TITLE: Global distributions and emergence of six major tropical root-knot nematodes

RUNNING TITLE: Tropical root-knot nematode distributions

AUTHORS

Mohammed A. Dakhil[1,2], Gökhan Aydınlı[3], Johannes Helder[4], Sevilhan Mennan[5], Saša Širca[6], Barbara

Gerič Stare[6], Misghina Goitom Teklu[7], Daniel P. Bebber[1*]

[1]Department of Biosciences, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4QD, UK.

[2] Botany and Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science, Helwan University, Cairo, 11795, Egypt.

[3]Vocational High School of Bafra, Ondokuz Mayıs University, 55400, Samsun, Türkiye.

[4]Laboratory of Nematology, Wageningen University and Research, 6708PB Wageningen,

Netherlands.

[5]Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Plant Protection, Ondokuz Mayıs University, 55139, Samsun,

Türkiye.

[6]Agricultural Institute of Slovenia (KIS), Hacquetova ulica 17, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia.

[7]Plant Research, Plant Sciences Group, Wageningen University and Research Centre, 6700 AA

Wageningen, the Netherlands.

CONTACT INFORMATION

[*]Daniel P. Bebber, Department of Biosciences, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4QD, UK. Email

d.bebber@exeter.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Root-knot nematodes (RKN; *Meloidogyne* spp.) are among the most damaging plant-parasitic

nematodes worldwide and present a growing threat to global food production as their geographical

ranges expand. Despite their importance, the geographical distributions, proliferation risks and crop-

level impacts of major RKN species remain poorly understood. Here we assess global habitat

suitability for six economically significant or regulated 'tropical' RKN species: *M. arenaria*, *M.

incognita*, *M. javanica*, *M. enterolobii*, *M. ethiopica* and *M. luci*. We integrated statistical species

distribution models (SDMs), mechanistic thermal-time development models and experimentally

derived host-suitability metrics. Ensemble SDMs, parameterised using global occurrence records and

soil-derived bioclimatic variables, indicate broad climatically suitable ranges for the four well-

represented species (*M. arenaria, M. incognita*, *M. javanica* and *M. enterolobii*) across much of the

tropics, subtropics and warm temperate regions. Suitability was lower in cooler northern latitudes and,

to a degree, in the humid tropics. Predictions for *M. ethiopica* and *M. luci* remain uncertain due to

limited occurrence data. Thermal-time phenological models, built from published estimates of base

temperatures and Growing Degree-Day (GDD) requirements, revealed a strong latitudinal gradient in

potential generation number, with life-cycle completion unlikely at higher latitudes. The risk to 26

globally important crops was evaluated by combining crop-specific reproduction factor and gall index

data with global crop distribution maps, indicating highest potential impacts in southern Brazil, the

central United States, parts of West and East Africa, eastern India and northern China. Together, our

analyses show that most global agricultural land is suitable for the focal species' establishment and

proliferation, with risk shaped jointly by climate, soil conditions and crop hosts. Strengthening

distributional surveys and experimentally quantifying species-specific thermal and host responses will

be essential to anticipate and mitigate future threats from these crop pests under climate change.

KEYWORDS

Crop pests; food security; species distribution models; invasive species; climate change

INTRODUCTION

Crop pests and diseases which threaten food security are shifting their distributions and impacts in

response to global change (Ma et al., 2025; Singh et al., 2023). Observations show poleward range

expansions of crop pests and diseases in recent decades (Bebber et al., 2013), with further spread

likely (Chaloner et al., 2021; Pequeno et al., 2024). Insect pest damage will rise as warming

accelerates their metabolism and growth (Deutsch et al., 2018). Plant parasitic nematodes (PPN) that

feed on plant roots are among the most damaging crop pests worldwide (Lilley et al., 2024).

Nematodes (Animalia, Phylum Nematoda), commonly known as roundworms, are a diverse and

abundant group of parasitic and free-living animals found in nearly all ecosystems, from oceanic

trenches to desert soils. Among soil-borne nematode ecological guilds, PPN are thought to be second-

most abundant after bacterivores (van den Hoogen et al., 2019) and over four thousand species have

been described (Decraemer & Hunt, 2024). Among natural ecosystems they tend to be most abundant

in temperate broadleaf forests (van den Hoogen et al., 2019), but their distributions in managed, i.e.

agricultural, ecosystems remain largely unexplored. A major challenge in assessing crop losses to

PPN has been the difficulty of detecting and identifying the species responsible, especially in regions

with limited phytosanitary capacity (Coyne et al., 2018). Even when nematode damage is suspected,

species identification based on morphology is challenging in most cases and requires substantial

technical expertise (Coyne et al., 2018). Hence, a better understanding of PPN identification,

distributions, impacts, and responses to environmental change is an important goal in ensuring future

food security.

Root-knot nematodes (RKN) in genus *Meloidogyne* are recognized as the most damaging group of

PPN (Jones et al., 2013). Root gall ('knot') formation in the host is induced by second stage juveniles

which inject effector compounds into protoxylem cells to form multinucleate 'giant cells' upon which

they feed (Rutter et al., 2022). Their very wide host range, parthenogenetic reproduction, the difficulty

in breeding resistance in crop species, and challenges in utilization of chemical controls for soil-borne

pests, makes RKN among the most feared threats to plant health (Jones et al., 2013; Trudgill, 1997).

Hazardous nematicides such as aldicarb and oxamyl are increasingly prohibited, and growers are

prioritizing health and safety when selecting control methods (Talavera et al., 2024). Given the

limitations of chemical controls, cultural methods, such as raising soil temperatures to around 40˚C

through solarization, and microbial biocontrols, are being explored (Vashisth et al., 2024). Unlike

many insect pests and fungal diseases of plants, PPN do not have an aerial dispersal stage but are

disseminated largely through trade in plant products (Banks et al., 2018). Thus, quarantine and

phytosanitary actions remain the most valuable defence against the further spread of damaging PPN,

both within and across national borders.

More than 100 species of RKN have been described (Hodda, 2022), with *M. luci* being the most

recently reported (Bačić et al., 2023; Gerič Stare et al., 2017). The European and Mediterranean Plant

Protection Organization (EPPO) A1 list of pests currently observed only outside the EPPO region

contains six PPN, including *M. ethiopica* (but see Felek & Akyazi, 2024). The EPPO A2 list of pests

known to have a limited distribution within the EPPO region contains 14 PPN, including *M.*

chitwoodi, *M. enterolobii*, *M. fallax*, *M. graminicola*, *M. luci* and *M. mali*. In addition, three further

'tropical RKN' species (sensu Álvarez-Ortega et al., 2019), *M. incognita*, *M. arenaria* and *M.*

javanica, appear to be expanding their ranges rapidly into Europe from established populations in the

Mediterranean basin (Bebber et al., 2014; Rusinque et al., 2023). Here we focus on six species within

Clade 1 'tropical RKN' (Álvarez-Ortega et al., 2019): *M. incognita*, *M. arenaria* and *M. javanica*

within the Incognita Group; and *M. enterolobii*, *M. ethiopica* and *M. luci*. Hereafter, these species are

collectively termed Tropical RKN (TRKN).

Protected cultivation settings, e.g. glasshouses where warm temperatures are maintained, are likely to

have facilitated TRKN establishment in Europe (Talavera et al., 2012). Temperature is a major

determinant of RKN life-cycles, with TRKN thriving under warmer conditions (Subbotin et al., 2021;

Vashisth et al., 2024). Accordingly, RKN phenology can be described using thermal time models, in

which daily temperatures above a baseline contribute to growing degree days (GDD) and

accumulation of a certain threshold number of GDD predicts completion of a generation (Trudgill &

Perry, 1994). RKN may be killed by temperatures below some minimum, which in thermophilic

TRKN may be as high as 10°C (Subbotin et al., 2021). Thus, warming due to climate change may

exacerbate crop losses by enabling invasion of hitherto unsuitable regions, increasing the number of

TRKN generations per growing season, and enhancing overwintering survival probabilities over a

larger geographical range.

Many crop pests and pathogens appear to have expanded their ranges in response to climate change

(Bebber, 2015). However, global disparities in surveying and identification capacity may have

obscured these trends. On average, recorded PPN occurrences have shifted toward the equator,

possibly reflecting earlier detection in wealthier, higher-latitude countries (Bebber et al., 2013).

Moreover, species range responses to climate change can follow complex, non-linear patterns

(Lehmann et al., 2020; Rubenstein et al., 2023). Despite their agricultural significance, the likely

impacts of climate change on PPN distributions remain poorly understood. The emergence of *M. luci*

and *M. incognita* in Europe suggests that warming may have enabled their establishment in previously

unsuitable areas. Here, we evaluate both the realised and potential climatic suitability of Europe for

six TRKN species using two complementary modelling approaches: (i) species distribution models

(SDMs), which use statistical associations between known occurrences and environmental conditions,

and (ii) mechanistic thermal models, based on temperature-dependent development and survival

thresholds.

METHODS

TRKN species

We analysed the distributions of six TRKN species: three 'major species' (Elling, 2013; Moens et al.,

2009) with high economic impact within the Incognita Group clade (Álvarez-Ortega et al., 2019), *M.*

incognita, M. arenaria and *M. javanica*; and three species subject to plant-health quarantine or listed

by the European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization (EPPO) because of their recognised

or potential biosecurity risk (*M. enterolobii*, *M. luci* and *M. ethiopica*). *M. arenaria* is considered to

have global distribution in regions where the average temperature of the warmest month is 36˚C or

below and the average temperature of the coolest month is 3˚C or above (CABI, 2021). It is

considered rare where the average annual temperature is below 15˚C, and most common where

average annual precipitation is 1000–2000 mm. *M. incognita* is thought to have a global distribution

and is endemic across much the tropics and subtropics (Eisenback, 2020). *M. javanica* is another

warm climate species which has been largely restricted to greenhouses in Europe. It appears to be

more common in drier areas (CABI, 2022). *M. enterolobii* is thought to have a more limited known

distribution in warmer regions than the preceding species, and is thought not to be able to survive

outside protected cultivation in colder climates (Castillo & Castagnone-Sereno, 2020; Pan et al.,

2023). *M. ethiopica* is thought to be restricted to Africa, South America and Türkiye, and appears to

be able to survive in temperate conditions (Carneiro & Carneiro, 2012; Felek & Akyazi, 2024). *M.*

luci is a recently described species with a widespread distribution (Supplementary Table S1). *M.*

ethiopica isolates in Europe have been reclassified as *M. luci* (Gerič Stare et al., 2017).

Implications of TRKN evolution for distribution modelling

All six TRKN reproduce by obligate mitotic parthenogenesis and are allopolyploid (Dai et al., 2023).

Before considering their global distributions, we note that species identification within TRKN is

challenging due to their reticulate evolutionary histories involving multiple hybridization events and

very low divergence of mitochondrial genomes (Dai et al., 2023). These features are associated with

very similar morphologies and broadly overlapping phenotypes among species, and have implications

for the reliability of species-level occurrence records. Consequently, some records may be

misidentified (for example, confusion between *M. ethiopica* and *M. luci*). Thus, while we model the

distributions of each species separately, we prioritised consistency of environmental predictors and

modelling structure across species to facilitate direct comparison and synthesis, rather than species-

specific optimisation, and subsequently combine individual risk maps to provide an overall estimate

of environmental suitability for the six species as a group.

Distribution data

We obtained occurrence data of the target six *Meloidogyne* species from seven sources: the Global

Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF; https://www.gbif.org), CABI

(https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/), EPPO (https://gd.eppo.int/), NCBI

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), and relevant literature (peer-reviewed journal articles, dissertations

and reports). Locations from distribution map images were abstracted using Google Earth Pro

software (https://www.google.com/intl/en_uk/earth/about/versions/#earth-pro). Only point locations

were included, i.e. we did not include national or sub-national region data. Location data were cleaned

by removing duplicates, points located in oceans, and points located in non-cropping areas based on

global crop distribution (Potapov et al., 2022), first checking if there had been a possible switch

between latitude and longitude for apparently erroneous locations.

Data handling, analysis and visualization

All analyses were performed in the R environment v.4.5.0 (R Core Team, 2025). Manipulation of

spatial data was undertaken using the *terra* package v.1.8–60 (Hijmans et al., 2025) for R, with

tidyverse v.2.0.0 (Wickham et al., 2019) for additional packages facilitating data manipulation.

Figures were created using the *ggplot2* package v.3.5.2 (Wickham et al., 2024) and *cowplot* v.1.2.0

(Wilke, 2025), with *tidyterra* v.0.7.2 (Hernangómez, 2023) for spatial raster and vector data and

biscale v.1.1.0 (Prener et al., 2025) for bivariate maps. Land mass and country outlines were obtained

from the GADM database (https://www.gadm.org) using the *geodata* package 0.6.2 (Hijmans et al.,

2024). We developed ensemble species distribution models (SDMs) using the *sdm* package v4.4.1

(Naimi & Araújo, 2016). The *sdm* package employs the following R packages to implemented the

models we tested: Package *stats* for Generalized Linear Models (GLM); *mgcv* (Wood, 2017) for

Generalized Additive Models (GAM); *gbm* (Ridgeway et al., 2024) for Boosted Regression Trees

(BRT); *kernlab* (Karatzoglou et al., 2004) for Support Vector Machines (SVM); *randomForest*

(Breiman et al., 2024) for Random Forests; and *earth* (Milborrow et al., 2024) for Multiple Adaptive

Regression Splines (MARS). We used the Javascript implementation of the MaxEnt algorithm

(Phillips et al., 2006), available from https://biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org/open_source/maxent/.

For visualization, global maps were reprojected to the Equal Earth area-preserving coordinate

reference system (Šavrič et al., 2019) and resampled using the mean to a 20 km or 40 km grid,

corresponding to approximately 300 dpi print resolution. This aggregation reduced visual sparsity

caused by patchy cropland at the original 3 km resolution. European maps were restricted to longitude

10° W–33° E and latitude 33° N–72° N, then reprojected to the area-preserving ETRS89 / LAEA

Europe coordinate reference system (EPSG:3035) at 4 km resolution. These maps are intended to

illustrate broad geographical patterns rather than fine-scale suitability at field resolution.

Environmental variables

Previous studies have identified temperature, precipitation, and soil properties as potentially important

correlates of global TRKN distributions (Sasser et al., 1983) and soil nematode abundance (van den

Hoogen et al., 2019). We employed soil (rather than air) temperature-derived variables as predictors.

Mean monthly soil temperature estimates and 11 soil temperature bioclimatic variables (SBIO1–11) at

30 arc-seconds spatial resolution and two depths (0–5 cm and 5–15 cm) for the period 1979–2013

were obtained from the Global Maps of Soil Temperature database (Lembrechts et al., 2022). We

detected calculation irregularities in the isothermality dataset (SBIO3), so this variable was omitted

from further analysis. Variable values at the two depths were highly correlated (Pearson correlation >

0.90 for most variables, 0.82 for mean diurnal range), so we used the layer thickness-weighted mean

for modelling. Precipitation bioclimatic variables (BIO12–BIO19) at 30 arc second resolution for the

period 1981–2010 were obtained from CHELSA v2.1 (Karger et al., 2017, 2018). Although the

Global Maps of Soil Temperature variables were derived from CHELSA v1.2 and precipitation from

v2.1, this version mismatch is unlikely to materially affect our results, as broad-scale precipitation

seasonality patterns are consistent between versions and SDMs are inherently correlative. We

obtained 9 soil textural and chemical variables from the International Soil Reference and Information

Centre (ISRIC) SoilGrids250m, aggregated to ~1 km resolution (Hengl et al., 2017). We resampled

(mean values) all environmental variable datasets to 0.025˚ (approximately 3 km^2) resolution to match

the Global Land Analysis & Discovery (GLAD) global overview cropland distribution (Potapov et al.,

2022). TRKN are almost exclusively sampled from agricultural crops, therefore we omitted non-

cropland regions from further analysis. Predictor variables data sources are summarized in

Supplementary Table S2.

Ensemble species distribution modelling and model accuracy

For each species, 5000 background points were randomly sampled from cropland areas within 1000

km of known presence locations to approximate the species' accessible area (Barve et al., 2011) and

help reduce sampling bias (Barbet-Massin et al., 2012; Phillips et al., 2009). Environmental predictor

values were extracted from the presence and background points. Multicollinearity among predictors

was avoided by stepwise removal of variables with Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) greater than 5

(Guisan et al., 2017), with the exception of mean annual temperature (SBIO1) and mean annual

precipitation (BIO12) which we retained as representing major climatic gradients. Predictor selection

by VIF was conducted on the combined presence and background data for all six TRKN species, and

the same predictors were used to model each species' distribution. This approach was adopted to

ensure comparability of response curves, suitability patterns and derived richness estimates across

species. Model performance was first evaluated by five-fold cross-validation using seven species

distribution modelling (SDM) algorithms. The mean True Skill Statistic (TSS) and Area Under the

receiver operating characteristic Curve (AUC) were compared across algorithms, and the three best-

performing methods across all species were selected for 30-fold bootstrap resampling, using 70 % of

records for training and 30 % for validation in each iteration. The same set of algorithms was retained

for all species to ensure that differences in predicted suitability reflected species–environment

relationships rather than differences in modelling structure, while species-specific model performance

was accounted for through TSS-weighted ensemble predictions.

Final models were fitted using all presence and background data. Ensemble suitability predictions for

each species were obtained by weighting the predicted suitabilities of the three algorithms by their

bootstrap mean TSS. Predictor-suitability response curves were calculated from the bootstrap means

for each algorithm, and final ensemble response curves were derived as TSS-weighted means across

algorithms. These curves are presented for qualitative comparison of trends only, as absolute response

magnitudes are algorithm-specific. The importance of each variable in each bootstrap replicate was

calculated as the reduction in AUC when the values of that variable were randomly permuted while

keeping all other predictors unchanged. Binary suitability predictions were obtained using two habitat

suitability thresholds: the Minimum Training Presence (MTP) threshold, defined as the lowest

suitability value associated with any training presence point; and the tenth percentile training presence

(P10) threshold which excludes regions with suitability values lower than those of the lowest ten

percent of occurrence records (Pearson et al., 2007). The MTP threshold was selected for final

suitability mapping because several TRKN species are known to occur widely (Luc et al., 1990), but

verified georeferenced records remain sparse. In such cases, more restrictive thresholds such as P10

risk excluding environmentally suitable but under-sampled regions (Pearson et al., 2007).

Thermal time phenological models

Thermal time models, also known as heat sum or accumulation models, are commonly used to predict

phenology in a range of organisms including nematodes (Trudgill, 1995; Trudgill & Perry, 1994;

Velloso et al., 2022) and their crop hosts (Zhao et al., 2019). Thermal time is expressed as growing

degree-days (GDD), the daily accumulation of degrees Celsius above a minimum baseline

temperature T_b required for development, with phenological stages reached once species-specific

GDD thresholds are met. GDD is normally calculated from the average of daily minimum and

maximum temperatures (McMaster & Wilhelm, 1997). In this case, we calculated observed GDD sum

(S_o) from mean monthly soil temperatures T_m at each soil depth using the simplification that each day

of the ith month has the mean monthly temperature $T_{m,i}$:

1
$$S_o = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max\left(0, T_{m,i} - T_b\right) \times d_i$$

where n is the duration of the period being considered (usually 12 for the entire year, but can be

restricted to particular host plant growing seasons), d_i is the number of days in the ith month, and max

indicates that when $T_{m,i}$ is below T_b no GDD are accumulated. The *ENVIREM* package for R (Title &

Bemmels, 2018) contains a function for this calculation, but the implementation is slow so we wrote a

new, faster function avoiding loops. The number of possible generations per year G for each was

estimated by:

8
$$G = S_{obs}/S_l$$

where S_l is the GDD sum required to complete the lifecycle.

Experimentally-derived T_b and S_l estimates for target TRKN species were collated from the literature.

Studies which did not measure development over the full life cycle (usually considered as J2 to J2

stage) were omitted (e.g. Dávila-Negrón & Dickson, 2013; Tzortzakakis & Trudgill, 2005). One study

found that development slowed above 30°C (Madulu & Trudgill, 1994). However, given the rarity of

such high soil temperatures in cropland regions, we did not adjust the model to account for this

phenomenon.

As the focus of our study is crop production, we restricted calculations to global cropland-containing

grid cells (Potapov et al., 2022). In many crop models, T_b varies among crop species (and sometimes

cultivars) and is greater for tropical crops like cassava and banana than temperate crops like wheat

and potato (FAO & IIASA, 2022 Appendix 4-3; Zhao et al., 2019). TRKN have high T_b (> 10 °C),

similar to tropical crops and greater than temperate crops, for example potato with $T_b = 4$ °C (Zhao et

al., 2019). This implies that TRKN will be active within the growing seasons of most crops. However,

crops may be planted later and harvested earlier than expected solely from sufficiently warm

conditions (Bondeau et al., 2007; Sacks et al., 2010). Therefore we estimated G for a major tropical

crop (maize) and temperate crop (potato) based on MIRCA-OS crop calendars for the year 2015

(Kebede et al., 2025). While TRKN have very wide host ranges, maize and potato are widely planted

and are vulnerable to these nematodes (Coyne et al., 2018). Crop-specific thermal time accumulation

was restricted to months in which each crop (either irrigated or rainfed) was present in each grid cell.

Crop suitability

The suitability of particular plants as hosts varies among and within TRKN species (Luc et al., 1990).

For example, tomatoes are considered to be highly suitable hosts for several of our focal species, but

resistant varieties are available (Verdejo-Lucas et al., 2013). To provide a general overview of

geographical patterns of crop host suitability, we gathered data on the reproduction factor (RF) and

galling index (GI) for 26 of the most common crops globally, for which such information was

available (Supplementary Data S1). Only data from non-resistant varieties was used, and where

multiple results per crop were reported per study, the mean was taken. RF is the ratio of the final to

initial nematode population (RF = P_f/P_i), and GI is a semi-quantitative measure of galling severity

(e.g. Maleita et al., 2022). GI is often recorded on a scale of 0–5, but may use other scales (e.g. 0–10)

and if so, values were rescaled to the 0–5 range. Crop suitability was mapped using the SPAM crop

distribution estimates (IFPRI, 2024), by calculating an area-weighted crop suitability index *C* for both

RF and GI per grid cell:

$$C_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{i,j} c_{i,j}$$

where *a* is the fractional area of the *j*th crop in the *i*th grid cell, and *c* is the mean (across focal TRKN

species) of the nematode multiplication metric (RF or GI) values.

Host suitability data were not available for all crop × TRKN species combinations. The index C_i

therefore represents the aggregate crop suitability index of host-mediated vulnerability to focal TRKN

as a group. This approach is appropriate given the broad host ranges shared by TRKN species and

allows consistent, landscape-scale comparison of host availability. This ensemble-based index is

intended to capture the vulnerability of cropping systems to TRKN pressure as a whole, rather than

the performance of any single TRKN species on a given crop, and therefore remains informative even

where individual species show contrasting virulence patterns across hosts.

RESULTS

Species distribution models

Precise locations (i.e., exact latitude and longitude) were available for *M. arenaria* (150 observations)*,

M. incognita* (326), *M. javanica* (317) and *M. enterolobii* (152) (Supplementary Figure S1,

Supplementary Data S1). Only 31 precise locations were available for *M. ethiopica*, in South America

and Türkiye, while 45 were available for *M. luci*, in Europe, Türkiye, Brazil and Ethiopia. VIF-based

selection retained 15 environmental predictors for SDM, including four soil temperature-derived

variables, five precipitation variables, and six physical and chemical variables (Supplementary Table

S3). Mean annual soil temperature (SBIO1) and precipitation (BIO12) had slightly higher VIF than

other predictors we retained. Five-fold cross-validation of SDMs revealed little variation in model

performance among the seven candidate algorithms (Supplementary Table S4). The best algorithm

was RF, but inspection of mean response curves of suitability by different predictors revealed highly

erratic behaviour indicative of overfitting, and unlikely to approximate species' responses to the

environment. Hence, we selected the three next best algorithms by TSS (MaxEnt, SVM and MARS)

for ensemble model fitting.

The three algorithms had similar bootstrap mean TSS scores for each species, hence contributing

approximately equally to the final SDM habitat suitability predictions (Supplementary Tables S5, S6).

However, the predictor variable importance values differed substantially among algorithms within

species (Supplementary Figure S2). SBIO1 was the most important variable or *M. arenaria* and *M.*

incognita in all three algorithms, and among the most important predictors for *M. javanica* and *M.*

luci. There was no clear or consistent difference in the importance of soil temperature, precipitation or

physicochemical predictors, though precipitation was somewhat more important for *M. ethiopica* and

climatic variables somewhat more important than physicochemical properties for *M. javanica* and *M.*

incognita. Similarly, suitability response curves per predictor varied among models and species,

though with some areas of agreement (Supplementary Figure S3). For *M. arenaria*, the three

algorithms showed similar responses for SBIO1 (peak ~ 15–20 ˚C) and BIO12 (peak ~ 750–1500

mm), but qualitatively different responses for other predictors such as SBIO4 (soil temperature

seasonality) and BIO15 (precipitation seasonality). For *M. enterolobii*, all three algorithms showed

declining suitability with BIO12 and SBIO2 and a peak response at around 300–500 mm for BIO19.

For *M. ethiopica*, suitability increased with AWC, BIO14 and BIO15 for MaxEnt and MARS. For *M.*

incognita, the three algorithms agreed most strongly for BIO12 (peak ~ 750 mm), BIO15 (increasing),

BIO19 (peak ~ 500 mm), CEC (peak ~ 20 cmol(+) kg^{-1}), SBIO1 (peak ~ 25–30 ˚C) and SBIO8

(declining). For *M. javanica*, the algorithms showed similar responses for BIO12, and increasing

response with BIO15 and SBIO4, a peak SBIO1 of around 25–30 ˚C and peak SBIO2 of around 3–5

˚C. There was little agreement among models for *M. luci* distributions.

Ensemble model habitat suitability predictions (Supplementary Figure S4) were converted to binary

maps using suitability thresholds (Supplementary Table S7) to delineate regions likely to be suitable

or unsuitable for each species (Figure 1). Taking the MTP threshold, suitable areas for *M. arenaria*,

M. enterolobii, *M. incognita* and *M. javanica* spanned parts of the USA, much of Brazil, West and

East Africa, southern Europe, China, India and Australia. The humid tropics (the Amazon basin,

Congo Basin and Southeast Asia) were less suitable for *M. arenaria* and *M. incognita*. The P10

threshold was far more restrictive, particularly for *M. arenaria*. The predicted suitable areas for *M.*

ethiopica and *M. luci* were much smaller, but included parts of the USA, Europe, Brazil, East and

West Africa, China and Australia. In general, northern USA and Canada, northern and central Europe,

central Asia and Russia, and parts of Indonesia were predicted to be less suitable for TRKN. *M.*

enterolobii and *M. incognita* had suitable areas in northern Europe and the British Isles. Overlaying

the MTP-thresholded suitability maps for the six species provided a general indication of TRKN

habitat suitability. Regions determined to be suitable for the greatest number of TRKN were

southeastern USA, southern Brazil, southern Europe and Türkiye, West and East Africa, eastern China

and southern Australia (Figure 2). Within Europe, greater suitability was predicted in warmer, wetter

regions with warmer winters, including the Atlantic coasts of Spain, France and the British Isles, as

well Mediterranean regions and Türkiye (Supplementary Figure S5).

Phenological models

Life cycle GDD sums (S_l) were available for three target TRKN species (*M. enterolobii, M. incognita*

and *M. javanica*) and varied between 350 and 552 ˚C.day with base temperature (T_b) between 10.0

and 17.2 ˚C (Supplementary Table S8, Supplementary Figure S6). In comparison, two PCN species

(*G. pallida* and *G. rostochiensis*) had a similar S_l range (398–579 ˚C.day) but substantially lower T_b

(4.0–6.0 ˚C). In most cases, S_l and T_b had been estimated by regressing the reciprocal of life cycle

duration (in days^{-1}) against temperature, under experimental conditions with constant temperature and

a variety of hosts. In most experiments, only a small number of temperatures (around four settings)

had been employed with no replications per temperature, and no uncertainty estimates are provided

for the parameter estimates. The majority of TRKN S_l values fell around 475 ˚C.day with T_b around

11.0 ˚C. Two estimates for *M. javanica* showed higher T_b and lower S_l than other studies (López-

Gómez et al., 2014), while one estimate for *M. incognita* had a lower S_l (Ploeg & Maris, 1999).

Insufficient replicates within species were available for statistical analyses of variation among species,

or the effects of nematode geographical origin or host plant species.

The mean number of potential annual TRKN generations in global croplands, averaged over the 11

estimates from the literature, varied from zero to over 20 (Supplementary Figure S7). There was no

indication of substantial variation in generation times among TRKN species or crops, for the 11

combinations found in the literature. The number of potential annual generations varied slightly

among TRKN species, host plant species and study. No study compared phenology of a particular

TRKN species on different hosts, so direct analysis of the effect of host on development rate was not

possible. Slightly fewer generations were predicted for both *M. incognita* and *M. javanica* on

Cucurbita melo than on other hosts. However, the difference was of a similar order of magnitude to

the difference between two studies conducted on *M. incognita* with *S. lycopersicon*.

Slightly more generations were estimated at 0–5 cm soil depth than 5–15cm (median difference 0.5

months), though with substantial spatial variability (Supplementary Figure S8). More generations

were estimated from mean monthly air temperatures than from 0–5 cm soil depth temperatures in

parts of the USA, Brazil, central and East Africa, China and Southeast Asia (Supplementary Figure

S8). Fewer generations were estimated using air than soil temperatures in the Sahel, the Middle East,

parts of India and Australia. There was a very strong latitudinal gradient in mean annual generations,

with the highest values predicted in West Africa and the Sahel, the Middle East (Syria, Iraq and Iran),

India and Southeast Asia and the Philippines (Supplementary Figure S9a). Only Canada, northern

Europe and the Russian Federation contained substantial areas of cropland where the mean number of

generations per year was below one, i.e. no life cycle completion. The variation among model

estimates increased with the mean (Supplementary Figure S9b).

Restricting phenological models to crop calendars reduced the potential number of generations,

though the overall latitudinal trends remained (Figure 3). For the maize crop calendar, completion of

at least one life cycle (mean of 11 models) within the host growing season was possible everywhere

except in parts of Canada and the US, the Andes, north Africa, Portugal, northern France and the UK,

most of northern Europe and Russia, parts of Ethiopia, small areas of India and much of China. For

the potato crop calendar, life cycle completion was not predicted to occur in areas of Canada, the

Andes, northern Europe and Russia, parts of Ethiopia, Afghanistan, western China and southern

Australia. In Europe, most of Spain, France, Italy, and the Balkans were estimated to be suitable for

completion of at least one generation during the potato cropping season (Figure 4). Minimum soil

temperatures (BIO6) remain above freezing across most of western Europe at both 0–5 cm and 5–15

cm, whereas eastern Europe and mountainous regions reach freezing point and below (Supplementary

Figure S10).

Crop suitability

We found experimentally-derived estimates of RF and GI for 26 of the 42 crops analysed in the

SPAM global crop distribution maps, together representing 76 % of global cropping area in 2020

(FAO, 2025). Host suitability estimates were available for all focal TRKN species, but not for each

crop-RKN combination (Supplementary Data S2). Accordingly, results were pooled to give an

ensemble measure of crop suitability for focal TRKN considered as a group. Mean RF per crop varied

from below 1 in citrus (*Citrus* spp.), coconut (*Cocos nucifera*), barley (*Hordeum vulgare*), cowpea

(*Vigna unguiculata*), yam (*Dioscorea* spp.), cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) and sorghum (*Sorghum*

bicolor) to over 30 in bean (various species), Arabica coffee (*Coffea arabica*), tomato (*Solanum*

lycopersicum) and potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) (Supplementary Table S9). Mean GI varied from

below 1 in citrus, coconut, millet (mainly *Panicum miliaceum*), cowpea and sorghum to over 4 in

sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*), Arabica coffee, banana (*Musa* spp.) and sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*).

Mean RF and GI per crop were strongly correlated (Supplementary Figure S11, Spearman's $\rho = 0.76$).

Globally, crop area-weighted RF and GI were highly correlated (Spearman's $\rho = 0.975$). Both metrics

were highest in southern Brazil, central USA and Canada, parts of West Africa and East Africa,

Romania and Ukraine, western India and northern China (Figure 5a,b). Within Europe, central and

eastern regions had generally greater RF and GI (Figure 5c,d).

DISCUSSION

Root-knot nematodes pose a major risk to global crop production, and the geographical ranges of

several TRKN species have been expanding in temperate regions. Our analysis combined ensemble

SDMs, thermal time phenological models and crop susceptibility estimates to understand where

TRKN populations are most likely to establish and proliferate. Our results suggest that the majority of

the world's agricultural lands, excepting cooler northern temperate regions, are likely to be suitable

for TRKN establishment. Proliferation risk is then determined by growing season temperatures and

the particular crop species under cultivation. Regions with the greatest habitat suitability and crop

proliferation risk included Mexico and the Caribbean, southern Brazil, the Mediterranean, East and

West Africa, east India and northern China, as indicated by the bivariate synthesis of SDM and crop

suitability metrics (Figure 6, Supplementary Figure S12). For species with sufficient distributional

information (*M. arenaria*, *M. incognita*, *M. javanica* and *M. enterolobii*), SDMs indicated

latitudinally-wide habitat suitability, with cooler temperate croplands and some parts of the humid

tropics less likely to support establishment. This agrees with the consensus, for *M. arenaria* at least, of

a distribution constrained by climatic extremes (CABI, 2021). Our thermal time generation models

confirm a general latitudinal gradient in potential proliferation rates.

Constraints such as temperature extremes, moisture regimes and soil properties are likely to explain

areas of disagreement between thermal time models and SDMs regarding establishment potential in

the humid tropics. Pinpointing the major distributional constraints was not possible from our SDMs,

given that temperature, precipitation and soil composition variables all contributed in different ways

to modelled distributions. In general, all three factors are correlates of both soil nematode abundance

(van den Hoogen et al., 2019) and TRKN distributions (Sasser et al., 1983). Soil temperature has long

been known to strongly influence migration, root penetration, proliferation and survival of TRKN

(Bird & Wallace, 1965; Daulton & Nusbaum, 1961; Sasser et al., 1983). Optimal temperatures have

previously been estimated at around 25–30˚C (Bird & Wallace, 1965), with reduced growth and

reproduction below 15˚C (Vrain et al., 1978) and above 35˚C (Daulton & Nusbaum, 1961; Ploeg &

Maris, 1999). Thermal time models, predicated on the approximately linear rate of increase in

biological rates with temperature between the minimum and optimum temperatures of a process

(Trudgill & Perry, 1994), tend to confirm a lower limit of around 10˚C for life cycle completion,

compared with around 5˚ C for PCN. Very high soil temperatures during a crop growing season could

potentially reduce nematode populations (Oka, 2019), but heat extremes can also reduce crop

productivity directly (Heino et al., 2023).

Determining the relative importance of temperature responses in the host plant versus TRKN on crop

yields will require further empirical investigation. Analogously, projected shifts in crop yields under

future climate change are likely to be mirrored by fungal and oomycete crop pathogen infection risks,

potentially offsetting any benefits of warming at higher latitudes (Chaloner et al., 2021). Modelling

climate change effects on TRKN distributions was beyond the scope of the present study, but it is

likely that warming will bring hitherto unsuitable regions into the TRKN thermal niche. Indeed, the

recent detection of *M. ethiopica* in Türkiye (Felek & Akyazi, 2024), hitherto known only from lower

latitudes, suggests that climate change may already be facilitating TRKN invasions.

Soil physico-chemical variables have also been reported as influencing TRKN abundance (de Oliveira

Costa et al., 2025). However, the relative importance of soil properties varied among SDM algorithms

and among species. Although predictors were VIF-screened to reduce strong linear collinearity, soil

variables nonetheless retain shared broad-scale spatial structure and non-linear associations with

climate and land-use gradients, which VIF does not address. Different SDM algorithms may therefore

exploit different predictors to achieve comparable predictive performance and variable importance

rankings should be interpreted cautiously. At finer spatial scales, experimental and field studies have

demonstrated clear effects of soil properties on TRKN populations (Narasimhamurthy et al., 2022),

but such effects are difficult to resolve in correlative global analyses that necessarily average over

substantial local heterogeneity.

Climate change is expected, in general, to shift species distributions poleward as populations track

preferred thermal regimes and for several classes of crop pests and pathogens, including fungi,

oomycetes and insect clades, there is evidence for such shifts (Bebber et al., 2013). However,

historical observations of plant pathogenic viruses and nematodes appear to show the opposite trend,

moving toward the equator. Bebber et al. (2013) speculated that this is because these taxa are more

difficult to detect and identify, and therefore tend to be reported in countries at higher latitudes which

historically have possessed greater scientific and technical capacity. Apparent latitudinal patterns may

partly reflect historical sampling and identification biases rather than true biogeographic limits. The

case of *M. luci*, first described in 2014 (Carneiro et al., 2014), illustrates this phenomenon. Unique

esterase profiles (L3, sometimes coded M3) enable *M. luci* to be identified from earlier studies. The

majority of confirmed *M. luci* observations have come from sub-tropical and temperate locations in

Europe, Türkiye and Brazil, along with a small number of tropical samples from Latin America and

Africa (Supplementary Table S1). While the environmental niches of both *M. luci* and *M. ethiopica*

remain poorly resolved, due to their wide host ranges we speculate that further sampling may reveal

these species to be widely distributed, and will provide a more detailed understanding of TRKN

distributions in general.

TRKN have very wide host ranges, but agricultural crops are better hosts (in terms of shorter

nematode generation times and greater fecundity) than wild species and weeds, and some crop species

are better hosts than others (Trudgill & Blok, 2001). Solanaceous crops (e.g. tomato, potato,

aubergine, pepper, tobacco) are considered good TRKN hosts globally (Luc et al., 1990), excluding

varieties bred for resistance (e.g. Ehwaeti et al., 1999). Mean RF and GI per crop were strongly

correlated across the 26 crops we tested, and this correlation is seen within individual TRKN species

when large numbers of hosts are compared (e.g. Lima et al., 2009). Both proliferation metrics have

recognised limitations: RF depends on the initial inoculum density used, while GI is a semi-

quantitative measure of root damage that may not always reflect nematode reproduction. Accordingly,

we interpret RF and GI as complementary indicators of host suitability at broad spatial scales.

Our analyses were constrained by a lack of both accurate distribution records and biological

information, such as phenological models, as well as uncertainties around species identification

(particularly for *M. ethiopica* and *M. luci*). Most of these species are thought to be more widespread in

the tropics than our precise location records indicate, therefore we employed the inclusive MTP

threshold to avoid artificially restricting suitable areas. We also combined GDD, RF and GI

information across species as a pragmatic approach given the sparse and inconsistent data available

for individual taxa. Despite these constraints, our results provide the most comprehensive global

assessment to date of the environmental and agronomic constraints shaping TRKN distributions and

impacts. By identifying where establishment and proliferation are most likely, we offer a foundation

for improved surveillance, targeted management strategies and projections of future climate-driven

risks. Strengthening the empirical evidence base through coordinated surveys and experimental work

will be essential for safeguarding crop production in the face of an increasingly pervasive TRKN

threat. Future work will integrate improved occurrence data with climate change projections to assess

likely spread pathways, building on the baseline established here.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded by the European Union under grant agreement no. 101083727 ("NEM-

EMERGE: An integrated set of novel approaches to counter the emergence and proliferation of

invasive and virulent soil-borne nematodes") and UK Research and Innovation (UKRI) under the UK

government's Horizon Europe funding guarantee grant number 10080598. In addition, BS and SS

were supported by Slovenian Research and Innovation Agency (ARIS) grant P4-0072.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

DB, HH and SS conceived and designed the study. MD collected and curated the data and performed

preliminary analyses. DB performed the final data analysis and produced the figures. MD drafted

initial versions of the Methods and Results sections and produced the bivariate maps. DB wrote the

final version of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript review and critical discussion.

All authors reviewed and approved the final version.

1   FIGURES

3   **Figure 1. Binary habitat suitability by species**. Minimum Training Presence (MTP; orange) and

4   10th-percentile training presence (P10; brown) thresholds were estimated from occurrence records

5   and ensemble suitability predictions.

1 **Figure 2. Combined suitability for six TRKN species.** Colours indicate the number of species

2 predicted to occur under the MTP threshold (grey indicating zero).

Figure 3. TRKN generations by maize and potato crop calendar. a) Mean life cycles per year

estimated from mean monthly soil temperatures at 0–5 cm during maize and potato growing seasons.

b) Standard deviations of mean life cycles per year.

Figure 4. TRKN generations on potato in Europe. a) Mean generations during the potato growing

season. B) Standard deviation among model estimates. Estimates are for all potato grid cells in the

MIRCA-OS crop calendar dataset.

1 **Figure 5. Crop area-weighted TRKN proliferation suitability**. Values are means across focal

2 TRKN for 26 crops. a) Reproduction factor (RF), global. b) Galling index (GI), global. c) RF,

3 Europe. d) GI, Europe.

Figure 6. Combined TRKN habitat and crop suitability. Habitat suitability is based on the sum of

MTP-threshold SDM predictions, and crop suitability on the crop area-weighted reproductive factor

(RF). Colour scales were derived from quantiles of habitat suitability and inverse hyperbolic sine-

transformed RF. Blue indicates high habitat but low crop suitability, orange indicates low habitat but

high crop suitability, and green indicates regions where both are suitable for TRKN proliferation.

Grey denotes areas unsuitable for both.

REFERENCES

Álvarez-Ortega, S., Brito, J. A., & Subbotin, S. A. (2019). Multigene phylogeny of root-knot

nematodes and molecular characterization of Meloidogyne nataliei Golden, Rose & Bird,

1981 (Nematoda: Tylenchida). *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), 11788.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-48195-0

Bačić, J., Pavlović, M., Kušić-Tišma, J., Širca, S., Theuerschuh, M., & Gerič Stare, B. (2023). First

Report of the Root-Knot Nematode Meloidogyne luci on Tomato in Serbia. *Plant Disease*,

107(8), 2554. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-01-23-0164-PDN

Banks, N. C., Tangchitsomkid, N., Chanmalee, T., Sangsawang, T., Songvilay, P., Phannamvong, N.,

Thamakhot, S., Paini, D. R., Bayliss, K. L., & Hodda, M. E. (2018). Nematodes network too:

Diversity, abundance and dispersal via plant produce trade networks. *Plant Pathology*, *67*(7),

1636–1644. https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.12874

Barbet-Massin, M., Jiguet, F., Albert, C. H., & Thuiller, W. (2012). Selecting pseudo-absences for

species distribution models: How, where and how many? *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*,

3(2), 327–338. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00172.x

Barve, N., Barve, V., Jiménez-Valverde, A., Lira-Noriega, A., Maher, S. P., Peterson, A. T., Soberón,

J., & Villalobos, F. (2011). The crucial role of the accessible area in ecological niche

modeling and species distribution modeling. *Ecological Modelling*, *222*(11), 1810–1819.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.02.011

Bebber, D. P. (2015). Range-Expanding Pests and Pathogens in a Warming World. *Annual Review of*

Phytopathology, *53*(Volume 53, 2015), 335–356. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-

080614-120207

Bebber, D. P., Holmes, T., & Gurr, S. J. (2014). The global spread of crop pests and pathogens. *Global*

Ecology and Biogeography, *23*(12), 1398–1407. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12214

Bebber, D. P., Ramotowski, M. A. T., & Gurr, S. J. (2013). Crop pests and pathogens move polewards

in a warming world. *Nature Climate Change*, *3*(11), 985–988.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1990

Bird, A. F., & Wallace, H. R. (1965). The Influence of Temperature On Meloidogyne Hapla and M.

2   Javanica. *Nematologica*, *11*(4), 581–589. https://doi.org/10.1163/187529265X00726

3   Bondeau, A., Smith, P. C., Zaehle, S., Schaphoff, S., Lucht, W., Cramer, W., Gerten, D., Lotze-

4   Campen, H., Müller, C., Reichstein, M., & Smith, B. (2007). Modelling the role of agriculture

5   for the 20th century global terrestrial carbon balance. *Global Change Biology*, *13*(3), 679–

6   706. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01305.x

7   Breiman, L., Cutler, A., Liaw, A., & Wiener, M. (2024). *randomForest: Breiman and Cutlers Random*

8   *Forests for Classification and Regression* (Version 4.7-1.2, p. 4.7-1.2) [Computer software].

9   https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=randomForest

10   CABI. (2021). *Meloidogyne arenaria (peanut root-knot nematode)* (Datasheet No. 33233; CABI

11   Compendium, p. 33233). CABI.

12   https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/full/10.1079/cabicompendium.33233

13   CABI. (2022). *Meloidogyne javanica (sugarcane eelworm)* (Datasheet No. 33246; CABI

14   Compendium, p. 33246). CABI. https://doi.org/10.1079/cabicompendium.33246

15   Carneiro, R. M. D. G., & Carneiro, M. D. G. (2012). *Meloidogyne ethiopica (Root-knot nematode)*

16   (Datasheet No. 33239; CABI Compendium). CABI.

17   https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/full/10.1079/cabicompendium.33239

18   Carneiro, R. M. D. G., Correa, V. R., Almeida, M. R. A., Gomes, A. C. M. M., Deimi, A. M.,

19   Castagnone-Sereno, P., & Karssen, G. (2014). Meloidogyne luci n. Sp. (Nematoda:

20   Meloidogynidae), a root-knot nematode parasitising different crops in Brazil, Chile and Iran.

21   *Nematology*, *16*(3), 289–301. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1163/15685411-00002765

22   Castillo, P., & Castagnone-Sereno, P. (2020). *Meloidogyne enterolobii (Pacara earpod tree root-knot*

23   *nematode)* (Datasheet No. 33238; CABI Compendium). CABI.

24   https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/full/10.1079/cabicompendium.33238

25   Chaloner, T. M., Gurr, S. J., & Bebber, D. P. (2021). Plant pathogen infection risk tracks global crop

26   yields under climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, *11*(8), 710–715.

27   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-01104-8

Coyne, D. L., Cortada, L., Dalzell, J. J., Claudius-Cole, A. O., Haukeland, S., Luambano, N., &

Talwana, H. (2018). Plant-Parasitic Nematodes and Food Security in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Annual Review of Phytopathology, *56*(1), 381–403. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-

080417-045833

Dai, D., Xie, C., Zhou, Y., Bo, D., Zhang, S., Mao, S., Liao, Y., Cui, S., Zhu, Z., Wang, X., Li, F.,

Peng, D., Zheng, J., & Sun, M. (2023). Unzipped chromosome-level genomes reveal

allopolyploid nematode origin pattern as unreduced gamete hybridization. *Nature*

Communications, *14*(1), 7156. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-42700-w

Daulton, R. A. C., & Nusbaum, C. J. (1961). The effect of soil temperature on the survival of the root-

knot nematodes *Meloidogyne javanica* and *M. hapla*. *Nematologica*, *6*(4), 280–294.

https://doi.org/10.1163/187529261X00144

Dávila-Negrón, M., & Dickson, D. W. (2013). Comparative thermal-time requirements for

development of *Meloidogyne arenaria*, *M. incognita*, and *M. javanica*, at constant

temperatures. *Nematropica*, *43*(2), 152–163.

de Oliveira Costa, S. N., Ribeiro, J. M., da Cunha e Castro, J. M., & Fernandes, K. V. S. (2025).

Tackling the plant parasitic nematode Meloidogyne enterolobii: Challenges and strategies for

control in relevant crops. *Tropical Plant Pathology*, *50*(1), 39. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40858-

025-00734-z

Decraemer, W., & Hunt, D. J. (2024). Structure and Classification. In *Plant Nematology* (3rd Edition,

pp. 4–40). CAB International. https://doi.org/10.1079/9781800622456.0001

Deutsch, C. A., Tewksbury, J. J., Tigchelaar, M., Battisti, D. S., Merrill, S. C., Huey, R. B., & Naylor,

R. L. (2018). Increase in crop losses to insect pests in a warming climate. *Science*, *361*(6405),

916–919. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat3466

Ehwaeti, M., Fargette, M., Phillips, M., & Trudgill, D. (1999). *Host status differences and their*

relevance to damage by Meloidogyne incognita. https://doi.org/10.1163/156854199508315

Eisenback, J. D. (2020). *Meloidogyne incognita (root-knot nematode)* (Datasheet No. 33245; CABI

Compendium, p. 33245). CABI.

https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/full/10.1079/cabicompendium.33245

Elling, A. A. (2013). Major Emerging Problems with Minor Meloidogyne Species. *Phytopathology®*,

103(11), 1092–1102. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-01-13-0019-RVW

FAO. (2025). *FAOSTAT*. http://faostat.fao.org/

FAO, & IIASA. (2022, January 18). *Global Agro-Ecological Zoning version 4 (GAEZ v4)*. Global

Agro-Ecological Zoning Version 4 (GAEZ V4). https://gaez.fao.org/

Felek, A. F., & Akyazi, F. (2024). First report of root-knot nematode Meloidogyne ethiopica

Whitehead, 1968 on kiwifruit Actinidia deliciosa (A. Chev.) C. F. Liang and A. R. Ferguson,

1984 in Türkiye. *Journal of Phytopathology*, *172*(2), e13291.

https://doi.org/10.1111/jph.13291

Gerič Stare, B., Strajnar, P., Susič, N., Urek, G., & Širca, S. (2017). Reported populations of

Meloidogyne ethiopica in Europe identified as Meloidogyne luci. *Plant Disease*, *101*(9),

1627–1632. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-02-17-0220-RE

Guisan, A., Thuiller, W., & Zimmermann, N. E. (2017). *Habitat Suitability and Distribution Models:*

With Applications in R. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781139028271

Heino, M., Kinnunen, P., Anderson, W., Ray, D. K., Puma, M. J., Varis, O., Siebert, S., & Kummu, M.

(2023). Increased probability of hot and dry weather extremes during the growing season

threatens global crop yields. *Scientific Reports*, *13*(1), 3583. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-

023-29378-2

Hengl, T., Jesus, J. M. de, Heuvelink, G. B. M., Gonzalez, M. R., Kilibarda, M., Blagotić, A.,

Shangguan, W., Wright, M. N., Geng, X., Bauer-Marschallinger, B., Guevara, M. A., Vargas,

R., MacMillan, R. A., Batjes, N. H., Leenaars, J. G. B., Ribeiro, E., Wheeler, I., Mantel, S., &

Kempen, B. (2017). SoilGrids250m: Global gridded soil information based on machine

learning. *PLOS ONE*, *12*(2), e0169748. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169748

Hernangómez, D. (2023). Using the tidyverse with terra objects: The tidyterra package. *Journal of*

Open Source Software, *8*(91), 5751. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.05751

Hijmans, R. J., Barbosa, M., Bivand, R., Brown, A., Chirico, M., Cordano, E., Dyba, K., Pebesma, E.,

Rowlingson, B., & Sumner, M. D. (2025). *terra: Spatial Data Analysis* (Version 1.8-15)

[Computer software]. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/terra/index.html

Hijmans, R. J., Barbosa, M., Ghosh, A., & Mandel, A. (2024). *geodata: Download Geographic Data* (Version 0.6-2) [Computer software]. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/geodata/index.html

Hodda, M. (2022). Phylum Nematoda: A classification, catalogue and index of valid genera, with a census of valid species. *Zootaxa*, *5114*(1), 1–289. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.5114.1.1

IFPRI. (2024). *Global Spatially-Disaggregated Crop Production Statistics Data for 2020 Version 2.0* (Version 4.1, pp. 51817837, 52163218, 57911854, 72050659, 3923, 48444597, 54577611, 48610674, 75610130, 4532, 72050659, 51985713, 57911854, 51817837, 51569693, 77107363, 5514, 81404871, 71335579, 68153456, 68545017, 91056685, 80994387) [Application/zip,application/zip,application/zip,application/zip,text/plain,application/zip,application/zip,application/zip,application/zip,text/plain,application/zip,application/zip,application/zip,application/zip,application/zip,application/zip,text/plain,application/zip,application/zip,application/zip,application/zip,application/zip,application/zip]. Harvard Dataverse. https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/SWPENT

Jones, J. T., Haegeman, A., Danchin, E. G. J., Gaur, H. S., Helder, J., Jones, M. G. K., Kikuchi, T., Manzanilla-López, R., Palomares-Rius, J. E., Wesemael, W. M. L., & Perry, R. N. (2013). Top 10 plant-parasitic nematodes in molecular plant pathology. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, *14*(9), 946–961. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12057

Karatzoglou, A., Smola, A., Hornik, K., & Zeileis, A. (2004). kernlab—An S4 Package for Kernel Methods in R. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *11*, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v011.i09

Karger, D. N., Conrad, O., Böhner, J., Kawohl, T., Kreft, H., Soria-Auza, R. W., Zimmermann, N. E., Linder, H. P., & Kessler, M. (2017). Climatologies at high resolution for the earth's land surface areas. *Scientific Data*, *4*(1), 170122. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2017.122

Karger, D. N., Conrad, O., Böhner, J., Kawohl, T., Kreft, H., Soria-Auza, R. W., Zimmermann, N. E., Linder, H. P., & Kessler, M. (2018). *Data from: Climatologies at high resolution for the earth's land surface areas* (Version 1, p. 7266970904 bytes) [Dataset]. Dryad. https://doi.org/10.5061/DRYAD.KD1D4

Kebede, E. A., Oluoch, K. O., Siebert, S., Mehta, P., Hartman, S., Jägermeyr, J., Ray, D., Ali, T., Brauman, K. A., Deng, Q., Xie, W., & Davis, K. F. (2025). A global open-source dataset of monthly irrigated and rainfed cropped areas (MIRCA-OS) for the 21st century. *Scientific Data*, *12*(1), 208. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-024-04313-w

Lehmann, P., Ammunét, T., Barton, M., Battisti, A., Eigenbrode, S. D., Jepsen, J. U., Kalinkat, G., Neuvonen, S., Niemelä, P., Terblanche, J. S., Økland, B., & Björkman, C. (2020). Complex responses of global insect pests to climate warming. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, *18*(3), 141–150. https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2160

Lembrechts, J. J., van den Hoogen, J., Aalto, J., Ashcroft, M. B., De Frenne, P., Kemppinen, J., Kopecký, M., Luoto, M., Maclean, I. M. D., Crowther, T. W., Bailey, J. J., Haesen, S., Klinges, D. H., Niittynen, P., Scheffers, B. R., Van Meerbeek, K., Aartsma, P., Abdalaze, O., Abedi, M., … Lenoir, J. (2022). Global maps of soil temperature. *Global Change Biology*, *28*(9), 3110–3144. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16060

Lilley, C. J., de Souza, V. H. M., & Eves-van den Akker, S. (2024). Chapter 18—Plant diseases caused by nematodes. In R. P. Oliver (Ed.), *Agrios' Plant Pathology (Sixth Edition)* (pp. 607–649). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822429-8.00018-2

Lima, E. A., Mattos, J. K., Moita, A. W., Gomes Carneiro, R., & Carneiro, R. M. D. G. (2009). Host status of different crops for Meloidogyne ethiopica control. *Tropical Plant Pathology*, *34*(3). https://doi.org/10.1590/S1982-56762009000300003

López-Gómez, M., Gine, A., Vela, M. d., Ornat, C., Sorribas, F. j., Talavera, M., & Verdejo-Lucas, S. (2014). Damage functions and thermal requirements of Meloidogyne javanica and Meloidogyne incognita on watermelon. *Annals of Applied Biology*, *165*(3), 466–473. https://doi.org/10.1111/aab.12154

Luc, M., Sikora, R. A., & Bridge, J. (Eds.). (1990). *Plant parasitic nematodes in subtropical and tropical agriculture*. CAB International.

Ma, C.-S., Wang, B.-X., Wang, X.-J., Lin, Q.-C., Zhang, W., Yang, X.-F., van Baaren, J., Bebber, D. P., Eigenbrode, S. D., Zalucki, M. P., Zeng, J., & Ma, G. (2025). Crop pest responses to global

changes in climate and land management. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, *6*(4), 264–283. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-025-00652-3

Madulu, J. D., & Trudgill, D. L. (1994). Influence of Temperature On the Development and Survival of Meloidogyne Javanica. *Nematologica*, *40*(1), 230–243. https://doi.org/10.1163/003525994X00157

Maleita, C., Correia, A., Abrantes, I., & Esteves, I. (2022). Susceptibility of crop plants to the root-knot nematode Meloidogyne luci, a threat to agricultural productivity. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea*, *61*(1), 169–179. https://doi.org/10.36253/phyto-13369

McMaster, G. S., & Wilhelm, W. W. (1997). Growing degree-days: One equation, two interpretations. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *87*(4), 291–300. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923(97)00027-0

Milborrow, S., Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R., Miller, A., & Lumley, T. (2024). *earth: Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines* (Version 5.3.4) [Computer software]. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/earth/index.html

Moens, M., Perry, R. N., & Starr, J. L. (2009). Meloidogyne species—A diverse group of novel and important plant parasites. In *Root-knot nematodes* (pp. 1–17). CAB International. https://doi.org/10.1079/9781845934927.0001

Naimi, B., & Araújo, M. B. (2016). sdm: A reproducible and extensible R platform for species distribution modelling. *Ecography*, *39*(4), 368–375. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.01881

Narasimhamurthy, H. B., Ravindra, H., Sehgal, M., Ganapathi, Ekabote, S. D., & Ganeshanaik, R. (2022). Effect of Physico-Chemical Properties of Soil on Rice Root-Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne graminicola) in Major Rice Growing Districts of Karnataka, India. *Indian Journal of Nematology*, *52*(2), 174–186. https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-4444.2022.00021.X

Oka, Y. (2019). Survival of Meloidogyne javanica during the summer season under semiarid conditions. *European Journal of Plant Pathology*, *155*(3), 917–926. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-019-01823-x

Pan, S., Peng, D., Li, Y., Chen, Z., Zhai, Y., Liu, C., & Hong, B. (2023). Potential global distribution of the guava root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne enterolobii* under different climate change

scenarios using MaxEnt ecological niche modeling. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, *22*(7), 2138–2150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jia.2023.06.022

Pearson, R. G., Raxworthy, C. J., Nakamura, M., & Townsend Peterson, A. (2007). Predicting species distributions from small numbers of occurrence records: A test case using cryptic geckos in Madagascar. *Journal of Biogeography*, *34*(1), 102–117. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2006.01594.x

Pequeno, D. N. L., Ferreira, T. B., Fernandes, J. M. C., Singh, P. K., Pavan, W., Sonder, K., Robertson, R., Krupnik, T. J., Erenstein, O., & Asseng, S. (2024). Production vulnerability to wheat blast disease under climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, *14*(2), 178–183. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01902-2

Phillips, S. J., Anderson, R. P., & Schapire, R. E. (2006). Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. *Ecological Modelling*, *190*(3), 231–259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.03.026

Phillips, S. J., Dudík, M., Elith, J., Graham, C. H., Lehmann, A., Leathwick, J., & Ferrier, S. (2009). Sample selection bias and presence-only distribution models: Implications for background and pseudo-absence data. *Ecological Applications*, *19*(1), 181–197. https://doi.org/10.1890/07-2153.1

Ploeg, A., & Maris, P. (1999). Effects of temperature on the duration of the life cycle of a Meloidogyne incognita population. *Nematology*, *1*(4), 389–393. https://doi.org/10.1163/156854199508388

Potapov, P., Turubanova, S., Hansen, M. C., Tyukavina, A., Zalles, V., Khan, A., Song, X.-P., Pickens, A., Shen, Q., & Cortez, J. (2022). Global maps of cropland extent and change show accelerated cropland expansion in the twenty-first century. *Nature Food*, *3*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-021-00429-z

Prener, C., Grossenbacher, T., Zehr, A., & Stevens, J. (2025). *biscale: Tools and Palettes for Bivariate Thematic Mapping* (Version 1.1.0) [Computer software]. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/biscale/index.html

R Core Team. (2025). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing* (Version 4.5.0) [Computer software]. https://www.R-project.org/

Ridgeway, G., Edwards, D., Kriegler, B., Schroedl, S., Southworth, H., Greenwell, B., Boehmke, B., Cunningham, J., & Developers (https://github.com/gbm-developers), G. B. M. (2024). *gbm: Generalized Boosted Regression Models* (Version 2.2.2) [Computer software]. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/gbm/index.html

Rubenstein, M. A., Weiskopf, S. R., Bertrand, R., Carter, S. L., Comte, L., Eaton, M. J., Johnson, C. G., Lenoir, J., Lynch, A. J., Miller, B. W., Morelli, T. L., Rodriguez, M. A., Terando, A., & Thompson, L. M. (2023). Climate change and the global redistribution of biodiversity: Substantial variation in empirical support for expected range shifts. *Environmental Evidence*, *12*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-023-00296-0

Rusinque, L., Camacho, M. J., Serra, C., Nóbrega, F., & Inácio, M. L. (2023). Root-knot nematode assessment: Species identification, distribution, and new host records in Portugal. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *14*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1230968

Rutter, W. B., Franco, J., & Gleason, C. (2022). Rooting Out the Mechanisms of Root-Knot Nematode–Plant Interactions. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, *60*(Volume 60, 2022), 43–76. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-021621-120943

Sacks, W. J., Deryng, D., Foley, J. A., & Ramankutty, N. (2010). Crop planting dates: An analysis of global patterns. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *19*(5), 607–620. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00551.x

Sasser, J. N., Eisenback, J. D., Carter, C. C., & Triantaphyllou, A. C. (1983). The International Meloidogyne Project-Its Goals and Accomplishments. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, *21*(Volume 21, 1983), 271–288. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.py.21.090183.001415

Šavrič, B., Patterson, T., & Jenny, B. (2019). The Equal Earth map projection. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, *33*(3), 454–465. https://doi.org/10.1080/13658816.2018.1504949

Singh, B. K., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Egidi, E., Guirado, E., Leach, J. E., Liu, H., & Trivedi, P.

(2023). Climate change impacts on plant pathogens, food security and paths forward. *Nature*

Reviews Microbiology, *21*(10), 640–656. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-023-00900-7

Subbotin, S. A., Palomares Rius, J. E., & Castillo, P. (2021). *Systematics of Root-knot Nematodes*

(Nematoda: Meloidogynidae). BRILL. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004387584

Talavera, M., Sayadi, S., Chirosa-Ríos, M., Salmerón, T., Flor-Peregrín, E., & Verdejo-Lucas, S.

(2012). Perception of the impact of root-knot nematode-induced diseases in horticultural

protected crops of south-eastern Spain. *Nematology*, *14*(5), 517–527.

https://doi.org/10.1163/156854112X635850

Talavera, M., Vela, M. D., & Arriaza, M. (2024). Experts' opinion on the sustainable use of

nematicides in Mediterranean intensive horticulture. *Spanish Journal of Agricultural*

Research, *22*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.5424/sjar/2024221-20568

Title, P. O., & Bemmels, J. B. (2018). ENVIREM: An expanded set of bioclimatic and topographic

variables increases flexibility and improves performance of ecological niche modeling.

Ecography, *41*(2), 291–307. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.02880

Trudgill, D. L. (1995). An assessment of the relevance of thermal time relationships to nematology.

Fundamental and Applied Nematology, *18*(5), 407–417.

Trudgill, D. L. (1997). Parthenogenetic root-knot nematodes (spp.); how can these biotrophic

endoparasites have such an enormous host range? *Plant Pathology*, *46*(1), 26–32.

https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3059.1997.d01-201.x

Trudgill, D. L., & Blok, V. C. (2001). APOMICTIC, POLYPHAGOUS ROOT-KNOT NEMATODES:

Exceptionally Successful and Damaging Biotrophic Root Pathogens. *Annual Review of*

Phytopathology, *39*(Volume 39, 2001), 53–77. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.phyto.39.1.53

Trudgill, D. L., & Perry, J. N. (1994). Thermal time and ecological strategies—A unifying hypothesis.

Annals of Applied Biology, *125*(3), 521–532. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-

7348.1994.tb04989.x

Tzortzakakis, E. A., & Trudgill, D. L. (2005). A comparative study of the thermal time requirements for embryogenesis in *Meloidogyne javanica* and *M. incognita*. *Nematology*, *7*(2), 313–315. https://doi.org/10.1163/1568541054879467

van den Hoogen, J., Geisen, S., Routh, D., Ferris, H., Traunspurger, W., Wardle, D. A., de Goede, R. G. M., Adams, B. J., Ahmad, W., Andriuzzi, W. S., Bardgett, R. D., Bonkowski, M., Campos-Herrera, R., Cares, J. E., Caruso, T., de Brito Caixeta, L., Chen, X., Costa, S. R., Creamer, R., … Crowther, T. W. (2019). Soil nematode abundance and functional group composition at a global scale. *Nature*, *572*(7768), 194–198. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1418-6

Vashisth, S., Kumar, P., Chandel, V. G. S., Kumar, R., Verma, S. C., & Chandel, R. S. (2024). Unraveling the enigma of root-knot nematodes: From origins to advanced management strategies in agriculture. *Planta*, *260*(2), 36. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-024-04464-5

Velloso, J. A., Maquilan, M. A. D., Campos, V. P., Brito, J. A., & Dickson, D. W. (2022). Temperature Effects on Development of Meloidogyne enterolobii and M. floridensis. *Journal of Nematology*, *54*(1). https://doi.org/10.2478/jofnem-2022-0013

Verdejo-Lucas, S., Blanco, M., Cortada, L., & Sorribas, F. J. (2013). Resistance of tomato rootstocks to *Meloidogyne arenaria* and *Meloidogyne javanica* under intermittent elevated soil temperatures above 28 °C. *Crop Protection*, *46*, 57–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2012.12.013

Vrain, T. C., Barker, K. R., & Holtzman, G. I. (1978). Influence of Low Temperature on Rate of Development of Meloidogyne incognita and M. hapla Larvae. *Journal of Nematology*, 166–170.

Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L. D., François, R., Grolemund, G., Hayes, A., Henry, L., Hester, J., Kuhn, M., Pedersen, T. L., Miller, E., Bache, S. M., Müller, K., Ooms, J., Robinson, D., Seidel, D. P., Spinu, V., … Yutani, H. (2019). Welcome to the Tidyverse. *Journal of Open Source Software*, *4*(43), 1686. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686

Wickham, H., Chang, W., Henry, L., Pedersen, T. L., Takahashi, K., Wilke, C., Woo, K., Yutani, H., Dunnington, D., Brand, T. van den, Posit, & PBC. (2024). *ggplot2: Create Elegant Data*

Visualisations Using the Grammar of Graphics (Version 3.5.1) [Computer software].

https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ggplot2/index.html

Wilke, C. O. (2025). *cowplot: Streamlined Plot Theme and Plot Annotations for "ggplot2"* (Version

1.2.0) [Computer software]. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/cowplot/index.html

Wood, S. N. (2017). *Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R, Second Edition* (2nd ed.).

Chapman and Hall/CRC. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315370279

Zhao, C., Liu, B., Xiao, L., Hoogenboom, G., Boote, K. J., Kassie, B. T., Pavan, W., Shelia, V., Kim,

K. S., Hernandez-Ochoa, I. M., Wallach, D., Porter, C. H., Stockle, C. O., Zhu, Y., & Asseng,

S. (2019). A SIMPLE crop model. *European Journal of Agronomy*, *104*, 97–106.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2019.01.009