Shifts in protein aggregate stability define proteostasis decline in the aging human brain

Edward Anderton[1,2*], Jordan B. Burton[1], Christina D. King[1], Anna C. Foulger[1], Dipa Bhaumik[1], Daria Timonina[1], Zachary Mayeri[1], Manish Chamoli[1], Julie K. Andersen[1], Birgit Schilling[1], Gordon J. Lithgow[1*].

Affiliations:

1. Buck Institute for Research on Aging, 8001 Redwood Blvd., Novato, CA, 94945, USA

2. USC Leonard Davis School of Gerontology, University of Southern California, 3715 McClintock Ave, Los Angeles, CA, 90191, USA

*Corresponding Authors

Abstract

Loss of proteostasis and the accumulation of insoluble protein aggregates are features of aging across model organisms and occur in all major age-related neurodegenerative diseases; yet how aggregation proceeds during normal human brain aging remains unknown. Here, using detergent-fractionation proteomics, we show that brain aging does not involve uniform aggregate accumulation; rather, the insoluble proteome undergoes asymmetric remodeling beginning in midlife, with maximum-stability aggregates declining sharply by old age and intermediate-stability aggregates accumulating progressively before accelerating after age 80. Intermediate-stability aggregates are prone to liquid-liquid phase separation and are enriched among Alzheimer's disease plaque and tangle constituents. Proteasome and cytosolic chaperone capacity predict individual differences in aggregate burden as strongly as chronological age, offering human-level evidence in support of therapies targeting these pathways. These findings establish aggregate remodeling as a feature of normal brain aging and position intermediate-stability aggregate accumulation as a molecular event on the path to neurodegenerative disease.

Introduction

Loss of proteostasis is a conserved hallmark of aging[1]. When proteostasis mechanisms fail, cells and tissues accumulate misfolded proteins that form insoluble protein aggregates[2]. In laboratory animal models aging is associated with the accumulation of detergent insoluble protein aggregates[3–7]. In humans, all major age-related neurodegenerative diseases involve the accumulation of detergent resistant pathological aggregates[8]. Yet, whether insoluble proteins accumulate during normal human brain aging is unknown.

Insoluble protein aggregates exist on a continuum of stability defined by their biophysical and chemical properties[9–14]. Differential detergent fractionation has been used to characterize aggregated Tau, α-synuclein, prion protein, and TMEM106B in disease contexts, exploiting the principle that detergents of different strengths isolate aggregates based on their stability state[15–18]. N-lauroylsarcosine (sarkosyl) enriches aggregates maintained by intermediate-strength interactions, whereas sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), a stronger denaturant, leaves only the most resistant material insoluble [14,19–22]. Sarkosyl-insoluble fractions enrich assemblies that retain biological activity such as seeding competence, which contributes to aggregate spread through tissues; SDS-resistant material, by contrast, has been associated with highly stable, sequestered deposits [20,23–28]. While the biological consequences of aggregate stability remain contested, soluble oligomers and intermediate-stability assemblies have been implicated as toxic species in neurodegeneration, in part because they retain seeding competence and can propagate through neural circuits[29,30]; whereas highly stable aggregates can limit toxicity by sequestering misfolded proteins from the functional pool[31,32]. Whether aging shifts the balance toward one stability state or another, and whether such changes overlap with disease-associated aggregation, has not been examined in the human brain.

Protein biophysical analysis established that sequence and structural features increase aggregation propensity, including β-sheet-forming segments, hydrophobicity, intrinsic

disorder, and motifs enabling multivalent interactions[33,34]. Classical amyloids such as

amyloid-β aggregate through β-sheet-rich fibrillization, whereas other proteins,

particularly RNA-binding proteins, assemble through liquid-liquid phase separation

(LLPS) followed by maturation into solid aggregates[35–38,]. While these biophysical

principles have been characterized extensively in disease contexts, whether they shape

insoluble protein behavior during normal human brain aging is undetermined.

Here we asked whether normal human brain aging is characterized by the accumulation

of insoluble protein aggregates. We applied parallel detergent fractionation with SDS and

sarkosyl to post-mortem hippocampal tissue from disease-free females spanning the

adult lifespan. Rather than uniform accumulation, we uncovered a qualitative

reorganization of the insoluble proteome during aging, in which aggregates shift away

from maximum-stability and towards intermediate-stability states. The proteins that

accumulate possess a biophysical signature dominated by liquid-liquid phase separation

propensity, rather than classical amyloid features, and are enriched among Alzheimer's

disease plaque and tangle constituents.

Results

Aging induces asymmetric remodeling of detergent-insoluble proteoforms in the

female brain.

We applied this parallel fractionation approach to post-mortem hippocampal tissue from

disease-free females aged 20-88 years (n = 52; see Methods for inclusion-exclusion

criteria). By extracting these fractions from the same tissue, we could directly compare

how proteins change across stability states during female brain aging. The insoluble

proteome from each fraction, and lysate (soluble + insoluble), were quantified by mass

spectrometry with data-independent acquisition (DIA). For differential abundance

analysis, individuals were grouped: Young (20-35 yrs), Middle (45-55 yrs), Old (65-75

yrs), and Geriatric (80-88 yrs) (Fig. 1A).

The two fractions captured largely overlapping proteins (overlap: 75-85%); however, they
showed asymmetric age-related changes (Fig. 1B). The SDS-insoluble proteome showed
modest decline from young to middle age but underwent fraction-wide decline in the
transition to old age. In total, 82% of detected SDS-insoluble proteins decreased with age
(n = 1934), representing general depletion of high-stability protein aggregates (Fig. 1C).
TMEM106B, which forms bona fide amyloid fibrils in aged human brains, was a notable
exception, showing significant monotonic accumulation in the SDS-insoluble fraction
across the lifespan[39]. Conversely, changes in the sarkosyl-insoluble proteome were
modest until the geriatric transition, after which approximately 10% of proteins (n = 303)
increased significantly (Fig. 1D). Total SDS-insoluble protein burden followed a log-linear
decline with age, whereas total sarkosyl-insoluble protein burden remained approximately
constant (Supp. Fig 1D). Insoluble MAPT (Tau) showed concurrent depletion from SDS-
insoluble aggregates alongside accumulation in sarkosyl-insoluble aggregates, though
the accumulation in sarkosyl did not reach significance after FDR correction (q= 0.18) due
to high variance among geriatrics (Supp. Fig. 1E). Leave-one-out analysis and covariate-
adjusted models confirmed age-related changes were robust to individual subject
exclusions, post-mortem interval, and biobank source; variation in effect magnitude was
not associated with insoluble Amyloid Precursor Protein or Tau levels (see Methods:
Robustness Estimations). Western blot analysis of a subset of samples confirmed the
direction of age-related changes observed by mass spectrometry (Supp. Fig. 2A-D).

Thousands of proteins were detected in both SDS- and sarkosyl-insoluble fractions
across all age groups (SDS = 2857, Sark = 3267), spanning diverse biological processes
(Fig. 1B, Supp. Fig. 1A). Synaptic proteins were the most over-represented cellular
component in both fractions, followed by the endomembrane system and mitochondrial
proteins (Supp. Fig. 1A). Both insoluble proteomes were enriched with proteins implicated
across multiple proteinopathies, including AD, PD, Huntington's disease, and prion
disease (Supp. Fig. 1B). Proteins detected exclusively in the sarkosyl-insoluble fraction
were enriched for mitochondrial ribosomes and ER proteins, whereas SDS-exclusive
proteins showed no functional enrichment (Supp. Fig. 1C). Taken together, these data

indicate that aging does not drive uniform aggregate accumulation; rather, it induces

divergent, fraction-specific changes across the insoluble proteome including in pro-

aggregating, disease-associated proteins.

Age-related insolubility changes are independent of expression

Protein solubility is tightly coupled to expression level, and aggregation can occur when

proteins exceed their solubility limit[40]. The observed age-related changes in insoluble

protein abundance could therefore reflect altered expression rather than shifts in

aggregation state. To assess this, we calculated an insolubility index for each protein

(insoluble/lysate) and computed age-dependent slopes for insoluble abundance, lysate

abundance, and insolubility index separately (Fig. 1E&F). Lysate abundance was largely

stable with age, and pellet slopes strongly predicted index slopes in both fractions (SDS:

r = 0.83; sarkosyl: r = 0.76), establishing that changes in aggregate abundance rather

than total expression underlie observed insolubility shifts (Fig. 1E&F). Given high

concordance between pellet abundance and insolubility index trajectories (SDS 97.4%,

sarkosyl 88.5%), we chose to use pellet abundance as the measure of aggregate load

throughout.

The aging slope distributions showed a proteome-wide divergent pattern between the two

solubility states: 94.6% of SDS-insoluble proteins declined with age, while 66.3% of

sarkosyl-insoluble proteins increased (Fig. 1E&F). We hypothesized that this concurrent

decline and accumulation could reflect redistribution between stability states. However,

age-related changes were positively correlated across the proteome, and only a subset

of SDS-declining proteins showed significant, concurrent sarkosyl accumulation,

suggesting that protein is not redistributing from SDS-insoluble into sarkosyl-insoluble

aggregates (Supp. Fig. 3A).

The fraction-wide decline in SDS-insoluble abundance raised the question of whether

tissue composition changes, such as synapse or neuronal loss, could account for the

observed patterns. Synaptic and postsynaptic density proteins showed stable lysate

abundance with age while their SDS insolubility indices declined, and cell-type deconvolution confirmed that neuronal proportions were unchanged, ruling out synapse or neuronal loss as explanations (Supp. Fig. 4A, C, E). Conversely, synaptic proteins showed increases in sarkosyl insolubility index, consistent with a shift toward intermediate-stability aggregate states during aging (Supp. Fig. 4B, D).

Midlife marks the onset of progressive aggregate remodeling

To identify the temporal trajectories of insoluble proteins across the lifespan, we fitted generalized additive models to each protein's abundance as a function of age and clustered proteins with significant age effects by trajectory similarity (see Methods for filtering criteria). All age-changing SDS-insoluble proteins declined with age, most following a biphasic pattern with slight declines from young to middle age and then steep declines in the middle-to-old transition (Fig. 1G). Conversely, most age-changing sarkosyl-insoluble proteins increased modestly from young through to old age before accelerating sharply in the geriatric group (Fig. 1H). We applied a monotonic trend test to sarkosyl-insoluble proteins that accumulate during aging and found that 110 (36%) proteins reached significance after multiple testing correction (Mann-Kendall, q < 0.05; median p = 0.031), and all 303 exhibited positive trend direction, supporting that the accumulation of aggregates is a progressive process spanning decades rather than a late-life threshold event.

Selective accumulation of sarkosyl-insoluble aggregates is associated with liquid-liquid phase separation

We hypothesized that biochemical and biophysical properties might distinguish proteins that decline in SDS or selectively accumulate in sarkosyl-insoluble aggregates during aging. To assess this, we compiled a panel of established predictors of protein aggregation propensity spanning intrinsic aggregation (TANGO, Zagg)[41,42], predicted solubility (CamSol)[43], supersaturation[44], LLPS propensity (catGranule, FuzDrop)[45,46], RNA-binding capacity[47], biochemical and 3D structural properties[47], AlphaFold predicted

disorder[48], post-translational modification sites[47], and chaperone-mediated autophagy

(CMA) degradation targeting motifs[49](Fig. 2A). To benchmark these profiles, we

compared against 15 pro-aggregating, amyloids detectable in the hippocampus such as

Amyloid β, Tau, and α-synuclein.

We first asked whether proteins vulnerable to insolubility, independent of age, share

biophysical features with classical amyloids. For each protein group, we calculated

feature enrichment relative to the hippocampal proteome (Figure 2A). Amyloids exhibited

the expected aggregation signature: elevated TANGO scores, high β-sheet propensity,

and low predicted solubility (Fig. 2A). The detergent-insoluble proteomes, by contrast,

showed a largely opposite profile: lower aggregation propensity, reduced β-sheet content,

and higher predicted solubility than the hippocampal proteome (Fig. 2A). Rather than

classical aggregation features, insoluble proteins were characterized by elevated LLPS

propensity (catGranule) and RNA-binding capacity (Fig. 2A). The connection with LLPS

and RNA-binding capacity is particularly striking since many neurodegenerative disease

proteins such as FUS, TDP-43, α-synuclein and HNRNPA1 associate with RNA-protein

granules *in vivo*[38]. Beyond phase separation propensity, insoluble proteins also showed

elevated K48 ubiquitination and higher CMA motif density compared to the background

proteome, consistent with greater steady state targeting for degradation (Fig. 2A). The

single point of convergence between amyloids and the broader insoluble proteome was

high supersaturation, indicating that expression near the solubility limit is a common

feature of aggregation-vulnerable proteins (Fig. 2A).

We next asked whether proteins showing age-related changes represent biophysically

distinct subgroups. We performed biophysical enrichment of SDS-declining and sarkosyl-

accumulating proteins, comparing each group against its detection background to

account for baseline differences (Fig. 2B). The SDS-insoluble proteome was depleted for

classical aggregation features relative to the hippocampal proteome (Fig. 2A). Within this

depleted background, SDS-insoluble age-declining proteins showed modest relative

enrichment for TANGO scores, beta-sheet propensity, and hydrophobicity, indicating that

the proteins lost during aging are the most aggregation-prone members of their fraction

(Fig. 2B). However, because the SDS-insoluble proteome is itself depleted for classical

aggregation features, this relative enrichment does not establish a specific aggregation

mechanism for their decline. By contrast, the sarkosyl-insoluble age-accumulating

proteins were depleted of classical aggregation features but enriched for LLPS propensity

(catGranule and FuzDrop), consistent with condensate-mediated aggregation (Fig. 2B).

While both LLPS predictors were enriched among sarkosyl-accumulating proteins,

catGranule and FuzDrop scores were only modestly correlated (r=0.24) which is

consistent with their distinct training datasets. FuzDrop predicts based on entropy-driven

disordered interactions, whereas catGranule is a broader phase separation predictor

incorporating RNA-binding and structural features. Among sarkosyl-accumulating

proteins, 73% scored in the top tertile for at least one LLPS predictor, partitioning into two

approximately equal populations: proteins scoring high on catGranule but not FuzDrop

(36%), and proteins scoring high on both predictors (37%). These populations, therefore,

represent distinct routes to LLPS-driven aggregation, one mediated by entropy-based

disordered interactions captured by both predictors and one driven by features such as

multivalent interactions and RNA-binding capacity that FuzDrop does weight. Because

LLPS predictors correlate with sequence length, we used multivariate logistic regression

to confirm that both catGRANULE and FuzDrop independently distinguished

accumulators after controlling for sequence length, abundance, and peptide counts (see

Methods). Taken together, these data demonstrate that age-related sarkosyl

accumulation is more likely to be driven by LLPS propensity than classical amyloid

features, with two biophysically distinct subpopulations converging on condensate-

mediated aggregation.

Proteins that accumulate in sarkosyl-insoluble aggregates during aging are
enriched in AD plaques and tangles.

Sarkosyl is widely used to study pro-aggregating proteins in neurodegenerative disease,

and several studies have reported alterations to the sarkosyl-insoluble proteome in AD

brains[50–54]. The accumulation of sarkosyl-insoluble protein in the geriatric group, when

AD risk increases sharply, led us to question whether this material resembles disease-

associated aggregates. We compared our data against the NeuroPro database, which

compiles AD proteomic studies including laser microdissection of amyloid plaques and

neurofibrillary tangles[55].

We first determined fold enrichment of proteins vulnerable to insolubility, irrespective of

age. Both insoluble proteomes showed enrichment for plaque-associated proteins

compared to the hippocampal proteome, with roughly half of insoluble-detected proteins

appearing in the plaqueome; tangle enrichment followed a similar pattern with lower

absolute representation (Fig. 3A&B, Supp. Fig. 5A&B). When comparing age-changing

proteins in each insoluble fraction a clear dichotomy emerged. Proteins declining in the

SDS-resistant, maximally stable fraction during aging showed no additional enrichment

for plaques or tangles beyond baseline (Fig. 3A&B, Supp. Fig. 5C). Proteins accumulating

in the intermediate-stability sarkosyl-insoluble pool, by contrast, showed pronounced

enrichment for both AD plaque and tangle constituents (Fig. 3A&B, Supp. Fig. 5D). This

result was remarkable since it suggests that aggregate accumulation during disease-free

aging overlap significantly with AD.

We next asked whether sarkosyl-accumulating proteins have been identified as AD CSF

biomarker candidates, using a meta-analysis of putative biomarkers identified across at

least three independent discovery cohorts[56]. CSF biomarkers were enriched at baseline

in both detected insoluble proteomes; however, only proteins that accumulate in the

sarkosyl-insoluble fraction during aging showed significant enrichment above baseline,

extending the disease relevance of age-related sarkosyl accumulation beyond brain

tissue to peripheral biomarker signatures (Fig. 3A&B).

Fifty-eight proteins that increased in the sarkosyl-insoluble fraction with age were

independently detected in both amyloid plaque and neurofibrillary tangle proteomes. Most

of these (37/58, 64%) showed concurrent depletion from the SDS-insoluble fraction,

consistent with loss from maximally stable aggregates alongside accumulation in

intermediate-stability states (Fig. 3C). The remainder were either stable in the SDS-

insoluble fraction or showed a trend toward higher SDS-insoluble levels during aging.

These 58 proteins encompassed synaptic components spanning presynaptic machinery,

postsynaptic cytoskeletal markers, and four major brain 14-3-3 isoforms; proteostasis

machinery including SQSTM1(p62), VPS35, USP14, and cytosolic and mitochondrial

chaperones including HSP90AB1, HSPA4L, HSPA9, and TRAP1; mitochondrial enzymes

of the TCA cycle, pyruvate dehydrogenase complex, and oxidative phosphorylation; and

RNA-binding proteins implicated in aberrant granule formation (HNRNPA2B1,

HNRNPK)[57,58] (Fig. 3C).

Sarkosyl-insoluble aggregates span synaptic, mitochondrial, and proteostasis machinery in the aging female hippocampus

Because aggregation depletes functional protein, we asked which biological functions are

represented among sarkosyl-insoluble accumulators. Functional annotation of all 303

accumulating proteins revealed nine broad categories (Table 1).

Cytoskeletal and synaptic proteins were the largest functional group, with actin

cytoskeleton and cell adhesion components (n = 41), postsynaptic scaffolding and

signaling proteins (n = 23), presynaptic machinery (n = 13), microtubule and motor

proteins (n = 15), all six brain 14-3-3 isoforms, and the complete neuronal dystrophin-

associated complex (Table 1).

Mitochondrial proteins were the second largest category (n = 43), including energy

metabolism enzymes (n = 28), proteostasis and import machinery (n = 8), and

mitochondrial RNA and translation factors (n = 7) (Table 1). Age-accumulating

mitochondrial proteins were predominantly matrix enzymes, particularly TCA cycle

components and quality control chaperones HSPA9 (mtHsp70) and TRAP1 (mtHsp90);

membrane-integrated complexes of the electron transport chain, ATP synthase, and TIM

translocase were, by contrast, constitutively detergent-resistant at all ages. The co-

aggregation of TOMM70, which coordinates substrate recognition at the outer membrane

import channel, alongside the matrix chaperones and enzymes it helps deliver, raises the

possibility that protein import represents a bottleneck at which misfolded cargo
accumulates (Table 1).

The remaining categories included membrane trafficking proteins (n = 36), among them
VPS35, the retromer cargo-recognition subunit linked to familial Parkinson's disease[59];
proteostasis machinery (n = 32) including ubiquitin-proteasome components, cytosolic
chaperones, and selective autophagy receptors such as SQSTM1/p62; and RNA-binding
and translation proteins (n = 22) (Table 1). Consistent with the LLPS and RNA-binding
signature identified in the biophysical analysis, this last group included mRNA processing
factors, translation initiation components, and aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases from both
cytoplasmic and mitochondrial compartments, suggesting that mitochondrial translational
capacity is itself vulnerable to age-related aggregation (Table 1). Taken together, the
proteins accumulating in sarkosyl-insoluble aggregates during aging represent core
machinery required for synaptic function, suggesting that proteostasis decline during
aging may erode synaptic activity independently of synapse loss.

Proteostasis machinery is enriched in insoluble fractions

The accumulation of mitochondrial quality control machinery in sarkosyl-insoluble
aggregates during aging led us to question whether proteostasis machineries were
enriched in either fraction. Their presence could provide clues as to which machineries
handle the different aggregate species, and which could be vulnerable to co-aggregation
during aging. To test this, we calculated composite abundance signatures for nine
proteostasis network branches using the proteostasis network consortium database
annotations[60,61]. Autophagy machinery and cytosolic chaperones were significantly
enriched in both insoluble fractions across all ages (Supp. Fig. 6A). Most proteostasis
machineries were present in aggregates but remained stable across the lifespan (Supp.
Fig. 6A). Autophagy and cytosolic chaperone proteins were enriched in both insoluble
fractions irrespective of age, indicating that these machineries constitutively co-
fractionate with aggregates (Supp. Fig. 6A). The two fractions diverged, however, with
respect to age-related changes: autophagy components were enriched among SDS-

declining proteins but depleted from sarkosyl-insoluble accumulators (Supp. Fig. 6A).
This asymmetry is consistent with a model in which autophagy actively clears SDS-
resistant aggregates and declines alongside them, while sarkosyl-insoluble material
accumulates through a pathway that autophagy does not effectively engage.
Mitochondrial protein homeostasis showed a trend towards enrichment in the sarkosyl
age-changing insoluble proteome consistent with the enrichment of mitochondrial
proteins in that fraction (Fig. 4, Supp. Fig. 6A).

We applied the same approach to CNS cell types using published composite cell type
marker data[62]. Neuronal proteins were enriched in both insoluble fractions, microglial
proteins were depleted across both, while astrocyte markers trended toward enrichment
among sarkosyl-insoluble accumulators (Supp. Fig. 6B). This pattern indicates that the
insoluble proteome is neuronally dominated at all ages but that astrocytic proteins may
be selectively vulnerable to age-related accumulation while microglial proteins are largely
excluded.

Proteostasis network capacity predicts hippocampal aggregate burden
independently of chronological age

While aging has a significant effect on insoluble protein levels, aggregate burden was
highly heterogeneous even among age-matched individuals (Supp. Fig. 1D), suggesting
that other factors contribute to aggregate load. We hypothesized that proteostasis
network capacity could explain inter-individual variation and built linear models predicting
insoluble protein burden using lysate abundance of nine proteostasis network branches,
controlling for age as a covariate.

Two pathways showed significant protective associations with sarkosyl-insoluble
accumulation: proteasome capacity yielded the largest individual effect size, followed by
cytosolic chaperones. We applied the same approach to cell-type signatures and found
that microglia showed a protective association with sarkosyl-insoluble burden consistent
with literature implicating microglia in aggregate clearance in the CNS[63] (Fig. 5B).

Mitochondrial proteostasis capacity, by contrast, showed a positive association with
insoluble burden in both fractions (Fig. 5A). We ruled out mitochondrial mass as a
predictor using total mitochondrial protein signal as a proxy, indicating that the association
is specific to proteostasis capacity rather than organelle abundance. Autophagy and ER
proteostasis showed significant positive associations with SDS-insoluble burden; ER also
showed a trend for sarkosyl and translation showed positive trends in both fractions (Fig.
5A). The positive direction of these biosynthesis and import-associated pathways
contrasted with the protective direction of clearance mechanisms, suggesting that protein
production and import track with aggregate load while degradation capacity limits it.

The translation category encompassed hundreds of functionally heterogeneous proteins
and so we decomposed it into bona fide translation machinery and co-translational
proteostasis proteins (Supp. Fig. 6C). Consistent with the broader pattern, abundance of
mitochondrial and cytosolic ribosomes was positively associated with insoluble protein
levels across both fractions (Supp. Fig. 6C). Mitochondrial ribosome biogenesis was
specifically associated with sarkosyl-insoluble accumulation, and mitochondrial protein
import machinery was positively associated with insoluble protein across both fractions
(Supp. Fig. 6C). Conversely, cytosolic elongation and termination factors and ribosome-
associated nascent chain chaperones (NAC/RAC complex) both displayed protective
associations, implicating co-translational proteostasis as a determinant of aggregate load
(Supp. Fig. 6C). Taken together, these data indicate that aggregate burden in human
brain reflects a balance between protein production and clearance capacity, with
proteasome, cytosolic chaperones, and co-translational quality control limiting
accumulation while biosynthetic and import pathways track with load.

Discussion

Our proteome-wide analysis reveals that human brain aging does not drive uniform
aggregate accumulation; rather, the insoluble proteome undergoes qualitative
remodeling, with maximally stable SDS-resistant aggregates declining while
intermediate-stability sarkosyl-insoluble proteins accumulate progressively before

accelerating after age 80. Sarkosyl-accumulating proteins possess a biophysical
signature centered on LLPS propensity rather than classical amyloid features; however,
accumulating proteins show strong enrichment among AD plaque and tangle
constituents. Aggregate burden varied substantially among age-matched individuals,
with proteostasis network capacity explaining as much variance as chronological age,
implying that aggregate accumulation is not an inevitable feature of aging. Because the
proteins suppressed by high proteostasis capacity are the same proteins enriched in AD
pathology, individual differences in clearance capacity may influence accumulation of
disease-relevant aggregates during normal aging.

The temporal separation of these changes implies that SDS decline and sarkosyl
accumulation are independent remodeling events rather than sequential phases of a
single process. SDS-insoluble aggregates decline most dramatically during the middle-
to-old transition, whereas sarkosyl-insoluble accumulation remains gradual until the
geriatric transition, when it accelerates markedly. The net effect is a shift in the aggregate
landscape away from sequestered, maximally stable deposits and toward labile,
intermediate-stability material associated with seeding competence in disease contexts.
The surge in sarkosyl-insoluble aggregates at the geriatric transition may represent a
threshold phenomenon in which clearance mechanisms become overwhelmed, though
our cross-sectional design cannot test this directly. AD-associated pathology develops
biochemically for decades before cognitive symptoms manifest[64], and it is the AD-
enriched sarkosyl-insoluble fraction that accelerates in the ninth decade when AD
incidence rises sharply.

The proteome-wide decline of SDS-insoluble aggregates was unexpected given that
aging in *C. elegans* and mice is associated with SDS-insoluble accumulation[4,65,66]. One
possible interpretation is that maximally stable aggregates represent protective detention
structures like aggregsomes. Indeed, sequestration of soluble Aβ oligomers and HTT
peptides into insoluble deposits reduces toxicity[32,67]. However, the SDS-insoluble
proteome lacked classical aggresome markers: HDAC6[68] was not detected, and
SQSTM1/p62[69] and BAG3[70] appeared exclusively in sarkosyl-insoluble material. An

alternative interpretation is that the SDS-insoluble fraction we measure represents a
snapshot of material passing through autophagy and the age-related decline in SDS
insoluble material reflects reduced flux. This is supported by the fact that autophagy
components are enriched among age-declining SDS-insoluble proteins, and autophagy
pathway expression tracks positively with SDS-insoluble burden. These models are not
mutually exclusive because sequestered aggregates should be targeted to autophagy.
Whether SDS-insoluble material in the human brain serves a protective function,
represents a clearance intermediate, or both, remains to be determined.

Sarkosyl-accumulating proteins possess a biophysical signature distinct from classical
amyloids. Rather than elevated beta-sheet propensity and hydrophobicity, they are
characterized by greater sequence length, high LLPS propensity across two orthogonal
predictors, and higher predicted solubility. LLPS proceeds through weak multivalent
interactions largely independent of surface hydrophobicity, and sequence length
correlates with multivalency[71]. The LLPS predictor profiles for accumulators partition into
two populations, suggesting distinct biophysical routes converging on condensate
formation. RNA-binding proteins constitute the largest functional category among
accumulators; however, RNA-binding capacity is not enriched above the sarkosyl-
detected background, suggesting that LLPS-driven aggregation must proceed through
broader mechanisms not restricted to canonical RNA-protein interactions. The
enrichment of LLPS-prone proteins among AD plaque and tangle constituents suggests
that phase separation may represent a pathway to pathological aggregation operating
alongside classical amyloid formation. It has been proposed that condensates can mature
into solid aggregates over time[38], providing a plausible route from phase separation to
detergent-insoluble material during aging, although the mechanism for solidification is
unknown.

Aggregate burden was highly heterogeneous among age-matched individuals, and
proteostasis network machinery abundance explained substantial inter-individual
variance. Proteasome and cytosolic chaperone abundance showed negative, protective
associations with sarkosyl-insoluble burden, whereas biosynthetic and import pathways

showed positive associations with aggregate load. When combined in a linear model
proteostasis predictors tripled the variance explained by age alone, predicting almost 40%
of total variance in insoluble burden. Contrary to expectation, autophagy network
abundance was not protective against sarkosyl-insoluble accumulation. Moreover,
autophagy components were depleted among sarkosyl accumulators but enriched among
age-declining SDS-insoluble proteins, suggesting that autophagy preferentially interacts
with SDS-insoluble material. The protective association of proteasome capacity aligns
well with model organism studies which implicate UPS decline as a driver of age-related
aggregation[72]. Given that the proteins suppressed by high proteasome capacity are
strongly enriched among AD plaque and tangle constituents, these data provide human-
level evidence that UPS capacity may influence disease-relevant aggregate
accumulation.

Mitochondrial proteostasis is emerging as a conserved age-related vulnerability, with
mitochondrial protein aggregation now documented across *C. elegans*, mouse, and now
human brain[3–5,66,73]. Mitochondrial proteins were prominent were prominent in the
insoluble proteome but only matrix enzymes and quality control machinery showed age-
related accumulation; membrane-integrated ETC complexes, ATP synthase, and TIM
translocase were insoluble at all ages. One possible explanation for mitochondrial protein
vulnerability is precursor over-accumulation stress (mPOS): when mitochondrial import
capacity declines due to mitochondrial dysfunction, nuclear-encoded mitochondrial
proteins accumulate in the cytosol, where they are prone to aggregation[74]. In this context,
the link we observe between mitoribosome biogenesis factor abundance and overall
sarkosyl-insoluble burden makes sense since biogenesis demand necessarily correlates
with the pool of import-dependent precursors vulnerable accumulation in the cytosol. This
model implies a feedforward loop in which mitochondrial dysfunction and mitochondrial
protein aggregation reinforce one another, whether aggregation occurs in the cytosol
following failed import or within the matrix itself.

This study has limitations worth acknowledging. Our cohort consisted exclusively of
females, selected for their higher lifetime AD risk[75] and whether males show equivalent

aggregation patterns should be addressed in future work. While subjects were screened
for neurodegenerative disease by neuropathological examination, we cannot exclude
subcellular pathology, and variance in insoluble amyloid and tau among the oldest old
may partly reflect preclinical heterogeneity. All subjects were cognitively normal at death,
but we lack longitudinal cognitive data and cannot assess the functional relevance of
insoluble protein changes. Our biophysical analysis was based on sequence-level
predictors; we did not perform ultrastructural or material-state validation, so assignments
of LLPS behavior remain to be demonstrated experimentally. Future work should prioritize
biochemical characterization, expansion to male cohorts, and validation in murine
models.

This study establishes that disease-free human brain aging is characterized by qualitative
remodeling of the insoluble proteome rather than uniform aggregate accumulation, with
maximally stable and intermediate-stability aggregate pools showing independent and
opposing trajectories. The proteins that accumulate in intermediate-stability aggregates
during normal aging are the same proteins enriched in AD plaques and tangles, and their
accumulation is constrained by proteostasis capacity, particularly proteasome and
cytosolic chaperone abundance. These observations provide human-level evidence that
the molecular events linking normal aging to neurodegenerative disease are potential
targets for intervention before clinical symptoms emerge.

Methods

All statistical tests were performed using R base package unless otherwise stated.

Human brain tissue sourcing

Human brain samples were sourced from the NIH Neurobiobank consortium, which encompasses several biobanks across the contiguous United States. Biobanks follow the same standardized sample processing and handling procedures.

Subject inclusion / exclusion criteria

Frozen post-mortem human coronal tissue sections encompassing the entire hippocampal formation from the level of the lateral geniculate nucleus were obtained from 58 female donors aged 20-88 years (median post-mortem interval: 17 hours; IQR: 14-23 hours). Females were chosen due to their increased lifetime risk of neurodegeneration, in particular AD[76]. All hippocampus samples were deemed disease free by expert neuropathologists. To isolate the effects of normal aging on protein aggregation from confounding disease processes, we applied stringent exclusion criteria. Donors with a clinical history of neurodegenerative disease (Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, dementia), cerebrovascular events (stroke, transient ischaemic attack), psychiatric disorders (depression, anxiety, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia), substance abuse, or smoking were excluded. We further excluded donors with systemic inflammatory or autoimmune conditions (rheumatoid arthritis, autoimmune disorders, hepatitis A/B/C), organ or bone marrow transplant recipients, and those with major organ disease including cardiovascular disease (myocardial infarction, coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, cardiomyopathy), chronic pulmonary disease (emphysema, COPD, pulmonary fibrosis, sleep apnoea), gastrointestinal disease (coeliac disease, Crohn's disease), hepatic disease (cirrhosis, NAFLD/NASH), chronic kidney disease, diabetes, and macular degeneration. A subset of common conditions was included: asthma, osteoarthritis, fibromyalgia, endometriosis, IBS, GERD, Barrett's oesophagus, glaucoma, cataracts, venous thromboembolism, kidney stones, and non-CNS cancers without brain

492   metastases. Hypertension and hypercholesterolaemia were included due to the very high

493   overall prevalence amongst the elderly[77].

Brain tissue homogenization

Homogenization and processing of the lysates was completed following universal

precautions in a BSL2 certified tissue culture hood. A segment of hippocampal tissue

encompassing all regions of the hippocampal formation was submerged in 150 μL of lysis

buffer containing protease, phosphatase and deacylase inhibitors (20 mM Tris base, pH

7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 30 mM NAD, 5 mM Trichostatin A) per mg of frozen

tissue in a pre-cooled 7 mL Dounce homogenizer, on ice. To homogenize the tissue, 30

strokes were applied with pestle A, followed by 30 strokes with pestle B. Homogenate

was removed into a pre-cooled 15 mL conical tube and sonicated in a 4°C water bath

using the Bioruptor for 5 cycles of 30 seconds on and 60 seconds off at medium intensity.

To remove cell debris, the lysate was centrifuged in a pre-cooled, 4°C swinging bucket

centrifuge at 3000×g for 4 minutes. The supernatant (lysate) was removed and stored at

-80°C until subsequent processing. The pellet was discarded.

Insoluble protein isolation

Samples were processed in batches of eight and, to minimize batch processing effects,

an equal number of subjects from each of the pre-defined age groupings were processed

in each batch. Subjects were selected randomly for each batch. The lysate protein

concentration was quantified by BCA according to manufacturer's instructions. To

normalize input for insoluble protein isolation, an aliquot of lysate equivalent to 2 mg of

total protein was added to a sterile, ice-cold Eppendorf tube and the volume was

equalized across all samples by addition of ice-cold lysis buffer containing protease,

phosphatase inhibitor and deacylase inhibitor (20 mM Tris base, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl,

1 mM MgCl2, 30mM NAD, 5mM Trichostatin A), typically to 1.2 mL. The protein solution

was centrifuged in a 4°C pre-cooled benchtop centrifuge at 20,000×g for 15 minutes to

pellet aqueous-insoluble proteins. The supernatant was collected as the aqueous-soluble

fraction and stored at -80°C. The insoluble pellet was incubated in 1 mL of 1% (w/v) SDS

or 1% (w/v) sarkosyl lysis buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitor ((20 mM

Tris base, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 30mM NAD, 5mM Trichostatin A)

overnight at room temperature. The pellet was then vortexed vigorously to dissolve. Each

sample was vortexed for the same time and intensity. The solution was checked every

minute by stereoscopic microscope to determine if the pellet had fully dissolved. A cutoff

of 10 cycles of 1-minute vortexing was applied to define empirically the maximum amount

of vortexing. The sample was centrifuged at room temperature at 20,000×g for 15 minutes

to pellet detergent-insoluble proteins. The supernatant was collected as the 1% SDS or

1% sarkosyl soluble fraction. The remaining insoluble pellet was resuspended in 1 mL of

1% SDS or 1% sarkosyl lysis buffer and solubilized by vigorous vortexing using the same

cutoffs. This was repeated until the third detergent-soluble fraction had been collected.

The remaining pellet we define as the 1% SDS or 1% sarkosyl insoluble protein fraction.

To redissolve the final pellet, 60 μL of 70% (v/v) formic acid was added before sonication

in a Bioruptor at maximum intensity without cooling for 10 cycles of 1 minute on and 30

seconds off. This was followed by probe sonication at 70% intensity for 2 cycles of 20

seconds. The sample was then dried using a SpeedVac at maximum spin speed at 45°C.

The resulting dried pellet was resolubilized in 2× LDS gel loading buffer with 5% (v/v)

beta-mercaptoethanol and sonication in the Bioruptor for 5 cycles of 30 seconds on and

30 seconds off at maximum intensity. Samples were then boiled at 90°C for 10 minutes

before storage at -20°C. The sample containing an unknown quantity of insoluble protein

was then processed for mass spectrometry. An aliquot of the lysate (input) equivalent to

300 μg was processed by mass spectrometry in parallel.

Mass Spectrometry Methods

Proteolytic Digestion

The tissue homogenate or insoluble fraction was resuspended in 100 μL of 4% SDS in

50 mM triethylammonium bicarbonate buffer (TEAB) through vigorous mixing and

incubated at 90°C for 1 hour. Samples were treated with 20 mM dithiothreitol in 50 mM

TEAB at pH 7 at 56°C for 10 minutes, cooled to room temperature for an additional 10

minutes, and alkylated with 40 mM iodoacetamide in 50 mM TEAB (pH 7) at room

temperature for 30 minutes in the dark. The samples were acidified by adding 6.5 μL of

12% phosphoric acid, and a fine precipitate formed after addition of 500 μL S-trap buffer

and mixing by inversion. The entire mixture was added to S-trap spin columns (Protifi)

and centrifuged at 4,000×g for 20 seconds or until fully eluted, followed by a wash with

400 μL of S-trap buffer. Samples were incubated with sequencing-grade trypsin

(Promega) dissolved in 50 mM TEAB (pH 7) at a 1:25 enzyme:protein ratio for 1 hour at

47°C; additional trypsin was added at the same ratio and digestion proceeded at 37°C

overnight. Peptides were eluted from the S-trap columns and dried by centrifugal

evaporation, then resuspended in 800 μL of 1% formic acid in water and desalted using

10 mg Oasis SPE cartridges (Waters) for the lysate or ZipTips for the insoluble fractions.

Desalted elutions were dried by centrifugal evaporation and resuspended in 20 μL of 0.2%

formic acid in water for the insoluble fractions and in 100 μL of 0.2% formic acid in water

for the lysate. Indexed Retention Time Standards (iRT, Biognosys) were added to each

sample according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Chromatographic Separation and Mass Spectrometric Analysis

SDS-insoluble fractions and lysates were acquired on a Waters M-Class HPLC coupled

to a ZenoTOF 7600 mass spectrometer (SCIEX) with an OptiFlow Turbo V Ion Source.

The solvent system consisted of 0.1% formic acid in water (solvent A) and 99.9%

acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid in water (solvent B). Digested peptides were loaded onto a

Luna Micro C18 trap column (20 × 0.30 mm, 5 μm particle size; Phenomenex) over 2

minutes at 10 μL/min using 100% solvent A, and eluted onto a Kinetex XB-C18 analytical

column (150 × 0.30 mm, 2.6 μm particle size; Phenomenex) at 5 μL/min. Insoluble

fractions were separated using a 45-minute linear gradient from 5 to 32% B, followed by

an increase to 80% B for 1 minute, a hold at 80% B for 2 minutes, a decrease to 5% B for

1 minute, and a hold at 5% B for 6 minutes (55 minutes total acquisition time). Lysates

were separated using a 120-minute linear gradient from 5 to 32% B with the same wash

and re-equilibration steps (130 minutes total). For both, 4 μL of digested peptides were

loaded. Ion source parameters were: gas 1 at 10 psi, gas 2 at 25 psi, curtain gas at 30

psi, CAD gas at 7 psi, source temperature 200°C, column temperature 30°C, positive

polarity, spray voltage 5000 V. Data-independent acquisition (SWATH-DIA) was

performed with survey MS1 spectra acquired over 395-1005 Da (accumulation time 100

ms, declustering potential 80 V, collision energy 10 V), with time bins to sum set to 8 and

all channels enabled. MS2 spectra were collected over the same range using 80 variable

windows (accumulation time 25 ms, dynamic collision energy, charge state 2, Zeno

pulsing enabled). Total cycle time was 2.5 seconds.

Sarkosyl-insoluble fractions were acquired on a Dionex UltiMate 3000 system coupled to

an Orbitrap Exploris 480 mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The solvent

system consisted of 2% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid in water (solvent A) and 80%

acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid (solvent B). Digested peptides (1:5 dilution) were loaded

onto an Acclaim PepMap 100 C18 trap column (0.1 × 20 mm, 5 μm particle size; Thermo

Fisher Scientific) over 5 minutes at 5 μL/min with 100% solvent A, and eluted onto an

Acclaim PepMap 100 C18 analytical column (75 μm × 50 cm, 3 μm particle size; Thermo

Fisher Scientific) at 300 nL/min using the following gradient: 2.5 to 24.5% B over 125

minutes, 24.5 to 39.2% B over 40 minutes, up to 98% B in 1 minute, and back to 2.5% B

in 1 minute, with 30 minutes re-equilibration (210 minutes total). Each sample was

acquired in data-independent acquisition (DIA) mode[78–80]. Full MS spectra were collected

at 120,000 resolution (AGC target 3×10^6 ions, maximum injection time 60 ms, 350-1,650

m/z) and MS2 spectra at 30,000 resolution (AGC target 3×10^6 ions, automatic injection

time, NCE 30, fixed first mass 200 m/z). The DIA isolation scheme consisted of 26

variable windows covering 350-1,650 m/z with 1 m/z overlap[79].

DIA-MS Data Processing, Quantification, and Statistical Analysis

Because SDS- and sarkosyl-insoluble fractions were acquired on independent

instruments with distinct DIA schemes, all quantitative analyses were performed within

acquisition runs; age-dependent fold changes were calculated independently for each

detergent condition and were not compared in absolute magnitude across fractions.

SDS-insoluble and lysate data were analyzed using Spectronaut (version
17.6.230428.55965; Biognosys). The lysate was searched against the *H. sapiens*
panhuman spectral library (PMID: 25977788, UniProt) with 20,526 entries (accessed
08/28/2015); the SDS-insoluble fraction was searched using the DirectDIA algorithm
against the Human Reference Proteome (reviewed proteins only, UniProt) with 20,388
entries (accessed 07/31/2021). Sarkosyl-insoluble data were analyzed using Spectronaut
(version 19.4.241104.62635; Biognosys) with DirectDIA against the *Homo sapiens*
reference proteome with 20,411 entries (UniProtKB-SwissProt, accessed 10/06/2023).

For all searches, dynamic data extraction parameters and precision iRT calibration with
local (SDS) or non-linear (sarkosyl) regression were used. Trypsin/P was specified as the
digestion enzyme, allowing for specific cleavages and up to two missed cleavages.
Methionine oxidation and protein N-terminus acetylation were set as dynamic
modifications, while carbamidomethylation of cysteine was set as a static modification.
Protein identification at the group level required at least 2 unique peptide identifications
and was performed using a 1% q-value cutoff for both precursor ion and protein levels.
Quantification was based on the peak areas of extracted ion chromatograms (XICs) of 3-
6 MS2 fragment ions, specifically b- and y-ions, with 1% q-value data filtering applied. For
lysate data, cross-run normalization (SDS acquisition) or local normalization (sarkosyl
acquisition) was applied; insoluble fraction data were not normalized, to avoid bias driven
by differences in total protein quantity across samples. Relative protein abundance
changes were compared using paired t-tests with p-values corrected for multiple testing
using the Storey method[81] with group-wise testing corrections. Proteins with at least two
unique peptides, q < 0.05, and $|\log_2(\text{fold change})| > 0.58$ were considered significantly
altered.

Data Availability

Raw data and complete MS data sets have been uploaded to the Mass Spectrometry Interactive Virtual Environment (MassIVE) repository, developed by the Center for Computational Mass Spectrometry at the University of California San Diego, and can be downloaded using the following link: (MassIVE ID number: ProteomeXchange ID:

Western Blotting

To validate mass spectrometry findings, western blotting was performed on SDS-insoluble and sarcosyl-insoluble pellet fractions from a subset of the same cohort used for proteomic analysis. Pellet fractions were resuspended in 2xLDS buffer and boiled for 10 minutes, before equal volumes were loaded onto 4-12% Bis-Tris gels and resolved by SDS-PAGE. Proteins were then transferred to nitrocellulose membranes via semi-dry transfer using a Biorad transblot turbo. Membranes were blocked in 5% non-fat milk in TBS-T for 1 hour at room temperature, then incubated overnight at 4°C on a rocker with primary antibodies against PIN1 (mouse monoclonal, 1:2000, Cat. No. 68127-1-Ig, Proteintech), Cystatin C (CST3 rabbit polyclonal, 1:6000, Cat. No. 12245-1-AP, Proteintech), total tau (Tau5, mouse monoclonal, 1:500, Cat. No. AHB0042, Invitrogen), and HTT (rabbit polyclonal, N-terminal, 1:150, Cat. No. H7540, Sigma-Aldrich). Following primary antibody incubation, membranes were washed in TBS-T and incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (anti-mouse or anti-rabbit as appropriate; 1:2000 dilution) for 2 hours at room temperature. Protein bands were visualised using Thermo Scientific SuperSignal West Pico kit and imaged on a Bio-Rad ChemiDoc system. Band intensities were quantified by densitometry using FIJI (ImageJ). As equal volumes rather than equal protein concentrations were loaded per fraction, band intensities were not normalized to a loading control; this approach preserved the native protein yield differences between samples, consistent with the approach applied to the mass spectrometry data.

Computational Methods

Dimensionality Reduction

PLS-DA dimensionality reduction analysis was performed using the mixOmics package in R.

Functional Enrichment Analysis

All functional enrichment analysis was performed using the gProfiler web server[82]. For GO and pathway enrichment analyses, the applicable experimentally measured background universe was incorporated, and the Benjamini-Hochberg method was used with an FDR correction threshold of 5%.

Protein Trajectory Modeling and Clustering

To characterize age-related changes in protein abundance and insolubility, we fitted three regression models to each protein: linear regression (abundance ~ age), natural cubic spline regression (df = 3), and generalized additive models (GAM with penalized thin-plate spline, k = 10-15, REML optimization). For each protein, abundance values were first z-scored within protein to enable cross-protein comparison.

Model performance was assessed using adjusted R^2 (linear, spline) or deviance explained (GAM), root mean square error (RMSE), and smooth term p-value. The best-fitting model was selected as that with highest adjusted R^2/deviance explained. Technical variability was quantified as the coefficient of variation (CV) of raw abundance across individuals. Proteins were filtered for trajectory clustering based on quantile thresholds applied to model fit metrics: adjusted R^2 > 75th percentile, RMSE < 75th percentile, CV > 25th percentile (to retain dynamically changing proteins), and $p < 0.05$. These thresholds were calculated separately for each fraction (lysate, SDS-insoluble, sarkosyl-insoluble) and for aggregation ratio (insoluble/lysate) analyses. Filtered proteins were clustered by k-means (k = 6, seed = 42) based on mean z-scored values within discrete age groups: Young (20-35 yrs), Middle (45-55 yrs), Old (65-75 yrs), and Geriatric (80-88 yrs).

Robustness Estimations

Leave-one-out (LOO) analysis was performed to assess sensitivity of age-related changes to individual subjects. For each fraction, we iteratively excluded each geriatric subject (n = 14 for SDS, n = 11 for sarkosyl) and recalculated the median log2 fold change (Geriatric vs Young) across all detected proteins. Robustness was evaluated using sign consistency, signal-to-noise ratio, coefficient of variation, and 95% confidence intervals derived from the LOO distribution. To test whether influential subjects showed evidence of preclinical AD pathology, we calculated Pearson correlations between LOO influence and sarkosyl-insoluble abundance of APP and MAPT.

Because biobank source and age group were partially confounded in this cohort, with younger subjects drawn predominantly from one consortium site and older subjects from another, we did not include biobank as a covariate. Post-mortem interval, the primary technical variable associated with tissue banking, was modeled directly. We fitted per-protein linear models (log2 abundance ~ age group + PMI) for all proteins reaching significance in the primary analysis (q < 0.05, Geriatric vs Young) and compared the sign of the covariate-adjusted Geriatric-versus-Young coefficient to the original log2 fold change. PMI reached nominal significance (p < 0.05) for 3.7% and 0.6% of SDS and sarkosyl proteins respectively. Within age groups represented by multiple biobank sites, abundance profiles were highly correlated across sites for both the full detected proteome and age-changing proteins (r = 0.94-0.97), indicating that site of origin does not introduce systematic bias. We further tested whether age effects were reproducible within individual biobank sites by comparing mean log2 abundance between adjacent age groups within Maryland (Young vs Middle) and Harvard (Old vs Geriatric); 93.5% of significant sarkosyl-accumulating proteins increased from Old to Geriatric within Harvard subjects and 90.1% increased from Young to Middle within Maryland subjects.

Cell Composition Analysis

Cell proportion estimation was performed using the BrainDeconvShiny App website, which uses the dTangle algorithm to estimate brain cell proportions based on published

712 single cell/nuclei datasets[83]. We used the consensus reference panel 'MultiBrain,' which

713 brings together five independent studies characterizing brain cell expression profiles, to

714 estimate cell proportions based on lysate protein abundance data[83]. dTangle achieved a

715 goodness of fit of approximately 0.4.

Biophysical Feature Enrichment Analysis

Proteins were annotated with biophysical and post-translational modification features.

Continuous features included: intrinsic disorder content (AlphaFold-derived percent

disorder[48]), classical aggregation propensity (TANGO score, Zagg [41,42]), supersaturation

(taken from[44]), LLPS propensity (catGRANULE, FuzDrop [45,46]), and predicted solubility

(CamSol variant score[43]). Sequence length, isoelectric point (pI), hydrophobicity

(GRAVY), and secondary structure propensities (helix, sheet, turn) were taken from

UniProt[47]. Categorical features included: RNA-binding capacity (Gene Ontology),

chaperone-mediated autophagy (CMA) targeting motif presence [49], ubiquitination site type

(K48, K63), phosphorylation, and glycosylation status were taken from Uniprot[47].

For continuous features, group distributions were compared using Wilcoxon rank-sum

tests. Categorical features were compared using Fisher's exact tests. For each feature,

z-scores were calculated to standardize effect sizes across continuous and categorical

feature types. Therefore, for continuous features, the z-score was defined as the

difference between the group mean and the reference mean, divided by the reference

standard deviation, and for categorical features, the z-score was defined as the difference

in proportions, standardized by the expected binomial variation of the reference

proportion. P-values were corrected for multiple testing within each comparison group

using Benjamini-Hochberg FDR correction

Multivariate analysis of biophysical predictors

To test whether LLPS predictor enrichment among sarkosyl-accumulating proteins could

be explained by correlated features such as sequence length, we performed logistic

regression with accumulator status as the outcome variable. The background population

consisted of all proteins detected in the sarkosyl-insoluble fraction (n = 2,208 with
complete feature annotations). Accumulators were defined as proteins showing
significant increase in the Geriatric versus Young comparison (q < 0.05, $\log_2$ fold change
> 0.58; n = 245 in the model). We first fitted a detection-only model including sequence
length, lysate abundance, and unique peptide counts as predictors. We then fitted a full
model adding LLPS propensity scores (catGRANULE, FuzDrop). All continuous
predictors were z-scored prior to model fitting. Sequence length, which showed marginal
association with accumulation in the detection-only model (p = 0.074), was no longer
significant after including LLPS predictors (p = 0.74). Both catGRANULE and FuzDrop
remained significant after controlling for sequence length, abundance, and peptide counts
(p = 0.042 and p = 0.0005, respectively). Likelihood ratio testing confirmed that LLPS
predictors significantly improved the model (p = 3.6×10^{-5}).

Alzheimer's Disease Pathology Enrichment

AD-associated proteins were obtained from the NeuroPro database, which has
plaqueome and tangleome protein sets[55]. CSF biomarkers were proteins taken from a
meta-analysis of CSF biomarkers and filtered to those from at least three independent
studies[56]. Overlap between each insoluble proteome and the AD proteomes was
quantified using Fisher's exact tests, with fold enrichment calculated relative to the
hippocampal proteome to visualize baseline enrichment and aging-specific incremental
enrichment. All p values were adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg FDR method.
Venn/Euler diagrams were generated using the eulerr package in R to visualize set
relationships.

Enrichment of proteostasis network and cell type-specific proteins in the
insoluble proteome

We used Fisher's exact tests to assess whether proteins from specific proteostasis
network (PN) branches or cell types were over-represented among (i) proteins detected
in each insoluble fraction relative to the lysate-detected proteome, and (ii) age-changing
proteins within each fraction relative to all detected proteins in that fraction. PN

annotations were obtained from the Proteostasis Network Consortium database[60,61] and

restricted to proteins with exclusive single-branch membership across nine branches

(mitochondrial, autophagy, translation, ER, cytosolic chaperones, lysosomal,

proteasome/UPS, extracellular, nuclear). Cell type markers for six brain cell types were

obtained from the BRETIGEA R package[62].

Proteostasis network and cell type predictors of insoluble protein burden

Two per-individual outcome variables were defined: summed log10-transformed

abundance of age-decreasing SDS-insoluble proteins and age-increasing sarkosyl-

insoluble proteins ($q < 0.05$, |log2FC| > 0.58, Geriatric vs Young). For each PN branch

and cell type, a per-individual signature score was calculated as the mean z-scored

lysate abundance of all detected branch members. Each score was tested in a linear

model adjusting for age. BRETIGEA cell type signatures were tested using the same

framework. To distinguish mitochondrial proteostasis capacity from overall mitochondrial

abundance, total mitochondrial protein content was estimated using the annotations

from MitoCarta 3.0[84] and tested as an alternative predictor.

The translation branch was decomposed into eight mutually exclusive subcategories by

function and compartment: cytosolic and mitochondrial ribosomal proteins, cytosolic

initiation factors, cytosolic elongation/termination factors, cytosolic aminoacyl-tRNA

synthetases, mitochondrial translation factors, and cytosolic and mitochondrial ribosome

biogenesis factors. Additionally, six co-translational proteostasis categories were

defined: nascent chain chaperones (NAC/RAC), ribosome quality control (RQC),

UFMylation, mitochondrial import (TOM/TIM), ER import (SRP/SEC61), and the

TRiC/CCT chaperonin. TR breakdown was tested independently of the nine-branch

framework. Per-individual signature scores were calculated as the mean z-scored lysate

abundance of all detected subcategory members.

To assess multicollinearity among proteostasis predictors in joint models, we calculated

variance inflation factors (VIF) using the car package in R; all VIF values were below 3,

well under the threshold of 5-10 typically considered problematic for coefficient stability.

Acknowledgements

We thank the NIH NeuroBioBank for providing post-mortem brain samples. This research was supported by NIH grants RFAG057358 (G.J.L), and R01AG02963 (G.J.L), the Larry L. Hillblom Foundation LLHF Ctr Supp. and NIA U01AG045844 (G.J.L), the University of Southern California and Buck Institute Nathan Shock Center P30AG068345 (MPI: Verdin, Curran, Cohen, Lithgow) and Cellular Senescence and Beyond Core (Schilling) (E.A), and The Diana Jacob Kalman American Federation for Aging Research (AFAR) Scholarship (E.A).

Ethics Statement

Collection and distribution procedures at each Brain and Tissue Repository are conducted under IRB-approved protocols at their respective institutions. Because this study used de-identified post-mortem tissue, it was determined not to constitute human subjects research and was exempt from additional IRB review.

References

1.   López-Otín, C., Blasco, M. A., Partridge, L., Serrano, M. & Kroemer, G. Hallmarks of aging: An expanding universe. *Cell* **186**, 243–278 (2023).

2.   Hartl, F. U. Protein Misfolding Diseases. *Annu. Rev. Biochem* **86**, 21–26 (2017).

3.   Molzahn, C. *et al.* Shift of the insoluble content of the proteome in the aging mouse brain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **120**, e2310057120 (2023).

4.   Reis-Rodrigues, P. *et al.* Proteomic analysis of age-dependent changes in protein solubility identifies genes that modulate lifespan. *Aging Cell* **11**, 120–127 (2012).

5.   David, D. C. *et al.* Widespread protein aggregation as an inherent part of aging in C. elegans. *PLoS Biol.* **8**, 47–48 (2010).

6.   Kelmer Sacramento, E. *et al.* Reduced proteasome activity in the aging brain results in ribosome stoichiometry loss and aggregation. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **16**, MSB209596 (2020).

7.   Demontis, F. & Perrimon, N. FOXO/4E-BP signaling in Drosophila muscles regulates organism-wide proteostasis during aging. *Cell* **143**, 813–825 (2010).

8.   Ross, C. A. & Poirier, M. A. Protein aggregation and neurodegenerative disease. *Nature Medicine 2004 10:7* **10**, S10–S17 (2004).

9.   Thibeault, J. *et al.* Sarkosyl: A milder detergent than SDS for identifying proteins with moderately high hyperstability using gel electrophoresis. *Anal. Biochem.* **571**, 21–24 (2019).

10.   Mate de Gerando, A. *et al.* Aqueous extractable nonfibrillar and sarkosyl extractable fibrillar Alzheimer's disease tau seeds have distinct properties. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* **12**, 145 (2024).

11.   Xiong, L.-W., Raymond, L. D., Hayes, S. F., Raymond, G. J. & Caughey, B. Conformational change, aggregation and fibril formation induced by detergent treatments of cellular prion protein. *J. Neurochem.* **79**, 669–678 (2001).

12.   Breyer, J. *et al.* Detergents modify proteinase K resistance of PrPSc in different transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs). *Vet. Microbiol.* **157**, 23–31 (2012).

13.   Gozal, Y. M. *et al.* Proteomics Analysis Reveals Novel Components in the Detergent-Insoluble Subproteome in Alzheimer's Disease. *J. Proteome Res.* **8**, 5069–5079 (2009).

14.   Diner, I., Nguyen, T. & Seyfried, N. T. Enrichment of Detergent-insoluble Protein Aggregates from
Human Postmortem Brain. *JoVE* e55835 (2017) doi:doi:10.3791/55835.

15.   Klucken, J. *et al.* Clinical and biochemical correlates of insoluble α-synuclein in dementia with
Lewy bodies. *Acta Neuropathol.* **111**, 101–108 (2006).

16.   Chang, A. *et al.* Homotypic fibrillization of TMEM106B across diverse neurodegenerative
diseases. *Cell* **185**, 1346-1355.e15 (2022).

17.   Takahashi, M. *et al.* Extracellular association of APP and tau fibrils induces intracellular aggregate
formation of tau. *Acta Neuropathol.* **129**, 895–907 (2015).

18.   Tatzelt, J., Prusiner, S. B. & Welch, W. J. Chemical chaperones interfere with the formation of
scrapie prion protein. *EMBO J.* **15**, 6363–6373 (1996).

19.   Thibeault, J. *et al.* Sarkosyl: A milder detergent than SDS for identifying proteins with moderately
high hyperstability using gel electrophoresis. *Anal. Biochem.* **571**, 21–24 (2019).

20.   Li, L. *et al.* Alzheimer's disease brain contains tau fractions with differential prion-like activities.
Acta Neuropathol. Commun. **9**, 28 (2021).

21.   Nizhnikov, A. A. *et al.* Proteomic Screening for Amyloid Proteins. *PLoS One* **9**, e116003- (2015).

22.   Miyasaka, T. *et al.* Visualization of Newly Deposited tau in Neurofibrillary Tangles and Neuropil
Threads. *J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol.* **64**, 665–674 (2005).

23.   Smith, D. P. *et al.* Concentration Dependent Cu2+ Induced Aggregation and Dityrosine Formation
of the Alzheimer's Disease Amyloid-β Peptide. *Biochemistry* **46**, 2881–2891 (2007).

24.   Douglas, P. M. *et al.* Chaperone-dependent amyloid assembly protects cells from prion toxicity.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **105**, 7206–7211 (2008).

25.   Lam, H. T., Graber, M. C., Gentry, K. A. & Bieschke, J. Stabilization of α-Synuclein Fibril Clusters
Prevents Fragmentation and Reduces Seeding Activity and Toxicity. *Biochemistry* **55**, 675–685
(2016).

26.   McEwan, W. A. *et al.* Cytosolic Fc receptor TRIM21 inhibits seeded tau aggregation. *Proceedings
of the National Academy of Sciences* **114**, 574–579 (2017).

27.   Darricau, M. *et al.* Tau seeds from patients induce progressive supranuclear palsy pathology and
symptoms in primates. *Brain* **146**, 2524–2534 (2023).

28.   Bernis, M. E. *et al.* Prion-like propagation of human brain-derived alpha-synuclein in transgenic
867   mice expressing human wild-type alpha-synuclein. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* **3**, 75 (2015).

868   29.   Zampar, S., Di Gregorio, S. E., Grimmer, G., Watts, J. C. & Ingelsson, M. "Prion-like" seeding and
869   propagation of oligomeric protein assemblies in neurodegenerative disorders. *Front. Neurosci.*
870   **Volume 18-2024**, (2024).

871   30.   Jucker, M. & Walker, L. C. Propagation and spread of pathogenic protein assemblies in
872   neurodegenerative diseases. *Nat. Neurosci.* **21**, 1341–1349 (2018).

873   31.   Douglas, P. M. *et al.* Chaperone-dependent amyloid assembly protects cells from prion toxicity.
874   *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **105**, 7206–7211 (2008).

875   32.   Arrasate, M., Mitra, S., Schweitzer, E. S., Segal, M. R. & Finkbeiner, S. Inclusion body formation
876   reduces levels of mutant huntingtin and the risk of neuronal death. *Nature* **431**, 805–810 (2004).

877   33.   Tartaglia, G. G. & Vendruscolo, M. The Zyggregator method for predicting protein aggregation
878   propensities. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **37**, 1395–1401 (2008).

879   34.   Sormanni, P. & Vendruscolo, M. Protein solubility predictions using the camsol method in the
880   study of protein homeostasis. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **11**, (2019).

881   35.   von Bergen, M., Barghorn, S., Biernat, J., Mandelkow, E.-M. & Mandelkow, E. Tau aggregation is
882   driven by a transition from random coil to beta sheet structure. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta
883   (BBA) - Molecular Basis of Disease* **1739**, 158–166 (2005).

884   36.   Hardy, J. & Selkoe, D. J. The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease: progress and problems
885   on the road to therapeutics. *Science* **297**, 353–356 (2002).

886   37.   Visser, B. S., Lipiński, W. P. & Spruijt, E. The role of biomolecular condensates in protein
887   aggregation. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* **8**, 686–700 (2024).

888   38.   Mathieu, C., Pappu, R. V & Taylor, J. P. Beyond aggregation: Pathological phase transitions in
889   neurodegenerative disease. *Science (1979).* **370**, 56–60 (2020).

890   39.   Schweighauser, M. *et al.* Age-dependent formation of TMEM106B amyloid filaments in human
891   brains. *Nature 2022 605:7909* **605**, 310–314 (2022).

892   40.   Vecchi, G. *et al.* Proteome-wide observation of the phenomenon of life on the edge of solubility.
893   *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**, 1015–1020 (2020).

894   41.   Tartaglia, G. G. & Vendruscolo, M. The Zyggregator method for predicting protein aggregation
895   propensities. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **37**, 1395–401 (2008).

896   42.   Fernandez-Escamilla, A.-M., Rousseau, F., Schymkowitz, J. & Serrano, L. Prediction of sequence-
897   dependent and mutational effects on the aggregation of peptides and proteins. *Nat. Biotechnol.*
898   **22**, 1302–1306 (2004).

899   43.   Sormanni, P., Aprile, F. A. & Vendruscolo, M. The CamSol Method of Rational Design of Protein
900   Mutants with Enhanced Solubility. *J. Mol. Biol.* **427**, 478–490 (2015).

901   44.   Ciryam, P., Kundra, R., Morimoto, R. I., Dobson, C. M. & Vendruscolo, M. Supersaturation is a
902   major driving force for protein aggregation in neurodegenerative diseases. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.*
903   **36**, 72 (2015).

904   45.   Hardenberg, M., Horvath, A., Ambrus, V., Fuxreiter, M. & Vendruscolo, M. Widespread occurrence
905   of the droplet state of proteins in the human proteome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
906   *Sciences* **117**, 33254–33262 (2020).

907   46.   Monti, M. *et al.* catGRANULE 2.0: accurate predictions of liquid-liquid phase separating proteins at
908   single amino acid resolution. *Genome Biol.* **26**, 33 (2025).

909   47.   Consortium, T. U. UniProt: the Universal Protein Knowledgebase in 2025. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **53**,
910   D609–D617 (2025).

911   48.   Jumper, J. *et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583–589
912   (2021).

913   49.   Kirchner, P. *et al.* Proteome-wide analysis of chaperone-mediated autophagy targeting motifs.
914   *PLoS Biol.* **17**, e3000301- (2019).

915   50.   Woltjer, R. L. *et al.* Proteomic determination of widespread detergent insolubility, including Aβ but
916   not tau, early in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. *The FASEB Journal* **19**, 1923–1925
917   (2005).

918   51.   Hales, C. M. *et al.* Changes in the detergent-insoluble brain proteome linked to amyloid and tau in
919   Alzheimer's Disease progression. *Proteomics* **16**, 3042–3053 (2016).

920   52.   Kepchia, D. *et al.* Diverse proteins aggregate in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's
921   disease brain. *Alzheimers Res. Ther.* **12**, 75 (2020).

53.   Guo, Q. *et al.* Targeted Quantification of Detergent-Insoluble RNA-Binding Proteins in Human
Brain Reveals Stage and Disease Specific Co-aggregation in Alzheimer's Disease. *Front. Mol.*
Neurosci. **Volume 14-2021**, (2021).

54.   Bai, B. *et al.* U1 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein complex and RNA splicing alterations in
Alzheimer's disease. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **110**, 16562–16567
(2013).

55.   Askenazi, M. *et al.* Compilation of reported protein changes in the brain in Alzheimer's disease.
Nat. Commun. **14**, 4466 (2023).

56.   de Geus, M. B., Nairn, A. C., Arnold, S. E. & Carlyle, B. C. A compilation of reported alterations in
the cerebrospinal fluid proteome in Alzheimer's disease. *Brain Commun.* **7**, fcaf202 (2025).

57.   Kim, H. J. *et al.* Mutations in prion-like domains in hnRNPA2B1 and hnRNPA1 cause multisystem
proteinopathy and ALS. *Nature* **495**, 467–473 (2013).

58.   Moujalled, D. *et al.* Phosphorylation of hnRNP K by cyclin-dependent kinase 2 controls cytosolic
accumulation of TDP-43. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **24**, 1655–1669 (2015).

59.   Vilariño-Güell, C. *et al.* VPS35 Mutations in Parkinson Disease. *The American Journal of Human*
Genetics **89**, 162–167 (2011).

60.   Consortium, T. P. *et al.* A Comprehensive Enumeration of the Human Proteostasis Network. 1.
Components of Translation, Protein Folding, and Organelle-Specific Systems. *bioRxiv*
2022.08.30.505920 (2022) doi:10.1101/2022.08.30.505920.

61.   Consortium, T. P. *et al.* A Comprehensive Enumeration of the Human Proteostasis Network. 2.
Components of the Autophagy-Lysosome Pathway. *bioRxiv* 2023.03.22.533675 (2023)
doi:10.1101/2023.03.22.533675.

62.   McKenzie, A. T. *et al.* Brain Cell Type Specific Gene Expression and Co-expression Network
Architectures. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 8868 (2018).

63.   Choi, I. *et al.* Microglia clear neuron-released α-synuclein via selective autophagy and prevent
neurodegeneration. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 1386 (2020).

64.   Sperling, R. A. *et al.* Toward defining the preclinical stages of Alzheimer's disease:
Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on
diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's & Dementia* **7**, 280–292 (2011).

65.   Tanase, M. *et al.* Role of carbonyl modifications on aging-associated protein aggregation. *Sci.*
952   *Rep.* **6**, (2016).

953   66.   Xie, X. *et al.* Quantification of insoluble protein aggregation in caenorhabditis elegans during aging
954   with a novel data-independent acquisition workflow. *Journal of Visualized Experiments* **2020**, 1–17
955   (2020).

956   67.   Hong, S. *et al.* Dynamic Analysis of Amyloid β-Protein in Behaving Mice Reveals Opposing
957   Changes in ISF versus Parenchymal Aβ during Age-Related Plaque Formation. *The Journal of*
958   *Neuroscience* **31**, 15861 (2011).

959   68.   Kawaguchi, Y. *et al.* The Deacetylase HDAC6 Regulates Aggresome Formation and Cell Viability
960   in Response to Misfolded Protein Stress. *Cell* **115**, 727–738 (2003).

961   69.   Zatloukal, K. *et al.* p62 Is a Common Component of Cytoplasmic Inclusions in Protein Aggregation
962   Diseases. *Am. J. Pathol.* **160**, 255–263 (2002).

963   70.   Gamerdinger, M., Kaya, A. M., Wolfrum, U., Clement, A. M. & Behl, C. BAG3 mediates
964   chaperone-based aggresome-targeting and selective autophagy of misfolded proteins. *The EMBO*
965   *Reports* **12**, 149–156 (2011).

966   71.   Banani, S. F., Lee, H. O., Hyman, A. A. & Rosen, M. K. Biomolecular condensates: organizers of
967   cellular biochemistry. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **18**, 285–298 (2017).

968   72.   Sacramento, E. K. *et al.* Reduced proteasome activity in the aging brain results in ribosome
969   stoichiometry loss and aggregation. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **16**, 9596 (2020).

970   73.   Walther, D. M. *et al.* Widespread proteome remodeling and aggregation in aging C. elegans. *Cell*
971   **161**, 919–932 (2015).

972   74.   Wang, X. & Chen, X. J. A cytosolic network suppressing mitochondria-mediated proteostatic
973   stress and cell death. *Nature* **524**, 481–484 (2015).

974   75.   Mielke, M. M., Vemuri, P. & Rocca, W. A. Clinical epidemiology of Alzheimer's disease: Assessing
975   sex and gender differences. *Clinical Epidemiology* vol. 6 37–48 Preprint at
976   https://doi.org/10.2147/CLEP.S37929 (2014).

977   76.   Mielke, M. M., Ferretti, M. T., Iulita, M. F., Hayden, K. & Khachaturian, A. S. Sex and gender in
978   Alzheimer's disease – Does it matter? *Alzheimer's & Dementia* **14**, 1101–1103 (2018).

77.   Félix-Redondo, F. J., Grau, M. & Fernández-Bergés, D. Cholesterol and cardiovascular disease in
the elderly. Facts and gaps. *Aging Dis.* 154–169. (2013).

78.   Collins, B. C. *et al.* Multi-laboratory assessment of reproducibility, qualitative and quantitative
performance of SWATH-mass spectrometry. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 291 (2017).

79.   Bruderer, R. *et al.* Optimization of Experimental Parameters in Data-Independent Mass
Spectrometry Significantly Increases Depth and Reproducibility of Results *. *Molecular & Cellular*
Proteomics **16**, 2296–2309 (2017).

80.   Gillet, L. C. *et al.* Targeted Data Extraction of the MS/MS Spectra Generated by Data-independent
Acquisition: A New Concept for Consistent and Accurate Proteome Analysis * . *Molecular &*
Cellular Proteomics **11**, (2012).

81.   Burger, T. Gentle Introduction to the Statistical Foundations of False Discovery Rate in
Quantitative Proteomics. *J. Proteome Res.* **17**, 12–22 (2018).

82.   Kolberg, L. *et al.* g:Profiler—interoperable web service for functional enrichment analysis and gene
identifier mapping (2023 update). *Nucleic Acids Res.* **51**, W207–W212 (2023).

83.   Sutton, G. J. *et al.* Comprehensive evaluation of deconvolution methods for human brain gene
expression. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 1358 (2022).

84.   Rath, S. *et al.* MitoCarta3.0: an updated mitochondrial proteome now with sub-organelle
localization and pathway annotations. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, D1541–D1547 (2021).

Table 1. Functional classification of sarkosyl-insoluble accumulators (n = 303)

Functional category	n	Proteins
Cytoskeletal		
Actin cytoskeleton & cell adhesion	41	ABLIM2, ACTN1, ACTN2, ADD1, AFDN, AHNAK, AHNAK2, ANK2, CORO1A, CORO1C, CRACDL, CRKL, CTNNA2, CTTN, DBNL, DIAPH1, DMTN, DST, FMN2, FSCN1, GSN, ITGB4, LASP1, LIMCH1, LPP, MICA... PALM, PLEC, PLEKHA6, PPP1R12A, SORBS1, SORBS2, TAGLN3, TJP1, TJP2, TLN2, TNS3, TPPP, TW... VIM, WDR1
Microtubule & motor proteins	15	ATCAY, C1orf198, CCDC6, CEP170, DCTN2, DCTN4, DYNC1I1, KLC4, KRT86, LZTFL1, MAPRE3, PAFA... TACC1, TBCB, TBCC
Synaptic		
Postsynaptic scaffolding & signaling	23	AKAP12, BAIAP2, BAIAP3, CTNND2, DBN1, DLG4, GUCY1A1, HOMER1, ITPR1, ITPR2, MAGI2, MAP2, PDE2A, PLCD1, PLXNA1, PRKAR2B, PTK2B, RTN1, RTN3, RTN4, SIPA1L1, SYNPO, TNIK
Presynaptic machinery	13	CADPS2, DNM1, DNM3, GAD2, NECAB1, PTPRN, SCRN1, SH3GL3, STXBP1, SYN1, SYN2, SYN3, SYN...
14-3-3 proteins	6	YWHAB, YWHAE, YWHAG, YWHAH, YWHAQ, YWHAZ
Dystrophin-associated complex	5	DTNA, DTNB, SNTA1, SNTB1, SNTB2
Mitochondrial		
Energy metabolism	28	ACAD9, ACO2, ALDH18A1, ALDH6A1, BCKDHA, CHDH, CPT2, CRAT, CYP27A1, DDAH2, DECR2, DGLU... DLAT, GLS, HSD17B10, IDH2, LDHD, MCCC1, MMUT, NDUFS1, NFS1, NIT1, OGDH, OGDHL, OXCT1, P... PDPR, SUCLA2
Proteostasis & import	8	AFG3L2, GRPEL1, HSPA9, PPIF, SPRYD4, TOMM34, TOMM70, TRAP1
RNA & translation	7	DARS2, HARS2, MRPS27, PUS1, SARS2, SLIRP, SUPV3L1
Membrane trafficking		
Endosomal trafficking	26	AAK1, AP1G1, AP1M1, APPL1, APPL2, AVL9, EHD3, EPN1, EPS15, EPS15L1, GGA3, HGS, ITSN1, NEC... PDCD6IP, PTPN23, RBSN, RELCH, SGIP1, SGSM1, SPART, STAM2, TOM1, VPS26B, VPS29, VPS35
ER & secretory pathway	10	ARHGAP5, FAM126B, NRBP1, PCYT2, PREPL, SACM1L, SEC16A, SEC31A, TMED8, USO1
Proteostasis		
Ubiquitin-proteasome system	13	F8A1, NSFL1C, OTUB1, PLAA, PSMF1, RAD23A, UBA1, UBE4A, UBLCP1, UCHL1, USP14, USP25, USP...
Cytosolic chaperones	12	BAG3, DNAJB1, FKBP1A, FKBP4, HSP90AA1, HSP90AB1, HSPA4L, HSPB1, HSPB8, PPIA, SGTB, SUG...
Autophagy & selective clearance	7	CTSD, MAP1S, PIP4K2B, PPT1, SMCR8, SQSTM1, WDR81
Metabolism & redox		
Lipid & small molecule metabolism	17	ATIC, CKB, CMBL, CNDP2, FASN, GPI, NAGK, OLA1, PAFAH1B3, PDXK, PEX19, PGAM1, PITPNM3, PP... PREP, RAP1GDS1, TKT
Redox & detoxification	10	AKR1A1, CBR1, CCS, GATD3B, GCLC, GSTM3, GSTM5, NCOA7, OXR1, TXNRD1
RNA & translation		
RNA-binding & mRNA processing	13	ADAR, ELOB, HNRNPA2B1, HNRNPK, HNRNPL, LARP1, RBM14, SND1, TNPO1, UPF2, XPO7, XPOT, ZC3HAV1
Translation machinery	9	AARS1, EIF3CL, EIF4A2, EIF4H, EIF5, FARSA, HARS1, LRRC47, YARS1
Signaling & regulation		
Kinase/phosphatase & signaling	11	CARM1, GNB2, ITPKB, MAPK3, OGA, PPM1F, PRMT1, PTPA, REPS2, RPS6KA2, STRN3
Small GTPase regulation	11	ARHGAP26, ARHGDIA, C2CD5, CDC42EP4, PSD2, PSD3, RABGGTA, RALGAPA1, RAP1GAP2, RASA1... TIAM2
Other		
Collagen & extracellular matrix	5	COL18A1, COL1A1, COL6A2, COL6A3, HSPG2
Glial-associated	4	ALDH1L1, AQP4, MLC1, RLBP1
Immune response	4	BTN3A3, IFIT1, IFIT3, LYSMD2
Unknown	3	PHYHD1, PHYHIPL, WDR17
Disease-associated aggregation	2	HTT, TMEM106B

Proteins reaching significance (q < 0.05, Geriatric vs Young) in the sarkosyl-insoluble fraction were assigned to non-overlapping functional categories based on GO annotations and manual curation.

Figure 1: Brain aging causes proteome-wide, asymmetric remodeling of the insoluble aggregate proteome

Figure 1: Brain aging causes proteome-wide, asymmetric remodeling of the insoluble aggregate proteome. A. Schematic representation of subject ages, insoluble protein fractionation with mass spec. workflow. **B.** Overlap of detected insoluble proteins in each fraction. **C.** Volcano plots of SDS insoluble protein abundance Log$_2$(Fold Change) for young (n=12) vs. middle (n=12), vs. old (n=14), and vs. geriatric (n=14), Storey method with paired t-tests and p-values corrected for multiple testing using group-wise testing corrections, significance = |Log$_2$(FC)| > 0.58, Q < 0.05. **D.** As in (C) with Sarkosyl insoluble protein abundance, n: young=9, middle=9, old=9, geriatric =11. **E.** Δ z score average change in abundance per year for every protein in lysate, SDS insoluble pellet and SDS insoluble index (insoluble / lysate). **F.** As in (E) with Sarkosyl insoluble proteome. **G.** Clustered trajectories of age-changing SDS insoluble proteins (k = 6). H. As in (G) with Sarkosyl insoluble proteome.

Figure 2: Liquid-liquid phase separation and intrinsic aggregation properties define aggregation state changes during brain aging

Figure 2: Liquid-liquid phase separation and intrinsic aggregation properties define aggregation state changes during brain aging. A. Heatmap showing enrichment of biophysical and functional features amongst insoluble proteins compared to the hippocampal proteome. Z-scores calculated as the difference between group and background central tendency (mean or median), normalized by background dispersion. Continuous variables: Welch's t-test with Hedges' g effect size (normal distribution) or Wilcoxon rank-sum test with Cliff's delta (non-normal dist.), all use Benjamini-Hochberg FDR correction **B.** As in (A) with enrichment of age-changing proteins compared to insoluble detected proteins (non-changing). **C.** Boxplots for select features that show significant effects in the sarkosyl age-changing group. Extreme outlier proteins represented by grey points. Back = Insoluble detected proteins in SDS and Sarkosyl. Hipp – Hippocampal proteome. ***q < 0.001, **q < 0.01, *q < 0.05, #q <0.1.

Figure 3: Proteins that accumulate in sarkosyl-insoluble aggregates are enriched with AD plaque, tangle and CSF proteins

Figure 3: Proteins that accumulate in sarkosyl-insoluble aggregates are enriched with AD plaque, tangle and CSF proteins A. Barplots showing percentage of insoluble proteome common with AD plaques, tangles, and CSF biomarkers. Proteome = Hippocampal proteome, Fischer's exact test. **B.** Forest plot showing fold enrichment of insoluble proteome in AD plaques, tangles, and CSF biomarkers. Grey = insoluble detected, Blue = SDS age-changing proteins, Red = Sarkosyl age-changing proteins, Fisher's exact test. **C**. Overlap of sarkosyl accumulators with plaques and tangles. **D** lolipop plots showing Log$_2$(Fold Change) using geriatric vs young comparison for the 58 insoluble proteins common to sarkosyl accumulation, plaques and tangles. Blue = SDS, Red = Sarkosyl. Solid circle = significant, open circle = not significant. ***q < 0.001, **q < 0.01, *q < 0.05, #q <0.1, ##q <0.2,

Figure 4: Mitochondrial and synaptic proteins accumulate in sarkosyl insoluble aggregates during brain aging

Figure 4: Mitochondrial and synaptic proteins accumulate in sarkosyl insoluble aggregates during brain aging. **A**. Barplots showing proportion of sarkosyl accumulating proteins across functional GO categories. **B**. STRING protein-protein interaction network diagram showing sarkosyl accumulating mitochondrial proteins.

Figure 5: Proteostasis network capacity and cell type composition predict individual insoluble protein burden

A

Figure 5. Proteostasis network capacity and cell type composition predict individual insoluble protein burden. **A** Forest plots showing the association between lysate-level proteostasis branch signature scores and the burden of age-changing insoluble proteins Left = SDS, Right = Sarkosyl. Points show the beta coefficient +/- 95% confidence interval from a linear model adjusting for age (insol. burden ~ age + predictor), Red = significant positive predictor, Blue = significant negative predictor. **B.** As in (A), age-adjusted linear model but using cell type signature scores and insoluble burden. OPC = Oligodendrocyte Precursor Cells, #p <0.1.

Supplementary Figure 1

A. Barplot of top enriched GO terms for insoluble proteins common to both SDS and Sarkosyl fractions compared against the hippocampal proteome background, all q<0.05, Fisher's one-tailed test with Benjamini-Hochberg FDR correction. **B** As in (A) with top enriched KEGG pathway terms. **C.** Barplot of top enriched GO terms for insoluble proteins measured exclusively in the Sarkosyl fraction compared against the Sarkosyl insoluble detected as background, all q<0.05, Fisher's one-tailed test with Benjamini-Hochberg FDR correction. **D.** Loess plots of Log_{10}(Total Insoluble Signal) against subject age for SDS insoluble proteome (left) and Sarkosyl insoluble proteome (right), each data point represents an independent biological sample (individual donor). **E.** Loess plots of z-scored insoluble Tau protein abundance against subject age for SDS (left) and Sarkosyl (right), each data point represents an independent biological sample (individual donor).

Supplementary Figure 2

A. Western blot of SDS insoluble PIN1, 6 young and 6 old. **B**. Western blot of SDS insoluble CST3, 6 young and 6 old. **C**. Western blot of Sarkosyl insoluble MAPT (Tau), 4 young and 4 geriatric. **D**. Western blot of sarkosyl insoluble HTT, 4 young and 4 geriatric. For all blots each lane represents an independent biological sample (individual donor).

Supplementary Figure 3

A

A Scatter plot for all proteins detected in both fractions showing Δz-score mean change over aging in the Sarkosyl fraction and SDS fraction. Spearman correlation line shows positive correlation.

Supplementary Figure 4

A Violin plot showing Δz-score mean change over aging for synaptic SDS insoluble proteins vs SDS insoluble detected proteins in terms of abundance in the pellet, abundance in the lysate, and the insolubility index (pellet / lysate), Mann Whitney U test. **B**. As in (A) with synaptic Sarcosyl insoluble proteins. **C-D**. As in (A-B) with post-synaptic density (PSD) insoluble proteins, Mann Whitney U test. **E.** Cell type proportion estimates for major central nervous system cell types. Pearson correlation analysis, estimated using dTangle and multibrain human reference signature, each data point represents an independent biological sample (individual donor).

Supplementary Figure 5

A Overlap between detected insoluble proteomes and plaquome. **B** Overlap between detected insoluble proteomes and tangleome. **C**. Overlap between age-changing proteins and plaqueome for SDS insoluble proteins (left) and Sarkosyl insoluble proteins (right). **D** As in © with tangleome for both fractions. Yellow = plaques, Green = Tangles, Blue = SDS, Red = Sarkosyl.

Supplementary Figure 6

A Fold enrichment of proteostasis branch proteins in the insoluble proteome, Left = SDS, Right = Sarkosyl (Grey bars: insoluble detected, Blue: SDS age-changing, Red: Sarkosyl age-changing. **B** As in A, fold enrichment but using cell type signature scores and insoluble burden. Fisher's exact test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction. **C.** Forest plots showing β coefficient of age-adjusted linear models for translation-associated proteostasis network branch broken down into sub-branches left = SDS, right = Sarkosyl. Points show the beta coefficient +/- 95% confidence interval from a linear model adjusting for age (insol. burden ~ age + predictor). Red: significant positive (p < 0.05); Blue: significant negative (p < 0.05); grey, non-significant. # = p<0.1. MI = mitochondrial, CY = cytoplasmic, UPS = proteasomal, AP = autophagy. ***q < 0.001, **q < 0.01, *q < 0.05, #q <0.1. QC = Quality Control.