional on broad-scale presence (the probability that a

landscape is occupied given that the region is occupied), and φ represents the fine-scale

occupancy conditional on intermediate-scale presence (the probability that a site is occupied given that the landscape is occupied). This conditional structure explicitly models hierarchical habitat filtering, where regional-scale selection constrains the landscape-level options, which in turn determines the local site use.

We fitted two model types. The first model focused on the main effects of three agriculture types: dry agriculture with trees, dry agriculture without trees, and intensive agriculture. It did not include interactions. The second model tested the interactions between forest and dry agriculture with trees at each scale, using the proportional cover of each habitat type and their interaction as predictors. All parameters were assigned uninformative uniform priors: $\beta \sim$ Uniform(-10, 10). Models were fitted using Bayesian inference in JAGS (Plummer, 2003) via the R2jags package (Su & Yajima, 2024). We ran three Markov chain Monte Carlo chains for 100,000 iterations with a 2,000-iteration burn-in period. Convergence was assessed using the Gelman-Rubin diagnostic ($\hat{R} < 1.01$), and model fit was compared using the Deviance Information Criterion (Gelman & Hill, 2006).

Results

Hierarchical occupancy patterns

Forest Owlets showed a hierarchical pattern of habitat selection across three spatial scales (Table 1). At the regional scale (81 km²), the average occupancy of broad units was 67% ($\bar{\psi} = 0.67$, 95% CI: 0.19–0.99), indicating they were widespread but unevenly distributed across the study area. Within the regions that were occupied, the occupancy at the landscape level was 50% ($\theta = 0.50$, 95% CI: 0.33–0.68), showing that the birds selectively used landscapes based on their habitat configuration. At the site level, within occupied landscapes, the occupancy was high ($\bar{\varphi} = 0.83$, 95% CI: 0.44–1.00), indicating that most suitable patches were used once the landscape context was favourable. This hierarchical filtering resulted in an overall occupancy rate of about 28% across the

surveyed sites ($0.67 \times 0.50 \times 0.83 \approx 0.28$). The probability of detecting the owlets was

moderate ($\bar{p}$ = 0.66, 95% CI: 0.57–0.74).

The broad credible interval at the regional scale reflects the substantial heterogeneity of

landscapes, with some regions having extensive forest-agriculture mosaics that support

high occupancy, while others, dominated by intensive agriculture or degraded forest, show

low occupancy. These patterns demonstrate top-down filtering, where the composition of

regional habitats constrains landscape occupancy, which in turn determines how local sites

are used.

Scale-dependent forest-agriculture interactions

The interaction between forest cover and dry agriculture with trees showed striking scale-

dependent patterns that reversed direction across spatial scales (Table 2, Fig. 3). At the

broad scale (81 km²), a significant negative interaction was detected (β = -6.82, 95% CI:

-9.87 to -1.59), indicating antagonistic effects where regions dominated by agroforestry

showed higher occupancy than landscapes dominated by forest. The main effects of forest

(β = 4.87, 95% CI: -4.47–9.78) and dry agriculture with trees (β = 3.46, 95% CI: -4.48–

9.26) were not significant on their own, but the negative interaction showed that landscapes

with high proportions of both habitat types had lower occupancy than expected from

additive effects alone.

This pattern was reversed at the intermediate scale (4 km²), where a significant positive

interaction was found (β = 1.36, 95% CI: 0.41–2.50), demonstrating that mosaics of forest

and agriculture provided synergistic benefits that exceeded the sum of individual habitat

contributions (Fig. 4). The main effect of dry agriculture with trees was also significantly

positive (β = 1.60, 95% CI: 0.03–3.25), whereas the main effect of forest was not

significant (β = 0.07, 95% CI: -1.47–1.64). At the fine scale (0.25 km²), the effects were

additive, with no significant interaction (β = -0.33, 95% CI: -1.25–0.58). Both forest (β =

2.83, 95% CI: 0.78–5.14) and dry agriculture with trees (β = 2.76, 95% CI: 0.89–4.93)

showed significant positive main effects, indicating that both habitat types provided
complementary resources at the territory scale.

Differential effects of agriculture types

Agriculture type comparisons revealed contrasts in habitat suitability, with effects
concentrated at the landscape scale (Table 3, Fig. 5). At the intermediate scale (4 km²), dry
agriculture with trees had a strong positive effect on conditional occupancy ($\beta = 1.17$, 95%
CI: 0.43–2.02), whereas dry agriculture without trees had a significant negative effect ($\beta =$
-1.19, 95% CI: -2.28 to -0.29). Intensive agriculture showed no significant relationship (β
$= 0.09$, 95% CI: -1.11–1.15). These patterns suggest that retaining trees in agricultural
landscapes is critical for the Forest Owlet's persistence at the landscape scale, with
agroforestry systems providing essential habitat and treeless agriculture acting as an
ecological sink habitat.

At the broad scale (81 km²), none of the agriculture types had significant main effects,
although dry agriculture with trees ($\beta = -2.19$, 95% CI: -8.52–5.19) and intensive
agriculture ($\beta = -2.89$, 95% CI: -9.14–3.80) showed a negative trend, while dry agriculture
without trees was neutral ($\beta = 0.40$, 95% CI: -3.75–5.38). At the fine scale (0.25 km²), dry
agriculture with trees showed a weak positive but non-significant trend ($\beta = 0.32$, 95% CI:
-0.38–1.03), dry agriculture without trees was neutral ($\beta = 0.003$, 95% CI: -1.86–1.98), and
intensive agriculture trended strongly negative, although the credible interval included zero
($\beta = -3.81$, 95% CI: -8.93–0.30).

Discussion

268 Our hierarchical occupancy analysis showed that Forest Owlets have complex, scale-
269 dependent habitat selection, which brings more clarity to our existing understanding of this
270 endangered species' habitat requirements. Rather than being specialists of the forest or
271 solely dependent on agricultural fields, Forest Owlets occupy landscapes that are
272 dominated by agroforestry, where agriculture rich in trees and forest patches combine to

form heterogeneous mosaics. The reversal of forest-agriculture interaction effects from negative at regional scales to positive at landscape scales shows that habitat suitability cannot be characterised at a single spatial scale, a finding with significant implications for conservation planning in human-modified landscapes.

The significant negative interaction between forest and agroforestry at the broad scale (81 km²) indicates that Forest Owlets favour areas where one habitat type is predominant, rather than where both occur in equal proportions. This regional filtering probably shows that the species prefer intact habitats, like forests or agroforestry, instead of mixed fragments. However, within suitable areas at a regional level, the significant positive interaction at the landscape scale (4 km²) reveals that habitat complementation becomes essential: forest patches provide cavities for roosting and nesting, while adjacent agroforestry offers accessible foraging opportunities in fallow fields with scattered trees (Ishtiaq & Rahmani, 2005; Yosef et al., 2010; Jathar & Rahmani, 2012).

This cross-scale interaction reversal has been documented in other owl species, but rarely with explicit hierarchical modelling. (Martínez & Zuberogoitia, 2004)) found that Long-eared Owls in Spain avoided extensive continuous forest at landscape scales, while they selected forest patches at home-range scales, a pattern strikingly similar to our Forest Owlet results. Similarly, (Comfort et al., 2016)) showed that Northern Spotted Owls had scale-dependent edge responses, being resilient to hard edges at broad scales but avoiding them at foraging scales. Our findings extend this body of evidence to an endangered Indian endemic, demonstrating that scale-dependent habitat selection may be a widespread phenomenon among owl species that occupy forest-agriculture interfaces.

The strong differential effects of different agriculture types at the landscape scale have direct relevance to conservation. The significant positive effect of dry agriculture with trees ($\beta = 1.17$) was in sharp contrast to the significant negative effect of dry agriculture without trees ($\beta = -1.19$), showing that the ecological value of agricultural land depends critically on whether trees are retained. This finding supports our first hypothesis that Forest Owlet occupancy is higher in tree-based agroforestry than in treeless or intensive agriculture. The

pattern is consistent with the observations of (Patel et al., 2017), who found that 71.4% of Forest Owlet detections were in teak-dominated agricultural landscapes, and with (Khan et al., 2023), who documented positive associations with both high tree density and agricultural cover. The neutral effect of intensive agriculture ($\beta = 0.09$) suggests that irrigated year-round cultivation does not strongly attract or repel Forest Owlets at landscape scales, although the negative trend at a finer scale ($\beta = -3.81$) indicates that Forest Owlets tend to avoid intensive agriculture at the territory level.

Our results suggest a connection between Forest Owlet ecology and the Malki agroforestry system. The Malki practice maintains a tree-rich agricultural matrix, which Forest Owlets need at landscape scales, by incentivising tree retention and compensatory plantations. Between 1994 and 2019, the Malki system led to a 74% increase in green cover trends in the Dangs forest area, with around one million trees being planted (Dasa et al., 2022). However, we propose the idea of 'conditional compatibility' rather than assuming an automatic synergy between the Malki system and Forest Owlet conservation. Although the Malki system helps maintain green cover at a coarse scale, it does not explicitly protect the specific habitat features that are critical for Forest Owlets, such as mature cavity-bearing trees for nesting and roosting.

The hierarchical occupancy structure we documented (regional $\psi = 0.67$, landscape $\theta = 0.50$, site $\varphi = 0.83$) has important implications for spatial conservation prioritisation. The expected occupancy in areas that meet all three scale-specific requirements is approximately 28%, but this figure hides substantial variation. In areas that are unsuitable at a regional level (low ψ), the expected occupancy drops to less than 2%, regardless of the local habitat quality, which is a pattern that analyses at a single scale would miss. Conservation efforts should therefore focus on landscapes where all three scales align, as the expected occupancy here is 5–10 times higher than in areas that are unsuitable at a regional level. Within these priority regions, maintaining mosaics of forest and agriculture at a landscape scale and protecting mature trees in agroforestry fields would address the scales at which habitat selection is strongest.

Several caveats warrant consideration. First, our limited sample size at the broad scale (n
= 17 regional units) results in wide credible intervals for regional occupancy (0.19–0.99),
potentially limiting what we can infer about regional patterns. Also, our inference of the
negative effect of intensive agriculture has wide credible intervals, suggesting a
requirement for more focused study on how intensive and mechanised agriculture affects
the forest owlet population. Second, the fact that our detection method relied on vocal
responses to playback means it may underestimate true occupancy if some individuals do
not respond. However, our moderate detection probability ($p = 0.66$) and three repeat
surveys per site provide reasonable confidence in our occupancy estimates. Third, the
hierarchical model assumes that occupancy at finer scales is conditional on presence at
broader scales, which is biologically reasonable for a territorial species.

Our findings have direct management implications for Forest Owlet conservation in The
Dangs and potentially across the species' range. Conservation planning should use multi-
scale frameworks. These frameworks consider the hierarchical nature of habitat selection.
This is better than just focusing on single-scale habitat metrics. Next, we can enhance the
Malki agroforestry system for Forest Owlet conservation. This can be done by protecting
cavity-bearing trees and small forest patches in Malki holdings. Agricultural intensification
poses a major threat at a fine scale. This is especially true when farming with trees changes
to farming without trees. We should discourage this in other key Forest Owlet areas by
using targeted incentives where Malki practice is not followed. Lastly, regional
conservation should focus on keeping the forest-agriculture interface. This can be done by
using clear zoning. It helps avoid total afforestation, which removes open foraging areas,
and total agricultural conversion, which removes nesting sites.

As The Dangs undergoes agricultural development under its Aspirational District
designation, our results provide science-based guidance for sustainable intensification that
accommodates Forest Owlet conservation. The species prefers traditional agroforestry
landscapes. This offers hope that conservation and development can work together, as long
as key habitat elements are kept. Multi-scale habitat assessments approach needs to be
applied in other regions of the Forest Owlet's range in Maharashtra and Madhya Pradesh.

13   13

358   Doing so will help test these scale-dependent patterns and guide conservation planning for

359   this critically endangered species.

Author contributions

361   JP and AV conceptualised the idea and designed the study; JP, KG and SP did the data

362   collection and field coordinations; JP analysed the data and prepared the initial draft and

363   KS and AV provided critical feedback and enriched the manuscript through many

364   discussions.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dhaval Patel and the Vidyanagar Nature Club for project management and

logistical support. We are grateful to Mehul, Neeraj, Vishal, and Brinky for volunteering

at different stages of the project, and to Dr. Ankit Rathod and Nitesh Gamit for field

support. We sincerely thank local communities for sharing their knowledge and honest

perceptions during surveys, which transformed our outlook on Forest Owlet conservation

from pessimistic to optimistic.

We acknowledge Dr. Dheeraj Mittal (then DCF, South Dangs), Shri Anand Kumar (then

DCF, North Dangs), and Shri S. C. Pant (then PCCF & HoFF) for providing necessary

permissions. This research was supported by the Rufford Foundation and the Indian Bird

Conservation Network (IBCN).

Conflict of interest

377   The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Ethical standard

379   Authors have acquired mandatory permission and clearance from the state forest

380   department to carry out this study.

Data availability

The dataset supporting this study is provided as a CSV file in the supplementary material. To protect the Forest Owlet population from potential disturbance, the precise geographic coordinates (latitude and longitude) have been withheld. The dataset still includes the nested hierarchical grid ID structure and all habitat covariates at broad, intermediate, and fine spatial scales, as well as species detection histories. Any additional data requests should be directed to the corresponding author.

References

Aditya, V. & Shaanker, U. (2020) Reconciling biodiversity conservation with agricultural intensification: challenges and opportunities for India. Https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Reconciling-biodiversity-conservation-with-and-for-Aditya-Shaanker/9ec015e9b53bb87668895fd30773505890678527 [accessed 28 January 2026].

BirdLife International (2018) Forest Owlet *Athene Blewitt*i Species Factsheet. *BirdLife DataZone*. Https://datazone.birdlife.org/species/factsheet/forest-owlet-athene-blewitti [accessed 28 January 2026].

Census of India (2011) . Office of the Registrar General & Census Commissioner, India.

Comfort, E.J., Clark, D.A., Anthony, R.G., Bailey, J. & Betts, M.G. (2016) Quantifying edges as gradients at multiple scales improves habitat selection models for northern spotted owl. *Landscape Ecology*, 31, 1227–1240. Springer Science and Business Media LLC.

Dasa, D., Udapudi, S. & Kandya, A. (2022) Analyzing the effectiveness of the 'Malki Practice' for conserving the Dang forest in Gujarat, India along with improving the socio-economic standards of the land holders. *Trees, Forests and People*, 8, 100272. Elsevier BV.

Denac, K., Kmecl, P. & Koce, U. (2019) Habitat use of Eurasian scops owls Otus scops in an agricultural mosaic landscape. *Ardea*, 107, 119. Netherlands Ornithologists' Union.

Gelman, A. & Hill, J. (2006) Analytical methods for social research: Data analysis using regression and multilevel/hierarchical models. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England.

Ishtiaq, F. & Rahmani, A.R. (2005) The Forest Owlet *Heteroglaux blewitti*:
vocalization, breeding biology and conservation: The Indian Forest Owlet. *The Ibis*,
147, 197–205. Wiley.

Jathar, G. & Rahmani, A. (2012) Habitat utilization by Forest Owlet Heteroglaux
blewitti in Toranmal Reserve Forest, India. *Journal Care4Nature*, 1, 18–30.

Khan, Z., Sushma, H., Antony B, P., Koli, K., Neema, A., Meera, M.R., et al. (2023)
Habitat determinants of species occupancy and niche partitioning among sympatric
owlets: the paradoxical role of agricultural lands for the endangered Forest Owlet,
Athene blewitti. *Journal of Field Ornithology*, 94. Resilience Alliance, Inc.

King, B.F. & Rasmussen, P.C. (1998) The rediscovery of the Forest Owlet Athene
(Heteroglaux) blewitti. *Forktail*, 14, 51–53.

Kulkarni, J. & Mehta, P. (2020) Habitat selectivity by the Forest Owlet Athene
blewitti in Nandurbar District, Maharashtra, India. *Indian Birds*, 16, 33–39.

Lipsey, M.K., Naugle, D.E., Nowak, J. & Lukacs, P.M. (2017) Extending utility of
hierarchical models to multi-scale habitat selection. *Diversity & Distributions*, 23,
783–793. Wiley.

Martínez, J.A. & Zuberogoitia, I. (2004) Habitat preferences for Long-eared Owls
Asio otusand Little Owls *Athene noctuain* semi-arid environments at three spatial
scales. *Bird Study: The Journal of the British Trust for Ornithology*, 51, 163–169.
Informa UK Limited.

Patel, J.R., Patel, S.B., Rathor, S.C., Patel, J.A., Patel, P.B. & Vasava, A.G. (2015)
New distribution record of the Forest Owlet *Heteroglaux blewitti* Hume, 1873,
(Aves: *Strigiformes: Strigidae*) in Purna Wildlife Sanctuary, Gujarat, India. *Journal
of Threatened Taxa*, 7, 7940–7944. Wildlife Information Liaison Development
Society.

Patel, J., Vasava, A. & Patel, N. (2017) Occurrence of the forest owlet Heteroglaux
blewitti in Navsari and Valsad districts of Gujarat, India. *Indian Birds*, 13, 78–79.

Pesaresi, M. (2023) GHS-BUILT-S R2023A - GHS built-up surface grid, derived
from Sentinel2 composite and Landsat, multitemporal (1975-2030). European
Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC).
Https://doi.org/10.2905/9F06F36F-4B11-47EC-ABB0-4F8B7B1D72EA.

Plummer, M. (2003) JAGS: A program for analysis of Bayesian graphical models
using Gibbs sampling, 124, 1–10. R project.

Rasmussen, P. & Collar, N. (1998) Identification, distribution and status of the
Forest Owlet Athene (Heteroglaux) blewitti. *FORKTAIL*, 14, 41–49.

Šálek, M., Chrenková, M., Dobrý, M., Kipson, M., Grill, S. & Václav, R. (2016)
Scale-dependent habitat associations of a rapidly declining farmland predator, the
Little Owl Athene noctua, in contrasting agricultural landscapes. *Agriculture,*
Ecosystems & Environment, 224, 56–66. Elsevier BV.

Su, Y.-S. & Yajima, M. (2024) Using R to run 'jags'.
Https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/R2jags/R2jags.pdf.

Yashmita-Ulman, Sharma, M. & Kumar, A. (2018) Agroforestry systems as habitat
for avian species: Assessing its role in conservation. *Proceedings of the Zoological*
Society, 71, 127–145. Springer Science and Business Media LLC.

Yosef, R., Pande, S.A., Pawashe, A.P., Kasambe, R. & Mitchell, L. (2010)
Interspecific interactions of the critically endangered Forest Owlet (Athene blewitti).
Acta ethologica, 13, 63–67. Springer Science and Business Media LLC.

Tables

TABLE 1 Parameter estimates for the hierarchical multi-scale occupancy model for Forest Owlet. Estimates include the posterior median and 95% Credible Intervals for occupancy at the regional scale (ψ), landscape scale (θ), and site scale (φ), as well as detection probability (p).

Parameter	Mean	95% Lower	95% Upper
Regional Occupancy (ψ)	0.67	0.19	0.99
Landscape Occupancy (θ)	0.50	0.33	0.68
Site Occupancy (φ)	0.83	0.44	1.00
Detection Probability (p)	0.66	0.57	0.74

TABLE 2 Parameter estimates for the influence of forest and dry agriculture with trees proportions on species occupancy across three spatial scales. The model included an interactive term for both habitat types. Values are the posterior median coefficients β with 95% Credible Intervals in brackets. * indicates coefficients where the 95% Credible Interval does not include zero.

Spatial Scale	Predictor	Coefficient (β)	95% Credible Interval
Broad Scale	Forest	4.869	[−4.473, 9.784]
	Dry Agri w/ Trees	3.459	[−4.484, 9.258]
	Interaction*	-6.815	[−9.869, −1.591]
Intermediate Scale	Forest	0.069	[−1.470, 1.641]
	Dry Agri w/ Trees*	1.596	[0.033, 3.248]
	Interaction*	1.359	[0.407, 2.496]
Fine Scale	Forest*	2.834	[0.783, 5.138]
	Dry Agri w/ Trees*	2.763	[0.889, 4.934]
	Interaction	-0.325	[−1.251, 0.576]

471 **TABLE 3** Parameter estimates for the main effect of three distinct agriculture types (dry

472 agriculture with trees, dry agriculture without trees, and intensive agriculture) on species

473 occupancy across three spatial scales. Values are the posterior median coefficients β with 95%

474 Credible Intervals in brackets. * indicates coefficients where the 95% Credible Interval does not

475 include zero.

Spatial Scale	Predictor	Coefficient (β)	95% Credible Interval
Broad Scale	Dry Agri w/ Trees	-2.19	[−8.518, 5.189]
	Dry Agri w/o Trees	0.403	[−3.754, 5.384]
	Intensive Agri	-2.889	[−9.137, 3.800]
Intermediate Scale	Dry Agri w/ Trees*	1.169	[0.428, 2.018]
	Dry Agri w/o Trees*	-1.187	[−2.281, −0.292]
	Intensive Agri	0.094	[−1.107, 1.152]
Fine Scale	Dry Agri w/ Trees	0.324	[−0.378, 1.033]
	Dry Agri w/o Trees	0.003	[−1.856, 1.978]
	Intensive Agri	-3.81	[−8.932, 0.300]

477 **Figures**

478 **FIG. 1** Map of the study area in The Dangs District, Gujarat, India, showing the distribution of
479 surveyed grid cells overlaid on land-cover classification. Inset shows the location of The Dangs
480 District within India and the broader distribution range of the Forest Owlet. The study area
481 encompasses approximately 1,764 km² of the northern Western Ghats foothills.

Broad scale (9 km x 9 km)

Fine scale (0.5 km x 0.5 km)

Intermediate scale (2 km x 2 km)

FIG. 2 Conceptual framework for the spatially hierarchical occupancy model. The three-scale

nested sampling design captures regional landscape composition (81 km², n = 17 broad-scale

units), landscape-level forest-agriculture mosaics (4 km², n = 71 intermediate landscapes), and

local habitat patch structure (0.25 km², n = 199 fine-scale sites). Yellow arrows illustrate the

conditional hierarchical structure where overall occupancy probability is the product of scale-

specific occupancies: $\Psi = \psi \times \theta \times \varphi$.

21   21

FIG. 3 Forest Owlet occupancy probability at the broad scale (81 km²) as a function of dry agriculture with trees, stratified by forest cover context. The interaction plot displays predicted occupancy probability (y-axis) against the percentage of dry agriculture with trees (x-axis) for landscapes with high forest cover (80%, solid black line) and low forest cover (20%, dashed grey line). The significant negative interaction ($\beta = -6.901$, 95% CI:[-9.844, -1.865]) reveals contrasting effects.

FIG. 4 Forest Owlet conditional occupancy probability at the intermediate scale (4 km²) as a
function of dry agriculture with trees, stratified by forest cover context. The plot shows predicted
conditional occupancy probability θ (y-axis) within broad-scale occupied regions against the
percentage of dry agriculture with trees (x-axis) for high forest cover (80%, solid black line) and
low forest cover (20%, dashed grey line). The significant positive interaction ($\beta = 1.368$, 95% CI:
[0.435, 2.522]) demonstrates that forest and agroforestry provide synergistic benefits at the
landscape scale. Note: these probabilities are conditional on broad-scale occupancy (ψ) and must
be multiplied by ψ to obtain overall occupancy.

FIG. 5 Forest Owlet conditional occupancy probability at the intermediate scale (4 km²) in response
to different agriculture types. Lines show predicted conditional occupancy (θ) as agriculture
proportion increases from 0 to 100%, with all other variables held at mean values. Green solid line
= dry agriculture with trees (β = 1.169, 95% CI [0.428, 2.018]); brown dashed line = dry agriculture
without trees (β = -1.187, 95% CI [-2.281, -0.292]); red dotted line = intensive agriculture (β =
0.094, 95% CI [-1.107, 1.152]). Asterisks denote coefficients whose 95% credible intervals exclude
zero. Note: probabilities are conditional on broad-scale occupancy.