From Light to Acetate: How Trophic Conditions Shape Growth and Cell Cycle Progression

in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*

Rabinder Singh[1], Firas Louis[1], P. V. Sijil[1], Martín Mora-García[1], Bipasha Bhattacharjee[1], Kateřina

Bišová[1]

[1] Laboratory of Cell Cycles of Algae, Centre Algatech, Institute of Microbiology of the Czech

Academy of Sciences, 237, Trebon 379 01, Czech Republic

rabindersingh@alga.cz

Abstract

The unicellular green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* provides a tractable model for investigating

how carbon availability influences metabolic organization and cell-cycle control in photosynthetic

eukaryotes. Its capacity for autotrophic (light, CO_2), mixotrophic (light, CO_2, acetate), and

heterotrophic (acetate, dark) growth enables systematic analysis of trophic-state-dependent

regulation. We performed comparative transcriptomic analyses of strain 21gr grown under these

three regimes at 30 °C. Mixotrophy resulted in the highest biomass accumulation and was

associated with earlier cell-cycle commitment compared with autotrophy, whereas heterotrophy

displayed delayed commitment and reduced growth. Transcriptomic profiling revealed coordinated

upregulation of central carbon metabolic pathways under mixotrophy, including photorespiration,

glycolysis, the oxidative pentose phosphate pathway, and tricarboxylic acid cycle functions,

consistent with enhanced carbon flux and biosynthetic capacity. In contrast, heterotrophy

preferentially induced acetate assimilation and glyoxylate cycle genes and was accompanied by

elevated expression of cell-cycle regulators, including the CDK-inhibitory kinase WEE1. Together,

these findings indicate that trophic mode modulates the coupling between carbon metabolism and

cell-cycle progression, with mixotrophy supporting integrated metabolic and proliferative activity,

whereas heterotrophy is associated with delayed cell-cycle timing and transcriptional signatures of

metabolic adjustment.

Keywords: autotrophy, mixotrophy, heterotrophy, cell cycle, cell division, commitment point,

physiological responses, *Chlamydomonas*.

Introduction

The unicellular green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* is a genetically tractable model organism for investigating eukaryotic photosynthesis [1], cellular metabolism [2], and the cell cycle [3]. *C. reinhardtii* shares key pathways with other algae and land plants [4] and offers the advantages of a unicellular model with a fully sequenced haploid genome and robust genetic tools [5]. It can grow photoautotrophically using light as the sole energy source for carbon dioxide fixation, heterotrophically by utilizing acetate as a carbon and energy source, or mixotrophically by combining both light and acetate [6-8]. It grows easily at large scale and synchronizes with light/dark cycles, making it an ideal system for studying gene expression and cell division patterns [2]. Despite significant progress, there remains a substantial gap in our understanding of the biochemistry and regulatory mechanisms governing metabolic processes in *C. reinhardtii*, especially regarding how the cells reprogram their physiology in response to varying energy and carbon sources. Each trophic mode imposes distinct metabolic demands, influencing key cellular processes such as glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, amino acid biosynthesis, energy storage, and cell cycle progression [9].

To assimilate C_2 compounds such as acetate, C. reinhardtii uses an ATP-dependent entry into the glyoxylate cycle, generating C_4 intermediates that can subsequently be converted into amino acids or soluble carbohydrates [10]. Acetate suppresses photosynthesis while enhancing respiration under light and air bubbling, potentially through increased alternative oxidase activity [11-14]. Acetate availability is linked to altered expression of nuclear-encoded, chloroplast-targeted light-harvesting proteins (LHCII chlorophyll a/b–binding proteins), with acetate promoting their accumulation in the dark and attenuating expression during illumination [15]. The synergistic effect of acetate, light, and inorganic carbon attenuates the increased expression of isocitrate lyase, the essential glyoxylate

cycle enzyme required for acetate assimilation [15,16]. Consistent with light-dependent metabolic reprogramming, *C. reinhardtii* tends to route acetate through glyoxylate cycle–linked metabolism under light, whereas in darkness mitochondrial respiration increases and TCA cycle activity becomes comparatively more active [17]. An assimilatory kinetics study on heterotrophy and mixotrophy in *C. reinhardtii* showed complete consumption of 20 mM acetate within the first 12 hours in heterotrophy. The kinetics were slower in mixotrophy, suggesting competing entry of carbon via CO_2 fixation during mixotrophic growth [18]. A transcriptomic study under photoautotrophic and heterotrophic conditions showed distinct gene expression patterns, with genes related to glycolysis, the TCA cycle, and oxidative phosphorylation upregulated during heterotrophic growth, suggesting an efficient supply of energy and carbon skeletons for rapid growth of C. reinhardtii [19]. This aligns with metabolic flux balance analyses showing that C. reinhardtii utilizes distinct metabolic pathways depending on its growth conditions [8]. A recent isotope-assisted metabolic flux analysis indicated that acetate induces a coordinated rewiring of central carbon metabolism, promoting carbon conservation via activation of the glyoxylate cycle and repression of gluconeogenesis. Despite partial inhibition of photosynthesis, acetate supplementation supported higher growth rates in mixotrophy compared to autotrophic growth [20]. Rapid metabolic adaptation to acetate may also be facilitated by post-translational protein modifications, which act as a reversible switch enabling proteins to change their activities, functions, or localizations in the cell [21,22].

C. reinhardtii divides by multiple fission, a mechanism in which the cell increases its size manyfold (routinely more than eightfold) during a prolonged G1 phase. This growth phase is followed by multiple rounds (*n*) of alternating S/M phases, yielding numerous daughter cells (2^n, usually 4–16). This strategy allows the cells to separate growth and division both temporally and functionally [3,23]. During the G1 phase, the cell reaches a control point, the commitment point (CP), where it becomes

irreversibly committed to completing a division sequence even if conditions change (e.g., transfer

from light to dark). The CP is conceptually similar to START in yeast and the restriction point in

animal cells [3]. To reach the CP, the cell must grow until it attains a critical size. Thus, CP attainment

is growth-dependent, and faster growth (at higher light and more favorable temperatures) leads to

earlier CP. The critical cell size remains constant over a wide range of light conditions [24]. Prolonged

growth beyond the CP leads to attainment of additional CPs; commonly, three CPs are reached

within one cell cycle, resulting in the production of eight daughter cells. A mitotic sizer couples

mother cell size to division number (n) so that daughter size distributions are uniform regardless

of mother size distributions [25]. The combination of two (interlinked) sizers, a CP sizer and a mitotic

sizer, ensures uniform CP and daughter cell sizes and makes these sizes an important characteristic

of cell behavior.

Here, we studied cell cycle progression in synchronized cultures under different trophic conditions,

reasoning that trophic conditions are a fundamental aspect of natural ecosystems and cells should

be able to respond accordingly to acclimate to environmental changes. This study provides a direct

comparison of the effects of the three trophic modes, under the same growth conditions, on cell

growth and cell cycle progression, offering insights into optimal growth conditions and cell

acclimation to changing environments. Our main goal was to analyze CP attainment under these

different trophic conditions, hypothesizing that CP attainment is growth-dependent but also serves

as the main ON switch for cell cycle entry, thereby translating different metabolic inputs into entry

or non-entry outcomes. To this end, we sampled at biologically defined time points before and after

CP attainment to identify components and mechanisms shared by or distinct to CP attainment under

different trophic conditions. This study focuses on the distinct features; the common ones will be

described elsewhere. The timing of the sampling points also allowed us to analyze early phases

after the trophic switch. We present an integrated analysis of gene expression, cell cycle

organization, photosynthetic performance, and energy reserves in synchronized cultures grown

under autotrophic (AUTO), mixotrophic (MIXO), and heterotrophic (HETERO) conditions. Of the

three cultures, MIXO exhibited high metabolic activity, cellular respiration, carbohydrate and lipid

metabolism, and a highly active TCA cycle. HETERO conditions showed delayed DNA replication,

increased DNA damage, and elevated expression of the CDK inhibitory kinase WEE1, which was

not observed in the other two conditions. The data provide comprehensive insights into the

metabolic and regulatory adaptations of C. reinhardtii under varying trophic conditions and

demonstrate the complex interplay between carbon availability, energy metabolism, and cell cycle

progression.

Materials and methods

Growth conditions

C. *reinhardtii 21 gr* (CC–1690, wild type; Chlamydomonas Resource Center, St. Paul, Minnesota,

USA, http://www.chlamy.org, accessed on 27 July 2025) was grown in tubular glass vessels (final

volume 300 ml) in a high salt mineral medium (HSM) [26], at 30 ◦C, with air-CO_2 (2% v/v) aeration.

The vessels were illuminated from one side by fluorescent tubes (OSRAM DULUX L55 W/950

Daylight, Milano, Italy), the light intensity at the culture vessel surface was 500 $\mu mol \cdot m^{-2} \cdot s^{-1}$ of

photosynthetically active radiation (PAR).

To synchronize population growth, alternating cycles of 12 hour (h) light and 12 h dark were

applied to the cultures being diluted to a constant cell number at the beginning of each light period

[27,28].At the beginning of the experiment, the synchronized cell population was diluted to a starting

concentration of ~1×10^6 cell. mL^{-1} in either HSM (AUTO) or TAP medium (MIXO, HETERO)

[29,30] and grown at 30 °C under continuous light of 500 $\mu mol \cdot m^{-2} \cdot s^{-1}$ of PAR for AUTO and MIXO,

and in dark for HETERO. All the cultures were bubbled by 2% (v/v) (2,000 ppm) CO_2 in air. The

experiments were run as biological triplicates, and the cultures were sampled at regular intervals

(either hourly or bi-hourly) (Fig. 1).

Cell cycle analyses and cellular division evaluation

The attainment of CPs was assessed (bi-)hourly in aliquots (1 mL) of the culture placed on HSM

plates and incubated in the dark at 30 °C until cell division was completed in the parental culture

[31]. The plates were then examined directly under a light microscope (magnification 10 × 40). For

each sample, the number of large single undivided mother cells and mother cells divided into 2, 4,

or 8 daughter cells (at least 150 mother cells) were counted, and the cumulative percentage of each

category was calculated and plotted against time. Mother and daughter cells can be easily

distinguished by differences in cell size, and cell clumps can be distinguished by the specific

morphology of the divided mother cells.

Cell division was assessed in samples that were taken (bi-)hourly, fixed with glutaraldehyde (final

concentration 0.2%) and observed under a light microscope (magnification 10 × 40). The

cumulative percentage of undivided mother cells or mother cells divided into 2, 4, or 8 daughter

cells were calculated and plotted against time.

Cell culture doubling time (*Td*) was calculated using the formula:

$$Td = (t_x - t_0)\ln(2) / \ln(N_x/N_0) \tag{1}$$

At the start of the experiment (t_0), N_0 represents the initial number of cells, while N_x denotes the

number of cells measured at the end of the experiment (time point t_x) [32]. The time intervals are

expressed in hours. Additionally, the cell division number was determined using the ratio N_x/N_0,

where N_0 and N_x correspond to the cell counts at the beginning and end of the experiment,

respectively.

Cell volume and dry mass

Cell volumes were measured with the Multisizer 4 (Beckman Coulter) in glutaraldehyde fixed samples (final concentration 0.2%) by diluting 50 µL of fixed cells in 10 mL 0.9% NaCl. The results were given as modal volume values of the cells in the sample. For determining daughter cell size, 10 mL aliquots from 9 h and 11 h of the cell cycle were aerated at 30 ∘C in the dark until division was complete (not more than 24 h from start of illumination), samples were fixed with glutaraldehyde and analyzed.

Biomass was separated from the medium by centrifugation of 5 mL of the cell suspension in pre-weighed microtubes at 3000× g for 5 min; the sediment was dried at 105 °C for at least 12 h (until stable weight) and weighed on an analytical balance (TE214S-0CE, Sartorius, Goettingen, Germany) [33].

Quantum yield

The quantum yield of photosynthesis was measured as the F_V/F_M ratio of chlorophyll fluorescence. Aliquots of 2 ml were taken from the culture and darkened for 30 min in 10 mm × 10 mm plastic cuvettes at room temperature. The cell suspension was mixed gently by inverting the cuvettes several times before measurement. Quantum yield was measured using an Aqua-Pen-C 100 (Photon Systems Instruments, Drasov, Czech Republic) set according to the manufacturer's instructions [34].

DNA, RNA and proteins quantification

Total nucleic acids were extracted according to [35], as modified by [36]. The DNA assay was carried out as described by [37], with modifications of [38]. The sediment remaining after nucleic acid

168 extraction was quantified for protein content according to the procedure described by Lowry and

169 Randall [38]. The data are expressed in pg of DNA, RNA and protein content per cell.

Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed in three biological replicates (n = 3). Presented results are the

average and standard deviations from all three replicates. MS Excel 2010 (Microsoft) with Real

Statistics Resource Pack (https://www.real-statistics.com/free-download/real-statistics-resource-

pack/, accessed on 27 May 2025) was used to generate statistics. Comparison between

experimental treatments was performed using the two-way ANOVA test and Tukey's HSD test. A

p-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

RNA extraction and library preparation

RNA was isolated using the ZiXpress Viral DNA/RNA Extraction Kit (Zinexts; cat. no. ZP02201-

192) on the ZiXpress robot (Zinexts) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Contaminating

DNA was removed by in-column DNase treatment (NEB; cat. no. M0303) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. RNA fragmentation and rRNA depletion were performed using the

QIAseq FastSelect kit (QIAGEN; cat. no. 334315). Libraries were prepared using the NEBNext

Ultra II Directional Library Prep Kit (NEB; cat. no. E7760) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. All purification steps were carried out using SPRIselect beads (Beckman Coulter; cat.

no. B23319). Library quality was assessed by agarose gel electrophoresis. The libraries were

subsequently sequenced by Novogene (paired end, 150 bp; PE150) on an Illumina platform.

Transcriptome data analysis

188 Raw sequencing reads were assessed for quality using FastQC [39]. Adapters were removed from and

189 the low-quality reads and cleaned using fastp [40]. Cleaned reads were mapped to indexed

Chlamydomonas reinhardtii CC-4532 v6.1 genome and transcriptome (https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/) [41], using Salmon (version 1.10.2) [42]. The Salmon quantification files were made readable using tximport [39]. PCA of the transcriptome was performed separately on each comparison using the R package PCA tools (v2.16.0) on mRNAs with a minimum average FPKM (17, 369 genes). The count data were tested for differential expressions using edgeR (v4.8.2) [43], with the GLM likelihood algorithm [44]. Significant differential expression was defined as |log2 fold-change| > 2, FDR < 0.05. Mean transcript abundances (as FPKMs) were Z-score normalized across different trophic conditions (AUTO VS MIXO, HETERO vs AUTO, HETERO vs MIXO). Heatmaps were generated using the R package pheatmap (version 1.0.13. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=pheatmap). Functional enrichment analysis was performed using MapMan [45] annotations for *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* using *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* CC-4532 v6.1. Common transcriptional expression patterns throughout AUTO, MIXO, and HETERO treatments, we performed by k-means clustering of their normalized expression patterns, implemented by pRocessomics R package (v.0.1.13, github.com/Valledor/pRocessomics)[46].

Results

Growth in heterotrophy is very slow

To directly compare the effect to the different trophic regimes on cell growth, metabolism, and cell cycle progression, we synchronized cultures of *C. reinhardtii* in minimal medium and exposed them to different trophic regimes for a duration of a single cell cycle (Fig. 1). The experiment started with cultures composed of daughter cells at concentration approximately $1*10^6$ cell.mL^{-1}. The individual cultures were provided with CO_2 alone (AUTO) or in combination with acetate (MIXO, HETERO) in light (500 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1}, AUTO, MIXO) or in dark (HETERO). Cellular growth in algae is largely governed by the activity of organelles such as chloroplasts containing

photosynthetic machinery. Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence is a quick and reliable indicator for

detecting stress to photosynthesis responses in microalgae and serves as physiological indicator of

cellular responses to varying environmental conditions [47]. To assess the efficiency of light energy

conversion during photosynthesis, we measured the maximum quantum yield of photosystem II

(Fv/Fm). All the values were within the normal physiological range, confirming no damaging effect

of any trophic regime nor the light intensity used (Fig. 2c). AUTO and MIXO depicted similar

patterns of PSII (Fv/Fm) with slight drop at 1 hour after the light onset, then improved to previous

values. At the end of cultivation, the QY for the MIXO culture dropped slightly, but for all

conditions it remained above ~0.5 suggesting non-stress growth conditions and healthy

photosynthetic machinery [48]. Between the two light grown cultures there was no difference in

chlorophyl accumulation, while the dark grown HETERO culture did not accumulate any further

chlorophyl (Fig. 2h, i).

When assessing the growth, the MIXO cultures grew the fastest of the three (Fig. 2a). This was

further confirmed by final dry cell weight (DCW) which was highest under MIXO, reaching 617.07

$\pm$ 130.79 pg·cell^{-1}, followed by AUTO at 308.85 $\pm$ 63.85 pg·cell^{-1}. HETERO cultures grew the

slowest and accumulated the lowest biomass, 44.72 $\pm$ 14.08 pg·cell^{-1} (Fig. 2a). The cell volumes

(μm^3), another growth parameter, ranged in AUTO between 56.73 $\mu m^3 \pm 1.00$ (in young daughter

cells at the beginning of the cell cycle) and 329.17 $\mu m^3 \pm 41.39$ (mature mother cells at the 12th h

before division), i.e. increase about 5.8 fold (Fig. 2b). These large cells began dividing after 14 h,

resulting in a decrease in cell volume to 56.73 $\mu m^3 \pm 2.07$ and a concomitant increase in cell density.

Similarly, in MIXO cell volumes ranged from 49.56 $\mu m^3 \pm 5.49$ in young daughter cells to 368.23

$\mu m^3 \pm 49.01$ in mature mother cells at the 14th h, i. e. increase about 7.4 fold. In HETERO, cell

volumes didn't change significantly, young daughter cells of size 52.04 $\mu m^3 \pm 1.99$, remained same

in size throughout experiment (Fig. 2b). Total RNA content increase (5.7-fold in MIXO, 10-fold in

AUTO) did not match the extent of cell volume increases, suggesting different cell composition

between the two growth modes (Fig. 2e). In HETERO cultures, the accumulation of total RNA was

notably slow, and the RNA content increased only ~1.49-fold (Fig. 2e). Similar pattern was also

seen for the bulk protein content (Fig. 2f).

Starch accumulation was the highest in MIXO conditions, increasing more than 43-fold over a

period of 20 hours. In AUTO, starch content increased about 33-fold within 19 hours. The lowest

starch accumulation was observed in HETERO, with increase l.7-fold, i. e. less than two-fold

effectively decreasing the cell starch content between the daughter cells at the beginning and end

of the experiment (Fig. 2g). Lipid accumulation was also notably higher in MIXO,

reaching $13.32 \, \text{pg·cell}^{-1}$ within 20 hours. In comparison, AUTO exhibited lipid levels

approximately ten times lower, with a maximum of $2.16 \, \text{pg·cell}^{-1}$ over the same time frame. In

HETERO, the lipid content was negligible at the threshold of detection, and no lipid accumulation

was observed throughout the growth period (Fig. 2j).

Cell Cycle Progression Differs between Growth Regimes

Synchronized culture in AUTO, sequentially attained three CPs, with midpoints at approximately

3, 6, and 12 h after the onset of light (Fig. 3a). The total cell cycle duration in AUTO was 19 hours.

Similarly, MIXO attained three CPs, with midpoints at approximately 2, 4, and 10 h (Fig. 3b). The

CP attainments in MIXO were advanced compared to AUTO. In HETERO, CP attainment was

delayed with only about 50 % of the cells committing. The committed cells passed two CPs with

midpoints at approximately 20 and 24 h (Fig. 3c). Consistent with the low commitment rate, only

~50% of cells divided, most producing four daughter cells (division number = 2). The critical sizes

at which first CP was attained were (Table 2).

S/M phase started by the 11th to 12th hour of the cell cycle in both AUTO and MIXO. It was

completed by the 16th hour in AUTO and 20th hour in MIXO. During this time, DNA multiplied

about 10-times both in AUTO (from 0.2 pg. $cell^{-1}$ to 2.36 pg. $cell^{-1}$) and MIXO (from 0.39 pg. $cell^{-1}$

^{1} to 4.13 pg. $cell^{-1}$) (Fig. 2d) corresponding to the production of at least 8 daughters per mother

cells. In HETERO, the total DNA rose about 4-fold (from 0.11 pg·$cell^{-1}$ to 0.52 pg·$cell^{-1}$) over the

60-hour cultivation period (Fig. 2d).

Distinct transcriptomic profile in heterotrophy

Due to differences in growth among the regimes, sampling them at the same time after the trophic

switch would introduce additional variability, as samples would be affected by both the trophic

regime and the growth rate. To avoid this, we sampled for transcriptomics at biologically equivalent

timepoints: right before CP attainment (Pre-CP) and soon after CP attainment (Post-CP), that is, at

times when cells in any condition reached critical size and were ready to enter the cell cycle (Fig.

1). The timing of Pre-CP and Post-CP sampling was determined independently for each trophic

condition based on the commitment point assay, ensuring that samples corresponded to similar

biological states despite differences in growth rates between AUTO, MIXO, and HETERO. While

CP attainment in AUTO and MIXO conditions occurred at a similar timepoint early after cell cycle

start, it was significantly delayed in HETERO cultures. As a result, the samples from AUTO and

MIXO conditions were inherently closer both in biologically and temporally. Given the rapid CP

attainment in AUTO and MIXO, these samples reflected the immediate effects of the trophic switch.

In contrast, samples from HETERO cultures reflected acclimation to the trophic switch. The

sampling design thus captured (i) transcriptional responses associated with early metabolic

reprogramming after the trophic switch (Pre-CP), and (ii) entry into cell division (Post-CP).

We detected approximately 17,369 genome-wide transcripts in all trophic regimes (AUTO, MIXO,

and HETERO). Across the genome, RNA abundances varied depending on the trophic conditions.

Principal component analysis (PCA) of the RNA-Seq data revealed that most transcriptomic

variation was driven by differences in growth conditions, with only a smaller proportion

attributable to the cell cycle stage (Fig. 4). Additionally, strong similarity was found between

biological replicates, as demonstrated by Pearson correlation coefficients (*r*), confirming

reproducibility (Supplementary Figure 1 and Supplementary Data Set 1).

We employed differential expression modeling to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs)

that were either overlapping or uniquely upregulated or downregulated in AUTO, MIXO, and

HETERO treatments (Supplementary DataSet_2, 3, and 4). Differentially expressed genes were

considered significant if they had an adjusted p-value ≤ 0.05 and an absolute log2 fold change

($|log2FC|$) ≥ 2. The largest number of significant DEGs was identified between Post-CP MIXO vs.

Post-CP HETERO, with approximately 6,098 significantly altered transcripts—3,328 upregulated

and 2,770 downregulated (Fig. 5f; Supplementary DataSet_4). This was followed by Post-CP

AUTO vs. Post-CP HETERO, with about 5,017 significant transcripts, of which 2,455 were

upregulated and 2,562 were downregulated (Fig. 5e; Supplementary DataSet_3). The difference

between mixotrophic to heterotrophic growth and switch from autotrophic to heterotrophic growth

was marked by strong oscillation in the expression of genes involved in heterotrophic metabolism,

indicating that the absence of light and the presence of external carbon sources strongly induced

heterotrophic metabolism. Furthermore, in Post-CP MIXO vs. Post-CP AUTO, approximately

4,575 significant DEGs were observed, with 2,079 upregulated and 1,196 downregulated (Fig. 5d;

Supplementary DataSet_2). Additional shared and unique responses in these comparisons were

also identified (Supplementary DataSet_2). To further analyze the biological processes underlying

the global expression shifts, we performed pathway-level analyses. Below we outline several

examples of metabolic pathways with distinct behavior under different trophic conditions.

Differential expression was evaluated using log2 fold-change > 2 and FDR < 0.05, and expression

patterns were also assessed by Z-score normalization.

Photosynthesis

In Post-CP MIXO compared with Post-CP AUTO, photosynthesis-related genes were upregulated,

including the PSI light-harvesting protein LHCA4 (logFC = 2.19), LHCII chlorophyll a/b–binding

proteins such as LHCBM1 (logFC = 3.19), and LHCII antenna subunits (logFC = 3.17)

(Supplementary DataSet_2). In Post-CP HETERO compared with Post-CP AUTO, we found

similar patterns, with LHCA4 (logFC = 3.43) and LHCA1 (logFC = 2.22874) upregulated in Post-

CP HETERO (Supplementary DataSet_3). [49] demonstrated that acetate in TAP medium protects *C.*

reinhardtii from photoinhibition by altering the PSII charge recombination reaction, thus lowering

the production of singlet oxygen ($^1O_2$) compared to autotrophy. In contrast, it has also been reported

that under light conditions, acetate suppresses the light-induced increase in LHCII chlorophyll *a/b*–

binding proteins from approximately 6 hours onward. Despite the difference in timing, our results

may be consistent with this phenomenon as the growth conditions used in our experiments were

supporting faster growth. Alternatively, we captured the initial induction phase rather than the later

acetate-mediated repression [15]. In line with this, recent isotope-assisted metabolic flux analyses

have shown that acetate decreases $^{13}CO_2$ assimilation and Calvin-Benson-Bassham (CBB) cycle

flux by approximately 31%, thereby partially suppressing photosynthesis. Despite this reduction,

mixotrophic cells exhibit higher growth rates than autotrophic cells. These findings suggest that

cells strategically downscale photosynthetic activity to reduce biosynthetic and energetic costs

when acetate provides an efficient external carbon source [20].

Cytochrome b6/f complex genes (petD and petG) showed an increased trend in Post-CP MIXO

based on z-score normalization and were downregulated in Post-CP HETERO (Fig. 4), indicating

trophic state-specific remodeling of PSI light harvesting and the electron transport chain.

Interestingly, we also found that, in Post-CP HETERO vs. Post-CP MIXO, the FdC-type ferredoxin

electron carriers (FdC2 and Fd1/2/3) were upregulated in Post-CP MIXO; increased ferredoxin-

dependent electron partitioning may support light-driven metabolism and biosynthetic demands

during the post-CP-commitment phase in MIXO, as Fd completes the linear electron transport

chain generating NADPH via ferredoxin–NADP$^+$ reductase. In addition, upregulation of the

thylakoid grana stacking regulatory factor (CRUT) was also found in Post-CP MIXO compared to

Post-CP HETERO.

Acetate assimilation

In the Post-CP MIXO vs. Post-CP AUTO comparison, the acetate transporter *GFY1-5* (logFC =

2.91), acetyl-CoA synthase (*ACS1*) (logFC = 2.01), and phosphotransacetylase/phosphate

acetyltransferase (*PAT*) (logFC = 2.02) were significantly upregulated (Fig. 5e), indicating

enhanced acetate uptake and increased channeling of acetate-derived carbon into the acetyl-CoA

pool and downstream central metabolism in mixotrophic cells. The involvement of GFY membrane

proteins in acetate uptake has been demonstrated in *Escherichia coli* [50], *Citrobacter koseri* [51],

Saccharomyces cerevisiae [52], and *Aspergillus nidulans* [53], as well as their structural homology with

CrGFY1-5 [54]. In addition, *GFY5* was strongly regulated in Post-CP HETERO relative to Post-CP

AUTO (logFC = 4.86), consistent with the concomitant induction of *ACS2* (logFC = 3.04), a

gateway enzyme that converts acetate to acetyl-CoA for entry into the glyoxylate cycle/TCA cycle

and downstream central carbon metabolism [55]. Strikingly, when we compared Post-CP MIXO vs.

Post-CP HETERO, *GFY4* (logFC = 6.20) was upregulated in Post-CP HETERO. This was also

evident in the Z-score trend, with upregulation of *ACS* and *GFY1–5*, signifying enhanced acetate

uptake and increased conversion of acetate into the acetyl-CoA pool to support carbon and energy

metabolism during heterotrophic growth.

Photorespiration and carbon capture

Among the photorespiration-associated genes, serine glyoxylate aminotransferase (*SGA1*) was

upregulated (logFC = 2.21) in Post-CP MIXO compared to Post-CP AUTO (Fig. 5d;

Supplementary DataSet_2). Z-score trends showed coordinated upregulation of core

photorespiratory components, including *AGT1*, *SHMT1*, *HPR1*, and the terminal enzyme *GLYK1*,

consistent with increased photorespiratory recycling of 2-phosphoglycolate/glycolate to 3-

phosphoglycerate to support redox homeostasis and carbon flux during mixotrophic growth (Fig.

6). A similar induction pattern was observed in Post-CP HETERO compared to Post-CP AUTO.

Notably, although both conditions used acetate, the Post-CP MIXO vs Post-CP HETERO

comparison showed higher Z-scores for *AGT1*, *SHMT1*, *HPR1*, and *GLYK1* in MIXO, indicating

higher photorespiratory activity in MIXO than in HETERO (Fig. 5f).

Genes involved in carbon capture and fixation were upregulated in Post-CP MIXO compared to

Post-CP AUTO, including key CBB cycle components such as fructose-bisphosphate aldolase

(*FBA2–3*), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*GAPA1*), sedoheptulose-1,7-

bisphosphatase (*SBP1*), and transketolase (*TRK1*) (Fig. 6). In parallel, genes involved in central

carbohydrate metabolism also showed higher expression, including the glycolytic genes enolase

(*ENO1*), phosphofructokinase (*PFK1*), fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase (*FBA3*), and pyruvate

kinase (*PYK1*), consistent with elevated carbon flux to support post-CP commitment energy and

biosynthetic demands under mixotrophic growth. In contrast, these genes were expressed at lower

levels in Post-CP HETERO compared to both Post-CP MIXO and Post-CP AUTO, indicating that

Post-CP MIXO maintains more photosynthetically driven, carbon assimilatory metabolic flux than

Post-CP AUTO and Post-CP HETERO, despite the availability of acetate as an external carbon

source. Furthermore, we observed repression of gluconeogenesis-associated genes in Post-CP

MIXO compared to Post-CP AUTO; Post-CP HETERO showed a similar trend, including

downregulation of pyruvate phosphate dikinase (*PPD1*). However, pyruvate carboxylase 1 (*PYC1*)

was upregulated in Post-CP MIXO compared to both Post-CP HETERO and Post-CP AUTO. When

acetyl-CoA is abundant, pyruvate carboxylase diverts pyruvate away from the TCA cycle,

redirecting carbon toward different metabolic routes [56].

Oxidative pentose phosphate pathway

Significant upregulation of Glucose-6-phosphate-1-dehydrogenase (*GLD1*) (logFC = 2.31) was

found in Post-CP MIXO compared to Post-CP AUTO. Higher *GLD1* expression has been

associated with increased oxidative pentose phosphate pathway (OPPP)–driven NADPH

production, aligning with acetate-supported metabolism, biosynthetic demand, and/or redox

balancing when carbon metabolism is elevated and/or photosynthetic reductant is constrained [57].

Consistently, Z-score analysis revealed increased expression of multiple OPPP-associated genes in

Post-CP MIXO, including *GLD1*, 6-phosphogluconolactonase (*PGL1*), ribose-5-phosphate

isomerase (*RPI1*), transketolase (*TRK1*), and ribulose-phosphate 3-epimerase (*RPE2*). In contrast,

GLD1 was significantly upregulated (logFC = 2.29) in Post-CP AUTO compared to Post-CP

HETERO (Supplementary DataSet_3), indicating reduced OPPP participation under heterotrophic

post-CP commitment conditions relative to autotrophy and mixotrophy.

Glyoxylate and TCA pathway

Two key glyoxylate cycle genes, isocitrate lyase (*ICL1*) (logFC = 4.23) and malate synthase

(*MAS1*) (logFC = 5.74), were significantly upregulated in Post-CP MIXO relative to Post-CP

AUTO (Fig. 5d). Their induction was even more pronounced in Post-CP HETERO vs. Post-CP AUTO, reaching logFC values of 9.15 *(ICL1)* and 7.46 *(MAS1)*, respectively (Fig. 5e). In addition, Z-score analysis showed higher expression of *ICL1* and *MAS1* in Post-CP HETERO compared to Post-CP MIXO, signifying higher glyoxylate activity in HETERO than in MIXO (Fig. 6).

Additionally, multiple TCA cycle genes – citrate synthase (*CIS2*), isocitrate dehydrogenase (*IDH2*), 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase (*OGD1*), succinyl-CoA ligase (*SCLA1*), fumarate hydratase (*FUM2*), and malate dehydrogenase (*MDH4*)—showed higher expression in Post-CP MIXO than in Post-CP HETERO. Notably, the succinate dehydrogenase assembly factor *SDHAF4* (logFC = 4.13) and *SCLA1* (logFC = 2.02) were strongly upregulated, supporting a more active TCA-linked respiratory metabolism under mixotrophic growth (Fig. 6).

Amino acid metabolism and redox homeostasis

Ornithine transaminase (*OTA1*; logFC = 2.40) and glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*GPD2*; logFC = 2.92) were significantly upregulated in Post-CP MIXO compared with Post-CP AUTO (Fig. 5e). Z-score profiling further showed enrichment of aspartate aminotransferase (*AST2*), proline dehydrogenase (*PDY1-2*), and threonine dehydratase (*THD1*). In line with this, several genes linked to branched-chain amino acid degradation were also elevated in Post-CP MIXO, including 3-hydroxyisobutyrate dehydrogenase (*HID1*), aldehyde dehydrogenase (*ALDH6*), and thiosulfate sulfurtransferase (*TSU1*), relative to Post-CP AUTO. Taken together, the depletion of branched-chain amino acids and proline supports enhanced amino acid catabolism and a concomitant rerouting of central carbon fluxes under MIXO. Proline catabolism is consistent with previous studies reporting upregulation of *PDY1–2*, indicating that proline oxidation can contribute to ATP production during nutrient remobilization in *Arabidopsis thaliana* [58]. Likewise, acetylornithine deacetylase (*AOD1*; logFC = 2.85), ornithine aminotransferase (*OAT1*; logFC =

419 2.56), glutamate 5-kinase (*PROBI*; logFC = 2.49), and glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase

420 (GPD2; logFC = 2.83) were upregulated in Post-CP MIXO compared with Post-CP HETERO

421 (Supplementary DataSet_5).

Effect of trophic regime on cell cycle regulation

In both AUTO and MIXO, *CDKA1* expression was relatively low in Pre-CP and increased in Post-

CP (Fig. 7). The Z-score showed stronger *CDKA*1 upregulation in Post-CP MIXO than in Post-CP

AUTO. *CDKB1* increased from Pre-CP to Post-CP in AUTO, but in MIXO it showed the opposite

pattern, being reduced in Post-CP and relatively higher at Pre-CP. *CDKB1* expression has been

associated first with pre-commitment and, second, much more strongly during the S/M phase [2,59-

61]. Similar mitosis-associated upregulation has also been described for other CDKB-family

members in plants [62,63]. *CDKC1* showed a similar pattern in both AUTO and MIXO, with lower

expression at Pre-CP and higher expression at Post-CP (Fig. 6). *CDKC1* levels were consistently

higher in MIXO than in AUTO at both Pre-CP and Post-CP. In contrast, *CDKD1* showed higher

expression at Pre-CP than at Post-CP in both AUTO and MIXO, with overall expression again

being higher under MIXO. *CYCA1* and *CYCB1* displayed patterns similar to *CDKB1*. The

expression of *E2F1–DP1* and the retinoblastoma protein (*MAT3*) was higher at Post-CP than at

Pre-CP under both AUTO and MIXO conditions; at the Post-CP stage, their expression was higher

in MIXO than in AUTO [64].

DNA polymerases *POLA2/4* and *PODA1/2* and the ribonucleoside-diphosphate reductase large and

small subunits (*RIR1–2*) showed higher expression at Pre-CP compared to Post-CP in both AUTO

and MIXO. The *POLA2/4* and *PODA1/2* Pre-CP expression was higher in MIXO than in AUTO.

Replication factor C subunits (*RFC1–5*) were strongly upregulated in Post-CP MIXO, together

with increased expression of *MCM5–7*, whereas these DNA replication genes were downregulated

in Post-CP AUTO, indicating active replication machinery in Post-CP MIXO (Fig. 6,

Supplementary DataSet_5).

When comparing MIXO and HETERO, *CDKA1* showed an increased expression trend from PreCP

to Post-CP under both conditions; both PreCP and Post-CP expression levels were markedly higher

in HETERO than in MIXO (Fig. 6). This is consistent with reports showing that CDKA1 protein

is present throughout the cell cycle, whereas substantial CDKA1-associated kinase activity is

detected primarily in actively dividing *C. reinhardtii* [65]. *CDKB1* showed higher expression in Pre-

CP MIXO and was downregulated at Post-CP MIXO, whereas the opposite trend was observed in

HETERO, with lower expression at Pre-CP and increased expression at Post-CP. CDKB1 is

associated first with pre-commitment and is most strongly induced during S/M [2,59-61]. *CYCA1* and

CYCB1 showed higher expression at Post-CP in HETERO compared to MIXO.

Transcripts corresponding to the E2F1–DP1 regulatory module and the MAT3/RB complex were

more abundant in Post-CP HETERO than in Post-CP MIXO. Consistently, Post-CP HETERO also

exhibited markedly higher expression of core cell cycle and DNA replication machinery, including

APC1–10, *MCM2–7*, and *RFC1–5*, relative to Post-CP MIXO. In addition, Post-CP HETERO

showed elevated expression of genes implicated in DNA damage tolerance and repair, including

DNA polymerase η (*POLH2*), DNA polymerase ι (*POLI1*), DNA polymerase ζ (*POLZ1*), and the

structure-specific endonuclease *XPF1* (Fig. 6, Supplementary DataSet_5). Likewise, higher

expression of *WEE1* was found in Post-CP HETERO than in Post-CP MIXO. Wee1 kinase is

regarded as a mitotic inhibitor and acts as a checkpoint for DNA damage response. In *Arabidopsis*

thaliana, elevated *WEE1* kinase expression suppressed growth by arresting cells in the G_2 phase of

the cell cycle, acting as part of the DNA integrity checkpoint that couples mitosis to DNA repair in

cells that suffer DNA damage [66].

Discussion

Growth and photosynthesis

Acetate supplementation is known to enhance metabolism and accelerate growth in many green algae [10,67,68]. Similarly, there was greater accumulation of total lipid and starch in MIXO compared to AUTO and HETERO (Fig. 2h, k). This aligns with metabolite studies showing higher accumulation of both starch and triacylglycerol (TAG) in mixotrophy compared to heterotrophy [69]. This mechanism appears to be general for other algae, such as Parachlorella kessleri TY [70]. Light harvesting efficiency is influenced by both the composition and concentration of photosynthetic pigments. Pigment concentration depends on biomass density and growth conditions, while composition is primarily affected by the intensity and quality of light [71]. Among all treatments, AUTO showed the highest total chlorophyll content, accompanied by high Fv/Fm. A higher Fv/Fm ratio is commonly regarded as increased photosynthetic efficacy, whereas a lower ratio implies PSII photoinhibition [72]. The lowest chlorophyll content was found in HETERO; however, Fv/Fm remained stable. This is consistent with other algae, where total chlorophyll moderately decreases under mixotrophic conditions and is severely reduced in heterotrophy [73].

Based on the transcriptomics, photosynthetic traits were not significantly affected in MIXO compared to AUTO. However, antenna subunits (LHCA4, LHCBM1, LHCII) and cytochrome b6/f complex genes (petD and petG) were upregulated in Post-CP MIXO. In contrast, the RuBisCO large subunit (rbcL) decreased slightly in MIXO, consistent with the established effect of acetate minimizing biosynthetic and energetic investment when an efficient external carbon source is available [17,20,74]. Together, these data suggest that MIXO cells maintain photochemical electron transport [75] while selectively reducing investment in carbon fixation machinery, consistent with acetate partially substituting for carbon derived from the CBB cycle (see below). Acetate-derived

carbon can substitute for half the photoautotrophically generated biomass, while photosynthesis

provides ATP and reductant for acetate assimilation [76]. In heterotrophy, the expression of the PSI

light-harvesting component (LHCA4), the PSII core reaction-center genes (psbA (D1) and psbD

(D2)), and the RuBisCO subunits (rbcL, RBCS1, and RBCS2) increased, consistent with

observations in other green algae [77,78]. This suggests that PSI/PSII and carbon-fixation machinery

are maintained under heterotrophy, rather than being fully unused (Fig. 6). Whether this reflects

functional maintenance or transcriptional persistence without corresponding activity is yet to be

determined, but Fv/Fm ratios suggest that PSII is potentially functional.

Acetate assimilation

Mixotrophy reorganizes central carbon metabolism to optimize carbon retention, redox balance,

and biosynthetic fluxes. Acetate assimilation, or acetyl-CoA ligation, occurs to varying extents in

all three intracellular compartments: 1) via a cytosolic citrate synthase followed by transport into

mitochondria for the TCA cycle, 2) by direct uptake into mitochondria, conversion through a

mitochondrial citrate synthase, and processing through the TCA cycle, and 3) by direct assimilation

into fatty acids in the plastid [79]. Furthermore, acetate assimilation is associated with an increased

number of peroxisomes and elevated expression of genes encoding putative glyoxysomal proteins

[80]. In our experiments under MIXO, both transcripts linked to acetate assimilation (GFY1–5, ACS1,

PAT) and to glyoxylate shunt genes (ICL1, MSA1) were upregulated. However, these genes were

expressed even more strongly in Post-CP HETERO, indicating that acetate assimilation and

glyoxylate cycle flux were more active in HETERO than in MIXO. This is consistent with the

central role of the glyoxylate cycle in acetate assimilation in both HETERO and MIXO [81]. In

addition to the glyoxylate cycle, the TCA cycle remains active under mixotrophy, with acetate-

derived carbon rerouted through the glyoxylate shunt to produce succinate and malate while

bypassing TCA decarboxylation steps and conserving carbon [20]. Consistent with this, nearly all

TCA cycle transcripts were upregulated in Post-CP MIXO compared to Post-CP AUTO and Post-

CP HETERO (Fig. 8).

During mixotrophy in the green alga Chlorella sorokiniana, light enhances photorespiration to

accelerate the glyoxylate cycle and release acetate-derived CO_2 for the CBB cycle [82]. Specifically,

photorespiration produces glyoxylate, which, in the glyoxylate cycle, condenses with acetyl-CoA

to form malate, effectively linking photorespiration with acetate assimilation. Glyoxylate can be

converted into glycine, releasing CO_2 from acetate, followed by carbon fixation in the CBB cycle.

A similar mechanism may also occur in C. reinhardtii, as transcripts associated with

photorespiration – glycolate transporter (PLGG1), glycine decarboxylase complex (GCST1), and

serine hydroxymethyltransferase (SHMT1)—were upregulated in Post-CP MIXO (Fig. 8). The

upregulation of GCST1 and SHMT1 indicates that glycine is transported into the mitochondria,

where two glycine molecules undergo deamination and decarboxylation by GCST1 and SHMT1,

resulting in the formation of one molecule each of serine, ammonia, and carbon dioxide [83]. In

HETERO, these transcripts were also upregulated, with abundance exceeding AUTO but remaining

below MIXO, consistent with photorespiration being maintained in heterotrophy but operating at

a reduced level compared with mixotrophy. In line with this, several CBB transcripts (RuBisCO

subunits rbcL, RBCS1, RBCS2, fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase (FBA), NADPH-dependent

malate dehydrogenase (NADP-MDH)) were elevated in HETERO, suggesting continued CO_2

fixation, with a contribution from internally released CO_2 from acetate catabolism. Flux balance

analysis suggested that mixotrophy also activates the oxidative pentose phosphate (OPP) pathway

[84], which functions as a carbon sink, redirecting photosynthate toward nucleotide biosynthesis and

thereby supporting increased growth rate and biomass production under mixotrophy. In this way,

mixotrophy supports mitochondrial respiration while reducing carbon-fixation flux and NADPH

demand [84]. In our experiments, OPP pathway intermediates were most strongly upregulated in Post-

CP MIXO, showed a more modest increase in Post-CP AUTO, and were downregulated in Post-

CP HETERO (Fig. 8). The downregulation in HETERO may be consistent with an inactive OPP

pathway in heterotrophy in another green alga, Chlorella vulgaris [85]. Taken together, the

transcriptional profile supports coordinated metabolic rewiring under mixotrophy, in which acetate

assimilation, glyoxylate shunt activation, increased TCA flux, and elevated photorespiration

collectively promote carbon conservation and redox flexibility. Rather than representing parallel

pathways, these modules appear functionally integrated to maximize biomass production while

minimizing carbon loss.

Stress response

The strong transcriptional induction of stress-associated genes in HETERO likely reflects the

metabolic imbalance resulting from reliance on acetate without photosynthetic energy input. The

HETERO conditions rapidly induce transcripts that encode heat shock proteins (HSPs, HSP70),

the ribosome-associated molecular co-chaperone (ZRF1), and reactive oxygen species (ROS)

scavenging genes, including superoxide dismutases (FSD1, MSD1), glutathione peroxidases

(GPX1/2/++), catalases (CAT1/2/3), and gamma-tocopherol methyltransferase (VTE4). HSP70

genes are naturally induced by a dark-to-light shift in C. reinhardtii [86,87] and are regulated by a

circadian rhythm to prepare cells for subsequent exposure to high light [88,89]. The HSPs (HSP90,

HSP110, mitochondrial HSP70), genes involved in superoxide dismutase activity, glutathione- and

thiol-based redox regulation, and tocopherol biosynthesis were also upregulated in MIXO,

suggesting that, rather than indicating stress, this expression is related to metabolic reprogramming.

This upregulation of HSP70 seems to be a general mechanism as it was shown also in

mixotrophically grown Chlorella vulgaris [90]. Thus, HSP70 induction may reflect primarily

remodeling demands, such as rebalancing light-harvesting complexes, PSII repair, thylakoid

protein turnover, and changes in ROS production during trophic transition or possible proteostatic

stress.

Cell cycle in different trophic regimes

The original hypothesis driving this study was to identify common and distinct transcriptional

patterns between the trophic regimes. While the common features will be described elsewhere, here

we focus on differences among the treatments. Comparing Post-CP MIXO with Post-CP AUTO

showed similar patterns of cell cycle gene expression with generally higher expression in MIXO

conditions (Fig. 7). We were able to confirm the established patterns [59] of CDKB1, CYCA1, and

CYCB1 with increase in Pre-CP MIXO and decreasing in Post-CP MIXO. Because our sampling

was around the CP, we were unable to observe the major upregulation of these genes during the

S/M phase [2,59,60]. In contrast, the Post-CP HETERO showed increased expression of most cell cycle

genes, including those involved in DNA replication, DNA replication initiation factors, the MCM

complex, CDKs, ribonucleosides, and plastid division (Fig. 7). The CDK-inhibitory kinase WEE1

was highly expressed in Post-CP HETERO, along with several DNA repair factors, including DNA

repair glycosylase (AGE3), uracil DNA glycosylase (UNG1), and translesion DNA polymerases η,

ι, ζ, and κ. Similarly, leucine zipper (bZIP) and WRKY family transcription factors were also

upregulated in Post-CP HETERO. Both families are associated with stress-responsive regulation,

with bZIPs reported in oxidative stress tolerance in C. reinhardtii [91,92] and WRKYs widely reported

in plant stress responses [90,93,94]. A simple explanation stems from very slow growth and late CP

attainment in HETERO, which is reflected in the sampling. For both AUTO and MIXO, the Post-

CP samples were collected early in the cell cycle and at least six hours before the onset of S/M

phase. In contrast, in HETERO, the Post-CP sample preceded the onset of S/M phase by only 2–3

hours. Therefore, it is plausible that the established upregulation of cell cycle genes, known to

occur before S/M onset, had already begun in the Post-CP HETERO samples. Given that WEE1

transcription increases prior to S/M entry, its elevated expression in HETERO is consistent with a

later sampling window closer to mitotic onset [60] rather than a stress-induced checkpoint activation.

Alternatively, it remains possible that genes encoding proteins involved in cell division, especially

CDKs, DNA replication, and mitotic entry, were abnormally and overwhelmingly more highly

expressed in Post-CP CP HETERO compared to Post-CP CP MIXO and Post-CP CP AUTO. This

correlates with the commitment point analysis (Fig. ---), which showed that fewer cells reached the

commitment point in HETERO and that cell-cycle progression was delayed compared with the

other conditions. This would be consistent with observations in the C. reinhardtii cht7 mutant

grown under nitrogen-depleted conditions, which also shows higher expression of cell-cycle genes

with ≥2C DNA and reduced growth, indicating premature or unscheduled DNA replication

compared to wild type [95,96].

Collectively, these findings suggest that trophic regime affects not only metabolic flux distribution

but also redox balance, proteostasis, and the timing of cell-cycle transitions. Our results indicate

that autotrophic, mixotrophic, and heterotrophic growth in Chlamydomonas are associated with

distinct transcriptional adjustments that coordinate central carbon metabolism, photosynthetic

capacity, and cell-cycle progression. Mixotrophy does not appear to represent a simple additive

coexistence of autotrophic and heterotrophic programs; instead, it involves coordinated metabolic

adjustments linked to altered carbon fixation investment and cell-cycle progression. In contrast,

heterotrophy weakens the connection between metabolic input and cell-cycle timing, resulting in

delayed commitment and stress-associated transcriptional signatures. These findings provide a

framework for understanding how carbon availability influences metabolic organization and cell-

cycle regulation in photosynthetic eukaryotes.

Funding

This research was funded by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic, grant no. 22-21450S, and by Institutional Research Concept no. AVOZ61388971.

Acknowledgements

We are obliged to the technical staff of the Laboratory of Cell Cycles of Algae for excellent technical support.

References

1.   Dupuis, S., and Merchant, S.S. (2023). Chlamydomonas reinhardtii: a model for photosynthesis and so much more. Nat Methods *20*, 1441–1442. 10.1038/s41592-023-02023-6.

2.   Strenkert, D., Schmollinger, S., Gallaher, S.D., Salome, P.A., Purvine, S.O., Nicora, C.D., Mettler-Altmann, T., Soubeyrand, E., Weber, A.P.M., Lipton, M.S., et al. (2019). Multiomics resolution of molecular events during a day in the life of Chlamydomonas. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 10.1073/pnas.1815238116.

3.   Bišová, K., and Zachleder, V. (2014). Cell-cycle regulation in green algae dividing by multiple fission. Journal of Experimental Botany *65*, 2585–2602. 10.1093/jxb/ert466.

4.   Fauser, F., Vilarrasa-Blasi, J., Onishi, M., Ramundo, S., Patena, W., Millican, M., Osaki, J., Philp, C., Nemeth, M., Salome, P.A., et al. (2022). Systematic characterization of gene function in the photosynthetic alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Nat Genet *54*, 705–714. 10.1038/s41588-022-01052-9.

5.   Merchant, S.S., Prochnik, S.E., Vallon, O., Harris, E.H., Karpowicz, S.J., Witman, G.B.,

Terry, A., Salamov, A., Fritz-Laylin, L.K., Marechal-Drouard, L., et al. (2007). The

Chlamydomonas genome reveals the evolution of key animal and plant functions. Science

318, 245–250.

6.   Hoober, J.K. (1989). The Chlamydomonas Sourcebook. A Comprehensive Guide to

Biology and Laboratory Use. Elizabeth H. Harris. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1989.

xiv, 780 pp., illus. $145. Science *246*, 1503–1504. 10.1126/science.246.4936.1503-a.

7.   Fussl, M., Konig, A.C., Eirich, J., Hartl, M., Kleinknecht, L., Bohne, A.V., Harzen, A.,

Kramer, K., Leister, D., Nickelsen, J., and Finkemeier, I. (2022). Dynamic light- and

acetate-dependent regulation of the proteome and lysine acetylome of Chlamydomonas.

Plant J *109*, 261–277. 10.1111/tpj.15555.

8.   Boyle, N.R., and Morgan, J.A. (2009). Flux balance analysis of primary metabolism in

Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. BMC Syst Biol *3*, 4. 1752-0509-3-4 [pii]

10.1186/1752-0509-3-4.

9.   Füßl, M., König, A.-C., Eirich, J., Hartl, M., Kleinknecht, L., Bohne, A.-V., Harzen, A.,

Kramer, K., Leister, D., Nickelsen, J., and Finkemeier, I. (2022). Dynamic light- and

acetate-dependent regulation of the proteome and lysine acetylome of Chlamydomonas.

The Plant Journal *109*, 261–277. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15555.

10.   Lauersen, K.J., Willamme, R., Coosemans, N., Joris, M., Kruse, O., and Remacle, C. (2016).

Peroxisomal microbodies are at the crossroads of acetate assimilation in the green

microalga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Algal Research *16*, 266–274.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2016.03.026.

11. Endo, T., and Asada, K. (1996). Dark Induction of the Non-Photochemical Quenching of

Chlorophyll Fluorescence by Acetate in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Plant and Cell

Physiology *37*, 551–555. 10.1093/oxfordjournals.pcp.a028979.

12. Fett, J.P., and Coleman, J.R. (1994). Regulation of Periplasmic Carbonic Anhydrase

Expression in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii by Acetate and pH. Plant Physiol *106*, 103–108.

10.1104/pp.106.1.103.

13. Weger, H.G., Chadderton, A.R., Lin, M., Guy, R.D., and Turpin, D.H. (1990). Cytochrome

and Alternative Pathway Respiration during Transient Ammonium Assimilation by N-

Limited Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Plant Physiol *94*, 1131–1136. 10.1104/pp.94.3.1131.

14. Weger, H.G., Guy, R.D., and Turpin, D.H. (1990). Cytochrome and alternative pathway

respiration in green algae : measurements using inhibitors and o(2) discrimination. Plant

Physiol *93*, 356–360. 10.1104/pp.93.1.356.

15. Kindle, K.L. (1987). Expression of a gene for a light-harvesting chlorophyll a/b-binding

protein in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii: effect of light and acetate. Plant Mol.Biol. *9*, 547–

563. 10.1007/BF00020532.

16. Martínez-Rivas, J.M., and Vega, J.M. (1993). Effect of culture conditions on the isocitrate

dehydrogenase and isocitrate lyase activities in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Physiologia

Plantarum *88*, 599–603. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1993.tb01377.x.

17. Heifetz, P.B., Förster, B., Osmond, C.B., Giles, L.J., and Boynton, J.E. (2000). Effects of

Acetate on Facultative Autotrophy in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii Assessed by

Photosynthetic Measurements and Stable Isotope Analyses. Plant Physiology *122*, 1439–

1446.

18.   Singh, H., Shukla, M.R., Chary, K.V., and Rao, B.J. (2014). Acetate and bicarbonate

671   assimilation and metabolite formation in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii: a 13C-NMR study.

672   PLoS One *9*, e106457. 10.1371/journal.pone.0106457.

673   19.   Chen, J., Chen, Y., He, W., Liang, H., Hong, T., Li, T., and Du, H. (2024). Transcriptome

674   analysis reveals the molecular mechanism of differences in growth between

675   photoautotrophy and heterotrophy in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Frontiers in Plant

676   Science *Volume 15 - 2024*. 10.3389/fpls.2024.1407915.

677   20.   Koley, S., Foley, K., Perrine, Z., Morley, S.A., Kambhampati, S., Gomez, O., Chu, K.L.,

678   Chou, Y.H., Wei, M., Tzeng, S.C., et al. (2026). Metabolic rewiring and biomass

679   redistribution enable optimized mixotrophic growth in Chlamydomonas. Proc Natl Acad

680   Sci U S A *123*, e2522572123. 10.1073/pnas.2522572123.

681   21.   Kaur, S., Hérault, J., Caruso, A., Pencréac'h, G., Come, M., Gauvry, L., Claverol, S., and

682   Loiseau, C. (2021). Proteomics and expression studies on lipids and fatty acids metabolic

683   genes in Isochrysis galbana under the combined influence of nitrogen starvation and sodium

684   acetate   supplementation.   Bioresource   Technology   Reports   *15*,   100714.

685   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2021.100714.

686   22.   Castaño-Cerezo, S., Bernal, V., Post, H., Fuhrer, T., Cappadona, S., Sánchez-Díaz, N.C.,

687   Sauer, U., Heck, A.J., Altelaar, A.F., and Cánovas, M. (2014). Protein acetylation affects

688   acetate metabolism, motility and acid stress response in Escherichia coli. Mol Syst Biol *10*,

689   762. 10.15252/msb.20145227.

690   23.   Cross, F.R., and Umen, J.G. (2015). The *Chlamydomonas* cell cycle. The Plant Journal *82*,

691   370–392. 10.1111/tpj.12795.

24.   Vítová, M., Bišová, K., Umysová, D., Hlavová, M., Kawano, S., Zachleder, V., and Čížková,

M. (2011). *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*: duration of its cell cycle and phases at growth rates

affected by light intensity. Planta *233*, 75–86. 10.1007/s00425-010-1282-y.

25.   Liu, D., Vargas-Garcia, C.A., Singh, A., and Umen, J. (2023). A cell-based model for size

control in the multiple fission alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Curr Biol *33*, 5215–5224

e5215. 10.1016/j.cub.2023.10.023.

26.   Harris, E.H. (2001). *Chlamydomonas* as a model organism. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant

Mol Biol *52*, 363–406.

27.   Hlavová, M., Vítová, M., and Bišová, K. (2016). Synchronization of green algae by light

and dark regimes for cell cycle and cell division studies. In Plant Cell Division, M.-C.

Caillaud, ed. (Springer Science), pp. 3–16.

28.   Coleman, A. (2004). The nuclear cell cycle in Chlamydomonas (Chlorophyceae). Journal

of Phycology *18*, 192–195. 10.1111/j.1529-8817.1982.tb03172.x.

29.   Gorman, D.S., and Levine, R.P. (1965). Cytochrome f and plastocyanin: their sequence in

the photosynthetic electron transport chain of *Chlamydomonas reinhardi*. P. Natl. Acad. Sci.

USA *54*, 1665–1669.

30.   Burgess, S.J., Taha, H., Yeoman, J.A., Iamshanova, O., Chan, K.X., Boehm, M., Behrends,

V., Bundy, J.G., Bialek, W., Murray, J.W., and Nixon, P.J. (2016). Identification of the

Elusive Pyruvate Reductase of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii Chloroplasts. Plant Cell Physiol

57, 82–94. 10.1093/pcp/pcv167.

31.   Kselíková, V., Zachleder, V., and Bišová, K. (2022). Analysis of commitment point

attainment in algae dividing by multiple fission. In Plant Cell Division, M.-C. Caillaud, ed.

(Springer US). 10.1007/978-1-0716-1744-1_5.

32.   Neumann, G., Teras, R., Monson, L., Kivisaar, M., Schauer, F., and Heipieper, H.J. (2004).

716   Simultaneous degradation of atrazine and phenol by Pseudomonas sp. strain ADP: effects

717   of   toxicity   and   adaptation.   Appl   Environ   Microbiol   *70*,   1907–1912.

718   10.1128/aem.70.4.1907-1912.2004.

719   33.   Brányiková, I., Maršálková, B., Doucha, J., Brányik, T., Bišová, K., Zachleder, V., and

720   Vítová, M. (2011). Microalgae-novel highly efficient starch producers. Biotechnol. Bioeng.

721   *108*, 766–776. 10.1002/Bit.23016.

722   34.   Genty, B., Briantais, J.-M., and Baker, N.R. (1989). The relationship between the quantum

723   yield of photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence.

724   Biochimica   et   Biophysica   Acta   (BBA)   -   General   Subjects   *990*,   87–92.

725   https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4165(89)80016-9.

726   35.   Wanka, F. (1962). Die bestimmung der nucleinsäuren in *Chlorella pyrenoidosa*. Planta *58*,

727   594–619.

728   36.   Lukavský, J., Tetík, K., and Vendlová, J. (1973). Extraction of nucleic acid from the alga

729   *Scenedesmus quadricauda.* Archive für Hydrobiologie, Supplement 41, Algological

730   Studies *9*, 416–426.

731   37.   Decallonne, J.R., and Weyns, J.C. (1976). A shortened procedure of the diphenylamine

732   reaction for the measurement of deoxyribonucleic acid by using light activation. Analytical

733   Biochemistry *74*, 448–456. https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(76)90225-6.

734   38.   Zachleder, V. (1984). Optimization of nucleic acids assay in green and blue-green algae:

735   Extraction procedures and the light-activated reaction for DNA. Archive für Hydrobiologie,

736   Supplement 67, Algological Studies *36*, 313–328.

737   39.   Mielczarek, O., Rogers, C.H., Zhan, Y., Matheson, L.S., Stubbington, M.J.T., Schoenfelder,

738   S., Bolland, D.J., Javierre, B.M., Wingett, S.W., Várnai, C., et al. (2023). Intra- and

interchromosomal contact mapping reveals the Igh locus has extensive conformational

heterogeneity and interacts with B-lineage genes. Cell Rep *42*, 113074.

10.1016/j.celrep.2023.113074.

40.	Chen, S. (2023). Ultrafast one-pass FASTQ data preprocessing, quality control, and

deduplication using fastp. iMeta *2*, e107. https://doi.org/10.1002/imt2.107.

41.	Craig, R.J., Gallaher, S.D., Shu, S., Salomé, P.A., Jenkins, J.W., Blaby-Haas, C.E., Purvine,

S.O., O'Donnell, S., Barry, K., Grimwood, J., et al. (2022). The Chlamydomonas Genome

Project, version 6: Reference assemblies for mating-type plus and minus strains reveal

extensive structural mutation in the laboratory. The Plant Cell *35*, 644–672.

10.1093/plcell/koac347.

42.	Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M.I., Irizarry, R.A., and Kingsford, C. (2017). Salmon provides

fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. Nature Methods *14*, 417–419.

10.1038/nmeth.4197.

43.	Chen, Y., Chen, L., Lun, A.T.L., Baldoni, P.L., and Smyth, G.K. (2025). edgeR v4: powerful

differential analysis of sequencing data with expanded functionality and improved support

for small counts and larger datasets. Nucleic Acids Res *53*. 10.1093/nar/gkaf018.

44.	Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and

dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biology *15*, 550. 10.1186/s13059-014-

0550-8.

45.	Usadel, B., Poree, F., Nagel, A., Lohse, M., Czedik-Eysenberg, A., and Stitt, M. (2009). A

guide to using MapMan to visualize and compare Omics data in plants: a case study in the

crop species, Maize. Plant Cell Environ *32*, 1211–1229. 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.01978.x.

46.   Guerrero, S., Roces, V., García-Campa, L., Valledor, L., and Meijón, M. (2024). Proteomic

762   dynamics revealed sex-biased responses to combined heat-drought stress in Marchantia.

763   Journal of Integrative Plant Biology *66*, 2226–2241. https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.13753.

764   47.   Minhas, A.K., Hodgson, P., Barrow, C.J., and A., A. (2016). A review on the assessment of

765   stress conditions for simultaneous production of microalgal lipids and carotenoids.

766   Frontiers in Microbiology *7*, 1–19. 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00546.

767   48.   Baker, N.R. (2008). Chlorophyll fluorescence: a probe of photosynthesis in vivo. Annu Rev

768   Plant Biol *59*, 89–113. 10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092759.

769   49.   Roach, T., Sedoud, A., and Krieger-Liszkay, A. (2013). Acetate in mixotrophic growth

770   medium affects photosystem II in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii and protects against

771   photoinhibition. Biochim Biophys Acta *1827*, 1183–1190. 10.1016/j.bbabio.2013.06.004.

772   50.   Sá-Pessoa, J., Paiva, S., Ribas, D., Silva, Inês J., Viegas, Sandra C., Arraiano, Cecília M.,

773   and Casal, M. (2013). SATP (YaaH), a succinate–acetate transporter protein in Escherichia

774   coli. Biochemical Journal *454*, 585–595. 10.1042/bj20130412.

775   51.   Qiu, B., Xia, B., Zhou, Q., Lu, Y., He, M., Hasegawa, K., Ma, Z., Zhang, F., Gu, L., Mao,

776   Q., et al. (2018). Succinate-acetate permease from Citrobacter koseri is an anion channel

777   that unidirectionally translocates acetate. Cell Res *28*, 644–654. 10.1038/s41422-018-0032-

778   8.

779   52.   Paiva, S., Devaux, F., Barbosa, S., Jacq, C., and Casal, M. (2004). Ady2p is essential for

780   the acetate permease activity in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast *21*, 201–210.

781   10.1002/yea.1056.

782   53.   Robellet, X., Flipphi, M., Pégot, S., MacCabe, Andrew P., and Vélot, C. (2008). AcpA, a

783   member of the GPR1/FUN34/YaaH membrane protein family, is essential for acetate

permease activity in the hyphal fungus Aspergillus nidulans. Biochemical Journal *412*,

485–493. 10.1042/bj20080124.

54. Durante, L., Hubner, W., Lauersen, K.J., and Remacle, C. (2019). Characterization of the

GPR1/FUN34/YaaH protein family in the green microalga Chlamydomonas suggests their

role as intracellular membrane acetate channels. Plant Direct *3*, e00148. 10.1002/pld3.148.

55. Johnson, X., and Alrica, J. (2013). Central carbon metabolism and electron transport in

Chlamydomonas reinhardtii: Metabolic constraints for carbon partitioning between oil and

starch. Eukaryotic Cell *12*, 776–793.

56. Zhou, Y., Wu, F., Wu, J., Overmans, S., Ye, M., Xiao, M., Peng, B., Xu, L., Huang, J., Lu,

Y., et al. (2024). The adaptive mechanisms of the marine diatom Thalassiosira weissflogii

to long-term high CO_2 and warming. Plant J *119*, 2001–2020. 10.1111/tpj.16905.

57. Kruger, N.J., and von Schaewen, A. (2003). The oxidative pentose phosphate pathway:

structure and organisation. Curr Opin Plant Biol *6*, 236–246. 10.1016/s1369-

5266(03)00039-6.

58. Launay, A., Cabassa-Hourton, C., Eubel, H., Maldiney, R., Guivarc'h, A., Crilat, E.,

Planchais, S., Lacoste, J., Bordenave-Jacquemin, M., Clement, G., et al. (2019). Proline

oxidation fuels mitochondrial respiration during dark-induced leaf senescence in

Arabidopsis thaliana. J Exp Bot *70*, 6203–6214. 10.1093/jxb/erz351.

59. Bisova, K., Krylov, D.M., and Umen, J.G. (2005). Genome-wide annotation and expression

profiling of cell cycle regulatory genes in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Plant Physiology

137, 475–491.

60. Zones, J.M., Blaby, I.K., Merchant, S.S., and Umen, J.G. (2015). High-resolution profiling

of a synchronized diurnal transcriptome from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* reveals

continuous cell and metabolic differentiation. Plant Cell *10*, 2743–2769.

10.1105/tpc.15.00498.

61.   Cross, F.R. (2015). The Chlamydomonas cell cycle. The Plant Journal *82*.

10.1111/tpj.12795.

62.   Magyar, Z., Meszaros, T., Miskolczi, P., Deak, M., Feher, A., Brown, S., Kondorosi, E.,

Athanasiadis, A., Pongor, S., Bilgin, M., et al. (1997). Cell cycle phase specificity of

putative cyclin-dependent kinase variants in synchronized alfalfa cells. Plant Cell *9*, 223–

235.

63.   Menges, M., Hennig, L., Gruissem, W., and Murray, J.A. (2002). Cell cycle-regulated gene

expression in Arabidopsis. J Biol Chem *277*, 41987–42002. 10.1074/jbc.M207570200.

64.   Olson, B.J.S.C., Oberholzer, M., Li, Y.B., Zones, J.M., Kohli, H.S., Bisova, K., Fang, S.C.,

Meisenhelder, J., Hunter, T., and Umen, J.G. (2010). Regulation of the *Chlamydomonas*

cell cycle by a stable, chromatin-associated retinoblastoma tumor suppressor complex.

Plant Cell *22*, 3331–3347. 10.1105/tpc.110.076067.

65.   Atkins, K.C., and Cross, F. (2018). Inter-regulation of CDKA/CDK1 and the plant-specific

cyclin-dependent kinase CDKB in control of the *Chlamydomonas* cell cycle. Plant Cell *30*,

429–446. 10.1105/tpc.17.00759.

66.   De Schutter, K., Joubes, J., Cools, T., Verkest, A., Corellou, F., Babiychuk, E., Van Der

Schueren, E., Beeckman, T., Kushnir, S., Inze, D., and De Veylder, L. (2007). Arabidopsis

WEE1 kinase controls cell cycle arrest in response to activation of the DNA integrity

checkpoint. Plant Cell *19*, 211–225.

67.   Rai, M.P., Nigam, S., and Sharma, R. (2013). Response of growth and fatty acid

compositions of Chlorella pyrenoidosa under mixotrophic cultivation with acetate and

glycerol for bioenergy application. Biomass and Bioenergy *58*, 251–257.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2013.08.038.

68.   Smith, R.T., Bangert, K., Wilkinson, S.J., and Gilmour, D.J. (2015). Synergistic carbon

metabolism in a fast growing mixotrophic freshwater microalgal species Micractinium

inermum. Biomass and Bioenergy *82*, 73–86.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2015.04.023.

69.   Singh, S.P., and Singh, P. (2014). Effect of CO2 concentration on algal growth: A review.

Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews *38*, 172–179. 10.1016/j.rser.2014.05.043.

70.   Gao, Y., Li, Y., Yang, Y., Feng, J., Ji, L., and Xie, S. (2023). Effects of Trophic Modes on

the Lipid Accumulation of Parachlorella kessleri TY. Fermentation *9*, 891.

71.   Bialevich, V., Zachleder, V., and Bišová, K. (2022). The effect of variable light source and

light intensity on the growth of three algal species. Cells *11*, 1293. 10.3390/cells11081293.

72.   Murata, N., Takahashi, S., Nishiyama, Y., and Allakhverdiev, S.I. (2007). Photoinhibition

of photosystem II under environmental stress. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) -

Bioenergetics *1767*, 414–421. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2006.11.019.

73.   Cheirsilp, B., and Torpee, S. (2012). Enhanced growth and lipid production of microalgae

under mixotrophic culture condition: effect of light intensity, glucose concentration and fed-

batch cultivation. Bioresour Technol *110*, 510–516. 10.1016/j.biortech.2012.01.125.

74.   Kroymann, J., Schneider, W., and Zetsche, K. (1995). Opposite Regulation of the Copy

Number and the Expression of Plastid and Mitochondrial Genes by Light and Acetate in

the Green Flagellate Chlorogonium. Plant Physiology *108*, 1641–1646.

10.1104/pp.108.4.1641.

75.   Wilken, S., Huisman, J., Naus-Wiezer, S., and Van Donk, E. (2013). Mixotrophic organisms

853   become more heterotrophic with rising temperature. Ecol Lett *16*, 225–233.

854   10.1111/ele.12033.

855   76.   Eppley, R.W., and MaciasR, F.M. (1963). ROLE OF THE ALGA CHLAMYDOMONAS

856   MUNDANA IN ANAEROBIC WASTE STABILIZATION LAGOONS. Limnology and

857   Oceanography *8*, 411–416. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1963.8.4.0411.

858   77.   Zhang, H., Zhao, L., Chen, Y., Zhu, M., Xu, Q., Wu, M., Han, D., and Hu, Q. (2021).

859   Trophic Transition Enhanced Biomass and Lipid Production of the Unicellular Green Alga

860   Scenedesmus acuminatus. Front Bioeng Biotechnol *9*, 638726. 10.3389/fbioe.2021.638726.

861   78.   Recuenco-Munoz, L., Offre, P., Valledor, L., Lyon, D., Weckwerth, W., and Wienkoop, S.

862   (2015). Targeted quantitative analysis of a diurnal RuBisCO subunit expression and

863   translation profile in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii introducing a novel Mass Western

864   approach. J Proteomics *113*, 143–153. 10.1016/j.jprot.2014.09.026.

865   79.   Boyle, N.R., Sengupta, N., and Morgan, J.A. (2017). Metabolic flux analysis of

866   heterotrophic growth in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. PLoS One *12*, e0177292.

867   10.1371/journal.pone.0177292.

868   80.   Hayashi, Y., Sato, N., Shinozaki, A., and Watanabe, M. (2015). Increase in peroxisome

869   number and the gene expression of putative glyoxysomal enzymes in Chlamydomonas cells

870   supplemented with acetate. Journal of Plant Research *128*, 177–185. 10.1007/s10265-014-

871   0681-8.

872   81.   Plancke, C., Vigeolas, H., Hohner, R., Roberty, S., Emonds-Alt, B., Larosa, V., Willamme,

873   R., Duby, F., Onga Dhali, D., Thonart, P., et al. (2014). Lack of isocitrate lyase in

874   Chlamydomonas leads to changes in carbon metabolism and in the response to oxidative

875   stress under mixotrophic growth. Plant J *77*, 404–417. 10.1111/tpj.12392.

876   82.   Xie, X., Huang, A., Gu, W., Zang, Z., Pan, G., Gao, S., He, L., Zhang, B., Niu, J., Lin, A.,

877   and Wang, G. (2015). Photorespiration participates in the assimilation of acetate in

878   Chlorella sorokiniana under high light. New Phytologist, n/a–n/a. 10.1111/nph.13659.

879   83.   Pick, T.R., Bräutigam, A., Schulz, M.A., Obata, T., Fernie, A.R., and Weber, A.P. (2013).

880   PLGG1, a plastidic glycolate glycerate transporter, is required for photorespiration and

881   defines a unique class of metabolite transporters. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *110*, 3185–3190.

882   10.1073/pnas.1215142110.

883   84.   Chapman, S.P., Paget, C.M., Johnson, G.N., and Schwartz, J.M. (2016). Corrigendum: Flux

884   balance analysis reveals acetate metabolism modulates cyclic electron flow and alternative

885   glycolytic pathways in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Front Plant Sci *7*, 362.

886   10.3389/fpls.2016.00362.

887   85.   Zuniga, C., Li, C.T., Huelsman, T., Levering, J., Zielinski, D.C., McConnell, B.O., Long,

888   C.P., Knoshaug, E.P., Guarnieri, M.T., Antoniewicz, M.R., et al. (2016). Genome-scale

889   metabolic model for the green alga Chlorella vulgaris UTEX 395 accurately predicts

890   phenotypes under autotrophic, heterotrophic, and mixotrophic growth conditions. Plant

891   Physiol. 10.1104/pp.16.00593.

892   86.   von Gromoff, E.D., Treier, U., and Beck, C.F. (1989). Three light-inducible heat shock

893   genes of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Molecular and cellular biology *9*, 3911–3918.

894   10.1128/MCB.9.9.3911.

895   87.   Schroda, M., Vallon, O., Wollman, F.A., and Beck, C.F. (1999). A chloroplast-targeted heat

896   shock protein 70 (HSP70) contributes to the photoprotection and repair of photosystem II

897   during and after photoinhibition. Plant Cell *11*, 1165–1178.

88.   Kropat, J., von Gromoff, E.D., Müller, F.W., and Beck, C.F. (1995). Heat shock and light activation of a Chlamydomonas HSP70 gene are mediated by independent regulatory pathways. Mol Gen Genet *248*, 727–734. 10.1007/bf02191713.

89.   Schuster, G., Even, D., Kloppstech, K., and Ohad, I. (1988). Evidence for protection by heat-shock proteins against photoinhibition during heat-shock. Embo j *7*, 1–6. 10.1002/j.1460-2075.1988.tb02776.x.

90.   Arora, N., and Philippidis, G.P. (2021). Insights into the physiology of Chlorella vulgaris cultivated in sweet sorghum bagasse hydrolysate for sustainable algal biomass and lipid production. Sci Rep *11*, 6779. 10.1038/s41598-021-86372-2.

91.   Choi, B.Y., Kim, H., Shim, D., Jang, S., Yamaoka, Y., Shin, S., Yamano, T., Kajikawa, M., Jin, E., Fukuzawa, H., and Lee, Y. (2022). The Chlamydomonas bZIP transcription factor BLZ8 confers oxidative stress tolerance by inducing the carbon-concentrating mechanism. Plant Cell *34*, 910–926. 10.1093/plcell/koab293.

92.   Ji, C., Mao, X., Hao, J., Wang, X., Xue, J., Cui, H., and Li, R. (2018). Analysis of bZIP Transcription Factor Family and Their Expressions under Salt Stress in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Int J Mol Sci *19*. 10.3390/ijms19092800.

93.   Boonyaves, K., Wu, T.Y., Dong, Y., and Urano, D. (2022). Interplay between ARABIDOPSIS Gbeta and WRKY transcription factors differentiates environmental stress responses. Plant Physiol *190*, 813–827. 10.1093/plphys/kiac305.

94.   Wang, W., Cao, H., Wang, J., and Zhang, H. (2024). Recent advances in functional assays of WRKY transcription factors in plant immunity against pathogens. Front Plant Sci *15*, 1517595. 10.3389/fpls.2024.1517595.

95.   Lin, Y.T., Takeuchi, T., Youk, B., Umen, J., Sears, B.B., and Benning, C. (2022).

Chlamydomonas CHT7 is involved in repressing DNA replication and mitotic genes during

synchronous growth. G3 (Bethesda) *12*. 10.1093/g3journal/jkac023.

96.   Takeuchi, T., Sears, B.B., Lindeboom, C., Lin, Y.T., Fekaris, N., Zienkiewicz, K.,

Zienkiewicz, A., Poliner, E., and Benning, C. (2020). Chlamydomonas CHT7 Is Required

for an Effective Quiescent State by Regulating Nutrient-Responsive Cell Cycle Gene

Expression. Plant Cell *32*, 1240–1269. 10.1105/tpc.19.00628.

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the experimental design. A) *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* cultures were synchronized using 12 h light/12 h dark cycles at 30 °C and an irradiance of 500 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}. B) For the experiment, synchronized cells were diluted to approximately 1×10^6 cells mL^{-1} in HSM (AUTO) or TAP (MIXO, HETERO) and grown at 30 °C under continuous light (500 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} PAR), bubbled with 2% CO_2 in air. Experiments were performed in biological triplicates with hourly or bi-hourly sampling for different analyses. C) Representation of cell cycle

936   events in each trophic condition. D) Schematic representation of the transcriptomics analysis

937   workflow.

Figure 2. Growth of synchronized cultures of *C. reinhardtii* grown under different trophic regimes (a) dry cell weight, (b) changes in mean cell volume, (c) maximum quantum efficiency of photosystem II (F_v/F_m), (d) DNA, (e) RNA, (f) protein, (g) starch (pg cell^{-1}), (h) chlorophyll *a*, (i) chlorophyll *b,* (j) neutral lipids (pg cell^{-1}). All the experiment are represented as (n=3) biological replicates.

Figure 3. Cell cycle progression in a synchronized culture of *C. reinhardtii* grown under different trophic regimes. Time courses of individual commitment points (CP, colored lines) and daughter cell release (black lines) in autotrophy (A), mixotrophy (B), and heterotrophy (C). The colored curves show the cumulative percentage of cells that attained the CP for division into 2 (squares), 4 (circles), and 8 (triangles) daughter cells. The black lines show the cumulative percentage of cells that completed cell division. The gray dashed line depicts the midpoint of each event in the cell population. All experiments are represented as biological replicates (n = 3).

Figure 4. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of genome-wide RNA abundances (FPKMs) across three biological replicates (n = 3). This analysis shows sample clustering based on gene expression profiles from RNA-seq data of C. reinhardtii. The first principal component (PC1) and the second principal component (PC2) are displayed. **A**, AUTO and MIXO, **B**, HETERO and AUTO, **C**, MIXO and HETERO. RNA expression was grouped into eight clusters by k-means clustering of their normalized expression patterns. Normalized values are the Z-scores of the mean mRNA abundance (FPKM) from three experimental replicates (n = 3) in **A**, AUTO and MIXO, **B**, HETERO and AUTO, and **C**, MIXO and HETERO.

Figure 5. Differential gene expression analysis of C. reinhardtii under different growth conditions. Volcano plots show the correlation between log2(FC) values of genes and the corresponding – log$_{10}$(padj-values); only genes quantified in three biological replicates are included. **A**, Differential gene expression analysis: Pre-CP MIXO vs. Pre-CP AUTO; **B**, Pre-CP HETERO vs. Pre-CP AUTO; **C**, Pre-CP MIXO vs. Pre-CP HETERO; **D**, Post-CP MIXO vs. Post-CP AUTO; **E**, Post-CP HETERO vs. Post-CP AUTO; **F**, Post-CP MIXO vs. Post-CP HETERO. Venn diagrams of upregulated (**G**) and downregulated (**H**) genes show the shared and unique responses among the conditions.

Figure 6. Z-score heatmaps show the expression of genes involved in central metabolic pathways

in AUTO, MIXO, and HETERO under Pre-CP and Post-CP conditions. Colors indicate relative

expression levels (yellow - increased; blue - decreased).

Figure 7. Z-score heatmaps show the expression of genes involved in the cell cycle in AUTO, MIXO, and HETERO under PreCP and Post-CP conditions. Colors indicate relative expression levels (yellow – increased, blue - decreased)

Figure 8. Systematic representation of metabolic changes under phototrophic, mixotrophic, and heterotrophic growth conditions in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, with gene expression at Post-CP and CP time points shown here. *rbcL*: RuBisCO large subunit; *RBCS1*: ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase small subunit 1, chloroplastic; *RBCS2*: ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase small subunit 2, chloroplastic; *GAPA1*: glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase A; *PGLP1*: phosphoglycolate phosphatase; *PLGG1*: plastidic glycolate/glycerate transporter; *SHMT1*: serine hydroxymethyltransferase; *SGA1*: glyoxylate aminotransferase; *GLYK*: glycerate kinase; *GLD1*: glucose-6-phosphate-1-dehydrogenase; *HXK1*: hexokinase; *PFK1*: phosphofructokinase; *ENO1*: Enolase; *PDH1*: Pyruvate dehydrogenase; *RPE2*: ribulose phosphate-3-epimerase; *CIS1*: citrate synthase, mitochondrial; *IDH1*: isocitrate dehydrogenase; *OGDH*: 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase; *SCLA1*: succinyl-CoA ligase; *SDH1*: succinate

1003   dehydrogenase; *FUM1*: fumarate hydratase; *MDH1*: malate dehydrogenase; *ME1*: malic enzyme;

1004   *ACS*: acetyl-CoA synthetase; *GFY*: putative acetate transporter; *OTA1*: ornithine transaminase;

1005   *PDY1*: proline dehydrogenase.

1006

Time (Hour)	AUTO (pg cell^{-1})			MIXO (pg cell^{-1})			HETERO (pg cell^{-1})		
	DNA	RNA	Protein	DNA	RNA	Protein	DNA	RNA	Protein
0	2.06 ± 0.04	0.18 ± 0.48	10.48 ± 8.03	0.39 ± 0.07	4.52 ± 1.53	18.40 ± 5.66	1.39 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.08	4.62 ± 1.11
2	2.59± 0.02	0.19 ±0.50	15.58 ± 4.66	0.33 ± 0.07	5.69 ± 1.17	24.95 ± 11.63	-		-
3	0.88 ± .09	0.07 ± 0.22	0.96 ± 0.45	0.41 ± 0.05	8.35 ± 1.13	39.79 ± 11.79	1.70 ± 0.02	0.13 ± 0.24	8.51 ± 1.94
4	5.40 ± 0.12	0.15 ± 0.48	28.40 ±4.03	**0.33 ± 0.06**	**8.83 ± 1.48**	**38.64 ±7.35**	-	-	-
6	**9.29 ± 0.02**	**0.28 ± 0.55**	**64.45 ± 9.04**	0.39 ± 0.06	14.06 ± 1.60	72.98 ± 10.10	-	-	-
8	11.47 ± 0.02	0.30 ± 2.19	60.97 ± 19.87	0.42 ± 0.09	19.56 ± 2.56	108.42 ± 17.49	-	-	-
10	13.31 ± 0.03	0.38 ± 3.07	91.13 ± 11.26	**0.48 ± 0.04**	**23.76 ± 3.43**	**141.82 ± 25.44**	-	-	-
12	**15.60 ± 0.36**	**0.83 ± 2.98**	**125.00 ± 18.77**	0.79 ±0.13	31.55 ± 6.03	202.84 ± 40.51	1.83 ± 0.04	0.13 ±0.57	11.78 ± 3.03
21	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.63 ± 0.03	0.17 ± 0.56	25.11 ± 4.57

Table 1 Quantification of cell volume, DNA, RNA, and protein content across commitment phases (CP) in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* under different trophic regimes

Variant	CP time, h	CP size, µm3
AUTO	1	81.48±6.98
MIXO	2	77.59±4.98
HETERO	15	71.05±2.45

1011

1012

Table 2 Time of reaching commitment point and critical cell size in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* under different trophic regimes