

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

APR-246 reactivates mutant p53 by targeting cysteines 124 and 277

Qiang Zhang, Vladimir J.N. Bykov, Klas G. Wiman* and Joanna Zawacka-Pankau

Karolinska Institutet, Department of Oncology-Pathology, Cancer Center

Karolinska (CCK), SE-17176 Stockholm, Sweden

*Correspondence: K.G.Wiman; Email: Klas.Wiman@ki.se

Running title: cysteines critical for mutant p53 reactivation by APR-246

1 **Abstract**

2 The *TP53* tumor suppressor gene is frequently inactivated in human tumors by missense
3 mutations in the DNA binding domain. *TP53* mutations lead to protein unfolding, decreased
4 thermostability and loss of DNA binding and transcription factor function. Pharmacological
5 targeting of mutant p53 to restore its tumor suppressor function is a promising strategy for
6 cancer therapy. The mutant p53 reactivating compound APR-246 (PRIMA-1^{Met}) has been
7 successfully tested in a phase I/IIa clinical trial. APR-246 is converted to the reactive
8 electrophile methylene quinuclidinone (MQ), which binds covalently to p53 core domain. We
9 identified cysteine 277 as a prime binding target for MQ in p53. Cys277 is also essential for
10 MQ-mediated thermostabilization of wild-type, R175H and R273H mutant p53, while both
11 Cys124 and Cys277 are required for APR-246-mediated functional restoration of R175H
12 mutant p53 in living tumor cells. These findings may open opportunities for rational design of
13 novel mutant p53-targeting compounds.

14

15 **Introduction**

16 Tumor suppressor p53 is a transcription factor that acts as a sensor of multiple stress stimuli,
17 e.g. DNA damage, hypoxia and oncogenic stress. Depending on the type and severity of the
18 stress and other factors, p53 triggers distinct cellular responses including cell cycle arrest,
19 senescence and apoptosis^{1, 2}. More recent studies have shown that p53 also has roles in
20 metabolism³, stem cell division⁴, fertility⁵ and cell death by ferroptosis⁶. The *TP53* gene is
21 inactivated by mutation in a large fraction of human tumors^{7, 8}. The majority of *TP53*
22 mutations are missense mutations resulting in substitution of amino acid residues that make
23 direct contact with DNA, such as R248W and R273H, or residues that are important for the
24 structural integrity of the core domain, e.g. R175H and R249S. This leads to loss of specific
25 DNA binding⁹.

1

2 The high frequency of *TP53* mutations in human tumors has stimulated efforts to develop
3 therapeutic strategies for targeting mutant p53 in cancer. Several low-molecular-weight
4 compounds have been reported to restore wild-type function to mutant p53 including PRIMA-
5 1 and the PRIMA-1 analog APR-246 (PRIMA-1^{Met})^{10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17}. APR-246 has been
6 tested in a phase I/IIa clinical trial in patients with hematological malignancies or prostate
7 cancer¹⁸. APR-246 is converted *in vitro* and *in vivo* to methylene quinuclidinone (MQ), a
8 Michael acceptor that reacts with thiols in cysteines in the p53 core domain¹⁹. However, the
9 mechanism by which APR-246/MQ reactivates mutant p53 is not fully understood.

10

11 Many mutant p53 proteins in human tumors are thermodynamically unstable at body
12 temperature²⁰. Studies of temperature-sensitive mutants suggest that stabilization of
13 conformation is critical for regaining wild-type p53 activity^{21, 22}. Thus, pharmacological
14 stabilization of mutant p53 should allow its functional rescue and efficient elimination of
15 tumor cells²³.

16

17 Here we have examined the role of the Michael acceptor activity of MQ for
18 thermostabilization of wild-type (wt) and mutant p53 core domains and refolding of R175H
19 mutant p53 in living cells. We also show that Cys277 is essential for MQ-mediated
20 thermostabilization of R175H and R273H mutant p53 core domains, and that both Cys124
21 and Cys277 are required for APR-246-mediated R175H mutant p53 reactivation in tumor
22 cells.

23

24

25

1 **Results**

2 **MQ binds to the p53 core domain via Michael addition**

3 We have previously shown that conversion products of PRIMA-1 covalently bind to cysteine
4 residues in the p53 core domain and reactivate mutant p53 (ref. 19), but whether MQ, the
5 active conversion product of APR-246, itself binds to the p53 core domain has not been
6 unequivocally demonstrated. To address this, we analyzed MQ-treated p53 core domains by
7 Nanomate Linear Ion Trap Orbitrap (LTQ) hybrid mass spectrometry. Accurate masses of the
8 wt and R273H and R175H mutant p53 core domains are shown in Figure 1a. We incubated wt
9 and R273H mutant p53 core domains (20 μ M) with 50 μ M, 100 μ M or 200 μ M MQ at 21°C and
10 assessed the degree of thiol modification by LTQ-MS. The deconvoluted mass spectra
11 showed that 32% of the wt and R273H p53 core domain proteins were modified by one MQ
12 molecule when incubated with lower concentrations of the compound (Figure 1b), and that all
13 wt and R273H p53 protein molecules had two MQ adducts upon incubation with 200 μ M MQ
14 (Figure 1d). We did not detect modification of all 10 cysteines in p53 core at this range of
15 MQ concentrations.

16

17 Since we only obtained a low yield of the R175H p53 core domain, we analyzed it at lower
18 protein concentration than wt and R273H (see Methods). Briefly, R175H core domain (3.2 μ M)
19 was incubated with 10 μ M, 25 μ M or 50 μ M MQ. The deconvoluted mass spectra indicate that
20 20% of the R175H core was modified by one MQ molecule at 10 μ M (Figure 1c), and at
21 50 μ M MQ, 35% of the R175H core domain protein had one MQ adduct and 14% had two
22 MQ adducts (Figure 1d). Thus, the number of p53 cysteine residues modified by MQ
23 increased in a dose-dependent manner.

24

1 Next, we assessed binding of MQ-H, a hydrogenated analog of MQ that lacks the reactive
2 carbon-carbon double bond (Figure 1e). We did not detect any modification at concentrations
3 up to 200 μ M, indicating that MQ-H does not modify cysteine residues in p53. Thus, the
4 Michael acceptor activity of MQ is required for modification of cysteines in p53.

5

6 **MQ enhances thermostability of the p53 core domain**

7 To address the question if the modification of cysteine residues in p53 by MQ increases the
8 thermostability of the p53 core domain, we applied differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF).
9 This method allows analysis of the interactions between a protein and a ligand based on
10 changes in the melting temperature of the protein (T_m). DSF demonstrated that the wt p53
11 core domain was the most stable of the three proteins with a T_m of 40.38 \pm 0.06 $^{\circ}$ C. T_m for the
12 DNA contact mutant R273H was 39.16 \pm 0.52 $^{\circ}$ C, whereas the structural mutant R175H was the
13 least stable protein with a T_m of 30.64 \pm 0.46 $^{\circ}$ C (Figure 2a). This is in a good accordance with
14 previously published studies²⁰. To further validate this result, we used circular dichroism
15 (CD), which allows assessment of α -helix and β -sheet structures content. We performed CD
16 analysis at 218 nm since both α -helix and β -sheet structures are detected at this wavelength.
17 In agreement with our DSF data, CD measurements demonstrated that wt p53 core domain is
18 the most stable protein followed by R273H core whereas the R175H core domain is
19 considerably less stable. The T_m values are 44.74 \pm 0.08 $^{\circ}$ C, 43.96 \pm 0.37 $^{\circ}$ C and 36.24 \pm 0.33 $^{\circ}$ C,
20 respectively.

21

22 We then incubated wt, R273H and R175H p53 core domains with 2mM MQ followed by DSF
23 and CD analyses. This concentration of MQ was chosen to override the reducing agents DTT
24 or TCEP that are included in the reaction buffer to maintain wild-type-like structure of the
25 p53 core domains in solution. According to DSF, MQ increased the T_m values of wt, R273H

1 and R175H p53 core domains by 3.44°C, 3.54°C and 2.31°C, respectively (Figure 2a). This
2 degree of p53 core domain thermal stabilization upon binding of a small molecule is in
3 agreement with previously published results¹⁶. CD analysis confirmed thermostabilization of
4 all three core domains by MQ as shown by the increase in T_m values by 1.20°C, 1.70°C and
5 2.06°C for wt, R273H and R175H p53, respectively (Figure 2b). The inactive MQ analog,
6 MQ-H did not significantly change the thermal stability of the p53 core domains as assessed
7 by DSF (Figure 2a) and CD (Figure 2b).

8

9 Thus, MQ but not MQ-H increases the thermostability of the p53 core domain proteins,
10 confirming that cysteine binding by Michael addition is critical for p53 thermostabilization by
11 MQ. The results from DSF and CD were fully consistent; the p53 protein melting
12 temperatures determined by the two methods correlated with each other ($r=0.999$, $p=0.007$).
13 DSF was chosen for further studies.

14

15 **Identification of MQ binding sites in the p53 core domain**

16 The p53 core domain has 10 cysteine residues with varying solvent accessibility. Previous
17 studies have indicated that in the absence of DNA Cys277 has the highest solvent
18 accessibility, followed by Cys182 and Cys229, whereas Cys135, Cys141 and Cys275 have
19 poor solvent accessibility²⁴. Cys124 is located at the center of the flexible L1/S3 pocket,
20 which can be stabilized by second-site mutations to rescue mutant p53 folding^{25, 26, 27}.
21 Interestingly, Cys124 shows a nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) chemical shift upon
22 binding of the CDB3 peptide that stabilizes mutant p53 (ref. 28). In addition, mutation at
23 Cys124 was reported to abrogate reactivation of R175H mutant p53 by PRIMA-1 (ref. 25).

24

1 Thus, to investigate if the most solvent-exposed cysteine residues and Cys124 are critical for
2 MQ binding to the p53 core, we first introduced single Cys to Ala substitutions at position
3 124, 182, 229 or 277 in the wt, R175H and R273H p53 core domains, and double
4 substitutions at Cys124 and Cys277 in the same three core domain proteins. The R175H p53
5 core domain has low intrinsic thermostability and additional amino acid substitutions might
6 destabilize it further. This probably explains why we only obtained negligible protein yield
7 for the R175H-C124A and R175H-C124A-C277A core domains. Our LTQ-MS analysis of
8 R273H mutant p53 core domains identified one MQ adduct in the R273H, R273H-C124A,
9 R273H-C182A, and R273H-C229A p53 cores after incubation with 50 μ M MQ, whereas no
10 MQ modification of the R273H-C277A and R273H-C124A-C277A core domains was
11 detected (Figure 3a). However, incubation with 100-200 μ M MQ resulted in two to five MQ
12 adducts in all p53 mutant core domains tested except R273H-C277A (Figure 3 b-c). This
13 implies that MQ modifies several cysteines in p53, and suggests that Cys277 is the most
14 reactive.

15

16 **Cys277 is essential for MQ-mediated thermostabilization of p53 core domains**

17 In order to assess the role of selected Cys residues in MQ-mediated thermostabilization, we
18 incubated wt, R175H and R273H p53 core domains carrying indicated Cys to Ala
19 substitutions with MQ and performed DSF. The T_m values of the wt and p53 core domains
20 carrying C124A, C277A, C182A, C229A and C124A-C277A substitutions were 42.36 $^{\circ}$ C,
21 36.67 $^{\circ}$ C, 43.07 $^{\circ}$ C, 42.43 $^{\circ}$ C, 40.59 $^{\circ}$ C and 40.91 $^{\circ}$ C, respectively (Table 1). MQ modification
22 increased the T_m values of the C124A, C182A and C229A p53 core domain proteins by
23 5.82 $^{\circ}$ C, 1.35 $^{\circ}$ C and 1.00 $^{\circ}$ C (Figure 4a), respectively. In contrast, MQ caused a slight
24 destabilization of the C277A core domain by -0.06 $^{\circ}$ C, and only stabilized the C124A-C277A

1 core domain by 0.16°C, indicating that Cys277 is critical for MQ-mediated
2 thermostabilization of p53.

3

4 We then analyzed R273H p53 cores with the same C124A, C277A, C182A and C229A
5 substitutions. The melting temperatures of the R273H, R273H-C124A, R273H-C227A,
6 R273H-C182A and R273H-C229A p53 core domains were 40.49°C, 39.17°C, 41.48°C,
7 40.52°C and 38.60°C, respectively (Table 1). MQ treatment shifted the T_m values by 0.93°C,
8 0.64°C, -0.19°C, 1.03°C and 0.76°C (Figure 4b), respectively. The T_m of the R273H core
9 domain with C124A-C277A double substitution was 39.48°C and only changed by -0.07°C
10 upon MQ modification.

11

12 As indicated above, we were not able to obtain sufficient amounts of the R175H-C124A and
13 R175H-C124A-C277A p53 core domains. Thus, only the R175H, R175H-C277A, R175H-
14 C182A and R175H-C229A core domains were further analyzed. Their respective melting
15 temperatures were 31.09°C, 29.05°C, 29.49°C and 28.16°C (Table 1). MQ modification
16 shifted the melting temperatures by 0.71°C, -0.12°C, 0.73°C and 0.45°C (Figure 4c),
17 respectively. Thus, C227A substitution abrogated MQ-induced thermostabilization in wt as
18 well as R273H and R175H mutant p53 core domain backgrounds.

19

20 Our MS data demonstrate that MQ binds to the p53 core domain in a concentration-dependent
21 manner and that high concentrations of MQ lead to modification of more than one cysteine
22 residue in p53. This raises the question whether high concentrations of MQ might induce p53
23 thermostabilization even in the absence of Cys277. To address this, we incubated wt, C277A,
24 C124A-C277A, R175H-C277A and R273H-C277A p53 core domains with 1 mM, 2 mM or 4
25 mM MQ and assessed protein thermostability by DSF (Figure 4d). The highest concentration

1 of MQ (4 mM) destabilized all core domains (Figure 4d). The wt p53 core domain was
2 stabilized by MQ at 1 and 2 mM, as observed previously. However, p53 core domains with
3 the C277A substitution were not stabilized at the same concentrations. Thus, this result
4 further supports our conclusion that Cys277 is indispensable for MQ-mediated p53 core
5 domain stabilization.

6

7 **APR-246 and MQ induce R175H mutant p53 refolding to wild-type conformation**

8 Proper folding of p53 is crucial for sequence-specific DNA binding and transactivation of p53
9 target genes. As a rule, *TP53* mutations in tumors lead to p53 unfolding and loss of sequence-
10 specific DNA binding capacity. PRIMA-1 was shown to promote R175H mutant p53
11 refolding to wild-type conformation in SKOV-His175 cells¹¹. However, this has yet to be
12 demonstrated for APR-246 and MQ.

13

14 The wild-type p53 conformation-specific monoclonal antibody PAb1620 allows detection of
15 mutant p53 refolding to wild-type-like conformation. We verified specificity of the PAb1620
16 antibody in immunostaining by treating HCT116 human colon carcinoma cells with
17 doxorubicin to induce the levels of wild-type p53. HCT116 cells expressing wild-type p53 or
18 Saos-2 cells expressing R273H mutant p53, which retains wild-type-like conformation,
19 showed PAb1620+ staining (Supplementary Figure 1). In contrast, H1299 cells expressing
20 R175H mutant p53 were PAb1620 negative. Treatment with APR-246 induced positive
21 PAb1620 staining in H1299-R175H cells, suggesting that APR-246 via MQ restores wild-
22 type conformation of this mutant (Supplementary Figure 1).

23

24 To determine if APR-246 and MQ can refold endogenous R175H mutant p53 in TOV-112D
25 ovarian carcinoma cells, we treated the cells with APR-246 or MQ and performed co-

1 immunostaining with PAb1620 and anti-p53 polyclonal antibody FL-393. In parallel, the cells
2 were stained with the monoclonal HO3.5 antibody that specifically detects unfolded p53
3 conformation in a manner similar to PAb240 (ref. 29). APR-246 treatment increased
4 PAb1620 staining (Figure 5a) and decreased HO3.5 staining (Figure 5b), indicating refolding
5 of R175H mutant p53 to wild-type-like conformation.

6
7 Next, we treated TOV-112D cells with MQ and stained with PAb1620 and HO3.5 antibodies.
8 Like APR-246, MQ induced PAb1620 staining (Figure 5a), which coincided with decreased
9 HO3.5 staining (Figure 5b). We did not detect any changes in PAb1620 and HO3.5 staining
10 after treatment with MQ-H, confirming that the Michael acceptor activity of MQ is crucial for
11 mutant p53 refolding in living tumor cells.

12

13 **Cysteines 124 and 277 are important for APR-246/MQ-mediated R175H mutant p53** 14 **reactivation**

15 To investigate the role of cysteine residues in mutant p53 reactivation by APR-246/MQ in
16 tumor cells, we transiently transfected p53 null H1299 cells with vectors encoding R175H,
17 R175H-C124A, R175H-C277A or R175H-C124A-C277A p53 mutant proteins, or with
18 control vector (pCMV). Western blotting confirmed similar levels of expression of p53 in all
19 transfectants (Supplementary Figure 2). Next, the cells were treated with 45 μ M APR-246. We
20 chose this concentration since it induced cell death in R175H mutant p53-transfected cells
21 but only marginally affected empty vector-transfected cells. We then assessed apoptosis and
22 expression of p53 targets p21, Fas and Bax by flow cytometry. Signals obtained in mock-
23 treated control cells were subtracted from the corresponding signals obtained in APR-246-
24 treated cells, and the values are presented as relative increase, namely Δ Annexin V, Δ p21,
25 Δ Fas and Δ Bax. Cells transfected with R175H showed substantial induction (by 61.06%) of

1 Annexin V staining after APR-246 treatment when compared to cells transfected with pCMV
2 empty vector (3.91%). We observed relatively low induction of Annexin V staining in cells
3 transfected with R175H-C124A p53 (13.96%), whereas cells expressing R175H-C277A or
4 R175H-C124A-C277A p53 showed no induction of Annexin V staining as compared to
5 control vector-transfected cells (Figure 6a). The p53 targets p21 (Figure 6b) and Bax (Figure
6 6c) were highly induced by APR-246 in cells expressing R175H p53 but only slightly or
7 moderately increased in cells expressing the R175H-C124A and R175H-C124A-C277A
8 mutants. Cells expressing R175H-C277A mutant p53 showed no induction of p21 or Bax.
9 The p53 target Fas (Figure 6d) was strongly induced by APR-246 in the R175H-transfected
10 cells but no induction compared to control vector-transfected cells was detected in cells
11 expressing R175H-C124A, R175H-C277A or R175H-C124A-C277A p53 proteins, consistent
12 with the observed absence of apoptosis induction.

13

14 To determine whether the C124A and C277A substitutions themselves affect wild-type p53
15 function, H1299 cells transfected with wt, C124A, C277A, R175H and R273H p53 constructs
16 were assessed for apoptosis and expression of p53 target gene p21 by flow cytometry. pCMV
17 vector was used as a control. Induction of Annexin V (Supplementary Figure 3a) was detected
18 in cells expressing wt, C124A or C277A p53 proteins, which coincided with slight induction
19 of p21 (Supplementary Figure 3b), but not in cells transfected with R175H or R273H p53
20 constructs. Thus, C124A or C277A substitution *per se* does not inactivate p53 in this
21 experimental system, supporting our conclusion that these cysteines play a key role in APR-
22 246/MQ-mediated mutant p53 reactivation.

23

24

25

1 **Discussion**

2 *TP53* gene mutation occurs in around 50% of human tumors and is emerging as predictive
3 biomarker for currently available cancer therapy. A number of *in vitro*, *ex vivo* and *in silico*
4 approaches have been applied to identify small molecules that reactivate mutant p53 by
5 restoring wild-type p53 conformation^{10,30}. The mutant p53-targeting compound APR-246 has
6 been tested in a phase I/IIa clinical trial in patients with hematological malignancies or
7 prostate cancer^{18,31}, and is currently being tested in a phase II clinical trial in patients with
8 high-grade serous (HGS) ovarian cancer (see clinicaltrials.gov). Both PRIMA-1 and APR-246
9 are converted to methylene quinuclidinone (MQ)¹⁹. MQ is a potent electrophile that has a
10 highly reactive carbon-carbon double bond coupled to the electron-withdrawing carbonyl
11 group, defining it as a Michael acceptor. At physiological conditions, thiols in proteins are
12 good nucleophiles and therefore prime targets for electrophilic attack by MQ. Our earlier
13 studies clearly demonstrated thiol modifications in the p53 core domain by PRIMA-1
14 conversion products¹⁹. This led us to conclude that APR-246-mediated mutant p53
15 reactivation involves covalent binding of MQ to p53. Several other mutant p53-reactivating
16 compounds, such as MIRA-1 (ref. 32), CP-31398 and STIMA-1 (ref. 33), 3-benzoylacrylic
17 acid¹⁴ and 2-sulfonylpyrimidines¹⁶, possess similar reactivity with thiols, indicating that the
18 observed association between thiol reactivity and mutant p53 reactivation is not coincidental.

19
20 A few methods have been successfully used to measure protein thiol modifications including
21 antibody-based detection or chemical tagging of the modified thiol groups³⁴. However, the
22 development of mass spectrometry (MS) methods allowing the analysis of proteins using
23 electrospray ionization (ESI) coupled with high-resolution instrumentation has significantly
24 advanced studies of thiol modifications. Here we applied LTQ-MS to assess p53 core domain
25 thiol modifications. We show that the MQ analog MQ-H that lacks a reactive carbon-carbon

1 double bond and therefore lacks Michael acceptor activity, unlike MQ itself, does not modify
2 cysteine residues in the p53 core domain, does not enhance p53 thermostability and does not
3 induce R175H mutant p53 refolding according to PAb1620 staining. Thus, by using several
4 approaches, we demonstrate that the electrophilic properties of MQ are essential for cysteine
5 modification, thermostabilization and refolding of mutant p53.

6

7 Although previous studies have indicated that PRIMA-1 conversion products bind covalently
8 to the p53 core domain¹⁹, the exact cysteine target residues for the major PRIMA-1 and APR-
9 246 conversion product MQ have remained unknown. We applied LTQ-MS analysis to a set
10 of Cys to Ala mutants to identify cysteine residues that are critical for MQ binding and MQ-
11 mediated stabilization of mutant p53. The reactivity of cysteine residues in a protein is largely
12 affected by their solvent accessibility. Among 10 cysteines in p53 core domain, Cys176,
13 Cys238 and Cys242 coordinate a zinc ion which is responsible for holding p53 loops
14 together⁹, making them less likely targets for modification. Cys135, Cys141 and Cys275 are
15 poorly accessible to solvent based on the X-ray crystal structure of the p53 core domain.
16 Cys277 and Cys182 have the highest solvent accessibility, followed by Cys229 (ref. 24).
17 Interestingly, Cys277 has the lowest pKa of all p53 cysteines, making it the strongest
18 nucleophile in the protein. Thus, Cys 277 combines the greatest solvent accessibility with the
19 highest nucleophilicity, suggesting that it might be a prime target for MQ.

20

21 Indeed, we found that Cys277 to Ala substitution abolishes MQ binding to p53 core domain,
22 at lower concentrations. Moreover, the ability of MQ to thermostabilize p53 core domains is
23 impaired in Cys277 to Ala p53 mutants. Thus, a good correlation exists between the extent of
24 MQ adduct formation and MQ-mediated thermostabilization of the p53 core domain.

25

1 Cys182 and Cys277 have recently been identified as the prime binding sites for PK11000, a
2 2-sulfonylpyrimidine compound that reacts with cysteines and thermostabilizes p53 (ref. 16).
3 It is noteworthy that although Cys277 interacts directly with DNA, modification of this
4 residue by PK11000 did not change p53 DNA binding and transactivation of target genes.
5 Our results demonstrate that substitution of Cys182 to Ala does not affect p53 modification
6 and thermostabilization by MQ in any significant way, implying that Cys182 is not essential
7 for mutant p53 reactivation by APR-246/MQ.

8

9 Kaar and colleagues¹⁴ identified 3-benzoylacrylic acid as a thiol-binding compound that reacts
10 first with Cys124 and Cys141 and to a lesser extent with Cys135, Cys182 and Cys277 in p53.
11 Cys124 was also identified as a target for PRIMA-1 by molecular modelling, and Cys124 to
12 Ala substitution abolished PRIMA-1-induced reactivation of mutant p53 in human tumor
13 cells²⁵. Here, we examined the role of Cys124 and found that substitution of this cysteine did
14 not impair MQ binding and p53 thermostabilization. However, Cys124 to Ala substitution
15 abrogated R175H reactivation by APR-246/MQ in tumor cells, in agreement with the results
16 of Wassmann et al.²⁵.

17

18 In order to exclude the possibility that the Cys to Ala substitutions themselves impair wild-
19 type p53 function in our experimental setting, we examined whether the Cys to Ala
20 substitutions impair ability of p53 to transactivate p21 and induce apoptosis. We confirmed
21 that these substitutions do not affect normal p53 function to any major extent, supporting the
22 notion that the observed effects are indeed due to an important role of Cys124 and Cys277 for
23 APR-246/MQ-mediated mutant p53 reactivation.

24

1 In conclusion, our data demonstrate that specific cysteines are critical targets for mutant p53
2 reactivation by APR-246/MQ. Our findings may open opportunities for designing novel
3 compounds targeting mutant p53 based on a similar mechanism of nucleophilic addition at the
4 identified binding sites.

5

6 **Materials and Methods**

7 **Cell lines and reagents**

8 Human lung adenocarcinoma cells H1299 and osteosarcoma cells Saos-2 are p53 null. The
9 sub-lines H1299-R175H and Saos-2-R273H stably express the indicated mutants^{11, 32}. Human
10 HCT116 colon carcinoma cells express wild-type p53. Human epithelial ovarian cancer cells
11 TOV-112D express R175H mutant p53. All cells were cultured at 37°C, 5% CO₂ in IMDM
12 medium (Hyclone, Logan, Utah) supplemented with 10% FBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific,
13 Waltham, MA).

14

15 APR-246, MQ and MQ-H were obtained from Aprea Therapeutics AB, Stockholm, Sweden.
16 Methanol, formaldehyde and acetonitrile were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific
17 (Waltham, MA). Formic acid was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).
18 Lipofectamine 2000 was from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA). All solvents were of
19 analytical grade and are commercially available.

20

21 Rabbit polyclonal anti-p53 FL-393, rabbit polyclonal anti-GAPDH, mouse monoclonal anti-
22 p53 DO-1 and mouse monoclonal PAb1620, Alexa Fluor 647 conjugated FL-393, Alexa
23 Fluor 488 conjugated anti-p21 antibodies were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Heidelberg,
24 Germany). Mouse monoclonal antibody HO3.5 was a gift from Professor Thierry Soussi,
25 Karolinska Institutet. Polyclonal rabbit anti-Bax Biotin OAAF02999 and QdotTM 605

1 streptavidin were from Nordic Biosite (Stockholm, Sweden). BV510 mouse anti-human
2 CD95 (Fas) and BD Horizon V450 Annexin V were from BD Biosciences (Stockholm,
3 Sweden).

4

5 **Site-directed mutagenesis**

6 Prokaryotic and eukaryotic plasmid constructs were produced by Genscript, Piscataway, NJ.

7

8

9

10 **Expression and purification of proteins**

11 p53 cores (94-292) were cloned into pNIC28-Bsa4 that adds an N-terminal hexahistidine tag
12 and transformed into *E. coli* strain Rosetta2 (DE3). Bacteria were grown in TB medium
13 supplemented with 8 g/l glycerol at 37°C with shaking. Protein expression was induced with
14 0.5mM IPTG at 18°C overnight. Afterwards bacteria were pelleted by centrifugation and
15 lysed in cold IMAC lysis buffer (50 mM TRIS, 300 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.05 mM ZnCl,
16 0.5 mM TCEP, pH 8.0) supplemented with complete protease mix (complete EDTA-free
17 (protease inhibitor) and 5 µl benzonase nuclease (250 U) and stored at -80°C. After thawing,
18 the cells were lysed by pulsed sonication (4s/4s 3 min, 80% amplitude), centrifuged (20 min
19 at 49000 xg) and the soluble fractions were decanted and filtered through 0.45µm filters. The
20 samples were loaded onto the ÄKTA Xpress LC and purified overnight. His-tag was cleaved
21 with Thrombin. Sample homogeneity was confirmed by mass spectrometry and the
22 concentration was measured by nanodrop. The proteins were aliquoted and stored at -80°C in
23 storage buffer (50 mM TRIS, 800 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 2.0 mM TCEP, pH 8.0).

24

25 **Mass spectrometry**

1 Wild-type and R273H p53 core domains were de-salted against 20 mM ammonium acetate
2 buffer by using 10K concentration columns (Vivaspin, GE Healthacare, Chicago, IL).
3 Twenty μM of the purified protein were incubated with 0 μM (control), 50 μM , 100 μM or
4 200 μM MQ for 15min at 21°C. R175H core domains were de-salted by ZipTip C4 resin tips
5 for MALDI-ToF MS (Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA) following the manufacturer protocol.
6 3.2 μM of R175H protein were treated with 0 μM (control), 10 μM , 25 μM or 50 μM of MQ for
7 15min at 21°C. 5% formic acid (1:1 volume ratio) was added to the samples to increase the
8 ionization sensitivity. Samples were analyzed by LTQ XL mass spectrometry (Thermo Fisher
9 Scientific, Waltham, MA) fitted with an automated nanospray source (TriVersa Nanomate,
10 Advion Biosciences, Ithaca, NY) using nanoelectrospray chips with spraying nozzels. The ion
11 source was controlled using the Chipsoft 8.3.1 software (Advion Biosciences, Ithaca NY).
12 Three microliters of each sample were loaded into a 96-well plate and injection volume was
13 one and a half microliters. Full scan spectra were collected at the m/z 500–2,000 in positive
14 ion mode. The mass spectra of each sample were acquired in profile mode over 4 min. The
15 spectra were analyzed using XCaliburTM Software (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA).
16 Deconvoluted ESI spectra are presented.

17

18 **Circular dichroism**

19 75 μg of p53 core domain proteins were incubated with or without 2 mM MQ in 250 μl 40mM
20 potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) and 1 mM DTT for 1hr at 21°C. CD measurements were
21 performed on Jasco-810 (Jasco Inc., Tokyo, Japan) with 0.01 pathlength. Denaturation curves
22 were obtained by measuring the circular dichroism spectra at 218 nm. Melting temperatures
23 were analyzed by GraphPad Prism 6 (Graphpad Software Inc, La Jolla, CA) according to the

24 Boltzmann equation $y = \frac{A_1 - A_2}{1 + e^{(x-x_0)/dx}} + A_2$, x_0 - inflection point.

1

2 **Differential scanning fluorimetry**

3 5µg p53 core domains were incubated with 1 mM to 4 mM of MQ in 25µl 40mM potassium
4 phosphate buffer (PH 7.5) with 1mM DTT for 1 hr at 21°C under controlled conditions. 1 µl
5 of 25x Sypro orange were added to each well. The fluorescence was assessed by Bio-Rad
6 iCycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, CA) at increasing temperature from 10°C to 75°C with a rate
7 of 1°C per min. T_m values were calculated by GraphPad Prism 6 (Graphpad Software Inc, La
8 Jolla, CA).

9

10

11 **Immunofluorescence staining**

12 Cells were plated into a 16 well chamber slide at a density of 3000 cells per well, allowed to
13 attach overnight, and treated with 25µM APR-246 or 5µM MQ/MQ-H for 16hr. Cells were
14 washed, fixed with 4% formaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X. Mouse PAb1620
15 or HO3.5 antibody were co-incubated with rabbit FL-393 antibody, all were diluted 1:200 in 2%
16 BSA for 1hr at 4°C. Anti-rabbit Alexa 488 and anti-mouse Alexa 594 conjugates were used as
17 secondary antibody with 1:200 dilution in 2% BSA.

18

19 **Flow cytometry**

20 Cells were grown on 6-well plates at an initial density of 500,000 cells/well. Sixteen hours
21 later cells were transfected for 24 hr with p53 expression vectors or empty vector using
22 Lipofectamine 2000 according to the manufacturer's protocol (Life Technology, Waltham,
23 MA). The medium was then replaced with fresh medium, the cells were reseeded at a density
24 of 20,000 cells/well after 6hr culture, and treated with APR-246 on the following day. Cells
25 were collected 24 hr post-treatment, stained with Annexin V, fixed with 4% formaldehyde,

1 permealized with 90% methanol and stained with Fas, Bax and p21 antibodies. Cells were
2 analyzed on a NovoCyte Flow Cytometer (ACEA Biosciences, Solna, Sweden).

3

4 **Acknowledgements**

5 This work was supported by grants from the Swedish Cancer Fund (Cancerfonden), the
6 Swedish Medical Research Council (VR), the Cancer Society of Stockholm (Radiumhemmets
7 Forskningsfonder), Åke Wiberg Stiftelse, The Strategic Research Programme in Cancer
8 (StratCan), and Karolinska Institutet. We thank Prof. Thierry Soussi, Department of
9 Oncology-Pathology, Karolinska Institutet, for generous gift of the HO3.5 antibody. We also
10 thank the Protein Science Facility (PSF) and Proteomics Karolinska at the Department of
11 Medical Biochemistry and Biophysics, Karolinska Institutet, for valuable help with p53 core
12 domain purification and technical support for mass spectrometry.

13

14 **Conflict of Interest**

15 K.G.W. and V.J.N.B. are co-founders and shareholders of Aprea Therapeutics AB, a company
16 that develops p53-based cancer therapy including APR-246. K.G.W. is a member of its
17 Clinical Advisory Board. Research in the K.G.W. lab has received financial support from
18 Aprea Therapeutics AB.

19

20

21

22 **References**

- 23 1. Vousden KH, Prives C. Blinded by the Light: The Growing Complexity of p53. *Cell*
24 2009, **137**(3): 413-431.
25
26 2. Kasthuber ER, Lowe SW. Putting p53 in Context. *Cell* 2017, **170**(6): 1062-1078.
27

- 1 3. Puzio-Kuter AM. The Role of p53 in Metabolic Regulation. *Genes Cancer* 2011, **2**(4):
2 385-391.
3
- 4 4. Bonizzi G, Cicalese A, Insinga A, Pelicci PG. The emerging role of p53 in stem cells.
5 *Trends Mol Med* 2012, **18**(1): 6-12.
6
- 7 5. Hu W, Zheng T, Wang J. Regulation of Fertility by the p53 Family Members. *Genes*
8 *Cancer* 2011, **2**(4): 420-430.
9
- 10 6. Jiang L, Kon N, Li T, Wang SJ, Su T, Hibshoosh H, *et al.* Ferroptosis as a p53-
11 mediated activity during tumour suppression. *Nature* 2015, **520**(7545): 57-62.
12
- 13 7. Soussi T, Wiman KG. TP53: an oncogene in disguise. *Cell Death Differ* 2015, **22**(8):
14 1239-1249.
15
- 16 8. Kandoth C, McLellan MD, Vandin F, Ye K, Niu B, Lu C, *et al.* Mutational landscape
17 and significance across 12 major cancer types. *Nature* 2013, **502**(7471): 333-339.
18
- 19 9. Cho Y, Gorina S, Jeffrey PD, Pavletich NP. Crystal structure of a p53 tumor
20 suppressor-DNA complex: understanding tumorigenic mutations. *Science* 1994,
21 **265**(5170): 346-355.
22
- 23 10. Bykov VJ, Wiman KG. Mutant p53 reactivation by small molecules makes its way to
24 the clinic. *FEBS letters* 2014, **588**(16): 2622-2627.
25
- 26 11. Bykov VJ, Issaeva N, Shilov A, Hulcrantz M, Pugacheva E, Chumakov P, *et al.*
27 Restoration of the tumor suppressor function to mutant p53 by a low-molecular-
28 weight compound. *Nat Med* 2002, **8**(3): 282-288.
29
- 30 12. Bykov VJ, Issaeva N, Selivanova G, Wiman KG. Mutant p53-dependent growth
31 suppression distinguishes PRIMA-1 from known anticancer drugs: a statistical
32 analysis of information in the National Cancer Institute database. *Carcinogenesis* 2002,
33 **23**(12): 2011-2018.
34
- 35 13. Bykov VJ, Zache N, Stridh H, Westman J, Bergman J, Selivanova G, *et al.* PRIMA-
36 1(MET) synergizes with cisplatin to induce tumor cell apoptosis. *Oncogene* 2005,
37 **24**(21): 3484-3491.
38
- 39 14. Kaar JL, Basse N, Joerger AC, Stephens E, Rutherford TJ, Fersht AR. Stabilization of
40 mutant p53 via alkylation of cysteines and effects on DNA binding. *Protein Sci* 2010,
41 **19**(12): 2267-2278.
42
- 43 15. Liu X, Wilcken R, Joerger AC, Chuckowree IS, Amin J, Spencer J, *et al.* Small
44 molecule induced reactivation of mutant p53 in cancer cells. *Nucleic acids research*
45 2013, **41**(12): 6034-6044.
46
- 47 16. Bauer MR, Joerger AC, Fersht AR. 2-Sulfonylpyrimidines: Mild alkylating agents
48 with anticancer activity toward p53-compromised cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
49 2016, **113**(36): E5271-5280.
50

- 1 17. Yu X, Vazquez A, Levine AJ, Carpizo DR. Allele-specific p53 mutant reactivation.
2 *Cancer Cell* 2012, **21**(5): 614-625.
3
- 4 18. Lehmann S, Bykov VJ, Ali D, Andren O, Cherif H, Tidefelt U, *et al.* Targeting p53 in
5 vivo: a first-in-human study with p53-targeting compound APR-246 in refractory
6 hematologic malignancies and prostate cancer. *Journal of clinical oncology : official*
7 *journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 2012, **30**(29): 3633-3639.
8
- 9 19. Lambert JM, Gorzov P, Veprintsev DB, Soderqvist M, Segerback D, Bergman J, *et al.*
10 PRIMA-1 reactivates mutant p53 by covalent binding to the core domain. *Cancer Cell*
11 2009, **15**(5): 376-388.
12
- 13 20. Bullock AN, Henckel J, DeDecker BS, Johnson CM, Nikolova PV, Proctor MR, *et al.*
14 Thermodynamic stability of wild-type and mutant p53 core domain. *Proc Natl Acad*
15 *Sci U S A* 1997, **94**(26): 14338-14342.
16
- 17 21. Friedlander P, Legros Y, Soussi T, Prives C. Regulation of mutant p53 temperature-
18 sensitive DNA binding. *J Biol Chem* 1996, **271**(41): 25468-25478.
19
- 20 22. Michalovitz D, Halevy O, Oren M. Conditional inhibition of transformation and of
21 cell proliferation by a temperature-sensitive mutant of p53. *Cell* 1990, **62**(4): 671-680.
22
- 23 23. Joerger AC, Fersht AR. Structure-function-rescue: the diverse nature of common p53
24 cancer mutants. *Oncogene* 2007, **26**(15): 2226-2242.
25
- 26 24. Scotcher J, Clarke DJ, Weidt SK, Mackay CL, Hupp TR, Sadler PJ, *et al.*
27 Identification of Two Reactive Cysteine Residues in the Tumor Suppressor Protein
28 p53 Using Top-Down FTICR Mass Spectrometry. *J Am Soc Mass Spectr* 2011, **22**(5):
29 888-897.
30
- 31 25. Wassman CD, Baronio R, Demir O, Wallentine BD, Chen CK, Hall LV, *et al.*
32 Computational identification of a transiently open L1/S3 pocket for reactivation of
33 mutant p53. *Nat Commun* 2013, **4**: 1407.
34
- 35 26. Brachmann RK, Yu K, Eby Y, Pavletich NP, Boeke JD. Genetic selection of
36 intragenic suppressor mutations that reverse the effect of common p53 cancer
37 mutations. *The EMBO journal* 1998, **17**(7): 1847-1859.
38
- 39 27. Baroni TE, Wang T, Qian H, Dearth LR, Truong LN, Zeng J, *et al.* A global
40 suppressor motif for p53 cancer mutants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2004, **101**(14):
41 4930-4935.
42
- 43 28. Friedler A, Hansson LO, Veprintsev DB, Freund SM, Rippin TM, Nikolova PV, *et al.*
44 A peptide that binds and stabilizes p53 core domain: chaperone strategy for rescue of
45 oncogenic mutants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002, **99**(2): 937-942.
46
- 47 29. Legros Y, Meyer A, Ory K, Soussi T. Mutations in p53 produce a common
48 conformational effect that can be detected with a panel of monoclonal antibodies
49 directed toward the central part of the p53 protein. *Oncogene* 1994, **9**(12): 3689-3694.
50

- 1 30. Khoo KH, Verma CS, Lane DP. Drugging the p53 pathway: understanding the route
2 to clinical efficacy. *Nature reviews Drug discovery* 2014, **13**(3): 217-236.
3
- 4 31. Deneberg S, Cherif H, Lazarevic V, Andersson PO, von Euler M, Juliusson G, *et al.*
5 An open-label phase I dose-finding study of APR-246 in hematological malignancies.
6 *Blood Cancer J* 2016, **6**.
7
- 8 32. Bykov VJ, Issaeva N, Zache N, Shilov A, Hulcrantz M, Bergman J, *et al.*
9 Reactivation of Mutant p53 and Induction of Apoptosis in Human Tumor Cells by
10 Maleimide Analogs. *J Biol Chem* 2005, **280**(34): 30384-30391.
11
- 12 33. Zache N, Lambert JM, Rokaeus N, Shen J, Hainaut P, Bergman J, *et al.* Mutant p53
13 targeting by the low molecular weight compound STIMA-1. *Mol Oncol* 2008, **2**(1):
14 70-80.
15
- 16 34. Hill BG, Reily C, Oh JY, Johnson MS, Landar A. Methods for the determination and
17 quantification of the reactive thiol proteome. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2009, **47**(6): 675-
18 683.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

1 **Figure legends**

2 **Figure 1. MQ binds to cysteine residues in wild-type and mutant p53 core domains in a**
3 **dose-dependent manner.** Mass measurement of wild-type, R273H and R175H p53 core
4 domains by LTQ-MS. (a) mass spectra of p53 core domains. (b) – (d) reaction titration with
5 MQ or MQ-H. p53 core domains were incubated with MQ at 50-200 μ M (wt and R273H) or
6 10-50 μ M (R175H) concentration ranges. One MQ adduct increased the molecular mass of
7 p53 core domains by 137 Da. (e) structure of MQ and MQ-H.

8

9 **Figure 2. MQ modification of cysteine residues enhances p53 core domain**
10 **thermostability.** Changes in T_m after MQ or MQ-H modification were assessed by DSF (a)
11 and CD at 218 nm (b) (mean \pm SD, n=3). All proteins were thermostabilized by MQ
12 modification (yellow bars), but not by MQ-H (brown bars). * p<0.05, ** p<0.01 (student t-
13 test).

14

15 **Figure 3. Cys277 is a prime binding site for MQ in the p53 core domain.** Mass
16 measurement of p53 core domains as assessed by LTQ-MS. Indicated p53 core domain
17 proteins were incubated with MQ at increasing concentrations and mass shift was assessed.
18 Proportion of MQ modified proteins was calculated based on relative intensity of each
19 detected mass.

20

21 **Figure 4. Cys277 is critical for MQ-mediated p53 thermostabilization.** C277A
22 substitution completely abolished MQ-mediated thermostabilization whereas other
23 substitutions had little or no effect on wt (a), R273H (b) and R175H (c) core domains at 2
24 mM concentration. Higher concentrations of MQ (1, 2 or 4 mM) did not thermostabilize the
25 indicated p53 core domain proteins with C277A substitution (d).

1 **Figure 5. APR-246 and MQ enhance wild-type p53 conformation-specific PAb1620**
2 **epitope in tumor cells carrying R175H mutant p53.** (a) Immunofluorescence staining of
3 TOV-112D cells treated with APR-246, MQ or MQ-H using wild-type p53 conformation-
4 specific antibody PAb1620 and co-immunostaining with general p53 antibody FL-393. (b)
5 Immunofluorescence staining of TOV-112D cells treated with APR-246, MQ or MQ-H using
6 the mutant p53 conformation-specific antibody HO3.5 and co-immunostaining with general
7 p53 antibody FL-393.

8

9 **Figure 6. Cys124 and Cys277 are crucial for APR-246/MQ-mediated R175H**
10 **reactivation in living cells.** H1299 cells expressing corresponding p53 mutant proteins were
11 stained with Annexin V, p21, Fas and Bax and examined by flow cytometry. Both C124A and
12 C277A abolished APR-246-induced apoptosis (a), and upregulation of p53 targets p21 (b),
13 Bax (c) and Fas (d).

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 **Table 1. Melting temperatures (T_m) of p53 core domain proteins with indicated Cys to**
2 **Ala substitutions as assessed by DSF.***

3

T_m(°C)	wt	C124A	C277A	C182A	C229A	C124A- C277A
wt	42.36±0.11	36.67±1.29	43.07±0.26	42.43±0.12	40.59±0.12	40.91±0.26
R175H	31.09±0.23	-	29.05±0.50	29.49±0.37	28.16±0.46	-
R273H	40.49±0.93	39.17±0.08	41.48±0.23	40.52±0.06	38.60±0.08	39.48±0.10

4

5 *Sufficient amounts of the R175H-C124A and R175H-C124A-C277A mutant proteins could
6 not be obtained for DSF analysis.

7

8 The differences in the T_m values of wt, R175H and R273H p53 core domains between Table
9 1 and data presented in the main text (Results p. 5-6) are due to different batches of protein
10 and iCycler instruments for DSF.

11

12

13

14






15


16




17

18

19

a**b****c****d****e**



a**50 μ M****b****100 μ M****c****200 μ M****Adducts:**

- 1 MQ
- 2 MQ
- 3 MQ
- 4 MQ
- 5 MQ

