A sterol reductase responsible for the unusual 8(14)-unsaturation in bacterial sterol

production and degradation

Alysha K. Lee[a], José-Luis Giner[b], and Paula V. Welander[a]#

[a]Department of Earth Systems Science, Stanford University, Stanford CA 94305

[b]Department of Chemistry, State University of New York–Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse, NY

13210

#Address correspondence to Paula V. Welander, welander@stanford.edu

Abstract (248/250)

Sterols are a class of lipids that play a crucial role in human health through their essential

physiological roles and as a point of interaction between commensal and pathogenic bacteria. The

biosynthesis and modification of these lipids is a well-characterized process in many eukaryotes

and increasingly in bacteria. However, the proteins responsible for formation of the unusual 8(14)-

unsaturation found in the sterols produced by aerobic methanotrophs, dinoflagellates, nematodes,

and marine sponges, remains unknown. Here, we utilize a heterologous expression system to

identify a bacterial 8,14-sterol reductase (8,14-Bsr) responsible for generating the 8(14)-

unsaturation in the aerobic methanotroph *Methylococcus capsulatus*. This enzyme modifies the

direct product of C-14 demethylation, reducing one double bond in the nuclear core structure and

isomerizing the other to produce an 8(14)-sterol. We subsequently tested the requirement of

putative active site residues for catalysis through site directed mutagenesis, identifying residues

likely involved in interacting with the sterol substrate and directly catalyzing this reaction.

Bioinformatic analysis of the distribution of 8,14-Bsr reveals it is unique to the bacterial domain,

found primarily in the *Methylococcaceae* family, the Mycobacteriales order, and yet uncultured

members of the Myxococcota phylum. Further phylogenetic analysis of 8,14-Bsr suggests it shares

an evolutionary history with the C-14 demethylase in these organisms and that these two enzymes

were likely inherited together. These results provide insight into novel sterol biochemistry, further

delimiting sterol biosynthesis in the bacterial domain from eukaryotes and illustrating the

importance of molecular characterization to identify bacterial proteins that interact with sterols.

Importance (120/120)

Many of the eukaryotic proteins required for the biosynthesis of sterols, such as cholesterol, have been characterized. However, the pathways governing analogous processes in the bacterial domain are less characterized. Here, we identify an 8,14-sterol reductase in aerobic methanotrophs. This enzyme carries out a unique biochemical reaction, saturating and isomerizing double bonds in the nuclear core structure to produce an 8(14)-sterol. This reductase is restricted to the bacterial domain, further separating the evolution of bacterial sterol production from eukaryotes. Additionally, we find this reductase is prevalent in members of the sterol degrading order Mycobacteriales, highlighting a potential role for this protein in the remodeling of host sterol production by these pathogens.

Introduction

Sterols, such as cholesterol, have long been characterized in a eukaryotic context, with a rich body of literature describing the biosynthesis, regulation, and physiology of these lipids in a variety of eukaryotes (1–5). Sterols are also produced and modified sporadically throughout the bacterial domain (6–9). However, much less is known about the biology of these lipids in bacteria compared to their eukaryotic counterparts. Among bacterial sterol synthesizers, aerobic methanotrophs from the family *Methylococcaceae* have proved a tractable system from which to explore bacterial sterol production. Studies focused on these bacteria have revealed novel proteins involved in both the biosynthesis and trafficking of these lipids, setting bacterial sterol production apart from analogous processes in eukaryotes (10, 11). Such discoveries have challenged assumptions about the eukaryotic origins of sterol biosynthesis in bacteria and encouraged a more nuanced discussion about the early evolution of this ancient biosynthetic pathway. Yet there are

several steps in bacterial sterol biosynthesis that remain unexplored and characterization of these

missing biosynthetic steps could provide further insight into the evolution of sterol biosynthesis.

The sterols produced by *Methylococcaceae* are characterized by an unusual 8(14)-

unsaturation in the core ring structure (6, 12). The distinctive structure of these sterols, alongside

their unique isotopic signature derived from methanotrophy, has allowed these lipids to serve as

biomarkers, or molecular fossils, for aerobic methanotrophy in both modern and ancient

environments (13–15). Sterols with an 8(14)-unsaturation can also be found in other members of

both the eukaryotic and bacterial domains. Lipids with this modification are the primary sterol

produced by toxic dinoflagellate species belonging to the family *Kareniaceae* (16–18). The

biophysical properties of these lipids have been proposed as a key mechanism for immunity to the

toxins produced by *Kareniaceae* in both the dinoflagellates themselves and parasites specific to

these organisms (19). 8(14)-Unsaturations also characterize the hydroxylated sterols found in

sponges, corals, fungi, and a myxobacterium (20–24). While the capacity to produce an 8(14)-

unsaturation occurs in both eukaryotes and bacteria, the proteins responsible for carrying out this

step have yet to be characterized in either domain. Additionally, most aerobic methanotrophs lack

the canonical C-14 reductase to saturate the Δ14 double bond produced by C-14 demethylation. It

remains unclear if the Δ14 double bond in these bacteria is saturated in a distinct step from

production of the 8(14)-unsaturation, as is seen in eukaryotic sterol biosynthesis pathways, or if

these two steps happen simultaneously.

In this study, we use a heterologous expression system to identify a reductase responsible

for generating the 8(14)-unsaturation in aerobic methanotrophs. We find that this enzyme takes the

product of C-14 demethylation, which has two double bonds in the core ring structure, and both

saturates and isomerizes these double bonds to produce an 8(14)-sterol. Through site directed

mutagenesis, we then test the role of conserved active site residues, identifying several residues

essential for catalysis, and begin to consider the potential mechanism underlying this reaction. We

explore the taxonomic distribution of this protein and find this reductase is restricted to the

bacterial domain, occurring in other aerobic methanotrophs, uncultured myxobacteria, and

members of Mycobacteriales, including the pathogen *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Through our

phylogenetic analysis we reveal a close evolutionary relationship between this reductase and the

C-14 demethylase (Cyp51), which directly precedes it in sterol biosynthesis. Altogether, our

findings assign a biochemical function to a previously uncharacterized hypothetical protein found

both in environmental sterol producing and pathogenic sterol degrading bacteria while continuing

to differentiate bacterial sterol biology from similar processes in eukaryotes.

Results

Identification of a bacterial 8,14-sterol reductase

During sterol biosynthesis aerobic methanotrophs, like eukaryotes, demethylate sterols at

C-14 via the C-14 demethylase (Cyp51), resulting in a Δ8,14 unsaturation (25). This product is then

modified to produce the 8(14)-unsaturation characteristic of the sterols synthesized by this family.

However, apart from *Methylomicrobium* species (26), these methanotrophs do not harbor a

homolog to the canonical C-14 sterol reductase required to form the Δ8 unsaturation common in

eukaryotes, and the enzyme responsible for generating the 8(14)-unsaturation remains unknown

(Figure 1). To identify potential candidates for these modifications in aerobic methanotrophs, we

used a comparative genomics approach. We searched for genes in *Methylococcus capsulatus* that

are also present in *Methylobacter luteus, Methylomonas lenta*, and *Methyloprofundus sedimenti,*

members of *Methylococcaceae* that produce sterols, and are absent in the genomes of *Crenothrix*

polyspora, *Methylohalobius crimeensis*, and *Methylomonas methanica,* which belong to the same

order but do not produce sterols. This approach generated a list of 10 gene candidates (Table S1).

From this list, we identified a hypothetical protein that co-localizes with known sterol biosynthesis

genes in the genomes of several methanotrophs (Figure 1). This protein does not have sequence

homology to any characterized protein but belongs to the nuclear transport factor 2 (NTF2)

superfamily, which includes other proteins involved in sterol modification (27). Additionally, this

gene is present in the genomes of all sterol-producing aerobic methanotrophs. Given its wide

distribution and its co-localization with known sterol biosynthesis genes, we hypothesized that this

protein may be involved in performing either the reduction of the Δ14 unsaturation or generation of

the 8(14)-unsaturation.

107   We initially attempted to delete this gene (locus tag: H156DRAFT_MCAT2205) in

Methylococcus capsulatus through both an unmarked and marked approach, however neither of

these strategies were successful (Figure S1). Instead, to test the biochemical function of this

protein, we used a heterologous expression system that leverages a series of compatible plasmids

to produce the sterol biosynthesis intermediate lanosterol in *Escherichia coli*, which does not

produce sterols (28). Expression of the candidate protein MCAT2205 from *M. capsulatus* with

lanosterol as a substrate in *E. coli* resulted in no detectable activity, suggesting lanosterol may not

be a suitable substrate for this protein. To expand the potential substrates produced by our

heterologous expression system, we then introduced the fused C-14 demethylase-ferredoxin

homolog (Cyp51) from *M. capsulatus* (locus tag: H156DRAFT_MCAT1746). Expression of the C-14

demethylase resulted in production of 4,4-dimethylcholesta-8,14,24-trienol, a C-14 demethylated

sterol with an unsaturation at both Δ14 and Δ8. We then co-expressed the candidate MCAT2205

protein with the C-14 demethylase, and this resulted in complete conversion of all detectable 4,4-

dimethylcholesta-8,14,24-trienol to 4,4-dimethylcholesta-8(14), 24-dienol, a product of sterol

biosynthesis in aerobic methanotrophs (Figure 2; Figure S2) (29). We further confirmed the

production of the dienol sterol by NMR analysis (data not shown). These results demonstrate that

this enzyme is a bacterial 8,14-sterol reductase (8,14-Bsr), and it is sufficient to perform both a

reduction and isomerization reaction to remove the Δ14 and Δ8 double bonds, generating the 8(14)-

double bond typically observed in the sterols produced by aerobic methanotrophs.

Site directed mutagenesis of conserved residues does not separate the reduction and

isomerization functions of 8,14-Bsr.

Sterol biosynthesis is characterized by examples of bifunctional enzymes, processive

reactions, and allylic mechanisms (30–33). We were curious if we could provide further insight into

the potential mechanism of 8,14-Bsr, which appears to reduce one double bond in the ring

structure and isomerize the other, through site directed mutagenesis of potential active site

residues. We hypothesized that if the reaction performed by 8,14-Bsr involves a distinct reduction

reaction followed by the isomerization of the remaining double bond, we might be able to

mutagenize the active site to result only in the production of a reduced intermediate. To identify

potential amino acid residues involved in catalysis, we first compared a structural model of 8,14-

Bsr to the structure of ketosteroid isomerase (PBD#1OH0), a well characterized NTF2 family protein

that functions on steroids (27, 34). Ketosteroid isomerase (Ksi) harbors a hydrophobic active site

pocket found between the alpha helices B and C and catalysis by Ksi requires several tyrosine and

aspartic acid residues found within this pocket (35). A structural model of 8,14-Bsr shares the

same cone shaped fold as other NTF2 family proteins and, like ketosteroid isomerase, has a

hydrophobic pocket between helices B and C. However, the active site residues found in Ksi do not

align with those in 8,14-Bsr. Instead, we identified two aspartic acids (D133 and D136) and four

tyrosine residues (Y37, Y43, Y78 and Y80) present in this pocket that are largely conserved among

other homologs of this protein (Figure S3).

To test if these residues are involved in catalysis, we first individually mutated each aspartic

acid residue to alanine and co-expressed these variants with the C-14 demethylase in our *E. coli*

heterologous expression system. Mutating the aspartic acid residue at position 133 to alanine

(D133A) resulted in the accumulation of the substrate 4,4-dimethylcholesta-8,14,24-trienol,

indicating a decrease in 8,14-Bsr function and suggesting this residue is involved in the enzymes

mechanism. Conversely, mutating the aspartic acid at position 136 to alanine (D136A) had no

effect on enzyme function (Figure 3a). We next individually mutated each tyrosine residue to either

phenylalanine, removing the hydroxyl group but maintaining the aromaticity of the side chain, or

alanine, removing both the hydroxyl group and aromaticity of the side chain, and co-expressed

these variants as well. Mutating the tyrosine at position 80 to either phenylalanine (Y80F) or alanine

(Y80A) had no effect on the enzyme function in this system (Figure 3b). The Y37F and Y78F

mutations also had no effect on enzyme function. However, mutating these residues to alanine

(Y37A or Y78A) resulted in reduced enzyme function, suggesting the aromaticity of these two

tyrosine residues plays an important role in performing this reaction, perhaps through pi-stacking

interactions with the rings of the sterol substrate (Figure 3c). A broader search of 8,14-Bsr homologs

identifies one in the Actinobacterium *Haloenchinothrix aidingensis* with a phenylalanine residue at

position 37, illustrating a biologically relevant example of this mutation. Finally, mutating Y43 to

either phenylalanine (Y43F) or alanine (Y43A) resulted in decreased enzyme function, suggesting

the hydroxyl group of this tyrosine residue may be directly involved in catalysis (Figure 3d).

While we were able to identify residues in the active site pocket important for the reaction

carried out by 8,14-Bsr, we were unable to generate a mutant which only performs the reduction

reaction suggesting that these two steps may be carried out through a single reaction mechanism.

This could occur through allylic rearrangement after the reduction of the Δ14 double bond. The

canonical Δ14 reductase saturates the Δ14 double by the addition of a hydride from NADPH at C-15

followed by protonation at C-14 to generate a Δ8 sterol (36). We propose that 8,14-Bsr, like the

canonical Δ14 reductase, reduces its substrate by hydride addition at C-15. It is possible this

enzyme also uses NADPH as a hydride donor during this process, however 8,14-Bsr has no

sequence homology to known cofactor binding sites. Hydride addition is then followed by

protonation of an allylic anion intermediate at C-9 to generate an unsaturation at 8(14) (Figure S4).

Additional biochemical and structural characterization of this enzyme is needed to confirm this

mechanism, identify the role of potential cofactors, and determine the function of specific residues

in catalyzing this unique reaction.

8,14-Bsr is a sterol biosynthesis protein restricted to the bacterial domain

Sterols with an 8(14)-unsaturation are found in a diverse array of bacteria and eukaryotes.

To identify if 8,14-Bsr is responsible for 8(14)-double bond formation in these other organisms, we

conducted BLASTp and tBLASTn searches against the genomes and transcriptomes present in the

IMG and NCBI databases (e-value cutoff e-05). Among eukaryotes, including those that produce

8(14)-sterols such as dinoflagellates and nematodes (37, 38), we were unable to identify any

homologs to the bacterial 8,14-sterol reductase. Furthermore, many of the eukaryotes that produce

8(14)-sterols harbor homologs to the C-14 reductase (Table S2), suggesting that either these C-14

reductase homologs protonate sterols at C-9 during catalysis, like 8,14-Bsr, or that these

eukaryotes require an additional, unidentified enzyme to generate the 8(14)-unsaturation. In either

case, the biosynthesis of 8(14)-sterols provides an additional instance of independent evolution

between sterol production in the eukaryotic and bacterial domains.

While 8,14-Bsr is absent from the eukaryotic domain, homologs are present in a small but

diverse group of bacteria. This includes all sterol-producing aerobic methanotrophs, even

Methylomicrobium species which also harbor a C-14 reductase. 8,14-Bsr homologs from

Methylococcaceae cluster together and broadly recapitulate 16S rRNA phylogeny (Figure S5).

These phylogenetic patterns, alongside the wide distribution of the bacterial 8,14-sterol reductase

in aerobic methanotrophs, suggests that the 8(14)-double bond is an ancestral feature of sterol

biosynthesis in the family *Methylococcaceae*. 8,14-Bsr homologs are also found in the genomes of

γ-proteobacteria, α-proteobacteria, Mycobacteriales, and uncultured myxobacteria. Several of

these bacteria are characterized sterol degraders and consume sterols acquired from the

environment as a carbon source (39–42). To confirm these homologs can function as 8(14)-sterol

reductases, we co-expressed homologs from the uncultured myxobacteria *Sandaracinus*

amylolyticus NAT-131 and the sterol degrader *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in our *E. coli*

heterologous system (Figure 4a). In both cases, these homologs produced the same 8(14)-sterols

we observe from heterologous expression of the *M. capsulatus* homolog, suggesting the capacity to

synthesize 8(14)-sterols, either de novo or from exogenously acquired sterol precursors, is present

in these disparate phyla as well.

Across these bacteria, 8,14-Bsr often co-localizes with the C-14 demethylase (Figure 4b).

Phylogenetic analysis of the C-14 demethylases that co-occur with 8,14-Bsr reveals that these C-

14 demethylase homologs form a distinct clade from C-14 demethylases found in other bacteria

and eukaryotes, even in the case of sequences from Mycobacteriales and *Streptomyces* despite

both belonging to the phylum Actinomycetes (Figure 4c; Figure S6-S7). Additionally, these C-14

demethylase homologs are all fused or co-localized with their cognate ferredoxin (26), which is not

observed of other C-14 demethylases (Figure S8). Broadly, the branching pattern of this clade of C-

14 demethylases largely mirrors what we observe from 8,14-Bsr, suggesting these two enzymes

have co-evolved in the sterol-producing and sterol-degrading bacteria that harbor this distinct

protein pair.

Discussion

Here, we identified a sterol reductase that generates an unsaturation at 8(14) through the reduction and allylic rearrangement of the Δ14 and Δ8 double bonds to result in the unusual nuclear core structure configuration that characterizes sterols in aerobic methanotrophs. The bacterial 8,14-sterol reductase shares no sequence homology to any proteins with known functions, illustrating the importance of coupling molecular characterization to bioinformatic analysis when identifying bacterial proteins involved in sterol biosynthesis, as bioinformatic analyses alone were not sufficient to predict the function of this enzyme. While many proteins and motifs that are diagnostic for sterol binding have been identified in eukaryotes, they are largely absent in bacterial sterol producers. Instead, sterol-producing bacteria continue to present an opportunity to uncover novel sterol biochemistry, better informing how we consider the biological and evolutionary relationships governing sterol modification across the bacterial domain. This has been particularly true in aerobic methanotrophs, which have been previously shown to use unique proteins in both the synthesis and transport of sterols (10, 11). By continuing to characterize bacterial sterol biosynthesis and physiology, we will gain a more complete picture of the biochemistry surrounding these important lipids.

Our work also illustrates the biotechnological utility in developing heterologous systems to study complex biosynthesis pathways. Expression of the fused C-14 demethylase-ferredoxin homolog from *M. capsulatus* resulted in production of the fertility hormone follicular fluid-meiosis activating sterol (FF-MAS). FF-MAS is found in high abundance in mammalian follicular fluid where it is involved in regulation of oocyte maturation (43, 44) and this accumulation is important in the resumption of meiosis in human, mouse, and porcine oocytes during ovulation (45–47). While FF-MAS has proved promising in increasing successful culturing of porcine and mouse oocytes in vitro

(47–50), it remains unclear if there may be a role for FF-MAS in the culturing of human oocytes for in

vitro fertilization treatments (51, 52). Complicating the study and use of this hormone in a clinical

setting, FF-MAS is not present in high concentrations from extractable sources. Currently, a 9-step

chemical synthesis scheme for FF-MAS has been developed for production (53). Heterologous

expression may present an alternative and more sustainable pathway for generating FF-MAS and,

with further optimization, prove a useful source of this lipid for both clinical and research purposes.

Here, we also show that 8,14-Bsr is entirely absent from the eukaryotic domain. The

absence of this protein from eukaryotic genomes, particularly those of the dinoflagellates,

sponges, and nematodes that produce 8(14)-unsaturated sterols, points to convergent evolution

underlying this modification in the two domains. However, how eukaryotes generate 8(14)-sterols

remains an open question. This reaction could conceivably be catalyzed by an ortholog to the

canonical C-14 reductase that protonates at the C-9 position, as we have proposed for 8,14-Bsr, to

generate an 8(14)-sterol. Alternatively, generation of the 8(14)-unsaturation could also be catalyzed

by an isomerase after the canonical C-14 reductase produces a Δ8 sterol. The treatment of *Karenia*

brevis, a toxic dinoflagellate that synthesizes 8(14)-sterols, with the fungicide fenpropidine, an

inhibitor of both the C-14 reductase and C-8 isomerase, resulted in accumulation of Δ8,14,22

sterols (16), suggesting an ortholog to one of these two enzymes is responsible for generating 8(14)-

sterols. Additionally, *C. elegans*, which modifies exogenously acquired sterols, has been

hypothesized to generate 8(14) sterols through an ortholog to the eukaryotic C-8 sterol isomerase

that would isomerize the Δ7 double bond to 8(14) (54). Further functional characterization of both

the C-14 reductase and C-8 isomerase orthologs in these organisms could resolve these

outstanding questions, better clarifying the evolutionary trajectory of 8(14)-sterol production in

eukaryotes.

The independent evolution of enzymes responsible for producing 8(14)-unsaturated sterols

in eukaryotes and bacteria also highlights the biological importance of these small modifications to

the biophysical properties and physiological functions of these lipids in the cell. In the case of

either domain, the physiological role of the 8(14)-unsaturation remains unknown. Sterols with an

8(14)-unsaturation from the toxic dinoflagellate *K. brevis* have a protective effect against the toxins

produced by this organism (19). These sterols have also been proposed as a potential deterrent for

predation as they might interfere with predator sterol metabolism (55). However, the sterols from

these dinoflagellate species are also characterized by unique modifications to the sterol side chain

(38) and the effect of these side chain modifications versus the nuclear core unsaturation on

membrane dynamics is unknown. Similarly, we do not know the physiological function of this

modification in sterol-producing bacteria, such as the aerobic methanotrophs. Yet it is interesting

to note that while 8,14-Bsr is present in all sterol producing aerobic methanotrophs,

Methylomicrobium species also harbor a C-14 reductase and C-8 isomerase suggesting these

bacteria are capable of producing sterols with different double bond configurations in the core

structure. This pathway bifurcation again highlights the potential importance of these small sterol

modifications in *Methylococcaceae* and suggests a possibility for specific functions tied to specific

sterols.

Finally, our confirmation of 8,14-Bsr activity in sterol-degrading bacteria also raises

questions about the function of these enzymes in Mycobacteriales. Pathogens from this family are

well known for their ability to catabolize host sterols, specifically cholesterol, and the enzymes

involved in this process are essential for pathogenicity (56). However, less is known about how

these bacteria interact with other sterols produced by the host. The C-14 demethylase from several

Mycobacterium species have been crystalized and characterized, demonstrating that these

enzymes are functional C-14 demethylases (57–59). While neither the C-14 demethylase nor 8,14-

Bsr are essential to cell viability or pathogenicity (60–62), the presence of these two genes in

Mycobacterium species would suggest these host-associated bacteria have the capacity to modify

exogenously acquired lanosterol. Indeed, a study found that when fed lanosterol as a carbon

source, a *Mycobacterium* sp. converted this sterol into a C_{19} steroid with an 8(14)-unsaturation in

the ring structure (63, 64). Mycobacteria include intracellular pathogens that persist in

macrophages. Macrophages accumulate lanosterol early in bacterial infection in response to Toll-

like receptor 4 activation, triggering downstream signaling pathways and increasing membrane

fluidity to allow for increased phagocytosis (65). Perhaps the C-14 demethylase and 8,14-Bsr are

used by *Mycobacterium* to remodel sterol production in these macrophages during infection. In

support of this, 8,14-Bsr was found to be upregulated in *M. tuberculosis* early in macrophage

infection (66, 67). Further research investigating the role of lanosterol degradation in

Mycobacterium physiology could yield additional insight into the pathogenic life cycle of these

bacteria. Altogether, our findings provide a foundation for probing new questions around sterol

physiology in both sterol producing and sterol degrading bacteria while highlighting the utility in

studying environmental bacteria, such as *Methylococcaceae*, to explore basic and fundamental

biological processes.

Methods

Bacterial Culture.

For genomic DNA extraction, *Methylococcus capsulatus* Texas was grown in liquid culture

on NMS media supplemented with methane for 3 days at 37°C (68). *Escherichia coli* DH10B was

used for all cloning and expression experiments and cultured on either Luria Broth (LB) or TYGPN

media. In heterologous expression experiments, *E. coli* DH10B was cultured in TYPGPN medium, at

an initial temperature of 37°C and cultures were shifted to 30°C at expression induction for 40

hours, shaking at 220 rpm. Cultures were supplemented with 100µg/ml of carbenicillin, 20µg/ml of

chloramphenicol, 30µg/ml of kanamycin, and/or 15µg/ml of gentamycin as needed

Molecular Cloning.

All oligonucleotides and plasmids used in this study are described in Table S3 and S4.

Oligonucleotide primers were ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). Plasmids

were isolated from *E. coli* through the GeneJET Plasmid Miniprep Kit (Thermo Scientific). DNA

fragments used in cloning were gel purified through the GeneJET Gel Extraction Kit (Thermo

Scientific). Constructed plasmids were sequenced by ELIM Biopharm (Hayward, CA).

All plasmids were constructed using sequence and ligation independent cloning (SLIC) (69).

Briefly, gene fragments were amplified using Phusion DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs) with

complementary plasmid insertion site overhangs and gel purified. The expression plasmids

pBAD1031K or pSRKGm-lacUV5-rbs5 were linearized by digestion using either NcoI or NdeI (New

England Biolabs), respectively. Complementary overhangs were created on both gel-purified gene

fragments and linearized plasmids by incubation with T4 DNA Polymerase (MilliporeSigma) without

nucleotides. Vectors and gene fragments were then annealed and transformed by electroporation

without ligation into *E. coli*.

Site Directed Mutagenesis.

Site directed mutagenesis was performed by PCR amplification of the vector with a single

oligonucleotide with the desired change. This reaction was performed using 2.5 units of PfuUltra II

Fusion HS DNA Polymerase (Agilent) with the oligonucleotide (0.2 µM), 0.2 mM dNTPs, and 50ng

plasmid DNA in 1x PfuUltra buffer and a 1-minute per kilobase pair extension time at 68 °C. The

reaction was then digested with DpnI (New England Biolabs) and transformed by electroporation

into *E. coli*. The mutation was verified through plasmid sequencing by ELIM Biopharm (Hayward,

CA).

Heterologous Expression.

Biosynthesis genes for production and cyclization of oxidosqualene were expressed from a

set of compatible plasmids in *E. coli* DH10B (29). Heterologous expression strains were

constructed as described in Table S5. Genes of interest were expressed from either the IPTG-

inducible plasmid pSRKGm-*lac*UV5-rbs5 or the arabinose-inducible plasmid pBAD1031K. Strains

were cultured in 20ml of TYPGN media supplemented with the necessary antibiotics, at 37°C,

shaking at 220 rpm, until mid-log phase. At mid-log phase, expression was induced with 500µM

IPTG and 0.2% (wt: vol) arabinose and cultures were shifted to 30°C, shaking at 220 rpm, for 30-40

hours before harvesting cultures by centrifugation at 4,500xg for 10 minutes.

Lipid Extraction.

Lipids were extracted through a modified Bligh-Dyer extraction (70). Cell pellets were

sonicated in a water bath in 10:5:4 methanol: dichloromethane (DCM): water (vol: vol: vol) for 1

hour. Lipids were then phase separated by addition of two times the volume 1:1 DCM: water (vol:

vol) and the organic layer removed and dried under nitrogen gas. Lipids were then derivatized to

either trimethylsilyl ethers by adding 1:1 (vol: vol) Bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide: pyridine and

heating at 70°C for 1 hour or derivatized to acetyl groups by adding 1:1 (vol: vol) acetic anhydride:

pyridine and heating at 70°C for 1 hour and resuspending in DCM.

Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS).

Sterols were separated on an Agilent 7890B Series GC using a 60 m Agilent DB17HT column

(60 m x 0.25 mm i.d. x 0.1 µm film thickness) with helium as the carrier gas at constant flow of

1.1 mL/min and programed as follows: 100°C for 2 minutes; then 8°C/minute to 250°C and held for

10 minutes; then 3°C/minutes to 330°C and held for 17 minutes. 2 μL of each sample was injected

in splitless mode at 250°C. The GC was coupled to a 5977 A Series MSD with the ion source held at

230°C and operated at 70 eV in EI mode scanning from 50-850 Da in 0.5 s. Lipids were analyzed

using Agilent MassHunter Qualitative Analysis (B.06.00) and identified based on retention time and

spectra by comparison to previously confirmed laboratory standards, spectra deposited in the

National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) databases or previously published spectra as

well as through NMR analysis.

Bioinformatics.

Homologs of the bacterial 8(14)-sterol reductase (locus tag: H156DRAFT_MCAT2205) and

the C-14 demethylase (locus tag: H156DRAFT_MCAT1746) were identified using a BLASTp search

(e-value cutoff of e-30) of the cultured genomes available in the JGI IMG and NCBI databases (71–

73). BLAST searches against eukaryotic genomes also included a tBLASTn search of the

transcriptome database in NCBI (e-value cutoff e-05). Protein alignments were performed in MAFFT

(version 7.526) using the L-INS-I algorithm with 1000 iterations (74, 75). Phylogenetic trees were

generated using IQ-TREE2 (version 3.0.1). Modelfinder was used to identify the best fit model for

both bacterial 8(14)-sterol reductase and the C-14 demethylase trees and a maximum likelihood

tree was generated with 5000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates (76, 77). Phylogenetic trees were then

edited for figures using the iTOL website (http://itol.embl.de/).

Models of bacterial 8,14-sterol reductase homologs were generated using ColabFold

alphafold2 platform (version 1.5.5)(78). Ketosteroid isomerase was identified as a structurally

similar protein based on structural similarity search through the RCSB Protein Database using the

alpha model of the *M. capsulatus* bacterial 8,14-sterol reductase homolog (79). Bacterial 8,14-

sterol reductase was aligned to the crystal structure of ketosteroid isomerase (PDB: 1OH0) in

Pymol (version 2.5.7) using the cealign function. The active site pocket of both proteins was

visualized using the set_surface_mode, 2 command and colored by hydrophobicity using the color

h script (80).

Acknowledgements

We thank the members of the Welander lab for their helpful discussions and suggestions. Portions

of the experimental work were performed in the Stanford Geomicrobiology Shared Laboratories

Core Facility (RRID:SCR_025000). Funding for this study was provided by National Science

Foundation Award 1919153 (to P.V.W).

a).

Lanosterol

C-14 demethylase

4,4-dimethylcholesta-8,14,24-trienol

4,4-dimethylcholesta-8,24-dienol

4,4-dimethylcholesta-8(14),24-dienol

Sterol demethylase A
Sterol demethylase B

4-methylcholesta-8(14),24-dienol

b).

Methylomicrobium alcaliphilum

1: Squalene monooxygenase
2: Oxidosqualene cyclase
3: Hypothetical protein
4: C-14 demethylase
5: Dehydrogenase

Methylobacter whittenburyi

1: C-14 demethylase
2: Hypothetical protein
3: Oxidosqualene cyclase
4: Squalene monooxygenase
5: Sterol demethylase B

6: Sterol demethylase A
7: Bacterial sterol transporter A
8: Bacterial sterol transporter B
9: Bacterial sterol transporter C

Figure 1. Aerobic methanotrophs produce 8(14)-unsaturated sterols by an unknown

mechanism. a) The sterol biosynthesis pathway in aerobic methanotrophs. After cyclization of

oxidosqualene, the C-14 methyl group is removed from lanosterol by the C-14 demethylase

(Cyp51), generating a Δ14,15 double bond. It is unknown how the Δ14,15 double bond is removed,

or the 8(14)-double bond generated in these bacteria. In some methanotrophs, sterols are further

demethylated once at C-4 by the sterol demethylase AB (sdmAB) proteins. b) Examples of sterol

biosynthesis gene clusters in methanotrophs, featuring known sterol biosynthesis and transport

genes (black), a gene without a known function in sterol biosynthesis (grey), and the hypothetical

protein characterized in this study (red).

Figure 2. Bacterial 8,14-sterol reductase (8,14-Bsr) generates an 8(14)-unsaturation from 4,4-dimethylcholesta-8,14,24-trienol. Total ion chromatograms from heterologous *E. coli* expression cultures. Expression of the C-14 demethylase (Cyp51) in a lanosterol producing strain of *E. coli* results in production of 4,4-dimethylcholesta-8,14,24-trieneol (II; teal). Co-expression of 8,14-Bsr with Cyp51 results in production of 4,4-dimethylcholesta-8(14), 24-dienol (III; orange). All lipids were derivatized to trimethylsilyl ether groups. Mass spectra of identified sterols are shown in Figure S2.

Figure 3. Site directed mutagenesis of conserved residues in 8,14-Bsr reveals residues essential for catalysis. Total ion chromatograms of lipids extracted from heterologous expression of Cyp51 with putative 8,14-Bsr active site pocket mutants. Peaks corresponding to the substrate for 8,14-Bsr, 4,4-dimethylcholesta-8,14,24-trienol, are labeled teal and the product, 4,4-dimethylcholesta-8(14)-dienol, are labeled orange. a) Mutation of the aspartic acid at position 133 and 136 to alanine. b) Mutations of the tyrosine at position 80 to either phenylalanine or alanine,

412   showing no impact on 8,14-Bsr function. c) Mutations of the tyrosine at position 78 and 37 to either

413   phenylalanine or alanine, where only mutations to alanine impact function. d) Mutations of the

414   tyrosine at position 43 to either phenylalanine or alanine, where both mutation to phenylalanine

415   and alanine impact function. An alignment of these conserved residues in 8,14-Bsr homologs is

416   included for reference.

Figure 4. The bacterial 8,14-sterol reductase (8,14-Bsr) is found in diverse sterol producing and sterol degrading bacteria. a) Total ion chromatograms of lipids extracted from heterologous expression of Cyp51 from *Methylococcus capsulatus* Texas with either the 8,14-Bsr homolog from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* or the uncultured myxobacteria *Sandaracinus* sp. NAT131. Peaks corresponding to the substrate for 8,14-Bsr, 4,4-dimethylcholesta-8,14,24-trienol, are labeled teal (II) and the product, 4,4-dimethylcholesta-8(14)-dienol, orange (III). b) Representative gene clusters from diverse bacteria where Cyp51, the Cyp51 ferredoxin, and 8,14-Bsr are co-localized. 8,14-Bsr is featured in red. c) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees of bacterial 8,14-sterol reductase (8,14-Bsr) with ketosteroid isomerase (Ksi) as an outgroup and Cyp51 with Cyp4 as an outgroup were generated using IQTree with the model of best fit and 5000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates. Branches with bootstrap support values >90 are denoted with a black circle. Sequences from different taxonomic groups which harbor 8,14-Bsr homologs are mapped onto the Cyp51 tree and colored by

432   their taxonomic group as follows: Myxococcota (pink), *Methylococcaceae* (Cyan), γ-proteobacteria

433   (dark blue), α-proteobacteria (purple), and Mycobacteriales (orange).

References

1. Bloch K. 1965. The Biological Synthesis of Cholesterol. Science 150:19–28.

2. Róg T, Pasenkiewicz-Gierula M, Vattulainen I, Karttunen M. 2009. Ordering effects of
cholesterol and its analogues. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes 1788:97–
121.

3. Anderson RH, Sochacki KA, Vuppula H, Scott BL, Bailey EM, Schultz MM, Kerkvliet JG, Taraska
JW, Hoppe AD, Francis KR. 2021. Sterols lower energetic barriers of membrane bending and
fission necessary for efficient clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Cell Reports 37:110008.

4. Nes WD. 2011. Biosynthesis of Cholesterol and Other Sterols. Chem Rev 111:6423–6451.

5. Eberlé D, Hegarty B, Bossard P, Ferré P, Foufelle F. 2004. SREBP transcription factors: master
regulators of lipid homeostasis. Biochimie 86:839–848.

6. Wei JH, Yin X, Welander PV. 2016. Sterol Synthesis in Diverse Bacteria. Front Microbiol 7:990.

7. Pearson A, Budin M, Brocks JJ. 2003. Phylogenetic and biochemical evidence for sterol
synthesis in the bacterium Gemmata obscuriglobus. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences 100:15352–15357.

8. Kohl W, Gloe A, Reichenbach H. 1983. Steroids from the Myxobacterium Nannocystis
exedens. Microbiology 129:1629–1635.

9. Bird CW, Lynch JM, Pirt FJ, Reid WW, Brooks CJW, Middleditch BS. 1971. Steroids and
Squalene in Methylococcus capsulatus grown on Methane. Nature 230:473–474.

10.   Lee AK, Banta AB, Wei JH, Kiemle DJ, Feng J, Giner J-L, Welander PV. 2018. C-4 sterol

demethylation enzymes distinguish bacterial and eukaryotic sterol synthesis. Proceedings of

the National Academy of Sciences 115:5884–5889.

11.   Zhai L, Bonds AC, Smith CA, Oo H, Chou JC-C, Welander PV, Dassama LM. 2024. Novel sterol

binding domains in bacteria. eLife 12:RP90696.

12.   Schouten S, Bowman JP, Rijpstra WIC, Sinninghe Damsté JS. 2000. Sterols in a psychrophilic

methanotroph, Methylosphaera hansonii. FEMS Microbiology Letters 186:193–195.

13.   Bouloubassi I, Nabais E, Pancost RD, Lorre A, Taphanel M-H. 2009. First biomarker evidence

for methane oxidation at cold seeps in the Southeast Atlantic (REGAB pockmark). Deep Sea

Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 56:2239–2247.

14.   Elvert M, Niemann H. 2008. Occurrence of unusual steroids and hopanoids derived from

aerobic methanotrophs at an active marine mud volcano. Organic Geochemistry 39:167–177.

15.   Coolen MJL, Hopmans EC, Rijpstra WIC, Muyzer G, Schouten S, Volkman JK, Sinninghe

Damsté JS. 2004. Evolution of the methane cycle in Ace Lake (Antarctica) during the

Holocene: response of methanogens and methanotrophs to environmental change. Organic

Geochemistry 35:1151–1167.

16.   Leblond JD, Roche SA, Porter NM, Howard JC, Dunlap NK. 2011. Sterol biosynthesis in the

harmful marine dinoflagellate, Karenia brevis: Identification of biosynthetic intermediates

produced during exposure to the fungicide fenpropidine. Phycological Research 59:54–63.

17.   Leblond JD, Sabir K, Whittemore HL. 2023. Sterol Composition of the Peridinin-Containing

Dinoflagellate *Gertia stigmatica*, a Member of the Kareniaceae without a Canonical

Haptophyte-Derived Plastid. Protist 174:125939.

18.   Mooney BD, Nichols PD, Salas MFD, Hallegraeff GM. 2007. LIPID, FATTY ACID, AND STEROL

COMPOSITION OF EIGHT SPECIES OF KARENIACEAE (DINOPHYTA): CHEMOTAXONOMY AND

PUTATIVE LIPID PHYCOTOXINS1. Journal of Phycology 43:101–111.

19.   Place A, Harvey H, Bai X, Coats D. 2006. Sneaking under the toxin surveillance radar:

parasitism and sterol content. African Journal of Marine Science 28:347–351.

20.   Santafé G, Paz V, Rodríguez J, Jiménez C. 2002. Novel Cytotoxic Oxygenated C29 Sterols from

the Colombian Marine Sponge *Polymastia t enax*. J Nat Prod 65:1161–1164.

21.   Sun Y, Tian L, Huang J, Li W, Pei Y. 2006. Cytotoxic sterols from marine-derived fungus

Pennicillium sp. Natural Product Research 20:381–384.

22.   Ishii T, Matsuura H, Zhaoqi Z, Vairappan CS. 2009. A New 4α-Methylated Sterol from a

Nephthea sp. (Nephtheidae) Bornean Soft Coral. 9. Molecules 14:3360–3366.

23.   Akihisa T, Tanaka N, Yokota T, Tanno N, Tamura T. 1991. 5α-cholest-8(14)-en-3β-ol and three

24-alkyl-Δ8(14) -sterols from the bulbils of *Dioscorea batatas*. Phytochemistry 30:2369–2372.

24.   Gawas D, Garcia R, Huch V, Müller R. 2011. A Highly Conjugated Dihydroxylated C28 Steroid

from a Myxobacterium. J Nat Prod 74:1281–1283.

25.   Jackson CJ, Lamb DC, Marczylo TH, Warrilow AGS, Manning NJ, Lowe DJ, Kelly DE, Kelly SL.

2002. A Novel Sterol 14α-Demethylase/Ferredoxin Fusion Protein (MCCYP51FX) from

Methylococcus capsulatusRepresents a New Class of the Cytochrome P450 Superfamily *.

Journal of Biological Chemistry 277:46959–46965.

26. Li X, Roberti R, Blobel G. 2015. Structure of an integral membrane sterol reductase from

Methylomicrobium alcaliphilum. Nature 517:104–107.

27. Kim SW, Cha S-S, Cho H-S, Kim J-S, Ha N-C, Cho M-J, Joo S, Kim KK, Choi KY, Oh B-H. 1997.

High-Resolution Crystal Structures of Δ5-3-Ketosteroid Isomerase with and without a

Reaction Intermediate Analogue. Biochemistry 36:14030–14036.

28. Banta AB, Wei JH, Gill CCC, Giner J-L, Welander PV. 2017. Synthesis of arborane triterpenols

by a bacterial oxidosqualene cyclase. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

114:245–250.

29. Bouvier P, Rohmer M, Benveniste P, Ourisson G. 1976. Delta8(14)-steroids in the bacterium

Methylococcus capsulatus. Biochem J 159:267–271.

30. Marijanovic Z, Laubner D, Möller G, Gege C, Husen B, Adamski J, Breitling R. 2003. Closing the

Gap: Identification of Human 3-Ketosteroid Reductase, the Last Unknown Enzyme of

Mammalian Cholesterol Biosynthesis. Mol Endocrinol 17:1715–1725.

31. Tsai P-L, Zhao C, Turner E, Schlieker C. The Lamin B receptor is essential for cholesterol

synthesis and perturbed by disease-causing mutations. eLife 5:e16011.

32. McCarty KD, Sullivan ME, Tateishi Y, Hargrove TY, Lepesheva GI, Guengerich FP. 2023.

Processive kinetics in the three-step lanosterol 14α-demethylation reaction catalyzed by

human cytochrome P450 51A1. Journal of Biological Chemistry 299.

33.   Corey EJ, Virgil SC. 1991. An experimental demonstration of the stereochemistry of enzymic

cyclization of 2,3-oxidosqualene to the protosterol system, forerunner of lanosterol and

cholesterol. J Am Chem Soc 113:4025–4026.

34.   Cho H-S, Choi G, Choi KY, Oh B-H. 1998. Crystal Structure and Enzyme Mechanism of Δ5-3-

Ketosteroid Isomerase from Pseudomonas testosteroni,. Biochemistry 37:8325–8330.

35.   F Y, Jt B, Mm P, T D, As P, Js F, D H. 2020. Assessment of enzyme active site positioning and

tests of catalytic mechanisms through X-ray-derived conformational ensembles. Proceedings

of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 117.

36.   Akhtar M, Alexander K, Boar RB. 1978. Chemical and Enzymic Studies on the Characterization

of Intermediates during the Removal of the 14ac-Methyl-Group in Cholesterol Biosynthesis

169.

37.   Chitwood DJ, Lusby WR, Lozano R, Thompson MJ, Svoboda JA. 1984. Sterol metabolism in the

nematodeCaenorhabditis elegans. Lipids 19:500–506.

38.   Leblond JD, Chapman PJ. 2002. A Survey of the Sterol Composition of the Marine

Dinoflagellates Karenia Brevis, Karenia Mikimotoi, and Karlodinium Micrum: Distribution of

Sterols Within Other Members of the Class Dinophyceae1. Journal of Phycology 38:670–682.

39.   García-Fernández E, Frank DJ, Galán B, Kells PM, Podust LM, García JL, Ortiz de Montellano

PR. 2013. A highly conserved mycobacterial cholesterol catabolic pathway. Environmental

Microbiology 15:2342–2359.

40.   Holert J, Cardenas E, Bergstrand LH, Zaikova E, Hahn AS, Hallam SJ, Mohn WW. 2018.

Metagenomes Reveal Global Distribution of Bacterial Steroid Catabolism in Natural,

Engineered, and Host Environments. mBio https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.02345-17.

41.   Merino E, Barrientos A, Rodríguez J, Naharro G, Luengo JM, Olivera ER. 2013. Isolation of

cholesterol- and deoxycholate-degrading bacteria from soil samples: evidence of a common

pathway. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 97:891–904.

42.   Pandey AK, Sassetti CM. 2008. Mycobacterial persistence requires the utilization of host

cholesterol. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 105:4376–4380.

43.   Byskov AG, Andersen CY, Nordholm L, Thogersen H, Guoliang X, Wassmann O, Andersen JV,

Guddal E, Roed T. 1995. Chemical structure of sterols that activate oocyte meiosis. Nature

374:559–562.

44.   Baltsen M, Byskov AG. 1999. Quantitation of meiosis activating sterols in human follicular

fluid using HPLC and photodiode array detection. Biomed Chromatogr 13:382–388.

45.   Grøndahl C, Ottesen JL, Lessl M, Faarup P, Murray A, Grønvald FC, Hegele-Hartung C, Ahnfelt-

Rønne L. 1998. Meiosis-Activating Sterol Promotes Resumption of Meiosis in Mouse Oocytes

Cultured in Vitro in Contrast to Related Oxysterols. Biol Reprod 58:1297–1302.

46.   Cavilla JL, Kennedy CR, Baltsen M, Klentzeris LD, Byskov AG, Hartshorne GM. 2001. The

effects of meiosis activating sterol on in-vitro maturation and fertilization of human oocytes

from stimulated and unstimulated ovaries*. Human Reproduction 16:547–555.

47.   Guo R, Wang X, Li Q, Sun X, Zhang J, Hao R. 2020. Follicular fluid meiosis-activating sterol (FF-

552   MAS) promotes meiotic resumption via the MAPK pathway in porcine oocytes. Theriogenology

553   148:186–193.

554   48.   Coticchio G, Rossi G, Borini A, Grøndahl C, Macchiarelli G, Flamigni C, Fleming S, Cecconi S.

555   2004. Mouse oocyte meiotic resumption and polar body extrusion in vitro are differentially

556   influenced by FSH, epidermal growth factor and meiosis-activating sterol. Hum Reprod

557   19:2913–2918.

558   49.   Faerge I, Strejcek F, Laurincik J, Rath D, Niemann H, Schellander K, Rosenkranz C, Hyttel PM,

559   Grøndahl C. 2006. The effect of FF-MAS on porcine cumulus–oocyte complex maturation,

560   fertilization and pronucleus formation in vitro. Zygote 14:189–199.

561   50.   Hegele-Hartung C, Kuhnke J, Lessl M, Grøndahl C, Ottesen J, Beier HM, Eisner S, Eichenlaub-

562   Ritter U. 1999. Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Maturation of Mouse Oocytes After Treatment with

563   Synthetic Meiosis-Activating Sterol In Vitro. Biol Reprod 61:1362–1372.

564   51.   Bergh C, Group for the CIS, Loft A, Group for the CIS, Lundin K, Group for the CIS, Ziebe S,

565   Group for the CIS, Nilsson L, Group for the CIS, Wikland M, Group for the CIS, Gröndahl C,

566   Group for the CIS, Arce J-C, Group for the CIS. 2004. Chromosomal abnormality rate in

567   human pre-embryos derived from in vitro fertilization cycles cultured in the presence of

568   Follicular-Fluid Meiosis Activating Sterol (FF-MAS). Hum Reprod 19:2109–2117.

569   52.   Hossein T, Shabani r, Artimani T, Pilehvari S, Moghimi M, Mehdizadeh M. 2024. Innovative

570   Approaches to Enhancing Oocyte Competence in patients with polycystic ovarian syndrome:

571   A Microfluidic Study with the Impact of FF-MAS on Rescued In Vitro Oocyte Maturation.

572   https://www.researchsquare.com. Retrieved 14 May 2024.

573   53.   Blume T, Guttzeit M, Kuhnke J, Zorn L. 2003. Two syntheses of FF-MAS. Org Lett 5:1837–1839.

574   54.   Zhou W, Fisher PM, Vanderloop BH, Shen Y, Shi H, Maldonado AJ, Leaver DJ, Nes WD. 2020. A

575   nematode sterol C4α-methyltransferase catalyzes a new methylation reaction responsible for

576   sterol diversity [S]. Journal of Lipid Research 61:192–204.

577   55.   Giner J-L, Faraldos JA, Boyer GL. 2003. Novel sterols of the toxic dinoflagellate karenia brevis

578   (dinophyceae): a defensive function for unusual marine sterols?1. Journal of Phycology

579   39:315–319.

580   56.   Van der Geize R, Yam K, Heuser T, Wilbrink MH, Hara H, Anderton MC, Sim E, Dijkhuizen L,

581   Davies JE, Mohn WW, Eltis LD. 2007. A gene cluster encoding cholesterol catabolism in a soil

582   actinomycete provides insight into Mycobacterium tuberculosis survival in macrophages.

583   Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 104:1947–1952.

584   57.   Podust LM, Poulos TL, Waterman MR. 2001. Crystal structure of cytochrome P450 14α-sterol

585   demethylase (CYP51) from Mycobacterium tuberculosis in complex with azole inhibitors.

586   Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 98:3068–3073.

587   58.   Jackson CJ, Lamb DC, Marczylo TH, Parker JE, Manning NL, Kelly DE, Kelly SL. 2003.

588   Conservation and cloning of CYP51: a sterol 14α-demethylase from *Mycobacterium*

589   *smegmatis*. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 301:558–563.

590   59.   Mohamed H, Child SA, Bruning JB, Bell SG. 2022. A comparison of the bacterial CYP51

591   cytochrome P450 enzymes from *Mycobacterium marinum* and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.

592   The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 221:106097.

60.   Eshraghisamani R, Mirto AJ, Wang J, Behr MA, Barkema HW, De Buck J. 2022. Identification of

essential genes in Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis genome for persistence in

dairy calves. Front Microbiol 13.

61.   Rifat D, Chen L, Kreiswirth BN, Nuermberger EL. 2021. Genome-Wide Essentiality Analysis of

Mycobacterium abscessus by Saturated Transposon Mutagenesis and Deep Sequencing.

mBio 12:10.1128/mbio.01049-21.

62.   DeJesus MA, Gerrick ER, Xu W, Park SW, Long JE, Boutte CC, Rubin EJ, Schnappinger D, Ehrt S,

Fortune SM, Sassetti CM, Ioerger TR. 2017. Comprehensive Essentiality Analysis of the

Mycobacterium tuberculosis Genome via Saturating Transposon Mutagenesis. mBio

8:10.1128/mbio.02133-16.

63.   Lee S-S, Young L-H, Wang KC. 1991. Microbial Transformation of Cycloartenol, 24-

Methylenecycloartanol, and Lanosterol, II. Isolation and Characterization of C_{19} Steroids. J Nat

Prod 54:178–183.

64.   Wang KC, You B-J, Yan J-L, Lee S-S. 1995. Microbial Transformation of Lanosterol Derivatives

with Mycobacterium sp. (NRRL B-3805). J Nat Prod 58:1222–1227.

65.   Araldi E, Fernández-Fuertes M, Canfrán-Duque A, Tang W, Cline GW, Madrigal-Matute J, Pober

JS, Lasunción MA, Wu D, Fernández-Hernando C, Suárez Y. 2017. Lanosterol Modulates TLR4-

Mediated Innate Immune Responses in Macrophages. Cell Reports 19:2743–2755.

66.   Zimmermann M, Kogadeeva M, Gengenbacher M, McEwen G, Mollenkopf H-J, Zamboni N,

Kaufmann SHE, Sauer U. 2017. Integration of Metabolomics and Transcriptomics Reveals a

Complex Diet of Mycobacterium tuberculosis during Early Macrophage Infection. mSystems

2:10.1128/msystems.00057-17.

67. Cornejo-Granados F, López-Leal G, Mata-Espinosa DA, Barrios-Payán J, Marquina-Castillo B,

Equihua-Medina E, Zatarain-Barrón ZL, Molina-Romero C, Hernández-Pando R, Ochoa-Leyva

A. 2021. Targeted RNA-Seq Reveals the M. tuberculosis Transcriptome from an In Vivo

Infection Model. Biology 10:848.

68. Whittenbury R, Phillips KC, Wilkinson JF. 1970. Enrichment, Isolation and Some Properties of

Methane-utilizing Bacteria. Microbiology 61:205–218.

69. Li MZ, Elledge SJ. 2012. SLIC: A Method for Sequence- and Ligation-Independent Cloning, p.

51–59. *In* Gene Synthesis. Humana Press.

70. Bligh EG, Dyer WJ. 1959. A RAPID METHOD OF TOTAL LIPID EXTRACTION AND PURIFICATION.

Canadian Journal of Biochemistry and Physiology https://doi.org/10.1139/o59-099.

71. Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. 1997. Gapped

BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids

Research 25:3389–3402.

72. Chen I-MA, Markowitz VM, Palaniappan K, Szeto E, Chu K, Huang J, Ratner A, Pillay M,

Hadjithomas M, Huntemann M, Mikhailova N, Ovchinnikova G, Ivanova NN, Kyrpides NC.

2016. Supporting community annotation and user collaboration in the integrated microbial

genomes (IMG) system. BMC Genomics 17:307.

73. Markowitz VM, Chen I-MA, Palaniappan K, Chu K, Szeto E, Pillay M, Ratner A, Huang J, Woyke

T, Huntemann M, Anderson I, Billis K, Varghese N, Mavromatis K, Pati A, Ivanova NN, Kyrpides

NC. 2014. IMG 4 version of the integrated microbial genomes comparative analysis system.

Nucleic Acids Research 42:D560–D567.

74. Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7:

Improvements in Performance and Usability. Mol Biol Evol 30:772–780.

75. Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma K, Miyata T. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple

sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic Acids Res 30:3059–3066.

76. Minh BQ, Nguyen MAT, von Haeseler A. 2013. Ultrafast Approximation for Phylogenetic

Bootstrap. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30:1188–1195.

77. Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, von Haeseler A, Lanfear R.

2020. IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the

Genomic Era. Molecular Biology and Evolution 37:1530–1534.

78. Mirdita M, Schütze K, Moriwaki Y, Heo L, Ovchinnikov S, Steinegger M. 2022. ColabFold:

making protein folding accessible to all. Nat Methods 19:679–682.

79. Guzenko D, Burley SK, Duarte JM. 2020. Real time structural search of the Protein Data Bank.

PLOS Computational Biology 16:e1007970.

80. Eisenberg D, Schwarz E, Komaromy M, Wall R. 1984. Analysis of membrane and surface

protein sequences with the hydrophobic moment plot. J Mol Biol 179:125–142.

651