Revolutionising Public Health Reference Microbiology using Whole Genome Sequencing: *Salmonella* as an exemplar
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**ABSTRACT**

Advances in whole genome sequencing (WGS) platforms and DNA library preparation have led to the development of methods for high throughput sequencing of bacterial genomes at a relatively low cost (Loman et al. 2012; Medini et al. 2008). WGS offers unprecedented resolution for determining degrees of relatedness between strains of bacterial pathogens and has proven a powerful tool for microbial population studies and epidemiological investigations (Harris et al. 2010; Lienau et al. 2011; Holt et al. 2009; Ashton, Peters, et al. 2015). The potential utility of WGS to public health microbiology has been highlighted previously (Köser et al. 2012; Kwong et al. 2013; Reuter et al. 2013; Joensen et al. 2014; Nair et al. 2014; Bakker et al. 2014; D’Auria et al. 2014). Here we report, for the first time, the routine use of WGS as the primary test for identification, surveillance and outbreak investigation by a national reference laboratory. We present data on how this has revolutionised public health microbiology for one of the most common bacterial pathogens in the United Kingdom, the *Salmonellae*.

**DATA SUMMARY**

1. PHE Salmonella sequencing data is deposited in the Sequence Read Archive in BioProject PRJNA248792.

I/We confirm all supporting data, code and protocols have been provided within the article or through supplementary data files. ☒
The first human genome cost around $3 billion, and took around 10 years to complete. Advances in DNA sequencing technology (also referred to as whole genome sequencing (WGS)) allow the same feat to be accomplished today for less than $10000 and less than 2 weeks. This remarkable improvement in technology has also led to a step change in microbiology, increasing our understanding of the evolution of major human pathogens such as Yersinia pestis, Salmonella Typhi and Mycobacterium tuberculosis. While these kinds of academic studies provide unparalleled context for public health action, until now, this approach has not been routinely employed at the frontline. At Public Health England, WGS has been implemented for routine public health identification, characterisation and typing of an important human pathogen, Salmonella, replacing methods that have changed little over the last 100 years. Analysis of WGS data has identified outbreaks that were previously undetectable and been used to infer rare antimicrobial resistance patterns. This paper will serve as a notification to the community of the methods PHE are using, and will be of great use to other public health labs considering switching to WGS.

INTRODUCTION

Governments have a long history of intervening on behalf of the public health. In the 19th Century public health initiatives sprang up to combat the main afflictions of the day, which were primarily microbiological. In the UK, Edwin Chadwick spearheaded a movement that resulted in the passage of the Public Health Act 1848. One of Chadwick’s primary goals was to disperse the ‘miasma’, or polluted air, that was then held cause diseases such as cholera and chlamydia. This was to be achieved by draining the 30 000 cesspools of London into the river Thames. Unfortunately, the Thames was also the primary source of drinking water for the city at that time. Thus, one of the first modern public health interventions contributed to a dramatic increase in cholera rates in the city (Johnson 2006). While John Snow, Louis Pasteur and Robert Koch soon placed microbiological public health on a firmer footing than ‘miasma’, the dangers of basing public health action on inaccurate, or out of date, science remain.

Here, we present the experience of a national reference lab that has undergone a transformation from a traditional serotyping laboratory to a state of the art whole genome sequencing laboratory. As we are the first national reference laboratory to do this for Salmonella, we believe that relating our experience and approach will be valuable for the wider community.
Traditional approach to reference microbiology for *Salmonella* species

Approximately 10,000 *Salmonella* isolates are referred to the Gastrointestinal Bacterial Reference Unit (GBRU), Public Health England (PHE) each year. Prior to April 2015, all presumptive *Salmonella* isolates received were speciated and sub-speciated using real-time PCR (RT-PCR) (Katie L. Hopkins et al. 2011) and phenotypic arrays (Omnilog). Serological classification, as described in the White-Kauffman-Le Minor scheme (Grimont & Weill 2008), utilises the phenotypic variation seen in flagellar, polysaccharide and capsular antigens, was then used to provide further resolution. *Salmonella* resolves into more than 2600 serotypes according to their antigenic formulae and the procedure relies upon the production of numerous antisera raised in rabbits following a precise immunisation programme. The incidence of different *Salmonella* serotypes identified in the UK is not uniform with only 10 serotypes accounting for approximately 70% of the isolates received by GBRU (Figure 1). Serotyping did not always provide the level of strain discrimination required for outbreak investigation, and was complimented with phage-typing (Callow 1959) and reactive (i.e. not routine for all isolates) molecular methods such as Multi Locus Variable number of tandem repeats Analysis (MLVA) (K. L. Hopkins et al. 2011) or Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE) (Peters 2009).

WGS approach to reference microbiology for *Salmonella* species

*Genome sequencing*

Since April 2015, WGS has been the one procedure performed on all cultures of *Salmonella* sp. referred to GBRU by frontline hospital microbiology laboratories, private laboratories and food, water and environmental laboratories. All other typing methods previously employed have been significantly reduced or withdrawn. On receipt, original cultures are directly inoculated into 750 μl of nutrient broth and incubated over night at 37°C. DNA extraction is performed using the Qiasymphony automated DNA extractor (Qiagen) and DNA is quantified using the Glomax (Promega). DNA is submitted to the central Genomic Sequencing and Development Unit at PHE, where Illumina Nextera XT DNA libraries are constructed and sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq 2500 in fast mode. The samples are then deplexed by Casava software and Trimmomatic (Bolger et
al. 2014) used to trim any data with a phred score less than Q30 from the beginning and end of the reads. The outline of this process can be seen in Figure 2.

Bacterial identification and serogroup designation

A sample of k-mers (DNA sequences of length k) in the sequence data are compared against the k-mers of 1769 reference genomes representing 59 pathogenic genera obtained from RefSeq. The closest percentage match is identified, and provides initial confirmation of the species and sub-species of *Salmonella*. This step also identifies samples containing more than one species of bacteria (i.e. mixed cultures) and any bacteria misidentified as *Salmonella* by the sending laboratory.

Once the sample is confirmed as *Salmonella*, the sequenced genome is interrogated with sequences in the Multi Locus Sequence Typing (MLST) database (Achtman et al. 2012) using a modified version of SRST (Inouye et al. 2012). This provides a quality assessed sequence type (ST). Achtman *et al* have shown that *Salmonella* serotypes generally belong to clonal complexes of related Sequence Types (ST) known as e-burst groups (EBGs) and have described the correlation between EBGs and serotype (Achtman et al. 2012). At PHE a database containing matched MLST data and phenotypic serotype designation for more than 12000 isolates of *Salmonella* allows us to infer a serogroup from the sequence type with 96% accuracy (Ashton, Nair, et al. 2015). The primary error types are (i) two different serotypes having the same ST, e.g. ST 909 is both Salmonella Richmond and Salmonella Bareilly (ii) processing errors and (iii) inaccuracy in serotype designation of public data (Ashton, Nair, et al. 2015). The inferred serotype is then reported back to the sending laboratory, along with the ST of the isolate, to maintain backwards compatibility with historical data. This provides customers with a consistent service and facilitates data exchange with Public Health colleagues both locally and internationally, as well as others in the veterinary, food, water and environmental microbiology disciplines.

Outbreak detection and investigation

Over 70% of isolates received by GBRU belong to the most common 14 serotypes and, as with serotype, ST alone is not discriminatory enough for outbreak detection and public health investigation. Whole genome single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) typing is performed on samples that belong to the most common e-burst groups. This involves mapping the sequence reads to an appropriate reference genome (within the same e-burst group) using BWA mem (Li & Durbin 2009), before identifying SNPs with GATK (DePristo et al. 2011). High quality SNPs are then stored in a
Within PHE, outbreaks of *Salmonella* were traditionally detected using an exceedance algorithm (Noufaily et al. 2013) based on serotyping and phage typing data. The lack of discrimination associated with these typing techniques results in a high false positive rate of exceedance notifications (Noufaily et al. 2013). In contrast, whole genome SNP typing offers unprecedented resolution in linking cases providing added certainty to outbreak definitions, transmission networks and other aspects of the underlying epidemiology.

A hierarchical ‘SNP address’ approach is employed that groups isolates together into clusters of increasing levels of similarity. The pairwise SNP distance is calculated for each pair of isolates in the analysis set. This distance matrix is then subjected to single linkage clustering at 250, 100, 50, 25, 10, 5 and 0 SNPs. The end result is a SNP address that identifies clusters of isolates at each level of the hierarchy (Figure 3). The SNP address approach for identifying epidemiologically significant clusters (i.e. outbreaks) correlates well with existing workflows and is phylogenetically informative, as all isolates are placed into haplotypes derived from a phylogeny of the clonal complex in question.

Median turn around time from the receipt of a sample at the reference laboratory to a reported, WGS based, result over the last 4 weeks before submission of this manuscript (weeks 43-46, 2015) months was 10 working days (David Powell, PHE, personal communication). Once an outbreak has been identified it is important to confirm additional cases quickly in order to expedite epidemiological investigations. To this end we are exploring the use of WGS data to design outbreak/incident-specific RT-PCR or High Resolution Melt assays to screen additional referred cultures as being part of specific outbreaks based on SNPs that are unique to this cluster. Then, in the case of an incident, all samples could be rapidly screened upon receipt to ascertain if they are part of the outbreak. Epidemiological investigation could then proceed in a more timely fashion.

These isolates could be sequenced as a matter of urgency using a MinION device (Oxford Nanopore Technologies), for example, which could confirm the isolate as being part of a known outbreak, or not (Quick et al. 2015) (PMID 26025440).

*Further microbiological characterisation*

One of the most attractive aspects of WGS is the fact that it lends itself to a ‘single process, multiple tests’ approach. For example, once you have generated the sequence in order to do whole genome
SNP typing, the data is available in perpetuity for other tests, e.g. characterisation of virulence and other molecular markers e.g. of antimicrobial resistance. Known antimicrobial resistance determinants can be readily identified from WGS data. We carried out a pilot study to compare genotypic vs phenotypic resistance typing in 642 Salmonella of which 57.5% were susceptible and 24.7% MDR. Results showed a greater than 99% success rate (unpublished data) indicating the potential of adding this into the routine Salmonella work flow. Phenotypic screening could be used on a small proportion of isolates in order to detect novel or emerging resistance mechanisms. The fact that the data is available in perpetuity allows re-testing when e.g. novel resistance mechanisms emerge.

Data sharing
The genomic data of all Salmonella sequenced at PHE is publically released into the NCBI BioProject PRJNA248792 within two weeks of the sample report date. The prompt release of data is to facilitate international tracking and surveillance of food and waterborne, gastrointestinal pathogens in far-reaching distribution networks (Byrne et al. 2015). Globally, national surveillance organizations, such as the Food and Drug Administration and the United States Centre for Disease Control, are also uploading Salmonella genomes from their surveillance activities into public sequence archives. Algorithms that enable the timely and sensitive comparison of these datasets are a high priority, as this will be needed to monitor international patterns in gastrointestinal infection. While meta-data is limited for privacy reasons, publically releasing the data provides a great resource for academic researchers interested in gastrointestinal pathogens.

Infrastructure requirements and challenges
WGS is obviously a very exciting technology, however it is one that requires substantial investment in infrastructure. Public Health England have invested millions in sequencing and molecular biology hardware, high performance computing and staff costs (wet lab and bioinformaticians) to deliver this service. There are also many challenges involved in changing skillsets and mindsets. Practical implementation and integration across different departments (microbiology, sequencing, bioinformatics and epidemiology) is also a challenge, but necessary to ensure the success of a project such as this.

Future perspectives
It is an exciting time to work in microbiology and genomics. Even before the repercussions of one revolution (short read, whole genome sequencing) have had their full impact on reference and clinical microbiology, another revolution (long read, portable sequencing) is on the horizon. Exactly how this second revolution will affect clinical and reference microbiology is currently unclear but two obvious applications present themselves. Firstly, the ability to fully assemble large numbers of genomes could provide a step-change in resolution for determining whether two genomes are related. Currently, it is the core genome that is the focus of the majority of genomic epidemiology. Being able to analyse the entire genome provides opportunities for using the accessory genome to determine how related two isolates are. However, this approach needs to be thoroughly investigated and assessed for sensitivity and specificity as compared with a core genome approach. We need to be mindful that the dynamic accessory genome could be misleading as to the relatedness of isolates (Lauren Cowley, PHE, personal communication). The other application of this new wave of sequencers comes from their portability and low profile infrastructure requirements. Many smaller hospitals and front line laboratories could not justify setting up the infrastructure required to run a large machine as they are unlikely to perform enough sequencing to make it economically viable. However, it would be feasible to employ smaller devices like the MinION and use them to sequence suspect outbreak strains. The data could be streamed to a repository maintained by the reference laboratory where the SNPs identified in the sample could be used to place the sample onto a tree and call it as outbreak or non-outbreak (Figure 4). This approach has already been used in an outbreak situation (Quick et al. 2015). There is a big question for many organisations as to whether to invest heavily now in proven, ‘work-horse’ machines, or to hold on for exciting new technologies to mature, with the potential increases in throughput and decrease in cost promised by these ‘third generation’, or ‘third revolution’ (Loman & Pallen 2015), technologies.

CONCLUSION

WGS for routine public health surveillance offers a host of scientific, technical and economic advantages compared with conventional microbiological methods. A single, highly automatable process provides speciation, identification, typing and characterization to a level at least equivalent, and often superior, to the previous ‘gold-standard’ techniques. In addition, the data is uniquely portable, allowing rapid comparison with other public health institutions and re-use by the wider scientific community.
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FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1: Serotypes with more than 200 isolates received in the 4 year period 2009-2013 by Salmonella Reference Service, Public Health England.
Figure 2: The current workflow for the use of whole genome sequencing by the Public Health England Salmonella Reference Service
Figure 3: Schematic of how the SNP address works

Figure 4: Example of future public health workflow

192 samples can be sequenced in 27 hours on the Illumina HiSeq

A benchtop instrument such as the Illumina MiSeq can multiplex 15-20 samples in a single run

The MinION would typically run one sample at a time, although multiplexing kits are now available. Data needs to be related to a larger database.