1 **Modernized Tools for Streamlined Genetic Manipulation of Wild and Diverse Symbiotic** 2 **Bacteria**

3 Travis J. Wiles^{1,*}, Elena S. Wall¹, Brandon H. Schlomann^{1,2}, Edouard A. Hay², Raghuveer
4 Parthasarathy^{1,2}, and Karen Guillemin^{1,3}

5 ¹Institute of Molecular Biology, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon, United States of
6 America

7 ²Department of Physics and Materials Science Institute, University of Oregon, Eugene,
8 Oregon, United States of America

9 ³Humans and the Microbiome Program, Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, Toronto,
10 Ontario M5G 1Z8, Canada

11 *Correspondence: twiles@uoregon.edu

12 **ABSTRACT**

13 The capacity to associate symbiotic bacteria with vital aspects of plant and animal biology is
 14 outpacing our understanding of the mechanisms shaping these interactions. A major barrier to
 15 mechanistic studies is the paucity of tools for genetically manipulating wild and diverse
 16 bacterial isolates. Solving this problem is crucial to elucidating the cellular and molecular rules
 17 that govern symbiotic relationships and ultimately harnessing them for agricultural and
 18 biomedical applications. Therefore, we constructed a series of vectors that expedite genetic
 19 knock-in and knock-out procedures across a range of bacterial lineages. This was
 20 accomplished by developing strategies for domestication-free bacterial conjugation, designing
 21 plasmids with customizable features, and streamlining allelic exchange using visual markers of
 22 homologous recombination. These tools enabled a comparative study based on live imaging of
 23 diverse bacterial symbionts native to the zebrafish intestine, with which we discovered
 24 heterogeneous colonization patterns and a striking correlation between bacterial population
 25 biogeography and cellular behavior.

26 INTRODUCTION

27 High-throughput metagenomic sequencing has exposed the previously unseen diversity of
 28 symbiotic bacteria that live in close contact with plants and animals throughout the
 29 biosphere^{1,2}. Associations are being made at breakneck speed between the membership and
 30 activity of resident bacteria and the health, development, and evolution of their hosts^{3–7}.
 31 However, the cataloging of symbiotic relationships—whether mutualistic, commensal, or
 32 pathogenic—is vastly outpacing their cellular and molecular interrogation^{8,9}. Elucidating the
 33 mechanisms by which symbiotic bacteria live and interact with each other and their hosts will
 34 inform how they can be harnessed for agricultural and biomedical applications^{2,10–12}.

35 Characterizing the biology of symbiotic bacteria requires methods for precisely
 36 manipulating their genomes. For example, stable chromosomal insertion of genes encoding
 37 fluorescent proteins allows cellular behaviors and interactions to be directly observed within
 38 native host-associated environments^{13,14}. Additionally, gene deletion and complementation
 39 studies are essential for rigorously dissecting the genetic pathways that control specific
 40 phenotypes¹⁵. Such knock-in and knock-out technologies have long been mainstays in
 41 microbiology labs working with entrenched model organisms like *E. coli*, but established
 42 genetic approaches are often inadequate for manipulating wild and novel species or strains¹⁶.
 43 This is largely because legacy protocols can involve cumbersome and outdated procedures
 44 that are difficult to use across lineages. Consequently, the in-depth study of most symbiotic
 45 bacteria remains out of reach.

46 A major bottleneck within the field of symbiosis research is that locating appropriate
 47 genetic tools and methods or developing them de novo are arduous and time-consuming
 48 tasks. This problem is especially burdensome for investigators aiming to manipulate multiple

49 bacterial lineages derived from complex communities. To overcome these barriers, we have
50 employed the zebrafish intestinal microbiota as a source of wild and diverse symbiotic
51 bacteria¹⁷—which includes representatives of the *Vibrio*, *Aeromonas*, *Pseudomonas*,
52 *Acinetobacter*, *Enterobacter*, and *Plesiomonas* genera—to develop and test streamlined tools
53 and methods for bacterial genetic manipulation. We identified three main deficiencies inherent
54 to current genetic approaches that if resolved, will immediately improve the genetic tractability
55 of many bacteria. First, although conjugation is a robust and reliable method for delivering
56 DNA into bacteria, strategies for selecting individual cells carrying the transferred DNA are not
57 broadly compatible between different lineages and sometimes rely on deleterious
58 domestication steps. Second, most vectors used for making genetic manipulations are not
59 readily customized, which restricts their versatility and prevents further innovation. And third,
60 techniques for generating chromosomal modifications via allelic exchange often depend on
61 specific selection conditions that can vary between bacterial lineages and are difficult to
62 troubleshoot when they fail. To address these shortcomings, we rationally designed a
63 centralized set of genetic engineering vectors with new and updated functionalities. For DNA
64 delivery, we developed alternative schemes for post-conjugation counterselection that avoid
65 initial domestication of engineered bacteria, thereby preserving their natural physiology and
66 behavior. For customization, we designed gene expression scaffolds with interchangeable
67 sequence elements that can be tailored to different bacterial genomes, and with these
68 produced a variety of ready-made vectors for fluorescently tagging bacteria. Moreover, an
69 extensive collection of marked zebrafish intestinal symbionts was generated during this work
70 that will accelerate research in the growing zebrafish–microbiota community. Lastly, we

devised a means of visually following homologous recombination events during allelic exchange protocols for more tractable generation of markerless chromosomal alterations.

To demonstrate the potential of these modernized tools to uncover new aspects of host–microbe interactions, we examined the colonization patterns of several bacterial symbionts native to the larval zebrafish intestine by light sheet fluorescence microscopy¹⁸. The intestinal microbiota is an especially important target for exploration because of its impact on host health and disease; however, its phylogenetic diversity and concealed location make it difficult to investigate in situ by conventional techniques. Unexpectedly, live imaging of bacterial symbiont behavior within larval zebrafish revealed that genome sequences and in vitro-based phenotypes were poor predictors of whether a given bacterium exhibits free-swimming motility in vivo. Most strikingly, we also discovered a general relationship between the growth mode of individual bacteria and their overall biogeography; namely, the average location of a population along the intestinal tract is strongly correlated with the fraction of planktonic cells it contains. In addition to revealing the existence of previously undocumented interactions within a vertebrate intestine, this exploratory experiment underscores how tools for genetically manipulating diverse bacterial symbionts facilitates comparative studies involving multiple species.

In total, the tools and step by step protocols described here will empower a wide range of researchers studying different host–microbe systems as well as free-living bacteria to explore deeper into the inner workings of wild and novel bacterial isolates. Our solutions equally enhance the genetic manipulation of both established and newly emerging model bacterial lineages and will speed the research pipeline from metagenomics to mechanistic microbiology.

RESULTS

The lack of compatible post-conjugation counterselection strategies and the deleterious nature of bacterial domestication

The process of genetically manipulating a bacterium typically begins with the delivery of recombinant DNA into its cell. Several methods can be used for this purpose, but conjugation or bacterial mating—which is the transfer of DNA from a donor cell to a target cell—is highly efficient and versatile, working with a wide range of bacterial lineages. During this procedure, successfully modified target cells are made drug resistant to facilitate their selective recovery. However, a constraint of conjugation is that it also depends on a strategy for simultaneously counterselecting against the donor cells that harbor the same drug resistance. This can be problematic when dealing with novel and uncharacterized bacteria because counterselection schemes often rely on known aspects of a target strain’s physiology. For example, many donor strains (e.g., *E. coli* SM10) are auxotrophic for amino acids and vitamins and thus, can be counterselected on defined growth media lacking specific metabolites. But for this approach to work the target strain cannot itself be auxotrophic and the right mixture of nutrients and ions must be formulated, which is not always straightforward due to the complex metabolic needs of different bacteria. We found that this drawback represents an immediate hurdle when working with several zebrafish-derived bacterial symbionts. None of the isolates we aimed to genetically manipulate could grow on a standardized defined growth medium (i.e., M9 minimal media) that is used for *E. coli* SM10 counterselection. This ultimately meant that customized counterselection media would need to be painstakingly developed for each new target strain.

Faced with this scenario, we turned to domestication, which is the process of modifying target strains with a selective trait that is not expressed by the donor. This is commonly done

118 by isolating target strain variants with spontaneous antibiotic resistance. However, despite the
119 wide use of this technique, antibiotic resistances associated with domestication (e.g., to
120 rifampicin or streptomycin) typically arise because of mutations within critical cellular
121 machinery, such as RNA polymerase or components of the ribosome, which can severely
122 impair the natural physiology of bacteria and render them unsuitable for study^{19–25}. Consistent
123 with the deleterious consequences of domestication, we found that a rifampicin-resistant
124 variant of the zebrafish intestinal symbiont *Vibrio cholerae* ZWU0020, denoted ZWU0020R,
125 exhibits highly perturbed growth kinetics in vitro (Figure 1A). In addition, although
126 domestication of *Vibrio* ZWU0020 did allow us to successfully insert a gene encoding green
127 fluorescent protein (GFP) within its genome, this modification further aggravated its poor
128 growth phenotype (Figure 1A). As another assessment of ZWU0020R's altered physiology, we
129 characterized its motility phenotype and observed that it displays attenuated swimming in soft
130 agar compared to wild-type (Figure 1B). To determine if altered swimming is a phenotype of all
131 rifampicin-resistant *Vibrio* ZWU0020 variants, we inspected the motility phenotype of four
132 independently derived clones. Interestingly, two of the clones are attenuated like the original
133 ZWU0020R strain, whereas the other two perform similar to wild-type, suggesting that they
134 may carry alternative and/or compensatory mutations (Figure 1C). Altogether, our experience
135 attempting to genetically manipulate a variety of novel zebrafish bacterial symbionts using
136 conventional approaches highlights the limitations of current counterselection strategies and
137 the deleterious nature of domestication.

138

139

Figure 1

140

141 **Figure 1.** Domestication results in physiological defects. (A) Plotted is the average optical
142 density at 600nm (OD₆₀₀) vs. time (hours) of wild-type (WT) *Vibrio* ZWU0020, and its
143 rifampicin-domesticated derivatives ZWU0020R and ZWU0020R^{attTn7::GFP}, during shaking
144 growth in LB broth at 30°C. Range bars are based on four technical replicates. (B) Swim
145 motility of WT and ZWU0020R (R) in 0.2% tryptic soy agar at 30°C. (C) Swim motility of four
146 rifampicin-resistant (*rif*) *Vibrio* ZWU0020 variants compared to WT and ZWU0020R performed
147 as in B.

148

149

150 **Temperature and kill switch-based systems for domestication-free counterselection of**
151 **donor cells.**

152 To address the lack of adequate post-conjugation counterselection methods, we set out to
153 develop strategies that are technically straightforward and not reliant on inherent or
154 domesticated traits of target strains. We devised two plasmid-based counterselection systems

that control donor cell growth by a mechanism similar to that of common suicide vectors. The first system is temperature-based and works through a temperature-sensitive origin of replication that restricts donor cell growth in the presence of antibiotic selection at or above 37°C. Temperature-based control of plasmid replication is well established, but has not been widely implemented as a method of post-conjugation counterselection despite its amenability and previous indications that it can be used in this way²⁶. The second system restricts donor cell growth through a genetic kill switch that, when induced, leads to the expression of three toxic peptides. These two approaches differ in their mode of action and offer slightly different procedural advantages. Notably, we chose to develop plasmid-based counterselection systems because their portability allows the use of alternative donor strains. To initially test the utility of each counterselection system, we incorporated them into existing vectors that are commonly used for making targeted Tn7 transposon-based chromosomal insertions²⁷.

Temperature-based counterselection was achieved by replacing the R6K origin of replication of the Tn7-tagging vector pUC18R6KT-mini-Tn7T-GM (pTW56) with the temperature-sensitive origin of replication *ori*₁₀₁/*repA*101^{ts}²⁸ (Figure 2A). The resulting vector, pTn7xTS (**T**emperature-**S**ensitive), mediates temperature-dependent growth of *E. coli* SM10 (Figure 2-Figure Supplement 1). At the permissive temperature of 30°C, SM10/pTn7xTS grows normally on rich media in the presence of antibiotic selection. At the restrictive temperature of 37°C, the vector is unable to be maintained, leading to loss of antibiotic resistance and a drop in viability by up to three orders of magnitude (Figure 2-Figure Supplement 1). In the context of an example Tn7-tagging protocol, conjugation is performed at 30°C without antibiotic selection between two SM10 donor strains and a *Vibrio* target strain (Figure 2B, left). The SM10 donors carry either pTn7xTS (donor^{Tn}) or the transposase-encoding helper plasmid pTNS2

(donor^{helper}). At this point in the procedure, only the donor^{Tn} strain is resistant to the selective antibiotic being used, which in this scenario is gentamicin. Successfully modified *Vibrio* cells harboring a chromosomal copy of the Tn7 transposon, along with the gentamicin resistance gene it encodes, are then selected for by plating the mating mixture in the presence of gentamicin at 37°C (Figure 2B, right). The donor^{Tn} strain is counterselected because it is unable to maintain plasmid-based resistance at 37°C, whereas the donor^{helper} strain remains sensitive to gentamicin throughout the procedure.

A strength of temperature-based counterselection is that it is technically simple, requiring only a shift in growth temperature, but it is limited to target strains that can grow at 37°C. This constraint is problematic for several bacterial lineages native to zebrafish as well as other ectotherms, such as stickleback or fruit flies, which cannot survive at temperatures above the growth temperature of their host (in these cases, $\leq 30^{\circ}\text{C}$). Therefore, we developed a second strategy based on an inducible kill switch that functions independently of growth temperature.

The kill switch we designed consists of two elements: a constitutively expressed *lacI* gene, which encodes the lac repressor, and a synthetic operon containing three *E. coli*-derived genes encoding toxic peptides—HokB, GhoT, and TisB—placed under the control of the LacI-repressible promoter P_{tac} (Figure 2-Figure Supplement 2A)^{29–33}. Upon induction by the allolactose analogue isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) these toxic peptides act to disrupt the proton-motive force within donor cells, leading to impaired ATP synthesis and death. We built this kill switch counterselection system into the backbone of the Tn7-tagging vector pUC18T-mini-Tn7T-GM (pTW54), producing pTn7xKS (**K**ill **S**witch) (Figure 2C). In the presence of antibiotic selection and IPTG, pTn7xKS is capable of inhibiting SM10 growth by up

to four orders of magnitude (Figure 2-Figure Supplement 2B). Of note, initial kill switch prototypes carrying only a single toxin gene were less potent, which may be an important consideration in future kill switch designs (Figure 2-Figure Supplement 2B). In the context of a Tn7-tagging scenario, kill switch-based counterselection is carried out in much the same way as temperature-based counterselection, except that selection of modified target cells is done on media containing IPTG at a growth temperature suitable for the target strain being used (Figure 2D).

Figure 2

Figure 2. Construction and application of domestication-free counterselection systems. **(A)** Temperature-based counterselection was achieved by replacing the R6K origin of replication (*ori_{R6K}*) of pUC18R6KT-mini-Tn7T-GM (pTW56) with the temperature-sensitive origin of replication *ori₁₀₁/repA101^{ts}*. Tn7L and Tn7R inverted repeats flank the Tn7 transposon (gray stroke). *gent^R*, gentamicin resistance gene; *amp^R*, ampicillin resistance gene; *oriT*, origin of transfer. **(B)** Left: triparental conjugation between SM10 donor strains carrying either a temperature-sensitive Tn7-tagging vector (donor^{Tn}) or transposase helper vector (donor^{helper}) and a *Vibrio* target strain. Gentamicin (gent) phenotype of each strain is indicated as resistant (R) or sensitive (S). Mating reactions are incubated at 30°C upon a filter disc on a tryptic soy

agar (TSA) plate. Right: post-conjugation counterselection of donor cells is done on TSA/ gent plates at 37°C. **(C)** Kill switch-based counterselection was achieved by inserting a LacI-regulated toxin array, comprised of the genes *hokB*, *ghoT*, and *tisB*, into the backbone of pUC18T-mini-Tn7T-GM (pTW54). *ori_{ColE1}*, high copy number origin of replication. **(D)** Left: triparental conjugation as in **B**, except donor^{Tn} carries a kill switch Tn7-tagging vector. Right: post-conjugation counterselection of donor cells is done on TSA/ gent/ IPTG plates at 30°C.

Chromosomal insertion of rationally designed gene expression scaffolds into wild and uncharacterized bacterial lineages using domestication-free counterselection systems.

To test the effectiveness of our domestication-free counterselection systems, we employed them to integrate genetically encoded fluorescent proteins into the chromosome of various uncharacterized zebrafish bacterial symbionts. However, while exploring available gene expression constructs, we found that many are inflexible and inadequately designed. Specifically, vectors often contain extraneous DNA sequences left over from previous imprecise subcloning procedures and have little to no options for customizing important sequence motifs. The ability to customize expression constructs is critical when working with lineages that differ in, for instance, optimal promoter sequences or ribosome binding sites. Therefore, we first addressed the need for standardized expression constructs by rationally designing a modular gene expression scaffold.

An expression scaffold containing four interchangeable elements—a promoter, 5' and 3' untranslated regions (UTR), and an open reading frame (ORF)—was built into the multiple cloning site (mcs) of pGEN-mcs³⁴, producing pXS (eXpression Scaffolds) (Figure 3). pGEN-mcs was chosen to house the expression scaffold because it enables fast and easy prototyping of scaffold parts in *E. coli*, which like many zebrafish bacterial symbionts, is a member of the Gammaproteobacteria and shares basic genetic control elements. Restriction sites underlie

the modular architecture of the scaffold and allow each part to be customized (Figure 3-Figure Supplement 1A). Sequence motifs can be replaced individually or the entire scaffold can be subcloned. As initially built, a minimal P_{tac} promoter without the lac operator sequence, which avoids interference from an endogenously encoded lac repressor if present, is used to achieve constitutive transcription. A synthetic 5' UTR containing both an epsilon enhancer sequence and ribosome binding site controls translation^{35,36}. The 3' UTR, which was originally present within pGEN-mcs, contains a *trpL* attenuator sequence for transcriptional termination³⁷. Lastly, three different ORFs encoding the fluorescent proteins sfGFP³⁸, dTomato³⁹, and mPlum⁴⁰ were each used to produce three separate expression scaffold variants, pXS-sfGFP, pXS-dTomato, and pXS-mPlum (Figure 3-Figure Supplement 1A). After assembly, each expression scaffold was subcloned into Tn7-tagging vectors with either temperature (i.e., pTn7xTS) or kill switch (i.e., pTn7xKS) counterselection systems (Figure 3-Figure Supplement 1B and C).

Tn7-tagging vectors equipped with rationally designed expression scaffolds were next used to carry out chromosomal tagging of *Vibrio* ZWU0020 as outlined in Figure 2B and 2D. Unlike previous attempts (Figure 1A), domestication-free manipulation of *Vibrio* ZWU0020—using either temperature or kill switch counterselection systems—both worked and preserved this strain's normal physiology (Figure 3-Figure Supplement 2). To demonstrate the compatibility of these tools across different zebrafish symbiont lineages, we tagged 10 strains representing 6 different genera—including *Vibrio*, *Aeromonas*, *Pseudomonas*, *Acinetobacter*, *Enterobacter*, and *Plesiomonas* (Supplementary File 1). Multiple variants that express either sfGFP, dTomato, or mPlum were generated for many of the lineages (Supplementary File 1). This collection of marked zebrafish symbionts serves as a resource of ready-made strains for the zebrafish microbiota community. Notably, several of the lineages manipulated are novel

and have yet to be assigned species designations, which is typical of symbionts associated with complex host-associated communities. We also verified that domestication-free counterselection systems can be used to manipulate other species not associated with the zebrafish intestinal microbiota, including a human-derived strain of *E. coli* (HS)⁴¹, the leech symbiont *Aeromonas veronii* HM21⁴², and an environmental isolate of *Shewanella oneidensis* (MR-1)⁴³ (Supplementary File 1).

Figure 3

Figure 3. Gene expression scaffold design features. Each interchangeable element is flanked by restriction sites (cyan arrowheads). Promoter: constitutively active P_{tac} promoter without lac operator sequence (O-) drives transcription. 5' untranslated region (UTR): epsilon enhancer sequence and consensus ribosome binding site (i.e., Shine-Dalgarno sequence) promote strong translation. Open reading frame (ORF): encodes a single fluorescent protein. 3' UTR: *trpL* attenuator sequence terminates transcription.

Streamlining allelic exchange by visualizing homologous recombination events using a fluorescent tracker

Allelic exchange is a robust and versatile homologous recombination technique for making targeted genetic knock-ins and knock-outs in bacteria^{44–46}. Therefore, to extend the utility of our domestication-free counterselection systems, we incorporated them into currently available

291 vectors that are used for mediating allelic exchange. As expected, these updates facilitated the
 292 domestication-free engineering of gene deletions in several uncharacterized symbiotic
 293 bacteria. However, not all bacteria tested could be successfully manipulated using current
 294 allelic exchange protocols, highlighting another breakdown in the compatibility.

295 Allelic exchange involves two successive homologous recombination events between
 296 an allelic exchange vector and the bacterial chromosome. The crux of allelic exchange is
 297 isolating rare unmarked mutant cells from large populations of heterozygous intermediates
 298 known as merodiploids that arise after the vector integrates into the chromosome during the
 299 first recombination step. A longstanding strategy for recovering variants that have undergone
 300 the second recombination, which results in vector loss, works by restricting merodiploid
 301 growth. This is typically done by expressing a gene called *sacB* located within the allelic
 302 exchange vector backbone that confers growth inhibition in the presence of sucrose⁴⁷.
 303 Although widely used, *sacB* counterselection of merodiploids does not always work and can be
 304 difficult to troubleshoot when it fails. We experienced these shortcomings while attempting to
 305 delete a gene associated with chemotactic behavior in a zebrafish symbiont, *Vibrio furnissii*
 306 ZOR0035, using the common *sacB*-based allelic exchange vector pDMS197⁴⁸. *Vibrio*
 307 ZOR0035 merodiploids are refractory to *sacB* counterselection, which made it impossible to
 308 isolate cells with the desired mutation. We surmise that the counterselection fails in some
 309 bacterial lineages because the expression or activity of the levansucrase enzyme encoded by
 310 *sacB*, which synthesizes high-molecular-weight fructose polymers, is inadequate. To overcome
 311 lineage-specific limitations of *sacB* counterselection, we developed a more tractable strategy
 312 based on visual markers.

Our solution uses GFP to track the merodiploid status of target cells (Figure 4A). In this way, the initial recombination step generates GFP-positive merodiploid populations that can be readily screened for cells where the second recombination step has occurred, producing GFP-negative mutants (i.e., instances of “successful” allelic exchange), which typically occur with equal frequency as wild-type revertants (i.e., instances of “aborted” allelic exchange) (Figure 4A). To test the feasibility of this approach, we revisited the engineering of a gene deletion in *Vibrio* ZOR0035. A constitutively expressed GFP gene was inserted into the backbone of a prototype pDMS197 vector containing a kill switch counterselection system and an allelic exchange cassette targeting the chemotaxis gene *cheA*. At the time of this work, *cheA* was the focus of an unrelated project, and it is used here merely to demonstrate proof of concept. As outlined in Figure 4B, the GFP marked allelic exchange vector was delivered into *Vibrio* ZOR0035 via conjugation. GFP-positive merodiploids, harboring an integrated copy of the allelic exchange vector, were readily isolated and purified. Of note, over the course of this work we empirically determined that the kill switch toxins do not interfere with merodiploid growth in several different bacteria, indicating that either they have restricted activity and are only lethal to *E. coli* donor cells or they fail to reach toxic levels when expressed from a single chromosomal locus. Next, populations of merodiploids were expanded in liquid culture and plated on nonselective media at a density that allowed discrete colonies to form. Colonies exhibiting sectorized regions of GFP loss were then purified to obtain isogenic clones, and putative mutants were genotyped by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Genotyping was done using PCR primers that flank the *cheA* locus, yielding a single large amplification product if the *cheA* gene is present and a smaller sized product if the mutant allele is present. Because they are heterozygous, merodiploids produce both products. Ultimately, our visual merodiploid

tracking strategy proved extremely efficient and straightforward to perform, allowing us to successfully engineer a targeted gene deletion in a bacterial strain that was otherwise genetically intractable using previous methodologies.

Figure 4

Figure 4. Performing allelic exchange with a fluorescent merodiploid tracker. **(A)** Outline of recombination events during allelic exchange with a fluorescent tracker. Depicted is an allelic exchange vector that expresses GFP and carries a cassette comprised of a mutant allele (Δ , magenta) flanked by regions (hashed and solid cyan strokes) homologous to regions flanking a target gene located on the bacterial chromosome (chr). The first recombination event—which randomly occurs between either homology region—integrates the vector into the chromosome, producing a GFP-expressing merodiploid. The second recombination event results in GFP loss. If it occurs between the unused homology region (i.e., the “solid” region in this scenario), then allelic exchange is successful. If it occurs between the same region (i.e., the “hashed” region), the original wild-type locus is restored. Black arrows above final allelic exchange products denote primer annealing sites for PCR-based genotyping depicted in **B**. **(B)** Top row illustrates the procedural steps of allelic exchange using a fluorescent merodiploid tracker. Bottom row shows example images acquired during the engineering of a gene deletion in *Vibrio* ZOR0035. White arrowheads indicate colonies with partial or complete loss of GFP expression. WT, wild-type *Vibrio* ZOR0035; MD, merodiploid; Δ , Δ *cheA* mutant.

358 ***Gene deletion and complementation with modernized engineering vectors***

359 To complete the genetic toolkit for manipulating wild and diverse bacterial isolates, we
 360 combined the tools and approaches described thus far to construct a set of adaptable allelic
 361 exchange vectors that further improve the tractability of making markerless genetic alterations.
 362 These modernized vectors incorporate fluorescent merodiploid tracking and domestication-free
 363 counterselection systems within a highly customizable plasmid architecture (Figure 5, “vector
 364 design”). Molecular scaffolds for holding antibiotic selection markers, fluorescent trackers, and
 365 a counterselection kill switch were built into a pUC-derived vector backbone that has a
 366 temperature-sensitive origin of replication and a single blunt restriction site for straightforward
 367 insertion of allelic exchange cassettes. This modular design allows virtually every functional
 368 element to be customized for different bacterial lineages (Figure 5-Figure Supplement 1).

369 In total, two allelic exchange vectors were generated, pAX1 and pAX2 (**A**llelic
 370 e**X**change), which differ in their domestication-free counterselection systems (Figure 5). Both
 371 vectors mediate temperature-based counterselection of SM10 donor cells, but pAX2 also
 372 contains a TetR-regulated kill switch that can be induced by anhydrotetracycline (Figure 5-
 373 Figure Supplement 2). Notably, the dual temperature/kill switch counterselection activity of
 374 pAX2 is quite potent, reducing SM10 viability by over five orders of magnitude (Figure 5-Figure
 375 Supplement 2B). Two resistance markers for gentamicin and chloramphenicol were included to
 376 give pAX1 and pAX2 greater “off the shelf” compatibility with different target strains.

377

Figure 5

Figure 5. Rational design of customizable allelic exchange vectors. “vector design” illustrates vector architecture. Features include customizable molecular scaffolds for holding antibiotic selection markers (Abx^R), a merodiploid tracker, a single Smal restriction site for insertion of allelic exchange cassettes, and an option for kill switch-based counterselection of donor cells. pAX1 was initially constructed, and carries two antibiotic selection markers encoding resistance to gentamicin (*gent^R*) and chloramphenicol (*clm^R*) along with a GFP tracker. pAX2 was derived via the insertion of a tet-inducible kill switch. *oriT*, origin of transfer; *ori₁₀₁/repA101^{ts}*, temperature-sensitive origin of replication; *amp^R*, ampicillin resistance gene.

These new allelic exchange vectors were next used to engineer markerless gene deletions. For this proof of concept, we designed an allelic exchange cassette to delete two neighboring genes in *Vibrio* ZWU0020, *pomA* and *pomB*, which encode components of the polar flagellar motor. After inserting the cassette into an early, but functionally equivalent, version of pAX1 (see Materials and Methods), GFP-positive merodiploids were generated and isolated as before and screened for loss of GFP expression and thus, the integrated vector (Figure 6-Figure Supplement 1). Mutants harboring the desired mutation, which fused the start codon of *pomA* with the stop codon of *pomB*, were confirmed by PCR (Figure 6A and 6B). As anticipated, ZWU0020 $\Delta pomAB$ exhibited complete loss of swimming motility in soft agar (Figure 6C, bottom left) without overt growth defects in liquid media (Figure 6-Figure

Supplement 1). To demonstrate the cross-lineage compatibility of these tools, we successfully employed pAX2 to create a similar markerless deletion of a homologous *pomAB* locus in another zebrafish symbiont, *Aeromonas veronii* ZOR0001 (Figure 6-Figure Supplement 2). Notably, while screening *Vibrio* ZWU0020 and *Aeromonas* ZOR0001 merodiploid colonies for putative mutants, we observed that many different patterns of GFP loss can arise (Figure 6-Figure Supplement 3). Remarkably, even in situations where mutant cells reside within miniscule GFP-negative patches, a single colony purification step can often be used to isolate them. The ability to readily identify and recover mutant cells with such sensitivity highlights the robustness of our visual screening approach.

Constructing deletion mutants is just the first step in dissecting the genetic pathways that underlie a given activity or behavior. Complementation must also be performed to rigorously confirm that a mutant phenotype is the result of a specific genetic disruption and not polar effects or other unintended consequences of chromosomal manipulation¹⁵. Therefore, we wanted to demonstrate how our domestication-free Tn7-tagging vectors could be employed to complement the ZWU0020 $\Delta pomAB$ mutant. The *pomAB* locus of ZWU0020, including the native *pomA* promoter, was PCR-amplified and inserted within the Tn7 transposon of the tagging vector pTn7xTS-sfGFP, which also contains a constitutively expressed *sfGFP* (Figure 6D). Chromosomal insertion of this construct at the *attTn7* site of ZWU0020 $\Delta pomAB$ fully restores wild-type motility, thus confirming that sole disruption of these genes caused the loss of motility in the mutant (Figure 6C, bottom right).

Figure 6

Figure 6. Gene deletion and complementation with modernized engineering vectors. (A) Top: wild-type *pomAB* locus in *Vibrio* ZWU0020. Bottom: result of markerless *pomAB* deletion via allelic exchange. Black arrows mark approximate primer annealing sites for genotyping and the size of each amplification product is indicated. (B) Agarose gel showing PCR-based genotyping of wild-type (WT), merodiploid (MD), and a $\Delta pomAB$ (Δ) mutant. Migration distances of WT and mutant alleles are indicated. ns, nonspecific amplification product. (C) Swim motility of WT, $\Delta pomAB$, and the complemented $\Delta pomAB^{attTn7::pomAB}$ variant in 0.2% tryptic soy agar at 30°C. (D) Shown is a schematic of the Tn7 transposon from pTn7xTS-sfGFP used for complementation, which was modified to carry the native *pomAB* locus of *Vibrio* ZWU0020. Also depicted are the relative positions of where the *pomAB* genes were deleted and reintroduced at the Tn7 insertion site (*attTn7*) on chromosome 1 of *Vibrio* ZWU0020. “T” denotes transcriptional terminators; Tn7L and Tn7R, Tn7 inverted repeats, P_{*pomA*}, native *pomA* promoter; *gent^R*, gentamicin resistance gene; sfGFP, fluorescent tag.

Live imaging of diverse bacterial symbionts yields insights into host–microbe interactions within the vertebrate intestine.

The power to genetically manipulate a diverse range of bacterial symbionts provides an opportunity for comparative studies focused on identifying unique or broadly conserved features of host–microbe interactions. To illustrate how the tools developed in this work facilitate such investigations, we examined the intestinal colonization patterns and cellular behaviors of seven fluorescently tagged zebrafish symbionts by light sheet fluorescence microscopy^{13,49}. The strains chosen included: *Aeromonas* ZOR0001, *Aeromonas* ZOR0002, *Enterobacter* ZOR0014, *Plesiomonas* ZOR0011, *Pseudomonas* ZWU0006, *Vibrio* ZOR0036, and *Vibrio* ZWU0020. A tagged version of the ZWU0020 $\Delta pomAB$ motility mutant was also analyzed. Prior to imaging, bacteria were associated individually with 4-day old, germ-free larval zebrafish for 24 hours. Mono-association provides unrestricted access to the intestinal environment free of competition with resident microbiota, and therefore allows interactions between a single symbiont and its host to be studied in isolation. For each strain, real-time two-dimensional movies and three-dimensional images spanning the entire volume of the larval intestine were acquired from three separate hosts (Figure 7, Figure 7-Figure Supplement 1, and Supplementary Movies 1–8). From these data, distinct population structures are readily identified, and can be summarized by three properties: cell motility, growth mode (i.e., planktonic vs aggregated), and biogeography. Additional features of each strain, including estimated abundance, are provided in Figure 7-Figure Supplement 2.

458

Figure 7

459

460 **Figure 7.** Intestinal colonization patterns and growth modes of zebrafish symbionts. (A)
461 Cartoon diagram of a 5-day old larval zebrafish. Purple dashed box outlines region imaged in
462 C. (B) Diagram shows the boundaries of the bulb, mid-gut, and distal gut within the larval
463 intestine. The estimated bulb to mid-gut boundary is located where the bulb begins to become
464 patently narrow. The mid-gut to distal gut boundary is approximately located where intestinal
465 epithelial cells begin transitioning to a more colonic epithelial cell type⁵⁰. (C) Maximum intensity
466 projections of 3D image stacks acquired by light sheet fluorescence microscopy for indicated
467 bacterial strains. Orange dotted outline marks the intestine in each image. Scale bar: 200μm.

468

469

470 Four of the seven wild strains examined display active motility within the intestine.

471 Surprisingly, we found several discrepancies between the motility phenotype of strains in vivo

and their predicted capacity for motility based on phylogenetic relatedness, genome sequence, and performance during in vitro assays (Figure 7-Figure Supplement 3 and Supplementary Movies 1–7). Each strain carries genes for flagellum biogenesis and displays free-swimming motility in liquid media. In addition, all strains were found to swim in soft agar, with the exception of *Vibrio* ZOR0036 (Figure 7-Figure Supplement 4). Yet despite these attributes, motile individuals were not observed within intestinal populations of *Enterobacter* ZOR0014, *Aeromonas* ZOR0001, and *Aeromonas* ZOR0002 (Supplementary Movies 2–4). We note that in a previous live imaging study involving *Aeromonas* ZOR0001 motile cells were detected, but that their occurrence was incredibly rare¹³. In addition, although *Vibrio* ZOR0036 exhibits little motility in soft agar, it gives rise to a considerable number of highly motile cells in vivo (Supplementary Movie 5); however, its overall motility phenotype is muted compared to closely related *Vibrio* ZWU0020 (Figure 7-Figure Supplement 3 and 4, and Supplementary Movie 1).

The growth mode of cells is categorized as either planktonic, which includes both motile and non-motile individuals, or aggregated. Both modes are typically represented across different populations, but the ratio of cells in each mode tends to be strain-specific. For example, at one extreme, populations of *Vibrio* ZWU0020 are almost entirely comprised of highly motile planktonic cells; this is more apparent in movies than three-dimensional image scans because of this strain's high population density and fast movement (Supplementary Movie 1). At the opposite extreme, *Enterobacter* ZOR0014 forms large multicellular aggregates without motile individuals (Figure 7C and Supplementary Movie 2). The remaining strains produce populations with intermediate mixtures of planktonic and aggregated cells (Figure 7C and Figure 7-Figure Supplement 1).

Regarding biogeography, we observed a range of strain-specific spatial distributions along the length of the intestine. We can coarsely classify the location of bacterial populations as primarily residing in one of two regions, the proximal gut (referred to as the “bulb”) or the mid-gut, which we approximate in Figure 7B based on previous studies of larval zebrafish intestinal development^{50,51}. For most strains, the bulk of their population is distributed throughout the mid-gut (e.g., *Aeromonas* ZOR0001, *Aeromonas* ZOR0002, *Enterobacter* ZOR0014, *Plesiomonas* ZOR0011, *Pseudomonas* ZWU0006, and *Vibrio* ZOR0036) (Figure 7C). By contrast, populations of *Vibrio* ZWU0020 are located within the proximal portion of the bulb, consistent with previous findings (Figure 7C)¹³. Notably, compared to wild-type, the ZWU0020 $\Delta pomAB$ motility mutant exhibits a reduction in overall population size and a slight shift in distribution to an area between the bulb and mid-gut (Figure 7C), indicating that for this strain, motility controls abundance and biogeography.

To distill and quantify our observations, we devised scalar metrics of biogeography and growth mode using computational image analysis (Materials and Methods). For each population, we computed the center of mass along the anterior–posterior axis of the intestine to represent biogeography and enumerated the fraction of cells that exist as planktonic individuals to represent growth mode. Plotting these data against each other shows a striking and unanticipated relationship: the fraction of planktonic cells within a strain’s population is strongly correlated with its biogeography (Figure 8). We quantified this relationship by linear regression of log-transformed planktonic fraction and population center medians, excluding the ZWU0020 $\Delta pomAB$ motility mutant (Figure 8, dashed trend line; $r^2 = 0.6762$). Remarkably, the ZWU0020 $\Delta pomAB$ motility mutant conforms to this trend (Figure 8). As expected, populations of ZWU0020 $\Delta pomAB$ contain no motile individuals, but unexpectedly they adopt a more

517 aggregated state compared to wild-type ZWU0020 (Supplementary Movie 8). This change in
518 growth mode and the concomitant change in biogeography moves the ZWU0020 motility
519 mutant along the trend line.

520

521

Figure 8

522

523 **Figure 8.** Relationship between growth mode and population biogeography. Plotted is the
524 median log-transformed fraction of planktonic cells and median population 1D center of mass
525 for each bacterial strain. Bars represent ranges. Data were derived from three animals (N = 3)
526 per strain and are based on segmented 3D images from Figure 7 and Figure 7-Figure
527 Supplement 1. Dashed trend line was generated by linear regression of median values (N = 7
528 data points, $r^2 = 0.6762$). Corresponding boundaries for the bulb, mid-gut, and distal gut are
529 indicated above the plot by black bars.

530
531

532 **DISCUSSION**

533 **Impact on Bacterial Symbioses Research**

534 Over the last decade, the landscape of bacterial symbiosis research has shifted due to an
 535 explosion in omics technologies and large-scale initiatives like the Human and Earth
 536 Microbiome Projects^{52,53}. The traditionally static “one host, one microbe” view has given way to
 537 one that is more dynamic and complex, taking into account the highly contextual nature of
 538 symbiotic relationships and involvement of diverse multi-member microbial communities. This
 539 paradigm shift has generated several new challenges; chiefly, the demand for more efficient
 540 genetic manipulation of wild and novel symbiotic bacterial lineages. Addressing this problem is
 541 critical to experimentally unravelling the cellular and molecular determinants of host–microbe
 542 systems.

543 The impetus behind the genetic tools and approaches described in this work emerged
 544 from setbacks encountered while attempting to manipulate members of the zebrafish intestinal
 545 microbiota. The diversity of species and strains exposed several key inadequacies and
 546 weaknesses in conventional techniques, including the lack of domestication-free strategies for
 547 donor cell counterselection, poor modularity of available tools, and intractability of allelic
 548 exchange. Therefore, we designed tools and methods to circumvent these points of failure and
 549 quickly adapt to unforeseen idiosyncrasies of species or strains. In this way, an individual
 550 researcher or laboratory can focus on a single operating procedure using a centralized set of
 551 tools while being empowered to innovate when needed.

552

553

554

555 **Utility of the Tools Produced by this Work**

556 An extensive collection of molecular tools is available for genetically manipulating bacteria;
 557 however, many can only be used with a small number of species or strains. The siloed nature
 558 of genetic tools puts a significant burden on researchers looking to manipulate diverse
 559 bacterial lineages because it forces them to sift through and become familiar with numerous
 560 different vectors and protocols. Furthermore, for those working with novel and uncharacterized
 561 bacteria or new to performing genetic manipulations altogether, developing a molecular starter
 562 kit is overwhelming. We addressed these problems by constructing a set of standardized
 563 engineering vectors that streamline the process of making genetic knock-ins and knock-outs
 564 across different lineages. These tools are briefly summarized in Figure 9 and their features are
 565 discussed below.

566

Figure 9

Figure 9. Summary of the genetic tools described in this work. The functions and features of each engineering vector is briefly summarized. Guide is organized based on technique or intended use.

573 *Minimizing laboratory-based domestication of wild bacterial isolates*

574 The deleterious nature of domestication is well-documented, yet it is often overlooked and
 575 domestication steps are unfortunately routinely performed because of their convenience^{23,24}.
 576 Domestication is commonly used to improve genetic tractability or to help discern specific
 577 bacterial strains from other lineages within complex environments (e.g., the vertebrate
 578 intestine, water, or soil). Compensatory mutations can rescue or mask physiological defects
 579 associated with domestication in vitro²⁵; however, there is no guarantee that critical aspects of
 580 symbiont biology, such as those involved in host engagement, are left unperturbed. Therefore,
 581 the accurate modeling of symbiotic interactions requires careful attention to preserving natural
 582 symbiont behaviors. The incorporation of temperature and kill switch-based domestication-free
 583 counterselection systems into a previously described Tn7 tagging vector²⁷, which produced
 584 pTn7xTS and pTn7xKS (Figure 2), and the novel allelic exchange vectors pAX1 and pAX2
 585 developed in this work (Figure 5), offers ready-made tools for manipulating various symbiotic
 586 bacterial lineages in a way that preserves their natural physiology.

587

588 *Achieving broad utility through modularity*

589 The incredible genetic and phenotypic diversity of bacteria challenges cross-lineage
 590 compatibility of genetic tools. A major contributing factor to this problem is that many available
 591 tools are irreversibly constructed, which impedes the customization of important sequence
 592 motifs for different bacteria. We addressed this by building tools with highly modular
 593 architectures so that they can be easily reconfigured and are thus molecularly nimble. This
 594 feature makes it possible to continuously innovate and build off original designs. For example,
 595 expanding on the expression scaffolds within the pXS series of vectors (Figure 3 and Figure 3-

Figure Supplement 1), we have engineered more elaborate genetic devices, including reporters of gene expression and genetic switches. Additionally, the modularity of these vectors can be advantageous in situations where rational design is not possible due to the unavailability of suitable sequence elements; libraries in which constructs containing a single variable motif (e.g., a promoter or ribosome binding site) can be readily assembled and screened for optimal activity. While the flexibility of our expression scaffolds is conducive to further engineering, as built they have immediate utility for stably tagging bacteria and thus, facilitating the direct observation of symbionts within their natural host-associated environments. Illustrating this point, the mere fluorescent tagging of the zebrafish symbionts *Vibrio* ZWU0020 and *Aeromonas* ZOR0001 using these tools revealed that each bacterium interacts with the physically dynamic confines of the intestine in distinct ways and that this differential interplay unexpectedly shapes their apparent competition¹³. We also incorporated modularity into the design of the allelic exchange vectors pAX1 and pAX2 (Figure 5 and Figure 5-Figure Supplement 1). Several elements within these vectors can be customized, including the antibiotic resistance genes, the fluorescent merodiploid tracker, and the kill switch. With this modularity, we are exploring alternative utilities of these vectors. For example, swapping the GFP merodiploid tracker for one that encodes a red fluorescent protein has allowed us to engineer GFP fusions at endogenous chromosomal loci. In total, tailorable tools such as those described here offer a way of tuning or customizing functionality to increase the experimental potential of bacterial lineages.

619 *Streamlining genetic manipulations with visual screening*

620 To improve the tractability of allelic exchange we used GFP to visually track recombination
 621 events (Figure 4). This simple update proved extremely powerful. It allowed merodiploids to be
 622 confidently identified and isolated while final mutant derivatives could be screened for with
 623 incredible sensitivity, sometimes being found as small subpopulations within merodiploid
 624 colonies growing on an agar plate (Figure 4 and Figure 6-Figure Supplements 1–3). The
 625 successful manipulation of a previously intractable bacterium (i.e., *Vibrio* ZOR0035) highlights
 626 the utility of this approach. Although conventional selection schemes (e.g., based on *sacB*) are
 627 adept at recovering mutants that arise at low frequencies due to rarely occurring recombination
 628 events, their use is contingent on specific conditions that can be difficult to translate between
 629 species or strains. By contrast, our visual screening approach operates freely across lineages
 630 and differs from selections in that it allows for the progression of recombination events to be
 631 more precisely monitored. As a result, the engineering and isolation of bacterial mutants is
 632 more efficient and attainable.

633

634 **Demonstrating New Avenues for Research: Comparative Study of Bacterial** 635 **Biogeography in the Zebrafish Gut**

636 The technical flexibility of the tools and methods developed in this work makes it easier to
 637 genetically manipulate many different bacterial lineages in parallel. This functionality greatly
 638 facilitates comparative studies aiming to disentangle unique and widely conserved aspects of
 639 host–microbe systems. Such investigations are important because many properties of complex
 640 host-associated microbial communities, like those comprising human microbiota^{54,55}, are
 641 extremely variable and remain unexplained. Illustrating the potential for comparative

approaches, we exposed several uncharacterized phenomena by probing the colonization patterns and behaviors of multiple bacterial lineages native to the zebrafish intestine.

Upon initial examination, we found discordance between predicted and observed motility phenotypes in vivo. All strains examined carry flagellar genes and tend to be highly motile in vitro, yet several of them (e.g., *Enterobacter* ZOR0014, *Aeromonas* ZOR0001, and *Aeromonas* ZOR0002) display no obvious motility during intestinal colonization (Supplementary Movies 2–4). By contrast, some strains robustly sustain motility in vivo, most notably *Vibrio* ZWU0020, which appears to produce populations almost entirely made up of swimming cells (Supplementary Movie 1). There are several possible explanations for these behaviors that are not necessarily mutually exclusive. For example, they may be the result of bacteria dynamically responding to the intestinal environment and executing strain or lineage-specific colonization strategies. On the other hand, bacteria could be differentially susceptible to some form of host-mediated motility interference, which has recently been documented in mouse models^{56,57}. Work focused on distinguishing these interactions is ongoing. Ultimately, this observation highlights the disconnect that can occur among in silico, in vitro, and in vivo approaches for studying bacterial symbioses. Considering how to best capture and interpret mechanistic insights across model systems will be critical to progress.

Our data additionally indicate that some strains display a relatively large amount of variation in growth mode and biogeography between hosts (e.g., *Aeromonas* ZOR0001, *Aeromonas* ZOR0002, and *Enterobacter* ZOR0014), while others do not (e.g., *Plesiomonas* ZOR0011, *Pseudomonas* ZWU0006, and *Vibrio* ZWU0020) (Figure 8). This variance is consistent with earlier reports showing that the structure of bacterial populations within the larval zebrafish gut can be highly dynamic, which is attributable in part to the physical forces of

665 intestinal peristalsis^{13,49}. Yet some bacteria—for example, *Vibrio* ZWU0020—remain stable in
 666 the face of this perturbation through still undefined mechanisms¹³. Our observations with the
 667 *Vibrio* ZWU0020 $\Delta pomAB$ mutant suggest cell motility is involved.

668 Most strikingly, we discovered a strong correlation between the dominant growth mode
 669 of bacterial populations and their biogeography (Figure 8). While cell behavior is recognized to
 670 influence local population structure^{58,59}, linking cell aggregation with a global pattern of spatial
 671 organization throughout the intestine is unanticipated and profound. A possible explanation for
 672 this pattern is that physical properties of the intestinal environment (e.g., its shape and/or
 673 peristaltic movement) act to spatially segregate planktonic and aggregated cells. Alternatively,
 674 bacteria may toggle between different growth modes in response to spatial cues generated by
 675 physiologically distinct regions along the length of the intestine. Going forward, a major
 676 objective will be to dissect the potential mechanisms of this relationship and importantly,
 677 understand how it generalizes both within and across host–microbe systems.

678

679 **Outlook**

680 Elucidating the rules that govern the assembly and function of bacterial symbioses requires
 681 studying a wide range of bacterial symbiont lineages^{1,2}. Whether abundant, rare, divergent, or
 682 closely related, all potentially hold clues to how host–microbe systems work and how they can
 683 be exploited for biotechnology applications—from boosting food production to treating human
 684 disease. As more symbiotic relationships are uncovered and new model systems come online,
 685 the continued design and modernization of genetic approaches for streamlined manipulation of
 686 diverse bacterial lineages will be paramount. Although symbiotic bacteria were the primary
 687 subject for tool development in this work, the approaches we have described are equally

688 applicable to the study of free-living environmental bacteria. Importantly, the growing
689 appreciation for the ubiquity of bacterial symbioses and their far-reaching influence on the lives
690 of plants and animals is inspiring a highly cross-disciplinary generation of microbiologists with
691 mixed and varied backgrounds. Therefore, it will be beneficial to work toward standardized
692 tools and methods that foster the rigorous and accurate investigation of symbiont biology.

693 MATERIALS AND METHODS

694 Animal care

695 All experiments with zebrafish were done in accordance with protocols approved by the
696 University of Oregon Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and following standard
697 protocols⁶⁰.

698

699 Gnotobiology

700 Wild-type (AB x TU strain) zebrafish were derived germ-free (GF) and colonized with bacterial
701 strains as previously described with slight modification⁶¹. Briefly, fertilized eggs from adult
702 mating pairs were harvested and incubated in sterile embryo media (EM) containing ampicillin
703 (100µg/ml), gentamicin (10µg/ml), amphotericin B (250ng/ml), tetracycline (1µg/ml), and
704 chloramphenicol (1µg/ml) for ~6h. Embryos were then washed in EM containing 0.1%
705 polyvinylpyrrolidone–iodine followed by EM containing 0.003% sodium hypochlorite. Sterilized
706 embryos were distributed into T25 tissue culture flasks containing 15ml sterile EM at a density
707 of one embryo per ml and incubated at 28–30°C prior to bacterial colonization. Embryos were
708 sustained on yolk-derived nutrients and not fed during experiments. For bacterial mono-
709 association, bacterial strains were grown overnight in LB liquid media with shaking at 30°C,
710 and prepared for inoculation by pelleting 1ml of culture for 2 min at 7,000 x g, and washing
711 once in sterile EM. Bacterial strains were individually added to the water column of single
712 flasks containing 4-day old larval zebrafish at a final density of ~10⁶ bacteria/ml. Bacterial
713 colonization patterns were assessed 24h later by live imaging of three separate 5-day old
714 zebrafish hosts per bacterial strain. Three animals were determined to be adequate for

capturing general colonization features of each bacterial strain based on at least two previous independent qualitative assessments of colonization patterns.

Bacterial strains and culture

All wild and recombinant bacterial strains used or created in this study are listed in Supplementary File 1. Archived stocks of bacteria are maintained in 25% glycerol at -80°C. Prior to manipulations or experiments, bacteria were directly inoculated into 5ml Luria-Bertani (LB) media (10g/L NaCl, 5g/L yeast extract, 12g/L tryptone, 1g/L glucose) and grown for ~16h (overnight) shaking at 30°C, except for *E. coli* HS, which was grown at 37°C. For growth on solid media, tryptic soy agar was used. 10µg/ml gentamicin was used to select recombinant strains tagged with the Tn7 transposon, which was modified to carry a gentamicin resistance gene. When selecting merodiploid intermediates made using pAX1 or pAX2, which carry resistance to both gentamicin and chloramphenicol, either 10µg/ml gentamicin or 5µg/ml chloramphenicol was used. Selection of rifampicin-domesticated variants was done using 100µg/ml rifampicin.

Molecular techniques and reagents

E. coli strains used for molecular cloning and conjugation, and plasmids used or created during this work are listed in Supplementary File 2. *E. coli* were typically grown in 5ml LB liquid media at 30°C or 37°C with shaking in the presence of appropriate antibiotic selection to maintain plasmids. For propagation on solid media, LB agar was used. Antibiotic concentrations used were as follows: 100µg/ml ampicillin, 20µg/ml chloramphenicol, 10µg/ml gentamicin, and 10µg/ml tetracycline. Supplementary File 3 lists all DNA primers used for polymerase chain

reactions (PCR), which are organized based on their “Wiles Primer” (WP) number. Unless specifically noted, standard molecular techniques were applied and reagents were used according to manufacturer instructions. Restriction enzymes and other molecular biology reagents for PCR and nucleic acid modifications were obtained from New England BioLabs (Ipswich, MA). Various kits for plasmid and PCR amplicon purification were obtained from Zymo Research (Irvine, CA). The Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Madison, WI) was used for isolating bacterial genomic DNA. DNA oligonucleotides for PCR were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). Sanger sequencing was done by Sequetech (Mountain View, CA). Custom gene synthesis was done by GenScript (Piscataway, NJ). A Leica MZ10 F fluorescence stereomicroscope with 1.0x, 1.6x, and 2.0x objectives and Leica DFC365 FX camera were used for screening and imaging fluorescent bacterial colonies (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). Images were captured and processed using standard Leica Application Suite software and ImageJ⁶². Nucleotide sequences of 16S rRNA genes used for phylogenetic analysis are provided in Supplementary File 4, and were obtained via “The Integrated Microbial Genomes & Microbiome Samples” (IMG/M) website (<https://img.jgi.doe.gov/m/>)⁶³ or the RNAmmer web tool⁶⁴. 16S rRNA sequences were aligned using Clustal Omega⁶⁵ and an unrooted phylogenetic tree was drawn using the Phylodendron web tool (<http://iubio.bio.indiana.edu:7131/treeapp/treeprint-form.html>).

Plasmid construction

The plasmid-based tools developed in this work have been deposited at Addgene (Cambridge, MA), along with their sequences (<https://www.addgene.org/>). Supplementary File 5 contains annotated nucleotide sequences of select genetic parts that were used to build plasmids and

gene expression scaffolds. Details on how plasmids were specifically constructed are provided in Supplementary File 6.

Domestication-free Tn7 tagging using pTn7xTS and pTn7xKS

A detailed Tn7 tagging protocol based on pTn7xTS and pTn7xKS—which includes optimization and troubleshooting steps, and notes on strain-specific procedures—is provided in Supplementary File 7. Generally, and as outlined in Figure 2, triparental conjugation was performed between a single target bacterial strain, an *E. coli* SM10 donor strain carrying the transposase-containing pTNS2 helper plasmid, and an *E. coli* SM10 donor strain carrying either a pTn7xTS or pTn7xKS domestication-free tagging vector. Prior to mating, bacteria were prepared by subculturing them to an approximate optical density of 0.4–0.6 at 600nm in LB media with required antibiotics and at the appropriate growth temperature. Cells were then combined 1:1:1 (500μl each), washed once by centrifugation and aspiration in 1ml LB media or 0.7% NaCl, and suspended in a final 25μl volume of the same media used for washing. Next, the concentrated mating mixture was transferred to a 25mm-wide 0.45μm filter disc (EMD Millipore, Billerica MA; product #HAWP02500) that had been placed on top of a TSA plate. Once the mating mixture dried, the plate was incubated at 30°C for 3–5h. After incubation, the filter disc was placed in 1ml 0.7% NaCl within a 50ml conical tube and bacteria were dislodged by vortexing and pipetting. In cases where a pTn7xTS-based vector was used, 100μl of the bacterial suspension was spread onto a TSA plate containing gentamicin and incubated overnight at 37°C to select for recombinants. To ensure the recovery of low frequency recombinants, the remaining 900μl of the suspension was pelleted by centrifugation, suspended in 100μl 0.7% NaCl, and plated in the same way. In cases where a pTn7xKS-

based vector was used, 100μl of the bacterial suspension was spread onto a TSA plate containing gentamicin and 1mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG), and incubated overnight at 30°C. The remaining 900μl was prepared as above, plated on TSA with gentamicin and IPTG, and incubated at 30°C.

The following day, putative recombinant target bacteria were colony-purified by streaking on TSA without antibiotic selection at 30°C. Of note, when recombinant bacteria are tagged with a gene encoding a fluorescent protein, performing colony-purification in the absence of antibiotic selection followed by visual screening of fluorescence is a convenient way to verify that the Tn7 transposon has chromosomally integrated and the tagging vector has been lost. Purified clones were picked, cultured in LB media containing gentamicin, and genotyped by PCR to verify correct insertion of the Tn7 transposon at the *attTn7* site. The universal primer WP11, which anneals within the Tn7R region of the Tn7 transposon, was used with a species-specific primer that anneals to an adjacent chromosomal sequence within the 3' end of the *glmS* gene to generate a small (~250bp) amplicon if the transposon is present. Species-specific primers used were as follows: *Vibrio* ZOR0018, WP50; *Vibrio* ZOR0035, WP51; *Vibrio* ZOR0036, WP12; *Vibrio* ZWU0020, WP12; *Aeromonas* ZOR0001, WP49; *Aeromonas* ZOR0002, WP52; *Pseudomonas* ZWU0006, WP256; *Acinetobacter* ZOR0008, WP259; *Enterobacter* ZOR0014, WP257; *Plesiomonas* ZOR0011, WP260; *E. coli* HS, WP150; *Aeromonas* HM21, WP49; *Shewanella* MR-1, WP48.

803

804 **Generation of markerless deletions via allelic exchange using pAX1 and pAX2**

805 A detailed protocol for carrying out allelic exchange using pAX1 and pAX2—which includes
806 optimization and troubleshooting steps, and notes on strain-specific procedures—is provided in

Supplementary File 8. Briefly, and as summarized in Figure 4, allelic exchange cassettes for mediating markerless deletion of target genetic loci (i.e., the *pomAB* locus of *Vibrio* ZWU0020 and *Aeromonas* ZOR0001) were generated through splice by overlap extension and inserted into a pAX-based vector. Next, diparental conjugation was performed between a single target bacterial strain (i.e., *Vibrio* ZWU0020 or *Aeromonas* ZOR0001) and an *E. coli* SM10 donor strain carrying the assembled allelic exchange vector. Prior to mating, bacteria were prepared by subculturing them to an approximate optical density of 0.4–0.6 at 600nm in LB media with required antibiotics and at the appropriate growth temperature. Cells were then combined 1:1 (750μl each), washed once by centrifugation and aspiration in 1ml LB media or 0.7% NaCl, and suspended in a final 25μl volume of the same media used for washing. Next, the concentrated mating mixture was transferred to a 25mm-wide 0.45μm filter disc that had been placed on top of a TSA plate. Once the mating mixture dried, the plate was incubated at 30°C for 3–5h. After incubation, the filter disc was placed in 1ml 0.7% NaCl within a 50ml conical tube and bacteria were dislodged by vortexing and pipetting. For the generation of *Vibrio* ZWU0020 $\Delta pomAB$, which employed a pAX1-related vector, 100μl of the bacterial suspension was spread onto a TSA plate containing gentamicin and incubated overnight at 37°C to select for merodiploids. The remaining 900μl of the suspension was pelleted by centrifugation, suspended in 100μl 0.7% NaCl, and plated in the same way to ensure recovery of rare recombinants. For the generation of *Aeromonas* ZOR0001 $\Delta pomAB$, which employed a pAX2-based vector, 100μl of the bacterial suspension was spread onto a TSA plate containing gentamicin and 10ng/ml anhydrotetracycline (aTc), and incubated overnight at 30°C. The remaining 900μl was prepared as above, plated on TSA with gentamicin and aTc, and incubated at 30°C.

830 The following day, colonies of putative merodiploid target bacteria that were expressing
831 the GFP tracker were purified by streaking on TSA without antibiotic selection at 30°C. This
832 purification step also served to verify that the allelic exchange vector had integrated into the
833 chromosome. Purified clones were picked, cultured in LB media containing gentamicin to
834 maintain their merodiploid state, and archived as a frozen stock. To screen for second
835 recombination events, merodiploids were cultured overnight in LB media without antibiotic
836 selection and spread onto several TSA plates, again without antibiotic selection, at a density
837 that allowed ~100–200 discrete colonies to form. Colonies exhibiting partial or complete loss of
838 GFP expression were purified by streaking on TSA at 30°C. Putative mutants were screened
839 and genotyped by PCR using primers that flanked the modified locus, which produced two
840 differently sized amplicons that represented the wild-type and mutant alleles. Primers WP163
841 and WP164 were used to genotype *Vibrio* ZWU0020 $\Delta pomAB$ mutants and primers WP192
842 and WP195 were used to genotype *Aeromonas* ZOR0001 $\Delta pomAB$ mutants.

843

844 **In vitro growth measurements**

845 In vitro growth of bacterial strains was assessed using the FLUOstar Omega microplate reader
846 (BMG LABTECH, Offenburg, Germany). Prior to growth measurements, bacteria were grown
847 overnight in 5ml LB media at 30°C with shaking. Cultures were diluted 1:100 into fresh LB
848 media and dispensed in quadruplicate (i.e., four technical replicates) (200 μ l/ well) into a sterile
849 96 well clear flat bottom tissue culture-treated microplate (Corning, Corning, NY; product
850 #3585). Absorbance measurements at 600nm were then recorded every 30 minutes for 16
851 hours (or until stationary phase) at 30°C with shaking. Growth measurements were repeated at

least two independent times for each strain (i.e., two biological replicates) with consistent results. Data were exported and graphed using GraphPad Prism 6 software.

Swim motility assays

Prior to the assessment of swimming motility, bacteria were grown overnight in 5ml LB media at 30°C with shaking. 1ml of bacterial culture was then washed by centrifuging cells at 7,000xg for 2 minutes, aspirating media, and suspending in 1ml 0.7% NaCl. This centrifugation/aspiration wash step was repeated once more and bacteria were suspended in a final volume of 1ml 0.7% NaCl. 1µl of washed bacterial culture was then inoculated into a TSA plate containing 0.2% agar (30g/L tryptic soy broth and 2g/L bacto agar). Swim plates were incubated at 30°C for 5–7h and imaged on a Gel Doc XR+ Imaging System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Motility assays were repeated at least two independent times (i.e., two biological replicates) with consistent results.

Spot tests

E. coli SM10 donor cells carrying vectors that contain temperature and/or kill switch-based post-conjugation counterselection systems were grown overnight in LB media with required antibiotics and at the appropriate growth temperatures. For assessing temperature-based counterselection, ten-fold serial dilutions were made on TSA plates containing gentamicin and incubated overnight at 30°C or 37°C. For assessing kill switch-based counterselection, ten-fold serial dilutions were made on TSA plates containing gentamicin +/- 1mM IPTG (in the case of pTn7xKS) or 10ng/ml aTc (in the case of pAX2) and incubated overnight at 30°C. Plates were imaged on a Bio-Rad Gel Doc XR+ Imaging System. All spot tests were performed at least two

independent times (i.e., two biological replicates), each including at least two technical replicates, with consistent results.

Live Imaging

Live larval zebrafish were imaged using a home-built light sheet fluorescence microscope described in detail elsewhere^{49,66}. In brief, a thin sheet of laser light is obtained by rapidly scanning the excitation beam with a galvanometer mirror. Fluorescence emission is captured by an objective lens mounted perpendicular to the sheet. 3D images are obtained by translating the sample along the detection axis. The entire volume of the intestine (approximately 1200x300x150 microns) is imaged in four sub-regions that are computationally registered after acquisition. Total acquisition time of a single intestine is less than 1 min with 1-micron steps between planes. For all images, the exposure time was 30ms and the excitation laser power was 5mW prior to entering the imaging chamber.

Image Analysis

Bacterial abundances and locations were estimated using the analysis pipeline described in⁴⁹. In brief, we identify individual cells in 3D using a wavelet filtering-based algorithm⁶⁷ and identify multicellular aggregates using a graph-cut segmentation algorithm⁶⁸. The number of cells in an aggregate is estimated by dividing the total aggregate intensity by the average intensity of individual bacteria. Individuals detected within an aggregate are discarded. One-dimensional population distributions are obtained by dividing the intestine into 5-micron bins constructed down the length of the intestine along a manually drawn line and assigning the centroid of each detected object to a bin. Global population centers are computed as the center of mass

898 of this 1D distribution. Of note, this analysis pipeline was originally developed and optimized
 899 for a different strain not imaged here⁴⁹ and its performance on the 8 present strains has not
 900 been rigorously assessed. Based on manual inspection and analysis, we estimate an
 901 uncertainty of at most 10% for the planktonic fraction and for the population center of mass,
 902 which is certainly more than adequate to detect the global trends we report.

903 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

904 We would like to thank numerous members of the Guillemin lab for their willingness to test and
 905 provide feedback on the genetic tools developed in this work, particularly Dr. Annah Rolig and
 906 Dr. Cathy Robinson. We would also like to thank Dr. Andrew Camilli for his generosity in
 907 sharing overlap extension and allelic exchange protocols. This project was supported through
 908 the M.J. Murdock Charitable Trust and an award from the Kavli Microbiome Ideas Challenge, a
 909 project led by the American Society for Microbiology in partnership with the American
 910 Chemical Society and the American Physical Society and supported by The Kavli Foundation.
 911 Work was also supported by the National Science Foundation under Awards 0922951 and
 912 1507115. Authors received funding from the National Institutes of Health (NIH,
 913 <http://www.nih.gov/>), P50GM09891 to KG, F32AI112094 to TJW, and T32GM007759 to BHS.
 914 The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or
 915 preparation of the manuscript.

916 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

917 The authors declare that the tools and methods described in this work are the subject of an
918 ongoing patent application.

919 REFERENCES

- 920 (1) McFall-Ngai, M.; Hadfield, M. G.; Bosch, T. C. G.; Carey, H. V; Domazet-Lošo, T.;
921 Douglas, A. E.; Dubilier, N.; Eberl, G.; Fukami, T.; Gilbert, S. F.; Hentschel, U.; King, N.;
922 Kjelleberg, S.; Knoll, A. H.; Kremer, N.; Mazmanian, S. K.; Metcalf, J. L.; Nealson, K.;
923 Pierce, N. E.; Rawls, J. F.; Reid, A.; Ruby, E. G.; Rumpho, M.; Sanders, J. G.; Tautz, D.;
924 Wernegreen, J. J. Animals in a Bacterial World, a New Imperative for the Life Sciences.
925 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2013**, *110* (9), 3229–3236 DOI:
926 10.1073/pnas.1218525110.
- 927 (2) Blaser, M. J.; Cardon, Z. G.; Cho, M. K.; Dangl, J. L.; Donohue, T. J.; Green, J. L.;
928 Knight, R.; Maxon, M. E.; Northen, T. R.; Pollard, K. S.; Brodie, E. L. Toward a Predictive
929 Understanding of Earth's Microbiomes to Address 21st Century Challenges. *MBio* **2016**,
930 *7* (3), e00714-16 DOI: 10.1128/mBio.00714-16.
- 931 (3) Dey, N.; Wagner, V. E.; Blanton, L. V; Cheng, J.; Fontana, L.; Haque, R.; Ahmed, T.;
932 Gordon, J. I. Regulators of Gut Motility Revealed by a Gnotobiotic Model of Diet-
933 Microbiome Interactions Related to Travel. *Cell* **2015**, *163* (1), 95–107 DOI:
934 10.1016/j.cell.2015.08.059.
- 935 (4) Cox, L. M.; Yamanishi, S.; Sohn, J.; Alekseyenko, A. V; Leung, J. M.; Cho, I.; Kim, S. G.;
936 Li, H.; Gao, Z.; Mahana, D.; Zárate Rodríguez, J. G.; Rogers, A. B.; Robine, N.; Loke, P.;
937 Blaser, M. J. Altering the Intestinal Microbiota during a Critical Developmental Window
938 Has Lasting Metabolic Consequences. *Cell* **2014**, *158* (4), 705–721 DOI:
939 10.1016/j.cell.2014.05.052.
- 940 (5) Kostic, A. D.; Gevers, D.; Siljander, H.; Vatanen, T.; Hyötyläinen, T.; Hämäläinen, A.-M.;
941 Peet, A.; Tillmann, V.; Pöhö, P.; Mattila, I.; Lähdesmäki, H.; Franzosa, E. A.; Vaarala, O.;
942 de Goffau, M.; Harmsen, H.; Ilonen, J.; Virtanen, S. M.; Clish, C. B.; Orešič, M.;
943 Huttenhower, C.; Knip, M.; DIABIMMUNE Study Group, R. J.; Xavier, R. J. The
944 Dynamics of the Human Infant Gut Microbiome in Development and in Progression
945 toward Type 1 Diabetes. *Cell Host Microbe* **2015**, *17* (2), 260–273 DOI:
946 10.1016/j.chom.2015.01.001.
- 947 (6) Sampson, T. R.; Mazmanian, S. K. Control of Brain Development, Function, and
948 Behavior by the Microbiome. *Cell Host Microbe* **2015**, *17* (5), 565–576 DOI:
949 10.1016/j.chom.2015.04.011.
- 950 (7) Brooks, A. W.; Kohl, K. D.; Brucker, R. M.; van Opstal, E. J.; Bordenstein, S. R.
951 Phyllosymbiosis: Relationships and Functional Effects of Microbial Communities across
952 Host Evolutionary History. *PLOS Biol.* **2016**, *14* (11), e2000225 DOI:
953 10.1371/journal.pbio.2000225.
- 954 (8) Hanage, W. P. Microbiology: Microbiome Science Needs a Healthy Dose of Scepticism.
955 *Nature* **2014**, *512* (7514), 247–248 DOI: 10.1038/512247a.
- 956 (9) Bäuml, A. J. Infection and Immunity Welcomes the New Microbiology. *Infect. Immun.*
957 **2017**, *85* (7), e00255-17 DOI: 10.1128/IAI.00255-17.
- 958 (10) Busby, P. E.; Soman, C.; Wagner, M. R.; Friesen, M. L.; Kremer, J.; Bennett, A.; Morsy,
959 M.; Eisen, J. A.; Leach, J. E.; Dangl, J. L. Research Priorities for Harnessing Plant
960 Microbiomes in Sustainable Agriculture. *PLoS Biol.* **2017**, *15* (3), e2001793 DOI:
961 10.1371/journal.pbio.2001793.
- 962 (11) Maxmen, A. Living Therapeutics: Scientists Genetically Modify Bacteria to Deliver Drugs.
963 *Nat. Med.* **2017**, *23* (1), 5–7 DOI: 10.1038/nm0117-5.

- 964 (12) Garber, K. Drugging the Gut Microbiome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2015**, 33 (3), 228–231 DOI:
965 10.1038/nbt.3161.
- 966 (13) Wiles, T. J.; Jemielita, M.; Baker, R. P.; Schlomann, B. H.; Logan, S. L.; Ganz, J.;
967 Melancon, E.; Eisen, J. S.; Guillemin, K.; Parthasarathy, R. Host Gut Motility Promotes
968 Competitive Exclusion within a Model Intestinal Microbiota. *PLOS Biol.* **2016**, 14 (7),
969 e1002517 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002517.
- 970 (14) Whitaker, W. R.; Shepherd, E. S.; Sonnenburg, J. L. Tunable Expression Tools Enable
971 Single-Cell Strain Distinction in the Gut Microbiome. *Cell* **2017**, 169 (3), 538–546.e12
972 DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.03.041.
- 973 (15) Falkow, S. Molecular Koch's Postulates Applied to Microbial Pathogenicity. *Rev. Infect.*
974 *Dis.* **10 Suppl 2**, S274-6.
- 975 (16) Yaung, S. J.; Church, G. M.; Wang, H. H. Recent Progress in Engineering Human-
976 Associated Microbiomes. In *Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.)*; 2014; Vol.
977 1151, pp 3–25.
- 978 (17) Zac Stephens, W.; Burns, A. R.; Stagaman, K.; Wong, S.; Rawls, J. F.; Guillemin, K.;
979 Bohannan, B. J. M. The Composition of the Zebrafish Intestinal Microbial Community
980 Varies across Development. *ISME J.* **2016**, 10 (3), 644–654 DOI:
981 10.1038/ismej.2015.140.
- 982 (18) Keller, P. J.; Schmidt, A. D.; Wittbrodt, J.; Stelzer, E. H. K. Reconstruction of Zebrafish
983 Early Embryonic Development by Scanned Light Sheet Microscopy. *Science* **2008**, 322
984 (5904), 1065–1069 DOI: 10.1126/science.1162493.
- 985 (19) Jin, D. J.; Gross, C. A. Mapping and Sequencing of Mutations in the Escherichia Coli
986 rpoB Gene That Lead to Rifampicin Resistance. *J. Mol. Biol.* **1988**, 202 (1), 45–58.
- 987 (20) Hall, A. R.; Iles, J. C.; MacLean, R. C. The Fitness Cost of Rifampicin Resistance in
988 *Pseudomonas Aeruginosa* Depends on Demand for RNA Polymerase. *Genetics* **2011**,
989 187 (3), 817–822 DOI: 10.1534/genetics.110.124628.
- 990 (21) Paulander, W.; Maisnier-Patin, S.; Andersson, D. I. The Fitness Cost of Streptomycin
991 Resistance Depends on rpsL Mutation, Carbon Source and RpoS (sigmaS). *Genetics*
992 **2009**, 183 (2), 539–46, 1SI–2SI DOI: 10.1534/genetics.109.106104.
- 993 (22) Björkman, J.; Andersson, D. I. The Cost of Antibiotic Resistance from a Bacterial
994 Perspective. *Drug Resist. Updat.* **2000**, 3 (4), 237–245 DOI: 10.1054/drup.2000.0147.
- 995 (23) Kang, Y.-S.; Park, W. Trade-off between Antibiotic Resistance and Biological Fitness in
996 *Acinetobacter* Sp. Strain DR1. *Environ. Microbiol.* **2010**, 12 (5), 1304–1318 DOI:
997 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02175.x.
- 998 (24) Carroll, S. M.; Xue, K. S.; Marx, C. J. Laboratory Divergence of *Methylobacterium*
999 *Extorquens* AM1 through Unintended Domestication and Past Selection for Antibiotic
1000 Resistance. *BMC Microbiol.* **2014**, 14 (1), 2 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2180-14-2.
- 1001 (25) Moura de Sousa, J.; Balbontín, R.; Durão, P.; Gordo, I. Multidrug-Resistant Bacteria
1002 Compensate for the Epistasis between Resistances. *PLoS Biol.* **2017**, 15 (4), e2001741
1003 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.2001741.
- 1004 (26) Stabb, E. V.; Ruby, E. G. RP4-Based Plasmids for Conjugation between *Escherichia Coli*
1005 and Members of the Vibrionaceae. *Methods Enzymol.* **2002**, 358, 413–426.
- 1006 (27) Choi, K.-H.; Gaynor, J. B.; White, K. G.; Lopez, C.; Bosio, C. M.; Karkhoff-Schweizer, R.
1007 R.; Schweizer, H. P. A Tn7-Based Broad-Range Bacterial Cloning and Expression
1008 System. *Nat. Methods* **2005**, 2 (6), 443–448 DOI: 10.1038/nmeth765.
- 1009 (28) Datsenko, K. A.; Wanner, B. L. One-Step Inactivation of Chromosomal Genes in

Escherichia Coli K-12 Using PCR Products. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2000**, 97 (12), 6640–6645 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.120163297.

(29) Calos, M. P. DNA Sequence for a Low-Level Promoter of the Lac Repressor Gene and an “Up” Promoter Mutation. *Nature* **1978**, 274 (5673), 762–765.

(30) Gerdes, K.; Bech, F. W.; Jørgensen, S. T.; Løbner-Olesen, A.; Rasmussen, P. B.; Atlung, T.; Boe, L.; Karlstrom, O.; Molin, S.; von Meyenburg, K. Mechanism of Postsegregational Killing by the Hok Gene Product of the parB System of Plasmid R1 and Its Homology with the relF Gene Product of the E. Coli relB Operon. *EMBO J.* **1986**, 5 (8), 2023–2029.

(31) Cheng, H.-Y.; Soo, V. W. C.; Islam, S.; McAnulty, M. J.; Benedik, M. J.; Wood, T. K. Toxin GhoT of the GhoT/GhoS Toxin/antitoxin System Damages the Cell Membrane to Reduce Adenosine Triphosphate and to Reduce Growth under Stress. *Environ. Microbiol.* **2014**, 16 (6), 1741–1754 DOI: 10.1111/1462-2920.12373.

(32) Unoson, C.; Wagner, E. G. H. A Small SOS-Induced Toxin Is Targeted against the Inner Membrane in Escherichia Coli. *Mol. Microbiol.* **2008**, 70 (1), 258–270 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06416.x.

(33) de Boer, H. A.; Comstock, L. J.; Vasser, M. The Tac Promoter: A Functional Hybrid Derived from the Trp and Lac Promoters. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1983**, 80 (1), 21–25.

(34) Lane, M. C.; Alteri, C. J.; Smith, S. N.; Mobley, H. L. T. Expression of Flagella Is Coincident with Uropathogenic Escherichia Coli Ascension to the Upper Urinary Tract. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2007**, 104 (42), 16669–16674 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0607898104.

(35) Olins, P. O.; Devine, C. S.; Rangwala, S. H.; Kavka, K. S. The T7 Phage Gene 10 Leader RNA, a Ribosome-Binding Site That Dramatically Enhances the Expression of Foreign Genes in Escherichia Coli. *Gene* **1988**, 73 (1), 227–235.

(36) Olins, P. O.; Rangwala, S. H. A Novel Sequence Element Derived from Bacteriophage T7 mRNA Acts as an Enhancer of Translation of the lacZ Gene in Escherichia Coli. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1989**, 264 (29), 16973–16976.

(37) Oxender, D. L.; Zurawski, G.; Yanofsky, C. Attenuation in the Escherichia Coli Tryptophan Operon: Role of RNA Secondary Structure Involving the Tryptophan Codon Region. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1979**, 76 (11), 5524–5528.

(38) Pédelacq, J.-D.; Cabantous, S.; Tran, T.; Terwilliger, T. C.; Waldo, G. S. Engineering and Characterization of a Superfolder Green Fluorescent Protein. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2006**, 24 (1), 79–88 DOI: 10.1038/nbt1172.

(39) Shaner, N. C.; Campbell, R. E.; Steinbach, P. A.; Giepmans, B. N. G.; Palmer, A. E.; Tsien, R. Y. Improved Monomeric Red, Orange and Yellow Fluorescent Proteins Derived from Discosoma Sp. Red Fluorescent Protein. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2004**, 22 (12), 1567–1572 DOI: 10.1038/nbt1037.

(40) Wang, L.; Jackson, W. C.; Steinbach, P. A.; Tsien, R. Y. Evolution of New Nonantibody Proteins via Iterative Somatic Hypermutation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2004**, 101 (48), 16745–16749 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0407752101.

(41) Rasko, D. A.; Rosovitz, M. J.; Myers, G. S. A.; Mongodin, E. F.; Fricke, W. F.; Gajer, P.; Crabtree, J.; Sebaihia, M.; Thomson, N. R.; Chaudhuri, R.; Henderson, I. R.; Sperandio, V.; Ravel, J. The Pangenome Structure of Escherichia Coli: Comparative Genomic Analysis of E. Coli Commensal and Pathogenic Isolates. *J. Bacteriol.* **2008**, 190 (20), 6881–6893 DOI: 10.1128/JB.00619-08.

- l056 (42) Bomar, L.; Stephens, W. Z.; Nelson, M. C.; Velle, K.; Guillemin, K.; Graf, J. Draft
l057 Genome Sequence of *Aeromonas Veronii* Hm21, a Symbiotic Isolate from the Medicinal
l058 Leech Digestive Tract. *Genome Announc.* **2013**, 1 (5), e00800-13-e00800-13 DOI:
l059 10.1128/genomeA.00800-13.
- l060 (43) Heidelberg, J. F.; Paulsen, I. T.; Nelson, K. E.; Gaidos, E. J.; Nelson, W. C.; Read, T. D.;
l061 Eisen, J. A.; Seshadri, R.; Ward, N.; Methe, B.; Clayton, R. A.; Meyer, T.; Tsapin, A.;
l062 Scott, J.; Beanan, M.; Brinkac, L.; Daugherty, S.; DeBoy, R. T.; Dodson, R. J.; Durkin, A.
l063 S.; Haft, D. H.; Kolonay, J. F.; Madupu, R.; Peterson, J. D.; Umayam, L. A.; White, O.;
l064 Wolf, A. M.; Vamathevan, J.; Weidman, J.; Impraim, M.; Lee, K.; Berry, K.; Lee, C.;
l065 Mueller, J.; Khouri, H.; Gill, J.; Utterback, T. R.; McDonald, L. A.; Feldblyum, T. V.;
l066 Smith, H. O.; Venter, J. C.; Nealson, K. H.; Fraser, C. M. Genome Sequence of the
l067 Dissimilatory Metal Ion-reducing Bacterium *Shewanella Oneidensis*. *Nat. Biotechnol.*
l068 **2002**, 20 (11), 1118–1123 DOI: 10.1038/nbt749.
- l069 (44) Hamilton, C. M.; Aldea, M.; Washburn, B. K.; Babitzke, P.; Kushner, S. R. New Method
l070 for Generating Deletions and Gene Replacements in *Escherichia Coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* **1989**,
l071 171 (9), 4617–4622.
- l072 (45) van Aartsen, J. J.; Rajakumar, K. An Optimized Method for Suicide Vector-Based Allelic
l073 Exchange in *Klebsiella Pneumoniae*. *J. Microbiol. Methods* **2011**, 86 (3), 313–319 DOI:
l074 10.1016/j.mimet.2011.06.006.
- l075 (46) Faulds-Pain, A.; Wren, B. W. Improved Bacterial Mutagenesis by High-Frequency Allele
l076 Exchange, Demonstrated in *Clostridium Difficile* and *Streptococcus Suis*. *Appl. Environ.*
l077 *Microbiol.* **2013**, 79 (15), 4768–4771 DOI: 10.1128/AEM.01195-13.
- l078 (47) Blomfield, I. C.; Vaughn, V.; Rest, R. F.; Eisenstein, B. I. Allelic Exchange in *Escherichia*
l079 *Coli* Using the *Bacillus Subtilis* *sacB* Gene and a Temperature-Sensitive pSC101
l080 Replicon. *Mol. Microbiol.* **1991**, 5 (6), 1447–1457.
- l081 (48) Edwards, R. A.; Keller, L. H.; Schifferli, D. M. Improved Allelic Exchange Vectors and
l082 Their Use to Analyze 987P Fimbria Gene Expression. *Gene* **1998**, 207 (2), 149–157.
- l083 (49) Jemielita, M.; Taormina, M. J.; Burns, A. R.; Hampton, J. S.; Rolig, A. S.; Guillemin, K.;
l084 Parthasarathy, R. Spatial and Temporal Features of the Growth of a Bacterial Species
l085 Colonizing the Zebrafish Gut. *MBio* **2014**, 5 (6), e01751-14 DOI: 10.1128/mBio.01751-
l086 14.
- l087 (50) Lickwar, C. R.; Camp, J. G.; Weiser, M.; Cocchiaro, J. L.; Kingsley, D. M.; Furey, T. S.;
l088 Sheikh, S. Z.; Rawls, J. F. Genomic Dissection of Conserved Transcriptional Regulation
l089 in Intestinal Epithelial Cells. *PLOS Biol.* **2017**, 15 (8), e2002054 DOI:
l090 10.1371/journal.pbio.2002054.
- l091 (51) Wallace, K. N.; Akhter, S.; Smith, E. M.; Lorent, K.; Pack, M. Intestinal Growth and
l092 Differentiation in Zebrafish. *Mech. Dev.* **2005**, 122 (2), 157–173 DOI:
l093 10.1016/j.mod.2004.10.009.
- l094 (52) Turnbaugh, P. J.; Ley, R. E.; Hamady, M.; Fraser-Liggett, C. M.; Knight, R.; Gordon, J. I.
l095 The Human Microbiome Project. *Nature* **2007**, 449 (7164), 804–810 DOI:
l096 10.1038/nature06244.
- l097 (53) Gilbert, J. A.; Jansson, J. K.; Knight, R. The Earth Microbiome Project: Successes and
l098 Aspirations. *BMC Biol.* **2014**, 12 (1), 69 DOI: 10.1186/s12915-014-0069-1.
- l099 (54) Falony, G.; Joossens, M.; Vieira-Silva, S.; Wang, J.; Darzi, Y.; Faust, K.; Kurilshikov, A.;
l100 Bonder, M. J.; Valles-Colomer, M.; Vandeputte, D.; Tito, R. Y.; Chaffron, S.; Rymenans,
l101 L.; Verspecht, C.; De Sutter, L.; Lima-Mendez, G.; Dhoe, K.; Jonckheere, K.; Homola,

- D.; Garcia, R.; Tigchelaar, E. F.; Eeckhaudt, L.; Fu, J.; Henckaerts, L.; Zhernakova, A.; Wijmenga, C.; Raes, J. Population-Level Analysis of Gut Microbiome Variation. *Science* (80-.). **2016**, 352 (6285), 560–564 DOI: 10.1126/science.aad3503.
- (55) Lloyd-Price, J.; Mahurkar, A.; Rahnavard, G.; Crabtree, J.; Orvis, J.; Hall, A. B.; Brady, A.; Creasy, H. H.; McCracken, C.; Giglio, M. G.; McDonald, D.; Franzosa, E. A.; Knight, R.; White, O.; Huttenhower, C. Strains, Functions and Dynamics in the Expanded Human Microbiome Project. *Nature* **2017** DOI: 10.1038/nature23889.
- (56) Cullender, T. C.; Chassaing, B.; Janzon, A.; Kumar, K.; Muller, C. E.; Werner, J. J.; Angenent, L. T.; Bell, M. E.; Hay, A. G.; Peterson, D. A.; Walter, J.; Vijay-Kumar, M.; Gewirtz, A. T.; Ley, R. E. Innate and Adaptive Immunity Interact to Quench Microbiome Flagellar Motility in the Gut. *Cell Host Microbe* **2013**, 14 (5), 571–581 DOI: 10.1016/j.chom.2013.10.009.
- (57) Okumura, R.; Kurakawa, T.; Nakano, T.; Kayama, H.; Kinoshita, M.; Motooka, D.; Gotoh, K.; Kimura, T.; Kamiyama, N.; Kusu, T.; Ueda, Y.; Wu, H.; Iijima, H.; Barman, S.; Osawa, H.; Matsuno, H.; Nishimura, J.; Ohba, Y.; Nakamura, S.; Iida, T.; Yamamoto, M.; Umemoto, E.; Sano, K.; Takeda, K. Lypd8 Promotes the Segregation of Flagellated Microbiota and Colonic Epithelia. *Nature* **2016**, 532 (7597), 117–121 DOI: 10.1038/nature17406.
- (58) Donaldson, G. P.; Lee, S. M.; Mazmanian, S. K. Gut Biogeography of the Bacterial Microbiota. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2015**, 14 (1), 20–32 DOI: 10.1038/nrmicro3552.
- (59) Tropini, C.; Earle, K. A.; Huang, K. C.; Sonnenburg, J. L. The Gut Microbiome: Connecting Spatial Organization to Function. *Cell Host Microbe* **2017**, 21 (4), 433–442 DOI: 10.1016/j.chom.2017.03.010.
- (60) Westerfield, M. *The Zebrafish Book: A Guide for the Laboratory Use of Zebrafish (Danio Rerio)*, 5th Editio.; University of Oregon Press: Eugene, 2007.
- (61) Melancon, E.; Gomez De La Torre Canny, S.; Sichel, S.; Kelly, M.; Wiles, T. J.; Rawls, J. F.; Eisen, J. S.; Guillemin, K. Best Practices for Germ-Free Derivation and Gnotobiotic Zebrafish Husbandry. *Methods Cell Biol.* **2017**, 138, 61–100 DOI: 10.1016/bs.mcb.2016.11.005.
- (62) Schneider, C. A.; Rasband, W. S.; Eliceiri, K. W. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 Years of Image Analysis. *Nat. Methods* **2012**, 9 (7), 671–675.
- (63) Chen, I.-M. A.; Markowitz, V. M.; Chu, K.; Palaniappan, K.; Szeto, E.; Pillay, M.; Ratner, A.; Huang, J.; Andersen, E.; Huntemann, M.; Varghese, N.; Hadjithomas, M.; Tennessen, K.; Nielsen, T.; Ivanova, N. N.; Kyripides, N. C. IMG/M: Integrated Genome and Metagenome Comparative Data Analysis System. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2017**, 45 (D1), D507–D516 DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkw929.
- (64) Lagesen, K.; Hallin, P.; Rødland, E. A.; Stærfeldt, H.-H.; Rognes, T.; Ussery, D. W. RNAmmer: Consistent and Rapid Annotation of Ribosomal RNA Genes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2007**, 35 (9), 3100–3108 DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkm160.
- (65) McWilliam, H.; Li, W.; Uludag, M.; Squizzato, S.; Park, Y. M.; Buso, N.; Cowley, A. P.; Lopez, R. Analysis Tool Web Services from the EMBL-EBI. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2013**, 41 (W1), W597–W600 DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkt376.
- (66) Taormina, M. J.; Jemielita, M.; Stephens, W. Z.; Burns, A. R.; Troll, J. V.; Parthasarathy, R.; Guillemin, K. Investigating Bacterial-Animal Symbioses with Light Sheet Microscopy. *Biol. Bull.* **2012**, 223 (1), 7–20 DOI: 10.1086/BBLv223n1p7.
- (67) Olivo-Marin, J.-C. Extraction of Spots in Biological Images Using Multiscale Products.

l148 *Pattern Recognit.* **2002**, 35 (9), 1989–1996 DOI: 10.1016/S0031-3203(01)00127-3.
l149 (68) Boykov, Y.; Kolmogorov, V. An Experimental Comparison of Min-Cut/max- Flow
l150 Algorithms for Energy Minimization in Vision. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*
l151 **2004**, 26 (9), 1124–1137 DOI: 10.1109/TPAMI.2004.60.
l152

FIGURE SUPPLEMENT AND SUPPLEMENTARY MOVIE LEGENDS

Figure 2-Figure Supplement 1. Spot tests demonstrating temperature-based counterselection of *E. coli* SM10 donor cells using the Tn7-tagging vector pTn7xTS. Ten-fold serial dilutions of *E. coli* SM10 carrying either the control vector pUC18R6KT-mini-Tn7T-GM (pTW56) or its temperature-sensitive derivative pTn7xTS were plated on tryptic soy agar containing 10µg/ml gentamicin and cultivated overnight at 30°C or 37°C. Functional differences between each vector are highlighted in vector maps. *ori_{R6K}*, *pir*-dependent origin of replication; *ori₁₀₁/repA101^{ts}*, temperature-sensitive origin of replication.

Figure 2-Figure Supplement 2. Spot tests demonstrating kill switch-based counterselection of *E. coli* SM10 donor cells using the Tn7-tagging vector pTn7xKS. **(A)** Kill switch design. Expression of the three toxin-encoding genes *hokB*, *ghoT*, and *tisB*—which impair ATP synthesis—is controlled by the LacI-repressible promoter P_{tac} (O+). “O+” indicates presence of the lac operator sequence in the promoter. Tight regulation of toxin genes is achieved by using the P_{lacIQ} promoter variant to control transcription of *lacI*, which drives ten-fold higher expression over native P_{lacI}. The allolactose analogue isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) is used for kill switch induction. **(B)** Ten-fold serial dilutions of *E. coli* SM10, carrying pUC18T-mini-Tn7T-GM (pTW54) derivatives that either contain a kill switch with no toxin genes (pTW88), three toxin genes (pTn7xKS), or a single toxin gene (pTW98), were plated on tryptic soy agar containing 10µg/ml gentamicin +/- 1mM IPTG and cultivated overnight at 30°C. Functional differences between each vector are highlighted in vector maps. *ori_{ColE1}*, high copy number origin of replication.

Figure 3-Figure Supplement 1. Design features of expression scaffolds and modernized Tn7-tagging vectors. **(A)** The previously described high-retention pGEN-mcs vector was chosen for maintaining, modifying, and prototyping expression scaffolds. Scaffolds encoding either sfGFP (pXS-sfGFP, green circle), dTomato (pXS-dTomato, red circle), or mPlum (pXS-mPlum, purple circle) were built into the multiple cloning site (mcs). Scaffold orientations relative to vector are noted. As in Figure 3, a scaffold diagram highlights the interchangeable sequence motifs and cyan arrowheads below mark the locations of restriction sites for making modifications. Presence of green, red, or purple circles next to restriction enzyme names indicate the availability of that site within each respective vector. *It should be noted that the AgeI enzyme cuts 190bp into the 15A origin of replication. Other pGEN-mcs features: *ori*_{15A}, broad host range origin of replication; T1, transcriptional terminator; *par*, partitioning system from pSC101; *amp*^R, ampicillin resistance gene; *hok/sok*, toxin/antitoxin system; *parRM*, centromere-like partitioning system. **(B)** Expression scaffolds were subcloned into the mcs of the temperature-sensitive Tn7-tagging vector pTn7xTS (described in Figure 2A). The relative orientation of the scaffolds is noted. Restriction site availability for each vector encoding either GFP (pTn7xTS-sfGFP, green circle), dTomato (pTn7xTS-dTomato, red circle), or mPlum (pTn7xTS-mPlum, purple circle) is outlined as in **A**. **(C)** Expression scaffolds were subcloned into the mcs of the kill switch containing Tn7-tagging vector pTn7xKS (described in Figure 2C). The relative orientation of the scaffolds is noted. Restriction site availability for each vector encoding either GFP (pTn7xKS-sfGFP, green circle), dTomato (pTn7xKS-dTomato, red circle), or mPlum (pTn7xKS-mPlum, purple circle) is outlined as in **A**.

Figure 3-Figure Supplement 2. Domestication-free tagging of *Vibrio* ZWU0020. **(A)** Diagram shows the conserved *attTn7* insertion site for Tn7 (Tn) downstream of the *glmS* gene within *Vibrio* ZWU0020's chromosome (chr). Cargo comprises one of three genes encoding either sfGFP, dTomato, or mPlum. "T" denotes transcriptional terminators; unch., uncharacterized. **(B)** PCR-confirmation of Tn7 insertion variants carrying different fluorescent markers made using temperature and kill switch-based tagging vectors (i.e., pTn7xTS and pTn7xKS, respectively). Black arrows above gene diagrams mark primer annealing sites in addition to the expected amplicon size for successful insertion events. Representative DNA gels showing genotyping results are provided to the right of each diagram. Wild-type ZWU0020 (WT) produces no amplicon because the transposon is not present. **(C)** Plotted is the average optical density at 600nm (OD₆₀₀) vs. time (hours) of WT ZWU0020, and its undomesticated fluorescently tagged derivatives (*attTn7::sfGFP*, *attTn7::dTomato*, and *attTn7::mPlum*), during shaking growth in LB broth at 30°C. Range bars are based on four technical replicates. **(D)** Swim motility WT ZWU0020 and the indicated fluorescently tagged variants from **C** in 0.2% tryptic soy agar at 30°C.

Figure 5-Figure Supplement 1. Design features of customizable allelic exchange vectors. **(A)** Molecular scaffolds that hold antibiotic resistance genes, a merodiploid (MD) tracker, and a domestication-free kill switch system are partitioned by restriction sites (cyan arrowheads). A *SmaI* restriction site, which produces blunt ends when cleaved, serves as a flexible point of entry for cloned allelic exchange cassettes. Full vector assemblies are shown for **(B)** pAX1 and **(C)** pAX2. The hashed line within each vector schematic highlights the scaffold regions shown to the right, which list the locations of available restriction sites for engineering and provide

element details. Terminators T_0 and T_1 prevent transcriptional read-through of the *SmaI* cloning site and interference of kill switch elements. *ori*₁₀₁/*repA*101^{ts}, temperature-sensitive origin of replication; *oriT*, origin of transfer; *gent*^R, gentamicin resistance gene; *clm*^R, chloramphenicol resistance gene; *amp*^R, ampicillin resistance gene; P_{gent} , *gent* promoter; P_{clm} , *clm* promoter; P_{CP25} , synthetic constitutive promoter; GFP^{mut3.1}, GFP variant; *tisB*, *ghoT*, and *hokB* encode toxic peptides; *tetR* encodes the repressor TetR; P_{LtetO} , synthetic TetR-repressible promoter; P_{tac} (O-), synthetic constitutive promoter without lac operator sequence.

Figure 5-Figure Supplement 2. Spot tests demonstrating temperature and kill switch-based counterselection of *E. coli* SM10 donor cells using the allelic exchange vectors pAX1 and pAX2. Ten-fold serial dilutions of *E. coli* SM10 carrying either pAX1 or pAX2 were plated on tryptic soy agar (TSA) containing 10μg/ml gentamicin and cultivated overnight at 30°C or 37°C. Results obtained with SM10/pTW56, which are also presented in Figure 2-Figure Supplement 1, are provided as a reference control. Functional differences between each vector are highlighted. *ori*_{R6K}, *pir*-dependent origin of replication; *ori*₁₀₁/*repA*101^{ts}, temperature-sensitive origin of replication. **(B)** Ten-fold serial dilutions of *E. coli* SM10 carrying either pTW56 or pAX2 were plated on TSA containing 10μg/ml gentamicin +/- 10ng/ml anhydrotetracycline (aTc) and cultivated overnight at 30°C or 37°C. As in **A**, functional differences between each vector are highlighted in vector maps. *tisB*, *ghoT*, and *hokB* encode toxic peptides; *tetR* encodes the repressor TetR.

Figure 6-Figure Supplement 1. Additional information related to the engineering of the *Vibrio* ZWU0020 $\Delta pomAB$ deletion mutant. **(A)** *Vibrio* ZWU0020 *pomAB* merodiploids robustly

express GFP. After outgrowth and plating on nonselective media, mosaic merodiploid colonies exhibiting loss of GFP and thus, loss of the allelic exchange vector, can be isolated. Images labeled “GFP” show GFP fluorescence and “darkfield” show total colony structure. **(B)** Plotted is the average optical density at 600nm (OD₆₀₀) vs. time (hours) of WT ZWU0020 and its $\Delta pomAB$ derivative during shaking growth in LB broth at 30°C. Range bars are based on four technical replicates.

Figure 6-Figure Supplement 2. Markerless deletion of *pomAB* in *Aeromonas veronii* using the allelic exchange vector pAX2. **(A)** Top: wild-type *pomAB* locus in *Aeromonas veronii* ZOR0001. Bottom: result of markerless *pomAB* deletion fuses the start codon of *pomA* to the stop codon of *pomB*. Black arrows mark approximate primer annealing sites for genotyping and the size of each amplification product is indicated. **(B)** *Aeromonas* ZOR0001 *pomAB* merodiploids robustly express GFP. After outgrowth and plating on nonselective media, mosaic merodiploid colonies exhibiting loss of GFP, and thus loss of the allelic exchange vector, can be isolated. Images labeled “GFP” show GFP fluorescence and “darkfield” show total colony structure. **(C)** Agarose gel showing PCR-based genotyping of wild-type (WT), merodiploid (MD), and four putative $\Delta pomAB$ (Δ) mutants. To verify the dual functionality of pAX2, two merodiploids were isolated using either its temperature (T) or kill switch (K) donor cell counterselection systems. Putative $\Delta pomAB$ mutants were derived from “K” merodiploids. Migration distances of WT and mutant alleles are indicated. Mutant 3 is an example of a wild-type revertant, whereas 1, 2, and 4 are $\Delta pomAB$ mutants. **(D)** Swim motility of WT ZOR0001 and the four putative $\Delta pomAB$ mutants from **C** in 0.2% tryptic soy agar at 30°C. The attenuated swimming phenotype of mutants 1, 2, and 4 corroborates genotyping results. Of note,

L267 *Aeromonas* ZOR0001 encodes multiple lateral flagellar systems in addition to one polar
L268 flagellum; therefore, partial loss of motility is expected. (E) Plotted is the average optical
L269 density at 600nm (OD₆₀₀) vs. time (hours) of WT ZOR0001 and $\Delta pomAB$ mutant 1 during
L270 shaking growth in LB broth at 30°C. Range bars are based on four technical replicates.

L271

L272 **Figure 6-Figure Supplement 3.** Examples of various patterns of GFP loss observed within
L273 merodiploid colonies. *Vibrio* ZWU0020 (top row) and *Aeromonas* ZOR0001 (bottom row)
L274 merodiploid cells were plated on non-selective tryptic soy agar. After overnight growth,
L275 colonies were screened for loss of GFP, which indicates that a second recombination event
L276 has occurred. White arrowheads mark GFP-negative patches.

L277

L278 **Figure 7-Figure Supplement 1.** Additional images showing intestinal colonization patterns
L279 and growth modes of zebrafish symbionts. (A) Cartoon diagram of a 5-day old larval zebrafish.
L280 Purple dashed box outlines region imaged in C. (B) Diagram shows the boundaries of the bulb,
L281 mid-gut, and distal gut within the larval intestine. (C) Maximum intensity projections of 3D
L282 image stacks acquired by light sheet fluorescence microscopy for indicated bacterial strains.
L283 Orange dotted outline marks the intestine in each image. Scale bar: 200µm.

L284

L285 **Figure 7-Figure Supplement 2.** Summary of traits exhibited by bacterial symbionts within the
L286 larval zebrafish intestine.

L287

L288 **Figure 7-Figure Supplement 3.** Phylogenetic relatedness and summary of motility
L289 phenotypes. Shown is an unrooted phylogenetic tree generated using full-length nucleotide

sequences of the 16S rRNA gene from all strains manipulated in this study. Strains used for live imaging are in bold black type and symbols denote motility phenotypes.

Figure 7-Figure Supplement 4. Motility of select strains in soft agar. Indicated strains were inoculated in 0.2% tryptic soy agar and incubated at 30°C for ~5h. **Pseudomonas* ZWU0006 exhibits a delay in swimming and advances after a 24h incubation period.

Supplementary Movie 1. Example of *Vibrio* ZWU0020 growth mode and behavior within the zebrafish gut. Movie depicts live imaging of a single optical plane in the intestinal bulb of a 5-day old larval zebrafish colonized with a 100:1 mixture of *Vibrio* ZWU0020 expressing dTomato (left panel) or sfGFP (right panel). The GFP-tagged subpopulation highlights the highly motile and planktonic nature of this strain within the intestine. Scale bars: 50µm.

Supplementary Movie 2. Example of *Enterobacter* ZOR0014 growth mode and behavior within the zebrafish gut. Movie depicts live imaging of a single optical plane in the intestinal bulb of a 5-day old larval zebrafish colonized with *Enterobacter* ZOR0014 expressing sfGFP. A small portion of the *Enterobacter* mid-gut population can be seen fluxing into the bulb due to peristaltic contractions. Non-motile cells are evident along with larger multicellular aggregates. Scale bar: 50µm.

Supplementary Movie 3. Example of *Aeromonas* ZOR0001 growth mode and behavior within the zebrafish gut. Movie depicts live imaging of a single optical plane in the intestinal mid-gut of a 5-day old larval zebrafish colonized with *Aeromonas* ZOR0001 expressing sfGFP. Non-

l313 motile cells and small aggregates can be seen experiencing flux due to peristaltic contractions.

l314 Scale bar: 50µm.

l315

l316 **Supplementary Movie 4.** Example of *Aeromonas* ZOR0002 growth mode and behavior within
l317 the zebrafish gut. Movie depicts live imaging of a single optical plane in the intestinal bulb of a
l318 5-day old larval zebrafish colonized with *Aeromonas* ZOR0002 expressing sfGFP. Non-motile
l319 cells and small aggregates can be observed. Scale bar: 50µm.

l320

l321 **Supplementary Movie 5.** Example of *Vibrio* ZOR0036 growth mode and behavior within the
l322 zebrafish gut. Movie depicts live imaging of a single optical plane in the intestinal bulb of a 5-
l323 day old larval zebrafish colonized with *Vibrio* ZOR0036 expressing sfGFP. Highly motile cells
l324 as well as large multicellular aggregates can be observed. Scale bar: 50µm.

l325

l326 **Supplementary Movie 6.** Example of *Plesiomonas* ZOR0011 growth mode and behavior
l327 within the zebrafish gut. Movie depicts live imaging of a single optical plane in the intestinal
l328 bulb of a 5-day old larval zebrafish colonized with *Plesiomonas* ZOR0011 expressing sfGFP.
l329 Motile cells as well as large multicellular aggregates can be observed. Notably, the swim
l330 speed of *Plesiomonas* cells appears to be more moderate compared to *Vibrio* or
l331 *Pseudomonas* strains. Scale bar: 50µm.

l332

l333 **Supplementary Movie 7.** Example of *Pseudomonas* ZWU0006 growth mode and behavior
l334 within the zebrafish gut. Movie depicts live imaging of a single optical plane in the intestinal

L335 bulb of a 5-day old larval zebrafish colonized with *Pseudomonas* ZWU0006 expressing sfGFP.
 L336 Highly motile cells as well as large multicellular aggregates can be observed. Scale bar: 50μm.
 L337
 L338 **Supplementary Movie 8.** Example of *Vibrio* ZWU0020 $\Delta pomAB$ growth mode and behavior
 L339 within the zebrafish gut. Movie depicts live imaging of a single optical plane in the intestinal
 L340 bulb of a 5-day old larval zebrafish colonized with *Vibrio* ZWU0020 $\Delta pomAB$ expressing
 L341 dTomato. Non-motile cells and small aggregates can be observed. Scale bar: 50μm.

SUPPLEMENTARY AND SOURCE DATA FILE LEGENDS

Supplementary File 1. Wild and Recombinant Bacteria.

Supplementary File 2. 16S rRNA Nucleotide Sequences.

Supplementary File 3. *E. coli* Strains and Plasmids.

Supplementary File 4. Primers.

Supplementary File 5. Nucleotide Sequences of Select Genetic Parts.

Supplementary File 6. Plasmid Construction.

Supplementary File 7. Protocol: Tn7 tagging with pTn7xTS and pTn7xKS

Supplementary File 8. Protocol: Allelic exchange with pAX1 and pAX2

Figure 1-Source Data 1. Raw in vitro growth data for Figure 1A

Figure 3-Source Data 1. Raw in vitro growth data for Figure 3-Figure Supplement 2C

Figure 6-Source Data 1. Raw in vitro growth data for Figure 6-Figure Supplement 1B

Figure 6-Source Data 2. Raw in vitro growth data for Figure 6-Figure Supplement 2E

Figure 8-Source Data 1. Values plotted in Figure 8

SM10/pTW56

SM10/pTn7xTS

30°C

37°C

A **B**

ApGEN-based Expression Scaffolds **B**Tn7-tagging Vectors with Temperature-based Counterselection **C**Tn7-tagging Vectors with Kill Switch-based Counterselection

A **B** **C** **D**

A

design overview of allelic exchange vector scaffolds

B **C**

promoter
 ribosome binding site
 terminator

A

SM10/pTW56

SM10/pAX1

SM10/pAX2

30°C

37°C

BSM10/pTW56

-aTc

+aTc

30°C

37°C

SM10/pAX2

-aTc

+aTc

30°C

37°C

A

merodiploid colony

merodiploid colony
with mosaic loss of GFP **B**

Vibrio
ZWU0020

Aeromonas
ZOR0001

Figure 7-Figure Supplement 2.

Summary of traits exhibited by bacterial symbionts within the larval zebrafish intestine.

Strain	Median Abundance ^a	Dominant Growth Mode	Motile Individuals
<i>Aeromonas</i> ZOR0001	7.54×10^3	Aggregated	No
<i>Aeromonas</i> ZOR0002	5.41×10^3	Aggregated	No
<i>Enterobacter</i> ZOR0014	1.87×10^4	Aggregated	No
<i>Plesiomonas</i> ZOR0011	2.39×10^4	Aggregated	Yes
<i>Pseudomonas</i> ZWU0006	1.19×10^4	Aggregated	Yes
<i>Vibrio</i> ZOR0036	2.66×10^3	Mixed	Yes
<i>Vibrio</i> ZWU0020	5.02×10^4	Planktonic	Yes
ZWU0020 $\Delta pomAB$	8.17×10^3	Mixed	No

^aPopulation abundances were derived from three animals per strain and are based on segmentation of 3D images.

Vibrio
ZWU0020

Vibrio
ZOR0036

Enterobacter
ZOR0014

Aeromonas
ZOR0001

Plesiomonas
ZOR0011

Aeromonas
ZOR0002

**Pseudomonas*
ZWU0006