Distinct tau filament folds in familial frontotemporal dementia due to the *MAPT* S305I mutation

Authors: Henry S. Pan[1†], Gregory E. Merz[2,3†], Alissa Nana Li[4], Minh Quan Le[2,5], Hyunil Jo[1], Athena Quddus[2], Anthony Yung[2], Rian C. Kormos[1,5††], Arthur A. Melo[2], Eliana Marisa Ramos[6], Argentina Lario Lago[4], Salvatore Spina[4], Lea T. Grinberg[4,7†††], Howard J. Rosen[4], Eric Tse[2,3], Maria Luisa Gorno-Tempini[4], William F. DeGrado[1], William W. Seeley[4,7]*, and Daniel R. Southworth[2,8]*

Affiliations:

[1]Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, The Cardiovascular Research Institution, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

[2]Institute for Neurodegenerative Diseases, Weill Institute for Neurosciences, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

[3]Department of Neurology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

[4]Weill Institute for Neurosciences, Memory and Aging Center, Department of Neurology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

[5]Graduate Program in Biophysics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA.

[6]Department of Neurology, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

[7]Department of Pathology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

24   [8]Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California San

25   Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

[†]Henry S. Pan and Gregory E. Merz contributed equally to this work.

[††]Present address: St. Jude Children's Hospital, Memphis, TN, USA

[†††]Present address: Departments of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology and

Neurosciences, Mayo Clinic Florida, Jacksonville, FL, USA

*Correspondence: Daniel R. Southworth, daniel.southworth@ucsf.edu and William W.

Seeley, bill.seeley@ucsf.edu

Abstract

Frontotemporal lobar degeneration with tau inclusions (FTLD-tau) comprise a class of

fatal heterogeneous neurodegenerative diseases. Approximately 10% arise from

pathogenic MAPT mutations and often cause severe, early-onset disease with

pathology that is distinct yet partially overlapping with sporadic cases. Here, we

evaluated post-mortem tissue from a patient with FTLD-tau due to *MAPT* S305I

showing neuropathology most consistent with argyrophilic grain disease (AGD), a

prevalent limbic tauopathy of aging. Structures determined by cryo-electron microscopy

reveal tau filament folds that differ from those found in sporadic AGD or other

tauopathies and feature a 4-layer architecture stabilized by the Ile substitution within its

core. Comparative structural analysis reveals conserved motifs are shared among AGD,

corticobasal degeneration, and *MAPT* P301T. A well-defined density stacks along a

cationic cleft, indicative of a bound RNA-like polyanion or small-molecule. *In vitro*

analysis shows the S305I mutation promotes fibrilization relative to normal tau. These

results demonstrate that *MAPT S305I* stabilizes a distinct aggregation-prone tau fold

that likely contributes to disease pathology and heterogeneity beyond its known splicing

defects, and underscore potential limitations of using the most pathologically similar

genetic form as a model for sporadic FTLD-tau.

Introduction

Tauopathies, including Alzheimer's disease (AD), progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP), corticobasal degeneration (CBD) and others, are a diverse group of neurodegenerative diseases characterized by the accumulation of toxic protein deposits in the brain comprised primarily of the microtubule-associated protein tau (MAPT, or tau)[1–9]. Cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) studies of tau filaments extracted from post-mortem patient brain tissue have revealed that the structural core, which typically includes microtubule-binding repeat domains (R1-R4) and a portion of the C-terminus (C) adopts different cross-β amyloid folds in disease[10–17]. These insights have advanced disease classification by identifying specific types of tau filament folds that arise in different pathologically defined tauopathies[10]. Moreover, the diversity of tau filament structures indicates different molecular mechanisms likely underlie tau aggregation in these diseases. Nonetheless, the molecular and pathological factors that drive the formation of a particular tau disease fold remain poorly understood.

Investigations of pathogenic *MAPT* variants have established the central role of tau aggregation in disease[18] and offer important insight into the molecular drivers of tau filament folds. While most tauopathies occur sporadically[19], more than 60 familial mutations in *MAPT* have been identified to date, each associated with a mutation-specific pattern of frontotemporal lobar degeneration with tau pathology (FTLD-tau)[20]. The observed FTLD-tau pathomorphological features overlap with those seen in the sporadic forms, including AD, PSP, CBD, Pick's disease (PiD), and argyrophilic grain disease (AGD)[10,21–23], prompting some authors to propose that sporadic FTLD-tau can be effectively modeled using familial *MAPT* mutations[24]. Mutations at residue P301, including

P301L and P301S, are known to enhance tau aggregation and seeding and are widely used in cell and transgenic animal models for tauopathies, including AD[25–29]. Cryo-EM studies have revealed that familial tau mutations form specific filament folds: P301L and P301T adopt unique structures[30], while V337M and R406W are similar to the AD paired helical filament (PHF) fold[14]. However, only a limited number of tau structures from patients with *MAPT* mutations have been characterized, despite strong interest in defining tau folds that arise in disease and advancing the development of small-molecule diagnostics and therapeutics that selectively bind specific folds[31–33].

Familial mutations in *MAPT* occur in both exonic and intronic regions, including several at the exon 10 splice junction, which contains a stem loop regulatory element required for alternative splicing of 3-repeat (3R) and 4-repeat (4R) tau isoforms[34] (**Fig. 1a**). Mutations in this region cause splicing defects that increase 4R tau levels[35,36] and are proposed to drive 4R-predominant tauopathies resembling CBD and PSP. The *S305I* mutation, located within the coding sequence at the exon 10 splice junction, was previously identified in a patient with severe early onset FTD, with symptoms developing at age 39 and a rapid, 1.5-year disease course[37]. Post-mortem analysis revealed neuropathology similar to sporadic AGD, with argyrophilic grains, neuronal cytoplasmic inclusions, and oligodendroglial coiled bodies comprised of 4R tau, while classical neurofibrillary tangles, Pick bodies, and other tau morphologies were absent[37]. Sporadic AGD is the second most common tauopathy after AD[38], and it is characterized by a very late age of onset and pathology that is typically limited to the limbic system, in contrast to the tauopathy resulting from *S305I* in which tau aggregation is more widespread in frontal and temporal regions,[37] thereby producing an FTD-like clinical picture. Because inherited

forms of FTLD-tau have been proposed as models for sporadic FTLD-tau subtypes[24], it

is important to determine whether the inherited and sporadic forms are associated with

the same underlying tau filament fold.

Here, we sought to characterize the neuropathology and tau filament structures

from a 39-year-old patient with the *MAPT S305I* mutation. Histopathological analysis

revealed tau aggregates with morphological similarities to sporadic AGD but with more

severe pathology, extending into the frontal and temporal cortices. Cryo-EM structures of

tau filaments isolated from post-mortem tissue were determined to 3.1 and 3.2 Å

resolution and demonstrate that S305I tau adopts two unique single protofilament folds.

Each is comprised of an identical 4-layer fold and β hairpin involving microtubule repeat

domains R2-R4, as well as an R1:C arm arranged differently in the two structures. The

S305I residue contributes to the overall fold by packing in a solvent-inaccessible

hydrophobic pocket in the center of the 4-layer core. Notably, a distinct unassigned

density is resolved along a solvent-facing cleft formed by basic residues in R2 and R3

that is suggestive of a cellular polyanion, such as RNA, or a stacking anionic metabolite.

Finally, *in vitro* fibrilization analysis of recombinant tau protein containing the S305I

mutation reveals more rapid poly-phosphate-induced aggregation relative to normal tau,

indicating the mutation alone contributes to tau aggregation. These results reveal that the

MAPT S305I mutation results in a distinct 4R tau filament fold not previously observed in

other sporadic or familial tauopathies. Moreover, S305I may contribute to the unique

early-onset AGD-like pathology through accelerated aggregation and promotion of a

specific tau filament fold, in addition to previously reported splicing defects and increased

4R tau levels.

Results

MAPT S305I FTLD-tau Case with Distinct and AGD-like Neuropathological Features

Here, we studied a 39-year-old woman who developed subtle changes in social behavior at age 35, followed by difficulties with speech, spelling, and grammar, prompting a diagnosis of nonfluent variant primary progressive aphasia. Later, she developed more widespread cognitive deficits, including memory loss. Brain MRI revealed severe grey and white matter atrophy most notable in dorsal and opercular frontal, medial temporal, insular, inferior parietal, and limbic structures (**Fig. 1b**). These findings are unlike those typically associated with sporadic AGD, in that less severe atrophy and more focal limbic atrophy is seen (**Fig. 1c**). Her father was diagnosed with FTD in his 50s. *MAPT S305I* was uncovered via research-based genetic screening that included *MAPT*, *APP, C9ORF72, FUS, GRN, MAPT, PSEN1, PSEN2* and *TARDBP* genes, as described previously[39,40]. Autopsy was performed ~13 hours after death, and the fresh brain weighed 1000 grams. Hematoxylin and eosin staining of post-mortem brain tissue revealed severe degenerative changes in the frontal operculum, dorsal frontal regions, and angular gyrus that were more pronounced than typically seen in AGD[37], as well as mild to moderate changes in ventral frontal and temporal areas. Immunohistochemistry for hyperphosphorylated tau (CP13 antibody) revealed a pattern of fine argyrophilic grains and threads in both cortical and subcortical regions, along with diffuse/granular neuronal cytoplasmic inclusions, at times with perinuclear halos, occasional compact round neuronal cytoplasmic inclusions, and coiled bodies in areas exhibiting degenerative changes (**Fig. 1d-j**). These features are similar but distinct from those seen in sporadic

AGD (**Fig. 1l-q**). Granular/fuzzy astrocytes, a common though not universal feature of

sporadic AGD, were absent[41]. An antibody against 3-repeat tau (RD3) disclosed normal

findings, confirming the 4-repeat nature of the tauopathy. While these morphological

findings are consistent with the previous characterization of a patient with the same *MAPT*

S305I mutation[37], we conclude that overall, *MAPT S305I* results in certain distinct

neuropathological features compared to AGD.

S305I Tau Filament Structures Adopt a Unique 4-Layer Fold

We next sought to characterize and determine structures of tau filaments isolated

from the patient with *MAPT S305I* described above using cryo-EM. Sarkosyl-insoluble

filaments were purified from 1g of fresh-frozen inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) tissue, as

previously described[10] (see Methods), and verified by negative-stain and cryo-EM to

contain predominantly tau filaments with a wavy morphology (**Fig. 2a and Extended Data**

Fig. 1a-b). Two-dimensional (2D) class averages exhibit filaments with two distinct

morphologies (hereafter referred to as S305I tau Type I and Type II), based on the twist

and a crossover distance, measured to be ~600 Å for Type I and ~750 Å Type II (**Fig. 2b**),

each with well-defined 4.8 Å β-sheet spacing (**Extended Data Fig. 1c**). Notably, the

crossover distances are considerably shorter than for sporadic AGD tau Types I and II,

which are reported to be 240 nm and 216 nm, respectively[10]. Following 3D classification

and helical reconstruction, we determined structures of S305I tau Type I and Type II

filaments at resolutions of 3.1 Å and 3.2 Å, respectively, revealing two distinct filament

folds that had not been previously reported (**Fig. 2c, Extended Data Fig. 1d-f, and Table**

1). Type I constituted the majority (~85%) of the dataset, whereas Type II filaments were

less abundant (~15%).

The maps for both Type I and II filaments exhibit continuous, well-resolved density

with side chain features, revealing both adopt a central 4-layered fold with an exposed

hairpin on one face and a lower resolution stem at the opposite face that is positioned

differently in each structure (**Fig. 2c**). Notably, a small but well-resolved discontinuous

density is identified in a solvent exposed cleft of the 4-layer fold (discussed below).

Human 4R tau residues G273–R379 were modeled into the map using Isolde[42] based on

a ModelAngelo[43] predicted fit, revealing they form a large 97-residue folded hairpin

established by distal cross-β contacts between R2 I277 and C I371 (**Fig. 3a-c**). The 4-

layer core is comprised of R2-R4, with the entire length of R4 (residues 337-368) forming

the solvent exposed face, contacting R2 (residues 275-285) and R3 (residues 305-307),

which together form a 9-residue internal β-hairpin (**Fig. 3b-c**). Notably, I305 is well-

resolved and located centrally in the 4-layer fold, pointed internally toward a 3-residue

hydrophobic groove in R4 (discussed below). R3 turns away from the 4-layer fold, forming

an 18-residue solvent-exposed β-hairpin (involving residues K317-G334). Alignment of

the Type I and II structures reveals they are nearly identical at the 4-layer fold and β-

hairpin regions (C_α root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) = 1.87 Å for residues K317-

G334). However, the C-terminal arm positions of Type I and Type II filaments are

substantially rotated (~100 deg) relative to each other due to a sharp kink in Type I

involving cross-β interactions D283:K369, S285:K369 and S285:G367 (**Fig. 3d**).

Isoleucine Mutation and Additional Density Interaction Support a Structural Basis of the S305I Tau Fold

Based on the related neuropathological features between AGD and *MAPT S305I* FTLD-tau[37], we next compared the S305I tau structures with the previously published AGD structures[10]. Focusing on the predominant Type I folds, alignment reveals substantial shifts in the overall structure and a more elongated solvent-exposed fold for S305I (**Fig. 4a, Supplementary Video 1**). Beyond these limited similarities, the R3 hairpin, comprising residues 312-334, is the only conserved region between the sporadic AGD and S305I structures. Residues 318-320 and 328-330 form a steric zipper in each fold, and while the relative orientations are substantially rotated, the RMSD is <2.0 Å between the two structures (**Fig. 4a, Extended Data Fig. 2**).

Notably, the large cavity, comprising R4-C and R2 in the AGD fold is collapsed in the S305I structure with no additional internal density in this region (**Fig. 4a**). This enables more continuous side chain interactions between R2 and R4, thereby elongating this stem region. Moreover, in the S305I structure charged residues K290, K295, and N296 in R2 are reoriented toward the solvent-exposed face, where they, together with Y310 and K311, coordinate the additional density located adjacent the R2–R3 junction (**Fig. 4b-c**). These interactions would be incompatible with R2-R3 contacts observed in the AGD structure and thus likely contribute to the rotated position of the R3 hairpin (**Fig. 4a**). Addtionally, several charged residues in the C domain including K370 and E372 that are adjacent to the additional density in the AGD strucure, are rotated and solvent exposed in the S305I structure (**Fig. 4b-c**).

209  The 305 position lies at the junction of the R2 and R3 domains. In sporadic AGD,

210 S305 is located in a small Gly-rich turn comprised of residues H299-S305 and appears

211 to hydrogen bond with G303 in the adjacent strand (**Fig. 4b, top inset**). Model-building

212 indicated that Ile is physically incompatible with this conformation, eliminating the

213 interaction with G303 and sterically clashing with H299 (**Fig. 4b, bottom inset**).

214 Conversely, in the S305I structure, I305 faces into a hydrophobic groove in the center of

215 the 4-layer fold created by well-packed hydrophobic residues V337, V339, L344, and

216 F346 (**Fig. 4c, insets**). Importantly, these comparisons reveal that Ile mutation likely

217 contributes substantially to the overall fold we observe for the S305I structures.

218  Considering the large number of tau filament folds that have now been determined

219 and classified based on structural and neuropathological features[10], we next compared

220 the S305I fold to other disease-associated folds. To this end, we developed a comparison

221 method that evaluates the backbone RMSD between two aligned structures (e.g. S305I

222 Type I and sporadic AGD) over sliding windows of increasing sequence length, thereby

223 enabling the identification of regions with local structural similarity (See Methods). In

224 addition to generating RMSD values for all residue ranges, the results are visualized as

225 triangular heat-maps that highlight regions of structural correspondence across all

226 possible alignments between the two folds (**Extended Data Fig. 3**).

227  To identify tau filament structures most similar to the S305I Type I fold, we

228 calculated localized RMSD values for all currently available disease-associated tau folds

229 (**Table 2**), where localized RMSD is calculated as the average RMSD value over all

230 residue ranges in the structure. The comparison also uses sequence alignment for all

231 sequentially identical (or similar in the case of mutants) pairs of structures, allowing

different splicing forms to be compared. Unexpectedly, the AGD fold showed only

moderate similarity, whereas the MAPT P301T Type I[30] and sporadic CBD folds[13]

exhibited the greatest overall structural resemblance to the S305I fold (**Extended Data**

Fig. 3). Analysis of the heatmaps reveals distinct conserved motifs, including the R3

hairpin (residues ~312-334) and β-strand regions within R2 (~285-304) and R4-C (~354-

375) (**Extended Data Fig. 3b-c,e**). Among these, the R3 hairpin represents the most

consistently conserved structural element, present in AGD Type I, P301T Type I, and CBD

folds (**Extended Data Figs. 4**).

Solvent-accessible regions of R2 and R3 in both S305I filament types encase a

large well-defined density which extends along the length of the filament axis (**Fig. 5**).

While the individual rungs of the density are well-defined, it contains connected regions

parallel to the filament axis. This density is positioned in a positively charged cleft flanked

by residues K290, K294, N296, Y310, and K311, indicating it is primarily negatively

charged (**Fig. 5a-b**). Given that it must satisfy multiple positive charges in each strand,

and from the cross-sectional view does not resemble a polypeptide, we hypothesize that

this density is a non-proteinaceous cofactor. The cofactor site (residues 290–311) is highly

conserved between Type I and Type II filaments (RMSD = 0.66 Å), which indicates that

the co-factor may also be conserved across the two filament types. This conserved region

extends from the middle of the R2 region to nearly the end of the R4 region (residues

290–364), with only small differences in backbone bending in the Z-plane (RMSD = 1.97

Å).

Unaccounted, likely non-proteinaceous densities have been observed in several

cryo-EM maps of other pathological tau fibrils[10,12,13]. These have been attributed to anions,

hydrophobic or charged metabolites or negatively charged RNA or polyphosphate that

bind to complementary regions of the fibril, potentially stabilizing the fold[10,12,13,44–46]. Thus,

there is growing interest in identifying these molecules as contributors to distinct tau folds,

however the densities are often diffuse and low-resolution, making their interaction difficult

to define. Notably, based on our RMSD analysis above, we identify the that P301T Type

I tau fold contains a region of strong structural homology compared to the S305I fold that

encompasses the R2–R3 junction (residues ~280-314) containing residue 305 and

residues K290, K294, N296, Y310 and K311 that coordinate the additional density

observed in our maps (**Extended Data Fig. 3e**)[30]. Upon examination of the deposited

P301T Type I map (EMD-51320), we identify a strikingly similar well-defined unaccounted

density in this region that is coordinated by the same residues we observe in the S305I

structures (**Extended Data Fig. 5**). We note this density was not previously reported for

the P301T Type I fold[30]. Based on these similarities, we propose that the additional

density in both structures likely corresponds to the same bound cellular metabolite or

polyanion. Together, these findings reveal structural commonalities between S305I and

other disease-associated tau folds and suggest that both the S305I substitution and the

associated bound factor together contribute to the distinct structural fold observed in

S305I.

Molecular modeling and In vitro analysis indicate polyanions and S305I mutation

contribute to tau fibrilization.

Based on the well-defined stacked arrangement of the extra density and positive

charge of the coordinating residues in the S305I structure, we next docked several

candidate molecules into the density (see Methods), to better understand potential

cofactor interactions (**Extended Data Fig. 6**). Based on the size of the density and

surrounding residues, we docked polyphosphate in addition to RNA and inositol

diphosphate[47], a common cellular metabolite containing two anionic phosphate groups,

into the density. Inositol diphosphate fits well in an arrangement in which the phosphate

groups interact with K290, K294, Y310, and K311, while the inositol rings stack together

along the fibril axis with a geometry that matches the overall 4.8 Å repeat of the amyloid

structure. A polyphosphate chain can dock into the density in straight or bent

configurations with the phosphate groups interacting with K294 and K311, but the fit is

incomplete with the chain accounting for only a fraction of the available density (**Extended

Data Fig. 6b-c**). We next docked poly-A and poly-G strands as a model for RNA. Poly-G

fits well in a single arrangement in which the phosphodiester backbone packs along the

fibril groove, interacting with K294, Y310, and K311, while the purine ring projects outward

at an angle that matches the density and enables an interaction with K290 (**Extended

Data Fig. 6d-g**). This stacked ring arrangement is similar to previous amyloid-small

molecule co-structures, in which pi-pi stacking between the aromatic rings of each ligand

supports interactions with the fibril[31,32,48]. We note that in all modeling tests, the

negatively-charged phosphodiester linkage is positioned in the cationic groove of the

S305I fibril, where it forms complementary electrostatic interactions with adjacent Lys

residues. Thus, this analysis further supports a direct role for polyanions such as RNA or

phosphate-containing metabolites functioning as cofactors that stably bind pathogenic

folds of tau.

Considering the Ile residue appears to directly organize the 4-layer tau fold of the

S305I structures we determined (**Fig. 4**), we hypothesized that the mutation itself may

contribute to disease pathology by promoting tau aggregation in addition to its reported

splicing defects and increased 4R tau expression[36,37]. To assess the impact of S305I on

tau aggregation, we compared the *in vitro* fibrilization kinetics of purified, recombinant

0N4R tau containing S305I or P301S, a well-characterized familial mutation known to

enhance aggregation, to wildtype, normal tau using an established Thioflavin T (ThT)

fluorescence assay[49,50]. Here we utilized polyphosphate, at 0.125 mg/mL, as an

established polyanion inducer of fibrilization[51] and determined the overall fibrillization rate

and aggregation propensity (as the inverse fluorescence half-time ($t_{1/2}$))[52], as described.

(**Fig. 6a and Extended Data Fig. 7**). Under these conditions, we observe that the S305I

fibrilization rate is significantly faster (by nearly 2-fold) compared to wildtype and slightly

faster than P301S (**Fig. 6b)**. Additionally, based on the aggregation propensity calculation,

S305I is modestly faster than wildtype while P301S is substantially faster (nearly 3-fold)

(Fig. 6c). From this analysis, we conclude that the presence of the S305I mutation indeed

promotes tau aggregation, similar to what has been observed for other aggregation-prone

mutations such as P301S. Finally, we assessed the polyphosphate-induced S305I tau

fibrils by cryo-EM and identify they form intact twisted fibrillar structures that appear

distinct from other *in vitro* fibrils[53] (**Fig. 6d)**. Upon 2D classification analysis we identify

these fibrils are heterogeneous and adopt several different folds which prevented 3D

structure determination. However, several classes show a distinct curvature that appears

similar to the wavy morphology we observe for the brain-derived S305I fibrils indicating

some structural similarities may be present between these folds (**Extended Data Fig. 7d)**.

Discussion

Pathogenic mutations in the *MAPT* gene continue to be identified, with currently over 60 linked to FTLD[54]. Many give rise to neuropathological phenotypes that closely resemble those observed in well-characterized sporadic tauopathies, including AD, PSP, CBD, and others[55]. On this basis, it has been proposed that distinct *MAPT* mutations can be classified according to their corresponding sporadic tauopathy counterparts[24]. However, familial tauopathies often show earlier onset and greater severity than sporadic forms, potentially driven by enhanced tau aggregation, excess 4R tau expression due to intronic or silent mutations that disrupt intron 10 splicing, or other mechanisms[56–60]. Consequently, the influence of specific tau mutations on tau structure, aggregation behavior, and disease phenotype remains unclear. Here we determined tau filament structures from postmortem tissue of a patient with a rare *MAPT* familial FTLD mutation, S305I, which resides at the exon 10 splice junction and is associated with severe early-onset FTLD with pathological features that resemble AGD. Our structures reveal tau S305I filaments adopt two related, but previously unreported 4-layer paired filament folds involving tau repeat domain residues 273-379. The structures are distinct from sporadic AGD, which is notable because of the related neuropathology including the presence of tau deposits in the form of argyrophilic grains (**Fig. 1**). The Ile sidechain in the S305I fold is efficiently packed in a hydrophobic cleft; the more polar Ser sidechain in the sporadic AGD fold would be less well packed nor would it be hydrophobically stabilized. The structure also contains an unidentified but well-defined density that stacks along an outer, positively charged cleft, potentially also contributing to the unique fold. Notably, molecular

modeling indicates RNA or other phosphate-containing small-molecules could bind

favorably to the outer cleft and potentially account for the unidentified density, as has

previously been proposed for other tau structures[10,44,45]. Importantly, these structures add

to the diversity of tau folds that form in disease and reveal how a single mutation may

contribute mechanistically to FTLD.

Disease mutations at the 305 position and other sites in intron 10 are reported to

disrupt the RNA stem-loop structure at the splice junction, resulting in reduced splicing

and an overproduction of 4R tau relative to 3R[34,61]. However, differences in the clinical

and pathological features occur with these mutations, indicating that overproduction of

4R tau alone is not a predictor of specific pathology[61]. The *MAPT S305I* mutation, like

other 4R tau–associated tauopathies, presents with early-onset symptoms and rapid

progression[21,37,62] but exhibits AGD pathology distinct from other substitutions. S305N is

linked to Pick-like bodies composed of 4R tau[63], while the synonymous S305S

(AGT→AGC) shows PSP-like pathology[64]. Thus, these differences further indicate that

disease variation arises from mutation-specific effects beyond 4R tau overproduction.

Moreover, based on our structures, we predict S305N is unlikely to form the S305I tau

fold (**Extended Data Fig. 8**) due to asparagine's polar side chain pointed inward toward

the apolar pocket where I305 resides. Additionally, the S305N mutation is also

incompatible with the sporadic AGD fold, due to a 2.5 Å steric clash the asparagine's most

favorable rotamer makes with the H299 imidazole ring in chain *i+1* directly below. Thus,

we predict S305N likely adopts an additional unknown fold, that is associated with distinct

pathology and disease characteristics[30].

Structural determination of patient-derived tau filaments for 5 other familial *MAPT*

mutations has been achieved thus far. Some adopt folds previously identified in sporadic

tauopathies (ΔK281, V337M, R406W)[14,22], while others have unique folds (P301L and

P301T)[30]. Of the 3 familial disease folds that match sporadic diseases, only V337M is

located in the ordered filament core, and this mutation is both sterically and

electrostatically tolerated by the AD PHF fold[14]. In the P301L and P301T folds, which do

not match those of any sporadic diseases, the mutation of proline allows for an additional

hydrogen bond, potentially driving the formation of an alternative fold. The central position

of S305 in the 4-layer AGD fold and the destabilizing steric clash the mutant I305 has with

H299 in R2 (**Fig. 4b**) suggests that this mutation may play a more substantial role in

driving a specific tau fold compared to other mutations. To further understand the

relationship between tau mutations and fibrilization, we induced aggregation of WT, S305I,

and P301S tau via the addition of polyphosphate. S305I has a higher propensity to

aggregate and fibrilization rate relative to WT, further evidence the mutation itself may act

as a distinct driver of aggregation and the structural fold[14,22,30]. How the specific tau fold

and increased aggregation propensity of S305I mechanistically contribute to the

neuropathology and early disease onset characteristics of this FTLD remains to be

determined.

As there is often clinical and neuropathological overlap between familial and

sporadic forms of FTLD, the question as to whether these are familial versions of sporadic

tauopathies remains open and has important implications for the design of models,

diagnostics, and therapeutics for FTLD. Neuropathologically, S305I results in argyrophilic

grains resembling those found in AGD, which led to the hypothesis that this FTLD might

be a familial form of AGD[37]. Notable differences between FTLD-tau/*MAPT* S305I and

sporadic AGD, however, raised the possibility that they represent distinct diseases. The

pathology of sporadic AGD is primarily found in the limbic system, whereas S305I tau

inclusions extend much more widely. Glial characteristics also differ, with sporadic AGD

showing more prevalent granular-fuzzy type astroglial cytoplasmic inclusions. Recently,

comparison of filament folds has been suggested as a metric for classification of

tauopathies[10]. Given this, our structural findings demonstrate that S305I FTLD-tau is

distinct from AGD, as opposed to an early-onset form of the disease. As no broad

structural relationship has been established between FTLD-tau/*MAPT* and sporadic

tauopathies, further investigation is warranted regarding the classification of these

diseases.

Many amyloid filament structures from patients with tauopathies have shown

additional non-proteinaceous densities, indicating that the specific tau fold and its stability

may require the binding of distinct small-molecule metabolites or polyanions[10]. In Type I

and II sporadic AGD folds, a small, poorly resolved density is present in a solvent-

protected groove, interacting primarily with positively charged residues K294 and K370.

In S305I, both folds exhibit a collapse of this groove and the R2 strand with these residues

is flipped, introducing new sidechain interactions with R3 (**Fig. 4b-c)**. Notably, this R2-R3

interaction permits the formation of the solvent-exposed cleft in which K290, K294 and

N296 in R2, and Y310 and K311 in R3 together contact the exogenous molecules seen

as stacked density in the S305I folds. Interestingly, these same 5 residues in the P301T

fold form a very similar cleft that houses unassigned density which strongly resembles

that in the S305I folds[30]. Unassigned densities in these and other tauopathy filament folds

raise questions about the role and timing of these densities with respect to filament

formation. Upstream effects on cellular homeostasis could be driving specific filament

folds; alternatively, filaments could be sequestering small molecules after amyloid

formation. Irrespective of the temporal sequence, binding of a highly anionic molecule

would be expected to thermodynamically stabilize the conformation found in S305I fibrils.

Previous work has speculated that some of the unassigned densities in tau and other fibril

structures are associated with anionic molecules[10,65–68], including RNA[44]. This is

consistent with our modeling, which shows that multiple RNA molecules and other anions

fit the unassigned density in the S305I folds. Thus, it is of interest to identify the anionic

cofactors and elucidate their role in fibril formation and stabilization.

<u>Materials and Methods</u>

Ethical review process and informed consent

Human brain tissue samples were obtained from the Neurodegenerative Disease Brain

Bank at the University of California, San Francisco. Prior to autopsy, participants or their

surrogates provided informed consent for genetic testing and for brain donation, in

accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Procedures were approved

by the UCSF Committee on Human Research.

Case materials

Genetic screening was performed for common and rare FTD-related genes as

previously described[40]. Patient samples were screened by targeted sequencing of the

seven most common dementia-associated genes (microtubule-associated protein tau

[*MAPT*], progranulin [*GRN*], TAR DNA binding protein [*TARDBP*], fused in sarcoma [*FUS*],

amyloid beta precursor protein [*APP*], presenilin 1 [*PSEN1*], and presenilin 2 [*PSEN2*], as

described previously[40]. Coding and exon-intron boundary regions of these seven genes

were screened for known or novel (likely) pathogenic variants. Variants identified in the

screening were confirmed by Sanger sequencing[40].

Neuropathological diagnoses were made following consensus diagnostic

criteria[69–71] using previously described histological and immunohistochemical methods[72–

74]. Briefly, eight-micrometer thick sections were cut from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded

blocks and stained with hematoxylin & eosin and with antibodies against

hyperphosphorylated tau (CP13, anti-mouse, 1:250, targeting pSer202, gift from Peter

Davies, Feinstein Institute for Medical Research, USA), amyloid-beta (anti-mouse, 1:500,

Millipore, MAB5206), TDP-43 (anti-rabbit, 1:4K, ProteinTech, 10782-2), alpha-synuclein

(anti-mouse, 1:5K, LB509, gift from John Trojanowski and Virginia Lee, University of

Pennsylvania, USA), and 3R-tau (anti-mouse, 1:2K, Millipore, 05-803) and 4R-tau (anti-

mouse, 1:2K, Millipore, 05-804), as described previously[72]. Cases were identified based

on neuropathological diagnosis and genetic screening. Photomicrographs of the

hyperphosphorylated tau-stained slides from the inferior frontal gyrus and inferior

temporal gyrus were captured using a digital camera (Nikon Digital Sight DS-Fi1)

mounted on a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope using NIS-Elements (Version 3.22, Nikon)

imaging software. Fresh-frozen blocks of the inferior frontal gyrus and inferior temporal

gyrus were cut from freshly frozen coronal slabs that had been stored at -80 °C.

Experimental design

Samples were selected based on brain tissue availability and post-mortem neuropathological examination. Sex was not considered in this study, as the structure of AGD filaments does not vary by sex. Randomization and blinding were not performed in this study, as there was only one patient available at the NDBB carrying the *S305I MAPT* mutation.

Tau Filament extraction

Sarkosyl-insoluble material was extracted from the inferior frontal cortex using a protocol similar to previous amyloid filament purifications[10]. In brief, tissues were homogenized in 20 volumes (v/w) of extraction buffer consisting of 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.8 M NaCl, 10% sucrose and 1 mM EGTA. Homogenates were brought to 2% sarkosyl and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C. After a 10-min centrifugation at 20,000g at 4 °C, the supernatants were spun at 100,000g at 4 °C for 20 min. The pellets were resuspended in 700 µL/g extraction buffer and centrifuged at 9,500g for 10 min. The supernatants were diluted threefold in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, containing 0.15 M NaCl, 10% sucrose and 0.2% sarkosyl, and spun at 166,000g for 30 min. Sarkosyl-insoluble pellets were resuspended in 25 µL/g of 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4 and 100 mM NaCl and used for cryo-EM.

Negative stain imaging

Purified frontal cortex tissue was diluted 1:10 for a final concentration of 150 µL/g frozen tissue. 3 µL was added to a glow-discharged 400 mesh copper grid with a layer of amorphous carbon. After 30 seconds, the grid was blotted with filter paper, washed, and

blotted twice with water. 4 µL of 0.75% uranyl formate was then added and blotted. Three

more 4 µL aliquots of uranyl formate were added and removed by vacuum aspiration.

Images were collected on a Talos L120C (Thermo Fisher Scientific) operating at 120 kV

and equipped with a Ceta-D (Thermo Fisher Scientific) camera.

Cryo-EM grid preparation and data collection

Extracted tau filaments were incubated at 37 °C in a 0.4 g/mL pronase solution for 30

minutes and centrifuged at 3,000 g for 5 minutes, then applied to glow-discharged holey

carbon grids (Quantifoil Au R1.2/1.3, 200 mesh). Then, 3 µL of purified tau filaments were

plunge-frozen in liquid ethane using a Vitrobot Mark IV System (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Images were acquired on a 300 KeV Titan Krios microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific)

equipped with a K3 direct electron-detection camera (Gatan, Inc.) with a BioQuantum

energy filter (Gatan, Inc.) with a slit width of 20 eV.   Super-resolution movies were

recorded at 105,000x magnification (pixel size: 0.417 Å/pixel) with a defocus range of 0.8

to 1.8 µm and a total exposure time of 2.024 seconds fractionated into 0.025-second

subframes.

Image Processing

Datasets were processed in RELION using standard helical reconstruction[75]. The movies

were motion-corrected using MotionCor2[76] and Fourier-cropped by two to give the final

pixel size of 0.834 Å per pixel. The dose-weighted summed micrographs were directly

imported to RELION[77,78] and used for further processing. Contrast transfer function (CTF)

was estimated using CTFFIND 4.1[79]. The filaments were picked manually and extracted

with a box size of 900 pixels downsampled to 300 pixels. 2D classification was used to

separate filamentous segments from junk particles, and then additional 2D classification

was performed to distinguish Type I from Type II filament. 3D initial models were then

created *de novo* from the 2D class averages using the crossover distances measured

from the 2D class averages, 600 Å for type I and 750 Å for type II, using RELION's

relion_helix_inimodel2d feature[80]. Particles associated with each filament type were re-

extracted separately using a 300 pixel box, and 3D classification was performed using

each initial model low-pass filtered to 15 Å. The best classes were selected from each 3D

classification, and the final rise and twist was optimized in 3D auto-refinement. The final

3D densities were sharpened using standard postprocessing methods in RELION, and

the final resolution was determined from Fourier shell correlation at 0.143 Å from two

independently refined half-maps using a soft-edged solvent mask. The final resolution of

the Type I filament was 3.1 Å and the resolution of the Type II filament was 3.2 Å.

Model Building and Refinement

The atomic model of the S305I and normal AGD structures were docked manually into

the density using ChimeraX[81] and ISOLDE[42], using predicted models from ModelAngelo[43]

as a guide. The model was refined against the density using Phenix. Figures were

prepared using ChimeraX, PyMOL, and ABPS[82].

Filament Fold Comparison

Code was generated that evaluates the backbone RMSD between two filament folds over

sliding windows of increasing sequence length. Fully annotated code is available at

https://github.com/degrado-lab/amyloid_analysis.

Docking of Polyanions

Docking studies were performed using the Molecular Operating Environment (MOE;

Chemical Computing Group, Build MOE 2024.0601). The protein structure was derived

from the S305I Type I fold. The poly(A) RNA ligand was obtained from PDB entry 8ZWJ.

A poly(G) RNA model was generated by modifying the purine base of the poly(A) RNA

structure. Linear polyphosphate models were constructed in MOE, and a helical

polyphosphate model was generated by manually building the RNA phosphate backbone

followed by energy minimization. The inositol diphosphate structure was obtained from

PDB entry 1I9Z[83]. Docking was carried out using the MOE protein-protein docking module,

in which the ligand structures were sampled against the protein target. Candidate

complexes were generated through rigid-body placement followed by refinement and

scoring. The resulting docking poses were clustered base on interface interaction

fingerprints, and representative structures from the top-ranked clusters were selected for

further analysis.

Recombinant protein expression and purification

Recombinant 0N4R tau constructs were expressed from a pET-28a vector and

transfected into *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) plysS competent cells. Starter cultures

containing a single colony of transformed cells were grown in Terrific Broth (TB) containing

50 µg/mL kanamycin and 25 µg/mL chloramphenicol overnight (16-18 hours) at 37°C with

constant shaking at 200 rpm. Then, 15 mL of the starter culture was used to inoculate 1

L of terrific broth (TB), containing 50 µg/mL kanamycin and 25 µg/mL chloramphenicol.

Cell cultures (4 L per construct) were grown at 37°C with constant shaking at 200 rpm

until an optical density (OD_{600}) of 1.0 was reached. Expression was induced with 1 mM

IPTG for 16 hours at 16°C, then harvested by centrifugation (4000 rpm for 20 minutes)

and the cell pellets were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen.

To purify tau, cell pellets were resuspended in ice-cold lysis buffer (50mM MES pH

6.0, 20 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT), supplemented with two cOmplete EDTA-free protease

cocktail inhibitor tablets (Roche). Cells were lysed by dounce homogenization and

sonication, and then boiled for 20 minutes at 80°C. The boiled lysate was clarified via

centrifugation at 30,000 g for 30 minutes. The clarified lysate was filtered using a 0.2-0.3

micron syringe filter and loaded onto a Cytiva CaptoS 5 mL column (GE healthcare)

equilibrated in a low salt buffer (50 mM MES pH 6.0, 20 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT). The

sample was eluted using a 16-column volume (CV) gradient from 0% to 100% high salt

buffer (20 mM MES pH 6.0, 1.5 M NaCl, 2 mM DTT). Protein-containing fractions were

pooled and concentrated before loading into a 5 mL loop and injecting into a HiLoad

Superdex 200 16/600 column equilibrated with 1x PBS pH 7.4, 5% glycerol, 2 mM TCEP

for size-exclusion chromatography. Fractions containing protein were concentrated, flash

frozen, and stored at -80°C. Purity was analyzed via SDS-PAGE and final concentration

was verified via BCA assay (Pierce).

Tau Fibrillization

Aggregation reactions were performed in 384-well plates (Corning 4511). In each well,

purified 10 µM 0N4R tau constructs (WT, P301S, S305I) were added to freshly prepared

assay buffer (1x PBS pH 7.4, 2 mM TCEP). Aggregation was induced immediately prior

to the start of the assay by adding polyphosphate at a final concentration of 0.125 mg/mL,

with each well containing a total volume of 20 µL. Aggregation reactions were performed

at 37°C with continuous shaking. Fluorescence measurements (excitation = 444 nm,

emission = 475 nm, cutoff = 490 nm) were recorded in a Spectramax M5 microplate

reader (Molecular Devices). Readings were taken every 5 minutes for at least 24 hours.

Each experiment was performed with 9 replicate wells per condition, with 3 separate

replicate experiments run.

Fibrilization Data Analysis

The first five data points were averaged and this background was subtracted from each

sample. Baseline subtracted curves were fit using the Levenberg-Marquardt nonlinear

least-squares method to the Gompertz function:

$$y(t) = Ae^{-e^{(t-t_i)/B}}$$

Where y is the fluorescent value at time (t), A is the amplitude of the curve, t_i is the

inflection point, b is $1/k_{app}$ where k_{app} is the elongation constant. Individual experimental

curves with max raw RFU values less than 50 and a mean-squared error (MSE) higher

than 1 standard deviation of the mean of the maximum ThT signal were discarded.

Analysis of the discarded curves shows features consistent with bubbles in reaction wells.

Final data were plotted in GraphPad PRISM and with Matplotlib.

Data Availability

Cryo-EM maps and atomic coordinates have been deposited in the EMDB and PDB with accession codes: EMD-75195 (Type I) and EMD-75196 (Type II), and PDB 10IJ (Type I) and 10IK (Type II). Filament fold comparison code is available at https://github.com/degrado-lab/amyloid_analysis. Any other relevant data are available from the corresponding authors upon request.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the Rainwater Charitable Foundation (D.R.S.), and the National Institutes of Health (P01AG002132: D.R.S. and W.F.D). The UCSF Neurodegenerative Disease Brain Bank receives funding support from NIH grants P30AG062422, P01AG019724, U01AG057195, and U19AG063911, as well as the Rainwater Charitable Foundation and the Bluefield Project to Cure FTD. Data collection and dissemination of the genetic data presented in this manuscript was supported by the ALLFTD Consortium (U19: AG063911, funded by the National Institute on Aging and the National Institute of Neurological Diseases and Stroke) and the former ARTFL & LEFFTDS Consortia (ARTFL: U54 NS092089, funded by the National Institute of Neurological Diseases and Stroke and National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences; LEFFTDS: U01 AG045390, funded by the National Institute on Aging and the National Institute of Neurological Diseases and Stroke). Additional funding support was provided by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) National Institute on Aging (NIA) for Frontotemporal Dementia: Genes, Images, and Emotions: P01 AG019724 and UCSF Alzheimer's Disease Research Center: P30 AG062422. Samples from the National Centralized Repository for Alzheimer's Disease

and Related Dementias (NCRAD), which receives government support under a cooperative agreement grant (U24 AG21886) awarded by the National Institute on Aging (NIA), were used in this study. The authors acknowledge the invaluable contributions of the study participants and families as well as the assistance of the support staff at each of the participating sites. H. S. P. received postdoctoral fellowship funding from the BrightFocus Foundation (A141860). We thank Dr. Carlo Condello for his insight into the fluorescence kinetic assay and unassigned density analysis.

Author Contributions

W.W.S., D.R.S., L.T.G., H.J.R., and M.L.G., and G.E.M conceptualized the study. H.S.P., G.E.M., W.W.S., D.R.S., and W.F.D. designed the experiments. H.S.P. and G.E.M., A.A.M., and E.T. performed cryo-EM sample preparation, data collection, and data processing. H.S.P. generated atomic models on the basis of cryo-EM maps. A.N.L., S.S., E.M.R., A.L.L., and W.W.S. performed neurology, pathology, genetics and histology studies. M.L., H.S.P., A.Y. and A.Q. cloned and purified recombinant tau constructs and performed fibrilization assays. H.J. and H.S.P. performed docking studies. R.C.K. and H.S.P. developed the code for structural homology analysis. H.S.P., G.E.M., A.N.L., W.W.S, and D.R.S. wrote and edited the manuscript.

Ethics Declarations

W.F.D. is a member of the scientific advisory boards of Alzheon Inc., Pliant, Longevity, CyteGen, Amai, and ADRx Inc., none of which contributed support for this study. W.W.S has received consulting fees from BridgeBio, Guidepoint Global, Inc., and GLG Council;

642   speaker honoraria from Verge Genomics; and compensation as a member of the scientific

643   advisory board for Lyterian Therapeutics, none of which contributed support for this study.

644   The remaining authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Goedert, M., Spillantini, M. G., Cairns, N. J. & Crowther, R. A. Tau proteins of

alzheimer paired helical filaments: Abnormal phosphorylation of all six brain

isoforms. *Neuron* **8**, 159–168 (1992).

2. Buée-Scherrer, V. *et al.* Pathological τ proteins in postencephalitic Parkinsonism:

Comparison with Alzheimer's disease and other neurodegenerative disorders.

Ann. Neurol. **42**, 356–359 (1997).

3. Schmidt, M. L., Zhukareva, V., Newell, K. L., Lee, V. M. Y. & Trojanowski, J. Q.

Tau isoform profile and phosphorylation state in dementia pugilistica recapitulate

Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathol.* **101**, 518–524 (2001).

4. Crowther, R. A. Straight and paired helical filaments in Alzheimer disease have a

common structural unit. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **88**, 2288–2292 (1991).

5. Flament, S., Delacourte, A., Verny, M., Hauw, J. J. & Javoy-Agid, F. Abnormal

Tau proteins in progressive supranuclear palsy. *Acta Neuropathol. 1991 816* **81**,

591–596 (1991).

6. Ksiezak-Reding, H. *et al.* Ultrastructure and biochemical composition of paired

helical filaments in corticobasal degeneration. *Am. J. Pathol.* **145**, 1496 (1994).

7. Togo, T. *et al.* Argyrophilic Grain Disease Is a Sporadic 4-Repeat Tauopathy. *J.*

Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. **61**, 547–556 (2002).

8. Kovacs, G. G. *et al.* White Matter Tauopathy With Globular Glial Inclusions: A

Distinct Sporadic Frontotemporal Lobar Degeneration. *J. Neuropathol. Exp.*

Neurol. **67**, 963–975 (2008).

9. Delacourte, A. *et al.* Specific Pathological Tau Protein Variants Characterize

Pick's Disease. *J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol.* **55**, 159–168 (1996).

10. Shi, Y. *et al.* Structure-based classification of tauopathies. *Nature* **598**, 359–363

(2021).

11. Falcon, B. *et al.* Tau filaments from multiple cases of sporadic and inherited

Alzheimer's disease adopt a common fold. *Acta Neuropathol.* **136**, 699–708

(2018).

12. Falcon, B. *et al.* Novel tau filament fold in chronic traumatic encephalopathy

encloses hydrophobic molecules. *Nat. 2019 5687752* **568**, 420–423 (2019).

13. Zhang, W. *et al.* Novel tau filament fold in corticobasal degeneration. *Nature* **580**,

283–287 (2020).

14. Qi, C. *et al.* Tau filaments with the Alzheimer fold in human MAPT mutants

V337M and R406W. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 2025* 1–8 (2025) doi:10.1038/s41594-

025-01498-5.

15. Ghosh, U. *et al.* Cryo-EM structures reveal tau filaments from Down syndrome

adopt Alzheimer's disease fold. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* **12**,

2024.04.02.587507 (2024).

16. Fitzpatrick, A. W. P. *et al.* Cryo-EM structures of Tau filaments from Alzheimer's

disease brain. *Nature* **547**, 185 (2017).

17. Falcon, B. *et al.* Structures of filaments from Pick's disease reveal a novel tau

protein fold. **561**, (2018).

18. Spillantini, M. G. & Goedert, M. Tau protein pathology in neurodegenerative

diseases. *Trends Neurosci.* **21**, 428–433 (1998).

19. Alzheimer's Disease Genetics Fact Sheet | National Institute on Aging.

https://www.nia.nih.gov/health/alzheimers-causes-and-risk-factors/alzheimers-

disease-genetics-fact-sheet.

20.   Greaves, C. V. & Rohrer, J. D. An update on genetic frontotemporal dementia. *J.*

Neurol. **266**, 2075 (2019).

21.   Giannini, L. A. A. *et al.* Isoform-specific patterns of tau burden and neuronal

degeneration in MAPT-associated frontotemporal lobar degeneration. *Acta*

Neuropathol. **144**, 1065–1084 (2022).

22.   Schweighauser, M. *et al.* Mutation ΔK281 in MAPT causes Pick's disease. *Acta*

Neuropathol. **146**, 211–226 (2023).

23.   Dickson, D. W., Kouri, N., Murray, M. E. & Josephs, K. A. Neuropathology of

Frontotemporal Lobar Degeneration–Tau (FTLD-Tau). *J. Mol. Neurosci.* **45**, 384

(2011).

24.   Forrest, S. L. *et al.* Retiring the term FTDP-17 as MAPT mutations are genetic

forms of sporadic frontotemporal tauopathies. *Brain* **141**, 521–534 (2018).

25.   Hoerndli, F. J., Pelech, S., Papassotiropoulos, A. & Götz, J. Aβ treatment and

P301L tau expression in an Alzheimer's disease tissue culture model act

synergistically to promote aberrant cell cycle re-entry. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **26**, 60–72

(2007).

26.   Yoshiyama, Y. *et al.* Synapse Loss and Microglial Activation Precede Tangles in a

P301S Tauopathy Mouse Model. *Neuron* **53**, 337–351 (2007).

27.   Allen, B. *et al.* Abundant Tau Filaments and Nonapoptotic Neurodegeneration in

Transgenic Mice Expressing Human P301S Tau Protein. *J. Neurosci.* **22**, 9340–

9351 (2002).

28. Schweighauser, M. *et al.* Cryo-EM structures of tau filaments from the brains of mice transgenic for human mutant P301S Tau. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* **11**, 1–11 (2023).

29. Ayers, J. *et al.* Severe neurodegeneration in brains of transgenic rats producing human tau prions. *Acta Neuropathol.* **148**, 1–22 (2024).

30. Schweighauser, M. *et al.* Distinct tau filament folds in human MAPT mutants P301L and P301T. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 2025* 1–9 (2025) doi:10.1038/s41594-025-01575-9.

31. Merz, G. E. *et al.* Stacked binding of a PET ligand to Alzheimer's tau paired helical filaments. *Nat. Commun. 2023 141* **14**, 1–11 (2023).

32. Kunach, P. *et al.* Cryo-EM structure of Alzheimer's disease tau filaments with PET ligand MK-6240. *Nat. Commun. 2024 151* **15**, 1–7 (2024).

33. Josephs, K. A. *et al.* Flortaucipir PET uncovers relationships between tau and amyloid-β in primary age–related tauopathy and Alzheimer's disease. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **16**, 8076 (2024).

34. Spillantini, M. G. & Goedert, M. Tau mutations in familial frontotemporal dementia. *Brain* **123**, 857–859 (2000).

35. Chen, S., Townsend, K., Goldberg, T. E., Davies, P. & Conejero-Goldberg, C. MAPT Isoforms: Differential Transcriptional Profiles Related to 3R and 4R Splice Variants. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* **22**, 1313 (2010).

36. Bowles, K. R. *et al.* Development of MAPT S305 mutation human iPSC lines exhibiting elevated 4R tau expression and functional alterations in neurons and astrocytes. *Cell Rep.* **43**, (2024).

737   37.   Kovacs, G. G. *et al.* MAPT S305I mutation: Implications for argyrophilic grain

738   disease. *Acta Neuropathol.* **116**, 103–118 (2008).

739   38.   Rodriguez, R. D. & Grinberg, L. T. Argyrophilic grain disease: An underestimated

740   tauopathy. *Dement. Neuropsychol.* **9**, 2–8 (2015).

741   39.   Steele, N. Z. *et al.* Frequency of frontotemporal dementia gene variants in

742   C9ORF72, MAPT, and GRN in academic

743   versus commercial laboratory cohorts. *Adv. Genomics Genet.* **Volume 8**, 23–33

744   (2018).

745   40.   Ramos, E. M. *et al.* Genetic screening of a large series of North American

746   sporadic and familial frontotemporal dementia cases. *Alzheimer's Dement.* **16**,

747   118–130 (2020).

748   41.   Yokota, O. *et al.* Amygdala granular fuzzy astrocytes as lesions preceding

749   development of argyrophilic grains: data from 239 autopsy cases. *Free*

750   *Neuropathol.* **3**, 18 (2022).

751   42.   Croll, T. I. ISOLDE: A physically realistic environment for model building into low-

752   resolution electron-density maps. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Struct. Biol.* **74**, 519–

753   530 (2018).

754   43.   Jamali, K. *et al.* Automated model building and protein identification in cryo-EM

755   maps. *Nat. 2024 6288007* **628**, 450–457 (2024).

756   44.   Abskharon, R. *et al.* Cryo-EM structure of RNA-induced tau fibrils reveals a small

757   C-terminal core that may nucleate fibril formation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*

758   **119**, e2119952119 (2022).

759   45.   Huettemann, P. *et al.* Amyloid Accelerator Polyphosphate Implicated as the

Mystery Density in α-Synuclein Fibrils. *bioRxiv* 2024.05.01.592011 (2024) doi:10.1101/2024.05.01.592011.

46. Huettemann, P. *et al.* Amyloid accelerator polyphosphate fits as the mystery density in α-synuclein fibrils. *PLOS Biol.* **22**, e3002650 (2024).

47. Shears, S. B. Diphosphoinositol Polyphosphates: Metabolic Messengers? *Mol. Pharmacol.* **76**, 236 (2009).

48. Shi, Y. *et al.* Cryo-EM structures of tau filaments from Alzheimer's disease with PET ligand APN-1607. *Acta Neuropathol.* **141**, 697–708 (2021).

49. Allen, B. *et al.* Abundant Tau Filaments and Nonapoptotic Neurodegeneration in Transgenic Mice Expressing Human P301S Tau Protein. *J. Neurosci.* **22**, 9340–9351 (2002).

50. Berriman, J. *et al.* Tau filaments from human brain and from in vitro assembly of recombinant protein show cross-β structure. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **100**, 9034–9038 (2003).

51. Montgomery, K. M. *et al.* Chemical Features ofPolyanions Modulate Tau Aggregationand Conformational States. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **145**, 3926 (2023).

52. Mok, S. A. *et al.* Mapping interactions with the chaperone network reveals factors that protect against tau aggregation. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **25**, 384–393 (2018).

53. Zhang, W. *et al.* Heparin-induced tau filaments are polymorphic and differ from those in alzheimer's and pick's diseases. *Elife* **8**, 1–24 (2019).

54. Shafei, R. *et al.* Two pathologically confirmed cases of novel mutations in the MAPT gene causing frontotemporal dementia. *Neurobiol. Aging* **87**, 141.e15-141.e20 (2020).

55. Strang, K. H., Golde, T. E. & Giasson, B. I. MAPT mutations, tauopathy, and

mechanisms of neurodegeneration. *Lab. Investig.* **99**, 912–928 (2019).

56. Hutton, M. *et al.* Association of missense and 5′-splice-site mutations in tau with

the inherited dementia FTDP-17. *Nat. 1998 3936686* **393**, 702–705 (1998).

57. Ando, K. *et al.* de novo MAPT mutation G335A causes severe brain atrophy, 3R

and 4R PHF-tau pathology and early onset frontotemporal dementia. *Acta*

Neuropathol. Commun. 2020 81 **8**, 94- (2020).

58. Qian, W. & Liu, F. Regulation of alternative splicing of tau exon 10. *Neurosci. Bull.*

2014 302 **30**, 367–377 (2014).

59. Holmes, B. B. *et al.* Proteopathic tau seeding predicts tauopathy in vivo. *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **111**, E4376–E4385 (2014).

60. Sanders, D. W. *et al.* Distinct Tau Prion Strains Propagate in Cells and Mice and

Define Different Tauopathies. *Neuron* **82**, 1271–1288 (2014).

61. Goedert, M. *et al.* Tau Protein and Frontotemporal Dementias. *Adv. Exp. Med.*

Biol. **1281**, 177–199 (2021).

62. Saito, Y. *et al.* Staging of Argyrophilic Grains: An Age-Associated Tauopathy. *J.*

Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. **63**, 911–918 (2004).

63. Kobayashi, K. *et al.* Pick's Disease Pathology of a Missense Mutation of S305N of

Frontotemporal Dementia and Parkinsonism Linked to Chromosome 17: Another

Phenotype of S305N. *Dement. Geriatr. Cogn. Disord.* **17**, 293–297 (2004).

64. Skoglund, L. *et al.* The tau S305S mutation causes frontotemporal dementia with

parkinsonism. *Eur. J. Neurol.* **15**, 156–161 (2008).

65. Yao, Q. Q., Hong, L., Wu, S. & Perrett, S. Distinct microscopic mechanisms for

the accelerated aggregation of pathogenic Tau mutants revealed by kinetic

analysis. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **22**, 7241–7249 (2020).

66. Schweighauser, M. *et al.* Structures of α-synuclein filaments from multiple system

atrophy. *Nature* **585**, 464–469 (2020).

67. Yang, Y. *et al.* Structures of α-synuclein filaments from human brains with Lewy

pathology. *Nature* **610**, (2022).

68. Yang, Y. *et al.* New SNCA mutation and structures of α-synuclein filaments from

juvenile-onset synucleinopathy. *Acta Neuropathol.* **145**, 561–572 (2023).

69. Ferrer, I., Santpere, G. & Van Leeuwen, F. W. Argyrophilic grain disease. *Brain*

131, 1416–1432 (2008).

70. MacKenzie, I. R. A. *et al.* Nomenclature and nosology for neuropathologic

subtypes of frontotemporal lobar degeneration: An update. *Acta Neuropathol.*

119, 1–4 (2010).

71. Montine, T. J. *et al.* National institute on aging-Alzheimer's association guidelines

for the neuropathologic assessment of Alzheimer's disease: A practical approach.

Acta Neuropathol. **123**, 1–11 (2012).

72. Kim, M. *et al.* Diagnosis of Alzheimer Disease and Tauopathies on Whole-Slide

Histopathology Images Using a Weakly Supervised Deep Learning Algorithm.

Lab. Investig. **103**, 100127 (2023).

73. Kim, E. J. *et al.* Selective frontoinsular von economo neuron and fork cell loss in

early behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia. *Cereb. Cortex* **22**, 251–259

(2012).

74. Tartaglia, M. C. *et al.* Sporadic corticobasal syndrome due to FTLD-TDP. *Acta*

Neuropathol. **119**, 365–374 (2010).

75.   He, S. & Scheres, S. H. W. Helical reconstruction in RELION. *J. Struct. Biol.* **198**,

163–176 (2017).

76.   Zivanov, J., Nakane, T. & Scheres, S. H. W. A Bayesian approach to beam-

induced motion correction in cryo-EM single-particle analysis. *IUCrJ* **6**, 5–17

(2019).

77.   He, S. & Scheres, S. H. W. Helical reconstruction in RELION. *J. Struct. Biol.* **198**,

163–176 (2017).

78.   Kimanius, D., Dong, L., Sharov, G., Nakane, T. & Scheres, S. H. W. New tools for

automated cryo-EM single-particle analysis in RELION-4.0. *Biochem. J.* **478**,

4169–4185 (2021).

79.   Rohou, A. & Grigorieff, N. CTFFIND4: Fast and accurate defocus estimation from

electron micrographs. *J. Struct. Biol.* **192**, 216–221 (2015).

80.   Scheres, S. H. W. Amyloid structure determination in RELION-3.1. *Acta*

Crystallogr. Sect. D Struct. Biol. **76**, 94–101 (2020).

81.   Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers,

educators, and developers. *Protein Sci.* **30**, 70–82 (2021).

82.   Baker, N. A., Sept, D., Joseph, S., Holst, M. J. & McCammon, J. A. Electrostatics

of nanosystems: Application to microtubules and the ribosome. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*

Sci. U. S. A. **98**, 10037–10041 (2001).

83.   Tsujishita, Y., Guo, S., Stolz, L. E., York, J. D. & Hurley, J. H. Specificity

Determinants in Phosphoinositide Dephosphorylation: Crystal Structure of an

Archetypal Inositol Polyphosphate 5-Phosphatase. *Cell* **105**, 379–389 (2001).

Figure 1: The *MAPT S305I* mutation and neuropathology. a, Schematic showing the location of *S305I* (with *S305S* and *S305N*) in the *MAPT* gene at the exon 10 (red) and intron 10 (black) splice-site junction and stem loop region. **b, c,** MRI scans from the patient carrying the S305I mutation (**b**) and a patient with sporadic AGD (**c**), shown for comparison. **d-q,** Representative images of immunostaining for phospho-tau (S202, CP13 antibody) in the patient carrying an S305I mutation **d-j,** and the patient with sporadic AGD **k-q**. Features of AGD are indicated by arrowheads in the inferior frontal gyrus (IFG, **d-g**), the CA2 region of the hippocampus (**h, o**), and the inferior temporal gyrus (ITG, **i-n, p, q**). Shared features characteristic of AGD include diffuse/granular cytoplasmic inclusions with perinuclear halos (**e, h, j, l, m, o**), and coiled bodies (**g, i, p**). Distinguishing features include ballooned neurons in the S305I case (**f**) and granular/fuzzy astrocytic inclusions in sporadic AGD (**l, n, q**). Scale bar in **k** = 100 μm and applies to **d** and **k**, and scale bar in **q** = 20 μm and applies to **e-j** and **l-q**.

Figure 2: Cryo-EM 2D characterization and 3D structures identifying distinct S305I tau filament folds. a, Representative cryo-EM micrograph showing Type I (beige arrows) and Type II filaments (light-blue arrow). Scale bar equals 100 nm. **b,** Representative 2D class averages of Type I (top) and Type II (bottom) folds (with a 750 Å box size) showing distinct wavy morphologies. **c,** Cryo-EM final maps of S305I Type I (top, beige) and Type II (bottom, light-blue) tau filaments with additional, unaccounted density shown (*) and corresponding 2D cross-sections with resolution and particle percentages indicated for each final map shown (3.1 Å with 85% of the data for Type I and 3.2 Å with 15% for the data for Type II). Scale bars equal 30 Å.

a

²⁷³GK²⁷⁴
²⁷⁵VQIINKKLDLS---NVQSKCGSKDNIKHVPGGGI³⁰⁵
³⁰⁶VQIVYKPVDLS-KVTSKCGSLGNIHHKPGGGQ³³⁶
³³⁷VEVKSEKLDFKDRVQSKIGSLDNITHVPGGGN³⁶⁸
³⁶⁹KKIETHKLTFR³⁷⁹

b

c

d

Figure 3: Cryo-EM atomic models and comparison of Type I and Type II S305I folds. a, Amino acid sequence and color scheme of the tau structural core that includes R1–R4 residues 273–368 (colored magenta, blue, green, and gold, respectively), and C-terminal residues 369-379 (orange) with S305I highlighted in red. **b-c,** Cryo-EM filament cross-section map/models of Type I (**b**) and Type II (**c**), colored by domain as in (**a**) with unassigned density shown for both filament types (*). **d,** α-carbon backbone overlay of Type I (beige) and Type II (light blue) folds showing 100° rotated position of the R2-C arm and overlapping position of the unassigned density (grey oval).

860 **Figure 4: Structure comparison and organization at position 305 for sporadic AGD and S305I Type I filament folds. a,** The spAGD Type I fold (left), colored as in Fig. 2a with arrows indicating major rearrangements in comparison to S305I Type I (middle), and an overlay of the two structures aligned to R4, with AGD in grey. **b,** The spAGD structure showing solvent-inaccessible polar residues (teal) that form a cavity around an unassigned density (grey oval), and the S305 position (red square). Top inset shows S305 (green) hydrogen bonding with G303; bottom inset shows the incompatibility of a theoretical I305 arrangement in the AGD fold due to a clash with H299. **c,** The S305I structure showing the same polar residues are rotated outward and solvent-accessible, with K294, N296, Y310 and K311 interacting with the unassigned density (grey oval) bound to the outside of the filament. The I305 region is identified (red square) and enlarged with a surface view showing the Ile position in a hydrophobic cleft (left inset) and the surrounding pocket formed by residues V337, V339, L344, and F346 (right inset).

Figure 5: Comparison of unassigned density in both S305I folds; cryo-EM density of S305I Type I (beige) and Type II (light-blue) filaments highlighting the unassigned density along the fibril axis. The unassigned density, which may house a shared cofactor, is shown in cyan. a, Top views of the S305I Type I (top) and Type II (bottom) filament residues surrounding the unassigned density. **b,** Top-down views of the unassigned density in the S305I Type I (top) and Type II (bottom) density maps, with electrostatic surfaces colored blue and red to indicate positively and negatively charged regions, respectively. **c,** Side views of the unassigned density in the S305I Type I (top) and Type II (bottom) density maps, with electrostatic surfaces colored blue and red to indicate positively and negatively charged regions, respectively. **d,** Side view of the predominant S305I Type I fibril and a planar representation of the hypothesized cofactor within the unassigned density along the length filament.

Figure 6: Increased *in vitro* fibrilization of S305I tau. **a,** Fibrilization curves for WT (blue), S305I (orange) and P301S (blue) 0N4R tau, using polyphosphate as the inducer. **b,** Filament elongation rate, calculated from the Gompertz function (see Methods). S305I is significantly faster than WT, as determined by a one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test. **c,** Aggregation propensity for each construct, calculated as the inverse $t_{1/2}$. **d,** Representative cryo-electron micrograph of recombinant S305I tau following polyphosphate-induced aggregation showing well-resolved twisted filaments (arrows) (Scale bar = 50 nm).