Transient activation of potent progenitor cells is required for spinal cord regeneration

Chase A. Weinholtz[1-3], Lili Zhou[1-3], Vishnu Muraleedharan Saraswathy[1-3], Yuxiao Xu[1-3], Dana Klatt Shaw[1-3], Anthony R. McAdow[1-3], Dongkook Park[1], Jimann Shin[1], Lila Solnica-Krezel[1,2] Aaron N Johnson[1] and Mayssa H. Mokalled[1-4]

[1] Department of Developmental Biology, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, MO, USA

[2] Center of Regenerative Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, MO, USA

[3] Hope Center for Neurological Disorders, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, MO, USA

[4] Author for correspondence: mmokalled@wustl.edu

Keywords: spinal cord injury, regeneration, progenitor cell potency, restored quiescence, single-cell analysis, lineage tracing, dual-function transcription factors, *sox2*, *bach1*.

ABSTRACT

Adult zebrafish exhibit full recovery following spinal cord injury. Transient expansion of stem cell-
like progenitors is thought to underlie their regenerative capacity. Yet, our understanding of the
identities and contributions of the crucial stem cell populations that direct spontaneous neural
repair remains limited. Moreover, while most neural regeneration research is centered on
promoting proliferative repair, the regulatory mechanisms that reinstate quiescence post-repair
are unknown. Here, we determined the molecular identities and cellular contributions of *sox2*$^+$
progenitors during spinal cord repair. Genetic lineage tracing shows zebrafish spinal progenitors,
while quiescent in uninjured tissues, self-renew and differentiate into neurons and glia after injury.
By single-cell sequencing, *sox2*$^+$ cells are heterogeneous and biased towards neuronal or glial
fates in both homeostatic and regenerating tissues. By screening for transcription factors that are
differentially expressed in acute versus chronic spinal cord injury, we find the Bach1 transcription
factors control transient progenitor cell activation by acting as dual activators and repressors of
sox2 expression. This study elucidates the molecular diversity and contributions of *sox2*
expressing cells during spinal cord repair and identifies a transcriptional regulatory switch by
which progenitor cells expand after injury and restore quiescence after regeneration is completed.

INTRODUCTION

Spinal cord injury (SCI) triggers distinct injury-responsive genetic and cellular programs that
underlie differential regenerative capacities across vertebrates (Silver, 2016; Edwards-Faret et
al., 2017; Tazaki et al., 2017; Sofroniew, 2018; Maden and Varholick, 2020; Phipps et al., 2020;
Walker and Echeverri, 2022; Zheng and Tuszynski, 2023; Tendolkar and Mokalled, 2025). In
regenerative vertebrates including zebrafish, spontaneous spinal cord (SC) repair is driven by
potent stem cell-like progenitors that surround the central canal and undergo extensive
proliferation following injury (Johansson et al., 1999; Mothe and Tator, 2005; Meletis et al., 2008;
Barnabe-Heider et al., 2010; Hui et al., 2010; Rodrigo Albors et al., 2023). In non-regenerative
mammalian SCs, adult ependymal cells proliferate and differentiate into astrocytes and
oligodendrocytes, but their ability to contribute to neuronal differentiation *in vivo* is limited (Martens
et al., 2002; Horky et al., 2006; Meletis et al., 2008; Barnabe-Heider et al., 2010; Karimi-
Abdolrezaee et al., 2012; McDonough and Martinez-Cerdeno, 2012; Sabelström et al., 2013; Su
et al., 2014; Paniagua-Torija et al., 2018; Shah et al., 2018; Xue et al., 2022). Notably, mammalian
ependymal cells can be reprogrammed to enhance their potency, suggesting their regenerative
potential is transcriptionally dormant (Shihabuddin et al., 2000; Ohori et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2013;
Xu et al., 2017; Tai et al., 2021). We propose that elucidating the transcriptional programs that
underscore the potency of endogenous stem cells in regenerative animals will guide protocols to
improve reprogramming strategies and SCI outcomes.

Injury-induced expression of *sex determining region Y box 2 (sox2)* is a defining feature of
neural progenitors in zebrafish (Adolf et al., 2006; Grandel et al., 2006; Kroehne et al., 2011;
Barbosa et al., 2015). Sox2 is a highly conserved transcription factor capable of inducing and
maintaining pluripotency (Avilion et al., 2003; Graham et al., 2003; Takahashi and Yamanaka,
2006; Schaefer and Lengerke, 2020). In mouse SCI, exogenous *sox2* expression is sufficient to
reprogram NG2 glia into neurons *in vivo* (Su et al., 2014). In zebrafish, *sox2*[+] progenitors elicit
compartmentalized responses after SCI (Reimer et al., 2008; Klatt Shaw et al., 2021; Saraswathy
et al., 2022; Zhou et al., 2023). For instance, progenitors within the progenitor motor neuron (pMN)
domain express *olig2* and regenerate motor neurons (Reimer et al., 2008). A distinct neurogenic
niche dorsal to the ependyma upregulates TGF-β signaling to control the rates of self-renewal
and interneuron neurogenesis after injury (Saraswathy et al., 2022). On the other hand, ventral
sox2[+] progenitors express *connective tissue growth factor a* (*ctgfa*), undergo epithelial-to-
mesenchymal transition (EMT) and direct regenerative glial bridging after SCI (Mokalled et al.,
2016; Klatt Shaw et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2023). As these studies revealed niches of *sox2*[+]

progenitors underlying the regeneration of distinct cell types following SCI, several fundamental

questions remain unresolved: How do SC progenitor niches balance lineage restriction and

potency; how many progenitor domains are needed to regenerate spinal cell types; and does the

adult zebrafish SC harbor multipotent progenitors? Comprehensive molecular and transcriptional

characterization is thus required to determine the potency and contributions of $sox2^+$ progenitors

during innate SC repair.

$sox2$ expression and the proliferation of $sox2^+$ progenitors are transiently activated after SCI.

Zebrafish spinal progenitors upregulate $sox2$ and proliferate as early as 1 day post-injury (dpi)

(Ogai et al., 2014; Hui et al., 2015; Gorsuch et al., 2017). For decades, their acute activation post-

injury and their neurogenic potential during sub-acute SCI have been the primary focus of pre-

clinical regeneration studies (Becker et al., 2004; Reimer et al., 2013; Barreiro-Iglesias et al.,

2015; Hui et al., 2015; Mokalled et al., 2016; Ribeiro et al., 2017; Cavone et al., 2021; Klatt Shaw

et al., 2021; Vandestadt et al., 2021; Saraswathy et al., 2022; Cigliola et al., 2023; Zhou et al.,

2023; de Sena-Tomas et al., 2024). However, successful SC repair also relies on restricting

progenitor cell proliferation and regaining homeostasis as regeneration concludes. Understanding

how to re-establish progenitor cell quiescence is also necessary for the development of

regenerative therapies. However, the regulatory mechanisms that suppress $sox2$ expression and

reinstate progenitor cell quiescence as SC repair concludes remain undefined.

BTB and CNC homology 1 (Bach1) is a basic leucine zipper transcription factor that plays

important and diverse roles in stem cell pluripotency and differentiation (Itoh-Nakadai et al., 2014;

Sato et al., 2020; Suzuki et al., 2020). Bach1 is comprised of several functional domains including

an N-terminal BTB/POZ domain that facilitates protein-protein interactions, and a C-terminal basic

leucine zipper (bZIP) domain responsible for DNA binding. This structural organization allows

Bach1 to form various protein heterodimers that carry out context-dependent transcriptional

activation or repression functions (Turner and Tjian, 1989; Albagli et al., 1995; Oyake et al., 1996;

Katsani et al., 1999; Sun et al., 2002; Jia et al., 2022; He et al., 2023). Previous studies reported

$bach1$ expression in ventral spinal progenitors and showed that $bach1a/b$ paralogues are required

for functional recovery following SCI (Klatt Shaw et al., 2021). However, the timing, transcriptional

activity and mechanisms of Bach1 functions during SC repair are still unknown.

This study determines the molecular identities, stem cell properties and contributions of $sox2^+$

progenitors during SC repair. Using a new $sox2$-CreER^{T2} knock-in line for genetic lineage tracing,

we show that *sox2*^{+} cells self-renew and give rise to neurons and glia after SCI. Single nuclear

RNA sequencing (snRNA-seq) and *in vivo* validation show *sox2*^{+} cells are heterogeneous and

biased towards distinct neuronal or glial fates in both homeostatic and regenerating SC tissues.

To identify transcriptional regulators that play repressive roles in chronic SCI, we screen for

transcription factors that are differentially expressed in acute versus chronic SCI. Loss- and gain-

of-function studies reveal Bach1a/b as early activators and late repressors of *sox2* expression

and progenitor cell expansion. Using a series of luciferase, chromatin immunoprecipitation and

genetic rescue assays, we establish Bach1 as a direct transcriptional regulator of *sox2* that de-

represses or represses *sox2* expression depending on cofactor availability. These findings

delineate the molecular diversity and contributions of *sox2* expressing progenitors during

spontaneous SC repair and reveal a regulatory switch can promote or restrain progenitor cell

activation at different stages of regeneration.

RESULTS

Sox2^{+} cells mount an acute proliferative response in sub-acute SCI

To examine the stem cell properties of Sox2^{+} cells during SC regeneration, wild-type zebrafish

adults were subjected to complete SC transections followed by daily intraperitoneal EdU injections

after injury. This EdU labeling regimen establishes a cumulative profile of injury-induced cell

proliferation. SC tissues at 7, 14 and 28 dpi were compared to tissues collected from uninjured

siblings after 6 days of daily EdU injection **(Fig. 1A)**. SC sections 750, 450 and 150 μm rostral

and caudal to the lesion were analyzed. Compared to uninjured controls where 2-3% of SC nuclei

were EdU[+], cell proliferation robustly increased after SCI and peaked at 7 dpi (67% EdU

incorporation at the lesion) **(Fig. S1A, S1B)**. Mirroring global cell proliferation, the proportion of

Sox2[+] EdU^{+} cells increased from 1-3% in uninjured controls to 72% at 7 dpi, 86% at 14 dpi and

began to decline at 28 dpi **(Fig. 1B, 1C)**. These findings suggested Sox2^{+} cells are relatively

quiescent in homeostatic adult SCs, and mount an acute and transient proliferative response

within 7-14 days of SCI.

Sox2^{+} cells self-renew and differentiate following SCI

To determine the potency and contribution of *sox2*^{+} cells after SCI, we employed a genetic lineage

tracing system that combines a new (*sox2-2a-CreER*[T2])[stl374] knock-in allele with *ubi:loxP-H2b-*

mCherry-STOP-loxP-sfGFP[stl345], referred to hereafter as *sox2-Tracer* fish (Dean et al., 2025). This

system enabled us to induce Tamoxifen- and Cre-dependent recombination to permanently label

sox2$^+$ cells and their progenies during regeneration. To test the specificity of GFP expression after

recombination, *sox2-Tracer* fish were subjected to SCI, treated with 5 mM Tamoxifen (TAM) for

15 hours at 3 dpi, and SC tissues were collected at 7 dpi. GFP$^+$ cells were only detected in TAM-

treated Cre$^+$ SCs compared to TAM-treated Cre$^-$, vehicle-treated Cre$^+$ or vehicle-treated Cre$^-$

controls **(Fig. S1C, S1D)**. These findings confirmed our TAM treatment induces specific

recombination and GFP expression in *sox2*$^+$ cells.

To determine the potency of *sox2*$^+$ cells, we performed the same regimen of SCI and TAM

treatment at 3 dpi **(Fig. 1D)**. SC tissues were collected at 5, 7, 14 and 28 dpi and stained for *sox2-*

Tracer (GFP) and Sox2 **(Fig. 1E, S1E)**. By quantifying *sox2*-derived cells within Sox2$^+$ cells (*sox2-*

Tracer$^+$ Sox2$^+$ cells normalized to Sox2$^+$ cells), the proportion of recombined cells within Sox2$^+$

cells significantly increased from 5 dpi to 14 dpi **(Fig. 1F).** These findings indicated recombined

sox2-derived cells undergo self-renewal to expand the pool of Sox2$^+$ cells after SCI. On the other

hand, by quantifying Sox2+ cells within *sox2*-derived cells (*sox2-Tracer*$^+$Sox2$^+$ cells normalized to

sox2-Tracer$^+$ cells), the proportion of recombined cells that maintained Sox2 expression showed

a significant decrease from 5 dpi to 14 and 28 dpi **(Fig. 1G).** These findings indicate a subset of

sox2-derived cells downregulate Sox2 expression over the regeneration timeline, ostensibly as

they differentiate into regenerating cell types. Together, our genetic lineage tracing showed *sox2*$^+$

cells undergo proliferative self-renewal in sub-acute SCI and downregulate *sox2* expression at

later stages of SC regeneration.

sox2 is a comprehensive marker of SC progenitors

We performed snRNA-seq to determine the molecular signatures that underlie the potency and

transient activation of progenitor cells after SCI. Multiple genes including *sox2, gfap*, *nes* and

foxj1a have been previously used to label and study zebrafish SC progenitors (März et al., 2010;

Kroehne et al., 2011; Ogai et al., 2014; Ribeiro et al., 2017; Than-Trong et al., 2018; Than-Trong

et al., 2020). Postulating that *sox2* serves as one of the most comprehensive markers of SC

progenitors, we performed snRNA-seq using an established *sox2-2a-sfGFP*^{stl84} knock-in line

(Shin et al., 2014). Despite the technical challenges of co-localizing cytoplasmic *sox2-2a-sfGFP*

expression with nuclear Sox2 protein, we confirmed that >80% Sox2$^+$ nuclei were sfGFP$^+$ and

vice versa **(Fig. 2A)**. For snRNA-seq, *sox2-2a-sfGFP* fish were subjected to SCI and SC tissues

spanning 3 mm around the lesion site were collected at 7 and 14 dpi **(Fig. 2B)**. Comparable SC

tissue sections were collected from uninjured controls. For each time point, 2 replicate pools of

nuclei were sequenced, and 50 fish were pooled within each replicate. A total of 63,804 nuclei
were sequenced **(Fig. 2B)**. After quality control analysis **(Fig. S2A, S2B)**, unsupervised Seurat
clustering yielded 33 clusters including neurons, glia, oligodendrocytes and OPCs **(Fig. 2C)**. Gene
expression analysis of various progenitor markers (*sox2, gfap, foxj1a, cspg4, prom2* and *nes*) in
this integrated dataset showed pronounced differences that reflect progenitor cell diversity in SC
tissues **(Fig. 2D, S2C)**. For instance, *cspg4*, which is expressed in bipotent OPCs that give rise
to neurons and oligodendrocytes during embryonic development, and *foxj1a*, which labels
ependymal progenitors were expressed in distinct clusters by snRNA-seq **(Fig. S2C)** (Ribeiro et
al., 2017; Bromley-Coolidge et al., 2024). Compared to and encompassing other progenitor
markers, *sox2* was comprehensively expressed in *nes*$^+$ neural stem cells, *cspg4*$^+$ OPCs and
foxj1a$^+$ ependymal cells **(Fig. 2D, S2C)**. *sox2* was also strongly upregulated across clusters after
injury **(Fig. 2E)**. Aiming to broadly capture and characterize SC progenitors, we subclustered
sox2-2a-sfGFP expressing cells for subsequent analysis.

sox2$^+$ cells are heterogeneous and lineage-biased before and after SCI
Unsupervised Seurat clustering of *sox2-2a-sfGFP* expressing cells yielded 19 clusters
highlighting the transcriptional diversity of *sox2*$^+$ cells **(Fig. 2F, S2D-F)**. By assessing changes in
cell proportions within each cluster between 0 and 14 dpi, only 3 clusters (8, 12 and 16) were
classified to be injury-induced, suggesting they may have regenerative functions during SC repair
(Fig. 2F, 2G). Surprisingly, 16 out of 19 *sox2*$^+$ cell clusters were present in both injured and
uninjured SC, suggesting *sox2*$^+$ cells are heterogeneous and lineage-biased in adult zebrafish
regardless of injury status. To test the heterogeneity of *sox2*$^+$ cells in homeostatic adults, we
performed unsupervised Seurat clustering for *sox2-2a-sfGFP* expressing cells in uninjured
animals without integrating post-injury datasets. This analysis revealed 14 clusters comprised of
diverse cell types, mirroring the cluster identities obtained by integrating uninjured and injured
time points **(Fig. 2H)**. These naïve *sox2*$^+$ cells displayed differential gene expression congruent
with lineage biases towards various glial and neuronal cell types **(Fig. 2I, S2G).** As the SC
regeneration field has been focused on studying genes that are induced in different progenitor
domains after SCI, the underlying assumption has been that niches of specialized progenitors
emerge after SCI and that quiescent progenitors represent a more homogenous transcriptional
profile prior to injury. Contradicting this model, our unbiased snRNA-seq indicates naïve *sox2*$^+$
cells are heterogenous and transcriptionally biased towards specific lineages in homeostatic adult
zebrafish.

173   We performed Hybridization Chain Reaction (HCR) *in situ* hybridization to validate select

174 progenitor cell clusters identified *in silico*. By snRNA-seq, cluster 0 exhibited elevated expression

175 of cilia-related genes, suggesting it is likely to represent ependymal cells **(Fig. S2E)**. *wnt4a* was

176 identified as a unique marker of c0 and plays an important role in cellular differentiation in adult

177 SCs **(Fig. 3A)** (Gao et al., 2016; Rodrigo Albors et al., 2023). Indeed, HCR labeling showed

178 elevated *wnt4a* expression in the ventral and lateral ependymal cells that encircle the central

179 canal **(Fig. 3B)**. *wnt4a* transcripts co-localized *sox2-2a-sfGFP* and were upregulated proximal

180 and rostral to the lesion at 7 and 14 dpi **(Fig. 3C)**. Further validation showed that *pcdh18b*,

181 predicted to label ependymal cluster 11 **(Fig. S2E)**, was also expressed in a subset of central

182 canal lining cells at 7 dpi **(Fig. S3A)**. On the other hand, the transcription factor *lhx4*, responsible

183 for V2a interneuron differentiation in mice, was identified as a unique marker of neuron-biased

184 c16 **(Fig. 3D)** (Renaux et al., 2024). By HCR *in situ* hybridization, *lhx4* expression was detected

185 in domains consistent with neuronal progenitors and co-localized with both *sox2* and the post-

186 mitotic neuronal marker HuC/D **(Fig. 3E-G)**. Further validation mapped neurogenic clusters 4, 13,

187 15, 16 and 17 to distinct neurogenic domains **(Fig. S3B).** Together, these scRNA-seq and HCR

188 gene expression studies mapped ependymal and neurogenic progenitors within zebrafish SC.

189 **Sox2$^+$ progenitors give rise to neurons and glia during SC repair**

190 We used *sox2-CreER^{T2}*-based genetic lineage tracing to track the fates of *sox2$^+$* progenitors and

191 their progenies during SC regeneration **(Fig. 3H)**. GFP labeling was co-stained with neuronal

192 (HuC/D) and pan-glial (Gfap) markers **(Fig. 3I-L)**. The proportions of *Tracer$^+$* neurons (GFP$^+$

193 HuC/D$^+$) increased 3-fold between 7 and 14 dpi, with progenitor-derived neurons comprising 27-

194 42% of all neurons 750 μm from the lesion **(Fig. 3J)**. Consistent with ongoing neurogenesis of

195 *sox2*-derived cells, HuC/D expression within recombined *Tracer$^+$* cells increased 3-fold from 7 to

196 14 dpi **(Fig. 3J)**. Similarly, the proportions of *Tracer$^+$* glia (GFP$^+$ Gfap$^+$) increased 2.6-fold between

197 7 and 14 dpi **(Fig. 3L).** Progenitor-derived glia comprised 23-34% of all glia 750 μm from the

198 lesion and accounted for 26-38% of total traced cells at 14 dpi **(Fig. 3L)**. Together, our *in silico*

199 and *in vivo* studies underscore a stepwise process whereby lineage-biased quiescent progenitors

200 transiently upregulate *sox2* and self-renew between 0 and 14 dpi**,** begin to differentiate into

201 neurons and glia starting at 7 dpi, and regain quiescence at later stages of regeneration.

202 ***bach1a/b* are transcriptionally enriched in *sox2$^+$* cells after SCI**

203 While progenitor cell activation has been widely studied, we aimed to examine how progenitor

204 cells return to quiescence during later regeneration stages. Postulating *sox2* regulation is a major

tipping point between quiescence and activation **(Fig. 1)**, we set out to identify putative *sox2*

activators and repressors **(Fig. 4A)**. To this end, we performed pseudobulk analysis to identify

transcription factors that are enriched at 7 versus 42 dpi **(Fig. 4B)**. Pseudobulk analysis enhanced

our ability to capture transcription factors, which exert biologically significant effects but elicit low

gene expression levels in single-cell datasets (Pokhilko et al., 2021). This analysis identified 21

transcription factors enriched at 7 dpi, coinciding with peak *sox2* expression. Compared to 7 dpi,

16 transcription factors were identified at 42 dpi, when *sox2* expression returns to pre-injury levels

and regeneration concludes. Intriguingly, while activators account for 71% of sub-acutely

expressed transcription factors at 7 dpi (15 out of 21), they decrease to 56% of transcription

factors expressed at 42 dpi (6 out of 16) **(Fig. 4B).** Notably, we identified *bach1b* as the only dual-

function transcription factor that has canonical activator and repressor activity and whose

transcripts were detected at both 7 and 42 dpi **(Fig. 4C)**. This analysis identified activators and

repressors of gene transcription during sub-acute and chronic SCI, and suggested Bach1 may

play dual roles in the initiation and termination of SC regeneration.

By snRNA-seq, *bach1b* and its paralogue *bach1a* were broadly expressed in multiple *sox2*[+]

subclusters **(Fig. S4A, S4B)**. Consistent with sustained *bach1b* expression at 7 and 42 dpi,

bach1b was upregulated at 7 and 14 dpi in pooled subclusters of *sox2*^{+} cells **(Fig. 4D)**. On the

other hand, *bach1a* was only transiently upregulated in *sox2*^{+} cells at 7 dpi **(Fig. 4D)**. *in situ*

hybridization confirmed *bach1a* and *bach1b* were markedly upregulated at 7 dpi compared to

uninjured controls **(Fig. 4E-H, S4C, S4D)**. *bach1a* maintained elevated expression between 7 and

21 dpi and was downregulated at 28 dpi **(Fig. 4E, 4F)**. Compared to uninjured controls, *bach1b*

showed a 5-fold increase at 7 and 14 dpi and a further increase to 9- and 8-fold at 21 and 28 dpi

(Fig. 4G, 4H). Notably, *bach1a* and *bach1b* were strongly induced around the central canal, in a

pattern consistent with *sox2*[+] progenitors. HCR *in situ* hybridization for *bach1*a/b in *sox2-2A-*

sfGFP zebrafish confirmed *bach1a/b* are preferentially upregulated in *sox2*^{+} cells, **(Fig. S4E,**

S4F). These findings identified *bach1a/b as* putative regulators of progenitor cell dynamics after

SCI.

***bach1a/b* are required for functional and anatomical regeneration after SCI**

To examine the role of *bach1a*/b during functional recovery, we performed SC transections on

bach1a[stl666]*;bach1b*[stl668] double mutant zebrafish, referred to hereafter as *bach1a/b* mutants or

bach1a/b[-/-] (Klatt Shaw and Mokalled, 2021). We then evaluated functional recovery at 14, 28 and

42 dpi **(Fig. 5A)(Mokalled et al., 2016)**. Siblings that are double heterozygous for *bach1a* and

bach1b (*bach1a/b+/-*) were used as controls. Swim endurance was comparable across cohorts of

uninjured fish and declined in both groups at 14 dpi. *bach1a/b+/-* animals regained swim function

at 28 and 42 dpi. In contrast, *bach1a/b* mutants did not recover any swim function after 14 dpi

and showed significantly lower swim endurance compared to controls at 28 and 42 dpi **(Fig. 5B)**.

To assess anatomical regeneration, rostral neurons were anterogradely traced with biocytin and

visualized caudal to the lesion (Klatt Shaw et al., 2021). At 42 dpi, the proportion of caudally traced

axons proximal and distal to the lesion was significantly reduced in *bach1a/b-/-* compared to

bach1a/b+/- siblings **(Fig. 5C)**. By Gfap staining, glial bridging was deficient in *bach1a/b* mutants

relative to controls **(Fig. 5D)** (Klatt Shaw et al., 2021). These results confirmed *bach1a/b* are

required for functional and anatomical recovery after SCI.

bach1a/b are required for activation and quiescence of Sox2+ progenitors

To examine the role of *bach1a/b* in progenitor activation, we assayed the proliferation of Sox2+

cells in *bach1a/b* mutants **(Fig. 5E)**. Sox2 and PCNA staining were performed at 7 and 42 dpi,

providing snapshots of actively dividing cells at the time of collection **(Fig. 5F)**. Sox2+ PCNA+ cells,

which accounted for 20% of Sox2+ cells in SC sections of *bach1a/b+/-* controls at 7 dpi, were 50%

depleted in *bach1a/b* mutants **(Fig. 5G, S5A, S5B)**. Consistent with decreased progenitor cell

proliferation in sub-acute SCI, daily EdU injections showed the cumulative proportions of Sox2+

EdU+ cells decreased by 71% in *bach1a/b* mutants at 7 dpi **(Fig. S5C-G)**. Intriguingly, loss of

bach1a/b caused an inverted phenotype at 42 dpi, whereby the proportions of proliferating Sox2+

PCNA+ cells increased 3.7-fold in *bach1a/b* mutants compared to controls **(Fig. 5F-G)**. One

possibility is that SC regeneration is slower or delayed in the absence of *bach1a/b*, accounting

for an extended timeline of Sox2+ cell proliferation in *bach1a/b* mutants. Alternatively, as Bach1

can act as a transcriptional repressor and is expressed in chronic SCI, it is plausible that Bach1

is required for both early induction and late repression of Sox2+ cell proliferation. To determine

whether *sox2* expression is transcriptionally impacted in *bach1a/b* mutants, we performed RT-

qPCR for *sox2* on *bach1a/b* mutants at 7 and 42 dpi **(Fig. S5H)**. Mirroring our quantifications of

Sox2+ cells **(Fig. 5E-G)**, loss of *bach1a/b* depleted *sox2* transcripts at 7 dpi, but significantly

enhanced *sox2* expression at 42 dpi **(Fig. S5H)**. These results indicated *bach1a/b* are required

to induce Sox2+ cells in sub-acute SCI, and to restore their homeostatic quiescence at later stages

of SC repair.

Bach1a/b are direct transcriptional regulators of *sox2*

To examine whether Bach1 is a direct transcriptional regulator of *sox2,* we searched for the
consensus binding motif of Bach1 (TGACTCAGC) within a 5 kb region upstream of the *sox2* start
codon (Fornes et al., 2020). This analysis identified 8 putative binding regions with a tolerance of
1 nucleotide mismatch **(Fig. 6A)**. We used chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) to assess
Bach1 binding at 7 and 42 dpi **(Fig. 6B)**. In the absence of a reliable antibody targeting zebrafish
Bach1a/b, we generated a new *hsp70:hBACH1-2A-EGFP^{stl692}* transgenic line expressing human
BACH1 under control of an inducible heat shock promoter, referred to hereafter as *hBACH1^{OE}*
fish **(Fig. S6A)**. Expression of hBACH1 and antibody specificity were confirmed by western blot
(Fig. S6B). Noting that the *hBACH1^{OE}* line did not induce excessive levels of BACH1
overexpression, we proceeded with ChIP. *hBACH1^{OE}* fish were subjected to SCI and 3 daily heat
shocks preceding collection of SC tissues at either 7 or 42 dpi **(Fig. 6B)**. ChIP-qPCR revealed
robust enrichment of hBACH1 at 3 sites (Binding elements 1, 5 and 6) upstream of *sox2* at 7 dpi
(Fig. 6C). Interestingly, 1 out the 3 sites (Binding element 5) also enriched for hBACH1 binding at
42 dpi **(Fig. 6C)**. These results showed Bach1 binds distinct regulatory elements upstream of
sox2 at different stages of SC regeneration, and suggested Bach1 regulates *sox2* transcription in
sub-acute and chronic SCI.

Bach1a/b exhibit dual activation and repression activity
We performed a series of luciferase assays to examine whether Bach1 activates and/or represses
sox2 expression after SCI. First, we characterized the inherent transcriptional activity of zebrafish
Bach1a and Bach1b using a synthetic enhancer that contains 6 tandem copies of the BACH1
consensus motif (TGACTCAGC) (Fornes et al., 2020). To this end, *6xBACH1* was cloned into the
sv40 minimum promoter-driven luciferase construct and co-transfected into HEK-293T cells with
constructs encoding Bach1a or Bach1b. In this experiment*,* Bach1a and Bach1b repressed
luciferase activity in a dose dependent manner **(Fig. 6D)**. In a second set of luciferase assays, a
402 bp region encompassing binding elements 5 and 6 was cloned into the *sv40* minimum
promoter-driven luciferase construct, and co-transfected with Bach1a and Bach1b into HEK-293T
cells **(Fig. 6E)**. Recapitulating Bach1 repressive activity in the *6xBACH1* luciferase assay, either
Bach1a or Bach1b alone significantly repressed baseline luciferase activity **(Fig. 6E)**. As Bach1
is known to partner with small MAF proteins and numerous other cofactor complexes to regulate
gene expression (Oyake et al., 1996), we tested whether the transcriptional activity of Bach1 can
be modulated by co-expressing zebrafish Mafga, Mafgb or Maff **(Fig. 6E)**. In these assays, Mafga
and Mafgb were sufficient to overcome the repressive effects of Bach1a and Bach1b. On the other
hand, co-expression with Maff exacerbated Bach1-mediated repression. These findings

established context-dependent regulation of *sox2* expression by Bach1a/b and their Maf binding
partners.

BACH1 overexpression alters the expansion and quiescence of *sox2*+ cells after SCI
Our loss-of-function and molecular studies support a model in which Bach1 performs context-
dependent regulatory roles after SCI, activating *sox2* expression in sub-acute SCI and repressing
sox2 at late stages of regeneration. One prediction of this model is that Bach1 overexpression
can either enhance or repress progenitor cell activation at different SCI stages. To test this
prediction, we performed SCI and daily heat shocks on *hBACH1^{OE}* and wild-type siblings **(Fig.**
6F). We then evaluated the profiles of Sox2+ cells at 7 and 42 dpi. Supporting a dual regulatory
role for Bach1, *hBACH1^{OE}* fish showed a greater proportion of Sox2+ cells at 7 dpi and fewer
Sox2+ cells at 42 dpi **(Fig. 6G)**. These findings showed hBACH1 overexpression has an opposite
phenotype to Bach1a/b loss-of-function, enhancing early activation and promoting late repression
of Sox2+ cells after SCI. However, like *bach1a/b* mutants, *hBACH1^{OE}* fish showed significantly
lower swim endurance compared to controls **(Fig. 6H)**, suggesting tight regulation of *sox2* is
critical for successful SC repair.

***sox2* overexpression rescues SC regeneration in *bach1a/b* mutants**
Our genetic and molecular studies indicated Bach1 regulates *sox2* expression and that *sox2*
dysregulation in *bach1* mutants may underlie their regeneration defects. To test this hypothesis,
we examined whether deficient regeneration in *bach1a/b* mutants could be rescued by *sox2*
overexpression. To this end, we used an established *hsp70:sox2^{x21}* transgenic line, referred to
hereafter as *sox2^{OE}*, to induce *sox2* expression under control of a heat shock inducible promoter
(Millimaki et al., 2010) **(Fig. 6I, 6J)**. We crossed the *sox2^{OE}* transgene into *bach1a/b* mutants to
generate *sox2^{OE}*;*bach1a/b^{-/-}* fish. *bach1a/b^{-/-}* and *sox2^{OE}*;*bach1a/b^{-/-}* fish were subjected to SC
transections and daily heat shocks to induce transgene expression. Compared to *bach1a/b^{-/-}* fish
where functional recovery is impaired, swim endurance and axon regrowth were significantly
higher in *sox2^{OE}*;*bach1a/b^{-/-}* fish at 42 dpi **(Fig. 6K, S6C)**. These findings indicated that exogenous
sox2 expression partially rescues the regeneration defects in *bach1a/b* mutants and support a
model in which tightly controlled Bach1-mediated *sox2* expression is required for successful SC
repair.

DISCUSSION

Adult zebrafish offer a valuable platform to elucidate transcriptional mechanisms of innate SC
repair in regenerative vertebrates. By generating a multidimensional map of SC progenitors in
zebrafish, this study provides a much-needed resource to determine the molecular underpinnings
of progenitor cell potency and to advance translational studies. Anatomically and molecularly, we
found *sox2*^{+} cells are compartmentalized into niches of ependymal and non-ependymal
progenitors that exhibit specific lineage biases in homeostatic and lesioned SC tissues.
Temporally, our results support a model in which a single transcription factor like Bach1 can either
activate or repress *sox2* expression to fine tune progenitor cell expansion and quiescence during
the time course of regeneration.

SC progenitors are thought to maintain elevated potency in adult zebrafish, but not in
mammals (Horky et al., 2006; Meletis et al., 2008; Reimer et al., 2008; Barnabe-Heider et al.,
2010; Karimi-Abdolrezaee et al., 2012; Su et al., 2014; Becker and Becker, 2015; Ribeiro et al.,
2017; Paniagua-Torija et al., 2018; Shah et al., 2018; Klatt Shaw et al., 2021; Saraswathy et al.,
2022; Xue et al., 2022; Zhou et al., 2023). Yet, our understanding of the identities, heterogeneity
and potency of these cells remains limited. Consequently, spinal progenitors have been termed
ependymal, ependymo-radial glial, glial or neural stem cells, and interchangeably labeled with
markers such as *sox2, gfap*, *hey, nes,* and *foxj1a* (März et al., 2010; Kroehne et al., 2011; Ogai
et al., 2014; Ribeiro et al., 2017; Than-Trong et al., 2018; Than-Trong et al., 2020). By genetic
lineage tracing, we showed that *sox2*^{+} cells give rise to most regenerating cell types after SCI.
Our single-cell analysis and *in vivo* validations revealed ependymal and non-ependymal niches
of progenitor cells in both homeostatic and lesioned SC tissues. Further studies will delineate the
lineage relationships between different domains of *sox2* expressing cells. Consistent with prior
knowledge, markers such as *foxj1a* and *nes* are expressed in restricted progenitor domains
comprising ependymal and neural stem cells, respectively (Ribeiro et al., 2017; Xue et al., 2022).
On the other hand, *gfap* is more broadly expressed in SC progenitors, but also in non-progenitor
glia and other cell types (Lam et al., 2009; Goldshmit et al., 2012; Johnson et al., 2016). Here, we
used *sox2* to comprehensively characterize SC progenitors, but it is important to note that *sox2*
is also expressed in additional cell types after SCI. As there is no single definitive marker that is
both inclusive and specific to all SC progenitors, we propose designating cell populations by the
markers they express (e.g. *sox2*^{+} cells), rather than relying on alternate nomenclature.

At the core of zebrafish regenerative capacity and this study is the ability of SC tissues to
curtail regenerative processes and restore quiescence as SC regeneration concludes. Restricting

the proliferation of progenitor cells is essential to reestablish homeostasis and prevent the

detrimental consequences associated with sustained cellular proliferation. Yet, most tissue

regeneration research has centered on promoting proliferative repair studies (Becker et al., 2004;

Reimer et al., 2013; Barreiro-Iglesias et al., 2015; Hui et al., 2015; Mokalled et al., 2016; Ribeiro

et al., 2017; Cavone et al., 2021; Klatt Shaw et al., 2021; Vandestadt et al., 2021; Saraswathy et

al., 2022; Cigliola et al., 2023; Zhou et al., 2023; de Sena-Tomas et al., 2024), while the regulatory

mechanisms that reinstate quiescence post-regeneration are largely unknown. Our findings

support a model in which specific Bach1-Maf interactions can either activate or repress *sox2*

expression and progenitor cell expansion, ostensibly in response to extracellular cues that relay

the tissue's regenerative state. Insights from salamander midbrain regeneration revealed a

negative feedback loop from regenerating dopaminergic neurons to neurogenic progenitors to re-

establish homeostasis following regenerative neurogenesis (Berg et al., 2011). In this model,

dopamine signaling from regenerated neurons or administration of a dopamine receptor agonist

are sufficient to limit progenitor cell proliferation (Berg et al., 2011). Intriguingly, in mammals,

application of either dopamine receptor agonist or antagonist have been shown to promote neural

stem cell proliferation, but downstream mechanisms remain to be elucidated (Kippin et al., 2005;

Yang et al., 2008). Further studies into the extrinsic signals that regulate the expression and

activity of diverse Bach1 and Maf transcription factors are needed to better understand

mechanisms of initiation and resolution of SC regeneration.

Dual-function transcription factors enable context-dependent transcriptional regulation during

tissue regeneration. Although Bach1 is best known for its canonical repressive functions, it is

increasingly recognized for maintaining stem-like states through transcriptional activation (Sun et

al., 2002; Fuse et al., 2015; Sato et al., 2020; Niu et al., 2021). Our findings suggest Bach1's

canonical repressive functions downregulate *sox2* at late stages of regeneration, but that cofactor

availability can override this repressive role at earlier time points. Unlike pioneer factors like Oct4

and Sox2, which simultaneously activate and repress different sets of genes to control stem cell

maintenance versus differentiation, our results suggest Bach1 can directly repress or derepress

the same target gene at different stages of regeneration (Zhang et al., 2019). We propose

differential binding to Maff versus Mafg as one mechanism that underlies Bach1 dual functions.

However, as an epigenetic regulator, Bach1 may also act by recruiting chromatin remodeling

complexes to regulate *sox2* expression (Guo et al., 2023). Further characterization of Bach1

binding partners as well as chromatin accessibility at different stages of regeneration are needed

to better understand the dynamics of gene regulation during SC regeneration.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank V. Cavalli, H. Gabel, P. Williams, L. Sheets (Washington University) for discussion; S. Nandagopal, T. Tsai (Washington University), S. Megason (Harvard) for the HCR probe design script; B. Riley (Texas A&M) for zebrafish lines. We thank the Zebrafish Consortium Facility at Washington University for animal care, and the Genome Access Technology Center at Washington University for single-cell sequencing. This research was supported by grants from the NIH (R35 GM118179 to LSK, R01 AR070299 to ANJ, R01 NS113915 and R01 NS123708 to MHM).

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

METHODS

Zebrafish

Adult zebrafish of the Ekkwill, Tubingen and AB strains were maintained at the Washington

University Zebrafish Consortium Facility. All animal experiments were performed in compliance

with institutional animal protocols. Fish stocks were tracked and catalogued using VMS-Fish

software (Muraleedharan Saraswathy and Mokalled, 2025). Adult male and female animals of ~2

cm in length (4-6 months of age) were used. Experimental fish and control siblings of similar size

and equal sex distribution were used for all experiments. SC transection surgeries and

regeneration analyses were performed in a blinded manner and 2 to 4 independent experiments

were performed using different clutches of animals. *bach1a^{stl664}, bach1b^{666}* and *sox2-2A-*

sfGFP^{stl84} were generated as previously described (Shin et al., 2014; Klatt Shaw and Mokalled,

2021). *Tg(hspl:sox2)^{x21}* fish were generously provided by Dr. Bruce B. Riley (Texas

A&M)(Millimaki et al., 2010).

Generation of *hsp70:hBACH1-2A-EGFP* zebrafish

The following primers were used to amplify hBACH1 cDNA: ClaI forward primer 5'-

ccATCGATatgtctctgagtgagaactcggtttt-3'   and   ClaI   reverse   primer   5'-ccatcgat

atgactgataaatgtactactgatgagt-3'. The genomic fragments were cloned into PCR2.1-TOPO vector,

then subcloned into ClaI digested PCS2-hsp70-2A-EGFP plasmid. Clones were co-injected into

one-cell stage wild-type embryos with I-SceI. A minimum of 3 founders were isolated and

propagated. The transgenic line was established and designated *Tg(hsp70:hBACH1-2A-*

EGFP)^{stl692}. Animals were analyzed as hemizygotes.

Generation of *sox2-2a-CreER^{T2}* zebrafish

The DNA sequence for *CreER^{T2}* was obtained from pME minprom_creER^{T2} (PMID 36975217) and

assembled with the previously established *sox2-2a* targeting vector (M2) (Shin et al., 2014), by

Gibson assembly. The *sox2-2a-CreER^{T2}* targeting vector was linearized by NaeI. *sox2* TALEN

RNAs were previously published (Shin et al., 2014) and synthesized with the SP6 mMessage

mMachine Kit. Briefly, one-cell embryos were injected with *sox2* TALEN RNAs at 35 ng/μl each

and linearized *sox2-2a-CreER^{T2}* targeting vector at 10 ng/μl in 1x injection buffer (0.1 M KCL,

0.003% Phenol Red). Injected embryos were raised to adulthood and *sox2-2a-CreER^{T2}* founders

were identified by F1 progeny screen using PCR-based screen with *CreER^{T2}* specific primers

(cre_geno_F1   TGCAGGGAGAGGAGTTTGTGTGC   and   cre_geno_R1

TGTGGGAGAGGATGAGGAGGAGC). The obtained F1 progeny were further analyzed and

confirmed that *2A-CreER^{T2}* is precisely inserted by sanger sequencing. The knock-in line was

established and designated *(sox2-2a-CreERT2)^{stl374}*. Animals were analyzed as hemizygotes.

Spinal cord transection and treatments

Zebrafish were anaesthetized in 0.2 g/l of MS-222 buffered to pH 7.0. Fine scissors were used to

make a small incision that transects the spinal cord 4 mm caudal to the brainstem region.

Complete transection was visually confirmed at the time of surgery. Injured animals were also

assessed at 2 dpi to confirm loss of swim capacity post-surgery.

For EdU administration, zebrafish were anaesthetized and subjected to intraperitoneal EdU

injections. 12.5 mM EdU (Sigma 900584) diluted in PBS was used. Daily injections were

performed and paraformaldehyde-fixed cryosections were generated.

Histology

Transverse cryosections of 16 μm thickness were generated from paraformaldehyde-fixed SC

tissues. Tissue sections were imaged using a Zeiss AxioVision compound microscope or a Zeiss

Axioscan.Z1 slide scanner for *in situ* hybridization, and a Zeiss LSM 800 confocal microscope or

a Zeiss Axioscan.Z1 slide scanner for immunofluorescence.

For EdU staining, sections were incubated with freshly prepared EdU staining solution

(100 mM Tris at pH 8.5, 1 mM CuSO4, 200 μM Fluorescent azide and 100 mM Ascorbic) for

30 min in the dark at room temperature.

For immunohistochemistry, tissue sections were hydrated in PBT then blocked with 5% goat

serum for 1 h at room temperature. For nuclear antigens, sections were treated with 0.2% TritonX-

100 for 5 min prior to the blocking step. Sections were incubated overnight at 4 °C with primary

antibodies diluted in blocking agent, then washed in PBT, and treated for 1 h in secondary

antibodies diluted in blocking agent at room temperature. Primary antibodies used in this study

were: rabbit anti-Sox2 (GeneTex, 124477, 1:250), mouse anti-GFAP (ZIRC, ZRF1; 1:500),

chicken anti-GFP (Aves Labs, GFP-1020; 1:1000) and rabbit anti-PCNA (GeneTex, GTX124496;

1:500). Secondary antibodies used in this study were: Alexa Fluor 488, Alexa Fluor 594, and

Alexa 647 goat anti-rabbit or anti-mouse antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 1:200, 103-545-

155, 111-605-003, 111-585-003, 115-605-003 and 115-585-003). Hoechst staining was

performed according to the manufacturer's recommendation (Thermo Fisher Scientific, H3570).

The HCR RNA *in situ* hybridization protocol was adapted from Molecular Instruments (Choi et
al., 2018; Schwarzkopf et al., 2021). Briefly, tissue sections were hydrated and pre-treated either
with 0.2% TritonX-100 for 5 min or boiled in Citrate Buffer (10 mM Citric Acid, 0.05% Tween-20,
pH 6.0) for 10 min. For blocking, sections were incubated in Hybridization buffer (Molecular
Instruments) for 1 h at 37 °C, then incubated in pre-warmed sets of DNA probes diluted to
0.0015 pmol/uL in Hybridization buffer for 48 h at 37 °C. Washes were then performed with Wash
Buffer (Molecular Instruments) at 37 °C followed by 5x SSCT (3 M NaCl, 0.3 M Sodium Citrate,
0.1% Tween-20, pH 7.0). For signal amplification, sections were incubated in Amplification buffer
(Molecular Instruments) for 1 h at room temperature. Prior to amplification, h1 and h2 hairpins
were snap-cooled and diluted 1:50 in Amplification buffer. Amplification proceeded overnight at
room temperature in the dark. Samples were then washed in 5x SSCT, 5x SSC and PBT before
proceeding to immunohistochemistry. HCR RNA probes used in this study (*bach1a* and *bach1b*)
were designed using a python script and ordered as 50 pmol opools from IDT **(Table S1)**.

RNAscope *in situ* hybridization for *bach1a* and *bach1b* was performed according to the
manufacturer' protocol (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, 322300).

Histology quantification
Counting of Edu[+], Sox2^{+} or PCNA^{+} cells were performed using a customized Fiji script (adapting
ITCN: Image based Tool for counting nuclei; https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/plugins/itcn.html). The Fiji
script incorporated user-defined inputs to define channels (including Hoechst) and to outline SC
perimeters. To quantify nuclei, the following parameters were set in ITCN counter: width, 15;
minimal distance, 7.5; threshold, 0.2. Once nuclei were identified, user-defined thresholds of
individual cell markers were used to mask the image and identify nuclei located inside the masked
regions. *xy* coordinates were extracted for each nucleus for cell counting. Raw counts and *xy*
coordinates from Fiji were processed using a customized R script. Two markers were considered
overlapping if they shared nuclei with same *xy* coordinates.

Colocalization analyses were performed using the JACoP plugin in Fiji (Bolte and
CordeliÈRes, 2006). The polygon selection tool was used to outline SC perimeters.

HCR *in situ* hybridization signals were quantified using a customized Fiji script (Saraswathy
et al., 2024). SC perimeters were defined and user-defined thresholds were set to create an

inverted mask. Background noise was either manually corrected or defined by thresholding a

dedicated, unstained background channel. Integrated density was quantified using the "Analyze

Particles" command. To quantify RNA signals inside *sox2*^{+} cells, an inverted mask was created

after thresholding *sox2*^{+} cells, then added to the final quantification mask. Fluorescence signals

inside this newly generated mask were considered *sox2*[+] cell specific.

For all statistical data, data points represent individual animals and N numbers are indicated.

Statistical tests are reported in figure legends for each panel. Multiple comparisons were

performed within groups and significant observations were reported.

Isolation of spinal cord nuclei from adult zebrafish

Nuclear isolation from adult SC tissues was performed as previously described (Matson et al.,

2018; Saraswathy et al., 2024). Briefly, 3 mm SC tissue sections flanking the lesion site were

collected from 50 adult zebrafish at 7 and 14 dpi. Corresponding 3 mm tissue segments were

collected from uninjured control siblings. For tissue lysis, the detergent mechanical lysis protocol

described by Matson et al. was performed. SC tissues were homogenized at low setting for 15 s.

Density gradient separation using sucrose solution was used to sediment the nuclei from the

supernatant. Final nuclear lysates were resuspended using 100 µl of resuspension solution (1x

PBS + 2% BSA + 0.2 U/µl RNase inhibitor). Hoechst staining was performed to assess the quality

of isolated nuclei based on their shape. Samples, in which more than 70% of nuclei were scored

as 'healthy', were submitted for single nuclear RNA-sequencing.

Single nuclear RNA-sequencing

For snRNA-seq, 30 µl resuspension of isolated nuclei at a concentration of ~1000 nuclei/µl was

submitted to the Genome Technology Access Center in the McDonnell Genome Institute of

Washington University. Two biological replicates were used for each time point (0, 7 and 14 dpi).

cDNA was prepared after GEM generation and barcoding, followed by the GEM-RT reaction and

bead cleanup steps. cDNA was amplified for 11−13 cycles then purified using SPRIselect beads.

Purified cDNA samples were then run on a Bioanalyzer to determine cDNA concentration. GEX

libraries were prepared as recommended by the 10x Genomics Chromium Single Cell 3' Reagent

Kits User Guide (v3.1 Chemistry Dual Index) with appropriate modifications to the PCR cycles

based on the calculated cDNA concentration. For sample preparation on the 10x Genomics

platform, the Chromium Next GEM Single Cell 3' Kit v3.1, 16 rxns (PN-1000268), Chromium Next

GEM Chip G Single Cell Kit, 48 rxns (PN-1000120), and Dual Index Kit TT Set A, 96 rxns (PN-

1000215) were used. The concentration of each library was accurately determined through qPCR
utilizing the KAPA library Quantification Kit according to the manufacturer's protocol (KAPA
Biosystems/Roche) to produce cluster counts appropriate for the Illumina NovaSeq6000
instrument. Normalized libraries were sequenced on a NovaSeq6000 S4 Flow Cell using the XP
workflow and a $50 \times 10 \times 16 \times 150$ sequencing recipe according to manufacturer protocol. A
median sequencing depth of 50,000 reads/cell was targeted for each Gene Expression Library.
The Metadata, raw and processed data associated with this dataset is availale at the NCBI Gene
Expression Omnibus.

Aligning snRNA-seq reads
After sequencing, the Illumina output was processed using the CellRanger (v6.0.0) recommended
pipeline to generate gene-barcode count matrices. A custom reference genome was made with
the "cellranger mkref" command, using the fasta file of zebrafish reference genome GRCz11
constructed from the Ensemble genome build (https://useast.ensembl.org/Danio_rerio/Info/Index)
and the sorted Gene Transfer Format file (v4.3.2) from the improved zebrafish transcriptome
annotation(Lawson et al., 2020). Base call files for each sample from Illumina were demultiplexed
into FASTQ reads. Then, the "cellranger count" pipeline was used to align sequencing reads in
FASTQ files to the custom reference genome. Both exon and intron sequences were included
during the alignment. The filtered gene-barcode count matrices generated by "cellranger count"
was used for downstream analysis.

Quality control
DecontX package (Yang et al., 2020) (celda v1.16.1) was used to remove droplets containing
aberrant counts of ambient mRNA. The function "decontx" was used on the raw "RNA" counts to
obtain "decontX" count data. Contamination score was assigned to each cell after running
"decontx". Cells with contamination scores greater than 0.75 were filtered out and only cells with
contamination scores less than 0.75 were included for downstream analysis. Furthermore,
decontX counts were used as default for pre-processing and normalization. After ambient RNA
removal, DoubletFinder (McGinnis et al., 2019) (v2.0.3) was used to identify doublets formed from
transcriptionally distinct cells. Optimal pK values were calculated from the outputs of function
"paramsweep_v3". Then, "doubletFinder_v3" function was used to predict doublet cells, where 50
principal components and pN value of 0.25 were given as input along with the previously
calculated pK values (0.26). All the cells predicted as doublets were removed.

Integrated analysis of snRNA-seq dataset

Datasets were integrated and analyzed using Seurat (v4.1.1) package with R (v4.2.1) (Team, 2018; Stuart et al., 2019). Each sample count matrix was filtered for genes that were expressed in at least 3 cells and cells expressing at least 200 genes, followed by cell quality assessment using commonly used QC matrices (Ilicic et al., 2016). Cells having a unique number of genes between 200 and 4000 and a mitochondrial gene percentage < 5% were used for downstream processing. Each dataset was independently normalized and scaled using the "SCTransform" function, which is an improved method for normalization, that performs a variance-stabilizing transformation using negative binomial regression (Hafemeister and Satija, 2019). Standard integration workflow of Seurat was used to identify shared sources of variation across experiments as well as mutual nearest neighbors(Butler et al., 2018; Haghverdi et al., 2018). Integration features were selected based on the top 4000 highly variable features using "SelectIntegrationFeatures" function (nfeatures = 4000), which was used as input for the "anchor.features" argument of the "FindIntegrationAnchors" function. PCA analysis was performed on the 4000 variable features and the top 50 principal components selected based on the elbow plot heuristic, which measures the contribution of variation in each component. These 50 principal components were used in "FindNeighbors" and "FindClusters" functions to perform graph-based clustering on a shared nearest neighbor graph(Levine et al., 2015; Xu and Su, 2015). Louvain algorithm was used for modularity optimization in cell clustering using "FindClusters" function. The resolution parameter (res = 0.4) that determines the granularity of clustering was selected by visually inspecting clusters with resolutions ranging between 0.1 and 2.0 as well as clustree graphs (Zappia and Oshlack, 2018). Uniform Manifold Approximation and Reduction (UMAP) was used for non-linear dimensional reduction of the first 50 principal components and visualize the data using "RunUMAP" function(Becht et al., 2018). Data was graphed using different plot functions, such as "DimPlot", "VlnPlot", "FeaturePlot", "Dotplot" and "DoHeatmap", to view the cell cluster identity and marker gene expression. Cell proportions were extracted using the "table" and "prop.table" functions. Differential gene expression for individual clusters was identified using Wilcoxon rank sum test in the "FindAllMarkers" function. Marker genes detected in at least 25% of the clustered cells and with a logFC threshold of 0.25 were selected. Only positive markers were reported.

Subset of *sox2*⁺ clusters

sox2⁺ cells identified from the complete dataset were subclustered using the "subset" function for subcluster analysis. The *sox2*⁺ subset was again normalized and scaled using the "SCTransform"

function with glmGamPoi method (Ahlmann-Eltze and Huber, 2021). 50 principal components

were used, and the resolution parameter was set to 0.7. Downstream analysis was done as

described above for the integrated analysis.

Cluster identification using differentially expressed markers

A "CNS markers" database of previously published markers of the different cell types that

comprise the vertebrate brain and/or SC tissues was compiled(Saraswathy et al., 2024). For each

cell cluster, every marker gene identified as a top differentially expressed (DE) marker of that

cluster was cross-referenced with our compiled VNM database using our "DE-Marker-Scoring"

algorithm (Saraswathy et al., 2024). For every matching marker gene, one point was given to the

respective cluster under the column name with matching cell identity. Iteration over every marker

gene was performed to generate a scoring matrix with varying points for each cluster against the

different cell identities compiled in the VNM database. The "phyper" function in R was then used

to calculate one-tailed binomial probabilities using hypergeometric distribution for the total score

obtained from each cluster against each cell identity in the database. −log10 of probability values

were obtained for plotting the heatmap. The resulting values were scaled from 0 to 100 and plotted

as a heatmap using GraphPad prism. Each cluster was given an identity based on the maximum

−log10 P score obtained in the heatmap. The top DE markers of clusters with ambiguous scores

were manually searched in the literature (Zhang and Cui, 2014) (https://brainrnaseq.org/) to

confirm cluster identity. "RenameIdents" function was used to assign identity to each cluster. To

confirm the assigned cluster identities, enrichment of classical markers of respective cell types

were tested using Dot plot.

Selection of cluster markers

To identify gene expression markers for each *sox2*[+] cluster, top DE markers were filtered for

specificity to such cluster. To this end, top DE markers were first filtered by their unique enrichment

in one *sox2*[+] cluster **(Table S2, S3)**. Enrichment and percent expression of these unique genes

were then confirmed using Dot plots. These top DE markers were ranked based on their specificity

and cluster-specific expression enrichment. Then, each gene marker was visualized in the whole

spinal cord dataset (including *sox2*^{-} cells) using Dotplot and Featureplot to validate that they were

indeed a specific marker for a *sox2*[+] cluster. At least two markers were selected for downstream

HCR *in vivo* validation.

Pseudobulk analysis

To identify enriched transcription factors, snRNA Seq data was aggregated using the "AggregateExpression" (Seurat v4.4) function for each replicate from uninjured, 7, 21 or 42 dpi time points (Saraswathy et al., 2024). Transcription factors were then filtered and classified by GO terms "TF.Activator" and "pos.regulation.tf" for transcriptional activators and "TF.Repressor" and "neg.regulation.tf" for transcriptional repressors **(Table S4)**. Transcription factors that are present in both classifications were categorized as "activator and repressor". Differential expression analysis, compared to uninjured controls, was performed for each time point with DESeq2 using a p-value threshold of $p<0.05$ (Love et al., 2014). Only transcription factors with $-1>\text{Log2(FC)}>1$ aggregate expression were considered down- or upregulated.

Swim endurance assays

Zebrafish were exercised in groups of 8-12 in a 5 l swim tunnel device (Loligo, SW100605L, 120 V/60 Hz). After 10 min of acclimation inside the enclosed tunnel, water current velocity was increased every 2 min and fish swam against the current until they reached exhaustion. Exhausted animals were removed from the chamber without disturbing the remaining fish. Swim times at exhaustion were recorded for each fish. Results are expressed as mean ± SEM. One-way ANOVA and multiple comparisons were performed using the Prism software to determine statistical significance of swim times between groups.

Axon tracing

Anterograde axon tracing was performed on adult fish at 42 dpi. Fish were anaesthetized using MS-222 and fine scissors were used to transect the cord 4 mm rostral to the lesion site. Biocytin-soaked Gelfoam Gelatin Sponge was applied at the new injury site (Gelfoam, Pfizer, 09-0315-08; biocytin, saturated solution, Sigma-Aldrich, B4261). Fish were euthanized 6 h post-treatment and biocytin was detected histologically using Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated streptavidin (Molecular Probes, S-11227). Biocytin-labeled axons were quantified using the 'threshold' and 'particle analysis' tools in the Fiji software. Four sections per fish at 600 μm (proximal) and 1500 μm (distal) caudal to the lesion core, and two sections 450 and 750 μm rostral to the lesion, were analyzed. Axon growth was normalized to the efficiency of biocytin labeling rostral to the lesion for each fish. Percentage axon growth was then normalized to the rostral level of the control group.

Glial bridging

GFAP immunohistochemistry was performed on serial transverse sections from adult fish at 14 dpi. The cross-sectional area of the glial bridge (at the lesion site) and the area of the intact SC

(750 μm rostral to the lesion) were measured using ImageJ software. Bridging was calculated as

a ratio of these measurements.

Quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR)

RNA collections were performed using Trizol. 1 μg of RNA was converted into cDNA using the

Maxima First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, K1672) according to the

manufacturer's specifications. Quantitative PCR was performed using the Luna polymerase

master mix (NEB, M3003) using gene-specific primers and the Bio-Rad CFX Connect Real-Time

System **(Table S5)**. To determine primer efficiency, a standard curve was generated for each

primer set using cDNA pooled from 7 dpi SC tissues. Only primer sets with a calculated

amplification efficiency of 1.8-2.2 per cycle were used. Expression fold change for each gene was

calculated using the ΔCq method and dual normalized to *eef1a1l1* gene expression and to target

gene expression in control samples. For all RT-qPCR experiments, 2-3 biological replicates were

used per genotype and a minimum of 3 SC tissues were pooled within each replicate. Each

biological replicate was run in technical triplicate, and the average $\log_2$ fold change of these

technical replicates is shown.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

hsp70:hBACH1-2A-EGF= zebrafish were subjected to SC transections and daily heat shocks for

1h at 33°C for 3 days prior to tissue collection. 4 mm SC tissues containing the lesion were

collected at 5 or 42 dpi. ChIP was performed using the SimpleChIP Plus Sonication Chip Kit (Cell

Signaling Technology #56383) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, input SC

tissues were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde for 30 min, homogenized and sonicated.

Chromatin fragment sizes were confirmed by gel electrophoresis. Immunoprecipitation was

performed with either BACH1 polyclonal antibody (Proteintech #14018-1-AP) or rabbit IgG

negative control antibody (Cell Signaling Technology #2729). Samples were incubated with

primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, then with magnetic beads for 3h, washed and eluted.

Candidate BACH1 binding sites were assessed by RT-qPCR using primers targeting candidate

genomic regions containing the consensus BACH1 binding motif **(Table S5)**. Reported values

represent BACH1 immunoprecipitated enrichment in *Tg*[+] animals normalized to Rabbit IgG control

enrichment. A minimum of 12 SCs were pooled in each replicate.

Luciferase Assay

Human Embryonic Kidney 293 (HEK293) cells (atcc #CRL-1573) were cultured in DMEM/F-12
supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1x L-Glutamine and 500 U/mL of penicillin-
streptomycin. $15x10^3$ cells were seeded per well in a 96-well flat-bottom microplate (Corning
#07200566) and allowed to adhere for 36 h at $37^{o}C$. Cells were transiently transfected with
different combinations pGL3-sv40-Firefly luciferase constructs encoding 6XBACH1 or the *sox2*
enhancer regions identified by ChIP. Cells were co-transfected with pcDNA3 constructs encoding
zebrafish Bach1a, Bach1b, Mafga, Mafgb or Maff, along with a Renilla luciferase control. Cells
were transfected using Lipofectamine-2000 following the manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen
#15338100). Luciferase activity was evaluated using the Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay System
(Promega #E2920) 36 hours after transfection. Firefly and Renilla Luciferase activity were
measured using a Biotek Synergy HT plate reader. Reported values represent Firefly luciferase
activity normalized to Renilla activity and pcDNA3 vector controls.

REFERENCES

REFERENCES

Adolf, B, Chapouton, P, Lam, CS, Topp, S, Tannhäuser, B, Strähle, U, Götz, M and Bally-Cuif, L
(2006) 'Conserved and acquired features of adult neurogenesis in the zebrafish telencephalon',
Developmental biology 295(1).

Ahlmann-Eltze, C. and Huber, W. (2021) 'glmGamPoi: fitting Gamma-Poisson generalized linear
models on single cell count data', *Bioinformatics* 36(24): 5701-5702.

Albagli, O., Dhordain, P., Deweindt, C., Lecocq, G. and Leprince, D. (1995) 'The BTB/POZ
domain: a new protein-protein interaction motif common to DNA- and actin-binding proteins',
Cell Growth & Differentiation: The Molecular Biology Journal of the American Association for
Cancer Research 6(9): 1193-1198.

Avilion, A. A., Nicolis, S. K., Pevny, L. H., Perez, L., Vivian, N. and Lovell-Badge, R. (2003)
'Multipotent cell lineages in early mouse development depend on SOX2 function', *Genes Dev*
17(1): 126-40.

Barbosa, JS, Sanchez-Gonzalez, R, Di Giaimo, R, Baumgart, EV, Theis, FJ, Götz, M and
Ninkovic, J (2015) 'Neurodevelopment. Live imaging of adult neural stem cell behavior in the
intact and injured zebrafish brain', *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 348(6236).

Barnabe-Heider, F., Goritz, C., Sabelstrom, H., Takebayashi, H., Pfrieger, F. W., Meletis, K. and
Frisen, J. (2010) 'Origin of new glial cells in intact and injured adult spinal cord', *Cell Stem Cell*
7(4): 470-82.

Barreiro-Iglesias, A., Mysiak, K. S., Scott, A. L., Reimer, M. M., Yang, Y., Becker, C. G. and
Becker, T. (2015) 'Serotonin Promotes Development and Regeneration of Spinal Motor Neurons
in Zebrafish', *Cell Rep* 13(5): 924-32.

Becht, E., McInnes, L., Healy, J., Dutertre, C. A., Kwok, I. W. H., Ng, L. G., Ginhoux, F. and
Newell, E. W. (2018) 'Dimensionality reduction for visualizing single-cell data using UMAP', *Nat*
Biotechnol.

Becker, C. G. and Becker, T. (2015) 'Neuronal regeneration from ependymo-radial glial cells:
cook, little pot, cook!', *Developmental cell* 32(4): 516-27.

Becker, C. G., Lieberoth, B. C., Morellini, F., Feldner, J., Becker, T. and Schachner, M. (2004)
'L1.1 is involved in spinal cord regeneration in adult zebrafish', *J Neurosci* 24(36): 7837-42.

Berg, D. A., Kirkham, M., Wang, H., Frisen, J. and Simon, A. (2011) 'Dopamine controls
neurogenesis in the adult salamander midbrain in homeostasis and during regeneration of
dopamine neurons', *Cell Stem Cell* 8(4): 426-33.

Bolte, S. and CordeliÈRes, F. P. (2006) 'A guided tour into subcellular colocalization analysis in
light microscopy', *Journal of Microscopy* 224(3): 213-232.

Bromley-Coolidge, S., Iruegas, D. and Appel, B. (2024) 'Cspg4 sculpts oligodendrocyte
precursor cell morphology', *bioRxiv*.

Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E. and Satija, R. (2018) 'Integrating single-cell
transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species', *Nat Biotechnol* 36(5):
411-420.

Cavone, L., McCann, T., Drake, L. K., Aguzzi, E. A., Oprisoreanu, A. M., Pedersen, E., Sandi,
S., Selvarajah, J., Tsarouchas, T. M., Wehner, D. et al. (2021) 'A unique macrophage
subpopulation signals directly to progenitor cells to promote regenerative neurogenesis in the
zebrafish spinal cord', *Developmental cell* 56(11): 1617-1630 e6.

Choi, Harry M. T., Schwarzkopf, Maayan, Fornace, Mark E., Acharya, Aneesh, Artavanis,
Georgios, Stegmaier, Johannes, Cunha, Alexandre and Pierce, Niles A. (2018) 'Third-generation
in situ hybridization chain reaction: multiplexed, quantitative, sensitive, versatile, robust',
Development 145(12).

Cigliola, V., Shoffner, A., Lee, N., Ou, J., Gonzalez, T. J., Hoque, J., Becker, C. J., Han, Y., Shen,
G., Faw, T. D. et al. (2023) 'Spinal cord repair is modulated by the neurogenic factor Hb-egf
under direction of a regeneration-associated enhancer', *Nat Commun* 14(1): 4857.

de Sena-Tomas, C., Rebola Lameira, L., Rebocho da Costa, M., Naique Taborda, P., Laborde,
A., Orger, M., de Oliveira, S. and Saude, L. (2024) 'Neutrophil immune profile guides spinal cord
regeneration in zebrafish', *Brain Behav Immun* 120: 514-531.

Dean, H. C., Saraswathy, V. M., Saini, A., Ou, T., McAdow, J., Tendolkar, A., Mokalled, M. H. and
Johnson, A. N. (2025) 'Hb-EGF directs systemic muscle repair', *bioRxiv*.

Edwards-Faret, G., Munoz, R., Mendez-Olivos, E. E., Lee-Liu, D., Tapia, V. S. and Larrain, J.
(2017) 'Spinal cord regeneration in Xenopus laevis', *Nat Protoc* 12(2): 372-389.

Fornes, O., Castro-Mondragon, J. A., Khan, A., van der Lee, R., Zhang, X., Richmond, P. A.,
Modi, B. P., Correard, S., Gheorghe, M., Baranasic, D. et al. (2020) 'JASPAR 2020: update of
the open-access database of transcription factor binding profiles', *Nucleic Acids Res* 48(D1):
D87-D92.

Fuse, Y., Nakajima, H., Nakajima-Takagi, Y., Nakajima, O. and Kobayashi, M. (2015) 'Heme-
mediated inhibition of Bach1 regulates the liver specificity and transience of the Nrf2-dependent
induction of zebrafish heme oxygenase 1', *Genes Cells* 20(7): 590-600.

Gao, Yuhua, Bai, Chunyu, Zheng, Dong, Li, Changli, Zhang, Wenxiu, Li, Mei, Guan, Weijun and
Ma, Yuehui (2016) 'Combination of melatonin and Wnt-4 promotes neural cell differentiation in
bovine amniotic epithelial cells and recovery from spinal cord injury', *Journal of Pineal Research*
60(3): 303-312.

Goldshmit, Yona, Sztal, Tamar E., Jusuf, Patricia R., Hall, Thomas E., Nguyen-Chi, Mai and
Currie, Peter D. (2012) 'Fgf-Dependent Glial Cell Bridges Facilitate Spinal Cord Regeneration in
Zebrafish', *The Journal of Neuroscience* 32(22): 7477.

Gorsuch, R. A., Lahne, M., Yarka, C. E., Petravick, M. E., Li, J. and Hyde, D. R. (2017) 'Sox2
regulates Muller glia reprogramming and proliferation in the regenerating zebrafish retina via
Lin28 and Ascl1a', *Exp Eye Res* 161: 174-192.

Graham, V., Khudyakov, J., Ellis, P. and Pevny, L. (2003) 'SOX2 functions to maintain neural
progenitor identity', *Neuron* 39(5): 749-65.

Grandel, H, Kaslin, J, Ganz, J, Wenzel, I and Brand, M (2006) 'Neural stem cells and
neurogenesis in the adult zebrafish brain: origin, proliferation dynamics, migration and cell fate',
Developmental biology 295(1).

Guo, X., Jiang, C., Zhang, Y., Chen, Z., Hao, D. and Zhang, H. (2023) 'Spermatogonial stem-
cell-derived neural-like cell transplantation enhances the functional recovery of a rat spinal cord
injury model: characterization of evoked potentials', *Front Neurosci* 17: 1289581.

Hafemeister, C. and Satija, R. (2019) 'Normalization and variance stabilization of single-cell
RNA-seq data using regularized negative binomial regression', *Genome Biol* 20(1): 296.

Haghverdi, L., Lun, A. T. L., Morgan, M. D. and Marioni, J. C. (2018) 'Batch effects in single-cell
RNA-sequencing data are corrected by matching mutual nearest neighbors', *Nat Biotechnol*
36(5): 421-427.

He, Y., Guo, J., Yu, Y., Jin, J., Jiang, Q., Li, Q., Ma, S., Pan, Q., Lin, J., Jiang, N. et al. (2023)
'BACH1 regulates the differentiation of vascular smooth muscle cells from human embryonic
stem cells via CARM1-mediated methylation of H3R17', *Cell Rep* 42(12): 113468.

Horky, L. L., Galimi, F., Gage, F. H. and Horner, P. J. (2006) 'Fate of endogenous
stem/progenitor cells following spinal cord injury', *J Comp Neurol* 498(4): 525-38.

Hui, S. P., Dutta, A. and Ghosh, S. (2010) 'Cellular response after crush injury in adult zebrafish
spinal cord', *Dev Dyn* 239(11): 2962-79.

Hui, S. P., Nag, T. C. and Ghosh, S. (2015) 'Characterization of Proliferating Neural Progenitors
after Spinal Cord Injury in Adult Zebrafish', *PloS one* 10(12): e0143595.

Ilicic, T., Kim, J. K., Kolodziejczyk, A. A., Bagger, F. O., McCarthy, D. J., Marioni, J. C. and
Teichmann, S. A. (2016) 'Classification of low quality cells from single-cell RNA-seq data',
Genome Biol 17: 29.

Itoh-Nakadai, A., Hikota, R., Muto, A., Kometani, K., Watanabe-Matsui, M., Sato, Y., Kobayashi,
M., Nakamura, A., Miura, Y., Yano, Y. et al. (2014) 'The transcription repressors Bach2 and

Bach1 promote B cell development by repressing the myeloid program', *Nat Immunol* 15(12):
1171-80.

Jia, Mengping, Li, Qinhan, Guo, Jieyu, Shi, Weihao, Zhu, Lei, Huang, Yijun, Li, Yongbo, Wang,
Li, Ma, Siyu, Zhuang, Tao et al. (2022) 'Deletion of BACH1 Attenuates Atherosclerosis by
Reducing Endothelial Inflammation', *Circulation Research* 130(7): 1038-1055.

Johansson, C. B., Momma, S., Clarke, D. L., Risling, M., Lendahl, U. and Frisén, J. (1999)
'Identification of a neural stem cell in the adult mammalian central nervous system', *Cell* 96(1):
25-34.

Johnson, K., Barragan, J., Bashiruddin, S., Smith, C. J., Tyrrell, C., Parsons, M. J., Doris, R.,
Kucenas, S., Downes, G. B., Velez, C. M. et al. (2016) 'Gfap-positive radial glial cells are an
essential progenitor population for later-born neurons and glia in the zebrafish spinal cord', *Glia*
64(7): 1170-89.

Karimi-Abdolrezaee, S., Schut, D., Wang, J. and Fehlings, M. G. (2012) 'Chondroitinase and
growth factors enhance activation and oligodendrocyte differentiation of endogenous neural
precursor cells after spinal cord injury', *PLoS One* 7(5): e37589.

Katsani, K. R., Hajibagheri, M. A. and Verrijzer, C. P. (1999) 'Co-operative DNA binding by
GAGA transcription factor requires the conserved BTB/POZ domain and reorganizes promoter
topology', *The EMBO journal* 18(3): 698-708.

Kippin, T. E., Kapur, S. and van der Kooy, D. (2005) 'Dopamine specifically inhibits forebrain
neural stem cell proliferation, suggesting a novel effect of antipsychotic drugs', *J Neurosci*
25(24): 5815-23.

Klatt Shaw, D. and Mokalled, M. H. (2021) 'Efficient CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis for
neurobehavioral screening in adult zebrafish', *G3 (Bethesda)* 11(8).

Klatt Shaw, D., Saraswathy, V. M., Zhou, L., McAdow, A. R., Burris, B., Butka, E., Morris, S. A.,
Dietmann, S. and Mokalled, M. H. (2021) 'Localized EMT reprograms glial progenitors to
promote spinal cord repair', *Dev Cell* 56(5): 613-626 e7.

Kroehne, V, Freudenreich, D, Hans, S, Kaslin, J and Brand, M (2011) 'Regeneration of the adult
zebrafish brain from neurogenic radial glia-type progenitors', *Development (Cambridge,*
England) 138(22).

Lam, C. S., Marz, M. and Strahle, U. (2009) 'gfap and nestin reporter lines reveal characteristics
of neural progenitors in the adult zebrafish brain', *Developmental dynamics : an official*
publication of the American Association of Anatomists 238(2): 475-86.

Lawson, N. D., Li, R., Shin, M., Grosse, A., Yukselen, O., Stone, O. A., Kucukural, A. and Zhu,
L. (2020) 'An improved zebrafish transcriptome annotation for sensitive and comprehensive
detection of cell type-specific genes', *Elife* 9.

Lee, Hyun Joon, Wu, Junfang, Chung, Jumi and Wrathall, Jean R. (2013) 'SOX2 expression is
upregulated in adult spinal cord after contusion injury in both oligodendrocyte lineage and
ependymal cells', *Journal of Neuroscience Research* 91(2): 196-210.

Levine, J. H., Simonds, E. F., Bendall, S. C., Davis, K. L., Amir el, A. D., Tadmor, M. D., Litvin,
O., Fienberg, H. G., Jager, A., Zunder, E. R. et al. (2015) 'Data-Driven Phenotypic Dissection of
AML Reveals Progenitor-like Cells that Correlate with Prognosis', *Cell* 162(1): 184-97.

Love, M. I., Huber, W. and Anders, S. (2014) 'Moderated estimation of fold change and
dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2', *Genome Biol* 15(12): 550.

Maden, M. and Varholick, J. A. (2020) 'Model systems for regeneration: the spiny mouse,
Acomys cahirinus', *Development* 147(4).

Martens, David J., Seaberg, Raewyn M. and Van Der Kooy, Derek (2002) '<i>In vivo</i>
infusions of exogenous growth factors into the fourth ventricle of the adult mouse brain increase
the proliferation of neural progenitors around the fourth ventricle and the central canal of the
spinal cord', *European Journal of Neuroscience* 16(6): 1045-1057.

März, M, Chapouton, P, Diotel, N, Vaillant, C, Hesl, B, Takamiya, M, Lam, CS, Kah, O, Bally-
Cuif, L and Strähle, U (2010) 'Heterogeneity in progenitor cell subtypes in the ventricular zone of
the zebrafish adult telencephalon', *Glia* 58(7).

Matson, K. J. E., Sathyamurthy, A., Johnson, K. R., Kelly, M. C., Kelley, M. W. and Levine, A. J.
(2018) 'Isolation of Adult Spinal Cord Nuclei for Massively Parallel Single-nucleus RNA
Sequencing', *Journal of visualized experiments : JoVE*(140).

McDonough, A. and Martinez-Cerdeno, V. (2012) 'Endogenous proliferation after spinal cord
injury in animal models', *Stem Cells Int* 2012: 387513.

McGinnis, C. S., Murrow, L. M. and Gartner, Z. J. (2019) 'DoubletFinder: Doublet Detection in
Single-Cell RNA Sequencing Data Using Artificial Nearest Neighbors', *Cell Syst* 8(4): 329-337
e4.

Meletis, K., Barnabe-Heider, F., Carlen, M., Evergren, E., Tomilin, N., Shupliakov, O. and Frisen,
J. (2008) 'Spinal cord injury reveals multilineage differentiation of ependymal cells', *PLoS Biol*
6(7): e182.

Millimaki, B. B., Sweet, E. M. and Riley, B. B. (2010) 'Sox2 is required for maintenance and
regeneration, but not initial development, of hair cells in the zebrafish inner ear', *Dev Biol*
338(2): 262-9.

Mokalled, M. H., Patra, C., Dickson, A. L., Endo, T., Stainier, D. Y. and Poss, K. D. (2016) 'Injury-
induced ctgfa directs glial bridging and spinal cord regeneration in zebrafish', *Science*
354(6312): 630-634.

Mothe, A. J. and Tator, C. H. (2005) 'Proliferation, migration, and differentiation of endogenous
ependymal region stem/progenitor cells following minimal spinal cord injury in the adult rat',
Neuroscience 131(1): 177-187.

Muraleedharan Saraswathy, Vishnu and Mokalled, Mayssa H. (2025) 'VMS-Fish: A
Comprehensive Application for Zebrafish Husbandry Management', *Zebrafish* 22(2): 59-63.

Niu, Cong, Wang, Siqing, Guo, Jieyu, Wei, Xiangxiang, Jia, Mengping, Chen, Zhaoxiong, Gong,
Wenxuan, Qin, Yue, Wang, Xinhong, Zhi, Xiuling et al. (2021) 'BACH1 recruits NANOG and
histone H3 lysine 4 methyltransferase MLL/SET1 complexes to regulate enhancer–promoter
activity and maintains pluripotency', *Nucleic Acids Research* 49(4): 1972-1986.

Ogai, K., Nakatani, K., Hisano, S., Sugitani, K., Koriyama, Y. and Kato, S. (2014) 'Function of
Sox2 in ependymal cells of lesioned spinal cords in adult zebrafish', *Neurosci Res* 88: 84-7.

Ohori, Y., Yamamoto, S., Nagao, M., Sugimori, M., Yamamoto, N., Nakamura, K. and Nakafuku,
M. (2006) 'Growth factor treatment and genetic manipulation stimulate neurogenesis and
oligodendrogenesis by endogenous neural progenitors in the injured adult spinal cord', *J
Neurosci* 26(46): 11948-60.

Oyake, T., Itoh, K., Motohashi, H., Hayashi, N., Hoshino, H., Nishizawa, M., Yamamoto, M. and
Igarashi, K. (1996) 'Bach proteins belong to a novel family of BTB-basic leucine zipper
transcription factors that interact with MafK and regulate transcription through the NF-E2 site',
Molecular and Cellular Biology 16(11): 6083-6095.

Paniagua-Torija, Beatriz, Norenberg, Michael, Arevalo-Martin, Angel, Carballosa-Gautam,
Melissa M., Campos-Martin, Yolanda, Molina-Holgado, Eduardo and Garcia-Ovejero, Daniel
(2018) 'Cells in the adult human spinal cord ependymal region do not proliferate after injury',
The Journal of Pathology 246(4): 415-421.

Phipps, L. S., Marshall, L., Dorey, K. and Amaya, E. (2020) 'Model systems for regeneration:
Xenopus', *Development* 147(6).

Pokhilko, A., Handel, A. E., Curion, F., Volpato, V., Whiteley, E. S., Bostrand, S., Newey, S. E.,
Akerman, C. J., Webber, C., Clark, M. B. et al. (2021) 'Targeted single-cell RNA sequencing of
transcription factors enhances the identification of cell types and trajectories', *Genome Res*
31(6): 1069-1081.

Reimer, M. M., Norris, A., Ohnmacht, J., Patani, R., Zhong, Z., Dias, T. B., Kuscha, V., Scott, A.
L., Chen, Y. C., Rozov, S. et al. (2013) 'Dopamine from the brain promotes spinal motor neuron
generation during development and adult regeneration', *Developmental cell* 25(5): 478-91.

Reimer, M. M., Sorensen, I., Kuscha, V., Frank, R. E., Liu, C., Becker, C. G. and Becker, T.
(2008) 'Motor neuron regeneration in adult zebrafish', *The Journal of neuroscience : the official
journal of the Society for Neuroscience* 28(34): 8510-6.

Renaux, E., Baudouin, C., Marchese, D., Clovis, Y., Lee, S. K., Gofflot, F., Rezsohazy, R. and
Clotman, F. (2024) 'Lhx4 surpasses its paralog Lhx3 in promoting the differentiation of spinal
V2a interneurons', *Cell Mol Life Sci* 81(1): 286.

Ribeiro, A., Monteiro, J. F., Certal, A. C., Cristovao, A. M. and Saude, L. (2017) 'Foxj1a is
expressed in ependymal precursors, controls central canal position and is activated in new
ependymal cells during regeneration in zebrafish', *Open Biol* 7(11).

Rodrigo Albors, A., Singer, G. A., Llorens-Bobadilla, E., Frisen, J., May, A. P., Ponting, C. P. and
Storey, K. G. (2023) 'An ependymal cell census identifies heterogeneous and ongoing cell
maturation in the adult mouse spinal cord that changes dynamically on injury', *Developmental*
cell 58(3): 239-255 e10.

Sabelström, Hanna, Stenudd, Moa, Réu, Pedro, Dias, David O., Elfineh, Marta, Zdunek, Sofia,
Damberg, Peter, Göritz, Christian and Frisén, Jonas (2013) 'Resident Neural Stem Cells Restrict
Tissue Damage and Neuronal Loss After Spinal Cord Injury in Mice', *Science (New York, N.Y.)*
342(6158): 637-640.

Saraswathy, V. M., Zhou, L., McAdow, A. R., Burris, B., Dogra, D., Reischauer, S. and Mokalled,
M. H. (2022) 'Myostatin is a negative regulator of adult neurogenesis after spinal cord injury in
zebrafish', *Cell Rep* 41(8): 111705.

Saraswathy, V. M., Zhou, L. and Mokalled, M. H. (2024) 'Single-cell analysis of innate spinal
cord regeneration identifies intersecting modes of neuronal repair', *Nat Commun* 15(1): 6808.

Sato, Masaki, Matsumoto, Mitsuyo, Saiki, Yuriko, Alam, Mahabub, Nishizawa, Hironari, Rokugo,
Masahiro, Brydun, Andrey, Yamada, Shinji, Kaneko, Mika K., Funayama, Ryo et al. (2020)
'BACH1 Promotes Pancreatic Cancer Metastasis by Repressing Epithelial Genes and
Enhancing Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition', *Cancer Research* 80(6): 1279-1292.

Schaefer, T. and Lengerke, C. (2020) 'SOX2 protein biochemistry in stemness, reprogramming,
and cancer: the PI3K/AKT/SOX2 axis and beyond', *Oncogene* 39(2): 278-292.

Schwarzkopf, M., Liu, M. C., Schulte, S. J., Ives, R., Husain, N., Choi, H. M. T. and Pierce, N. A.
(2021) 'Hybridization chain reaction enables a unified approach to multiplexed, quantitative,
high-resolution immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization', *Development* 148(22).

Shah, Prajay T., Stratton, Jo A., Stykel, Morgan Gail, Abbasi, Sepideh, Sharma, Sandeep, Mayr,
Kyle A., Koblinger, Kathrin, Whelan, Patrick J. and Biernaskie, Jeff (2018) 'Single-Cell
Transcriptomics and Fate Mapping of Ependymal Cells Reveals an Absence of Neural Stem
Cell Function', *Cell* 173(4): 1045-1057.e9.

Shihabuddin, Lamya S., Horner, Philip J., Ray, Jasodhara and Gage, Fred H. (2000) 'Adult
Spinal Cord Stem Cells Generate Neurons after Transplantation in the Adult Dentate Gyrus',
The Journal of Neuroscience 20(23): 8727-8735.

Shin, J., Chen, J. and Solnica-Krezel, L. (2014) 'Efficient homologous recombination-mediated
genome engineering in zebrafish using TALE nucleases', *Development* 141(19): 3807-18.

Silver, Jerry (2016) 'The glial scar is more than just astrocytes', *Experimental Neurology* 286:
147-149.

Sofroniew, Michael V. (2018) 'Dissecting spinal cord regeneration', *Nature* 557(7705): 343-350.

Stuart, T., Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Hafemeister, C., Papalexi, E., Mauck, W. M., 3rd, Hao, Y.,
Stoeckius, M., Smibert, P. and Satija, R. (2019) 'Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data',
Cell 177(7): 1888-1902 e21.

Su, Z., Niu, W., Liu, M. L., Zou, Y. and Zhang, C. L. (2014) 'In vivo conversion of astrocytes to
neurons in the injured adult spinal cord', *Nat Commun* 5: 3338.

Sun, J., Hoshino, H., Takaku, K., Nakajima, O., Muto, A., Suzuki, H., Tashiro, S., Takahashi, S.,
Shibahara, S., Alam, J. et al. (2002) 'Hemoprotein Bach1 regulates enhancer availability of
heme oxygenase-1 gene', *The EMBO journal* 21(19): 5216-24.

Suzuki, Katsushi, Matsumoto, Mitsuyo, Katoh, Yasutake, Liu, Liang, Ochiai, Kyoko, Aizawa,
Yuta, Nagatomi, Ryoichi, Okuno, Hiroshi, Itoi, Eiji and Igarashi, Kazuhiko (2020) 'Bach1
promotes muscle regeneration through repressing Smad-mediated inhibition of myoblast
differentiation', *PLoS One* 15(8): e0236781.

Tai, W., Wu, W., Wang, L. L., Ni, H., Chen, C., Yang, J., Zang, T., Zou, Y., Xu, X. M. and Zhang,
C. L. (2021) 'In vivo reprogramming of NG2 glia enables adult neurogenesis and functional
recovery following spinal cord injury', *Cell Stem Cell* 28(5): 923-937 e4.

Takahashi, Kazutoshi and Yamanaka, Shinya (2006) 'Induction of pluripotent stem cells from
mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors', *Cell* 126(4): 663-676.

Tazaki, A., Tanaka, E. M. and Fei, J. F. (2017) 'Salamander spinal cord regeneration: The
ultimate positive control in vertebrate spinal cord regeneration', *Dev Biol* 432(1): 63-71.

Team, R Core (2018) 'R: A language and environment for statistical computing'.

Tendolkar, A. and Mokalled, M. H. (2025) 'Mechanisms underpinning spontaneous spinal cord
regeneration', *Development* 152(20).

Than-Trong, E, Kiani, B, Dray, N, Ortica, S, Simons, B, Rulands, S, Alunni, A and Bally-Cuif, L
(2020) 'Lineage hierarchies and stochasticity ensure the long-term maintenance of adult neural
stem cells', *Science advances* 6(18).

Than-Trong, E, Ortica-Gatti, S, Mella, S, Nepal, C, Alunni, A and Bally-Cuif, L (2018) 'Neural
stem cell quiescence and stemness are molecularly distinct outputs of the Notch3 signalling
cascade in the vertebrate adult brain', *Development (Cambridge, England)* 145(10).

Turner, R. and Tjian, R. (1989) 'Leucine repeats and an adjacent DNA binding domain mediate
the formation of functional cFos-cJun heterodimers', *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 243(4899): 1689-
1694.

Vandestadt, C., Vanwalleghem, G. C., Khabooshan, M. A., Douek, A. M., Castillo, H. A., Li, M.,
Schulze, K., Don, E., Stamatis, S. A., Ratnadiwakara, M. et al. (2021) 'RNA-induced
inflammation and migration of precursor neurons initiates neuronal circuit regeneration in
zebrafish', *Developmental cell* 56(16): 2364-2380 e8.

Walker, S. E. and Echeverri, K. (2022) 'Spinal cord regeneration - the origins of progenitor cells
for functional rebuilding', *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 75: 101917.

Xu, Bai, Zhao, Yannan, Xiao, Zhifeng, Wang, Bin, Liang, Hui, Li, Xing, Fang, Yongxiang, Han,
Sufang, Li, Xiaoran, Fan, Caixia et al. (2017) 'A Dual Functional Scaffold Tethered with EGFR
Antibody Promotes Neural Stem Cell Retention and Neuronal Differentiation for Spinal Cord
Injury Repair', *Advanced Healthcare Materials* 6(9).

Xu, C. and Su, Z. (2015) 'Identification of cell types from single-cell transcriptomes using a novel
clustering method', *Bioinformatics* 31(12): 1974-80.

Xue, Xiaoyu, Shu, Muya, Xiao, Zhifeng, Zhao, Yannan, Li, Xing, Zhang, Haipeng, Fan,
Yongheng, Wu, Xianming, Chen, Bing, Xu, Bai et al. (2022) 'Lineage tracing reveals the origin of
Nestin-positive cells are heterogeneous and rarely from ependymal cells after spinal cord injury',
Science China Life Sciences 65(4): 757-769.

Yang, P., Arnold, S. A., Habas, A., Hetman, M. and Hagg, T. (2008) 'Ciliary neurotrophic factor
mediates dopamine D2 receptor-induced CNS neurogenesis in adult mice', *J Neurosci* 28(9):
2231-41.

Yang, S., Corbett, S. E., Koga, Y., Wang, Z., Johnson, W. E., Yajima, M. and Campbell, J. D.
(2020) 'Decontamination of ambient RNA in single-cell RNA-seq with DecontX', *Genome Biol*
21(1): 57.

Zappia, L. and Oshlack, A. (2018) 'Clustering trees: a visualization for evaluating clusterings at
multiple resolutions', *Gigascience* 7(7).

Zhang, S., Bell, E., Zhi, H., Brown, S., Imran, S. A. M., Azuara, V. and Cui, W. (2019) 'OCT4 and
PAX6 determine the dual function of SOX2 in human ESCs as a key pluripotent or neural factor',
Stem Cell Res Ther 10(1): 122.

Zhang, S. and Cui, W. (2014) 'Sox2, a key factor in the regulation of pluripotency and neural
differentiation', *World J Stem Cells* 6(3): 305-11.

Zheng, B. and Tuszynski, M. H. (2023) 'Regulation of axonal regeneration after mammalian
spinal cord injury', *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 24(6): 396-413.

Zhou, L., McAdow, A. R., Yamada, H., Burris, B., Klatt Shaw, D., Oonk, K., Poss, K. D. and
Mokalled, M. H. (2023) 'Progenitor-derived glia are required for spinal cord regeneration in
zebrafish', *Development* 150(10).

Figure 1

Transient proliferation of Sox2⁺ cells after SCI

Self-renewal of *sox2*⁺ cells after SCI

Figure 1. Sox2$^+$ cells undergo transient self-renewal after SCI. (A) Experimental timeline to evaluate the proliferation of Sox2$^+$ cells after SCI. Uninjured SC tissues were collected 6 days after daily EdU injections. Injured tissues were collected at 7, 14 and 28 dpi for histological examination. **(B)** Immunostaining for Sox2 (green), EdU (magenta) and Hoechst (grey) at 7 dpi. SC cross sections 750 μm from the lesion are shown. High-magnification insets show select Sox2$^+$ EdU$^+$ cells in triple, double or single channel views. Arrowheads indicate Sox2$^+$ EdU$^+$ cells. **(C)** Quantification of the numbers of Sox2$^+$ EdU$^+$ cells at 0, 7, 14 and 28 dpi. Percent Sox2$^+$ EdU$^+$ cells were normalized to Sox2$^+$ cells for each section. Cross sections 150, 450 and 750 μm rostral and caudal to the lesion were analyzed. **(D)** Experimental timeline for genetic lineage tracing of Sox2$^+$ cells after SCI. *sox2-Tracer* animals were treated with 5 mM Tamoxifen (TAM) for 15 hrs at 3 dpi. SC tissue sections were analyzed at 5, 7, 14 and 28 dpi. **(E)** Immunostaining for *sox2-Tracer$^+$* (GFP, green), Sox2 (magenta) and Hoechst (grey). SC sections 450 μm from the lesion are shown at 5 and 14 dpi. Arrowheads indicate *sox2*-Tracer$^+$ Sox2$^+$ cells. **(F,G)** Quantification of *sox2-Tracer$^+$* Sox2$^+$ cells at 5, 7, 14 and 28 dpi. For each section, the numbers of *sox2-Tracer$^+$* Sox2$^+$ cells were normalized to either Sox2$^+$ cells (F), or to *sox2-Tracer$^+$* cells (G). Quantifications were performed 150, 450 and 750 μm from the lesion. Data points indicate individual animals and sample sizes are indicated in parentheses. Two-way ANOVA was performed in C. Two-way ANOVA with Holm-Šidák's multiple comparisons were performed in J and L. Error bars represent SEM. ns, $p \geq 0.05$; **$p \leq 0.01$; ***$p \leq 0.001$. Scale bars: 50 μm, inset 10 μm.

Figure 2

A snRNA-seq of *sox2-2a-sfGFP* zebrafish

B Tissue collection → Sequencing

C Integrated Dataset

D Control | 7 dpi | 14 dpi

E % *sox2*⁺ cells | *sox2* expression

F Mapping *sox2*⁺ cells during SC regeneration — Control | 7 dpi | 14 dpi

G Injury induced clusters

H Heterogeneity and lineage biases of *sox2*⁺ cells in uninjured SCs

I

Figure 2. *sox2*⁺ cells are heterogeneous and lineage-biased in homeostatic and lesioned SCs. **(A)** Sox2 staining in *sox2-2a-sfGFP* zebrafish at 7 dpi. SC cross sections 450 μm from the lesion are shown and dotted lines delineate central canal edges. The numbers of GFP⁺ Sox2⁺ nuclei were normalized to either Sox2⁺ (left) or GFP⁺ (right) nuclei. **(B)** Experimental pipeline to generate a single-cell atlas of zebrafish SC progenitors. SC tissue collection, nuclear isolation and single nuclear RNA-seq (snRNA-seq) were performed on *sox2-2a-sfGFP* knock-in fish at 0, 7 and 14 dpi. **(C)** Merged UMAP representation of the integrated dataset. Two biological replicates, 3 time points and 63,804 nuclei were clustered into major spinal cell populations. **(D,E)** Feature plots of *sox2*⁺ cells during SC regeneration. For each time point, cell proportions were normalized to the total number of cells at that time point. **(F)** Split UMAP representation shows 19 subclusters of *sox2*⁺ cells at 0.6 resolution parameter. Two biological replicates and 3,620 *sox2*⁺ cells were analyzed. **(G)** Distribution of *sox2*⁺ cells per cluster during SC regeneration. For each time point and cluster, the numbers of *sox2*⁺ cells were normalized to the total number of cells within that cluster. **(H)** UMAP representation of *sox2*⁺ cells in uninjured SC tissues. Cluster identities and numbers were matched with their corresponding clusters from the integrated dataset in F. **(I)** Lineage biases of *sox2*⁺ cells in uninjured SC tissues. The top DE markers for each cluster were cross-referenced with our assembled database of vertebrate nervous system markers (VNM marker database). Heatmap depicting -$\log_{10}$ p-value for the marker scoring output from the "DEMarkerScoring" algorithm is shown. One-tailed hypergeometric probability test was used to calculate the p-value. -$\log_{10}$ p-value for each cluster was scaled between 0-100. Cluster identity was assigned based on the highest -$\log_{10}$ p-value obtained for each cluster. Scale bars: 50 µm.

Mapping ependymal- and neuron-biased *sox2*⁺ cells *in vivo*

A *wnt4a*

Cluster 0

B *wnt4a sox2-2a-sfGFP* 7 dpi

C *wnt4a* expression in *sox2*⁺ cells

Rostral Lesion Caudal

D *lhx4*

Cluster 16

E *lhx4 sox2-2a-sfGFP* HuC/D 7 dpi

F *lhx4* expression in *sox2*⁺ cells

Rostral Lesion Caudal

G *lhx4* expression in HuC/D⁺ neurons

Rostral Lesion Caudal

Control — 7 dpi — 14 dpi

***sox2*⁺ cells give rise to neurons and glia after SCI**

H 0   3   7   14 dpi

SCI 5 mM TAM for 15 hrs Histology Histology

sox2-Tracer⁺   7 dpi   14 dpi

I *sox2-Tracer* HuC/D Hoechst

7 dpi

14 dpi

J % Tracer⁺ HuC/D⁺ / HuC/D⁺

(7)(5) (7)(6) (7)(4)
*   **   ***

750   450   150
Distance from lesion (μm)

% Tracer⁺ HuC/D⁺ / Tracer⁺

(7)(5) (7)(6) (7)(4)
***   **   ***

750   450   150
Distance from lesion (μm)

K *sox2-Tracer* Gfap Hoechst

7 dpi

14 dpi

L % Tracer⁺ Gfap⁺ / Gfap⁺

(7)(5) (6)(5) (6)(5)
**   ***   ***

750   450   150
Distance from lesion (μm)

% Tracer⁺ Gfap⁺ / Tracer⁺

(7)(5) (6)(5) (6)(5)
*   *

750   450   150
Distance from lesion (μm)

Figure 3. Compartmentalized niches of *sox2*⁺ cells give rise to neurons and glia after SCI.
(A-C) *in vivo* validation of ependymal-biased *sox2*⁺ cell cluster 0. Feature plot shows *wnt4a* expression in c0. HCR *in situ* hybridization shows *wnt4a* transcripts (green) at 7 dpi. Arrowheads point to *wnt4a*⁺*sox2-2a-sfGFP*⁺ cells. Colocalization of *wnt4a* with *sox2-2a-sfGFP* was quantified at 0, 7 and 14 dpi. **(D-G)** *in vivo* validation of neuron-biased *sox2*⁺ cell cluster 16. Feature plot shows *lhx4* expression in c16. HCR *in situ* hybridization shows *lhx4* transcripts (green) at 7 dpi. Arrowheads point to *lhx4*⁺*sox2-2a-sfGFP*⁺ cells. Colocalization of *wnt4a* with *sox2-2a-sfGFP* (F) and with HuC/D (G) were quantified at 0, 7 and 14 dpi. **(H)** Experimental timeline for genetic lineage tracing of Sox2⁺ cells after SCI. *sox2-Tracer* animals were treated with 5 mM Tamoxifen (TAM) for 15 hrs at 3 dpi. SC tissue sections were analyzed at 7 and 14 dpi. **(I)** Immunostaining for *sox2*-Tracer⁺ (GFP, green), HuC/D (magenta) and Hoechst (grey). SC sections from TAM-treated *sox2*-Tracer (Cre⁺) animals are shown. Insets show high magnification *sox2*-Tracer⁺ cells marked with white arrowheads. **(J)** Quantification of *sox2*-Tracer⁺ and HuC/D⁺ colocalization at 7 and 14 dpi. The numbers of *sox2*-Tracer⁺ and Gfap⁺ cells were quantified. The numbers of s*ox2*-Tracer⁺ HuC/D⁺ cells were normalized to the number of HuC/D⁺ cells (upper panel), and to the total number of *sox2*-Tracer⁺ cells (lower panel). **(K)** Immunostaining for *sox2*-Tracer⁺ (GFP, green), Gfap (magenta) and Hoechst (grey). SC sections from TAM-treated *sox2*-Tracer (Cre⁺) animals are shown. Insets show high magnification *sox2*-Tracer⁺ cells with white arrowheads indicating *sox2*-Tracer⁺ Gfap⁺ staining. **(L)** Quantification of *sox2*-Tracer⁺ and Gfap⁺ colocalization at 7 and 14 dpi. *sox2*-Tracer⁺ and Gfap⁺ fluorescence area was quantified. s*ox2*-Tracer⁺ HuC/D⁺ expression was normalized to Gfap expression (upper panel), and to *sox2*-Tracer expression (lower panel). Data points indicate individual animals and sample sizes are indicated in parentheses. Two-way ANOVA with Holm-Šidák's multiple comparisons were performed in J and L. Error bars represent SEM. ns, p≥0.05; *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001. Scale bars: 50 μm, inset 10 μm.

Figure 4

Screening for transcriptional activators and repressors in early vs late SC repair

A SCI → snRNA-Seq → Pseudobulk analysis → Candidate activators and repressors

B Injury-induced TFs by pseudobulk analysis

C 7 dpi | 21 dpi | 42 dpi

D *bach1a/b* expression in Sox2⁺ subset by snRNA-seq

bach1a/b are upregulated in sub-acute and chronic SCI

E Control | 7 dpi | 14 dpi | 21 dpi | 28 dpi — *bach1a*

F *bach1a* expression

G *bach1b*

H *bach1b* expression

Figure 4. Screening for activators and repressors during SC regeneration. (A) Experimental pipeline to identify transcriptional activators and repressors in sub-acute and chronic SCI. Pseudobulk analysis was performed to overcome the limitation of detecting transcription factors by snRNA-seq. **(B)** Proportions of activators, repressors or dual-function transcription factors identified from pseudobulk analysis at 7, 21 and 42 dpi compared to uninjured controls. **(C)** Heatmap depicting the expression of differentially expressed transcription factors at 7, 21 and 42 dpi. Transcription factors that are differentially expressed compared to uninjured at any given time point are bolded. Dark connector lines indicate genes that gained significance between time points. **(D)** Dotplots represent *bach1a* and *bach1b* expression in subclustered *sox2*$^+$ cells at 0, 7 and 14 dpi. **(E)** RNAscope *in situ* hybridization for *bach1a* during SC regeneration. Cross sections from wild type zebrafish at 0, 7, 14, 21 and 28 dpi are shown. **(F)** Quantification of *bach1a* expression during SC regeneration. *bach1a*$^+$ area was quantified and normalized to uninjured controls. Sections 450 µm from the lesion were quantified. **(G)** RNAscope *in situ* hybridization for *bach1b* during SC regeneration. Cross sections from wild type zebrafish at 0, 7, 14, 21 and 28 dpi are shown. **(H)** Quantification of *bach1b* expression during SC regeneration. *bach1b*$^+$ area was quantified and normalized to uninjured controls. Sections 450 µm from the lesion were quantified. Data points indicate individual animals and sample sizes are indicated in parentheses. Two-way ANOVA with Holm-Šidák's multiple comparisons were performed in F and H. Error bars represent SEM. *$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$; ***$p < 0.001$. Scale bars: 50 µm, inset 10 µm.

Figure 5

SC regeneration in *bach1a/b* mutants

A

bach1a/b mutant

SCI

→ Functional recovery

→ Anatomical regeneration

→ Sox2+ cell expansion and quiescence

B **Swim endurance**
0-42 dpi

Flow

bach1a/b+/-
bach1a/b-/-

Swim time (min)

ns   ns   ***   ***

9,9   25,17   13,13   10,8

Control   14   28   42

dpi

C Axon regrowth at 42 dpi

Biocytin

bach1a/b+/-

bach1a/b-/-

biocytin   proximal distal

% Axon growth

(9)   (11)   (9)   (11)

*   *

bach1a/b   +/-   -/-   +/-   -/-

Proximal   Distal

Caudal

D Glial bridging at 14 dpi

Gfap

bach1a/b+/-

Lesion

bach1a/b-/-

Lesion

% Bridging

(12)   (16)

**

bach1a/b   +/-   -/-

sox2+ cell expansion and quiescence in *bach1a/b* mutants

E Cell proliferation

7 dpi   42 dpi

SCI

PCNA
Histology

PCNA
Histology

F   Sox2 PCNA

7 dpi   42 dpi

bach1a/b+/-

bach1a/b-/-

G   *bach1a/b+/-*   *bach1a/b-/-*

ANOVA p<0.0001

% PCNA+ Sox2+ cells / Sox2+

**   *

8,7   8,11

7   42

dpi

Figure 5. Loss of *bach1* impairs the expansion and quiescence of *sox2*⁺ cells after SCI. (A) *bach1a/b* double mutant fish were subjected to SCI. Standard regeneration assays and the profiles of *sox2*⁺ cells were assessed at 7 and 42 dpi. **(B)** Swim endurance for *bach1a/b⁻ᐟ⁻* fish and *bach1a/b⁺ᐟ⁻* control siblings at 14, 28 and 42 dpi. This behavioral test assessed the capacity of *bach1a/b⁻ᐟ⁻* animals to swim in an enclosed swim tunnel under increasing water current velocities. The time at exhaustion for each group is shown. **(C)** Anterograde axon tracing in *bach1a/b⁻ᐟ⁻* and *bach1a/b⁺ᐟ⁻* controls at 42 dpi. Biocytin axon tracer was applied rostrally and analyzed at 600 μm (proximal) and 1500 μm (distal) caudal to the lesion. Representative images show the extent of Biocytin labeling 600 μm (proximal) caudal to the lesion. Axon growth was first normalized to the extent of Biocytin rostral to the lesion, and then to labeling in *bach1a/b⁺ᐟ⁻* controls. **(D)** Glial bridging in *bach1a/b⁻ᐟ⁻* fish and *bach1a/b⁺ᐟ⁻* control siblings at 14 dpi. Representative immunohistochemistry shows the Gfap⁺ bridge at the lesion site. Percent bridging represents the cross-sectional area of the glial bridge at the lesion site relative to the intact SC 750 μm rostral to the lesion. **(E)** Experimental timeline to assess Sox2⁺ cell proliferation at 7 and 42 dpi. **(F)** PCNA (magenta) and Sox2 (green) staining in *bach1a/b⁻ᐟ⁻* and *bach1a/b⁺ᐟ⁻* controls. Representative micrographs 450 μm from the lesion are shown. Arrowheads indicate Sox2⁺ PCNA⁺ cells. **(G)** Quantification of Sox2⁺ cell proliferation at 7 and 42 dpi. For each section, the numbers of PCNA⁺ Sox2⁺ cells were quantified and normalized to Sox2⁺ cells. Quantifications were performed 450 μm from the lesion. For all quantifications, data points indicate individual animals and sample sizes are indicated in parentheses. Two-way ANOVA with Holm-Šidák's multiple comparisons were performed in B, C and G. Unpaired t test was performed in D. Error bars represent SEM. ns, $p \geq 0.05$; *$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$; ***$p < 0.001$. Scale bars: 50 μm.

Figure 6

A Bach1 binding motif upstream of *sox2*

B *hBACH1^OE^* for ChIP

C ChIP RT-qPCR

D *6xBACH1*-driven luciferase

E *sox2* enhancer-driven luciferase

F *hBACH1* overexpression

G

H

I *sox2* overexpression

J

K

Figure 6. Bach1 is a dual-regulator of *sox2* expression in sub-acute and chronic SCI. (A) Schematic representation of the genomic sequence upstream of *sox2*. Grey arrowheads indicate putative binding sites for hBACH1 +/-1 mismatch. The control region marked with black arrowhead was used to detect background binding by ChIP. **(B)** Experimental timeline for ChIP depicting 3 daily heat shocks and SC tissue collection at either 5 or 42 dpi. **(C)** qRT-PCR analysis of hBACH1 enrichment at genomic regions corresponding to those marked in A at 5 and 42 dpi. Y axis represents hBach1 binding. Numbers on the X axis represent putative Bach1 binding sites from 6A, C represents a control genomic region that does not contain Bach1 binding sites. hBach1 binding was calculated using the ΔCq method and normalized to IgG immunoprecipitation (dotted line). **(D)** Luciferase assays using *6xBACH1*-driven Firelfy luciferase. Quantification of *6xBACH1*-Firefly luciferase after co-expression of Bach1a or Bach1b. Y axis represents that activity of Firefly luciferase normalized to control Renilla luciferase and to control wells transfected with empty pcDNA3 vector. **(E)** Luciferase assays using *sox2* enhancer-driven Firelfy luciferase. A 402 bp genomic region containing Bach1 binding regions 5 and 6 upstream of *sox2* was used. Bach1a, Bach1b with or without Maf transcription factors were co-expressed. Firefly luciferase activity was normalized to Renilla luciferase and to control wells transfected with empty pcDNA3 vector. **(F-H)** Experimental timeline for *hBACH1* overexpression. Sox2$^+$ cells were quantified 450 μm from the lesion at 7 and 42 dpi (G). For each section, the numbers of Sox2$^+$ cells were normalized to the total number of nuclei. Swim endurance for *hBACH1^{OE}* fish and control siblings was performed at 42 dpi (H). **(I-K)** Experimental timeline for *sox2* overexpression in *bach1a/b* mutants. Sox2$^+$ cells were quantified 450 μm from the lesion (J). Swim endurance for *sox2^{OE};bach1a/b$^{-/-}$* fish and *bach1a/b$^{-/-}$* controls was performed at 42 dpi (K). Data points indicate individual animals or separate biological replicates, and sample sizes are indicated in parentheses. Two-way ANOVA with Holm-Šidák's multiple comparisons were performed in C and G. Ordinary one-way ANOVA with Holm-Šidák's multiple comparisons was performed in D and E. Unpaired t tests were performed in J and H. Error bars represent SEM. ns, p≥0.05; *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001.