Lack of evidence for the presence of an interferon in invertebrate
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Abstract

In vertebrates, the interferon (IFN) response is the primary form of innate antiviral defense. Previously (2005), a partial cDNA which could encode an interferon-like protein (IntlP) is reported in shrimp, later Rosa et al. (2008) argue that this partial cDNA should encode a portion of insect mitochondrial ATP synthase (MAS) B-chain. Recently (2009), it is demonstrated IntlP also possess antibacterial activity beside antiviral activity reported before. Lacking of a consensus opinion to the question of whether this gene encodes IntlP or MAS, we try to provide more evidences to identify this gene exactly. Here we obtain the full length cDNAs of IntlP/ MAS in Litopenaeus vannamei, and perform the tissue distribution and induced expression analysis. Our results confirm that IntlP is coded by a mistaken ORF and the actual protein indeed is a L. vannamei mitochondrial ATP synthase (LvMAS) whose function is unknown in antiviral responses.

Keywords: shrimp interferon; mitochondrial ATP synthase; antiviral activity; antibacterial activity
1. Introduction

Interferons (IFNs) constitute a large group of cytokines that are best known for their ability to induce vertebrate cells into an antiviral state. It is also reported that IFN systems can defense against bacterial and protozoal infection. Binding of IFNs to their receptors initiates signaling that leads to a global shutdown in protein translation, cellular RNA degradation and deamination and often the death of virus-infected cells. However, until recently, there was no IFN cDNA of invertebrates found. In 2005 He et al. reported a partial cDNA encodes an interferon-like protein (IntlP) homologue to mammalian IFN-α which was expressed only in the WSSV-resistant shrimp *Penaeus japonicus* (but not in naïve shrimps) and showed nonspecific antiviral activity to SGIV (grouper iridovirus). But later Rosa et al. (2008) argue that this partial cDNA actual encodes a portion of the mitochondrial ATP synthase (MAS) which shows high identity (60–73%) with insect MAS b-chain and was expressed not only in naïve and WSSV-infected *L. vannamei* but also in two wild Brazilian shrimp species. As well as He, Rosa didn’t obtain the full length cDNA of shrimp ATP synthase. Thus, like IntlP, it is unclear whether this portion of the ATP synthase is in the right coding region of the full length cDNA. It is also unknown whether this gene has an induced expression by pathogen infection and where it localizes (secreted or not) for function. Recently, Mai et al. (2009) report IntlP also possesses significant antibacterial activity to the shrimp pathogens *V. alginolyticus* and *V. parahemolyticus*. About this partial cDNA encoding IntlP or MFS has two different points of view. Maybe more empirical evidences are needed to confirm a substantive protein encoded by this gene. We obtain the full length cDNAs of
IntlP/MAS in *L. vannamei* by RACE-PCR approach, and then several cDNAs show very high nucleotide identities (>60%) with *L. vannamei* MAS (LvMAS) in other lobsters and crabs were retrieved in NCBI. All these cDNA sequences encode proteins show high identities with insect MAS but very low with mammal IFNs. RT-PCR reveals that LvMAS mRNA cannot be induced by immune challenge. Further homology searching and sequence analysis indicate IFNs most probably origin from cartilaginous fish and to date no correct invertebrate IFN cDNAs have been cloned. This study also makes it clear that IFN system is limited in high vertebrates, while RNA interference is used by nematode and insects as a main antiviral strategy. And this also points an interesting question that which mechanism is used in the ancestor of high vertebrate like sea urchins, amphioxus, hagfish, and lamprey and so on which lack IFN system and no RNA interference pathway found.

2. Materials and methods

Based on the partial cDNA (accession no. EU246975) which could encode an interferon-like protein (IntlP) in *L. vannamei*, specific primers (Table. 1) were designed to obtain the 3’ and 5’ end cDNA sequences of LvMAS by rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) as described before (Wang et al., 2009). The genes of American Lobster, Blue Crab, *Petrolisthes cinctipes* and Grass Shrimp show high nucleotide identities (>70%) with LvMAS were obtained through the NCBI programs blastn and blastx, and the ORFs were predicted through the NCBI program ORF Finder. RT-PCR was performed with LvMAS-F and LvMAS-R. cDNA templates for RT-PCR were prepared previously (Wang et al., 2009), and the conditions were the same as described before.
except that the cycles were modified as indicated in Fig. 2B. Reported vertebrate IFN-α and IFN-γ were used as seed sequences to search IFN homologous of *C. elegan*, insects, sea urchin *S. purpuratus*, amphioxus, lamprey in UCSC Genome Browser and NCBI using “BLAST”. When search IFN homologous of pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*, shrimps and crabs, databases of Marine Genomics Project (http://www.marinegenomics.org/) are also referred using the provided search tool.

### 3. Results and discussion

The predicted ORFs of American Lobster, Blue Crab, *L. vannamei*, Petrolisthes cinctipes and Grass Shrimp all encode a protein (ALMAS, BcMAS, LvMAS, PcMAS and GsMAS) which possesses a mitochondrial ATP synthase domain; no alternative ORF which could encode a protein possesses an interferon domain is available (Fig. 1D). The ORF encodes the IntlP used by He et al which does not contain an interferon domain and shows very low identity with vertebrate IFN-α (Fig. 1B). As later Rosa described, the analysis of IntlIP gene through the NCBI programs blastx resulted in a translated nucleotide sequence that strongly matched with the MASs of other species (Fig. 1C). In addition, IFNs are secreted proteins which possess a signal peptide in the N-terminus. But we can not find any signal peptide using all possible translation patterns of these five genes (Fig. 1D). The obtaining of the full length cDNA of LvMAS and further sequence analysis make us believe that IntlIP most probable is encoded by a mistaken ORF. To confirm this conclusion, RT-PCR was performed to investigate the distribution and induced expression of LvMAS (Fig. 2B). We observe that LvMAS is wildly distributed in healthy and immune challenged shrimp *L.*
vannamei, a result correlated with later Rosa. When challenged by saline, LPS (from \textit{E. coli}), Gram-negative \textit{V. alginolyticus}, Gram-positive \textit{S. aureus}, Yeast \textit{S. cerevisiae}, white spot syndrom virus (WSSV) or polyinosinic polycytidylic acid (poly I: C) as described before, the expression of LvMAS has no obvious changing (Fig. 2B). The constitutive expression of LvMAS is more like MAS rather than IFNs which could be highly induced after immune challenge. As for the results that recombinant IntlIP possess non-specific antiviral and antibacterial activities described by He et al and Mai et al, it remains unexplained. In the article (He et al., 2005), Fig. 3B displays the antiviral activity of recombinant IntlIP by a cytotoxicity experiment by inhibiting SGIV on fish GP cell lines (grouper embryo cells). According to the authors, GP cells (Fig. 3A) were completely destroyed by SGIV (Fig. 3B) while parts of the cells remained alive when incubated in the SGIV and IntlIP protein mixture for 48 h, (Fig. 3C). But the fingers are not clear enough and no parallel experiments were declared. In the paper (Mai et al., 2009), three different methods are mentioned in detecting IntlIP antibacterial experiments, but they were only done in duplicates and no significant differences were calculated. And in these two papers, the antiviral and antibacterial assays both lack positive control groups. In the later paper (Mai et al., 2009) in Table 1, a negative group is also lacking. In addition, IntlIP indeed shows some similarity with a portion of mammalian IFNs although it is very low, so it can not be rule out that recombined IntlIP protein holds some functions like the IFNs.

The encodings of IFNs in animal genomes and EST sequences indicate that IFNs are
limited in bony vertebrates (teleost fish, amphibians, reptiles, birds, mammals) and all kinds of interferons are absent in pacific oyster, *C. elegan*, insects, sea urchin *S. purpuratus*, amphioxus, hagfish and lamprey, some of which are consistent with previous studies (Huang et al., 2008; Krause and Pestka, 2005; Savan et al., 2009) (Fig. 3A). Although a human IFN-R1 homology was found in the sea squirt *Ciona intestinalis*, there is no significant IFN-R sequence found in amphioxus, hagfish or lamprey (Krause and Pestka, 2005). Until cartilaginous fish, a shark IFNγ-R is found (Savan et al., 2009). In evolution before cartilaginous fish, most of the vertebrate cytokines except for the tumor necrosis factor like gene are absent, including most interleukins, all interferons, chemokines, colony-stimulating factors, and their cognate receptors (Huang et al., 2008; Krause and Pestka, 2005). The same situation is also observed in other invertebrates including pacific oyster, nematode *caenorhabditis elegans*, crustacea and genome available insects (Rosa and Barracco, 2008). But from cartilaginous fish some cytokines begin to emerge (Krause and Pestka, 2005; Savan et al., 2009). We propose that vertebrate cytokines especial IFNs origin from cartilaginous fish (Fig. 3B). So we would not agree with the existence of an unknown cytokines to perform the antiviral protection in shrimps (Rosa and Barracco, 2008). In invertebrates such as nematode and insects, RNAi is critical for protecting from viral infections (Saleh et al., 2009; Schott et al., 2005). But RNAi is replaced by the IFNs system in high vertebrates as the primary antiviral responses (Cullen, 2006; Myles et al., 2008). RNAi now exists in vertebrates only as a mechanism of post-transcriptional regulation ‘programmed’ by endogenously encoded miRNA. But RNAi is a sequence-specific
gene-silencing mechanism, which are different from the dsRNA-sequence independent
unspecific antiviral responses of shrimps (Rosa and Barracco, 2008). We believe that
some virus-induced immune proteins such as C-type lectins, hemocyanins and AMPs
would play a very important role in this unspecific antiviral responses rather than
unknown interferon-like proteins or cytokines similar to vertebrates proposed by Rosa
et al (Lei et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2009). To have a better understanding of shrimp
antiviral response, further investigations should focus on these virus-induced immune
proteins and a similar RNAi pathway in shrimps. As for the antiviral mechanism of low
vertebrates, it is still a gap. Further investigation would be very interesting and
contribute to the better understanding of the origin and evolution of animal antiviral
system.

In conclusion, this current work demonstrates that IntlP is not a real interferon-like
protein, but encoded by a mistaken ORF of MAS. To our knowledge, the reported IFNs
are limited in bony vertebrates, and further homology searching and sequence analysis
make us believe that most vertebrate cytokines especial IFNs origin from cartilaginous
fish.
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Figure Legends

**Fig.1** cDNA cloning (A) and sequence analysis (D) of *LvMAS*. The ORF encodes the IntlP used by He et al shows very low identity with vertebrate IFN-α(B). The analysis of IntlP gene through the NCBI programs blastx resulted in a translated nucleotide sequence that strongly matched with the MASs of other species (Fig. 1C).

**Fig.2** Expression of *LvMAS* mRNA in healthy and immune-challenged shrimps.

Tissue distribution of *LvMAS* mRNA in hemocyte (1), epithelium (2), hepatopancreas (3), nerve (4), eyestalk (5), heart (6), pyloric caecum (7), intestine (8), gill (9) and muscle (10) in healthy shrimps by RT-PCR analysis (left). Induced expression of *LvMAS* mRNA in hemocyte by saline (12), LPS (from *E.coli*) (13), Gram-negative *V. alginolyticus* (14), Gram-positive *S. aureus* (15), Yeast *S. cerevisiae* (16), white spot syndrom virus (WSSV) (17) or polycytidylic acid (poly I: C) (18), and untreated hemocyte (12) was used for control (right).

**Fig.3** Tree of life showing the emergence and evolution of IFNs.
### Table 1. PCR primers used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Primer sequences (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Purpose/note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5’ RACE1</td>
<td>TCGCATGAGCAAGACAC</td>
<td>cDNA cloning; using nucleotides of <em>P. japonicus</em> to amplify 5’ and 3’ cDNA ends of <em>L. vannamei</em> MAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5’ RACE2</td>
<td>TGCCAAGCGAGAAAATGTTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3’ RACE1</td>
<td>ATGCAACGCGAGAAAAATGTT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3’ RACE2</td>
<td>AACTCGCAATTGAGCAGAAGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LvMAS-F</td>
<td>CTTTGTACATGGCTTTACGC</td>
<td>RT-PCR; the distribution and induced expression analysis of LvMAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LvMAS-R</td>
<td>CATCTGTAAACCCATAGTCCTAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-actin-F</td>
<td>GAAATGCGCGCCCTGGTTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-actin-R</td>
<td>CGGTTAGCCTGTGGGTTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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