1   NPP-21/TPR is required for developmental control of spindle checkpoint strength in *C. elegans*

3   Natalie Gallagher[#], Shevelle Brown[#], Valentin Duprat[#], Simone Köhler*[§], Abby F. Dernburg*, and

4   Needhi Bhalla[#]

6   [#]Department of Molecular, Cell and Developmental Biology, University of California, Santa Cruz,

7   Santa Cruz, CA 95064

8   *Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA

9   94720

10   [§]Current address: Cell Biology and Biophysics Unit, European Molecular Biology Laboratory,

11   69117 Heidelberg, Germany

13   [1] corresponding author: nbhalla@ucsc.edu

14   Department of Molecular, Cell and Developmental Biology

15   225 Sinsheimer Labs

16   University of California, Santa Cruz

17   Santa Cruz, CA 95064

18   phone: (831) 459-1319

19   fax: (831) 459-3139

21   Running Title : NPP-21/TPR and checkpoint strength in the germline

23   18,550 characters

Abstract

The spindle checkpoint ensures accurate chromosome segregation by monitoring whether

chromosomes, via kinetochores, are properly attached to the spindle. If chromosomes fail to

establish bipolar attachment, the checkpoint delays the cell cycle to enable error correction. In

C. elegans early embryos, activation of the spindle checkpoint produces a longer mitotic delay

in primordial germ cells than somatic cells. We show that the conserved nucleoporin and spindle

matrix component, NPP-21/TPR, is required for the stronger spindle checkpoint in germline

cells. A checkpoint-proficient NPP-21::GFP transgene localizes to a spindle-like structure during

mitosis and is enriched in germline cells, consistent with a cell-fate specific function for this

protein. Finally, NPP-21 controls spindle checkpoint strength in germline cells via two,

potentially linked, mechanisms: concentrating PCH-2 around mitotic chromosomes and

promoting the localization of the checkpoint effector, Mad2, to unattached kinetochores. These

experiments demonstrate a developmental role for NPP-21, and the spindle matrix, in

controlling spindle checkpoint strength in immortal germline cells in *C. elegans*.

Introduction

The spindle checkpoint is a cell cycle surveillance mechanism that ensures that chromosomes

are attached to the mitotic or meiotic spindle to guarantee accurate chromosome segregation

and prevent aneuploidy. When chromosomes are unattached, a soluble, molecular signal halts

or delays the cell cycle to allow for the defect to be corrected. Defects in spindle checkpoint

components are associated with cancer progression (Kops et al., 2005; Matthews et al., 2022)

and infertility (Baker et al., 2004; Huang et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2020), highlighting their

importance to human health.

The spindle checkpoint initiates from kinetochores, the specialized structures that assemble at

centromeres to mediate microtubule attachment and proper chromosome segregation (Lara-

Gonzalez et al., 2021). A complex of the conserved checkpoint factors, Mad1 and Mad2, binds

unattached or incorrectly attached kinetochores. Mad2 adopts two functionally distinct

conformations: an open, inactive form and a closed, active form. When Mad2 binds a specific

motif, called the closure motif, in its binding partners, such as Mad1 and Cdc20, Mad2

undergoes a conformational conversion from inactive (open) to active (closed). At unattached

kinetochores, the Mad1/Mad2 complex recruits additional inactive Mad2 so that it can bind

Cdc20, adopting the active conformation and forming the Mitotic Checkpoint Complex, or MCC.

The MCC sequesters Cdc20, a factor required to promote anaphase, to inhibit the cell cycle and

allow time for error correction (Lara-Gonzalez et al., 2021). The evolutionarily ancient ATP-ase

PCH-2/TRIP13 promotes spindle checkpoint function by ensuring the availability of open,

inactive Mad2 (Ma and Poon, 2016; Ma and Poon, 2018; Nelson et al., 2015)

Despite the important role of the spindle checkpoint in preventing aneuploidy, the mitotic delay

or arrest installed by the spindle checkpoint can be highly variable. The variability of the cell

cycle delay is called spindle checkpoint strength and depends on the number of unattached

kinetochores, cell volume, and cell fate (Collin et al., 2013; Galli and Morgan, 2016; Gerhold et

al., 2018; Kyogoku and Kitajima, 2017). For example, during early embryogenesis in *C. elegans*,

primordial germ cells have a stronger checkpoint than their somatic counterparts, independent

of their smaller size (Galli and Morgan, 2016; Gerhold et al., 2018), demonstrating

developmental differences in checkpoint strength. We showed that the stronger checkpoint in

germline cells depends on the asymmetric enrichment of the spindle checkpoint factor, PCH-

2/TRIP13 (Defachelles et al., 2020).

During mitosis in early *C. elegans* embryos, following nuclear envelope breakdown, PCH-

2::GFP and other checkpoint components, such as GFP::Mad1 and GFP::Mad2, remain

localized around mitotic chromosomes (Defachelles et al., 2020; Essex et al., 2009). This diffuse

localization resembles a structure known as the spindle matrix (Zheng, 2010). While the

composition and function of the spindle matrix remain controversial, some characterized spindle

matrix components interact with spindle checkpoint components (Lince-Faria et al., 2009;

Schweizer et al., 2013), making them attractive candidates to regulate spindle checkpoint

strength during development.

NPP-21/TPR is the *C. elegans* ortholog of the *Drosophila* spindle matrix protein, Megator, and

the human nucleoporin TPR. In *C. elegans*, NPP-21/TPR has been primarily characterized as a

nucleoporin, a member of a large family of proteins that form the nuclear pore and allow for

macromolecular transport between the cytoplasm and nucleus (Cohen-Fix and Askjaer, 2017).

NPP-21/TPR forms the nucleoplasmic face or basket of the pore (Cohen-Fix and Askjaer, 2017).

In *Drosophila*, Megator is a nucleoporin that also localizes to the area occupied by the miotic

spindle (Qi et al., 2004) and supports robust recruitment of Mad2 to unattached kinetochores

(Lince-Faria et al., 2009).

Here, we show that NPP-21/TPR, in its capacity as a spindle matrix component, is required for

developmental control of spindle checkpoint strength in *C. elegans*. A strain carrying both a

transgene of *npp-21*, *AID-3xFLAG::npp-21*, and the Arabidopsis TIR1 gene is functional for

checkpoint activation in somatic cells of the early embryo but cannot support the stronger

checkpoint in germline cells. When NPP-21 is acutely degraded, checkpoint strength is further

compromised in germline cells but has no effect on somatic cells. Consistent with this cell-fate

specific function, NPP-21::GFP consistently forms a structure that resembles the spindle matrix

during mitosis and is asymmetrically enriched in germline cells, compared to somatic cells.

Finally, NPP-21 ensures a stronger checkpoint in germline cells by concentrating PCH-2::GFP

around mitotic chromosomes and promoting the robust recruitment of GFP::Mad2 to unattached

kinetochores. We propose that during the rapid cell divisions of early embryogenesis, NPP-21,

and the spindle matrix, concentrate checkpoint factors around mitotic chromosomes to ensure

genomic integrity, a role that is particularly important in primordial germ cells that will give rise to

sperm and eggs.

Results and Discussion

NPP-21 is required for the stronger spindle checkpoint in germline, P_1 cells

NPP-21 is an essential gene (Piano et al., 2000). Therefore, to determine whether NPP-21

plays a role in the spindle checkpoint in early developing embryos, we exploited the auxin-

inducible degron system (AID) to acutely degrade NPP-21 in oocytes and early embryos. Using

CRISPR/Cas9, we generated a version of NPP-21 tagged at its N-terminus with the AID degron

and a 3xFLAG tag (*AID-3xFLAG::npp-21*, also referred to as *AID::npp-21*) in a strain that also

expresses the Arabidopsis TIR1 protein via a germline promoter (*Pgld-1::TIR1-mRuby)* (Zhang

et al., 2015). In the presence of auxin, TIR1 binds to degron-tagged proteins and targets them

for polyubiquitylation and degradation by the proteasome (Nishimura et al., 2009). In the

presence of ethanol and absence of auxin, this strain produces modest defects in in meiotic

chromosome segregation, illustrated by the elevated frequencies of inviable embryos and male

self-progeny (Table 1). When these worms were exposed to auxin, they produced few viable

progeny and 25% male self-progeny (Table 1). Thus, the *AID::npp-21* transgene is mostly

functional, with some slight defects in embryonic viability and meiotic chromosome segregation,

which may be the product of the tag and/or auxin-independent degradation. By contrast, auxin-

induced depletion of AID-3xFLAG::NPP-21 results in very high embryonic lethality and severe

defects in meiotic chromosome segregation, consistent with its essential role (Piano et al.,

2000).

We tested whether we could rapidly degrade AID-3xFLAG::NPP-21. We performed this

experiment in the most proximal oocytes undergoing cellularization (-1 oocyte). Upon

fertilization, these oocytes complete meiosis and enter mitosis to begin embryonic development

within an hour (Robertson and Lin, 2013). We exposed young adult hermaphrodites worms

undergoing oogenesis to auxin for one hour and performed indirect immunofluorescence

against the FLAG tag to visualize AID-3xFLAG::NPP-21. In worms exposed to ethanol, we

observed staining at the nuclear periphery in the most proximal oocytes, consistent with its

known role as a member of the nuclear pore complex (Figure 1A). After one hour of auxin

treatment, we could no longer detect AID-3xFLAG::NPP-21 at the nuclear periphery (Figure 1A),

indicating that we can reliably and rapidly degrade NPP-21 in oocytes that are about to be

fertilized and develop into embryos.

Having established this protocol, we used it to test whether degradation of NPP-21 affected

normal mitotic timing and spindle checkpoint function in early embryos, specifically two cell

embryos which have already differentiated into soma (AB cells) and germline (P_1 cells) (Figure

1B). We analyze mitotic timing by calculating the time between nuclear envelope breakdown

(NEBD) and the onset of cortical contractility (OCC), which coincides with anaphase in embryos

expressing an mCherry tagged histone, mCherry::H2B, and the plasma membrane marker,

GFP::PH (Essex et al., 2009). NEBD is visualized as the diffusion of soluble mCherry::H2B

signal from the nucleus and OCC is detected when the plasma membrane changes

conformation from circular to rectangular (Supplemental Figure 1A). In P_1 cells, it is difficult to

assess OCC and we used anaphase as an indicator of mitotic exit (Supplemental Figure 1A).

When transgenic *AID::npp-21* worms were exposed to ethanol or auxin, we did not detect any

difference in mitotic timing between ethanol-treated or auxin-treated worms, or with control

worms treated with ethanol, in either AB or P_1 cells, indicating that NPP-21 is not required for

unperturbed mitosis in either cell type (Figures 1C and D).

Next, we activated the spindle checkpoint in *AID::npp-21* AB cells by performing RNA

interference (RNAi) against *zyg-1*, a conserved cell cycle kinase required for centrosome

duplication (O'Connell et al., 2001). In the absence of *zyg-1*, two cell embryos only have one

centrosome and generate a monopolar spindle, producing chromosomes with unattached

kinetochores (Essex et al., 2009). In *zyg-1^{RNAi}* embryos, OCC is defined as the formation of

persistent membrane blebs between the AB and P_1 cells (arrows in Supplemental Figure 1A). In

AB cells of *zyg-1^{RNAi}* embryos, we observed a slight decrease in mitotic timing in transgenic

AID::npp-21 worms on ethanol or auxin (Figure 1C), when compared to control embryos on

ethanol. This difference was not statistically significant, indicating that NPP-21 might play a role

in promoting a strong spindle checkpoint in AB cells but is not required for the checkpoint in

these cells. In contrast, when we activated the spindle checkpoint in P_1 cells in *AID::npp-21*

worms exposed to ethanol, these cells showed similar mitotic timing as AB cells treated with

zyg-1 RNAi (Figures 1C and D) and a weaker checkpoint than control worms (Figure 1D).

These data indicate that either the transgenic *AID::npp-21* is not fully functional for spindle

checkpoint function in P_1 cells or that the presence of TIR1, even in the absence of auxin,

affects protein function. More importantly, these data suggest a role for NPP-21 in spindle

checkpoint strength in this specific cell type. When *AID::npp-21* worms are exposed to auxin for

more than one hour, we detected a further weakening of the spindle checkpoint (Figure 1D),

indicating that NPP-21 is required for a robust spindle checkpoint specifically in P_1 cells.

NPP-21::GFP adopts a structure similar to the spindle matrix and is enriched in P_1 cells

Given this cell fate-specific difference in the requirement for NPP-21 function in the spindle

checkpoint, we localized NPP-21::GFP in AB and P_1 cells in two cell embryos (Thomas et al.,

2023). First, we verified that *npp-21::gfp* worms were competent for checkpoint activation in AB

and P_1 cells. Because the presence of NPP-21::GFP prevented us from using GFP::PH to

monitor OCC, we measured mitotic timing using mCherry::H2B from NEBD to decondensation

of mitotic chromosomes (DECON) (Supplemental Figure 1B) (Essex et al., 2009). In embryos

expressing NPP-21::GFP, both AB and P_1 cells activated the spindle checkpoint and P_1 cells

displayed a stronger checkpoint than AB cells, similar to control worms (Figure 2A), verifying

that NPP-21::GFP is fully functional for checkpoint activation. Next, we localized NPP-21::GFP

and observed a striking difference in its localization in AB and P_1 cells. In 75% of two-cell

embryos (15/20), NPP-21::GFP remained in a cloud around mitotic chromosomes 120 seconds

after NEBD, a timepoint that corresponds to metaphase, in AB cells (see Materials and

Methods). In contrast to AB cells, after NEBD in P_1 cells, NPP-21::GFP reorganized around

mitotic chromosomes in a structure that resembled the mitotic spindle, similar to a spindle

matrix. In 25% of two cell embryos, both AB and P_1 cells exhibited this spindle-like localization

(Figure 2B). Thus, in P_1 cells 100% of the time, and in AB cells 25% of the time, NPP-21::GFP

adopts a localization pattern during mitosis that resembles the spindle matrix (see Supplemental

Movies).

We previously showed that PCH-2::GFP, which is required for spindle checkpoint function, is

enriched in P_1 cells (Defachelles et al., 2020). To determine whether NPP-21::GFP is also

enriched in P_1 cells, we quantified NPP-21::GFP fluorescence immediately following NEBD

(images labeled 20 seconds in Figure 2B). We performed this quantification at this stage of the

cell cycle since NPP-21::GFP localization was similar in both AB and P_1 cells immediately after

NEBD and entry into mitosis, in contrast to the changes in localization we observed at

metaphase in AB and P_1 cells (Figure 2B). When we performed this analysis, we detected more

NPP-21::GFP in P_1 cells than AB cells (Figure 2C). We were concerned that, even at this early

timepoint, the area occupied by NPP-21::GFP was different in AB and P_1 cells, potentially

affecting this quantification. To test this, we determined the area occupied by NPP-21::GFP

signal in AB and P_1 cells and found that this area was significantly smaller in P_1 cells than AB

cells (Figure 2D). Since AB and P1 cells show similar nuclear areas prior to NEBD (Gerhold et

al., 2018), this indicates that NPP-21::GFP is regulated differently in P_1 cells even at this early

timepoint. However, when we calculated the integrated intensity of NPP-21::GFP signal (Figure

2E) in both cell types, NPP-21::GFP signal was still significantly higher in P_1 cells than AB cells,

indicating that NPP-21::GFP is enriched in P_1 cells, potentially to drive the stronger checkpoint.

NPP-21 concentrates PCH-2::GFP around mitotic chromosomes

PCH-2 and its mammalian ortholog, Trip13, remodels active Mad2 to generate inactive Mad2

(Ye et al., 2015). This remodeling guarantees the availability of inactive Mad2 during checkpoint

activation so that the conversion of inactive Mad2 to active Mad2, and the production of soluble

MCC, is the rate limiting event in spindle checkpoint activation (Ma and Poon, 2016; Ma and

Poon, 2018; Nelson et al., 2015).

To evaluate whether NPP-21 contributed to the stronger spindle checkpoint in P_1 cells by

regulating PCH-2, we generated a strain that expresses both AID::NPP-21 and a fluorescently

tagged, functional PCH-2::GFP-3xFLAG (Nelson et al., 2015). Both *npp-21* and *pch-2* loci are

closely linked (0.20 cM apart). To generate the *AID::npp-21 pch-2::GFP-3xFLAG* double mutant

strain, the TIR1 transgene, also on the same chromosome, was crossed off in the recombination

event. Since we observed the defect in checkpoint strength in P_1 cells even in embryos that

have not been treated with auxin (Figure 1D), we first determined whether we could perform this

experiment in worms that did not express the TIR1 transgene. These double mutants do not

exhibit any embryonic inviability, indicating that the embryonic inviability we observe in *AID-*

3xFLAG::npp-21 Pgld-1::TIR1-mRuby strains on ethanol is likely the product of auxin-

independent but TIR1-dependent degradation of NPP-21 (Table 1). *AID-3xFLAG::npp-21 pch-*

2::GFP-3xFLAG hermaphrodites produced males at a higher, statistically significant frequency

than *pch-2::GFP-3xFLAG* animals*,* suggesting a very weak defect in meiotic chromosome

segregation (Table 1). However, *AID-3xFLAG::npp-21 pch-2::GFP-3xFLAG* embryos display a

stronger checkpoint in P_1 cells, similar to control animals (Figure 3A), indicating that *AID-*

3xFlag::npp-21 is fully functional and the weaker checkpoint we observed in *3xFLAG::npp-21*

Pgld-1::TIR1-mRuby P1 cells was a product of TIR1 expression.

We introduced a different TIR1 transgene controlled by another germline promoter, *Psun-*

1::TIR1-mRuby, into strains with both *AID-3xFLAG::npp-21* and *pch-2::GFP-3xFLAG*

transgenes. Strains carrying AID::NPP-21, this transgenic version of TIR1 and PCH-2::GFP

showed a weaker spindle checkpoint in P_1 cells, similar to AB cells and in contrast to control

animals and strains with only AID::NPP-21 and PCH-2::GFP (Figure 3A). This phenotype was

also similar to the original strain expressing AID::NPP-21 and TIR1 via the *gld-1* promoter

(Figure 1D). When these worms were grown on plates containing ethanol, we observed an

increase in male self-progeny and no effect on viability (Table 1).

We quantified PCH-2::GFP in both AB and P_1 cells in embryos expressing AID::NPP-21 and

PCH-2::GFP with or without TIR1 (Figures 3B and C). Both strains showed statistically

significant enrichment of PCH-2::GFP in P_1 cells, compared to AB cells (Figure 3D). However,

we noticed that the area occupied by PCH-2::GFP increased in both AB and P_1 cells in strains

expressing TIR1, compared to strains without TIR1 (Figure 3E and Supplemental Figure 2).

Moreover, in P_1 cells without TIR1, the area occupied by PCH-2::GFP was larger than that

observed in AB cells without TIR1, likely because PCH-2::GFP often adopted a matrix-like

structure in P_1 cells (55%, 6 of 11 embryos) (Figure 3C and Supplemental Figure 2). However,

this structure was much less defined than the one observed with NPP-21::GFP (Figure 2B).

When the area occupied by PCH-2::GFP was used to calculate the integrated intensity of PCH-

2::GFP in AID::NPP-21 embryos with and without TIR1, P_1 cells in strains with TIR1 still had

higher levels of PCH-2::GFP but the comparison with AB cells was not statistically significant

(Figure 3F). This was in direct contrast to the experiments performed in embryos without TIR1

(Figure 3F). These data indicate that NPP-21 is not required to enrich PCH-2::GFP in P_1 cells

but instead, promotes its concentration around mitotic chromosomes in both AB and P_1 cells.

Given the defect in checkpoint strength in P_1 cells in this strain, we would argue that this

concentration is particularly important for the stronger checkpoint in P_1 cells. The inability to

properly concentrate PCH-2::GFP in P_1 cells may also explain why PCH-2::GFP rarely adopts a

matrix-like structure in P_1 cells in embryos expressing AID::NPP-21 and TIR1 (18%, 2 of 11

embryos) (Figure 3C and Supplemental Figure 2).

NPP-21 promotes GFP::MAD-2 recruitment to unattached kinetochores in P_1 cells

In both cultured *Drosophila* and human (HeLa) cells, NPP-21 orthologs, Megator and TPR

respectively, promote the localization of Mad2, but not Mad1, to unattached kinetochores by

acting as spatial regulators or ensuring protein stability (Lince-Faria et al., 2009; Schweizer et

al., 2013). To determine if NPP-21 plays a similar role in *C. elegans* embryos (Figures 4A and

B), we quantified GFP::MAD-2 at unattached kinetochores in *AID::npp-21* embryos (Figures 4B

and C). In AB cells, GFP::MAD-2 recruitment to unattached kinetochores was unaffected by

NPP-21 depletion (Figures 4B and C), consistent with their normal checkpoint activation (Figure

1C). P_1 cells treated with ethanol also showed normal GFP::MAD-2 recruitment, indicating that

the weaker spindle checkpoint in P_1 cells is not the result of a baseline defect in localizing Mad2

(Figures 4B and C). By contrast, NPP-21 depletion sharply reduced GFP::MAD-2 localization to

unattached kinetochores in P_1 cells (Figures 4B and C), demonstrating that the weaker

checkpoint response in these cells stems from the inability to robustly localize Mad2 to

unattached kinetochores.

During early development, embryos balance the rapid cell divisions of early embryogenesis with

the imperative of maintaining genomic integrity (Fusari and Milan, 2026), particularly in immortal

germline cells that will give rise to sperm and eggs. NPP-21, through its spindle matrix function,

contributes to this balance by promoting a stronger spindle checkpoint response in germline

cells, in contrast to somatic cells. The NPP-21 protein displays germline specific behavior: it is

enriched and consistently forms a spindle matrix in P_1 cells, suggesting a conserved

developmental role during embryogenesis. This function acts at two control points:

concentrating PCH-2 around mitotic chromosomes and promoting Mad2 recruitment to

unattached kinetochores. Because PCH-2 promotes the formation of inactive, open Mad2 for

checkpoint signaling, these two control points may be mechanistically linked. Whether other,

conserved spindle matrix components play similar, developmental, roles during embryogenesis

is an interesting, open question for our future studies.

Materials and Methods

C. elegans **Strains and Husbandry**

The wildtype *C. elegans* strain background was Bristol N2 (Brenner, 1974) . All strains were

maintained at 20°C. See Supplemental Table 1 for a list of all *C. elegans* strains used in this

study.

The *AID::3xFLAG::npp-21* transgenic strain was generated by CRISPR/Cas9 genomic editing

(Paix et al., 2017). Young adult worms carrying the *Pgld-1::Tir1mRuby::3'UTR(gld-1) (ieSi64)*

transgene were injected with preassembled Cas9-RNP, 23 ng/µL of ssDNA repair template and

5 ng/uL pCFJ90 and 2.5 ng/uL pCFJ104 as co-injection markers. The target crRNA was

TAGTCTTTCAGTTATGGATG (IDT) and the ssDNA repair template was generated by primer

extension PCR using the following primer

GTGTTATCTAATTAAATCTCTCAGTTCCTTCAAGTTATCATAT and gBlock

CTCTCAGTTCCTTCAAGTTATCATATTTTTTAAGTAAAACTAATCGCCCGAAATTTAGTCTTTCA

GTTATGCCTAAAGATCCAGCCAAACCTCCGGCCAAGGCACAAGTTGTGGGATGGCCACCG

GTGAGATCATACCGGAAGAACGTGATGGTTTCCTGCCAAAAATCAAGCGGTGGCCCGGAG

GCGGCGGCGTTCGTGAAGGACTATAAAGATCACGACGGAGATTACAAGGACCATGATATCG

ACTACAAGGACGACGACGACAAGGGAGATGTGGATGCGCCACTTCAGGCGCCTGAACAAC

CGGTCGCAGACGCTGACGATGAAGAAGCTAACTGGGAAATGGAAAAAGCCGAAATGAAAC

GGATTGAG (both ordered from IDT). Transformants were identified and worms carrying the

transgene were genotyped using the following primers: AATTGGCATCGCTGATCAATGAG and

GCTCTTTAATTTCAGCCGCATGAC. The presence of the insertion was verified by sequencing

and the transgenic strain was backcrossed at least three time before analysis.

Viability and fertility

To score total progeny and male self-progeny, L4 hermaphrodites were picked onto individual

plates with 1mM auxin, ethanol or no additional treatment, and transferred to new plates daily

over 4 days. The eggs laid on each plate were counted after removing the parent. Viable

progeny and male progeny were quantified when the F1 reached L4 or adult stages (2-3 days

post egg laying).

Microscopy & Mitotic Timing Experiments

All immunofluorescence and live microscopy was performed on a DeltaVision Personal DV

deconvolution microscope (Applied Precision) equipped with a 100X N.A. 1.40 oil-immersion

objective (Olympus) coupled with a CoolSNAP charge-coupled camera (Roper Scientific). For

live microscopy of two cell embryos, eggs were dissected 18-26 hours post-L4 into 1X Egg

Buffer (25mM Hepes pH7.4, 118uM NaCl, 48mM KCl, 2mM EDTA, 0.5mM EGTA) and mounted

on 2% agarose pads for immediate analysis. Environmental temperature was approximately

21°C during image collection. For mitotic timing experiments, Z-sections were acquired with 8x

2μm steps using a 100X objective (Olympus) at 20s intervals. Exposure time was 100ms for

mCherry::H2B and 50ms for GFP::PH. Mitotic duration was calculated for the AB cell in the

presence of monopolar spindles as the interval between NEBD to the onset of cortical

contractility (OCC) or the interval between NEBD and chromosome decondensation (DECON).

NEBD was defined by the equilibration of mCherry::H2B from the nucleus into the cytosol. OCC

was defined as the change in conformation of the plasma membrane from circular to rectangular

in AB cells, as anaphase in P_1 cells or as the first frame when a persistent membrane bleb

formed from the cortex of the embryo in $zyg\text{-}1^{RNAi}$ embryos (Supplemental Figure 1A). DECON

was defined as the loss of punctate mCherry::H2B signal within the decondensing chromatin

(Supplemental Figure 1B). To minimize bleaching and maximize signal intensity of GFP-tagged

spindle checkpoint components (NPP-21, PCH-2 and MAD-2), imaging was started just after

NEBD as visualized by mCherry::H2B. Here, 8x 1μm steps were captured with 250ms GFP and

100ms mCherry exposures at 20s intervals.

Immunostaining was performed on worms 20–24 h after L4 stage, as described in (Russo et al.,

2021). Gonad dissections were performed in 1× EBT (250 mM Hepes-Cl, pH 7.4, 1.18 M NaCl,

480 mM KCl, 20 mM EDTA, and 5 mM EGTA) + 0.1% Tween 20 and 20 mM sodium azide. An

equal volume of 7.4% formaldehyde in EBT (final concentration was 3.7% formaldehyde) was

added and allowed to incubate under a coverslip for 5 min. The sample was mounted on

HistoBond slides (75 × 25 × 1 mm from VWR), freeze-cracked, and incubated in methanol at

−20°C for slightly more than 1 min and transferred to PBST (PBS with Tween 20). After several

washes of PBST, the samples were incubated for 30 min in 1% bovine serum albumin diluted in

PBST. A hand-cut paraffin square was used to cover the tissue with 50 μl of antibody solution.

Incubation was conducted in a humid chamber overnight at 4°C. Slides were rinsed in PBST

and then incubated for 2 h at room temperature with fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibody

at a dilution of 1:500. Samples were rinsed several times and DAPI stained in PBST, then

mounted in 13 μl of mounting media (20 M *N*-propyl gallate [Sigma-Aldrich] and 0.14 M Tris in

glycerol) with a no. 1 1/2 (22 mm^2) coverslip, and sealed with nail polish.

Mouse anti-FLAG [Sigma] primary antibodies were used for immunofluorescence at 1:1000 and

Alexa-Fluor 488 anti-mouse (Invitrogen) secondary antibodies were used at 1:500. Three-

dimensional image stacks were collected at 0.2-μm Z-spacing and processed by constrained,

iterative deconvolution. Image scaling and analysis were performed using functions in the

softWoRx software package. Projections were calculated by a maximum intensity algorithm.

Composite images were assembled and some false coloring was performed with Adobe

Photoshop.

Quantification of NPP-21::GFP, GFP::MAD-2, and PCH-2::GFP-3XFLAG

Analysis was performed in Fiji. Quantification of fluorescence around mitotic chromosomes was

quantified as described in (Defachelles et al., 2020). Sum intensity projections were generated

and average fluorescence in the area around mitotic chromosomes was measured in Fiji.

Background average fluorescence was measured in a 30-pixel band around this "cloud" and

subtracted from the initial fluorescence intensity to determine the final value. In some of our

movies to quantify PCH-2::GFP and localize NPP-21::GFP, identifying a clear metaphase plate

was more difficult in AB than in P1 cells. Therefore, to ensure that we were performing these

experiments at the same stage in mitosis in these two cell types, frames for the relevant

analysis were normalized relative to NEBD and mitotic exit.

Quantification of unattached kinetochore signal was performed essentially as described for

GFP::MAD-2 quantification in (Nelson et al., 2015). Maximum intensity projections of both

mCherry::H2B and GFP fusion proteins were made after the pseudo-metaphase plate was

generated. The image was rotated so the metaphase plate was vertical, channels were split,

and the maximum GFP pixel was identified using the process function within a box on the

unattached side of the metaphase plate. In the same x-plane, the maximum mCherry::H2B pixel

was found. The width was changed to 12 pixels and the maximum GFP signal intensity was

recorded in this 12 pixel window centered at the mCherry maxima. The background GFP signal

was calculated by taking the average GFP intensity of a 4 pixel box in the same x-plane, 8

pixels away from the maximum mCherry on the opposite side of the pseudo-metaphase plate to

the maximum GFP (i.e. the attached side). This background GFP was then subtracted from the

maximum to measure the kinetochore bound GFP fusion intensity. This process was repeated at

least 7x for each genetic background and treatment.

Feeding RNA interference (RNAi)

RNA interference (RNAi) was performed by growing relevant worm strains on HT115 bacteria

transformed with a vector allowing for IPTG inducible expression of dsRNA against the *zyg-1*

gene. Bacterial strains containing this RNAi vector were cultured overnight at 37°C, centrifuged,

and the pellet was re-suspended in 1/10 of the original volume. 50uL of concentrated culture

was spotted onto a nematode growth medium (NGM) plate with 1mM IPTG and 50ug/uL of

kanamycin and the RNAi spot was allowed to grow overnight at 37°C. Plates were stored at 4°C

for up to 10 days.

L4 hermaphrodite worms were transferred to RNAi plates, allowed to incubate for 2–3 hours,

and then transferred to fresh RNAi plates. Live microscopy was performed on embryos 22–26

hours after worms were picked to the *zyg-1* RNAi plate. HT115 bacteria transformed with

pHSG298 (Clontech) was used as a control for *zyg-1^{RNAi}*.

Auxin treatment

Auxin treatment was performed on worms 20–24 hours after L4 stage by transferring worms to

bacteria-seeded plates containing auxin or ethanol. The natural auxin indole-3-acetic acid (IAA)

was purchased from Alfa Aesar (#A10556). A 100 mM stock solution in ethanol was prepared

and was stored at 4°C for up to two months. 100ul of the auxin stock solution or ethanol was

added to NGM plates (volume 10mls) to achieve a final concentration of 1mM.

To perform RNAi against *zyg-1* and auxin treatment, L4 hermaphrodite worms were transferred

to RNAi plates, allowed to incubate for 2–3 hours, and then transferred to fresh RNAi plates for

20-22 hours. Worms were then transferred to RNAi plates containing either auxin or ethanol to

incubate for 2-3 hours before performing live microscopy.

Statistical Analysis

Data was analyzed using Prism for statistical significance. For Figures 2C, D and E, student's t-

test was used to assess significance. For all other data, one-way ANOVA with Šídák correction

was used to assess significance.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Arshad Desai, Karen Oegema, Peter Askjaer and Geraldine Seydoux for

valuable *C. elegans* strains. We thank the members of the Bhalla lab for reading of the

manuscript. This work and the resulting manuscript was supported by the National Institutes of

Health (NIH) (grant numbers R35GM141835 [N.B.], T32GM133391 [S.B.] and R01GM065591

[A.F.D.]), a postdoctoral fellowship of the Human Frontier Science Program (LT000903/2013-C

[S.K.]) and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (A.F.D.). Some strains were provided by the

CGC, which is funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440).

Because this manuscript is the result of funding in whole or in part by the NIH, this manuscript is

subject to the NIH Public Access Policy. Through acceptance of this federal funding, NIH has

been given a right to make this manuscript publicly available in PubMed Central upon the

Official Date of Publication.

References

Baker, D.J., K.B. Jeganathan, J.D. Cameron, M. Thompson, S. Juneja, A. Kopecka, R. Kumar,

R.B. Jenkins, P.C. de Groen, P. Roche, and J.M. van Deursen. 2004. BubR1

insufficiency causes early onset of aging-associated phenotypes and infertility in mice.

Nat Genet. 36:744-749.

Brenner, S. 1974. The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics*. 77:71-94.

Cohen-Fix, O., and P. Askjaer. 2017. Cell Biology of the Caenorhabditis elegans Nucleus.

Genetics. 205:25-59.

Collin, P., O. Nashchekina, R. Walker, and J. Pines. 2013. The spindle assembly checkpoint

works like a rheostat rather than a toggle switch. *Nat Cell Biol*. 15:1378-1385.

Defachelles, L., A.E. Russo, C.R. Nelson, and N. Bhalla. 2020. The conserved AAA-ATPase

PCH-2 (TRIP13) regulates spindle checkpoint strength. *Mol Biol Cell*. 31:2219-2233.

Essex, A., A. Dammermann, L. Lewellyn, K. Oegema, and A. Desai. 2009. Systematic analysis

in Caenorhabditis elegans reveals that the spindle checkpoint is composed of two largely

independent branches. *Mol Biol Cell*. 20:1252-1267.

Fusari, E., and M. Milan. 2026. Developmental tolerance and disease implications of

aneuploidy. *Curr Biol*. 36:R210-R228.

Galli, M., and D.O. Morgan. 2016. Cell Size Determines the Strength of the Spindle Assembly

Checkpoint during Embryonic Development. *Dev Cell*. 36:344-352.

Gerhold, A.R., V. Poupart, J.C. Labbe, and P.S. Maddox. 2018. Spindle assembly checkpoint

strength is linked to cell fate in the Caenorhabditis elegans embryo. *Mol Biol Cell*.

29:1435-1448.

Huang, L., W. Li, X. Dai, S. Zhao, B. Xu, F. Wang, R.T. Jin, L. Luo, L. Wu, X. Jiang, Y. Cheng, J.

Zou, C. Xu, X. Tong, H.Y. Fan, H. Zhao, and J. Bao. 2023. Biallelic variants in

MAD2L1BP (p31(comet)) cause female infertility characterized by oocyte maturation

arrest. *Elife*. 12.

Kops, G.J., B.A. Weaver, and D.W. Cleveland. 2005. On the road to cancer: aneuploidy and the

mitotic checkpoint. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 5:773-785.

Kyogoku, H., and T.S. Kitajima. 2017. Large Cytoplasm Is Linked to the Error-Prone Nature of

Oocytes. *Dev Cell*. 41:287-298 e284.

Lara-Gonzalez, P., J. Pines, and A. Desai. 2021. Spindle assembly checkpoint activation and

silencing at kinetochores. *Semin Cell Dev Biol*. 117:86-98.

Lince-Faria, M., S. Maffini, B. Orr, Y. Ding, F. Claudia, C.E. Sunkel, A. Tavares, J. Johansen,

K.M. Johansen, and H. Maiato. 2009. Spatiotemporal control of mitosis by the conserved

spindle matrix protein Megator. *J Cell Biol*. 184:647-657.

Ma, H.T., and R.Y. Poon. 2016. TRIP13 Regulates Both the Activation and Inactivation of the

Spindle-Assembly Checkpoint. *Cell Rep*. 14:1086-1099.

Ma, H.T., and R.Y.C. Poon. 2018. TRIP13 Functions in the Establishment of the Spindle

Assembly Checkpoint by Replenishing O-MAD2. *Cell Rep*. 22:1439-1450.

Matthews, H.K., C. Bertoli, and R.A.M. de Bruin. 2022. Cell cycle control in cancer. *Nat Rev Mol*

Cell Biol. 23:74-88.

Nelson, C.R., T. Hwang, P.H. Chen, and N. Bhalla. 2015. TRIP13PCH-2 promotes Mad2

localization to unattached kinetochores in the spindle checkpoint response. *J Cell Biol*.

211:503-516.

Nishimura, K., T. Fukagawa, H. Takisawa, T. Kakimoto, and M. Kanemaki. 2009. An auxin-based

degron system for the rapid depletion of proteins in nonplant cells. *Nat Methods*. 6:917-

922.

O'Connell, K.F., C. Caron, K.R. Kopish, D.D. Hurd, K.J. Kemphues, Y. Li, and J.G. White. 2001.

The C. elegans zyg-1 gene encodes a regulator of centrosome duplication with distinct

maternal and paternal roles in the embryo. *Cell*. 105:547-558.

Paix, A., A. Folkmann, and G. Seydoux. 2017. Precision genome editing using CRISPR-Cas9

and linear repair templates in C. elegans. *Methods*. 121-122:86-93.

Piano, F., A.J. Schetter, M. Mangone, L. Stein, and K.J. Kemphues. 2000. RNAi analysis of

genes expressed in the ovary of Caenorhabditis elegans. *Curr Biol*. 10:1619-1622.

Qi, H., U. Rath, D. Wang, Y.Z. Xu, Y. Ding, W. Zhang, M.J. Blacketer, M.R. Paddy, J. Girton, J.

Johansen, and K.M. Johansen. 2004. Megator, an essential coiled-coil protein that

localizes to the putative spindle matrix during mitosis in Drosophila. *Mol Biol Cell*.

15:4854-4865.

Robertson, S., and R. Lin. 2013. The oocyte-to-embryo transition. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 757:351-

372.

Russo, A.E., C.R. Nelson, and N. Bhalla. 2021. Mutating two putative phosphorylation sites on

ZHP-3 does not affect its localization or function during meiotic chromosome

segregation. *MicroPubl Biol*. 2021.

Schweizer, N., C. Ferras, D.M. Kern, E. Logarinho, I.M. Cheeseman, and H. Maiato. 2013.

Spindle assembly checkpoint robustness requires Tpr-mediated regulation of

Mad1/Mad2 proteostasis. *J Cell Biol*. 203:883-893.

Thomas, L., B. Taleb Ismail, P. Askjaer, and G. Seydoux. 2023. Nucleoporin foci are stress-

sensitive condensates dispensable for C. elegans nuclear pore assembly. *EMBO J*.

42:e112987.

Ye, Q., S.C. Rosenberg, A. Moeller, J.A. Speir, T.Y. Su, and K.D. Corbett. 2015. TRIP13 is a

protein-remodeling AAA+ ATPase that catalyzes MAD2 conformation switching. *eLife*. 4.

Zhang, L., J.D. Ward, Z. Cheng, and A.F. Dernburg. 2015. The auxin-inducible degradation

(AID) system enables versatile conditional protein depletion in C. elegans. *Development*.

142:4374-4384.

Zhang, Z., B. Li, J. Fu, R. Li, F. Diao, C. Li, B. Chen, J. Du, Z. Zhou, J. Mu, Z. Yan, L. Wu, S. Liu,

W. Wang, L. Zhao, J. Dong, L. He, X. Liang, Y. Kuang, X. Sun, Q. Sang, and L. Wang.

2020. Bi-allelic Missense Pathogenic Variants in TRIP13 Cause Female Infertility

Characterized by Oocyte Maturation Arrest. *Am J Hum Genet*. 107:15-23.

Zheng, Y. 2010. A membranous spindle matrix orchestrates cell division. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*.

11:529-535.

Table 1: Viability of strains

Figure Legends

Figure 1: NPP-21 is required for the stronger spindle checkpoint in germline cells of the

two-cell embryo. A. AID-3xFLAG::NPP-21 is degraded in oocytes after one hour of auxin

treatment. Images of cellularized (-1) oocytes from worms treated with ethanol or auxin stained

with antibodies against the FLAG tag and DAPI to visualize DNA. Scale bar indicates 5 microns.

B. Cartoon indicating a two cell embryo with the AB and P_1 cell labeled and the nucleus colored

magenta. C. AID-3XFLAG::NPP-21 is functional and not required for the spindle checkpoint in

AB, somatic, cells. Graph depicting mitotic timing in AB cells of embryos treated with ethanol or

auxin and control RNAi or *zyg-1* RNAi. D. AID-3XFLAG::NPP-21 cannot support the stronger

checkpoint and is required for a robust spindle checkpoint in P_1, germline, cells. Graph

depicting mitotic timing in P_1 cells of embryos treated with ethanol or auxin and control RNAi or

zyg-1 RNAi. In all graphs, a * indicates a p value < 0.05, a ** indicates a p value < 0.01, a ***

indicates a p value < 0.001, a **** indicates a p value < 0.0001, ns indicates not significant and

error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.

Figure 2: NPP-21::GFP is enriched in P_1, germline, cells and its localization is distinct**

from that in AB, somatic, cells. A. NPP-21::GFP is functional for the spindle checkpoint in AB

and P_1 cells. A graph depicting mitotic timing in AB and P_1 cells of control and *npp-21::GFP*

animals treated with control and *zyg-1* RNAi. B. NPP-21::GFP localization in P_1 cells is different

than in AB cells in most two cell embryos. Images of AB and P_1 cells expressing mCherry::H2B

(magenta) and NPP-21::GFP (green) at NEBD, 20 seconds after NEBD and at metaphase (120

seconds after NEBD). C. NPP-21::GFP is asymmetrically enriched in P_1 cells. Graph depicting

average fluorescence of NPP-21::GFP in AB and P_1 cells 20 seconds after NEBD. D. The area

occupied by NPP-21::GFP is smaller in P_1 cells. Graph depicting the area in microns^2 of NPP-

21::GFP in AB and P_1 cells 20 seconds after NEBD. E. NPP-21::GFP is asymmetrically enriched

in P_1 cells. Graph depicting integrated intensity of NPP-21-::GFP in AB and P_1 cells 20 seconds

after NEBD.

Figure 3: NPP-21 concentrates PCH-2::GFP around mitotic chromosomes in both AB and

P_1 cells. A. Worms expressing both AID-3XFLAG::NPP-21 and TIR1 cannot support the

stronger checkpoint. A graph depicting mitotic timing in AB and P_1 cells of control, *AID::npp-21*

and *AID::npp-21;TIR1* animals treated with control and *zyg-1* RNAi. B. Cartoon of PCH-2::GFP

localization in AB and P_1 cells. C. Images of PCH-2::GFP (green) localization in AB and P_1 cells

expressing mCherry::H2B (magenta) in metaphase, in embryos without and with the TIR1 gene.

Scale bar indicates 5 microns. D. PCH-2::GFP is asymmetrically enriched in P_1 cells, in

embryos without and with the TIR1 gene. Graph depicting average fluorescence of PCH-2::GFP

in AB and P_1 cells at metaphase. E. The area occupied by PCH-2::GFP is greater in AB and P_1

cells in embryos expressing TIR1. Graph depicting the area in microns^2 of PCH-2::GFP in AB

and P_1 cells in metaphase. E. PCH-2::GFP is less concentrated in AB and P_1 cells of embryos

expressing TIR1. Graph depicting integrated intensity of PCH-2::GFP in AB and P_1 cells.

Figure 4: NPP-21 is required for GFP::MAD-2 recruitment to unattached kinetochores in

P_1, germline, cells. A. Cartoon of GFP::MAD-2 localization in AB and P_1 cells in *zyg-1^{RNAi}*

embryos. B. Images of GFP::MAD-2 (green) localization in AB and P_1 cells in *zyg-1^{RNAi}* embryos

expressing mCherry::H2B (magenta), treated with ethanol (top) or auxin (bottom). Scale bar

indicates 5 microns. C. Quantification of GFP::MAD-2 in AB and P_1 cells at unattached

kinetochores in *zyg-1^{RNAi}* embryos treated with ethanol or auxin.

Supplemental Figure 1: A. Images of AB and P_1 cells expressing mCherry::H2B (magenta) and

GFP::PH (green) at NEBD or OCC in control or *zyg-1^{RNAi}* embryos. B. Grayscale images of

mCherry::H2B in control or *zyg-1^{RNAi}* embryos. Scale bars indicate 5 microns.

Supplemental Figure 2: Grayscale images of PCH-2::GFP in *AID::npp-21* strains without

(top) and with TIR1 (bottom). Area of enrichment indicated by yellow dotted circle. Scale bar

indicates 5 microns.

Supplemental Movie 1: In 75% of *C. elegans* two-cell embryos, NPP-21::GFP forms a

spindle matrix only in P_1 cells. A two cell embryo expressing mCherry::H2B (red) and NPP-

21::GFP (green) undergoing mitosis. The AB cell divides first and is on the right and the P_1 cell

divides after the AB cell and is on the left.

Supplemental Movie 2: In 25% of *C. elegans* two-cell embryos, NPP-21::GFP forms a

spindle matrix in both AB and P_1 cells. A two cell embryo expressing mCherry::H2B (red) and

NPP-21::GFP (green) undergoing mitosis. The AB cell divides first and is on the right and the P_1

cell divides after the AB cell and is on the left.

Supplemental Table 1: *C. elegans* strains used in this study

Table 1: Viability of strains

Genotype and condition	Embryonic viability (number of embryos)	% Males
mCherry::H2B; GFP::PH + ethanol	100% (2165)	0.05%
mCherry::H2B; GFP::PH + auxin	100% (1799)	0.08%
AID-3xFLAG::npp-21 Pgld-1::TIR-1-mRuby; mCherry::H2B; GFP::PH + ethanol	96% (2245)****	2.3%****
AID-3xFLAG::npp-21 Pgld-1::TIR-1-mRuby; mCherry::H2B; GFP::PH + auxin	0.25% (1598)****	25%****
pch-2::GFP-3xFLAG; mCherry::H2B	100% (3061)	0.06%
AID-3xFLAG::npp-21 pch-2::GFP-3xFLAG; mCherry::H2B	100% (3373)	0.6%***
AID-3xFLAG::npp-21 pch-2::GFP-3xFLAG; Psun-1::TIR-1-mRuby mCherry::H2B + ethanol	100% (2113)	1.7%****

A *** indicates a P value < 0.001 and a **** indicates a P value < 0.0001

A

time in mitosis (seconds, NEBD to DECON)

AB   P₁   AB   P₁   AB   P₁   AB   P₁
control   *npp-21::GFP*   control   *npp-21::GFP*
                        zyg-1^RNAi

ns
ns
*
****

B

AB cell (75%)
NEBD (0)   20 s   metaphase(120 s)

AB cell (25%)

P₁ cell (100%)

mCherry::H2B
NPP-21::GFP

C

NPP-21::GFP fluorescence (arbitrary units)

AB cell   P₁ cell

****

D

area occupied by NPP-21::GFP (microns²)

AB cell   P₁ cell

****

E

NPP-21::GFP integrated intensity

AB cell   P₁ cell

*

A

B

AB   P₁   AB   P₁

C

	AB cell	P₁ cell
AID::npp-21		
AID::npp-21; TIR1		

mCherry::H2B
PCH-2::GFP

A

AB   P₁   AB   P₁

B

	AB cell	P₁ cell
Ethanol		
Auxin		

mCherry::H2B
GFP::MAD-2

C

***

GFP::MAD-2 fluorescence at
unattached kinetochores (arbitrary units)

	AB	P₁	AB	P₁
	Ethanol		Auxin	