WGT-aware analysis reveals increased complexity in the yohimbane biosynthesis pathway of *Rauvolfia tetraphylla*

Mratunjay Dwivedi, Nagarjun Vijay

Computational Evolutionary Genomics Lab, Department of Biological Sciences, IISER Bhopal, Bhauri, Madhya Pradesh, India

*Correspondence: nagarjun@iiserb.ac.in

Summary

Arising from Stander et al. Communications Biology https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-023-05574-8 (2023)

Rauvolfia tetraphylla (Apocynaceae), distributed across Mexico, Tropical America, and Southeast Asia, is known to produce pharmacologically important monoterpene indole alkaloids such as ajmaline, reserpiline, yohimbine, and heteroyohimbanes[1]. Elucidating the enzymatic pathways[2] underlying their biosynthesis is therefore essential for enhancing our understanding of MIA metabolism and enabling their industrial production. In a recent edition of Communication Biology, Stander et al. [STAN23][3] presented a high-quality assembly for *R. tetraphylla* and uncovered the yohimbane biosynthesis pathway. Based on their proteomics and transcriptomic analysis, two major findings emerged: (1) a medium chain dehydrogenase/reductase (MDR), yohimbane synthase (YOS), produces four yohimbane diastereomers, and (2) three MDR transcripts (MDRT), MSTRG.5530, MSTRG.5531, and MSTRG.5534, along with geissoschizine synthase (GS, MSTRG.5528), can generate a mixture of yohimbane isomers. However, our reanalysis of the raw sequencing reads incorporating haplotype phasing and expression profiling revealed that most candidate genes exist as three homeologous copies with distinct tissue-specific expression. Furthermore, we demonstrate preferential interactions among specific MDRT and GS homeologs, indicating complex cross-subchromosomal enzymatic organisation overlooked in STAN23. Furthermore, several reported candidate genes are chimeric, indicating that incorrect estimation of whole-genome triplication and lack of haplotype phasing can severely limit robust identification and functional characterisation of the yohimbane biosynthetic pathway.

Introduction

STAN23 generated a high-quality de novo genome assembly of *R. tetraphylla*, using long ONT reads and Illumina-based polishing and also generated extensive transcriptomic data across various tissue types. Their study constitutes the first characterisation of yohimbane biosynthetic mechanisms; integrating gene co-expression analysis, deep learning classification, in vitro biochemical assays and LC-MS analysis, they identified multiple enzymatic routes to yohimbane-type MIAs, including a multifunctional MDR; YOS, as well as three distinct MDR genes which couple with GS in a two-enzyme pathway to produce yohimbane and its stereoisomers using strictosidine aglycone as a precursor. However, the well-foundedness of the results is limited by a methodological oversight: the study does not take into account an independent whole-genome triplication event (WGT) in *R. tetraphylla* other than the one shared across Eudicotyledons, thus limiting the candidates identified in yohimbane biosynthesis and undermining the complexity of the biosynthetic modalities[4,5].

In another published study, Lezin et al. [LEZ24][6] presented an independent assembly of *R. tetraphylla*, which incorporates an independent ancestral WGT compared to other *Apocynaceae* species, revealing a more complex genome architecture with three homeologous copies for each chromosome. Interestingly, both assemblies were generated from the same raw nanopore sequencing data and employed similar analytical approaches, such as Ks distribution curves (STAN23 Fig. 2c; LEZ24 Fig. 1b), yet yielded contrasting estimates of the WGT event. The smudge plots[7] generated from raw reads revealed distinct genomic architecture between *R. tetraphylla* and its congeneric species, *Rauvolfia serpentina*[8] (**Fig. 1A**, **1B**). While *R. serpentina* exhibited clear, discrete peaks consistent with a diploid genome, *R. tetraphylla* displayed a broader peak pattern, indicating a higher ploidy level. To further resolve such ambiguities in the

WGT status and consequently the genomic organisation of *R. tetraphylla*, we employed a

haplotype phasing approach on chromosome 11 of the WGT-aware LEZ24 assembly by

identifying haplotype-defining polymorphisms (HDPs) that can distinguish among

subchromosomes (haplotypes) 11a, 11b, and 11c[9]. We demonstrated alignment of distinct long

reads to their respective haplotypes based on the allelic states at those HDPs. Quantification of

this read support validated the phasing correctness (**Fig. 1C, 1D**; Supplementary Text S1, S2 and

Supplementary Figs. S1-S4). In summary, our analysis provided strong support for an additional

WGT event and confirmed the structural integrity of the LEZ24 genome assembly.

Results

Reanalysis of yohimbane biosynthetic gene candidates using the LEZ24 genome, employing

BLAST[10], OrthoFinder[11], co-expression analysis, deep learning classification and domain

characterisation (Supplementary Text S3), we found that most candidate genes, including YOS

(MSTRG.5283), GS and MDRs (MSTRG.5530, MSTRG.5531, MSTRG.5534) exist as three

homeologous copies distributed across subchromosomes 11a, 11b, and 11c. Specifically, GS

corresponds to Rte11aG083264.1, Rte11bG086587.1, and Rte11cG086866.1; MSTRG.5530 to

Rte11aG083260.1,   Rte11bG086590.1,   and   Rte11cG086864.1;   MSTRG.5531   to

Rte11aG083262.1, Rte11bG086591.1, and Rte11cG086863.1; whereas MSTRG.5534 is detected

only on 11a (Rte11aG083258.1) and 11c (Rte11cG086855.1). Additionally, YOS is present as

Rte11aG083627.1 and Rte11bG086265.1, alongside a highly identical paralogous copy,

Rte11aG083622.1 (Supplementary Data S1). Three homeologous copies are expected per gene

across the subchromosomes due to the WGT event; however, certain copies could not be

identified, suggesting putative divergence or gene loss.

Since haplotypes are collapsed in the STAN23 assembly, we investigated which one of the three

homeologous copies in the LEZ24 genome corresponds to the STAN23 genes. This was

achieved by aligning all homeologous copies of each gene and designating mismatching non-gap

positions as HDPs (Supplementary Text S4). Our analysis showed that MSTRG.5528, 5530, and

5531 predominantly align with their corresponding 11c homeolog, exhibiting high allelic

similarity at HDPs. In contrast, MSTRG.5534 shows strong allelic support for the 11a homeolog

but also considerable similarity to the 11c copy at certain HDPs. Similarly, YOS primarily aligns

with its 11b homeolog, yet also displays substantial support for the 11a copy alleles at certain

HDPs, indicating that a few genes used in the STAN23 study represent a chimeric sequence (**Fig.**

2A, **2B**). These mismatches include both synonymous and non-synonymous variants that may

cause amino acid changes within the functional protein domains. This suggests that the

functional assays based on MSTRG.5534 and MSTRG.5283 may not accurately reflect the true

biological activity, as the chimeric enzymes could differ in protein function or expression

compared to their native LEZ24 counterparts.

Expression profiling via RNA-seq revealed that the single-copy transcripts used by STAN23 for

functional validation do not correspond to the most highly expressed homeologs (Supplementary

Data S2, S3). For instance, GS shows the highest expression in its 11b copy; MSTRG.5530 in

its 11a copy; MSTRG.5531 and MSTRG.5534 in their 11b and 11c homeolog respectively

(Supplementary Figs. S5-S7). Similarly, for YOS (MSTRG.5283), the 20 amino-acid longer

Rte11aG083622.1 transcript exhibits substantially higher expression (**Fig. 2C**) than both

Rte11aG083627.1 and Rte11bG086265.1, underscoring the dominance of different gene copies

than that identified by STAN23. This implies that the yohimbane biosynthesis in *R. tetraphylla* is

governed by homeolog-specific expression, and functional studies involving multiple homeologs

are essential to accurately resolve its enzymatic pathways.

The expression patterns of gene copies also exhibit strong variation across tissues, suggesting

subfunctionalisation and neofunctionalisation with distinct homeologs being primarily utilised

among tissues for yohimbane biosynthesis. We hypothesise that, within the two-enzyme

pathway, specific copies of MSTRG.5530, 5531, and 5534 preferentially interact with particular

GS homeologs to produce yohimbane. To test this hypothesis, we performed co-expression

network analysis using the LEZ24 assembly. This approach revealed that all the MSTRG.5531

homeologs exhibit a strong correlation with GS-11c copy. Additionally, MSTRG.5530

homeologs display cross-subchromosomal correlations with GS homeologs; the 11c copy

correlates with GS-11b copy, while the 11b and 11a copies show strong correlation with GS-11c

copy (**Fig. 2D**). These findings demonstrate that the distinct copies of MSTRG.5530, 5531, and

5534 preferentially associate with specific GS homeologs, revealing complex cross-

subchromosomal co-expression patterns overlooked in the previous STAN23 analyses.

Consequently, the gene pairs utilised in STAN23 functional assays do not correspond to the

primary homeolog pairs active in planta, thus limiting their biological relevance.

Discussion

In conclusion, the STAN23 study is fundamentally limited due to an inaccurate estimation of the

WGT event, which led to the omission of additional candidate gene homeologs with tissue-

specific expression, consequently overlooking potential subfunctionalisation and

neofunctionalization across the gene copies. The study also fails to capture critical interactions

within the two-enzyme pathway involving GS and associated MDRT copies, thereby

undermining the complexity of yohimbane biosynthesis. The absence of haplotype phasing

further contributed to the identification and downstream analysis of chimeric candidate genes,

which may not accurately represent the native biological sequences or their functional

characteristics. The correct gene sequences will not only better reflect the endogenous biological

activity but may also enhance enzymatic efficiency, thus serving as superior candidates for

industrial production. We emphasise that ploidy assessment using raw sequencing reads

combined with mapping-based haplotype phasing is essential as a post-assembly validation step

to prevent misinterpretations caused by assembly artifacts[12]. This approach is particularly crucial

when assembled gene sequences are intended for subsequent functional studies. Future research

should prioritise the yohimbane candidate gene homeologs identified through the LEZ24

assembly. Additionally, co-expressed GS-MDRT gene pairs revealed in this analysis should be

the focus of functional characterisation to elucidate their specific functions in yohimbane

biosynthesis and accurately reflect the key enzymatic contributors in the plant.

Methods

In our analysis, we performed mapping-based haplotype phasing of *R. tetraphylla* chromosome

11 by identifying HDPs through pairwise alignments of the three subchromosomes (11a, 11b,

and 11c). Nanopore reads were then categorised based on allelic states at these HDPs, and read

support for consistent alleles was quantified to validate the haplotype correctness. This approach

substantiated the presence of an additional WGT event and confirmed the accuracy of the LEZ24

genome assembly.

Expression abundance was quantified as transcripts per million (TPM) by pseudo-aligning RNA-

seq reads from 150 samples using Salmon[13]. Transcripts with TPM > 10 in at least six samples

were retained for downstream analysis. Expression robustness was validated using cross-

expression analysis (Supplementary Text S5, Supplementary Figs. S8-S10). Co-expression

networks integrating gene expression were generated using Spearman's rank correlation

(Supplementary Text S6, S7 and Supplementary Figs. S11-S27) ranked by highest reciprocal

ranks (HRR); known MIA genes were used as baits to identify novel co-expressed candidates,

with network visualisation performed using igraph (v2.2.1)[14] in R (v4.3). A focused co-

expression network was further generated using only yohimbane candidate gene homeologs to

investigate their specific interactions. Correlation robustness against tissue composition was

evaluated using leave-one-tissue-out (LOTO) analysis (Supplementary Text S8, Supplementary

Figs. S28-S32). Deep learning classification of MIA-related genes was performed using a

feedforward artificial neural network trained with the H2O library (v3.46) in R (v4.3), employing

a labelled dataset of 96 MIA and 28,087 non-MIA transcripts derived from BUSCO[15] orthologs

(Supplementary Text S9 and Supplementary Data S4).

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal
relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Author contributions

Mratunjay Dwivedi: Conceptualisation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualisation, Validation,
Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Nagarjun Vijay: Conceptualization,
Resources, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, Funding acquisition, Project
administration, Supervision.

Data availability

Data are available at https://github.com/MrityunjayCEGLAB/MatterArising_comm_biol

Acknowledgements

We thank IISER Bhopal for supporting MD with a PhD scholarship. The Department of
Biotechnology, Ministry of Science and Technology, India (Grant no. BT/11/IYBA/2018/03)
and the Science and Engineering Research Board (Grant no. ECR/2017/001430) provided funds
for computational resources (i.e., Har Gobind Khorana Computational Biology cluster) used.

References

1. Mahalakshmi, S. N., Achala, H. G., Ramyashree, K. R. & Prashith, K. T. R. Rauvolfia tetraphylla L. (Apocynaceae)-A Comprehensive Review on Its Ethnobotanical Uses, Phytochemistry and Pharmacological Activities. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences-IJPBS TM* (2019).

2. Hwang, J. *et al.* Ancient gene clusters govern the initiation of monoterpenoid indole alkaloid biosynthesis and C3 stereochemistry inversion. *Nature Communications* **16**, (2025).

3. Stander, E. A. *et al.* The Rauvolfia tetraphylla genome suggests multiple distinct biosynthetic routes for yohimbane monoterpene indole alkaloids. *Commun. Biol.* **6**, (2023).

4. Wei, Y., Xiao, D., Zhang, C. & Hou, X. The expanded SWEET gene family following whole genome triplication in Brassica rapa. *Genes (Basel).* **10**, (2019).

5. Cao, S., Sawettalake, N. & Shen, L. Gapless genome assembly and epigenetic profiles reveal gene regulation of whole-genome triplication in lettuce. *Gigascience* **13**, (2024).

6. Lezin, E. *et al.* A chromosome-scale genome assembly of Rauvolfia tetraphylla facilitates identification of the complete ajmaline biosynthetic pathway. *Plant Communications* vol. 5 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xplc.2023.100784 (2024).

7. Ranallo-Benavidez, T. R., Jaron, K. S. & Schatz, M. C. GenomeScope 2.0 and Smudgeplot for reference-free profiling of polyploid genomes. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, (2020).

8. Dwivedi, M. & Vijay, N. De novo genome Assembly of Rauvolfia Serpentina and comparative paleodemographic analysis of Apocynaceae plants. *bioRxiv* 2025.10.27.684745 (2025) doi:10.1101/2025.10.27.684745.

9. Sharma, A. & Vijay, N. The genomic structure of complex chromosomal rearrangement at the Fm locus in black-bone Silkie chicken. *Communications Biology* vol. 8 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-025-07825-2 (2025).

10. Camacho, C. *et al.* BLAST+: Architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* **10**, (2009).

11. Emms, D. M. & Kelly, S. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 238 (2019).

12. Stander, E. A., Dugé de Bernonville, T., Papon, N. & Courdavault, V. Chromosome-scale genomes throw light on plant drug biosynthesis. *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences* vol. 43 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tips.2022.01.007 (2022).

13. Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M. I., Irizarry, R. A. & Kingsford, C. Salmon provides fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nat. Methods* **14**, (2017).

14. Csardi, G. & Nepusz, T. The igraph software package for complex network research. *InterJournal Complex Systems* **Complex Sy**, (2006).

15. Simão, F. A., Waterhouse, R. M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E. V. & Zdobnov, E. M. BUSCO: Assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. *Bioinformatics* **31**, (2015).

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1: Ploidy assessment and mapping-based haplotype phasing validation of *R. tetraphylla* LEZ24 genome.

A Smudgeplot for *R. serpentina*; the two clear peaks indicate a diploid genome. **B** Smudgeplot for *R. tetraphylla,* the broad peak indicates a higher-ploidy genome. **C** Haplotype linkage heatmaps for HDP pairs for chromosome 11a using Rte_Chr11a as reference for mapping. Each square block represents a HDP pair. The colour scale on the right indicates the strength of read support for the 11a allele for each HDP pair, with yellow denoting the highest and black the lowest. A strong diagonal pattern reflects linkage between adjacent HDPs, indicating haplotype continuity; read support diminishes as the distance between HDP pairs increases. Regions with sparse HDPs exhibit weak or fragmented diagonal support. Red outlines highlight strongly phased haplotype blocks. **D** Haplotype linkage heatmap for chromosome 11b using Rte_Chr11b as reference; visualising read support for 11b allele.

Fig. 2: Mosaic transcript structure, coexpression and expression divergence across yohimbane candidate gene homeologs.

A Schematic representation of the mosaic structure of MSTRG.5283 representing contributions from its homeologous 11b (Rte11bG086265.1) and 11a (Rte11aG083627.1) copy. Single colour blocks represent a pure haplotype. **B** Schematic representation of the mosaic structure of MSTRG.5534 representing contributions from homeologous 11a (Rte11aG083258.1) and 11c (Rte11cG086855.1) copy. **C** Tissue and condition-specific expression profiles of representative homeologous genes are shown in panels (A, B). Boxplots summarise expression across biological replicates for each tissue or condition, illustrating differential expression patterns and functional divergence among homeologs. **D** Co-expression network of yohimbane candidate genes in the two-enzyme pathway. Nodes represent genes and are colored by the subgenome of origin (11a, 11b, 11c). Node shapes denote gene family membership (GS, HSS30, HSS31, HSS34). Edges represent pairwise expression correlations, with line thickness and opacity proportional to correlation strength.