Population Structure Analysis of Globally Diverse Bull Genomes

Neo Christopher Chung^{1,*}, Joanna Szyda¹, Magdalena Frąszczak¹, and the 1000 Bull Genomes Project²

¹Biostatistics Group, Department of Genetics, Wrocław University of Environmental and Life Sciences, Wrocław, 51631, Poland

²Membership of the 1000 Bull Genomes Project is provided in the Acknowledgments.

*nchchung@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Since domestication, population bottlenecks, breed formation, and selective breeding have radically shaped the genealogy and genetics of *Bos taurus*. In turn, characterization of population structure among globally diverse bull genomes enables detailed assessment of genetic resources and origins. By analyzing 432 unrelated bull genomes from 13 breeds and 16 countries, we demonstrate genetic diversity and structural complexity among the global bull population. Importantly, we relaxed a strong assumption of discrete or admixed population, by adapting latent variable models for individual-specific allele frequencies that directly capture a wide range of complex structure from genome-wide genotypes. We identified a highly complex population structure that defies the conventional hypothesis based on discrete membership and contributes to pervasive genetic differentiation in bull genomes. As measured by magnitude of differentiation, selection pressure on SNPs within genes is substantially greater than that on intergenic regions. Additionally, broad regions of chromosome 6 harboring largest genetic differentiation suggest positive selection underlying population structure. We carried out gene set analysis using SNP annotations to identify enriched functional categories such as energy-related processes and multiple development stages. Our comprehensive analysis of bull population structure can support genetic management strategies that capture structural complexity and promote sustainable genetic breadth.

Introduction

Bos taurus (cattle) has long experienced selection for high quality milk and meat production. To maintain and encourage genetic diversity, it is important to characterize the population structure of bulls around the world. Inferring population structure and genetic differentiation play an increasingly important role in conservation efforts, genealogy, and selection programs. In this study, we have analyzed a large number of whole genome sequences of *Bos taurus* bulls from 13 breeds, representing 16 countries, to characterize population structure and genetic diversity.

Recognizing the importance of cattle genome diversity in genome-wide association studies, genomic predictions, and optimal breeding, there have been substantial efforts to obtain genome-wide genotypes of multiple breeds in diverse geographical locations¹⁻³. The 1000 Bull Genomes Consortium has successfully collaborated with institutions from more than 20 countries to collect 1577 whole genome sequences (as of version 5). This international collection of diverse genomes can be regarded as representative of genetic diversity within bulls and thus enables systematic analysis of population genomics. Although the structural complexity of cattle has previously been studied based on limited genome profiles or genetic markers, focusing on regions and breeds⁴⁻⁷, a population genetic study involving a large and diverse collection of whole genome sequences has not been performed.

Moreover, most studies assumed discrete structure among representatives of a studied population, as defined by self-identified breeds. Recent studies using genome-based tree, admixture models, and other techniques demonstrate far greater structural complexity^{1,2,7}, but direct estimation and utilization of continuous population structure have been challenging. Logistic factor analysis (LFA) uses recently developed probabilistic models of individual allele frequencies underlying genotypes that are appropriate for a wide range of population structures (e.g., discrete, continuous, or admixture)⁸. Building on principal component analysis (PCA), LFA provides a non-parametric estimation method tailored to genotype data. By modeling each single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) by the population structure estimated by logistic factors (LFs), genetic differentiation can be directly tested and inferred.

Applying latent variable probabilistic models, we analyzed 432 unrelated *Bos taurus* genomes from 13 breeds and 16 countries, as part of the 1000 Bull Genomes Project². This study provides detailed assessment of population structure among a diverse panel of whole genome sequences (> 3.9 million SNPs per bull). We identified pervasive genetic differentiation

as suggested by domestication and selection. Through incorporating gene set analyses with genomic features, evolutionary pressure on genetic variation is investigated. Additionally, we present an interactive visualization, which enables exploration of underlying population structure by logistic factors. This study represents one of the first studies in population genomics where potentially inaccurate breeds (or other self-referential subpopulation labels) are intentionally left unused.

Results

In the 1000 Bull Genomes Project dataset, there were $n = 432$ unrelated *Bos taurus* samples with average sequencing coverage > 5 (Figure 1). These bulls represent 13 different breeds; namely, Angus, Brown Swiss, Charolais, Gelbvieh, Holstein, Jersey, Limousin, Montbeliard, Normandy, Piedmont, European Red Dairy, Holstein, Red & White, and Simmental/Fleckvieh. Defined by the official animal identification, our samples came from Australia, Austria, Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, and United States (Figure 2). Among these genomes, there are $m = 3,967,995$ single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) with no missing values and minor allele frequencies ≥ 0.05 (Supplementary Fig. 2). To explore structural complexity, whole genome sequences of $n = 432$ selected samples were hierarchically clustered using Manhattan distances (Figure 3, colored by 13 different breeds). It is evident that official breed codes (or countries of origin) do not necessarily represent the genetic diversity among bulls represented by SNPs.

The dimension of the population structure in logistic factor analysis was set at $d = 7$, as estimated by the VSS algorithm and the scree plot of decreasing eigenvalues (Supplementary Fig. 3). The estimated logistic factors demonstrate the genetic continuum, reflecting shared origins of genetics and overlapping goals of breeding programs since domestication (Figure 4). At the same time, the logistic factor 4 displays a clear distinction of Brown Swiss (from Switzerland, Germany, France, and Italy) and projection of logistic factors allows straightforward visual identification of clusters. We enable interactive exploration of this population structure by creating an online app visualizing logistic factors according to user-specified parameters (<https://nnnn.shinyapps.io/bullstructure/>).

We discovered diverse and pervasive genetic differentiation with respect to the population structure of bulls. When applying the resampling-based jackstraw method to test association between SNPs and logistic factors, we observed that the vast majority of SNPs are statistically significantly differentiated (an estimated proportion of null SNPs $\hat{\pi}_0$ of 6.2%). A proportion of variation explained by $d = 7$ LFs for each SNP is approximated by McFadden's pseudo R^2 . We found that the median and mean values of McFadden's pseudo R^2 are 0.070 and 0.087, respectively (Figure 5). The chromosome 6 contained substantially more SNPs with high R^2 than other chromosomes; it harbors 166 (39.0%) out of 426 SNPs with $R^2 > 0.6$, as well as all 29 (100%) SNPs with $R^2 > 0.7$. On the other hand, the X chromosome shows the least variation with respect to logistic factors, containing zero SNP with $R^2 > 0.5$. The top 1000 genomic features that are associated with differentiated SNPs are shown in Supplementary Data 1. Note that we additionally conducted an independent robustness analysis with $d = 22$ logistic factors (as suggested by a cross-validation method), which confirm highly consistent genetic differentiation, with an overall R^2 correlation of 0.93.

Among SNPs with the highest $R^2 > 0.7$, there exist two tight groups on chromosome 6; specifically 14 SNPs (13 within 50kbp of known genomic features) positioned between 71101370 and 71600122 and 15 SNPs (11 within 50kbp of known genomic features) positioned between 38482423 and 39140537. 83% of those most differentiated SNPs (20 out of 24 SNPs with known genomic features) are within or close to genes related to the selection sweep according to⁹. Among the first group, five SNPs fall within CHIC2 (ENSBTAG00000032660), while the closest features within 50kbp also include GSX2 (ENSBTAG00000045812), U6 spliceosomal RNA (ENSBTAG00000042948), and novel pseudogene (ENSBTAG00000004082). U6 spliceosomal RNA (ENSBTAG00000042948) and novel pseudogene (ENSBTAG00000004082) are known to be associated with milk protein percentage¹⁰. In the second group, the exact overlaps occur in FAM184B (ENSBTAG00000005932), LCORL (ENSBTAG00000046561), and NCAPG (ENSBTAG00000021582). LCORL encodes a transcription factor whose human ortholog is involved in spermatogenesis, whereas NCAPG is crucial in mitosis and meiosis. Expecting much granular investigation of such genomic features, the list of 396,800 SNPs at the top 90 percentile ($R^2 > .174$) is available as Supplementary Data 2.

To better understand evolutionary and biological processes, we conducted gene set analyses using genomic annotations of SNPs. Firstly, we found that SNPs located within known genomic features have about 1.8% higher R^2 measures than intergenic SNPs without annotations (MWW p-values 9.85×10^{-106}). On the other hand, among intergenic SNPs, we found no significant correlation (p-value of 0.44) between SNP-feature distances and R^2 measures (Supplementary Fig. 4). Secondly, among genic SNPs, R^2 measures corresponding to SNPs within exons are slightly higher than those within introns by 0.27% with a MWW p-value 3.89×10^{-29} . Start/stop codons and 3'/5' UTR do not exhibit statistically significant difference from other genic SNPs. Lastly, we used 338 genes that are closest to SNPs with $R^2 > 0.5$ in the DAVID functional annotation tools. We found a total of 34 enriched annotation clusters, of which 11 clusters with enrichment scores > 0.5 are shown in (Table 1). Biological processes and functions related to calcium-binding domain (cluster 1 and 9) and iron containing hemeproteins related to ATP (cluster 3 and 6) exhibit strong enrichment, potentially reflecting causes of population structure. Notably, we observed functional clusters for sexual, respiratory, and embryonic developments (cluster 5, 7, and 10, respectively).

Discussion

Bos taurus has played a crucial role in ancient and modern societies alike by providing agricultural support and essential nutrients. Accurate characterization of its population structure helps conservation of genetic resources and optimal selection programs, ensuring a healthy and sustainable cattle population. In this process, we can better infer the genetic and functional variation that underlies the population structure. Our study, using 432 whole genome sequences of unrelated *Bos taurus* samples, provides a comprehensive and rigorous assessment of population structure among diverse bulls.

Assumptions underlying population structure and its estimation methods based on genotypes have evolved to address growing genomics data in terms of complexity and scales^{11–13}. Particularly, the contentious and ambiguous definition of breeds merely requires “certain distinguishable characteristics” and practically relies on self-referential breed registry for sire and dam¹⁴. Therefore, we have relaxed strong assumptions often used in population genomics, by employing latent variable probabilistic models⁸. In this study, we did not assume discrete or admixed populations. The present framework can nonetheless capture a broad range of arbitrarily complex structure including the aforementioned configurations. Based on observing a largely continuous genetic spectrum compared to breeds, we demonstrated that breeds do not account for structural complexity. We speculate that many cattle breeds, including presumed founders, are not as isolated or discrete as one would be led to believe. The population structure of our bull genomes in 7-dimensional logistic factors can be explored on our interactive visualization website.

When modeling SNPs with logistic factors in generalized linear models, we found widespread genetic differentiation due to population structure. This likely arose from a long history of breed formation and artificial selection, such that different national breeding programs may have caused weak and pervasive systematic variation. Despite being blind to breeds, the majority of the most differentiated SNPs in our study have been identified as under selection sweep. The chromosome 6 harboring a large proportion of highly differentiated SNPs has been suggested for strong selective sweep¹, and may also be associated with calving ease and carcass weight^{15,16}. Interestingly, given that the novel pseudogene (ENSBTAG00000004082), which has been known to be associated with calving performance¹⁷ and protein percentage¹⁰ is strongly associated with population structure, we suspect that it plays a crucial functional role in bull genomes. Overall, our genome-wide study of differentiation suggests stronger evolutionary pressure on genic regions. Enrichment analysis of genome annotations provides strong indications that functional groups related to energy production and development stages underlie the systematic variation in the panel of diverse bulls.

Pedigrees were used to remove 72.6% of bull samples related by progenitors, resulting in a panel of 432 genomes analyzed. However, undocumented kinship may potentially bias our population structure analysis, just as it does other methods that utilize breed and other subpopulation information. We advocate for stronger linkage between breeding programs and registries. The structural complexity among bull genomes discovered in this study can be used directly to identify genetic association with quantitative traits¹⁸. However, the 1000 Bull Genomes Consortium does not collect quantitative traits as its main goal is comprehensive identification of genomic variants. Lastly, the 1000 Bull Genomes Project, which is among the largest collections in this area of study, is still lacking samples from Asia, Africa, and South America.

This study paves a way to further our understanding of genetic diversity among modern cattle breeds. Our identification of systematic genetic differentiation may inform conservation efforts to preserve heritage breeds and maintain genetic diversity. Considering our flexible assumption about population structure and exclusive use of whole genome sequences, our highly differentiated SNPs, gene set analysis, and functional enrichment show how we can dispense of potentially inaccurate subpopulation labels in population genomics.

Methods

Bull Genomes

The 1000 Bull Genomes Project has collaborated with worldwide institutions to gather whole-genome sequences of diverse breeds. Its initial efforts have vastly expanded known single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and copy number variations (CNVs) in *Bos taurus*². Currently, it covers 1577 bull samples as of version 5 released in 2015, among which 1507 and 70 bull genomes were sequenced with Illumina/Solexa and ABI SOLiD technology, respectively. For analysis of population structure, we selected unrelated bulls with average sequencing coverage greater than 5. Among sibs only one representative was selected randomly. SNP genotypes were identified prior to our study based on whole genome sequence data of bulls, using a multi-sample variant calling procedure. Polymorphisms with minor allele frequencies below 0.05 were removed from analyses. For processing whole-genome sequences, we used `vcftools` v0.1.14¹⁹, `BEDOPS` v2.4.15²⁰, and `R` v3.2.2²¹.

Statistical Analysis

To infer population structure directly from a genome-wide genotype matrix, we consider a probabilistic model of individual allele frequencies. In particular, by using logistic factor analysis⁸ that captures systematic variation of individual-specific allele

frequencies arising from discrete or continuous sub-population, spatial variation, admixture, and other structures, we relax statistical assumptions imposed on bulls by its official breed and country code defined in the animal registration ID. While the statistical models and algorithms are extensively described in elsewhere⁸, we provide a brief overview of this approach here.

Consider a genotype matrix $\mathbf{Y}$ with m SNPs and n bulls. For each y_{ij} , an individual-specific allele frequency for i^{th} SNP and j^{th} bull is $f_{ij} \in [0, 1]$. This collection of parameters (a $m \times n$ $\mathbf{F}$ matrix) is transformed into real numbers via the logit function, which allows computation of the underlying latent structure. Overall, the statistical model considered is

$$\text{logit}(\mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{H}.$$

Then, the population structure is captured by d logistic factors (LFs) $\mathbf{H}$ which can be estimated by applying principal component analysis (PCA) to $\text{logit}(\mathbf{F})$. Note that $\mathbf{A}$ is a matrix of coefficients in a logistic regression. The dimensions of logistic factors are estimated by comparing the observed correlation matrix to a series of hypothesized structures derived from selected variables of large loadings²². In the Very Simple Structure (VSS) algorithm, we considered $d = 1, \dots, 100$, while applying principal component analysis on the mean-centered genotypes (R package `psych`). Eigenvalues of $m^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^T\mathbf{Y}$ and percent variance explained by each component are visually inspected for the inflection point (e.g., elbow). For robustness analysis to confirm genetic differentiation, we alternatively used cross-validation approximations to choose d ²³.

To investigate genetic differentiation with respect to the population structure, we test association between i^{th} SNP y_i and estimate logistic factors $\hat{\mathbf{H}}$. We model SNPs with d logistic factors in a logistic regression (with a `logit` link function), where the deviance statistic compares the full (LFs) model $\mathbf{Y} \sim \hat{\mathbf{H}}$ and the null (intercept) model $\mathbf{Y} \sim \mathbf{1}$ ²⁴. We take account of the fact that the population structure is directly estimated from $\mathbf{Y}$ by utilizing the resampling-based jackstraw method²⁵. For each of B iterations, the jackstraw method introduces a small number $s \ll m$ of permuted SNPs under a null model $\mathbf{Y} \sim \mathbf{1}$ and computes s empirical null deviance statistics. P-values are calculated by ranking observed deviances with an empirical distribution of $B \times s$ deviances, as adapted from the resampling-based jackstraw approach²⁵. This method based on a logistic regression is implemented in the `jackstraw v1.1` package, freely available on the Comprehensive R Archive Network (<https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/jackstraw>). A proportion of SNPs that are not associated with LFs (π_0) is then estimated from m p-values.

To approximate how much of the variation in genotypes is explained by the population structure, we calculate McFadden's pseudo R^2 that is appropriate for a logistic regression²⁶. This methodology is operationally similar to detecting genomic signatures with PCA²⁷, although the difference arises from directly modeling categorical SNP data. For i^{th} SNP,

$$R_i^2 = 1 - \frac{\log(L_i^{\text{full}})}{\log(L_i^{\text{null}})},$$

where $\log(L_i^{\text{full}})$ and $\log(L_i^{\text{null}})$ are maximum log-likelihoods of the full and null models, respectively. As this study only considers McFadden's pseudo R^2 in logistic regressions, we will henceforth refer to it as R^2 when clear in context.

Annotation and Enrichment

For genome annotation, we used the latest *Bos taurus* reference genome from the Center for Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, University of Maryland (downloaded from the NCBI server <ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>, version UMD3.1.83).

When testing whether the distribution of McFadden's pseudo R^2 measures are significantly different according to feature types, we used the Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon (MWW) test²⁸. With a large sample size, a Normal approximation is used to compute MWW p-values. In particular, we investigated whether SNPs falling within genes may have a higher McFadden's pseudo R^2 than those in intergenic regions. Among SNPs with known feature assignments, MWW tests were used to infer if a particular feature type is associated with significantly higher R^2 measures.

Lastly, because some of SNPs are in intergenic regions with no known annotations, we utilized the closest features function from BEDOPS v2.4.15²⁰. Among the top genes with McFadden's pseudo $R^2 > 0.5$, we apply DAVID v6.7 considering GO, KEGG pathways, InterPro, SwissProt Protein Information Resource, and other databases to identify enrichment of biological processes and functional pathways²⁹. For intergenic SNPs, we searched the reference genome for the closest genes, which were used in DAVID v6.7. When clustering functional annotations, we set "Classification Stringency" to high.

References

1. Gibbs, R. A. *et al.* Genome-wide survey of SNP variation uncovers the genetic structure of cattle breeds. *Science* **324**, 528–532 (2009). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1167936>.
2. Daetwyler, H. D. *et al.* Whole-genome sequencing of 234 bulls facilitates mapping of monogenic and complex traits in cattle. *Nature Genetics* **46**, 858–865 (2014). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng.3034>.

3. Stothard, P. *et al.* A large and diverse collection of bovine genome sequences from the canadian cattle genome project. *GigaScience* **4** (2015). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13742-015-0090-5>.
4. Troy, C. S. *et al.* Genetic evidence for near-eastern origins of european cattle. *Nature* **410**, 1088–1091 (2001). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35074088>.
5. Zenger, K. R., Khatkar, M. S., Cavanagh, J. A. L., Hawken, R. J. & Raadsma, H. W. Genome-wide genetic diversity of holstein friesian cattle reveals new insights into Australian and global population variability, including impact of selection. *Animal Genetics* **38**, 7–14 (2007). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2052.2006.01543.x>.
6. McKay, S. D. *et al.* An assessment of population structure in eight breeds of cattle using a whole genome SNP panel. *BMC Genet* **9**, 37 (2008). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-9-37>.
7. Jemaa, S. B., Boussaha, M., Mehdi, M. B., Lee, J. H. & Lee, S.-H. Genome-wide insights into population structure and genetic history of tunisian local cattle using the illumina bovinesnp50 beadchip. *BMC Genomics* **16** (2015). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-1638-6>.
8. Hao, W., Song, M. & Storey, J. D. Probabilistic models of genetic variation in structured populations applied to global human studies. *Bioinformatics* **btv641** (2015). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv641>.
9. Gutierrez-Gil, B., Arranz, J. J. & Wiener, P. An interpretive review of selective sweep studies in bos taurus cattle populations: identification of unique and shared selection signals across breeds. *Front. Genet.* **6** (2015). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2015.00167>.
10. Meredith, B. K. *et al.* Genome-wide associations for milk production and somatic cell score in holstein-friesian cattle in Ireland. *BMC Genet* **13**, 21 (2012). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-13-21>.
11. Balding, D. J. & Nichols, R. A. A method for quantifying differentiation between populations at multi-allelic loci and its implications for investigating identity and paternity. *Genetica* **96**, 3–12 (1995).
12. Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M. & Donnelly, P. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* **155**, 945–959 (2000).
13. Novembre, J. & Stephens, M. Interpreting principal component analyses of spatial population genetic variation. *Nat Genet* **40**, 646–649 (2008). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng.139>.
14. Briggs, H. M. & Briggs, D. M. *Modern breeds of livestock* (Macmillan, 1980), 4 edn.
15. Bongioni, S., Mancini, G., Chillemi, G., Pariset, L. & Valentini, A. Identification of a short region on chromosome 6 Affecting direct calving ease in piedmontese cattle breed. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e50137 (2012). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0050137>.
16. Setoguchi, K. *et al.* Cross-breed comparisons identified a critical 591-kb region for bovine carcass weight QTL (CW-2) on chromosome 6 and the ile-442-met substitution in NCAPG as a positional candidate. *BMC Genet* **10**, 43 (2009). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-10-43>.
17. Purfield, D. C., Bradley, D. G., Evans, R. D., Kearney, F. J. & Berry, D. P. Genome-wide association study for calving performance using high-density genotypes in dairy and beef cattle. *Genetics Selection Evolution* **47** (2015). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12711-015-0126-4>.
18. Song, M., Hao, W. & Storey, J. D. Testing for genetic associations in arbitrarily structured populations. *Nature Genetics* **47**, 550–554 (2015). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng.3244>.
19. Danecek, P. *et al.* The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2156–2158 (2011). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330>.
20. Neph, S. *et al.* BEDOPS: high-performance genomic feature operations. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 1919–1920 (2012). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts277>.
21. R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria (2015). URL <https://www.R-project.org/>.
22. Revelle, W. & Rocklin, T. Very simple structure: An alternative procedure for estimating the optimal number of interpretable factors. *Multivariate Behavioral Research* **14**, 403–414 (1979).
23. Josse, J. & Husson, F. Selecting the number of components in principal component analysis using cross-validation approximations. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* **56**, 1869–1879 (2012). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2011.11.012>.
24. Hosmer Jr, D. W., Lemeshow, S. & Sturdivant, R. X. *Applied logistic regression* (Wiley, 2013).

25. Chung, N. C. & Storey, J. D. Statistical significance of variables driving systematic variation in high-dimensional data. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 545–554 (2015).
26. McFadden, D. Conditional logit analysis of qualitative choice behavior. In Zarembka, P. (ed.) *FRONTIERS IN ECONOMETRICS*, 105–142 (Academic Press, New York, 1974).
27. Duforet-Frebourg, N., Luu, K., Laval, G., Bazin, E. & Blum, M. G. Detecting genomic signatures of natural selection with principal component analysis: Application to the 1000 genomes data. *Mol Biol Evol* **33**, 1082–1093 (2015). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msv334>.
28. Mann, H. B. & Whitney, D. R. On a test of whether one of two random variables is stochastically larger than the other. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **18**, 50–60 (1947). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177730491>.
29. Huang, D. W., Sherman, B. T. & Lempicki, R. A. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat Protoc* **4**, 44–57 (2008). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2008.211>.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grant Polish National Science Centre (NCN) grant 2014 / 13 / B / NZ9 / 02016. Part of data storage and computation were carried out at the Poznan Supercomputing and Networking Centre. N.C.C. was supported by the Leading National Research Center Programme 04/KNOW2/2014. The membership of the 1000 Bull Genomes Project are: Hans Rudolf Fries, Mogens SandøLund, Bernt Guldbrandtsen, Didier Boichard, Paul Stothard, Roel Veerkamp, Michael Goddard, Curtis P Van Tassell, and Ben Hayes.

Author contributions statement

N.C.C. conceived the study, analyzed data, wrote the first draft. M.F. contributed to editing the data. N.C.C. and J.S. revised the manuscript and contribute to the discussion.

Additional information

N.C.C., M.F., J.S., and the 1000 Bull Genomes Project have no competing financial interest.

Figure 1. Average sequencing coverage of 432 bull samples.

Figure 2. Bar plot of cattle breeds, with a number of samples colored by countries of origin.

Figure 3. Hierarchical clustering of 432 bull genomes. Genome-wide SNPs are clustered using Manhattan distances and samples are colored by breeds.

Figure 4. Scatterplots of logistic factors (LFs). All pairs of 6 LFs are plotted, excluding the intercept term. Data points corresponding to 432 bull genomes are colored by 13 breeds. Interactive visualization available at <https://nnnn.shinyapps.io/bullstructure/>.

Figure 5. Genome-wide pseudo R^2 measures with respect to logistic factors (LFs). The distribution is highly skewed towards 0, which leads to overplotting in a low range (see an insert for a genome-wide histogram). Overall, the median and mean are 0.070 and 0.087, respectively

Table 1. Enriched functional clusters, for genes associated with $R^2 > 0.5$

Cluster 1	Enrichment Score: 1.405	Calcium-binding domain		
Category	Term	Count	%	PValue
INTERPRO	IPR018247:EF-HAND 1	6	2.098	0.035
INTERPRO	IPR018249:EF-HAND 2	6	2.098	0.038
INTERPRO	IPR011992:EF-Hand type	6	2.098	0.045
Cluster 2	Enrichment Score: 1.372	Cysteine-type activity		
Category	Term	Count	%	PValue
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0004198~calcium-dependent cysteine-type endopeptidase activity	3	1.049	0.011
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0008234~cysteine-type peptidase activity	4	1.399	0.066
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0004197~cysteine-type endopeptidase activity	3	1.049	0.106
Cluster 3	Enrichment Score: 0.897	Cytochrome		
Category	Term	Count	%	PValue
PIR_SUPERFAMILY	PIRSF000045:cytochrome P450 CYP2D6	3	1.049	0.013
INTERPRO	IPR002401:Cytochrome P450, E-class, group I	3	1.049	0.068
INTERPRO	IPR017973:Cytochrome P450, C-terminal region	3	1.049	0.080
INTERPRO	IPR017972:Cytochrome P450, conserved site	3	1.049	0.084
SP_PIR_KEYWORDS	heme	4	1.399	0.091
INTERPRO	IPR001128:Cytochrome P450	3	1.049	0.107
SP_PIR_KEYWORDS	Monooxygenase	3	1.049	0.124
COG_ONTOLOGY	Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport, and catabolism	3	1.049	0.148
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0020037~heme binding	4	1.399	0.159
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0046906~tetrapyrrole binding	4	1.399	0.176
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0009055~electron carrier activity	4	1.399	0.301
SP_PIR_KEYWORDS	iron	4	1.399	0.399
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0005506~iron ion binding	4	1.399	0.614
Cluster 4	Enrichment Score: 0.860	Signaling		
Category	Term	Count	%	PValue
UP_SEQ_FEATURE	signal peptide	19	6.643	0.048
SP_PIR_KEYWORDS	signal	19	6.643	0.111
SP_PIR_KEYWORDS	glycoprotein	16	5.594	0.492
Cluster 5	Enrichment Score: 0.833	Sexual development		
Category	Term	Count	%	PValue
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0045137~development of primary sexual characteristics	3	1.049	0.117
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0003006~reproductive developmental process	4	1.399	0.151
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0007548~sex differentiation	3	1.049	0.180
Cluster 6	Enrichment Score: 0.760	Ion binding		
Category	Term	Count	%	PValue
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0043167~ion binding	40	13.986	0.130
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0046872~metal ion binding	38	13.287	0.190
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0043169~cation binding	38	13.287	0.213
Cluster 7	Enrichment Score: 0.725	Respiratory development		
Category	Term	Count	%	PValue
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0030324~lung development	3	1.049	0.145
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0030323~respiratory tube development	3	1.049	0.145
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0060541~respiratory system development	3	1.049	0.150
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0035295~tube development	3	1.049	0.400
Cluster 8	Enrichment Score: 0.723	Protease activity		
Category	Term	Count	%	PValue
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0004175~endopeptidase activity	8	2.797	0.129
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0070011~peptidase activity, acting on L-amino acid peptides	9	3.147	0.190
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0008233~peptidase activity	9	3.147	0.215
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0006508~proteolysis	12	4.196	0.242
Cluster 9	Enrichment Score: 0.703	Calcium-binding domain		
Category	Term	Count	%	PValue
UP_SEQ_FEATURE	calcium-binding region:2	3	1.049	0.126
INTERPRO	IPR002048:Calcium-binding EF-hand	4	1.399	0.148
UP_SEQ_FEATURE	calcium-binding region:1	3	1.049	0.157
SMART	SM00054:EFh	4	1.399	0.187
UP_SEQ_FEATURE	domain:EF-hand 1	3	1.049	0.258
UP_SEQ_FEATURE	domain:EF-hand 2	3	1.049	0.258
INTERPRO	IPR018248:EF hand	3	1.049	0.333
Cluster 10	Enrichment Score: 0.668	Embryonic development		
Category	Term	Count	%	PValue
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0001824~blastocyst development	3	1.049	0.082
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0001701~in utero embryonic development	4	1.399	0.165
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0043009~chordate embryonic development	4	1.399	0.397
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0009792~embryonic development ending in birth or egg hatching	4	1.399	0.400
Cluster 11	Enrichment Score: 0.565	Cardiomyopathy		
Category	Term	Count	%	PValue
KEGG_PATHWAY	bta05412:Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC)	3	1.049	0.240
KEGG_PATHWAY	bta05410:Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM)	3	1.049	0.277
KEGG_PATHWAY	bta05414:Dilated cardiomyopathy	3	1.049	0.304
Cluster 12	Enrichment Score: 0.519	Phosphorylation		
Category	Term	Count	%	PValue
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0004672~protein kinase activity	9	3.147	0.213
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0006468~protein amino acid phosphorylation	9	3.147	0.291
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0016310~phosphorylation	9	3.147	0.447