Expanded stoichiometric model of chondrocyte metabolism: response to cyclical shear and compressive loading

*Aubrey Kimmel[1], *Adrienne D. Arnold[4], Ayten E. Erdogan[2], Ronak Kommineni, Erik P. Myers[3], Breschine Cummins[1], Ross P. Carlson[2], and Ronald K. June[3,4,5]

*These authors contributed equally.

[1]Department of Mathematical Sciences, Montana State University
[2]Department of Chemical & Biological Engineering, Montana State University
[3]Department of Mechanical & Industrial Engineering, Montana State University
[4]Department of Microbiology & Cell Biology, Montana State University
[5]Department of Orthopedics & Sports Medicine, University of Washington

Corresponding Author
Ronald K June II
Professor, Mechanical & Industrial Engineering
Montana State University
PO Box 173800
Bozeman, MT 59717-3800
rjune@montana.edu
406.994.5941 (tel)
406.994.6292 (fax)

Keywords: chondrocyte biology, mechanotransduction, osteoarthritis, systems biology, metabolic flux, stoichiometric modeling

Abstract

Cartilage deterioration is a hallmark of the most common joint disease, osteoarthritis, and there is substantial interest in developing strategies for cartilage synthesis and repair. Cyclical mechanical stimulation has been known for decades to drive synthesis of cartilage matrix proteins. Matrix synthesis requires activation of central metabolism for producing precursors to non-essential amino acids required for protein translation. However, there are gaps in knowledge regarding how mechanical stimuli affect chondrocyte central metabolism. Here, we find that cyclical shear and compression drive differences in chondrocyte central metabolism in a sex-dependent manner. Based on established biochemistry, we developed and validated a stoichiometric model containing 139 metabolites and 172 reactions from central metabolism that includes production of the key cartilage matrix proteins of types II and VI collagen and aggrecan. We then used experimental data from shear and compressive stimulation of osteoarthritis chondrocytes to constrain this model and ran multiple simulations examining flux through the production reactions of matrix proteins and ATP. Our results show that both shear and compression can stimulate osteoarthritic chondrocyte metabolism in a manner consistent with production of cartilage matrix proteins, with notable differences in simulated central metabolism between male and female chondrocytes. Additionally, and importantly, our simulation results suggest that nitrogen availability is a key limitation to chondrocyte synthesis of matrix proteins. These results are a starting point for using central metabolism of chondrocytes to optimize synthesis of matrix proteins for cartilage repair. For example, increasing glutamine levels in the presence of cyclical compression has potential to increase production of both types II and VI collagen. These strategies have potential for improving cartilage tissue engineering and repair.

Introduction

For decades, it has been known that cyclical loading of articular chondrocytes results in production of cartilage matrix molecules [1]. Expansion of these methods has yielded impressive results with strong potential for cartilage tissue engineering [2]. However, mechanistic details between mechanical stimulation and the degree of matrix production remain unknown. This paper builds on previous mathematical models of chondrocyte metabolism to better understand how cyclical mechanical stimulation affects production of both required metabolic precursors (e.g. ATP) and cartilage matrix molecules (e.g. Type VI collagen.)

Stoichiometric modeling is a computational approach used to quantify metabolite fluxes by leveraging the structure of biochemical networks [3]. This method is particularly well-suited for studying central energy metabolism, which encompasses glycolysis, the pentose phosphate pathway, and the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle—pathways that generate ATP and precursors for amino acid synthesis (Figure 1) [3]. In the context of articular chondrocytes, stoichiometric models enable the integration of targeted metabolomic data to estimate reaction-level fluxes and resource allocation, such as ATP and collagen production. Although chondrocytes have traditionally been considered to use primarily glycolytic substrate level phosphorylation for ATP generation, recent evidence suggests they also engage in respiration and oxidative phosphorylation pathways for ATP generation [4], especially in response to mechanical stimuli. By constructing a stoichiometric matrix that reflects the topology of central metabolism, this study analyzes how physiological loading may alter metabolic activity and support chondrocyte homeostasis. This modeling framework has been successfully applied across diverse biological systems, from microbes to human tissues, and offers a powerful tool for systems-level analysis of chondrocyte function under mechanical stimuli.

Prior studies used several *in silico* modeling approaches to understand how chondrocyte metabolism responds to mechanical stimulation. Salinas *et al* showed that cyclical compression synchronized metabolite profiles of central metabolism and compression-induced alterations in the TCA cycle in SW1353 chondrocytes [5]. Further analyses in primary human chondrocytes from joint replacement patients found that cyclical compression can increase production of the metabolite precursors for non-essential amino acids with substantial donor-dependent variation [6]. Additional studies have incorporated glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis when studying intervertebral disc cells [7]. This study builds on these data

The objective of this study was to use stoichiometric modeling to analyze how cyclical mechanical loading affects central metabolism and associated production of amino acid precursors and collagen. Toward this end, we first expanded a previous stoichiometric model of which now considers central metabolism, respiration, lactic acid fermentation, amino acid uptake and synthesis, synthesis of key cartilage matrix molecules including types II and VI collagen, as well as synthesis of aggrecan. [8]. We then tested *in silico* model fidelity by predicting experimentally established relationships between ATP production and O_2 levels. Next, we integrated previously published experimental metabolomics data from primary chondrocytes [9] with the model to quantify the capacity of chondrocytes to produce ATP, types II and VI collagen, and aggrecan. Finally, we used the computational model and experimental data to identify metabolites that potentially limit the synthesis of collagen and aggrecan and are

98 therefore promising intervention targets. These data provide insight into how cyclical
99 mechanical stimulation drives changes in central metabolism and how these changes are
100 predicted to affect matrix protein production. Application of these data and results may be
101 useful for maximizing neomatrix production for tissue engineering and cartilage repair through
102 rational intervention strategies such as targeted amino acid supplementation.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Data

This study used experimental data from a previous study [9]. Primary human
chondrocytes from joint replacement tissue from stage IV osteoarthritis patients (n=5 male and
n=5 female) were extracted and cultured for 1 passage using established methods [10].
Chondrocytes were then encapsulated in 4.5% wt/vol high-stiffness agarose [11] at 500,000
cells per gel and equilibrated in cultured for 24 hours. 1 hour before mechanical stimulation,
media was replaced with phosphate buffered saline.

Samples from each donor were randomized into five experimental groups: 15 minutes of
cyclical shear strain (15S), 15 minutes of cyclical compressive strain (15C), 30 minutes of
cyclical shear strain (30S), 30 minutes of cyclical compressive strain (30C), or unloaded
controls. Mechanical strain was applied using a sinusoidal waveform at 1.1Hz with a mean
strain of 5% and an amplitude of 2.5% after a 15-minute equilibration of a 5% compressive
prestrain. Immediately after mechanical stimulation, samples were removed and bisected.
Metabolites were extracted from one half of the sample, and central metabolites were quantified
using targeted LC-MS metabolomic profiling. The accumulation or depletion of metabolite levels
were determined by subtracting the value of the unloaded control from the value obtained in
each experimental group for each donor. These metabolite data provide insight into whether a
given metabolite was produced or consumed during the specific mechanical stimulation (e.g. 30
minutes of cyclical shear strain).

Stoichiometric Modeling

A core-scale stoichiometric model of a human chondrocyte metabolism was constructed
using human metabolic network data from HumanCyc and literature (Figure 1) [12]. The model
consists of 139 metabolites and 172 reactions representing glycolysis, the citric acid cycle, the
aerobic electron transport chain, lactate fermentation, the pentose phosphate pathway,
transport and synthesis of amino acids, and synthesis of type II collagen, type VI collagen, and
the aggrecan core protein (Figure 1). Collagen composition for both Col2A1 (type II collagen)
and Col6A1 (type VI collagen) was determined using the amino acid sequences from
HumanCyc [14]. Aggrecan core composition was determined using the canonical amino acid
sequence from UniProt (primary accession P16112). The collagen and aggrecan metabolites
represented in the model reactions were scaled to 100 amino acids for consistency and
convenience. Reaction directionality (forward, reverse, reversible) was applied based the
thermodynamic information from HumanCyc. The model was constructed in CellNetAnalyzer

2019 and 2023.1. All model reactions satisfied conservation of mass, atoms, and electrons [13].

Flux balance analysis was performed using CobraToolbox with MATLAB R2019b. Plotting was

performed using MATLAB R2024b. Metabolic model details can be found in SI 1. The code

used to analyze the model can be found in SI 3 and SI 4.

Metabolite exchange between mass balanced and unbalanced compartments was

controlled using three classes of reactions (Figure 2): void, observed, and transport. Void

reactions denote transport between the balanced extracellular environment and an unbalanced

void. Observed exchange reactions incorporate experimental metabolomics data and account

for increases or decreases in metabolites pools; these reactions enforce consumption or

production of metabolites over the experimental period of cyclical mechanical stimulation. For

the void and observed reactions, a negative reaction flux indicates that a metabolite is

consumed, while a positive reaction flux indicates that a metabolite is excreted. Transport

reactions denote exchange between the balanced extracellular compartment and the balanced

intracellular environment.

<u>Description of Simulations</u>

Simulations were performed based on eight groups, where a group was defined based

on a combination of an experimental condition (15C, 15S, 30C, or 30S) and sex (M or F). Each

simulation consisted of a flux balance optimization given a set of metabolite flux constraints and

a maximization objective (e.g. ATP, collagen II, collagen VI, or aggrecan core). Two types of

simulations were performed based on applied flux constraints. Firstly, theoretical network

properties were quantified considering the composition of the growth medium, namely glucose.

These simulations tested model functioning including ATP yield on substrates. The second type

of simulation incorporated experimental mass spectrometry data as flux constraints through the

'observed' reactions. These simulations were constrained by experimental data and provide

insight to the actual distributions of metabolic fluxes of the chondrocytes.

O_2 fluxes were varied between 0 and 10 fmol/min/cell. This range was determined from

experimental O_2 consumption rates [3]. At each applied O_2 flux, 1000 simulations were

performed with (1) the observed metabolite fluxes and no additional carbon sources, and (2) no

observed metabolite fluxes but including a permissible glucose transport flux as a baseline

comparison.

Simulations quantified the capacity of the model to produce ATP, cartilage-relevant

collagens (types II and VI), or aggrecan core protein based on the observed fluxes of

metabolites. The observed fluxes were first independently perturbed within a uniform 95%

confidence interval, then applied as flux constraints, and the FBA optimization performed using

the criteria of interest. This process was repeated 1000 times for each group.

If a sampled set of observed fluxes resulted in the net secretion of carbon, electron, or

nitrogen moles (violated conservation relationships), the set was discarded, and new values

were sampled until net consumption was achieved. This resampling minimized non-feasible

solutions due to insufficient carbon, electron, or nitrogen sources. Non-observed metabolites

were left unconstrained up to 10,000 fmol/min/cell to avoid biasing predictions and were
assigned biologically motivated irreversibility where indicated in Figure 2. O_2 uptake was
constrained to an upper bound of 10 fmol/cell/min based on experimental data. A flux balance
optimization was run for each set of sampled points over each objective (e.g. ATP, collagen II,
collagen VI, and aggrecan core). Observed fluxes were reasonable estimates of the resources
available to the cell, however, there were unmeasured intracellular metabolites due to technical
limitations [3]. Multiple experimental observations permitted the estimation of a 95% confidence
interval for each intracellular metabolite flux and each group.

Results

Model fidelity including carbon and electron balancing and cellular energy representation
was verified by (1) checking ATP and lactate yields on glucose and by (2) checking ATP yield
as a function of available O_2 in the presence of glucose. As expected, ATP yields per glucose
were 32 mol/mol in aerobic conditions and 2 mol/mol in anaerobic conditions. Also as expected,
maximum lactate yields on glucose were 2 mol/mol (results in Supplemental Table S1). ATP
yield decreased with decreasing O_2, as ATP generation shifted from oxidative to substrate-level
phosphorylation (results in Supplemental Table S2). We concluded that the model accurately
captures essential aspects of central carbon metabolism.

Experimentally measured metabolite fluxes were applied to the model as flux constraints
and the potential to produce ATP, type II or VI collagen, or aggrecan core were evaluated. The
upper bound for O_2 fluxes was constrained 10 fmol/min/cell. 1000 simulations for each group
and the four different maximization objectives were evaluated. The observed metabolite fluxes
were the only permitted substrate fluxes (Figure 4). Simulation results for the male metabolite
sets are blue and for the female samples are green. Each experimental condition is represented
by a separate distribution from left to right as 15 minutes of compression (15C), 15 minutes of
shear (15S), 30 minutes of compression (30C), and 30 minutes of shear (30S). Each point in
each distribution represents the maximum product flux (in fmol/cell/min) from each simulation.
The median maximum product flux is denoted by the black bar.

In simulations where ATP was maximized (Figure 4A), the predicted median fluxes are
similar across sex and condition. The differences between groups reflect the differences
experimental metabolite data. Interestingly males had the lowest median ATP production in the
30C groups whereas females had the highest median ATP production in the 15C group. In the
30C male group, the distribution of fluxes is more variable, with a wider tail toward low ATP
fluxes than the other three conditions, which are tightly distributed around the median with
skinnier tails. For the female samples, most of the distributions looked similar across conditions,
with 15C being a slightly higher median than the others with a slightly more spread-out
distribution about the median. The tails do not extend as close to zero as the distributions for the
male samples.

Collagen and aggrecan core protein fluxes were maximized in Figures 4B-D. These data
had higher relative variance between groups (15C, 15S, 30C, 30S,male, female) while there

was less qualitative difference between simulation objective (collagen II, collagen VI, aggrecan).
The most noticeable difference for male distributions between groups was that mechanical
stimulation by cyclical shear showed higher median collagen and aggrecan core protein yield
than the cyclical compression for both 15 and 30 minutes. The 15S group was slightly higher
than the 30S group, whereas the 30C group was higher than the 15C group. The distributions
for 15C and 15S for the female samples had slightly higher median collagen and aggrecan core
protein yield than 30C and 30S. The highest median production occurred in the male
distributions under shear conditions. We quantified these observations by testing for statistical
significance in the difference between flux distributions using the Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test with
a p-value adjusted by the Bonferroni Correction Method. Most flux distributions within an
objective class (ATP, collagen II, collagen VI, or aggrecan core protein) were significantly
different, with few exceptions (see Supplemental Figure S1).

Each simulation resulted in flux values for all 172 reactions in the model. To more
completely explore how male and female chondrocytes responded to cyclical shear and
compression, we statistically analyzed the collection of fluxes across all 172 reactions in the
metabolic model. For each objective, we statistically compared each of the 172 flux distributions
for each group comparison. Results of these comparisons are summarized in Figure 5, where
each cell contains the total tally of statistically different reactions (out of 172) when comparing
the row group to the column group. Group differences were roughly the same across type II
collagen, type VI collagen, and aggrecan core protein simulations. The groups that were the
most similar (i.e., had the fewest significantly different flux distributions) were male 30C and
female 30S, whereas the male 15C and 15S groups were the most distinguishable from other
groups.

The systems based analysis was used to predict what metabolic resource (carbon,
nitrogen, or ATP) was limiting product fluxes. 1000 simulations were performed which permitted
the model to utilize carbon and nitrogen from both the observed data set and metabolite pools of
either glutamine or ammonium. These simulations were performed for each of the four
maximization objectives across all groups with the upper bound of O_2 flux capped at 10
fmol/cell/min (data for type II collagen shown in Figure 6). Figure 6A shows a baseline scenario
where only the observed metabolite fluxes are permitted and no additional nitrogen or carbon
sources are present. Plots of the results for the other objectives, ATP, collagen VI, and
aggrecan core protein, can be found in the supplement (Supplement Figures S2-S5). It should
be noted that both collagen VI and aggrecan core protein had similar results to collagen II, while
the ATP simulations had no noticeable difference from baseline (Figure 6A).

Significantly higher type II collagen fluxes are possible when an extracellular nitrogen
source is provided to the model (Figures 6B-C), nitrogen availability is limiting protein synthesis.
When carbon sources, glucose or pyruvate, are added to the simulations, there is no discernible
difference from Figure 6A (see Supplemental Figures S2-S5). Note that the only objective that
showed distinguishable differences upon additional carbon was ATP under the condition of
added glucose, which should be expected due to pyruvate and glutamine having less stored
chemical energy than glucose. In short, these simulations suggest that production of collagen
and aggrecan are not carbon-limited.

The addition of glutamine to the simulations resulted in higher protein fluxes than just
ammonium (Figure 6B-C). Both male and female simulations increased objective production by
approximately two orders of magnitude in the presence of additional glutamine uptake, with
females increasing slightly more than the males. This trend is likely due to glutamine serving as
both a nitrogen and carbon source, whereas ammonium is strictly a nitrogen source. It is also
noticeable that the added glutamine simulations result in less variability, suggesting more
consistently high collagen II production flux than in the added ammonium simulations. While the
male distributions are similar across groups, the female distributions show higher rates for type
II collagen with 15 minutes of compression for both nitrogen sources. It is also noticeable that
the female distributions have higher variance, while the males are distributed more tightly about
the median. This is likely due to the larger variances in the carbon, nitrogen, and electron inputs
from the observed metabolites in the female data (Figure 3). For females, 15 minutes of
compression resulted in the highest collagen II production flux with the other three conditions
showing similar distributions, whereas the male results were roughly the same across all four
conditions and times (Figure 6).

Discussion

The cyclical loading of chondrocytes has long been shown to increase matrix synthesis
[1]. Previous studies found that cyclical compression induces proteoglycan and collagen
synthesis [15] as well as the depletion ATP which is needed for protein synthesis [16]. Cyclical
fluid shear of monolayer chondrocytes results in synthesis and release of both inflammatory
mediators as well as proteoglycans [17]. Stoichiometric modeling provides systems level
information regarding how mechanical stimuli can affect the potential of the central metabolism
to generate cellular energy and synthesize protein. For example, In SW1353 chondrocytic cells,
compression was predicted to induce time-dependent changes in central metabolism consistent
with protein synthesis [8]. Furthermore, in primary human chondrocytes, cyclical compression
induced metabolic changes consistent with production of metabolic precursors to non-essential
amino acids [6].

In this study, we used computational modeling of metabolism to analyze how mechanical
stimulation by cyclical shear and compression affected chondrocyte central metabolism
including production of key amino acid precursors and simulated production of key matrix
proteins. Using stoichiometric modeling and flux balance analysis, we examined how
differences in male and female metabolomic data resulted in potential differences in production
of ATP, collagen II, collagen VI, and aggrecan core protein, important components in the
production of collagen matrix molecules.

Simulation data based only on observed metabolite data as inputs highlighted potential
differences in collagen and aggrecan production between male and female samples. While
female results showed small differences in median collagen and aggrecan core protein
production between most mechanical simulation groups, the male results had noticeably higher
median collagen and aggrecan production in shear compared to compression. When the
distributions of all 172 reactions in the metabolic model were considered, we observed large

numbers of significantly different metabolic fluxes between male and female samples for both shear and compressive mechanical stimulation. The computational representation of metabolism was used to investigate what cellular resources were limiting product synthesis (carbon, nitrogen, ATP). These simulations demonstrated that both the male and female samples would be able to produce higher collagen II fluxes if supplemented with glutamine or ammonium. The highest increase in collagen II production was observed in the female 15 minutes of compression group. This suggests the chondrocyte metabolism might be nitrogen-limited, and not carbon-limited. Studies seeking to maximize matrix production for cartilage tissue engineering should ensure sufficient nitrogen availability. Future studies will evaluate the efficiency of nitrogen sources on matrix synthesis.

There are important limitations to this study. First, only a fraction of the intracellular metabolites were measured, which could affect the simulation results as the accumulation of unmeasured metabolites was assumed to be zero. Expanding the number of measured metabolites will provide additional insight into group similarities and differences. Second, the experimental methods could not distinguish between intracellular and extracellular metabolites. Distinguishing between intracellular and extracellular metabolites has the potential to increase the impact of these results.

Conclusions

To our knowledge, this is the first study integrating metabolomic data from shear and compressive stimulation of primary osteoarthritic chondrocytes with stoichiometric modeling and flux balance analysis. These data provide preliminary evidence that there are significant differences in the mechanically-induced central metabolism between sexes and loading type. Furthermore, simulations suggest that nitrogen limitation may play a role in collagen production in osteoarthritic chondrocytes. Future studies may build on this work to advance neomatrix production for cartilage tissue engineering through metabolite interventions.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by research grants from the NIH (NIAMS R01AR073964 and R01AR081489) and NSF (CMMI 1554708). Further support was provided by the NSF AI Institute at the University of Washington and the MT Peaks grant.

Conflict of Interest

Dr. June owns stock in Beartooth Biotech and OpenBioWorks. Neither company was involved in this study.

1 Figures and Tables

Table 1: Table of measured (observed) metabolites from 10 human donors, 5 male and 5 female. The data is represented as intervals of the mean plus/minus one standard deviation.

	Male				Female			
	15C	15S	30C	30S	15C	15S	30C	30S
Oxaloacetic Acid	-5.82e-02 ± 1.22e-01	7.23e-03 ± 1.55e-01	-1.03e-01 ± 9.05e-02	-6.05e-02 ± 9.42e-02	-1.07e-03 ± 6.55e-02	7.23e-03 ± 1.55e-01	-1.34e-02 ± 3.62e-02	-3.19e-02 ± 6.03e-02
Fumaric Acid	-1.07e-03 ± 7.72e-03	4.51e-03 ± 1.96e-02	-1.31e-03 ± 4.05e-03	-4.11e-03 ± 1.03e-02	-4.76e-03 ± 6.02e-03	4.51e-03 ± 1.96e-02	1.10e-03 ± 6.66e-03	3.52e-04 ± 4.38e-03
Phosphoenolpyruvic Acid	1.16e-03 ± 5.33e-03	1.80e-02 ± 2.27e-02	-5.46e-04 ± 1.07e-03	-1.19e-03 ± 1.85e-03	2.97e-03 ± 6.89e-03	1.80e-02 ± 2.27e-02	8.04e-04 ± 5.67e-04	6.28e-03 ± 9.73e-03
Malic Acid	-2.10e-03 ± 5.89e-03	-2.13e-03 ± 3.83e-03	-1.65e-03 ± 3.39e-03	-1.22e-03 ± 2.97e-03	-7.52e-03 ± 4.64e-03	-2.13e-03 ± 3.83e-03	-4.15e-03 ± 2.28e-03	-4.16e-03 ± 3.17e-03
2-Oxoglutaric Acid	-2.10e-02 ± 5.05e-03	1.65e-02 ± 2.86e-02	-1.39e-02 ± 9.72e-03	-2.87e-02 ± 3.94e-02	-6.17e-03 ± 2.98e-02	1.65e-02 ± 2.86e-02	-3.83e-03 ± 1.29e-02	-7.94e-03 ± 1.13e-02
6-p-gluconate	9.53e-04 ± 1.85e-03	1.05e-03 ± 1.32e-03	1.17e-03 ± 3.18e-03	9.82e-04 ± 8.76e-04	3.49e-04 ± 5.67e-04	1.05e-03 ± 1.32e-03	4.86e-04 ± 1.37e-03	-5.01e-05 ± 8.04e-04
Citric Acid	-3.19e-04 ± 4.62e-03	3.05e-02 ± 4.96e-02	3.62e-04 ± 4.20e-03	1.57e-03 ± 2.29e-03	3.61e-03 ± 9.33e-03	3.05e-02 ± 4.96e-02	1.81e-04 ± 4.45e-03	-1.67e-03 ± 2.84e-03
Sedoheptulose-7-phosphate	-2.75e-04 ± 1.57e-03	-1.55e-03 ± 5.80e-03	-6.50e-04 ± 1.11e-03	6.70e-03 ± 1.30e-02	2.57e-03 ± 1.74e-02	-1.55e-03 ± 5.80e-03	-1.71e-03 ± 1.56e-03	-2.60e-03 ± 1.34e-03
Succinic Acid	4.48e-03 ± 3.50e-01	-2.14e-02 ± 1.53e+00	1.87e-01 ± 5.27e-01	5.45e-02 ± 3.56e-01	-1.80e-01 ± 1.03e+00	-2.14e-02 ± 1.53e+00	2.24e-02 ± 4.08e-01	2.96e-01 ± 2.60e-01
Fructose-6-phosphate	1.41e-02 ± 3.47e-02	2.95e-02 ± 5.93e-02	8.50e-03 ± 2.49e-02	-1.43e-02 ± 1.70e-02	1.96e-02 ± 4.88e-02	2.95e-02 ± 5.93e-02	1.79e-03 ± 8.73e-03	3.17e-03 ± 1.08e-02
Glutamic Acid	-5.88e-02 ± 5.39e-02	-1.90e-02 ± 9.07e-02	-3.99e-02 ± 3.11e-02	-2.22e-02 ± 2.03e-02	-3.07e-02 ± 9.78e-02	-1.90e-02 ± 9.07e-02	-1.98e-02 ± 5.75e-02	-2.31e-02 ± 4.82e-02
Lactic Acid	-7.12e-01 ± 8.94e-01	-3.46e-01 ± 4.98e-01	-2.52e-01 ± 3.22e-01	-4.41e-01 ± 5.46e-01	-9.10e-01 ± 8.24e-01	-3.46e-01 ± 4.98e-01	-1.52e-01 ± 4.03e-01	-6.10e-01 ± 4.00e-01
Glutamine	-5.77e-03 ± 2.21e-02	-1.08e-02 ± 6.10e-03	-1.36e-02 ± 8.96e-03	-2.93e-02 ± 4.39e-02	-1.78e-02 ± 1.11e-02	-1.08e-02 ± 6.10e-03	-7.04e-03 ± 7.59e-03	-1.15e-02 ± 8.07e-03
Fructose Glucose	-2.14e-01 ± 1.30e+00	-2.53e+00 ± 1.82e+00	-9.96e-01 ± 6.64e-01	-8.55e-01 ± 1.48e+00	-4.16e+00 ± 3.55e+00	-2.53e+00 ± 1.82e+00	-2.42e+00 ± 1.56e+00	-3.01e+00 ± 1.19e+00
Pyruvic Acid	-2.83e-01 ± 3.35e-01	-2.86e-01 ± 6.12e-01	-1.38e-01 ± 1.49e-01	-1.50e-01 ± 1.72e-01	-4.71e-01 ± 6.82e-01	-2.86e-01 ± 6.12e-01	-2.14e-01 ± 3.18e-01	-2.80e-01 ± 3.13e-01

Figure 1: Central carbon metabolism of chondrocytes. Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas glycolysis shown in blue. Pentose phosphate pathway shown in green. Citric acid cycle shown in orange. Abbreviated reactions are included for non-essential amino acid synthesis; these show the carbon backbone precursors of each amino acid. Metabolites in red were measured using liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (Table 1) and are included in the metabolic model as "observed" metabolites.

Figure 2: Transport reactions within the metabolic model. The extracellular and intracellular
compartments are balanced. The void and observed compartments are unbalanced. Observed
reactions incorporate mass spectrometry data. Negative fluxes = consumption (entering a
balanced compartment), positive fluxes = production (leaving a balanced compartment). Arrow
direction indicates reversibility (bidirectional arrows) or irreversibility (unidirectional arrows).
Additional optimization constraints can restrict reversible reactions to irreversible reactions.

Figure 3: Distributions of net carbon and electron input (in fmol/cell/min) for each permutation of time, condition, and sex after checking for net consumption (instead of production). Male data in blue and female data in green. Male and female data are further split into four experimental groups from bottom to top: 15 minutes of compression (15C), 15 minutes of shear (15S), 30 minutes of compression (30C), and 30 minutes of shear (30S). These net carbon and electron inputs were calculated from samples of the observed metabolite source distributions. Female donors appear to have a wider distribution of carbon and electron input than males.

Figure 4: Distributions of maximizing fluxes (in fmol/cell/min) over 1000 simulations per condition and optimization criteria. Each point in each distribution for each group represents the maximum flux through the production reaction for the maximization objectives (A) ATP, (B) collagen II, (C) collagen VI, or (D) aggrecan core protein. Only observed metabolic measurements were allowed as sources. The median maximum flux is denoted by the black bar in each plot. In each panel, each experimental condition is represented by a separate distribution from left to right as 15 minutes of compression (15C), 15 minutes of shear (15S), 30 minutes of compression (30C), and 30 minutes of shear (30S), with male data in blue and female data in green.

Figure 5: Pairwise tallies of significantly different numbers of reactions between groups with maximization objectives (A) ATP, (B) collagen II production, (C) collagen VI production, and (D) aggrecan core protein. Each cell contains the number of significantly different maximizing flux reactions (from 1000 simulations) out of 172 total reactions between the row and column groups. Statistical significance was determined via the Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test with a p-value adjusted by the Bonferroni Correction Method.

Figure 6: Distributions of type II collagen maximizing fluxes under different uptake allowances. (A) observed metabolite sources only, (B) ammonium uptake allowed, and (C) glutamine uptake allowed. Glucose and pyruvate uptake allowed were also tested, but the results were similar to the observed metabolite sources only plots (see Supplemental Figure S2). ATP, collagen VI, and aggrecan core protein were also simulated and showed qualitatively similar results (see Supplemental Figures S1, S3, S4). These data suggest that nitrogen availability may limit production of Type II collagen.

References

[1] Sah, R. L., Kim, Y. J., Doong, J. Y., Grodzinsky, A. J., Plaas, A. H., and Sandy, J. D., 1989, "Biosynthetic response of cartilage explants to dynamic compression," J Orthop Res, 7(5), pp. 619-636.

[2] Mauck, R. L., Byers, B. A., Yuan, X., and Tuan, R. S., 2007, "Regulation of cartilaginous ECM gene transcription by chondrocytes and MSCs in 3D culture in response to dynamic loading," Biomech Model Mechanobiol, 6(1-2), pp. 113-125.

[3] Doran, P. M., Morrissey, K., and Carlson, R. P., 2024, Bioprocess Engineering Principles, Academic Press.

[4] Wu, X., Liyanage, C., Plan, M., Stark, T., McCubbin, T., Barrero, R. A., Batra, J., Crawford, R., Xiao, Y., and Prasadam, I., 2023, "Dysregulated energy metabolism impairs chondrocyte function in osteoarthritis," Osteoarthritis Cartilage, 31(5), pp. 613-626.

[5] Salinas, D., Minor, C. A., Carlson, R. P., McCutchen, C. N., Mumey, B. M., and June, R. K., 2017, "Combining Targeted Metabolomic Data with a Model of Glucose Metabolism: Toward Progress in Chondrocyte Mechanotransduction," PLoS One, 12(1), p. e0168326.

[6] Salinas, D., Mumey, B. M., and June, R. K., 2019, "Physiological dynamic compression regulates central energy metabolism in primary human chondrocytes," Biomechanics and Modeling in Mechanobiology, 18(1), pp. 69-77.

[7] Huang, C. Y., Loo, D. M., and Gu, W., 2023, "Modeling of glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis in intervertebral disc cells," Comput Biol Med, 162, p. 107039.

[8] Salinas, D., Minor, C. A., Carlson, R. P., McCutchen, C. N., Mumey, B. M., and June, R. K., 2017, "Combining Targeted Metabolomic Data with a Model of Glucose Metabolism: Toward Progress in Chondrocyte Mechanotransduction," PloS one, 12(1).

[9] Myers, E. P., Gallagher, A., Welfley, A., Battles, S., Gregory, A., Brahmachary, P., Greenwood, M., and June, R. K., 2025, "Targeted analysis of chondrocyte central metabolites in response to cyclical compression and shear deformations," bioRxiv.

[10] Brahmachary, P. P., Welhaven, H. D., and June, R. K., 2023, "Metabolomic Profiling to Understand Chondrocyte Metabolism," Methods in Molecular Biology, 2598, pp. 141-156.

[11] Jutila, A. A., Zignego, D. L., Schell, W. J., and June, R. K., 2015, "Encapsulation of Chondrocytes in High-Stiffness Agarose Microenvironments for In Vitro Modeling of Osteoarthritis Mechanotransduction," Annals of Biomedical Engineering, 43(5), pp. 1132-1144.

[12] Romero, P., Wagg, J., Green, M. L., Kaiser, D., Krummenacker, M., and Karp, P. D., 2005, "Computational prediction of human metabolic pathways from the complete human genome," Genome biology, 6(1), pp. 1-17.

[13] von Kamp, A., Thiele, S., Hadicke, O., and Klamt, S., 2017, "Use of CellNetAnalyzer in biotechnology and metabolic engineering," Journal of biotechnology, 261, pp. 221-228.

[14] Romero, P., Wagg, J., Green, M. L., Kaiser, D., Krummenacker, M., and Karp, P. D., 2005, "Computational prediction of human metabolic pathways from the complete human genome," Genome Biol, 6(1), p. R2.

[15] Chong, P. P., Panjavarnam, P., Ahmad, W., Chan, C. K., Abbas, A. A., Merican, A. M., Pingguan-Murphy, B., and Kamarul, T., 2020, "Mechanical compression controls the biosynthesis of human osteoarthritic chondrocytes in vitro," Clin Biomech (Bristol), 79, p. 105178.

[16] Takemoto, M., Sugishita, Y., Takahashi-Suzuki, Y., Fujiya, H., Niki, H., and Yudoh, K., 2025, "Repetitive Compressive Loading Downregulates Mitochondria Function and Upregulates the Cartilage Matrix Degrading Enzyme MMP-13 Through the Coactivation of NAD-Dependent Sirtuin 1 and Runx2 in Osteoarthritic Chondrocytes," Int J Mol Sci, 26(11).

[17] Lane Smith, R., Trindade, M. C., Ikenoue, T., Mohtai, M., Das, P., Carter, D. R., Goodman, S. B., and Schurman, D. J., 2000, "Effects of shear stress on articular chondrocyte metabolism," Biorheology, 37(1-2), pp. 95-107.