

1 Climatic warming strengthens a positive feedback 2 between alpine shrubs and fire

3 James S. Camac^{*,1,2,3}, Richard J. Williams⁴, Carl-Henrik Wahren³, Ary A. Hoffmann⁵
4 & Peter A. Vesk²

5 ¹*Department of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, Sydney, NSW 2109, Australia.*

6 ²*The Centre of Excellence for Environmental Decisions, School of BioSciences, The University
7 of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia.*

8 ³*Research Centre for Applied Alpine Ecology, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Victoria 3086,
9 Australia.*

10 ⁴*CSIRO Land and Water, Tropical Ecosystems Research Centre, PMB 44 Winnellie, Northern
11 Territory 0821, Australia.*

12 ⁵*Bio21 Institute, School of BioSciences, The University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia.*

13 **Email for correspondence: james.camac@gmail.com*

14 **Climate change is expected to increase fire activity and woody plant encroachment in arctic**
15 **and alpine landscapes. However, it is unknown whether increases in woody species and fire**
16 **will interact to exacerbate changes in structure, function and composition of these ecosys-**
17 **tems. Here we use field surveys and experimental manipulations to examine warming and**
18 **fire effects on recruitment, growth and survival of seedlings of evergreen obligate seeding**
19 **alpine shrubs. We find that fire substantially increased shrub seedling establishment (up**
20 **to 32-fold) and that warming doubled tall shrub seedling growth rates and could increase**
21 **survival. Warming had limited or no effect on shrub recruitment, post-fire gap-infilling by**
22 **grass, or competitive effects of grass on shrub seedling growth and survival. These findings**
23 **indicate that rising temperatures coupled with more frequent or severe fires will likely exac-**
24 **erbate increases in tall evergreen shrubs by increasing recruitment, doubling growth rates,**
25 **and potentially increasing shrub survival.**

26 Accurately forecasting the effects of climatic warming on vegetation dynamics requires an
27 understanding of the mechanisms by which climate and vegetation interact. Most forecasting
28 models include the direct effects of climatic conditions on species distributions, but largely ig-
29 nore biotic interactions and the type, frequency and severity of disturbances that are also likely to
30 change¹⁻³. Because disturbance strongly influences recruitment opportunities, and thus, composi-
31 tion and structure of plant communities and biomes worldwide^{4,5}, it has the capacity to exacerbate
32 or diminish how climate affects vegetation. Seedlings are the life stage most vulnerable to climate
33 and disturbance, and the life stage which determines the long-term persistence of a species and
34 its capacity to establish in new areas. Consequently, it is imperative to understand how seedlings

35 respond to both changing climate and disturbance regimes^{6,7}.

36 Field manipulative experiments have been widely used to investigate the effects of climate
37 change on plant communities. These experiments have provided invaluable information on how
38 climate change directly influences plant phenology^{8,9}, reproduction¹⁰, morphology¹¹, growth¹²,
39 floristic composition¹³ and biotic interactions¹⁴. However, because disturbances vary both spatially
40 and temporally, most have focused on mature plant responses in relatively undisturbed vegetation⁷.
41 As such, few field climate experiments have been conducted on seedlings or vegetation in post-
42 disturbance conditions (but see¹⁵⁻¹⁷). Consequently, little is known about how climate change in-
43 fluences seedling demographic rates⁷ and thus, vegetation recovery in post-disturbance conditions.
44 We consider these issues in Australian alpine heathland.

45 In alpine and arctic ecosystems, warming experiments and long-term monitoring have doc-
46 umented significant increases in the growth and cover of woody species¹⁸⁻²⁰. The frequency and
47 extent of wildfires have also increased in these environments over recent decades, a trend expected
48 to continue²¹⁻²⁴. In alpine and tundra ecosystems, current evidence from long-term and experimen-
49 tal studies indicate that shrub recruitment and encroachment is highest in disturbed areas²⁵⁻²⁷, that
50 climatic warming is likely to increase woody species growth rates^{20,28,29}, and that shrubs are the
51 most flammable component of these ecosystems³⁰⁻³². The combination of this evidence indicates
52 that more frequent or severe fire may increase recruitment opportunities for woody species, which
53 when coupled with higher growth and survival rates caused by rising temperatures, will exacerbate
54 shrub expansion^{33,34} and ultimately increase the likelihood of fire^{20,31,32}. In effect, warming could

55 strengthen an existing feedback loop that not only has the potential to cause rapid changes in the
56 composition and structure of alpine and arctic vegetation, but also has serious social, biodiversity
57 and carbon sequestration consequences³⁵.

58 While paleoecological studies have indicated that such a feedback may exist^{31,32}, there is a
59 paucity of information on multiple mechanisms that may strengthen, mitigate or break this feed-
60 back loop (Fig. 1a). For example, we have little information on whether fire will stimulate shrub
61 seedling recruitment in alpine or tundra ecosystems, nor do we know how rates of seedling growth
62 and survival will be affected under warmer, more exposed, post-fire conditions. Furthermore, we
63 do not know how tussock grasslands (the other dominant community in these ecosystems) will
64 respond to warmer post-fire conditions, whether grasses have competitive, facilitative or no effect
65 on shrub seedling vital rates, or whether such effects are altered by warmer post-fire conditions.

66 We examine these unknowns and their impacts on the feedback between climatic warming,
67 shrubs and fire in Australian alpine vegetation. As with tundra ecosystems, the Australian Alps
68 have experienced rapid changes in climate. Since 1979, mean growing season temperatures have
69 risen by approximately 0.4°C and annual precipitation has fallen by 6%³⁶, with a consequent de-
70 cline in snow pack depth³⁷. These climatic changes have been correlated with a 10 to 20% increase
71 in shrub cover and a 25% decline in graminoids cover³⁶. Much of the Australian Alps has also been
72 burnt by recent wildfires, the frequency and severity of which are expected to increase^{24,38}.

73 We took advantage of recent fires in alpine open heathland, a plant community that occupies
74 ca. 25% of the Australian alpine landscape³⁸. Under global warming, this plant community is likely

75 to encroach upon grasslands and is itself susceptible to shrub thickening³⁹. To determine drivers
76 of shrub establishment and how warmer post-fire conditions affect shrub cover, we combined field
77 observations with a warming experiment that used seedlings of four Australian evergreen obligate
78 seeding shrubs: *Grevillea australis* (Proteaceae; a tall shrub), *Asterolasia trymalioides* (Rutaceae;
79 a prostrate shrub), *Phebalium squamulosum* (Rutaceae; a tall shrub) and *Prostanthera cuneata*
80 (Lamiaceae; a tall shrub). This combination of data sources allowed us to examine: 1) landscape
81 scale drivers of shrub seedling establishment; 2) how warmer post-fire conditions influence rates
82 of grass recovery and shrub seedling recruitment, growth and mortality; 3) how proximity to grass
83 affect shrub seedling demographic rates; and 4) whether such grass effects change under warmer
84 post-fire conditions.

85 **Drivers of shrub seedling establishment.** We first investigated how altitude, Topographic Wet-
86 ness Index (TWI), adult density, fire and fire severity (as measured by post-fire twig diameters—see
87 Supplementary Methods) influenced the density of *Grevillea* and *Asterolasia* seedlings (the two
88 dominant shrubs of alpine open heathland). In 2011-12, we estimated seedling density for both
89 species across 40 alpine sites and found that the abundances of *Grevillea* (Fig. 2) and *Asterola-*
90 *sia* (Supplementary Fig. S1) seedlings were strongly influenced by the occurrence of fire. Sites
91 burnt in 2003 had seedling densities between 14 and 32 times higher than unburnt sites. The mean
92 seedling density of *Grevillea*, was 1.3/m² at burnt sites and 0.04/m² at unburnt sites. *Asterolasia*
93 had similar mean densities: 1.63 and 0.12 seedlings/m² at burnt and unburnt sites, respectively. For
94 both species, seedling density was also higher in severely burnt sites (i.e. sites with larger post-
95 fire twig diameters). As expected, pre-fire adult density positively influenced *Grevillea* seedling

96 density. For both shrub species, we detected no change in seedling density along a 190 m altitu-
97 dinal range (equivalent to a 1.5°C change in mean temperature⁴⁰). The field warming experiment
98 (see below) indicates that this lack of elevation response in *Grevillea* is due to rates of recruitment
99 (Supplementary Fig. S2) and mortality (see below) largely being insensitive to a 1°C change in
100 temperature. We detected no strong effect of Topographic Wetness Index for either species.

101 **Experimental warming effects on shrub seedling growth.** As shrub seedlings were more abun-
102 dant in burnt vegetation, we investigated how warmer post-fire conditions affected seedling growth
103 and mortality rates. Seedlings of *Grevillea*, *Asterolasia*, *Phebalium* and *Prostanthera* emerging
104 after a wildfire were transplanted into experimentally burnt plots. These plots were either enclosed
105 in Open Top Chambers (OTCs) whose temperature was 1°C warmer than control plots subjected to
106 current ambient conditions. After 1813 days (1087 growing season days) or 5 years' growth, mean
107 seedling heights of the tall shrubs (*Grevillea*, *Phebalium* and *Prostanthera*) growing in post-fire
108 bare ground were greater in warmed plots relative to controls by 9.7, 3.8 and 13.8 cm, respectively
109 (Fig. 3a). Warming increased heights of both *Grevillea* and *Prostanthera* seedlings in all years,
110 while *Phebalium* did not respond to the warming treatment until the second growing season. By
111 contrast, seedlings of the prostrate shrub, *Asterolasia*, showed no difference in growth rate between
112 warmed and control plots in any year. For each species, we also observed similar treatment trends
113 with stem diameter growth (Supplementary Fig. S3). Accounting for initial height and assuming
114 logistic growth, the rates of change in mean annual predicted height of *Grevillea*, *Phebalium* and
115 *Prostanthera* were 2.4, 1.4 and 1.9 times that observed in control plots, respectively. According to
116 this model, a 6 cm seedling (the mean initial height of seedlings used in this experiment) attains

117 maximum height 39 years sooner 38 (*Grevillea*) or 17 years sooner (*Phebalium* and *Prostanthera*)
118 when warmed by 1°C (Fig. 3b). *Asterolasia* was predicted to reach its maximum height in approx-
119 imately 22 to 24 years, irrespective of warming treatment.

120 We validated the *Grevillea* and *Asterolasia* growth responses observed in experimentally
121 warmed plots by examining the effect of elevation on maximum heights of post-fire recruits across
122 30 open heathland sites burnt in 2003. Here, a 190 m altitudinal range is equivalent to a mean
123 ambient temperature difference of approximately 1.5°C⁴⁰, which is comparable to that observed
124 between experimentally warmed and control plots (1°C). In response to shifts in temperature, the
125 maximum height of *Grevillea* post-fire recruits was expected to decrease with elevation, whereas
126 *Asterolasia* seedlings were not expected to show this pattern. Our experimental predictions were
127 verified (Supplementary Fig. S4). Mean maximum height of *Grevillea* seedlings in burnt open
128 heathland were 8 cm taller at 1670 m a.s.l compared to seedlings at 1860 m a.s.l. (22 cm vs 14
129 cm; a difference comparable to our experimental findings). In contrast, mean maximum height of
130 *Asterolasia* seedlings did not vary significantly with elevation. Topographic Wetness Index and
131 fire severity had no detectable influence on maximum seedling heights in either species.

132 **Experimental warming on shrub seedling mortality.** After five years and across all plots, 34%
133 (172 out of 511) of all seedlings growing in burnt bare ground patches had died. Most deaths
134 occurred in *Asterolasia* (65) followed by *Phebalium* (63), *Grevillea* (31) and *Prostanthera* (13).
135 *Prostanthera* showed the largest treatment effect (Fig. 4), with annual mortality rates estimated to
136 be near 0% in warmed plots and 4% in control plots. This significant decrease in mortality may

137 be a consequence of OTCs reducing the severity of spring frosts by rising minimum ambient and
138 soil temperatures by 0.9°C and 1.6°C, respectively (see Supplementary Fig S5-7). Warming also
139 reduced mean seedling mortality in *Grevillea* and *Phebalium* (Fig. 4); however, for both species,
140 the effect was highly uncertain (i.e. credible intervals overlap). By contrast, annual mortality rates
141 in the prostrate shrub, *Asterolasia*, were marginally higher in warmed plots, but again this effect
142 was highly uncertain (Fig. 4).

143 **Effects of tussock grass proximity on shrub seedling growth and mortality rates.** Climate
144 change is expected to alter biotic interactions because their strength and direction depend strongly
145 on climatic conditions, particularly in alpine and arctic ecosystems^{14,41}. Here, we assess the inter-
146 active effects of warming and grass proximity on the growth and survival of *Grevillea* seedlings
147 transplanted into various sized inter-tussock gaps (Fig. 5). We detected a strong positive effect
148 of warming treatment on growth rates and a marginal, yet not significant, decrease in mortality.
149 However, we did not detect significant inter-tussock gap size effects or an interaction between gap
150 size and warming treatment on either growth or mortality rates (i.e. coefficient credible intervals
151 overlap zero).

152 **Experimental warming and rates of gap infilling by tussock grass.** Despite having little impact
153 on shrub seedling growth and mortality rates, tussock grass may still limit shrub recruitment, and
154 thus, shrub expansion, by infilling post-fire bare ground gaps (whether by vegetative growth or
155 seedlings) faster under warmer conditions. Using five years of post-fire inter-tussock gap size
156 changes in warmed and unwarmed plots we found that gaps were being infilled by tussock grasses

157 (Fig. 6). However, the rate at which this occurred was very slow, with a 10 cm radius gap predicted
158 to decrease by approximately 2 cm over a ten year period. We also detected no significant effect
159 of a 1°C temperature rise on the rate of infilling.

160 **Strengthening of the warming-shrub-fire feedback.** Here we quantified several unknown inter-
161 actions between fire, shrub-grass relationships and climate to extend a conceptual model of alpine
162 shrub dynamics under climate change (Fig. 1b). We showed how these interactions strengthen a
163 hypothesized feedback loop that can rapidly increase shrub cover in alpine and tundra ecosystems³¹.
164 The combination of rising temperatures and more frequent or severe fire creates conditions that
165 allow shrub seedlings to establish in greater densities, and for tall shrubs, double their growth
166 rates and potentially increase their survival. These demographic effects will ultimately result
167 in shrub thickening and expansion into grasslands. Coupled with field³⁰, experimental⁴² and
168 paleoecological³¹ evidence, which indicate that shrubs are the most flammable component of alpine
169 and tundra ecosystems, our results suggest that flammable fuel loads will accumulate faster and
170 cover a larger proportion of the alpine and arctic landscapes under a warmer environment. This
171 further strengthens the feedback^{31,32} by potentially increasing the frequency or severity of fires,
172 which then creates further recruitment opportunities for shrubs with little demographic impact of
173 neighbouring tussock grass.

174 While we have addressed several unknowns associated with this feedback between climatic
175 warming, shrubs and fire, there are others we have not addressed that may also strengthen, weaken
176 or break this feedback. The most obvious mechanism that will break this cycle involves shorter

177 fire intervals that prevent obligate seeding shrubs reaching reproductive age and thereby exhausting
178 the seedbank. However, this scenario is unlikely for the majority of alpine (or tundra) landscapes,
179 including in Australia, where current fire intervals of 50 to 100 years would need to decrease to less
180 than 20 years—the time estimated for the species in this study to reach reproductive maturity⁴³.
181 Furthermore, if reproductive output is related to plant size⁴⁴, then climatic warming may allow
182 obligate seeding species to reach reproductive maturity sooner, and consequently, may increase
183 species resilience to short fire intervals. Nevertheless, these factors and others such as changes in
184 snow pack⁴⁵, soil moisture⁴⁶ or herbivory⁴⁷ require further research because they are likely to be
185 altered by the interactive effects of climate and disturbance in unpredictable ways²⁰.

186 Our findings provide mechanistic understanding as to why shrub cover has increased, of-
187 ten at the expense of grasslands, in many alpine and arctic ecosystems^{20,27,33,34}. But more im-
188 portantly, our results provide evidence for underlying processes that could result in a warming-
189 fire-shrub feedback that has been hypothesized in paleoecological studies^{31,32}. Based on current
190 observations and predictions, average global temperature has already increased by 0.85°C since
191 1880 and is expected to rise by as much as 4.8°C by 2100⁴⁸. In alpine and tundra environments,
192 temperatures⁴⁹, shrub cover²⁰ and the frequency and severity of fire^{21–24} have all increased in the
193 last few decades. These changes mean that the warming-shrub-fire feedback loop identified here
194 has already strengthened, which could cause grasslands and other non-woody communities to tran-
195 sition to an alternative state with more shrubs and more fire, both of which are likely to have
196 consequences for carbon sequestration, water supply and biodiversity.

197 **Methods**

198 We investigated shrub dynamics in open heathland because it is a common and highly flammable
199 plant community in the Australian Alps above 1600 m a.s.l.³⁰. It is also an ecotone between closed
200 heathland (>70% shrub cover) and tussock grassland consisting of *Grevillea australis* shrubs in-
201 terspersed among a *Poa hiemata* sward. Aerial photography has revealed that the plant community
202 has acted as an invasion front of shrub expansion into grassland and has itself experienced shrub
203 thickening by closed heathland dominants such as *Prostanthera cuneata*³⁹.

204 **Open Top Chamber Experiment.** In March 2010, at 1750 m a.s.l, we burnt 32 randomly selected
205 mature (60 cm tall and 1.5 m²) *Grevillea australis* shrubs in open heathland to create patches of
206 bare ground approximately 0.7 m² surrounded by burnt tussock grass, simulating disturbance in
207 open heathland burnt by wildfire. After creating the bare ground patches we collected seedlings of
208 dominant alpine shrub species from a nearby (<2 km) site of similar altitude burnt by a late 2006
209 wildfire. We collected shrub seedlings of two dominant open heathland species *Grevillea australis*
210 (Proteaceae; a tall shrub) and *Asterolasia trymalioides* (Rutaceae; a prostrate shrub), a dominant
211 closed heathland species *Prostanthera cuneata* (Lamiaceae; a tall shrub) that typically grows on
212 warmer aspects and a species common to both open and closed heathland *Phebalium squamulosum*
213 (Rutaceae; a tall shrub). All four species are killed by fire⁵⁰.

214 A total of 640 seedlings, 256 *Grevillea* (half used in *Poa* inter-tussock experiment—see
215 below) and 128 for each of *Asterolasia*, *Prostanthera* and *Phebalium* were used. Four seedlings
216 per species were randomly selected and transplanted into a 4×4 square grid in the center of each

217 burnt patch, with 14 cm between individuals and the edge of the patch dominated by resprouting
218 tussock grass *Poa hiemata*. To examine interactions between tussock grass and shrub seedlings we
219 also randomly transplanted four additional *Grevillea australis* into various sized inter-tussock gaps
220 between burnt *Poa hiemata* immediately surrounding the bare ground (Supplementary Fig. S8).
221 The experimental site was fenced to prevent grazing by deer and horses. We detected no obvious
222 signs of rabbit or invertebrate herbivory within our plots.

223 To simulate near-term warmer conditions indicated by the IPCC⁴⁸, we randomly assigned
224 Open Top Chambers (OTCs) to half (16) the plots, with the remainder treated as unwarmed con-
225 trols. OTCs were placed over plots, ensuring all seedlings (including inter-tussock shrub seedlings)
226 occurred within the 1.1 m² open top to minimise edge effects. OTCs were placed out at the start
227 of the growing season (October) where they remained until snowfall (early June). This procedure
228 was repeated for five growing seasons from May 2010 to May 2015.

229 Microclimatic conditions were measured hourly using Onset Micro Stations (Onset Com-
230 puter Corporation, Bourne, MA, USA) at four control and four OTC plots. Across 5 growing
231 seasons (1087 growing season days), OTCs simulated warmer conditions at the lower end of IPCC
232 projections⁴⁸ (Supplementary Fig. S5-7). OTCs passively increased average ambient and soil tem-
233 peratures by 1°C, and 0.9°C, respectively. Minimum and maximum temperatures were also raised
234 in both ambient air (min: 0.9°C; max: 2.4°C) and soil (min: 1.6°C; max: 1°C). Chambers only
235 marginally decreased soil moisture by 0.2% and relative humidity by 1.2%.

236 Seedling survival, maximum height and stem diameter (nearest mm measured with Vernier

237 calipers) were initially recorded in May 2010 and then subsequently re-measured at the end of each
238 growing season (May-June). At the same time, we recorded the distance to the nearest tussock or
239 grass seedling in each of four cardinal directions for shrub seedlings growing in inter-tussock
240 gaps. Thus, changes in inter-tussock gap size could be due to either vegetative growth or seedling
241 establishment. We did not measure individual characteristics (e.g. height and basal diameter) of
242 surrounding tussock grass because we could not distinguish individuals, and because height varied
243 throughout the season. Numbers of natural *Grevillea australis* recruits establishing within the plots
244 were also recorded for the first two seasons.

245 **Seedling gradient study.** We used 40 long-term open heathland sites established after the 2003
246 fires⁵⁰ consisting of 30 burnt sites and 10 sites thought to be unburnt for over 70 years. In the
247 summer of 2011-12, at each site, seedling density/m² was estimated using 40 quadrats, each 1 m²,
248 that were evenly distributed in groups of 10 along four 50 m transects, with 10 m between transect
249 lines, subsampling an area of 2000 m². Within plots we recorded the number and maximum
250 height of *Grevillea* and *Asterolasia* seedlings. For unburnt sites we counted the number of mature
251 *Grevillea* plants (>0.5 m²) within 5 m of each transect. In burnt sites, this required counting the
252 number of skeletons (there were no living adults at any burnt site) that still persisted post-fire. We
253 were unable to estimate numbers of adult *Asterolasia* because this species does not have a persistent
254 woody skeleton post-fire. Site level data, elevation and Topographic Wetness Index (TWI) were
255 obtained from a 30 m resolution digital elevation model. Lastly, for burnt sites, fire severity was
256 estimated by twig diameters, collected immediately after the 2003 fires⁵⁰.

257 **Data analysis.** In total we built 11 hierarchical models to examine factors influencing post-fire

258 recovery of tussock grass and shrub seedling establishment, growth and mortality. For each model
259 we used Bayesian inference and fitted models in R 3.2.2 using package `rstan` 2.8.1. Data and
260 source code for reproducing analysis and figures are available at: [https://github.com/](https://github.com/jscamac/Alpine_Shrub_Experiment)
261 `jscamac/Alpine_Shrub_Experiment`. Additional information about experimental design
262 and analysis can be found in Supplementary Methods.

263 **Acknowledgements**

264 This research was funded through Australian Research Council Linkage Grants, partnered through
265 the Department of Sustainability and Environment, Parks Victoria, Commonwealth Scientific and
266 Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO). Funding was also received from the Centre of Excel-
267 lence for Environmental Decisions (CEED) and Holsworth Research Committee. J.S.C was a
268 recipient of an Australian Postgraduate Award. Monica Camac, Brad Farmilo, Monica Hursburgh,
269 Paulius Kviecinskas, Karen Stott, Imogen Fraser, Sarah Bartlett, Paul Smart, Steven Rumbold,
270 Lachlan Yourn and Chris Jones provided assistance with field measurements. William Morris
271 and John Baumgartner provided coding advice and Warwick Papst provided field logistic advice.
272 Daniel Falster and Richard Fitzjohn for developing software that enabled these analyses to be
273 readily reproducible. Special thanks to David Bowman, Anaïs Gibert, John Morgan, Kimberley
274 Millers, Michaela Plein and Inka Veltheim for their comments on this manuscript.

275 **Author contributions**

276 J.C. conceived, designed and performed the experiments, field surveys and analysis; C-H.W, R.W,
277 A.H and P.V. supervised the development of this work, aided in data collection and provided sta-
278 tistical advice. All authors contributed to the writing of this manuscript.

279 **Competing financial interests**

280 The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

281 **References**

- 282 1. Guisan, A. & Thuiller, W. Predicting species distribution: offering more than simple habitat
283 models. *Ecology Letters* **8**, 993–1009 (2005).
- 284 2. Tucker, C. M., Rebelo, A. G. & Manne, L. L. Contribution of disturbance to distribution and
285 abundance in a fire-adapted system. *Ecography* **35**, 348–355 (2012).
- 286 3. Giannini, T. C., Chapman, D. S., Saraiva, A. M., Alves-dos Santos, I. & Biesmeijer, J. C.
287 Improving species distribution models using biotic interactions: a case study of parasites,
288 pollinators and plants. *Ecography* **36**, 649–656 (2013).
- 289 4. Bond, W. J., Woodward, F. I. & Midgley, G. F. The global distribution of ecosystems in a
290 world without fire. *New Phytologist* **165**, 525–538 (2005).

- 291 5. Turner, M. G. Disturbance and landscape dynamics in a changing world. *Ecology* **91**, 2833–
292 2849 (2010).
- 293 6. Walck, J. L., Hidayati, S. N., Dixon, K. W., Thompson, K. & Poschlod, P. Climate change and
294 plant regeneration from seed. *Global Change Biology* **17**, 2145–2161 (2011).
- 295 7. Briceño, V. F., Hoyle, G. L. & Nicotra, A. B. Seeds at risk: How will a changing alpine
296 climate affect regeneration from seeds in alpine areas? Verónica F. Briceño, Gemma L. Hoyle
297 & Adrienne B. Nicotra. *Alpine Botany* **125**, 59–68 (2015).
- 298 8. Hoffmann, A. A. *et al.* Phenological changes in six Australian subalpine plants in response to
299 experimental warming and year-to-year variation. *Journal of Ecology* **98**, 927–937 (2010).
- 300 9. Dorji, T. *et al.* Plant functional traits mediate reproductive phenology and success in response
301 to experimental warming and snow addition in Tibet. *Global Change Biology* **19**, 459–472
302 (2013).
- 303 10. Klady, R. A., Henry, G. H. R. & Lemay, V. Changes in high arctic tundra plant reproduction in
304 response to long-term experimental warming. *Global Change Biology* **17**, 1611–1624 (2011).
- 305 11. Hudson, J. M. G. & Henry, G. H. R. Increased plant biomass in a High Arctic heath community
306 from 1981 to 2008. *Ecology* **90**, 2657–2663 (2009).
- 307 12. Hollister, R. D., Webber, P. J. & Bay, C. Plant response to temperature in Northern Alaska:
308 Implications for predicting vegetation change. *Ecology* **86**, 1562–1570 (2005).

- 309 13. Elmendorf, S. C. *et al.* Global assessment of experimental climate warming on tundra vegeta-
310 tion: heterogeneity over space and time. *Ecology Letters* **15**, 164–175 (2012).
- 311 14. Klanderud, K. Climate change effects on species interactions in an alpine plant community.
312 *Journal of Ecology* **93**, 127–137 (2005).
- 313 15. Munier, A., Hermanutz, L., Jacobs, J. D. & Lewis, K. The interacting effects of tempera-
314 ture, ground disturbance, and herbivory on seedling establishment: implications for treeline
315 advance with climate warming. *Plant Ecology* **210**, 19–30 (2010).
- 316 16. Graae, B. J. *et al.* Strong microsite control of seedling recruitment in tundra. *Oecologia* **166**,
317 565–576 (2010).
- 318 17. Camac, J. S. *et al.* Modeling rates of life form cover change in burned and unburned alpine
319 heathland subject to experimental warming. *Oecologia* **178**, 615–628 (2015).
- 320 18. Sturm, M., Racine, C. H. & Tape, K. Climate change: increasing shrub abundance in the
321 Arctic. *Nature* **411**, 546–547 (2001).
- 322 19. Walker, M. D. *et al.* Plant community responses to experimental warming across the tundra
323 biome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **103**, 1342–1346 (2006).
- 324 20. Myers-Smith, I. H. *et al.* Shrub expansion in tundra ecosystems: dynamics, impacts and
325 research priorities. *Environmental Research Letters* **6**, 045509 (2011).
- 326 21. Westerling, A., Hidalgo, H., Cayan, D. & Swetnam, T. W. Warming and earlier spring increase
327 western US forest wildfire activity. *Nature* **313**, 940 (2006).


- 328 22. Flannigan, M. D., Krawchuk, M. A., de Groot, W. J., Wotton, B. M. & Gowman, L. M.
329 Implications of changing climate for global wildland fire. *International Journal of Wildland*
330 *Fire* **18**, 483–507 (2009).
- 331 23. Qiu, J. Tundra's burning. *Nature* **461**, 34–36 (2009).
- 332 24. Bradstock, R., Penman, T., Boer, M., Price, O. & Clarke, H. Divergent responses of fire to
333 recent warming and drying across south-eastern Australia. *Global Change Biology* **20**, 1412–
334 1428 (2014).
- 335 25. Williams, R. J. & Ashton, D. H. The composition structure and distribution of heathland and
336 grassland communities in the subalpine tract of the Bogong High Plains Victoria Australia.
337 *Austral Ecology* **12**, 57–72 (1987).
- 338 26. Batllori, E., Camarero, J. J., Ninot, J. M. & Gutiérrez, E. Seedling recruitment, survival and
339 facilitation in alpine *Pinus uncinata* tree line ecotones. Implications and potential responses to
340 climate warming. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **18**, 460–472 (2009).
- 341 27. Frost, G. V., Epstein, H. E., Walker, D. A., Matyshak, G. & Ermokhina, K. Patterned-ground
342 facilitates shrub expansion in Low Arctic tundra. *Environmental Research Letters* **8**, 015035
343 (2013).
- 344 28. Arft, A. M. *et al.* Responses of Tundra plants to experimental to experimental warming:
345 meta-analysis of the International Tundra Experiment. *Ecological Monographs* **69**, 491–511
346 (1999).

- 347 29. Elmendorf, S. C. *et al.* Plot-scale evidence of tundra vegetation change and links to recent
348 summer warming. *Nature Climate Change* **2**, 453–457 (2012).
- 349 30. Williams, R. J., Wahren, C.-H., Bradstock, R. A. & Muller, W. J. Does alpine grazing reduce
350 blazing? A landscape test of a widely-held hypothesis. *Austral Ecology* **31**, 925–936 (2006).
- 351 31. Higuera, P. E. *et al.* Frequent fires in ancient shrub tundra: Implications of paleorecords for
352 arctic environmental change. *PLoS ONE* **3**, e0001744 (2008).
- 353 32. Higuera, P. E., Brubaker, L. B., Anderson, P. M., Hu, F. S. & Brown, T. A. Vegetation mediated
354 the impacts of postglacial climate change on fire regimes in the south-central Brooks Range,
355 Alaska. *Ecological Monographs* **79**, 201–219 (2009).
- 356 33. Racine, C. H., Jandt, R., Meyers, C. & Dennis, J. Tundra fire and vegetation change along a
357 hillslope on the Seward Peninsula, Alaska, U.S.A. *Arctic Antarctic and Alpine Research* **36**,
358 1–10 (2004).
- 359 34. Lantz, T. C., Marsh, P. & Kokelj, S. V. Recent shrub proliferation in the Mackenzie Delta
360 uplands and microclimatic implications. *Ecosystems* **16**, 47–59 (2013).
- 361 35. Mack, M. C. *et al.* Carbon loss from an unprecedented Arctic tundra wildfire. *Nature* **475**,
362 489–492 (2011).
- 363 36. Wahren, C.-H. *et al.* Experimental warming and long-term vegetation dynamics in an alpine
364 heathland. *Australian Journal of Botany* **61**, 36–51 (2013).

- 365 37. Sánchez-Bayo, F. & Green, K. Australian snowpack disappearing under the influence of global
366 warming and solar activity. *Arctic Antarctic and Alpine Research* **45**, 107–118 (2013).
- 367 38. Williams, R. J. *et al.* Alpine Ecosystems. In Lindenmayer, D. B., Burns, E., Thurgate, N. &
368 Lowe, A. (eds.) *Biodiversity and Environmental Change: Monitoring, Challenges and Direc-*
369 *tions*, 167–212 (CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne, 2014).
- 370 39. McDougall, K. L. Aerial photographic interpretation of vegetation changes on the Bogong
371 High Plains, Victoria, between 1936 and 1980. *Austral Ecology* **51**, 251–256 (2003).
- 372 40. Brown, J. A. H. & Millner, F. C. Aspects of meteorology and hydrology in the Australian Alps.
373 In Good, R. B. (ed.) *The Scientific Significance of the Australian Alps*, 122–171 (Proceedings
374 of the first Fenner Conference on the Environment, Canberra, 1989).
- 375 41. Callaway, R. *et al.* Positive interactions among alpine plants increase with stress. *Nature* **417**,
376 844–848 (2002).
- 377 42. Fraser, I. P., Williams, R. J., Murphy, B. P., Camac, J. S. & Vesk, P. A. Fuels and
378 landscape flammability in an Australian alpine environment. *Austral Ecology* (2016).
379 doi:10.1111/aec.12355.
- 380 43. Williams, R. J. *et al.* Large fires in Australian alpine landscapes: their part in the historical
381 fire regime and their impacts on alpine biodiversity. *International Journal of Wildland Fire*
382 **17**, 793–808 (2008).
- 383 44. Wenk, E. H. & Falster, D. S. Quantifying and understanding reproductive allocation schedules
384 in plants. *Ecology and Evolution* **5**, 5521–5538 (2015).

- 385 45. Wipf, S., Stoeckli, V. & Bebi, P. Winter climate change in alpine tundra: plant responses to
386 changes in snow depth and snowmelt timing. *Climatic Change* **94**, 105–121 (2009).
- 387 46. Engler, R. *et al.* 21st century climate change threatens mountain flora unequally across Europe.
388 *Global Change Biology* **17**, 2330–2341 (2011).
- 389 47. Post, E. & Pedersen, C. Opposing plant community responses to warming with and without
390 herbivores. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **105**, 12353–12358 (2008).
- 391 48. IPCC. *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to*
392 *the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (Cambridge
393 University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013).
- 394 49. Chapin III, F. S. *et al.* Role of land-surface changes in arctic summer warming. *Nature* **310**,
395 657–660 (2005).
- 396 50. Camac, J. S., Williams, R. J., Wahren, C.-H., Morris, W. K. & Morgan, J. W. Post-fire regen-
397 eration in alpine heathland: Does fire severity matter? *Austral Ecology* **38**, 199–207 (2013).

398 **Figures**



399

Figure 1 Conceptual diagram illustrating positive warming-shrub-fire feedback loop. (a) Hypothesised positive feedback loop between fire, climatic warming and landscape flammability. Solid blue lines = known mechanisms; Dashed black lines = mechanisms that may exacerbate or diminish this feedback loop but which we have a paucity of information on. **(b)** Feedback loop based on new evidence obtained from experimental and field surveys conducted in this study. Also includes an example of a potential, but unlikely, effect (red dotted line) that could break this feedback.

400






Figure 2 Mean *Grevillea australis* seedling density along gradients of burning, fire severity (twig diameter), altitude, Topographic Wetness Index (TWI) and adult density. (a) Centered and standardized model coefficients (on the log scale); and effects of: (b) burning, (c) fire severity, (d) altitude, (e) topographic wetness and (f) adult density, in areas burnt by the 2003 fires. All bars and shaded areas indicate 95% Bayesian Credible Intervals.



403

Figure 3 Observed and projected growth trajectories of four dominant Australian alpine shrubs. (a) Observed height growth: Thick lines with error bars represent mean (\pm 95% confidence intervals) observed heights at each May census. Thin lines represent individual growth trajectories. (b) Mean (\pm 95% Bayesian Credible Interval) projected growth trajectories. In all cases, red and orange lines = seedlings growing in warmed (OTC) conditions and blue and light blue lines = seedlings growing in control conditions. Projections were based on an logistic growth model using initial seedling size of 6 cm (the mean initial height observed in the OTC experiment) and mean maximum heights observed in long-unburnt sites (i.e. 34, 67, 66 & 70 cm for *Asterolasia*, *Grevillea*, *Phebalium*, & *Prostanthera*, respectively).

404



406 **Figure 4 Mean (\pm 95% Bayesian Credible Interval) annual probability of death for each species growing in control (blue) and warmed (red) conditions.**




Figure 5 Experimental warming and inter-tussock gap size effects on *Grevillea australis* seedlings. Top rows = Growth rate effects, Second row = Mortality effects. (a & c) Centered and standardized model coefficients; (b & d) growth and mortality rate response curves along an inter-tussock gap size gradient in warmed (red) and control (blue) conditions. All error bars and shading are 95% Bayesian Credible Intervals.




Figure 6 Effects of warming on rates of gap infilling by tussock grass. (a) Model coefficients and (b) projected temporal change in size for an average 10 cm inter-tussock gap in warmed (red) and unwarmed (blue) conditions. All error bars and shading are 95% Bayesian Credible Intervals.

410