1 **Sex-biased gene expression in *Drosophila melanogaster* is constrained by**
2 **ontogeny and genetic architecture**

3

4 FC Ingleby ^{1*}, CL Webster ¹, TM Pennell ¹, I Flis ^{1,2}, EH Morrow ¹

5

6 1. Evolution, Behaviour and Environment Group, School of Life Sciences,
7 University of Sussex, John Maynard Smith Building, Falmer, Brighton, UK

8

9 2. Edward Grey Institute, Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford,
10 UK

11

12 *Corresponding author:

13 Email: f.ingleby@sussex.ac.uk

14 Telephone: +44 (0) 1273 678 559

15

16 Sexual dimorphism is predicted to be constrained by the underlying
 17 genetic architecture shared between the sexes and through ontogeny, but
 18 whole-transcriptome data for both sexes across genotypes and
 19 developmental stages are lacking. Within a quantitative genetic
 20 framework, we sequenced RNA from *Drosophila melanogaster* at different
 21 developmental stages to examine sex-biased gene expression and how
 22 selection acts upon it. We found evidence that gene expression is
 23 constrained by both univariate and multivariate shared genetic variation
 24 between genes, sexes and developmental stages, but may be resolved by
 25 differential splicing. These results provide a comprehensive picture of how
 26 conflict over sexual dimorphism varies through development and clarifies
 27 the conditions under which it is predicted to evolve.

28

29 Sexual dimorphism is widespread across animals and plants, and is likely to have
 30 evolved in response to the different reproductive roles of the sexes within a
 31 species ¹. However, in most species, the majority of genes are shared between
 32 males and females, and sex-specific fitness optima for shared traits can create
 33 conflict, called intralocus sexual conflict ². Intralocus sexual conflict is driven by
 34 sexually antagonistic selection, which pushes the phenotypic value of the trait in
 35 different directions. It is therefore thought that sexually antagonistic selection
 36 leads to the evolution of sexual dimorphism and ultimately the resolution of
 37 conflict, by allowing each sex to express the trait independently and to each
 38 achieve their optimum phenotype ^{3,4}.

39
 40 For sexually dimorphic phenotypes to develop from the same genes, we might
 41 expect differences in gene expression (or in downstream modifications and
 42 regulation) between the sexes at some stage of development ^{5,6}. Sexually
 43 dimorphic gene expression, or sex-biased gene expression, has been examined in
 44 detail in a wide-range of species ⁷. But, although it is known that there can be
 45 substantial variation in gene expression between developmental stages, less is
 46 understood about the sex-specific dynamics of gene expression throughout
 47 development ^{6,8,9}. This is a potentially significant gap in our knowledge in the
 48 face of evidence that gene expression during early development can strongly
 49 impact on adult phenotype ^{10,11}, as can the early environment more generally ¹²⁻
 50 ¹⁵.

51
 52 In terms of understanding how sex-biased gene expression relates to sexual
 53 conflict and conflict resolution, very few studies have directly associated sex
 54 differences in gene expression with sex-specific fitness ^{16,17}. Furthermore, our
 55 understanding of how the transcriptome is related to fitness is largely based on
 56 adult gene expression, and little is known about how sex differences laid down
 57 during development might influence overall fitness. Further research is
 58 necessary to synthesise a comprehensive picture of how sex-biased gene
 59 expression might mediate sexual conflict. We argue that a quantitative genetic
 60 and developmental perspective will provide valuable insights into the genetics
 61 and ontogeny of conflict and resolution, by enabling genetic covariance across

62 sexes and developmental stages to be quantified and by testing the association of
63 these patterns of gene expression with fitness.

64

65 Here, we present the first quantification of a transcriptome across sexes,
66 developmental stages and genotypes, and interpret the variation in gene
67 expression in terms of sex-specific fitness. We demonstrate widespread sex-
68 biased gene expression in *Drosophila melanogaster* larvae, pupae and adults, as
69 well as considerable differences in the fitness consequences of gene expression
70 throughout development. We combine this developmental perspective with a
71 quantitative genomic approach that has been used increasingly in recent
72 research¹⁶⁻¹⁹. By analysing gene expression in different genetic lines, we find
73 evidence for potential constraints on conflict resolution through genetically
74 correlated gene expression between genes, across sexes and across
75 development. Importantly, we use multivariate analyses alongside our univariate
76 analyses, to account for genetic covariance between different genes, an aspect
77 that is overlooked in more common univariate analyses of gene expression. In
78 addition, although our data does not allow full examination of all potential
79 downstream modifications to gene expression, we do explore a potential route
80 for conflict resolution via differential splicing between males and females. In
81 sum, our results offer new insight into the genetics of sex differences in gene
82 expression throughout development, and how these differences could mediate
83 sexual conflict.

84

85 **RESULTS**

86 RNA was extracted from male and female third-instar larvae, pupae and adults
87 from each of 10 hemiclinal lines, and gene expression was quantified by RNA-
88 sequencing. Each hemiclinal line is generated through a series of crosses ¹⁷ that
89 result in each fly within a line (both males and females) sharing a haplotype that
90 is expressed alongside a random haplotype from the base population, allowing
91 additive genetic variation to be estimated for each trait. We examined the
92 expression of 14008 genes in total, of which 13501, 13602 and 13495 genes
93 were expressed in larvae, pupae and adults respectively. Sex-specific fitness for
94 each hemiclinal line (hereafter referred to as a 'line') was measured as
95 reproductive success under competitive conditions.

96

97 **Sex-biased and sexually antagonistic gene expression**

98 Initial analyses partitioned variance in the expression of each gene within each
99 stage between sex, line and sex-by-line effects. Table 1A summarises the
100 numbers of sex-biased genes at each stage (significant 'sex' term at FDR<0.001).
101 Sex-biased expression was generally high throughout development (83.4% and
102 78.7% of larval and pupal genes, respectively), and highest in adults, with 89.9%
103 of genes showing significant differences in expression between adult males and
104 females. In larvae and pupae, sex-biased gene expression is predominantly male-
105 biased, but in adults there are more equal numbers of female- and male-biased
106 genes (Table 1A). Imposing the additional criteria of a fold change >2 reduces the
107 numbers of genes called as sex-biased at each developmental stage, although this
108 reduction is most noticeable in larvae and pupae, indicating that the magnitude
109 of sex-biased expression is generally higher in adults (Table 1A).

110

111 From the same models, significant genetic (between-line) and sex-specific
112 genetic (sex*line) variation were identified at FDR<0.05 (Table 1B). The
113 numbers of genes with significant genetic variation increased throughout
114 development, from approximately a fifth of all genes tested in larvae, to just over
115 a third of all genes tested in adults. Notably, sex-by-line variation - which
116 indicates some extent of genetic variation for sex differences in gene expression -
117 was very low in larvae (1.4%), but higher in adults (15.1%) and considerably

118 higher in pupae (22.1%), suggesting a higher capacity for the evolution of
 119 sexually dimorphic gene expression in pupae. In a species with a holometabolous
 120 life cycle like *D. melanogaster*, the pupae represent the most dynamic phase of
 121 development in terms of tissue differentiation and the development of sex-
 122 specific phenotypes, whereas the larval stage mostly concerns growth rather
 123 than differentiation, regardless of sex. Although the samples were carefully
 124 staged, pupal metamorphosis is such a dynamic developmental stage that we
 125 cannot rule out the possibility that genetic variation for gene expression is
 126 confounded with genetic differences in the precise timing and process of
 127 metamorphosis. Nonetheless, the particularly high level of sex-specific genetic
 128 variation in pupae shows that we identified significant genetic variation for sex
 129 differences in pupal metamorphosis, consistent with our other findings.

130
 131 Candidate sexually antagonistic genes were identified by regressing fitness
 132 against gene expression, where a significant sex*fitness interaction (FDR<0.05)
 133 was used to call candidate sexually antagonistic (SA) genes, and a significant
 134 fitness term in the model (FDR<0.05), with a non-significant sex*fitness
 135 interaction, interpreted here as sexually concordant (SC) fitness consequences
 136 (Table 1C). Overall numbers of fitness-associated genes (SA and SC combined)
 137 were highest in pupae, which consisted predominantly of SC genes. Numbers of
 138 SA candidate genes were highest in adults (9.6% of genes tested) and lowest in
 139 pupae (4.5%). The overlap in SA and SC calls between stages was low (Figure 1),
 140 suggesting that both forms of selection vary widely throughout development. For
 141 all tests of sex-biased expression, overall genetic variation, and fitness
 142 association, genes are identified in Supplementary File 1.

143
 144 Across development, 2571 genes (18.4% of the total 14008 genes tested
 145 throughout development) were identified as SA candidate genes in at least one
 146 developmental stage, suggesting a higher overall level of conflict throughout the
 147 genome than estimated from analyses of adult gene expression alone in the same
 148 laboratory population over five years previously¹⁷. Notably, even within the
 149 adult subset of our data, there was low and non-significant overlap (approx.
 150 10%) between the genes that were called as SA candidates compared to the

151 genes called as SA candidates previously¹⁷. Studies like these capture a snapshot
152 of sexually antagonistic fitness variation at a given time point, but it appears that
153 conflict and resolution are dynamic^{17,20}.

154

155 Modules of correlated gene expression

156 In an attempt to simplify a complex, whole-transcriptome dataset and identify
157 patterns of potential functional interest, we identified modules of correlated
158 gene expression among the fitness-related genes (SA and SC combined) within
159 each developmental stage. First, we calculated genetic correlations for each
160 pairwise combination of fitness-related genes for both sexes within each stage,
161 and used the mean absolute value of the correlations for each gene with all other
162 genes as a measure of gene ‘connectivity’¹⁶. Average connectivity was generally
163 high, and highest in adults (Figure 2). In larvae and adults, connectivity was
164 significantly higher for SA genes than for SC genes, but the opposite was true in
165 pupae, although the absolute difference was small (Figure 2).

166

167 Next, we inferred functionality by using the pairwise correlations calculated
168 above to cluster the fitness-associated genes into transcription modules within
169 each stage²¹. We tested these modules for enrichment with SA candidate genes
170 at each stage, and tested the largest modules (>100 genes) for enrichment with
171 tissue-specific genes identified from the FlyAtlas database²² of larval and adult
172 tissues (results in Supplementary File 2). There were 27 transcription modules
173 identified in larvae, 5 of which were significantly enriched with larval SA
174 candidate genes at FDR<0.05 (Figure 3A). A large larval transcription module
175 (#11 in Figure 3A) was enriched for both SA candidate genes as well as salivary
176 gland and malpighian tubule-specific genes, suggesting this module may be
177 associated with feeding, and that larval feeding behaviour might have SA fitness
178 consequences. In pupae, there were 56 transcription modules, of which only 4
179 were significantly enriched for pupal SA candidates (Figure 3B). The clustering
180 results for pupal fitness-associated genes were dominated by one large
181 transcription module (#36 in Figure 3B). This module, similarly to the largest
182 transcription module in larvae (#27 in Figure 3A), was enriched for a variety of
183 different tissue-specific genes, all from somatic rather than germ line tissues. In

adults, we found 36 transcription modules, of which 8 were enriched with adult SA candidate genes (Figure 3C). Modules #5, 6 and 9 were heavily enriched with testes-specific genes, whereas modules #11 and 19 were enriched for multiple tissue-specific sets of genes, including a combination of head, brain and CNS tissue-specific genes, suggesting a putative link between behaviour and sex-specific fitness. These results are consistent with previous research that has demonstrated sexual conflict over adult locomotory behaviour in *D. melanogaster*²³. Module #19 was also significantly enriched with adult SA candidate genes, and so these transcription modules may harbour interesting candidate genes for further research (Figure 3C).

Shared quantitative genetic variation

To explore the potential for genetic constraints to hinder the resolution of sexual conflict over gene expression, we measured quantitative genetic variation underlying gene expression. In particular, we were interested in shared genetic variation between sexes and between developmental stages, as this shared genetic variation could prevent the independent evolution of sex- and stage-specific gene expression.

Initially, we considered genetic variation from a univariate perspective. For each gene with significant genetic variation in at least one developmental stage (N=8761), we ran a model that partitioned variance in gene expression between sex, stage and line, creating a 6x6 genetic variance-covariance matrix for each gene individually (2 sexes x 3 stages), as shown inset in Figures 4A-D. This matrix can be split into four sources of variance: (1) sex- and stage-specific genetic variance (Figure 4A), (2) between-sex genetic covariance within each stage (Figure 4B), (3) between-stage genetic covariance within each sex (Figure 4C), and (4) genetic covariance between both sexes and stages (Figure 4D). Covariance estimates were scaled to the total amount of genetic variation in the full matrix. Overall, genes that were identified as SA in at least one stage had significantly more sex- and stage-specific genetic variation than genes that were not SA in any stage of development (Figure 4A). This was expected, as theory predicts that SA selection will help maintain genetic variation^{3,4}. There is also

217 substantial shared genetic variation both between sexes and between stages, and
 218 interestingly this is significantly higher for SA genes than non-SA genes in all
 219 instances (Figures 4B-D). Notably, there is even evidence of considerable shared
 220 genetic variation between-sex and between-stage (Figure 4D), suggesting
 221 complex genetic covariance of gene expression across males and females at
 222 different developmental stages. The results of these models were also used to
 223 calculate the intersexual genetic correlation, r_{mf} , for each gene at each stage. As
 224 might be expected from the results of overall between-sex genetic covariance
 225 shown in Figure 4B, r_{mf} is higher for SA genes than non-SA genes at each stage,
 226 although this difference is small in pupae (Figure 5).

227
 228 Next, we used multivariate analyses of quantitative genetic variation, combined
 229 with a re-sampling technique, to examine shared genetic variation between-sex
 230 and between-gene. This involved calculating the full genetic variance-covariance
 231 matrix for sub-samples of genes expressed in males and females ($\mathbf{G}_{mf}$, or $\mathbf{G}$
 232 matrix). The $\mathbf{G}$ matrix includes the sub-matrix $\mathbf{B}$, which summarises between-sex
 233 and between-gene genetic covariance ²⁴ (see Supplementary File 3). We
 234 estimated the average $\mathbf{G}$ matrix for SA and SC genes for larvae, pupae and adults
 235 independently. Overall, we found that $\mathbf{B}$ tended to have a higher magnitude for
 236 SA genes than for SC genes in larvae and adults, indicating that there is higher
 237 between-sex and between-gene genetic covariance in SA genes. The opposite
 238 was true for pupae (Figure 6A), consistent with the patterns of connectivity for
 239 SA genes compared with SC genes in Figure 2. We also estimated the matrix
 240 correlation between the upper and lower halves of the $\mathbf{B}$ matrix, and found that
 241 this was significantly higher for SA genes than for SC genes at each
 242 developmental stage (Figure 6B), although this difference was small in pupae.
 243 This shows that genetic covariances are more strongly correlated between sexes
 244 for SA than for SC genes, implying more potential for male and female gene
 245 expression to evolve independently in SC genes.

246
 247 Finally, we used the multivariate breeder's equation ²⁴ to estimate the vectors of
 248 the predicted response to selection for males and females for SA and SC genes.
 249 We then use the angle between these vectors as a measure of the predicted

divergence between male and female trait evolution. When the between-sex, between-gene shared genetic variation in **B** is included in these calculations, the divergence between the sexes tends to decrease, as shared genetic variation forces the predicted response to selection between the sexes to realign with one another to some extent. However, this realignment is stronger for SA than SC genes in larvae (Figure 6C), pupae (Figure 6D) and especially in adults (Figure 6E), suggesting that the multivariate between-sex genetic covariance within **B** presents a significant constraint on the independent evolution of the sexes, particularly in adults.

Evidence for differential splicing

Differential splicing was examined by comparing splicing between male and female samples within each developmental stage, for all genes with evidence of alternative isoform expression. There was most evidence of differential splicing between the sexes in adults, where 1089 genes out of 2822 genes tested (38.6%) showed significant differential splicing between sexes (FDR<0.05). Evidence for significant sex-specific splicing in larvae (0.9%, 14/1636) and pupae (3.8%, 77/2015) was low. However, the overall extent of differential splicing (measured as the square root of the Jensen-Shannon distance between the male and female splicing distributions, see methods) was higher in non-SA genes than for SA genes (Figure 7A-C), although this difference was generally small and only significant in larvae (Figure 7A). We also found that genes with a high intersexual genetic correlation tended to exhibit lower levels of differential splicing between the sexes (Figure 7D). The negative correlation between r_{mf} and $\sqrt{J}S_{(m,f)}$ was significant for larvae ($r^2=-0.070$, $P=0.007$) and adults ($r^2=-0.081$, $P<0.001$) but not for pupae ($r^2=-0.038$, $P=0.110$).

277 **DISCUSSION**

278 Our results clearly demonstrate that although the developmental transcriptome
279 of *D. melanogaster* exhibits high levels of sexual dimorphism, sexual conflict over
280 the expression of shared genes persists. In fact, 18.4% of the genes tested were
281 identified as sexually antagonistic candidates in at least one stage of
282 development, implying that this dimorphism is not a signature of fully resolved
283 conflict. Furthermore, our analyses provide a detailed account of how conflict
284 could be mediated at the level of the transcriptome, as we find evidence for a
285 number of different sources of constraint that could prevent conflict resolution,
286 as well as finding evidence to support one specific mechanism of resolution.

287
288 First, the overall patterns of sex-specific selection and sex-specific genetic
289 variation - the two main ingredients necessary for independent trait evolution
290 between the sexes²⁵ - vary considerably throughout development. Here, we used
291 lifetime reproductive success (LRS) to estimate selection on genes at each stage.
292 From an evolutionary perspective, LRS provides arguably the most relevant
293 approximation of fitness: selection will favour individuals who leave behind the
294 most offspring (i.e. have the highest LRS). If there is a significant correlation
295 between LRS and the pre-adult expression of a particular gene, then it suggests
296 that the gene might contribute to an aspect of development that affects LRS. Sex-
297 specific selection on gene expression appears inconsistent from one
298 developmental stage to the next, with very little overlap between genes that
299 were identified as either SA or SC across stages. This is perhaps unsurprising
300 given previous research that has demonstrated changes in sex-specific selection
301 through development using different experimental approaches^{9,26}. The numbers
302 of genes with significant sex-specific genetic variation also varied across
303 development, and were especially low in larvae and, to some extent, adults,
304 limiting the potential for independent evolution between the sexes at these
305 stages.

306
307 Second, the results revealed potential genetic constraints on conflict resolution
308 that stemmed from several sources of shared genetic variation: between-gene,
309 between-sex and between-stage, and sometimes a combination of these. Even if

310 there is considerable overall genetic variation for gene expression, if this genetic
 311 variation is not independent between contexts where the gene is under different
 312 selection, then adaptive evolution of gene expression can be constrained. It is
 313 unlikely that any gene would be expressed completely independently^{19,27};
 314 however, the extent of genetic covariance is almost always significantly higher
 315 for SA genes than it is for SC genes, strongly supporting the idea that this shared
 316 genetic variation could prevent conflict resolution. The exception to this was that
 317 gene connectivity was significantly lower for pupal SA genes than for pupal SC
 318 genes, and similarly, between-sex and between-gene multivariate shared genetic
 319 variation in the **B** matrix was lower for pupal SA than SC genes. This may result
 320 from the unusual modularity of the pupal transcriptome, where most fitness-
 321 related genes clustered into a single large, highly correlated gene module that
 322 consisted predominantly of SC fitness-related genes.

323
 324 Consistent with previous research²⁸, univariate between-sex genetic covariance
 325 and the intersexual genetic correlation is higher for SA genes than for non-SA
 326 genes in our data, indicating a putative constraint of genetic variation shared
 327 between the sexes within developmental stages. However, the multivariate
 328 analyses in this study provide additional insight into between-sex and between-
 329 gene genetic covariance that has previously been overlooked. Genetic covariance
 330 within the **B** matrix seems particularly influential in adults, where the constraint
 331 imposed by **B** on the independent evolution of SA genes between the sexes is
 332 high. The results highlight the instability of the genetic covariance in **B** across
 333 development, and emphasise the usefulness of a multivariate perspective to
 334 examine trait evolution in a more realistic, multi-gene context.

335
 336 Of particular interest was the amount of shared genetic variation between both
 337 sex and stage. This genetic covariance indicates that the expression of a gene in,
 338 for example, male larvae, is not independent of the expression of the same gene
 339 in, for example, adult females. Since the fitness consequences for a particular
 340 gene are unlikely to be aligned between two different sexes and developmental
 341 stages, this could be a source of genetic constraint. This genetic covariance has
 342 not, to our knowledge, previously been measured for gene expression, but it

might be expected that such covariance would be relatively low and unimportant due to the intuitively weak link between different sexes and stages. In fact, this covariance is of a similar magnitude to the other sources of genetic covariance that were measured, and, also similarly to the other genetic covariance components, it is significantly higher in SA than non-SA genes. This could have implications for antagonistic pleiotropy between developmental stages^{6,29,30}, suggesting that such relationships might be sex-specific. We also find higher genetic covariance identified for SA genes between stages within each sex than for non-SA genes, supporting a link between developmental antagonistic pleiotropy and sexual conflict⁶. Given the magnitude of between-stage, and between-sex/between-stage, genetic covariance measured here, developmental genetics could have important consequences for conflict resolution. Such developmental covariance has been studied outside of the sexual conflict literature³¹, but this is clearly also relevant to sexual conflict and the evolution of sexual dimorphism.

Finally, we present some limited evidence to support the idea that a lack of differential splicing between sexes might also hinder conflict resolution. Previous research has considered a role of sex-specific splicing^{32,33} or differential exon usage and duplication³⁴ in allowing the sexes to achieve differential expression from a shared gene. Consistent with this, we find firstly that larval SA genes have significantly less evidence of sex-specific splicing patterns than non-SA genes, and secondly that the intersexual genetic correlation and the extent of sex-specific splicing are significantly negatively associated in larvae and adults - i.e. genes with more shared quantitative genetic variation between the sexes also exhibit less evidence of sex-specific splicing patterns. This indicates another potential genetic constraint on the independent expression of shared genes between the sexes.

We have therefore identified multiple routes through which conflict resolution could be constrained or facilitated. The novel developmental perspective of this quantitative genomic data is particularly interesting not only because it allowed us to identify genetic covariance between stages, but also because it highlights

the different dynamics of sexual conflict at each stage, and the potential to underestimate the extent of conflict or resolution by focussing on only one stage. In short, the larval and adult stages appear to be characterised by conflict and constraint on sex-specific phenotypes, whereas there is less evidence of this in pupae. This is attributable to a complex combination of constraints on larvae and adults that ultimately result in the transcriptome being less independent between the sexes. Since the pupae undergo dramatic metamorphosis and differentiation, compared to the relatively stable larvae and adults, it seems likely that strong selection on the metamorphic process for the formation of optimised sex-specific phenotypes via tissue differentiation may have lead to more extensive conflict resolution in pupae. Indeed, these results are in line with previous work on *D. melanogaster*, which demonstrated some of the most dynamic patterns of gene expression at the start and end of the pupal stage⁸, indicating that this developmental stage may have evolved a more flexible transcriptome to allow for sex-specific metamorphosis, in contrast to larval and adult stages that are characterised by tissue growth and maintenance, respectively. A holometabolous life cycle allows, to some extent, for a discrete phase of concentrated differentiation between the sexes, with potential for some aspects of development to become uncoupled across metamorphosis³⁵. Since this phase is absent in hemimetabolous insects, and in other animals more generally, there is potential for conflict to be more prominent during development in other species. It is clear that constraints on conflict resolution are likely to result from a combination of different sources, making the evolution of conflict resolution a complex problem, not least one that appears unlikely to be consistent even throughout the life cycle.

402 **METHODS**

403 Hemiclonal haplotypes were sampled from a *D. melanogaster* base population
 404 (LH_M) that had been maintained in the laboratory for more than 500 generations
 405 as a large outbred population with overlapping generations. Haplotypes were
 406 expressed as male or female hemiclonal individuals following a series of crosses
 407 ^{17,26}. All flies were reared on a standard molasses diet at 25°C and 65% relative
 408 humidity, with a 12:12h light:dark incubator cycle.

409
 410 Male and female flies used for the parental cross were allowed to interact and
 411 mate for 48h before the males were removed and females were flipped into
 412 lightly yeasted laying vials. Females oviposited in these vials for 2h before being
 413 flipped into a holding vial. Females were given further 2h laying periods in fresh
 414 vials after 4 and 7 days, creating staged vials of developing offspring. For each set
 415 of vials, larvae were visually inspected under a dissecting microscope after 4
 416 days, when developing testes can be seen through the larval body wall. Larvae
 417 were split into sex-specific vials to continue development, with 10 larvae per
 418 vial. Eleven days after the initial laying vials were set up, third instar larvae,
 419 pupae and 1-day old virgin adults (unable to mate as they eclosed in sex-specific
 420 vials) were harvested. Individuals were frozen at -80°C and sample processing
 421 took place over the course of 4 weeks.

422
 423 RNA extractions were carried out on individual larvae/pupae/adults using
 424 TRIzol (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions (adjusted
 425 protocol for a small amount of starting material). Our aim was to sequence RNA
 426 from 180 samples in total, comprising males and females from three
 427 developmental stages from each of 10 hemiclonal lines, with three biological
 428 replicates per sample type. However, the hemiclone cross produces siblings that
 429 do not have the hemiclonal genotype, which are identified as adults with *bw* eye
 430 colour among wild-type hemiclonal flies. We therefore carried out additional
 431 identification steps for larval and pupal samples, and collected more than twice
 432 the number of samples required in order to compensate for non-hemiclonal
 433 genotypes. After the initial RNA extraction process (but prior to DNase
 434 treatment), a subsample of the extract from larvae/pupae was used in PCR

435 reactions to identify the correct genotypes. Two PCR reactions were carried out:
 436 one set of primers was designed for the dominant insertion mutation in the *bw*
 437 gene, *bw^D* (F: CTTATCTTTGGAGAGAAGAGA; R: GGATCATCCGTGCATCAAGAC),
 438 and the other set for the male fertility factor *kl5* on the Y chromosome (F:
 439 GCTGCCGAGCGACAGAAAATAATGACT; R:
 440 GTCCCAGTTACGGTTCGGGTTCATTGT), to make sure the sexes had been
 441 identified correctly by phenotype. Primers to a region on chromosome 2R were
 442 used as a control reaction (F: AAAAGGTACCCGCAATATAACCCAATAATTT; R:
 443 GTCCCAGTTACGGTTCGGGTTCATTGT). Control and Y chromosome primer
 444 sequences were taken from Lott et al. ³⁶.

445
 446 After the genotypes had been identified, DNase digestion was carried out on the
 447 correct samples, and RNA was suspended in RNase-free water. Library
 448 preparation (Illumina TruSeq RiboZero) and Illumina HiSeq sequencing was
 449 carried out by AROS Applied Biotechnology (Aarhus, Denmark) according to
 450 Illumina v.4 protocols, using 30 sequencing lanes with 6 samples per lane. For
 451 each sample, 400ng of total RNA was used and 32-49M reads were achieved per
 452 sample. Gene expression data were aligned to the FlyBase version r6.05 of the *D.*
 453 *melanogaster* genome ³⁷ and normalised within the R (v3.2.1) BioConductor
 454 ‘QuasR’ and ‘DESeq’ pipeline ³⁸. At this stage, samples were checked for the *bw*
 455 gene and the fertility factor *kl5*, and one sample was removed due to having been
 456 incorrectly genotyped prior to sequencing (a male pupa had been incorrectly
 457 assigned as a female pupa from one of the hemiclinal lines), leaving 179 samples
 458 for analysis. The alignment generated read counts for 16727 genes. The dataset
 459 was filtered to remove low variance genes, leaving 14008 genes, of which 13501,
 460 13602 and 13495 were expressed in larvae, pupae and adults respectively.

461
 462 Sex-specific fitness data was recorded as hemiclinal line averages of male and
 463 female total reproductive success under competitive conditions, as described in
 464 assays in previous studies ^{17,26}. Fitness data was analysed as relative fitness by
 465 dividing line-specific fitness means by the maximum average fitness for each sex.
 466

467 **Sex-biased and fitness-associated gene expression.** Variation in the read
 468 counts for each gene was partitioned in a generalised linear mixed model using
 469 the ‘glmer’ function in the R package ‘lme4’³⁹ across sex, line and sex-by-line
 470 terms. Initially, a separate model was performed for each gene at each
 471 developmental stage. This was due to the difficulties in model convergence
 472 trying to run a full model (which would require sex-by-line-by-stage-by-fitness
 473 effects) with data from only 10 genetic lines. Models assumed a Poisson
 474 distribution and included ID as a random term to account for differences in total
 475 number of reads per sample. The significance of variance components was
 476 calculated from a $0.5X_0^2 + 0.5X_1^2$ mixture distribution from a likelihood ratio test
 477 comparing the full model with the reduced model (without the component being
 478 tested), and *P* values were adjusted according to the false discovery rate (FDR)⁴⁰
 479 including the full set of results from all three developmental stages (since most
 480 genes were tested at every stage). We then tested if each gene was associated
 481 with fitness at each stage, using a GLMM under the same conditions as before but
 482 with sex, fitness and fitness*sex. A significant fitness*sex interaction was
 483 interpreted as sexually antagonistic (SA) selection, whereas a significant overall
 484 fitness term, with a non-significant fitness*sex interaction, was interpreted as
 485 sexually concordant (SC) selection.

486
 487 **Transcription modules.** We began by calculating the genetic correlation
 488 between all pairwise combinations of fitness-related (both SA and SC combined)
 489 genes separately in larvae (N=1261), pupae (N=3103) and adults (N=2959). The
 490 absolute correlations were used to examine overall gene connectivity (average of
 491 all absolute pairwise correlations per gene at each stage), and were then used in
 492 a clustering algorithm to identify gene modules²¹. This algorithm works via an
 493 optimisation function that finds a pattern of gene modules that maximises
 494 correlation within modules while minimising correlation between modules. Each
 495 of the identified modules was tested for enrichment with SA genes using
 496 hypergeometric tests (*P* values adjusted for FDR). Next, we identified tissue-
 497 specific genes for larval and adult tissues using the FlyAtlas *D. melanogaster*
 498 microarray database²², and tested modules for enrichment with tissue-specific
 499 genes. These tests could only be carried out on modules with >100 genes due to

sample size issues. Again, hypergeometric tests were used and P values were FDR-adjusted.

Quantitative genetic analyses. In order to estimate the full univariate between-sex and between-stage genetic variance-covariance matrix for each gene, we used the ‘MCMCglmm’ package ⁴¹ in R to partition variance in gene expression for the 14008 genes that varied in expression at any stage. The posterior distribution for each model was estimated using weakly informative inverse-Wishart priors for the variance components ($V=\text{diag}(6)/6$, $\nu=6$) and convergence was visually inspected with diagnostic plots. The models included stage and sex as fixed terms, and partitioned genetic variance across a 6x6 matrix for each gene, with female- and male-specific larval, pupal and adult genetic variance and all possible covariances. The posterior distribution of these models was used for all univariate quantitative genetic aspects of the analysis. We calculated the intersexual genetic correlation, r_{mf} , from the posterior estimates of variance components ⁴²; and used the absolute sum of the relevant variance components (scaled to the total amount of genetic variation in the full matrix) to compare the amount of between-sex and/or between-stage shared genetic variation across SA and non-SA genes.

We also examined shared genetic variation between-sex and between-gene for each developmental stage independently. Ideally, this would involve running a multivariate model with expression variance for all genes partitioned between sex and line. However, this would involve estimating a genetic variance-covariance matrix (**G** matrix) with more than 5 million parameters. Instead, we ran 2000 iterations of a multivariate model that randomly sampled 5 genes at a time, and, using the expression of the 5 genes as a multivariate response, partitioned gene expression variance between sex and line. Each model was ran using ‘MCMCglmm’ ⁴¹ in R, with sex:gene as fixed terms, sex:gene:line as random terms, and sex- and gene-specific residuals. The prior specified a weakly informative inverse-Wishart distribution for the variance components ($V=\text{diag}(10)/10$, $\nu=10$), and model checks were carried out as above. Each iteration of the model generated a posterior distribution of a 10x10 **G** matrix (5

genes expressed in 2 sexes). We repeated the analysis for six subsets of genes, which were exclusive within each developmental stage: SA and SC genes in larvae, pupae and adults. Results are summarised from the average **G** matrix calculated from the posterior distribution of all 2000 model iterations. Importantly, this **G** matrix represents the full **G_{mf}** matrix, including the sub-matrix **B**, which summarises the between-sex and between-gene covariance (see Supplementary File 3 for details). We examined genetic variation within **B** using two metrics. First, $||\mathbf{B}||$, the matrix norm was calculated to approximate the magnitude of the variation within **B**. The matrix norm was used instead of the matrix trace (that has been used previously to estimate the amount of variance in **G**) since the **B** sub-matrix is composed entirely of covariance estimates and can therefore have negative eigenvalues on the main diagonal. Note, however, that using the sum of the absolute eigenvalues of **B** (i.e. the **B** matrix trace) produces qualitatively identical results. Second, the matrix correlation between the upper and lower halves of **B** was calculated to estimate symmetry of variance within **B**. Finally, we implemented the multivariate breeder's equation:

$$\Delta\bar{z} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{G}\beta \quad (\text{Lande 1980}).$$

This equation uses the product of the genetic variation

in **G** and the vector of linear selection gradients in β to calculate a vector of the predicted response to selection for each trait, $\Delta\bar{z}$. We generated a vector of linear selection gradients that corresponded to each estimate of the **G** matrix in the models described above. For each iteration of the **G** matrix model, selection gradients for the same 5 genes were estimated from a multiple linear regression of the genes against relative fitness for males and females, following Lande ²⁴. This allowed us to calculate sex-specific $\Delta\bar{z}$ based on the sex-specific components of **G** and β . This calculation was carried out using the distribution of 2000 estimates, to create a distribution of $\Delta\bar{z}$ estimates, from which confidence intervals could be used to assess significant differences.

560

Finally, to include **B** in the calculation of $\Delta\bar{z}$, the multivariate breeder's equation ²⁴ can be expanded as:

563

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta\bar{z}_{mB} \\ \Delta\bar{z}_{fB} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G}_m & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{B}^T & \mathbf{G}_f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_m \\ \beta_f \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

565

566 where $\Delta\bar{z}_{mB}$ and $\Delta\bar{z}_{fB}$ represent the predicted response to selection of each sex
 567 given both the sex-specific genetic variation in $\mathbf{G}_m$ and $\mathbf{G}_f$ and the shared genetic
 568 variation within $\mathbf{B}$. The predicted divergence between the male and female
 569 response to selection was measured as the angle between male and female $\Delta\bar{z}$,
 570 with and without $\mathbf{B}$. The angle was calculated as:

571

$$\theta = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\Delta\bar{z}_f \bullet \Delta\bar{z}_m}{\|\Delta\bar{z}_f\| \|\Delta\bar{z}_m\|} \right) \quad (2)$$

573 with $\Delta\bar{z}_{mB}$ and $\Delta\bar{z}_{fB}$ substituted for the calculations accounting for shared genetic
 574 variation in $\mathbf{B}$. As before, all calculations used the distribution of 2000 estimates
 575 from the original models to enable confidence interval calculation and
 576 significance testing.

577

578 **Differential splicing.** We used the cuffdiff function in Cufflinks software ⁴³ to
 579 test for evidence of differential splicing between males and females at each stage.
 580 This test runs for any gene where alternative isoform expression is found, which
 581 in this dataset tested 1636, 2015 and 2822 genes in larvae, pupae and adults
 582 respectively. The analysis uses the Jensen-Shannon distribution to test for
 583 significant differential splicing between the male and female samples within each
 584 developmental stage.

585

586 **Accession codes.** RNA-seq data have been deposited with accession SRP068235

587

588 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

589 This work was supported by grants from the Swedish Research Council (2011-
590 3701), European Research Council (#280632), and a Royal Society University
591 Research Fellowship (all to E.H.M). The authors thank M. Reuter for his
592 comments on an earlier draft of the manuscript.

593

594 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

595 F.C.I. and E.H.M. wrote the paper and designed the experiments. T.M.P. and I.F.
596 set up the hemiclone lines and collected fitness data. F.C.I. and C.L.W. collected
597 the samples and performed the molecular work. F.C.I. performed the statistical
598 analyses.

599

- 600 1. Darwin, C. *The descent of man and selection in relation to sex* (John
601 Murray, London, UK, 1871)
- 602 2. Rice, W.R. Sex chromosomes and the evolution of sexual dimorphism.
603 *Evolution* **38**:735-742 (1984)
- 604 3. Rice, W.R. & Chippindale, A.K. Intersexual ontogenetic conflict. *J. Evol. Biol.*
605 **14**:685-693 (2001)
- 606 4. Bonduriansky R. & Chenoweth, S.F. Intralocus sexual conflict. *Trends Ecol.*
607 *Evol.* **24**:280-288 (2009)
- 608 5. Connallon, T. & Knowles, L.L. Intergenomic conflict revealed by patterns
609 of sex-biased gene expression. *Trends Genetics* **21**:495-499 (2006)
- 610 6. Ellegren, H. & Parsch, J. The evolution of sex-biased genes and sex-biased
611 gene expression. *Nature Reviews* **8**:689-698 (2007)
- 612 7. Ingleby, F.C., Flis, I. & Morrow, E.H. Sex-biased gene expression and sexual
613 conflict throughout development. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.*
614 **7**:a017632 (2014)
- 615 8. Arbeitman, M.N. *et al.* Gene expression during the life cycle of *Drosophila*
616 *melanogaster*. *Science* **297**:2270-2275 (2002)
- 617 9. Perry, J.C., Harrison, P.W. & Mank, J.E. The ontogeny and evolution of sex-
618 biased gene expression in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*
619 **31**:1206-1219 (2014)
- 620 10. Sorensen, J.G. & Loeschcke, V. Larval crowding in *Drosophila melanogaster*
621 induces Hsp70 expression, and leads to increased adult longevity and
622 adult thermal stress resistance. *J. Insect Physiol.* **47**:1301-1307 (2001)
- 623 11. Fellous, S. & Lazzaro, B.P. Larval food quality affects adult (but not larval)
624 immune gene expression independent of effects on general condition. *Mol.*
625 *Ecol.* **19**:1462-1468 (2010)
- 626 12. Gilbert, S.F. Ecological developmental biology: developmental biology
627 meets the real world. *Developmental Biol.* **233**:1-12 (2001)
- 628 13. Bochdanovits, Z., van der Klis, H. & de Jong, G. Covariation of larval gene
629 expression and adult body size in natural populations of *Drosophila*
630 *melanogaster*. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **20**:1760-1766 (2003)

- 631 14. Wyman, M.J., Agrawal, A.F. & Rowe, L. Condition-dependence of the
632 sexually dimorphic transcriptome in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Evolution*
633 **64**:1836-1848 (2010)
- 634 15. Runagall-McNaull, A., Bonduriansky, R. & Crean, A.J. Dietary protein and
635 lifespan across the metamorphic boundary: protein-restricted larvae
636 develop into short-lived adults. *Scientific Reports* **5**:11783 (2015)
- 637 16. Ayroles, J.F. *et al.* Systems genetics of complex traits in *Drosophila*
638 *melanogaster*. *Nat. Genet.* **41**:299-307 (2009)
- 639 17. Innocenti, P. & Morrow, E.H. The sexually antagonistic genes of *Drosophila*
640 *melanogaster*. *PLOS Biol.* **8**:e1000335 (2010)
- 641 18. Zhou, S., Campbell, T.G., Stone, E.A., Mackay, T.F.C. & Anholt, R.R.H.
642 Phenotypic plasticity of the *Drosophila* transcriptome. *PLOS Genet.*
643 **8**:e1002593 (2012)
- 644 19. Blows, M.W., Allen, A.L., Collet, J.M., Chenoweth, S.F. & McGuigan, K. The
645 phenome-wide distribution of genetic variance. *Am. Nat.* **186**:15-30
646 (2015)
- 647 20. Collet, J.M. *et al.* Rapid evolution of the inter-sexual genetic correlation for
648 fitness in *Drosophila melanogaster*. BioRxiv pre-print, doi:
649 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/032664> (2015)
- 650 21. Stone, E.A. & Ayroles, J.F. Modulated modularity clustering as an
651 exploratory tool for functional genomic inference. *PLOS Genet.*
652 **5**:e1000479 (2009)
- 653 22. Chintipalli, V.R., Wang, J. & Dow, J.A.T. Using FlyAtlas to identify better
654 *Drosophila melanogaster* models of human disease. *Nat. Genet.* **39**:715-
655 720 (2007)
- 656 23. Long, T.A.F. & Rice, W.R. Adult locomotory activity mediates intralocus
657 sexual conflict in a laboratory-adapted population of *Drosophila*
658 *melanogaster*. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B.* **274**:3105-3112 (2007)
- 659 24. Lande, R. Sexual dimorphism, sexual selection, and adaptation in
660 polygenic characters. *Evolution* **34**:292-305 (1980)
- 661 25. Lande, R. & Arnold, S.J. The measurement of selection on correlated
662 characters. *Evolution* **37**:1210-1226 (1983)

- 663 26. Chippindale, A.K., Gibson, J.R. & Rice, W.R. Negative genetic correlation for
664 adult fitness between sexes reveals ontogenetic conflict in *Drosophila*.
665 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **98**:1671-1675 (2001)
- 666 27. Runcie, D.E. & Mukherjee, S. Dissecting high-dimensional phenotypes with
667 Bayesian sparse factor analysis of genetic covariance matrices. *Genetics*
668 **194**:753-767 (2013)
- 669 28. Griffin, R.M., Dean, R., Grace, J.L., Ryden, P. & Friberg, U. The shared
670 genome is a pervasive constraint on the evolution of sex-biased gene
671 expression. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**:2168-2176 (2013)
- 672 29. Campisi, J. Cellular senescence as a tumor-suppressor mechanism. *Trends*
673 *Cell Biol.* **11**:S27-S31 (2001)
- 674 30. Leroi, A.M. *et al.* What evidence is there for the existence of individual
675 genes with antagonistic pleiotropic effects? *Mech. Ageing and Devel.*
676 **126**:421-429 (2005)
- 677 31. Klingenberg, C.P. Morphological integration and developmental
678 modularity. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **39**:115-132 (2008)
- 679 32. McIntyre, L.M. *et al.* Sex-specific expression of alternative transcripts in
680 *Drosophila*. *Genome Biol.* **7**:R79 (2006)
- 681 33. Blekhman, R., Marioni, J.C., Zumbo, P., Stephens, M. & Gilad, Y. Sex-specific
682 and lineage-specific alternative splicing in primates. *Genome Res.* **20**:180-
683 189 (2010)
- 684 34. Connallon, T. & Clark, A.G. The resolution of sexual antagonism by gene
685 duplication. *Genetics* **187**:919-937 (2011)
- 686 35. Aguirre, J.D., Blows, M.W. & Marshall, D.J. The genetic covariance between
687 life cycle stages separated by metamorphosis. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B.*
688 **281**:20141091 (2014)
- 689 36. Lott, S.E. *et al.* Noncanonical compensation of zygotic X transcription in
690 early *Drosophila melanogaster* development revealed through single-
691 embryo RNA-seq. *PLOS Biol.* **9**:e1000590 (2011)
- 692 37. dos Santos, G. *et al.* FlyBase: introduction of the *Drosophila melanogaster*
693 release 6 reference genome assembly and large-scale migration of
694 genome annotations. *Nucleic Acids Res.* doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1099 (2015)

695 38. Gaidatzis, D., Lerch, A., Hahne, F. & Stadler, M.B. QuasR: quantification and
696 annotation of short reads in R. *Bioinformatics* **31**:1130-1132 (2015)
697 39. Bates, D., Machler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S. Fitting linear mixed-effects
698 models using lme4 *ArXiv*:1406.5823 (2014)
699 40. Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a
700 practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J. R. Stat. Soc. B.*
701 **57**:289-300 (1995)
702 41. Hadfield, J.D. MCMC methods for multi-response generalized linear mixed
703 models: the MCMCglmm R package. *J. Stat. Software* **33**:1-22 (2010)
704 42. Lynch, M. & Walsh, B. *Genetics and Analysis of Quantitative Traits* (Sinauer
705 Associates, Sunderland, MA, USA, 1998)
706 43. Trapnell, C. *et al.* Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-seq
707 reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell
708 differentiation. *Nat. Biotech.* **28**:511-515 (2010)
709

TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1. Overall numbers of genes that were (A) significantly sex-biased, (B) had significant between-line (genetic) and sex*line (sex-specific genetic) variation, and (C) significantly associated with sexually antagonistic (fitness*sex) or sexually concordant (fitness with no fitness*sex interaction) fitness. All results are taken from the linear models described in the text. In total, 13501, 13602 and 13495 genes were tested for larvae, pupae and adults respectively. The percentages of these totals are shown italicised in brackets.

	Larvae	Pupae	Adults
(A) Sex-biased	11262 (83.4)	10705 (78.7)	12133 (89.9)
Sex-biased (fold change > 2)	4535 (33.6)	2613 (19.2)	8252 (61.2)
Female-biased	1200 (8.9)	3620 (26.6)	5696 (42.2)
Female-biased (fold change > 2)	131 (1.0)	324 (2.4)	3525 (26.1)
Male-biased	10062 (74.5)	7085 (52.1)	6437 (47.7)
Male-biased (fold change > 2)	4404 (32.6)	2289 (16.8)	4727 (35.1)
(B) Genetic variation	2870 (21.3)	4706 (34.6)	4912 (36.4)
Sex-specific genetic variation	192 (1.4)	3110 (22.3)	2043 (15.1)
(C) Sexually antagonistic	841 (6.2)	610 (4.5)	1300 (9.6)
Sexually concordant	420 (3.1)	2493 (18.3)	1659 (12.3)

Figure 1. Venn diagrams of the overlap between (A) sexually antagonistic and (B) sexually concordant candidate genes called in larvae (L), pupae (P) and adults (A). In total, 2571 genes were SA in at least one stage (18.4% of the 14008 genes tested), and 4148 genes were SC in at least one stage (29.6%).

Figure 2. Connectivity of all fitness-related genes for each stage, as the average absolute genetic correlation of all pairwise gene combinations within each stage. White boxes represent the overall connectivity for all fitness-related genes in larvae (L), pupae (P) and adults (A). Smaller boxes represent connectivity of sexually antagonistic (dark grey) and sexually concordant (pale grey) genes separately. Numbers of genes included in each box are shown. Notches in

731 boxplots represent 95% CI approximations, as $\pm 1.58 \cdot \text{IQR} / \sqrt{N}$. Asterisks indicate
732 significance of the difference between SA/SC genes at each stage.

733

734 **Figure 3.** Modules of correlated gene expression for all fitness-related genes in
735 (A) larvae (N=1261); (B) pupae (N=3103); and (C) adults (N=2959). Colours
736 within modules represent genetic correlations between all pairwise
737 combinations of genes; colours between modules represent the average genetic
738 correlation between modules. Outlined modules are those that tested significant
739 for enrichment with sexually antagonistic genes. Numbered modules are those
740 with >100 genes that were tested for enrichment with tissue-specific genes.

741

742 **Figure 4.** Histograms of the between-sex and between-stage genetic variance-
743 covariance matrix components for individual genes, partitioned between
744 variance components as shown in the inset matrix diagrams. (A) Sum of the sex-
745 specific, stage-specific genetic variance. (B) Sum of the absolute values of the
746 between-sex genetic covariance within each stage. (C) Sum of the absolute values
747 of the between-stage genetic covariance within both sexes. (D) Sum of the
748 absolute values of the between-sex, between-stage genetic covariance. Only
749 genes with significant genetic variance for at least one developmental stage are
750 included (N=8761). Genes that are sexually antagonistic in at least one stage
751 (N=2056) are shown in dark grey and genes that are not SA at any stage are
752 shown in pale grey (N=6705). Notches in boxplots represent $\pm 1.58 \cdot \text{IQR} / \sqrt{N}$.
753 Asterisks indicate significance of the difference between SA/non-SA genes at
754 each stage.

755

756 **Figure 5.** Intersexual genetic correlation (r_{mf}) for sexually antagonistic (dark
757 grey) and non-sexually antagonistic (pale grey) genes in larvae (L), pupae (P)
758 and adults (A). Only genes with significant genetic variation at each stage are
759 shown, and the numbers of genes included in each box are shown. Notches in
760 boxplots represent $\pm 1.58 \cdot \text{IQR} / \sqrt{N}$. Asterisks indicate significance of the
761 difference between SA/non-SA genes at each stage.

762

Figure 6. Genetic variation in the average **B** matrix (between-sex and between-gene) for SC and SA genes in larvae (L), pupae (P) and adults (A). (A) The **B** matrix norm, $||\mathbf{B}||$, as a measure of the overall magnitude of genetic variation in **B** for SC (pale grey) and SA (dark grey) genes at each stage. Boxes represent the estimates from all 2000 iterations of the model, notches in boxplots represent $\pm 1.58 \cdot \text{IQR} / \sqrt{N}$, and asterisks indicate significant difference between SA/SC genes at each stage. (B) The symmetry of the **B** matrix, as the matrix correlation between the two halves of **B**, for SC (pale grey) and SA (dark grey) genes at each stage. Boxes represent the estimates from all 2000 iterations of the model, notches in boxplots represent $\pm 1.58 \cdot \text{IQR} / \sqrt{N}$, and asterisks indicate significance of the difference between SA/SC genes at each stage. (C-E) The angle between the male and female predicted response to selection without the **B** matrix (solid arrows) and adjusted for the inclusion of the **B** matrix (dashed arrow) for SC (pale grey) and SA (dark grey) genes in larvae (C), pupae (D) and adults (E).

Figure 7. Evidence for differential splicing between males and females in (A) larvae (1636 genes tested); (B) pupae (2015 genes); and (C) adults (2822 genes), as histograms of the square root of the Jensen-Shannon distance. Sexually antagonistic genes are shown in dark grey; non-sexually antagonistic genes in pale grey. The numbers of sexually antagonistic genes tested was generally low (151, 63 and 306 genes respectively for larvae, pupae and adults). Notches in boxplots represent $\pm 1.58 \cdot \text{IQR} / \sqrt{N}$. Asterisks indicate significance of the difference between SA/non-SA genes at each stage. (D) The square root of the Jensen-Shannon distance plotted against the intersexual genetic correlation (r_{mf}) for larvae (green points), pupae (red) and adults (blue).

789 **SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

790

791 **Supplementary File 1.** List of Entrez Gene IDs tested at each developmental
792 stage, annotated with results of significance tests for fitness association, sex-
793 biased expression and genetic variation.

794

795 **Supplementary File 2.** Results from analysis of gene clusters. Gene modules and
796 connectivity of genes within modules are given for each fitness-associated (SA or
797 SC) gene at each developmental stage (identified by Entrez Gene IDs). Results of
798 hypergeometric tests (adjusted for FDR<0.05) for cluster enrichment with (A) SA
799 genes and (B) tissue-specific genes are also provided.

800

801 **Supplementary File 3.** Summary of the structure of the full **G** matrix, **G_{mf}**, and
802 how it was used in the multivariate analyses.

A.

B.

A **B** **C** **D**