MycorrhizaFinder: an efficient machine learning tool to quantify endomycorrhizal

colonisation of real-world roots

Jill Kowal[1], Robin Upham[1], Adam Kiani[1], Morgan Rickards[1], Ella Serpell[2], Martin I.

Bidartondo[1,3]: Edouard Evangelisti[4], Sebastian Schornack[5], James Sibbit[6], Kevin P. Treder[6],

Simon Weidinger [6], Laura M. Suz[1,7]

[1] Ecosystem Stewardship, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, England; [2] Natural History Museum,

London, England, [3] Imperial College London, England; [4] Institut Sophia Agrobiotech, UMR

INRAE 1355, Université Côte d'Azur, Sophia Antipolis, Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur,

France; [5] Sainsbury Laboratory, University of Cambridge, England; [6] d-fine GmbH,

Germany; [7] Institut Botànic de Barcelona (IBB), CSIC-CMCNB, Spain.

Corresponding Authors: Jill Kowal and Laura M. Suz

Summary

• Root colonisation by endomycorrhizal fungi can indicate habitat condition. However, due

to the significant time required to assess colonisation using traditional microscope

techniques, studies of colonisation at large scales are impractical. AI-powered approaches

may increase output and facilitate ecosystem assessments.

• We trained our AI-powered tool MycorrhizaFinder (MF_{Kew}) on field roots from diverse

ecosystems. It was trained to recognise a range of arbuscular and ericoid mycorrhizal

fungal structures, and to differentiate dark septate endophytes common in field-sourced

roots.

• Here we describe the semi-automated workflow from root processing and microscope

slide scanning to model training and performance evaluation, proposing Macro F_1 as the

appropriate metric to be optimised. Without human supervision, Macro F_1 currently stands

at 66% for arbuscular and at 57% for ericoid mycorrhizal colonisation assessment.

• MF_{Kew} is user friendly, requires no programming skills and offers flexibility for advanced

users who wish to further train the tool using their own labelled mycorrhizal root datasets,

including images acquired from different devices or staining protocols. This adaptability

allows users to customize the model for specific needs, making it optimal for ecologists

and agronomists. Additionally, MF_{Kew} supports large-scale, repeatable, medium-

throughput monitoring across ecosystems, enabling the assessment of mycorrhizal status

and tracking changes over time.

Key words: arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM), dark septate endophytes (DSE), ericoid

mycorrhiza (ErM), root colonisation, artificial intelligence (AI), machine vision, ecosystem

assessment, habitat monitoring

Introduction

Most land plants form endomycorrhizas, defined by nutrient exchange between a plant root ('host') and beneficial fungi (Brundrett and Tedersoo, 2018). Roughly 85% of plants are colonised by intracellular hyphae of diverse Glomeromycotina and/or Mucoromycotina (Endogonales) fungi, forming arbuscular mycorrhizas (AM) or AM-like symbioses, with each subphylum having distinct morphological and nutritional functional traits (Hoysted et al. 2018, 2019). A group of Ericaceae plants found in heathlands and also abundant as understory in woodlands is instead colonised by ericoid mycorrhizal (ErM) fungi. The ErM fungi constitute ~1.5% of terrestrial hosts for members of the fungal phyla Ascomycota and Basidiomycota. There is a further non-mycorrhizal fungal group, the dark septate endophytes (DSE), which is predominantly composed of Ascomycota. The ecological functions of DSE remain enigmatic (de Oliveira and Pereira 2025), but they are globally widespread and may be central to understanding some ecological systems (Netherway et al. 2024).

The extent of mycorrhizal colonisation is an informative, context-dependent indicator of functional outcomes for host plants and is responsive to edaphic changes and host secondary metabolites (Soudzilovskaia et al. 2015; Frew 2025). A Google Scholar search in February 2026 using the phrase 'arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi colonisation assessments' yielded 23,400 articles since 2020, indicating that colonisation constitutes a parameter widely used by researchers. Traditionally, colonisation is evaluated using microscopy, either by counting the intersections between the roots and a microscope eyepiece reticle or by estimating the proportion of root cells that are colonised (McGonigle et al. 1990, Kowal et al. 2020). While these approaches offer reasonable objectivity and reproducibility, they are labour-intensive and therefore impractical for ecosystem-scale assessments, which often require the evaluation of hundreds to thousands of roots samples.

As part of the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs' (Defra) England-wide Natural Capital and Ecosystem Assessment programme, we have been evaluating field-sourced roots for a nationwide baseline assessment of mycorrhizas across terrestrial habitats, including arable and horticultural land, grasslands, moorlands, woodlands, heathlands and bogs. To expedite the analysis of thousands of field roots, we initially tested the suitability of AMFinder (Evangelisti et al. 2021), a tile-based machine-vision tool using a shallow convolution neural network (CNN) architecture developed to quantify AM in four species of laboratory grown model-plant seedlings (*Nicotiana benthamiana, Medicago truncatula, Lotus*

japonicus and *Oryza sativa*). The mycorrhizal fungal symbionts introduced to colonise these

roots were from monoxenic cultures of four AM fungal genera - *Rhizophagus,*

Claroideoglomus, Rhizoglomus and *Funneliformis*. The authors used three categories or

classes to distinguish tiles (colonised, uncolonised and non-root) and to predict mycorrhizal

colonisation. AMFinder produced highly accurate predictions with high recall and precision

(see Glossary) using these seedling roots. However, when tested with our high-resolution

images of field-sourced root sections cleared and stained with similar ink-vinegar protocols,

it often could not recognise roots, and only performed well in rare cases where hyphae were

well-defined and roots were dominated by a single Glomeromycotina or Mucoromycotina

(Endogonales) taxon and morphotype. Field roots usually present a complex mosaic of AM

or ErM fungal types depending on host and fungal life stage, often with irregular hyphal

diameters, and co-occurring with DSE and other non-mycorrhizal fungi, microsclerotia, and

bacteria. More advanced image analysis techniques developed to analyse AM colonisation,

including deep learning or computer vision models, have so far only been trained on a limited

set of plants or crops (e.g. sorghum or soybeans) grown and inoculated in controlled nursery

settings (Kokkoris et al. 2019, Muta et al. 2022; Sciascia et al. 2023; Zhang et al. 2024).

Overall, despite the increasing availability of computer vision tools for rapid analysis of

mycorrhizal colonisation, existing solutions rely on root images from controlled nursery or

laboratory experiments with limited variation in host plant, fungal symbiont or level of

disturbance of roots. This creates a significant gap in efforts to accelerate colonisation

assessment of field-collected roots, which are far more complex than binary plant-fungus

samples, exhibiting diverse ecological backgrounds, variable staining quality and a mixture

of fungal structures. To address this gap, we developed MycorrhizaFinder (MF$_{Kew,}$ available

at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17979413), a computer-vision tool to assess the

colonisation of field roots across plant species and endomycorrhizal types, able to

differentiate common artifacts and disturbance arising from field collection (e.g. root image

obstruction by clay particle debris), and for use across terrestrial ecosystems. Our main aim

was to investigate and test appropriate model architectures which would allow us to: 1) add

additional classes in the classification of AM fungi; 2) develop an alternative class model

focusing on ErM fungal colonisation that could be toggled within the same, unified user

interface; 3) incorporate contextual information into the classification of each tile using the

surrounding tiles; and 4) upgrade the user interface with all modelling, labelling and training

streamlined in a single workflow with human supervision. Most significantly, MF$_{Kew}$ aims to

be accessible by researchers with no coding skills and for laboratory and field-sourced roots

alike, paving the way for large-scale assessments.

Glossary

<u>Accuracy</u>: ratio of all correct predictions (true positives + true negatives) to the total number

of samples. Performance models using accuracy may refer to true positives as 'sensitivity'

and true negatives as '1 - specificity'.

<u>Contextualisation</u>: the ability of the tool to assess and incorporate the content of neighbouring

regions of an image (tiles) when classifying one region.

<u>Convolutional neural network (CNN)</u>: machine learning architecture that is especially well-

suited to extracting information from patterns in images.

<u>Class</u>: one of the predefined categories a CNN can assign to an input. The final layer of the

CNN produces a score or probability for each class at the tile level, and the prediction

outcome is the class with the highest score.

<u>EfficientNet architecture</u>: A family of CNNs developed specifically for making efficient use

of resources for computer vision tasks. EfficientNetB5 is a medium-sized member of the

family of models, with around 30 million trainable parameters.

<u>Precision</u>: a model performance metric that represents the impact of false positives (FP),

calculated at a class level as the ratio of true positive (TP) identifications of said class, to all

positive identifications of the class. i.e. it quantifies the prospect of a sample actually being

positive when the model classifies it as positive.

<u>Recall</u>: a model performance metric that represents the impact of false negatives, calculated

at a class level as the ratio of true positive identifications of said class to all tiles truly of that

class. i.e. it quantifies the model's ability to spot all positive instances.

<u>F_1 Score</u>: a model performance metric that evaluates the performance of a particular class by

balancing precision and recall. It is defined as the harmonic mean of precision and recall

(Equation 1).

<u>Macro F_1</u>: a model performance metric that combines the *F_1* Scores for all classes, by taking

their unweighted average, to provide insight into overall model performance (Equation 2).

The <u>3-class</u> <u>Macro F_1</u> refers to the three classes in AMFinder: *Colonised root section*, *Non-*

colonised root section and *Background*.

<u>Max-vote system</u>: the most probable class in the target tile is considered alongside that of

four partially overlapping tiles and the target tile is assigned the class that is dominant among

all five tiles.

React: a library for creating user interfaces for web applications, using the JavaScript

programming language (Meta Open Source 2025).

Materials and Methods

Roots and Images

The roots used for training the model were obtained from field collected soil cores (15 cm

deep, 2 cm wide) from agricultural fields, grasslands, heathlands and bogs. Soils were placed

in a metal sieve (0.5 mm aperture size), rinsed in tap water. Non-woody fresh roots were

selected to yield a diverse range of root architectures, from straight to fine with complex

branching (Fig. 1). All roots from putative AM hosts were stained in blue-ink and mounted

on slides by modifying Kowal et al. (2020) (see Methods S1). Trypan blue solution (0.05%

v/v) was used for some ErM-host roots as the ink was not adhering consistently to

intraradical hyphae. The slides were scanned to produce high resolution images using an

Epredia 3DHISTECH Pannoramic MIDI II Rx brightfield digital slide scanner at 37x

magnification (0.27 μm/pixel). To capture the three-dimensional, complex fungal network

within the root cortex, an extended focus imaging approach was used to condense multiple-

focal planes. Seven focus levels were used, where one step was around 0.2 μm. In total, root

sections measuring approximately 1 cm in length from 133 unique roots (45 AM colonised,

88 ErM colonised) were selected for training after evaluating image quality and maximising

quantity of tiles across classes (Table 1; complete training image library available at

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17476686).

Table 1. Summary of tile data classes used for training. Note: since a single root can

contribute tiles from more than one class, the total number of roots used is less than the sum

of the number of roots used for each class. AM: arbuscular mycorrhizal; DSE: dark septate

endophyte.

Model	Tile class / Abbreviation	Number of roots	Number of tiles	Percent of model tiles
Arbuscular mycorrhiza	AM Colonised / AM+	45	3,604	4.2
	DSE Colonised / DSE	34	808	0.9
	Hybrid Colonised / H	24	276	0.3
	Uncolonised/ N-	45	15,239	17.9
	Background / X	46	63,736	74.7
	Unreadable / U	37	1,705	2.0

Ericoid mycorrhiza	Blue Coils Colonised / Bl+	76	2,344	2.8
	Brown Coils Colonised / Br+	76	2,485	3.0
	Type Two Colonised / T+	9	319	0.4
	DSE Colonised / DSE	43	1,916	2.3
	Hybrid ErM Colonised / HE+	56	314	0.4
	Hybrid DSE Colonised / HD+	9	37	< 0.1
	Uncolonised/ N-	85	6,492	7.9
	Main root /M	85	12,838	15.6
	Background / X	88	50,564	61.3
	Unreadable / U	80	5,242	6.4

We also evaluated the model on a subset of images used to train AMFinder (but not MF_{Kew})

to test how the platform performed with images captured using a digital microscope more

typical of plant science laboratories. We selected 19 root images compatible with the tile size

parameters.

Figure 1. Workflow diagram showing field sampling, root selection, staining, imaging, and

calculation of mycorrhizal colonisation. Bottom panel shows a simplified workflow of

MycorrhizaFinder, from left to right: Image upload; Annotation of root section with nine tiles

(training or editing); Predictions of tile class (pie-charts) with target tile outlined in red;

Output, root level colonisation summary. Roots' colonisation predictions can be processed in

batches. Refer to https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17979413 for further details.

Distinction and quantification of mycorrhizal structures

To accurately quantify mycorrhizal colonisation in field roots, we created more classes than

the original three-class AMFinder (Evangelisti et al. 2021). This allowed our machine

learning model to recognise a wider range of real-world root and fungal biological features

than in the original training images used to build AMFinder. Real-world field roots present

variable hyphal diameters within diverse root architectures, co-occurrence of DSE, and cell

structural noise from soil extraction. We modified the two original CNNs (CNN1 and CNN2)

to expand the CNN1's output layer from three classes in AMFinder to six in our model.

Moreover, the CNN2's functionality was incorporated into CNN1 for the ErM mode path,

allowing it to differentiate between hyphal structures, described in Table 2. Images were

augmented and datasets classes balanced using several standard machine learning techniques

(see Methods S2).

To accommodate a greater number of classes, we evaluated four model architectures -

ResNet, ResNeXt, the AMFinder 'CNN1' model and EfficientNetB5 (Tan & Le 2019) - and

the latter was ultimately selected for further analysis (see Methods S2). Model training and

testing were also conducted using the original CNN1 architecture to establish a benchmark

for comparative performance evaluation.

Table 2. Tile classes utilised in the model output layer of AMFinder (Evangelisti et al. 2021)

and MycorrhizaFinder (MF_{Kew}). Either 'both', AMFinder and the expanded MF_{Kew} models, or

'MF-only' for new features of arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM) and ericoid mycorrhiza (ErM)

detection in roots. Class tile images show examples of defined class feature(s).

Tool mode path	Tile class	Class tile image	Explanation	Model
AM	AM colonised		A tile that contains at least one root cell with AM hyphae.	both
AM	Hybrid		A tile that contains a root cell(s) with both DSE and AM fungal structures inside.	MF-only

AM/ErM	Uncolonised		A tile that contains >10% root cells with no AM or ErM hyphae.	both
AM/ErM	Background		A tile that contains <10% of root present and therefore showing mostly slide and may contain artifacts e.g. water bubbles, dirt.	both
AM/ErM	DSE		A tile that contains a root cell with DSE inside, without AM or ErM hyphae.	MF-only
AM/ErM	Unreadable		A tile that contains a root cell that is partially or totally obscured by artifacts, e.g. soil or image blur that conceals other image features.	MF-only
ErM	Blue coil		A tile that contains a root cell with ErM hyphal coils (arrowed) which stain a blue colour.	MF-only
ErM	Brown coil		A tile that contains a root cell with classical ErM hyphal coils (Read 1996), which stain a brown colour.	MF-only
ErM	Type two		A tile that contains a root cell with hyphae that form looser coils than above but stain blue and may represent a different life stage or different taxonomic group.	MF-only
ErM	Hybrid ErM		A tile that contains a root cell(s) with both Blue and Brown coils or a combination with Type two.	MF-only

ErM	Hybrid DSE		A tile that contains a root cell(s) with both DSE and ErM fungal structures. This will be included in calculation of ErM colonised.	MF-only

The dataset breakdown of tiles by class is presented in Table 1. There may be more than one

root cell within a tile or a partial cell, as long as the cell walls are distinguishable and cover

10% of the tile (see MycorrhizaFinder Full User Documentation,

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17979413).

A new class structure for Ericaceae plant family roots was added. We differentiated three

ErM colonisation types based on morphology (*Blue coils*, *Brown coils* and *Type two*) (Table

2), for potential future analysis exploring functional differences. We also differentiated 'Main

root' as the colonisation calculation is based only on young non-lignified roots from the

corresponding? growing season.

Quantification of mycorrhizal colonisation

We programmed the model to predict root colonisation in two steps. First, the model

processes one tile at a time and computes the probability that the tile belongs to each class.

Then, the contextual mode (default) incorporates four neighbouring tiles (with a diagonal

overlap extending 75% from the central tile, Fig. S1) and use a max-vote system to assign the

class to each tile by combining majority predictions for all four neighbouring tiles with the

target tile, thus generating a (new) class label for the central tile. As tiles and plant root cells

are rarely aligned, this captured broader spatial context across neighbouring regions,

mimicking the classification behaviour of a human when contextualizing observations, in this

case to enable recognition of fungal structures within a complete root cell. Further details of

the context feature are in Methods S2.

We included a feature allowing the user to switch on a threshold of confidence (the

probability of the most probable class) above which, this context feature is disabled on a per-

tile basis to save computing time. It defaults to a value of 1 as there is a systematic increase in

overall predictive performance with the contextual feature enabled.

Once predictions are generated, they are required to be converted to annotations (definite

class labels) to calculate the colonisation metrics. At this stage it is again possible to supply a

threshold value of confidence, above which predictions are automatically converted to

annotations of the most probable class and below which predictions are ignored.

The calculation of colonisation is explained in Table 3. Each colonisation metric was defined

as the ratio of tiles designated as belonging to a class(es), relative to the total number of tiles

belonging to all root classes, excluding tiles which were background or unreadable.

Table 3. Definitions of colonisation metrics calculated by MycorrhizaFinder. The name of

each class (*AMColonised*, *HybridDSE*, etc.) refers to the number of tiles in the image

belonging to the relevant class. For arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM), *TotalRoot* i defined as

Uncolonised + AMColonised + DSE + HybridDSE; for ericoid mycorrhiza (ErM), it is

defined as *Uncolonised + BlueCoils + BrownCoils + TypeTwo + DSE + HybridErM +*

HybridDSE.

Colonisation type	Colonisation metric	Metric equation
Arbuscular mycorrhiza	Arbuscular mycorrhizal	$\dfrac{AMColonised + HybridDSE}{TotalRoot}$
	Dark septate endophyte	$\dfrac{DSE + HybridDSE}{TotalRoot}$
	Total	$\dfrac{AMColonised + DSE + HybridDSE}{TotalRoot}$
Ericoid mycorrhiza	Blue coils	$\dfrac{BlueCoils}{TotalRoot}$
	Brown coils	$\dfrac{BrownCoils}{TotalRoot}$
	Type two	$\dfrac{TypeTwo}{TotalRoot}$
	Dark septate endophyte	$\dfrac{DSE + HybridDSE}{TotalRoot}$
	Total ErM colonisation	$\dfrac{BlueCoils + BrownCoils + TypeTwo + HybridErM}{TotalRoot}$

Performance of the model efficacy with colonisation assessments

We quantified performance using an F_1 Score (see Eq. 1) which calculates the harmonic

mean of *Precision* and *Recall* (see Glossary) yielding a value between 0 and 1, where 1

indicates the best performance (Chinchor 1992, Grandini *et al.* 2020, Reinke *et al.* 2021,

Fergus *et al.* 2022). There are two equivalent definitions, either using *Precision* and *Recall* or

the number of true positives (*TP*), false positives (*FP*) and false negatives (*FN*):

$$F_1 = \frac{2 \cdot TP}{2 \cdot TP + FN + FP} = 2 \cdot \frac{(Precision \cdot Recall)}{(Precision + Recall)} \tag{1}$$

The F_1 Score penalises extreme values of either *Precision* or *Recall* and rewards models

having similar high values for both, whilst simultaneously being robust against imbalanced

datasets (Fergus *et al.* 2022, Khan *et al.* 2021, Crespo-Michel *et al.* 2023, Rahman *et al.*

2023). This was a key advantage over the *Accuracy* measure (see Glossary) originally used to

quantify the predictive performance of AMFinder in Evangelisti *et al.* (2021). We applied an

F_1 Score to each individual class and across the entire model as *Macro F_1*, thus incorporating

corresponding *Precision* and *Recall* scores across all classes (Fig. S2) (see Eq. 2, Opitz 2024).

$$MacroF1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F1_i \tag{2}$$

Development of a user-friendly interface

To improve user experience and accessibility for non-computer experts we: 1) streamlined

the way the user interacts with the application, by allowing the user to access all the tool

functionalities including training via a single user interface (Fig. S3; see Methods S2 for

further details on model training); 2) upgraded the user interface to encapsulate the end-to-

end workflow in the tool, from uploading TIFF-format slide images and splitting them into

smaller JPGs for utilisation by the tool to calculating predictions and outputting colonisation

percentages (at the root level) and uncertainty measures, thus simplifying the workflow to

allow all activities to be carried out via a centralised point of access and decision making; 3)

added a confidence threshold setting to the existing workflow to ensure users can review

uncertain predictions and overwrite them manually if needed. This modification ensured that

there was a human in the loop for assessing the output of the machine learning model and

enabled users to balance automation with certainty; 4) added high-level image overviews for

reference while annotating and included the ability to save annotations and maintain

unknowns temporarily (using '?') without needing to close the tool. This function was

intended for less experienced analysts allowing them to optionally embed comments and

proceed with other annotations while awaiting expert supervisors input on uncertain tiles; 5)

migrated the user interface from a standalone application written in the OCaml programming

language to a more versatile web-browser-based application written in *React*; 6) replaced the

data storage system of zipped CSVs used in AMFinder with a PostgreSQL database for

storage of predictions and annotations, aligning with industry standards and improving data

governance; and 7) added a persistent configuration to the tool to allow users to save settings

between sessions (for example whether to use contextual mode when generating predictions).

Results

Quantification of AM fungal colonisation in field-collected roots using MF$_{Kew}$

Automated predictions of colonisation improved significantly compared with the original

AMFinder tool as seen in the calculation of colonisation using the automated function (Fig.

2). By adding manual annotation to these (in tiles beneath the set confidence threshold),

accuracy on par with microscopic examination was achievable.

Figure 2. Comparison of colonisation predictions using the original AMFinder and

MycorrhizaFinder (MF$_{Kew}$) on the same arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) field-sourced root after

clearing, staining and high-resolution scanning. a) Image root section (1 cm in length),

showing example of two colonised tiles (red-lined boxes) that were not counted as colonised

by AMFinder (i.e. false negatives) but were correctly predicted by MF$_{Kew}$. AM colonised is

represented by dark blue in corresponding pie-charts. b) The ten tiles predicted as AM

colonised by AMFinder, black tick boxes on 6 of 10 tiles indicate predictions are expert

validated leaving 4 of 10 as false positives. c) Summary of tile counts and colonisation

predictions generated by AMFinder versus MF$_{Kew}$ for this root are significantly different.

Human expert analysis of tiles is included for contrast.

AMFinder repeatedly misclassified field root tiles. In the example shown in Fig. 2b, four of

the ten tiles predicted as AM+ were incorrect due to their biological ambiguities. Overall,

MF$_{Kew}$ achieved significantly better detection of AM+ class tiles resulting in prediction of

10.7% AM+ colonisation compared with 0.5% for AMFinder, before human augmentation

and despite biological and technical artefacts e.g. patchy staining, soil debris. Expert

estimates of colonisation were 12.7%.

Incorporating contextual information significantly improves prediction quality

Tile-level predictions on an AM-colonised root region with and without the contextual

function can yield significantly different determinations of class, affecting root-level

colonisation percentages (Fig. 3). We observed a consistent improvement of the mycorrhizal

class performance function when activating the contextual function, independent of the model

architecture (Fig. 4). The *F$_1$* Score for all classes improved with context using both the AM

and ErM pathways (except for *DSE*), while the overall *Macro F$_1$* improved by 5.0% for AM

and 5.8% for ErM. Combining the model architecture and context function changes, the

Macro F$_1$ improved significantly by 44.9% and 40.4% for AM and ErM, respectively, when

compared against AMFinder (e.g. compare *Macro F$_1$* in Fig. 4 with Fig. S1).

313
Figure 3. Examples of tile classes that switch when applying the contextual function in
MycorrhizaFinder for the arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM) pathway. The class to which it
changes is shown in the lower right corner of the central, red-bordered target tile. Tile class
designation before and after context implementation for the six examples are detailed here: a)
Hybrid (H) to DSE (D); b) DSE to Uncolonised (N-); c) Uncolonised (N-) to AM Colonised
(AM+); d) Unreadable (U) to Uncolonised (N-); e) Hybrid (H) to AM Colonised (AM+); f)
AM Colonised (AM+) to Uncolonised (N-). See Table 2 for tile class descriptions, and Fig.
S3 for an illustration showing the extent of overlap by neighbouring tiles when activating the
context function.

323
Figure 4. Performance (F_1 Score) of MycorrizaFinder$_{Kew}$ with and without the context feature

(darker and lighter paired colour bars of each respective class). a) The arbuscular mycorrhiza

(AM) path on our test dataset of AM host roots. b) The ericoid mycorrhiza (ErM) path on our

test dataset of ErM host roots. *Macro* reflects the F_1 Score (Eq. 2) for the entire model

averaged over all classes; the other bars refer to the individual F_1 Scores of the corresponding

classes. Scores are expressed as a percentage, where the maximum score is 100. Scores have

been obtained on the same model weights with all confidence thresholds set to 1, without any

human supervision. See Table 2 for tile class descriptions.

In the AM case (Fig. 4a), the highest class F_1 Score was consistently *Background*, with values

over 98 (both with and without context), followed by the *Uncolonised* achieving 77.5 (non-

contextual) and 80.7 (contextual). These two classes were also the most represented in the

training dataset (Tables S6-S9), with corresponding relative shares of 74.7% and 17.9%.

However, balancing measures applied in the pre-processing steps during the training stage

reduced their actual number to the count of the *AM Colonised* "anchor class". With the ErM

dataset (Fig. 4b), the highest F_1 Scores were observed for the classes *Background*, *Main Root*

and *Uncolonised*, attaining 97.7, 89.9 and 65.4, respectively.

For both datasets and models, the application of the contextual function improved the F_1

Scores in most classes, with few exceptions. This also held true for the shallower CNN1

model architecture (Figs. S3a,b).

The F1 Score provides a robust and interpretable estimate of colonisation accuracy

We found that the additional tile classes enabled better recognition of mycorrhiza structures,

(see F_1 Scores, Fig. 4). Differentiating tiles with DSE and other artifacts typical of field roots

allowed the machine learning to focus on the mycorrhizal classes.

347
Figure 5. Quality metrics for the underrepresented classes 'Unreadable' and 'DSE', as

measured on an independent test set for the ErM data and using the deployed EfficientNetB5

model (no context). Calculations of *Accuracy* and *Recall* for the Unreadable and DSE classes

are the same as the denominator, in this case is the same. See Table 2 for tile class

descriptions.

Classes with higher sample representation yielded a higher contribution to the model

Accuracy than classes with lower representation as seen in the performance of the ErM model

(no context) for an independent test set of the classes 'Unreadable' and 'DSE' (Fig. 5). The

Macro F_1 not only gave all classes the same weight towards a single performance measure

(independent of their prevalence in the dataset) but also provides clarity on whether all

samples for a given class were captured (*Recall*) and actually correct (*Precision*). While the

$Accuracy_{DSE}$ was measured at 76.92 on the independent ErM test dataset, suggesting a high

performance if this was the only used metric, the corresponding model $Precision_{DSE}$ was

measured at 25.32, leading to a class F_1 $Score_{DSE}$ of 38.10. Inversely the $Accuracy_{Unreadable}$ of

52.50 suggested lower performance, if it was the sole component of the metric. The

corresponding $Precision_{Unreadable}$ of 65.09 raises the total F_1 $Score_{DSE}$ to 58.12.

MF_{Kew} reliably facilitated accurate quantification of ErM fungal colonisation in field-

collected roots

The ErM pathway was able to automatically distinguish features across the ErM classes *Blue*

coils, *Brown coils*, *Type two* and hybrids most of the time, and performed better with context.

Representative examples of the tool distinguishing amongst biological features and artifacts

(without human corrections) are shown in Figure 6. The central tile (Fig. 6a) predicted Bl+

while the tile above initially predicted HE+, but with context, corrects to Bl+. In Figure 6b

the model predicted the central tile Br+, with high confidence, while the tile to its right is

predicted U. The lower row yielded two of three tiles with pink backgrounds showing that the

threshold of certainty for all potential classes was below the conversion threshold (here set to

0.5). This shows how the programme signals to the user that a manual annotation is required

if the tile is to be included in the calculation of colonisation metrics. In Figure 6c the panel

shows the ability of the model to distinguish the thicker T+ hyphae from the more common

Bl+ coils.

378
Figure 6. Representative images of three ericoid mycorrhizal (ErM) field roots and class

predictions using the MycorrhizaFinder ErM pathway. Central images show a nine-tile panel

magnifying a section of root. The pie-charts show corresponding class predictions, with the

contextual function switched on. The legend details one of ten class possibilities (see Table 1

for reference of tile class abbreviations). The central, red-bordered image tiles were predicted

as a) Blue coils (Bl+); b) Brown coils (Br+); and c) Type-2 patterns (T+). A small box label

in the lower right corner of the classification tile indicates that the context function yielded a

different outcome and amended the tile prediction. A classification tile with a red background

indicates that the predictions were below a set conversion threshold (default 0.5) pointing the

user to manually annotate.

A dedicated ErM model path significantly improved the biological ambiguity in

distinguishing ErM septate hyphae structures from AM aseptate hyphae in roots from

complex field environments. With the AM path, ErM structures were not typically

misclassified as AM fungi, but commonly misidentified as DSE, thereby underestimating

mycorrhizal colonisation (see Table S5). Our model development showed that with more

training data, the individual F_1 Scores continue to improve.

Throughput was faster and simpler

Automated predictions of root colonisation using $MF_{Kew,}$ as with AMFinder, significantly

reduced analytical time compared to traditional techniques involving a human using a

microscope. But with MF_{Kew}, augmentation of classes by user supervision was greatly

simplified by allowing modifications of tile classifications within the same interface, without

exiting and reloading, which was necessary previously, and single root images are now

loaded in one step without splitting into several JPGs (see Fig. S6). Moreover, if necessary,

conversion from TIFF to JPGs was also supported by the tool interface. Finally, the user no

longer required programming skills to start-up the tool and could run it on a laptop on

Windows, MacOS or Linux, albeit this is slower than using a dedicated desktop computer.

Performance using other image capture devices

The MF_{Kew} model performed well on a subset of images (from the Evangelisti et al. 2021

dataset) captured using a high-resolution light microscope. The 3-class *Macro F_1* was 58%

(without enhancements) compared with our trained roots of 65% (Fig. S7). Previously, the

model was wrongly predicting tiles containing AM vesicles and hyphae, or uncolonised cells

as DSEs (Figures S3, S4).

CNN1 model vs EfficientNetB5

The EfficientNetB5 architecture (Tan and Le, 2019) achieved high classification performance

on a fully independent test dataset while being operational and retrainable on local GPUs

(Table 4) and was ultimately chosen for the final tool deployment. Larger models, such as

EfficientNetV2M (Tan and Le, 2021) did not achieve significantly higher performance

despite being built on a significantly higher number of parameters (EfficientNetB5 at ~30M

vs. EfficientNetV2L at ~54M, Table S1).

Table 4. *Macro F_1 Scores for individual EfficientNetB5 (Tan and Le 2019) model*

architectures alongside the best CNN1 models as a benchmark comparison for the Arbuscular

(AM) and Ericoid (ErM) Mycorrhiza datasets. The contextual function was not implemented

for the AM CNN1 model.

Dataset	Model Architecture	Macro F_1
AM	CNN1 – without contextual function	45.88
	CNN1 – with contextual function	n/a
	EfficientNetB5 – without contextual function	63.32
	EfficientNetB5 – with contextual function	66.47
ErM	CNN1 – without contextual function	40.61
	CNN1 – with contextual function	42.28
	EfficientNetB5 – without contextual function	53.89
	EfficientNetB5 – with contextual function	57.03

There was a significant improvement of the *Macro F_1* Score when comparing the simpler

CNN1 model to the EfficientNetB5 model for both the AM and ErM pathways (Table 4). The

EfficientNetB5 model achieved higher classification performance when trained on the same

dataset. Since both model architectures were optimised on the same training dataset, we

concluded that the deeper model architecture of EfficientNetB5 captures complex image

features in the dataset more effectively, leading to higher classification performance. This

was also observed when comparing the individual class F_1 Scores achieved in the CNN1-

model with the ones obtained on the EfficientNetB5 model (compare Figures S7, S8 with

Figure 4).

Discussion

Standardised, medium to high throughput methods for mycorrhizal root colonisation

assessments in field roots are needed by researchers, policy-makers and land managers to

provide repeatable processes to monitor environmental change across scales. Such a method

will facilitate direct comparison across different studies and ecosystems, and their integration

in global models. Our new tool MF$_{Kew}$ successfully assesses field roots across plant species

with different endomycorrhizal types (through the AM and ErM pathways). It differentiates

common artifacts and disturbances arising from field collection, and can be used across

terrestrial ecosystems. The tool addresses a research gap by presenting a computer vision tool

specifically trained and optimised for field-collected roots in a user friendly interface?.

By applying multiple technical innovations to AMFinder, our upgrades provide an enhanced

user experience with an intuitive design. The portable *React* (Meta Open Source 2025)

implementation results in a tool accessible to non-experts and adaptable across all major

computer operating systems. The user interface allows human oversight on the predictions

calculated by the model. Thus, expert tasks are reduced to assessing the machine predictions

of the relevant tiles of each microscope image, with confidence thresholds determined by the

user, thereby inviting trade-offs between certainty and time inputs root by root. The tool can

be run on a single desktop computer without requiring advanced hardware, though prediction

time is reduced when a dedicated GPU is available. Replacing the preexisting CNN1 model

with a pretrained EfficientNet (Tan and Le 2019) architecture, allowed us to introduce new

classes - *DSE, Hybrid* and *Unreadable* - to improve the performance of assessing

colonisation by removing ambiguity in visual recognition. The higher classification

performances of *Background* and *Uncolonised* was mainly due to less intricate image features

compared to the other classes. Experiments and performance measures obtained on

corresponding CNN1 models outlined a comparable classification behaviour (compare with

Figs. S7, S8). The model's lower F_1 Scores in the cases involving classification of fungal

structures (*AM Colonised*, *DSE Colonised* and *Hybrid*) signify higher complexity in image

features compared to the *Background* and *Uncolonised* classes. Taking the relatively

constrained shares of data into account (Table 1) i.e. 0.9% for the DSE *Colonised* class and

0.3% for the *Hybrid Colonised*, the obtained F_1 Score result was analytically meaningful and

indicates the model architecture's capacity to generalise. A similar reasoning could be

applied when revisiting the results of the *Unreadable* class, adding that the image features

encountered in this class were based on blurry, out-of-focus or indefinable diversity instead.

Our new model for ErM colonisation arose because the AM pathway, trained on aseptate

fungi, is not suitable for recognising septate ericoid hyphae classes as evidenced by the

example shown in Table S5. By training a separate path for Ericaceae roots, we are able to

more efficiently evaluate Ericaceae-dominant habitats at scale. In most cases, the sample

collector will know if the host is Ericaceae as these woody shrubs are apparent year-round.

The model's *Main Root* F_1 performance is stronger than the ErM classes, providing

confidence that the model is already able to distinguish the older more lignified root tiles
leading to a more reliable estimate of colonisation. These values could be attributed to a
similar rationale as in the case of the AM dataset i.e. image features encountered in the
Background and the *Main Root* classes were more limited in their diversity and were easier to
classify. A higher relative share in the training data could be excluded as these classes
originally exhibited a higher level of representation compared to the *Blue Coils* "anchor
class" and were thus balanced via clipping (Table S3, Methods S2). This was further
supported by results obtained on the CNN1-ErM model (see Fig. S3b), where a comparable
distribution in classification performance could be observed. The classes that characterise the
colonisation of Ericaceae roots - *Blue Coils*, *Brown Coils*, *Type Two*, *DSE*, *Hybrid ErM* and
Hybrid DSE, could be clustered into two performance groups. The first three achieved more
robust F_1 Scores around 50.0, which could be attributed to higher relative data shares (2.8%,
3.0%, 0.4%, respectively), and the latter three demonstrated greater variation in the obtained
F_1 Scores, which could also be attributed to relatively low representation in the training
dataset (2.3%, 0.4% and <0.1%, respectively). It appears however that the *Hybrid DSE* class
exhibited more recognisable image features compared to the *Hybrid ErM* class, as a higher F_1
Score was achieved despite significantly fewer images present in the data.

By introducing new tile classes distinguishing different morphotypes within ErM (distinctly
blue or brown colours and Type two), we aimed to be able to recognise ecologically relevant
signals occurring at the habitat scale. Recognising DSE hyphae on their own, but also when
co-occurring with either ErM or AM, the trained model can better differentiate mycorrhizal
classes. It also facilitates better understanding of DSE prevalence across field samples and
specific habitat types, while simultaneously ensuring that the mycorrhizal classes are not
underrepresented when they co-occur within a tile. There are still biological ambiguities to
unpack, e.g. compressed vascular bundles mistaken for DSE hyphae, but if the user also
learns to spot these types of errors, they can easily resolve them. The contextual information
added to the model by incorporating the predictions for the surrounding tiles into each tile's
classification has also boosted performance.

Adding context to the model predictions significantly improved most classes. Negative trends
were notable for the classes *TypeTwo* and *DSE* in the ErM dataset. This was likely due to the
low sample sizes for these classes, but we maintained them in the training set to support more
clarity on ErM colonisation classes. As the contextual classification exploits max-voting, this

could lead to other classes outnumbering the image tile with said colonisation and thus a false

relabelling.

The resulting MF_{Kew} tool performed well overall and was deemed successful in its capacity to

improve the pace and volume of colonisation assessments for field roots in our lab.

Previously, a single root section would take half to one hour to evaluate using a microscope.

Not only did this limit the number of roots per day per analyst to an average of ten, but the

reproducibility under traditional microscopy methods has considerable challenges, e.g.

complex root architectures make stopping and restarting in position difficult. The model can

predict significantly more roots overnight (dozens to hundreds based on the hardware used).

Depending on the confidence threshold designated by the user and the complexity of the root

image, the expert analyser can refine these predictions to annotations in less time than

traditional microscopy assessments. The tool outputs and database provide repeatability and

accountability in tracking and storing the assessment scores and create a new reference visual

database of roots for researchers and policy makers alike. This is a significant step towards

enabling landscape-scale assessment of *in situ* mycorrhizal colonisation. Importantly, given

the link between root mycorrhizal colonisation and functional outcomes for host plants, and

the responsiveness of mycorrhizal colonisation to environmental change, the tool has

potential for supporting the use of mycorrhizal colonisation data as an indicator of ecosystem

condition and for natural capital assessments (Soudzilovskaia et al. 2015; Frew 2025). When

coupled with an efficient low-cost staining protocol, it provides a viable option for medium-

throughput colonisation assessment of field-collected roots for the first time.

However, we note that the requirement for expert human intervention can limit the time

saving advantage of the tool, and it could reduce the potential users to those capable of

identifying mycorrhizal structures within roots. We recommend a workflow which starts by

setting a threshold value of 80% - 90% to allow expert time to manually focus only on tiles

yielding unacceptable certainty. Future model development should focus on improving the

predictive performance of the underlying machine learning model by targeting the classes

that are influencing variability around estimations of AM or ErM colonisation. Since we

observed a trend of improved predictive performance when adding more training data, a

priority can include the labelling of additional training data especially in the underrepresented

classes. Exploration of alternative model architectures such as vision transformers may

enhance performance (noting that this will probably involve a trade-off with speed and

computational requirements). With further model improvements, it may be possible to reach a

performance that allows a fully automated workflow from image to colonisation metrics, with

accompanying quantification of uncertainty, unlocking larger-scale processing of roots for

ecosystem assessment and monitoring at a lower cost and without expert input.

As there is no clear industry standard for performance-related metrics in image recognition

tools, we selected a *Macro F_1* Score as the main model performance metric, because it

performs well on imbalanced datasets, acknowledging multiple approaches (Opitz, 2024),

and represents a more holistic measure than other common metrics such as *Precision, Recall*

or Accuracy. We propose future model developments use these baseline *Macro F_1* Scores to

measure performance improvement. Although *Accuracy* is a metric that can be understood

intuitively, it does not provide insight into the model performance across individual classes,

especially needed with the evolved MF_{Kew} model given its imbalanced tile class structure

(Grandini *et al.* 2020, Opitz 2024).

Importantly, because MF_{Kew} is published under an open government licence, it offers strong

potential for community-driven improvement. While the published version of the tool is

trained on a dataset diverse enough to provide a baseline to train and evaluate most systems,

it further offers the capacity to allow researchers with a more specific study focus (by habitat,

host plant, etc.) to add their own training datasets and create their own versions of the tool

which are likely to be more effective for their specific system. The intuitive user interface

means that this can be done without any coding or AI knowledge. For users with

programming skills, the open-source code on GitHub enables extension of both front-end and

back-end functionality. This openness encourages collaborative development, accelerating

model performance improvement and broadening MF_{Kew}'s applications, ultimately

supporting high-throughput assessment of mycorrhizal colonisation across diverse ecological

and practical contexts.

Conclusion

Unsupervised, MF_{Kew}, reaches acceptable accurate mycorrhizal colonisation assessments

across plants, fungi and habitats. By adding active human supervision, MF_{Kew} achieves

remarkable accuracy. It provides users with limited prior knowledge of training machine

learning models, a simple workflow to train with new image datasets. Adaptability to field-

sourced variation in root biology, mycorrhizal structures and miscellaneous artifacts, and the

introduction of a new model to assess habitats dominated by ericoid mycorrhizal fungi and

differentiate dark septate endophytes, are of further value to researchers interested in
monitoring and studying mycorrhizas in nature.
568

Acknowledgements
MF$_{Kew}$ was funded by the UK Government through Defra's Natural Capital and Ecosystem
Assessment (NCEA) programme. Some of the arbuscular mycorrhizal roots used to train the
model were collected from the Coronation Meadow at Wakehurst, under Kew's Nature
Unlocked programme. Staff within Kew's Mycorrhizal Ecology laboratory provided time and
resources to ensure the success of this project. We appreciate the labelling of Ericaceae roots
by Ruairi Hafferty-Hay under the Air Pollution Recovery Programme funded by the Joint
Nature Conservation Committee and we thank Ellie Wilding for preparing Figure 1. Finally,
we acknowledge the thoughtful support of Rupert Thomas (Cambridge Consulting) with
initial efforts on the tool's development.

Competing Interests
None.
582

Author Contributions
JK, LMS, AK, MB conceived of the modified and enhanced MF$_{Kew}$ machine learning tool;
RU, JS, KPT, ES, MR tested and trained the model and related user codes, streamlined the
tool user interface and updated the model architecture and curated the root images. SS & EE
provided substantial insights based on their experience developing AMFinder and validated
the tool. All authors provided valuable and critical feedback and participated in the writing of
this manuscript.
590

Data Availability
MFi$_{Kew}$ will be publicly available under an MIT licence. Executables for Windows, MacOS
and Linux are available alongside comprehensive documentation at
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17979413, the images used as training and test data are
available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17476686 and the source code is available at
https://github.com/MycorrhizaEcologyLab/mycorrhiza-finder/tree/v5.0.0.
597

References

Brundrett, M.C. and Tedersoo, L., 2018. Evolutionary history of mycorrhizal symbioses and global host plant diversity. *New Phytologist*, *220*(4), pp.1108-1115.

Chinchor, N. 1992. MUC-s evaluation metrics. *MUC4 '92: Proceedings of the 4th conference on Message understanding*, pp. 22-29.

Crespo-Michel, A., Alonso-Arévalo, M. A. and Hernández-Martínez, R., 2023. Developing a microscope image dataset for fungal spore classification in grapevine using deep learning. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research, 14,* pp.100805.

de Oliveira, J.A. and Pereira, O.L., 2025. Opening the black box of an underexplored and megadiverse group: history, taxonomy and functionality of dark septate endophytes (DSE). *Fungal Biology Reviews*, *54*, p.100457.

Evangelisti, E., Turner, C., McDowell, A., Shenhav, L., Yunusov, T., Gavrin, A., Servante, E.K., Quan, C. and Schornack, S., 2021. Deep learning‐based quantification of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in plant roots. *New Phytologist*, *232*(5), pp.2207-2219.

Fergus, P., Chalmers, C., 2022. Performance Evaluation Metrics. In: Applied Deep Learning. *Computational Intelligence Methods and Applications*. Springer, Cham.

Frew, A., 2025. What does colonisation tell us? Revisiting the functional outcomes of root colonisation by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytologist*, 247(4), pp.1572-1578.

Grandini, M., Bagli E., Visani, G., 2020. Metrics for Multi-Class Classification: An Overview. *arXiv*:2008.05756.

Hoysted, G.A., Kowal, J., Jacob, A., Rimington, W.R., Duckett, J.G., Pressel, S., Orchard, S., Ryan, M.H., Field, K.J. and Bidartondo, M.I., 2018. A mycorrhizal revolution. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, *44*, pp.1-6.

Hoysted, G.A., Jacob, A.S., Kowal, J., Giesemann, P., Bidartondo, M.I., Duckett, J.G., Gebauer, G., Rimington, W.R., Schornack, S., Pressel, S. and Field, K.J., 2019. Mucoromycotina fine root endophyte fungi form nutritional mutualisms with vascular plants. *Plant Physiology*, *181*(2), pp.565-577.

Kokkoris, V., Pogiatzis, A. and Hart, M.M., 2019. Contrasting common measures of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal root colonization. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 167, p.105727.

Kowal, J., Arrigoni, E. and Lane, S., 2020. Acidified blue ink-staining procedure for the

observation of fungal structures inside roots of two disparate plant lineages. *Bio-protocol*,

10(20), pp.e3786-e3786.

McGonigle, T.P., Miller, M.H., Evans, D.G., Fairchild, G.L. and Swan, J.A., 1990. A new

method which gives an objective measure of colonization of roots by vesicular—arbuscular

mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytologist*, *115*(3), pp.495-501.

Meta Open Source. (2025). *React: A JavaScript library for building user interfaces* (Version

18.3.1) [Computer software]. https://react.dev

Muta, K., Takata, S., Utsumi, Y., Matsumura, A., Iwamura, M. and Kise, K., 2022. TAIM:

tool for analyzing root images to calculate the infection rate of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi.

Frontiers in Plant Science, 13, p.881382.

Netherway, T., Bengtsson, J., Buegger, F., Fritscher, J., Oja, J., Pritsch, K., Hildebrand, F.,

Krab, E.J. and Bahram, M., 2024. Pervasive associations between dark septate endophytic

fungi with tree root and soil microbiomes across Europe. *Nature Communications*, *15*(1),

p.159.

Opitz, J. A, 2024. Closer Look at Classification Evaluation Metrics and a Critical Reflection

of Common Evaluation Practice. a*rXiv:* 2404.16958v2.

Rahman, M. A., Clinch, M., Reynolds, J., Dangott, B., Meza Villegas D. M., Nassar A., Hata,

D. J., Akkus, Z., 2023. Classification of fungal genera from microscopic images using

artificial intelligence. *Journal of Pathology Informatics*, 14, pp.100314.

Read, D.J., 1996. The structure and function of the ericoid mycorrhizal root. *Annals of

botany*, *77*(4), pp.365-374.

Reinke, A., Tizabi, M. D., Sudre, C. H., *et al.*, 2021, Common Limitations of Image

Processing Metrics: A Picture Story, *arXiv:*2104.05642.

Sciascia, I., Crosino, A., Genre, A. 2023. Quantifying root colonization by a symbiotic

fungus using automated image segmentation and machine learning approaches. *Scientific

Reports* 13:14830.

Soudzilovskaia, N.A., Douma, J.C., Akhmetzhanova, A.A., van Bodegom, P.M., Cornwell,

W.K., Moens, E.J., Treseder, K.K., Tibbett, M., Wang, Y.-P. and Cornelissen, J.H.C., 2015.

Global patterns of plant root colonization intensity by mycorrhizal fungi explained by climate

and soil chemistry. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 24(3), pp.371-382.

Tan, M. and Le, Q. V. 2019. EfficientNet: Rethinking Model Scaling for Convolutional

Neural Networks. *International Conference on Machine Learning,* available at

https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1905.11946.

Tan, M. and Le, Q. V. 2021. EfficientNetV2: Smaller Models and Faster Training. Available

at https://arxiv.org/pdf/2104.00298.

Zhang, S., Wu, Y., Skaro, M., Cheong, J.H., Bouffier-Landrum, A., Torrres, I., Guo, Y.,

Stupp, L., Lincoln, B., Prestel, A. and Felt, C., 2024. Computer vision models enable mixed

linear modelling to predict arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal colonization using fungal

morphology. *Scientific Reports*, 14(1), p.10866.