# First Metagenome-Assembled Genomes from the Historic Morrow Plots Reveal Management-Associated Dominance of Archaeal Ammonia Oxidizers

Viet D. Nguyen[1,2], Chushu Gao[3], Cory Gardner[1,2], Zhine Wang[3], Andrew J Margenot[4,5], Laibin Huang[3]* and Tae-Hyuk Ahn[1,2]*

[1] Department of Computer Science, Saint Louis University, St. Louis, MO, USA

[2] Program in Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, Saint Louis University, St. Louis, MO, USA

[3] Department of Biology, Saint Louis University, St. Louis, MO, USA

[4] Institute for Sustainability, Energy and Environment, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, 61801, USA

[5]Department of Crop Sciences, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, 61801, USA

* To whom correspondence should be addressed

Laibin Huang: laibin.huang@slu.edu

Tae-Hyuk Ahn: taehyuk.ahn@slu.edu

## Abstract

Soil microbial communities underpin both soil health and agricultural productivity, yet genome-resolved resources from long-term field experiments remain limited. Here, we present a genome-resolved metagenomic dataset from the historic Morrow Plots long-term experiment in central USA, comprising 33 shotgun metagenomes collected across diverse crop rotation and fertilization treatments in year 149 of the experiment. Using a co-assembly, multi-binner workflow, we recovered 230 metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs), including 44 archaeal and 186 bacterial genomes spanning multiple soil-associated phyla. Among these, 59 MAGs were linked to nitrogen-cycling functions, including ammonia- and nitrite-oxidizing lineages. The dataset also includes genome quality metrics, taxonomic classification, and treatment-resolved abundance patterns across different management regimes. Importantly, these nitrogen guild MAGs enable comparative analyses of nitrifier ecology, genome diversity, and functional variation linked to management in agricultural soils. Together, these resources establish a unique benchmark for studying how agricultural practices shape soil microbial communities at genome level, with associated long-term crop yield and soil fertility changes since the experiment's inception in 1876.

## Background & Summary

Nitrogen cycling is one of the major determinants of agricultural productivity and sustainability because the interplay of mineralization, nitrification, assimilation, and loss pathways (e.g., denitrification) regulates both bioavailable nitrogen and environmentally relevant losses such as nitrate leaching and gaseous emissions[1-3]. Within these microbially-mediated transformation pathways, ammonia oxidation, the conversion of ammonia to nitrite, represents a key regulatory step in nitrification[4]. This process is primarily driven by ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) and bacteria (AOB), as well as complete ammonia oxidizers (Comammox)[5], with AOAs frequently

dominating ammonia-oxidizer communities in soils[5-7]. Although marker-gene surveys and

process-based studies have provided valuable insights into nitrifier ecology in soils, the

genome-resolved ecological context that links long-term management histories to specific

lineages and their metabolic potential remain limited[6,8,9].

Genome-resolved metagenomics enables the reconstruction of metagenome-assembled

genomes (MAGs), allowing taxonomic composition and functional potential to be examined

together[6,10,11] to help explain agroecosystem nitrogen processes. In soil systems, however,

genome recovery remains challenging because of high community diversity, strain

heterogeneity, and uneven abundance distributions[6,8]. Recent advances in co-assembly

strategies, multi-binner approaches, consensus refinement, and standardized quality control

have improved the recovery of soil MAGs[11-15]. Yet, relatively few genome-resolved datasets are

available, in particular from long-term agricultural experiments where management practices

have been applied consistently for more than a century[8,9] and thus may better reflect at-

equilibrium conditions of soil processes in agroecosystems.

The long-term Morrow Plots experiment at the University of Illinois Urbana–Champaign provides

a valuable long-term experimental system for examining the effects of sustained crop rotation

and fertilization regimes on soil microbial communities[16-18]. Established in 1876, the experiment

contrasts monocropping system, like continuous corn (CCC), crop rotations including corn–

soybean (CS) and corn–oat–alfalfa (COA), with each being managed without fertilization or

fertilization with synthetic fertilizer or manure[16]. Additionally, we sampled a non-crop system,

such as sod–grass (SG) for reference. The long duration and well-characterized management

history make the Morrow Plots highly suited for generating reference datasets that offer a

benchmark for comparative analysis of agricultural soil microbiomes, in particular in the US

Midwest region of maize (*Zea mays* L.)-based agroecosystems responsible for a third of global

maize and soybean (*Glycine max* L.) production.

Here, we present a genome-resolved metagenomic dataset generated from 33 soil

metagenomes spanning 12 management combinations in the Morrow Plots. Figure 1

summarizes the experimental framework, sequencing workflow, and genome reconstruction

strategy used to generate this resource, and Table 1 summarizes read filtering, co-assembly,

and MAG recovery statistics across treatment groups. Through a standardized co-assembly and

multi-step binning pipeline[9], we reconstructed a catalog of 230 MAGs, including 44 archaeal and

186 bacterial genomes, together with associated genome quality statistics, taxonomic

assignments, functional annotations, and treatment (crop rotation × fertility management)-level

abundance information.

**Figure 1.** Experimental design, sequencing workflow, and overview of the genome-resolved

metagenomic dataset generated for soils sampled in year 149 of the long-term Morrow Plots

experiment in Illinois, USA.

**Table 1.** Summary of read filtering, co-assembly, and MAG recovery statistics for the Morrow

Plots metagenomic dataset.

| Co-assembly group | Sample | Paired-end filtered reads | Total Merged reads | Contigs >2000 bp | N50 | L50 | MAGs |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **CCC_Control** | MS1 MS3 MS4 | 64,334,325 64,228,468 64,990,296 | 193,553,089 | 142,272 | 3,874 | 37,361 | 24 |
| **CCC_IF** | MS2 MS5 MS6 | 63,462,600 64,461,520 72,824,142 | 200,748,262 | 145,574 | 3,774 | 39,388 | 33 |
| **CCC_OF** | MS7 MS8 | 74,818,925 69,872,259 | 144,691,184 | 79,442 | 3,200 | 24,546 | 6 |
| **COA_Control** | MS17 MS19 MS20 | 77,944,024 70,813,100 78,434,622 | 227,191,746 | 124,276 | 3,528 | 35,861 | 15 |
| **COA_IF** | MS18 MS21 MS22 | 78,167,755 90,535,155 76,242,642 | 244,945,552 | 194,320 | 3,540 | 55,016 | 26 |
| **COA_OF** | MS23 MS24 | 78,825,892 80,883,177 | 159,709,069 | 109,031 | 3,453 | 32,232 | 11 |
| **CS_Control** | MS9 MS11 MS12 | 92,108,853 95,197,135 87,281,507 | 274,587,495 | 221,909 | 3,777 | 60,000 | 24 |
| **CS_IF** | MS10 MS13 MS14 | 76,701,580 89,731,789 73,119,938 | 239,553,307 | 163,135 | 3,581 | 45,459 | 19 |
| **CS_OF** | MS15 MS16 | 88,243,488 70,744,082 | 158,987,570 | 78,459 | 3,253 | 24,542 | 7 |
| **SG_CCC** | MS25 MS26 MS27 | 79,119,423 69,080,476 81,103,530 | 229,303,429 | 223,032 | 3,610 | 62,790 | 17 |
| **SG_COA** | MS31 MS32 MS33 | 69,343,360 84,355,997 78,260,528 | 231,959,885 | 223,187 | 3,624 | 63,039 | 31 |
| **SG_CS** | MS28 MS29 MS30 | 79,858,706 80,241,670 77,304,401 | 237,404,777 | 248,862 | 3,711 | 68,790 | 17 |

Across the 33 soil metagenomes, the genome reconstruction workflow yielded a catalog that

includes 1 high-quality MAG, 141 medium-quality MAGs, and 88 low-quality MAGs according to

modified MIMAG criteria[11]. Figure 2 provides a descriptive overview of the reconstructed MAG

collection, including phylogenetic placement, taxonomic composition, and selected genome

characteristics across management groups. The catalog demonstrates a genome-resolved

foundation for linking long-term management to microbial lineage composition and functional

potential. Specifically, the interactive effects of fertilization and crop rotations on MAG richness

were observed, with increased MAG richness under inorganic fertilization condition, particularly

in continuous corn compared to corn rotated with other crops. Additionally, the sod–grass

legacy condition exhibited distinct genome properties, including higher completeness and GC

content. Organic fertilization was associated with smaller assembly size in workflow summaries,

suggesting that management may influence both ecological composition and genome

recoverability[6,8].

**Figure 2.** Phylogenetic placement, taxonomic composition, and genome characteristics of

reconstructed MAGs. **(A)** Circular phylogenetic tree of 230 MAGs recovered from Morrow Plot

topsoil, colored by phylum. Outer rings indicate domain (Archaea/Bacteria), genome

completeness, and contamination. **(B)** Stacked bar plots showing the distribution of MAGs

across phyla within each cropping system and fertilization regime. **(C)** Boxplots summarizing

GC content and genome length (Mbp) across management groups, illustrating variation in

genome properties among treatments.

To place the genome-resolved catalog in the context of broader community composition, we

also compared taxonomic profiles derived from read-based classification with Kraken2[19] to

those inferred from reconstructed MAGs (Supplementary Figure S1). Read-based profiles

showed high relative abundances of Actinomycetota, Pseudomonadota, Acidobacteriota, and

Chloroflexota across treatments, consistent with typical agricultural soil communities, whereas

MAG-derived profiles recovered many of the same dominant phyla though with notable

differences in proportional representation. For instance, archaeal lineages affiliated with

Thermoproteota (Nitrososphaeraceae) were proportionally more prominent in the MAG dataset

relative to their representation in total reads, reflecting the successful targeted recovery of

ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) genomes (Figure 2A). Conversely, certain bacterial phyla

abundant in the read-based dataset (e.g., Actinomycetota) were underrepresented among

MAGs, likely due to the challenges of assembling genomes from highly diverse and strain-

heterogeneous taxa (Supplementary Figure S1). Despite these biases, the MAG-based

approach recovered several low-abundance phyla, including Nitrospirota, which provides a new

avenue for studying complete nitrifier (Comammox) in agricultural soils (Supplementary Figure

S2). Together, these differences highlighted expected assembly and binning biases in complex

soil metagenomes while also demonstrating how genome-resolved analysis both complements

and extends read-based community profiles.

Among the reconstructed genomes, 59 MAGs known to regulate nitrogen cycling in the soil

represented approximately 25% of the total catalog. The majority of these nitrogen-cycling

MAGs were recovered from cropping systems (51 of 59), providing a valuable resource for

examining the effects of crop production on nitrogen-cycling populations across long-term

management conditions. Within this subset, archaeal ammonia oxidizers affiliated with the

family Nitrososphaeraceae were well represented with multiple genus-level clades detected

across all treatments, including JAFAQB01, JARBAU01, TA-21, DASQQB01, TH1177,

Nitrosocosmicus, and additional unclassified lineages (Figure 3). Their broad presence across

management combinations, coupled with lineage-level phylogenetic resolution, supports the

ecological prominence of AOA in these soils and extends prior marker-gene observations to a

genome-resolved context[5-7,20]. In addition, Nitrospira-related genomes (Supplementary Figure

S2), including comammox-associated lineages, were detected within the catalog, highlighting

the representation of complete nitrification potential within long-term managed soils[21,22] and

further extending the functional scope of the dataset.

Collectively, this study provides a curated genome-resolved resource from one of the world's

longest-running agricultural experiments[16,17]. The dataset captures taxonomic diversity, genome

quality metrics, and nitrogen-cycling potential across 149 years of sustained management,

offering a foundation for comparative analyses of soil microbial evolution, nutrient cycling

capacity, and agroecosystem sustainability.

**Figure 3.** Phylogenetic placement and distribution of ammonia-oxidizing archaeal MAGs.

Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree of archaeal MAGs affiliated with Nitrososphaeraceae

recovered from Morrow Plot soils together with GTDB reference genomes from NCBI GenBank

assembly accessions. Colored strips indicate genus-level GTDB classifications and broader

AOA lineage assignments. The presence–absence heatmap shows detection of each MAG

across cropping systems and fertilization treatments, with green cells indicating presence within

a treatment group.

## Methods

### Soil sampling and experimental design

Soil samples were collected from the historic Morrow Plots (est. 1876), the oldest continuous

agricultural field in the United States, which are maintained by the University of Illinois Urbana-

Champaign, IL[16,17]. The experiment site is situated on a fine, smectitic, mesic Aquic Argiudoll

(Flanagan series), developed on loess parent material. The plots represent long-term cropping

systems maintained for nearly 150 years, including continuous maize (CCC), a maize–soybean

rotation (CS), a three-year maize–oat (*Avena sativa*)–alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) rotation (COA)

[16]. In addition, sod-grass (SG) legacy plots were sampled as non-farming controls. Within each

cropping system, soils were managed under unfertilized control (Control), inorganic fertilization

(IF), or organic fertilization (OF) regimes (Figure 1), established in 1904. The SG forms the

perimeter surrounding the replicated crop rotation × fertilization treatments. Established in 1904,

the SG does not receive any nutrient inputs.

### DNA extraction and sequencing

Soil DNA was extracted from ~0.30 g of wet soil using the DNeasy Powersoil kits following the

manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen, CA, USA), and sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000

platform using 150 bp paired-end reads (PE150)[23] at the Roy Carver Biotechnology Center at

the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. A total of 33 soil metagenomes were generated

across these 12 treatments: CCC_Control, CCC_IF, CCC_OF, CS_Control, CS_IF, CS_OF,

COA_Control, COA_IF, COA_OF, SG_CCC, SG_CS, and SG_COA. The sequencing depth

ranged from approximately 4–8 Gbp per sample with a range of 64 to 95 million reads. Raw

reads have been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the BioProject

accession listed in the Data Availability section.

**Metagenomic read processing, co-assembly and genome binning**

For genome reconstruction, samples were grouped by replicates and co-assembled within each

treatment. This design enabled treatment-level recovery of genomes while preserving the long-

term experimental structure of the field system. Metagenomic read processing and genome

reconstruction followed a standardized multi-step workflow[9]. In brief, raw reads were evaluated

using FastQC v0.11.5[24] and trimmed using Trimmomatic v0.36[25] to remove reads shorter than

70 bp and bases with Phred scores below 30. Trimmed reads were then evaluated again using

FastQC[24], and MultiQC[26] was used to summarize results.

To reduce method-specific bias in genome recovery, we applied a co-assembly and multi-binner

strategy. For each treatment group, quality-filtered reads were co-assembled using MEGAHIT

v1.2.9[27]. Only contigs generated by MEGAHIT with at least 2000 bp in length were retained for

downstream binning. Assembly quality was assessed with QUAST[28], and the filtered contigs

were then binned using three complementary algorithms. MetaBAT2 v2.12.1[12] was run with a

minimum contig length of 2000 bp. MaxBin2 v2.2.7[13] was executed with a probability threshold

of 0.8 and minimum contig length of 2000 bp. CONCOCT v1.1.0[29] was applied after cutting

assemblies into 10 kbp fragments, and coverage profiles were generated by mapping reads to

assemblies using BBMap v39.33[30]. Initial bin sets were refined using DAS Tool v1.1.7[31] with

the blastp search engine and score threshold of 0.6. This consensus refinement step generated

a non-redundant set of metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) for quality assessment. MAG

completeness and contamination were evaluated using CheckM v1.2.4[14]. Ribosomal RNA

genes were identified using Barrnap v0.9[32], and 5S, 16S, and 23S gene counts were parsed

from GFF outputs. Transfer RNA genes were predicted using tRNAscan-SE v2.0.12[33] with

default search parameters, following the standards proposed by Bowers et al.[11].

**Taxonomic annotation and genome quality characterization**

Recovered MAGs were taxonomically classified using GTDB-Tk v2.5.2[15] against database

Release226. Taxonomic assignments were reported from domain to the lowest confidently

assigned rank. Genome statistics including completeness, contamination, genome size, GC

content, and marker gene content were compiled for all recovered MAGs (Table S1). These

metrics were used to summarize the overall quality and diversity of the MAG catalog and were

included in the deposited metadata tables. Archaeal MAGs were further evaluated in the context

of ammonia-oxidizing lineages, particularly within Nitrososphaeraceae and Nitrospira, using

phylogenetic placement relative to GTDB reference genomes (Figure 3). MAGs belonging to

these groups were classified as nitrogen-associated genomes and retained for downstream

analyses.

**Read mapping and treatment-level abundance profiling**

To characterize taxonomic composition directly from metagenomic reads, paired-end

sequencing reads were grouped by treatment and classified using Kraken2[19] against the

k2_standard_20230605_db reference database. For each treatment group, reads from all

associated samples were pooled and analyzed together to generate treatment-level taxonomic

profiles. Kraken2 reports were summarized at the phylum level and used to compare read-

based community composition with the taxonomic distribution inferred from reconstructed

MAGs.

**Phylogenetic analyses, visualization, and statistical summaries**

Phylogenetic trees were generated separately for the full MAG catalog and the ammonia-

oxidizing MAG subset. For the full catalog, representative marker-based phylogenetic

placement was obtained using GTDB-Tk outputs and visualized as a circular tree with metadata

rings indicating domain assignment, completeness, and contamination. For the archaeal subset,

Nitrososphaeraceae-affiliated MAGs were analyzed together with GTDB reference genomes to

generate a maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree. Presence–absence information across

treatment groups was incorporated as an accompanying heatmap.

Phylogenetic relationships among archaeal ammonia-oxidizing MAGs were inferred using

conserved marker genes identified during GTDB-Tk[15] classification. Marker gene alignments

were used to construct a maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree using IQ-TREE v3.0.1[34], and

branch support values were calculated using bootstrap analysis. The resulting tree was

visualized and annotated using the Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL v6) [35]. Lineage annotations

were incorporated as color strips to distinguish archaeal ammonia-oxidizing clades (e.g.,

JAFAQB01, JARBAU01, TA-21, DASQQB01, TH1177, and Nitrosocosmicus-related lineages)

and broader AOA lineage groupings. Presence–absence patterns across management

treatments were visualized as heatmaps aligned to the phylogeny.

All statistical analyses and graphical visualizations were conducted in R 4.5.2 using custom

scripts. Boxplots and genome feature comparisons were generated using ggplot2[36], and

stacked bar plots summarizing taxonomic distributions were created using ggplot2-based

workflows[37]. Package versions and software details are provided in Supplementary Table S2.

## Data Records

Raw shotgun metagenomic reads from 33 soil samples have been deposited in the NCBI

Sequence Read Archive under BioProject PRJNA1435883. The 230 reconstructed

metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) are associated with NCBI BioSample accession

numbers SAMN56535406–SAMN56535635. Processed datasets and supporting files are

publicly available in Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19119299), including compressed

MAG files and tables containing sample metadata, MAG quality metrics, taxonomic

assignments, nitrogen related annotations, treatment level abundance summaries, and co

assembly statistics. Supplementary Table S1 provides detailed information for all reconstructed

MAGs. Analysis scripts used for genome reconstruction, annotation, abundance estimation, and

figure generation are available via GitHub (https://github.com/BioHPC/MorrowPlotsMAGs).

## Technical Validation

MAG quality was evaluated using CheckM v1.2.4[14] with the lineage-specific workflow to

estimate completeness and contamination based on single-copy marker genes. Genome

statistics, including genome size, GC content, and contiguity metrics, were examined to assess

structural integrity. Ribosomal RNA genes were identified using Barrnap v0.9[32], and transfer

RNA genes were predicted using tRNAscan-SE[33] v2.0.12 to evaluate gene content

completeness in accordance with MIMAG[11] guidelines.

Following MIMAG[11] standards, high-quality MAGs were defined as ≥90% completeness and

≤5% contamination with the presence of 5S, 16S, and 23S rRNA genes and ≥18 tRNAs.

Medium-quality MAGs were defined using a modified threshold of >70% completeness and

<10% contamination to ensure reliable genome representation while accounting for the inherent

fragmentation typical of soil assemblies. 142 MAGs were classified as medium or high quality,

supporting the reliability of the genome-resolved dataset for downstream ecological analyses.

## Data Availability

Raw sequencing data are available in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under BioProject

PRJNA1435883. The 230 reconstructed metagenome assembled genomes (MAGs) are

associated with NCBI BioSample accession numbers SAMN56535406–SAMN56535635.

Processed datasets and supporting files are available in Zenodo

(https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19119299), including compressed MAG files and tabular

datasets containing sample metadata and result summaries.

## Code Availability

297  All scripts used in this study are available at https://github.com/BioHPC/MorrowPlotsMAGs, with

298  an archived release deposited in Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19119299).

## Author Contributions

T.-H.A. and L.H. conceived and supervised the study. L.H. contributed to experimental design,

soil collection, funds for sequencing, biological interpretation, and manuscript revision. A.M.

contributed to the experimental design, field management, soil collection, and manuscript

revision. V.D.N. performed data processing, metagenome assembly, genome reconstruction,

annotation, figure preparation, and drafted the manuscript. C.S.G. and Z.W. collected the soil

sample and did the DNA extraction and sent the DNA for sequencing. T.-H.A. and C.G.

generated the GitHub and Zenodo, and did manuscript revision. All authors reviewed and

approved the final manuscript.

## Funding

311  This work was supported by the Saint Louis University New Faculty Startup Fund (Proj-000484

312  to L.H.) and partially supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF Award #2430236 to

313  T.-H.A.) for computational resources.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Ebun Omole-Ohonsi, Birch Fabregas, Sabita Ghimire for assistance with sample handling, sequencing coordination, data management, or helpful discussion. We also acknowledge the Morrow Plots program and the University of Illinois Urbana–Champaign for maintaining the long-term experimental infrastructure that made this dataset possible.

## References

Huang, L. Molecular and dual-isotopic profiling of the microbial controls on nitrogen leaching in agricultural soils under managed aquifer recharge. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **57** (2023). https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.3c01356

Levintal, E. Nitrogen fate during agricultural managed aquifer recharge: Linking plant response, hydrologic, and geochemical processes. *Sci. Total Environ.* **864** (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.161206

Zhang, H., Xu, Y. & Kanyerere, T. A review of the managed aquifer recharge: Historical development, current situation and perspectives. *Phys. Chem. Earth* **118** (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2020.102887

Kuypers, M. M. M., Marchant, H. K. & Kartal, B. The microbial nitrogen-cycling network. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **16**, 263-276 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2018.9

Huang, L. *et al.* Ammonia-oxidizing archaea are integral to nitrogen cycling in a highly fertile agricultural soil. *ISME Commun* **1**, 19 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s43705-021-00020-4

Lemos, L. N., Mendes, L. W., Baldrian, P. & Pylro, V. S. Genome-resolved metagenomics is essential for unlocking the microbial black box of the soil. *Trends Microbiol.* **29** (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2021.01.013

Leininger, S. *et al.* Archaea predominate among ammonia-oxidizing prokaryotes in soils. *Nature* **442**, 806-809 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04983

Anthony, W. E. From soil to sequence: filling the critical gap in genome-resolved metagenomics is essential to the future of soil microbial ecology. *Environ. Microbiome* **19** (2024). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40793-024-00599-w

Brandão Gontijo, J. *et al.* Depth-dependent Metagenome-Assembled Genomes of Agricultural Soils under Managed Aquifer Recharge. *Scientific Data* **12** (2025). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-025-05218-y

Parks, D. H. Recovery of nearly 8,000 metagenome-assembled genomes substantially expands the tree of life. *Nat. Microbiol.* **2** (2017). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-017-0012-7

Bowers, R. M. Minimum information about a single amplified genome (MISAG) and a metagenome-assembled genome (MIMAG) of bacteria and archaea. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **35** (2017). https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3893

Kang, D. D. MetaBAT2: An adaptive binning algorithm for robust and efficient genome reconstruction from metagenome assemblies. *PeerJ* **2019** (2019). https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7359

13 Wu, Y. W., Simmons, B. A. & Singer, S. W. MaxBin 2.0: An automated binning algorithm
to recover genomes from multiple metagenomic datasets. *Bioinformatics* **32** (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv638
14 Parks, D. H. CheckM: Assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from
isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. *Genome Res.* **25** (2015).
https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114
15 Chaumeil, P. A., Mussig, A. J., Hugenholtz, P. & Parks, D. H. GTDB-Tk: A toolkit to
classify genomes with the genome taxonomy database. *Bioinformatics* **36** (2020).
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz848
16 Raglin, S. S., Soman, C., Ma, Y. & Kent, A. D. Long Term Influence of Fertility and
Rotation on Soil Nitrification Potential and Nitrifier Communities. *Frontiers in Soil*
*Science* **Volume 2 - 2022** (2022). https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoil.2022.838497
17 Caldrone, S. L., Margenot, A. J. & Morrow Plots Data Curation Working, G. From
complex histories to cohesive data, a long-term agricultural dataset from the Morrow
Plots. *Sci Data* **11**, 1145 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-024-03984-9
18 Soman, C., Li, D., Wander, M. M. & Kent, A. D. Long-term fertilizer and crop-rotation
treatments differentially affect soil bacterial community structure. *Plant and Soil* **413**,
145-159 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-016-3083-y
19 Wood, D. E., Lu, J. & Langmead, B. Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2.
*Genome Biol* **20**, 257 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1891-0
20 Prosser, J. I. & Nicol, G. W. Archaeal and bacterial ammonia-oxidisers in soil: the quest
for niche specialisation and differentiation. *Trends in Microbiology* **20**, 523-531 (2012).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2012.08.001
21 Daims, H. *et al.* Complete nitrification by Nitrospira bacteria. *Nature* **528**, 504-509
(2015). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16461
22 van Kessel, M. A. H. J. *et al.* Complete nitrification by a single microorganism. *Nature*
**528**, 555-559 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16459
23 Modi, A., Vai, S., Caramelli, D. & Lari, M. in *Bacterial Pangenomics: Methods and*
*Protocols*  (eds Alessio Mengoni, Giovanni Bacci, & Marco Fondi)  15-42 (Springer US,
2021).
24 Andrews, S. FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Available
online at: http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/ (2010).
25 Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30** (2014). https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
26 Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S. & Kaller, M. MultiQC: summarize analysis results
for multiple tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 3047-3048 (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw354
27 Li, D., Liu, C. M., Luo, R., Sadakane, K. & Lam, T. W. MEGAHIT: An ultra-fast single-
node solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn
graph. *Bioinformatics* **31** (2015). https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv033
28 Gurevich, A., Saveliev, V., Vyahhi, N. & Tesler, G. QUAST: quality assessment tool for
genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 1072-1075 (2013).
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086
29 Alneberg, J. Binning metagenomic contigs by coverage composition. *Nat. Meth.* **11**
(2014). https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3103
30 Bushnell, B. BBMap: A Fast, Accurate, Splice-Aware Aligner. (Ernest Orlando Lawrence
Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA (US), United States, 2014).
31 Sieber, C. M. K. Recovery of genomes from metagenomes via a dereplication,
aggregation and scoring strategy. *Nat. Microbiol.* **3** (2018).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-018-0171-1
32 Seemann, T. barrnap 0.9: rapid ribosomal RNA prediction. *Google Scholar* **792** (2013).

33    Chan, P. P., Lin, B. Y., Mak, A. J. & Lowe, T. M. tRNAscan-SE 2.0: improved detection
and functional classification of transfer RNA genes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, 9077-9096
(2021). https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab688
34    Nguyen, L. T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. IQ-TREE: a fast and
effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol Biol*
*Evol* **32**, 268-274 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300
35    Letunic, I. & Bork, P. Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) v6: recent updates to the
phylogenetic tree display and annotation tool. *Nucleic Acids Res* **52**, W78-W82 (2024).
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkae268
36    Wickham, H. *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. (Springer Publishing
Company, Incorporated, 2016).
37    Kassambara, A. ggpubr: ggplot2-Based Publication Ready Plots. R package version
0.6.0 (2023).
421