Title:

The partial duplication of an E3-ligase gene in *Triticeae* species mediates resistance to powdery mildew fungi

Jeyaraman Rajaraman¹, Dimitar Douchkov¹, Stefanie Lück¹, Götz Hensel¹, Daniela Nowara¹, Maria Pogoda¹, Twan Rutten¹, Tobias Meitzel¹, Caroline Höfle², Ralph Hückelhoven², Jörn Klinkenberg³, Marco Trujillo³, Eva Bauer⁴, Thomas Schmutzer¹, Axel Himmelbach¹, Martin Mascher¹, Barbara Lazzari⁵, Nils Stein¹, Jochen Kumlehn¹, and Patrick Schweizer^{1§}

¹Leibniz Institut für Pflanzengenetik und Kulturpflanzenforschung (IPK Gatersleben), Corrensstrasse 3, D-06466 Stadt Seeland, Germany

²Technische Universität München, Emil-Ramann-Straße 2, D-85354 Freising, Germany

³Leibniz Institut für Pflanzenbiochemie, Weinberg 3, D-06120 Halle (Saale), Germany

⁴Technische Universität München, Liesel-Beckmann-Straße 2, D-85354 Freising, Germany

⁵Parco Tecnologico Padano, Via Einstein, Loc. Cascina Codazza, 26900, Lodi, Italy

[§]Corresponding author: Leibniz Institut für Pflanzengenetik und Kulturpflanzenforschung (IPK Gatersleben), Corrensstrasse 3, 06466 Stadt Seeland, Germany, schweiz@ipk-gatersleben.de

Running Title: Partial gene duplicate for pathogen resistance

ABSTRACT

In plant-pathogen interactions, components of the plant ubiquitination machinery are preferred targets of pathogen-encoded effectors suppressing defense responses or co-opting host cellular functions for accommodation. Here, we employed transient and stable gene silencing- and over-expression systems in *Hordeum vulgare* (barley) to study the function of *HvARM1* (for *H. vulgare* Armadillo 1), a partial gene duplicate of the U-box/armadillo-repeat E3 ligase *HvPUB15* (for *H. vulgare* Plant U-Box 15). The partial *ARM1* gene was derived from an ancient gene-duplication event in a common ancestor of the *Triticeae* tribe of grasses comprising the major crop species *H. vulgare*, *Triticum aestivum* and *Secale cereale*. The barley gene *HvARM1* contributed to quantitative host as well as nonhost resistance to the biotrophic powdery mildew fungus *Blumeria graminis*, and allelic variants were found to be associated with powdery mildew-disease severity. Both *HvPUB15* and *HvARM1* proteins interacted in yeast and plant cells with the susceptibility-related, plastid-localized barley homologs of THF1 (for Thylakoid formation 1) and of ClpS1 (for Clp-protease adaptor S1) of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The results suggest a neo-functionalization *HvARM1* to increase resistance against powdery mildew and provide a link to plastid function in susceptibility to biotrophic pathogen attack.

KEYWORDS

Hordeum vulgare

Blumeria graminis

Triticeae

Plant U-box protein

Armadillo-repeat

Partial gene duplication

INTRODUCTION

Plants response to pathogen attack by the activation of their innate-immunity system, which is triggered by the perception of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). On the other hand, successful plant pathogens manipulate their hosts by complex arsenals of secreted effector proteins to co-opt cellular host functions for accommodation, nutritional exploitation, or for suppression of immunity. A growing number of these were found to target components of the plant ubiquitination machinery including plant U-box E3 ligases (PUB's) (Abramovitch *et al.*, 2006, Angot *et al.*, 2006, Bos *et al.*, 2010, Groll *et al.*, 2008, Nomura *et al.*, 2011, Rosebrock *et al.*, 2007, Spallek *et al.*, 2009). The covalent attachment of single ubiquitin moieties or polyubiquitin chains to lysine residues of eukaryotic protein substrates can have diverse effects on their fate. Ubiquitination most commonly results in the recognition and degradation of tagged proteins by the 26S proteasome but also mediates endosomal sorting into cellular compartments such as the lysosome or the plant vacuole, or contributes to DNA damage responses (Trujillo & Shirasu, 2010, Vierstra, 2009). The substrate specificity during ubiquitination is determined by the E3 ubiquitin ligases which can be sub-divided into three categories namely HECT, RING/U-box type, and cullin-RING ligases. These proteins mediate ubiquitin ligation in concert with the highly conserved ubiquitin-activating enzyme (E1) and ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes (E2). Due to their central cellular function, components of the ubiquitination system represent central cellular hubs of protein regulation involved in all aspects of plant life. As such, beneficial or parasitic organisms may utilize the ubiquitination machinery (Spallek *et al.*, 2009) to establish susceptible interactions. On the other hand higher plants exploit ubiquitin-mediated degradation of negative protein regulators of stress-hormone signaling for the initiation of PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) that appears often to underlie quantitative host resistance (QR) or nonhost resistance (NHR) (Sadanandom *et al.*, 2012, Schweizer, 2007, Trujillo & Shirasu, 2010).

NHR protects plants from the vast majority of attacks by pathogens that have adapted during co-evolution to different, more or less closely-related plant species (Schulze-Lefert & Panstruga, 2011). Another broadly acting form of resistance against pathogens is QR, which is usually determined by several QTL, as revealed by crossing more resistant genotypes with susceptible ones, and is generally looked upon as a manifestation of PTI. In contrast to ETI it does not confer complete protection but may be more durable in the field. Cultivated barley (*Hordeum vulgare* ssp. *vulgare*) is a nonhost to the non-adapted wheat powdery mildew fungus *Blumeria graminis* f.sp. *tritici* (*Bgt*) but a host of the powdery mildew fungus *B. graminis* f.sp. *hordei* (*Bgh*) causing up to 30% yield loss in the absence of genetic or chemical control of the disease (Oerke, 2006, Panstruga & Schulze-Lefert, 2002). The epidemic spread of *B. graminis* is caused by the asexual propagation of the fungus, with a generation time of 5-7 days and massive production of conidiospores (Figure 1). The interaction between different barley genotypes and *Bgh* isolates represents a well-studied model system for a fungal disease caused by an obligate biotrophic pathogen, and a growing number of host-response factors for defense or disease establishment have been identified (Collinge, 2009, Huckelhoven, 2007, Huckelhoven & Panstruga, 2011). The genome of *Bgh* was found to encode over 500 candidate secreted effector proteins (Pedersen *et al.*, 2012). As described in plant-pathogenic *Pseudomonas* sp. bacteria or in filamentous *Oomycete* pathogens, *Bgh* effectors are likely to target host ubiquitination components, too. However, up to present this remains speculative based on conceptual considerations and extrapolation. In a phenotype-driven, transient RNA interference (RNAi) screen for the discovery of *Rnr* (for *Required for nonhost resistance*) genes to the non-adapted wheat powdery mildew fungus *B.graminis* f.sp. *tritici* (*Bgt*), we tested over 631 barley genes, which were mostly associated with up-regulated transcripts in *Bgt*-attacked barley leaf epidermis (Douchkov *et al.*, 2014). Reduced NHR was reflected by an increased percentage of transformed epidermal cells containing *Bgt* haustoria. Out of 46 RNAi target genes that fulfilled the selection criteria during the first screening round of transient-induced gene

silencing (TIGS), 10 final *Rnr* gene candidates significantly enhanced nonhost susceptibility upon silencing.

Here we present structural and functional data to validate *Rnr5* encoding HvARM1 with homology to PUBs. Besides its possible role in NHR that allowed discovery in the NHR RNAi screening, functional analysis suggested *Rnr5* as an important factor of QR against the adapted *Bgh* fungus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A more detailed description of materials and methods used in this study is provided in Supporting Information.

Plant and fungal material

For the TIGS and transient overexpression experiments 7-day-old seedlings of spring barley cv. Maythorpe and Golden Promise were used, respectively. As exception and for better comparability to transgenic plants, TIGS experiments for *HvPUB15* and *HvARM1* were also done in cv. G. Promise. Stable transgenic barley plants of cv. G. Promise were generated as described (Hensel *et al.*, 2008). Bombarded leaf segments or transgenic plants were inoculated with Swiss *Bgt* field isolate FAL 92315, or Swiss *Bgh* field isolate CH4.8 throughout the study.

Exome capture sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from barley leaf material from a single plant for each accession and used for the hybridization with the barley SeqCap Ez oligo pool (Design Name: 120426_Barley_BEC_D04, (Mascher *et al.*, 2013). Quality-trimmed reads were mapped to the reference genome (http://webblast.ipk-gatersleben.de/barley_ibsc/downloads/) with BWA version 0.7.5a using the mem algorithm with default parameters (Li & Durbin, 2009) and retaining only properly paired reads. Variant calling and realignment around indels were

performed with GATK, version 2.7.4 (<https://www.broadinstitute.org/gatk/>). Variant calls were filtered for high quality and $\geq 80\%$ of samples being represented at each locus, and a dataset of 449585 SNPs was produced, suitable for association-genetic analysis of the two genes under investigation (full information about genome wide variants from this dataset will be published elsewhere).

Association genetic analysis

Association of SNP and gene-haplotypes (marker) of *HvARM1* and *HvPUB15* with the severity of *Bgh* infection (trait) was calculated based on genetic and phenotypic data of two diverse collections of cultivated barley (*H. vulgare* ssp. *vulgare*). *Bgh* infection values were determined in a detached leaf assay using second leaves of approximately 12-day-old seedlings, as described (Spies et al., 2012). First, a worldwide collection of 76 landraces (WHEALBI_LRC) was inoculated either with isolate JKI-75 or JKI-242 that exhibit a complex and complementing virulence spectra (Šurlan-Momirović et al., 2016). Second, a worldwide collection of 127 cultivars (WHEALBI_CULT) was inoculated with the same 2 *Bgh* isolates. Both populations consisted of single seed-derived lines, and an average of 5 parallel plants per line was used in each inoculation assay. For passport data of all lines see supplemental Table S1. Seven days after inoculation, disease was scored by estimating the percentage of leaf area covered by fungal mycelium. Because disease scores were variable between different inoculation experiments they were normalized to internal standards cv. Roland or Morex, as indicated. Phenotypic data of all isolate-genotype combinations are based on 2 independent inoculation series. SNP calls were derived from exome capture re-sequencing, and haplotypes were calculated based on the combination of SNP calls per gene. SNP-trait and haplotype-trait associations were calculated in TASSEL v4.1 using a mixed linear model with kinship as random effect. Marker data for kinship calculations were derived from 4032 polymorphic “Genotyping by Sequencing” (GBS) markers. Marker-trait associations were assumed significant if the Holm’s-corrected p value was <0.05 (number of SNP or haplotypes/gene = number of tests).

163

164 *TIGS and transient over-expression*

165 TIGS constructs were generated and transferred by particle bombardment into leaf epidermal
166 cells of 7-day-old barley seedlings as described (Douchkov *et al.*, 2005). Leaf segments were
167 inoculated three days after the bombardment with *Bgh* at a density of 140-180 conidia mm⁻².
168 Transformed GUS-stained epidermal cells as well as haustoria-containing transformed
169 (susceptible) cells were counted 48 hours after inoculation, and TIGS effects on the
170 susceptibility index (SI) were statistically analyzed (Spies *et al.*, 2012).

171 For transient overexpression, a HvARM1-containing BAC clone
172 HVVMRXALLhA0581d24 (Acc. Nr. KM979563) was bombarded into leaf segments of barley
173 cv. Maythorpe or wheat cv. Kanzler, followed by challenge inoculation with the corresponding
174 adapted pathogen *Bgh* or *Bgt* 4 hours after the bombardment and microscopic assessment
175 of SI 48 hours after inoculation. For verification of transgene effects, HvARM1 was excised
176 from a subclone of the above-mentioned BAC as *Stu*I/*Sph*I fragment and inserted into
177 *Sma*I/*Sph*I sites of pIPKTA09. For transient overexpression of candidate genes, full-coding
178 sequences were PCR amplified from cDNA and inserted as *Xba*I fragment into to the multiple
179 cloning site of pIPKTA09 (Zimmermann *et al.*, 2006). The resulting sequence-verified
180 constructs were bombarded into barley as described for BAC clones. For PCR primers used
181 in this study see supporting Table S6.

182 For the Thf1:YFP and ClpS1:YFP degradation assay (Figure 7), four µg of respective
183 plasmid DNA plus pUbiGUS (Douchkov *et al.*, 2005) were co-bombarded into 7-day-old
184 barley cv. G. Promise. The numbers of YFP-fluorescing cells with plastid-localized signals
185 were counted 24 hours after particle bombardment, followed by GUS-staining (Douchkov *et*
186 *al.*, 2005). The numbers of GUS-expressing cells were used for normalization of the YFP
187 signal.

188

189 *Inoculation and evaluation of transgenic plants*

Phenotypic evaluation of *Bgh* and *Bgt* interactions was done microscopically on second, detached leaves of 12-14 day-old plants placed on phytoagar plates (23,2 cm x 23,2 cm) inoculated at a spore density of 30-40 conidia mm⁻². Inoculated leaf segments were incubated for 48 hours (*Bgh*) or 72 hours (*Bgt*) followed by staining with Coomassie brilliant blue R 250 (Schweizer *et al.*, 1993). The number of growing colonies/leaf area was counted under a standard bright field microscope at 100 x magnification.

Yeast-two-hybrid experiments

Yeast-two-hybrid screening was performed according to the Yeast Handbook and manual of Matchmaker™ library-construction and -screening kits (Takara/Clontech Laboratories, Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France). Full length coding sequence of HvARM1 (1-442 AA) was used to screen a library of 7 x 10⁶ mating events according to (Hoefle *et al.*, 2011). For targeted Y2H assays, the coding region (1-831 AA) of HvPUB15 was used to test positive prey clones of the HvARM1 screening.

Bimolecular fluorescence complementation and co-immunoprecipitation

For bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) of HvARM1 and HvPUB15 proteins with potential plastid interactors, *Nicotiana benaminiana* plants were grown and agro-infiltrated as described in detail in Supporting Information Methods S1. For BiFC with HvThf1 and HvClpS1, the wild-type full-length sequences of *HvPUB15* or *HvARM1*, U-box mutants of *HvPUB15*, the ARM-domain (351 to 831 AA) only of *HvPUB15*, or *HvThf1* without N-terminal plastid import signal (-SP) were cloned into 35S::^{GW}VYNE-pBar and 35S::^{GW}VYCE-pBar GATEWAY destination vectors containing the N- and C-terminal split parts of the enhanced YFP protein *Venus*, respectively (Thormahlen *et al.*, 2015). BiFC constructs were transiently co-expressed by infiltration of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* transformed with the corresponding binary vectors, and examined by confocal laser-scanning microscopy (CLSM) 48 hours after infiltration. For the development of U-box mutants, DNA fragment between

709-739 bp (from ATG) on the U-box domain of HvPUB15 was excised using BsaXI and replaced by ligating synthetic oligos carrying the respective U-box mutation.

For co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP), YFP-tagged HvARM1 and HvPUB15^{ARM} under the control of 35S promoter were generated by cloning the full-coding sequence of HvARM1 (1-442 AA) or the ARM-repeat region of HvPUB15 (351-831 AA) into pEARLEYGATE104 (Earley et al., 2006). cMyc-Tagged HvThf1 (1-294 AA) and HvClpS1 (1-161 AA) under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter were generated by cloning into pGWB418 (Nakagawa et al., 2007). Mesophyll-protoplast transformation and co-immunoprecipitation was done as described (Stegmann et al., 2012).

Subcellular localization of fluorescent proteins

For subcellular localization, full-length sequences of HvPUB15, HvARM1, HvThf1 and HvClpS1 were N- and C-terminally fused in-frame to YFP in pIPKTA48 and pIPKTA49 vectors (Supporting Information Figures S9 and S10). Resulting YFP-fusion constructs were transiently expressed in 7-day-old barley leaf segments by particle bombardment and examined after 12-24 hours of incubation with or without *B. graminis* inoculation using confocal laser-scanning microscopy (CLSM).

RESULTS

Origin and evolution of HvARM1

Transient single-cell silencing of *Rnr5* significantly reduced NHR of barley to *Bgt* (Douchkov et al., 2014). BlastX analysis revealed homology of *Rnr5* to plant U-box type E3 ligases (PUBs) with an armadillo-(ARM) repeat as second conserved domain (Azevedo et al., 2001, Mudgil et al., 2004). Although *Rnr5* was most closely related to *OsPUB15* in rice (Zeng et al., 2008) it does not appear to be the barley ortholog because the encoded protein of 442 amino acids is considerably shorter than a regular PUB and contains only the C-terminal ARM-repeat region as conserved domain (Figure 2a and supporting Figure S1). The barley

genome also contains a gene for a full-length PUB protein of 831 amino acids with highest similarity to *OsPUB15* that was therefore named *HvPUB15*, whereas *Rnr5* was designated as *HvARM1* (Table 1). Protein similarity between *HvPUB15* and *HvARM1* starts at position L398 and L9 of *HvPUB15* and *HvARM1*, respectively, between the conserved U-box and ARM-repeat regions of *HvPUB15* (supporting Figure S2). Sequence similarity between the two genes extends upstream from the *HvARM1* initiating codon spanning the first intron of *HvARM1*, which corresponds to exon 3 sequence of *HvPUB15*, until it abruptly ends within the U-box sequence of *HvPUB15*. Further upstream sequence inside *HvARM1* intron 1 as well as the non-translated exon1 sequence did not exhibit significant similarity to any annotated gene or repetitive DNA element in the barley genome. These results strongly suggest that *HvARM1* originated as a partial gene duplicate of *HvPUB15*. An 8-bp deletion downstream from the first five N-terminal amino acids of *HvARM1* restored the initial reading frame because its initiating ATG corresponds to an out-of-frame codon of *HvPUB15* (Figure 2b and c). Whole-genome shotgun (WGS) sequences of three additional species of the *Triticeae* tribe of grasses, the wild wheat species *Aegilops tauschii* and *Triticum urartu* plus rye (*Secale cereale*), also revealed the presence of *HvARM1*-like genes suggesting a monophyletic origin of the partial gene-duplication event in a common *Triticeae* ancestor dating back at least 12 M years (Figure 2d). Protein-sequence conservation among the four species was found to be high in both the U-box containing N-terminal- and the ARM-repeat containing C-terminal part of *PUB15*, the existing polymorphisms being in agreement with phylogenetic species distances. By contrast, sequence conservation was reduced among *ARM1* proteins, most clearly evident when comparing the two wild wheat species. To address the question if different evolutionary constraints act on *PUB15* and *ARM1* genes we searched both orthologous gene groups for footprints of purifying or diversifying selection by calculating Ka/Ks ratios in windows of 40 amino acids within the overlapping parts of both proteins. Because a Ka/Ks ratio of 1 indicates neutral selection, we tested mean Ka/Ks of all six possible pairwise sequence comparisons between the four species for significant differences from the null-hypothetical value “1”. As shown in Figure 2e, both genes are

subjected to purifying selection at the very N-terminus of ARM1 and within the ARM-repeat region. Selection was neutral in ARM1 outside these regions whereas PUB15 sequences remained under purifying selection along the entire ARM1-overlapping part of the gene. This suggests that the function of ARM1 proteins is restricted to the binding of one or a few protein ligand(s) via its ARM repeats whereas structural constraints on full-length E3-ligases that have to bind to substrate proteins and mediate the interaction with the highly conserved UBC domain of E2's are probably more stringent.

Allelic variants of HvARM1

The phylogenetic and functional (see below) data of *ARM1* suggest that the gene is under selection for maintaining a quantitative level of resistance of *Triticeae* species to powdery mildew infection. We therefore analyzed gene variants (alleles) in a diverse collection of barley genotypes (supporting Tables S1 and S2) for significant association with powdery-mildew interactions. To address this question further we carried out an association-genetic analysis of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and gene-haplotypes with powdery-mildew resistance or -susceptibility. As shown in Table 2 this led to the identification of SNP- as well as gene-haplotype polymorphisms in two diverse, world-wide collections of barley landraces and cultivars that were significantly associated with quantitative powdery mildew resistance. No association of *HvPUB15* gene variants with the same trait was found in any population (Table 2). This result strengthens the point that *HvARM1* – despite its partial nature – represents a functional gene protecting barley from powdery mildew attack, whereas the cellular functions of *HvPUB15* are probably more complex.

Function of HvARM1 during powdery-mildew attack

To validate the TIGS effect of *HvARM1* in the nonhost interaction with *Bgt* (Douchkov et al., 2014), and to further assess its role in the interaction with the adapted *Bgh* we generated transgenic plants with silenced *HvARM1*. Approximately 25% of progeny from T0 primary transformants died after a few weeks suggesting lethality caused by the homozygous

transgene locus, in line with the failure to identify homozygous T2 or T3 lines (Figure 3c, pot Nr. 1 in left-hand panel). It is known that homozygous transgenic plants usually exhibit strongest transgene effects, and this may have caused off-target silencing of the related, housekeeping *HvPUB15* gene as suggested from *in silico* off-target prediction of the RNAi transgene by the si-Fi software. Using default settings including end-stability difference and target-site accessibility thresholds, the software predicted 33 and 6 efficient 21nt siRNAs for *HvARM1* and *HvPUB15*, respectively (supporting Figure S3). The suspected toxicity of *HvPUB15* off-target silencing in homozygous RNAi plants is supported by observations in *Oryza sativa* (rice), where a detrimental effect of knock-out mutation of *OsPUB15* was described including seedling lethality and severe growth retardation (Park et al., 2011). On the other hand, the normally developing T3 transgenic lines consistently exhibited silencing of *HvARM1* whereas no evidence for a reduction of *HvPUB15* mRNA levels was found (Figure 3a).

Because *HvARM1* was discovered in a TIGS screen for attenuated NHR we first tested T3 progeny of three selected events for susceptibility to *Bgt* (Table 3). Although there was a considerable variability between individuals per line, two lines exhibited approximately five-fold higher susceptibility to the non-adapted fungus as compared to the control group of azygous segregant plants. In general, azygous plants are considered as better controls since they had undergone the same transformation procedures and lost the transgenic construct by segregation. As seen in Table 3, the transformation procedure apparent had an impact on the *Bgt* interaction because the azygous control group was on average more susceptible than Golden Promise wildtype plants. Figure 3b shows that the three selected events were also more susceptible to *Bgh*, compared to a population of control plants consisting of azygous segregants plus progeny from three azygous individuals identified in the T2 generation.

Bombardment with strictly gene-specific RNAi- and with over-expression (OEX) constructs for a direct comparison of altered *HvARM1* versus *HvPUB15* expression levels revealed that gene-specific *HvARM1* silencing increased the relative susceptibility index (SI)

to *Bgh*, in line with the super-susceptibility observed in stable transgenic barley T3 plants (Table 4). On the other hand, we found no significant effects of altering *HvPUB15* mRNA levels on the interaction of transformed cells with *Bgh* again indicating more complex, homoeostatic rather than defense-related functions of the encoded protein. Following powdery mildew inoculation, endogenous transcript levels of *HvARM1* in peeled leaf epidermis were more strongly up-regulated above a basal level of expression compared to *HvPUB15* (supporting Figure S4), which also suggests a defense-related role of *HvARM1*.

Localization and protein interactions of HvPUB15 and HvARM1

Fusion proteins of *HvARM1* and *HvPUB15* with the yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) showed a similar fluorescence pattern as non-fused YFP suggesting nucleo-cytoplasmic localization (supporting Figure S5, panels a-c), in agreement with the localization of the proposed rice orthologue *OsPUB15* (Park *et al.*, 2011). Because the presence of the conserved ARM protein-protein interaction domain in *HvARM1* suggests binding to other barley protein(s), we carried out a yeast-two-hybrid screening in a prey library from *Bgh*-attacked barley leaves using *HvARM1* as bait. This led to the identification of six barley proteins that interacted strongly and reproducibly with *HvARM1* (Figure 4a and supporting Table S3). Out of these six candidates the homologs of the Clp-protease adaptor protein ClpS1 and the thylakoid formation 1 protein THF1 of *A. thaliana* (Huang *et al.*, 2006, Nishimura *et al.*, 2013) were also strongly interacting with *HvPUB15* (Figure 4b), which suggests them as ubiquitination substrates of the E3 ligase. By using an *in vitro* ubiquitination assay we could show that *HvPUB15* has ubiquitin ligase activity (supporting Figure S6). Because *HvPUB15* catalyzed the polymerization of ubiquitin chains rather than auto-ubiquitination it might be an E4- rather than an E3-ligase (Koegl *et al.*, 1999). The possible involvement of all six *HvARM1*-interacting proteins in mediating QR or susceptibility to *Bgh* was tested by TIGS, which revealed a trend for reduced susceptibility by silencing of *HvThf1* (Table 4). Transient OEX of *HvThf1* resulted in significantly enhanced susceptibility to *Bgh* thereby proposing the encoded potential *HvPUB15* substrate protein to function as host susceptibility factor.

Transient OEX of the second proposed HvPUB15 substrate, *HvClpS1*, also enhanced susceptibility to *Bgh* thereby indicating a role as host susceptibility factor, too, although there was no significant effect of *HvClpS1* silencing. Co-localization experiments of HvThf1-YFP and HvClpS1-YFP C-terminal fusion proteins with the plastid marker Rubisco small subunit (Nelson *et al.*, 2007) confirmed their expected plastid localization (supporting Figure S5, panels d-k).

In vivo interaction of HvARM1, HvPUB15 and HvPUB15^{ARM} (the ARM-domain of HvPUB15) with HvThf1 and HvClpS1 was assessed by split-YFP bimolecular functional complementation (BiFC) assays in *Agrobacterium*-infiltrated *Nicotiana bentaminiana* leaves and by co-immunoprecipitation in *A. thaliana* protoplasts. Figure 5 shows that the transient co-expression of HvPUB15 or HvPUB15^{ARM} with either HvClpS1 or HvThf1 gave rise to BiFC (YFP) signals primarily in epidermal cells. The localization patterns of the fluorescence signals indicated that the proteins interacted in the cytoplasm, which was confirmed by the absence of co-localization with the plastid marker protein 35S:SSU₁₋₇₉-mCherry (Rajaraman, unpublished result). The BiFC signals were abolished or strongly reduced by using the U-box mutant HvPUB15^{P245A} as interaction partner, suggesting specificity of the interaction. HvARM1 also interacted with HvClpS1 and HvThf1. Moreover, interactions were observed between HvPUB15 and HvARM1, and here, the HvPUB15 U-box mutation increased BiFC signals instead of reducing them. For additional controls and quantitative fluorescence data see supporting Figures S7 and S8. Co-immunoprecipitation experiments in *A. thaliana* protoplasts of cMyc-tagged HvARM1 and HvPUB15^{ARM} together with either HvThf1 or HvClpS1 confirmed *in vivo* interaction of HvPUB15^{ARM} with HvThf1, HvARM1 with HvThf1, and HvARM1 with HvClpS1 (Figure 6).

The two HvPUB15 interacting proteins HvThf1 and HvClpS1 may be *in vivo* ubiquitination substrates. This possibility was tested by transient over-expression of *HvPUB15* together with either HvThf1:YFP or HvClpS1:YFP, followed by the quantification of YFP-fluorescing cells 24 hours after the bombardment. As shown in Figure 7, co-expression with *HvPUB15* significantly reduced the number of HvThf1:YFP- but not HvClpS1:YFP-

fluorescing cells suggesting that HvThf1 is an *in vivo* substrate to HvPUB15. Taken together, the data suggest *in vivo* interaction of HvPUB15 with the putative substrate proteins HvClpS1 and HvThf1, whereby the presence of an intact U-box stabilized the interaction. In addition, HvARM1 as well as the ARM-domain of HvPUB15 interacted with HvClpS1 and HvThf1, too. One of the HvPUB15-interacting proteins, HvThf1, was identified as potential ubiquitination substrate and as host susceptibility factor to *Bgh*.

Does the partially duplicated gene pair of PUB15 and ARM1 represent a unique event in *Triticeae* genome evolution, or could we find indications for additional partial gene duplicates with putative functions? To address this question we conducted a genome-wide search for full-length cDNA sequences with high sequence similarity but clearly different length of their longest open reading frames. Starting with a library of 23,614 full-length cDNA sequences (<http://barleyflc.dna.affrc.go.jp/bexdb/>), we found 1154 matching cDNA pairs with a sequence identity of 80-99% and an alignment-to-shorter-gene length ratio of at least 0.8, thereby excluding pairs of non-partial genes just sharing functional domains. A subsequent tBlastx analysis of these pairs revealed 205 pairs with a length difference of matching open reading frames of >25%. After further filtering steps to exclude non-spliced transcripts, chimeric- as well as partial clones, we identified 11 expressed pairs of putative, partially duplicated genes including *HvPUB15/HvARM1* (supporting Table S4). A majority of these are localized at non-tandem positions (5 or more gene models apart from each other, or on different chromosomes). Interestingly, although this was not a criterion for their selection, transcripts from the partially duplicated genes appeared to be more frequently regulated by powdery mildew attack (Figure 8a). This suggests that partial gene duplicates might be preferentially selected for new functions in stress responses such as pathogen attack. As another example of a partially duplicated gene and protein pair besides HvPUB15/HvARM1, we show the alignment of a DUF 4228 protein of unknown function (Figure 8b and c). This pair, which is located 5 gene models apart from each other on chromosome 7H, is characterized by a clear gain in transcript regulation of the shorter version and by a perfect

triple repeat of a highly hydrophilic motif in the duplicated part of the protein. Its functional analysis in pathogen-attacked barley will be a future challenge.

DISCUSSION

The genomes of four species of the *Triticeae* tribe of grasses contain *ARM1*, a partial copy of a U-box/ARM-repeat E3 ligase closely related to the rice protein OsPUB15 (Park *et al.*, 2011). The rice genome also contains a number of “ARM-repeat only” genes but none of them appears to represent a partial copy of OsPUB15, because BlastN analysis of the ARM-repeat region of OsPUB15 (pos. 2000-2952 in cDNA Acc. AK10655) at NCBI did not produce significant hits for any other rice gene. By contrast, the same query sequence revealed HvARM1 as the most significant hit (86% identity) in barley. Sequence analysis in cultivated barley and rye, and in two diploid wild wheat species suggest a monophyletic origin of *ARM1*. In a TIGS screening for genes required for NHR in barley, we discovered *Rnr5* encoding HvARM1 as potential factor for resistance to the non-adapted powdery mildew fungus *Bgt*. Here we show that HvARM1 is not only involved in NHR but is also a factor for QR to adapted powdery mildew fungi in barley and wheat.

The large and highly repetitive genomes of the cultivated *Triticeae* species barley, wheat and rye appear to be particularly rich in gene-like sequences including partial duplicates, and most of them were classified as putative pseudogenes (Akhunov *et al.*, 2013, Wicker *et al.*, 2011, Bauer *et al.*, 2017). The classification criteria for these putative pseudogenes were (i) non-syntenic map positions among grasses and (ii) unique occurrence in one species or in one of the three sub-genomes of hexaploid wheat. Illegitimate meiotic crossing over and subsequent sequence capture by transposable elements, as well as random-sequence insertion during non-homologous end joining for double-strand break DNA repair are the two proposed major events leading to non-tandem (partial) gene duplicates (Katju & Lynch, 2003). By contrast, sub- or neo-functionalized, expressed and full-length gene duplicates often exist as tandemly repeated gene pairs or clusters of genes, as a result

of unequal crossover during meiosis that often followed by gene-conversions (Himmelbach *et al.*, 2010, Leister, 2004). As shown in Table 1, the full-length genes *HvPUB15* and *AetPUB15* share syntenic map positions on the long arm of homologous chromosome group 3 (Luo *et al.*, 2013, Mascher *et al.*, 2013). The partially duplicated *HvARM1* gene was mapped at a distance of approximately 95 Mbp from *HvPUB15* on chromosome 3H, and all four analyzed *Triticeae ARM1* genes contain a non-repetitive, unknown sequence in exon 1 that is not present in the corresponding *PUB15*-like genes. Taken together this suggests that an event of DNA double-strand break repair in a common ancestor of the four *Triticeae* species gave rise to *ARM1*.

However, the results presented here suggest that *ARM1* escaped pseudogenization and took over a new biological function in defense against powdery mildew fungi and perhaps other pathogens: First, the genomes of four species belonging to three different *genera* maintained the partial gene copy with a high degree of sequence conservation at the ARM-repeat region. Second, in all four species *ARM1* is supported by perfectly matching EST sequences or other transcriptome data, demonstrating that the corresponding genes are actively transcribed. In barley, transcript-regulation data suggest a gain of function of *HvARM1* in terms of a more pronounced pathogen-induced accumulation in the epidermis compared to *HvPUB15* (supporting Figure S4). Third, all *ARM1* sequences are characterized by intact open reading frames starting approximately in the middle part of the *PUB15* protein and extending to its C-terminus. Forth, allelic variants of *HvARM1* were found to be significantly associated with the severity of powdery-mildew infection in collections of locally adapted barley landraces and diverse cultivars (Table 2 and supporting Table S1). In both collections the most significant SNP were associated with clear and statistically significant differences in Bgh infection (41% versus 57%, $p=0.00037$ in WHEALBI_LRC; 35% versus 51%, $p=0.023$ in WHEALBI_CULT). The significant SNP in the landrace collection was located in the 5' non-translated region of the *HvARM1* transcript whereas the significant SNP in the cultivar collection causes a Glycine to Valine change at position 437 of the encoded protein. The cultivars carrying the corresponding significant, resistance-associated haplotype

H01 were derived from very different regions of the world and therefore, probably not similar by descent. The complete absence of association of HvPUB15 alleles with *Bgh* infection furthermore supports the view that the E3 ligase primarily has important housekeeping functions such as quality control and turning over of plastid-localized proteins (Woodson *et al.*, 2015), with no adaptation flexibility during pathogen co-evolution.

Functional tests of *HvARM1* by gene silencing in barley and by transient over-expression in wheat suggested a resistance-related role during the interaction with adapted and non-adapted powdery mildew fungi. Similar to the results presented here, a resistance-enhancing effect was found by over-expression of the ARM domain of the *AtPUB13* gene in *A. thaliana*, which is involved in protein degradation of the flagellin PAMP receptor FLS2, (Zhou *et al.*, 2015). Transgenic plants phenocopied the *atpub12/13* double-mutant effect of enhanced pathogen resistance. The results presented here for *ARM1* genes of *Triticeae* species suggest that plants use ARM-domain expression as natural mechanism for enhancing disease resistance. Results in rice also proposed a defense-related role of *OsPUB15* because over-expression caused spontaneous defense responses and increased pathogen resistance (Wang *et al.*, 2015). However, we could not confirm such an activity by TIGS or transient OEX of the barley homologue *HvPUB15* (Table 4). Together with the reported lethality of *OsPUB15* mutations in rice and with the indications of lethal *HvPUB15* off-targeting in homozygous RNAi lines of barley that carry a hairpin construct against *HvARM1*, these results propose *HvPUB15* as a housekeeping gene that is not directly involved in pathogen defense, at least not against *Bgh*.

The *HvARM1* and *HvPUB15* proteins interacted in yeast and in plants with the plastid-localized proteins *HvClpS1* and *HvThf1*, and both appear to be susceptibility-related factors based on TIGS- and transient over-expression results. Interestingly, transcripts of both *HvClpS1* and *HvThf1* were found to be down-regulated in peeled epidermis by powdery mildew attack, a response that may be expected for susceptibility-related factors (supporting Figure S9 and Table S3). Currently, the evidence for *HvThf1* to be relevant for the powdery-mildew interaction is stronger compared to *HvClpS1* because only TIGS of *HvThf1* resulted

in a (one-sided significant) trend for enhanced resistance, thereby complementing the transient OEX data, and because OEX of HvPUB15 resulted only in a reduction of tagged HvThf1 protein - but not of HvClpS1 protein - amounts. Therefore, we will concentrate the discussion on HvThf1 as interaction partner here. The THF1 protein of *A. thaliana* was not only found to be localized in the plastid stroma but also at its outer membrane facing the cytoplasm where it was proposed to play a role in sugar sensing (Huang *et al.*, 2006). The plastid-internal pool was implicated in degradation of chlorophyll-protein complexes, especially the core complex proteins D1 and D2, during leaf senescence of *A. thaliana* and *Oryza sativa* (Huang *et al.*, 2006, Wang *et al.*, 2004, Yamatani *et al.*, 2013). In both rice and *A. thaliana*, *thf1* mutants exhibited a stay-green phenotype after the onset of dark-induced senescence and were also impaired in adaption to high-light conditions, which resulted in photobleaching probably due to excess electron flux from PSII. In the powdery mildew-affected epidermis containing mainly photosynthetically inactive leucoplasts, sugar sensing for controlled carbohydrate delivery to established *Bgh* haustoria might be more relevant than control of photo-oxidative damage. It is known that powdery mildew-infected cells have a very high demand of energy equivalents and transport large amounts of glucose into haustoria, a process that appears to depend on SWEET sugar transporters and other factors (Chen *et al.*, 2010, Chen *et al.*, 2012, Scholes *et al.*, 1994). Support for the involvement of *Thf1* in disease responses comes from the finding that the closest wheat homolog to *HvThf1*, designated as *TaToxABP1*, acts as binding protein and target of Toxin A that is produced by the necrotrophic, tan-spot fungal pathogen *Pyrenophora tritici-repentis* (Manning *et al.*, 2007). Toxin A-treatment also triggered an oxidative burst in leaves of wheat and barley (Manning *et al.*, 2010, Manning *et al.*, 2007, Pandelova *et al.*, 2012) thereby providing a link of *Thf1* function with ROS control, at least in chloroplasts, and propose a mode of action of Toxin A. Additional support for a relevant role of *Thf1* in plant susceptibility is provided by results showing interaction of the Thf1 protein with the I2-like coiled-coil (CC) domains of several NB LRR-type resistance proteins (Hamel *et al.*, 2016). One of the well-examined members of this group is the N protein mediating resistance in *Nicotiana tabacum* against

most Tobamoviruses. The authors found that THF1 strongly suppressed N-mediated HR and that the I2-domains of corresponding activated R-proteins interacted with Thf1 in the cytoplasm and thereby, destabilized the protein for degradation. Because cell-death suppression is a hallmark of susceptible interactions with biotrophic pathogens, the proposed function of HvThf1 as susceptibility factor to *Bgh* is in line with the proposed function in *Solanaceous* plants. The link of proteasomal protein degradation with chloroplast biology has recently been established by reports on the roles of the closest *HvPUB15* homolog in *A. thaliana* designated as *AtPUB4*, and of *AtCHIP*, in plastid quality control and degradation of the caseinolytic plastid peptidase AtClpP4, respectively (Wei et al., 2015; Woodshon et al., 2015). Mutants of *AtPUB4* showed reduced resilience against abiotic stress, indicative of compromised plastid-based control of ROS generation. Plants silenced in- or over-expressing *AtCHIP* exhibited a chlorotic phenotype indicating a strict requirement of accurate control of AtClpP4 levels for cellular homeostasis.

As a model for ARM1 function we propose that the partial duplicate *ARM1* of the ancestral *PUB15* gene was selected as an antagonist of PUB15 thereby disturbing the accurate quality and/or import control of the biotrophic susceptibility factor Thf1 (Figure 9). The antagonistic activity of ARM1 could take place by binding to free Thf1 pre-protein or by interacting with a PUB15/Thf1 proteasomal complex. According to the model, Thf1 inhibition will lead to disturbed sugar sensing and/or plastid functionality, which have to be postulated as requirements to support obligate biotrophic pathogens such as powdery mildew fungi. The possibility of Thf1 as inhibitor of resistance components such as R-proteins (Hamel et al., 2016) is also included in the model and would be compatible with the observed, rapid *HvARM1* TIGS effect on haustorium formation. The role of Thf1 in necrotrophic interactions may be opposite, i.e. resistance-related in terms of preventing pathogen-triggered cell death, which is in line with the observed targeting by the fungal toxin Prt ToxA (Manning et al., 2010). As known for other fungal pathogens, *Bgh* possesses a large arsenal of candidate secreted effector proteins, several of which have been found to contribute to host invasion (Pliego et al., 2013) (Whigham et al., 2015). Therefore it appears also possible that ARM1

acts as a mimic to protect the PUB15 protein from putative *Bgh* effector manipulation (Le Roux *et al.*, 2015, Sarris *et al.*, 2015). However, this possibility is currently not supported by data on ARM1-effector interactions.

In summary, our results suggest that *ARM1* was neo-functionalized after a non-tandem, partial gene duplication event of the E3-ligase *PUB15*, which occurred in a common ancestor of the *Triticeae* tribe of grasses and gained a role in broad-spectrum quantitative resistance against *B. graminis* and maybe other pathogenic fungi. At least in barley, the HvARM1-interacting protein and proposed substrate of HvPUB15, the plastid-localized HvThf1, links susceptibility to biotrophic pathogens with plastid functions. Future work for a better understanding of resistance-related ARM1 functions may include the characterization of null-allelic mutants and further allele-mining in barley genetic resources, combined with functional validation and introgression of superior alleles into modern adapted germplasm. The work presented here (Figure 8) also opens up possibilities to search for and functionally address additional partial gene duplicates in crop genomes for a neo-functionalized role in biotic-stress resistance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the German Ministry for Education and Research, grant acronyms GABI-nonhost (to P.S.) and GABI-phenome (to P.S. and J.KU.), by German DFG (ERA-PG project TritNONHOST grant Nr. DFG Schw 848/2-1 to P.S.), by EU FP6 project BIOEXPLOIT (to P.S.) and by EU FP7 project WHEALBI (to P.S. and N.S). We would like to thank Gabi Brantin, Manuela Knauff, Sonja Gentz and Cornelia Marthe for excellent technical assistance.

REFERENCES

- Abramovitch R.B., Janjusevic R., Stebbins C.E. & Martin G.B. (2006) Type III effector AvrPtoB requires intrinsic E3 ubiquitin ligase activity to suppress plant cell death and immunity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **103**, 2851-2856.
- Akhunov E.D., Sehgal S., Liang H.Q., Wang S.C., Akhunova A.R., Kaur G., Li W.L., Forrest K.L., See D., Simkova H., Ma Y.Q., Hayden M.J., Luo M.C., Faris J.D., Dolezel J. & Gill B.S. (2013) Comparative Analysis of Syntenic Genes in Grass Genomes Reveals Accelerated Rates of Gene Structure and Coding Sequence Evolution in Polyploid Wheat. *Plant Physiology*, **161**, 252-265.
- Angot A., Peeters N., Lechner E., Vailleau F., Baud C., Gentzbittel L., Sartorel E., Genschik P., Boucher C. & Genin S.P. (2006) *Ralstonia solanacearum* requires F-box-like domain-containing type III effectors to promote disease on several host plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **103**, 14620-14625.
- Azevedo C., Santos-Rosa M.J. & Shirasu K. (2001) The U-box protein family in plants. *Trends in Plant Science*, **6**, 354-358.
- Bauer E., Schmutzer T., Barilar I., Mascher M., Gundlach H., Martis M.M., Twardziok S.O., Hackauf B., Gordillo A., Wilde P., Schmidt M., Korzun V., Mayer K.F.X., Schmid K., Schön C.-C. & Scholz U. (2017) Towards a whole-genome sequence for rye (*Secale cereale* L.). *The Plant Journal*, **89**, 853-869.
- Bos J.I.B., Armstrong M.R., Gilroy E.M., Boevink P.C., Hein I., Taylor R.M., Tian Z.D., Engelhardt S., Vetukuri R.R., Harrower B., Dixelius C., Bryan G., Sadanandom A., Whisson S.C., Kamoun S. & Birch P.R.J. (2010) Phytophthora infestans effector AVR3a is essential for virulence and manipulates plant immunity by stabilizing host E3 ligase CMPG1. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **107**, 9909-9914.

606 Chen L.Q., Hou B.H., Lalonde S., Takanaga H., Hartung M.L., Qu X.Q., Guo W.J., Kim J.G.,
607 Underwood W., Chaudhuri B., Chermak D., Antony G., White F.F., Somerville S.C.,
608 Mudgett M.B. & Frommer W.B. (2010) Sugar transporters for intercellular exchange
609 and nutrition of pathogens. *Nature*, **468**, 527-U199.

610 Chen L.Q., Qu X.Q., Hou B.H., Sosso D., Osorio S., Fernie A.R. & Frommer W.B. (2012)
611 Sucrose Efflux Mediated by SWEET Proteins as a Key Step for Phloem Transport.
612 *Science*, **335**, 207-211.

613 Collinge D.B. (2009) Cell wall appositions: the first line of defence. *Journal of Experimental*
614 *Botany*, **60**, 351-352.

615 Douchkov D., Lück S., Johrde A., Nowara D., Himmelbach A., Rajaraman J., Stein N.,
616 Sharma R., Kilian B. & Schweizer P. (2014) Discovery of genes for affecting
617 resistance of barley to adapted and non-adapted powdery mildew fungi. *Genome*
618 *Biology*, **15**, 518.

619 Douchkov D., Nowara D., Zierold U. & Schweizer P. (2005) A high-throughput gene-silencing
620 system for the functional assessment of defense-related genes in barley epidermal
621 cells. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, **18**, 755-761.

622 Groll M., Schellenberg B., Bachmann A.S., Archer C.R., Huber R., Powell T.K., Lindow S.,
623 Kaiser M. & Dudler R. (2008) A plant pathogen virulence factor inhibits the eukaryotic
624 proteasome by a novel mechanism. *Nature*, **452**, 755-U757.

625 Hamel L.-P., Sekine K.-T., Wallon T., Sugiwaka Y., Kobayashi K. & Moffett P. (2016) The
626 Chloroplastic Protein THF1 Interacts with the Coiled-Coil Domain of the Disease
627 Resistance Protein N ' and Regulates Light-Dependent Cell Death. *Plant Physiology*,
628 **171**, 658-674.

629 Hensel G., Valkov V., Middlefell-Williams J. & Kumlehn J. (2008) Efficient generation of
630 transgenic barley: The way forward to modulate plant-microbe interactions. *Journal of*
631 *Plant Physiology*, **165**, 71-82.

632 Himmelbach A., Liu L., Zierold U., Altschmied L., Maucher H., Beier F., Muller D., Hensel G.,
633 Heise A., Schutzendubel A., Kumlehn J. & Schweizer P. (2010) Promoters of the

Barley Germin-Like GER4 Gene Cluster Enable Strong Transgene Expression in Response to Pathogen Attack. *Plant Cell*, **22**, 937-952.

Hoefle C., Huesmann C., Schultheiss H., Bornke F., Hensel G., Kumlehn J. & Huckelhoven R. (2011) A Barley ROP GTPase ACTIVATING PROTEIN Associates with Microtubules and Regulates Entry of the Barley Powdery Mildew Fungus into Leaf Epidermal Cells. *Plant Cell*, **23**, 2422-2439.

Huang J., Taylor J.P., Chen J.G., Uhrig J.F., Schnell D.J., Nakagawa T., Korth K.L. & Jones A.M. (2006) The plastid protein THYLAKOID FORMATION1 and the plasma membrane G-protein GPA1 interact in a novel sugar-signaling mechanism in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*, **18**, 1226-1238.

Huckelhoven R. (2007) Cell wall - Associated mechanisms of disease resistance and susceptibility. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, **45**, 101-127.

Huckelhoven R. & Panstruga R. (2011) Cell biology of the plant-powdery mildew interaction. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, **14**, 738-746.

Katju V. & Lynch M. (2003) The structure and early evolution of recently arisen gene duplicates in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome. *Genetics*, **165**, 1793-1803.

Koegl M., Hoppe T., Schlenker S., Ulrich H.D., Mayer T.U. & Jentsch S. (1999) A novel ubiquitination factor, E4, is involved in multiubiquitin chain assembly. *Cell*, **96**, 635-644.

Le Roux C., Huet G., Jauneau A., Camborde L., Tremousaygue D., Kraut A., Zhou B., Levaillant M., Adachi H., Yoshioka H., Raffaele S., Berthome R., Coute Y., Parker J.E. & Deslandes L. (2015) A Receptor Pair with an Integrated Decoy Converts Pathogen Disabling of Transcription Factors to Immunity. *Cell*, **161**, 1074-1088.

Leister D. (2004) Tandem and segmental gene duplication and recombination in the evolution of plant disease resistance genes. *Trends in Genetics*, **20**, 116-122.

Li H. & Durbin R. (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*, **25**, 1754-1760.

661 Luo M.C., Gu Y.Q., You F.M., Deal K.R., Ma Y.Q., Hu Y.Q., Huo N.X., Wang Y., Wang J.R.,
662 Chen S.Y., Jorgensen C.M., Zhang Y., McGuire P.E., Pasternak S., Stein J.C., Ware
663 D., Kramer M., McCombie W.R., Kianian S.F., Martis M.M., Mayer K.F.X., Sehgal
664 S.K., Li W.L., Gill B.S., Bevan M.W., Simkova H., Dolezel J., Song W.N., Lazo G.R.,
665 Anderson O.D. & Dvorak J. (2013) A 4-gigabase physical map unlocks the structure
666 and evolution of the complex genome of *Aegilops tauschii*, the wheat D-genome
667 progenitor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
668 *America*, **110**, 7940-7945.

669 Manning V.A., Chu A.L., Scofield S.R. & Ciuffetti L.M. (2010) Intracellular expression of a
670 host-selective toxin, ToxA, in diverse plants phenocopies silencing of a ToxA-
671 interacting protein, ToxABP1. *New Phytologist*, **187**, 1034-1047.

672 Manning V.A., Hardison L.K. & Ciuffetti L.M. (2007) Ptr ToxA interacts with a chloroplast-
673 localized protein. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, **20**, 168-177.

674 Mascher M., Gundlach H., Himmelbach A., Beier S., Twardziok S.O., Wicker T., Radchuk V.,
675 Dockter C., Hedley P.E., Russell J., Bayer M., Ramsay L., Liu H., Haberer G., Zhang
676 X.-Q., Zhang Q., Barrero R.A., Li L., Taudien S., Groth M., Felder M., Hastie A.,
677 Šimková H., Staňková H., Vrána J., Chan S., Muñoz-Amatriaín M., Ounit R.,
678 Wanamaker S., Bolser D., Colmsee C., Schmutzer T., Aliyeva-Schnorr L., Grasso S.,
679 Tanskanen J., Chailan A., Sampath D., Heavens D., Clissold L., Cao S., Chapman
680 B., Dai F., Han Y., Li H., Li X., Lin C., McCooke J.K., Tan C., Wang P., Wang S., Yin
681 S., Zhou G., Poland J.A., Bellgard M.I., Borisjuk L., Houben A., Doležel J., Ayling S.,
682 Lonardi S., Kersey P., Langridge P., Muehlbauer G.J., Clark M.D., Caccamo M.,
683 Schulman A.H., Mayer K.F.X., Platzer M., Close T.J., Scholz U., Hansson M., Zhang
684 G., Braumann I., Spannagl M., Li C., Waugh R. & Stein N. (2017) A chromosome
685 conformation capture ordered sequence of the barley genome. *Nature*, **544**, 427-433.

686 Mascher M., Richmond T.A., Gerhardt D.J., Himmelbach A., Clissold L., Sampath D., Ayling
687 S., Steuernagel B., Pfeifer M., D'Ascenzo M., Akhunov E.D., Hedley P.E., Gonzales
688 A.M., Morrell P.L., Kilian B., Blattner F.R., Scholz U., Mayer K.F.X., Flavell A.J.,

- Muehlbauer G.J., Waugh R., Jeddloh J.A. & Stein N. (2013) Barley whole exome capture: a tool for genomic research in the genus *Hordeum* and beyond. *Plant Journal*, **76**, 494-505.
- Mudgil Y., Shiu S.H., Stone S.L., Salt J.N. & Goring D.R. (2004) A large complement of the predicted *Arabidopsis* ARM repeat proteins are members of the U-box E3 ubiquitin ligase family. *Plant Physiology*, **134**, 59-66.
- Nelson B.K., Cai X. & Nebenfuhr A. (2007) A multicolored set of in vivo organelle markers for co-localization studies in *Arabidopsis* and other plants. *Plant Journal*, **51**, 1126-1136.
- Nishimura K., Asakura Y., Friso G., Kim J., Oh S.H., Rutschow H., Ponnala L. & van Wijk K.J. (2013) ClpS1 Is a Conserved Substrate Selector for the Chloroplast Clp Protease System in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell*, **25**, 2276-2301.
- Nomura K., Mecey C., Lee Y.N., Imboden L.A., Chang J.H. & He S.Y. (2011) Effector-triggered immunity blocks pathogen degradation of an immunity-associated vesicle traffic regulator in *Arabidopsis*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **108**, 10774-10779.
- Oerke E.C. (2006) Crop losses to pests. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, **144**, 31-43.
- Pandelova I., Figueroa M., Wilhelm L.J., Manning V.A., Mankaney A.N., Mockler T.C. & Ciuffetti L.M. (2012) Host-Selective Toxins of *Pyrenophora tritici-repentis* Induce Common Responses Associated with Host Susceptibility. *Plos One*, **7**.
- Panstruga R. & Schulze-Lefert P. (2002) Live and let live: insights into powdery mildew disease and resistance. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, **3**, 495-502.
- Park J.J., Yi J., Yoon J., Cho L.H., Ping J., Jeong H.J., Cho S.K., Kim W.T. & An G. (2011) OsPUB15, an E3 ubiquitin ligase, functions to reduce cellular oxidative stress during seedling establishment. *Plant Journal*, **65**, 194-205.
- Pedersen C., van Themaat E.V.L., McGuffin L.J., Abbott J.C., Burgis T.A., Barton G., Bindschedler L.V., Lu X.L., Maekawa T., Wessling R., Cramer R., Thordal-Christensen H., Panstruga R. & Spanu P.D. (2012) Structure and evolution of barley powdery mildew effector candidates. *Bmc Genomics*, **13**.

Pliego C., Nowara D., Bonciani G., Gheorghe D.M., Xu R., Surana P., Whigham E., Nettleton D., Bogdanove A.J., Wise R.P., Schweizer P., Bindschedler L.V. & Spanu P.D. (2013) Host-Induced Gene Silencing in Barley Powdery Mildew Reveals a Class of Ribonuclease-Like Effectors. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, **26**, 633-642.

Rosebrock T.R., Zeng L.R., Brady J.J., Abramovitch R.B., Xiao F.M. & Martin G.B. (2007) A bacterial E3 ubiquitin ligase targets a host protein kinase to disrupt plant immunity. *Nature*, **448**, 370-U313.

Sadanandom A., Bailey M., Ewan R., Lee J. & Nelis S. (2012) The ubiquitin-proteasome system: central modifier of plant signalling. *New Phytologist*, **196**, 13-28.

Sarris P.F., Duxbury Z., Huh S.U., Ma Y., Segonzac C., Sklenar J., Derbyshire P., Cevik V., Rallapalli G., Saucet S.B., Wirthmueller L., Menke F.L.H., Sohn K.H. & Jones J.D.G. (2015) A Plant Immune Receptor Detects Pathogen Effectors that Target WRKY Transcription Factors. *Cell*, **161**, 1089-1100.

Scholes J.D., Lee P.J., Horton P. & Lewis D.H. (1994) Invertase - Understanding Changes in the Photosynthetic and Carbohydrate-Metabolism of Barley Leaves Infected with Powdery Mildew. *New Phytologist*, **126**, 213-222.

Schulze-Lefert P. & Panstruga R. (2011) A molecular evolutionary concept connecting nonhost resistance, pathogen host range, and pathogen speciation. *Trends in Plant Science*, **16**, 117-125.

Schweizer P. (2007) Nonhost resistance of plants to powdery mildew - New opportunities to unravel the mystery. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology*, **70**, 3-7.

Schweizer P., Gees R. & Mosinger E. (1993) Effect of Jasmonic Acid on the Interaction of Barley (*Hordeum-Vulgare* L) with the Powdery Mildew *Erysiphe-Graminis* F Sp *Hordei*. *Plant Physiology*, **102**, 503-511.

Schweizer P., Pokorny J., Abderhalden O. & Dudler R. (1999) A transient assay system for the functional assessment of defense-related genes in wheat. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, **12**, 647-654.

- Spallek T., Robatzek S. & Gohre V. (2009) How microbes utilize host ubiquitination. *Cellular Microbiology*, **11**, 1425-1434.
- Spies A., Korzun L., Bayles R., Rajaraman J., Himmelbach A., Hedley P.E. & Schweizer P. (2012) Allele mining in barley genetic resources reveals genes of race-nonspecific powdery mildew resistance. *Frontiers in Plant Science, Plant-Microbe Interaction*, **2**.
- Stegmann M., Anderson R.G., Ichimura K., Pecenkova T., Reuter P., Zarsky V., McDowell J.M., Shirasu K. & Trujillo M. (2012) The Ubiquitin Ligase PUB22 Targets a Subunit of the Exocyst Complex Required for PAMP-Triggered Responses in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*, **24**, 4703-4716.
- Šurlan-Momirović G., Flath K., Silvar C., Branković G., Kopahnke D., Knežević D., Schliephake E., Ordon F. & Perović D. (2016) Exploring the Serbian GenBank barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L. subsp. *vulgare*) collection for powdery mildew resistance. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*, **63**, 275-287.
- Thormahlen I., Meitzel T., Groysman J., Ochsner A.B., von Roepenack-Lahaye E., Naranjo B., Cejudo F.J. & Geigenberger P. (2015) Thioredoxin f1 and NADPH-Dependent Thioredoxin Reductase C Have Overlapping Functions in Regulating Photosynthetic Metabolism and Plant Growth in Response to Varying Light Conditions. *Plant Physiol*, **169**, 1766-1786.
- Trujillo M. & Shirasu K. (2010) Ubiquitination in plant immunity. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, **13**, 402-408.
- Vierstra R.D. (2009) The ubiquitin-26S proteasome system at the nexus of plant biology. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, **10**, 385-397.
- Wang J., Qu B., Dou S., Li L., Yin D.D., Pang Z.Q., Zhou Z.Z., Tian M.M., Liu G.Z., Xie Q., Tang D.Z., Chen X.W. & Zhu L. (2015) The E3 ligase OsPUB15 interacts with the receptor-like kinase PID2 and regulates plant cell death and innate immunity. *Bmc Plant Biology*, **15**.
- Wang Q., Sullivan R.W., Kight A., Henry R.L., Huang J.R., Jones A.M. & Korth K.L. (2004) Deletion of the chloroplast-localized Thylakoid formation1 gene product in

Arabidopsis leads to deficient thylakoid formation and variegated leaves. *Plant Physiology*, **136**, 3594-3604.

Whigham E., Qi S., Mistry D., Surana P., Xu R., Fuerst G., Pliego C., Bindschedler L.V., Spanu P.D., Dickerson J.A., Innes R.W., Nettleton D., Bogdanove A.J. & Wise R.P. (2015) Broadly Conserved Fungal Effector BEC1019 Suppresses Host Cell Death and Enhances Pathogen Virulence in Powdery Mildew of Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, **28**, 968-983.

Wicker T., Mayer K.F.X., Gundlach H., Martis M., Steuernagel B., Scholz U., Simkova H., Kubalakova M., Choulet F., Taudien S., Platzer M., Feuillet C., Fahima T., Budak H., Dolezel J., Keller B. & Stein N. (2011) Frequent Gene Movement and Pseudogene Evolution Is Common to the Large and Complex Genomes of Wheat, Barley, and Their Relatives. *Plant Cell*, **23**, 1706-1718.

Woodson J.D., Joens M.S., Sinson A.B., Gilkerson J., Salom P.A., Weigel D., Fitzpatrick J.A. & Chory J. (2015) Ubiquitin facilitates a quality-control pathway that removes damaged chloroplasts. *Science*, **350**, 450-454.

Yamatani H., Sato Y., Masuda Y., Kato Y., Morita R., Fukunaga K., Nagamura Y., Nishimura M., Sakamoto W., Tanaka A. & Kusaba M. (2013) NYC4, the rice ortholog of Arabidopsis THF1, is involved in the degradation of chlorophyll protein complexes during leaf senescence. *Plant Journal*, **74**, 652-662.

Zeng L.R., Park C.H., Venu R.C., Gough J. & Wang G.L. (2008) Classification, expression pattern, and E3 ligase activity assay of rice U-box-containing proteins. *Molecular Plant*, **1**, 800-815.

Zhou J., Lu D., Xu G., Finlayson S.A., He P. & Shan L. (2015) The dominant negative ARM domain uncovers multiple functions of PUB13 in Arabidopsis immunity, flowering, and senescence. *J Exp Bot*, **66**, 3353-3366.

Zimmermann G., Baumlein H., Mock H.P., Himmelbach A. & Schweizer P. (2006) The multigene family encoding germin-like proteins of barley. Regulation and function in basal host resistance. *Plant Physiology*, **142**, 181-192.

800

801

TABLES

Table 1: Sequence overview of *HvPUB15* and its partial duplicate *HvARM1* in the barley genome.

Identifier	<i>HvPUB15</i>	<i>HvARM1</i>
cDNA clone ID	HO23D08	HO14H18
HarvEST assembly #35 unigene Nr.	3072	3071
Full-coding sequence cDNA Acc. Nr.	AK361754	AK371875
Morex WGS contig Acc. Nr. ^a	CAJW010005672	CAJX010121345
Barke WGS contig Acc. Nr. ^a	CAJV010187631	CAJV010188692
Bowman WGS contig Acc. Nr. ^a	CAJX010851782	CAJX010121345
High confidence barley gene ID ^a	HORVU3Hr1G113910	HORVU3Hr1G081380
Chromosome ^b	3HL	3HL
Position (Mbp) ^b	689,57	594,73
Syntenic to <i>B.distachyon</i> , <i>O.sativa</i> , <i>S.bicolor</i>	No	No
Syntenic to <i>A. tauschii</i>	Yes	No

^aMost significant BlastN result with 99-100% identity to genomic sequence of barley (<http://webblast.ipk-gatersleben.de/barley/>).

^bBased on high-confidence (HC)-gene mapping of the barley reference sequence (Mascher *et al.*, 2017).

Table 2: Marker-trait associations of *HvARM1* and *HvPUB15* in diverse collections of cultivated *H. vulgare* ssp. *vulgare*.

Gene	Population ^a	Trait ^b	Marker ^c	minus log(p) ^d	Holm corr. p ^e
HvARM1	WHEALBI_LRC	PM_max_2_isol rel_Rol	H02	2,893	0,0051
HvARM1	WHEALBI_LRC	PM_max_2_isol rel_MRX	H02	2,848	0,0057
HvARM1	WHEALBI_LRC	PM_JKI_75_rel_MRX	H02	2,418	0,0153
HvARM1	WHEALBI_LRC	PM_max_2_isol rel_Rol	S3H_594732776	2,893	0,0051
HvARM1	WHEALBI_LRC	PM_max_2_isol rel_MRX	S3H_594732776	2,848	0,0057
HvARM1	WHEALBI_LRC	PM_JKI_75_rel_MRX	S3H_594732776	2,418	0,0153
HvARM1	WHEALBI_CULT	PM_JKI_75_rel_Rol	S3H_594731277	3,826	0,0006
HvARM1	WHEALBI_CULT	PM_JKI_75_rel_MRX	S3H_594731277	3,460	0,0014
HvARM1	WHEALBI_CULT	PM_max_2_isol rel_MRX	S3H_594731277	3,374	0,0017
HvARM1	WHEALBI_CULT	PM_JKI_75_rel_Rol	H01	3,826	0,0004
HvARM1	WHEALBI_CULT	PM_JKI_75_rel_MRX	H01	3,460	0,0010
HvARM1	WHEALBI_CULT	PM_max_2_isol rel_MRX	H01	3,374	0,0013
HvPUB15	WHEALBI_LRC	PM_JKI_242_rel_Rol	H10	0,871	0,5379
HvPUB15	WHEALBI_LRC	PM_JKI_242_rel_Rol	H11	0,684	0,6203
HvPUB15	WHEALBI_LRC	PM_max_2_isol rel_MRX	H10	0,599	1
HvPUB15	WHEALBI_LRC	PM_JKI_242_rel_Rol	S3H_689574119	0,814	1
HvPUB15	WHEALBI_LRC	PM_JKI_242_rel_Rol	S3H_689574678	0,814	1
HvPUB15	WHEALBI_LRC	PM_JKI_242_rel_Rol	S3H_689575062	0,814	1
HvPUB15	WHEALBI_CULT	PM_max_2_isol rel_Rol	S3H_689573944	1,055	1
HvPUB15	WHEALBI_CULT	PM_JKI_75_rel_Rol	S3H_689573944	1,002	1
HvPUB15	WHEALBI_CULT	PM_JKI_75_rel_MRX	S3H_689573776	0,925	1
HvPUB15	WHEALBI_CULT	PM_JKI_75_rel_MRX	H10	0,511	0,926
HvPUB15	WHEALBI_CULT	PM_max_2_isol rel_MRX	H10	0,372	1
HvPUB15	WHEALBI_CULT	PM_max_2_isol rel_Rol	H11	0,359	1

^aCULT, cultivars; LRC, landraces.

^bThree different powdery mildew (PM) traits were recorded: (1) infection caused by isolate JKI_75 relative to internal reference genotypes Morex (MRX) or Roland (Rol); (2) infection caused by isolate JKI_242 relative to MRX or Rol; (3) Maximum infection caused by either isolate relative to MRX or Rol.

^cPer population and gene the three most significant haplotype-trait as well as SNP-trait associations are shown; H, haplotype; S, SNP.

^dNegative log(10) of p value for the null hypothesis of a marker-trait association.

^eValues >1 of multiple-testing corrected p-values are replaced by 1; number of SNP or haplotypes per gene = number of tests.

Table 3: Reduced nonhost resistance of *HvARM1*-silenced transgenic *H. vulgare* plants against *B. graminis* f.sp. *tritici*.

Genotype ^a	Colonies/leaf segment ^b	N ^c	p-value ^d
Wildtype (G. Promise)	0.380 ± 0.307	25	<0.0001
Azygous control	3.332 ± 0.686	78	
BG107/2E01-Line-3	4.153 ± 1.010	37	0.2518
BG94/3E05-Line-15&20 ^e	17.510 ± 7.582	47	0.0345
BG107/2E09-Line-13	16.310 ± 7.067	28	0.0392

^aTransgenic- and null-segregant plants are from the T3 generation.

^bMicrocolonies were counted 7 days after inoculation under the light microscope. Total number of colonies per leaf segments that were cut to the same length. Mean values ± SEM.

^cNumber of leaf segments (plants).

^dt-test (1-tailed) against the azygous control, asking for enhanced colony number.

^eData from T3 progeny of two T2 sister lines were pooled in order to reach a sufficiently large number of tested individuals.

Table 4: Effect of TIGS and transient over-expression of *HvARM1* and genes encoding its interacting proteins on QR against *B. graminis* f.sp. *hordei*.

Bombarded gene	Proposed function	TIGS			Transient OEX		
		Rel. SI (log2) ^a	p (t- test) ^b	n	Rel. SI (log2) ^c	p (t-test) ^b	n
HORVU3Hr1G113910	U-box/ARM E3 protein ligase (HvPUB15)	0.17 ± 0.41	0.6950	5	0.26 ± 0.19	0.2316	7
HORVU3Hr1G081380	ARM-repeat protein (HvARM1)	1.16 ± 0.22	0.0126	4	-0.01 ± 0.21	0.9516	5
HORVU3Hr1G117760	DNAj	0.004 ± 0.41	0.9927	5			
HORVU2Hr1G041260	Thylakoid-formation 1 (Thf1)	-1.35 ± 0.59	0.0689	6	0.47 ± 12.1	0.0035	6
HORVU7Hr1G020580	Cadmium tolerant protease	-0.19 ± 0.35	0.6190	5			
HORVU2Hr1G080670	Dynein ligh-chain protein	-0.30 ± 0.42	0.5232	5			
HORVU3Hr1G059130	Serine/threonine kinase	-0.85 ± 0.63	0.2461	5			
HORVU2Hr1G003460	ATP-dependent Clp-protease adaptor (ClpS1)	-0.60 ± 0.41	0.2168	5	0.70 ± 0.06	8.43E-5	6
HORVU7Hr1G008760 ^d	Syntaxin HvSNAP34	1.14 ± 0.25	0.0050	5			
TaPrx103 ^e	Class III peroxidase TaPrx103				-1.06 ± 0.15	6.61E-6	14

^aRelative to the pIPKTA30 internal empty vector control.

^bOne-sample t-test (2-tailed) of log2-transformed relative SI against the hypothetical value “0”.

^cRelative to the pIPKTA09 internal empty vector control.

^dTIGS of this target gene enhances susceptibility to *Bgh* and served as positive control (Douchkov *et al.*, 2005).

^eTransient or stable overexpression of this gene enhances resistance in barley and wheat against *B. graminis* and served as positive control (Schweizer *et al.*, 1999).

Statistically significant effects are highlighted in bold.

Table 5: Transient over-expression of *HvARM1* enhances resistance in *T. aestivum* against *B. graminis* f.sp. *tritici*.

Bombarded gene	Relative SI (log2) ^a	p (t-test) ^b	n ^c
HvARM1	-0.37 ± 0.12	0.0107	16
HvARM1(-ATG) ^d	0.19 ± 0.13	0.2168	5

^aSusceptibility index, normalized to the empty-vector control pIPKTA09 and log2-transformed.

^bOne-sample t-test (2-tailed) of log2-transformed relative SI against the hypothetical value “0”.

^cNumber of independent bombardment experiments.

^dNegative control construct without translation start codon.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Asexual life cycle of *Blumeria graminis* and the assessment of fungal development in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*).

For transient-induced gene silencing (TIGS) and for transient over-expression (OEX), initial haustorium (feeding cell) formation in transformed, GUS-expressing epidermal cells of barley or wheat is completed 1 day after inoculation (dai) and was assessed under the microscope 40 h after inoculation. For the characterization of transgenic plants, early developing colonies were stained, counted and normalized to the analysed leaf area at 2 dai. The asexual life cycle is completed by the appearance of macroscopically visible, sporulating colonies (pustules) 5-7 dai, which can be estimated or quantified as percentage of pustule-covered leaf area.

Figure 2: A partial duplication of the E3 ligase gene *PUB15* in *Triticeae* species gave rise to *ARM1*.

(a) Schematic view of the genomic structure of HvPUB15 and its partial duplicate HvARM1. Red boxes and blue lines represent exon and intron sequences, respectively. The region of high sequence homology is indicated by light gray shading. **(b)** DNA-sequence alignment around the translational start of *ARM1* from *Triticeae* species. The two proposed translation start sites of *ARM1* are inside the red frames. Percent sequence identity per nucleotide is indicated by grey shading (black, 100% identity). Acc-Nr: *AetARM1*, XM_020296982; *HvARM1*, AK371875; *ScARM1*, KM881628; *TuARM1*, AOTI010733077; *AetPUB15*, XM_020311842; *HvPUB15*, AK361754; *ScPUB15*, KM881629; *TuPUB15*, AOTI010384858. **(c)** Protein sequence alignment of PUB15 and ARM1 at the N-terminus of ARM1. Acc. Nr: *AetARM1*, EMT05611; *HvARM1*, BAK03073; *ScARM1*, KM881628; *TuARM1*, EMS55038; *AetPUB15*, EMT16948; *HvPUB15*, BAJ92958; *ScPUB15*, KM881629; *TuPUB15*, EMS61710. **(d)** Phylogenetic trees of PUB15 protein sequences based on alignment from the N-terminus to the start of the overlapping part with ARM1, and of both proteins based on alignment of

overlapping PUB15 and ARM1 sequences. A neighbour-joining tree without pre-determined outgroup was calculated, and bootstrap values (in percent) based on 1000 re-iterations plus tree depth (in changes per amino-acid position) are indicated by numbers and scale bar, respectively. **(e)** Conservative selection at the armadillo-repeat domain of *ARM1* among *Triticeae* species. The ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous nucleotide exchanges (Ka/Ks) among *PUB15* and *ARM1* genes of four *Triticeae* species was calculated in a stepwise sliding window of 120 nucleotides. Deviation of the mean Ka/Ks ratios of all six pairwise species comparisons from the null-hypothetical value “1” was tested by t-test. NS, not significantly different from 1 ($p>0.01$, 2-tailed). **(a-e)** Species binomial abbreviations: *Aet*, *Aegilops tauschii* (wild wheat); *Hv*, *Hordeum vulgare* (barley); *Sc*, *Secale cereale* (rye), *Ta*, *Triticum aestivum* (wheat).

Figure 3: Silencing of *HvARM1* and *HvPUB15* in *H. vulgare* affects quantitative resistance against *B. graminis* f. sp. *hordei*.

(a) Transcript abundance of the *HvARM1* target gene and its possible off-target *HvPUB15* was determined by RT-qPCR in RNA from leaves of non-inoculated plants. Normalized transcript abundance relative to the *HvUBC* reference gene encoding an E2 ubiquitin conjugating enzyme (see “Materials and Methods”) was further normalized to the mean value of azygous segregants (set to “1”). Single T2-plant-derived T3 sister lines are indicated by small letters a or b. Mean values $\pm$ SE from 3 biological replicates (batches of plants sown on different dates) are shown. **(b)** Detached second leaves of T3 transgenic barley RNAi plants were inoculated with *Bgh* and infection was assessed microscopically 48 hours after inoculation. Data represent normalized colony density (number/cm²/median of azygous control per experiment) $\pm$ SE from 2-3 biological replications. Differences between transgenic events and azygous plants are indicated by asterisks. *, $p<0.05$; ***, $p<0.0005$ (student’s t-test; two-tailed). **(c)** Growth phenotypes of *HvARM1*-silenced transgenic seedlings from event BG94/3E06 exhibiting more severe seedling lethality (not used for *Bgh*-interaction phenotyping in T3 generation) and of adult plants from event BG107/2E01 that was used for

Bgh-resistance tests. Plant numbers 1, 2 and 3 show examples of seedlings with lethal, growth-retarded and wildtype-like growth phenotypes.

Figure 4: Proteins of *H. vulgare* interacting with HvARM1 and HvPUB15 in the yeast-two-hybrid system (Y2H).

(a) Full-length HvARM1 was used as bait in a Y2H screening of a cDNA library derived from *Bgh*-attacked barley leaves. Growth of yeast on SD-Leu/-Trp confirms the presence of both bait and prey vectors for protein expression. Growth on SD-Leu/-Trp/-His/-Ade indicates protein-protein interaction. No growth of empty bait vector + candidate prey confirms the absence of autoactivation of any prey construct for six final candidates. **(b)** Two of the six candidate protein interactors of HvARM1 also interact with full-length HvPUB15.

Figure 5: Bimolecular functional complementation (BiFC) of YFP by *H. vulgare* proteins interacting with HvARM1 and HvPUB15 *in vivo*.

BiFC by HvPUB15- and HvARM1-interacting proteins in *N. benthamiana* leaves after infiltration of *A. tumefaciens* strains carrying the protein interaction partners fused C-terminally to split halves of YFP. BF, bright field; -SP, with deleted N-terminal plastid import signal; VenN, N-terminal half of the stabilized YFP version “Venus”; VenC, C-terminal half of the YFP “Venus”. Scale bars, 20 µm.

Figure 6: Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) of *H. vulgare* proteins interacting with HvARM1 and HvPUB15 *in vivo*.

Co-IP of antibody-tagged barley proteins in *A. thaliana* mesophyll protoplasts. YFP-fused *HvARM1* and *HvPUB15* were co-expressed with cMyc-tagged HvThf1 and HvClpS1, respectively for each interaction. Co-IP was performed using anti-YFP antibodies and total proteins extracted from *A. thaliana* protoplasts.

Figure 7: Degradation of HvThf1:YFP by over-expression of HvPUB15.

The numbers of HvThf1:YFP- or HvClpS1:YFP-expressing epidermal cells was determined in transient co-expression experiments in presence or absence of HvPUB15 or HvARM1. Data represents $\log_2$ -transformed values normalized to the empty-vector control. Bars indicates mean $\pm$ SEM of 3-4 independent bombardment series; P values for the null hypothesis are indicated (one sample t-test, two tailed).

Figure 8: Genome-wide search for expressed, partial gene duplicates.

(a) Transcript regulation in peeled barley leaf epidermis by Bgh (host) or Bgt (nonhost). Total RNA was isolated at the time indicated after inoculation and subjected to hybridization to the Barley Gene Expression Array of Agilent. For the link of full-length cDNA accession No. to Agilent probe IDs, see supporting Table S2. Transcript data have been submitted to ArrayExpress (Acc. E-MTAB-2916). Hierarchical clustering of gene-median-centered, normalized signal intensities is shown. The color scale ranges from $\log(2)$ -1.5 to 1.5. Mean signal intensities from three independent inoculation experiments are shown. **(b)** cDNA Alignment example of a DUF4228 protein and its proposed partial duplicate. **(c)** Protein alignment of the translated sequences of cDNAs shown in (b). Red and violet bars indicate the DUF4228 domain and a perfect repeat of a highly hydrophilic protein motif, respectively.

Figure 9: Model of the HvThf1-related functions of HvARM1 and HvPUB15 in powdery-mildew attacked *H. vulgare*.

The model is centered on the proposed susceptibility factor HvThf1 for *Bgh*. The Thf1 protein has been proposed as target to the necrotrophic toxin A of *P. tritici-repentis* (Ptr ToxA). The question mark relates to the open possibilities that HvARM1 either directly affects the susceptibility-related function of HvThf1 by binding to it, or that it protects HvPUB15 from putative *Bgh* effector attack.

FIGURES

Figure 1: Asexual life cycle of *Blumeria gramininis* and assessment of fungal development in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*).

Figure 3: Silencing of *HvARM1* and *HvPUB15* in *H. vulgare* affects quantitative resistance against *B. graminis* f. sp. *hordei*.

Figure 4: Proteins of *H. vulgare* interacting with HvARM1 and HvPUB15 in the yeast-two-hybrid system (Y2H).

Figure 5: Bimolecular functional complementation (BiFC) of YFP by *H. vulgare* proteins interacting with HvARM1 and HvPUB15 *in vivo*.

Figure 6: Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) of *H. vulgare* proteins interacting with HvARM1 and HvPUB15 *in vivo*.

Figure 7: Degradation of HvThf1:YFP by over-expression of HvPUB15.

Figure 8: Genome-wide search for expressed, partial gene duplicates.

Figure 9: Model of the HvThf1-related functions of HvARM1 and HvPUB15.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S1: Alignment of *HvPUB15* and *HvARM1* genomic sequences.

Figure S2: Protein alignment of HvARM1 to HvPUB15.

Figure S3: Off-target prediction in transgenic barley carrying the RNAi hairpin construct pIPKb009 for silencing of *HvARM1*.

Figure S4: Expression of *HvARM1* endogenous transcripts in powdery mildew-attacked barley epidermis.

Figure S5: Localization of YFP-tagged proteins in barley epidermal cells.

Figure S6: In vitro ubiquitin ligase activity of HvPUB15.

Figure S7: Additional controls for BiFC in *N. bentaminiana* leaves.

Figure S8: Quantification of BiFC signals in *N. benaminiana* leaves.

Figure S9: Additional, array-based transcript regulation data of selected genes in powdery mildew-attacked barley leaves.

Figure S10: Plasmid map of pIPKTA48 for the subcellular localization of N-terminal fusion proteins with YFP.

Figure S11: Plasmid map of pIPKTA49 for the subcellular localization of C-terminal fusion proteins with YFP.

Table S1: Passport data of the plant accessions used for association genetic analysis.

Table S2: SNP calls and derived gene haplotypes based on Exome Capture re-sequencing of the barley genome.

Table S3: Interacting candidates from yeast-2-hybrid screening.

Table S4: Genome-wide search for pairs of partially duplicated genes.

Table S5: Primary signal intensity data from GeneSpring analysis of Agilent 44K Gene Expression array of two selected transcripts shown in supporting Figure S9.

Table S6: PCR and TaqMan primers used in the study.

Methods S1: More detailed description of Materials and Methods used for the study.