Structural Design of Chimeric Antigens for Multivalent Protein Vaccines
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The development of prophylactic vaccines against pathogenic bacteria is a major objective of the World Health Organisation. However, vaccine development is often hindered by antigenic diversity and the difficulties encountered manufacturing immunogenic membrane proteins. Here, we employed structure-based design as a strategy to develop Chimeric Antigens (ChAs) for subunit vaccines. ChAs were generated against serogroup B Neisseria meningitidis (MenB), the predominant cause of meningococcal disease in the Western hemisphere. MenB ChAs exploit the lipoprotein factor H binding protein (fHbp) as a molecular scaffold to display the immunogenic VR2 epitope from the integral membrane protein PorA. Structural analyses demonstrate fHbp is correctly folded and that PorA VR2 epitope adopts an immunogenic conformation. In mice, ChAs elicit antibodies directed against fHbp and PorA, with antibody responses correlating to protection against meningococcal disease. ChAs offer a novel approach for generating multivalent subunit vaccines, containing of epitopes from integral membrane proteins, whose composition can be selected to circumvent pathogen diversity.
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Introduction
Toxoid and capsule-based vaccines have saved millions of lives caused by bacterial pathogens (Andre, Booy et al., 2008). For example, toxoid based vaccines have virtually eliminated diptheria and tetanus in wealthy countries (Aristegui, Usonis et al., 2003, Schmitt, von Kries et al., 2001), while capsule-based vaccines have significantly reduced disease caused by *Haemophilus influenza* (Murphy, 2015), *Streptococcus pneumonia* (Keller, Robinson et al., 2016), and certain strains of *Neisseria meningitidis* (Maiden, 2013). However, significant challenges remain in developing vaccines against pathogens for which toxoid and capsule-based vaccines are not viable. These pathogens include non-typeable strains of *H. influenza* and *S. pneumonia* (Keller et al., 2016, Murphy, 2015), un-encapsulated pathogens such as *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Moraella catarrhalis* (HPA, 2013, HPA, 2016, Jerse, Bash et al., 2014, Schaller, Troller et al., 2006) and encapsulated serogroup B *N. meningitidis*, for which a capsule based vaccine is not feasible (Finne, Leinonen et al., 1983). Given the exponential rise in the emergence of multi-drug resistant bacteria (WHO, 2014, Wi, Lahra et al., 2017), new approaches for vaccine development are paramount. However, strategies for generating successful vaccines are hampered by pathogen diversity (Telford, 2008) and the difficulties associated with presenting epitopes from membrane-embedded surface proteins to the immune system (Carpenter, Beis et al., 2008).

Two main approaches have been used to develop vaccines against serogroup B *N. meningitidis*; outer membrane vesicle vaccines (OMVV) and recombinant protein subunit vaccines (RPSVs). OMVV were first developed around thirty years ago (Bjune, Hoiby et al., 1991, O'Hallahan, Lennon et al., 2004, Sierra, Campa et al., 1991). The immunodominant antigen in meningococcal OMVV is PorA (Saukkonen, Leinonen et al., 1989), an abundant outer-membrane porin with eight surface-exposed loops (Blake & Gotschilch, 1987, Judd, 1989). Loops one and four are termed Variable Region 1 and 2 (VR1 and VR2), respectively, as they elicit immune responses and are subject to antigenic variation (McGuinness, Barlow et al., 1990, van der Ley, Heckels et al., 1991). The VR2 loop dominates PorA-specific immunity elicited by OMVV, which offer limited or no cross-protection against strains expressing PorA with a different VR2 (Holst, Martin et al., 2009, Martin, Ruijne et al., 2006, Michaelsen, Ihle et al., 2003). To broaden coverage, OMVV have been developed containing multiple PorAs (Claassen, Meylis et al., 1996, van den Dobbelsteen, van Dijken et al., 2004, van der Ley, van der Biezen et al., 1995), selected for their prevalence in circulating strains (Luijkx, van Dijken et al., 2003, van den Dobbelsteen et al., 2004). However, OMVV present complex manufacturing and regulatory issues (Ulmer, Valley et
al., 2006). More recently, RPSVs Bexsero and Trumenba were developed. These contain the key meningococcal antigen factor H binding protein (fHbp), a lipoprotein composed of two \( \beta \)-barrels that tightly bind domains 6 and 7 of human complement Factor H (CFH) (Madico, Welsch et al., 2006, Pizza, Scarlato et al., 2000, Schneider, Exley et al., 2006, Schneider, Prosser et al., 2009). fHbp is antigenically variable; public databases contain over 900 different fHbp variants (Jolley & Maiden, Jolley & Maiden, 2010), which fall into three variant groups or two subfamilies: V1 (subfamily B), V2 and V3 (both subfamily A) (Brehony, Wilson et al., 2009, Murphy, Andrew et al., 2009). In general, immunisation with a particular fHbp induces cross-protection against strains expressing fHbp belonging to the same, but not a different, variant group; although there is significant cross-protection between variant groups 2 and 3 (subfamily A) fHbps (Fletcher, Bernfield et al., 2004, Masignani, Comanducci et al., 2003). Bexsero contains a single fHbp variant (V1.1), with two other recombinant antigens as well as an OMV (Serruto, Bottomley et al., 2012), whilst Trumenba is solely composed of two fHbp variants (V1.55 and V3.45) (Green, Eiden et al., 2016). Antigens in Bexsero and Trumenba have exact sequence matches to only 36% and 4.8% of serogroup B \textit{N. meningitidis} disease isolates currently circulating in the UK, respectively (Brehony, Hill et al., 2015, Jolley & Maiden), leading to concerns about their ability to provide broad coverage against an antigenically diverse pathogen.

We employed a structure-based approach to generate \textbf{Chimeric Antigens} (ChAs) against serogroup B \textit{N. meningitidis}. ChAs exploit fHbp as a molecular scaffold to present the surface exposed PorA VR2 loop, which is achieved by inserting the VR2 loop into a \( \beta \)-turn region in fHbp. ChAs retain epitopes from both fHbp and PorA, and can elicit functional immune responses against both antigens. We demonstrate integration of a VR2 loop does not alter the overall architecture of fHbp and that the VR2 loop folds into a conformation recognized by a bactericidal mAb. We provide proof-in-principle that ChAs can be used to display selected epitopes from integral membrane proteins, such as PorA. ChAs incorporate epitopes from multiple antigens into a single vaccine antigen, which can be selected to circumvent pathogen antigenic diversity. Furthermore, ChAs contain epitopes from integral membrane proteins, which have previously hindered vaccine development, owing to the difficulties encountered during manufacture.
Results

Design and construction of chimeric fHbp:PorAs

Immunisation with *N. meningitidis* proteins fHbp and PorA elicits bactericidal antibody responses, which provide a correlate of protection against meningococcal disease (Green et al., 2016, Serruto et al., 2012) (**Figure 1A**). fHbp is a lipoprotein that expresses as a soluble protein in *Escherichia coli* following removal of the N-terminal lipobox motif (Masignani et al., 2003). As an extracellular loop, the PorA VR2 is likely soluble when expressed separately from the integral membrane regions of PorA. We exploited soluble fHbp variant 1.1 (V1.1) as a molecular scaffold to display the PorA VR2 loop, P1.16. The PorA VR2 loop P1.16 (YYTKDNNNLTLV) was inserted into six different β-turn regions in fHbp. At each site the PorA VR2 loop was inserted, a single amino acid was deleted from the fHbp scaffold (**Figure 1B**). The resulting fHbp:PorA ChAs were named according to a scheme in which fHbp<sup>V1.1</sup>:PorA<sup>151/P1.16</sup> denotes fHbp V1.1 with PorA VR2 loop P1.16 inserted at residue 151 of fHbp (**Table S1**). The ChAs all express to high levels in *E. coli* and were purified by nickel affinity chromatography. Western blot analyses confirm all ChAs retain epitopes recognised by an α-P1.16 mAb and α-fHbp pAbs (**Figure 1C**).

fHbp:PorAs are stable and can bind CFH

Stability of an antigen is an important property of a vaccine, and insertion of PorA epitopes might disrupt the overall structure of the ChA scaffold. Therefore, we determined the thermal stability of ChAs by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, **Table 1**). Insertion of a PorA loop into the N- or C-terminal β-barrel of fHbp decreased the thermal stability of that β-barrel by 1.0°C to 15.5°C, with little or no effect on the other β-barrel. Overall, the lowest measured melting temperature (T<sub>m</sub>) of any β-barrel was 60.5°C, which is considerably higher than the N-terminal T<sub>m</sub> of V3.45 (41°C), one of the fHbps in Trumenba® (**Table 1**). A key property of fHbp is its ability to bind CFH (Madico et al., 2006, Schneider et al., 2006, Schneider et al., 2009) (**Figure S1A**). Therefore, surface plasmon resonance (SPR) was used to determine the affinity of each ChA for domains 6 and 7 of CFH (**Table 1**). Most ChAs bind CFH at high affinity, indicating the fHbp scaffold retains its function. The exceptions were fHbp<sup>V1.1</sup>:PorA<sup>183/P1.16</sup>, to which there was no detectable CFH binding, and fHbp<sup>V1.1</sup>:PorA<sup>267/P1.16</sup>, to which CFH binding was reduced by approximately eight fold. In these two ChAs, the VR2 loop is situated in the region of fHbp that engages CFH (**Figure S1B**), potentially inhibiting CFH binding.

fHbp:PorA ChAs elicit protective immune responses
To examine the ability of ChAs to elicit immune responses, groups of CD1 mice were immunized with ChAs using alum or monophospholipid A (MPLA) as the adjuvant (Figure 2A). Post immunisation, sera were obtained from mice and pooled. Immune responses were assessed against *N. meningitidis* H44/76, a serogroup B strain which expresses V1.1 fHbp and PorA VR2 P1.16. We constructed isogenic strains to define immune responses directed against fHbp (H44/76ΔporA) and PorA (H44/76ΔfHbp), as well as a H44/76ΔfHbpΔporA control. Western blot analyses of lysates from these strains demonstrate all ChAs elicit antibodies that recognise both fHbp and PorA (Figure 2B and 2D), except for fHbp\textsuperscript{V1.1}:PorA\textsuperscript{267/P1.16}/MPLA which generates sera that only recognises fHbp (Figure 2D).

Next, we used flow cytometry to assess the ability of antibodies raised during ChA immunisations to recognise fHbp and PorA on the surface of *N. meningitidis*. We detected antibody specific binding to fHbp and PorA by flow cytometry, using *N. meningitidis* strains H44/76ΔporA and H44/76ΔfHbp, respectively. Binding was determined by comparison with control sera, obtained from mice immunised with PBS and adjuvant alone. Antisera raised against each ChA detected fHbp on the bacterial surface ($p \leq 0.0001$, Figure 2C and 2E), and certain antisera also demonstrated significant binding to PorA: antisera from mice immunised with alum and fHbp\textsuperscript{V1.1}:PorA\textsuperscript{294/P1.16}, fHbp\textsuperscript{V1.1}:PorA\textsuperscript{117/P1.16}, fHbp\textsuperscript{V1.1}:PorA\textsuperscript{183/P1.16} or fHbp\textsuperscript{V1.1}:PorA\textsuperscript{309/P1.16} ($p \leq 0.01$, Figure 2C), and from mice immunised with MPLA and fHbp\textsuperscript{V1.1}:PorA\textsuperscript{151/P1.16}, fHbp\textsuperscript{V1.1}:PorA\textsuperscript{294/P1.16} or fHbp\textsuperscript{V1.1}:PorA\textsuperscript{309/P1.16} (Figure 2E, $p \leq 0.05$). Significant binding ($p \leq 0.05$), is observed with fHbp\textsuperscript{V1.1}:PorA\textsuperscript{267/P1.16}/MPLA antisera to the H44/76ΔfHbpΔporA negative control strain, which is due to non-specific binding (Figure S2).

The serum bactericidal assay (SBA) assesses the ability of antibodies to initiate complement-mediated lysis of *N. meningitidis*. When using baby rabbit complement, an SBA titre of $\geq 8$ is an accepted correlate of protective immunity against *N. meningitidis* (Andrews, Borrow et al., 2003). SBAs conducted with each set of pooled ChA/adjuvant antisera and wild-type *N. meningitidis* H44/76 all had titres of $\geq 128$ (Figure 3A). Significantly higher titres ($p \leq 0.05$) were observed for antisera raised against fHbp\textsuperscript{V1.1}:PorA\textsuperscript{151/P1.16}, fHbp\textsuperscript{V1.1}:PorA\textsuperscript{117/P1.16}, fHbp\textsuperscript{V1.1}:PorA\textsuperscript{183/P1.16} and fHbp\textsuperscript{V1.1}:PorA\textsuperscript{309/P1.16} when MPLA, rather than alum, was used as the adjuvant.

To test for fHbp-specific responses, we performed SBAs with *N. meningitidis* H44/76ΔporA; immunisations with every ChA/adjuvant generated significant SBA titres (Figure 3B). To evaluate α-PorA responses, we initially performed SBAs with pooled antisera. However, PorA-dependent complement mediated lysis was not detected with pooled antisera. Therefore, we examined PorA-dependent responses in individual mice. SBA titres were
detected with antisera raised against fHbp<sup>V1.1</sup>:PorA<sup>294/P1.16</sup> when alum was used as the adjuvant, and with antisera raised against fHbp<sup>V1.1</sup>:PorA<sup>151/P1.16</sup> (a low level of SBA from a single mouse), or fHbp<sup>V1.1</sup>:PorA<sup>309/P1.16</sup> when MPLA was the adjuvant (Figure 3C). Although PorA was detected on the surface of <i>N. meningitidis</i> by antisera from mice immunised with alum and fHbp<sup>V1.1</sup>:PorA<sup>117/P1.16</sup> or fHbp<sup>V1.1</sup>:PorA<sup>183/P1.16</sup>, or with MPLA and fHbp<sup>V1.1</sup>:PorA<sup>294/P1.16</sup> (Figure 2C and 2E), these antisera did not have PorA-dependent SBA titres.

To activate the classical pathway, bound immunoglobulin (Ig) must recruit the C1q subunit of C1 (Frank, Joiner et al., 1987). The ability of Ig classes to bind C1q varies; a single IgM can be sufficient for C1q recruitment (Poon, Phillips et al., 1985), while several IgGs must be bound in close proximity and in a particular conformation (Burton, 1990, Hughes-Jones & Gardner, 1979, Sledge & Bing, 1973). Therefore, we examined which Ig isotypes are elicited by ChAs. Flow cytometry demonstrates that IgG1 is the main Ig in immune sera that binds the surface of <i>N. meningitidis</i> (Figure 3D-3G). When compared with sera from mice immunised with PBS/adjuvant alone, all ChAs elicit significant α-fHbp IgG1 responses (Figure 3D and 3F, p≤0.0001). However, significant IgG1 binding to PorA on the surface of H44/76ΔfHbp was observed only with antisera raised against fHbp<sup>V1.1</sup>:PorA<sup>294/P1.16</sup>, fHbp<sup>V1.1</sup>:PorA<sup>117/P1.16</sup>, fHbp<sup>V1.1</sup>:PorA<sup>183/P1.16</sup> or fHbp<sup>V1.1</sup>:PorA<sup>309/P1.16</sup> with alum (p≤0.01, Figure 3E), and fHbp<sup>V1.1</sup>:PorA<sup>151/P1.16</sup> or fHbp<sup>V1.1</sup>:PorA<sup>294/P1.16</sup> with MPLA (p≤0.0001, Figure 3G). Interestingly, there was no detectable IgG1 binding to PorA using sera raised against fHbp<sup>V1.1</sup>:PorA<sup>309/P1.16</sup>/MPLA, against which two mice had α-PorA SBA titres (Figure 3C); instead the sera contained significant levels of α-PorA IgG2a and IgM (p≤0.01, Figure 3G).

**ChAs retain the architecture of the fHbp scaffold and PorA loop**

To further characterise the fHbp:PorA ChAs, we determined the atomic structures of the P1.16 VR2 loop in residues 151, 294, and 309 of a V1 fHbp, all of which generated SBA titres. The structures were solved using molecular replacement to resolutions of 2.9 Å for fHbp<sup>V1.4</sup>:PorA<sup>151/P1.16</sup>, 3.7 Å for fHbp<sup>V1.1</sup>:PorA<sup>294/P1.16</sup> and 2.6 Å for fHbp<sup>V1.4</sup>:PorA<sup>309/P1.16</sup>. Alignment of all fHbp scaffolds with V1.1 fHbp (Figure S1C) showed good agreement (RMSDs range between 0.447 and 0.614), demonstrating that the fHbp scaffold is not perturbed by insertion of the VR2 loop. In the ChAs, the VR2 loops adopt a β-turn conformation that extends away from the main body of fHbp, without interacting with the fHbp scaffold (Figure 4A). Of note, the conformations of PorA VR2 P1.16 in the ChAs (fHbp<sup>V1.4</sup>:PorA<sup>151/P1.16</sup>, fHbp<sup>V1.1</sup>:PorA<sup>294/P1.16</sup>, fHbp<sup>V1.4</sup>:PorA<sup>309/P1.16</sup>) and in a complex with the Fab fragment (van den Elsen, Herron et al., 1997) all align with good agreement (Figure 4B, RMSDs range between 0.346 – 0.970), thus demonstrating that the PorA VR2 P1.16
loops in the ChAs adopt a conformation that can induce bactericidal antibody responses (Oomen, Hoogerhout et al., 2003, Oomen, Hoogerhout et al., 2005).

**ChAs containing an expanded range of PorA VR2 loops generate immune responses**

To test the adaptability of our fHbp:PorA ChAs, we generated several ChAs composed from different combinations of fHbp and PorA VR2. The comprehensive meningococcal genome data available for strains isolated in the UK enables construction of ChAs that have exact sequence matches to the most common antigens in a given region. In 2016, the most prevalent PorA VR2s in serogroup B *N. meningitidis* isolates were P1.4 (15.2 %), P1.14 (15.2 %), P1.9 (12.8 %), P1.16 (11.1 %) and P1.15 (5.8 %, Figure 4C). Whilst the most prevalent variant 1 and variant 3 fHbps were V1.4 and V3.45, present in 21.8 % and 4.9 % of serogroup B *N. meningitidis* isolates respectively (Figure 4D). We constructed five different ChAs, in which a PorA VR2 was inserted position 151 (V1.4) or position 158 (V3.45, Figure 1B). Following ChA expression and purification, Western blot analyses confirmed these ChAs all retained epitopes recognised by their cognate α-VR2 mAb and α-fHbp pAbs (Figure 4E).

To examine the ability of these fHbp:PorA ChAs to elicit immune responses, groups of CD1 mice were immunized with each ChA/alum (Figure 2A); antisera obtained post immunisation were pooled. To assess the resulting PorA immune responses, Western blot were conducted with pooled antisera and a panel of serogroup B *N. meningitidis* disease isolates. Figure 4F demonstrates that all ChAs elicited α-PorA antibodies that recognised their cognate PorA VR2. To evaluate α-PorA SBA responses, we performed SBAs with pooled ChA/alum antisera and serogroup B *N. meningitidis* strains with mismatched fHbp variants, to negate fHbp cross-protection. Titres range between ≥20 to ≥1280 and are above the ≥8 threshold for an accepted correlate of protective immunity against *N. meningitidis*(Andrews et al., 2003) (Table 2).
Discussion

During infection pathogens present our immune system with an assortment of surface exposed lipid anchored and integral membrane proteins, both of which can be used as components in subunit vaccines. Whilst lipoproteins can be simply engineered for recombinant expression (by removal of their lipid anchor), integral membrane proteins present several challenges for vaccine development. Recombinant forms of integral membrane proteins are often poorly expressed and their native conformations may be compromised during purification, potentially reducing their ability to elicit immune responses against conformational epitopes, such as those found in surface loops (Bagal, Brown et al., 2013, Carpenter et al., 2008). Furthermore, immunisation with integral membrane proteins can generate irrelevant immune responses, which are directed towards epitopes masked by the outer membrane (Zhu, Thomas et al., 2005). To circumvent these issues, we used structure-based design to develop ChAs. We selected a key surface exposed epitope (VR2) from the integral membrane protein PorA and inserted it into the immunogenic scaffold of the lipoprotein fHbp. Multivalent ChAs generate immune responses against two key surface antigens that can elicit protective immunity (Claassen et al., 1996, Green et al., 2016, Kaaijk, van Straaten et al., 2013, Serruto et al., 2012), providing proof in principle that immunogenic epitopes from integral membrane proteins can be introduced into soluble molecular scaffolds to create ChAs.

Combining two antigens within a single recombinant ChA could diminish the immunogenicity of one or both antigens. We found that fHbp in all ChAs is highly immunogenic, inducing significant bactericidal antibody responses, with titres in excess of those correlated with protection (Andrews et al., 2003). In addition, the PorA VR2 loop in ChAs can induce antibody responses even when presented away from its native environment in the outer membrane. While all ChAs induced antibodies that detect PorA on the surface of *N. meningitidis*, not all induced bactericidal PorA antibodies. We observed a mixed bactericidal PorA response, which depended on the PorA VR2 variant, the position of PorA VR2 in the fHbp scaffold and the adjuvant used for immunisation, indicating that several parameters are crucial for obtaining bactericidal responses.

Linear PorA VR2 P1.16 peptides elicit antibodies that fail to recognise the native protein and are non-bactericidal, while cyclic PorA VR2 peptides, with identical residues but fixed into a β-turn, can elicit antibodies that recognise native PorA and are bactericidal (Christodoulides, McGuinness et al., 1993, Christodoulides, Rattue et al., 1999, Hoogerhout, Donders et al., 1995, Oomen et al., 2003, Oomen et al., 2005). Structural data shows that when the
structure of cyclic VR2 peptide mirrors that of a linear peptide bound by a bactericidal mAb, and thus locked into an immunogenic conformation, the cyclic peptide induces bactericidal responses (Oomen et al., 2003, Oomen et al., 2005). In ChAs, the N- and C- termini of the VR2 loop are bound by neighbouring fHbp β-strands, fixing the VR2 epitope into a β-turn, as observed in cyclic peptides. This was confirmed by the atomic structures of ChAs, in which the PorA adopts the same conformation as when bound by a bactericidal Fab fragment (van den Elsen et al., 1997). In previous work, cyclic peptides were coupled to carrier proteins and used with adjuvants not licensed for human use (Christodoulides et al., 1993, Christodoulides et al., 1999, Oomen et al., 2003, Oomen et al., 2005). In the resulting SBAs, titres were only observed with antisera from some mice (Oomen et al., 2005), similar to our findings with ChAs and licensed adjuvants.

Alum allows extended antigen presentation and stimulates T-helper (Th)-2 responses, predominantly producing IgG1 and IgE (Marrack, McKee et al., 2009, Moingeon, Haensler et al., 2001, Petrovsky & Aguilar, 2004), while MPLA typically enhances Th1 responses, inducing IgG2a, IgG2b, and IgG3 (Baker, Hiernaux et al., 1988, Germann, Bongartz et al., 1995). Murine IgG2a has a greater ability than murine IgG1 to activate the classical pathway (Leatherbarrow & Dwek, 1984, Michaeelsen, Kolberg et al., 2004). Consistent with this, immunisation with ChA/MPLA resulted in significant α-fHbp IgG2a responses, leading to higher α-fHbp SBA titres than observed with ChA/Alum. Of note, antisera raised against fHbpV1.1:PorA309/P1.16/MPLA had α-PorA SBA titre and contained significant levels of α-PorA IgG2a and IgM.

Using structure-based design, we generated ChAs that retain epitopes of fHbp and PorA and generate immune responses against both antigens. Our work demonstrates that a soluble antigen can be exploited as a scaffold to display epitopes from an integral membrane protein. The development of ChAs paves the way for exploiting immunogenic, but difficult to express, membrane proteins in vaccines; a valuable approach for vaccine design that could be applied to other pathogens. Furthermore, we generated ChAs with exact sequence matches to the most prevalent fHbp and PorA antigens expressed by serogroup B N. meningitidis strains currently circulating in the UK; thereby demonstrating pathogen antigenic diversity can be circumvented by tailoring ChA composition to match the prevalent antigens. A vaccine composed of the three most common fHbps from each variant group (fHbps V1.4, V2.19 and V3.45) with a single PorA VR2 insertion (PorA VR2 P1.4, P1.9 and P1.14) would give exact sequence coverage against 57% of serogroup B strains circulating in the UK in 2016, which compares favourably with the currently licensed meningococcal serogroup B vaccines (Green et al., 2016, Serruto et al., 2012).
Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and growth

The bacterial strains used in this study are shown in Table S2 and Table S3. *N. meningitidis* was grown in the presence of 5% CO$_2$ at 37°C on Brain Heart Infusion (BHI, Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) plates with 5% (v/v) horse serum (Oxoid) at 37°C. *Escherichia coli* was grown on Luria-Bertani (LB) agar plates or LB liquid at 37°C supplemented with 100 μg ml$^{-1}$ carbenicillin.

Expression and purification of fHbp-PorAs

N-terminally truncated V1.1 fHbp was amplified from MC58 genomic DNA using primers fHbp F1 and fHbp R4 (Table S4). The PorA P1.16 VR2 (YYTKDTNNNLTLV) was introduced into one of six positions in *fhbp* by overlap PCR using the primers in Table S4. The PorA VR2 loops P1.4 (HVVVNNKVATHVP), P1.9 (YVDEQSKYHA), P1.10_1 (HFVQNKQNPPTLVP), P1.14 (YVDEKKMVHA) and P1.15 (HYTRQNNADVFP) were introduced into a single position in *fhbp* by overlap PCR using the primers in Table S4. PCR products were digested with *Nde*I and *Xho*I (NEB) then ligated into pET21b (Novagen); constructs were confirmed by sequencing. Protein expression was performed in *E. coli* strain B834. Expression cultures were incubated at 37°C, upon reaching an OD$_{600}$ of ~0.8, protein expression was induced with 1 mM IPTG. Cultures were harvested after overnight expression at 37°C. Bacteria were re-suspended in Buffer A (50 mM Na-phosphate pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 30 mM Imidazole) and purified by Nickel affinity chromatography (His-trap FF Crude, GE Healthcare) at room temperature. Columns were washed with 25 column volumes (CV) of Buffer A, then 20 CV 80:20 Buffer A: Buffer B (50 mM Na-phosphate pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 300 mM Imidazole), elution was performed with 10 CV 40:60 Buffer A: Buffer B. Proteins were dialysed overnight at 4°C into 50 mM Na-Acetate pH 5.5 buffer and further purification was achieved by ion exchange chromatography (HiTrapSP HP, GE Healthcare) at room temperature with a 0-1 M NaCl gradient in 50 mM Na-Acetate pH 5.5 buffer, followed by gel filtration using a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 75 pg (GE Healthcare) column equilibrated with phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Oxoid).

Generation of *N. meningitidis* strains

Deletion of *porA* was performed by replacing the open reading frame with a tetracycline resistance cassette. Briefly, ~500 bp of the up- and downstream regions of the *porA* locus flanking a tetracycline resistance cassette was generated by PCR using primers PorA KO: F1,
R1, F2, R2 (Table S4) and the mega-primer method (Ke & Madison, 1997). The PCR product was transformed into *N. meningitidis* H44/76 and H44/76ΔfHbp as described previously (Exley, Shaw et al., 2005). Genomic DNA was obtained (Wizard® genomic DNA purification kit) from the resulting strains and both mutations were backcrossed into the WT H44/76 background using genomic DNA (Exley et al., 2005).

**Western blot analyses**

Western blots of purified proteins (0.5 μg) or 10 μl of *N. meningitidis* cell lysate (Jongerius, Lavender et al., 2013) were probed with one of the following primary sera: PorA P1.4 mAb (NIBSC cat: 02/148, diluted 1 in 500), PorA P1.9 mAb (NIBSC cat: 05/190, diluted 1 in 250), PorA P1.14 mAb (NIBSC cat: 03/142, diluted 1 in 500), PorA P1.15 mAb (NIBSC cat: 02/144, diluted 1 in 1,000), PorA P1.16 mAb (NIBSC cat: 01/538, diluted 1 in 1,000), pAb to fHbp V1.1 (Jongerius et al., 2013) (diluted 1 in 1,000), or sera from mice immunised with an fHbp:PorA ChA (diluted 1 in 500). Following incubation with anti-mouse HRP conjugated secondary antibodies (diluted 1 in 10,000), membranes were visualised via ECL (GE Healthcare) on an LAS-4000 (FujiFilm).

**Generation of immune sera**

Immunisations were performed with each fHbp:PorA ChA and PBS controls, using alum or MPLA as the adjuvant. Alum immunisations were prepared by incubating 20 μg fHbp:PorA or PBS, 2 % Alhydrogel (Invivogen), 10 mM Histidine-HCl pH 6.5 and 155 mM NaCl overnight at 4°C on an end-over-end rocker. For MPLA immunisations, lyophilised MPLA (Invivogen) was resuspended in sterile H2O by incubating for 5 minutes in a sonicating water bath. 10 μg of MPLA was mixed at room temperature with 20 μg fHbp:PorA or PBS, 10 mM Histidine-HCl pH 6.5 and 155 mM NaCl. Groups of eight female CD1 mice (~6 weeks old, Charles Rivers, Margate) were immunised with three intraperitoneal injections administered on days 0, 21 and 35. Sera was obtained on day 49 following cardiac puncture under terminal anaesthesia. All procedures were conducted in accordance with UK Home Office guidelines. All sera were stored at -80°C until required and once defrosted sera were stored at 4°C.

**Serum Bactericidal Assays**

SBAs were performed as previously described (Jongerius et al., 2013), with the following modifications. *N. meningitidis* was suspended in Dubcco’s PBS with cations (Gibco) supplemented with 0.1 % glucose (DPBS-G) to a final concentration of 1.25 x 10⁴ CFU ml⁻¹. Baby rabbit complement (Cedar lane, lot #15027680) was diluted with DPBS-G to a final
dilution of 1 in 10. Serum, pooled or from individual mice, was heat inactivated for one hour at 56°C and added to the wells in a serial two-fold dilution, starting with a dilution of 1 in 5 or higher. Control wells contained no serum or no complement. Following static incubation for one hour at 37°C in the presence of 5% CO₂, 10 µl from each well was plated onto BHI plates in triplicate and colonies from surviving bacteria counted. The bactericidal activity is expressed as the dilution of serum required to kill ≥ 50% of bacteria in assays containing both complement and serum in comparison with control assays containing serum or complement alone. SBAs using pooled sera were repeated three times, and assays using sera from individual mice were repeated twice. SBA titres were input into GraphPad Prism and statistical analyses comparing titres obtained from alum immunisations with titres obtained from MPLA immunisations were performed using two-way ANOVA (statistical significance of $p \leq 0.05$) and Dunnett’s method of multiple comparisons.

**Surface Plasmon Resonance**

SPR was performed using a Biacore 3000 (GE Healthcare). Recombinant ChAs were dissolved in 50 mM sodium acetate pH 4.5 and immobilized on a CM5 sensor chip (GE Healthcare). Increasing concentrations of human complement FH domains 6 and 7 (1 nM-16 nM) were injected over the flow channels at 40 µl min⁻¹). Dissociation was allowed for 300 seconds. BIAevaluation software was used to calculate the $K_D$.

**Structural biology**

$fHbp$:PorAs in PBS were concentrated to 9 mg ml⁻¹ and screened for crystal formation via the sitting drop method at a 0.4:0.6 ratio of protein to mother liquor. Crystals of chimeras $fHbp^{V1.4}:PorA^{151/P1.16}$, $fHbp^{V1.1}:PorA^{294/P1.16}$, $fHbp^{V1.1}:PorA^{309/P1.16}$ and $fHbp^{V1.4}:PorA^{309/P1.16}$ grew under the respective conditions: 2.0 M ammonium sulphate, 0.15 M sodium citrate pH 5.5; 20% (w/v) PEG4000, 0.3 M ammonium sulphate; 0.01 M zinc chloride, 0.1 M sodium acetate, 20% (w/v) PEG6000; and 0.2 M potassium formate, 20% (w/v) PEG3350 respectively. Crystals were transferred to a cryoprotectant solution comprised of mother liquor and 30% ethylene glycol. Data were collected at Diamond light source and integrated/scaled using the Xia2 programme. Molecular replacement was carried out using Phaser from the CCP4 package (Winn, Ballard et al., 2011), with the V1.1 $fHbp$ structure used as a search model (PDB 2W80). Refmac5 (Murshudov, Vagin et al., 1997), Coot (Emsley & Cowtan, 2004) and Phenix (Afonine, Grosse-Kunstleve et al., 2013, Afonine, Grosse-Kunstleve et al., 2012, Afonine, Grosse-Kunstleve et al., 2009, Headd, Echols et al., 2012) were utilised for rebuilding and refinement, with structural validation performed in
Molprobity (Chen, Arendall et al., 2010). Refinement statistics for each structure are detailed in Table S5. PDB accession codes are: 5NQP, fHbpV1.4::PorA151/P1.16; 5NQX, fHbpV1.1::PorA294/P1.16; 5NQZ, fHbpV1.1::PorA309/P1.16; 5NQY, fHbpV1.4::PorA309/P1.16.

**Differential scanning calorimetry**

20 μM of purified protein in PBS was subjected to a 20 to 120°C temperature gradient on a Malvern VP Capillary DSC. Melting temperature (T_m) is recorded for the fHbp N-terminal and C-terminal β-barrels.

**Flow cytometry**

*N. meningitidis* strains H44/76, H44/76ΔfHbp, H44/76ΔporA and H44/76ΔfHbpΔporA (1x10^9 cells) were fixed in 3% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for one hour. PFA was removed by washing cells three times with PBS. To measure binding of serum antibodies to fHbp and PorA, 1x10^8 cells were incubated for one hour at 4°C, shaking at 1,250 rpm, with sera diluted 1 in 50 in PBS. Cells were washed three times with PBS, then incubated for 30 minutes at 4°C with shaking at 1,250 rpm, with a secondary antibody (all ThermoFisher Scientific). Final dilutions of secondary antibodies are as follows: 5 μg ml⁻¹ for Alexa-488 conjugated anti-mouse IgAGM, and 2.5 μg ml⁻¹ for each of Alexa-488 conjugated anti-mouse IgM, IgG3 and IgG2b, and Alexa-647 conjugated anti-mouse IgG2a and IgG1. Cells were washed three times with PBS and then resuspended in PBS for analysis on the FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences). Data were imported into the FlowJo™ data analysis package and transformed to the Logicle scale. A uniform gate was applied to all data sets, based on the *N. meningitidis* population visualised by forward and side-scatter, and the geometric mean of fluorescence calculated for FL1H (Alexa-488 signal) and FL4H (Alexa-647 signal). Each flow experiment was repeated three times, and the FL1H and FL4H geometric means were input into Graphpad Prism . Two-way ANOVA (statistical significance of p ≤ 0.05, using Dunnett’s method of multiple comparison) was used to compare the Alexa-488 or Alexa-647 geometric means with control Alexa-488 or Alexa-647 geometric means. Control results were obtained by incubating PFA fixed cells with sera from mice immunised with PBS and Alum or MPLA adjuvants, and the corresponding Alexa-fluor labelled secondary Ig. Two-way ANOVA multiple-comparisons were performed with geometric means from sets of experiments using the same secondary antibody, *N. meningitidis* strain and adjuvant; the only variable factor is the fHbp:PorA used for immunisation.
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Table 1: Stability of fHbp:PorA ChAs and affinity for CFH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Cp (kcal mole⁻¹ °C⁻¹)</th>
<th>Kd (nM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N-terminal Tₘ</td>
<td>C-Terminal Tₘ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp V1.1</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>87.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp V1.1:PorA¹⁵¹/P1.16</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>87.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp V1.1:PorA²⁶⁷/P1.16</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>75.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp V1.1:PorA²⁹⁴/P1.16</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>72.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp V1.1:PorA¹¹⁷/P1.16</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>87.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp V1.1:PorA¹⁸³/P1.16</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>88.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp V1.1:PorA³⁰⁹/P1.16</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>76.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp V3.45</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>83.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp V³.4:PorA¹⁵¹/P1.1.10_1</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>89.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp V³.4:PorA¹⁵¹/P1.14</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>88.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp V³.4:PorA¹⁵¹/P1.15</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>89.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp V³.45:PorA¹⁵⁸/P1.4</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>81.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp V³.45:PorA¹⁵⁸/P1.9</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Melting temperature, Tₘ; Kd, dissociation constant; non binding, NB.

Table 2: Serum bactericidal assay titres

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pooled antisera</th>
<th>Serogroup B isolate</th>
<th>fHbp variant</th>
<th>PorA VR2</th>
<th>SBA titre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fHbp V³.45:PorA¹⁵⁸/P1.4</td>
<td>M10240123</td>
<td>V1.92*</td>
<td>P1.4</td>
<td>1/160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp V³.45:PorA¹⁵⁸/P1.9</td>
<td>M11240431</td>
<td>V2.19</td>
<td>P1.9</td>
<td>1/1280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp V³.45:PorA¹⁵¹/P1.10_1</td>
<td>M11240189</td>
<td>V3.84</td>
<td>P1.10_1</td>
<td>1/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp V³.45:PorA¹⁵¹/P1.14</td>
<td>M15240853</td>
<td>V3.45</td>
<td>P1.14</td>
<td>1/640</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

α-PorA SBA titres generated using pooled ChA/alum antisera and serogroup B N. meningitidis isolates with mismatched fHbp variants. * fHbp truncated at residue 242.
**Figure 1.** Structure based design of fHbp:PorA ChAs. (A) Schematic of meningococcal cell surface, depicting the key surface exposed antigens fHbp and PorA. (B) Location of fHbp residues replaced with the PorA P1.16 VR2 loop. (C) Analysis of recombinant ChAs by SDS-PAGE and Western blot. Immunoblots are probed with α-V1.1 fHbp pAb and α-PorA P1.16 mAb.
Figure 2. Detection of fHbp and PorA by fHbp:PorA ChA antisera. (A) Immunisation strategy. Mice (n=8 per group) were subcutaneously immunized three times with each combination of ChA/Adjuvant. (B and D) Western blots of *N. meningitidis* whole cell lysates probed with each set of ChA/adjuvant antisera; (B) Detection of fHbp, (D) Detection of PorA. (C and E) Flow cytometry analysis showing binding of each set of ChA/adjuvant antisera to *N. meningitidis* H44/76 strains WT, ΔfHbp, ΔporA and ΔfHbpΔporA. SD of independent assays (n=3) is indicated. Two-way ANOVA and Dunnett’s method of multiple
comparison were used to compare the fluorescence intensity of ChA antisera with PBS control sera (C and E, * $p \leq 0.05$, ** $p \leq 0.01$, *** $p \leq 0.001$, **** $p \leq 0.0001$).
**Figure 3.** Immunogenicity of fHb:PorA ChAs. Titres from SBAs performed with *N. meningitidis* strains H44/76 (A, n=3), H44/76ΔporA (B, n=3), and H44/76ΔfHbp (C, n=2) and ChA/adjuvant antisera. SBAs with H44/76 and H44/76ΔporA were conducted using pooled ChA/adjuvant antisera, and SBAs with H44/76ΔfHbp were conducted with ChA/adjuvant antisera from individual mice. Geometric mean and SD of independent assays (n>2) are indicated. Flow cytometry was used to detect binding of mouse isotypes IgG1, IgG2a, IgG2b, IgG3 and IgM in ChA antisera to *N. meningitidis* strains H44/76ΔporA (D and F) and H44/76ΔfHbp (E and G). Mouse isotypes binding to *N. meningitidis* were detected
with isotype specific secondary antibodies. SD of independent assays \((n=3)\) is indicated. Two-way ANOVA and Dunnett’s method of multiple comparison were used to compare SBA titres from pooled antisera \((A\ and\ B)\) and ChA antisera to PBS control sera \((D-G)\) (* \(p\leq0.05\), ** \(p\leq0.01\), *** \(p\leq0.001\), **** \(p\leq0.0001\)).
Figure 4. Structure of ChAs and frequency of fHbp/PorA alleles in the UK. (A) Alignment of fHbp scaffolds from fHbp^{V1.4}:PorA^{151/P1.16} (pink), fHbp^{V1.1}:PorA^{294/P1.16} (green) and fHbp^{V1.4}:PorA^{309/P1.16} (orange), in each structure the P1.16 VR2 loop extends away from the main body of fHbp, this is indicated for each fHbp:PorA by the correspondingly coloured arrow. (B) Alignment of P1.16 VR2 region “KDTNNNL” from fHbp^{V1.4}:PorA^{151/P1.16} (pink), fHbp^{V1.1}:PorA^{294/P1.16} (green) and fHbp^{V1.4}:PorA^{309/P1.16} (orange) with the P1.16 peptide “KDTNNNL” (black) in a complex with a bactericidal Fab fragment (grey) from mAb MN12H2 (PDB ID: 2MPA). Frequency of PorA VR2 (C) and fHbp variants (D) in N. meningitidis serogroup B strains (n=243) isolated in 2016 in the UK. Data downloaded from the Meningococcal Research Foundation, 27 June 2017. Other: remaining alleles that occur in <4 isolates. (E) Analysis of recombinant ChAs by SDS-PAGE and Western blot. Immunoblots are probed with α-PorA VR2 mAbs: P1.4, P1.9, P1.14 and P1.15. (F) Detection of PorA in a panel of N. meningitidis serogroup B isolates by mouse polyclonal antisera from ChAs fHbp^{V1.4}:PorA^{151/P1.10-1}, fHbp^{V1.4}:PorA^{151/P1.14} and fHbp^{V1.4}:PorA^{151/P1.15}, fHbp^{V3.45}:PorA^{158/P1.4} and fHbp^{V3.45}:PorA^{158/P1.9}. 
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Figure S1. Structural alignments of ChAs with wild type fHbp and PorA VR2 peptide.

(A) Complex formed by V1.1 fHbp (grey) and human complement FH domains 6 and 7 (cyan, PDB ID 2W80). (B) Locations of the six fHbp residues replaced with the PorA P1.16 VR2 loop in relation to the FH binding interface. (C) Secondary structure alignment of fHbp:PorAs with V1.1 fHbp (grey) in a complex with human complement FH domains 6 and 7 (light blue); fHbp^{V1.4}:PorA^{151/P1.16} (pink), fHbp^{V1.1}:PorA^{294/P1.16} (green), fHbp^{V1.4}:PorA^{309/P1.16} (orange). PorA P1.16 VR2 loops are indicated by the correspondingly coloured arrows.
Figure S2. Flow cytometry histograms showing detection of fHbp and PorA by ChA antisera. Histograms of flow cytometry experiments conducted with alum (blue) and MPLA (red) ChA antisera binding to fixed *N. meningitidis* H44/76 strains: wild type (WT), ΔfHbp, ΔporA and ΔfHbpΔporA. fHbp and PorA antibodies bound to *N. meningitidis* were detected using Alexa-488 conjugated IgA/G/M secondary antibody. Alum PBS and MPLA PBS antisera are shown in dark blue and dark red shaded profiles, and secondary IgAGM control is shown in black. Comparison of the geometric means from these histograms, and the histograms from two other independent repeats, is shown in Figures 2C and 2D.
Table S1: Nomenclature of fHbp:PorA ChAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>fHbp scaffold</th>
<th>PorA VR2 loop inserted</th>
<th>Residue position of PorA VR2 loop insertion</th>
<th>ChA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V1.1</td>
<td>P1.16</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>fHbpV1.1.PorA151/P1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1.1</td>
<td>P1.16</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>fHbpV1.1.PorA267/P1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1.1</td>
<td>P1.16</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>fHbpV1.1.PorA294/P1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1.1</td>
<td>P1.16</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>fHbpV1.1.PorA117/P1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1.1</td>
<td>P1.16</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>fHbpV1.1.PorA183/P1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1.1</td>
<td>P1.16</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>fHbpV1.1.PorA309/P1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1.1</td>
<td>P1.16</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>fHbpV1.1.PorA151/P1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1.1</td>
<td>P1.16</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>fHbpV1.1.PorA309/P1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1.4</td>
<td>P1.10_1</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>fHbpV1.4.PorA151/P1.10_1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1.4</td>
<td>P1.14</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>fHbpV1.4.PorA151/P1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1.4</td>
<td>P1.15</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>fHbpV1.4.PorA151/P1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V3.45</td>
<td>P1.4</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>fHbpV3.45.PorA158/P1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V3.45</td>
<td>P1.9</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>fHbpV3.45.PorA158/P1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S2: Neisseria meningitidis strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>fHbp</th>
<th>PorA VR1</th>
<th>PorA VR2</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Serogroup</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H44/76</td>
<td>V1.1</td>
<td>P1.7</td>
<td>P1.16</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(Jongerius et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H44/76ΔfHbp</td>
<td>deleted</td>
<td>P1.7</td>
<td>P1.16</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H44/76ΔPorA</td>
<td>V1.1</td>
<td>P1.7</td>
<td>deleted</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H44/76ΔfHbpΔPorA</td>
<td>deleted</td>
<td>P1.7</td>
<td>deleted</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M10.0240129</td>
<td>V1.92*</td>
<td>P1.7_2</td>
<td>P1.4</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M10.0240123</td>
<td>V1.92*</td>
<td>P1.7_2</td>
<td>P1.4</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M11 240428</td>
<td>V1.13</td>
<td>P1.22</td>
<td>P1.9</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Meningococcal Reference Unit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M11 240431</td>
<td>V2.19</td>
<td>P1.22</td>
<td>P1.9</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Public Health England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M11 240189</td>
<td>V3.84</td>
<td>P1.5_1</td>
<td>P1.10_1</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M11 240287</td>
<td>V2.584</td>
<td>P1.5_1</td>
<td>P1.10_1</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M15 240917</td>
<td>V3.45</td>
<td>P1.22</td>
<td>P1.14</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M15 240923</td>
<td>V1.4</td>
<td>P1.18_1</td>
<td>P1.14</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M07 240902</td>
<td>V1.220</td>
<td>P1.19</td>
<td>P1.15</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M08 240404</td>
<td>V1.1</td>
<td>P1.19</td>
<td>P1.15</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M11 240382</td>
<td>V1.4</td>
<td>P1.12_1</td>
<td>P1.16</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M11 240406</td>
<td>V1.1</td>
<td>P1.7</td>
<td>P1.16</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*fHbp truncated at residue 242
### Table S3: *Escherichia coli* strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Plasmid</th>
<th>Protein expressed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B834</td>
<td>pET21b-fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>V1.1 fHbp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B834</td>
<td>pET21b-fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.1&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;151/P1.16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.1&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;151/P1.16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B834</td>
<td>pET21b-fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.1&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;267/P1.16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.1&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;267/P1.16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B834</td>
<td>pET21b-fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.1&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;294/P1.16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.1&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;294/P1.16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B834</td>
<td>pET21b-fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.1&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;117/P1.16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.1&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;117/P1.16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B834</td>
<td>pET21b-fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.1&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;183/P1.16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.1&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;183/P1.16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B834</td>
<td>pET21b-fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.1&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;309/P1.16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.1&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;309/P1.16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B834</td>
<td>pET21b-fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.4&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;151/P1.16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.4&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;151/P1.16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B834</td>
<td>pET21b-fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.4&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;309/P1.16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.4&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;309/P1.16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B834</td>
<td>pET21b-fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.4&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;151/P1.10_1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.4&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;151/P1.10_1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B834</td>
<td>pET21b-fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.4&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;151/P1.14&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.4&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;151/P1.14&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B834</td>
<td>pET21b-fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.4&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;151/P1.15&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.4&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;151/P1.15&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B834</td>
<td>pET21b-fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V3.45&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;158/P1.4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V3.45&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;158/P1.4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B834</td>
<td>pET21b-fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V3.45&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;158/P1.9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V3.45&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;158/P1.9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer Name</td>
<td>Sequence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp F1</td>
<td>GGCGATATGGTCGCCCGCCGACATCG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp R4</td>
<td>GGCGCTCGAGTGTCCGACGGAACCCGATATGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.1}):PorA (^{151/P1.16}) R2</td>
<td>CGCCAAATCAGAGTGACATCTACACAAACACGACACACAAACACCT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.1}):PorA (^{151/P1.16}) F3</td>
<td>GACCTGTGTTCCGACTTACCTCATTTGAG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.1}):PorA (^{267/P1.16}) R2</td>
<td>AAGCGGATTATTACACAAACGACACACAAACACCTGACCCCTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.1}):PorA (^{267/P1.16}) F3</td>
<td>CAAAGCCCAT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.1}):PorA (^{294/P1.16}) R2</td>
<td>ATGGCGTTTGACCAGGGTCAGGTTGTTGTTGGTGTCTTTGGTGTAGTTAGTAGCAAGGAG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.1}):PorA (^{294/P1.16}) F3</td>
<td>TACATACACAAAGACACACAAACACCTGACCCTGGACCCAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.1}):PorA (^{117/P1.16}) R2</td>
<td>GACCAGGGTCAGGTTGTTGTTGGTGTCTTTGGTGTAGTAATAGCAAGGAG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.1}):PorA (^{117/P1.16}) F3</td>
<td>TACTACACAAAGACACACAAACACCTGACCCTGGACTGAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.1}):PorA (^{183/P1.16}) R2</td>
<td>GACCAGGGTCAGGTTGTTGTTGGTGTCTTTGGTGTAGTATAGCAAGGAG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.1}):PorA (^{183/P1.16}) F3</td>
<td>TACTACACAAAGACACACAAACACCTGACCCTGGACTGAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.1}):PorA (^{309/P1.16}) R2</td>
<td>GACCAGGGTCAGGTTGTTGTTGGTGTCTTTGGTGTAGTATAGCAAGGAG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.1}):PorA (^{309/P1.16}) F3</td>
<td>TACTACACAAAGACACACAAACACCTGACCCTGGACTGAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.4}):PorA (^{151/P1.16}) R1</td>
<td>CGGCACGAGGTCTAGGGTGTTGAGGAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.4}):PorA (^{151/P1.16}) F2</td>
<td>CGGCACGAGGTCTAGGGTGTTGAGGAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.4}):PorA (^{309/P1.16}) R1</td>
<td>CGGCACGAGGTCTAGGGTGTTGAGGAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.4}):PorA (^{309/P1.16}) F2</td>
<td>CGGCACGAGGTCTAGGGTGTTGAGGAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.4}):PorA (^{151/P1.1}) F2</td>
<td>CGGCACGAGGTCTAGGGTGTTGAGGAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.4}):PorA (^{151/P1.14}) R1</td>
<td>CGGCACGAGGTCTAGGGTGTTGAGGAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.4}):PorA (^{151/P1.14}) F2</td>
<td>CGGCACGAGGTCTAGGGTGTTGAGGAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.4}):PorA (^{151/P1.15}) R1</td>
<td>CGGCACGAGGTCTAGGGTGTTGAGGAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V1.4}):PorA (^{151/P1.15}) F2</td>
<td>CGGCACGAGGTCTAGGGTGTTGAGGAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V3.45}):PorA (^{158/P1.4}) R1</td>
<td>CGGTACCAAAACATCTGCGTTATTTGACGGGTATAATGTCGTAATTCCCCGGA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V3.45}):PorA (^{158/P1.4}) F2</td>
<td>CGGTACCAAAACATCTGCGTTATTTGACGGGTATAATGTCGTAATTCCCCGGA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V3.45}):PorA (^{158/P1.4}) F2</td>
<td>CGGTACCAAAACATCTGCGTTATTTGACGGGTATAATGTCGTAATTCCCCGGA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp (^{V3.45}):PorA (^{158/P1.4}) F2</td>
<td>CGGTACCAAAACATCTGCGTTATTTGACGGGTATAATGTCGTAATTCCCCGGA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment</td>
<td>Sequence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V3.45&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;158/P1.9&lt;/sup&gt; R1</td>
<td>TGCCTGGATTTTAGACTTGCTCTACGTAGTCACCTCGATTTTTTG CACAAAGTCG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V3.45&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;158/P1.9&lt;/sup&gt; F2</td>
<td>TACGTAGACGAAGTCTAAATACACCACGCAAAACCATCAGCTGGC AAGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PorA KO F1</td>
<td>GCTTCAGCACTTAGAGAATCG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PorA KO R1</td>
<td>GGATAGTTATTATAAACTACAAGTATTTGATTTGAGACGACCGAA ATTGCCGCCCAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PorA KO F2</td>
<td>GGAGATAGTTATTATAAACTACAAGTATTTGAGACGACCGAA ATTGCCGCCCAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PorA KO R2</td>
<td>CGTGAAATGACAAATTCGAGACCT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PorA(tet) KO F1</td>
<td>CCAGGACATATGGATTTCTTAGCAGAAGTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PorA(tet) KO R1</td>
<td>GGCTCTTTAGTTTCTATCCACATGATACT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table S5: Data collection and refinement statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.4&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;151/P1.16&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.1&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;294/P1.16&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.4&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;309/P1.16&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>fHbp&lt;sup&gt;V1.1&lt;/sup&gt;:PorA&lt;sup&gt;309/P1.16&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDB Code</td>
<td>5nqp</td>
<td>5nqx</td>
<td>5nqy</td>
<td>5nqz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>statistics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beamline</td>
<td>Diamond I02</td>
<td>Diamond I02</td>
<td>ESRF ID29</td>
<td>Diamond I04-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>0.97949</td>
<td>0.97858</td>
<td>0.97623</td>
<td>0.92818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution limits (Å)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(40.74-2.86) (2.93-2.86)</td>
<td>(81.65-3.66) (3.76-3.66)</td>
<td>(51.17-2.60) (2.67-2.60)</td>
<td>(58.55-1.63) (1.67-1.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>C222&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>P22&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;,</td>
<td>P2&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;,2&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions (Å, °)</td>
<td>100.7,171.4,131.5 90,90,90</td>
<td>94.6,114.9,160.3 90,90,90</td>
<td>56.9,62.6,88.7 90,90,90</td>
<td>91.4,76.3,83.9 90,91.3,90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections</td>
<td>26403 (1923)</td>
<td>19820 (1431)</td>
<td>10208 (743)</td>
<td>70772 (4967)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.5 (4.4)</td>
<td>4.4 (4.6)</td>
<td>6.4 (6.6)</td>
<td>3.0 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>99.1 (99.4)</td>
<td>99.3 (99.9)</td>
<td>99.6 (99.4)</td>
<td>98.6 (93.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/σ(I)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17.1 (2.0)</td>
<td>7.6 (1.9)</td>
<td>16.7 (2.3)</td>
<td>12.7 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;merge&lt;/sub&gt; (%)&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.074 (0.645)</td>
<td>0.187 (0.833)</td>
<td>0.073 (0.845)</td>
<td>0.062 (0.615)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;pim&lt;/sub&gt; (%)</td>
<td>0.038 (0.340)</td>
<td>0.101 (0.439)</td>
<td>0.032 (0.356)</td>
<td>0.041 (0.534)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Refinement statistics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution limits (Å)</td>
<td>40.74-2.86 (2.97-2.86)</td>
<td>81.65-3.66 (3.85-3.66)</td>
<td>51.17-2.60 (2.86-2.60)</td>
<td>58.55-1.63 (1.67-1.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reflections in working set</td>
<td>25137 (2746)</td>
<td>18807 (2650)</td>
<td>9665 (2369)</td>
<td>63880 (4735)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1238</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>3445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reflections in test set</td>
<td>(134)</td>
<td>(121)</td>
<td>(120)</td>
<td>(225)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$ factor of working set$^{a,c}$</td>
<td>(0.303)</td>
<td>(0.321)</td>
<td>(0.351)</td>
<td>(0.289)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{\text{free}}$ $^{a,d}$</td>
<td>(0.351)</td>
<td>(0.368)</td>
<td>(0.420)</td>
<td>(0.297)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5895/28/84</td>
<td>9572/0/0</td>
<td>1994/4/4</td>
<td>4046/540/45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of atoms (protein/water/other)</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>97.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residues in Ramachandran favoured region (%)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran outliers (%)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r.m.s.d. bond lengths (Å)</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>0.449</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Numbers in parentheses refer to the appropriate outer shell.

$^b$ $R_{\text{merge}} = 100 \times (\sum_{hkl} \sum_{i} |I(hkl;i)| - <I(hkl)>)/\sum_{hkl} \sum_{i} |I(hkl;i)|$, where $I(hkl;i)$ is the intensity of an individual measurement of a reflection and $<I(hkl)>$ is the average intensity of that reflection.

$^c$ $R_{\text{factor}} = (\sum_{hkl} |F_{\text{obs}}| - |F_{\text{calc}}|)/\sum_{hkl} |F_{\text{obs}}|$, where $|F_{\text{obs}}|$ and $|F_{\text{calc}}|$ are the observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes.

$^d$ $R_{\text{free}}$ equals the $R$-factor of test set (5% of the data removed prior to refinement).

r.m.s.d.: root mean square deviation from ideal geometry.