Temporal and Clonal Progression in a Pediatric Ependymoma Patient Through Multiple Treatments
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Abstract

Background: Multiple recurrences after complete resection and irradiation of supratentorial ependymoma are common and frequently result in patient death. However, the molecular basis for treatment resistance, the impact that radiation and other adjuvant therapies have in promoting recurrence, and the use of this information to rationally design effective approaches to treat recurrent ependymoma are unknown. Due to the rarity of these tumors and the even less likely banking of multiple recurrent samples from the same patient, we initiated a study to characterize the evolution of a single patient’s ependymoma in response to therapy.

Methods and Findings: A combination of high depth, whole genome and exome-based DNA sequencing of germline and tumor specimens, RNA sequencing of tumor specimens, and advanced computational analyses were employed to reconstruct the natural history of a supratentorial ependymoma case in which there were four local recurrences. The findings reveal the extent to which treatment with radiation and chemotherapies resulted in the diversification of the tumor subclonal architecture and shaped the neo-antigen landscape, and provide new insights into possible molecular mechanisms of oncogenesis, treatment response and recurrence.

Conclusions: Although the recurrent tumors we studied were clearly shaped by therapy, the founding clone was never eradicated by any treatment. We conclude that DNA and RNA sequencing may provide critical prognostic indicators to identify ependymoma patients that should be observed, rather than irradiated, post gross total resection.
Background

Ependymomas are a heterogeneous group of primary central nervous system tumors with multiple histological, brain region, age and molecular features distinguishing between different prognostic groups [1][2][3]. Overall, 10 year survival for children and adults with ependymomas is approximately 80%, with specific subsets of patients exhibiting survival of less than 30% at 10 years. Based on standard histological features, ependymal neoplasms can be diagnosed as World Health Organization (WHO) grade I, II or III tumors. However, in contrast to other brain tumors, histological grading has proven to be a weak prognostic indicator of outcome for ependymomas treated with standard-of-care complete surgical resection, with or without post-operative radiation therapy as indicated by the WHO grade [4]. Thus, there has been an increasing emphasis on the identification of prognostic molecular features that might provide more precise stratification for the risk of recurrence. For instance, young males harboring posterior fossa ependymomas found to exhibit a hypermethylator phenotype demonstrate poor survival [5]. Because the clinically distinguishing features of ependymoma include relatively common occurrence of multiple and late relapses, it is clear that improving outcomes and decreasing recurrence events will require a more complete understanding of tumor evolution after treatment.

To date, there is a paucity of information regarding the genomic changes in ependymomas that recur serially through multiple treatment regimens. This fact is largely due to the rarity of the disease and failure to bank and analyze recurrent samples. In order to determine the temporal genomic changes that occurred in one patient’s ependymoma disease as it recurrced after several different therapeutic modalities, we characterized the genomic landscape of serial resections with high depth next generation whole genome and exome sequencing. These data provided an evaluation of putative driver mutations, mutational signatures resulting from therapy, mechanisms for therapy response and resistance, and shifts in the neoantigen profile from the initial disease presentation through four recurrences.

Methods

Case history. The initial diagnosis was made in a 16 year-old right-handed female who presented to the St Louis Children’s Hospital Emergency Department with a three-day history of headache and vomiting. MRI scan revealed a 6 cm x 4 cm enhancing mass in the right frontotemporal region (Fig 1A initial diagnosis). The patient underwent a gross total resection via a right frontotemporal craniotomy. Pathological evaluation was significant for a hypercellular glial tumor with prominent pseudo-rosettes, increased mitoses, vascular proliferation and necrosis, and perinuclear dot-like expression of epithelial membrane antigen (EMA, Fig 1B, C) along-with diffuse glial fibrillary acidic protein immunoreactivity. A diagnosis of anaplastic ependymoma (WHO grade III) was made. Evaluations for central nervous system (CNS) dissemination were
negative. The patient received 59.4 Gy of fractionated photon irradiation to the tumor bed plus a 1 cm margin, which is standard for supratentorial ependymoma. Forty-four months after the initial diagnosis, the patient suffered a seizure and an MRI revealed a 13 x 15 x 16 mm nodular recurrence in the right frontal lobe along the posterior margin of the initial resection cavity (Fig 1A first recurrence). MRI of spine and cerebrospinal fluid cytology were negative. The patient underwent complete resection of the recurrent tumor, which exhibited similar histology to the initial tumor. The resection cavity and margin were re-irradiated with an additional 59.4 Gy of fractionated photon irradiation and the patient received 10 months of standard dose temozolomide treatment.

A surveillance scan 17 months after the second resection demonstrated a 1 cm enhancing nodule in the temporal surface of the right sylvian fissure near the resection cavity, consistent with recurrence (Fig 1A second recurrence). Following a third complete resection, histopathology was again consistent with anaplastic ependymoma and analysis for dissemination was negative. The patient was enrolled on CERN-0801 at Children’s Memorial Hospital in Chicago and received combined Avastin and Lapatinib. Lapatinib was discontinued four months later due to toxicity and Avastin was continued for an additional eight months for a total of one year of treatment every two weeks. Six months later, an MRI revealed a new right peri-sylvian lesion and right thalamic enhancing nodule (Fig 1A third recurrence). Complete resection of the peri-sylvian lesion was performed and pathology again indicated anaplastic ependymoma (Fig 1D) with no evidence of dissemination. Avastin was restarted and continued for 20 months until new evidence from serial MRI indicated progression in a peri-sylvian lesion that had remained following the most recent surgery (Fig 1A fourth recurrence). This lesion also was completely resected and diagnosed as anaplastic ependymoma.
Fig 1. Radiographic and Pathological Evaluation of Initial and Recurrent Ependymoma (A) Serial MRIs over a 10 year period demonstrating a heterogeneously enhancing mass in the right frontotemporal region at the time of initial diagnosis and four enhancing recurrent lesions adjacent to the initial resection cavity. (B) Hematoxylin and eosin stain of formalin fixed paraffin embedded primary resection material revealed a densely cellular tumor with increased mitotic activity, necrosis, and microvascular proliferation. (C) Immunostain for epithelial membrane antigen (EMA) shows multifocal perinuclear dot-like positivity, which is characteristic of ependymal differentiation. (D) Hematoxylin and eosin stain of each recurrent tumor revealed persistence of the ependymal phenotype. Pictured is the third recurrence. All the photomicrographs are taken at 40X magnification.

**DNA sequencing.** DNA was isolated from fresh frozen sections of each tumor resection using the Qiagen Dual Prep, and evaluated for quality and concentration using established methods. DNA was isolated from PBMC after Ficoll-based isolation to provide a normal comparator, and evaluated for quality and concentration. Using 500 ng input for all five tumors and the blood normal DNA, we generated two indexed whole genome sequencing libraries by standard methods (Kapa Biosystems) for each sample. One library per sample was processed through exome hybrid capture using the IDT EzExome reagent (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville IA), quantitated and amplified post-capture using the manufacturer’s protocol. Each of the corresponding WGS libraries was amplified by PCR, quantitated and diluted as appropriate for Illumina sequencing. The final libraries for each sample (WGS + exome) were pooled to produce combined
tumor and normal WGS and exome sequencing data in a specific proportion, yielding ~10-fold WGS and ~1000-fold exome coverage (S1 Table). The resulting library pools were loaded onto the HiSeqX platform and sequenced using 150 bp paired end reads.

**Somatic variant analysis**

After index-based binning of the reads into WGS- and exome-derived tumor and normal data, sequence data were aligned to reference sequence build GRCh37-lite-build37 using BWA mem version 0.7.10 (params: -t 8::), then merged and deduplicated using picard version 1.113, (https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/). Somatic variants were called from the combined data using our Genome Modeling System (GMS) [6] as follows:

SNVs were detected using the union of four callers: 1) samtools[7] version r982 (params: mpileup -BuDs) intersected with Somatic Sniper [8] version 1.0.4 (params: -F vcf -G -L -q 1 -Q 15) and processed through false-positive filter v1 (params: --bam-readcount- version 0.4 --bam-readcount-min-base-quality 15 --min-mapping-quality 40 --min-somatic-score 40), 2) VarScan[9] version 2.3.6 filtered by varscan-high-confidence filter version v1 and processed through false-positive filter v1 (params: --bam-readcount-version 0.4 --bam-readcount-min-base-quality 15), 3) Strelka [10] version 1.0.11 (params: isSkipDepthFilters = 0), and 4) mutect [11] v1.1.4.

Indels were detected using the union of 4 callers: 1) GATK [12] somatic-indel version 5336) pindel version 0.5 filtered with pindel [13] somatic calls and VAF filters (params: --variant-freq-cutoff=0.08), and pindel read support, 3) VarScan version 2.3.6 filtered by varscan-high-confidence-indel version v1 and 4) Strelka version 1.0.11 (params: isSkipDepthFilters = 0).

SNVs and Indels were further filtered by removing artifacts found in a panel of 905 normal exomes, removing sites that exceeded 0.1% frequency in the 1000 genomes or NHLBI exome sequencing projects, and then using a Bayesian classifier (https://github.com/genome/genome/blob/master/lib/perl/Genome/Model/Tools/Validation/IdentifyOutliers.pm) and retaining variants classified as somatic with a binomial log-likelihood of at least 10.

For protein-coding mutation counts described in the results below, a variant was considered to be present in a sample if it appeared with at least 3 variant supporting-reads and a VAF of > 2.5%. As some sites had low or variable coverage, a variant was only considered to be completely cleared if it did not appear in any subsequent samples.

Copy number aberrations were detected using bam-window (window-size 10000) and copy-cat version 1.6.11 (params: --per-read-length --per-library) (https://github.com/chrisamiller/copyCat). Uneven sequence
coverage of the normal sample required us to run copyCat in tumor-only mode, followed by manual review to differentiate somatic from germline copy number events.

Putative structural variants were detected using the union of Breakdancer 1.4.5[14] filtered by novo-realign and tigra-sv, and squaredancer 0.1 (https://github.com/genome/genome/blob/master/lib/perl/Genome/Model/Tools/Sv/SquareDancer.pl).

**RNA sequencing.** Total RNA was concurrently isolated from each fresh frozen tumor resection (Qiagen Dual Prep), and evaluated for quality and concentration using the Agilent Tapestation. RNAseq libraries were constructed using the TruSeq Stranded RNAseq library kit (Illumina, Inc., San Diego CA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol, quantitated and diluted for sequencing. Using the HiSeq 2500, we produced sequencing data from each RNAseq library in a single flow cell lane by paired end 100 bp reads, yielding between 96 and 655 million reads per sample. The fourth recurrence sample was subjected to a capture step before sequencing, using the IDT EzExome reagent. This sample yielded 856 million reads, with a much higher coding-region percentage. (S1 Table).

**RNAseq analysis**

The resulting read data were aligned to the human reference with Tophat v2.0.8 (denovo mode, params: --library-type fr-firststrand --bowtie-version=2.1.0). Expression levels were calculated with Cufflinks v2.1.1 (params: --max-bundle-length 10000000 --max-bundle-frags 10000000) [15].

**Gene Fusions**

Gene fusions were detected from RNA and DNA using Integrate v0.2.0 [16], with default parameters.

**Data Deposition**

All sequencing data are deposited in dbGAP study number [submission in progress]

**Heterogeneity analysis.**

Using the high depth of coverage from combining exome and WGS datasets for these tumors, we characterized the heterogeneity of each tumor specimen and compared it to the others in the series. Here, copy number-neutral variants and their attendant VAFs were clustered in five dimensions using the sciClone algorithm v1.1 [17] (parameters: minimumDepth=300, maximumClusters=15), followed by phylogeny reconstruction with clonEvol (https://github.com/hdng/clonevol).
Neoantigen predictions. Somatic mutations and RNAseq data from tumors were input into our pVAC-Seq pipeline [18]. WGS data from the normal blood was used to identify the patient’s HLA haplotypes for class I, using HLAminer [19]. MHC class I binding predictions were generated through pVAC-Seq using NetMHC v3.4, as well as 5 other algorithms from the Immune Epitope Database and Analysis resource (IEDB, iedb.org): netMHC, netmhccons, netmhcpan, pickpocket, smm, and smmpmbec. Predictions were retained if the median score had an ic50 < 500 and better binding of the mutant peptide than the wild type (fold-change > 1). Results were then filtered to require expression of the mutant allele (FPKM > 1 and at least one variant-supporting read in the RNA). These combined data sets were used to identify neoantigenic peptide sequences in all five tumor samples, as illustrated in Fig 3c.

Pathology methods. All the resection specimens (original and recurrences) were handled as regular surgical neuropathology cases. While hematoxylin and eosin stain and Ki-67 immunostain were performed on all the specimens, glial fibrillary acidic protein and epithelial membrane antigen were limited to the initial and 2014 resections.

Results

Mutational analysis of the matched normal sample
In order to determine whether the patient possessed a germline predisposition to cancer, we analyzed the sequence data obtained from her leukocyte-derived DNA (normal) and identified 176 protein-altering constitutional variants that were rare in the population and fell into highly-damaging classes of mutations (frameshifts, nonsense, nonstep, or splice-site) A full list of these is available at dbGAP accession xxxxxx). Variants were recognized in several genes known to be important for immune function, including splice site SNPs in RAG1, HLA-DRB1, and HLA-DRB5, as well as a nonsense mutation in HLA-DRB5. Several cancer relevant genes were also observed: splice-site alterations in DDX3X [20] and MAD2L2 (alias: REV7) [21][22][23][24], in-frame insertions in MNX1 [25] and ZFHX3, [26]. Some with direct glioma relevance were also observed: FOXD1 (in frame deletion) [27][28][29], BCL2L2 (SNP) [30], and RYK (frameshift insertion)[31]. The only predisposition-relevant gene was MNXI (also known as HLXB9) which functions as an oncogene to promote pancreatic islet cell tumors in Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia Type 1 (MEN1) [32].

Mutational landscape during disease progression
We identified 1,332 somatic mutations across the five resection specimens, 162 of which were in protein-coding regions, and 110 of which were non-silent (Fig 2, S2 Table). The primary tumor sample contained only one overtly cancer-related gene mutation, an expressed frameshift insertion in MEN1 (K237fs) (Table
1). We also observed several large copy number alterations (CNAs) in this sample, including deletions of 6p, 15q, 22, and the first 22Mb of chromosome 1, that were shared with the recurrent tumors. (S1 Figure, S3 Table) Chromosome 11 was heavily rearranged, with multiple distinct regions of amplification and deletion, one of which deleted the second copy of MEN1. Integrated analysis of the DNA and RNA only detected gene fusion events in the fourth recurrence, likely due to significantly higher depth and quality of the RNA sequencing data from that sample (S4 Table). Many putative structural variants were detected in all samples (S5 Table).

![Fig 2. Variant allele fractions of non-silent mutations in protein-coding genes in all five resections.](image)

All SNVs, indels and CNAs found in the initial resection were retained in the first recurrence, which was diagnosed after radiation therapy and a 44 month interval from the first surgery. An additional 12 new protein-coding somatic mutations were identified in the recurrent tumor, including a nonsense mutation in DEPDC5, an inhibitor of mTORC signaling. Missense mutations were observed in KREMEN2 (G165V), a gene that has been linked to melanoma, and in BANP (N223S), an epigenetic regulator. None are obviously expressed in this tumor, but the variants in both KREMEN2 and BANP are expressed in subsequent tumors with higher quality and higher-depth RNAseq, so it is likely that these variants are expressed below our level
of sensitivity in this resection sample. Mutated **DEPDC5** may have been undetectable due to undergoing nonsense-mediated decay.

Table 1: Functions and Cancer Relatedness of Mutated Genes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathways/Gene</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Epigenetics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MEN1</strong></td>
<td>H3K4 trimethylation, DNA methylation</td>
<td>23850066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GON4L</strong></td>
<td>HDAC1 interactor</td>
<td>21454521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HDAC3</strong></td>
<td>Histone Deacetylase</td>
<td>25313724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SETD9</strong></td>
<td>Histone methyltransferase</td>
<td>20930037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BANP/SMAR1</strong></td>
<td>Recruits HDAC1 and deacetylation of H3K9</td>
<td>16166625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUV39H1</strong></td>
<td>H3K9 trimethylase</td>
<td>26807716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FAM208A</strong></td>
<td>Component of HUSH complex. Required for H3K9me3</td>
<td>26022416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>POU3F4</strong></td>
<td>Epigenetics of neuronal complex</td>
<td>23933087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PATZ1</strong></td>
<td>Regulates chromatin openness and pluripotency</td>
<td>25515777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KAT6B</strong></td>
<td>Histone acetyltransferase</td>
<td>26208904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intracellular Signaling</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KREMEN2</strong></td>
<td>Inhibitor of WNT signaling</td>
<td>20846389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DEPDC5</strong></td>
<td>Inhibitor of mTORC signaling</td>
<td>23723238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NENF</strong></td>
<td>Neurotropic factor</td>
<td>26042224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NET1</strong></td>
<td>rhoGEF required for proliferation</td>
<td>23864709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPRY3</strong></td>
<td>Inhibitor of FGF signaling</td>
<td>18219583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VBP1</strong></td>
<td>VHL protein interactor</td>
<td>23964080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARHGAP32</strong></td>
<td>Inhibitor of RHOA, CDC42 and RAC1 signaling</td>
<td>12857875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTUD5</strong></td>
<td>Activator of p53</td>
<td>24143256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KLHL21</strong></td>
<td>Regulator of mitosis</td>
<td>19995937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NF2</strong></td>
<td>Tumor suppressor, regulator of Hippo pathway</td>
<td>25893302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LAT51</strong></td>
<td>Mediator of Hippo pathway, regulated by NF2</td>
<td>25026211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MAP4K3</strong></td>
<td>Component of MAPK pathway regulator of LAT51</td>
<td>26437443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRAF3</strong></td>
<td>TNFR-associated regulator of MAPK and NFkB pathways</td>
<td>28098136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metabolism</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LETM1</strong></td>
<td>Mitochondrial structural protein</td>
<td>25077561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TXNRD2</strong></td>
<td>Thioredoxin Reductase 2</td>
<td>25647640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HSD3B2</strong></td>
<td>Required for steroid biosynthesis</td>
<td>22262841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BGAL</strong></td>
<td>Beta galactosidase</td>
<td>23011886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MT-ND4</strong></td>
<td>Core component of mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase</td>
<td>25909222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neuro-developmental disorders</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SYNE1</strong></td>
<td>SYNE1 Ataxia</td>
<td>27086870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GFR124</strong></td>
<td>CNS angiogenesis</td>
<td>21071672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARFGEF2</strong></td>
<td>Periventricular heterotopia</td>
<td>23755938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAGNG2</strong></td>
<td>Bipolar disorder</td>
<td>25730879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SH3TC2</strong></td>
<td>Charcot Marie Tooth</td>
<td>20028792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other cancer related genes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NRBF2</strong></td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>26073781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SLC39A11</strong></td>
<td>Ovarian cancer</td>
<td>26091520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRPM1</strong></td>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>22897572</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The second recurrent tumor emerged after additional radiation therapy and treatment with temozolomide. It was resected and the genomic analysis of this specimen indicated that essentially all previously observed mutations were retained, with the exception of 2 low-VAF protein-coding variants from the previous recurrence, including loss of the DEPDC5 nonsense mutation. An additional 66 protein-coding SNVs and indels were acquired, including a 19-bp frame-shift deletion in GPR124 and low-VAF missense mutations in SEPT5 (T260A), MAP4K3 (F300S), and KAT6B (P1276L). Of these, only the MAP43K and KAT6B mutations were observably expressed. The copy number landscape was identical to the previous tumors, with the exception of a new homozygous deletion on chromosome 2p.

The third recurrence occurred after treatment with Avastin and Lapatinib. Genomic analysis of this resection specimen revealed that all coding mutations specific to the second recurrence, including the chromosome 2 copy number loss, were undetectable at the third recurrence. In contrast, virtually all mutations identified in first two resections persisted, the only exception being two low-VAF events in MYH10 and ORIL1. 56 new protein-coding mutations were acquired, including missense mutations in POU3F4 (P568T), an epigenetic regulator, OTUD5 (P338L), a p53 activator, and SPRY3 (R19C), a regulator of FGF signaling. None have been previously implicated in ependymoma and their relevance for disease progression and therapy resistance is unclear.

The fourth recurrence was resected after continued Avastin treatment. In this sample, 29 of the protein-coding mutations newly acquired in the prior (third) recurrence were no longer detected, but 18 new protein-coding mutations were identified. These included nonsense mutations in CREB3L3 and NF2, a gene previously linked to ependymoma. A missense mutation in the chromatin/transcriptional regulator PATZ1 was also observed. In addition to the NF2 mutation, we identified two point mutations that potentially impact Hippo pathway signaling in LATS1 and MAP4K3 [33][34].

Clonal heterogeneity and tumor evolution
To characterize the changing clonal architecture of this tumor, the variant allele fractions of copy-number neutral SNVs were clustered in 5 dimensions using the sciClone algorithm (Fig 3A). Eight clusters were detected, and the mutation spectrum for each was identified. The first recurrent tumor after radiation therapy was dominated by Cluster 2, which emerged from a population of cells undetectable in our analysis of the original biopsy data (with a sensitivity of about ~2% VAF). The mutation spectrum shows a notable decrease in C>T transitions in cluster 2, as compared to those in cluster 1 from the original tumor (Fig 3B). We attempted mutational signature analysis [35], but there were too few mutations to obtain statistically meaningful results.
Fig 3: A) Subclonal clustering of the five tumor samples. Points represent the VAFs of individual SNVs at each timepoint, and lines connect the mean VAF of each cluster in each sample. B) mutation spectrum of each cluster C) the number of high-quality MHC Class I neoantigens found in each subclonal population.

In the second recurrence, following additional radiation and treatment with temozolomide, we identified the emergence of two new subclonal populations (clusters 3 and 4) that were likewise undetectable in the prior two samples. Cluster 4, and all subsequently appearing clusters, each have a significantly higher proportion of A>G transitions than the founding clone, a pattern consistent with temozolomide-induced mutagenesis (all \( p < 0.03 \), S6 Table) [36]. In the third recurrence, following Avastin treatment, both clusters 3 and 4 were undetectable, but clusters 5 and 6 emerged. Although Cluster 6 was cleared in the final resection sample we studied, Cluster 5 persisted and two rare subclonal populations expanded into clusters 7 and 8, which make up a substantial portion of the final tumor. Some mutations in these two clusters were just above the level of detection in Sample 4.

In addition to identifying mutations correlated with specific subclonal expansions, we also examined the expression of O6-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase (\( MGMT \)), which is known to drive brain tumor
recurrence through increased expression in post-temozolomide lesions [37,38]. In this case, MGMT RNA expression levels were not clearly correlated with the emergence of post-temozolomide recurrences, suggesting that they relied upon alternative mechanisms of resistance (**S2 Figure**)

**Evolving landscape of targets for immunotherapy**

In order to understand how the immunogenicity of this tumor evolved over the course of treatment, we applied the pVACSeq neoantigen prediction pipeline [18] to the protein-altering mutations that we observed in each tumor studied. The patient’s HLA haplotypes were inferred to be A*24:02, A*26:01, B*40:02, B*38:01, C*12:03, and C*03:05. We identified only 14 expressed mutations that produce “high-quality” predicted MHC Class I neoantigens (Fig 2C), which we define as having median binding affinity (ic50) of less than 500nM, and with a higher binding affinity to the mutant than the wildtype peptide (**S7 Table**). As overall mutation burden is highly correlated with neoantigen load, this is perhaps unsurprising. Only 3 neoantigens were present in the founding clone, while 11 of the 14 were specific to a subclonal population and therefore not present in all cells of the tumor.

**Discussion**

These data reveal new insights into molecular features of ependymoma and the effects of therapy on tumor evolution. Most notable is our identification of MEN1 mutation as a putative driver mutation, of potential mechanisms that contributed to tumor evolution, and of an observed GPR124 mutation that may serve as a prognostic indicator of response to Avastin.

**MEN1 mutation and copy number loss**

MEN1 mutations occur infrequently in ependymoma, both in the context of familial MEN1 syndrome and sporadically [39][40][41][42]. Whereas the genetics of supratentorial and infratentorial ependymoma, as well as the genetics of low grade (WHO grades I and II) and high grade (WHO grade III) ependymoma are most often reported to be distinct, MEN1 mutations occur, albeit rarely, in ependymomas of any grade and location. As is the case with the pathognomonic neoplasms of MEN1 syndrome, there is biallelic disruption of MEN1 in ependymomas. Two prior reports of recurrent ependymomas suggested that MEN1 mutation was associated with recurrence and progression from grade II to III [43][41]. Ours is the first report to define the clonal architecture of primary and recurrent ependymoma and to identify MEN1 mutation as a feature of the founding clone.

It is important to consider the potential interaction between the altered MEN1 protein and germline altered cancer relevant proteins in the genesis of the initial tumor clone. In this regard, MNX1 expression is known to
be regulated by Menin, the protein product of **MEN1**. Though it has been reported that loss of Menin function increases **MNX1** expression [32], and **MNX1** has also been shown to function as an oncogene in driving pancreatic islet cell tumorigenesis [44][45][46], we did not observe substantial expression of **MNX1** in any of our tumors.

**MEN1** dependent mechanisms in tumor evolution

Biochemical and genetic studies of Menin, the protein product of **MEN1**, have revealed complex and cell type specific functions that include roles in epigenetics, transcriptional regulation and regulation of intracellular signaling [47]. Of particular relevance to known ependymoma mechanisms are Menin’s roles in DNA methylation and intracellular signaling pathways known to be involved in glioma biology, described below.

In both human **MEN1** syndrome-associated tumors and mouse models of **MEN1**, loss of menin function results in increased DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1) activity and global increases in CpG island methylation [48]. This CpG Island Methylator Phenotype (CIMP) is a known characteristic feature of colon cancer and a subset of high-grade gliomas, as well as a subset of ependymoma [49][5]. Tumor suppressors known to be silenced by menin loss and to be relevant to glioma biology include **CDKN1B, CDKN2A, APC** and **RASSF1A** [50][51] (PMID:16195383). The importance of altered epigenetic mechanisms to the biology of the ependymoma recurrences studied here is also suggested by the accumulation of mutations in additional epigenetic regulators through the course of its evolution (**POU3F4, GON4L, HDAC3, SETD9, SUV39H1, BANP/SMAR1, FAM208A, PATZ1, KAT6B**).

Loss of menin function also is known to directly regulate multiple intracellular signaling pathways with established roles in gliomagenesis. Most relevant to glioma biology are the enhancing effects of menin loss on the activation of the RAS [52], MAP kinase [53], PI3 kinase [54], Sonic Hedgehog [55], Wnt [56] and TGF-b [57] signaling pathways. The importance of some of these mechanisms to the biology of this ependymoma is also suggested by the accumulation of mutations in additional regulators of their activation: **MAPK** (**SPRY3** [58]), **PI3K** (**DEPDC5** [58,59]), **WNT** (**KREMEN2** [60][61], **NET1** [62], **GPR124** [63]).

In addition to the glioma-relevant pathways regulated by Menin, the Hippo pathway stands out as a compelling potential driver of the fourth recurrence, induced by new mutations in **LATS1** (missense) and in **NF2** (nonsense). **NF2** loss-of-function mutations have been previously associated with spinal ependymomas [64], and recent evidence suggests that **YAP1**, the nuclear target of Hippo signaling, mediates aberrant proliferation upon **NF2** loss during tumorigenesis [65]. Furthermore, oncogenic **YAP1** activation occurs as a
consequence of a loss in NF2-dependent inactivation of LATS1, a key inhibitor of YAP1 [33,65]. Decreased LATS1 activity has also been associated with glioma progression [33,65,66].

**GPR124 mutation as a potential prognostic indicator of Avastin Response**

Additional striking features in the evolution of this ependymoma include the persistence of the founding clone and the unique response of the subclone arising in Recurrence 4 to Avastin and Lapatinib. This is the only substantial subclone to be completely eradicated by any element of treatment, suggesting an important relationship between this therapy and a target in this clone. Among the compelling target mediators of response or biomarkers of response is the mutation in GPR124. This orphan member of the adhesion G protein coupled receptor family is required specifically for the development of the brain vasculature [67][68][69] in a VEGF-dependent manner, and GPR124 may be a biomarker of Avastin response [70]. GPR124 activates canonical Wnt signaling which, as described above, is normally directly inhibited by MEN1 and KREMEN2. The genes for both of these proteins were mutated in this tumor, suggesting a model for avastin response that might involve enhanced activation of a VEGF-Wnt axis. Additional study of samples before and after Avastin treatment is important to explore this possibility further.

**Changes to the neoantigen landscape during progression**

Overall, the number of expressed MHC Class I neoantigens that we predicted was low, as expected in a tumor with only 110 protein-altering mutations. The presence of a relatively high burden in the founding clone suggests that mechanisms of immune evasion were already present when the initial tumor presented, and may explain why there was no relationship between neoantigen load and subclonal response in subsequent tumors. This is supported by the observation that expression markers of T-cell activation were low in all five tumors.

**Future Directions**

These results suggest that radiation and chemotherapy contributed to the increasing complexity of this tumor by both adding to the mutational burden and expanding the subclonal architecture. Determining whether this natural history is generally true in ependymoma progression, and what impact therapy-induced tumor evolution has on outcome, is an important area of investigation with the potential to alter how we treat patients with completely resected supratentorial ependymoma. In the largest published study of ependymoma outcome involving 282 patients, gross total resection (GTR) was the only prognostic factor associated with increased survival [71]. In this analysis, GTR and post-surgical radiation therapy were associated with a shorter progression-free survival than GTR alone.
These clinical observations together with the sequencing-based characterizations presented here suggest that under some circumstances, adjuvant therapy may not be providing a benefit, and indeed may hasten recurrence by promoting molecular diversification of the tumor. We propose that this phenomenon be studied prospectively by sequencing completely resected supratentorial ependymomas and subsequent relapses to determine (a) the circumstances under which radiation therapy eradicates the founding clone and results in cure and (b) the circumstances under which tumors are resistant and instead exhibit an increase in their genomic complexity. Ultimately, it may be prudent to initially observe those patients with complete resections without additional therapy or to treat those patients whose tumors are likely to evolve in response to radiation therapy with targeted agents only as dictated by genomic analysis.

Finally, it will be important to investigate further the utility of genomic characterization to inform therapeutic options in this disease type. Although not all of the variants we identified were “druggable” in the classical sense, a subset were found to comprise predicted high affinity neoantigen targets that, ultimately, formed the basis of a polyvalent personalized vaccine, administered after recurrence 4. Although the efficacy of these treatments awaits large scale studies that are ongoing, our case highlights the potential to consider the pursuit of a personalized vaccine in extremely challenging settings of multiple recurrent disease such as the one herein, where few to no other options exist.
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Supporting Information Captions

S1 Figure: Copy number alterations found in all 5 samples.

S2 Figure: MGMT expression from RNA sequencing (units are FPKM). The 4th recurrence sample is presented for completeness, but was sequenced after exome capture, (see S1 Table), which prevents meaningful comparisons to the other samples.

S1 Table: DNA and RNA sequencing coverage

S2 Table: Somatic variants and readcounts from both DNA and RNA in all resections.

S3 Table: Copy number alterations and regions of loss-of-heterozygosity identified in all 5 resections.

S4 Table: Structural variants identified in all 5 resections
S6 Table: Fraction of A>G transitions in each subclone. P-value calculated by comparing the proportion of A>G mutations in each cluster to those in the founding clone (Cluster 1) using Fisher’s exact test. Multiple-testing correction was applied using the Benjamini-Hochberg method as implemented in the p.adjust() function in R.

S7 Table: Predicted high-quality neoantigens identified in all 5 resections.